Genome-wide bioinformatic analyses predict key host and viral factors in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis

Mariana G. Ferrarini*[1], Avantika Lal*[2], Rita Rebollo[1], Andreas Gruber[3], Andrea Guarracino[4], Itziar Martinez Gonzalez[5], Taylor Floyd[6], Daniel Siqueira de Oliveira[7], Justin Shanklin[8], Ethan Beausoleil[8], Taneli Pusa[7], Brett E. Pickett[8,#] Vanessa Aguiar-Pulido[6,#]

1 University of Lyon, INSA-Lyon, INRA, BF2I, Villeurbanne, France
2 NVIDIA Corporation, Santa Clara, CA, USA
3 Oxford Big Data Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
4 Centre for Molecular Bioinformatics, Department of Biology, University Of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome, Italy
5 Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
6 Center for Neurogenetics, Weill Cornell Medicine, Cornell University, New York, NY, USA
7 Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; CNRS; UMR 5558, Villeurbanne, France
8 Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA

* These authors contributed equally # Corresponding authors
Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, gene expression, RNA-seq, RNA-binding proteins, host-pathogen interaction, transcriptomics

Abstract

The novel betacoronavirus named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) caused a worldwide pandemic (COVID-19) after initially emerging in Wuhan, China. Here we applied a novel, comprehensive bioinformatic strategy to public RNA sequencing and viral genome sequencing data, to better understand how SARS-CoV-2 interacts with human cells. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to predict host factors that play a specific role in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis, distinct from other respiratory viruses. We identified differentially expressed genes, isoforms and transposable element families specifically altered in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells. Well-known immunoregulators including *CSF2*, *IL-32*, *IL-6* and *SERPINA3* were differentially expressed, while immunoregulatory transposable element families were overexpressed. We predicted conserved interactions between the SARS-CoV-2 genome and human RNA-binding proteins such as hnRNPA1, PABPC1 and eIF4b, which may play important roles in the viral life cycle. We also detected four viral sequence variants in the spike, polymerase, and nonstructural proteins that correlate with severity of COVID-19. The host factors we identified likely represent important mechanisms in the disease profile of this pathogen, and could be targeted by prophylactics and/or therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2.

Introduction   1

In December of 2019 a novel betacoronavirus that was named Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome   2
Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in Wuhan, China [4, 29]. This virus is responsible for causing   3
the coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) and, by July 21 of 2020, it had already infected more   4
than 14 million people worldwide, accounting for at least 600 thousand deaths   5
(https://covid19.who.int). The SARS-CoV-2 genome is phylogenetically distinct from the SARS-CoV   6
and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome CoronaVirus (MERS-CoV) betacoronaviruses that caused   7
human outbreaks in 2002 and 2012 respectively [78, 85]. Based on its high sequence similarity to a   8
coronavirus isolated from bats [86], SARS-CoV-2 is hypothesized to have originated from bat   9
coronaviruses, potentially using pangolins as an intermediate host before infecting humans [39].   10

SARS-CoV-2 infects human cells by binding to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)   11
receptor [83]. Recent studies have sought to understand the molecular interactions between   12
SARS-CoV-2 and infected cells [24], some of which have quantified gene expression changes in   13
patient samples or cultured lung-derived cells infected by SARS-CoV-2 [10, 46, 81], and are essential   14
to understanding the mechanisms of pathogenesis and immune response that can facilitate the   15
development of treatments for COVID-19 [35, 54, 87].   16

Viruses generally trigger a drastic host response during infection. A subset of these specific   17
changes in gene regulation are associated with viral replication, and therefore can be seen as   18
potential drug targets. In addition, transposable element (TE) overexpression has been observed   19
upon viral infection [50], and TEs have been actively implicated in gene regulatory networks related   20
to immunity [15]. Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 is a virus with a positive-sense, single-stranded,   21
monopartite RNA genome. Such viruses are known to co-opt host RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) for   22
diverse processes including viral replication, translation, viral RNA stability, assembly of viral   23
protein complexes, and regulation of viral protein activity [22, 45].   24

In this work we identified a signature of altered gene expression that is consistent across   25
published datasets of SARS-CoV-2 infected human lung cells. We present extensive results from   26
functional analyses (signaling pathway enrichment, biological functions, transcript isoform usage, TE   27
overexpression, and RNA-binding proteins) performed upon the genes that are differentially   28
expressed during SARS-CoV-2 infection [10]. We also predict specific interactions between the   29
SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome and human proteins that may be involved in viral replication,   30
transcription or translation, and identify viral sequence variations that are significantly associated   31
with increased pathogenesis in humans. Knowledge of these molecular and genetic mechanisms is   32
important to understand SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and to improve the future development of   33
effective prophylactic and therapeutic treatments.   34

Materials and Methods   35

Datasets   36

Two datasets were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, hosted at the   37
National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The first dataset, GSE147507 [10], includes   38
gene expression measurements from three cell lines derived from the human respiratory system   39
(NHBE, A549, Calu-3) infected either with SARS-CoV-2, influenza A virus (IAV), respiratory   40
syncytial virus (RSV), or human parainfluenza virus 3 (HPIV3). The second dataset, GSE150316,   41
includes RNA-seq extracted from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) histological sections of   42
lung biopsies from COVID-19 deceased patients and healthy individuals. This dataset encompasses a   43
variable number of biopsies per subject, ranging from one to five. Given its limitations, we only   44
utilized the second dataset for differential expression analysis.   45

The reference genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 (NC_045512), RaTG13 (MN996532.1), and   46
SARS-CoV (NC_004718.3) were downloaded from NCBI. Additionally, a list of known RNA-binding   47
proteins (RBPs) and their Position Weight Matrices (PWMs) were downloaded from ATtRACT   48

(https://attract.cnic.es/download). Finally, all SARS-CoV-2 complete genomes collected from   49
humans and that had disease severity information were downloaded from GISAID on 19 May,   50
2020 [69].   51

RNAseq data processing and differential expression analysis   52

Data was downloaded from SRA using sra-tools (v2.10.8; https://github.com/ncbi/sra-tools) and   53
transformed to fastq with fastq-dump. FastQC (v0.11.9; https://github.com/s-andrews/FastQC)   54
and MultiQC (v1.9) [20] were employed to assess the quality of the data and the need to trim reads   55
and/or remove adapters. Selected datasets were mapped to the human reference genome   56
(GENCODE Release 19, GRCh37.p13) utilizing STAR (v2.7.3a) [17]. Alignment statistics were used   57
to determine which datasets should be included in subsequent steps. Resulting SAM files were   58
converted to BAM files employing samtools (v1.9) [43]. Next, read quantification was performed   59
using StringTie (v2.1.1) [60] and the output data was postprocessed with an auxiliary Python script   60
provided by the same developers to produce files ready for subsequent downstream analyses. For the   61
second gene expression dataset, raw counts were downloaded from GEO. DESeq2 (v1.26.0) [47] was   62
used in both cases to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Finally, an exploratory data   63
analysis was carried out based on the transformed values obtained after applying the variance   64
stabilizing transformation [3] implemented in the vst() function of DESeq2 [48]. Hence, principal   65
component analysis (PCA) was performed to evaluate the main sources of variation in the data and   66
remove outliers.   67

GO enrichment analysis   68

The DEGs produced by DESeq2 with an absolute Log2FC > 1 and FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05   69
were used as input to a general gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis [5]. Each term was verified   70
with a hypergeometric test from the GOstats package (v2.54.0) [21] and the p-values were corrected   71
for multiple-hypothesis testing employing the Bonferroni method [42]. GO terms with a significant   72
adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 were reduced to representative non-redundant terms with the use   73
of REVIGO [73].   74

Host signaling pathway enrichment   75

The DEG lists produced by DESeq2 with an absolute Log2FC > 1 and FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05   76
were used as input to the Signaling Pathway Impact Analysis (SPIA) algorithm to identify   77
significantly affected pathways from the R graphite library [65, 74]. Pathways with   78
Bonferroni-adjusted p-values less than 0.05 were included in downstream analyses. The significant   79
results for all comparisons from publicly available data from KEGG, Reactome, Panther, BioCarta,   80
and NCI were then compiled to facilitate downstream comparison. Hypergeometric pathway   81
enrichments were performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated   82
Discovery (DAVID, v6.8) [30].   83

Integration of transcriptomic analysis with human metabolic network   84

To detect increased and decreased fluxes of metabolites we projected the transcriptomic data onto   85
the human reconstructed metabolic network Recon (v2.2) [76]. First, we ran EBSeq [40] on the gene   86
count matrix generated in the previous steps. Then, we used the output of EBSeq containing   87
posterior probabilities of a gene being DE (PPDE) and the Log2FC as input to the Moomin   88
method [63] using default parameters. Finally, we enumerated 500 topological solutions in order to   89
construct a consensus solution for each of the datasets tested.   90

Isoform Analysis   91

Using transcript quantification data from StringTie as input, we identified isoform switching events   92
and their predicted functional consequences with the IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR R package   93
(v1.11.3) [79]. In summary, we filtered for isoforms that experienced $\geq | \, 30\% \, |$ switch in usage for   94
each gene and were corrected for false discovery rate (FDR) with a q-value < 0.05. Following   95
filtering for significant isoforms, we externally predicted their coding capabilities, protein structure   96
stability, peptide signaling, and shifts in protein domain usage using The Coding-Potential   97
Assessment Tool (CPAT) [80], IUPred2 [18], SignalP [2] and Pfam tools respectively [19]. These   98
external analyses results were imported back into IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR and used to further   99
identify isoform switch functional consequences and alternative splicing events as well as visualize   100
the overall effects of isoform switching and individual isoform switching data. Specifically, to   101
calculate differential analysis between samples, isoform expression and usage are measured by the   102
isoform fraction (IF) value, which quantifies the individual isoform expression level relative to the   103
parent gene's expression level: 104

$$IF = \frac{isoform\ expression}{gene\ expression}$$

By proxy, the difference in isoform usage between samples (dIF) measures the effect size between   105
conditions and is calculated as follows: 106

$$dIF = IF2\text{--}IF1$$

dIF was measured on a scale of 0 to 1, with 0 = no (0%) change in usage between conditions and   107
1 = complete (100%) change in usage. The sum of dIF values for all isoforms associated with one   108
gene is equal to 1. Gene expression data was imported from the aforementioned DESeq2 results.   109
The top 30 isoforms per dataset comparison were identified by ranking isoforms by gene switch   110
q-value, i.e. the significance of the summation of all isoform switching events per gene between mock   111
and infected conditions.   112

Transposable Element Analysis   113

TE expression was quantified using the TEcount function from the TEtools software [41]. TEcount   114
detects reads aligned against copies of each TE family annotated from the reference genome.   115
Differentially expressed TEs (DETEs) in infected vs mock conditions were detected using DEseq2   116
with a matrix of counts for genes and TE families as input. Functional enrichment of nearby genes   117
(upstream 5kb and downstream 1kb of each TE copy within the human genome) was calculated with   118
GREAT [51] using options "genome background" and "basal + extension". We only selected   119
occurrences statistically significant by region binomial test.   120

Identification of putative binding sites for human RBPs on the   121 SARS-CoV-2 genome   122

The list of RBPs downloaded from ATtRACT was filtered to human RBPs. The list was further   123
filtered to retain PWMs obtained through competitive experiments and drop PWMs with very high   124
entropy. This left 205 PWMs for 102 human RBPs. The SARS-CoV-2 reference genome sequence   125
was scanned with the remaining PWMs using the TFBSTools R package (v1.20.0). A minimum   126
score threshold of 90% was used to identify putative RBP binding sites.   127

Enrichment analysis for putative RBP binding sites   128

The sequences of the SARS-CoV-2 genome, 5'UTR, 3'UTR, intergenic regions and negative strand   129
genome were each scrambled 1,000 times. Each of the 1,000 scrambled sequences was scanned for   130

RBP binding sites as described above. The number of binding sites for each RBP was counted, and ₁₃₁ the mean and standard deviation of the number of sites was calculated for each RBP, per region, ₁₃₂ across all 1,000 simulations. A minimum FDR-adjusted p-value of 0.01 was taken as the cutoff for ₁₃₃ enrichment. This analysis was repeated with the reference genomes of SARS-CoV and RaTG13 . ₁₃₄

Conservation analysis for putative RBP binding sites ₁₃₅

The multiple sequence alignment of 27,592 SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences was downloaded from ₁₃₆ GISAID [69]. For each putative RBP-binding site, we selected the corresponding columns of the ₁₃₇ multiple sequence alignment. We then counted the number of genomes in which the sequence was ₁₃₈ identical to that of the reference genome. ₁₃₉

Viral genotype-phenotype correlation ₁₄₀

All complete SARS-CoV-2 genomes from GISAID, together with the GenBank reference sequence, ₁₄₁ were aligned with MAFFT (v7.464) within a high-performance computing environment using 1 ₁₄₂ thread and the –nomemsave parameter [55]. Sequences responsible for introducing excessive gaps in ₁₄₃ this initial alignment were then identified and removed, leaving 1,511 sequences that were then used ₁₄₄ to generate a new multiple sequence alignment. The disease severity metadata for these sequences ₁₄₅ was then normalized into four categories: severe, moderate, mild, and unknown. Next, the sequence ₁₄₆ data and associated metadata were used as input to the meta-CATS algorithm to identify aligned ₁₄₇ positions that contained significant differences in their base distribution between 2 or more disease ₁₄₈ severities [61]. The Benjamini-Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction was then applied to all ₁₄₉ positions [7]. The top 50 most significant positions were then evaluated against the annotated ₁₅₀ protein regions of the reference genome to determine their effect on amino acid sequence. ₁₅₁

Code availability ₁₅₂

Code for these analyses is available at https://github.com/vaguiarpulido/covid19-research. ₁₅₃

Results ₁₅₄

We designed a comprehensive bioinformatics workflow to identify relevant host-pathogen interactions ₁₅₅ using a complementary set of computational analyses (Figure 1). First, we carried out an exhaustive ₁₅₆ analysis of differential gene expression in human lung cells infected by SARS-CoV-2 or other ₁₅₇ respiratory viruses, identifying gene, isoform- and pathway-level responses that specifically ₁₅₈ characterize SARS-CoV-2 infection. Second, we predicted putative interactions between the ₁₅₉ SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome and human RBPs. Third, we identified a subset of these human RBPs ₁₆₀ which are also differentially expressed in response to SARS-CoV-2. Finally, we predicted four viral ₁₆₁ sequence variants that could play a role in increased pathogenesis. ₁₆₂

Figure 1. Overview of the bioinformatic workflow applied in this study.

SARS-CoV-2 infection elicits a specific gene expression and pathway signature in human cells

We wanted to identify genes that were differentially expressed across multiple SARS-CoV-2 infected samples and not in samples infected with other respiratory viruses. As a primary dataset, we selected GSE147507 [10], which includes gene expression measurements from three cell lines derived from the human respiratory system (NHBE, A549, Calu-3) infected either with SARS-CoV-2, influenza A virus (IAV), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), or human parainfluenza virus 3 (HPIV3). We also analyzed an additional dataset GSE150316, which includes RNA-seq extracted from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) histological sections of lung biopsies from COVID-19 deceased patients and healthy individuals (see Materials and Methods for further details).

Hence, we retrieved 41 DEGs that showed significant and consistent expression changes in at least three datasets from cell lines infected with SARS-CoV-2, and that were not significantly affected in cell lines infected with other viruses within the same dataset (Supplementary Table 1A). To these, we added 23 genes that showed significant and consistent expression changes in two of four cell line datasets infected with SARS-CoV-2 and at least one lung biopsy sample from a SARS-CoV-2 patient. Results coming from FFPE sections were less consistent presumably due to the collection of biospecimens from different sites within the lung. Thus, the final set consisted of 64 DEGs: 48 up-regulated and 16 downregulated of which 38 had an absolute Log2FC > 1 in at least one dataset (relevant genes from this list are shown in Table 1).

SERPINA3, an antichymotrypsin which was proposed as an interesting candidate for the inhibition of viral replication [13], was the only gene specifically upregulated in the four cell line datasets tested (Table 1). Other interesting up-regulated genes were the amidohydrolase *VNN2*, the pro-fibrotic gene *PDGFB*, the beta-interferon regulator *PRDM1* and the proinflammatory cytokines *CSF2* and *IL-32*. *FKBP5*, a known regulator of NF-kB activity, was among the consistently downregulated genes. We also generated additional lists of DEGs that met different filtering criteria (Supplementary Table 1B, see Supplementary File 1 for the complete DEG results for each dataset).

In order to better understand the underlying biological functions and molecular mechanisms

associated with the observed DEGs, we performed a hypergeometric test to detect statistically significant overrepresented Gene Ontology (GO) terms [75] among the DEGs having an absolute Log2FC > 1 in each dataset separately [75].

Table 1. Log2FC for selected genes that showed significant up-or down-regulation in SARS-CoV-2 infected samples (FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05), and not in samples infected with the other viruses tested. Log2FC values are only provided for statistically significant samples.

	Cell Type and MOI				Biopsies	
Gene	A549	A549	Calu-3	NHBE	Case 1	Case 3
	MOI 0.2		MOI 2			
VNN2		6.18	0.42	6.13		
CSF2		3.56	7.30	2.70		
WNT7A		4.99	0.79	0.45		
PDZK1IP1		1.72	0.70	2.28		
SERPINA3	0.49	1.39	0.77	1.44		
RHCG		1.51	2.02	1.33	2.53	
IL32		1.64	1.23	1.21		
PDGFB		1.91	1.75	1.00		
ALDH1A3		1.09	1.32	0.39		
TLR2		1.63	0.89	0.84		
G0S2		0.66	3.79	0.83		
NRCAM		0.73	1.82	0.78		
SERPINB1		0.61	1.17	0.72		
PRDM1		0.82	3.49	0.59		
MT-TN		0.55	1.70	0.33		
ATF4		0.79	1.07	0.26		
BHLHE40		0.75	1.56	0.18		
PTPN12	0.48	0.97	1.23			
GPCPD1	0.36	0.94	1.69			
DUSP16	0.33	0.41	1.43			
FKBP5		-0.39		-0.36	-1.47	-2.14
DAP		-0.18	-0.61		-1.16	
FECH		-0.27	-0.36		-1.54	
MT-CYB		-0.30	-0.26		-3.68	
EIF4A1		-0.33	-0.63		-1.85	
POLE4	-0.23	-0.82	-1.24			
DDX39A	-0.23	-1.27	-0.54			
CENPP		-0.36	-0.40	-0.38		
TMEM50B		-0.48	-0.59	-0.53		
HPS1	-0.28	-0.31	-0.62			
SNX8	-0.30	-0.43	-0.56			

Consistent with the findings of Blanco-Melo et al. [10], GO enrichment analysis returned terms associated with immune system processes, response to cytokine, stress and virus, and Pi3K/AKT signaling pathway, among others (see Supplementary File 2 for complete results). In addition, we report 285 GO terms common to at least two cell line datasets infected with SARS-CoV-2, and absent in the response to other viruses (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2A), including neutrophil and granulocyte activation, interleukin-1-mediated signaling pathway, proteolysis, and stress activated signaling cascades.

Next, we wanted to pinpoint intracellular signaling pathways that may be modulated specifically during SARS-CoV-2 infection. A robust signaling pathway impact analysis (SPIA) enabled us to identify 30 pathways, including many involved in the host immune response, that are significantly enriched among differentially expressed genes in at least one virus-infected cell line dataset (Supplementary Table 3). More importantly, we predicted four pathways to be specific to SARS-CoV-2 infection and observed that the significant pathways differ by cell type and multiplicity of infection. The significant results included only one term common to A549 (MOI 0.2) and Calu-3 cells (MOI 2), namely Interferon alpha/beta signaling. Additionally, we found the Amoebiasis pathways (A549 cells, MOI 0.2), and p75(NTR)-mediated as well as the trka receptor signaling pathways (A549 cells, MOI 2) to be significantly impacted.

Figure 2. Overview of the RNA-seq based results specific to SARS-CoV-2 which were not detected in the other viral infections (IAV, HPIV3 and RSV). (A) Representation of the RNA-seq studies used in our analyses. (B) Non-redundant functional enrichment of DEGs. Here we report a subset of non-redundant reduced terms consistently enriched in more than one SARS-COV-2 cell line which were not detected in the other viruses' datasets. (C) Top 20 differentially expressed isoforms (DEIs) in SARS-CoV-2 infected samples. Y-axis denotes the differential usage of isoforms (dIF) whereas x-axis represents the overall log2FC of the corresponding gene. Thus, DEIs also detected as DEGs by this analysis are depicted in blue. (D) The upper right diagram depicts different manners by which TE family overexpression might be detected. While TEs may indeed be autonomously expressed, the old age of most TEs detected points toward either being part of a gene (exonization or alternative promoter), or a result of pervasive transcription. We report the functional enrichment for neighboring genes of DETEs specifically upregulated in SARS-CoV-2 Calu-3 and A549 cells (MOI 2).

We also used a classic hypergeometric method as a complementary approach to our SPIA ²³⁰
pathway enrichment analysis. While there were generally higher numbers of significant results using ²³¹
this method, we observed that the vast majority of enriched terms (FDR < 0.05) described ²³²
infections with various pathogens, innate immunity, metabolism, and cell cycle regulation ²³³
(Supplementary Table 3). Interestingly, we were able to detect enriched KEGG pathways common to ²³⁴
at least two SARS-CoV-2 infected cell types and absent from the other virus-infected datasets ²³⁵
(Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2B). These included pathways related to infection, cell cycle, ²³⁶
endocytosis, signalling pathways, cancer and other diseases. ²³⁷

SARS-CoV-2 infection results in altered lipid-related metabolic fluxes ²³⁸

To integrate the gene expression changes with metabolic activity in response to virus infection, we ²³⁹
projected the transcriptomic data onto the human metabolic network [76]. This analysis detected ²⁴⁰
common decreased fluxes in inositol phosphate metabolism in both A549 and Calu-3 cells infected ²⁴¹
with SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 2 (Supplementary Table 4). The consensus ²⁴²
solution (obtained taking into account the enumeration of 500 topological solutions) in A549 cells ²⁴³
(MOI 2) also recovered decreased fluxes in several lipid pathways: fatty acid, cholesterol, ²⁴⁴
sphingolipid, and glycerophospholipid. In addition, we detected an increased flux common to A549 ²⁴⁵
and Calu-3 cell lines in reactive oxygen species (ROS) detoxification, in accordance with previous ²⁴⁶
terms recovered from functional enrichment analyses. ²⁴⁷

SARS-CoV-2 infection induced an isoform switch of genes associated ²⁴⁸
with immunity and mRNA processing ²⁴⁹

We wanted to analyze changes in transcript isoform expression and usage associated with ²⁵⁰
SARS-CoV-2 infection, as well as to predict whether these changes might result in altered protein ²⁵¹
function. We identified isoforms experiencing a switch in usage greater than or equal to 30% in ²⁵²
absolute value, and retrieved those with a Bonferroni-adjusted p-value less than 0.05. After ²⁵³
calculating the difference in isoform usage (dIF) per gene (in each condition), we performed ²⁵⁴
predictive functional consequence and alternative splicing analyses for all isoforms globally as well as ²⁵⁵
at the individual gene level. ²⁵⁶

We observed 3,569 differentially expressed isoforms (DEIs) across all samples (Supplementary ²⁵⁷
Figure 1A, Supplementary Table 5A). Results indicate that isoforms from A549 cells infected with ²⁵⁸
RSV, IAV and HPIV3 exhibited significant differences in biological events such as complete open ²⁵⁹
reading frame (ORF) loss, shorter ORF length, intron retention gain and decreased sensitivity to ²⁶⁰
nonsense mediated decay (Supplementary Figure 1B). These conditions also displayed various ²⁶¹
changes in splicing patterns, ranging from loss of exon skipping events, changes in usage of ²⁶²
alternative transcription start and termination sites, and decreased alternative 5' and 3' splice sites ²⁶³
(Supplementary Figure 1C). ²⁶⁴

In contrast, isoforms from SARS-CoV-2 infected samples displayed no significant global changes ²⁶⁵
in biological consequences or alternative splicing events between conditions (Supplementary Figures ²⁶⁶
1A and 1B respectively). Trends indicated transcripts in SARS-CoV-2 samples experience decreases ²⁶⁷
in ORF length, numbers of domains, coding capability, intron retention and nonsense mediated ²⁶⁸
decay (Supplementary Figure 1A). These biological consequences may result from increased multiple ²⁶⁹
exon skipping events and alternative transcription start sites via alternative 5' acceptor sites ²⁷⁰
(Supplementary Figure 1B). While not significant, these trends implicate that the SARS-CoV-2 virus ²⁷¹
may globally trigger host cell machinery to generate shorter isoforms that, while not shuttled for ²⁷²
degradation, either do not produce functional proteins or produce alternative aberrant proteins not ²⁷³
utilized in non-SARS-CoV-2 tissue conditions. ²⁷⁴

Despite the lack of global biological consequence and splicing changes, individual isoforms from ²⁷⁵
SARS-CoV-2 infected samples experienced significant changes in gene expression and isoform usage ²⁷⁶
(Figure 1A). Top-expressing genes were associated with cellular processes such as immune response ²⁷⁷
and antiviral activity (*IFI44L*, *IL6*, *MX1*, *TRIM5*), transcription and mRNA processing (*DDX10*, ²⁷⁸

HNRNPA3F6, JMJD7, ZNF487, ZNF599) and cell cycle and survival (*BCL2L2-PABPN1, CDCA3*) (Supplementary Table 5B). Similarly, significant genes from non-SARS-CoV-2 samples were associated with processes such as immune cell development and response (*ADCY7, BATF2, C9orf72, ETS1, GBP2, IFIT3*), transcription regulation and DNA repair (*ABHD14B, ATF3, IFI16, POLR2J2, SMUG1, ZNF19, ZNF639*), mitochondrial function (*ATP5E, BCKDH8, TST, TXNRD2*), and GTPase activity (*GBP2, RAP1GAP, RGS20, RHOBTB2*) (Supplementary Figure 1D, Supplementary Table 5B).

Upon further inspection, we noticed that *IL-6*, a gene encoding a cytokine involved in acute and chronic inflammatory responses, displayed 3 and 4-fold increases in expression in NHBE and A549 cells, respectively (infected with a MOI of 2) (Supplementary Figure 1B). To date, the Ensembl Genome Reference Consortium has identified 9 *IL-6* isoforms in humans, with the traditional transcript having 6 exons (*IL6-204*), 5 of which contain coding elements. NHBE cells expressed 4 known *IL-6* isoforms, while A549 cells expressed 1 unknown and 6 known isoforms. When evaluating the actual isoforms used across conditions, NHBE cells used 3 out of 4 isoforms observed, while A549 cells used all 7 observed isoforms. Isoform usage is evaluated based on isoform fraction (IF), or the percentage of an isoform found relative to all other identified isoforms associated with a specific gene. For example, in the case of NHBE SARS-CoV-2 samples, the IF for the *IL6-201* isoform = 0.75, *IL6-204* = 0.05, I = 0.09, I = 0.06, and the sum of these IF values = 0.95, or 95% usage of the *IL6* gene. Both SARS-CoV-2 samples exhibited exclusive usage of non-canonical isoform *IL6-201*, and inversely, mock samples almost exclusively utilized the *IL6-204* transcript. In NHBE infected cells, isoform *IL6-201* experienced a significant increase in usage (dIF = 0.75) and *IL6-204* a significant decrease in usage (dIF = -0.95) when compared to Mock conditions. Similarly, isoform *IL6-201* in A549 infected cells experienced an increase in usage (dIF = 0.58), while uses of all other isoforms remained non-significant in comparison to mock conditions.

Overexpression of TE families close to immune-associated genes upon SARS-CoV-2 infection

In order to estimate the expression of TE families and their possible roles in SARS-CoV-2 infection, we mapped the RNA-seq reads against all annotated TE human families and detected DETEs (Figure 2D, Supplementary File 3). We found 68 common TE families upregulated in SARS-CoV-2 infected A549 and Calu-3 cells (MOI 2). From this list, we excluded all TE families detected in A549 cells infected with the other viruses. This allowed us to identify 16 families that were specifically upregulated in Calu-3 and A549 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 and not in the other viral infections.

The 16 families identified are MER77B, MamRep4096, MLT2C2, PABL_A, Charlie9, MER34A, L1MEg1, LTR13A, L1MB5, MER11C, MER41B, LTR79, THE1D-int, MLT1I, MLT1F1, MamRep137. Most of the TE families uncovered are ancient elements, incapable of transposing, or harboring intrinsic regulatory sequences [37, 57, 70]. Eleven of the 16 TE families specifically upregulated in SARS-COV-2 infected cells are long terminal repeat (LTR) elements, and include well known TE immune regulators. For instance, the MER41B (primate specific TE family) is known to contribute to Interferon gamma inducible binding sites (bound by STAT1 and/or IRF1) [14, 66]. Other LTR elements are also enriched in STAT1 binding sites (MLT1L) [14], or have been shown to act as cellular gene enhancers (LTR13A [16, 32]).

Given the propensity for the TE families detected to impact nearby gene expression, we further investigated the functional enrichment of genes near upregulated TE families (+- 5kb upstream, 1kb downstream). We detected GO functional enrichment of several immunity-related terms (e.g. MHC protein complex, antigen processing, regulation of dendritic cell differentiation, T-cell tolerance induction), metabolism related terms (such as regulation of phospholipid catabolic process), and more interestingly a specific human phenotype term called "Progressive pulmonary function impairment" (Figure 2D). Even though we did not limit our search only to neighboring genes which were also DE, we found several similar (and very specific) enriched terms in both analyses, for instance related to endosomes, endoplasmic reticulum, vitamin (cofactor) metabolism, among others.

This result supports the idea that some responses during infection could be related to TE-mediated   329
transcriptional regulation. Finally, when we searched for enriched terms related to each one of the 16   330
families separately, we also detected immunity related enriched terms such as regulation of   331
interleukins, antigen processing, TGFB receptor binding and temperature homeostasis   332
(Supplementary File 3). It is important to note that given the old age of some of the TEs detected,   333
overexpression might be associated with pervasive transcription, or inclusion of TE copies within   334
unspliced introns (see upper box in Figure 2D).   335

The SARS-CoV-2 genome is enriched in binding motifs for 40 human   336
proteins, most of them conserved across SARS-CoV-2 genome isolates   337

Our next aim was to predict whether any host RNA binding proteins interact with the viral genome.   338
To do so, we first filtered the AtTRACT database [23] to obtain a list of 102 human RBPs and 205   339
associated Position Weight Matrices (PWMs) describing the sequence binding preferences of these   340
proteins. We then scanned the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome sequence to identify potential binding   341
sites for these proteins. Figure 3 illustrates our analysis pipeline.   342

We identified 99 human RBPs with 11897 potential binding sites in the SARS-CoV-2   343
positive-sense genome. Since the SARS-CoV-2 genome produces negative-sense intermediates as part   344
of the replication process [36], we also scanned the negative-sense molecule, where we found 11333   345
potential binding sites for 96 RBPs (Supplementary Table 6).   346

To find RBPs whose binding sites occur in the SARS-CoV-2 genome more often than expected by   347
chance, we repeatedly scrambled the genome sequence to create 1000 simulated genome sequences   348
with an identical nucleotide composition to the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence (30% A, 18% C, 20%   349
G, 32% T). We used these 1000 simulated genomes to determine a background distribution of the   350
number of binding sites found for a specific RBP. This allowed us to pinpoint RBPs with   351
significantly more or fewer binding sites in the actual SARS-CoV-2 genome than expected based on   352
the background distribution (two-tailed z-test, FDR-corrected $P < 0.01$). To retrieve RBPs whose   353
motifs were enriched in specific genomic regions, we also repeated this analysis independently for the   354
SARS-CoV-2 5'UTR, 3'UTR, intergenic regions, and for the sequence from the negative sense   355
molecule. Motifs for 40 human RBPs were found to be enriched in at least one of the tested genomic   356
regions, while motifs for 23 human RBPs were found to be depleted in at least one of the tested   357
regions (Supplementary Table 7).   358

We next examined whether any of the 6,936 putative binding sites for these 40 enriched RBPs   359
were conserved across SARS-CoV-2 isolates. We found that 6,581 putative binding sites,   360
representing 34 RBPs, were conserved across more than 95% of SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences in   361
the GISAID database ($>=$ 26,213 out of 27,592 genomes). However, this is of limited significance as   362
RBP binding sites in coding regions are likely to be conserved due to evolutionary pressure on   363
protein sequences rather than RBP binding ability. We therefore repeated this analysis focusing only   364
on putative RBP binding sites in the SARS-CoV-2 UTRs and intergenic regions. There were 124   365
putative RBP binding sites for 21 enriched RBPs in the UTRs and intergenic regions. Of these, 50   366
putative RBP binding sites for 17 RBPs were conserved in >95% of the available genome sequences;   367
6 in the 5'UTR, 5 in the 3'UTR, and 39 in intergenic regions (Supplementary Table 8).   368

Subsequently, we interrogated publicly available data to validate the putative SARS-CoV-2 /   369
RBP interactions (Supplementary Table 9). According to GTEx data [25], 39 of the 40 enriched   370
RBPs and all 23 of the depleted RBPs were expressed in human lung tissue. Further, 31 of 40   371
enriched RBPs and 22 of 23 depleted RBPs were co-expressed with the ACE2 and TMPRSS2   372
receptors in single-cell RNA-seq data from human lung cells (GSE122960; [25, 64]), indicating that   373
they are present in cells that are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. We next checked whether any   374
of these RBPs are known to interact with SARS-CoV-2 proteins and found that human poly-A   375
binding proteins C1 and C4 (PABPC1 and PABPC4) bind to the viral N protein [24]. Thus, it is   376
conceivable that these RBPs interact with both the SARS-CoV-2 RNA and proteins. Finally, we   377
combined these results with our analysis of differential gene expression to identify SARS-CoV-2   378

interacting RBPs that also show expression changes upon infection. The results of this analysis are   379
summarized for selected RBPs in Table 2.   380

Region	Sites	RBPs
Positive Stranded Genome	6848	19
5'UTR	8	3
Intergenic regions	39	8
3'UTR	77	10
Negative sense molecule	4616	16

Region	RBPs
5'UTR	CELF5, FMR1, RBM24
3'UTR	HNRNPA1, HNRNPA1L2, HNRNPA2B1, KHDRBS3, LIN28A, PABPC1, PABPC4, PPIE, SART3, SRSF10
Intergenic regions	EIF4B, ELAVL1, ELAVL2, KHDRBS1, PABPC1, PPIE, TIA1, TIAL1

Figure 3. Workflow and selected results for analysis of potential binding sites for human RNA-   383
binding proteins in the SARS-CoV-2 genome.   384

Motif enrichment in SARS-CoV-2 differs from related coronaviruses   387

We repeated the above analysis to calculate the enrichment and depletion of RBP-binding motifs in   388
the genomes of two related coronaviruses: the SARS-CoV virus (Supplementary Table 10) that   389
caused the SARS outbreak in 2002-2003, and RaTG13 (Supplementary Table 11), a bat coronavirus   390
with a genome that is 96% identical with that of SARS-CoV-2 [4, 86].   391

We found that the pattern of enrichment and depletion of RBP binding motifs in SARS-CoV-2 is   392
different from that of the other two viruses. Specifically, the SARS-CoV-2 genome is uniquely   393
enriched for binding sites of CELF5 in its 5'UTR, PPIE on its 3'UTR, and ELAVL1 in the viral   394
negative-sense RNA molecule. These three proteins are involved in RNA metabolism and are   395
important for RNA stability (ELAVL1, CELF5) and processing (PPIE). Despite the high sequence   396

identity between the two genomes, the single binding site for CELF5 on the SARS-CoV-2 5'UTR is conserved in 97% of available SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences but absent in the 5'UTR of RaTG13.

Table 2. Selected conserved human RBPs predicted to interact with the SARS-CoV-2 genome along with experimental information.

RPB	DE Analysis*[1]			Experimental evidence in human datasets				RBP binding site prediction	
	A549 LogFC	Calu-3 LogFC	SARS-CoV-2 Specific DEG	GTEx Lung Tissue (TPM)	scRNA*[2]	PPI Map*[3]	Interaction with viral RNA*[4]	Conserved*[5]	Region
HNRNPA1		-0.32	☐	331.336	✔		✔	✔	
HNRNPA2B1	-1.08	-0.29	☐	539.829	✔		✔	✔	
PABPC1	0.72	0.44	✔	448.025	✔	N	✔	✔	3'UTR
PABPC4	0.30	-0.28	☐	103.082	✔	N	✔	✔	
PPIE		-0.27	☐	13.827	✔		☐	✔	
CELF5	0.56		☐	0.079	✔		☐	✔	
FMR1		0.75	☐	21.435	✔		☐	✔	5'UTR
RBM24	0.34		☐	1.412	☐		☐	✔	
EIF4B	0.53	0.64	☐	170.303	✔		✔	✔	
ELAVL1		-0.31	☐	27.440	✔		☐	✔	
PABPC1	0.72	0.44	✔	448.025	✔	N	✔	✔	Intergenic
PPIE		-0.27	☐	13.827	✔		☐	✔	
TIA1	0.34	0.41	✔	46.934	✔		☐	✔	
TIAL1	0.25		☐	40.593	☐		☐	✔	

*1 LogFC reported only if padj < 0.05
*2 scRNA expression in ACE+ and TMPRSS2+ lung cells: dataset GSE122960
*3 PPI Map: Experimental map of protein-protein interactions between human and viral proteins (Gordon *et al.*, 2020)
*4 Preprint: Experimental study revealing proteins interacting with SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a human liver cell line (Schmidt *et al.*, 2020)
*5 Conserved in SARS-CoV-2 genomes

A subset of viral genome variants correlate with increased COVID-19 severity

To test whether any viral sequence variants were associated with a change in disease severity in human hosts, we analyzed 1511 complete SARS-CoV-2 genomes that had associated clinical metadata. The FDR-corrected statistical results from this analysis revealed four nucleotide variations that were significantly associated with a change in viral pathogenesis. Three of these nucleotide changes resulted in nonsynonymous variations at the amino acid level, while the last one was silent at the amino acid level. The first position was a $T \rightarrow G$ (L37F) substitution located in the Nsp6 coding region (p < 1.48E-5), the second position was a $C \rightarrow T$ (P323L) substitution located in the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase coding region (p < 2.01E-4), the third position was an $A \rightarrow G$ (D614G) substitution located in the spike coding region (p < 1.61E-4), and the fourth was a synonymous $C \rightarrow T$ substitution located in the Nsp3 coding region (p < 1.77E-4). As a further validation step, we performed the same analysis comparing viral sequence variants against potential confounders, such as the biological sex or age group of the patients. These comparisons validated that these four positions were only identified as significant in the results of the disease severity analysis.

Discussion   420

Airway epithelial cells are the primary entry points for respiratory viruses and therefore constitute   421
the first producers of inflammatory signals that, in addition to their antiviral activity, promote the   422
initiation of the innate and adaptive immune responses. Here, we report the results of a   423
complementary panel of analyses that enable a better understanding of host-pathogen interactions   424
which contribute to SARS-CoV-2 replication and pathogenesis in the human respiratory system.   425
Moreover, we propose already established and new human factors exclusively detected in   426
SARS-CoV-2 infected cells by our analyses that might be relevant in the context of COVID-19 and   427
which are worth being further investigated at an experimental level (Figure 4).   428

The *CSF2* gene, which encodes the Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony Stimulating Factor   429
(GM-CSF), was among the most highly up-regulated genes in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells. GM-CSF   430
induces survival and activation in mature myeloid cells such as macrophages and neutrophils.   431
However, GM-CSF is considered more proinflammatory than other members of its family, such as   432
G-CSF, and is associated with tissue hyper-inflammation [52]. In accordance with our results, high   433
levels of GM-CSF were found in the blood of severe COVID-19 patients [82], and several clinical   434
trials are planned using agents that either target GM-CSF or its receptor [53]. GM-CSF, together   435
with other proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNF, IFNg, IL-7 and IL-18, is associated with   436
the cytokine storm present in a hyperinflammatory disorder named hemophagocytic   437
lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) which presents with organ failure [12]. Moreover, cytokines related to   438
cytokine release syndrome (such as IL-1A/B, IL-6, IL-10, IL-18, and TNFA), showed increased   439
positive association to the severity of the disease in the blood from COVID-19 patients [49]. Another   440
proinflammatory cytokine specifically upregulated in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells was *IL-32*, which   441
together with *CSF2*, promotes the release of TNF and IL-6 in a continuous positive loop and   442
therefore contribute to this cytokine storm [88]. Interestingly, *IL-6*, *IL-7* and *IL-18* were found to be   443
upregulated in two of the four data sets of SARS-CoV-2 infected cells. Moreover, not only   444
upregulation, but also a shift in isoform usage of *IL-6* was detected in NHBE and A549 infected   445
cells. A shift in 5' UTR usage in the presence of SARS-CoV-2 may be attributed to indirect host cell   446
signaling cascades that trigger changes in transcription and splicing activity, which could also   447
explain the overall increase in *IL-6* expression.   448

SERPINA3, a gene coding for an essential enzyme in the regulation of leukocyte proteases, is also   449
induced by cytokines [28]. This was the only gene consistently upregulated in all cell line samples   450
infected with SARS-CoV-2 and absent from the other datasets. Even though it was previously   451
proposed as a promising candidate for the inhibition of viral replication, to date no experiments were   452
carried out to validate this hypothesis [13]. Another interesting candidate gene, which has not been   453
implicated experimentally in respiratory viral infections and was upregulated in our analysis, was   454
VNN2. Vanins are involved in proinflammatory and oxidative processes, and VNN2 plays a role in   455
neutrophil migration by regulating b2 integrin [56]. In contrast, the downregulated genes included   456
SNX8, which has been previously reported in RNA virus-triggered induction of antiviral   457
genes [13, 26]; and *FKBP5*, a known regulator of NF-kB activity [27]. These results suggest that the   458
SARS-CoV-2 virus tends to indirectly target specific genes involved in genome replication and host   459
antiviral immune response without eliciting a global change in cellular transcript processing or   460
protein production.   461

One of the first and most important antiviral responses is the production of type I Interferon   462
(IFN). This protein induces the expression of hundreds of Interferon Stimulated Genes (ISGs), which   463
in turn serve to limit virus spread and infection. Moreover, type I IFN can directly activate immune   464
cells such as macrophages, dendritic cells and NK cells as well as induce the release of   465
pro-inflammatory cytokines by other cell types [34]. Signaling pathway analysis showed that type I   466
IFN response was greatly impacted in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells (A549 and Calu-3 cells at a MOI   467
of 0.2 and 2 respectively). In the same direction, a higher expression of *PRDM1* (Blimp-1) that we   468
observed in the SARS-CoV-2 infected cells, could also contribute to the critical regulation of IFN   469
signaling cascades; interestingly, the TE family LTR13, which was also upregulated upon   470
SARS-CoV-2 infection, is enriched in PRDM1 binding sites [77]. Therefore, it is possible that   471

regulatory factors involved in IFN and immune response in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection could also be attributed to TE transcriptional activation. In the same direction, we detected the upregulation of several TE families in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells that have been previously implicated in immune regulation. Moreover, 16 upregulated families were specific to SARS-CoV-2 infection in Calu-3 and A549 cell lines. The MER41B family, for instance, is known to contribute to interferon gamma inducible binding sites (bound by STAT1 and/or IRF1). Functional enrichment of nearby genes were in accordance with these findings, since several immunity related terms were enriched along with "progressive pulmonary impairment". In parallel, TEs seem to be co-regulated with phospholipid metabolism, which directly affects the Pi3K/AKT signaling pathway, central to the immune response and which were detected in our functional enrichment and metabolism flux analyses.

Figure 4. Overview of human factors specific to SARS-CoV-2 infection detected by our analyses. This includes human RBPs whose binding sites are enriched and conserved in the SARS-CoV-2 genome but not in the genomes of related viruses; and genes, isoforms and metabolites that are consistently altered in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection of lung epithelial cells but not in infection with the other tested viruses; ECM (extracellular matrix).

RBPs are another example of host regulatory factors involved either in the response of human cells to SARS-CoV-2 or in the manipulation of human machinery by the virus. We aimed at finding RBPs which potentially interact with SARS-CoV-2 genomes in a conserved and specific way. Five of the proteins predicted to be interacting with the viral genome by our pipeline (EIF4B, hnRNPA1,

PABPC1, PABPC4, and YBX1) were experimentally shown to bind to SARS-CoV-2 RNA in an infected human liver cell line, based on a recent preprint [67].

Among the RBPs whose potential binding sites were enriched and conserved within the SARS-CoV-2 virus genomes is the EIF4B, suggesting that the SARS-CoV-2 virus protein translation could be EIF4B-dependent. We also detected the upregulation of *EIF4B* in A549 and Calu-3 cells, which might indicate that this protein is sequestered by the virus and therefore the cells need to increase its production. Moreover, this protein was predicted to interact specifically with the intergenic region upstream of the gene encoding the SARS-CoV-2 membrane (M) protein, one of four structural proteins from this virus.

Another conserved RBP, which was also upregulated in infected cells, is the Poly(A) Binding Protein Cytoplasmic 1 (PABPC1), which has well described cellular roles in mRNA stability and translation. PABPC1 has been previously implicated in multiple viral infections. The activity of PABPC1 is modulated to inhibit host protein transcript translation, promoting viral RNA access to the host cell translational machinery [72]. Importantly, the 3' untranslated region of SARS-CoV2 is also enriched in binding sites of the PABPC1 and the PPIE RBPs, the latter of which is known to be involved in multiple processes, including mRNA splicing [9, 33]. Interestingly, PABPC1 and PABPC4 interact with the SARS-CoV-2 N protein, which stabilizes the viral genome [24]. This raises the possibility that the viral genome, N protein, and human PABP proteins may participate in a joint protein-RNA complex that assists in viral genome stability, replication, and/or translation [1, 59, 62, 71, 72].

An interesting result was that the binding motifs for hnRNPA1, which has been shown to interact with other coronavirus genomes, were enriched specifically in the 3'UTR of SARS-CoV-2 even though they were depleted in the genome overall. The hnRNPA1 protein was described to interact more in particular with multiple sequence elements including the 3'UTR of the Murine Hepatitis Virus (MHV), and to participate in both transcription and replication of this virus [31, 44, 68]. This particular gene, along with *hnRNPA2B1*, was downregulated in Calu-3 cells and in contrast to the previous examples of upregulated genes, could denote a specific response of the human cells to control viral replication.

Cross referencing the results from our statistical analysis of $\sim 5\%$ of the available genomes ($\sim 1,500$ out of over 27,000 in GISAID) with clinical metadata revealed interesting new insights. Indeed, the $D \to G$ mutation at amino acid position 614 in the Spike protein found in our analysis has recently been shown to increase viral infectivity [38]. In addition, this same mutation has also been associated with an increase in the case fatality rate [6]. The P323L mutation in the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) was identified previously, although in that study it was associated with changes in geographical location of the viral strain [58]. The L37F mutation in the Nsp6 protein has been reported to be located outside of the transmembrane domain [11], being present at a high frequency [84], and to negatively affect protein structure stability [8]. Our statistics may contain bias based on the number of genome sequences being collected earlier versus later in the pandemic, genomes lacking clinical outcome metadata, and in the case of the Spike D614G a potential increase of fitness associated with this mutation. However, the fact that one of our observations has already been validated justifies future wet lab experiments to compare the effect of the other identified mutations.

Overall, our analyses identified sets of statistically significant host genes, isoforms, regulatory elements, and other interactions that contribute to the cellular response during infection with SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, we detected potential binding sites for human proteins that are conserved across SARS-CoV-2 genomes, along with a subset of variants in the viral genome that correlate well with viral pathogenesis in human infection. To our knowledge, this is the first work where a computational meta-analysis was performed to predict host factors that play a role in the specific pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2, distinct from other respiratory viruses.

We envision that applying this workflow will yield important mechanistic insights in future analyses on emerging pathogens. Similarly, we expect that the results for SARS-CoV-2 will contribute to ongoing efforts in the selection of new drug targets and the development of more

effective prophylactics and therapeutics to reduce virus infection and replication with minimal adverse effects on the human host.

Supporting Information

Supplementary Figure 1. Isoform Analysis (A,B,C,D)

Supplementary File 1. Zipped file containing Complete DEG tables.

Supplementary File 2. Zipped file containing GO for each dataset.

Supplementary File 3. TE family count/differential expression.

Supplementary File 4. GREAT Analysis (complete and per family).

Supplementary Table 1. Merged tables (Specific genes in SARS-CoV-2)

Supplementary Table 2. Supporting information for Figure 2, consisting of functional enrichment specific to SARS-CoV-2.

Supplementary Table 3. Pathway enrichment for each dataset (SPIA and DAVID merged into one file).

Supplementary Table 4. Metabolic fluxes predicted for each dataset using Moomin.

Supplementary Table 5. Isoform analysis.

Supplementary Table 6. Putative binding sites for human RBPs on the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

Supplementary Table 7. Enrichment of binding motifs for human RBPs on the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

Supplementary Table 8. Conservation of binding motifs for human RBPs across genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 isolates.

Supplementary Table 9. Biological evidence associated with putative SARS-CoV-2 interacting human RBPs.

Supplementary Table 10. Enrichment of binding motifs for human RBPs on the SARS-CoV genome

Supplementary Table 11. Enrichment of binding motifs for human RBPs on the RaTG13 genome

Funding

The authors received no specific funding to support this work.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Virtual BioHackathon on COVID-19 that took place during April 2020 (https://github.com/virtual-biohackathons/covid-19-bh20) for fostering an environment that triggered this collaboration and in particular the Gene Expression group for the fruitful discussions. We would also like to thank Slack for providing us with free access to the professional version of the platform.

Conflicts of Interest

A.L. is an employee of NVIDIA Corporation.

References

1. P. Ahlquist, A. O. Noueiry, W.-M. Lee, D. B. Kushner, and B. T. Dye. Host factors in positive-strand RNA virus genome replication. *J. Virol.*, 77(15):8181–8186, Aug. 2003.

2. J. J. Almagro Armenteros, K. D. Tsirigos, C. K. Sønderby, T. N. Petersen, O. Winther, S. Brunak, G. von Heijne, and H. Nielsen. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 37(4):420–423, Apr. 2019.

3. S. Anders and W. Huber. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.*, 11(10):R106, Oct. 2010.

4. K. G. Andersen, A. Rambaut, W. I. Lipkin, E. C. Holmes, and R. F. Garry. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Med.*, 26(4):450–452, Apr. 2020.

5. M. Ashburner, C. A. Ball, J. A. Blake, D. Botstein, H. Butler, J. M. Cherry, A. P. Davis, K. Dolinski, S. S. Dwight, J. T. Eppig, M. A. Harris, D. P. Hill, L. Issel-Tarver, A. Kasarskis, S. Lewis, J. C. Matese, J. E. Richardson, M. Ringwald, G. M. Rubin, and G. Sherlock. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. the gene ontology consortium. *Nat. Genet.*, 25(1):25–29, May 2000.

6. M. Becerra-Flores and T. Cardozo. SARS-CoV-2 viral spike G614 mutation exhibits higher case fatality rate. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.*, page e13525, May 2020.

7. Y. Benjamini and Y. Hochberg. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing, 1995.

8. D. Benvenuto, S. Angeletti, M. Giovanetti, M. Bianchi, S. Pascarella, R. Cauda, M. Ciccozzi, and A. Cassone. Evolutionary analysis of SARS-CoV-2: how mutation of Non-Structural protein 6 (NSP6) could affect viral autophagy. *J. Infect.*, 81(1):e24–e27, July 2020.

9. K. Bertram, D. E. Agafonov, W.-T. Liu, O. Dybkov, C. L. Will, K. Hartmuth, H. Urlaub, B. Kastner, H. Stark, and R. Lührmann. Cryo-EM structure of a human spliceosome activated for step 2 of splicing. *Nature*, 542(7641):318–323, Feb. 2017.

10. D. Blanco-Melo, B. E. Nilsson-Payant, W.-C. Liu, S. Uhl, D. Hoagland, R. Møller, T. X. Jordan, K. Oishi, M. Panis, D. Sachs, T. T. Wang, R. E. Schwartz, J. K. Lim, R. A. Albrecht, and B. R. tenOever. Imbalanced host response to SARS-CoV-2 drives development of COVID-19. *Cell*, 181(5):1036–1045.e9, May 2020.

11. Y. Cárdenas-Conejo, A. Liñan-Rico, D. A. García-Rodríguez, S. Centeno-Leija, and H. Serrano-Posada. An exclusive 42 amino acid signature in pp1ab protein provides insights into the evolutive history of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). *J. Med. Virol.*, 92(6):688–692, June 2020.

12. S. J. Carter, R. S. Tattersall, and A. V. Ramanan. Macrophage activation syndrome in adults: recent advances in pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment. *Rheumatology*, 58(1):5–17, Jan. 2019.

13. D. Chasman, K. B. Walters, T. J. S. Lopes, A. J. Eisfeld, Y. Kawaoka, and S. Roy. Integrating transcriptomic and proteomic data using predictive regulatory network models of host response to pathogens. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 12(7):e1005013, July 2016.

14. E. B. Chuong, N. C. Elde, and C. Feschotte. Regulatory evolution of innate immunity through co-option of endogenous retroviruses. *Science*, 351(6277):1083–1087, Mar. 2016.

15. E. B. Chuong, N. C. Elde, and C. Feschotte. Regulatory activities of transposable elements: from conflicts to benefits. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 18(2):71–86, Feb. 2017.

16. Ö. Deniz, M. Ahmed, C. D. Todd, A. Rio-Machin, M. A. Dawson, and M. R. Branco. Endogenous retroviruses are a source of enhancers with oncogenic potential in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nat. Commun.*, 11(1):3506, July 2020.

17. A. Dobin, C. A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, S. Jha, P. Batut, M. Chaisson, and T. R. Gingeras. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, 29(1):15–21, Jan. 2013.

18. Z. Dosztányi, V. Csizmok, P. Tompa, and I. Simon. IUPred: web server for the prediction of intrinsically unstructured regions of proteins based on estimated energy content. *Bioinformatics*, 21(16):3433–3434, Aug. 2005.

19. S. El-Gebali, J. Mistry, A. Bateman, S. R. Eddy, A. Luciani, S. C. Potter, M. Qureshi, L. J. Richardson, G. A. Salazar, A. Smart, E. L. L. Sonnhammer, L. Hirsh, L. Paladin, D. Piovesan, S. C. E. Tosatto, and R. D. Finn. The pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47(D1):D427–D432, Jan. 2019.

20. P. Ewels, M. Magnusson, S. Lundin, and M. Käller. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics*, 32(19):3047–3048, Oct. 2016.

21. S. Falcon and R. Gentleman. Using GOstats to test gene lists for GO term association. *Bioinformatics*, 23(2):257–258, Jan. 2007.

22. T. S. Fung and D. X. Liu. Human coronavirus: Host-Pathogen interaction. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*, 73:529–557, Sept. 2019.

23. G. Giudice, F. Sánchez-Cabo, C. Torroja, and E. Lara-Pezzi. ATtRACT-a database of RNA-binding proteins and associated motifs. *Database*, 2016, Apr. 2016.

24. D. E. Gordon, G. M. Jang, M. Bouhaddou, J. Xu, K. Obernier, M. J. O'Meara, J. Z. Guo, D. L. Swaney, T. A. Tummino, R. Hüttenhain, R. M. Kaake, A. L. Richards, B. Tutuncuoglu, H. Foussard, J. Batra, K. Haas, M. Modak, M. Kim, P. Haas, B. J. Polacco, H. Braberg, J. M. Fabius, M. Eckhardt, M. Soucheray, M. J. Bennett, M. Cakir, M. J. McGregor, Q. Li, Z. Z. C. Naing, Y. Zhou, S. Peng, I. T. Kirby, J. E. Melnyk, J. S. Chorba, K. Lou, S. A. Dai, W. Shen, Y. Shi, Z. Zhang, I. Barrio-Hernandez, D. Memon, C. Hernandez-Armenta, C. J. P. Mathy, T. Perica, K. B. Pilla, S. J. Ganesan, D. J. Saltzberg, R. Ramachandran, X. Liu, S. B. Rosenthal, L. Calviello, S. Venkataramanan, Y. Lin, S. A. Wankowicz, M. Bohn, R. Trenker, J. M. Young, D. Cavero, J. Hiatt, T. Roth, U. Rathore, A. Subramanian, J. Noack, M. Hubert, F. Roesch, T. Vallet, B. Meyer, K. M. White, L. Miorin, D. Agard, M. Emerman, D. Ruggero, A. García-Sastre, N. Jura, M. von Zastrow, J. Taunton, O. Schwartz, M. Vignuzzi, C. d'Enfert, S. Mukherjee, M. Jacobson, H. S. Malik, D. G. Fujimori, T. Ideker, C. S. Craik, S. Floor, J. S. Fraser, J. Gross, A. Sali, T. Kortemme, P. Beltrao, K. Shokat, B. K. Shoichet, and N. J. Krogan. A SARS-CoV-2-Human Protein-Protein interaction map reveals drug targets and potential Drug-Repurposing. *bioRxiv*, Mar. 2020.

25. GTEx Consortium. Human genomics. the Genotype-Tissue expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science*, 348(6235):648–660, May 2015.

26. W. Guo, J. Wei, X. Zhong, R. Zang, H. Lian, M.-M. Hu, S. Li, H.-B. Shu, and Q. Yang. SNX8 modulates the innate immune response to RNA viruses by regulating the aggregation of VISA. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.*, Sept. 2019.

27. M. Hinz, M. Broemer, S. Ç. Arslan, A. Otto, E.-C. Mueller, R. Dettmer, and C. Scheidereit. Signal responsiveness of IκB kinases is determined by cdc37-assisted transient interaction with hsp90. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 282(44):32311–32319, Nov. 2007.

28. S. Horváth and K. Mirnics. Immune system disturbances in schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry*, 75(4):316–323, Feb. 2014.

29. C. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Li, L. Ren, J. Zhao, Y. Hu, L. Zhang, G. Fan, J. Xu, X. Gu, Z. Cheng, T. Yu, J. Xia, Y. Wei, W. Wu, X. Xie, W. Yin, H. Li, M. Liu, Y. Xiao, H. Gao, L. Guo, J. Xie, G. Wang, R. Jiang, Z. Gao, Q. Jin, J. Wang, and B. Cao. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in wuhan, china. *Lancet*, 395(10223):497–506, Feb. 2020.

30. D. W. Huang, B. T. Sherman, and R. A. Lempicki. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.*, 4(1):44–57, 2009.

31. P. Huang and M. M. Lai. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein a1 binds to the 3'-untranslated region and mediates potential 5'-3'-end cross talks of mouse hepatitis virus RNA. *J. Virol.*, 75(11):5009–5017, June 2001.

32. J. Ito, R. Sugimoto, H. Nakaoka, S. Yamada, T. Kimura, T. Hayano, and I. Inoue. Systematic identification and characterization of regulatory elements derived from human endogenous retroviruses. *PLoS Genet.*, 13(7):e1006883, July 2017.

33. M. S. Jurica, L. J. Licklider, S. R. Gygi, N. Grigorieff, and M. J. Moore. Purification and characterization of native spliceosomes suitable for three-dimensional structural analysis. *RNA*, 8(4):426–439, Apr. 2002.

34. N. Kadowaki and Y.-J. Liu. Natural type I interferon-producing cells as a link between innate and adaptive immunity. *Hum. Immunol.*, 63(12):1126–1132, Dec. 2002.

35. R. J. Khan, R. K. Jha, G. M. Amera, M. Jain, E. Singh, A. Pathak, R. P. Singh, J. Muthukumaran, and A. K. Singh. Targeting SARS-CoV-2: a systematic drug repurposing approach to identify promising inhibitors against 3c-like proteinase and 2'-o-ribose methyltransferase. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, pages 1–14, Apr. 2020.

36. D. Kim, J.-Y. Lee, J.-S. Yang, J. W. Kim, V. N. Kim, and H. Chang. The architecture of SARS-CoV-2 transcriptome. *Cell*, 181(4):914–921.e10, May 2020.

37. K. K. Kojima. Human transposable elements in repbase: genomic footprints from fish to humans. *Mob. DNA*, 9:2, Jan. 2018.

38. B. Korber, W. M. Fischer, S. Gnanakaran, H. Yoon, J. Theiler, W. Abfalterer, N. Hengartner, E. E. Giorgi, T. Bhattacharya, B. Foley, K. M. Hastie, M. D. Parker, D. G. Partridge, C. M. Evans, T. M. Freeman, T. I. de Silva, C. McDanal, L. G. Perez, H. Tang, A. Moon-Walker, S. P. Whelan, C. C. LaBranche, E. O. Saphire, D. C. Montefiori, A. Angyal, R. L. Brown, L. Carrilero, L. R. Green, D. C. Groves, K. J. Johnson, A. J. Keeley, B. B. Lindsey, P. J. Parsons, M. Raza, S. Rowland-Jones, N. Smith, R. M. Tucker, D. Wang, and M. D. Wyles. Tracking changes in SARS-CoV-2 spike: Evidence that D614G increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus. *Cell*, July 2020.

39. T. T.-Y. Lam, N. Jia, Y.-W. Zhang, M. H.-H. Shum, J.-F. Jiang, H.-C. Zhu, Y.-G. Tong, Y.-X. Shi, X.-B. Ni, Y.-S. Liao, W.-J. Li, B.-G. Jiang, W. Wei, T.-T. Yuan, K. Zheng, X.-M. Cui, J. Li, G.-Q. Pei, X. Qiang, W. Y.-M. Cheung, L.-F. Li, F.-F. Sun, S. Qin, J.-C. Huang, G. M. Leung, E. C. Holmes, Y.-L. Hu, Y. Guan, and W.-C. Cao. Identifying SARS-CoV-2-related coronaviruses in malayan pangolins. *Nature*, 583(7815):282–285, July 2020.

40. N. Leng, J. A. Dawson, J. A. Thomson, V. Ruotti, A. I. Rissman, B. M. G. Smits, J. D. Haag, M. N. Gould, R. M. Stewart, and C. Kendziorski. EBSeq: an empirical bayes hierarchical model for inference in RNA-seq experiments. *Bioinformatics*, 29(8):1035–1043, Apr. 2013.

41. E. Lerat, M. Fablet, L. Modolo, H. Lopez-Maestre, and C. Vieira. TEtools facilitates big data expression analysis of transposable elements and reveals an antagonism between their activity and that of piRNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 45(4):e17, Feb. 2017.

42. K. Lesack and C. Naugler. An open-source software program for performing bonferroni and related corrections for multiple comparisons. *J. Pathol. Inform.*, 2:52, Dec. 2011.

43. H. Li, B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, and R. Durbin. The sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16):2078–2079, Aug. 2009.

44. H. P. Li, X. Zhang, R. Duncan, L. Comai, and M. M. Lai. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 binds to the transcription-regulatory region of mouse hepatitis virus RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 94(18):9544–9549, Sept. 1997.

45. Z. Li and P. D. Nagy. Diverse roles of host RNA binding proteins in RNA virus replication. *RNA Biol.*, 8(2):305–315, Mar. 2011.

46. M. Liao, Y. Liu, J. Yuan, Y. Wen, G. Xu, J. Zhao, L. Cheng, J. Li, X. Wang, F. Wang, L. Liu, I. Amit, S. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar immune cells in patients with COVID-19, 2020.

47. M. I. Love, W. Huber, and S. Anders. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.*, 15(12):550, 2014.

48. M. I. Love, W. Huber, and S. Anders. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.*, 15(12):550, 2014.

49. C. Lucas, P. Wong, J. Klein, T. B. R. Castro, J. Silva, M. Sundaram, M. K. Ellingson, T. Mao, J. E. Oh, B. Israelow, T. Takahashi, M. Tokuyama, P. Lu, A. Venkataraman, A. Park, S. Mohanty, H. Wang, A. L. Wyllie, C. B. F. Vogels, R. Earnest, S. Lapidus, I. M. Ott, A. J. Moore, M. C. Muenker, J. B. Fournier, M. Campbell, C. D. Odio, A. Casanovas-Massana, A. Obaid, A. Lu-Culligan, A. Nelson, A. Brito, A. Nunez, A. Martin, A. Watkins, B. Geng, C. Kalinich, C. Harden, C. Todeasa, C. Jensen, D. Kim, D. McDonald, D. Shepard, E. Courchaine, E. B. White, E. Song, E. Silva, E. Kudo, G. DeIuliis, H. Rahming, H.-J. Park, I. Matos, J. Nouws, J. Valdez, J. Fauver, J. Lim, K.-A. Rose, K. Anastasio, K. Brower, L. Glick, L. Sharma, L. Sewanan, L. Knaggs, M. Minasyan, M. Batsu, M. Petrone, M. Kuang, M. Nakahata, M. Linehan, M. H. Askenase, M. Simonov, M. Smolgovsky, N. Sonnert, N. Naushad, P. Vijayakumar, R. Martinello, R. Datta, R. Handoko, S. Bermejo, S. Prophet, S. Bickerton, S. Velazquez, T. Alpert, T. Rice, W. Khoury-Hanold, X. Peng, Y. Yang, Y. Cao, Y. Strong, R. Herbst, A. C. Shaw, R. Medzhitov, W. L. Schulz, N. D. Grubaugh, C. Dela Cruz, S. Farhadian, A. I. Ko, S. B. Omer, A. Iwasaki, and Y. I. Team. Longitudinal analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe covid-19. *Nature*, 2020.

50. M. G. Macchietto, R. A. Langlois, and S. S. Shen. Virus-induced transposable element expression up-regulation in human and mouse host cells. *Life Science Alliance*, 3(2), Feb. 2020.

51. C. Y. McLean, D. Bristor, M. Hiller, S. L. Clarke, B. T. Schaar, C. B. Lowe, A. M. Wenger, and G. Bejerano. GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 28(5):495–501, May 2010.

52. H. M. Mehta, M. Malandra, and S. J. Corey. G-CSF and GM-CSF in neutropenia. *J. Immunol.*, 195(4):1341–1349, Aug. 2015.

53. P. Mehta, D. F. McAuley, M. Brown, E. Sanchez, R. S. Tattersall, J. J. Manson, and HLH Across Speciality Collaboration, UK. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet*, 395(10229):1033–1034, Mar. 2020.

54. N. Muralidharan, R. Sakthivel, D. Velmurugan, and M. M. Gromiha. Computational studies of drug repurposing and synergism of lopinavir, oseltamivir and ritonavir binding with SARS-CoV-2 protease against COVID-19. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.*, pages 1–6, Apr. 2020.

55. T. Nakamura, K. D. Yamada, K. Tomii, and K. Katoh. Parallelization of MAFFT for large-scale multiple sequence alignments. *Bioinformatics*, 34(14):2490–2492, July 2018.

56. T. Nitto and K. Onodera. Linkage between coenzyme a metabolism and inflammation: roles of pantetheinase. *J. Pharmacol. Sci.*, 123(1):1–8, Sept. 2013.

57. J. K. Pace, 2nd and C. Feschotte. The evolutionary history of human DNA transposons: evidence for intense activity in the primate lineage. *Genome Res.*, 17(4):422–432, Apr. 2007.

58. M. Pachetti, B. Marini, F. Benedetti, F. Giudici, E. Mauro, P. Storici, C. Masciovecchio, S. Angeletti, M. Ciccozzi, R. C. Gallo, D. Zella, and R. Ippodrino. Emerging SARS-CoV-2 mutation hot spots include a novel RNA-dependent-RNA polymerase variant. *J. Transl. Med.*, 18(1):179, Apr. 2020.

59. C. Perez, C. McKinney, U. Chulunbaatar, and I. Mohr. Translational control of the abundance of cytoplasmic poly(a) binding protein in human cytomegalovirus-infected cells. *J. Virol.*, 85(1):156–164, Jan. 2011.

60. M. Pertea, G. M. Pertea, C. M. Antonescu, T.-C. Chang, J. T. Mendell, and S. L. Salzberg. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 33(3):290–295, Mar. 2015.

61. B. E. Pickett, M. Liu, E. L. Sadat, R. B. Squires, J. M. Noronha, S. He, W. Jen, S. Zaremba, Z. Gu, L. Zhou, C. N. Larsen, I. Bosch, L. Gehrke, M. McGee, E. B. Klem, and R. H. Scheuermann. Metadata-driven comparative analysis tool for sequences (meta-CATS): an automated process for identifying significant sequence variations that correlate with virus attributes. *Virology*, 447(1-2):45–51, Dec. 2013.

62. C. Polacek, P. Friebe, and E. Harris. Poly(A)-binding protein binds to the non-polyadenylated 3' untranslated region of dengue virus and modulates translation efficiency. *J. Gen. Virol.*, 90(Pt 3):687–692, Mar. 2009.

63. T. Pusa, M. G. Ferrarini, R. Andrade, A. Mary, A. Marchetti-Spaccamela, L. Stougie, and M.-F. Sagot. MOOMIN - mathematical exploration of 'omics data on a MetabolIc network. *Bioinformatics*, 36(2):514–523, Jan. 2020.

64. P. A. Reyfman, J. M. Walter, N. Joshi, K. R. Anekalla, A. C. McQuattie-Pimentel, S. Chiu, R. Fernandez, M. Akbarpour, C.-I. Chen, Z. Ren, R. Verma, H. Abdala-Valencia, K. Nam, M. Chi, S. Han, F. J. Gonzalez-Gonzalez, S. Soberanes, S. Watanabe, K. J. N. Williams, A. S. Flozak, T. T. Nicholson, V. K. Morgan, D. R. Winter, M. Hinchcliff, C. L. Hrusch, R. D. Guzy, C. A. Bonham, A. I. Sperling, R. Bag, R. B. Hamanaka, G. M. Mutlu, A. V. Yeldandi, S. A. Marshall, A. Shilatifard, L. A. N. Amaral, H. Perlman, J. I. Sznajder, A. C. Argento, C. T. Gillespie, J. Dematte, M. Jain, B. D. Singer, K. M. Ridge, A. P. Lam, A. Bharat, S. M. Bhorade, C. J. Gottardi, G. R. S. Budinger, and A. V. Misharin. Single-Cell transcriptomic analysis of human lung provides insights into the pathobiology of pulmonary fibrosis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.*, 199(12):1517–1536, June 2019.

65. G. Sales, E. Calura, D. Cavalieri, and C. Romualdi. graphite - a bioconductor package to convert pathway topology to gene network. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 13:20, Jan. 2012.

66. C. D. Schmid and P. Bucher. MER41 repeat sequences contain inducible STAT1 binding sites. *PLoS One*, 5(7):e11425, July 2010.

67. N. Schmidt, C. A. Lareau, H. Keshishian, R. Melanson, M. Zimmer, L. Kirschner, J. Ade, S. Werner, N. Caliskan, E. S. Lander, J. Vogel, S. A. Carr, J. Bodem, and M. Munschauer. A direct RNA-protein interaction atlas of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA in infected human cells.

68. S. T. Shi, P. Huang, H. P. Li, and M. M. Lai. Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 regulates RNA synthesis of a cytoplasmic virus. *EMBO J.*, 19(17):4701–4711, Sept. 2000.

69. Y. Shu and J. McCauley. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data - from vision to reality. *Euro Surveill.*, 22(13), Mar. 2017.

70. A. F. Smit. Interspersed repeats and other mementos of transposable elements in mammalian genomes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, 9(6):657–663, Dec. 1999.

71. R. W. P. Smith, R. C. Anderson, O. Larralde, J. W. S. Smith, B. Gorgoni, W. A. Richardson, P. Malik, S. V. Graham, and N. K. Gray. Viral and cellular mRNA-specific activators harness PABP and eIF4G to promote translation initiation downstream of cap binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 114(24):6310–6315, June 2017.

72. R. W. P. Smith and N. K. Gray. Poly(A)-binding protein (PABP): a common viral target. *Biochem. J*, 426(1):1–12, Feb. 2010.

73. F. Supek, M. Bošnjak, N. Škunca, and T. Šmuc. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. *PLoS One*, 6(7):e21800, July 2011.

74. A. L. Tarca, S. Draghici, P. Khatri, S. S. Hassan, P. Mittal, J.-S. Kim, C. J. Kim, J. P. Kusanovic, and R. Romero. A novel signaling pathway impact analysis. *Bioinformatics*, 25(1):75–82, Jan. 2009.

75. The Gene Ontology Consortium and The Gene Ontology Consortium. The gene ontology resource: 20 years and still GOing strong, 2019.

76. I. Thiele, N. Swainston, R. M. T. Fleming, A. Hoppe, S. Sahoo, M. K. Aurich, H. Haraldsdottir, M. L. Mo, O. Rolfsson, M. D. Stobbe, S. G. Thorleifsson, R. Agren, C. Bölling, S. Bordel, A. K. Chavali, P. Dobson, W. B. Dunn, L. Endler, D. Hala, M. Hucka, D. Hull, D. Jameson, N. Jamshidi, J. J. Jonsson, N. Juty, S. Keating, I. Nookaew, N. Le Novère, N. Malys, A. Mazein, J. A. Papin, N. D. Price, E. Selkov, Sr, M. I. Sigurdsson, E. Simeonidis, N. Sonnenschein, K. Smallbone, A. Sorokin, J. H. G. M. van Beek, D. Weichart, I. Goryanin, J. Nielsen, H. V. Westerhoff, D. B. Kell, P. Mendes, and B. Ø. Palsson. A community-driven global reconstruction of human metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 31(5):419–425, May 2013.

77. M. Trizzino, A. Kapusta, and C. D. Brown. Transposable elements generate regulatory novelty in a tissue-specific fashion. *BMC Genomics*, 19(1):468, June 2018.

78. K. W. Tsang, P. L. Ho, G. C. Ooi, W. K. Yee, T. Wang, M. Chan-Yeung, W. K. Lam, W. H. Seto, L. Y. Yam, T. M. Cheung, P. C. Wong, B. Lam, M. S. Ip, J. Chan, K. Y. Yuen, and K. N. Lai. A cluster of cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome in hong kong. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 348(20):1977–1985, May 2003.

79. K. Vitting-Seerup and A. Sandelin. IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR: analysis of changes in genome-wide patterns of alternative splicing and its functional consequences. *Bioinformatics*, 35(21):4469–4471, Nov. 2019.

80. L. Wang, H. J. Park, S. Dasari, S. Wang, J.-P. Kocher, and W. Li. CPAT: Coding-Potential assessment tool using an alignment-free logistic regression model. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 41(6):e74, Apr. 2013.

81. W. Wen, W. Su, H. Tang, W. Le, X. Zhang, Y. Zheng, X. Liu, L. Xie, J. Li, J. Ye, L. Dong, X. Cui, Y. Miao, D. Wang, J. Dong, C. Xiao, W. Chen, and H. Wang. Immune cell profiling of COVID-19 patients in the recovery stage by single-cell sequencing. *Cell Discov*, 6:31, May 2020.

82. D. Wu and X. O. Yang. TH17 responses in cytokine storm of COVID-19: An emerging target of JAK2 inhibitor fedratinib. *J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect.*, 53(3):368–370, June 2020.

83. R. Yan, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Xia, Y. Guo, and Q. Zhou. Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science*, 367(6485):1444–1448, Mar. 2020.

84. C. Yin. Genotyping coronavirus SARS-CoV-2: methods and implications. *Genomics*, Apr. 2020.

85. A. M. Zaki, S. van Boheemen, T. M. Bestebroer, A. D. M. E. Osterhaus, and R. A. M. Fouchier. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in saudi arabia. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 367(19):1814–1820, Nov. 2012.

86. P. Zhou, X.-L. Yang, X.-G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H.-R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C.-L. Huang, H.-D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R.-D. Jiang, M.-Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X.-R. Shen, X. Wang, X.-S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q.-J. Chen, F. Deng, L.-L. Liu, B. Yan, F.-X. Zhan, Y.-Y. Wang, G.-F. Xiao, and Z.-L. Shi. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature*, 579(7798):270–273, Mar. 2020.

87. Y. Zhou, Y. Hou, J. Shen, Y. Huang, W. Martin, and F. Cheng. Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Discov*, 6:14, Mar. 2020.

88. Y. Zhou and Y. Zhu. Important role of the IL-32 inflammatory network in the host response against viral infection. *Viruses*, 7(6):3116–3129, June 2015.