Modular low-cost homemade radiation shields for forest ecology field experiments

Morales, N.S. [1,2]

[1]Centro de Modelación y Monitoreo de Ecosistemas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Mayor,

Santiago, Chile

[2] School of Environment, Faculty of Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

Correspondence author: narkis.morales@umayor.cl

Summary

1. Abiotic variables are important in understanding several forest ecosystems processes.

Hence, temporal, accurate, and precise measurements are vital to any experiment

including abiotic variables as part of its objectives.

2. In general, field experiments require several types of sensors to represent intra-site

variability. For temperature and humidity measurements in particular, accessories to

decrease biases such as radiation shields are indispensable. However, this kind of

experimental setup can make project costs escalate quickly.

3. Low-cost alternatives to commercially available radiation shields have proven to be

adequate in their ability to decrease measurement bias. Despite this advantage (low

cost), the materials used in some of these cannot last long under field conditions (e.g.

cardboard, aluminium foil).

4. A custom-made low-cost solution was designed to withstand rugged conditions to

perform temporal measurements using sensors. The radiation shields proved to meet

the technical and budgetary needs of the project while lasting the entire duration of the

project (approx. 3 years). At the end of the project they were still in good enough

condition to use in future studies, and they were donated to the School of

Environmental Sciences (University of Auckland).

Introduction

The importance of abiotic variables on forest processes require accurate and precise temporal measurements. Sensors and dataloggers are a crucial part of most forest ecology studies, as changes in abiotic conditions can have a huge impact on different forest ecosystem processes such as plant regeneration, biomass decomposition rates, flammability of biomass, etc (e.g. Ewers & Banks-Leite 2013, Rossa 2017). In addition to the sensors, there are other accessories that need to be considered to minimize measurement errors. For example, the potential bias on temperature and humidity measurements caused by solar radiation striking the sensors directly, makes the use of proper shielding critical. To avoid biased measurements, sensors are usually placed inside a structure commonly referred as a radiation shield (Hubbard, Lin & Walter-Shea 2001). Radiation shields come in a wide variety of shapes and forms, but their main functions are to shield against direct sunlight while allowing appropriate ventilation for accurate sensor reading.

The cost of sensors plus radiation shields can transform an experimental design into a prohibitive expensive endeavour (Terando, Prado & Youngsteadt 2018). In general, a field experiment will require several of these instruments to obtain a representative data trend from each site. Although there are radiation shield designs that can be easily reproduced and that there are comparable, performance-wise, to commercially available ones, the materials used are not sturdy enough to endure extended periods in the field (e.g. cardboard, aluminium foil) (Tarara & Hoheisel 2007). In addition, both commercial radiation shields and the currently available alternatives require the researcher to carry several, often bulky, structures to replace potentially faulty shields and/or sensors for the duration of the study. Currently, there are no alternatives

that allow researchers to carry only spare parts. The lack of practical alternatives can pressure

researchers to reduce sample sizes to adjust for minimal research funding, sacrificing

appropriate study confidence levels. This challenge is difficult to resolve, especially by

researchers in low-income countries, where there is a necessity to decrease the cost of the

equipment needed and at the same time maintain the statistical soundness of the experiments.

The methodology described here addresses the challenges presented above. I designed and built

a new low-cost modular gill radiation shield using sturdy materials that can fulfil the

requirements of forest ecology experiments in the field. The proposed solution was used in a

restoration and forest fragmentation field experiment context. A total number of 27 of these

radiation shields together with temperature and humidity sensors (iButtons®) were used in the

field for approximately three years (see Morales, Perry & Burns 2016).

Description and implementation

Radiation shield design

The radiation shield design was conceived to be modular and robust. The final design was easy

to dismantle, meaning that each individual part could be removed and replaced, allowing repairs

in the in the field if necessary. The gill radiation shield design consisted of set of concentric

plastic plates fixed together by bolts (Fig. 1). The custom design proposed here was devised for

iButtons® sensors. However, the methodology described here is generic and flexible enough that

with small changes to the dimensions of the required materials, one could hypothetically fit a

sensor of any size.

Figure 1. Diagram showing the radiation shield design: (a) main parts used in the assembly and

a photo of the final product after three years of use in the field. In this case the plastic plate used

were originally green and were painted white hence the observed paint peeling.

Materials

Materials used in the assembly of these radiation shields are presented in Figure 2. The selection

of materials was based on three main criteria: 1) widely available, 2) inexpensive and 3) reusable.

One of the most important components are the plastic saucers. I chose a type of plastic that was

flexible but not brittle (10 cm diameter, 18 mm height; preferably white). I only managed to find

green saucers, but the company was open to make them in white if needed. Three bolts with

nuts were used per radiation shield (size can vary depending on the how many plates you need

to add). Galvanized bolts were used, and no rust was observed after three years in the field

(temperate type forest with high average rainfall). However, if you were to use them in a warmer

and more humid type of climate, stainless steel would likely be more adequate.

Figure 2. Materials necessary to build the radiation shields

A second important component is flexible PVC tube (the type generally used in aquariums), that

is of the same diameter as your bolts. Also, some foam is needed to insulate the top part/saucer

of the radiation shield. There is no specific type of foam to use, even you can recycle packaging

foam to this purpose. To avoid water entering through the bolts you can use silicon sealant or

hot glue. The approximate cost of each radiation shield is $5 USD, but this cost can vary

depending on your country of residence, changes in the quality of materials or including

recycling of disposable materials (e.g. foam). Nonetheless, when compared with a commercial

radiation shield, where prices can range from $20 - $150 USD or more, the alternative presented

here will likely be much more cost effective.

Preparation of plastic saucers

For a radiation shield large enough to fit the sensor while allowing air flow, you will need

approximately five plastic saucers. The number can be adjusted depending on your needs, but I

do not recommend using less than five saucers. You will need to make three holes in each of the

plastic saucers. The most important part of this process is the alignment of the holes. If holes

are not properly aligned you will not be able to assemble the radiation shield. To ensure the

holes are in the same position in each of the plates, prepare a template, mark the holes and

make the holes in one saucer first. Once you are certain of where the holes will be, the easiest

way it is to group the plastic saucers in a bunch of five and use a handheld drill or a drill press to

make the holes in them all at once. The use of a drill is optional but recommended. If you do not

have one, ask your faculty or school workshop if they have one you can borrow. When all the

holes are done, you will need to cut a circle out in the centre of three of the plastic saucers,

while 2 remain intact (Fig. 3). You will obtain better results if you heat the cutter first. Finally, if

your saucers are not white paint the plastic saucers with white spray paint (Fig. 3). Purchasing

white saucers is preferred because depending on the material of the saucers and type of paint,

the paint will start to peel off in approximately six months (depending on local conditions). If

paint starts to peel of, you will need to repeat the painting process. This process, however, is

inexpensive and simple to carry out.

Saucer with
drilled holes

Saucer with
centered holes

PVC tube separator

Figure 3. Saucer with drill holes and the two different settings of saucer use to complete a

radiation shield.

Adding insulation

You will need to add a layer of insulation to the radiation shield. In this model, the insulation will

for inside the "top" saucer to decrease the effect of direct light which can have a negative on

the measurements (Nakamura & Mahrt 2005). First, you will need to cut out circular shapes

from the soft foam of the same diameter as the plastic saucers. You will need only one of these

foam circles per radiation shield. Take one of the "complete" plastic saucers (not the ones with

a circle in the middle) and place the foam in it. You can glue the foam to the saucer, but it is not

compulsory (Fig. 4).

Figure 4. Proper fit of foam circle in the plastic saucer

Radiation shield assembly

Push the bolts through the opening of the first saucer (with no hole in the centre) and push your

circular piece of foam to fit as seen in Fig. 4. To ensure adequate ventilation, there must be a

standard separation between each saucer that makes up the radiation shield (Nakamura &

Mahrt 2005). To make separators, cut the PVC tube with a hot box cutter into small sections

(approx. 2 cm in length, but length will depend on the size of your radiation shield) (Fig. 3). Slide

a separator onto each bolt and push it down close to the foam. Next, place one of the plastic

saucers with a circle in the middle through the small holes for the bolts and put another set of

"separators" on each bolt. I usually put the sensor in at this level using a fob specially designed

to hold an iButtons®. Repeat the process as needed to complete the radiation shield. To

complete your radiation shield you should use the second plastic saucer with no hole to finish

the structure. Finally, tighten the nuts at the end of each bolt (Fig. 5).

Figure 5. Photographic sequence showing the assembly of the different plastic saucers and how

to fit the iButtons® in the structure (sequence (1)-(6))

Radiation shield testing

I compared the performance of the handmade radiation shield with a commercially available

one (same gill design), during spring for a period of 24 hours exposed to the elements, including

direct sunlight. I did not find significant differences on temperature between radiation shields;

$t(8)= -1.51$, $p= 0.169$ (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. Graph comparing temperature (C°) and measurements during a period of 24 hours
for the tested radiation shields. The first measurement was not including in the analysis
because it was made while I was setting up the radiation shields.

Field setup

Once you have finished assembling the radiation shield, you will need to seal it to prevent water

and other debris from entering the housing. Using silicone or hot glue, make sure to seal around

the "heads" of the bolts on the top of the radiation shield. Now they are ready to be put in the

field. You can fix the radiation shield to a pole with cable ties which are highly durable in the

field, using the bolts for this purpose (Fig. 7). Make sure to leave space for air flow so do not fix

them too tight. Attaching the radiation shields to large trees, for example, is not recommended.

Not only does this limit airflow and can therefore bias results, it also exposes radiation shields

and sensors to increased humidity from the tree, and vegetation debris. The radiation shields

described here were used in a long-term field study and were left in the field for almost 3 years.

During this time no maintenance was required, except the occasional touch up of white paint.

The sensors were not only exposed to the elements but also to large mammals (e.g. cows). In

one such instance the pole where the radiation shield was attached was knocked over, the

radiation shield showed visible signs of being kicked/trampled on. However, there was minimal

damage and I was able to continue using the radiation shield for the duration of the study. The

design proposed here allows these radiation shields to perform quite well under wet conditions.

Figure 7. Radiation shield finished and installed in the field

Conclusion

The custom-made radiation shields presented here are a practical and feasible alternative to the

commercially available kind. They proved to be dependable and sturdy enough to withstand a

wide range of climatic conditions and trampling by large mammals. Thanks to the modular

design, it was only necessary to carry the elements necessary to perform repairs, avoiding the

need to carry complete spare radiation shields on each trip to the field. One downfall of the

design was the colour of the plastic saucers, I would recommend procuring white saucers instead

of painting them. Paint needed to be retouched in some shields during the experiment which is

a mayor point to considerer if you are not visiting your experimental sites often. Overall, this

design worked under field conditions, meeting technical expectations and the project's limited

budget.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank George Perry and Brendan Hall for their support during the design and

application of the solution proposed here. I would also like to thank the School of Environment

at University of Auckland and Sandra Anderson for her kind encouragement to write this

manuscript. Also, I would like to thank Giselle Muschett for helping in polishing this manuscript.

References

Ewers, R. M., & Banks-Leite, C. (2013). Fragmentation Impairs the Microclimate Buffering
Effect of Tropical Forests. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(3), e58093. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058093

Hubbard, K. G., Lin, X., & Walter-Shea, E. A. (2001). The Effectiveness of the ASOS, MMTS, Gill,
and CRS Air Temperature Radiation Shields. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*,
18(6), 851–864. doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018<0851:TEOTAM>2.0.CO;2

Morales, N. S., Perry, G. L. W., & Burns, B. R. (2016). Fencing is not enough to reinstate
regeneration: Evidence from a large fruited canopy tree Beilschmiedia tawa. *Forest Ecology*
and Management, *376*, 36–44. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2016.05.048

Nakamura, R., & Mahrt, L. (2005). Air Temperature Measurement Errors in Naturally Ventilated
Radiation Shields. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, *22*(7), 1046–1058.
doi:10.1175/JTECH1762.1

Rossa, C. G. (2017). The effect of fuel moisture content on the spread rate of forest fires in the
absence of wind or slope. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *26*(1), 24–31.
doi:10.1071/WF16049

Tarara, J. M., & Hoheisel, G.-A. (2007). Low-cost Shielding to Minimize Radiation Errors of
Temperature Sensors in the Field. *HortScience*, *42*(6), 1372–1379.

Terando, A. J., Prado, S. G., & Youngsteadt, E. (2018). Construction of a Compact Low-Cost
Radiation Shield for Air-Temperature Sensors in Ecological Field Studies. *Journal of Visualized*
Experiments: JoVE, (141). doi:10.3791/58273