A protease-mediated mechanism regulates the cytochrome c_6/ plastocyanin switch in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803

Raquel García-Cañas[1,2], Joaquín Giner-Lamia[1,3], Francisco J. Florencio[1,2] and Luis López-Maury*[1,2].

1. Instituto de Bioquímica Vegetal y Fotosíntesis, Universidad de Sevilla- CSIC, c/Américo Vespucio 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain.

2. Departamento de Bioquímica Vegetal y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Sevilla, Avenida Reina Mercedes s/n, 41012 Sevilla, Spain

3. Present address: Centro de Biotecnología y Genómica de Plantas UPM – INIA Parque Científico y Tecnológico de la U.P.M. Campus de Montegancedo, Autopista M-40, Km 38, Pozuelo de Alarcón, Madrid 28223, Spain

* Luis López-Maury

Email: llopez1@us.es

Tel: +34 954489501 ext. 909103

21 Raquel García-Cañas, ORCID 0000-0003-0062-6620; Joaquín Giner-Lamia,

22 ORCID 0000-0003-1553-8295; Francisco J. Florencio, ORCID 0000-0002-2068-

23 7861; Luis López-Maury, ORCID 0000-0002-3510-0621

Classification

Biological Sciences/Plant Biology

Keywords

Cyanobacteria, plastocyanin, cytochrome c_6

Author Contributions

Author contributions: R.M.G.C., J.G.L., F.J.F. and L.L.M. designed the research; R.M.G.C., J.G.L. and L.L.M. performed the research; R.M.G.C., J.G.L., F.J.F. and L.L.M. analyzed the data; L.L.M. wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Abstract

After the Great Oxidation Event (GOE), iron availability was greatly decreased and photosynthetic organisms evolved several alternative proteins and mechanisms. One of these proteins, plastocyanin, is a type I blue-copper protein that can replace cytochrome c_6 as a soluble electron carrier between cytochrome b_6f and photosystem I. In most cyanobacteria, expression of these two alternative proteins is regulated by copper availability, but the regulatory system remains unknown. Herein, we provide evidence that the regulatory system is composed of a BlaI/CopY family transcription factor (PetR) and a BlaR membrane protease (PetP). PetR represses *petE* (plastocyanin) expression and activates *petJ* (cytochrome c_6), while PetP controls PetR levels *in vivo*. Using whole-cell extracts, we demonstrated that PetR degradation requires both PetP and copper. Transcriptomic analysis revealed that the PetRP system regulates only four genes (*petE*, *petJ*, *slr0601*, and *slr0602*), highlighting its specificity. Furthermore, the presence of *petE* and *petRP* in early branching cyanobacteria indicates that acquisition of these genes could represent an early adaptation to decreased iron bioavailability following the GOE.

Significance Statement

After the appearance of oxygenic photosynthesis, Fe became oxidized and its solubility and availability were greatly decreased. This generated a problem for

most organisms since they are strongly dependent on Fe, especially

photosynthetic organisms. In response, organisms evolved alternatives to Fe-

containing proteins such as plastocyanin, a copper protein that substitutes for

cytochrome c_6 in photosynthesis. Expression of these two proteins in

cyanobacteria is regulated by Cu availability, but the regulatory system remains

unknown. Herein, we describe the regulatory system for these alternative

proteins in photosynthesis in cyanobacteria. The mechanism involves a

transcription factor (PetR) and a membrane protease (PetP) that degrades PetR

in the presence of Cu.

Main Text

Introduction

Cyanobacteria are prokaryotes that perform oxygenic photosynthesis, and their appearance on earth led to a dramatic increase in oxygen in the atmosphere. Although the timing of the Great Oxygenation Event (GOE) is well established, its extent is still a matter of dispute (1–4). The GOE caused a massive extinction because oxygen was toxic to most life forms (5, 6) and provoked Fe oxidation (Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+}), which made Fe much less available since Fe^{3+} precipitates. This created an additional problem for all forms of life because Fe was already established as a major cofactor in biochemical reactions (7, 8). In fact, photosynthesis has high Fe requirements and photosynthetic organisms usually have a higher Fe quota than non-photosynthetic organisms because Fe is contained in proteins of both photosystems, in the cytochrome b_6f complex, in the soluble thylakoid lumen electron carrier cytochrome c_6 (Cytc_6), and in ferredoxin outside the thylakoids (9). Nowadays, Fe is a limiting nutrient in most ecosystems, especially in aquatic environments (10). Consequently, photosynthetic organisms evolved several alternatives to iron-containing proteins. In most cyanobacteria and some algae, Cytc_6 can be replaced by plastocyanin (PC), a type I blue-copper protein that acts as a thylakoid lumen soluble electron transporter. These two electron carriers are present in millimolar concentrations inside the thylakoid lumen (11), where Cytc_6 is replaced by PC, and this substantially reduces the requirement for Fe. In addition, PC uses Cu

which is much more soluble (and therefore available) than Fe in an oxidizing

atmosphere. Interestingly, plants have only retained PC for photosynthetic

electron transfer (12).

Expression of these two alternative electron carriers is regulated by

copper availability in both cyanobacteria and algae (13–15). The regulatory

system has been well characterized in the green alga *Chlamydomonas*

reinhardtii. CRR1, an SQUAMOSA promoter binding protein-like transcription

factor (SBP), activates *CYC6* (encoding Cytc_6) expression in response to copper

limitation (16). CRR1 is able to bind both Cu^+ and Cu^{2+}, with the latter inhibiting

the DNA-binding ability of the SBP domain (16). However, the regulatory system

has not been identified in cyanobacteria, even though the Cytc_6/PC switch was

discovered more than 40 years ago (13, 14, 18–21), and it is frequently used to

control the expression of genes in several model systems (22–24). The switch

responds to the presence of copper at the transcriptional level; *petJ* (encoding

Cytc_6) is repressed while *petE* (encoding PC) is induced (18, 21, 25–27). Several

regulatory systems that respond to copper have been described in cyanobacteria

including CopRS (25, 27), InrS (27, 28), and BmtR (29), but none are involved in

regulating these two genes.

Herein, we unveiled the regulatory mechanism for the Cytc_6/PC switch in

cyanobacteria. It involves two genes: one encoding a transcription factor of the

BlaI superfamily (PetR) that binds to both *petE* and *petJ* promoters, repressing

petE and activating *petJ* in the absence of copper. The second gene encodes a

protease (PetP) that degrades PetR in response to copper. PetR degradation is

activated by copper and requires PetP and copper *in vivo* and in an *in vitro*

assay system, suggesting that PetP is responsible for PetR degradation. The

system is widespread in all cyanobacteria, including early-branching

cyanobacteria such as *Gloeobacter* and *Pseudoanabaena*, with the exception of

most strains of the *Synechococcus*/*Prochlorococcus* groups.

Results

A BlaI/CopY homolog regulates the *petJ*/*petE* switch in *Synechocystis*

Bioinformatics analysis of genes within the vicinity of *petE* and *petJ* in 169

cyanobacterial genomes revealed that a transcription factor of the BlaI/CopY

family (renamed PetR) was associated with *petJ* and/or *petE* genes in 13

genomes (SI Appendix, Figure S1). Although the overall number of genomes with

this gene association was low, co-occurrence of *petR* with both *petE* and *petJ*

was higher. Specifically, 75% of genomes harboring *petE* and *petJ* also

contained *petR* (and a linked gene encoding a BlaR homolog; see below; SI

Appendix, Figure S1), and these two genes were only present in genomes

containing *petE* and *petJ* (SI Appendix, Figure S1; Supplementary dataset S1)

with a few exceptions. This raised the possibility that *petR* could be related to the

petE/*petJ* switch. In order to test this hypothesis, a mutant in the *slr0240* gene

encoding PetR in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (*Synechocystis* hereafter) was

generated (PETR strain). The mutant strain fully segregated (SI Appendix, Figure

S2) and the phenotype was indistinguishable from that of the wild-type (WT)

strain on BG11C plates (the standard defined medium for cyanobacteria; see

below). Expression of *petJ* and *petE* genes was analyzed by RNA blot in both

WT and PETR strains after copper addition to cells grown in BG11C lacking Cu

(BG11C-Cu). In WT cells, *petE* was induced in response to copper and *petJ* was

repressed (Figure 1A), as previously described (18, 25–27). By contrast, in the

PETR strain *petE* was expressed even in the absence of copper and *petJ* was

not expressed under any conditions (Figure 1A). Conversely, *copM* expression,

which is induced in response to copper (25, 30), was similar in both strains. At

the protein level, PC was induced in WT cells upon copper addition, while $Cytc_6$

abundance decreased after 4 and 24 h. CopM levels increased after copper

treatment, with the unprocessed form of the protein accumulating first, followed

by the processed at later timepoints (Figure 1B). By contrast, $Cytc_6$ was not

detected under any conditions in the PETR strain, and PC was detected even in

BG11C-Cu medium (Figure 1B). An increase in PC levels was still evident in the

PETR strain after copper addition (Figure 1B), probably due to an increase in

copper-bound PC, which is more stable than apoPC (25), since mRNA levels did

not change after copper addition (Figure 1A). No changes in the CopM induction

pattern were observed (Figure 1B). When an additional copy of *petR* was

introduced in the PETR strain, either under its own promoter (PETR2 strain) or

under the strong *cpcB* promoter (PETR3 strain), a WT phenotype was restored

(SI Appendix, Figure S3). This indicates that *petR* was the only gene responsible

for regulating these two genes. Similar results were obtained when protein levels

were analyzed in steady-state cells of the WT strain grown in media with

differences in copper availability. PC was high in copper-containing medium

(BG11C 330 nM Cu), lower in BG11C-Cu (~30 nM Cu), and much lower in

BG11C-Cu+ bathocuproinedisulfonic acid (BCSA), a copper-specific chelator (no

copper; Figure 1C). The PETR strain expressed PC in all conditions, but

accumulated higher levels in copper-containing media. Meanwhile, Cytc_6 was not

detected in the PETR strain in any media, and WT cells displayed higher levels in

BG11C-Cu+BCSA than in BG11-Cu (Cytc_6 was almost undetectable in copper-

containing medium; Figure 1D).

Slr0241(PetP) is also involved in *petJ*/*petE* regulation

Downstream of *petR* there is another gene (*slr0241*, herein named *petP*) that

encodes an M48/M56 superfamily of proteases (Pfam families PF01435 and

PF05569). The *petP* start codon overlaps with the stop codon of *petR*, and both

genes were expressed as a single transcriptional unit (SI Appendix, Figure S4).

Analysis of the PetP sequence using TMHMM 2.0 software (31) predicted four

transmembrane helices (residues 4–21, 28–50, 65–87, and 256–278), a 14

residue loop connecting TM2 and TM3, and a cytoplasmic domain (88-256) that

contains the HEXXH conserved catalytic motif (SI Appendix, Figure S5). This

domain organization is very similar to that of BlaR from *Staphylococcus aureus*

(32), which is involved in regulating β-lactam resistance by degrading BlaI,

although it lacks the C-terminal periplasmic domain that binds to β-lactams.

Furthermore, the organization of *petRP* is conserved in all cyanobacterial

genomes (SI Appendix, Figure S1, and Supplementary dataset S1), suggesting

that both genes might be functionally related. To test this hypothesis, we mutated

the *petP* gene. The resulting mutant strain (PETP) was viable (SI Appendix,

Figure S2) and exhibited a similar growth phenotype to the WT strain on BG11C

plates (see below). When gene expression was tested in this mutant, *petE* was

repressed both in the presence and absence of copper, while *petJ* was

expressed constitutively (Figure 1A). The same results were observed at the

protein level, with Cytc_6 detected both in the presence and absence of copper,

while PC was undetectable under any conditions (Figure 1B). When steady-state

proteins levels were analyzed in different copper-containing media, PC was not

detected in the PETP strain in any media, while Cytc_6 was detected in all media.

Despite this, Cytc_6 levels were lower in copper-containing medium than in media

lacking copper (Figure 1D). These results indicate that *petP* is involved in the

regulation of both genes, acting in an opposite way to *petR*. A double mutant

lacking both *petR* and *petP* genes was also generated (PETRP; SI Appendix,

Figure S2). The PETRP strain displayed the same phenotype as the PETR strain

(SI Appendix, Figure S6), revealing that *petP* acts upstream of *petR*.

PetP regulates PetR levels in response to copper

Given that PetP belongs to the M48/M56 superfamily of proteases, this protein

might regulate PetR through proteolysis. To investigate this hypothesis, we

generated an antiserum against PetR that allowed us to monitor PetR protein

abundance in response to copper. In the WT strain, PetR was detected in cells

cultivated in BG11C-Cu, and it was rapidly degraded after copper addition, since

petRP mRNA levels did not change following copper addition (SI Appendix,

Figure S4). Two bands were detected (~15 kDa and ~12 kDa, Figure 2A),

suggesting an initial and specific cut, and subsequent degradation of the

fragments. Indeed, in order to consistently detect PetR, whole cells were loaded

onto gels (see Materials and Methods) because PetR was quickly degraded

during protein extract preparation. The best studied homologs of PetRP are

BlaIR proteins involved in antibiotic resistance from *S. aureus* (32). Processing

of these proteins was initially identified in BlaI, it takes places after Phe100 in the

amino acid sequence, and is completely conserved in all cyanobacterial PetR

proteins (SI Appendix, Figure S7). As expected, the theoretical molecular mass

of the fragment generated by this digestion was consistent with the expected size

detected by immunoblotting (Figure 2A and SI Appendix, Figure S7; expected

sizes of full-length and processed proteins are 15.80 and 12.38 kDa,

respectively). In contrast to the WT strain, PetR levels did not change in

response to copper in the PETP strain (Figure 2). Therefore, the initial cleavage

is probably mediated by PetP, and the fragments are probably further degraded

by other unspecific proteases. As expected, PetR was not detected in the PETR

strain (Figure 2 and SI Appendix, Figure S3E). When PetR protein abundance

was analyzed in steady-state cultures grown in different copper regimes, levels

were clearly regulated in the WT strain, since they were higher in the presence of

BCSA than in BG11-Cu, and not detected in copper-containing media (Figure 2B

and C). PetR levels were higher in the PETP strain than in the WT strain, even

when WT cells were cultured in the presence of BCSA, although levels were

decreased slightly at higher copper availability (Figure 2B and C). These results

are consistent with the Cytc_6 and PC levels measured under the same conditions

(Figure 1C and D), and demonstrate that PetR protein abundance is regulated by

PetP *in vivo* according to Cu availability.

PetP degrades PetR directly in a copper-dependent manner

To further characterize this regulation, we performed an *in vitro* degradation

assay in which recombinant GST-PetR was incubated with total cell extracts from

WT, PETR, and PETP strains, and GST-PetR processing was followed by

immunoblotting. GST-PetR was not degraded by whole-cells extracts prepared

from WT, PETP, or PETR strains when copper was not added to the extracts

(Figure 2D). In contrast, when copper was added to extracts, GST-PetR was

degraded by WT and PETR extracts, but not by PETP extracts, indicating that a

PetP-mediated PetR degradation was activated by copper (Figure 2D).

To confirm that PetP was directly involved in PetR degradation, and that

this degradation was not mediated by an additional protein from *Synechocystis*,

petRP genes under their own promoter were cloned in a plasmid and

transformed into *E. coli*. Total extracts were prepared from cells carrying a

plasmid containing *petRP* or an empty plasmid, and degradation of GST-PetR

was monitored. The results were similar to those obtained using total extracts

from *Synechocystis*: GST-PetR was degraded in total extracts prepared from

cells containing a plasmid that includes *petRP*, but not in extracts prepared from

the strain carrying an empty plasmid (Figure 2E). PetR degradation also required

copper supplementation of extracts, demonstrating that PetR degradation

depends on this metal (Figure 2E). These results also showed that PetP can

degrade PetR directly without the participation of any other protein from

Synechocystis. Thus, PetP controls PetR levels directly via a copper-dependent

mechanism.

PetR binds to both *petE* and *petJ* promoters

In order to establish whether PetR regulates *petJ* and *petE* directly, we

performed Electrophoretic Mobility-Shift assays to analyze PetR binding to both

promoter regions. As a first approach, we carried out band shift assays using a

recombinant version of PetR (see Materials and Methods for details) and two

probes corresponding to the *petJ* and *petE* promoters. PetR was able to bind to

both promoters (Figure 3A and B), and the binding was not affected by Cu (Cu$^+$

or Cu^{2+}; SI Appendix, Figure S8). PetR exhibited a higher affinity toward *petE*

than the *petJ* promoter, and was able to completely titrate the probe at lower

concentrations (Figure 3A and B). These results indicate a dual role for PetR

activating *petJ* expression and repressing *petE*.

In order to identify the PetR binding site, we carried out a bioinformatic

analysis of the *petE* and *petJ* promoters in cyanobacterial genomes. A 300-bp

fragment surrounding *petE* and *petJ* promoters was retrieved from genomes that

contained *petE*, *petJ*, and *petRP* using MGcV database (http://mgcv.cmbi.ru.nl/;

SI Appendix, Supplementary dataset S2). Analysis of the sequences of *petE* and

petJ promoters using MEME suite revealed that the motif shown in Figure 3C

was significantly enriched in both. This motif contains the $GACN_5GTC$ sequence,

which was completely conserved, positioned 75 bp upstream from the starting

ATG codon (and 37 bp from the tsp) in the *petJ* promoter, and at 118 bp from the

ATG codon (and 23 bp from the tsp) in the *petE* promoter. In the *petJ* promoter,

the putative binding site is centered around the -35 box in the promoter, in a

location suitable as an activator site. In contrast, this sequence is located

between the -10 and -35 boxes in the *petE* promoter, compatible with a repressor

role of PetR. These locations are consistent with gene expression data obtained

by RNA blotting (Figure 1) and transcriptomics analysis (see below) of PETR and

PETP mutant strains, which suggests that PetR activates *petJ* and represses

petE expression. Notably, when MEME analysis was repeated using only *petJ*

promoters, an extended motif was identified (Figure 3D). This motif includes the

core of the motif shown in Figure 3C, but also two direct repeats of the sequence

$TTTGAC-N_9-TTTGAC$ (Figure 3D). A different motif was also identified when the

same analysis was performed using only *petE* promoter sequences (Figure 3E).

This motif includes the core motif shown in Figure 3C, but in an extended form of

the inverted repeat $GACA-N_3-TGTC$. However, the *Synechocystis* sequence in

the *petE* promoter is $GACG-N_3-TGTC$ (Figure 3F).

RNA-seq analysis of the PetR regulon

To fully characterize the PetR regulon, RNA-seq was carried out using RNA

extracted from WT, PETR, and PETP strains grown in BG11C-Cu, and 2 h after

addition of 0.5 µM Cu addition (SI Appendix Supplementary dataset S3). Using a

threshold of at least a 2-fold change and P_{adj} < 0.01, 14 genes were induced

(*petE,* both plasmid and chromosomal copies of *copMRS*, *copBAC*, *and nrsBAC*)

and three were repressed (*petJ, slr0601, and slr0602*) in the WT strain after

copper addition (SI Appendix, Table S1; Supplementary dataset S4), in

agreement with previous microarray analysis (27). A similar response was

observed in the PETR strain with *copMRS* (both copies)*, copBAC*, and *nrsBAC*

operons, and three additional genes of unknown function were also differentially

regulated (SI Appendix, Table S1). In the PETP strain, 16 genes were induced

after copper treatment, including *copMRS* (both copies)*, copBAC*, and *nrsBAC*

operons (SI Appendix, Table S1). As expected from the results shown in Figure

1, neither *petE* nor *petJ* were differentially expressed in PETR or PETP strains

(SI Appendix, Figure S9). Furthermore, *slr0601* and *slr0602* were not

differentially regulated in PETR or PETP (SI Appendix, Table S1). When gene

expression was directly compared between WT and PETR strains, eight genes

were differentially regulated in BG11C-Cu (seven expressed at higher levels in

WT, and one downregulated), and six were differentially regulated after copper

addition (SI Appendix, Supplementary dataset S4). As expected, this group of

genes included *petRP* (*petR* was induced 5.93 and 4.73-fold, and *petP* was

induced 37 and 38-fold for in BG11C-Cu and +Cu, respectively), *petE* (repressed

41-fold in -Cu and 2-fold in +Cu), and *petJ* (induced 168-fold in -Cu and 8.7-fold

in +Cu), as well as *slr0601*, *slr0602*, *slr0242*, *sll1796*, *sll0494*, and *slr1896* (SI

Appendix, Supplementary dataset S4). Similarly, when WT and PETP strains

were compared, only three genes were differentially regulated in BG11C-Cu (all

upregulated), and eight genes were differentially regulated in BG11C+Cu (also

upregulated). These genes included *petP* (upregulated 5-fold in both conditions

in WT), *petE* (also upregulated in WT, by 5.3-fold in -Cu and 116-fold in +Cu),

petJ (repressed 25-fold in +Cu), and *slr0242*, *slr0601*, *slr0602*, *slr1896*, and

sll1926 (SI Appendix, Supplementary dataset S4). These results suggest that the

PetRP regulon comprises *petJ*, *petE*, *slr0601*, and *slr0602*, as these genes were

regulated by copper in WT cells, and affected in both PETR and PETP strains,

although with opposite patterns in the two mutant strains.

The *slr0601* and *slr0602* genes are linked in the genome and probably

form an operon (SI Appendix, Figure S10A). Expression of *slr0601* was analyzed

by RNA blotting, and the pattern was similar to that of *petJ*: repressed after

copper addition in WT, not expressed in PETR, and constitutively expressed in

PETP strains (SI Appendix, Figure S10B). Furthermore, PetR could bind to the

promoter sequence of *slr0601* (SI Appendix, Figure S10C), which contains a

sequence matching the one identified in Figure 3 (SI Appendix, Figure S10D).

Together, these results indicate that the *petRP* regulon is only composed of *petJ*,

petE, and *slr0601-slr0602* in *Synechocystis*.

Physiological characterization of PETR and PETP mutant strains

All mutants generated in this study were fully segregated in BG11C, and were

able to grow in BG11C-Cu, but given their gene expression programs (Figures 1

and 2; SI Appendix, Figure S9; Table S1, supplementary dataset S4), growth

defects were expected in different copper regimes. We analyzed the growth of

the PETR and PETP strains on plates differing in copper availability: BG11C-

Cu+BCSA (no copper), BG11C-Cu (~30 nM Cu), and BG11C (330 nM Cu).

PETR was only affected in BG11C-Cu containing BCSA, similar to the PETJ

strain, which has an interrupted *petJ* gene (Figure 4A). By contrast, the PETP

strain was not affected under any conditions (Figure 4), because it expressed

petJ in all tested conditions (Figure 1). Finally, the PETE strain showed a

decreased growth rate (but survived) in BG11C, as previously reported (25, 26,

33) (Figure 4A and SI Appendix, Figure S11). A double mutant lacking both

genes (PETRP strain) was indistinguishable from the single mutant PETR

(Figure 4A and SI Appendix, Figure S6). Analysis of photosynthetic activity

revealed that PETJ and PETR strains reached lower oxygen evolution rates

(16.8 ± 0.3 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$ and 18.9 ± 0.75 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$, respectively)

than WT (23 ± 3.82 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$), PETE (24.5 ± 2.46 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$),

and PETP (23.2 ± 5 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$) strains when cultured in BG11c-

Cu+BCSA, although they saturated at the same light intensity (Figure 4B). When

cultured in BG11C, the PETE strain exhibited a severe defect in oxygen evolution

(reaching only 5.3 ± 2.7 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$ and saturating at a light intensity of

180 µmol m^{-2}s^{-1}(Figure 4C). Surprisingly, the PETP strain exhibited a lower

maximum capacity, reaching only 13.24 ± 2.63 µmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$, while WT

(24.11 ± 3.66 μmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$), PETJ (22.25±4.34 μmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$), and

PETR (21.87 ± 4.36 μmol min^{-1}/OD$_{750nm}$) strains all reached similar levels,

equivalent to those observed in BG11C-Cu (Figure 4C). Despite this, all strains

saturated at the same light intensity (500 μmol m^{-2}s^{-1}). The PETP strain

expressed *petJ* constitutively (Figures 1 and 2), and was therefore not expected

to show any photosynthetic defect.

Genetic interactions between *petRP*, *petJ*, and *petE*

Our results show that *petRP* is the main system responsible for the *petJ*/*petE*

switch following copper addition. Either *petJ* or *petE* are required for photosystem

I (PSI) reduction, and therefore for the growth of cyanobacteria (33), despite

early studies showing growth of *Synechocystis* in the absence of both proteins

(34). The results presented in Figures 1 and 4 suggest that the low levels of PC

present in BG11C-Cu (even in the presence of BCSA) were enough to sustain

growth of PETR and PETJ mutant strains, albeit at decreased rates (Figure 4A).

Furthermore, although the PETE strain showed impaired growth in the presence

of copper (Figure 4A), it was able to grow at decreased rates because all cells

grew after prolonged incubation (SI Appendix, Figure S11), probably due to low

levels of Cytc_6. Moreover, a double mutant lacking functional *petE* and *petR*

genes (or both *petR* and *petP*, strain PETERP) could not be completely

segregated (SI Appendix, Figure S12), probably due to low expression levels of

petJ in the absence of PetR (Figures 1A and SI Appendix, Figure S9), reinforcing

the idea that at least one of the soluble transporters (even at low levels) is needed in *Synechocystis*. By contrast, a double mutant lacking *petE* and *petP* (PETEP) was able to grow at WT rates in the presence of copper (SI Appendix, Figure S11 and S12). Consistently, the double mutant PETJP did not segregate, while PETJR and PETJRP mutants fully segregated (SI Appendix, Figure S12). Together, these results suggest that a double mutant lacking both *petJ* and *petE* should not be viable. To test this hypothesis, a double mutant was generated by transforming the PETE mutant with pPETJCm. Merodiploid colonies were obtained, but they never segregated completely (SI Appendix, Figure S13), despite subculturing under several light regimes for more than 2 years. To confirm that PC and Cytc_6 were expressed in slow-growing mutants, we used concentrated extracts and loaded 150 µg of total protein per lane. Cytc_6 was detected at low levels in WT and PETE strains, but not in the PETR strain, in the presence of copper (SI Appendix, Figure S12). In WT, PETR, and PETJ strains, PC was detected (although at low levels; Figures 1, 2, and SI Appendix, Figure S12) in the absence of Cu, therefore allowing growth of these strains at decreased rates (Figure 4).

Discussion

Acquisition of PC as an alternative electron carrier was probably an early adaptation after oxygenic photosynthesis appeared, since local oxygenation (even before the GOE) presumably decreased Fe availability. This is reinforced

by the presence of both electron carriers in early-branching cyanobacteria such as *Gloeobacter* and *Pseudoanabaena* (SI Appendix, Figure S1, Supplementary dataset 1). Although known about for more than 40 years (13, 14), the regulatory system responsible for the *petJ/petE* switch in cyanobacteria remained undiscovered. Herein, we show that the *petRP* genes are responsible for this regulation (Figures 1 and 5). The system seems to have been acquired early during evolution, because like *petE*, it is present in early branching cyanobacteria, and it is widespread in most cyanobacterial clades (SI Appendix, Figure S1). The only exception is the *Synechococcus/Prochlorococcus* clade, in which most strains lack *petRP* genes, similar to previous observations on other regulatory systems (35). We showed that *petRP* act in a single pathway in which PetR positively regulates *petJ* and negatively regulates *petE*, while PetP regulates PetR protein levels in response to copper (Figure 5). PetR directly regulates *petE* and *petJ* gene expression by binding to the promoter regions of these two genes (Figure 3). However, the affinity for both promoters is differs markedly, with the *petJ* promoter requiring much higher PetR concentrations for binding than the *petE* promoter *in vitro*. In addition, binding sites are in different locations in the two promoters, replacing the -35 box in *petJ* and between -10 and 35 in *petE*, consistent with an activating role in *petJ* and a repressing role in *petE*, as confirmed by gene expression analysis (Figures 1, 2 and 5; SI Appendix, Figure S9, Table S1, supplementary dataset S4).

Furthermore, our bioinformatics analysis identified an inverted repeat as

the core binding site for both promoters in cyanobacteria (Figure 3C), but when

petJ and *petE* promoters were used, variations of the motif were identified

(Figure 3D and E). Given that the protein abundance of PetR *in vivo* depends on

copper concentration (Figure 2), and binding to the *petJ* (and *slr0601*) promoter

requires higher PetR concentrations (Figure 3 and SI Appendix, Figure S10),

petJ will only be fully activated when all copper is exhausted (and PetR

accumulates at high levels), preventing induction of *petJ* if some copper remains

available for PC synthesis. Although BlaI/CopY homologs have been reported to

act only as repressors, our results strongly suggest that PetR acts as an activator

for *petJ* expression (Figures 1, 3, and SI Appendix, Figure S9B; Table S1). This

indicates that BlaI/CopY homologs may also act as activators, as suggested

previously (36). Since the binding sites for PetR activation are different to the

repressor sites (Figure 3), it is possible that they have remained elusive when

studying PetR homologs (37). This dual role for DNA binding proteins that were

initially considered to be repressors has been uncovered recently in proteins

such as Fur, which was believed to be an activator in both its apo and holo forms

(38–40).

Our RNA-seq analysis revealed that only four genes are under the control

of the *petRP* system in *Synechocystis*: *petE*, *petJ*, *slr0601*, and *slr0602* (SI

Appendix, Figures S9 and S10; Table S1). Inspection of the promoter sequences

of these four genes reveals conservation of the core sequence, identified using

$GACN_5GTC$ in the *petJ* and *petE* promoters, but also using only *petJ* promoter

sequences (Figure 3 and SI Appendix, Figure S10). The *slr0601* and *slr0602*

genes encode two small proteins restricted to cyanobacteria that share low

sequence conservation. Slr0601 contains two transmembrane domains with both

C-terminal and N-terminal regions predicted to be cytoplasmic, while Slr0602

contains a coiled-coil region but no other recognizable domains. The low levels of

sequence conservation and the lack of known domains make it difficult to assign

putative functions for these two proteins.

PetP belongs to the M48/M56 family of proteases, and we showed that

this protein regulates PetR by controlling its protein abundance in response to

copper *in vivo*. This regulation is probably direct as we showed that recombinant

PetR is degraded *in vitro* by both *Synechocystis* and *E. coli* extracts, and that this

degradation depends on the presence of PetP and copper (Figure 2). This

regulatory system is homologous to the BlaI/BlaR regulatory system that

regulates β-lactamase expression in *S. aureus*. We explored the mechanism by

which copper is sensed by PetRP. PetR belongs to the BlaI/CopY family of

transcription factors, and CopY proteins have been demonstrated to bind copper

directly (41). Therefore, it is possible that PetR may bind copper, and although

this binding may not affect its DNA binding activity (SI Appendix, Figure S8), it

may alter its conformation, making it more susceptible to degradation by PetP.

Nevertheless, CopY copper binding sites are not conserved in PetR (28), making

this hypothesis unlikely.

BlaR/MecR contains a C-terminal extra-cytoplasmic domain that is

acylated by β-lactam antibiotics, and this modification activates BlaR, which

subsequently degrades BlaI. This domain is absent in PetP, and therefore copper

sensing must be mediated by a different domain. The loop between the second

and third transmembrane domains of PetP includes two methionine residues

(M53 and M58 in *Synechocystis*) that are conserved in all PetP sequences (SI

Appendix, Figure S5), which could be putative copper ligands. Interestingly, this

domain in BlaR/MecR interacts with the periplasmic C-terminal domain after it

binds to β-lactams (42–44). This interaction has been proposed to transduce the

signal and activate the protease domain in BlaR. Therefore, these two

methionine residues in PetP may bind copper and transduce the signal in a

similar way.

Moreover, as PetP is an integral membrane protein, it would be interesting

to identify which cyanobacterial membrane system this protein targets. Most

copper in *Synechocystis* is presumably sequestered in the thylakoids, where it is

bound to PC (45, 46), and CopS, the sensor protein in the copper resistance

system, is located to both plasma and thylakoid membranes (25). If PetP is also

targeted to both membrane systems, this could allow PetP to respond not only to

an external surplus of copper, but also when the metal is released from internal

PC. PetP localization to the thylakoids is reinforced by the fact that mutants

lacking the P_1-type-Cu-ATPases CtaA, PacS, or both (which are involved in Cu

acquisition by PC) displayed decreased levels of *petE* mRNA after copper

addition (25, 47). This suggests that Cu needs to be transported to the thylakoid

in order to be detected by the PetRP system.

Furthermore, our results showed that *Synechocystis* can synthesize PC

even when copper levels are very low due to the presence of BCSA in BG11C-

Cu. This indicates that some copper can be transported even in the presence of

BCSA, suggesting that the copper import system (which remains unidentified)

has a higher affinity for copper than the chelating agent. Accumulation of PC in

the presence of BCSA was only observed in the PETR strain in which *petE*

expression was constitutive (Figures 1, 5, and SI Appendix, Figure S9), because

in the WT strain *petE* is repressed and PC cannot be synthesized, even if copper

is available.

Finally, our results also suggest that there are other layers of regulation at

the posttranscriptional level because Cytc_6 and PC protein abundance does not

always correlate with mRNA levels. PC was more abundant in Cu-containing

media in the PETR strain in which *petE* mRNA levels did not change in response

to copper (Figure 1). Similarly, Cytc_6 levels were decreased in the PETP strain

after copper addition, even when mRNA levels were not decreased. PC protein

abundance may be related to the protein not being correctly translated and/or

folded in the absence of available copper, or due to degradation of the

apoprotein, but synthesis of Cytc_6 should not be altered by copper. It has been

proposed that IsaR sRNA regulates *petJ* translation in response to Fe deprivation

(24). We did not find *isaR* to be differentially regulated in our RNA-seq

experiment (SI Appendix, Figure S14), hence it is unlikely that this regulation is

mediated by *petRP*. Furthermore, oxygen evolution was affected in the PETP

strain (which expresses Cytc_6; Figure 4C) in the presence of copper, suggesting

that additional changes in the photosynthetic transport chain might be present, as

reported for *Chlamydomonas* (48). Finally, although single mutants of either *petJ*

or *petE* were able to grow, even in a copper regime in which expression of the

other gene was repressed, these strains exhibited growth and photosynthesis

defects (Figure 4), in agreement with previous studies (33, 49–51). Double

mutants of both *petJ* and *petE* were not viable (SI Appendix, Figure S13), and

double mutants lacking one of the genes encoding the electron transport proteins

and with decreased expression of the other due to the lack of *petP* (constitutive

repression of *petE;* strain PETJP) or *petR* (unable to induce *petJ*; strain PETER)

were not viable either (SI Appendix, Figure S12). Together, these results

demonstrate that *petRP* are essential for expression of these genes, and that

levels of PC or Cytc_6 proteins must be above a critical threshold for survival of

the strains. These results also rule out the existence of another electron transfer

mechanism between cytochrome b_6f and PSI.

Materials and Methods

Strains and culture conditions

All *Synechocystis* strains used in this work were grown photoautotrophically on

BG11C (52) or BG11C-Cu (lacking CuSO$_4$) medium at 30ºC under continuous

illumination using 4000–4500 K white-LED light (50 µmol photon m^{-2} s^{-1},

measured at the flask surface) and bubbled with a stream of 1% (v/v) CO_2 in air.

BG11C-Cu was supplemented with 300 µM BCSA as a chelating agent to trap

any trace of copper, when required. For plate cultures, media were

supplemented with 1% (w/v) agar. Kanamycin, nourseothricin, chloramphenicol,

spectinomycin, and erythromycin were added to a final concentration of 50 µg

mL^{-1}, 50 µg mL^{-1}, 20 µg mL^{-1}, 5 µg mL^{-1}, and 5 µg mL^{-1}, respectively.

Experiments were performed using cultures from the logarithmic phase (3–4 µg

chlorophyll mL^{-1}). *Synechocystis* strains are described in SI Appendix, Table S2.

E. coli DH5α cells were grown in Luria Broth or M9 (supplemented with 1

mL LB per L of M9) media and supplemented with 100 µg mL^{-1} ampicillin, 50 µg

mL^{-1} kanamycin, 50 µg mL^{-1} nourseothricin, 20 µg mL^{-1} chloramphenicol, and

100 µg mL^{-1} spectinomycin when required.

Insertional mutagenesis of Synechocystis genes

For *slr0240* (*petR*) mutant construction, a 1524-bp fragment was amplified using

oligonucleotides 223 and 224, cloned into pSPARKII (generating pPETR), and a

Sp^R cassette was inserted in the *Eco*RV site at codon 31 in the *slr0240* open

reading frame (ORF), generating plasmid pPETR_Sp. For the *slr0241* (*petP*)

mutant, a 970-bp fragment was amplified using oligonucleotides 248 and 249

and cloned into pSPARKII, generating pPETP. A 582-bp *Nhe*I fragment was

substituted by an *Xba*I erythromycin cassette, generating pPETP_Ery. For the

petRP double mutant, a 1492-bp fragment was amplified by PCR using

oligonucleotides 256 and 257, and cloned into the pSPARKII vector, generating

the pPETRP plasmid. A 650-bp *Bst*EII fragment from plasmid pPETRP was

substituted by an *Hinc*II-digested SpR cassette from pRLSpec, generating

pPETRP_Sp. To generate the *petJ* mutant, a 1023-bp fragment was amplified

using oligos 235 and 236, and cloned into pSPARKII, generating pPETJ, and a

*Xba*I CC1 Cm resistance cassette was inserted in the *Nhe*I site present in the

petJ ORF, generating pPETJ_Cm. For PETR complementation, a 639-bp

fragment (185 bp upstream of the *petR* STOP codon) was amplified using

oligonucleotides 256 and 234, and cloned into pGLNN digested with *EcoR*I and

*Not*I, generating pPETR_comp. For the PETR3 strain, a 463-bp fragment was

amplified using oligonucleotides 258 and 234 (adding *Sal*I and the pET28

ribosome binding site upstream of *petR* ATG), digested with *Sal*I-*Not*I, and

cloned into pGLN-PcpcB digested in the same way, generating pPETR_OE.

These plasmids were used to transform WT *Synechocystis*, PETR, PETE, or

PETJ strains, generating PETR, PETR2, PETR3, PETP, PETRP, PETER,

PETEP, PETERP, PETJR, PETJP, PETJRP, and PETEJ strains. Segregation of

the strains was verified by PCR with the appropriate primer pairs, as depicted in

SI Appendix, Figures S2, S3, S11, and S13. All plasmids were sequenced to

verify that no unwanted mutations were introduced. Sequences for all

oligonucleotides are listed in SI Appendix, Table S3.

RNA isolation and RNA blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated from 30 mL samples of *Synechocystis* cultures in the

mid-exponential growth phase (3 to 4 µg chlorophyll mL^{-1}). Extractions were

performed by vortexing cells in the presence of phenol-chloroform and acid-

washed baked glass beads (0.25–0.3 mm diameter) as previously described

(53). A 5 µg sample of total RNA was loaded onto each lane of a 1.2%

denaturing agarose formaldehyde gel (54), electrophoresed, and transferred to a

nylon membrane (Hybond N-Plus; GE Biosciences). Prehybridization,

hybridization, and washes were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's

instructions. Probes for RNA blot hybridization were synthesized by PCR using

oligonucleotide pairs 172-173, 174-175, 389-390, 275-276, 274-275, and 178-

179 (SI Appendix, Table S3) for *petE*, *petJ*, *copM*, *slr0601*, and *rnpB*,

respectively. DNA probes were ^{32}P-labeled with a random primer kit (Rediprime II

catalog #RPN1633; GE Biosciences) using [α-32P] dCTP (3,000 Ci/mmol).

Hybridization signals were quantified with a Cyclone Phosphor System

(Packard). Each experiment included at least three biological replicates.

Immunoblotting

For analysis, proteins were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with

antibodies against plastocyanin (1:3000), cytochrome c_6 (1:3000), CopM

(1:7000), PetR (1:6000), or *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 6301 glutamine synthetase

I (1:100,000). ECL Prime (catalog # RPN2232, GE Biosciences) was used to

detect the different antigens with anti-rabbit secondary antibodies conjugated to

horseradish peroxidase (1:25,000; catalog # A0545, Sigma). Either photographic

film or a ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad) were used for signal detection

and quantification.

Samples were prepared from whole cells or soluble extracts. For whole

cells, cells were harvested from cultures with an optical density at 750 nm

(OD_{750nm}) of 1, the supernatant was carefully removed, cells were resuspended in

100 µL of 1× Laemmli loading buffer, and boiled for 10 min. For soluble extracts,

Cells equivalent to 20 OD_{750nm} were collected, resuspended in 300 µL of buffer A

(50 mM TRIS HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and

broken using glass beads via two cycles, separated by 5 min on ice, of 1 min in a

minibead beater. Cell extracts were recovered from the beads and samples were

clarified by two sequential centrifugations: 5 min at 5000 g to eliminate cell

debris, and 15 min at 15,000 g to remove membranes. Protein concentrations in

cell-free extracts and purified protein preparations were quantified using Bradford

reagent (catalog # 5000006, Bio-Rad), with ovalbumin as a standard, and

specified amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE.

PetR protein purification

The complete *petR* ORF was cloned from *Synechocystis* DNA after PCR

amplification with oligonucleotides 233 and 234, and cloned into the *Bam*HI-*Not*I

sites of pGEX6P, generating pGEX_PETR. The GST-PetR fusion protein was

expressed in *E. coli* DH5α cells. A 1 L culture was grown in Luria broth medium

to an OD_{600nm} of 0.6, cooled to 4ºC, 0.1 mM isopropyl-b-D-thiogalactopyranoside

(IPTG) was added, and culturing was continued at 25ºC overnight. Cells were

harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 5 mL of PBS buffer (150 mM NaCl,

16 mM Na_2HPO_4, 4 mM NaH_2PO_4, 4 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 7 mM β-

mercaptoethanol) supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, broken by sonication on

ice, and insoluble debris was pelleted by centrifugation for 45 min at 25,000 *g*.

Soluble extracts were mixed with 1 mL of glutathione agarose beads (catalogue

number # 17075601, GE Healthcare) and incubated for 2 h at 4ºC with gentle

agitation. Beads were transferred to a column and washed extensively with PBS

buffer (20–30 column volumes) until no more protein was eluted from the column.

The column was equilibrated with 10 volumes of 50 mM TRIS HCl pH 7.5, 150

mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM dithiothreitol, and beads were resuspended in

two volumes of the same buffer. A 20 µL sample of Precission protease (2 U/µL;

catalogue # 27084301, GE Healthcare) was added and beads were incubated

overnight at 4ºC. Beads were poured into an empty column and the flow through

fraction was collected. This fraction was diluted three times in 50 mM TRS HCl

pH 8, and applied to a HiTrap Heparin column (catalogue # 17040601, GE

Healthcare) connected to an Amersham FPLC system. The column was washed

with 10 volumes of 50 mM TRIS HCl, 100 mM NaCl, and a 20 mL gradient from

0.1–1 M NaCl was applied. Fractions containing PetR were pooled and

concentrated. GST-PetR fusion protein was eluted from glutathione agarose

beads with 3 mL of 50 mM TRIS HCl pH 8 containing 10 mM reduced glutathione

after washing the column with PBS. A gel of the purified fractions is shown in SI

Appendix, Figure S15.

Protease assays

Cells equivalent to 20 OD$_{750nm}$ of *Synechocystis* strains were harvested from mid-exponential growth phase cultures, resuspended in 300 μL of buffer containing 50 mM TRIS-HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 1 mM ZnSO$_4$, and broken with 2 volumes of glass beads using a minibead beater. Total cell extracts were collected by piercing the bottom of the tube with a needle. Extracts were centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 *g* to remove the remaining glass beads and unbroken cells, and the supernatant constituted the total extract. A 2-μg sample of GST-PetR was added to 150 μL of total extracts (containing 150 μg of total protein) and incubated at 30ºC. At the indicated times, 20 μL samples were removed, mixed with 7 μL of 4× Laemmli buffer and boiled for 10 min. A 5 μL sample was used for immunoblotting using α-PetR antibodies.

For *E. coli* assays, DH5α cells transformed with pN_petRP_Nat or pN_Nat were grown to late exponential phase (OD$_{595nm}$ 1–2), and cells at an OD$_{595nm}$ value of 20 were collected and processed as described above for *Synechocystis*. The pN_petRP_Nat plasmid was constructed by excising a 1478-bp fragment from pPETRP using *Eco*RI and *Xho*I, containing petRP and the 185-bp region upstream from *petR* ATG, and inserting it into pN_Nat (30) cut in the same way.

Band shift and gel retardation assays

Probes were PCR-synthesized using oligonucleotides 53 and 92 for the *petE* promoter (217 bp), 299 and 262 for the *petJ* promoter (103 bp), and 295 and 296

for *slr0601* (177 bp), introducing a *Sal*I restriction site in all cases. The resulting

DNA was digested with *Sal*I and end-labeled with [α-32P]-dCTP (3000 Ci mmol⁻

¹) using Sequenase v2.0 (Product #70775Y, Affymetrix). The binding reaction

was carried out in a final volume of 20 µL containing 4 ng of labeled DNA and 4

µg of salmon sperm DNA in 20 mM TRIS-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM KCl, 10 mM

DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, and different amounts (from 0.001 µg to 1 µg)

of purified PetR. The mixtures were incubated for 30 min at room temperature

and loaded on a Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE) buffer non-denaturing 6%

polyacrylamide gel (acrylamide:bisacrylamide 30:0.6). Electrophoresis was

carried out at 4ºC and 200 V in 0.25× TBE. Gels were transferred to a Whatman

3 MM paper, dried, and autoradiographed using a Cyclone Phosphor System

(Packard). Each experiment was performed at least three times with two

independent PetR preparations.

RNA-seq

The quantity and quality of total RNA were evaluated using RNA

electropherograms acquired by an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer; Agilent

Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and library construction of cDNA

molecules was carried out using Illumina Kapa Stranded Total RNA with a Ribo-

Zero Library Preparation Kit. The resulting DNA fragments (DNA library) were

sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform using 150-bp paired-end

sequencing reads. Sequencing was carried out by STAB VIDA (Lda, Lisbon,

Portugal). Reads were aligned against the NCBI genome sequence for

Synechocystis (NC_000911.1, NC_005229.1, NC_005230.1, NC_005231.1, and

NC_005232.1) using bowtie2 version 2.2.4 (55). Raw read counts were

calculated using the HTSeq-count function of HTSeq framework 0.11.0 (56). The

Bioconductor DESeq2 R software package (57) was used to detect differentially

expressed genes. An adjusted *p*-value of <0.01 was considered significant. For

RNA-seq density profiles, data were normalized using the BamCoverage function

of DeepTools2 0.8.0 (58), and visualized with Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV)

2.3.6 (59). The RNA-seq dataset is available at GEO accession GSE155385.

Phylogenomic analysis

16S RNA from 169 cyanobacterial genomes (Supplementary Material S1; all

completed genomes from NCBI and those included in (60)) were aligned with

MUSCLE 3.8.31, and a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny was estimated with

IQ-TREE 1.6.11 (61) using the best-fitting model (automatically selected by the

ModelFinder function) and selecting the phylogeny with the highest likelihood

from those found among independent searches, with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

petE, petJ, petR, and *petP* genes were searched using TBLASTN. Only hits with

≥50% query coverage and >25% identity were considered homologues, and

checked manually. Phylogenetic trees were displayed and edited using the

Interactive Tree Of Life webtool iTOL v4 (62).

Oxygen evolution

Oxygen evolution was measured by a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Hansatech

Chlorolab 2) using mid-logarithmic (OD_{750nm} = 0.8–1) cultures adjusted to OD_{750nm}

= 0.5 in BG11C or BG11C-Cu+BCSA media supplemented with 20 mM $NaHCO_3$

and white LED light.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jose Luis Crespo, Manuel J. Mallén, Miguel Roldán, and Sandra Díaz-

Troya for critical reading of the manuscript. This research was funded by grant

numbers BIO2016-75634-P and PID2019-104513GB-I00 from the Ministerio de

Economía y Competividad (MINECO) and the Agencia Estatal de Investigación

(AEI), respectively, and by Junta de Andalucía Group BIO-284, co-financed by

European Regional Funds (FEDER), to Francisco J. Florencio. RMGC is the

recipient of a predoctoral contract from Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y

Deporte, Spain (contract #FPU2015/05025).

References

1.   Schirrmeister BE, de Vos JM, Antonelli A, Bagheri HC (2013) Evolution of

multicellularity coincided with increased diversification of cyanobacteria

and the Great Oxidation Event. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110(5):1791–6.

2.   Koehler MC, Buick R, Kipp MA, Stüeken EE, Zaloumis J (2018) Transient

surface ocean oxygenation recorded in the ~2.66-Ga Jeerinah Formation,

Australia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115(30):7711–7716.

3. Fischer WW, Hemp J, Johnson JE (2016) Evolution of Oxygenic

Photosynthesis. *Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci* 44(1):647–683.

4. Alcott LJ, Mills BJW, Poulton SW (2019) Stepwise Earth oxygenation is an

inherent property of global biogeochemical cycling. *Science*

366(6471):1333–1337.

5. Hamilton TL (2019) The trouble with oxygen: The ecophysiology of extant

phototrophs and implications for the evolution of oxygenic photosynthesis.

Free Radic Biol Med 140:233–249.

6. Fischer WW, Hemp J, Valentine JS (2016) How did life survive Earth's

great oxygenation? *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 31:166–178.

7. Ilbert M, Bonnefoy V (2013) Insight into the evolution of the iron oxidation

pathways. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Bioenerg* 1827(2):161–175.

8. Crichton RR (2019) *Biological inorganic chemistry□: a new introduction to*

molecular structure and function (Academic Press, London).

9. Tortell PD, Maldonado MT, Granger J, Price NM (1999) Marine bacteria

and biogeochemical cycling of iron in the oceans. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*

29(1):1–11.

10. Behrenfeld MJ, Kolber ZS (1999) Widespread iron limitation of

phytoplankton in the south pacific ocean. *Science* 283(5403):840–843.

11.   Finazzi G, Sommer F, Hippler M (2005) Release of oxidized plastocyanin

from photosystem I limits electron transfer between photosystem I and

cytochrome b6f in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(19):7031–7036.

12.   Weigel M, et al. (2003) Plastocyanin is indispensable for photosynthetic

electron flow in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Biol Chem* 278(33):31286–9.

13.   Sandmann G, Böger P (1980) Copper-induced exchange of plastocyanin

and cytochrome c-533 in cultures of *Anabaena variabilis* and *Plectonema*

boryanum. *Plant Sci Lett* 17(4):417–424.

14.   Sandmann G (1986) Formation of plastocyanin and cytochrome c-553 in

different species of blue-green algae. *Arch Microbiol* 145(1):76–79.

15.   WOOD PM (1978) Interchangeable Copper and Iron Proteins in Algal

Photosynthesis: Studies on Plastocyanin and Cytochrome c-552 in

Chlamydomonas. *Eur J Biochem* 87(1):9–19.

16.   Kropat J, et al. (2005) A regulator of nutritional copper signaling in

Chlamydomonas is an SBP domain protein that recognizes the GTAC core

of copper response element. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(51):18730–

18735.

17.   Sommer F, et al. (2010) The CRR1 nutritional copper sensor in

chlamydomonas contains two distinct metal-responsive domains. *Plant Cell*

22(12):4098–4113.

18.   Zhang L, McSpadden B, Pakrasi HB, Whitmarsh J (1992) Copper-

766   mediated regulation of cytochrome c553 and plastocyanin in the

767   cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* 6803. *J Biol Chem* 267(27):19054–19059.

768   19.   Ghassemian M, Wong B, Ferreira F, Markley JL, Straus NA (1994)

769   Cloning, sequencing and transcriptional studies of the genes for

770   cytochrome c-553 and plastocyanin from Anabaena sp. PCC 7120.

771   *Microbiology* 140(5):1151–9.

772   20.   Van der Plas J, et al. (1989) The gene for the precursor of plastocyanin

773   from the cyanobacterium *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7937: isolation, sequence

774   and regulation. *Mol Microbiol* 3(3):275–84.

775   21.   Briggs LM, Pecoraro VL, McIntosh L (1990) Copper-induced expression,

776   cloning, and regulatory studies of the plastocyanin gene from the

777   cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Plant Mol Biol* 15(4):633–

778   42.

779   22.   Buikema WJ, Haselkorn R (2001) Expression of the *Anabaena* hetR gene

780   from a copper-regulated promoter leads to heterocyst differentiation under

781   repressing conditions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98(5):2729–2734.

782   23.   Tous C, Vega-Palas MA, Vioque A (2001) Conditional expression of

783   RNase P in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 allows

784   detection of precursor RNAs. Insight in the in vivo maturation pathway of

785   transfer and other stable RNAs. *J Biol Chem* 276(31):29059–29066.

786   24.   Georg J, et al. (2017) Acclimation of Oxygenic Photosynthesis to Iron

787   Starvation Is Controlled by the sRNA IsaR1. *Curr Biol* 27(10):1425-

788   1436.e7.

789   25.   Giner-Lamia J, et al. (2012) The CopRS Two-Component System Is

790   Responsible for Resistance to Copper in the Cyanobacterium

791   *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Plant Physiol* 159(4):1806–1818.

792   26.   Giner-Lamia J, López-Maury L, Florencio FJFJ (2016) Ni interferes in the

793   Cu-regulated transcriptional switch petJ/petE in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC

794   6803. *FEBS Lett* 590(20):3639–3648.

795   27.   Giner-Lamia J, López-Maury L, Florencio FJ (2014) Global transcriptional

796   profiles of the copper responses in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp.

797   PCC 6803. *PLoS One* 9(9):e108912.

798   28.   Foster AW, Patterson CJ, Pernil R, Hess CR, Robinson NJ (2012)

799   Cytosolic Ni(II) sensor in cyanobacterium: Nickel detection follows nickel

800   affinity across four families of metal sensors. *J Biol Chem* 287(15):12142–

801   12151.

802   29.   Liu T, et al. (2004) A novel cyanobacterial SmtB/ArsR family repressor

803   regulates the expression of a CPx-ATPase and a metallothionein in

804   response to both Cu(I)/Ag(I) and Zn(II)/Cd(II). *J Biol Chem* 279(17):17810–

805   8.

30.   Giner-Lamia J, López-Maury L, Florencio FJ (2015) CopM is a novel

copper-binding protein involved in copper resistance in *Synechocystis* sp.

PCC 6803. *Microbiologyopen* 4(1):167–185.

31.   Krogh A, Larsson B, Von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL (2001) Predicting

transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application

to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* 305(3):567–580.

32.   Peacock SJ, Paterson GK (2015) Mechanisms of Methicillin Resistance in

Staphylococcus aureus. Annu Rev Biochem 84(1):577–601.

33.   Durán R V, Hervás M, De La Rosa MA, Navarro JA (2004) The efficient

functioning of photosynthesis and respiration in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC

6803 strictly requires the presence of either cytochrome c6 or plastocyanin.

J Biol Chem 279(8):7229–33.

34.   Zhang L, Pakrasi HB, Whitmarsh J (1994) Photoautotrophic growth of the

cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 in the absence of

cytochrome c553 and plastocyanin. *J Biol Chem* 269(7):5036–5042.

35.   Lambrecht SJ, Steglich C, Hess WR (2020) A minimum set of regulators to

thrive in the ocean. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 44(2):232–252.

36.   Pence MA, et al. (2015) Beta-lactamase repressor BlaI modulates

Staphylococcus aureus cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide resistance and

virulence. *PLoS One* 10(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136605.

37.   Sala C, et al. (2009) Genome-wide regulon and crystal structure of BlaI

827   (Rv1846c) from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Mol Microbiol* 71(5):1102–16.

828   38.   Seo SW, et al. (2014) Deciphering Fur transcriptional regulatory network

829   highlights its complex role beyond iron metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *Nat*

830   *Commun* 5:4910.

831   39.   Pinochet-Barros A, Helmann JD (2020) Bacillus subtilis Fur is a

832   transcriptional activator for the PerR-repressed *pfeT* gene encoding an iron

833   efflux pump. *J Bacteriol* 202(8):e00697-19.

834   40.   Delany I, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V (2004) Fur functions as an activator and

835   as a repressor of putative virulence genes in *Neisseria meningitidis*. *Mol*

836   *Microbiol* 52(4):1081–1090.

837   41.   Glauninger H, et al. (2017) Metal-dependent allosteric activation and

838   inhibition on the same molecular scaffold: The copper sensor CopY from:

839   *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Chem Sci* 9(1):105–118.

840   42.   Belluzo BS, et al. (2019) An experiment-informed signal transduction

841   model for the role of the *Staphylococcus aureus* MecR1 protein in β-lactam

842   resistance. *Sci Rep* 9(1):19558.

843   43.   Llarrull LI, Toth M, Champion MM, Mobashery S (2011) Activation of BlaR1

844   protein of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, its proteolytic

845   processing, and recovery from induction of resistance. *J Biol Chem*

846    286(44):38148–38158.

847 44. Staude MW, et al. (2015) Investigation of signal transduction routes within

848    the sensor/transducer protein BlaR1 of *Staphylococcus aureus*.

849    *Biochemistry* 54(8):1600–10.

850 45. Tottey S, et al. (2012) Cyanobacterial metallochaperone inhibits

851    deleterious side reactions of copper. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109(1):95–

852    100.

853 46. Tottey S, et al. (2008) Protein-folding location can regulate manganese-

854    binding versus copper- or zinc-binding. *Nature* 455(7216):1138–42.

855 47. Tottey S, Rich PR, Rondet SA, Robinson NJ (2001) Two Menkes-type

856    atpases supply copper for photosynthesis in Synechocystis PCC 6803. *J

857    Biol Chem* 276(23):19999–20004.

858 48. Castruita M, et al. (2011) Systems biology approach in Chlamydomonas

859    reveals connections between copper nutrition and multiple metabolic steps.

860    *Plant Cell* 23(4):1273–1292.

861 49. Wang X-Q, Jiang H-B, Zhang R, Qiu B-S (2013) Inactivation of the *petE*

862    gene encoding plastocyanin causes different photosynthetic responses in

863    cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 under light-dark photoperiod

864    and continuous light conditions. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 341(2):106–114.

865 50. Ardelean I, Matthijs HC., Havaux M, Joset F, Jeanjean R (2002)

Unexpected changes in photosystem I function in a cytochrome c 6 -

deficient mutant of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *FEMS*

Microbiol Lett 213(1):113–119.

51.   Ivanov AG, et al. (2012) Restricted capacity for PSI-dependent cyclic

electron flow in ΔpetE mutant compromises the ability for acclimation to

iron stress in *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7942 cells. *Biochimica et*

Biophysica Acta - Bioenergetics, pp 1277–1284.

52.   Stanier RY, Deruelles J, Rippka R, Herdman M, Waterbury JB (1979)

Generic Assignments, Strain Histories and Properties of Pure Cultures of

Cyanobacteria. *Microbiology* 111(1):1–61.

53.   García-Domínguez M, Florencio FJ (1997) Nitrogen availability and

electron transport control the expression of *glnB* gene (encoding PII

protein) in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Plant Mol Biol*

35(6):723–734.

54.   J.F. S, Russell D (2001) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual (3-*

Volume Set).

55.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie

2. *Nat Methods* 9(4):357–359.

56.   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W (2015) Genome analysis HTSeq-a Python

framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. 31(2):166–169.

57.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change

887   and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15(12).

888   doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

889   58.   Ramírez F, et al. (2016) deepTools2: a next generation web server for

890   deep-sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44(W1):W160–W165.

891   59.   Robinson JT, et al. (2011) Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol*

892   29(1):24–26.

893   60.   Shih PM, et al. (2013) Improving the coverage of the cyanobacterial

894   phylum using diversity-driven genome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

895   *A* 110(3):1053–1058.

896   61.   Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A

897   Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood

898   Phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 32(1):268–274.

899   62.   Letunic I, Bork P (2019) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates

900   and new developments. *Web Serv issue Publ online* 47(W1):W256–W259.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. PetRP regulatory system controls *petJ/petE* switch in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803.

A. RNA blot analysis of *petJ, petE* and *copM* in WT, PETR and PETP strains in response to 0.5 µM copper addition. Total RNA was isolated from cells grown in BG11C-Cu medium at the indicated times after addition of 0.5 µM of copper. The filters were hybridized with *petJ*, *petE* and *copM* probes and subsequently stripped and re-hybridized with a *rnpB* probe as a control.

B. Immunoblot analysis of $Cytc_6$, PC, CopM and GSI in WT, PETR and PETP strains in response to 0.5 µM copper addition. Cells were grown in BG11C-Cu medium and cells were harvested at the indicated times addition of 0.5 µM of copper. 5 µg of total protein from soluble extracts was separated by 15 % SDS-PAGE and subjected to inmunoblot to detect PC, $Cytc_6$,CopM or GSI.

C. Quantification of PC levels in WT, PETR and PETP strains grown in BG11C-Cu +BCSA (blue bars), BG11C-Cu (orange bars) or BG11C (grey bars). 10 µg of total soluble proteins were loaded and compared to serial dilutions (100%, 50%, 25% and 12.5%) of the WT extract prepared from cells grown in BG11C. Data are the mean ± SE of three biologically independent experiments. Asterisk indicate significant difference to WT in the same condition (*t*-test; $p<0.05$).

D. Quantification of Cytc_6 levels in WT, PETR and PETP strains grown in

BG11C-Cu +BCSA (blue bars), BG11C-Cu (orange bars) or BG11C (grey

bars). 10 µg of total soluble proteins were loaded and compared to serial

dilutions (100%, 50%, 25% and 12.5%) of the WT extract prepared from

cells grown in BG11C-Cu+BCSA. Data are the mean ± SE of three

biologically independent experiments. Asterisk indicate significant

difference to WT in the same condition (*t*-test; $p < 0.05$).

Figure 2. PetP is a copper activated protease that regulates PetR levels.

A. Immunoblot analysis of PetR in WT, PETR and PETP strains in response

to 0.5 µM copper addition. Cells were grown in BG11C-Cu medium and

harvested at the indicated times after addition of 0.5 µM of copper. Whole

cells were loaded (0.2 OD$_{750nm}$), separated by 15 % SDS-PAGE and

subjected to immunoblot to detect PetR or GSI as loading control.

B. Immunoblot analysis of Cytc_6, PC and PetR in WT, PETR and PETP

strains grown in BG11C-Cu+BCSA (B), BG11C-Cu (-) or BG11C. 10 µg of

total soluble proteins were separated by 15 % SDS-PAGE and subjected

to immunoblot blot to detect PC, Cytc_6, or GSI as loading control. For PetR

whole cell extracts were used and 20 µl (equivalent to 0.2 OD$_{750nm}$) were

loaded per lane.

C. Quantification of PetR levels in WT, PETR and PETP strains grown in

BG11C-Cu +BCSA (blue bars), BG11C-Cu (orange bars) or BG11C (grey

bars). 20 µl of the whole cells extract were loaded and compared to serial

dilutions (100%, 50%, 25% and 12.5%) of the WT extract prepared from cells grown in BG11C-Cu+BCSA. Data are the mean ± SE of three biologically independent experiments. Asterisk indicate significant difference to WT in the same condition (*t*-test; *p*>0.05).

D. GST-PetR was incubated for the indicated time with total extracts prepared from WT, PETR and PETP strains grown in BG11C-Cu. 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$ was added to the extracts as indicated. Samples were taken at the indicated times, mixed with Laemmli buffer, boiled, separated in 12% SDS-PAGE gels and GST-PetR was detected using PetR antibodies.

E. GST-PetR was incubated for the indicated time with whole cell extracts prepared from *E. coli* carrying pN_petRP or pN_Nat (an empty plasmid) grown in M9 minimal media. 0.5 µM $CuSO_4$ was added to the extracts as indicated. Samples were taken at the indicated times, mixed with Laemmli buffer, boiled, separated in 12% SDS-PAGE gels and GST-PetR was detected using PetR antibodies

Figure 3. PetR binds to *petJ* and *petE* promoters.

A. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay using recombinant PetR to a 103 bp *petJ* promoter probe. The indicated PetR concentration were used in each lane.

B. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay using recombinant PetR to a 217 bp *petE* promoter probe. The indicated PetR concentration were used in each lane.

C. Sequence logo identified with MEME using upstream sequences (300 bp) from *petE* and *petJ* genes from genomes that also contained *petRP*.

D. Sequence logo identified with MEME using upstream sequences (300 bp) from *petJ* genes from genomes that also contained *petRP*.

E. Sequence logo identified with MEME using upstream sequences (300 bp) from *petE* from genomes that also contained *petRP*.

F. Alignment of the *petJ* and *petE* promoter sequences from *Synechocystis*. Transcriptional start sites are in capital letters and underlined, -10 boxes are yellow underlined and conserved nucleotides from the motif found in C are in red.

Figure 4. Physiological characterization of *petR* and *petP* mutant strains.

A. Growth of WT, PETE, PETJ, PETR, PETP and PETRP strains in different copper availability regimes. Tenfold serial dilutions of a 1 µg chlorophyll mL^{-1} cells suspension were spotted onto BG11C-Cu+BCSA, BG11C-Cu o BG11C. Plates were photographed after 5 days of growth

B. Oxygen evolution measured using a Clark electrode at increasing light intensities in exponential growing cultures (OD$_{750nm}$= 0.5-1) of WT (●), PETJ (●), PETE (●), PETR (●) and PETP (●) strains grown in BG11C-Cu+BCSA. Data are the mean ± SE of at least three biologically independent experiments.

C. Oxygen evolution measured using a Clark electrode at increasing light intensities in exponential growing cultures (OD$_{750nm}$= 0.5-1) of WT (●),

994   PETJ (●), PETE (●), PETR (●) and PETP (●) strains grown in BG11C.

995   Data are the mean ± SE of at least three biologically independent

996   experiments.

998 **Figure 5. Model of the regulatory mechanism for *petE/petJ* switch mediated**

999 **by PetRP.**

1000

A PetR (nM) 0 10 75 150 300 750 1500 3000

PetR-DNA

free probe

petJ

B PetR (nM) 0 2.5 5 10 25 50 100 250

PetR-DNA

free probe

petE

C

D

E

F

ttagcttgaccgcaacttt**gac**agatt**gtc**ttttgactttgcctggaccgcctccc<u>ataataccttc</u><u>G</u>n₃₄atg   *petJ*

ctgaggctgtataatctac**gac**gggctg**gtc**aaacattgtg<u>ataccat</u>gggcag<u>A</u>n₉₆atg   *petE*