Gene expression evolution in pattern-triggered immunity within *Arabidopsis thaliana* and across Brassicaceae species

Thomas M. Winkelmüller[2,#], Frederickson Entila[2,#], Shajahan Anver[2,#,‡], Anna Piasecka [3], Baoxing Song[4], Eik Dahms[1], Hitoshi Sakakibara[5], Xiangchao Gan[4], Karolina Kułak[3,§], Aneta Sawikowska[6], Paweł Krajewski[7], Miltos Tsiantis[4], Ruben Garrido-Oter[2], Kenji Fukushima[8], Paul Schulze-Lefert[2], Stefan Laurent[4], Paweł Bednarek[3] and Kenichi Tsuda[1,2*]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Interdisciplinary Sciences Research Institute, College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China.

[2]Department of Plant-Microbe Interactions, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, 50829 Cologne, Germany

[3]Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, 61-704 Poznan, Poland

[4]Department of Comparative Development and Genetics, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, 50829 Cologne, Germany

[5]Plant Productivity Systems Research Group, RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, 230-0045 Yokohama, Japan

[6]Department of Mathematical and Statistical Methods, Poznań University of Life Sciences, 60-628 Poznań, Poland

[7]Institute of Plant Genetics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 60-479 Poznań, Poland

[8]Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology and Biophysics, University of Würzburg

[‡]Present address: Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London, United Kingdom

[§]Present address: Department of Computational Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

#These authors equally contributed to the work.

*For correspondence

Kenichi Tsuda

32   E-mail: tsuda@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Abstract

Plants recognize surrounding microbes by sensing microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) to activate pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). Despite their significance for microbial control, the evolution of PTI responses remains largely uncharacterized. Employing comparative transcriptomics of six *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions and three additional Brassicaceae species for PTI responses to the MAMP flg22, we identified a set of genes with expression changes under purifying selection in the Brassicaceae species and genes exhibiting species-specific expression signatures. Variation in flg22-triggered transcriptome and metabolome responses across Brassicaceae species was incongruent with their phylogeny while expression changes were strongly conserved within *A. thaliana*, suggesting directional selection for some species-specific gene expression. We found the enrichment of WRKY transcription factor binding sites in 5'-regulatory regions in conserved and species-specific responsive genes, linking the emergence of WRKY-binding sites with the evolution of gene responses in PTI. Our findings advance our understanding of transcriptome evolution during biotic stress.

Introduction

The evolution of biological traits is determined both by variation in coding sequence as well as gene expression (Das Gupta and Tsiantis, 2018; Necsulea and Kaessmann, 2014). However, our understanding of heritable gene expression variation remains fragmented. The conservation of gene expression patterns over million years of evolution across species suggests a general importance of such expression patterns and indicates that they were subjected to stabilizing selection. Conversely, diversified gene expression patterns across different species may reflect neutral or adaptive evolution (Harrison et al., 2012). For example, species-specific gene expression signatures observed in human and primate neuronal tissues suggest that cognitive differences between these species might be connected to diversified expression patterns in their brains (Enard et al., 2002). However, the immanent noise in expression data complicates to distinguish between environmental and heritable effects on gene expression variation (Voelckel et al., 2017). Interpretation of gene expression evolution in samples taken from different environments can be confounded by the action of various environmental factors, such as diet, abiotic stresses and disease status, that affect gene expression (Harrison et al., 2012). Thus, the detection of heritable gene expression variation requires comparison under the same experimental conditions (Voelckel et al., 2017).

Distinguishing between different modes of evolution is crucial to understand the evolution of a given biological process. Although powerful statistical frameworks have been developed to distinguish adaptive from neutral evolution in coding sequences (Delport et al., 2009), differentiating these two modes of evolution vis-à-vis gene expression remains challenging. Attempts have been made to define a null model of neutral gene expression evolution, albeit we still lack both a consensus neutral model as well as a generally accepted statistical framework for gene expression evolution (Rohlfs et al., 2014; Khaitovich et al., 2004). An alternative way to distinguish between different modes of gene expression evolution is to employ empirical approaches to identify gene expression patterns both within and across species. For instance, if gene regulation evolves under stabilizing selection, a conserved gene expression pattern is expected both within and among species. By contrast, if gene regulation is under directional selection in a species, a distinct, highly conserved gene expression pattern within the species is expected (Romero et al., 2012; Harrison et al., 2012).

In previous comparative transcriptome studies in plants, it was noted that variation in
gene expression between or within species was substantially enriched for stress-responsive
genes, suggesting an important role for stress-responsive gene expression changes in adaptation
to the environment (Koenig et al., 2013; Voelckel et al., 2017; Groen et al., 2020). Despite this
notion, we poorly understand how stress-induced transcriptomic changes evolved in plants.
However, studies comparing expression variation within and across species in unified
experimental setups are rare in general and have not been described for plants.

In recent years, several close and distant relatives of the model plant *Arabidopsis*
thaliana, belonging to the Brassicaceae plant family, have been genome-sequenced and used as
model systems to understand the evolution of various biological traits. For instance, the
comparison of the recently sequenced *Cardamine hirsuta* genome with that of *A. thaliana* has
advanced our understanding of the molecular mechanisms that mediate the evolution of leaf
shapes and pod shattering (Vlad et al., 2014; Gan et al., 2016; Das Gupta and Tsiantis, 2018).
The genome sequences of other Brassicaceae species including *Capsella rubella* and *Eutrema*
salsugineum have been used to analyse the mechanisms underlying selfing and abiotic stress-
tolerance, respectively (Wu et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2013; Slotte et al., 2013). Availability of the
rich genomic resources, the different degrees of phylogenetic distance to *A. thaliana*, and the
feasibility to grow these Brassicaceae species in the same experimental conditions make them an
excellent system for comparative genomics, transcriptomics and metabolomics.

In nature, plants are surrounded by microbes which can be potentially beneficial or
pathogenic (Fitzpatrick et al., 2020). To properly respond to the presence of these microbes,
plants have evolved cell-surface localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that sense
conserved microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) leading to activation of pattern-
triggered immunity (PTI) (Albert et al., 2020; Zhou and Zhang, 2020). The two best
characterized MAMPs are the bacteria-derived oligopeptides flg22 and elf18, which are sensed
by their corresponding leucine-rich repeat PRRs FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2) and EF-TU
RECEPTOR (EFR), respectively, in *A. thaliana*. Treatment of plants with flg22 or elf18 elicits a
set of temporally coordinated responses including rapid MAP kinase (MAPK) phosphorylation,
genome-wide transcriptional reprogramming, phytohormone and secondary metabolite
production, followed by inhibition of plant growth and increased resistance against pathogens
(Albert et al., 2020). Although it has been mainly studied in the context of plant-pathogen

interactions, PTI has recently also been implicated in the assembly of the plant microbiota, a

diverse set of microbes that colonize the healthy plant (Hacquard et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020).

Thus, PTI serves as the key mechanism that allows plants to adapt to different environments

characterized by different microbial communities.

Despite the significance of PTI for plant adaptation to the environment, our

understanding of PTI evolution is limited to the evolution of PRRs. For instance, *FLS2* is

conserved throughout many plant lineages including Brassicaceae, Solanaceae and Poaceae

families, whereas *EFR* appears to be restricted to the Brassicaceae family (Boutrot and Zipfel,

2017). However, conservation of PTI responses among different species and how PTI responses

evolve remain poorly understood. Here, we took a comparative transcriptomic and metabolomic

approach using *A. thaliana* (six accessions), *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta*, and *E. salsugineum* in a

unified experimental setup with multiple time points to address the evolution of flg22-triggered

responses in plants.

RESULTS

The tested Brassicaceae plants respond to the MAMP flg22

Based on our analysis using TIMETREE (see Methods), the Brassicaceae species *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta*, and *E. salsugineum* diverged from *A. thaliana* approximately 9, 17 and 26 Mya ago, respectively (Figure 1A). We first investigated whether flg22 treatment induces rapid phosphorylation of MPK3 and MPK6, a typical early event during PTI, in these four Brassicaceae plants. Although protein extracts from *C. hirsuta*, including those from the Oxford accession, were described to not bind flg22 (implying that *C. hirsuta* does not sense flg22) (Vetter et al., 2012), we observed a clear phosphorylation of MPK3 and MPK6 upon flg22 treatment in all tested Brassicaceae plants including *C. hirsuta* Oxford, which was absent in the *A. thaliana fls2* mutant (Figure 1B). We also observed induction of *WKRY29*, a widely used immune marker gene in *A. thaliana* (Asai et al., 2002), in all tested species at 1, 9, and 24 h after flg22 application (Figure 1C). Thus, all four tested Brassicaceae species sense flg22 to trigger typical early PTI responses as observed in *A. thaliana*.

PTI activation reduces plant growth, a late PTI response detectable days after MAMP perception, which is another common measure of PTI outputs in *A. thaliana* (Gómez-Gómez et al., 1999). With the exception of the *fls2* mutant, chronic flg22 exposure reduced seedling growth in all tested species, but the extent of flg22-triggered growth reduction varied and was significantly weaker in *E. salsugineum* compared to the other three species (Figure 1D).

Another PTI output is enhanced pathogen resistance that is induced by MAMP pre-treatment of plants. For example, flg22 pre-treatment reduces proliferation of the foliar bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (*Pto* DC3000) in *A. thaliana* leaves (Zipfel et al., 2004; Tsuda et al., 2008). We found that flg22 pre-treatment reduced bacterial proliferation in *A. thaliana* and *C. rubella* (Figure 1E). In contrast, *Pto* DC3000 growth was only slightly reduced in *C. hirsuta* and not altered in *E. salsugineum* by flg22 treatment (Figure 1E). Thus, the robust induction of early PTI responses by flg22 observed in all tested Brassicaceae does not necessarily lead to heightened immunity against this bacterial pathogen (Figure 1B, C). We noticed that the *Pto* DC3000 titre was much lower in *E. salsugineum* compared to the other species (Figure 1E) and speculated that type III effector(s) from *Pto* DC3000 may be recognized in *E. salsugineum*, triggering ETI and masking the flg22-triggered PTI effect. However, flg22 pre-treatment followed by inoculation with a *Pto* DC3000 mutant strain lacking the functional

type III secretion system (*Pto hrcC*) revealed reduced bacterial growth in *A. thaliana* but not in *E. salsugineum* (Supplemental Figure 1). Thus, flg22 pre-treatment was ineffective against this bacterial pathogen in *E. salsugineum*. Interestingly, *Pto hrcC* did not grow in *C. rubella* (Supplemental Figure 1), suggesting that *Pto hrcC*-triggered PTI responses sufficiently limited *Pto hrcC* growth in *C. rubella*. In summary, while flg22 triggers typical PTI responses in all tested Brassicaceae plants, the physiological consequences, such as plant growth inhibition and bacterial resistance, vary across species.

Flg22 triggers extensive transcriptional reprogramming in all tested Brassicaceae species

To study the transcriptome evolution of PTI responses, we generated RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data for early (1 h), intermediate (9 h), and late (24 h) transcriptome responses after flg22 or mock treatment of the four Brassicaceae species (Figure 2A). In total, this dataset comprised 72 samples with 33.3 million 100-bp strand-specific reads per sample on average. Normalised and log$_2$-transformed count data were used for statistical analysis using a linear model (see Methods).

We determined differentially expressed genes (DEGs) upon flg22 treatment compared to the mock samples based on an adjusted P-value below 0.01 and a minimum fold-change of two for each species at each time point. We observed massive transcriptional reprogramming in all species with 4,349, 4,964, 4,038, and 2,861 DEGs in *A. thaliana* (Ath), *C. rubella* (Cru), *C. hirsuta* (Chi), and *E. salsugineum* (Esa), respectively (Figure 2B). The number of upregulated genes at 1 h was comparable among species, while the number of downregulated genes at 1 h was more variable, with *C. rubella* downregulating approximately three times as many genes as *E. salsugineum*. Interestingly, the number of DEGs at later time-points differed markedly among these species: the expression of about 2,000 genes was altered in *A. thaliana* and *C. rubella*, whereas only 300 to 500 genes were differentially regulated in *C. hirsuta* and *E. salsugineum* 24 h after flg22 treatment (Figure 2B).

To allow comparison of expression changes of individual gene between species, we used Best Reciprocal BLAST to determine 1:1 orthologues between *A. thaliana* and the other Brassicaceae species. Subsequently, we only selected genes showing a 1:1 orthologue relationship between *A. thaliana* and each of the Brassicaceae species, resulting in a set of 17,856 orthologous genes (Supplemental Data Set 7). From the total of 6,106 genes, which were differentially expressed at least at one time point in at least one of the species, 868 DEGs

(14.2%) were shared among all Brassicaceae species (Figure 2C and Supplemental Figure 2).

These 868 DEGs represent a core set of flg22-responsive genes in these Brassicaceae species as

their responses to flg22 were maintained over 26 million years of evolution. We also found that a

substantial number of DEGs were species-specific (Figure 2C). The specific up- or down-

regulation of 460 to 1,102 DEGs suggests substantial diversification of flg22-triggered

transcriptional responses during Brassicaceae evolution. Comparisons between *A. thaliana* and

each of the species revealed that about one third of flg22-induced transcriptional changes (35.5%

with *C. rubella*, 35.6% with *C. hirsuta* and 31.7% with *E. salsugineum*) are shared between *A.*

thaliana and each of the respective species (Figure 2D). Taken together, flg22 triggers

overlapping but distinct massive transcriptional reprogramming patterns in these Brassicaceae

species.

Conserved flg22-responsive genes during Brassicaceae evolution

Next, we examined the expression dynamics of the shared 868 DEGs (Figure 3A). These shared

DEGs exhibit similar expression patterns among all four species: genes induced in one species

were also induced in the other species. Comparisons with publicly available datasets revealed

that these shared genes are commonly responsive to MAMPs (flg22, elf18, and

oligogalacturonides (OGs)) and Damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs; Pep2) in *A.*

thaliana (Figure 3A). Many well-known genes involved in different aspects of plant immunity

such as MAMP perception (*CERK1, BAK1, BIK1,* and *SOBIR1*), production of reactive oxygen

species (*RBOHD*), MAPK cascades (*MKK4* and *MPK3*), salicylic acid (SA) signalling

(*CBP60G, NPR1,* and *NPR3*), and immune-related transcription factors (*WRKY13/33/40/62,*

ERF6/104, and *MYB51/122*) were among the conserved flg22-responsive genes (Figure 3B). In

addition, a large number of genes, i.e., approximately 50% of the top 25 induced genes, whose

high induction by flg22 was conserved among all tested Brassicaceae are either functionally

unannotated or were not previously associated with immunity (Figure 3B; red boxes). Thus,

various genes with potentially important and conserved functions in plant immunity remain to be

characterized. Taken together, our data define a core set of genes with conserved flg22

responsiveness over 26 million years of Brassicaceae evolution, suggesting that the regulation of

these genes is under purifying selection and therefore might be broadly relevant for plant-

bacterial interactions.

Differences in flg22-triggered transcriptomic responses among Brassicaceae species

While in general a similar number of genes were differentially expressed after flg22 treatment in the tested Brassicaceae plants, there were substantial differences in temporal dynamics. For instance, transcriptional reprogramming was more transient in *E. salsugineum* compared to *A. thaliana*, and *C. rubella* showed a peculiar pattern characterized by a decrease in the number of DEGs at 9 h and an increase at 24 h (Supplemental Figure 3A). Rapid and sustained transcriptional responses were previously associated with effective bacterial resistance (Lu et al., 2009; Tsuda et al., 2013; Mine et al., 2018). Thus, the lack of flg22-triggered growth restriction of *Pto* DC3000 in *E. salsugineum* (Figure 1E and Supplemental Figure 1) might be explained by the transient nature of the transcriptional response in this species. To gain insights into biological processes associated with this expression pattern, we extracted genes that were induced at 1 h in both *A. thaliana* and *E. salsugineum* and were induced at 24 h in *A. thaliana* but not in *E. salsugineum* (Supplemental Figure 3B). By investigating publicly available gene expression datasets, we found that most of these genes were induced by SA in *A. thaliana* (Supplemental Figure 3C). Consistent with this analysis, flg22 treatment increased SA levels in *A. thaliana* but not in *E. salsugineum* (Supplemental Figure 3D). These results suggest that activated SA signalling is responsible for sustained transcriptional reprogramming in *A. thaliana*. However, flg22-induced transcriptome responses were comparable between wild-type *A. thaliana* Col-0 and the mutant deficient in *SID2*, encoding an SA biosynthesis gene responsible for increased SA accumulation in response to flg22 (Supplemental Figure 3E-H) (Hillmer et al., 2017). Thus, SA accumulation alone does not explain the distinct temporal dynamics of transcriptional reprogramming in these Brassicaceae plants.

A considerable number of genes were only differentially expressed in one of the Brassicaceae species (Figure 2C). To understand the degree of specificity in gene expression patterns in these Brassicaceae plants, we clustered and visualized expression changes of all 6,106 DEGs (Supplemental Figure 4). This analysis revealed that although most of the DEGs showed similar expression patterns, four gene clusters exhibited species-specific signatures (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure 4). These four clusters contained 1,086 genes, representing about 18% of all DEGs. Although GO term analysis of the shared DEGs revealed a strong enrichment of defence-associated biological processes including "immune/defence response", "response to

bacterium", and "response to ethylene/SA/JA stimulus", there was almost a complete lack of GO term enrichment within the four gene clusters showing species-specific expression signatures (Figure 3D). We entertain the possibility that genes showing species-specific patterns are involved in a collection of biological processes. Indeed, species-specific clusters contained genes associated with stress- and/or immunity-associated GO terms (Figure 3D). In *A. thaliana-* and *C. hirsuta*-specific flg22-inducible genes, GO terms connected to secondary metabolism including "phenylpropanoid metabolic process", "lignin metabolic process" and "coumarin metabolic process" were significantly enriched (Figure 3D and Supplemental Data Set 3). Distinct changes in the expression of these genes could result in differences in secondary metabolite production, which could in turn directly impact microbial growth and behaviour in plants (Piasecka et al., 2015; Stringlis et al., 2019).

Flg22 transcriptome responses are highly conserved among genetically and geographically diverse *A. thaliana* accessions

The observed differences in gene expression patterns indicate diversification processes that might have occurred over 26 million years of Brassicaceae evolution. Alternatively, such variation in transcriptome responses can be generated within a single species. To address this question, we analysed the variation in flg22 responses among *A. thaliana* accessions. First, we tested the responsiveness of 24 *A. thaliana* accessions to flg22 using a MAPK phosphorylation assay. Flg22 treatment induced MAPK phosphorylation in all accessions except Cvi-0, which lacks a functional FLS2 receptor, therefore representing a natural negative control (Dunning et al., 2007) (Figure 4A). To avoid underestimation of diversity in flg22 responses within *A. thaliana*, we selected 12 out of the 24 accessions, which belong to distinct genetic groups (based on admixture groups from 1001genomes.org) and are geographically distributed over the USA, Europe, and Asia (Figure 4B). We found that all the 12 accessions induced *PROPEP3* expression 1 h after flg22 treatment (Figure 4C). We further generated and analysed the transcriptomes of five of these accessions 1 h after flg22 or mock treatment using RNA-seq. Importantly, these accessions were collected from geographically distant regions, were genetically diverse, and showed variable growth phenotypes (Figure 4B and D). We mapped the RNA-seq reads on the *A. thaliana* Col-0 reference genome and used the same set of 17,856 1:1 orthologous genes as in

the comparison between Brassicaceae species to avoid overestimation of conservation, which
may result from a larger number of shared genes in the comparison within *A. thaliana*.

The transcriptome responses of *A. thaliana* accessions to 1 h-flg22 treatment were similar
in magnitude to those of other Brassicaceae plants and the *A. thaliana* Col-0 accession (4,964 to
2,861 DEGs), ranging from 4,372 (Kon) to 2,443 (Kn-0) DEGs (Figure 4E). However, the
overlap of DEGs among the five *A. thaliana* accessions was greater than that of the four
Brassicaceae species, as 1,232 DEGs, 26% of the total, were shared among these five accessions
while 764 DEGs, 15.7% of the total at 1 h, were shared among the four Brassicaceae species
(Figure 4F and Supplemental Figure 2). Consistent with this, expression patterns of all 4,733
DEGs (differentially expressed in at least one accession) were highly conserved among the five
accessions, and we did not find accession-specific expression clusters with the same clustering
threshold used in the interspecies comparisons (Figure 4G). Mapping the RNA-seq reads on the
Col-0 reference genome potentially biased the analysis toward similar expression patterns among
A. thaliana accessions. To test for this possibility, we generated SNP-corrected genomes for each
accession and re-mapped the RNA-seq reads from *A. thaliana* accessions to their own genomes.
These two mapping procedures yielded comparable results (Supplemental Figure 5). Therefore,
we used the initial mapping procedure to the Col-0 reference genome for the following analyses.

Variable transcriptome responses to flg22 were associated with distinct effects of flg22
pre-treatment on *Pto* DC3000 growth in Brassicaceae plants (Figure 1E and Supplemental Figure
1). Therefore, we speculated that the high similarity in flg22-induced transcriptional
reprogramming observed in *A. thaliana* accessions would lead to similar flg22 effects on flg22-
triggered immunity against this bacterial pathogen. Indeed, flg22 significantly reduced *Pto*
DC3000 titres in all accessions, although the bacterial growth in mock conditions differed among
accessions with lower bacterial titres in Gy-0 and Kon and higher titres in No-0 compared to the
Col-0 accession (Figure 4H). Taken together, these results indicate that evolution rates within *A.*
thaliana were insufficient to diversify early flg22-induced gene expression changes and bacterial
resistance.

Inter-species variation in transcriptome responses to flg22 exceeds intra-species variation
and is incongruent with the phylogeny

To directly compare variation in transcriptome responses to flg22 between the Brassicaceae species and within *A. thaliana*, we re-analysed the data from all 1 h samples together. We normalised, determined DEGs, and clustered $\log_2$ expression changes of all 5,961 DEGs. Similar to the previous analyses, the heatmap revealed gene clusters with species-specific signatures for each species but no gene cluster with *A. thaliana* accession-specific signatures (Figure 5A, B). Wrongly-assigned orthologous pairs could lead to spurious identification of species-specific expression patterns. Defining true orthologous genes between different species is challenging especially for gene families with many homologous genes. We reasoned that if the identification of genes with species-specific expression signatures resulted from the incorrect assignment of orthologues, the species-specific gene clusters should be associated with larger gene families compared to other gene clusters. However, we did not observe such a relationship (Supplemental Figure 6A). Therefore, the incorrect assignment of orthologous gene pairs unlikely explains the majority of the species-specific gene expression patterns. Another possibility is that distinct expression changes for genes showing species-specific patterns might be caused by differences in the basal expression level (in mock) among different Brassicaceae plants. Nevertheless, we did not find any consistent patterns in the basal expression levels of genes which would explain species-specific induction by flg22 (Supplemental Figure 6B). Thus, inter-species variation in transcriptome responses to flg22 among the selected Brassicaceae species clearly exceeds intra-species variation among *A. thaliana* accessions.

To provide statistical support for this conclusion, we determined the number of genes that responded differently to flg22 between the Brassicaceae plants including *A. thaliana* Col-0 or between the five *A. thaliana* accessions. We detected 1,992 DEGs in the inter-species comparison and only 131 DEGs in the comparison within *A. thaliana* (Figure 5C). From these 131 genes, only the Can-0 accession harboured one gene responding differently compared to all other accessions. Among Brassicaceae plants, a considerable number of genes were specifically differentially expressed in only one of the species (Figure 5D). Consistent with *C. rubella* having the largest number of genes in the species-specific gene cluster (Figure 5A, B; Cluster 7), flg22 specifically triggered induction of the largest number of genes in *C. rubella* among the tested Brassicaceae species (Figure 5D).

The observed divergent gene expression between different species together with the low variation within species could be interpreted as evidence of species-specific directional natural

selection. In other words, the species-specific gene expression signatures could be associated

with adaptive evolution (Harrison et al., 2012; Romero et al., 2012). Alternatively, if the

transcriptome variation among Brassicaceae species was caused by stochastic processes and was

thus selectively neutral, such variation should correlate with the phylogenetic distance between

the species (Broadley et al., 2008). We performed a principal component analysis (PCA) with

genes that are differentially expressed 1 h after flg22 treatment in at least one plant genotype

(Figure 5E). In the PCA plot, all *A. thaliana* accessions were clustered together, whereas other

Brassicaceae plants were separated from *A. thaliana*. Importantly, *C. rubella*, the closest relative

to *A. thaliana* among the tested species, was the most distant species from *A. thaliana* (Figure

5E). In addition, *C. hirsuta* and *E. salsugineum*, which separated 26 Mya, were clustered together

(Figure 5E). Thus, the variation in flg22-induced gene expression changes across the tested

Brassicaceae species was incongruent with the Brassicaceae phylogeny. These results suggest

that at least some species-specific transcriptome responses to flg22 might reflect adaptive traits

during Brassicaceae evolution.

The conservation of gene induction across six *A. thaliana* accessions (Figure 5A, B;

Cluster 1) suggests that the observed species-specific expression signatures in Brassicaceae

species might represent novel inventions in the respective species. To test this idea, we

determined expression changes of selected genes showing species-specific expression signatures

in different accessions or sister species of *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta*, and *E. salsugineum* by RT-

qPCR. For this, we selected *Capsella grandiflora* (Cgr, a sister species of *C. rubella*), two

additional *C. hirsuta* accessions (Wa and GR2), and one additional *E. salsugineum* accession

(YT). We selected *PR4*, *CYP79B2*, and *NAC32* as *C. rubella*-specific genes. *PR4* and *NAC32*

were specifically induced both in *C. rubella* and *C. grandiflora*, while *CYP79B2* was induced in

these two species as well as in *A. thaliana* Col-0 (Figure 6). The two *C. hirsuta*-specific genes

RAC7 and *AT3G60966* (as there is no common name for *AT3G60966*, we used the *A. thaliana*

gene code) were specifically induced in all three *C. hirsuta* accessions, with the exception of

AT3G60966, which was additionally induced in *C. grandiflora*. All three *E. salsugineum*-specific

genes (*APK4*, *bZip TF*, and *CYP77A4*) were induced only in *E. salsugineum* accessions (Figure

6). Together, these findings demonstrate that the specific patterns of gene regulation observed in

each of the tested Brassicaceae are conserved features in the respective species or lineage.

WRKY TFs are central for flg22-triggered gene induction and may be responsible for emergence of species-specific gene induction

Changes in gene transcription are often mediated by the binding of specific transcription factors (TFs) to 5′-regulatory regions (Baxter et al., 2012). However, our understanding of how gene expression is regulated during PTI, whether gene regulatory mechanisms differ in different species, and how a given species acquires a new mode of gene regulation is far from complete. Together with genomic resources, we reasoned that our datasets, which reveal both conserved and diversified gene expression patterns in the Brassicaceae species, may provide valuable insights into these questions. To this end, we searched the 5′-regulatory regions (500 bp upstream of the transcriptional start site) of the genes in each of the 15 gene clusters (Figure 5) for known TF-binding motifs in each Brassicaceae species. Our analysis revealed that multiple motifs, which are typically bound by WRKY TFs, are highly enriched in the 5′-regulatory regions of genes in common flg22-induced clusters such as Clusters 2, 5, 13, and 14 (Figure 7A and Supplemental Figure 7). In *A. thaliana*, WRKY TFs are well known to regulate transcriptional reprogramming during plant immunity including response to flg22 (Birkenbihl et al., 2017; Tsuda and Somssich, 2015). Also, the WRKY gene family has significantly expanded in land plants, likely required for adaptation to the terrestrial environment (One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative, 2019). Our results suggest that transcriptional induction mediated by WRKY TFs is a conserved mechanism in response to flg22 across these Brassicaceae species. In addition, *A. thaliana*, *C. rubella*, and *C. hirsuta* 5'-gene regulatory regions of flg22-induced expression clusters (Clusters 13, 6, and 14, respectively) were significantly enriched for CAMTA TF-binding motifs (Figure 7 – source data 1), which play an important role in early immune transcriptional reprogramming (Jacob et al., 2018).

Interestingly, we found that WRKY TFs are associated with the 5′-regulatory regions of genes showing species-specific induction only in the species that are highly flg22-responsive. For instance, in Clusters 1, 3, and 10, WRKY TF-binding motifs were only enriched in 5′-regulatory regions of flg22-induced genes in *A. thaliana*, *C. rubella*, and *E. salsugineum*, respectively (Figure 7B). In addition, in the *C. rubella*-specific expression cluster (Cluster 7), AHL TF-motifs were enriched only in *C. rubella* 5′-regulatory regions (Figure 7B). AHL TFs have been associated with plant developmental processes but some AHL TFs are involved in MAMP-induced gene expression (Lou et al., 2014; Mine et al., 2018). These results suggest that

in these Brassicaceae plants the emergence of cis-regulatory sequences that are bound by specific

TFs such as WRKY TFs facilitated the evolution of distinct gene induction patterns.

Variation in coding sequences show no strong correlation with transcriptome variation

Previous studies reported a positive correlation between gene expression and coding sequence

evolution and suggested that similar selective forces might have acted on both modes of

evolution (Hunt et al., 2013; Khaitovich et al., 2005), although it should be noted that in some

studies, this correlation was organ-dependent or not detected at all (Whittle et al., 2014; Tirosh

and Barkai, 2008). Thus, the relationship between gene expression and coding sequence

evolution appears to be species- or condition-dependent. Therefore, we asked whether the

variation in basal or flg22-triggered expression changes is correlated with variation in amino acid

sequences among the tested Brassicaceae species. We compared the standard deviation divided

by the mean of the expression levels in mock-treated RNA-seq samples (1 h) of *A. thaliana* Col-

0 and other Brassicaceae plants with the mean amino acid sequence identities between *A.*

thaliana Col-0 and each of the other species. We found no correlation in variation between

amino acid sequence and basal gene expression (Figure 8A). Similarly, we compared flg22-

induced expression changes of all expressed genes or DEGs (1 h) with amino acid sequence

identities and found no correlation (Figure 8B, C). Finally, we tested whether pairwise

differences in flg22-induced expression changes between *A. thaliana* and individual

Brassicaceae species were linked to amino acid sequence diversification. Again, we did not find

any strong correlation (Figure 8D-I). Thus, variation in gene expression both at basal levels and

in response to flg22 does not correlate with variation in amino acid sequences, suggesting that

different selective forces drove gene expression and coding sequence evolution in these

Brassicaceae species.

The genetic divergence and allele frequencies of coding or regulatory regions of immediate-

flg22-responsive genes implicate neutral ascendancy in *A. thaliana*

To test whether genes displaying a specific response in *A. thaliana* (clusters 1 and 12) may have

been subjected to recent adaptive pressures, we compared their patterns of polymorphism and

divergence at upstream and coding regions with other gene clusters displaying no *A. thaliana*-

specific expression (clusters 2,5,7,9). If recent and recurrent regulatory adaptive mutations in *A.*

thaliana were the ultimate cause of the expression specificity observed in clusters 1 and 12, we
should observe an elevated divergence between *A. thaliana* and *A. lyrata* at regulatory regions
compared to the other clusters and potentially a distribution of allele frequencies skewed towards
higher frequency classes compared to neutral expectations (Nielsen, 2005). Our results, rather
indicate that the genetic variation observed at genes with *A. thaliana* -specific responses are
overall in line with the variation observed at other clusters regardless of species-specificity
(Supplemental Figure 8). However, cluster 5 (highly induced in all species) showed the lowest
genetic divergence on its upstream regions while the neutral synonymous variation for the same
cluster was the highest (Supplemental Figure 8). This suggests that this lower divergence at
upstream regions is not the result of a lower mutation rate but rather the result of stronger
purifying selection acting on the regulatory regions of those genes with conserved expression
patterns in this cluster.

The allelic distributions at the upstream and coding sequences of these clusters in *A.*
thaliana were also determined to assess negative and positive selection. We did not observe clear
differences in evolutionary selection among six clusters examined (Supplemental Figure 9).
Long-term balancing selection has been identified as an important process shaping the genetic
variation of immunity related genes in plant (Koenig et al., 2019). One of the signatures of
balancing selection in polymorphism and divergence data is the increase of the ratio of non-
synonymous to synonymous divergence and polymorphism (Ka/Ks and pi_a/pi_s, respectively).
A number of genes under balancing selection was observed in each cluster (Supplemental Figure
10), indicating that balancing selection acts on flg22-responsive genes in *A. thaliana* independent
of the species-specificity.

Differences in metabolome profiles in response to flg22 among the Brassicaceae species
Some genes showing species-specific expression patterns were associated with GO terms
connected to secondary metabolism (Supplemental Data Set 8). This prompted us to investigate
whether flg22 treatment differentially affects metabolite profiles of Brassicaceae plants. In
unbiased HPLC-MS analysis, we detected various differentially accumulating metabolites
(DAMs; q-value < 0.05, minimum fold change of 1.5) in response to flg22 among the four
Brassicaceae plants (Figure 9A). Interestingly, most flg22-induced changes in metabolite
accumulation were species-specific and only 19 out of 360 DAM signals were commonly

affected by flg22 in all tested Brassicaceae species, indicating a strong diversification of the

native metabolome and its reprogramming in response to flg22 (Figure 9B). This notion was

further supported by the clustering of $\log_2$ fold changes for all DAMs, which showed only

limited number of overlaps between metabolome alterations (Figure 9D). In line with the flg22-

triggered transcriptional activation, the variation in metabolome changes was incongruent with

the Brassicaceae phylogeny as *A. thaliana* and *E. salsugineum*, which are the most distantly

related tested species, clustered together in the PCA based on all DAMs (Figure 9C).

DISCUSSION

Although current knowledge suggests that stabilizing selection and neutral fluctuation largely shape the evolution of transcriptomic variation, directional selection also appears to considerably shape the regulation of gene expression (Rifkin et al., 2003; Nuzhdin et al., 2004; Lemos et al., 2005; Whitehead and Crawford, 2006; Romero et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2019; Brawand et al., 2011). Our comparison of transcriptome responses within *A. thaliana* accessions and among four Brassicaceae species reveals fundamental features of transcriptome evolution: we have identified conserved core genes and species-specific responsive genes across Brassicaceae plants during flg22-induced PTI. A core set of responsive genes conserved across Brassicaceae likely reflect stabilizing selection to keep important gene regulatory processes in PTI whereas each species evolved their specific responses for species-specific arms. Our unified experimental setup for all transcriptome comparisons has allowed us to detect heritable gene expression variation among these species.

We have shown that transcriptome responses to flg22 are remarkably conserved within *A. thaliana*. A previous study found that variation in the expression of stress-responsive genes accounted for the majority of divergence in expression among *A. thaliana* accessions (Kawakatsu et al., 2016), and many studies have described the strong plasticity of immune-responsive gene expression in the face of other environmental perturbations such as abiotic stresses (Berens et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2014; Ueno et al., 2015; Coolen et al., 2016). Since the tested *A. thaliana* accessions were collected from habitats with distinct climatic conditions such as the Canary Islands and Lithuania and have diverse genetic backgrounds, these highly conserved early transcriptome responses to flg22 were surprising. This finding indicates that short-term evolution in divergent environments is insufficient to introduce major variation in early transcriptional responses during PTI and reflects the importance of a rapid transcriptome response in *A. thaliana* across diverse environments.

We have found that the expression changes of large numbers of genes are conserved among different Brassicaceae species during flg22-induced PTI. Many of these genes have not been previously characterized or linked to plant defence. Thus, our dataset provides the basis and rationale for future studies. In addition, the conservation of transcriptome responses to flg22 over 26 million years of Brassicaceae evolution indicates that these responses evolved under stabilizing selection (Whitehead and Crawford, 2006). Many studies have demonstrated the

importance of transcription factors that regulate the expression of specific genes during PTI
(Birkenbihl et al., 2017; Jacob et al., 2018). However, the lack of a method to efficiently and
specifically block transcriptional reprogramming during PTI means that it remains obscure
whether genome-wide transcriptional reprogramming during PTI is required for plant defence
against pathogens and/or for adaptation to their environments. The stabilizing selection observed
in the transcriptome responses strongly suggests that the massive transcriptional reprogramming
during PTI is advantageous for Brassicaceae plants in nature.

While all tested Brassicaceae species deployed rapid, massive transcriptional
reprogramming as well as MAPK activation, they exhibited different PTI outputs. For instance,
in *C. hirsuta* and *E. salsugineum*, the flg22-elicited transcriptional response was not associated
with flg22-induced resistance against *Pto* DC3000. This seems counterintuitive for species not
benefitting from costly transcriptional reprogramming. Maintaining the PTI transcriptional
reprogramming is analogous with the retention of susceptible alleles for *Rps2*, a resistance gene
for the pathogen virulence effector AvrRpt2 (MacQueen et al., 2016). One of the explanations is
that susceptible *Rps2* alleles encode recognition specificities for pathogen effectors that have yet
to be identified (MacQueen et al., 2016). Similarly, transcriptional reprogramming induced by
flg22 may be associated with effective resistance against different bacterial pathogens or the
control of plant microbiota in *C. hirsuta* and *E. salsugineum* (Hacquard et al., 2017; Chen et al.,
2020). Understanding of how diversity in PTI responses across plant species is linked to plant
adaptation would be key to comprehend the role of PTI.

In addition to the conserved transcriptome responses, we have also revealed that each of
the tested Brassicaceae species exhibits heritable species/lineage-specific expression signatures
during flg22-induced PTI. This can be explained by genetic drift in which case changes might be
selectively neutral. Alternatively, the species-specific expression patterns might be selective.
Evolutionary theory suggests that if expression changes in a species are adaptive, little variation
is expected within that species. We have shown that species-specific expression patterns are
conserved among multiple accessions or sister species in the respective species. Moreover,
variation in transcriptome responses during flg22-induced PTI was incongruent with the
Brassicaceae phylogeny, which is inconsistent with the variation being caused solely by genetic
drift. Thus, some of the species-specific expression signatures observed in this study during
flg22-induced PTI appear to be selectively driven expression shifts. As similar incongruence was

observed for the flg22-induced changes in metabolomes of the investigated species these
expression signatures likely include genes linked with specialized metabolism.

We have also shown that interspecific differences are larger than intraspecific variations
in the early transcriptome response. This expression divergence could be explained by variation
in expression and function of transcription factors and/or in 5′-gene regulatory regions *cis*-
regulatory elements that coincides in a lineage-specific manner. It has been thought that most of
the intraspecific variations could be attributed to *cis*-associated differences which are tightly in
constraint by linkage disequilibrium while interspecific differences are largely due to trans-
associated alterations being a larger mutational target (Signor and Nuzhdin, 2018). However,
disentangling the contributions of *trans-* and *cis-* components of transcriptional control remains
challenging. A recent finding revealed that transcriptome variation is disposed to strong selection
pressure under perturbed environments, in particular, genes with low expression and
stochasticity, and with high plasticity (Groen et al., 2020). In line with the important role of
WRKY TFs in gene induction during immunity (Tsuda and Somssich, 2015; Birkenbihl et al.,
2017), we have revealed that WRKY-TF binding motifs are highly enriched in the 5′-gene
regulatory sequences of species in which the genes are induced. This suggests that some specific
gains of TF-binding motifs in the 5′-gene regulatory regions account for the evolution of some
species-specific flg22-responsive expression changes. It might also be possible that duplicated
genes could be responsible for species-specific differences that we may have missed in this study
as we entirely omitted lineage-specific duplicates. However, this would not introduce a very
serious bias as a large proportion of genes in the genomes (17,856) were successfully analysed in
this study.

Whether gene expression evolution correlates with coding sequence evolution remains
under debate (Tirosh and Barkai, 2008). Some studies found a positive correlation between gene
expression and coding sequence evolution and argued that similar selection pressures act on both
modes of evolution (Hunt et al., 2013; Whittle et al., 2014). In contrast, others have concluded
that gene expression evolution may provide additional evolutionary capacity if the sequence of
the respective gene is under evolutionary constraint (Shapiro et al., 2004; Harrison et al., 2012;
Dean et al., 2015). In this scenario, gene expression variation would not be correlated with
coding sequence evolution (Tirosh and Barkai, 2008; Renaut et al., 2012; Uebbing et al., 2016).
In this study, we found almost no correlation between variation in basal gene expression or

flg22-induced gene expression changes and variation in their amino acid sequences. The
connection between gene expression and coding sequence variation might depend on species and
conditions (Whittle et al., 2014). Future studies, especially in the plant field, are needed to better
define the relationship between these two modes of evolution.

In summary, we have shown that variation in biotic stress-induced gene expression is
under purifying selection and is also likely to be under directional selection among Brassicaceae
species. Overall, our findings provide considerable insight into the evolution of transcriptome
responses during stress responses in plants and constitute a basis for future research.

Material and Methods

Plant materials

Table 1: Brassicaceae species and accessions used in this study

Bold entries indicate species used for RNAseq and metabolome analyses.

Species	Accession	Abbreviation	Reference
Arabidopsis thaliana	**Col-0**	Ath	Kenichi Tsuda lab
Capsella rubella	**N22697**	Cru	(Slotte et al., 2013)
Capsella grandiflora	unknown	Cgr	(Slotte et al., 2013)
Cardamine hirsuta	**Oxford**	Chi	(Hay and Tsiantis, 2006)
Cardamine hirsuta	Wa	Wa	(Cartolano et al., 2015)
Cardamine hirsuta	GR2	GR	Miltos Tsiantis lab
Eutrema salsugineum	**Shandong**	Esa	Miltos Tsiantis lab
Eutrema salsugineum	Yukon	Eyt	Miltos Tsiantis lab

578 **Table 2: *A. thaliana* accessions used in this study**

579 Bold entries indicate accessions used for RNAseq. [1]Admixture group (1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)

Accession	Cs number	Country	Admixture group[1]	Reference
An-1	CS76435	BEL	admixed	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Bla-1	CS76451	ESP	spain	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Can-0	**CS76740**	ESP	relict	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Col-0	**CS76778**	USA	germany	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
CVI-0	CS76789	CPV	relict	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Edi-0	CS76831	UK	admixed	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Gy-0	**CS78901**	FRA	western europe	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
HR10	CS76940	UK	western_europe	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Kas-2	CS78905	IND	asia	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Kn-0	**CS76969**	LTU	central_europe	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Kondara	**CS76532**	TJK	asia	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Ms-0	CS76555	RUS	asia	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
No-0	**CS77128**	GER	central_europe	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Pna-17	CS76575	USA	germany	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Rsch4	CS77222	RUS	germany	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Se-0	CS76597	ESP	spain	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Sf-2	CS77247	ESP	spain	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Sorbo	CS78917	TJK	asia	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Tamm-27	CS77341	FIN	north_sweden	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Ts-1	CS76615	ESP	spain	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Tsu-0	CS77389	JPN	admixed	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Van-0	CS76623	CAN	western_europe	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Wil-2	CS78856	LTU	central_europe	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)
Wu-0	CS78858	GER	germany	(1001 Genomes Consortium, 2016)

Table 3: *A. thaliana* mutants used in this study

Species	Mutant allele	Locus	Source
Arabidopsis thaliana	*sid2-2*	AT1G74710	Tsuda et al., 2008
Arabidopsis thaliana	*fls2* (SAIL_691C4)	AT5G46330	Zipfel et al., 2004

Plant growth

Plant seeds were sterilized by vortexing in 70% ethanol for 5 min and then 6% NaClO for 10 min, washed five times with sterile water, and stratified in sterile water at 4°C for five to seven days. Sterilized seeds were grown on ½ Murashige and Skoog (MS)-Agar (2.45 g/L M&S+Vitamins+MES (Duchefa, Netherlands), 1% sucrose, 0.5% plant agar, pH 5.8) plates in a Percival plant growth chamber (CU-36LX5D, Percival, USA) at 22°C with 10 h of light for eleven days if not stated otherwise. Eleven-day-old seedlings were transferred to liquid ½ MS-Medium (2.45 g/L M&S+Vitamins+MES (Duchefa, Netherlands), 1% sucrose) one day before flg22 treatment. Alternatively, 12-day-old seedlings were transferred to soil (Stender, Schermbeck Germany) and grown at 23°C/20 °C with 10 h/14 h (light/dark) and 60% relative humidity. Soil-grown plants were transferred to another chamber at 22°C with a 12 h photoperiod and 60% relative humidity three days before bacterial inoculation.

Flg22 treatment

Eleven-day-old seedlings were transferred from ½ MS-Agar to 24-well plates each with 1.6 ml of ½ MS-Medium 24 h prior to treatments. If not otherwise stated, five to ten seedlings per sample were transferred to each well. For the flg22 treatment, 800 µl of 3 µM flg22 (EZBiolab Inc., USA) solution was added to the medium containing the seedlings resulting in a final concentration of 1 µM flg22. Seedlings were harvested in liquid nitrogen at the indicated time points and three wells were combined into one biological sample. The samples were stored at -80°C until use.

Seedling growth inhibition assay

Seven-day-old seedlings grown on ½ MS-Agar were transferred to 1.6 ml of ½-MS-Medium with or without 1 µM flg22 and grown for another 12 days in these conditions. Then, the fresh weight of 12 pooled seedlings was measured. The experiment was carried out three independent times, and statistical analysis was performed with $\log_2$-transformed fresh weight values.

Bacterial growth assay

For preparation of bacterial inoculum, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 (*Pto* DC3000) or the T3SS deficient *Pto* DC3000 mutant *Pto hrcC* (Tsuda et al., 2008) was grown on NYGA agar (2% glycerol, 0.5% Bacto Peptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 1% Bacto Agar, pH 7.0) plates containing 25 µg/ml rifampicin for three days at 28°C. Then, bacterial strains were transferred to liquid NYGA medium containing 25 µg/ml rifampicin and incubated overnight at 28°C with shaking at 200 rpm to a final OD_{600} between 0.8 and 1. The bacteria were pelleted by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm and washed twice with sterile 5 mM $MgSO_4$ before dilution to an OD600 of 0.0002 (*Pto* DC3000) or 0.001 (*Pto hrcC*).

Four to five-week-old plants were used. Two leaves per plant were infiltrated with 1 µM flg22 or sterile water (mock) using a needleless syringe. One day later, leaves treated with flg22 or mock solution were infiltrated in the early afternoon with the bacterial suspension. Two days after bacterial infiltration, two leaf disks (0.565 cm^2) per sample from two leaves were crushed in 400 µl sterile $MgSO_4$ using a Retsch mixer mill. Dilution series were made and streaked on NYGY agar plates containing 25 µg/ml rifampicin. The plates were incubated for two days at 28°C before colony forming units (cfu) were counted.

Alternatively, bacterial growth was quantified using a qPCR-based method as previously described (Ross and Somssich, 2016). In brief, DNA of bacteria-infiltrated leaves was extracted using the FastDNA^{TM} Spin Kit (MP Biomedicals). Extracted DNA was quantified and adjusted to 8.75 µg/µl to achieve a final concentration of 35 µg DNA in a qPCR reaction. Bacterial DNA was quantified using the levels of the *Pto*-specific *oprF* gene relative to plant *ACTIN2* (*ACT2*) DNA. ΔCt values were calculated by subtracting the Ct value of the target gene from that of *ACT2*. These ΔCt values were considered log_2 values and used for statistical analysis. Used primers are listed in Supplemental Data Set 9.

MAP kinase phosphorylation assay

The MAPK phosphorylation assay was performed as previously described (Tsuda et al., 2009). In short, 12-day-old seedlings were treated with 1 µM flg22 or mock for 15 min, frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground with four metal beads in a Retsch MM 400 mixing mill (Retsch, Germany). Then, 150 µl of MAPK extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL [pH 7.5], 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM

EGTA, 2 mM DTT, 10 mM NaF, 50 mM ß-glycerolphosphate, 10% glycerol, complete

proteinase inhibitor and PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor [both from Roche, Germany]) were

added to the sample and protein was extracted by centrifugation (4°C, 12,000 rpm). Protein

concentration was determined using the Coomassie Protein Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific,

USA), and 25 µg of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE for 1 h at 100V. MAPK

phosphorylation was detected via immunoblotting using an antiphospho-p44/42 MAPK antibody

(dilution 1:5000 in TBST, Cell Signaling Technology, USA) as primary and HRP-conjugated

anti-rabbit IgG (1:10000 in TBST, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) as secondary antibody. Luminescence

was detected using SuperSignal West Femto Chemiluminescent Reagent (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Biorad, USA).

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and RT-qPCR

Seedling samples were ground in 2-mL reaction tubes with four metal beads using a Retsch MM

400 mixing mill (Retsch, Germany). RNA was extracted using peqGOLD TriFast^{TM} with an

additional DNA digestion step using DNAse I (Roche, Germany). Further, RNA was precipitated

overnight at 4°C in 100% ethanol containing 115 mM Na-Ac (pH 5.2; Sigma Aldrich, Germany)

to further clean up and increase RNA yield. RNA quality and quantity was determined using a

NanoDrop photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Subsequently, cDNA was synthesized from 4

µg DNAse-treated total RNA using Superscript II or IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was performed on a CFX Connect

Real-Time PCR Detection System (Biorad) using EvaGreen (Biotium, USA). The target gene

was quantified relative to the expression of *ACTIN2* (*ACT2*) from *A. thaliana* or other

Brassicaceae plants. ΔCt values were calculated by subtracting the Ct value of the target gene

from that of *ACT2*. These ΔCt values were considered log$_2$ values and were further used for

statistical analysis. Primers used are listed in Supplemental Data Set 9.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for the seedling growth inhibition assay, bacterial growth assay and RT-qPCR

was performed using a mixed linear model with the function lmer implemented in the lme4

package within the R environment. To meet the assumptions of the mixed linear model, we log-

transformed raw data when needed. The following model was fit to the data: measurement$_{gyr}$ ~

$GY_{gy} + R_r + \epsilon_{gyr}$, with GY denoting the genotype:treatment interaction effect; R, biological

replicate effect; ϵ, residual. The p-values calculated in two-tailed t-tests were corrected for

multiple hypothesis testing using the qvalue package when samples were compared with each

other in a given figure panel.

RNA-seq

RNA quality was checked with the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer or Caliper LabChip GX device.

PolyA enrichment and library preparation were performed with the NEBNext Ultra Directional

RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs). Libraries were quantified by

fluorometry, immobilized and processed onto a flow cell with a cBot (Illumina), followed by

sequencing-by-synthesis with HiSeq v3 chemistry. Library construction and RNA sequencing

were performed by the Max Planck-Genome-centre Cologne (http://mpgc.mpipz.mpg.de/home/)

with single 100 bp (*A. thaliana* Col-0, *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta*, and *E. salsugineum*) or 150 bp

reads (all other *A. thaliana* accessions) using the Illumina HiSeq2500 or HiSeq3000 platforms,

respectively. After quality control, raw sequencing reads were mapped to respective reference

genomes (Table 4) using TopHat2 (v2.1.1) with default parameters except for the parameters

described in Table 5. The resulting bam files were used to count the number of reads per gene

using HtSeq (v 0.6.0) software with default parameters. To exclude biases caused by mapping

sequence reads of different *A. thaliana* accessions to the Col-0 genome, we created mapping

genome files for each *A. thaliana* accession by correcting the Col-0 reference genome with SNP

data available for these accessions. We downloaded the variants table for each accession from

the website of the 1001 Genomes Project (intersection_snp_short_indel_vcf V3.1 dataset). The

pseudo-genome sequence of each accession was inferred by replacing the reference allele with

the corresponding alternative allele using the getGenomeSequence function implemented in

AnnotationLiftOver software (https://github.com/baoxingsong/AnnotationLiftOver). Further we

created general feature format files (GFF) by projecting the coordinates of the TAIR10 gene

annotations onto the coordinates of each accession with the gffCoordinateLiftOver function of

AnnotationLiftOver. With these files, we performed a second mapping as described above. The

RNA-seq data used in this study are deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology

Information Gene Expression Omnibus database (accession no. GSE115991).

Table 4: Reference genomes used for RNAseq analysis

Species	Reference genome	publication	Source
Arabidopsis thaliana	TAIR 10	(Lamesch et al., 2012)	Phytozome 10
Ath accessions	SNP corrected TAIR10		This study
Capsella rubella	v1.0	(Slotte et al., 2013)	Phytozome 10
Cardamine hirsuta	v1.0	(Gan et al., 2016)	http://chi.mpipz.mpg.de/
Eutrema salsugineum	v1.0	(Yang et al., 2013)	Phytozome 10

Table 5: Tophat2 parameters used for mapping RNAseq reads

TopHat2 parameter	Value
--read mismatches	10
-- read-gap-length	10
-- read-edit-dist	20
--min-anchor-length	5
--splice-mismatches	2
--min-intron-length	30
--max-intron-length	1000
--max-insertion-length	20
--max-deletion-length	20
--max-multihits	10
--segment-mismatches	3
--min-coverage-intron	30
--max-coverage-intron	10000
--library-type	fr-firstrand
--b2	very sensitive

The read counts determined by HTSeq were analysed in the R environment (v.3.3.1) using the edgeR (version 3.14.0) and limma (version 3.28.14) packages. Lowly expressed genes were excluded from analysis by filtering out genes with a mean read count below 10 counts per sample. Then, read counts were normalized using TMM normalization embedded in the edge R package and the data were $\log_2$-transformed using the voom function within the limma package to yield $\log_2$ counts per million. For individual analysis of Brassicaceae species and *A. thaliana* accession data, a linear model was fit to each gene using the lmFit function of limma with the following terms: $S_{gyr} = GY_{gy} + R_r + \varepsilon_{gyr}$, where S denotes $\log_2$ expression value, GY,

genotype:treatment interaction, and random factors are R, biological replicate; ε, residual. For

the combined analysis of Brassicaceae species and *A. thaliana* accession data the replicate effect

was removed from the linear model resulting in the following terms: $S_{gy} = GY_{gy} + \varepsilon_{gy}$. For

variance shrinkage of calculated p-values, the eBayes function of limma was used. The resulting

p-values were corrected for multiple testing by calculating the false discovery rate (or q-value)

using the qvalue (v.2.4.2) package.

Normalization and determination of DEGs were performed separately for each

Brassicaceae species and each *A. thaliana* accession. To compare expression changes mediated

by flg22 between Brassicaceae plants, we used Best Reciprocal BLAST to determine genes

which show a 1:1 orthologue with a corresponding *A. thaliana* gene and only kept the genes with

1:1 orthologues in every Brassicaceae species. This resulted in a set of 17,856 1:1 ortholog

genes. We restricted the analysis of *A. thaliana* accessions to the same set of 17,856 genes to

enable a direct comparison of results obtained from Brassicaceae and *A. thaliana* accession

analysis. To directly compare Brassicaceae plants with *A. thaliana* accessions, we further

normalized and determined DEGs for all 1-h samples together using the set of 17,856

orthologous genes. This approach enabled us to compare basal expression levels between

Brassicaceae and *A. thaliana* accessions.

The R packages and software used for further analysis of the sequencing data are listed in

Table 6. Heatmaps and k-mean clustering of DEGs were generated using the Genesis software

with default parameters.

The expression clusters of DEGs determined for the combined RNAseq analysis of

A. thaliana accessions together with Brassicaceae species were investigated for enrichment of

GO terms corresponding to biological processes using the BinGO plugin within the Cytoscape

environment. GO term enrichment was calculated using a hypergeometric test followed by

Benjamini and Hochberg False Discovery Rate correction implemented in the BinGO plugin.

The whole genome annotation was used as a background.

Known TF motifs enriched in individual expression clusters of DEGs determined fin the

combined RNAseq analysis of *A. thaliana* accessions together with Brassicaceae species were

identified using the AME tool within the MEME suite. For this purpose, 5′- gene regulatory

regions (500 bp upstream of the transcription start site) were extracted for each tested

Brassicaceae species. Enrichment of TF motifs was determined in each of the 15 k-means

clusters for all tested Brassicaceae species using the 5′ regulatory-regions of all expressed genes
having clear 1:1 orthologues (16,100 genes) as a background. Known TF motifs were retrieved
from the JASPAR CORE (2018) plants database that is implemented in AME.

To compare amino acid sequence conservation with expression variation, all amino acid
sequences of expressed genes with 1:1 orthologues in all species were extracted for each
Brassicaceae species. The sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega and percent identity
matrices were extracted. The amino acid sequence identity output of Clustal Omega was used to
calculate the mean amino acid identity across *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta* and *E. salsugineum*
compared to *A. thaliana* as a proxy of sequence conservation. The mean amino acid sequence
identities were subsequently plotted against the SD/mean of flg22-expression changes across all
four Brassicaceae species, which served as a proxy for expression variation among the tested
Brassicaceae species. Similarly, the mean amino acid sequence identity was also plotted against
the SD/mean of the normalized expression value in control samples. In addition, pairwise amino
acid sequence identities between *A. thaliana* and each Brassicaceae species were plotted against
the absolute difference in flg22-induced expression changes between the compared species. This
analysis was performed for all expressed genes or only for DEGs.

Table 6: Software and packages used in this study

Software/Package	Version	Citation	Use
AME	4.12.0	(McLeay and Bailey, 2010)	TF motif enrichment
BinGO	3.0.3	(Maere et al., 2005)	GO enrichment
Clustal Omega	1.2.4	(Sievers et al., 2011)	Multiple sequence alignment
Cytoscape	3.3.0	(Shannon et al., 2003)	Run BinGO
EdgeR	3.14.0	(Robinson et al., 2010)	Analysing DEGs
Genevestigator		(Hruz et al., 2008)	public transcriptome data
Genesis	1.7.7	(Sturn et al., 2002)	Heatmaps, clustering
Htseq	0.6.0	(Anders et al., 2015)	Count RNSeq reads
limma	3.28.14	(Ritchie et al., 2015)	Analysing DEGs
MixOmics	6.0	(Rohart et al., 2017)	PCA
TopHat	2.1.1	(Trapnell et al., 2009)	Map RNAseq reads
VennDiagramm	1.6.17	(Chen and Boutros, 2011)	Venn Diagramms

Phylogeny analysis

Various dates have been reported for the divergence of Brassicaceae species (Franzke et al.,

2016). For instance, Beilstein et al. dated the Brassicaceae crown node age to 54 million years

ago (Mya) whereas more recent publications dated this event 31 to 37 Mya ago (Beilstein et al.,

2010; Edger et al., 2015; Hohmann et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Franzke et al., 2016).

Therefore, in this study, we used TIMETREE (www.timetree.org) which synthesizes divergence

times based on the available literature to estimate the timescale of Brassicaceae species evolution

(Hedges et al., 2015). Phylogenetic trees were retrieved from timetree.org based on divergence

time estimates from 15 studies (Arakaki et al., 2011; Artyukova et al., 2014; Beilstein et al.,

2010; Couvreur et al., 2010; Franzke et al., 2009; Heenan et al., 2002; Hermant et al., 2012;

Hohmann et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Koch et al., 2000; Mandáková et al., 2010; Naumann

et al., 2013; Parkinson et al., 2005; Vanneste et al., 2014; Yue et al., 2009).

Genevestigator analysis

The following datasets were used for Genevestigator analysis: AT-00106 (*Pto* DC3000); AT-

00110 (ABA or MeJA); AT-00113 (SA); AT-00147 (*B. cinerea*); AT-00253 (flg22 or OG); AT-

00493 (hypoxia); AT-00553 (*Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*); AT-00560 (drought); AT-00597

(Pep2 and elf18); AT-00645 (heatstress)

SA analysis

SA levels were analysed as described previously with an ultra-high performance liquid

chromatography/Q-Exactive™ system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using an ODS column

(AQUITY UPLC BEH C18, 1.7 μm, 2.1 × 100 mm; Waters) (Kojima and Sakakibara, 2012;

Kojima et al., 2009)(Yasuda et al., 2016).

Secondary metabolite extraction, acquisition and processing of data

Control and flg22-treated seedlings were collected and extracted as described before (Bednarek

et al., 2011). The obtained extracts were subjected to LC-MS analyses performed using the

Acquity UPLC system (Waters, USA) hyphenated to a micrOToF-Q mass spectrometer (Bruker

Daltonics, Germany). Chromatographic separations were carried out on a BEH C18 column

(2.1×150 mm, 1.7 μm particle size) at 22°C with a mobile phase flow rate of 0.35 ml/min. The

elution was conducted using water containing 0.1% formic acid (Sigma Aldrich, Germany)

(solvent A) and acetonitrile (VWR Chemicals; France) containing 1.9% of water and 0.1% of

formic acid (solvent B) in the following gradient: 0–10 min from 0% to 25% B, 10–15 min to

30% B, 20–24 min maintained at 100% B, and up to 24.5 min the system was returned to starting

conditions and re-equilibrated for 8 min. Calibration of the spectrometer with sodium formate

salt clusters was done prior to each analysis. MS was operated using the following settings: ion

source voltage of -4.5 kV or 4.5 kV, nebulization of nitrogen at a pressure of 1.2 bar and a gas

flow rate of 8 l/min. Ion source temperature was 220°C. The spectra were scanned in positive

and negative ion mode at the range of 50–1000 *m/z* at a resolution higher than 15,000 FWHM

(full width at half maximum). Data acquisition was supervised by HyStar 3.2 software (Bruker

Daltonics, Germany).

Obtained LC-MS data were converted to the *mzXML* format by MSConvert Version:

3.0.11781 tool available in Proteowizard software prior to further processing by MZmine 2.31

software (Pluskal et al., 2010). Data from each experiment were processed separately for

negative and positive ionization. In first step, lists of masses were generated by the mass detector

module in each scan in the raw data files. Then, chromatograms for each mass detected

continuously over the scans were built using a chromatogram builder algorithm. These

chromatograms were deconvoluted by the deconvolution module using the wavelets algorithm

based on Bioconductor's XCMS package for R (Tautenhahn et al., 2008). An isotopic peaks

grouper was used for isotope elimination followed by adduct and complex searching. Deviation

of retention times between peak lists was reduced by a retention time normalizer. Such

transformed peaks were aligned in all samples through a match score by a join aligner module.

The resulting peaks list was completed by supplemental peak detection with a peak finder

algorithm prior to missing value imputation (gap filling). The generated data table was

subsequently exported in *csv* format for further statistical analysis.

Observations equal to zero (below the detection level) were substituted by half of the

minimum non-zero observation for each metabolite. Then observations were transformed by

$\log_2(10^3 x)$. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done with experiment as a block

(random effects) and treatment, species as 2 fixed factors; analysis was done together for positive

and negative ionization. Differentially Accumulated Metabolite (DAM) was indicated for each

species if all of three conditions hold: i) treatment effect or interaction treatment x species was

significant with q-value < 0.05 (fdr – false discovery rate, (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995)), ii)

individual tests for each fungi p < 0.05 (significant test for the difference between treatment and

control was done for each species), iii) |fold change|>1.5, where fold change is flg22

treatment/control. Statistical analysis was performed in Genstat 19. Visualizations including

barplot, PCA, heatmap and Venn diagram were created in R.

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Tsuda lab for critical reading of the manuscript, the Max-Planck

Genome Centre for sequencing support, and Neysan Donnelly and Tsuda lab members for

critical comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Huazhong Agricultural

University Scientific & Technological Self-innovation Foundation, the Max Planck Society, a

German Research Foundation grant (SPP2125) (to K.T.), IMPRS Cologne (T.M.W) and National

Science Center OPUS (UMO-2015/17/B/NZ1/00871) (to P.B) and PRELUDIUM (UMO-

2013/09/N/NZ2/02080) (to K.K) grants.

Author contributions

T.M.W., S.A., P.S.-L., P.B. and K.T. designed the research. T.M.W., F.E., S.A., P.A., A.P., and

K.K. performed research. H.S., X.G., M.T., H.S., and R.G.-O. contributed new reagent/analytic

tools. T.M.W., S. A., F.E., A.P., B.S., E.D., A.S., K.F., S.L., P.B., and K.T. analyzed data.

T.M.W., F.E., and K.T. wrote the paper with input from all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

1126
1127
Figure 1. All tested Brassicaceae species sense flg22. (**A**) Phylogenetic tree generated with TimeTree (www.timetree.org) indicating the evolutionary distances between the 4 Brassicaceae species used in this study. Mya, million years ago. Ath, *A. thaliana* (Col-0); Cru, *C. rubella* (N22697); Chi, *C. hirsuta* (Oxford); Esa, *E. salsugineum* (Shandong). (**B**) 12-day-old seedlings were treated with mock or 1 µM flg22 for 15 min, and MAPK phosphorylation was measured by immunoblotting using an antiP42/44 antibody. Ponceau staining is shown as a loading control. Experiments were repeated at least three times with similar results. (**C**) Expression of *WRKY29* was determined by RT-qPCR at 1, 9, and 24 h after mock or 1 µM flg22 treatment of 12-day-old seedlings. Bars represent means and SEs of log$_2$ expression levels relative to *ACTIN2* calculated from 3 independent experiments. Asterisks indicate significant difference to mock (mixed linear model followed by Student´s t-test, **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001). (**D**) 7-day-old seedlings were transferred into liquid medium containing mock or 1 µM flg22 for 12 days. The fresh weight of 12 pooled seedlings was measured. The bars represent means and SEs from 3 independent experiments. Relative fresh weight (%) of flg22-treated seedlings compared to mock seedlings is shown. Statistical analysis was performed with log$_2$-transformed raw fresh weight values. Asterisks indicate significant flg22 effects in each genotype (mixed linear model followed by Student's t-test, **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001). Different letters indicate significant differences in flg22 effects between different genotypes (mixed linear model, adjusted p < 0.01). (**E**) 5-week-old plants were syringe-infiltrated with 1 µM flg22 or mock 24 h prior to infiltration with *Pto* DC3000 (OD$_{600}$ = 0.0002). The bacterial titre was determined 48 h after bacterial infiltration. The log$_2$ ratio of copy numbers of a bacterial gene (*oprF*) and a plant gene (*ACTIN2*) was determined by qPCR and used as the relative *Pto* DC3000 growth. Bars represent means and SEs from 3 independent experiments each with 3 biological replicates (n = 9). The biological replicates from 3 independent experiments are represented by dots, triangles and squares. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (mixed linear model, adjusted p < 0.01).

1151
1152
Figure 2. All tested Brassicaceae species trigger massive transcriptional reprogramming upon flg22
perception. (**A**) Schematic representation of the experimental design. (**B**) The number of differentially
expressed genes (DEGs, q-value < 0.01 and |log$_2$ fold change| > 1) for up- or down-regulated genes was
plotted at the indicated time points for each species. (**C**) A Venn diagram showing shared and specific
DEGs between species. All DEGs differentially expressed at least at one time point in 1 species and which
showed 1:1 orthology were used. (**D**) Venn diagrams showing shared DEGs between *A. thaliana* and the
indicated species. Ath, *A. thaliana* (Col-0); Cru, *C. rubella* (N22697); Chi, *C. hirsuta* (Oxford); Esa, *E.*
salsugineum (Shandong).

Figure 3. Conserved yet distinct transcriptomic responses to flg22 in Brassicaceae species. (**A**) Heatmap of 868 DEGs shared among the four tested Brassicaceae species (see Figure 2C) sorted by mean expression values. The heatmap on the right displays expression changes of the 868 DEGs under indicated stress conditions in publicly available *A. thaliana* datasets (Genevestigator). Ath, *A. thaliana* (Col-0); Cru, *C. rubella* (N22697); Chi, *C. hirsuta* (Oxford); Esa, *E. salsugineum* (Shandong). See Supplemental Data Set 1 for list of individual genes. (**B**) Heatmap of top 25 flg22-induced genes based on the mean induction of all samples. Red indicates DEGs that previously have not been implicated in plant immunity. (**C**) All 6,106 DEGs were clustered by k-means (k = 15) and 4 clusters exhibiting species-specific expression signatures are shown (see Supplemental Data Set 2). Coloured bars with the number of genes indicate Ath- (green), Cru- (orange), Chi- (purple), and Esa- (magenta) specific clusters. The right heatmap displays expression changes of these genes under indicated stress conditions in publicly available *A. thaliana* datasets (Genevestigator). See Supplemental Data Set 2 for list of individual genes. (**D**) Enrichment of selected GO terms among common DEGs and species-specific expression clusters (generated with BinGO). Circle sizes indicate the number of genes within each GO term and the colour of the circle indicates the adjusted p-values for the enrichment of respective GO terms. See Supplemental Data Set 3 for the full GO terms.

1177
1178
**Figure 4. Flg22-triggered transcriptional responses show a high degree of conservation among *A.*
thaliana accessions with diverse genetic backgrounds.** (**A**) 12-day-old seedlings were treated with
mock or 1 µM flg22 for 15 min, and MAPK phosphorylation was measured in the indicated *A. thaliana*
accessions by immunoblotting using an anti-p42/44 antibody. (**B**) Geographic origins of the 5 accessions
chosen for RNA-seq analysis are shown on the map created at 1001genomes.org. The colours of the
markers indicate different genetic groups determined by The 1001 Genomes Consortium (1001 Genomes
Consortium, 2016). (**C**) 12-day-old *A. thaliana* seedlings were treated with mock or 1 µM flg22 for 1 h, and
expression of *PROPEP3* was determined by RT-qPCR. The accessions highlighted in colour were used for

the RNA-seq experiments. Bars represent means and SEs of $\log_2$ expression levels relative to *ACTIN2*
from 3 independent experiments. Asterisks indicate significant differences of flg22 compared to mock
samples (Student's t-test, ***, $p < 0.001$). (**D**) Representative pictures of the 4-week-old *A. thaliana*
accessions chosen for RNA-seq. (**E-G**) 12-day-old *A. thaliana* seedlings were treated with mock or 1 µM
flg22 for 1 h and extracted RNA was subjected to RNA-seq. The analysis was limited to the list of 17,856
genes showing 1:1 orthology in all tested Brassicaceae species to directly compare inter- and intra-species
variation in transcriptome responses. DEGs were defined using the following criteria: q-value < 0.01 and
$|\log_2$ fold change$| > 1$. (**E**) Bars represent the number of up- or down-regulated DEGs in each *A. thaliana*
accession. (**F**) A Venn diagram showing shared and specific DEGs in *A. thaliana* accessions. (**G**) Heatmap
of DEGs in at least 1 accession clustered by k-means (k = 15). $\log_2$ expression changes compared to mock
are shown. See Supplemental Data Set 4 for list of individual genes. (**H**) 5-week-old plants were syringe-
infiltrated with mock or 1 µM flg22 24 h prior to infiltration with *Pto* DC3000 ($OD_{600} = 0.0002$). The $\log_2$ ratio
of copy numbers of a bacterial gene (*oprF*) and a plant gene (*ACTIN2*) was determined by qPCR and used
as the relative *Pto* DC3000 growth. Bars represent means and SEs from 2 independent experiments each
with 3 biological replicates (n = 6). The biological replicates from 2 independent experiments are
represented by dots and triangles. Different letters indicate significant differences (mixed linear model,
adjusted $p < 0.01$).

Figure 5. Inter-species variation exceeds intra-species variation in transcriptome responses to flg22
and is incongruent with the phylogeny. (**A**) Log₂ expression changes of all 5,961 DEGs 1 h after 1 µM
flg22 treatment were clustered using k-mean clustering (k = 15). 1:1 orthologous genes that are differentially
expressed (q-value < 0.01; |log₂ fold change| > 1) in at least 1 species or accession were used. Species-
specific expression clusters are highlighted by coloured bars on the right side of the heatmap (Ath (green),
non-Ath (black), Cru (orange) Chi (purple), Esa (magenta)). Cru, *C. rubella* (N22697); Chi, *C. hirsuta*

(Oxford); Esa, *E. salsugineum* (Shandong). See Supplemental Data Set 5 for list of individual genes. (**B**)
Mean expression changes ± SD of species-specific expression clusters in (**A**). The number of genes within
each cluster is represented by the numbers on the top left side of each plot. (**C**) The total number of genes
that respond to flg22 significantly differently across Brassicaceae species including *A. thaliana* Col-0
(Brass) or across *A. thaliana* accessions (Ath access). q-value < 0.01; |$\log_2$ fold change| > 1 criteria were
used. (**D**) The number of genes that respond to flg22 significantly differently in each Brassicaceae species
compared to the other 3 Brassicaceae species. q-value < 0.01; |$\log_2$ fold change| > 1 criteria were used.
Ath, *A. thaliana* (Col-0). (**E**) Principal component analysis (PCA) of $\log_2$ expression changes used in (**A**).

1220
Figure 6. Species-specific expression signatures are conserved in sister species and accessions.
Expression of selected genes showing species-specific expression signatures in Figure 5A was determined
in available sister species and accessions by RT-qPCR. Gene expression was normalized to *ACTIN2*. The
coloured bars on the right indicate genes showing Cru- (orange), Chi- (purple) or Esa- (magenta) specific
expression signatures. The heatmap represents mean log$_2$ changes of flg22 samples compared to mock
from 3 independent experiments each with 2 biological replicates (n = 6). Asterisks indicate significant flg22
effects (mixed linear model, p < 0.01). Ath, *A. thaliana* Col-0; Cru, *C. rubella*; Cgr, *Capsella grandiflora*;
Chi_Ox, Chi_GR, Chi_Wa, different *C. hirsuta* accessions; Esa_Sh, *E. salsugineum* Shandong; Esa_YT,
Esa Yukon*.*
1230

Figure 7. Enrichment of known TF-binding motifs in the 5′-regulatory regions of genes in shared and species-specific clusters. The 500 bp upstream sequences of the transcription start sites of the genes in the individual clusters were tested for enrichment of known TF binding motifs. Names of transcription factors, sequence logos, and adjusted p-values (up to the top 4) of motifs are shown for each Brassicaceae species. The names of clusters, the number of DEGs, and mean $\log_2$ fold changes ±SD compared to mock are shown on the left side. See Supplemental Data Set 6 for the other clusters. For the complete list of all enriched TF binding motifs, please see Supplemental Data Set 6. Ath, *A. thaliana* (Col-0); Cru, *C. rubella* (N22697); Chi, *C. hirsuta* (Oxford); Esa, *E. salsugineum* (Shandong).

1241
1242
Figure 8. Gene expression variation does not correlate with coding sequence variation. (**A**) Mean
amino acid (AA) sequence identities of *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta* and *E. salsugineum* to *A. thaliana* (y axis) were
plotted against the SD/mean of the expression values in mock samples of all four Brassicaceae plants for
all expressed genes (x axis). (**B, C**) Mean AA identities of *C. rubella*, *C. hirsuta*, and *E. salsugineum* to *A.*
thaliana were plotted against the SD/mean of flg22-induced expression changes in all four Brassicaceae
plants for all expressed genes with 1:1 orthologs (16,100 genes) (**B**) or 5,961 DEGs (**C**). (**D–I**) Pairwise AA
sequence identities of *C. rubella* (**D, G**), *C. hirsuta* (**E, H**) and *E. salsugineum* (**F, I**) to *A. thaliana* were
plotted against the flg22-induced expression changes between the compared species for all expressed
genes (**D–F**) or DEGs (**G–I**).

1252

Figure 9. Flg22 triggers unique metabolome changes in tested Brassicaceae. Metabolite profiles were analysed with HPLC-MS 24 h after mock or flg22 treatment in 12-day-old Brassicaceae seedlings. (**A**) Differentially accumulated metabolites (DAMs) were determined using the following criteria: treatment effect or interaction treatment x species was significant with q-value < 0.05, |log$_2$ fold change| > 0.585 and significance of the difference between treatment and control with p<0.05. The bars represent the number of up- or down-regulated DAMs in each species. Ath, *A. thaliana* (Col-0); Cru, *C. rubella* (N22697); Chi, *C. hirsuta* (Oxford); Esa, *E. salsugineum* (Shandong). (**B**) A Venn diagram showing shared and unique DAMs between species. All DAMs present in at least 1 species were used. (**C**) Principal component analysis of DAMs in at least 1 species. (**D**) Heatmap of log$_2$ fold changes for DAMs in at least 1 species clustered by k-means clustering (k = 6; clusters marked in the color bar on top of the heatmap).

Parsed Citations

Albert, I., Hua, C., Nürnberger, T., Pruitt, R.N., and Zhang, L. (2020). Surface Sensor Systems in Plant Immunity. Plant Physiol. 182: 1582–1596.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31: 166–169.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Arakaki, M., Christin, P.-A, Nyffeler, R., Lendel, A, Eggli, U., Ogburn, R.M., Spriggs, E., Moore, M.J., and Edwards, E.J. (2011). Contemporaneous and recent radiations of the world's major succulent plant lineages. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 108: 8379–8384.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Artyukova, E.V., Kozyrenko, M.M., Boltenkov, E.V., and Gorovoy, P.G. (2014). One or three species in Megadenia (Brassicaceae): insight from molecular studies. Genetica 142: 337–350.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.-L., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., Ausubel, F.M., and Sheen, J. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate immunity. Nature 415: 977–983.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Baxter, L., Jironkin, A, Hickman, R., Moore, J., Barrington, C., Krusche, P., Dyer, N.P., Buchanan-Wollaston, V., Tiskin, A, Beynon, J., Denby, K., and Ott, S. (2012). Conserved noncoding sequences highlight shared components of regulatory networks in dicotyledonous plants. Plant Cell 24: 3949–3965.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Bednarek, P., Piślewska-Bednarek, M., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Maddula, R.K., Svatoš, A, and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2011). Conservation and clade-specific diversification of pathogen-inducible tryptophan and indole glucosinolate metabolism in Arabidopsis thaliana relatives. New Phytol. 192: 713–726.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Beilstein, M.A, Nagalingum, N.S., Clements, M.D., Manchester, S.R., and Mathews, S. (2010). Dated molecular phylogenies indicate a Miocene origin for Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107: 18724–18728.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) 57: 289–300.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Berens, M.L. et al. (2019). Balancing trade-offs between biotic and abiotic stress responses through leaf age-dependent variation in stress hormone cross-talk. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116: 2364–2373.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Birkenbihl, R.P., Kracher, B., Roccaro, M., and Somssich, I.E. (2017). Induced Genome-Wide Binding of Three Arabidopsis WRKY Transcription Factors during Early MAMP-Triggered Immunity. Plant Cell 29: 20–38.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Boutrot, F. and Zipfel, C. (2017). Function, Discovery, and Exploitation of Plant Pattern Recognition Receptors for Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 55: 257–286.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Brawand, D. et al. (2011). The evolution of gene expression levels in mammalian organs. Nature 478: 343–348.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Broadley, M.R., White, P.J., Hammond, J.P., Graham, N.S., Bowen, H.C., Emmerson, Z.F., Fray, R.G., Iannetta, P.P.M., McNicol, J.W., and May, S.T. (2008). Evidence of neutral transcriptome evolution in plants. New Phytol. 180: 587–593.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Cartolano, M., Pieper, B., Lempe, J., Tattersall, A, Huijser, P., Tresch, A, Darrah, P.R., Hay, A, and Tsiantis, M. (2015). Heterochrony

underpins natural variation in Cardamine hirsuta leaf form. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112: 10539–10544.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chen, H. and Boutros, P.C. (2011). VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highly-customizable Venn and Euler diagrams in R. BMC Bioinformatics 12: 35.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chen, J., Swofford, R., Johnson, J., Cummings, B.B., Rogel, N., Lindblad-Toh, K., Haerty, W., Palma, F. di, and Regev, A. (2019). A quantitative framework for characterizing the evolutionary history of mammalian gene expression. Genome Res. 29: 53–63.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Chen, T. et al. (2020). A plant genetic network for preventing dysbiosis in the phyllosphere. Nature 580: 653–657.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Coolen, S. et al. (2016). Transcriptome dynamics of Arabidopsis during sequential biotic and abiotic stresses. The Plant Journal 86: 249–267.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Couvreur, T.L.P., Franzke, A, Al-Shehbaz, I.A, Bakker, F.T., Koch, M.A., and Mummenhoff, K. (2010). Molecular phylogenetics, temporal diversification, and principles of evolution in the mustard family (Brassicaceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 27: 55–71.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Das Gupta, M. and Tsiantis, M. (2018). Gene networks and the evolution of plant morphology. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 45: 82–87.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dean, R., Harrison, P.W., Wright, A.E., Zimmer, F., and Mank, J.E. (2015). Positive Selection Underlies Faster-Z Evolution of Gene Expression in Birds. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32: 2646–2656.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Delport, W., Scheffler, K., and Seoighe, C. (2009). Models of coding sequence evolution. Brief. Bioinformatics 10: 97–109.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Dunning, F.M., Sun, W., Jansen, K.L., Helft, L., and Bent, A.F. (2007). Identification and Mutational Analysis of Arabidopsis FLS2 Leucine-Rich Repeat Domain Residues That Contribute to Flagellin Perception. The Plant Cell 19: 3297–3313.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Edger, P.P. et al. (2015). The butterfly plant arms-race escalated by gene and genome duplications. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112: 8362–8366.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Enard, W. et al. (2002). Intra- and interspecific variation in primate gene expression patterns. Science 296: 340–343.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Fitzpatrick, C.R., Salas-González, I., Conway, J.M., Finkel, O.M., Gilbert, S., Russ, D., Teixeira, P.J.P.L., and Dangl, J.L. (2020). The Plant Microbiome: From Ecology to Reductionism and Beyond. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 74: annurev-micro-022620-014327.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Franzke, A, German, D., Al-Shehbaz, I.A, and Mummenhoff, K. (2009). Arabidopsis family ties: molecular phylogeny and age estimates in Brassicaceae. Taxon 58: 425–437.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Franzke, A, Koch, M.A, and Mummenhoff, K. (2016). Turnip Time Travels: Age Estimates in Brassicaceae. Trends Plant Sci. 21: 554–561.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gan, X. et al. (2016). The Cardamine hirsuta genome offers insight into the evolution of morphological diversity. Nat Plants 2: 16167.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Gómez-Gómez, L., Felix, G., and Boller, T. (1999). A single locus determines sensitivity to bacterial flagellin in Arabidopsis thaliana: Sensitivity of A. thaliana to bacterial flagellin. The Plant Journal 18: 277–284.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Groen, S.C. et al. (2020). The strength and pattern of natural selection on gene expression in rice. Nature 578: 572–576.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hacquard, S., Spaepen, S., Garrido-Oter, R., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2017). Interplay Between Innate Immunity and the Plant Microbiota. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 55: 565–589.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Harrison, P.W., Wright, A.E., and Mank, J.E. (2012). The evolution of gene expression and the transcriptome–phenotype relationship. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 23: 222–229.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hay, A. and Tsiantis, M. (2006). The genetic basis for differences in leaf form between Arabidopsis thaliana and its wild relative Cardamine hirsuta. Nat. Genet. 38: 942–947.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hedges, S.B., Marin, J., Suleski, M., Paymer, M., and Kumar, S. (2015). Tree of life reveals clock-like speciation and diversification. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 835–845.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Heenan, P.B., Mitchell, A.D., and Koch, M. (2002). Molecular systematics of the New Zealand Pachycladon (Brassicaceae) complex: Generic circumscription and relationships to Arabidopsis sens. lat. and Arabis sens. lat. New Zealand Journal of Botany 40: 543–562.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hermant, M., Hennion, F., Bartish, I.V., Yguel, B., and Prinzing, A. (2012). Disparate relatives: Life histories vary more in genera occupying intermediate environments. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 14: 283–301.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hillmer, R.A., Tsuda, K., Rallapalli, G., Asai, S., Truman, W., Papke, M.D., Sakakibara, H., Jones, J.D.G., Myers, C.L., and Katagiri, F. (2017). The highly buffered Arabidopsis immune signaling network conceals the functions of its components. PLoS Genet 13: e1006639.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hohmann, N., Wolf, E.M., Lysak, M.A., and Koch, M.A. (2015). A Time-Calibrated Road Map of Brassicaceae Species Radiation and Evolutionary History. Plant Cell 27: 2770–2784.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hruz, T., Laule, O., Szabo, G., Wessendorp, F., Bleuler, S., Oertle, L., Widmayer, P., Gruissem, W., and Zimmermann, P. (2008). Genevestigator v3: a reference expression database for the meta-analysis of transcriptomes. Adv Bioinformatics 2008: 420747.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Huang, C.-H. et al. (2016). Resolution of Brassicaceae Phylogeny Using Nuclear Genes Uncovers Nested Radiations and Supports Convergent Morphological Evolution. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33: 394–412.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Hunt, B.G., Ometto, L., Keller, L., and Goodisman, M.A.D. (2013). Evolution at Two Levels in Fire Ants: The Relationship between Patterns of Gene Expression and Protein Sequence Evolution. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 263–271.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Jacob, F., Kracher, B., Mine, A., Seyfferth, C., Blanvillain-Baufumé, S., Parker, J.E., Tsuda, K., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Maekawa, T. (2018). A dominant-interfering camta3 mutation compromises primary transcriptional outputs mediated by both cell surface and intracellular immune receptors in Arabidopsis thaliana. New Phytol. 217: 1667–1680.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kawakatsu, T. et al. (2016). Epigenomic Diversity in a Global Collection of Arabidopsis thaliana Accessions. Cell 166: 492–505.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Khaitovich, P., Hellmann, I., Enard, W., Nowick, K., Leinweber, M., Franz, H., Weiss, G., Lachmann, M., and Pääbo, S. (2005). Parallel patterns of evolution in the genomes and transcriptomes of humans and chimpanzees. Science 309: 1850–1854.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Khaitovich, P., Weiss, G., Lachmann, M., Hellmann, I., Enard, W., Muetzel, B., Wirkner, U., Ansorge, W., and Pääbo, S. (2004). A neutral model of transcriptome evolution. PLoS Biol. 2: E132.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Koch, M.A, Haubold, B., and Mitchell-Olds, T. (2000). Comparative evolutionary analysis of chalcone synthase and alcohol dehydrogenase loci in Arabidopsis, Arabis, and related genera (Brassicaceae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 17: 1483–1498.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Koenig, D. et al. (2013). Comparative transcriptomics reveals patterns of selection in domesticated and wild tomato. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 110: E2655-2662.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Koenig, D., Hagmann, J., Li, R., Bemm, F., Slotte, T., Neuffer, B., Wright, S.I., and Weigel, D. (2019). Long-term balancing selection drives evolution of immunity genes in Capsella. Elife 8.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kojima, M., Kamada-Nobusada, T., Komatsu, H., Takei, K., Kuroha, T., Mizutani, M., Ashikari, M., Ueguchi-Tanaka, M., Matsuoka, M., Suzuki, K., and Sakakibara, H. (2009). Highly sensitive and high-throughput analysis of plant hormones using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry: an application for hormone profiling in Oryza sativa. Plant Cell Physiol. 50: 1201–1214.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Kojima, M. and Sakakibara, H. (2012). Highly sensitive high-throughput profiling of six phytohormones using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Methods Mol. Biol. 918: 151–164.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lamesch, P. et al. (2012). The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR): improved gene annotation and new tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: D1202-1210.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lemos, B., Meiklejohn, C.D., Cáceres, M., and Hartl, D.L. (2005). Rates of divergence in gene expression profiles of primates, mice, and flies: stabilizing selection and variability among functional categories. Evolution 59: 126–137.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lou, Y., Xu, X.-F., Zhu, J., Gu, J.-N., Blackmore, S., and Yang, Z.-N. (2014). The tapetal AHL family protein TEK determines nexine formation in the pollen wall. Nat Commun 5: 3855.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Lu, X., Tintor, N., Mentzel, T., Kombrink, E., Boller, T., Robatzek, S., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Saijo, Y. (2009). Uncoupling of sustained MAMP receptor signaling from early outputs in an Arabidopsis endoplasmic reticulum glucosidase II allele. PNAS 106: 22522–22527.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

MacQueen, A, Sun, X., and Bergelson, J. (2016). Genetic architecture and pleiotropy shape costs of Rps2-mediated resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat Plants 2: 16110.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Maere, S., Heymans, K., and Kuiper, M. (2005). BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. Bioinformatics 21: 3448–3449.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mandáková, T., Joly, S., Krzywinski, M., Mummenhoff, K., and Lysak, M.A. (2010). Fast diploidization in close mesopolyploid relatives of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 22: 2277–2290.
  Pubmed: Author and Title
  Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

McLeay, R.C. and Bailey, T.L. (2010). Motif Enrichment Analysis: a unified framework and an evaluation on ChIP data. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 165.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Mine, A, Seyfferth, C., Kracher, B., Berens, M.L., Becker, D., and Tsuda, K. (2018). The Defense Phytohormone Signaling Network Enables Rapid, High-Amplitude Transcriptional Reprogramming during Effector-Triggered Immunity. Plant Cell 30: 1199–1219.

Naumann, J., Salomo, K., Der, J.P., Wafula, E.K., Bolin, J.F., Maass, E., Frenzke, L., Samain, M.-S., Neinhuis, C., dePamphilis, C.W., and Wanke, S. (2013). Single-copy nuclear genes place haustorial Hydnoraceae within piperales and reveal a cretaceous origin of multiple parasitic angiosperm lineages. PLoS ONE 8: e79204.

Necsulea, A. and Kaessmann, H. (2014). Evolutionary dynamics of coding and non-coding transcriptomes. Nat Rev Genet 15: 734–748.

Nielsen, R. (2005). Molecular signatures of natural selection. Annu. Rev. Genet. 39: 197–218.

Nuzhdin, S.V., Wayne, M.L., Harmon, K.L., and McIntyre, L.M. (2004). Common pattern of evolution of gene expression level and protein sequence in Drosophila. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21: 1308–1317.

One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative (2019). One thousand plant transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. Nature 574: 679–685.

Parkinson, C.L., Mower, J.P., Qiu, Y.-L., Shirk, A.J., Song, K., Young, N.D., DePamphilis, C.W., and Palmer, J.D. (2005). Multiple major increases and decreases in mitochondrial substitution rates in the plant family Geraniaceae. BMC Evol. Biol. 5: 73.

Piasecka, A, Jedrzejczak-Rey, N., and Bednarek, P. (2015). Secondary metabolites in plant innate immunity: conserved function of divergent chemicals. New Phytol. 206: 948–964.

Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A, and Oresic, M. (2010). MZmine 2: modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 395.

Renaut, S., Maillet, N., Normandeau, E., Sauvage, C., Derome, N., Rogers, S.M., and Bernatchez, L. (2012). Genome-wide patterns of divergence during speciation: the lake whitefish case study. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 367: 354–363.

Rifkin, S.A, Kim, J., and White, K.P. (2003). Evolution of gene expression in the Drosophila melanogaster subgroup. Nat. Genet. 33: 138–144.

Ritchie, M.E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C.W., Shi, W., and Smyth, G.K. (2015). limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 43: e47.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: 139–140.

Rohart, F., Gautier, B., Singh, A., and Lê Cao, K.-A. (2017). mixOmics: An R package for 'omics feature selection and multiple data integration. PLoS Comput. Biol. 13: e1005752.

Rohlfs, R.V., Harrigan, P., and Nielsen, R. (2014). Modeling gene expression evolution with an extended Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process accounting for within-species variation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31: 201–211.

Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Romero, I.G., Ruvinsky, I., and Gilad, Y. (2012). Comparative studies of gene expression and the evolution of gene regulation. Nat. Rev. Genet. 13: 505–516.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Ross, A. and Somssich, I.E. (2016). A DNA-based real-time PCR assay for robust growth quantification of the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae on Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Methods 12: 48.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N.S., Wang, J.T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., and Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 13: 2498–2504.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Shapiro, M.D., Marks, M.E., Peichel, C.L., Blackman, B.K., Nereng, K.S., Jónsson, B., Schluter, D., and Kingsley, D.M. (2004). Genetic and developmental basis of evolutionary pelvic reduction in threespine sticklebacks. Nature 428: 717–723.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T.J., Karplus, K., Li, W., Lopez, R., McWilliam, H., Remmert, M., Söding, J., Thompson, J.D., and Higgins, D.G. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7: 539.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Signor, S.A. and Nuzhdin, S.V. (2018). The Evolution of Gene Expression in cis and trans. Trends Genet. 34: 532–544.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Singh, P., Yekondi, S., Chen, P.-W., Tsai, C.-H., Yu, C.-W., Wu, K., and Zimmerli, L. (2014). Environmental History Modulates Arabidopsis Pattern-Triggered Immunity in a HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE1-Dependent Manner. The Plant cell 26: 2676–2688.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Slotte, T. et al. (2013). The Capsella rubella genome and the genomic consequences of rapid mating system evolution. Nat. Genet. 45: 831–835.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Stringlis, I.A., de Jonge, R., and Pieterse, C.M.J. (2019). The Age of Coumarins in Plant–Microbe Interactions. Plant and Cell Physiology 60: 1405–1419.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Sturn, A., Quackenbush, J., and Trajanoski, Z. (2002). Genesis: cluster analysis of microarray data. Bioinformatics 18: 207–208.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tautenhahn, R., Böttcher, C., and Neumann, S. (2008). Highly sensitive feature detection for high resolution LC/MS. BMC Bioinformatics 9: 504.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tirosh, I. and Barkai, N. (2008). Evolution of gene sequence and gene expression are not correlated in yeast. Trends in Genetics 24: 109–113.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Trapnell, C., Pachter, L., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. Bioinformatics 25: 1105–1111.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tsuda, K., Mine, A., Bethke, G., Igarashi, D., Botanga, C.J., Tsuda, Y., Glazebrook, J., Sato, M., and Katagiri, F. (2013). Dual Regulation of Gene Expression Mediated by Extended MAPK Activation and Salicylic Acid Contributes to Robust Innate Immunity in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet 9: e1004015.
Pubmed: Author and Title
Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tsuda, K., Sato, M., Glazebrook, J., Cohen, J.D., and Katagiri, F. (2008). Interplay between MAMP-triggered and SA-mediated defense responses. The Plant Journal 53: 763–775.
Pubmed: Author and Title

Google Scholar: Author Only Title Only Author and Title

Tsuda, K., Sato, M., Stoddard, T., Glazebrook, J., and Katagiri, F. (2009). Network properties of robust immunity in plants. PLoS genetics 5: e1000772.

Tsuda, K. and Somssich, I.E. (2015). Transcriptional networks in plant immunity. New Phytologist 206: 932–947.

Uebbing, S. et al. (2016). Divergence in gene expression within and between two closely related flycatcher species. Molecular Ecology 25: 2015–2028.

Ueno, Y., Yoshida, R., Kishi-Kaboshi, M., Matsushita, A., Jiang, C.-J., Goto, S., Takahashi, A, Hirochika, H., and Takatsuji, H. (2015). Abiotic Stresses Antagonize the Rice Defence Pathway through the Tyrosine-Dephosphorylation of OsMPK6. PLoS pathogens 11: e1005231.

Vanneste, K., Baele, G., Maere, S., and Van de Peer, Y. (2014). Analysis of 41 plant genomes supports a wave of successful genome duplications in association with the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. Genome Res. 24: 1334–1347.

Vetter, M.M., Kronholm, I., He, F., Häweker, H., Reymond, M., Bergelson, J., Robatzek, S., and de Meaux, J. (2012). Flagellin perception varies quantitatively in Arabidopsis thaliana and its relatives. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29: 1655–1667.

Vlad, D. et al. (2014). Leaf shape evolution through duplication, regulatory diversification, and loss of a homeobox gene. Science 343: 780–783.

Voelckel, C., Gruenheit, N., and Lockhart, P. (2017). Evolutionary Transcriptomics and Proteomics: Insight into Plant Adaptation. Trends Plant Sci. 22: 462–471.

Whitehead, A. and Crawford, D.L. (2006). Neutral and adaptive variation in gene expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103: 5425–5430.

Whittle, C.A, Sun, Y., and Johannesson, H. (2014). Dynamics of transcriptome evolution in the model eukaryote Neurospora. J. Evol. Biol. 27: 1125–1135.

Wu, H.-J. et al. (2012). Insights into salt tolerance from the genome of Thellungiella salsuginea. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109: 12219–12224.

Yang, R. et al. (2013). The Reference Genome of the Halophytic Plant Eutrema salsugineum. Front Plant Sci 4: 46.

Yasuda, M., Miwa, H., Masuda, S., Takebayashi, Y., Sakakibara, H., and Okazaki, S. (2016). Effector-triggered immunity determines host genotype-specific incompatibility in legume-rhizobium symbiosis. Plant and Cell Physiology 57: 1791–1800.

Yue, J.-P., Sun, H., Baum, D.A., Li, J.-H., Al-Shehbaz, I.A., and Ree, R. (2009). Molecular phylogeny of Solms-laubachia (Brassicaceae) s.l., based on multiple nuclear and plastid DNA sequences, and its biogeographic implications. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 47: 402–415.

Zhou, J.-M. and Zhang, Y. (2020). Plant Immunity: Danger Perception and Signaling. Cell 181: 978–989.

Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Navarro, L., Oakeley, E.J., Jones, J.D.G., Felix, G., and Boller, T. (2004). Bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis through flagellin perception. Nature 428: 764–767.

Pubmed: [Author and Title](#)
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

1001 Genomes Consortium (2016). 1,135 Genomes Reveal the Global Pattern of Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell 166: 481–491.

Pubmed: [Author and Title](#)
Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)