1 Midcell localization of PBP4 of *Escherichia coli* is essential for the timing of
2 divisome assembly
3
4

5 Jolanda Verheul[1#], Adam Lodge[2,7#], Hamish C.L. Yau[2,8#], Xiaolong Liu[1,9#], Alexandra S.
6 Solovyova[3], Athanasios Typas[4,5] Manuel Banzhaf[6*], Waldemar Vollmer[2**], and Tanneke den
7 Blaauwen[1***]
8
[1]Bacterial Cell Biology, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam;
Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
[2]Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4AX,
United Kingdom.
[3]NUPPA, Leech Building, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK
[4]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Genome Biology Unit, Heidelberg, Germany
[5]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Structural & Computational Unit, Heidelberg, Germany
[6]Institute of Microbiology & Infection and School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston,
Birmingham, UK. B15 2TT
[7]Present address: Iksuda Therapeutics, The Biosphere, Draymans Way, Newcastle Helix, Newcastle upon Tyne,
NE4 5BX, UK
[8]Present address: Procter & Gamble, Newcastle Innovation Centre, Whitley Road, Newcastle-Upon Tyne,
NE12 9TS, UK.
[9]Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, OX1 3QU, Oxford, United Kingdom.
23
24 [#]contributed equally.
25 *Corresponding author. Tel: +44 121 4145586; Email: m.banzhaf@bham.ac.uk
26 **Corresponding author. Tel: +44 191 208 3216; Email: w.vollmer@ncl.ac.uk
27 ***Corresponding author. Tel: +31 20 525 3852; Email: t.denblaauwen@uva.nl
28
29 **Running title:** PBP4 is essential for divisome assembly timing

30
31
ABSTRACT (203 words)

Insertion of new material into the *Escherichia coli* peptidoglycan (PG) sacculus between the

cytoplasmic membrane and the outer membrane requires a well-organized balance between

synthetic and hydrolytic activities to maintain cell shape and avoid lysis. The hydrolytic

enzymes outnumber the enzymes that insert new PG by far and very little is known about

their specific function. Here we show that the DD-carboxy/endopeptidase PBP4 localizes in a

PBP1A/LpoA and FtsEX dependent fashion at midcell during septal PG synthesis. Midcell

localization of PBP4 requires its non-catalytic domain 3 of unknown function, but not the

activity of PBP4 or FtsE. Domain 3 is also needed for the interaction of PBP4 with NlpI, but not

for its interactions with PBP1A or LpoA. Microscale thermophoresis with isolated proteins

shows that domain 3 is needed for the interaction with NlpI, but not PBP1A or LpoA. *In vivo*

crosslinking experiments confirm the interaction of PBP4 with PBP1A and LpoA. We propose

that PBP4 functions together with the amidases AmiA and B to create denuded glycan strands

to attract the initiator of septal PG synthesis, FtsN. Consistent with this model, we found that

the arrival of FtsN and other cell division proteins at midcell was significantly delayed in cells

lacking PBP4.

IMPORTANCE (140 words)

Peptidoglycan biosynthesis is a major target for antibacterials. The covalently closed

peptidoglycan mesh, called sacculus, protects the bacterium from lysis due to its turgor.

Sacculus growth is facilitated by the balanced activities of synthases and hydrolases, and

disturbing this balance leads to cell lysis and bacterial death. Because of the large number

and possible redundant functions of peptidoglycan hydrolases, it has been difficult to

decipher their individual functions. In this paper we show that the DD-endopeptidase PBP4

localizes at midcell during septal peptidoglycan synthesis in *Escherichia coli* and is important

for the timing of the assembly of the division machinery. This shows that inhibition of certain

hydrolases could weaken the cells and might enhance antibiotic action.

KEYWORDS:, bacterial cell division, endopeptidase, immunolabeling, LpoA, NlpI, PBP1A,

PBP4, peptidoglycan, preseptal, protein interactions

INTRODUCTION

The peptidoglycan (PG) layer is sandwiched between the cytoplasmic membrane (CM) and

the outer membrane (OM) of the Gram-negative bacterium *Escherichia coli* forming a

covalently closed network of glycan strands that are interconnected by short peptides (1).

The PG layer maintains the shape of the bacterium by stabilizing the cell against its high

internal osmotic pressure. To proliferate, the rod-shaped bacterium grows in length and then

divides by binary fission into two equally sized daughter cells. The balanced activities of PG

synthases and hydrolases ensures a safe extension of the PG layer without defects that would

cause cell lysis (2). Beta-lactam antibiotics disturb this balance by inactivating the

transpeptidase (TPase) activity of the penicillin binding proteins (PBP)s causing lysis of

growing cells. We currently know more than twenty PG hydrolases that can cleave either in

the glycan chains or peptides within the PG layer, but how their activities are regulated is

poorly understood. This is particularly true for the PG endopeptidases (2). Recently, the OM

bound lipoprotein NlpI was shown to interact with similar affinity with several

endopeptidases, suggesting that NlpI acts as an interaction hub for endopeptidases to

regulate their activities by competing protein-protein interactions. (3).

The basic precursor (lipid II) for PG synthesis is the β-(1,4) linked disaccharide *N*-

acetylglucosamine-*N*-acetylmuramic   acid   (Glc*N*Ac-Mur*N*Ac)   with   an   undecaprenol-

pyrophosphate linked to C1 of Mur*N*Ac and a stem peptide with the sequence L-alanine-D-

iso-glutamate-diaminopimelic acid (Dap)-D-alanine-D-alanine coupled to the C3 of Mur*N*Ac.

Lipid II is used by glycosyltransferases (GTases), which polymerize the glycan chains, and

TPase, which crosslink the stem peptides. The main PG synthases in *E. coli* are the class A PBPs

(PBP1A and PBP1B) with GTase and TPase activities (4-7), the integral membrane proteins

RodA and FtsW that have GTase activity (8-10) and monofunctional Class B PBPs (PBP2 and

PBP3) that have TPase activity (11-13).

The hydrolases are present in greater redundancy than the synthases (2, 14). The

carboxypeptidases (CPase) PBP5, 6A and 6B remove the terminal D-Ala of the nascent

pentapeptide (15-Popham:2003uc; 19). The endopeptidases (EPases) PBP4, PBP7, MepA,

MepM, MepH, and MepS cleave the crosslinks in the PG (19-21). The amidases AmiA-D

hydrolyze the bond between Mur*N*Ac and L-alanine at position 1 of the stem peptide (22-25).

Finally, the lytic transglycosylases Slt70 and MltA-G cleave the glycan strands to release 1,6-

anhydroMur*N*Ac containing turnover products (26-28). The large number of hydrolases

reflects the adaptability to various environmental conditions but begs the question of how

their potentially dangerous activities are controlled to avoid cell lysis.

Binary fission in *E. coli* is initiated by the assembly of the Z-ring at midcell, which

consist of a network of dynamic FtsZ filaments that are anchored to the cytoplasmic

membrane by FtsA and ZipA (29). The Z-ring also recruits the PG synthases PBP1A and PBP1B

to midcell (30). A number of Z-associated proteins play a role in the organization and

dynamics of the ring (31-35). Of these, the integral membrane protein FtsX interacts with FtsA

(36) and its cytoplasmic ATPase partner protein FtsE interacts with FtsZ (37). Both localize

early to midcell, together with the Z-ring (22). As a complex FtsEX recruits EnvC, which

activates AmiA and AmiB that are needed for septum cleavage (24, 38). FtsE versions without

ATPase activity still localize at midcell and recruit as FtsEX-EnvC complex but the latter is not

able to activate the amidases (39). Presumably an ATPase dependent conformational change

in FtsEX induces a conformational change in EnvC, which is then able to relieve an

autoinhibitory alpha-helix from the active site of AmiA and AmiB, activating them (40). The

third amidase AmiC is activated by the OM-anchored lipoprotein NlpD (22, 41). The

recruitment of the other cell division proteins occurs with a time delay of about 20% of the

cell division cycle, depending on the growth condition (42-45). FtsK, FtsBLQ, FtsW, PBP3 and

FtsN localize in an interdependent fashion. FtsBLQ inhibit the PG synthase activity of PBP3,

FtsW and PBP1B until they are outcompeted by the accumulation of FtsN that relieves this

inhibition and initiates septum synthesis (46-50).

While investigating the specific function of various PG hydrolases, we found that PBP4

localizes specifically at midcell as part of the division machinery. PBP4 is a periplasmic

endopeptidase (51) with a C-terminal amphipathic alpha-helix that associates with

membranes (52). Deletion of *dacB*, which encodes PBP4, does not have morphological

consequences but causes a decrease in the percentage of PG crosslinks and of PG-attached

lipoprotein (53) and an increased sensitivity to bile salts (54). Overproduction of PBP4 is toxic

(55) presumably because the enhanced cleavage of peptide cross-links weakens the PG mesh

and eventually causes lysis. PBP4 has three domains that are assembled in an unusual way

(56) (Fig. 1A). A non-catalytical domain of unknown function (domain 2) is inserted into the

transpeptidase domain 1, and a third domain (domain 3) is inserted into domain 2. Domain 3

is positioned above the active side with its catalytic serine 62, which resides in domain 1, and

might be involved in substrate binding or regulation (56, 57) (Fig. 1A). Side directed

mutagenesis suggested that residues from domain 1 (R361) and 2 (D155) are important for

the endopeptidase activity (58).

Here we determined the localization of PBP4 and different variants during the cell

cycle of *E. coli.* The timing of localization at midcell and interactions of PBP4 support a role in

remodeling of PG synthesized by the divisome.

RESULTS
134
PBP4 localizes to the lateral wall and at midcell. To determine the localization of PBP4 in *E.*

coli cells as a function of the bacterial cell cycle, we generated an antiserum against PBP4 and

removed unspecific antibodies by adsorption to cells of a *dacB* mutant strain that lacks PBP4.

The purified antibodies were specific for PBP4 (Fig. S1) and used to immunolabel the wild-

type strain MC4100 grown in rich (TY) medium at 37°C. PBP4 localized strongly at midcell and

to a lesser extend in the lateral wall in wild-type cells (Fig. 1B).

PBP4 localizes between the peptidoglycan layer and the outer membrane. Although

it was reported that PBP4 has a stretch of weakly amphipathic amino acids at its C-terminus

(52), it was not known whether PBP4 is freely diffusing in the periplasm or associates with the

membrane or other proteins. It has been previously observed that chemically fixing freely

diffusing periplasmic proteins can shock them into the cell poles (11, 59). The fact that we did

not observe any polar localization of PBP4 after fixation (Fig. 1B and S1), suggests that it is

either associated with the membrane or other proteins. Next, we investigated whether PBP4

was accessible to anti-PBP4 in cells with an intact PG layer. For this, wild-type cells were grown

in TY at 37°C and immunolabeled without Triton X-100 permeabilization of the OM or without

increasing the pore size of the PG mesh with lysozyme. PBP4 was not accessible without

permeabilization of the OM, but was fully accessible in cells with an intact PG (Fig. 1C). In

contrast, proteins like FtsN and PBP3 were not accessible without degradation of the PG layer

by lysozyme (Fig. 1C). This suggests that PBP4 resides between the OM and the PG layer.

Activity of PBP4 is not needed for midcell localization. Substrate binding can be a key

determinant in the localization of proteins (16, 60, 61). To test whether PBP4 substrate

binding is needed to localize at division sites we expressed the active site mutant PBP4S62G

or A (57) that fails to bind β-lactams and therefore is not able to hydrolyze the PG stem

peptide (Fig. S2 and S3). A plasmid encoding the mutant protein was constitutively expressed

in a Δ*dacB* background by the leakage of a weakened p*Trc99*A promoter. Interestingly, the

wild-type and active site mutant when expressed from plasmid were both able to localize at

midcell (Fig. 2). This indicates that although PBP4(S62G/A) cannot hydrolyze its substrate or

bind β-lactams covalently (Fig. S2C Fig. S3), it is either still able to interact with its substrate

or it localizes through protein interactions.

Since PBP4(S62G/A) likely still interacts with its substrate, we mutagenized the gene

to replace two residues (D155 and R361; (58)) involved in the binding of the DAP residue in

the stem peptide by alanine. The genes encoding PBP4(D155A) or PBP4(R361A) were

expressed by promoter leakage from plasmid in a Δ*dacB* background, and both PBP4 versions

localized at midcell (Fig. 2). An immunoblot analysis showed that all mutants were expressed

to similar levels and that PBP4(R361A) and PBP4(D155A) were able to bind the fluorescent β-

lactam Bocilin-FL (Fig. S2). Therefore, all three active site protein variants are potentially able

to still interact with substrate as an inactive protein.

A PBP4 version lacking domain 3 (PBP4ΔD3) was still able to bind β-lactams (Fig. S2B

and S3) but did not have DD-carboxypeptidase or DD-endopeptidase activity (Fig. S3).

Analytical ultracentrifugation (Fig. S4A) and circular dichroism analysis (Fig. S4B) of isolated

PBP4ΔD3, showed that the removal of domain 3 did not affect the structure, the dimerization

of the rest of the protein or its interaction with PG sacculi (Fig. S4C), which suggests a folded

active site domain. However, the inactive but substrate binding PBP4ΔD3 was not localizing

at midcell (Fig. 2). Hence, we conclude that PBP4 localization could be largely driven by

protein interactions and to a lesser extent by substrate interaction but is independent from

its own activity.

PBP4 localizes weakly at inactive divisomes. To further dissect whether the

localization of PBP4 at the division site is dependent on the availability of its substrate or on

the physical presence of other proteins at the division site, cell division was inhibited through

inactivation of PBP3 by its specific inhibitor aztreonam (62). Aztreonam treatment for about

two mass doublings stalls the division machinery at midcell resulting in filamentous growth.

In the longer filaments new division machineries are formed and localize at future division

sites (5). In these filaments PBP4 localized weakly at all possible division sites (Fig. S5),

indicating that the activity of PBP3 was not required for the localization of at least some PBP4

molecules. While PBP3 is inhibited, preseptal PG synthesis (63) still occurs at the potential

division sites in the filamenting cells (30, 64, 65). The localization of PBP4 could therefore be

associated with the presence of PBP1A or PBP1B, which were previously shown to be

recruited to preseptal PG synthesis sites by early cell division proteins (Pazos:2018fj).

PBP1A/LpoA assist in midcell localization of PBP4. PBP4 was recently reported to

interact with PBP1A, LpoA and the EPase adaptor protein NlpI (3). To investigate whether

PBP1A and/or LpoA proteins were needed for midcell localization of PBP4, strains deleted for

mrcA (PBP1A), *lpoA*, *mrcB* (PBP1B) or *lpoB* were grown in rich medium at 37°C and

immunolabeled with anti-PBP4. The amount of PBP4 that localized at midcell was identical

for the parental wild-type strain BW25113, Δ*mrcB* and Δ*lpoB,* but reduced in the Δ*mrcA* and

Δ*lpoA* strains, whereas the PBP4 concentration was similar for all strains (FIG. 3). This could

indicate that PBP1A/LpoA assist in the initial localization of PBP4 at midcell.

NlpI seems not to be involved in midcell localization of PBP4. We next tested if the

interaction with NlpI affected the localization of PBP4. In the Δ*nlpI* cells the amount of PBP4

at midcell per μm average cell circumference was reduced with 42 ± 6.7% (n=3) compared to

wild type and the protein localized later at midcell than in the wild-type cells (Fig. S6). The

PBP4 concentration in the Δ*nlpI* strain was also lower than in the wild-type cells (64.8 ± 17.6%,

n= 3). This could indicate that the cells down-regulate PBP4 expression or that PBP4 becomes

unstable in the Δ*nlpI* strain. Interestingly, labeling of PBP4 in a Δ*nlpI* strain also did not require

lysozyme (Fig. S6), suggesting that one or more additional interactions maintains PBP4

localized outside the PG layer.

PBP4 domain 3 facilitates interaction with NlpI but not PBP1A/LpoA. Microscale

thermophoresis (MST) in which one protein is labelled with a fluorophore and titrated with

an unlabeled partner protein was used to analyze the interactions between PBP4 and its

known interaction partners further. The difference in thermophoresis of the complex versus

the unbound fluorescently labeled proteins is then used to calculate the affinity of the binding

partners. Different versions of PBP4 and its interaction partners were purified and assayed

for direct protein-protein interactions. Wild-type PBP4 interacted with PBP1A, LpoA and NlpI

(3). PBP4 lacking domain 3 (PBP4ΔD3), which did not localize at midcell (Fig. 2), interacted

with PBP1A and LpoA but not with NlpI (Fig. 4). These results suggest that domain 3 of PBP4

facilitates the interaction with NlpI, but not PBP1A.

NlpI and PBP1A/LpoA have different binding sites in PBP4. PBP4 can form a multi-

enzyme complex with NlpI and PBP1A/LpoA (3). PBP1A interacted with PBP4 and could be

cross-linked to PBP4 in cells (Fig. 4C). We found that full length LpoA interacted with both

PBP4 and PBP4ΔD3, with apparent K_D values of 315 ± 38 nM and 153 ± 31 nM, respectively

(Fig. 4A; Fig. S7). This suggests that domains 1 or 2 of PBP4 are sufficient for the interaction

with LpoA. MST assays of PBP4 or PBP4ΔD3 with LpoA's C-terminal (residues N257-S678;

$LpoA^C$) or N-terminal (LpoA residues G28-T256; $LpoA^N$) domains also yielded positive binding

curves (Fig. 4A; Fig. S7) (66-68). We found that $LpoA^C$ and the full length LpoA version had

comparable affinities for both PBP4 versions, suggesting that the C-terminal domain of LpoA

is sufficient for interaction with PBP4 (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, the removal of domain 3 from

PBP4 resulted in an increased affinity of $LpoA^N$ for PBP4 (app. K_D decreased by ~50 fold) (Fig.

4A Fig. S7). Hence, the interaction between the N-terminal domain of LpoA and PBP4 possibly

occurs via a different mechanism dependent on a conformational rearrangement of domain

3 of PBP4. This suggests that the two domains of LpoA, which likely serve different primary

functions, are both sufficient for an interaction with PBP4, albeit with varying affinities. In

conclusion PBP4 robustly interacts with PBP1A/LpoA independent of its domain 3.

LpoA has a small effect on PBP4 activity. We found previously that NlpI does not

affect PBP4 activity (3) but considered it possible that PBP4 interaction with PBP1A/LpoA may

affect its activity. We first verified that NlpI or PBP4 did not affect the activity of PBP1A in the

presence or absence of LpoA using an *in vitro* glycosyltransferase assay (Fig. 5). We next

tested if PBP1A or LpoA affected the activity of PBP4 using *in vitro* PG degradation assays.

LpoA decreased the activity of PBP4 by about 30% in a continuous DD-CPase assay with

soluble substrate and an endpoint PG digestion assay (Fig 5B and C). To assess whether LpoA

also affects PBP4 activity *in vivo*, a ΔdacBΔlpoA strain and ΔdacB strain were transformed

with the plasmids expressing PBP4 or the active site mutant PBP4(S62G) and the toxicity of

their expression was compared. Although the double mutant was less fit than the single

mutant, it was equally sensitive to the overexpression of PBP4 (Fig. 5D), leaving no indication

that LpoA also inhibits the activity of PBP4 *in vivo*.

PBP4 localizes as early and late divisome protein. Since PBP4 localizes at midcell it

could be part of or associated with the divisome. The division machinery is assembled in two

in time separated steps (42, 69). First, FtsZ and its membrane bound partners ZipA and FtsA

in conjunction with other Z-ring associated proteins form the proto-ring that localizes at

midcell (70). Second, after approximately 20% of the cell division cycle the remaining proteins

assemble subsequently at the division site. To obtain more details on PBP4 localization in

relation to other cell division proteins, MC4100 cells were grown to steady state in minimal

glucose medium at 28°C. The cells were immunolabeled with antibodies again PBP4 and

against FtsZ, PBP3, and FtsN that localize early, late and last, respectively. PBP4 localized

strongly at midcell and hardly in the lateral cell wall (Fig. 6). To determine whether PBP4

belongs to the early or late divisome localizing proteins, we analyzed the timing of its midcell

localization. To this end, we plotted the extra fluorescence (FCPlus) present at midcell in

comparison to the amount of fluorescence in the rest of the cell (Fig. 6B and C). PBP4 localized

together with the late localizing proteins like PBP3 and FtsN, but close inspection of its

localization timing shows that it arrives in a small amount at 30% of the cell cycle in between

FtsZ and PBP3 (Fig. 6C). After 40% it shows about the same timing as PBP3. This could indicate

that PBP4 localizes relatively early and dependent on the amount of substrate produced.

Midcell localization of PBP4 depends on FtsE. To investigate if PBP4 localization is

dependent on division associated proteins we localized PBP4 in different temperature

sensitive strains. Strains were grown in minimal glucose medium at 28°C to an OD_{450} of 0.2,

diluted 1:4 in prewarmed medium of 28°C or 42°C and grown for two mass doublings before

PBP4 immunolabelling. PBP4 localized in filamentous cells with thermo-labile FtsQ, FtsW or

PBP3 indicating that these proteins are not involved in the recruitment of PBP4 (Fig. 7). In

filamentous *ftsA*(ts) cells PBP4 localized mostly at one or two division sites, although these

cells had 4 to 8 potential division sites. We suspected that the level of remaining FtsA was

sufficient to assemble only one or two Z-rings at the non-permissive temperature. Labeling of

the filamentous *ftsA*(ts) cells with antibodies against FtsZ showed indeed a similar localization

frequency of FtsZ and PBP4 (Fig. 7). This shows that PBP4 needs FtsZ and FtsA for localization

in agreement with our previous finding of localizing after FtsZ (Fig 6 C).

PBP4 localized only weakly at division sites in the filamentous *ftsE*(ts) cells (Fig. 7). This

suggests that a functional FtsE is important for PBP4 localization. The FtsEX/EnvC complex

assists in the assembly of the divisome (71, 72) and is essential for amidase function to cleave

PG for cell separation (39). To investigate a possible link between PBP4 and this complex we

further dissected PBP4's localization pattern. PBP4 localized at division sites in a Δ*envC* strain,

indicating that EnvC is not needed for PBP4 localization. However, PBP4 failed to localize in a

Δ*ftsEX* strain (Fig. 8). To verify whether FtsE and FtsX were required for PBP4 localization we

constructed a Δ*ftsE* strain. The *ftsE* and *fts*X genes reside in an operon and the expression of

*fts*X requires the *fts*E gene (73). We therefore constructed a Δ*ftsE* strain with a weakened

p*Trc*99A promoter placed upstream of *fts*X to ensure sufficient expression of FtsX. The

resulting strain, XL36 grew with a mild filamentous phenotype in rich medium that could be

complemented by the expression of FtsE(wt) (Fig S9). PBP4 localized weakly at septal

positions in the Δ*fts*E strain XL36 but localized normally when FtsE was expressed to

complement this strain (Fig 8B). This suggests that FtsE and FtsX enhance the septal

localization of PBP4. Interestingly, PBP4 localized at division sites in a strain lacking the three

amidases AmiA, AmiB and AmiC (Fig. S10) and in a strain lacking essential components of the

Tol-Pal system, which is involved in the coordination of OM constriction and PG invagination

during cell division (Fig. S10).

Activity of FtsE is not required for midcell localization of PBP4. The failure of PBP4 to

localize in the FtsE(ts) variant could be due to unstable or inactive FtsE localizing at the

division site. Sequencing of the gene revealed a C to T base pair change that is predicted to

cause Pro135 to be replaced by Ser in the FtsE protein. To determine the role of this mutation,

the sequence of the ABC transporter Mi0796 of *Methanococcus jannashii* for which a crystal

structure is available (PDB code 1L2T (74)) was aligned with the amino acid sequence of *E. coli*

FtsE using Clustal W (75). Pro135 is part of a loop preceding a small alpha-helix adjacent to

the walker B motif that is needed for ATP binding and hydrolysis. We therefore assumed that

the mutation affected the ATP binding or hydrolysis but not the cellular localization of the

protein. To validate this hypothesis, a wild-type parental strain LMC500 and the Δ*ftsE* strain

XL36 were transformed with the plasmids expressing FtsE(wt) or the thermosensitive FtsE(ts)

fused to the fluorescent protein (FP) mNeonGreen (mNG), and were grown at both permissive

and non-permissive temperatures. mNG-FtsE localized at midcell in wild-type and Δ*ftsE*

strains and was able to restore wild-type morphology apart from few cells that filamented.

FP-FtsE(ts) was not able to localize in the Δ*ftsE* XL36 at non-permissive temperature. Even at

the permissive temperature localization was not restored implying that localization is further

weakened by the FP and the absence of an endogenous FtsE. Localization of PBP4 was

restored in the XL36 (Δ*ftsE*) expressing mNG-FtsE(wt) background (Fig. S9). As it is unclear

whether FtsE(wt) lacks stability or activity or both, we expressed three inactive mutants that

had been shown to localize to midcell (73). We tested PBP4 localization in those strains to

discriminate whether or not FtsE activity is needed for its localization. The K41Q and D162A

versions of FtsE cannot bind ATP and FtsE(E163A) binds ATP but cannot hydrolyze it. In

contrast to what was shown previously, we found that mNG-FtsE versions unable to bind ATP

did not localize to midcell. FtsE(E163A) is able to bind ATP and localized at division sites in

filamentous Δ*ftsE* cells. PBP4 followed the localization pattern of FtsE versions suggesting that

ATP hydrolysis by FtsE is not a requirement for localization of PBP4. Because the mNG seemed

to affect the localization of FtsE somewhat, the experiments were repeated with published

untagged FtsE versions (73). PBP4 localized in all four FtsE active site mutants (Fig. 8B)

showing that the activity of FtsE is indeed not required for the localization of PBP4.

PBP4 affects the timing of the divisome assembly. To measure the timing of divisome

in various strain backgrounds, we grew Δ*dacB* cells carrying either an empty plasmid or

plasmids constitutively expressing PBP4 or PBP4 versions to steady state in minimal glucose

medium at 28°C. Cells were fixed, divided into three aliquots and were labeled with anti FtsZ,

anti PBP4 or anti FtsN. We determined the timing of the arrival of these proteins at midcell

by analyzing fluorescence signals of several thousands of cells (76). In the parental strain FtsZ

and FtsN started to localize at midcell at about 29% and 50% of the cell cycle age, respectively.

Similarly, in the Δ*dacB* strain expressing wild-type PBP4 from plasmid FtsZ and FtsN started

to localize at midcell at about 20% and 49% of the cell division cycle age, respectively (Fig. 9D).

Strikingly, all three proteins localized simultaneously and very late at 45% of the cell division

cycle time in the Δ*dacB* strain (Fig. 9D). Expression of inactive PBP4 versions affected the

timing of the divisome assembly as well. Especially Δ*dacB* cells expressing PBP4(D155A) from

plasmid, which was slightly filamentous (Fig. 9B), assembled the divisome very early and

showed a longer cell division period. These results show that PBP4 profoundly affects the

timing of divisome assembly.

DISCUSSION

Escherichia coli contains a large number of seemingly redundant PG hydrolases, which

possibly fine tune peptidoglycan biogenesis and remodelling in response to environmental

parameters. Apart from their enzymatic activities, little is known about the actual function of

most PG hydrolases. Here we report that the DD-endopeptidase PBP4 localizes at midcell

during septal peptidoglycan synthesis in *E. coli* and is important for the timing of the assembly

of the division machinery.

PBP4 localises at midcell during cell division in an FtsEX dependent manner. PBP4

localizes predominantly at midcell during cell division in an FtsEX dependent manner. Inactive

PBP4 variants were able to localize at midcell indicating that substrate hydrolysis is not a

requirement for localization. However, a version of PBP4 lacking the non-catalytic domain 3

(PBP4ΔD3), which is required for activity but not β-lactam binding, did not localize to mid-cell.

From these results we infer that PBP4 localizes to midcell either by recognizing its substrate

(without the need to hydrolyse it) and/or by protein-protein interactions. Our data also

suggest that the bulk of PBP4 localizes late at the division site. Nevertheless, some PBP4

molecules appear to arrive early and may associate to preseptal PG synthesis, which is

consistent with the observation that PBP4 is required for the timing of divisome assembly and

cell division (Fig 1).

PBP4 might be involved in preseptal PG synthesis. PBP4 interacts with NlpI, PBP1A

and LpoA and these proteins are able to form a ternary complex ((3) and (Fig. 4). PBP4ΔD3

interacted with PBP1A and LpoA but not with NlpI, confiming differential PBP4 binding sites

of PBP1A, LpoA and NlpI. The interaction with PBP1A and/or LpoA may contribute to the

recruitment of PBP4 at midcell, as the amount of PBP4 at midcell was slightly reduced in

Δ*mrcA* and Δ*lpoA* strains. PBP1A and its partner LpoA are involved in preseptal PG synthesis

(30, 76). PBP4 weakly localizes at preseptal sites in cell filaments generated with aztreonam

that have lost septal synthesis but not preseptal synthesis activity. Possibility, some PBP4

enriches at preseptal PG synthesis sites together with PBP1A/LpoA, which localize through

the interaction of PBP1A with ZipA (30), and preseptal PG synthesis provide substrates for

PBP4. The interaction between NlpI and PBP4 could function to sequester PBP4 in the lateral

wall to prevent its interaction with potential substrate (Fig. 10).

Why does the loss of PBP4 affects the timing of divisome assembly? The absence of

PBP4 severely interferes with the timing of the divisome assembly. In most bacteria studied

thus far, the Z-ring with its associated early division proteins localizes first to prepare the

future cell division site, perhaps by generating the border between the side wall and the

future septum (30, 63, 65). After a time delay, the proteins that synthesize the bulk of the

septal PG arrive and septation starts (42-45). In the absence of PBP4, there is no time delay

between the early and late cell division proteins and a late arrival of FtsZ coincides with the

arrival of FtsN and the initiation of septal PG synthesis. This change of divisome assembly

could be caused by direct or indirect effects. PBP4 could be directly needed to open the PG

layer to allow insertion of new septal material or to remodel the nascent PG to allow new

insertions. Alternatively, the absence of PBP4 might enable NlpI to bind other EPases,

coordinating their activity for remodelling the PG layer during septation. However, the robust

localization of PBP4 at midcell suggests a direct function for PBP4 in PG remodelling. We

hypothesise that during elongation sufficient PBP4 molecules are retained by NlpI in the

lateral wall above the PG layer to avoid promiscuous PBP4 activity (Fig. 10). This is consistent

with the observations that the absence of NlpI resulted in a reduced amount of PBP4 present

in the cells (Fig. S6 and (3)). NlpI is not enhanced at midcell (3) and therefore we do not

anticipate a role for NlpI in the retention of PBP4 at midcell. PBP4 might be initially recruited

to midcell through interactions with PBP1A and LpoA, and possibly the FtsEX-EnvC complex,

likely directing PBP4's activity already to preseptal sites (Fig. 10). Once septal peptidoglycan

synthesis starts by the mature divisome, more substrate should become available for PBP4

facilitating its accumulation at midcell, which we observed in the immunolocalization

experiments. Hence, PBP4 could initially remove crosslinks to help AmiA and AmiB to

generate denuded glycan strands. These would facilitate the accumulation of FtsN, which is

required to initiate septal PG synthesis. Alternatively, PBP4 could simply remove old glycan

strands during preseptal PG synthesis. Because of its impact on the timing of the divisome

assembly, the first option seems to be more likely. Whether the function of PBP4 remains the

same during septation will need further investigation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
401

Culturing conditions. *E. coli* K12 cells were grown to steady state (76) in glucose minimal medium containing 6.33 g of $K_2HPO_4.3H_2O$, 2.95 g of KH_2PO_4, 1.05 g of $(NH_4)_2$, 0.10 g of $MgSO_4.7 H_2O$, 0.28 mg of $FeSO_4.7 H_2O$, 7.1 mg of $Ca(NO_3)_2.4 H_2O$, 4 mg of thiamine and 4 g of glucose per L. For strain MC4100 and its derivatives 50 µg lysine (Gb1) and for BW25114 and MG1655 derivatives 2 µg uracil, 20 µg thymidine, 50 µg arginine and glutamine (Gb4) per liter pH 7.0 at 28°C or 37°C were added. Absorbance was measured at or 450 nm with a 300-T-1 spectrophotometer (Gilford Instrument Laboratories Inc.). Alternatively, cells were grown in TY (5g yeast extract, 10 g bacto trypton and 85 mM NaCl, pH 7.0), or LB, which is TY with 170 mM NaCl and absorbance was measured at 600 nm.

Strain construction. Strain XL35, the FtsE partial deletion strain, was constructed as follows: Primers priXL221 and priXL222 was used to amplify the upstream homologous sequences (*UHS*) from the *ftsE* start codon, and primers priXL223 and priXL224 were used to amplify the downstream homologous sequence after the FtsE 151th amino acid (the potential promoter of *ftsX*), from the MC4100 genome. Primers priXL51 and priXL54 were used to amplify the kanamycin resistance cassette from plasmid pKD4 (77). The amplified overlap PCR product (*UHS-FRT-KAN-FRT-DHS*) with primers priXL221 and priXL224 was used to construct the recombinant strain XL34 in which the first 150 amino acids encoding sequencing of *ftsE* was deleted (77). Subsequently, the kanamycin selection cassette was removed with plasmid pCP20 (77) yielding XL35.

Strain XL36, the *ftsE* clean deletion strain, was constructed similarly as XL35. Primers priXL221 and priXL222 were used to amplify the upstream homologous sequences from the *ftsE* start codon, and primers priXL225 and priXL226 were used to amplify the downstream homologous sequences after the *ftsE* stop codon, from the MC4100 genome. In order to restore the FtsX expression, a *pTrc99Adown* promoter (78) was amplified from plasmid

pSAV057 (79) with primers priXL77 and priXL227 and a chloramphenicol selection cassette

was amplified from plasmid pKD3 with primers priXL52 and priXL54. The amplified overlap

PCR product (*UHS-FRT-CAM-FRT-DHS*) with primers priXL221 and priXL226 was used to

construct the recombinant strain XL33 in which the entire *ftsE* coding sequence was deleted

and FtsX was expressed from the chromosomally encoded p*Trc99Adown* promoter.

Subsequently, the kanamycin selection cassette was removed with plasmid pCP20 yielding

XL36 (Table 1).

Plasmid construction. The *ftsE* 1181(ts) mutation was amplified from the

chromosome of LMC515 (80) using the forward primer priXL187 and the reverse primer

priXL188, and sequenced to characterize the mutation. The mutated and the wild-type *ftsE*

genes were cloned into pSAV057, p15A origin and Cam^R (79) to produce the plasmids pXL135

and pXL136, respectively. *FtsE* was expressed under the control of the p*Tcr*99A down

promoter. QuickChange site directed mutagenesis (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and

Gibson assembly (81) approaches were applied afterwards to construct the inactive *ftsE*

mutant plasmids pXL137, pXL138 and pXL139, using the primers listed in Table 2.

To construct the PBP4 expression plasmids, the wild-type *dacB* gene was firstly

amplified from the *E. coli MG1655* genome using primer priXL244 and priXL245, and

subsequently cloned into plasmid pSAV057 and pSAV057-dsba^{ss}-mCherry-PBP5 (pNM010 (18))

with *EcoRI* and *HindIII*, to generate the PBP4 plasmids without and with mCherry fusion.

Similarly, QuickChange site directed mutagenesis and Gibson assembly approaches were

applied afterwards to construct the inactive *dacB* mutant plasmids from these two wild-type

plasmids, using the primers listed in Table 2.

Immunolabeling. After reaching steady state for minimal glucose grown cells or the

desired OD for rich medium grown cells, the cells were fixed for 15 min by addition of a

mixture of formaldehyde (f. c. 2.8%) and glutaraldehyde (f. c. 0.04%) to the cultures in the

shaking water bath and immunolabeled as described (82) with Rabbit polyclonal antibodies

against PBP4 or NlpI (3) preabsorbed against Δ*dacB* or Δ*nlpI*, strains, respectively. As

secondary antibody, donkey anti-rabbit conjugated to Cy3 or to Alexa488 (Jackson

Immunochemistry, USA) diluted 1:300 in blocking buffer (0.5% (wt/vol) blocking reagents

(Boehringer, Mannheim, Germany) in PBS) was used, and the samples were incubated for 30

minutes at 37°C. For immunolocalization, cells were immobilized on 1% agarose in water slabs

coated object glasses as described (83) and photographed with an Orca Flash 4.0 (Hamamatsu,

Japan) CCD camera mounted on an Olympus BX-60 (Japan) fluorescence microscope through

a 100x/*N.A.* 1.35 oil objective. Images were taken using the program ImageJ with

MicroManager (https://www.micro-manager.org).

SIM images were obtained with a Nikon Ti Eclipse microscope (Japan) and captured

using a Hamamatsu Orca-Flash 4.0 LT camera. Phase contrast images were acquired with a

Plan APO 100x/1.45 Ph3 oil objective. SIM images were obtained with a SR APO TIRF

100x/1.49 oil objective, using 3D-SIM illumination with a 561nm laser, and were

reconstructed with Nikon-SIM software using the values 0.20-0.25-0.20 for the parameters

Illumination Modulation Contrast (IMC), High Resolution Noise suppression (HNS) and Out of

focus Blur Suppression (OBS), respectively.

Image analysis. Phase contrast and fluorescence images were combined into

hyperstacks using ImageJ (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) and these were linked to the project file

of   Coli-Inspector   running   in   combination   with   the   plugin   ObjectJ

(https://sils.fnwi.uva.nl/bcb/objectj/). The images were scaled to 15.28 pixel per μm. The

fluorescence background has been subtracted using the modal values from the fluorescence

images before analysis. Slight misalignment of fluorescence with respect to the cell contours

as found in phase contrast was corrected using Fast-Fourier techniques as described (76).

Data analysis was performed as described (76). In brief, midcell was defined as the central

part of the cell comprising 0.8 µm of the axis. From either cell part, midcell and remaining cell,

the volume, the integrated fluorescence, and thus the concentration of fluorophores was

calculated. The difference of the two concentrations is multiplied with the volume of midcell.

It yields FCPlus (surplus of fluorescence) at midcell. For age calculation, all cell lengths are

sorted in ascending order. Then the equation

age = ln(1 - 0.5 * rank / (nCells - 1)) / ln(0.5)

is used, where *rank* is a cell's index in the sorted array, *nCells* is the total number of cells, and

age is the cell's age expressed in the range 0 .. 1.

Ni^{2+}-NTA pulldown assays. Nickel-Nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) coupled agarose beads

(Qiagen) were used in this assay to retain His-tagged proteins from protein mixtures. Similar

to affinity chromatography techniques, the His-tagged protein then becomes a secondary bait

protein to bind untagged interaction partners.

Ni-NTA beads (100µl) were pre-equilibrated with dH$_2$O and binding buffer (10 mM

HEPES/NaOH, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100, pH 7.5) by centrifugation at

4000 × *g*, 4 min at 4°C, and then incubated with proteins of interest. Equimolar amounts (1-2

µM) of His$_6$-tagged and untagged proteins were incubated alone (control) and in combination,

for 10 min at 4°C in a 200 µl reaction volume, containing 10 mM binding buffer. An aliquot of

this mixture is taken as an 'applied' sample. The protein samples were then added to pre-

equilibrated Ni-NTA beads and incubated overnight on a spinning plate at 4°C with 1.3 ml of

binding buffer.

Beads were centrifuged at 4000 × *g*, 4 min, 4°C and washed a further 4-6 times with 1

ml of washing buffer (binding buffer with 30 mM Imidazole) before re-suspending in 250 µl

washing buffer and transferring beads to Proteus spin columns (Generon), centrifuging as

described above. Bound proteins were eluted by the addition of 50 μl of SDS-PAGE loading

buffer and boiling at 100°C for 5 min. Spin columns were centrifuged a final time at 1500 × g,

5 min, RT, to collect 'eluted' protein before separation by SDS-PAGE. Eluted samples were run

alongside applied samples for comparison.

Microscale thermophoresis. Microscale thermophoresis (MST) is an immobilisation-

free method which allows the detection of biomolecular interactions in solution. This

technique is based on the specific directed movement of a protein along a heat gradient

(thermophoresis), an observation first reported by Carl Ludwig in 1856 (84). The

thermophoresis of a protein changes upon ligand binding due to one or more changes to size,

charge and/or hydration shell. In MST, a localised heat gradient is initiated by an IR-laser

(wavelength 1470 nm). A protein of interest is fluorescently labelled and the change in its

thermophoretic mobility, in the presence of an unlabelled ligand, is measured and expressed

as a change in the normalised fluorescence (FNorm).

Proteins of interest (10-20 μM) were fluorescently labelled with an amine

reactive dye (NT-647- N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)), cysteine reactive dye (NT-647 maleimide)

or a histidine reactive dye (NT-647-Tris-NTA), according to manufacturer's instructions

(Nanotemper). Fluorescently labelled proteins were diluted to an appropriate concentration

and a fixed concentration was titrated against a two-fold serial dilution of unlabelled ligand,

across 16 samples, in MST running buffer (25 mM HEPES/NaOH, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Triton

X-100, pH 7.5).

MST measurements were carried out as described in (85), using standard or premium

capillaries on a Monolith NT.115^{TM} MST machine (Nanotemper). Binding curves and Kinetic

parameters were plotted and estimated using manufacturer provided software (NT Analysis

1.5.41 and MO. Affinity Analysis (x64)). Capillary scans were carried out prior to all

measurements to check for consistent fluorescence counts, confirming that any subsequent

change in fluorescence was due to ligand binding and not due to inaccurate pipetting or

adsorption and dilution effects.

SDS-denaturation (SD) test. In instances where capillary scans showed a ligand

concentration dependant change in raw fluorescence, we investigated whether this was a

property of the binding interaction by carrying out an SD-test.

Samples (10 uL) with the highest and lowest concentration of unlabelled ligand were

centrifuged $10\,000 \times g$, 5 min, RT and mixed 1:1 volume ratio with SD-test buffer (40 mM DTT,

4% SDS). Mixtures were boiled at 100 °C for 10 min, to abolish ligand binding, before being

spun down and subjected to another capillary scan. If the fluorescence between samples that

contained the highest and lowest concentration of ligand, after SDS treatment, were now

back to ± 10% of each other, the initial observations were a property of ligand binding and a

binding curve was plotted from the raw fluorescence data. If the ligand concentration

dependent change in fluorescence was still observed, then this indicated that the

fluorescently labelled protein was aggregating and assay and buffer conditions were

optimised accordingly

***In vivo* cross-linking / co-immunoprecipitation assays.** Method is described in, and

adapted from (86). An overnight culture of *E. coli* BW25113 cells and an appropriate mutant

strain was used to inoculate 150 ml of Lennox LB (Fisher Scientific) and was cultivated to an

OD_{578} of 0.5-0.6 at 37°C before harvesting by centrifugation ($4500 \times g$, 4°C, 25 min). Cells were

resuspended in 6 ml of CL buffer 1 (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 20% sucrose, pH 7.4). The amine reactive

cross-linker, DTSSP (3,3'-dithiobis (sulfosuccinimidylpropionate) (ThermoFisher), was freshly

dissolved (20 mg/ml in dH_2O) and added to the isolated cell suspension and incubated at 4°C

with agitation for 1 h. Cross-linked cells were then harvested by centrifugation (4500 × g, 4°C,

25 min) and resuspended in 6 ml CL buffer 2 (100 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 M NaCl, pH

7.5). DNase, protease inhibitor cocktail and phenylmethylsulfonly fluoride were added prior

to sonication at low levels before ultracentrifugation of the lysate (140,000 × g, 4°C, 1 h). The

membrane pellet was resuspended in 2.5 ml of CL buffer 3 (25 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1

M NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 20% glycerol, pH 7.5) and the solubilised membrane extracted o/n

with stirring at 4°C.

Samples were ultracentrifuged (140,000 × g, 4°C, 1 h) to remove debris, before

removing 2 × 1.2 ml of each supernatant to be subsequently diluted with 0.6 ml of CL buffer

4 (75 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.5). One sample was incubated with an

optimised concentration of specific antibody with the other used as a negative control. Both

samples were incubated at 4°C with agitation for 5 h. For the isolation of antibodies, and thus

cross-linked interaction partners, 100 μl of protein G-coupled agarose bead resin (Roche)

were washed (2 × CL buffer 4, 2 × CL wash buffer [2:1 CL buffer 3 and CL buffer 4]) and added

to each sample, and incubated o/n at 4°C with agitation.

Samples were centrifuged and the supernatant retained before washing the beads 10

× 1 ml with CL wash buffer. After the final wash, beads were resuspended in 250 μl CL wash

buffer and transferred to 2 ml spin dry columns and centrifuged to isolate the beads. These

were then resuspended in 50 μl of fresh SDS-loading buffer and boiled to elute bound proteins,

and reverse cross-linkage, and were collected by centrifugation (10,000 × g, RT, 5 min).

Supernatant and elution samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a

nitrocellulose membrane by Western blotting to detect for specific interaction partners using

purified antibodies. The secondary antibody used here is Trueblot Anti-Rabbit IgG-HRP

specific for native antibodies.

Peptidoglycan digestion assays. To test for hydrolase activity on PG, 10 µl of sacculi

isolated from strains of interest (usually *E. coli* strains MC1061, BW25113 or D456) were

incubated with 1-10 µM of respective enzymes, 37°C for between 1 h and overnight, as

indicated for respective proteins. The standard reaction conditions were 10 mM HEPES/NaOH,

10 mM $MgCl_2$, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100, pH 7.5, in 100 µl reaction volume. Following

incubation, samples were boiled at 100°C, 10 min, to terminate reactions before digesting

remaining PG overnight at 37°C, with 1 µM cellosyl. The samples were centrifuged at 10,000

× *g* for 5 min, RT, to obtain digested muropeptide products in the supernatant.

To test for activity against soluble muropeptides; first, 100 µl of intact sacculi were

incubated overnight at 37°C with 1 µM cellosyl and cellosyl digestion buffer (20 mM $NaPO_4$,

pH 4.8). Next, samples were boiled at 100 °C, 10 min, before centrifugation at 10,000 × *g* for

5 min, RT, to obtain the soluble muropeptides in the supernatant (87). Ten µl of the

supernatant was then used as muropeptide substrate for incubating with enzymes of interest,

for the appropriate incubation time, at 37°C. Reactions were then terminated by boiling at

100°C for 10 min.

After digestion of respective substrates, products were reduced with $NaBH_4$, adjusted

to pH 4-5 and separated for analysis by reversed-phase HPLC as described below in HPLC

analysis.

Reduction of muropeptides with sodium borohydride. PG digestion samples were

transferred to 2 ml vials following centrifugation. Muropeptides were reduced in a 1:1 volume

ratio of sodium borohydride buffer (0.5 M sodium borate, pH 9.0) and a small spatula of

sodium borohydride pellets, centrifuging at 3000 × *g,* 30 min, RT as described in (87). The

samples were adjusted to pH 4-5 using HPLC grade phosphoric acid before separation and

analysis by reversed-phase HPLC.

Reversed-phase HPLC analysis of muropeptides. Following the protocol of (87),

reduced muropeptides were separated for analysis on reversed-phase HPLC systems with a

Prontosil 120-3-C18-AQ 3 µm reversed-phase HPLC column (Bischoff). A linear gradient of

solvent A (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 4.31 supplemented with 0.2% NaN_3) to 100 %

solvent B (75 mM sodium phosphate, pH 4.95, 15% methanol) over 90 or 180 min was used

to separate muropeptides at 52°C. Unlabelled muropeptides were detected at UV absorbance

205 nm. In assays where ^{14}C-radiolabelled muropeptides were used, detection was achieved

by flowing scintillation cocktail along with standard buffers to give a radioisotope scintillation

count (radioactivity CPM). Muropeptide profiles were recorded and analysed using Laura

V4.2.11.129 (LabLogic Systems Ltd.).

Spectrophotometric D-alanine release assay (DD-carboxypeptidase assay). This

protocol was adapted from (58). The carboxypeptidase (CPase) activity of PG hydrolases

results in the release of the terminal D-Ala residue from the pentapeptide stem of PG

precursors. Using UDP-Mur*N*Ac pentapeptide as a substrate, and in this case PBP4, it was

possible to spectrophotometrically measure the release of D-Ala.

Each reaction sample consisted of 200 µl of CPase buffer (50 mM HEPES/NaOH, 10

mM $MgCl_2$, pH 7.6), 3 units of D-amino acid oxidase (Sigma), 6 units of horseradish peroxidase

(HRP) (Sigma), Amplex Red (Sigma), and an optimised concentration of protein.

All constituents of the reaction were added and mixed directly in a quartz cuvette

(Hellma, 10 mM light path, 15 mM centre), before the addition, and brief mixing by pipette,

of purified UDP-Mur*N*Ac pentapeptide (BACWAN, Warwick University) to begin the reaction.

The released D-Ala residues from the CPase activity of PBP4 are oxidatively deaminated by

the action of D-amino acid oxidase to produce pyruvate and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). The

released H_2O_2 is reduced to H_2O by HRP using Amplex Red as an electron donor. Oxidised

Amplex Red produces resorufin, which has an intense pink colour and the production of which

was measured spectrophotometrically using a Cary 100 Bio UV-visible spectrophotometer

(wavelength 555 nm). The change in absorption over 10 min was measured and analysed

using the complementing software.

Circular dichroism. Proteins were dialysed overnight against 10 mM NaPO$_4$, pH 7.5

and concentrated/diluted to 0.4 mg/ml. CD measurements were taken using a Jasco J-810

spectropolarimeter using a wavelength range of 180-250 nm. The average of 10 runs was

taken for each protein with a buffer control subtraction. For a direct comparison, correcting

for the differing amino acid sequences, the collected data were converted to molecular CD

and plotted against wavelength (nm). The resulting CD spectra are compared in Fig. S4 and

show that PBP4 lacking domain 3 is folded, consisting of both α-helices (~190, 208 and 222

nm) and β-sheets (~210 nm).

Analytical ultracentrifugation. Purified PBP4 and PBP4ΔD3 were dialyzed over night

against 25 mM HEPES/NaOH, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, in preparation for AUC experiments. AUC

sedimentation velocity (SV) experiments were carried out in a Beckman Coulter (Palo Alto,

CA, USA) ProteomeLab XL-I analytical ultracentrifuge using absorbance at 280 nm and

interference detection. The AUC runs were executed at the rotation speed of 45,000 rpm and

the temperature of 20°C using an 8-hole AnTi50 rotor and double-sector aluminium-Epon

centerpieces. The sample volume was 400 µl and the sample concentrations ranged between

approximately 0.25 and 1.4 mg/ml. The partial specific volumes ($\bar{v}$) of the proteins were

calculated from their amino acid sequence, using the program SEDNTERP (88). Sedimentation

velocity boundaries were analyzed using the size-distribution c(s) model implemented in the

program SEDFIT http://www.analyticalultracentrifugation.com (89). The experimental values

of the sedimentation coefficient were converted to the standard conditions (s20,w), which is

the value of sedimentation coefficient in water at 20°C. The size-distribution peaks were

integrated to obtain the weight-averaged values for sedimentation coefficient and molecular

mass.

The atomic coordinates from the published high-resolution structure of (56) (pdb

accession code 2EX2) were used to calculate the sedimentation coefficient values for the

monomeric and dimeric forms of PBP4 /PBP4ΔD3 using the program SoMo (90). PBP4

/PBP4ΔD3 crystallographic dimer was built using program PyMol (https://pymol.org/2/).

PG binding assay. To assay for interactions of respective proteins with purified PG, we

used a PG binding protocol as described in (91). Briefly, ~100 µg purified PG was pelleted by

centrifugation (10,000 × *g,* 10 min, 4°C) and resuspended in binding buffer (10 mM

Tris/Maleate, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 50 mM NaCl, pH 6.8). PG was incubated with 10 µg of desired

protein before incubating on ice for 30 min and centrifuging at 10,000 × *g,* 10 min, 4°C. An

aliquot of the supernatant (S) was taken for SDS-PAGE. The pelleted PG was washed with 200

µl binding buffer by centrifugation as before and the wash supernatant was taken as sample

W for SDS-PAGE. The pelleted PG was then resuspended in 100 µl of 2% SDS and incubated

for 1 h on a stirring plate, 4°C. Mixtures were centrifuged a final time at 10,000 × *g,* 10 min,

4°C, with the supernatant, now containing any initially bound protein, taken as the pellet

sample (P). A negative control sample with no PG was assayed in parallel to determine that

binding of protein was specific. Samples, along with corresponding controls, were analysed

by SDS-PAGE and visualised by Coomassie staining. Presence of protein band in pellet sample

(P) indicated binding to PG, whilst presence in supernatant sample (S) indicated no binding.

Bocillin binding assay. A fluorescent-bocillin binding assay was used to determine

whether a PBP was purified with a correctly folded active site. Bocillin FL is a commercially

available dye-β-lactam conjugate, synthesised from penicillin V and BODIPY (Molecular

Probes, In., Eugene, Oreg.). Ten µg of purified PBP was incubated for 10 min at 37°C, with 20

ng of Bocillin FL in a 50 µl volume with 10 mM HEPES/NaOH, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, pH 7.5. A negative

control sample was pre-incubated with 1 mM ampicillin for 30 min, 37°C, to block the PBP

active site, prior to incubation with Bocillin FL. After incubation, samples were boiled at 100

°C for 10 min with 40 µl of SDS-PAGE loading buffer and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Fluorescent

signal was observed using Typhoon Fluorescence-imager (Excitation; 488 nm, emission; 520

nm, BP20 PMT 400-600 V), followed by visualisation of gels by Coomassie staining. Proteins

incubated with ampicillin should have no detectable binding of Bocillin FL. For whole cells, a

culture was grown in 5 mL TY and induced with 10 µM IPTG for 3 mass doublings to an OD_{600}

of 0.5 and the cells were pelleted and washed once with PBS (8 g NaCl, 1.8 g Na_2HPO_4, 0.24 g

KH_2PO_4 per liter, adjusted to pH 7.4) at 4°C and resuspended in 100 µl PBS with 5µg/ml Bocilin

FL and incubated for 20 min at RT. To remove the excess Bocillin FL, the samples were pelleted

and resuspended in 100 µl PBS with cOmplete™ Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma) and

2 U DNAse I (NEB). Then sonicated on ice for 10 sec and boiled 15' at 99°C after adding 25 µL

of SDS-PAGE 5x loading buffer and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Fluorescent signal was observed

using a home-made imager for Midori green, followed by visualisation of gels by Coomassie

staining.

***In vitro* PG synthesis assay with radiolabelled lipid II.** The assay was published by

Bertsche et al., (92). Lipid II (1.2 nmol, 11,000 dpm) was vacuum dried and dissolved in 5 µl of

methanol. The reaction was performed in a total volume of 50 µl in 25 mM Tris/HCl, 10 mM

$MgCl_2$, 100 mM NaCl, 0.05% Triton X-100, pH 7.5 (buffer B), with 0.5 µM PBP1A, 1 µM LpoA,

1 µM PBP4(S62A), 2 µM NlpI. The reaction was performed for 1 h at 30°C. The pH of the

samples was adjusted to 4.8 prior to boiling for 5 min followed by a digestion with 50 g/ml

cellosyl for 1 h at 37°C and boiling for 5 min.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank David Roper and Smita Chauhan (University of Warwick) for help with the carboxypeptidase assay, Helen Waller (Newcastle University) for help with circular dichroism methodology, and Lisa Atkinson and Daniela Vollmer (Newcastle University) for preparation of PG sacculi. The work was supported by funding from the Wellcome Trust (101824/Z/13/Z), to WV). X.L. was supported by the China Scholarship Council fellowship (File No.201406220123).

J.V., A.L., H.C.L.Y, X.L., A.S.S., and M.B did most experiments. A.T., M.B., V.W., and T.B. designed most experiments. M.B, W.V and TdB analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors critically read the manuscript and provided feedback.

TABLE 1 Strain and plasmids used in this study.

Strain	Mutation	Genotype	Source
LMC500 (MC4100)	Wild-type	F⁻, *araD139, Δ(argF-lac)U169, deoC1, flbB5301, lysA1, ptsF25, rbsR, relA1, rpsL150*	(80)
BL21(DE3)	Overexpression strain	F⁻ *ompT, dcm lon hsdS hsdSB(rB-mB-)* λ(DE3)	Novagen
BW25113	Keio background strain	F⁻, *DE(araD-araB)567, lacZ4787(del)::rrnB-3, LAM-, rph-1, DE(rhaD-rhaB)568, hsdR514*	(77)
DH5α	Storage strain	F⁻ *endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1* φ80Δ*lacZ*ΔM15, λ⁻	Invitrogen
MC1061	Laboratory strain	Δ(*araA-leu*)7697 Δ(*lac*)X74 *galK*16 *galE*15(GalS) λ⁻e14- *mcrA*0 *relA1 rpsL*150(str^R) *spoT1 mcrB1 hsdR2*	(93)
LMC509	FtsZ (ts)	MC4100 *ftsZ*184	(80)
LMC511	FtsA (ts)	MC4100 *ftsA*10	(80)
LMC512	FtsA (ts)	MC4100 *ftsA*1882	(80)
LMC515	FtsE (ts)	MC4100 *ftsE*1181	(80)
LMC531	FtsQ (ts)	MC4100 *ftsQ*(ts)	(80)

LMC2487	FtsW (ts)	JLB17; F⁻ *thr, trp, his, thy, ara, lac, gal, xyl, mtl, rspL, tonA*, *ftsW* amino acid replacement G311D	(94)
LMC510	PBP3 (ts)	MC4100 *ftsI* 2158	(80)
BCB677	ΔPBP1A	BW25113Δ*mrcA*	(95)
BCB678	ΔPBP1B	BW25113Δ*mrcB*	(95)
BCB192	ΔLpoA	BW25113Δ*lpoA*	(95)
LMC2217	ΔLpoB	BW25113Δ*lpoA*	(95)
BCB655	ΔPBP4	BW25113Δ*dacB*	(95)
BCB904	ΔNlpI	BW25113Δ*nlpI*	(95)
BCB1363	ΔPBP4ΔLpoA	BW25113Δ*dacB*:kan^R, Δ*lpoA*	This work
BCB744	ΔFtsE	BW25113Δ*ftsE* kan^R CAG60397	This work
BCB746	ΔFtsEX	BW25113Δ*ftsEX* cat^R CAG60399	This work
BCB1081	ΔFtsE 1-150	XL34 LMC500Δ*fts*E 1-150::*kan-fts*X	This work
BCB849	ΔFtsE 1-150	XL35 LMC500Δ*fts*E 1-150::*fts*X	This work
BCB1082	ΔFtsE	XL33 LMC500Δ*fts*E::-cam-p*Trc99A*down *fts*X	This work
BCB850	ΔFtsE	XL36 LMC500Δ*fts*E::p*Trc99A*down *fts*X	This work

Plasmid	Name	Characteristics	Source
pET21b	pET21b-PBP4(S62A)Δ1-60	Inactive PBP4 lacking residues 1-60 (Amp^R)	(3)
pET21b	pET21b-His-PBP4(S62A)Δ1-60	For purification of His-PBP4 (active site mutant) (Amp^R)	(3)
pET21b	pET21b-PBP4Δ1-60	Native PBP4 lacking residues 1-60 (Amp^R)	(3)
pET28a	pET28a-His-LpoA(sol)	Soluble LpoA (LpoAΔ1-27) construct, N-terminal His-tag, Kan^R	(66)
pET28a	pET28-His-LpoA^N	Purification of His-LpoA^N	(66)
pET28a	pET28-His-LpoA^C	Purification of His-LpoA^C	(66)
pTK1A-His	pTK1A-His	Full length His-PBP1A, Kan^R	(66)
pET28a-	pET28a-His-NlpI	Soluble NlpI construct, N-terminal His-tag, KanR	(6)
pBAD18	pBAD18-His-PBP4ΔD3	for purification of His- PBP4 lacking domain 3 (Amp^R)	This work
pXL86	pTHV mCh-FtsE wt	p*Trc* 99A down expressing mCh-FtsE(wt) colE1 ori, Amp^R	This work
pXL89	pTHV mCh-FtsE ts	p*Trc* 99A down expressing mCh-FtsE(ts) (P135S) colE1 ori, Amp^R	This work
pXL110	pSAV mNG-NNN-FtsE	p*Trc* 99A down expressing mNG-FtsE, p15A origin, Cam^R	This work
pXL133	pSAV-PBP4	p*Trc* 99A down expressing PBP4, p15A origin, Cam^R	This work

pXL134	pSAV-PBP4 S62G	p*Trc* 99A down expressing PBP4 S62G, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL135	pSAV-FtsE	p*Trc* 99A down expressing FtsE, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL136	pSAV-FtsE(ts)	p*Trc* 99A down expressing FtsE(ts), p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL137	pSAV-FtsE K41Q	p*Trc* 99A down expressing FtsE K41Q, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL138	pSAV-FtsE D162A	p*Trc* 99A down expressing FtsE D162A, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL139	pSAV-FtsE E163A	p*Trc* 99A down expressing FtsE E163A, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL140	pSAV-PBP4-ΔD3	p*Trc* 99A down expressing PBP4Δ173-247 (domain 3), p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL150	pSAV-PBP4-D155A	p*Trc* 99A down expressing PBP4 D155A, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work
pXL151	pSAV-PBP4-R361A	p*Trc* 99A down expressing PBP4 DR361A, p15A origin, Cam[R]	This work

707 **TABLE 2 Primers used in this study.**

Primer	Sequence 5'-3'	Purpose
priXL51	ACCATGGCTAATTCCCATGTCAG	GA_pkd3_F
priXL54	GTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTC	PCR_pkd3_R
priXL187	CCGGCCGAATTCAACAACAACTCGATGATTCGCTTTGAACATGTCAGC	FtsE-EcoRI fw
priXL188	GGCCAAGCTTTTATTCATGGCCCACGCCTCC	FtsE-EcoRI rv
priXL221	CATGAAGCCGTTGGCTTAACC	ΔFtsE-FA-F
priXL222	TAGGAACTTCGAAGCAGCTCCAGCCTACACTGTTAATCCTCTCGGGCAAAAG	ΔFtsE -FA-R
priXL223	GGATATTCATATGGACCATGGCTAATTCCCATGTCAGGCGGTGGTGAACAAGCCCGC	ΔFtsE- 1-150
priXL224	GGTGAGCATGCGATAGGAACG	ΔFtsE-1:150
priXL225	TGAGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGAAACAGACCATGAATAAGCGCGATGCAATC	ΔFtsE-clean
priXL226	CTTTTCAGATCCTGCAATGCG	ΔFtsE-clean
priXL230	ATTCCGGCGCAGGGCAAAGTACTCTCCTGAAGCTG	FtsE-K41Q-F
priXL231	GATCAGCTTCAGGAGAGTACTTTGCCCTGCGCCGGA	FtsE-K41Q-R

priXL232	CGGTACTGCTAGCGGCTGAACCGACTGGTAACCTG	FtsE-D162A-F
priXL233	AGGTTACCAGTCGGTTCAGCCGCTAGCAGTACCGC	FtsE-D162A-R
priXL234	GTACTGCTAGCGGACGCTCCGACTGGTAACCT	FtsE-E163A-F
priXL235	AGGTTACCAGTCGGAGCGTCCGCTAGCAGTACCGC	FtsE-E163A-R
priXL236	AGATGGCGCTGCCTGCCGGCACCCAGAAAG	PBP4-S62G-F
priXL244	GCGCGAATTCatgCGATTTTCCAGATTTATCA	EcoRI-PBP4-F
priXL245	GCGCAAGCTTctaATTGTTCTGATAAATATC	HindIII-PBP4-R
priXL250	GTTGACCGCAACGGTGGCGGAAGCGGGTCTGTGCAGGATGGAGCCAGCTATG	PBP4ΔD3-F
priXL251	TCCATCCTGCACAGACCCGCTTCCGCCACCGTTGCGGTCAACTATGGCGGC	PBPΔD3-R
priXL266	CCGGCTGGCCATGGAATGCCATGACACAATGCTTTAGCGCTC	PBP4-D155A-F
priXL267	GGAGCGCTAAAGCATTGTGTCATGGCATTCCATGGCCAGCCG	PBP4-D155A-R
priXL268	GCCGATGGTTCAGGGCTTTCGGCGCATAACCTGATTGCCCCCGCC	PBP4-R361A-F
priXL269	GGCGGGGGCAATCAGGTTATGCGCCGAAAGCCCTGAACCATCGGC	PBP4-R361A-R

708
709

REFERENCES

1. Vollmer W, Blanot D, De Pedro MA. 2008. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture 32:149–167.

2. Egan AJF, Errington J, Vollmer W. 2020. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling. Nat Rev Micro 18:446–460.

3. Banzhaf M, Yau HCL, Verheul J, Lodge A, Kritikos G, Mateus A, Cordier B, Hov A-K, Stein F, Wartel M, Pazos M, Solovyova AS, Breukink E, van Teeffelen S, Savitski MM, Blaauwen den T, Typas A, Vollmer W. 2020. Outer membrane lipoprotein NlpI scaffolds peptidoglycan hydrolases within multi-enzyme complexes in *Escherichia coli*. EMBO J e102246.

4. Gray AN, Egan AJ, Van't Veer IL, Verheul J, Colavin A, Koumoutsi A, Biboy J, Altelaar AFM, Damen MJ, Huang KC, Simorre J-P, Breukink E, Blaauwen den T, Typas A, Gross CA, Vollmer W. 2015. Coordination of peptidoglycan synthesis and outer membrane constriction during Escherichia coli cell division. Elife (Cambridge) 4. 0.7554/eLife.07118.

5. Bertsche U, Kast T, Wolf B, Fraipont C, Aarsman MEG, Kannenberg K, Rechenberg von M, Nguyen-Distèche M, Blaauwen den T, Höltje J-V, Vollmer W. 2006. Interaction between two murein (peptidoglycan) synthases, PBP3 and PBP1B, in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 61:675–690.

6. Born P, Breukink E, Vollmer W. 2006. In vitro synthesis of cross-linked murein and its attachment to sacculi by PBP1A from *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 281:26985–26993.

7.   Egan AJF, Biboy J, van't Veer I, Breukink E, Vollmer W. 2015. Activities and regulation
of peptidoglycan synthases. Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci 370.

8.   Rohs PDA, Buss J, Sim SI, Squyres GR, Srisuknimit V, Smith M, Cho H, Sjodt M, Kruse
AC, Garner EC, Walker S, Kahne DE, Bernhardt TG. 2018. A central role for PBP2 in the
activation of peptidoglycan polymerization by the bacterial cell elongation machinery.
PLoS Genet 14:e1007726.

9.   Meeske AJ, Riley EP, Robins WP, Uehara T, Mekalanos JJ, Kahne D, Walker S, Kruse
AC, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2016. SEDS proteins are a widespread family of
bacterial cell wall polymerases. Nature 537:634–638.

10.   Taguchi A, Welsh MA, Marmont LS, Lee W, Sjodt M, Kruse AC, Kahne D, Bernhardt TG,
Walker S. 2019. FtsW is a peptidoglycan polymerase that is functional only in complex
with its cognate penicillin-binding protein. Nature Microbiology 2:a000414.

11.   van der Ploeg R, Verheul J, Vischer NOE, Alexeeva S, Hoogendoorn E, Postma M,
Banzhaf M, Vollmer W, Blaauwen den T. 2013. Colocalization and interaction
between elongasome and divisome during a preparative cell division phase in
Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 87:1074–1087.

12.   Datta P, Dasgupta A, Singh AK, Mukherjee P, Kundu M, Basu J. 2006. Interaction
between FtsW and penicillin-binding protein 3 (PBP3) directs PBP3 to mid-cell,
controls cell septation and mediates the formation of a trimeric complex involving
FtsZ, FtsW and PBP3 in mycobacteria. Mol Microbiol 62:1655–1673.

13.   Fraipont C, Alexeeva S, Wolf B, van der Ploeg R, Schloesser M, Blaauwen den T,
Nguyen-Distèche M. 2011. The integral membrane FtsW protein and peptidoglycan
synthase PBP3 form a subcomplex in *Escherichia coli.* Microbiology 157:251–259.

14.   Pazos M, Peters K, Vollmer W. 2017. Robust peptidoglycan growth by dynamic and
variable multi-protein complexes. Curr Opin Microbiol 36:55–61.

15.   Sarkar SK, Dutta M, Chowdhury C, Kumar A, Ghosh AS. 2011. PBP5, PBP6 and DacD
play different roles in intrinsic -lactam resistance of *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology
(Reading, Engl) 157:2702–2707.

16.   Potluri L, Karczmarek A, Verheul J, Piette A, Wilkin J-M, Werth N, Banzhaf M, Vollmer
W, Young KD, Nguyen-Distèche M, Blaauwen den T. 2010. Septal and lateral wall
localization of PBP5, the major D,D-carboxypeptidase of *Escherichia coli,* requires
substrate recognition and membrane attachment. Mol Microbiol 77:300–323.

17.   Hugonnet J-E, Mengin-Lecreulx D, Monton A, Blaauwen den T, Carbonnelle E,
Veckerlé C, Brun Y, van Nieuwenhze M, Bouchier C, Tu K, Rice LB, Arthur M. 2016.
Factors essential for L,D-transpeptidase-mediated peptidoglycan cross-linking and β-
lactam resistance in *Escherichia coli*. Elife (Cambridge) 5:2006.

18.   Meiresonne NY, van der Ploeg R, Hink MA, Blaauwen den T. 2017. Activity-Related
Conformational Changes in d,d-Carboxypeptidases Revealed by In Vivo Periplasmic
Förster Resonance Energy Transfer Assay in *Escherichia coli*. mBio 8:e01089–17.

19.   Peters K, Kannan S, Rao VA, Biboy J, Vollmer D, Erickson SW, Lewis RJ, Young KD,
Vollmer W. 2016. The Redundancy of Peptidoglycan Carboxypeptidases Ensures
Robust Cell Shape Maintenance in *Escherichia coli*. mBio 7. 00819-16

20.   Singh SK, Saisree L, Amrutha RN, Reddy M. 2012. Three redundant murein
endopeptidases catalyse an essential cleavage step in peptidoglycan synthesis of
Escherichia coli K12. Mol Microbiol 86:1036–1051.

21.   Meberg BM, Paulson AL, Priyadarshini R, Young KD. 2004. Endopeptidase penicillin-
binding proteins 4 and 7 play auxiliary roles in determining uniform morphology of
Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 186:8326–8336.

22.   Peters NT, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. 2011. A fail-safe mechanism in the septal ring
assembly pathway generated by the sequential recruitment of cell separation
amidases and their activators. J Bacteriol 193:4973–4983.

23.   Heidrich C, Templin MF, Ursinus A, Merdanovic M, Berger J, Schwarz H, De Pedro MA,
Höltje JV. 2001. Involvement of N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanine amidases in cell
separation and antibiotic-induced autolysis of *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 41:167–
178.

24.   Bernhardt TG, De Boer PAJ. 2003. The *Escherichia coli* amidase AmiC is a periplasmic
septal ring component exported via the twin-arginine transport pathway. Mol
Microbiol 48:1171–1182.

25.   Uehara T, Parzych KR, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. 2010. Daughter cell separation is
controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. EMBO J 29:1412–1422.

26.   van Straaten KE, Dijkstra BW, Vollmer W, Thunnissen A-MWH. 2005. Crystal structure
of MltA from *Escherichia coli* reveals a unique lytic transglycosylase fold. J Mol Biol
352:1068–1080.

27.   van Heijenoort J. 2011. Peptidoglycan hydrolases of *Escherichia coli.* Microbiol Mol
Biol Rev 75:636–663.

28.   Yunck R, Cho H, Bernhardt TG. 2015. Identification of MltG as a potential terminase
for peptidoglycan polymerization in bacteria. Mol Microbiol.

29.   Raychaudhuri D. 1999. ZipA is a MAP-Tau homolog and is essential for structural
integrity of the cytokinetic FtsZ ring during bacterial cell division. EMBO J 18:2372–
2383.

30.   Pazos M, Peters K, Casanova M, Palacios P, VanNieuwenhze M, Breukink E, Vicente
M, Vollmer W. 2018. Z-ring membrane anchors associate with cell wall synthases to
initiate bacterial cell division. Communications 9:5090–12.

31. Galli E, Gerdes K. 2012. FtsZ-ZapA-ZapB Interactome of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol
194:292–302.

32. Durand-Heredia JM, Yu HH, De Carlo S, Lesser CF, Janakiraman A. 2011. Identification
and Characterization of ZapC, a Stabilizer of the FtsZ Ring in *Escherichia coli*. J
Bacteriol 193:1405–1413.

33. Hale CA, Shiomi D, Liu B, Bernhardt TG, Margolin W, Niki H, De Boer PAJ. 2011.
Identification of *Escherichia coli* ZapC (YcbW) as a component of the division
apparatus that binds and bundles FtsZ polymers. J Bacteriol 193:1393–1404.

34. Roach EJ, Wroblewski C, Seidel L, Berezuk AM, Brewer D, Kimber MS, Khursigara CM.
2016. Structure and Mutational Analysis of *Escherichia coli* ZapD Reveals Charged
Residues Involved in FtsZ Filament Bundling. J Bacteriol. 198: 1683-1693.

35. Marteyn BS, Karimova G, Fenton AK, Gazi AD, West N, Touqui L, Prévost M-C, Betton
J-M, Poyraz O, Ladant D, Gerdes K, Sansonetti PJ, Tang CM. 2014. ZapE is a novel cell
division protein interacting with FtsZ and modulating the Z-ring dynamics. mBio
5:e00022–14.

36. Du S, Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. 2016. FtsEX acts on FtsA to regulate divisome assembly
and activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:E5052–61.

37. Corbin BD, Wang Y, Beuria TK, Margolin W. 2007. Interaction between Cell Division
Proteins FtsE and FtsZ. J Bacteriol 189:3026–3035.

38. Priyadarshini R, Popham DL, Young KD. 2006. Daughter Cell Separation by Penicillin-
Binding Proteins and Peptidoglycan Amidases in *Escherichia coli.* J Bacteriol
188:5345–5355.

39. Yang DC, Peters NT, Parzych KR, Uehara T, Markovski M, Bernhardt TG. 2011. An ATP-
binding cassette transporter-like complex governs cell-wall hydrolysis at the bacterial
cytokinetic ring. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:E1052–60.

40. Yang DC, Tan K, Joachimiak A, Bernhardt TG. 2012. A conformational switch controls
cell wall-remodelling enzymes required for bacterial cell division. Mol Microbiol
85:768–781.

41. Tsang M-J, Yakhnina AA, Bernhardt TG. 2017. NlpD links cell wall remodeling and
outer membrane invagination during cytokinesis in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS Genet
13:e1006888.

42. Aarsman MEG, Piette A, Fraipont C, Vinkenvleugel TMF, Nguyen-Distèche M,
Blaauwen den T. 2005. Maturation of the *Escherichia coli* divisome occurs in two
steps. Mol Microbiol 55:1631–1645.

43. Gamba P, Veening J-W, Saunders NJ, Hamoen LW, Daniel RA. 2009. Two-step
assembly dynamics of the *Bacillus subtilis* divisome. J Bacteriol 191:4186–4194.

44.   Perez AJ, Cesbron Y, Shaw SL, Bazan Villicana J, Tsui H-CT, Boersma MJ, Ye ZA,
Tovpeko Y, Dekker C, Holden S, Winkler ME. 2019. Movement dynamics of divisome
proteins and PBP2x:FtsW in cells of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci
USA 116:3211–3220.

45.   Monteiro JM, Pereira AR, Reichmann NT, Saraiva BM, Fernandes PB, Veiga H, Tavares
AC, Santos M, Ferreira MT, Macário V, VanNieuwenhze MS, Filipe SR, Pinho MG.
2018. Peptidoglycan synthesis drives an FtsZ-treadmilling-independent step of
cytokinesis. Nature 554:528–532.

46.   Tsang M-J, Bernhardt TG. 2015. A role for the FtsQLB complex in cytokinetic ring
activation revealed by an *ftsL* allele that accelerates division. Mol Microbiol 95:925–
944.

47.   Tsang M-J, Bernhardt TG. 2014. A role for the FtsQLB complex in cytokinetic ring
activation revealed by an *ftsL* allele that accelerates division. Mol Microbiol.

48.   Bernard CS, Sadasivam M, Shiomi D, Margolin W. 2007. An altered FtsA can
compensate for the loss of essential cell division protein FtsN in *Escherichia coli*. Mol
Microbiol 64:1289–1305.

49.   Boes A, Olatunji S, Breukink E, Terrak M. 2019. Regulation of the peptidoglycan
polymerase activity of PBP1b by antagonist actions of the core divisome Proteins
FtsBLQ and FtsN. mBio 10:e01912–18.

50.   Blaauwen den T, Luirink J, 2019. Checks and Balances in Bacterial Cell Division. mBio.
e00149-19

51.   Korat B, Mottl H, Keck W. 1991. Penicillin-binding protein 4 of *Escherichia coli*:
molecular cloning of the *dacB* gene, controlled overexpression, and alterations in
murein composition. Mol Microbiol 5:675–684.

52.   Harris F, Brandenburg K, Seydel U. 2002. Investigations into the mechanisms used by
the C-terminal anchors of *Escherichia coli* penicillin-binding proteins 4, 5, 6 and 6b for
membrane interaction. European Journal of ….

53.   De Pedro MA, Schwarz U. 1981. Heterogeneity of newly inserted and preexisting
murein in the sacculus of *Escherichia coli.* Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 78:5856–5860.

54.   Iwaya M, Strominger JL. 1977. Simultaneous deletion of D-alanine carboxypeptidase
IB-C and penicillin-binding component IV in a mutant of *Escherichia coli* K12. Proc Natl
Acad Sci USA 74:2980–2984.

55.   Nelson DE, Young KD. 2001. Contributions of PBP 5 and DD-carboxypeptidase
penicillin binding proteins to maintenance of cell shape in *Escherichia coli.* J Bacteriol
183:3055–3064.

56. Kishida H, Unzai S, Roper DI, Lloyd A, Park S-Y, Tame JRH. 2006. Crystal Structure of
Penicillin Binding Protein 4 (dacB) from *Escherichia coli,* both in the Native Form and
Covalently Linked to Various Antibiotics †. Biochemistry 45:783–792.

57. Thunnissen MMGM, Fusetti F, De Boer B, Dijkstra BW. 1995. Purification,
crystallisation and preliminary X-ray analysis of penicillin binding protein 4 from
Escherichia coli, a protein related to class a β-lactamases. J Mol Biol 247:149–153.

58. Clarke TB, Kawai F, Park S-Y, Tame JRH, Dowson CG, Roper DI. 2009. Mutational
analysis of the substrate specificity of *Escherichia coli* penicillin binding protein 4.
Biochemistry 48:2675–2683.

59. Hocking J, Priyadarshini R, Takacs CN, Costa T, Dye NA, Shapiro L, Vollmer W, Jacobs-
Wagner C. 2012. Osmolality-Dependent Relocation of Penicillin-Binding Protein PBP2
to the Division Site in *Caulobacter crescentus*. J Bacteriol 194:3116–3127.

60. Liu X, Meiresonne NY, Bouhss A, Blaauwen den T. 2018. FtsW activity and lipid II
synthesis are required for recruitment of MurJ to midcell during cell division in
Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 109:855–884.

61. Alcorlo M, Dik DA, De Benedetti S, Mahasenan KV, Lee M, Domínguez-Gil T, Hesek D,
Lastochkin E, López D, Boggess B, Mobashery S, Hermoso JA. 2019. Structural basis of
denuded glycan recognition by SPOR domains in bacterial cell division. Nature
Communications 10:5567–13.

62. Sykes RB, Bonner DP, Bush K, Georgopapadakou NH. 1982. Azthreonam (SQ 26,776),
a synthetic monobactam specifically active against aerobic gram-negative bacteria.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 21:85–92.

63. Nanninga N. 1991. Cell division and peptidoglycan assembly in *Escherichia coli*. Mol
Microbiol 5:791–795.

64. De Pedro MA, Quintela JC, Höltje JV, Schwarz H. 1997. Murein segregation in
Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 179:2823–2834.

65. Potluri L-P, Kannan S, Young KD. 2012. ZipA is required for FtsZ-dependent preseptal
peptidoglycan synthesis prior to invagination during cell division. J Bacteriol
194:5334–5342.

66. Jean NL, Bougault CM, Lodge A, Derouaux A, Callens G, Egan AJF, Ayala I, Lewis RJ,
Vollmer W, Simorre J-P. 2014. Elongated structure of the outer-membrane activator
of peptidoglycan synthesis LpoA: implications for PBP1A stimulation. Structure
22:1047–1054.

67. Jean NL, Bougault C, Derouaux A, Callens G, Vollmer W, Simorre J-P. 2015. Backbone
and side-chain (1)H, (13)C, and (15)N NMR assignments of the N-terminal domain of
Escherichia coli LpoA. Biomol NMR Assign 9:65–69.

68. Typas A, Banzhaf M, van den Berg van Saparoea B, Verheul J, Biboy J, Nichols RJ,
Zietek M, Beilharz K, Kannenberg K, Rechenberg von M, Breukink E, Blaauwen den T,
Gross CA, Vollmer W. 2010. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis by outer-
membrane proteins. Cell 143:1097–1109.

69. Goehring NW, Beckwith J. 2005. Diverse paths to midcell: assembly of the bacterial
cell division machinery. Curr Biol 15:R514–26.

70. Blaauwen den T, Hamoen LW, Levin PA. 2017. The divisome at 25: the road ahead.
Curr Opin Microbiol 36:85–94.

71. Pichoff S, Du S, Lutkenhaus J. 2018. Disruption of divisome assembly rescued by FtsN-
FtsA interaction in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E6855–E6862.

72. Du S, Henke W, Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. 2019. How FtsEX localizes to the Z ring and
interacts with FtsA to regulate cell division. Mol Microbiol.

73. Arends SJR, Kustusch RJ, Weiss DS. 2009. ATP-binding site lesions in FtsE impair cell
division. J Bacteriol 191:3772–3784.

74. Zoghbi ME, Fuson KL, Sutton RB, Altenberg GA. 2012. Kinetics of the
association/dissociation cycle of an ATP-binding cassette nucleotide-binding domain.
J Biol Chem 287:4157–4164.

75. Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. 2000. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open
Software Suite. Trends Genet 16:276–277.

76. Vischer NOE, Verheul J, Postma M, van den Berg van Saparoea B, Galli E, Natale P,
Gerdes K, Luirink J, Vollmer W, Vicente M, Blaauwen den T. 2015. Cell age dependent
concentration of *Escherichia coli* divisome proteins analyzed with ImageJ and ObjectJ.
Front Microbiol 6:586.

77. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:6640–6645.

78. Chen JC, Weiss DS, Ghigo JM, Beckwith J. 1999. Septal localization of FtsQ, an
essential cell division protein in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 181:521–530.

79. Alexeeva S, Gadella TWJ, Verheul J, Verhoeven GS, Blaauwen den T. 2010. Direct
interactions of early and late assembling division proteins in *Escherichia coli* cells
resolved by FRET. Mol Microbiol 77:384–398.

80. Taschner PE, Huls PG, Pas E, Woldringh CL. 1988. Division behavior and shape
changes in isogenic *ftsZ, ftsQ, ftsA, pbpB*, and *ftsE* cell division mutants of *Escherichia
coli* during temperature shift experiments. J Bacteriol 170:1533–1540.

81. Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic
assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Chem Biol 6:343–
345.

82. Buddelmeier N, Aarsman MEG, Blaauwen den T. 2013. Immunolabeling of Proteins in
Situ in *Escherichia coli* K12 Strains. bio-protocoll 1–4.

83. Koppelman C-M, Aarsman MEG, Postmus J, Pas E, Muijsers AO, Scheffers D-J,
Nanninga N, Blaauwen den T. 2004. R174 of *Escherichia coli* FtsZ is involved in
membrane interaction and protofilament bundling, and is essential for cell division.
Mol Microbiol 51:645–657.

84. Ludwig C. 1856. Diffusion between unequally heated regions of initially uniform
solutions. Sitzungsber Akad Wiss Wien Math-Naturwiss 20:593.

85. Jerabek-Willemsen M, Wienken CJ, Braun D, Baaske P, Duhr S. 2011. Molecular
interaction studies using microscale thermophoresis. Assay Drug Dev Technol 9:342–
353.

86. Müller P, Ewers C, Bertsche U, Anstett M, Kallis T, Breukink E, Fraipont C, Terrak M,
Nguyen-Distèche M, Vollmer W. 2007. The essential cell division protein FtsN
interacts with the murein (peptidoglycan) synthase PBP1B in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol
Chem 282:36394–36402.

87. Glauner B, Höltje JV, Schwarz U. 1988. The composition of the murein of *Escherichia
coli*. J Biol Chem 263:10088–10095.

88. laue T, Shah B, Ridgeway T, Pelletier S. 1992. Computer-aided interpretation of
analytical sedimentation data for proteins. The Royal Society of Chemistry 90:125.

89. Schuck P. 2000. Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation
velocity ultracentrifugation and lamm equation modeling. Biophys J 78:1606–1619.

90. Brookes E, Demeler B, Rosano C, Rocco M. 2010. The implementation of SOMO
(SOlution MOdeller) in the UltraScan analytical ultracentrifugation data analysis suite:
enhanced capabilities allow the reliable hydrodynamic modelling of virtually any kind
of biomacromolecule. Eur Biophys J 39:423–435.

91. Ursinus A, van den Ent F, Brechtel S, de Pedro M, Höltje J-V, Löwe J, Vollmer W. 2004.
Murein (peptidoglycan) binding property of the essential cell division protein FtsN
from *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 186:6728–6737.

92. Bertsche U, Breukink E, Kast T, Vollmer W. 2005. In vitro murein peptidoglycan
synthesis by dimers of the bifunctional transglycosylase-transpeptidase PBP1B from
Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem 280:38096–38101.

93. Casadaban MJ, Cohen SN. 1980. Analysis of gene control signals by DNA fusion and
cloning in *Escherichia coli*. J Mol Biol 138:179–207.

94. Ishino F, Jung HK, Ikeda M, Doi M, Wachi M, Matsuhashi M. 1989. New mutations *fts*-
36, *lts*-33, and *ftsW* clustered in the mra region of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome
induce thermosensitive cell growth and division. J Bacteriol 171:5523–5530.

95.   Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M,
984   Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene
985   knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2:2006.0008.

Midcell localization of PBP4 of *Escherichia coli* is essential for the timing of divisome assembly

Jolanda Verheul[1#], Adam Lodge[2,7#], Hamish C.L. Yau[2,8#], Xiaolong Liu[1,9#], Alexandra S. Solovyova[3], Athanasios Typas[4,5] Manuel Banzhaf[6*], Waldemar Vollmer[2**], and Tanneke den Blaauwen[1***]

[1]Bacterial Cell Biology, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam; Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
[2]Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Biosciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4AX, United Kingdom.
[3]NUPPA, Leech Building, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK
[4]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Genome Biology Unit, Heidelberg, Germany
[5]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Structural & Computational Unit, Heidelberg, Germany
[6]Institute of Microbiology & Infection and School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK. B15 2TT
[7]Present address: Iksuda Therapeutics, The Biosphere, Draymans Way, Newcastle Helix, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE4 5BX, UK
[8]Present address: Procter & Gamble, Newcastle Innovation Centre, Whitley Road, Newcastle-Upon Tyne, NE12 9TS, UK.
[9]Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, OX1 3QU, Oxford, United Kingdom.

[#]contributed equally.
*Corresponding author. Tel: +44 121 4145586; Email: m.banzhaf@bham.ac.uk
**Corresponding author. Tel: +44 191 208 3216; Email: w.vollmer@ncl.ac.uk
***Corresponding author. Tel: +31 20 525 3852; Email: t.denblaauwen@uva.nl

FIGURES AND LEGENDS

FIG 1 Structure of PBP4 and its localization at midcell and in the lateral wall during fast growth. A. Crystal structure of PBP4 in which the three domains (numbers 1-3) and some of the residues that are essential for its endopeptidase activity are indicated. B. MC4100 cells were grown exponentially in TY medium at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.3 and fixed and immunolabeled with BW25113Δ*dacB* pre-adsorpted anti-PBP4. Upper panel phase contrast image corresponding to the SIM fluorescence image in the lower panel. Scale bar equals 2 μm C. BW25113 wild-type cells were grown in TY at 37°C and harvested in the exponential phase

at an OD600 of 0.3 and fixed. Samples were divided into three aliquots and cells were

immunolabeled with antibodies against PBP3, FtsN and PBP4. The first aliquot was

immunolabeled without any treatment, the second after permeabilizing the cells with

TritonX-100 (right: phase contrast and fluorescence images) and the third after

permeabilizing cells with Triton-X100 and lysozyme (left: phase contrast and fluorescence

images). The scale bar equals 5 μm. PBP4, but not PBP3 or FtsN, is accessible without

degrading the peptidoglycan layer.

FIG 2 Activity of PBP4 is not required for localization. Cells were grown in minimal glucose

medium to steady state at 28°C, fixed and immunolabeled with antibodies against PBP4. (A)

The extra fluorescence at midcell (FCPlus) in the Δ*dacB* strain transformed with the empty

plasmid (EP, yellow), or plasmids expressing wild-type PBP4 (black), PBP4S63G (blue),

PBP4D155A, (purple) PBP4R361A (red), PBP4ΔD3 (green) was determined and plotted as

function of the cell division cycle age as in bins of 5% age classes with the error bar indicating

the 95% confidence interval. (B) Demographs of the localization fluorescence pattern of the

PBP4 variants shown in (A) with the cells sorted according to their cell length. The white line

indicates the length of the cells. Intensity scaling is identical for all maps. Number of cells

analyzed for each immunolabeling was at least 2000 cells.

FIG 3 PBP1A and LpoA contribute to PBP4 midcell localization. Cells were grown
exponentially to an OD of 0.3 in TY at 37°C, then fixed and immunolabeled with antibodies
against PBP4. Because fluorescence imaging by microscopes is usually not directly
comparable between different experiments, all results in each experiment were normalized
to the parental strain BW25113. (A) PBP4 fluorescence at midcell per μm circumference of
the cell. (B) Concentration of PBP4 in the cells. (C) length of the cells. (D) Diameter of the cells.
Δ*lpoA* (n=5), Δ*lpoB* (n=5), Δ*mrcA* (PBP1A, n=2), Δ*mrcB* (PBP1B, n=2). Each point is the average
of 1000-2000 cells. Based on the one way Anova the difference in midcell localization is

69   significant (P=0.009) while the difference in concentration of PBP4 is not significant

70   (P=0.0484).

FIG 4 PBP4 interacts with PBP1A, LpoA and NlpI.

FIG 4 PBP4 interacts with PBP1A, LpoA and NlpI. (A) Summary of apparent K_D values of the

interactions of PBP4 or a version lacking domain 3 (PBP4ΔD3) with LpoA, the C-terminal

domain of LpoA (LpoA^C), the N-terminal domain of LpoA (LpoA^N), NlpI and PBP1A determined

by microscale thermophoresis (MST). Corresponding binding curves are shown in Suppl.

Figure S7. (B) Pulldown experiment showing that domain 3 of PBP4 is required for interaction

with NlpI. Oligo-histidine tagged NlpI (His-NlpI) pulled down PBP4 to Ni-NTA beads. His-tagged

PBP3ΔD3 did not pull down untagged NlpI. A, applied sample; E, eluted sample. (C) *In vivo*

cross-linking/co-immunoprecipitation showing interaction between PBP1A and PBP4.

Growing cells of BW25113 (wt) or BW25113Δ*dacB* (ΔPBP4) were chemically cross-linked with

DTSSP and cell extract was immunoprecipitated with purified anti-PBP4 antibody. Control

samples did not receive anti-PBP4 antibody. The cross-linker was cleaved by reducing agent

and proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a membrane, followed by

detection of PBP1A with specific antibodies. PBP1A was detected in the elution of the sample

from wild-type and not from ΔPBP4. (D) *In vivo* cross-linking/co-immunoprecipitation (as in

panel C) showing that PBP4 and be cross-linked with LpoA in cells.

FIG 5 PBP4 or NlpI do not affect the activity of PBP1A whereas LpoA reduces the activity of

PBP4. (A) Summary of the results from an *in vitro* PG synthesis assay with PBP1A (in the

presence or absence of LpoA) and radio-labelled lipid II substrate. The presence of NlpI,

catalytically inactive PBP4(S62A) or both together, does not affect the cross-linkage of the PG

product of a PBP1A or PBP1A/LpoA reaction (left side), and NlpI, PBP4(S62A) or both together,

don't induce enhanced carboxypeptidase activity of PBP1A, which would be seen as a higher

content of tetrapeptides (right side). Values are mean ± variation of two independent repeats.

Example chromatograms are shown in Figure S8. (B) The presence of LpoA modestly reduces

the activity of PBP4 in a DD-carboxypeptidase assay using the substrate *N*-acetyl-L-Lys-D-Ala-

D-Ala. (C) LpoA also reduces slightly the activity of PBP4 against PG sacculi from BW25113, as

seen by the reduced digestion of TetraTetra upon a 30 min incubation period.

(D The Δ*dacB* and Δ*dacB*Δ*lpoA* mutant strains are equally sensitive to overexpression of PBP4.

The average growth over time as measured by OD650 nm is plotted against the added IPTG

concentration. The culture grown in TY with glucose to suppress the expression is represented

by -5 on the X-axe. All other data points are from cell grown in TY without glucose (n=4).

FIG 6 Cell division cycle timing of the localization of PBP4. (A) MC4100 cells were grown to

steady state in minimal glucose medium at 28°C and immunolabeled with antibodies specific

for PBP4. Phase contrast (top) and fluorescence is shown (bottom). Scale bar equals 2 µm. (B)

The extra fluorescence at midcell compared to the rest of the cell (FCPlus) is plotted as

function of the normalized cell division cycle age. The dots (grey for MC4100 and light pink

for the BW25113 Δ*dacB strain*) are the values measured for the individual cells and the

markers with bars (black for the wild-type MC4100, red for the BW25113 Δ*dacB*) are 5% age

bins with 95% confidence. (C) Comparison of the timing of the localization at midcell of FtsZ

(grey), PBP4 (blue), PBP3 (green) and FtsN (black) and the general membrane stain bodipy-12

(yellow) during the cell division cycle age. The FCPlus values are min-max normalized to

enable timescale comparison, despite differences in molecule number and antibody affinities.

FIG 7 PBP4 localization is dependent on the presence of the proto-ring. Isogenic strains

producing different temperature sensitive (ts) versions of cell division proteins where grown

to steady state in Gb1 medium at 28°C and split in two parts. These were 1:4 diluted in

prewarned medium and grown for two mass doublings (MD) at either 28°C or 42°C. The cells

were fixed, labeled with antibodies specific for PBP4 (a4) and, in the case of FtsA (ts), with

antibodies against FtsZ (aZ). From left to right: the phase contrast, corresponding

fluorescence image of the PBP4 labeling and the merged images at the permissive

temperature, demograph of PBP4 fluorescence localization where cells are sorted according

to their cell length (contours in white). This is followed by the same series from the non-

permissive temperature samples. The number of cells analyzed were 3641 and 1642 for

LMC511 FtsA (ts), 2809 and 1576 for LMC515 FtsE(ts), 3833 and 1203 for LMC531 FtsQ (ts)

and 3876 and 926 for LMC510 PBP3(ts), for the cells grown at 28°C and 42°C, respectively.

The scale bar equals 5 μm.

A

ΔenvC

Δ ftsE

Δ ftsEX

B

LMC500 wt   XL36 FtsE wt   XL36 FtsE ts   XL36 FtsE K41Q   XL36 FtsE D162A   XL36 FtsE E163A

FIG 8 PBP4 is recruited by the septal cleavage complex FtsEX. (A) Cells of BW25113

expressing the proteins indicated were grown in LB at 37°C to OD_{600} = 0.3, fixed and labeled

with specific antibodies against PBP4. From left to right, the phase contrast, corresponding

fluorescence image of the PBP4 labeling, and the merged former two images, map of

fluorescence with the cell lengths outlined (with the same contrast and brightness for all maps)

of PBP4 localization where cells are sorted according to their cell length are shown. The

number of cells analyzed were 687 for ΔenvC, 434 for ΔftsE, and 346 for ΔftsEX. The scale bar

equals 5 μm. (B) Cells of LMC500 or XL36 (LMC500ΔftsE::p*Trc99A*down *ftsX*) expressing wild-

153 type or active site versions of FtsE were immunolabeled with anti-PBP4 antibodies. Cells were

154 grown in TY at 30°C and FtsE expression from plasmid was induced for 2 mass doubling with

155 30 μM IPTG, and cell were fixed and harvested at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.3. The maps of PBP4

156 fluorescence with the cell lengths outlined is shown for the indicated strains. Number of cells

157 analyzed: LMC500 (2462), (wt) (2588), (ts) (2540), K41Q (728), D162A (554), and E163E (1052).

FIG 9 Localization of PBP4 depends on the presence of domain 3 but not on activity. Cells

were grown in minimal glucose medium to steady state at 28°C, fixed and immunolabeled

with the indicated antibodies. (A and B) Graphs with the percentage of constricting cells and

the average cell length in μm for the various mutants expressed from plasmid in the Δ*dacB*

strain and also of the parental strain BW24113 (n = 4). (C) The concentration of PBP4 in

fluorescent units per μm^3 in these cells for a representative experiment (out of the four

repeats).

(D) Normalized FCplus of FtsN, FtsZ, and PBP4 plotted against normalized cell division cycle

age for the parental strain BW25113, the Δ*dacB* strain carrying plasmids for the expression of

PBP4WT, PBP4S63G, PBP4D155A, PBP4R361A or no protein (EP) showing that despite having

a relative normal length and constriction percentage, FtsZ and FtsN localize rather late at

midcell in the Δ*dacB* strain. Plasmids were not induced.

FIG 10 Model of the organization of PBP4 in the periplasm of *E. coli*. In a minimal medium

grown cell of average size of 3 by 0.86 μm 230000 Lpp trimeric proteins are present of which

1/3 is bound to PG. (A) During elongation PBP4 (or a fraction of the PBP4 molecules) is kept

away from its substrate through its interaction with NlpI and possibly LpoA. (B) PBP1A and

LpoA associate with ZipA and the Z-ring to assist in preseptal PG synthesis. Because of their

presence, the absence of NlpI and the newly synthesized PG, PBP4 is attracted by PBP1A and

LpoA. More PBP4 is accumulating at midcell and becoming clearly visible by immunolabeling

upon maturation of the divisome and synthesis of septal PG. All proteins apart from the FtsZ

polymer have been drawn according to their crystal structure shape and hydrated crystal

structure sizes (scale bar equals 4 nm). The membranes are assumed to be 2 nm and the

distance between the outer and the inner membrane 21 nm.