

1 **The latitudinal gradient in rates of evolution for bird beaks,**
2 **a species interaction trait**

3

4 Benjamin G Freeman^{1,2}, Dolph Schluter^{1,2*}, Joseph A Tobias^{3*}

5

6 ¹ Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.

7 ² Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.

8 ³ Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London, UK.

9

* shared senior authorship

10 Short running title: the latitudinal gradient in bird beak evolution

11 Article type: Letter

12 Article information: abstract = 149 words; main text = 4,597 words; 68 references; 5
13 figures; 1 table

14 Author contributions: JAT assembled morphological dataset, BGF and DS conducted
15 analyses, BGF wrote the first draft of the manuscript and DS and JAT contributed
16 substantially to revisions.

17 Keywords: biotic interactions, character displacement, ecological opportunity,
18 evolutionary rates, latitudinal diversity gradient, phenotypic evolution, trait evolution

19 Data accessibility statement: All data and R scripts will be uploaded to Dryad upon
20 acceptance.

21 Corresponding author: 2212 Main Mall, Vancouver BC Canada, V6T1Z4

22 Email addresses: freeman@zoology.ubc.ca; schluter@zoology.ubc.ca;

23 j.tobias@imperial.ac.uk

24 Abstract

25 Where is evolution fastest? The biotic interactions hypothesis proposes that greater
26 species richness creates more ecological opportunity, driving faster evolution at low
27 latitudes, whereas the “empty niches” hypothesis proposes that ecological opportunity is
28 greater where diversity is low, spurring faster evolution at high latitudes. Here we tested
29 these contrasting predictions by analyzing rates of bird beak evolution for a global dataset
30 of 1141 sister pairs of birds. Beak size evolves at similar rates across latitudes, while
31 beak shape evolves faster in the temperate zone, consistent with the empty niches
32 hypothesis. We show in a meta-analysis that trait evolution and recent speciation rates are
33 faster in the temperate zone, while rates of molecular evolution are slightly faster in the
34 tropics. Our results suggest that drivers of evolutionary diversification are more potent at
35 higher latitudes, thus calling into question multiple hypotheses invoking faster tropical
36 evolution to explain the latitudinal diversity gradient.

37 Introduction

38 The biotic interactions hypothesis proposes that strong interactions between tropical
39 species are both a cause and a consequence of the latitudinal diversity gradient
40 (Schemske 2009). Imagine that diversity was equal in the tropics and temperate zone. If
41 so, then stronger species interactions in the tropics are proposed to lead to faster
42 adaptation and speciation at low latitudes, generating an excess of tropical species. This
43 is because species interactions are thought to be the dominant drivers of selection for
44 tropical organisms, and adaptation to species interactions is expected to lead to faster
45 adaptive evolutionary change than adaptation to abiotic challenges (Dobzhansky 1950;
46 MacArthur 1972; Schemske 2009). Now imagine that the latitudinal diversity gradient
47 already exists. A greater number of species in the tropics could provide increased
48 ecological opportunity via an increase in the diversity of possible interactions between
49 species and greater spatial heterogeneity in these interactions, also leading to faster
50 evolution (Schemske 2009). In this conception, diversity begets diversity in a positive
51 feedback loop. Whether species interactions are stronger in the tropics has been tested by
52 many studies, with mixed results (Schemske *et al.* 2009; Anstett *et al.* 2016; Moles &
53 Ollerton 2016; Roslin *et al.* 2017; Baskett & Schemske 2018; Hargreaves *et al.* 2019;
54 Freeman *et al.* 2020; Roesti *et al.* 2020). However, the prediction that stronger species
55 interactions or higher diversity can drive faster evolution in the tropics remains largely
56 unexplored (but see Benkman 2013).

57 An alternative hypothesis predicts that evolution is instead fastest where species
58 diversity is low. According to standard niche theory, ecological opportunity should be
59 negatively related to diversity (Hutchinson 1959), such that evolutionary rates are faster

60 in regions with the fewest species (Lawson & Weir 2014; Schluter 2016; Schluter &
61 Pennell 2017; Machac 2020). A geographic prediction arising from this “empty niches”
62 hypothesis is that evolutionary rates should be faster in the species-poor temperate zone
63 than the species-rich tropics. Glacial cycles are a prominent explanation for why species
64 richness is currently low in the temperate zone despite its large area (Dynesius & Jansson
65 2000), with abundant empty niche space likely available following the periodic retreat of
66 ice-sheets (Schluter 2016).

67 Here, we investigate the contrasting predictions of the biotic interactions and
68 empty niche hypotheses by analyzing latitudinal differences in rates of bird beak
69 evolution. Bird beak morphology can be linked to abiotic factors such as temperature
70 because beak size functions in thermoregulation (Tattersall *et al.* 2009, 2017). However,
71 beak morphological form—particularly shape—is tightly coupled with diet across all
72 ~10,000 species of birds (Pigot *et al.* 2020). Indeed, beak shape predicts dietary niches
73 even when they are subdivided into finely grained categories, suggesting a pervasive role
74 for trophic adaptations as drivers of bird beak evolution (Pigot *et al.* 2020). These dietary
75 functions mean the avian beak mediates species interactions both within and between
76 trophic levels. On one hand, birds use their beaks to feed on prey animals and food
77 plants, with beak morphology intimately shaped by these trophic interactions (Smith
78 1987; Benkman 2003; Lerner *et al.* 2011; Grant & Grant 2014; Cattau *et al.* 2018). On
79 the other hand, competitive interactions between closely related species may also drive
80 beak morphological evolution via ecological character displacement (Brown & Wilson
81 1956; Schluter 2000; Grant & Grant 2006). The biotic interactions hypothesis predicts

82 that both types of interaction—trophic and competitive—accelerate beak evolution in the
83 tropics.

84 We tested whether empirical patterns of bird beak evolution better matched
85 predictions of the biotic interactions or empty niche hypotheses by compiling new
86 measurements of beak size and shape (Tobias *et al.* this volume) for a previously
87 published global dataset of bird sister pairs (Cooney *et al.* 2017b). First, we tested
88 whether beak size and shape evolution are fastest for tropical sister pairs, as expected
89 under the biotic interactions hypothesis, or fastest for temperate sister pairs, as expected
90 under the empty niches hypothesis. Second, we isolated the effect of competitive
91 interactions on latitudinal differences in beak evolution by examining rates of beak
92 evolution in sympatric sister pairs. Interspecific competition can lead to greater trait
93 divergence in sympatry than in allopatry via two processes: ecological character
94 displacement, where competition directly drives trait divergence (Stuart & Losos 2013;
95 Weber & Strauss 2016), and ecological sorting, where competition prevents sympatry
96 between species with similar traits but permits sympatry between species with divergent
97 traits (Pigot & Tobias 2013; Tobias *et al.* 2014, 2020; Freeman 2015). The prediction of
98 the biotic interactions hypothesis is that competitive interactions resulting in ecological
99 character displacement or ecological sorting are strongest in the tropics. If so, then
100 evolutionary rates for sympatric sister pairs, relative to baseline rates for allopatric sister
101 pairs, should be faster in the tropics than in the temperate zone. The empty niches
102 hypothesis makes no clear prediction about latitudinal gradients in the strength of
103 competition between close relatives.

104 Our analysis of global patterns of bird beak evolution sheds light on the more
105 general problem of why species richness is highest in the tropics. Dozens of hypotheses
106 have been proposed to explain the latitudinal diversity gradient. The biotic interactions
107 hypothesis is one of at least seven distinct hypotheses that invoke faster tropical evolution
108 to explain why species richness peaks near the equator (Mittelbach *et al.* 2007). These
109 “fast tropical evolution” hypotheses propose different mechanisms for why evolution is
110 most rapid in the tropics, including stronger species interactions (a focus of this
111 manuscript), faster rates of molecular evolution (Rohde 1992; Gillman & Wright 2014),
112 narrower physiological tolerances (Janzen 1967), larger spatial area (Rosenzweig 1995),
113 greater climatic variation (Haffer 1969), greater genetic drift (Fedorov 1966), and greater
114 divergence in the face of gene flow (Moritz *et al.* 2000). Finding faster rates of beak
115 evolution in the tropics would therefore support the biotic interactions hypothesis while
116 also being consistent with the entire set of fast tropical evolution hypotheses. A result that
117 beak evolution is faster in the temperate zone, however, would support the empty niches
118 hypothesis while calling into question all hypotheses based on the concept of faster
119 tropical evolution.

120

121 Materials and methods

122 *Sister pair selection and geographic variables*

123 We used a previously published dataset of 1306 sister pairs of birds with information on
124 median latitude and range overlap for each pair (Cooney *et al.* 2017b). This dataset
125 defined sister pairs from a dated global bird phylogeny of species, subsetted to include
126 only species placed on the tree on the basis of genetic data (6670 species out of 9993)

127 (Jetz *et al.* 2012). Each sister pair in this dataset comprises two species that are each
128 other's closest relatives. Because of incomplete sampling, not all sister pairs are true
129 sister species. Nevertheless, each sister pair is a phylogenetically independent comparison
130 between two closely related species, and is therefore appropriate for our purposes.
131 Because our primary goal is to compare rates of beak evolution in tropical versus
132 temperate sister pairs, we excluded 141 sister pairs from mixed latitudinal zones, defined
133 as cases where one species was classified as tropical (midpoint latitude $< 23.4^\circ$) and the
134 other as temperate (midpoint latitude $> 23.4^\circ$). We further excluded 14 sister pairs with
135 estimated divergences greater than 20 million years, and 10 sister pairs where
136 morphological data was lacking for one species within the pair. Our final dataset
137 contained 1141 sister pairs.

138 Three key variables in this dataset are: (1) divergence time; (2) latitude; and (3)
139 range overlap, coded as allopatric vs. sympatric. We used divergence time—the time to
140 most recent common ancestor estimated using the dated phylogeny—as an estimate of
141 evolutionary age. For latitude, we calculated the median latitude for each species in our
142 dataset based on standard geographical range polygons from BirdLife International
143 (BirdLife International 2018). Following numerous previous studies (e.g., Weir &
144 Schluter 2007; Cooney *et al.* 2017b; Sheard *et al.* 2020), we focused solely on the
145 breeding distribution, where interactions over food and territories are likely most intense,
146 and averaged the latitude for each pair of sister species to produce a midpoint latitude for
147 the pair. Using these midpoint latitudes, we then classified each sister pair as tropical ($<$
148 23.4° ; $N = 800$) or temperate ($> 23.4^\circ$; $N = 341$).

149 Range overlap is a continuous variable ranging from 0, when the two species in

150 the pair do not overlap in range, to 1, where the range of the smaller-ranged species is
151 completely subsumed within the range of the larger-ranged species. Following previous
152 studies (e.g., Tobias *et al.* 2014; Cooney *et al.* 2017b), we used a threshold of 20% range
153 overlap to define whether sister pairs were allopatric (< 20% range overlap; N = 746) or
154 sympatric (> 20% range overlap; N = 395). Hence, sister pairs were coded as sympatric
155 only when they had substantial range overlap such that the two species likely interact. A
156 range overlap of 20% often spans a large geographic area. In continental systems, any
157 interaction-driven trait divergence within this region of overlap may extend far into the
158 allopatric zone because of unimpeded gene flow (e.g., Kirschel *et al.* 2019). To examine
159 the sensitivity of results to a 20% overlap threshold, we conducted further tests using
160 thresholds of > 0% and > 50% range overlap to define sympatry and allopatry. Finally,
161 we note that beak measurements were sampled explicitly in the area of range overlap for
162 some sister pairs (e.g., Furnariidae; Tobias *et al.* 2014) but this was not possible for all
163 pairs. Further studies sampling morphology in both sympatric and allopatric populations
164 for each sister pair would be necessary to directly test patterns of ecological character
165 displacement.

166

167 *Morphological divergence*

168 We extracted morphological data for each species in each species pair from a
169 comprehensive global dataset of bird morphology (Tobias *et al.* this volume). We
170 analyzed four traits, all measured in mm: (1) beak length along the culmen from the skull
171 to the tip; (2) beak length from the nares to the tip; (3) beak depth at the nares; and (4)
172 beak width at the nares. We excluded individuals that had missing values for any beak

173 traits. Our final morphological dataset contained 9,966 individuals (mean 4.4 individuals
174 per species; range = 1–89; 94% of species had four or more individuals measured).

175 We used a principal components analysis to place all individuals within a single
176 multidimensional beak morphospace. To generate independent axes of variation in beak
177 morphology, we ran a principal component analysis (PCA) on logged values of each of
178 the four beak traits. The resulting morphospace is essentially two dimensional: PC1,
179 related to overall beak size, explained 82.1% of variation, while PC2, a metric of beak
180 shape, explained a further 14.3% of variation (Table S1, Figure S1). We quantified the
181 volume of beak morphospace for tropical and temperate zone species by constructing a
182 hypervolume using Gaussian kernel density estimation and calculating its volume using
183 the “hypervolume” package (Blonder *et al.* 2014; Blonder & Harris 2019). Tropical
184 species outnumber temperate species by approximately 3 to 1 in this dataset, reflecting
185 the overall global ratio. However, the volumes of beak morphospace occupied by tropical
186 and temperate species in this dataset are similar (four-dimensional volumes of 4.55
187 versus 4.91; see Figure S2 for a two-dimensional representation). This implies that
188 tropical species are more densely packed within beak morphospace than temperate
189 species, a feature found in other avian diversity gradients (Pigot *et al.* 2016). We
190 calculated divergence in beak size (PC1) and shape (PC2) for each taxon pair as the
191 absolute value of the difference in species means along these axes. Sampling error will
192 cause the raw difference in species’ means to be larger on average than the true
193 difference in species means. We therefore estimated bias-corrected divergence in beak
194 size and shape for each sister pair using pooled sample variance calculated for all species.
195 For demonstration of this bias, as well as code to calculate bias-corrected divergence, see

196 R scripts provided in the Supporting Information. Following bias correction, some values
197 of beak size and shape divergence between sister pairs are negative.

198

199 *Statistical analysis*

200 We tested the hypothesis that evolutionary rates of beak divergence differ between
201 latitudes by fitting evolutionary and empirical models to our trait data in R version 3.9 (R
202 Development Core Team 2020). We fit distinct models to divergence in beak size (PC1)
203 and divergence in beak shape (PC2). For all beak size models, we removed two outlier
204 sister pairs (the temperate pair *Numenius minutus* and *N. arquata* (curlews) and the
205 tropical pair *Geospiza magnirostris* and *G. fuliginosa* (Darwin's finches from the
206 Galápagos) with extremely high beak size divergence but very low estimated divergence
207 dates. These cases likely arise from phylogenetic error.

208 We first fit Brownian motion (BM) and Ornstein Uhlenbeck (OU) models to bias-
209 corrected morphological differences and time. These common models of trait evolution
210 pass through the origin. In our dataset, however, both beak size and beak shape
211 divergence are relatively high even at very young evolutionary ages (Figure 1a and 1b),
212 as shown in previous sister species analyses (McEntee *et al.* 2018). There are three
213 possible explanations for this seemingly impossible initial rate of evolution. The first is
214 statistical: evolutionary age is estimated with error, and any species pairs whose ages are
215 underestimated will lead to models with an intercept fitting better than models fit through
216 the origin. The second explanation is biological: rapid evolution and phenotypic plasticity
217 can lead to trait differences that arise prior to divergence at the putatively neutral loci that
218 are used to estimate evolutionary age. Third, it is possible that sister pairs with high

219 morphological divergence but very young ages represent cases where sister species are
220 moderately old but recent introgression has created the illusion of evolutionary youth
221 (Tobias *et al.* 2020).

222 We therefore explored fitting simple models with intercepts that better captured
223 the patterns in our data than formal evolutionary models. Because a high intercept is
224 consistent with a high rate of evolution in the early stages of divergence (McEntee *et al.*
225 2018), we compare intercepts as well as slopes of fitted models. We included simple
226 power functions in the set of models because they are more flexible than the evolutionary
227 models, and can fit relationships suggested by the loess regressions shown in Figure 1. In
228 sum, we fit five models: BM and OU models, a BM model with an intercept, a power
229 function forced through the origin, and a power function with an intercept. We compared
230 model fit using AIC.

231 The response variable in our models (either beak size divergence or beak shape
232 divergence) was the signed square root of squared differences between bias-corrected
233 species means divided by two. BM and OU models estimate the variance between species
234 times two on the squared differences scale; we correct for this by dividing by two. We
235 used the signed square root of squared differences—the visual scale for analyzing trait
236 differences—because residuals are more normally distributed and had more homogenous
237 variance on this scale. All results from models fit to squared differences data, weighting
238 by the variance of residuals, were similar, but the skewed distributions of residuals on the
239 squared difference scale led us to be cautious in interpretation of AIC scores and p-values
240 (Figures S3 and S4, Tables S2 and S3).

241 We tested whether rates of trait evolution differed between the tropics and

242 temperate zone by fitting models with and without a latitudinal zone term (temperate or
243 tropical), and conducting F tests using the “anova” command in R. For the BM intercept
244 and power function with intercept, we fit three models in which (1) neither the intercept
245 nor the slope varied between tropical and temperate zone sister pairs; (2) the intercept
246 varied between tropical and temperate zone sister pairs; and (3) both slope and intercept
247 varied between tropical and temperate zone sister pairs.

248 Next, we analyzed whether sympatry is associated with greater rates of beak size
249 and beak shape evolution in our dataset (Figure 1c). We began with the best-fit model
250 from our previous analysis (power function with intercept). We then fit two additional
251 models in which (1) the intercept varied between allopatric and sympatric sister pairs;
252 and (2) both slope and intercept varied between allopatric and sympatric sister pairs. We
253 compared these models by conducting F tests using the “anova” command in R.

254 The key prediction arising from the biotic interactions hypothesis is that
255 evolutionary rates in sympatry are particularly fast in the tropics. To test this prediction,
256 we added a latitudinal zone term to the best-fit model that included a sympatric/allopatric
257 term, as described in the previous paragraph. We tested models in which (1) the intercept
258 varied between tropical and temperate zone sister pairs; and (2) both slope and intercept
259 varied between tropical and temperate zone sister pairs. Again, we compared model fit by
260 conducting F tests using the “anova” command in R.

261

262 Literature review

263 To help place the results of our study in a broader context, we conducted a meta-analysis
264 of previous studies of latitudinal gradients in evolutionary rates. BGF conducted Web of

265 Science and Google Scholar searches on 5 November 2020 for “molecular evolution
266 AND latitud*”, “trait evolution AND latitud*”, “speciation AND latitud*”, and “evolution
267 AND latitud*”, and retained studies that estimated evolutionary rates in both the tropics
268 and temperate zone. We categorized studies as measuring three types of evolutionary
269 rates: rates of molecular evolution, rates of trait evolution, or recent speciation rates.
270 Theory has shown that speciation rates inferred from lineage-through-time plots may be
271 unreliable (Louca & Pennell 2020) but that speciation rates close to the present can be
272 unambiguously identified because there is no confounding effect of extinction. We
273 therefore included only studies that estimated recent speciation rates for both the tropics
274 and temperate zone. For each study, we calculated the ratio in evolutionary rates in the
275 temperate zone versus evolutionary rates in the tropics (details provided in Supporting
276 Information). We were unable to calculate standard errors for the majority of studies and
277 therefore could not calculate fit a random effects meta-analysis to the data. Instead, we
278 calculated weighted averages for the ratio of temperate versus tropical rates for each type
279 of evolutionary rate.

280

281 Results

282 We found evolutionary rates were faster in the temperate zone for beak shape and similar
283 between tropical and temperate zone birds for beak size (Figures 2 and S5). For both beak
284 size and beak shape, the best-fit model was a power function with an intercept, and
285 standard evolutionary models (Brownian motion and Ornstein Uhlenbeck) were poor fits
286 ($\Delta AIC > 40$; Table 1). For beak shape but not beak size, models that included a
287 latitudinal zone term (tropical/temperate) improved model fit (e.g., for the best-fit power

288 function with intercept, $p = 0.0034$; Table S4), with higher intercepts for beak shape
289 divergence in the temperate zone. We found further evidence that the slope of beak shape
290 divergence is also steeper in the temperate zone ($p = 0.039$; model where both intercept
291 and slope differ between tropics and temperate zone plotted in Figure 2b). Young
292 lineages in the temperate zone have greater beak shape divergence than equivalently aged
293 lineages in the tropics (higher intercept), but successively older lineages in the temperate
294 zone have even greater beak shape divergence than equivalently aged lineages in the
295 tropics (steeper slope).

296 Sympatric sister pairs have greater trait divergence than allopatric sister pairs for
297 beak size (Figure 3a, t-test $p = 0.020$, means = 0.17 and 0.14, respectively) and beak
298 shape (Figure 3b, t-test $p < 0.001$, means = 0.077 and 0.061, respectively). This pattern of
299 greater divergence in sympatry is consistent with a role for competition in driving trait
300 divergence. However, beak size and beak shape divergence also increase with
301 evolutionary age (Figure 1 a,b), and sympatric sister pairs are older than allopatric sister
302 pairs. In this dataset, median sister pair ages are 2.90 and 4.54 million years for tropical
303 allopatric and sympatric sister pairs, respectively (t-test on mean age; $p < 0.001$), and
304 2.30 and 3.60 million years for temperate zone allopatric and sympatric sister pairs (t-test
305 on mean age; $p = 0.0039$; Figure 3).

306 Statistically controlling for evolutionary age, we found evidence that competition
307 drives beak shape evolution, but not beak size evolution. For beak shape, including a
308 range overlap term (sympatry/allopatry) improved model fit ($p = 0.0022$, Figure 4b,
309 Table S5). In contrast, models that included a range overlap term did not improve model
310 fit for beak size ($p = 0.20$, Figure 4a, Table S5). This indicates that the greater divergence

311 in beak size for sympatric sister pairs is primarily due to their older age. Models that
312 included a temperate/tropical term in addition to a sympatry/allopatry term further
313 improved fit for beak shape ($p = 0.0032$, Figure 4d) but not for beak size ($p = 0.69$,
314 Figure 4c, see Table S5). However, excess rates of divergence in sympatry compared to
315 allopatry for beak shape were similar between the tropics and temperate zone (Figure 4d).
316 Results were similar when using alternative thresholds to define sympatric versus
317 allopatric sister pairs (Figures S6 and S7, Tables S6 and S7).

318 Our survey of published literature shows that the average ratio of evolutionary
319 rates in the temperate zone versus the tropics is 1.46 ± 0.16 (mean \pm standard error,
320 weighted by sample size; $N = 18$ studies). This means that evolution is typically fastest in
321 the temperate zone (Figure 5). Trait evolution and recent speciation rates are faster in the
322 temperate zone (weighted means of 2.57 ± 1.45 and 1.50 ± 0.31 , respectively), while
323 rates of molecular evolution are slightly faster in the tropics (weighted mean of $0.92 \pm$
324 0.02).

325

326 Discussion

327 Our analyses of evolutionary rates across 1141 pairs of sister species reveal that bird beak
328 shape evolution is fastest in the temperate zone, whereas bird beak size evolves at a
329 similar rate across latitudes. In addition, sympatric tropical sister pairs do not have
330 particularly fast evolutionary rates, as predicted if one specific biotic interaction—
331 competition between closely related species that leads to exaggerated trait differences in
332 sympatry—were stronger in the species-rich tropics. These results contradict both aspects
333 of the biotic interaction hypothesis: that stronger biotic interactions in the tropics lead to

334 faster evolution in biotic interaction traits, and that greater species richness leads to faster
335 evolution in the tropics. Diversity does not beget diversity, at least for beak morphology.
336 Instead, our results are more consistent with the empty niches hypothesis, whereby
337 ecological opportunity promotes greater beak divergence in the species-poor temperate
338 zone.

339 It is perhaps unsurprising that bird beak evolution is not fastest in the tropics
340 where species richness is highest. Previous studies have shown that greater species
341 richness is primarily associated with greater niche packing within beak morphospace
342 rather than with niche expansion (Pigot *et al.* 2016). Indeed, beak morphospace is only
343 slightly larger in the tropics in our dataset despite three times more species in the tropics.
344 This increased saturation of available niche space should offer fewer opportunities for
345 rapid beak evolution (Tobias *et al.* 2020). In addition, bird beaks are well described by a
346 small number of dimensions and tend to reflect rather diffuse (one-to-many) interactions
347 between consumers and a wide range of food plants or prey species. Diversity may beget
348 diversity in other situations, however. For example, greater diversity could spur rapid
349 evolution (and coevolution) in species interaction traits shaped by more specialized (e.g.
350 one-to-one) interactions, such as the foraging traits of herbivorous beetles adapted to host
351 trees (McKenna *et al.* 2009) or greater dimensionality, such as venoms that predators use
352 to capture prey (Daltry *et al.* 1996) or cocktails of chemicals that plants use to deter
353 herbivores (Rasmann & Agrawal 2011). Further studies that measure rates of evolution
354 along the latitudinal gradient for traits that differ in their specialization or dimensionality
355 would test these ideas.

356 A further important caveat relates to the precision of our trait quantification. Our
357 data are based on a set of linear beak measurements and thus provide only a partial
358 estimate of aspects such as curvature. The beaks of two interacting hummingbird species
359 may potentially diverge in the extent of curvature linked to different floral niches while
360 appearing identical from the perspective of our measurements. It is clear that the linear
361 measurements we use nonetheless explain most of the ecological variation among
362 species, including relatively subtle behavioral differences (Pigot et al. 2020), but further
363 studies could revisit latitudinal effects on beak evolution using methods that account for
364 curvature, bill tip differences and other details of beak structure (Cooney *et al.* 2017a).

365

366 *Competition drives beak shape divergence across latitudes*

367 Based on linear measurements, we report evidence that beak shape evolution is faster in
368 sympatry than allopatry, suggesting a role for competition in driving divergence by
369 ecological character displacement or ecological sorting. Importantly, we show that the
370 relationship between beak shape divergence and sympatry is similar in the tropics and
371 temperate zone. This is not consistent with the prediction of the biotic interaction
372 hypothesis that competition is stronger in the tropics, though the possibility remains that
373 there are latitudinal differences in diffuse competition (as opposed to the pairwise
374 competition that we measured). While we also find that beak size divergence is greater in
375 sympatric sister pairs than allopatric sister pairs, this is explained not by competition but
376 by the older age of the sympatric sister pairs. Given that sympatric and allopatric sister
377 pairs have similar rates of beak size evolution and relatively minor differences in beak

378 shape evolution, our results suggest that character displacement (and ecological sorting)
379 play a relatively minor role in global patterns of bird beak evolution (Tobias *et al.* 2020).

380

381 *Evolutionary rates are typically fastest in the temperate zone*

382 Our study adds to a growing body of evidence documenting that recent evolutionary rates
383 are often faster in the temperate zone than in the tropics. While early empirical tests
384 reported faster molecular evolution in the tropics (e.g., Gillooly *et al.* 2005; Wright *et al.*
385 2006), a recent comprehensive analysis of ~8,000 taxon pairs from six phyla of animals
386 found that latitudinal differences in the rate of molecular evolution are indeed faster in
387 the tropics, but only just (faster in the tropics in 51.6% of comparisons vs. faster in the
388 temperate zone in 48.4% of comparisons)(Orton *et al.* 2019). In contrast, trait evolution
389 and recent speciation rates are faster in the temperate zone than the tropics in all
390 published studies to date. All studies of trait evolution are on birds (Martin *et al.* 2010;
391 Weir & Wheatcroft 2011; Lawson & Weir 2014; Weir & Price 2019); studies in
392 additional taxonomic groups are necessary to test the generality of this pattern. However,
393 recent speciation rates are fastest at high latitudes in angiosperms (Igea & Tanentzap
394 2020), marine fishes (Rabosky *et al.* 2018) and in both birds and mammals (Weir &
395 Schluter 2007). This same pattern, replicated across different taxonomic groups, directly
396 contradicts predictions arising from fast tropical evolution hypotheses, and instead is
397 consistent with the idea that ecological opportunity has been greater on average at high
398 latitudes in recent evolutionary history.

399

400 *Conclusion*

401 Explaining the latitudinal diversity gradient is a longstanding goal of evolutionary
402 ecology. The generality of the latitudinal diversity gradient across taxa, space and time
403 suggests the tantalizing possibility of a common explanation. Since Darwin and Wallace,
404 generations of biologists have added new hypotheses to a growing list of contenders
405 (reviewed by Pianka 1966; Rohde 1992; Mittelbach *et al.* 2007). We argue that, on the
406 strength of current evidence, hypotheses that invoke faster evolution in the tropics to
407 explain the latitudinal diversity gradient should be rejected. Evolutionary hypotheses that
408 invoke slower extinction in the tropics (rather than faster evolution) remain viable,
409 though difficult to test. For example, a latitudinal gradient in the strength of biotic
410 interactions in the tropics may help to explain how high tropical diversity is maintained
411 (by lower extinction) rather than generated (by faster evolution). Future studies should
412 consider how biotic interactions influence extinction rates in a latitudinal context.

413

414 Acknowledgements

415 Comments from Jason Weir, the Schluter lab group and Ralf Yorque greatly improved
416 this manuscript. BGF was supported by postdoctoral fellowships from the Biodiversity
417 Research Centre and Banting Canada (#379958). Morphological data collection was
418 conducted by Catherine Sheard, Monte Neate-Clegg, and Nico Alioravainen, among
419 many others, and funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council
420 (NE/I028068/1 and NE/P004512/1 to JAT). None of our funders had any influence on the
421 content of the submitted manuscript, and none of our funders required approval of the
422 final manuscript to be published.

423

424 References


- 425 Anstett, D.N., Nunes, K.A., Baskett, C. & Kotanen, P.M. (2016). Sources of controversy
426 surrounding latitudinal patterns in herbivory and defense. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 31,
427 789–802.
- 428 Baskett, C.A. & Schemske, D.W. (2018). Latitudinal patterns of herbivore pressure in a
429 temperate herb support the biotic interactions hypothesis. *Ecol. Lett.*, 21, 578–587.
- 430 Benkman, C.W. (2003). Divergent selection drives the adaptive radiation of crossbills.
431 *Evolution*, 57, 1176–1181.
- 432 Benkman, C.W. (2013). Biotic interaction strength and the intensity of selection. *Ecol.*
433 *Lett.*, 16, 1054–1060.
- 434 Blonder, B. & Harris, D.J. (2019). hypervolume: high dimensional geometry and set
435 operations using kernel density estimation, support vector machines, and convex
436 hulls.
- 437 Blonder, B., Lamanna, C., Violle, C. & Enquist, B.J. (2014). The n-dimensional
438 hypervolume. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*, 23, 595–609.
- 439 Brown, W.L. & Wilson, E.O. (1956). Character displacement. *Syst. Zool.*, 5, 49–64.
- 440 Cattau, C.E., Fletcher, R.J., Kimball, R.T., Miller, C.W. & Kitchens, W.M. (2018). Rapid
441 morphological change of a top predator with the invasion of a novel prey. *Nat. Ecol.*
442 *Evol.*, 2, 108–115.
- 443 Cooney, C.R., Bright, J.A., Capp, E.J.R., Chira, A.M., Hughes, E.C., Moody, C.J.A., *et*
444 *al.* (2017a). Mega-evolutionary dynamics of the adaptive radiation of birds. *Nature*,
445 542, 344–347.
- 446 Cooney, C.R., Tobias, J.A., Weir, J.T., Botero, C.A. & Seddon, N. (2017b). Sexual
447 selection, speciation and constraints on geographical range overlap in birds. *Ecol.*
448 *Lett.*, 20, 863–871.
- 449 Daltry, J.C., Wüster, W. & Thorpe, R.S. (1996). Diet and snake venom evolution. *Nature*,
450 379, 537–542.
- 451 Dobzhansky, T. (1950). Evolution in the tropics. *Am. Sci.*, 38, 209–221.
- 452 Dynesius, M. & Jansson, R. (2000). Evolutionary consequences of changes in species'
453 geographical distributions driven by Milankovitch climate oscillations. *Proc. Natl.*
454 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 97, 9115–9120.
- 455 Fedorov, A.A. (1966). The Structure of the Tropical Rain Forest and Speciation in the
456 Humid Tropics. *J. Ecol.*, 54, 1.
- 457 Freeman, B., Scholer, M., Boehm, M., Heavyside, J. & Schluter, D. (2020). Adaptation
458 and latitudinal gradients in species interactions: nest predation in birds. *Am. Nat.*,
459 196, E000.
- 460 Freeman, B.G. (2015). Competitive interactions upon secondary contact drive elevational
461 divergence in tropical birds. *Am. Nat.*, 186, 470–479.
- 462 Gillman, L.N. & Wright, S.D. (2014). Species richness and evolutionary speed: The
463 influence of temperature, water and area. *J. Biogeogr.*, 41, 39–51.
- 464 Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., West, G.B. & Brown, J.H. (2005). The rate of DNA evolution:
465 Effects of body size and temperature on the molecular clock. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
466 *U. S. A.*, 102, 140–145.
- 467 Grant, P.R. & Grant, B.R. (2006). Evolution of character displacement in Darwin's
468 finches. *Science*, 313, 224–226.

- 469 Grant, P.R. & Grant, B.R. (2014). *40 Years of Evolution: Darwin's Finches on Daphne*
470 *Major Island*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- 471 Haffer, J. (1969). Speciation in amazonian forest birds. *Science*, 165, 131–137.
- 472 Hargreaves, A.L., Suárez, E., Mehltreter, K., Myers-smith, I., Vanderplank, S.E., Slinn,
473 H.L., *et al.* (2019). Seed predation increases from the Arctic to the Equator and from
474 high to low elevations. *Sci. Adv.*, 5, eaau4403.
- 475 Hutchinson, G.E. (1959). Homage to Santa Rosalia or why are there so many kinds of
476 animals? *Am. Nat.*, 93, 145–159.
- 477 Igea, J. & Tanentzap, A.J. (2020). Angiosperm speciation cools down in the tropics. *Ecol.*
478 *Lett.*, 23, 692–700.
- 479 Janzen, D.H. (1967). Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. *Am. Nat.*, 101, 233–
480 249.
- 481 Jetz, W., Thomas, G.H., Joy, J.B., Hartmann, K. & Mooers, A.O. (2012). The global
482 diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature*, 491, 444–448.
- 483 Kirschel, A.N.G., Seddon, N. & Tobias, J.A. (2019). Range-wide spatial mapping reveals
484 convergent character displacement of bird song. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 286, 17–
485 19.
- 486 Lawson, A.M. & Weir, J.T. (2014). Latitudinal gradients in climatic-niche evolution
487 accelerate trait evolution at high latitudes. *Ecol. Lett.*, 17, 1427–1436.
- 488 Lerner, H.R.L., Meyer, M., James, H.F., Hofreiter, M. & Fleischer, R.C. (2011).
489 Multilocus resolution of phylogeny and timescale in the extant adaptive radiation of
490 Hawaiian honeycreepers. *Curr. Biol.*, 21, 1838–1844.
- 491 Louca, S. & Pennell, M.W. (2020). Extant timetrees are consistent with a myriad of
492 diversification histories. *Nature*, 580, 502–505.
- 493 MacArthur, R.H. (1972). *Geographical ecology: patterns in the distribution of species*.
494 Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- 495 Machac, A. (2020). The dynamics of bird diversity in the New World. *Syst. Biol.*, 69, 1–
496 20.
- 497 Martin, P.R., Montgomerie, R. & Loughheed, S.C. (2010). Rapid sympatry explains
498 greater color pattern divergence in high latitude birds. *Evolution*, 64, 336–347.
- 499 McEntee, J.P., Tobias, J.A., Sheard, C. & Burleigh, J.G. (2018). Tempo and timing of
500 ecological trait divergence in bird speciation. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*, 2, 1120–1127.
- 501 McKenna, D.D., Sequirira, A., Marvaldi, A. & Farrell, B. (2009). Temporal lags and
502 overlap in the diversification of weevils and flowering plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
503 *USA*, 106, 7083–7088.
- 504 Mittelbach, G.G., Schemske, D.W., Cornell, H. V, Allen, A.P., Brown, J.M., Bush, M.B.,
505 *et al.* (2007). Evolution and the latitudinal diversity gradient: speciation, extinction
506 and biogeography. *Ecol. Lett.*, 10, 315–331.
- 507 Moles, A.T. & Ollerton, J. (2016). Is the notion that species interactions are stronger and
508 more specialized in the tropics a zombie idea? *Biotropica*, 48, 141–145.
- 509 Moritz, C., Patton, J.L., Schneider, C.J. & Smith, T.B. (2000). Diversification of
510 rainforest faunas: an integrated molecular approach. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 31, 533–
511 563.
- 512 Orton, M.G., May, J.A., Ly, W., Lee, D.J. & Adamowicz, S.J. (2019). Is molecular
513 evolution faster in the tropics? *Heredity*, 122, 513–524.
- 514 Pianka, E.R. (1966). Latitudinal gradients in species diversity : a review of concepts. *Am.*

- 515 *Nat.*, 100, 33–46.
- 516 Pigot, A.L., Sheard, C., Miller, E.T., Bregman, T., Freeman, B., Seddon, N., *et al.* (2020).
- 517 Macroevolutionary convergence connects morphological form to ecological function
- 518 in birds. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*, 4, 230–239.
- 519 Pigot, A.L. & Tobias, J.A. (2013). Species interactions constrain geographic range
- 520 expansion over evolutionary time. *Ecol. Lett.*, 16, 330–338.
- 521 Pigot, A.L., Trisos, C.H. & Tobias, J.A. (2016). Functional traits reveal the expansion
- 522 and packing of ecological niche space underlying an elevational diversity gradient in
- 523 passerine birds. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 283, 1–9.
- 524 R Development Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical
- 525 computing.
- 526 Rabosky, D.L., Chang, J., Title, P.O., Cowman, P.F., Sallan, L., Friedman, M., *et al.*
- 527 (2018). An inverse latitudinal gradient in speciation rate for marine fishes. *Nature*,
- 528 559, 392–395.
- 529 Rasmann, S. & Agrawal, A.A. (2011). Latitudinal patterns in plant defense: Evolution of
- 530 cardenolides, their toxicity and induction following herbivory. *Ecol. Lett.*, 14, 476–
- 531 483.
- 532 Roesti, M., Anstett, D.N., Freeman, B.G., Lee-Yaw, J.A., Schluter, D., Chavarie, L., *et*
- 533 *al.* (2020). Pelagic fish predation is stronger at temperate latitudes than near the
- 534 equator. *Nat. Commun.*, 11, 1527.
- 535 Rohde, K. (1992). Latitudinal gradients in species diversity : the search for the primary
- 536 cause. *Oikos*, 65, 514–527.
- 537 Rosenzweig, M.L. (1995). *Species Diversity in Space and Time*. Cambridge University
- 538 Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 539 Roslin, T., Hardwick, B., Novotny, V., Petry, W.K., Andrew, N.R., Asmus, A., *et al.*
- 540 (2017). Latitudinal gradients: Higher predation risk for insect prey at low latitudes
- 541 and elevations. *Science*, 356, 742–744.
- 542 Schemske, D. (2009). Biotic interactions and speciation in the tropics. In: *Speciation and*
- 543 *patterns of diversity* (eds. Butlin R, Bridle J & Schluter D). Cambridge University
- 544 Press, pp. 219–239.
- 545 Schemske, D.W., Mittelbach, G.G., Cornell, H. V, Sobel, J.M. & Roy, K. (2009). Is there
- 546 a latitudinal gradient in the importance of biotic interactions? *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol.*
- 547 *Syst.*, 40, 245–269.
- 548 Schluter, D. (2000). Ecological character displacement in adaptive radiation. *Am. Nat.*,
- 549 156, 4–16.
- 550 Schluter, D. (2016). Speciation, ecological opportunity, and latitude. *Am. Nat.*, 187, 1–18.
- 551 Schluter, D. & Pennell, M.W. (2017). Speciation gradients and the distribution of
- 552 biodiversity. *Nature*, 546, 48–55.
- 553 Sheard, C., Neate-Clegg, M.H.C., Alioravainen, N., Jones, S.E.I., Vincent, C.,
- 554 MacGregor, H.E.A., *et al.* (2020). Ecological drivers of global gradients in avian
- 555 dispersal inferred from wing morphology. *Nat. Commun.*, 11, 2463.
- 556 Smith, T.B. (1987). Bill size polymorphism and intraspecific niche utilization in an
- 557 African finch. *Nature*, 329, 717–719.
- 558 Stuart, Y.E. & Losos, J.B. (2013). Ecological character displacement: glass half full or
- 559 half empty? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 28, 402–408.
- 560 Tattersall, G.J., Andrade, D. V. & Abe, A.S. (2009). Heat exchange from the toucan bill


- 561 reveals a controllable vascular thermal radiator. *Science*, 325, 468–470.
- 562 Tattersall, G.J., Arnaout, B. & Symonds, M.R.E. (2017). The evolution of the avian bill
563 as a thermoregulatory organ. *Biol. Rev.*, 92, 1630–1656.
- 564 Tobias, J. (in press). AVONET: a global database of bird traits. *Ecol. Lett.*, this volume.
- 565 Tobias, J.A., Cornwallis, C.K., Derryberry, E.P., Claramunt, S., Brumfield, R.T. &
566 Seddon, N. (2014). Species coexistence and the dynamics of phenotypic evolution in
567 adaptive radiation. *Nature*, 506, 359–363.
- 568 Tobias, J.A., Ottenburghs, J. & Pigot, A.L. (2020). Avian diversity: speciation,
569 macroevolution and ecological function. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 51, 533–560.
- 570 Weber, M.G. & Strauss, S.Y. (2016). Coexistence in close relatives: beyond competition
571 and reproductive isolation in sister taxa. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 47, 359–381.
- 572 Weir, J.T. & Price, T.D. (2019). Song playbacks demonstrate slower evolution of song
573 discrimination in birds from Amazonia than from temperate North America. *PLoS*
574 *Biol.*, 17, e3000478.
- 575 Weir, J.T. & Schluter, D. (2007). The latitudinal gradient in recent speciation and
576 extinction rates of birds and mammals. *Science*, 315, 1574.
- 577 Weir, J.T. & Wheatcroft, D. (2011). A latitudinal gradient in rates of evolution of avian
578 syllable diversity and song length. *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biological Sci.*, 278, 1713–1720.
- 579 Wright, S., Keeling, J. & Gillman, L. (2006). The road from Santa Rosalia: A faster
580 tempo of evolution in tropical climates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 103, 7718–
581 7722.
- 582

583



584
585


586 Figure 1. Patterns of divergence in beak size (a), beak shape (b) and range overlap (c) in
587 1141 sister pairs of birds. Raw data are plotted. Loess regressions are shown in blue;
588 predictions from the best-fit models (power functions with intercepts) are shown as
589 dashed black lines. Beak size and shape divergence values are corrected for bias arising
590 from sampling error. Range overlap is the proportion of the smaller-ranged species that
591 falls within the range of the larger-ranged species. Sister pairs were coded as sympatric if
592 they had range overlaps > 0.20 (the dashed line).



593
594

595 Figure 2. Rates of beak size evolution are similar between tropics and temperate zone
596 (left panels), but beak shape evolution is faster in the temperate zone (right panels).
597 Model predictions are plotted for the two best models: power functions with an intercept
598 (a, b) and Brownian motion models with an intercept (c, d). *P*-values are from *F* tests
599 testing whether the inclusion of a tropical/temperate term improved model fit. ΔAIC
600 values compare different model fits separately for beak size and beak shape.

601




602

603


604 Figure 3. Sympatric sister pairs have greater beak size divergence (a), beak shape
605 divergence (b), and are older (c) than allopatric sister pairs. *P*-values are from t-tests of
606 trait divergence or ages between allopatric and sympatric sister pairs; separate t-tests for
607 age for temperate and tropical zones in panel (c). Median values of ages for temperate
608 and tropical sister pairs are plotted as vertical dashed lines.

609



610

611 Figure 4. Rates of beak size evolution are similar between allopatric ($n = 746$) and
612 sympatric ($n = 395$) sister pairs (left panels), but beak shape evolution is faster in
613 sympatry (right panels). P -values are from F tests testing whether the inclusion of an
614 allopatric/sympatric term to a power function with an intercept improved model fit (a, b),
615 or whether the inclusion of a tropical/temperate term to a power function with an
616 intercept and an allopatric/sympatric term improved model fit (c, d). The p -value for beak
617 shape (d) is from a F test comparing a reduced model with an allopatric/sympatric term to
618 a full model with terms allowing both the intercept and slope to differ between tropics
619 and temperate zone. Beak shape evolution is faster in the temperate zone in both allopatry
620 and sympatry compared to the tropics.



621

622 Figure 5. Meta-analysis of 18 published studies estimating latitudinal differences in

623 evolutionary rates. Trait evolution and recent speciation rates are faster in the temperate

624 zone, while rates of molecular evolution are slightly faster in the tropics. The weighted

625 average and standard error for each category of evolutionary rate are illustrated as black

626 points and lines. Point estimates of the ratio of temperate zone rate and tropical rate for

627 each study are illustrated in blue when evolutionary rates are faster in the temperate zone

628 and orange when faster in the tropics; size of points reflects sample size. We plot logged

629 values to illustrate fold-differences between evolutionary rates from the temperate zone

630 and tropics. See Supporting Information for details on calculating ratios for each study.

631

632 Table 1. Models that included intercepts (power function with an intercept and Brownian
633 motion model with an intercept) were better fits than models forced through the origin
634 (power function, Ornstein Uhlenbeck and Brownian motion models).

response variable	model	Δ AIC
beak size	power function intercept	0
	Brownian motion intercept	-15.11
	power function	-25.04
	Ornstein Uhlenbeck	-42.36
	Brownian motion	-42.38
	power function intercept	0
beak shape	Brownian motion intercept	-3.98
	power function	-12.99
	Ornstein Uhlenbeck	-40.15
	Brownian motion	-62.01

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645