1 **Human thymopoiesis selects unconventional CD8+ α/β T cells that respond to multiple**
2 **viruses.**
3

4 Valentin Quiniou[1,2], Pierre Barennes[1,2], Federica Martina[2#], Vanessa Mhanna[1#], Helene
5 Vantomme[1,2#], Hang Phuong Pham[3], Mikhail Shugay[4], Adrien Six[1], Encarnita Mariotti-
6 Ferrandiz[1,2], David Klatzmann[1,2]*
7
[1]Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Immunology-Immunopathology-Immunotherapy (i3), Paris,
France
[2]AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Clinical Investigation Center for Biotherapies (CIC-BTi) and
Immunology-Inflammation-Infectiology and Dermatology Department (3iD), Paris, France
[3]ILTOO pharma, Statistical department, Paris, France
[4]Center of Life Sciences, Skoltech, Moscow, Russia
# these authors have contributed equally
15
* Address correspondence and reprint requests to:
Prof. D. Klatzmann, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, 83 boulevard de l'Hôpital, F-75013, Paris, France.
E-mail: david.klatzmann@sorbonne-universite.fr

T cell receptors (TCRs) are formed by stochastic gene rearrangements, theoretically generating

>>10^{19} sequences[1]. They are selected during thymopoiesis, which releases a repertoire of

about 10^8 unique TCRs[2,3] per individual. How evolution shaped a process that produces TCRs

that would effectively respond to infectious agents is a central question of immunology. The

paradigm is that a diverse enough repertoire of TCRs should always provide a proper, though

rare, specificity for any given need. Expansion of such rare T cells would provide enough fighters

for an efficacious immune response and enough antigen-experienced cells for memory[3,4]. We

show here that thymopoiesis releases a large population of CD8$^+$ T cells harbouring diverse

α/βTCRs with innate-like properties. These TCRs (i) have high generation probabilities and a

preferential usage of some V and J genes, (ii) are shared between individuals, (iii) are highly

enriched for viral antigen recognition and (iv) have a fuzzy rather than tight specificity. In vitro,

T cells expressing these TCRs bind to and are activated by multiple unrelated viral peptides; in

vivo, they respond to vaccination and infection, being notably found in bronchoalveolar lavages

of COVID-19 infected patients. Our results support an evolutionary selection of pleiospecific

α/βTCRs for broad antiviral responses and heterologous immunity.

We analysed the TCR repertoire dynamics of developing thymocytes. We first focused

on the hypervariable CDR3 region of the TCR that interacts with the antigenic peptide, while

CDR1 and CDR2 usually interact with HLA molecules[5]. CDR3 analyses can therefore be used to

investigate the sharing of TCR specificities between individuals with distinct HLA molecules. We

analysed the repertoire of purified CD4$^+$CD8$^+$CD3$^-$ (DPCD3$^-$), CD4$^+$CD8$^+$CD3$^+$ (DPCD3$^+$) and CD4$^-$

CD8$^+$CD3$^+$ (CD8$^+$) thymocytes. DPCD3$^-$ thymocytes represent the earliest stage of TCR β-chain

gene recombination and their repertoire embodies the unaltered outcome of the TCR

generation process; DPCD3$^+$ thymocytes are at an early stage of the selection process and their

repertoire should be minimally modified; CD8$^+$ thymocytes have passed the selection process

and bear a fully selected repertoire. We analysed and represented the structure of these

repertoires by connecting CDR3s (nodes) differing by at most one single amino acid (AA)

(Levenshtein distance less than or equal to one: LD≤1) as such similar CDR3s most often bind

the same peptide[6–12] (Supplementary Figure 1. In these networks, connected CDR3s are

designated as clustered nodes and the others as dispersed nodes. For normalisation, we

represented the first 18,000 most expressed β or α CDR3s from each sample. We observed a

marked increase in the number of clustered CDR3s from DPCD3$^-$ to CD8$^+$ thymocytes (Fig. 1a

and c, Supplementary Figure 2), which was remarkably consistent among all individuals studied,

independently of their age, sex or HLA (Supplementary Figure 3). The node degree, i.e. its

number of connections, was also significantly increased during T cell differentiation for

clustered CDR3s (Fig. 1b and d, Supplementary Figure 2). The major and statistically significant

(p<0.0001) increase in the proportion of clustered TCRs from DPCD3$^+$ to CD8$^+$ thymocytes,

which was also accompanied by a significant increase (p<0.0001) in the node degree of

clustered TCRs, reveals a positive selection of TCRs with shared recognition properties during

thymopoiesis.

The probability of generation (*Pgen*) of a given TCR varies enormously from one TCR to

the other, spanning over 10 orders of magnitude[1]. The clustered TCRs from both DPCD3$^+$ and

CD8$^+$ thymocytes have a significantly higher *Pgen* (p<0.0001) than the dispersed ones (Fig. 1e).

Pgen also increased significantly (p<0.0001) from DPCD3$^+$ to CD8$^+$ thymocytes (Fig. 1e).

Moreover, the *Pgen* of CD8$^+$ thymocytes is significantly correlated with the node degree

(Supplementary Figure 4). Clustered TCRs have a preferential usage of some V and J genes,

resulting in a markedly different VJ recombination usage, notably similar across individuals (Fig.

1f, Supplementary Figure 5). As there is a remarkably shared clustered structure of CD8$^+$

thymocytes βCDR3s across individuals (Supplementary Figure 3), we investigated their private

or public nature (Fig. 1g, Supplementary table 1). We found a significant increase of public (i.e.

shared between at least 2 individuals) βCDR3s in CD8^{+} versus DPCD3^{+} thymocytes, which is

mostly that of the clustered βCDR3s. For CD8^{+} thymocytes, up to 31.7% of clustered βCDR3s

are public compared to barely 1% of the dispersed ones (Fig. 1g, Supplementary Figure 6).

These results are independent of HLA alleles sharing (Supplementary Figure 7). The βCDR3s

with the highest *Pgen* values and degree were the most shared between individuals

(Supplementary Figure 8). Moreover, there is a convergence of specificities between

individuals' repertoires, as many βCDR3s of one individual are connected to those of other

individuals (up to twelve), and more frequently in CD8^{+} versus DPCD3^{+} thymocytes

(Supplementary Figure 9). Altogether, these results indicate that the mechanisms for TCR

generation and for their further thymic selection are biased to shape a public repertoire of

connected βCDR3s with shared recognition properties.

81
82
Figure 1. Thymocyte differentiation produces clustered and public CDR3s with high generation
probability. Representations and analysis are performed on the first 18,000 most frequent βCDR3s. a.
Representation of βCDR3 LD≤1 networks from DPCD3⁻, DPCD3⁺ and CD8⁺ thymocytes for one
representative donor. Each node represents a single βCDR3. b. Node degree (number of connections)
of clustered βCDR3 for DPCD3⁺ and CD8⁺ thymocytes from 6 donors (P11 to P25). Each node represents
a single βCDR3, the colour of which represents its degree (log scale). c-e. Data are mean±s.e.m. from
two DPCD3⁻, ten DPCD3⁺ and twelve CD8⁺ thymocyte samples; c. Percentage of clustered βCDR3s
(*p=0.0152 and ****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test); d. Node degree for clustered βCDR3s

(****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test); **e.** Generation probability (Pgen) of dispersed and clustered
βCDR3s (****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test). **f**. PCA analysis of TRB VJ gene combinations in CD8
thymocytes. Blue: dispersed nodes; Red: clustered nodes. **g.** Mean percentages of public (black) or
private (grey) βCDR3s in all, dispersed or clustered nodes. (****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test).

The preferential selection of clustered public TCRs that could represent over 8% of the

sampled repertoire (Fig. 1g) raises the question of their specificities[13]. As the main function of

CD8^{+} T cells is cytotoxicity towards virally infected cells, we investigated whether the clustered

TCRs could be associated with virus recognition. We curated databases of βCDR3s specific for

human infectious pathogens[14,15] to retain only those 5,437 that had been identified by

tetramer-based selection, i.e. binding to a soluble HLA bound to a defined peptide. We

detected an enrichment of these βCDR3s in CD8^{+} versus DPCD3^{+} thymocytes (p<0.0001) and in

clustered versus dispersed CD8^{+} thymocytes (p<0.0001) (Fig. 2a and b, Supplementary Figure

10. , Supplementary table 2). Moreover, these virus-specific βCDR3s were significantly enriched

within βCDR3s with the highest *Pgen* and node degree (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Figure 11.) and

were highly shared between individuals (Fig. 2d).

We aimed to confirm these observations with virus-specific paired α and β TCR chains

obtained from single-cell TCR sequencing. These sequences were obtained from 160,914 blood

CD8^{+} T cells isolated from four healthy donors and incubated simultaneously with barcoded

dextramers complexed with peptides from CMV, EBV, HIV, HPV, HTLV and influenza[16]. We

observed a significant increase in the representation of the virus-specific α and β TCR chains in

CD8^{+} versus DPCD3^{+} thymocytes (Fig. 2e and f), with a higher representation of the virus-

specific alpha chains than that of the beta chains. Noteworthily, these TCRs are also mostly

represented in clustered rather than dispersed TCRs from CD8^{+} thymocytes (Fig. 2g,

Supplementary table 3). Altogether, these results indicate that the selection of clustered TCRs

with high generation probabilities corresponds, at least in part, to the selection of virus-

associated TCRs whose CDR3s are remarkably conserved between individuals independently of

their HLA.

119
Figure 2. Clustered public TCRs are enriched for virus-specific TCRs.

a-d. Analyses of virus-specific βCDR3s from public databases[14,15]. **a.** Mean percentages of virus-specific βCDR3s in DPCD3+ (n=10) vs CD8+ (n=12) thymocytes (****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test, mean±s.e.m.). **b.** Virus-specific βCDR3 enrichment in clustered vs dispersed nodes in CD8+ thymocytes from one representative donor (p<0.0001; Chi-square test). Data for all CD8+ thymocytes are in Supplementary table 2. **c.** Mean generation probability of virus-specific βCDR3s in dispersed and clustered DPCD3+ or CD8+ thymocytes (****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test, mean±s.e.m.). **d.** Sharing of virus-specific βCDR3s in CD8+ thymocytes. Pie charts represent the βCDR3s from private (1) to shared by all donors (12), in grey for βCDR3s with unknown specificity or in red for those with a virus specificity. **e-g.** Identification of virus-specific TCRs from single-cell sequencing dataset[16]. **e.** Mean percentages of virus-specific αCDR3s (*p=0.0496, Mann-Whitney test, mean±s.e.m.). **f.** Mean percentages of virus-specific βCDR3s (****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test, mean±s.e.m.). **g.** Overlay of the βCDR3 network

of CD8^{+} thymocytes from one individual with virus-specific βCDR3s identified in single-cell sequencing
datasets[16].

Intriguingly, we noted that TCRs with different assigned specificities could be detected
within close proximity in single clusters (Supplementary Figure 12), although this should
suggest similar specificities[6–12] (Supplementary Figure 1.). We thus investigated this
observation in greater detail, at the single-cell level[16]. We first analysed the different TCRs
assigned to recognise EBV peptides, i.e. binding dextramers (Dex[+]) matching the HLA of a given
individual loaded with EBV peptides (Fig. 3a). Single TCRs were found to bind multiple
dextramers loaded with distinct peptides from EBV (Fig. 3b) and some TCRs also bound
dextramers loaded with peptides from unrelated viruses such as CMV, HIV, HPV, HTLV-1 or
influenza (Fig. 3c); TCRs able to bind dextramers specific for both EBV and CMV were even
found in a CMV and EBV seronegative patient (Supplementary Figure 13). TCRs binding multiple
viral peptides have binding scores for the different viral peptides that are highly positively
correlated (Fig. 3d); for example, the different TCRs that bind a dextramer expressing the
"IPSINVHHY" CMV peptide have a strong positive correlation (blue dot) for the binding of a
dextramer expressing the "YLLEMLWRL" EBV peptide (Fig. 3d; red arrows), indicating that most
of the TCRs that bind one of these peptides have an equivalent binding score for the other.
Noteworthily, there is only rare negative correlation (red dots) for the binding of dextramer
harbouring different peptides.

We also analysed the binding of HLA-mismatched dextramers. When HLA-mismatch
EBV Dex^{+} cells are overlaid on a TSNE representation based on single-cell specificity (Fig. 3e),
some of them corresponded to cells also labelled by HLA-matched EBV dextramers (Fig. 3a),
while some others did not (Fig. 3e, red arrow). As for the HLA-matched dextramers, single TCRs
were found to bind HLA-mismatched dextramers loaded with distinct unrelated peptides from
EBV (Fig. 3f) and some TCRs even bound HLA-mismatched dextramers loaded with epitopes
from different viruses such as CMV, HIV, HPV, HTLV-1 or influenza (Fig. 3g). There were also
mostly positive correlations for the binding to different HLA-mismatched dextramer
specificities (Fig. 3h). Altogether, within a dataset of >160,000 single CD8^{+} T cells, among the
66,191 that did bind dextramers, 24,083 could bind more than one viral-derived peptide from
either the same or different viruses, and presented by HLA-matched or even HLA-mismatched
dextramers. Thus, there are pleiospecific CD8^{+} T cells (psT cells) whose TCRs are diverse and

bind HLA class-I based dextramers, but are not strictly constrained by HLA matching and the

presented peptide. Such binding properties markedly differ from the classical cross-reactivity

of TCRs for mimotopes[17] and from those of innate-like MAIT and NKT cells[18,19]. The latter have

a restricted diversity, with an invariant TCRα chain and a constrained TCRβ repertoire, and are

MR1- or CD1-restricted, respectively[18,19].

Figure 3. Innate-like TCR binding properties.
a. TSNE representation of the single-cell TCR specificities from one individual. HLA-matched EBV-Dex^{+} cells are in blue. **b.** Chord diagram showing TCR binding to multiple HLA-matched EBV Dextramers loaded with different peptides. Each segment represents TCR binding to the peptides marked above. The size of the segments corresponds to the number of TCRs binding to these peptides. The link between segments identifies multiple TCR binding to different peptides. **c.** Chord diagram showing TCR binding to HLA-matched dextramers loaded with peptides from unrelated viruses. The colours of the segments represent the different viruses: CMV (red), EBV (green), HIV (dark blue), HPV (light green), HTLV (purple) and influenza (dark grey). The same colour code is used in d, g and h. The full list of the different peptides is in Supplementary table 4. **d.** Correlation between the binding scores for the different HLA-matched virus-specific dextramers from 2 patients. Significant correlations (p-value < 0.01, Pearson correlation) are represented by coloured circles. The intensity of the colours and the size of the circles are proportional to the correlation coefficients. Positive correlations are displayed in blue and negative correlations in red. **e.** TSNE representation of the single-cell TCR specificities from one individual. HLA-mismatched EBV-Dex^{+} cells are in blue. Red arrows highlight cells only labelled by HLA-mismatched EBV dextramers. **f.** Chord diagram showing TCR binding to HLA-mismatched dextramers loaded with peptides from EBV. **g.** Chord diagram showing TCR binding to HLA-mismatched dextramers loaded with peptides from unrelated viruses. The full list of the different peptides is in Supplementary table 5. **h.** Correlation between the binding scores for the different HLA-mismatched virus-specific dextramers from 2 patients.

These peculiar properties led us to assess the functional relevance of the innate-like recognition of psT cells' TCRs. We first evaluated the in vitro cross-activation of T cells with different peptides. Human effector memory CD8^{+} T cells (emCD8^{+}) were purified according to their binding of CMV or EBV fluorescent dextramers; the sorted cells were then stimulated by either the peptide that was used to purify them, or by different ones, and their activation was measured by their IFNγ production (Fig. 4a). All T cells were efficiently non-specifically activated by PMA/ionomycin. T cells that did not bind any dextramers could not be stimulated by any peptide. In contrast, dextramer-sorted cells could be activated by their cognate peptide and almost as well by the other (Fig. 4b). Thus, the binding of multiple dextramers appears functionally relevant, translating into proper psT cells activation by multiple unrelated peptides.

We then investigated whether we could detect the involvement of psT cells during *in vivo* immune responses. We first analysed individuals vaccinated against yellow fever (YFV) (Fig. 4c)[20] or influenza (Flu) (Fig. 4d), identifying their TCR repertoires responding to YFV and Flu using the ALICE[21] and TCRNET algorithms[22,23], respectively. Within these repertoires, we looked for known viral-specific TCR sequences. Besides YFV- and Flu-specific β and α CDR3s (Fig. 4c & d), we could also detect CDR3s of psT cells, i.e. assigned to one or even multiple other viral specificities, notably for CMV and EBV. We then also analysed the TCR repertoire of T cells from bronchoalveolar lavages (BAL) from patients with COVID-19 pulmonary infections[24], i.e. cells

responding to the local infection. We observed that many of these BAL T cells have the

characteristics of psT cells: their CDR3s (i) could be detected within the clusters of psT cells

responding to YFV and Flu infection (Fig. 4 c & d); (ii) they are highly connected to virus-specific

sequences from databases (Fig. 4 e & f) and (iii) many harbour a TCR assigned to at least two

specificities from CMV, EBV or Flu. Thus, psT cells migrate to the sites of primary antiviral

immune responses.

Figure 4. In vitro activation and in vivo recruitment of psT cells. a. Schematic representation
of the *in vitro* cross-activation experiment. b. In vitro activation of innate-like T cells. Percentage of IFNγ
producing emCD8+ cells after activation with PMA/ionomycin (positive control), or CMV, EBV and OVA
peptides (mean±s.e.m. *p<0.05, Mann-Whitney test,) c. Identification of unconventional T cells
responding to yellow fever vaccination[20] (Patient P1 in[20]). The identification of βCDR3s significantly
recruited following yellow fever vaccination was performed using the ALICE algorithm[21] and
represented as LD≤1 networks. CDR3s assigned to known specificities are coloured as indicated. d.

Identification of unconventional T cells responding to influenza vaccination. The identification of α and β CDR3 significantly recruited following influenza vaccination was performed using the TCRNET algorithm[22,23] for one representative individual. **e.** Node degree α and β CDR3 of TCRs from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) of COVID-19 patients[24] and from control repertoire clustered with virus-specific α and β CDR3 from databases[14–16]. Each node represents a single CDR3, the colour of which represents its degree (log scale). **f.** Statistical analysis of node degree from **e**. (****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney test). **g.** Multiple viral specificities of the α and β CDR3s from BAL of COVID-19 patients. Every combination of specificities is represented by the middle-coloured plot (same colour codes as in c & d). The occurrence of each combination is shown for α (top bar plot) and β CDR3s (bottom bar plot).

Our findings have important implications for the study of the adaptive immune response in health, diseases and immunotherapies. They prompt reconsideration of the paradigm of highly diverse adaptive immune repertoires driving a highly antigen-specific antiviral immune response. The immune response may instead proceed through tinkering, as evolution does[25]. For life-threatening situations, the initial recruitment of frequent pleiospecific effector T cells might be more efficient and rapid than having to rely on rare cells with stringent specificity. This would be another mechanism of preparedness of the immune system, reminiscent of the role of (i) other unconventional T cells like MAIT and NKT cells[4], (ii) TCR activation by bacterial superantigen[26] and (iii) natural antibodies specific for microbial determinants[27]. Our findings would also explain the overlooked observation that a very restricted repertoire of only about 1,000 different TCRs arising from a single T cell progenitor was sufficient to cope with viral infections in a child with severe combined immunodeficiency[28].

A fuzzy recognition by pleiospecific TCRs would explain the so-called "heterologous immunity"[29,30] in which T cell responses to one pathogen can have a major impact on the course and outcome of a subsequent infection with an unrelated pathogen[31]. In support of this concept, in humans, (i) there are abundant virus-specific memory-phenotype T cells in unexposed adults[32], (ii) vaccination against measles provides better overall survival independently of measles infection[33] and (iii) CMV infection enhances immune responses to influenza[31]. Individuals' histories of fuzzy immune responses may create "antigenic sins" that might be responsible for the diverse immune responses to viruses, from inapparent infection to fulminant immunopathology[34–36]. Interestingly, heterologous immunity has rarely been linked to B cell/antibody responses, which might thus be the mediators of more specific immune responses. In this regard, it is noteworthy that B cells have a machinery for somatic mutations of their BCRs that ultimately allows them to generate antibodies with increased affinity (specificity) for antigens. While TCR generation and BCR generation share many common mechanisms, the fact that T cells did not evolve to use this available machinery is

another indication that T cell recognition could have been selected to be more fuzzy than

stringent. Further studies will have to evaluate the contribution of fuzzy immune responses to

the efficacy but also the immunopathology of antimicrobial responses and to autoimmunity.

Materials and methods:

Human samples:

Thirteen human thymus samples were obtained from organ donors undergoing surgery

(Department of Cardiac Surgery, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, France) after approval by the

Agence de Biomédecine and the *Ministry of Research*. Their age at the time of sampling ranged

from 19 to 65 years old. The male-to-female sex ratio was 2.6.

For cross-activation experiments, six leukapheresis samples were freshly collected from healthy

donors at EFS Paris Saint-Antoine-Crozatier (Etablissement Français du Sang, Paris, France)

after informed consent and according to institutional guidelines. Donor selection was based on

matching HLA-A2 class I allele.

For the influenza vaccination protocol, two unrelated healthy individuals were vaccinated with

inactivated influenza vaccine (Influvac Tetra, Mylan) after written informed consent. The blood

was collected with informed consent.

Isolation of thymocytes and extraction of RNA:

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from the thymus by mechanical disruption through

nylon mesh (cell strainer). Single-cell suspensions from whole thymus were stained with

antibodies anti-CD3 (AF700), anti-CD4 (APC), anti-CD8 (FITC). Cells were sorted by fluorescent

activated cell sorting (Becton Dickinson^{TM} FACSAria II) with purity >95% to collect populations

based on the following labelling: DPCD3$^-$ were gated as CD3$^-$CD4$^+$CD8$^+$, DPCD3$^+$ were gated as

CD3$^+$CD4$^+$CD8$^+$ and CD8$^+$ were gated as CD3$^+$CD4$^-$CD8$^+$. RNA was isolated from sorted

populations by means of lysis buffer with the RNAqueous-Kit (Invitrogen®) extraction kit,

according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA concentration and sample integrity were

determined on NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher®).

TCR repertoire library preparation and sequencing

T cell receptor (TCR) beta libraries were prepared on 100 ng of RNA from each sample with the

SMARTer Human TCR a/b Profiling Kit (Takarabio®) following the provider's protocol. Briefly,

the reverse transcription was performed using TRBC reverse primers and further extended with

a template-switching oligonucleotide (SMART-Seq® v4). cDNAs were then amplified following

two semi-nested PCRs: a first PCR with TRBC and TRAC reverse primers as well as a forward

primer hybridising to the SMART-Seqv4 sequence added by template-switching and a second

PCR targeting the PCR1 amplicons with reverse and forward primers including Illumina Indexes

allowing for sample barcoding. PCR2 were then purified using AMPure beads (Beckman-

Coulter®). The cDNA samples were quantified and their integrity was checked using DNA

electrophoresis performed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer System in combination with the

Agilent DNA 1000 kit, according to the manufacturer's protocol. Sequencing was performed

with Hiseq 2500 (Illumina®) SR-300 protocols using the LIGAN-PM Genomics platform (Lille,

France).

TCR deep sequencing data processing

FASTQ raw data files were processed for TRB sequence annotation using MiXCR[37] software

(v2.1.10) with RNA-Seq parameters. MiXCR extracts TRBs and provides corrections of PCR and

sequencing errors.

Network generation and representation

To construct a network, we computed a distance matrix of pairwise Levenshtein distances

between CDR3s using the "stringdist"[38] R package. When two sequences were similar under

the defined threshold, LD>1 (i.e., at most one amino acid difference), they were connected and

designated as "clustered" nodes. CDR3s with more than one amino acid difference from any

other sequences are not connected and were designated as "dispersed" nodes.

Layout of networks for Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 9a were obtained by using the graphopt

algorithm of the "Igraph"[39] R package and plotted in 2D with "ggplot2" to generate figures[40].

Only clustered nodes are represented, edges are not shown and colours represent the node

degree (log scale).

Layouts of detailed networks in Fig. 1a, Fig. 2g, Fig. 4c, Fig. 4d & Supplementary Fig. 1a,

Supplementary Fig. 3 & Supplementary Fig. 12 were done with Cytoscape[41].

Statistical analysis and visualisation

Normalisation was performed by sampling on the top α or β 18,000 CDR3s based on their

frequency in each sample. The repertoires with less than 18,000 α or β CDR3s were not

included in the statistical analysis. The numbers of samples included in the statistical analysis

for the β repertoire were: two for DPCD3$^-$, ten for DPCD3$^+$ and twelve for CD8$^+$. The numbers

of samples included in the statistical analysis of the α repertoire were: six for DPCD3+ and ten

for CD8+. Statistical tests used to analyse data are included in the figure legends. Comparisons

of two groups were done using the Mann-Whitney test (Fig. 1c, Fig. 1d, Fig. 1e, Fig. 1g, Fig. 2a,

Fig. 2c, Fig. 2e Fig. 2f, Fig. 4b) and multiple t-test (Supplementary Fig. 5, Supplementary Fig. 9).

The correlation coefficient was calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient

(Supplementary Fig. 4). Enrichment of public CDR3s or virus-associated CDR3s was done using

the two-tailed Chi-square test with Yate's correction (Fig. 2f, Supplementary table 1, 2 & 3) and

the Fisher test (Supplementary Fig. 8, Supplementary Fig. 11). Statistical comparisons and

multivariate analyses were performed using Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) and using

R software version 3.5.0 (www.r-project.org). PCA was performed on the frequency of VJ

combination usage frequency within each donor using the factoextra R package. Tsnes were

generated using the binding scores of each cell across all the antigens present in the dataset,

disregarding the HLA matching with the donor. The function Rtsne of the homonymous R

package[42] was applied with the perplexity parameter set to 10. Correlograms were generated

using the cells that have a significant binding score (i.e. >10) for at least one of the virus-specific

dextramers tested. The correlation was calculated across all the antigens present on each

correlogram (Pearson test). Correlograms were plotted using the corrplot R package.

Probability of generation calculation

The generation probability (Pgen) of a sequence is inferred using the Olga [33] algorithm, which

is inferred by IGoR [44], for Fig. 1e, Fig. 2c. IGoR uses out-of-frame sequence information to infer

patient-dependent models of VDJ recombination, effectively bypassing selection. From these

models, the probability of a given recombination scenario can be computed. The generation

probability of a sequence is then obtained by summing over all the scenarios that are

compatible with it. We also used OLGA to generate a random repertoire of 500,000 sequences

for each α or β repertoire and 3 down-sampling (of unique sequences) to get control repertoire

equal to the size of COVID-19 BAL dataset used in fig. 4c, 4d. The control repertoire was

parametrized by the predefined genomic templates provided with the package.

CDR3 connections between individuals

In Supplementary Fig. 9, the top 1,500 βCDR3s were sampled from each of the 12 datasets of

DPCD3^{+} and CD8^{+}, then merged to obtain two datasets of 18,000 βCDR3s for DPCD3^{+} and CD8^{+}.

We generated and represented networks, as described above, to investigate the βCDR3 inter-

individual network structure.

Virus-specific βCDR3 tetramer public databases

The virus-associated CDR3 databases used for the search for specificity was compiled from the

most complete previously published McPAS-TCR[14] and VDJdb[15] databases. Virus-associated

βCDR3s were selected from the original datasets only when derived from a TCR of sorted CD8

T cells that were bound by a specific tetramer. A total of 5,437 such unique tetramer-associated

βCDR3s were identified and used. Peptides used for tetramer sorting were from

cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), herpes simplex virus

2 (HSV2), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), influenza and yellow fever virus (YFV).

Virus-specific CDR3 single-cell dextramer public dataset

This dataset contains single-cell alpha/beta TCRs from 160 914 CD8^{+} T cells isolated from

peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 4 healthy donors. Briefly, 30 dCODE™

Dextramer® reagents (Immudex®) with antigenic peptides derived from infectious diseases (9

from CMV, 12 from EBV, 1 for influenza, 1 for HTLV, 2 for HPV and 5 for HIV) were

simultaneously used to mark cells. Each Dextramer® reagent included a distinct nucleic acid

barcode. A panel of fluorescently labelled antibodies was used to sort pure Dextramer®-

positive cells within the CD8^{+} T cell population using an MA900 Multi-Application Cell Sorter

(Sony Biotechnology) in a reaction mix containing RT Reagent Mix and Poly dT RT primers. The

Chromium Single Cell V(D)J workflow generates single cell V(D)J and Dextramer® libraries from

amplified DNA derived from Dextramer®-conjugated barcode oligonucleotides, which are

bound to TCRs. Chromium Single Cell V(D)J enriched libraries and cell surface protein libraries

were quantified, normalised, and sequenced according to the user guide for Chromium Single

Cell V(D)J reagent kits with feature barcoding technology for cell surface protein. We used this

dataset to study the presence of multiple specificities in TCR and CDR3. There were 139,378

unambiguous TCRs (with only one α and one β chain). We set the threshold defining positive

binding at UMI counts greater than 10 for any given dextramer. This identified 15,195 unique

virus-specific TCRs with at least one binding.

Single-cell sequencing of TCRs from bronchoalveolar lavages from COVID-19 patients

This dataset contains single-cell alpha/beta TCRs from T cells isolated from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) of 9 patients infected by COVID-19[24]. We excluded the TCRs from cells in which more than 1 CDR3aa alpha and 1 CDR3aa beta were detected.

Cross-activation experiment

PBMCs were separated on Ficoll gradient. CD8+ T cells were isolated from PBMCs by positive isolation using the DYNABEADS® CD8 Positive Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. emCD8 T cells were purified after staining with CD3-AF700, CD8-KO, CD45RA-PeCy7 according to the manufacturer's instructions. The samples were also stained either with CMV pp65 NLVPMVATV or with EBV BMLF-1 GLCTLVAML PE-conjugated Dextramers (Immudex®). emCD8$^+$Dex$^+$ cells were sorted by FACS (FACS Aria II®; BD Biosciences) with a purity >95%. Sorted cells were cultured at a maximum of 5×10^5 cells/mL in round-bottom 96-well plates in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and glutamate at 37°C with 5% CO_2. *In vitro* stimulation was performed 24 hours after cell sorting. Sorted cells were stimulated for 6 hours with either nothing or 1 µg/mL of SIINFEKL ovalbumin peptide (OVA), NLVPMVATV cytomegalovirus pp65 peptide (CMV) or GLCTLVAML Epstein-Barr virus BMLF-1 peptide (Ozyme®). The positive control (Ctl PMA/Iono) was performed with 50 ng/mL phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and 1 mM ionomycin. Intracellular IFN-γ production with an IFN-γ-FITC antibody (BD Pharmingen) was detected in the presence of Golgi-Plug (BD Pharmingen®) after fixation and permeabilisation (BD Cytofix/Cytoperm). Data were acquired using a Navios flow cytometer and analysed with Kaluza analysis software (Beckman Coulter).

Influenza vaccination protocol

Peripheral blood was obtained before vaccination and on day 14 after vaccination. PBMCs were stained with antibodies anti-CD3 (AF700), anti-CD8 (FITC), CD45RA (PeCy7), CCR7 (BV421). Cells were sorted by fluorescent activated cell sorting (Becton Dickinson^{TM} FACSAria II) with purity >95% to collect populations based on the following labelling: naïve CD8 were gated as CD3$^+$CD8$^-$CD45RA$^+$CCR7$^+$ and effector memory CD8 were gated as CD3$^+$CD8$^-$CD45RA$^-$CCR7$^-$. RNA

extraction, library preparation, sequencing and raw data processing were performed as

described above.

Identification of TCR enrichment after vaccination

Homologous groups of TCRs that are specifically recruited during an antigen-specific response

following yellow fever or influenza vaccination were sought using with the previously published

algorithms ALICE[21] and TCRNET[22,23], respectively. The difference between the two algorithms

is that ALICE uses the VDJ rearrangement model as a control[45] while TCRNET uses real control

samples as background.

1.   Bradley, P. & Thomas, P. G. Using T Cell Receptor Repertoires to Understand the
Principles of Adaptive Immune Recognition. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **37**, 547–570 (2019).
2.   Qi, Q. *et al.* Diversity and clonal selection in the human T-cell repertoire. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. **111**, 13139–13144 (2014).
3.   Nikolich-Žugich, J., Slifka, M. K. & Messaoudi, I. The many important facets of T-
cell repertoire diversity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **4**, 123–132 (2004).
4.   Godfrey, D. I., Uldrich, A. P., McCluskey, J., Rossjohn, J. & Moody, D. B. The
burgeoning family of unconventional T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* **16**, 1114–1123 (2015).
5.   Rossjohn, J. *et al.* T Cell Antigen Receptor Recognition of Antigen-Presenting
Molecules. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **33**, 169–200 (2015).
6.   Dash, P. *et al.* Quantifiable predictive features define epitope-specific T cell receptor
repertoires. *Nature* **547**, 89–93 (2017).
7.   Klinger, M. *et al.* Multiplex Identification of Antigen-Specific T Cell Receptors Using
a Combination of Immune Assays and Immune Receptor Sequencing. *PLOS ONE* **10**,
e0141561 (2015).
8.   Madi, A. *et al.* T cell receptor repertoires of mice and humans are clustered in
similarity networks around conserved public CDR3 sequences. *eLife* **6**, (2017).
9.   Chen, G. *et al.* Sequence and Structural Analyses Reveal Distinct and Highly Diverse
Human CD8 + TCR Repertoires to Immunodominant Viral Antigens. *Cell Rep.* **19**, 569–583
(2017).
10.   Glanville, J. *et al.* Identifying specificity groups in the T cell receptor repertoire.
Nature **547**, 94–98 (2017).
11.   Qi, Q. *et al.* Diversification of the antigen-specific T cell receptor repertoire after
varicella zoster vaccination. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **8**, 332ra46-332ra46 (2016).
12.   Meysman, P. *et al.* On the viability of unsupervised T-cell receptor sequence
clustering for epitope preference. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 1461–1468 (2019).
13.   Thomas, P. G. & Crawford, J. C. Selected before selection: A case for inherent antigen
bias in the T-cell receptor repertoire. *Curr. Opin. Syst. Biol.* **18**, 36–43 (2019).
14.   Tickotsky, N., Sagiv, T., Prilusky, J., Shifrut, E. & Friedman, N. McPAS-TCR: a
manually curated catalogue of pathology-associated T cell receptor sequences. *Bioinformatics*
33, 2924–2929 (2017).
15.   Shugay, M. *et al.* VDJdb: a curated database of T-cell receptor sequences with known
antigen specificity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D419–D427 (2018).
16.   10x_AN047_IP_A_New_Way_of_Exploring_Immunity_Digital (1).pdf.
17.   Nelson, R. W. *et al.* T Cell Receptor Cross-Reactivity between Similar Foreign and
Self Peptides Influences Naive Cell Population Size and Autoimmunity. *Immunity* **42**, 95–107
(2015).
18.   Toubal, A., Nel, I., Lotersztajn, S. & Lehuen, A. Mucosal-associated invariant T cells
and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **19**, 643–657 (2019).
19.   Mori, L., Lepore, M. & De Libero, G. The Immunology of CD1- and MR1-Restricted
T Cells. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **34**, 479–510 (2016).
20.   Pogorelyy, M. V. *et al.* Precise tracking of vaccine-responding T cell clones reveals
convergent and personalized response in identical twins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**,
12704–12709 (2018).
21.   Pogorelyy, M. V. *et al.* Detecting T cell receptors involved in immune responses from
single repertoire snapshots. *PLOS Biol.* **17**, e3000314 (2019).
22.   Shugay, M. *et al.* VDJtools: Unifying Post-analysis of T Cell Receptor Repertoires.
PLOS Comput. Biol. **11**, e1004503 (2015).
23.   Ritvo, P.-G. *et al.* High-resolution repertoire analysis reveals a major bystander
activation of Tfh and Tfr cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, 9604–9609 (2018).

24.   Liao, M. *et al.* Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar immune cells in patients with
COVID-19. *Nat. Med.* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0901-9.
25.   Jacob, F. (1977). Evolution and tinkering. Science, 196(4295), 1161-1166.
26.   Hayday, A. C. & Vantourout, P. The Innate Biologies of Adaptive Antigen Receptors.
Annu. Rev. Immunol. **38**, annurev-immunol-102819-023144 (2020).
27.   Panda, S. & Ding, J. L. Natural Antibodies Bridge Innate and Adaptive Immunity. *J.*
Immunol. **194**, 13–20 (2015).
28.   Bousso, P. *et al.* Diversity, functionality, and stability of the T cell repertoire derived
in vivo from a single human T cell precursor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**, 274–278 (2000).
29.   Welsh, R. M. & Selin, L. K. No one is naive: the significance of heterologous T-cell
immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2**, 417–426 (2002).
30.   Sewell, A. K. Why must T cells be cross-reactive? *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **12**, 669–677
(2012).
31.   Furman, D. *et al.* Cytomegalovirus infection enhances the immune response to
influenza. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **7**, 281ra43-281ra43 (2015).
32.   Su, L. F., Kidd, B. A., Han, A., Kotzin, J. J. & Davis, M. M. Virus-Specific CD4+
Memory-Phenotype T Cells Are Abundant in Unexposed Adults. *Immunity* **38**, 373–383
(2013).
33.   Aaby, P. *et al.* Non-specific effects of standard measles vaccine at 4.5 and 9 months of
age on childhood mortality: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **341**, c6495–c6495 (2010).
34.   Peteranderl, C., Herold, S. & Schmoldt, C. Human Influenza Virus Infections. *Semin.*
Respir. Crit. Care Med. **37**, 487–500 (2016).
35.   Bertoletti, A. & Ferrari, C. Adaptive immunity in HBV infection. *J. Hepatol.* **64**, S71–
S83 (2016).
36.   Tay, M. Z., Poh, C. M., Rénia, L., MacAry, P. A. & Ng, L. F. P. The trinity of
COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* (2020)
doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0311-8.
37.   Bolotin, D. A. *et al.* MiXCR: software for comprehensive adaptive immunity
profiling. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 380–381 (2015).
38.   van der Loo, M. P. J. The stringdist package for approximate string matching. *The R*
Journal, 6(1), 111-122.
39.   Csardi, G. & Nepusz, T. The igraph software package for complex network research.
40.   Wickham, H. *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. (Springer, 2016).
41.   Shannon, P. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of
Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).
42.   Krijthe, J. H. Rtsne: T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding using Barnes-Hut
implementation. *R Package Version 013 URL HttpsgithubComjkrijtheRtsne* (2015).
43.   Sethna, Z., Elhanati, Y., Jr, C. G. C. & Mora, T. OLGA: fast computation of
generation probabilities of B- and T-cell receptor amino acid sequences and motifs. 8.
44.   Marcou, Q., Mora, T. & Walczak, A. M. High-throughput immune repertoire analysis
with IGoR. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, (2018).
45.   Murugan, A., Mora, T., Walczak, A. M. & Callan, C. G. Statistical inference of the
generation probability of T-cell receptors from sequence repertoires. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
109, 16161–16166 (2012).
524

526
527

a

528
Supplementary Figure 1. TCRs with the same specificity form clusters. a. Networks of βCDR3s specific for GILGFVFTL from influenza (orange), GLCTLVAML from Epstein-Barr virus (green) and FPRPWLHGL from human immunodeficiency virus (blue) are shown. These βCDR3s are from TCRs identified on CD8 T lymphocytes isolated with class I tetramer[14,15] loaded with the indicated peptides[14,15]. Each node represents a clonotype. Two different clonotypes are connected if their βCDR3s differ by at most one amino acid (LD≤1).

536 **Supplementary Figure 2. Clustered αCDR3s from DPCD3⁺ and CD8⁺ thymocytes.** Analyses were
537 performed on the first 18,000 most frequent αCDR3s per sample (n=6 for DPCD3⁺ and n=10 for
538 CD8⁺ thymocytes). **a.** Percentage of clustered αCDR3s. (mean±s.e.m., *p=0.016, Mann-
539 Whitney test). **b.** Degree of clustered αCDR3s. (mean±s.e.m., ****p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney
540 test).

542
543 **Supplementary Figure 3. βCDR3 network during thymopoiesis.** Representation of the 18,000
544 most frequent βCDR3 networks from DPCD3+ and CD8+ thymocytes of eight donors (Pn).
545

546
Supplementary Figure 4. Correlation between *Pgen* and βCDR3 number of connections in the
CD8$^+$ thymocyte repertoire. The contour plots represent the generation probability as a
function of βCDR3 connections in the CD8$^+$ thymocytes for donors P11 to P36. Linear regression
curves between *Pgen* and number of connections are represented as red dashed lines ("y"
represents the regression curve's equation). The Pearson correlation coefficient "R" and p-
value "p" are calculated for each individual.

553
554 **Supplementary Figure 5. Clonogram representation of TCR Vβ and Jβ usage in clustered versus**
555 **dispersed CD8⁺ thymocytes.** The bar plots represent the mean percentage of TCR Vβ (up) and
556 Jβ (down) in dispersed (light grey) versus clustered (dark grey). (* p<0.01, multiple t-test).

557
558 **Supplementary Figure 6. βCDR3 sharing between individuals.** Pie charts represent the sharing
559 between individuals before (DPCD3^{+}) and after thymic selection (CD8^{+}). Colours represent the
560 dispersed (grey) or clustered (blue) CDR3s. Sharing was analyzed within the 10 donors for which
561 there were at least 18,000 βCDR3s in DPCD3^{+} and in CD8^{+} thymocytes.

Supplementary Figure 7. The number of public βCDR3s in CD8⁺ thymocytes is independent of
the number of HLA-I alleles shared. Each dot represents the number of βCDR3s shared between
two donors in the first 18,000 CD8⁺ thymocytes. There is no significant difference in the number
of public βCDR3s according to the number of HLA-I alleles shared. The number of public βCDR3s
is independent of the number of HLA alleles shared.

568
569

Supplementary Figure 8. Enrichment of public βCDR3s in the CD8+ thymocyte repertoires.
Representation of the generation probability as a function of βCDR3 connections in individuals
(Pn). The contour plots represent shared (blue) or private (grey) βCDR3s. The Pearson
correlation coefficient "R" and p-value "p" are calculated for each group. The black dotted lines
delimit the threshold for the 2.5% sequences with the higher *Pgen* and connection. βCDR3s
with both the highest *Pgen* and connections are also the most public for 12 out of 12 individuals
(p<0.0001, two-tailed Fisher test).

Supplementary Figure 9. Convergence of public βCDR3 specificities during thymopoiesis. a.

CDR3 connections between individuals. The top 1,500 βCDR3s were sampled from DPCD3^{+} (left)

and CD8^{+} (right) cells from each individual and pooled. The CDR3s are clustered based on LD≤

1 with colour and size both representing the level of sharing between individuals for each CDR3.

b. Bar plots representing the percentage of CDR3s from an individual that are connected to

CDR3s of other individuals, for DPCD3^{+} and CD8^{+} thymocytes. The first two bars represent

CDR3s that are not connected (n=1). The number of unconnected nodes in DPCD3^{+} is higher

than in CD8^{+} (*p=0.002). The other bars represent the percentage of CDR3s connected

between individuals. The number of nodes connected to 3 to 10 individuals is significantly

higher in CD8^{+} than in DPCD3^{+} cells (*p<0.01, multiple t-test).

590
591
Supplementary Figure 10. Virus-specific CDR3s among DPCD3⁺ and CD8⁺ thymocytes. Bar plots
represent the percentage, within the top 18,000 βCDR3s of each donors, of βCDR3s from TCRs
identified as virus-specific based on tetramer identification[14,15]. For each panel, the percentage
is calculated within dispersed (left boxplot) or clustered (right boxplot) βCDR3s. Colours
correspond to different viral specificities.

Supplementary Figure 11. Enrichment of virus-specific βCDR3s in the CD8+ thymocyte
repertoire. Representation of the generation probability as a function of βCDR3 connections in
individuals (Pn). The contour plots represent βCDR3s from TCRs identified as virus-specific
based on tetramer identification[14,15] (red) or with unknown specificity (grey). The Pearson
correlation coefficient "R" and p-value "p" are calculated for each group. The black dotted lines
delimit the threshold for the 2.5% sequences with both higher *Pgen* and degree of connection.
βCDR3s with both the highest *Pgen* and connections were also the most virus-specific for 11
out of 12 individuals (p-value <0.0001, two-tailed Fisher test).

CMV		HIV		HTLV		YFV	
EBV		HPV		Influenza		Unknown	

Supplementary Figure 12. Single clusters of βCDR3s from CD8⁺ thymocytes comprise TCRs with different viral specificities. The tagged dots represent βCDR3s with known specificity according to the public database[14,15]. βCDR3 specific for unrelated virus epitopes can be found close to or even directly linked in the cluster.

614
615
616 **Supplementary Figure 13. TSNE representation of the single-cell** EBV and CMV **TCR specificities**
617 **from one** seronegative **individual**. CD8^{+} T cells are able to bind both EBV and CMV HLA-matched
618 dextramers. EBV Dex^{+}-specific cells are in blue and CMV Dex^{+}-specific cells are in green. Some
619 of the cells are specific for both.

		DP	CD8	p-value	Odds ratio
P11	Public	590	1630	p<0.0001	0.3403
	Private	17410	16370		
P18	Public	695	1567	p<0.0001	0.4212
	Private	17305	16433		
P19	Public	485	1489	p<0.0001	0.3071
	Private	17515	16511		
P23	Public	649	1489	p<0.0001	0.4148
	Private	17351	16511		
P24	Public	578	1416	p<0.0001	0.3886
	Private	17422	16584		
P25	Public	640	1536	p<0.0001	0.3952
	Private	17360	16464		
P26	Public	584	1470	p<0.0001	0.3771
	Private	17416	16530		
P27	Public	640	1583	p<0.0001	0.3823
	Private	17360	16417		
P29	Public	655	1583	p<0.0001	0.3916
	Private	17345	16417		
P36	Public	653	1468	p<0.0001	0.4239
	Private	17347	16532		

Supplementary table 1. Enrichment of public βCDR3s in CD8$^+$ thymocytes vs DPCD3$^+$. Contingency table for the Chi-square analysis performed with Yates' correction to test the null hypothesis of independence between the sharing of βCDR3s (Public or Private) vs the cell phenotype (DPCD3$^+$ and CD8$^+$). We performed this test in the 10 donors for which we have 18,000 βCDR3s in both DPCD3$^+$ and CD8$^+$ thymocytes. A βCDR3 is defined as public if it is found at least once in the 18,000 βCDR3s of the same cell phenotype from other donors. The results (p-value < 0.0001) rejected the null hypothesis, thereby indicating the interdependency of the two variables.

		Clustered	Dispersed	p-value	Odds ratio
P11	Virus Tet+	106	8	p<0.0001	40.75
	Unknown	4389	13497		
P18	Virus Tet+	126	10	p<0.0001	39.93
	Unknown	4285	13579		
P19	Virus Tet+	102	8	p<0.0001	46.77
	Unknown	3832	14058		
P23	Virus Tet+	93	7	p<0.0001	47.82
	Unknown	3892	14008		
P24	Virus Tet+	96	9	p<0.0001	40.38
	Unknown	3739	14156		
P25	Virus Tet+	112	7	p<0.0001	63.60
	Unknown	3594	14287		
P26	Virus Tet+	83	2	p<0.0001	146.1
	Unknown	3963	13952		
P27	Virus Tet+	99	8	p<0.0001	34.60
	Unknown	4714	13179		
P29	Virus Tet+	55	9	p<0.0001	31.65
	Unknown	2903	15033		
P31	Virus Tet+	104	1	p<0.0001	360.8
	Unknown	4004	13891		
P32	Virus Tet+	44	9	p<0.0001	32.93
	Unknown	2320	15627		
P36	Virus Tet+	86	6	p<0.0001	54.12
	Unknown	3750	14158		

Supplementary table 2. Enrichment of virus-specific βCDR3s from databases[14,15] in clustered CD8+ thymocytes. Contingency table for the Chi-square analysis performed with Yates' correction to test the null hypothesis of independence between the specificity of βCDR3s (Virus Tet+ and Unknown specificities) vs the connection of βCDR3 ("clustered" and "dispersed") in all the CD8+ thymocytes from 12 donors. The results (p-value < 0.0001) rejected the null hypothesis, thereby indicating the interdependency of the two variables.

		Clustered	Dispersed	p-value	Odds ratio
P11	Virus Dex+	223	22	p<0.0001	31.99
	Unknown	4272	13483		
P18	Virus Dex+	225	26	p<0.0001	28.04
	Unknown	4186	13563		
P19	Virus Dex+	200	25	p<0.0001	30.08
	Unknown	3734	14041		
P23	Virus Dex+	211	19	p<0.0001	41.18
	Unknown	3774	13996		
P24	Virus Dex+	194	25	p<0.0001	30.14
	Unknown	3641	14140		
P25	Virus Dex+	264	11	p<0.0001	99.59
	Unknown	3442	14283		
P26	Virus Dex+	216	18	p<0.0001	43.66
	Unknown	3830	13936		
P27	Virus Dex+	220	20	p<0.0001	31.53
	Unknown	4593	13167		
P29	Virus Dex+	143	22	p<0.0001	34.68
	Unknown	2815	15020		
P31	Virus Dex+	200	23	p<0.0001	30.86
	Unknown	3908	13869		
P32	Virus Dex+	102	20	p<0.0001	35.21
	Unknown	2262	15616		
P36	Virus Dex+	187	25	p<0.0001	28.98
	Unknown	3649	14139		

Supplementary table 3. Enrichment of virus-specific βCDR3s from the single-cell sequencing dataset[16] in clustered CD8+ thymocytes. Contingency table for the Chi-square analysis performed with the Yates correction to test the null hypothesis of independence between the specificity of βCDR3s (Virus Dex+ and Unknown specificity) vs the connection of βCDR3s ("clustered" and "dispersed") in all CD8+ thymocytes from 12 donors. The results (p-value < 0.0001) rejected the null hypothesis, thereby indicating the interdependency of the two variables.

Peptide	Virus
FLRGRAYGL	EBV
FLYALALLL	EBV
GLCTLVAML	EBV
LLDFVRFMGV	EBV
RAKFKQLL	EBV
RTLNAWVKV	HIV
SLFNTVATL	HIV
SLFNTVATLY	HIV
MLDLQPETT	HPV
LLFGYPVYV	HTLV
GILGFVFTL	Influenza
ELRRKMMYM	CMV
VTEHDTLLY	CMV
SLYNTVATLY	HIV

650 **Supplementary table 4. List of peptides represented in the chord plot of Fig 3c.** The table is
651 organized according to the clockwise order of the chord plot segments.
652

Peptide	Virus
KLGGALQAK	CMV
QYDPVAALF	CMV
RPHERNGFTVL	CMV
TPRVTGGGAM	CMV
AVFDRKSDAK	EBV
IVTDFSVIK	EBV
QPRAPIRPI	EBV
RLRAEAQVK	EBV
RPPIFIRRL	EBV
AYAQKIFKI	CMV
IPSINVHHY	CMV

653 **Supplementary table 5. List of peptides represented in the chord plot of Fig 3g.** The table is
654 organized according to the clockwise order of the chord plot segments.
655

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the donors and their families who allowed

the collection of samples for research under sad circumstances. The authors would also like to

thank Prof. Pascal Leprince, Dr. Guillaume Lebreton, and Dr. Marina Rigolet of the cardiac

surgery team, and Prof. Bruno Riou and the graft coordination team, of the Pitié-Salpêtrière

hospital for their contribution to sample collection. The authors thank the UMR 8199 LIGAN-

PM Genomics platform (Lille, France) for sequencing. We thank Thierry Mora and Aleksandra

Walczak of the Ecole Normale Supérieure de Paris for helpful discussion.

Author contributions

VQ performed the experiments with assistance from PB, HV and EMF; VQ, PB, VM and HPP

analysed data with contributions from all authors; VQ and DK wrote the manuscript; DK

conceptualized and supervised the study.

Data availability statement

Datasets from VDJdb were downloaded from https://vdjdb.cdr3.net. Datasets from McPAS-TCR

were downloaded from http://friedmanlab.weizmann.ac.il/McPAS-TCR/. We manually curated

these datasets to be sure to use only βCDR3s from CD8 tetramer-specific cells. Single-cell

datasets from 10X genomics were downloaded from https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-

cell-vdj/datasets ('Application Note - A New Way of Exploring Immunity' section, datasets 'CD8+

T cells of Healthy Donor' 1–4, available under the Creative Commons Attribution license).

Single-cell dataset of COVID-19 patient were downloaded from https://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-

gov.proxy.insermbiblio.inist.fr/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE145926.

Dataset repertoires of immunisation with live yellow fever vaccine are available in the NCBI

Sequence Read Archive (accession no. PRJNA493983). Only P1 and S1 at day 15 post-

vaccination are used and represented.

Data from the donors are available on request to the authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Funding

This work was primarily funded by the TRiPoD ERC-Advanced EU (322856) grant to DK, and by

the LabEx Transimmunom (ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02) and RHU iMAP (ANR-16-RHUS-0001) grants.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Prof. David Klatzmann

Immunology-Immunopathology-Immunotherapy Laboratory (i3) and Clinical Investigation

Center for Biotherapies (CIC-BTi)

Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, 83 boulevard de l'Hôpital, F-75013, Paris, France.

E-mail: david.klatzmann@sorbonne-universite.fr