Heterogenous response to R-pyocin killing in populations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

sourced from cystic fibrosis lungs

Madeline Mei[a], Jacob Thomas[a] & Stephen P. Diggle[a]#

[a]Center for Microbial Dynamics & Infection, School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of

Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Running title: R-pyocin killing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations

#Address correspondence to Stephen P. Diggle, stephen.diggle@biosci.gatech.edu

Keywords: R-pyocin, bacteriocin, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, cystic fibrosis, lipopolysaccharide

Author contributions: M.M., J.T. and S.P.D. conceived the study and wrote the paper; M.M.

performed the experimental work and analyzed the data.

Abstract word count: 232

Importance word count: 122

Full text word count: 4,868

Abstract

Bacteriocins are proteinaceous antimicrobials produced by bacteria which are active against other

strains of the same species. R-type pyocins are phage tail-like bacteriocins produced by

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Due to their anti-pseudomonal activity, R-pyocins have potential as

therapeutics in infection. *P. aeruginosa* is a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen and is

particularly problematic for individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF). *P. aeruginosa* from CF lung

infections develop increasing resistance to antibiotics, making new treatment approaches essential.

P. aeruginosa populations become phenotypically and genotypically diverse during infection,

however little is known of the efficacy of R-pyocins against heterogeneous populations. R-pyocins

vary by subtype (R1-R5), distinguished by binding to different residues on the lipopolysaccharide

(LPS). Each type varies in killing spectrum, and each strain produces only one R-type. To evaluate

the prevalence of different R-types, we screened *P. aeruginosa* strains from the International

Pseudomonas Consortium Database (IPCD) and from our biobank of CF strains. We found that (i)

R1-types were the most prevalent R-type among strains from respiratory sources and (ii) isolates

collected from the same patient have the same R-type. We then assessed the impact of diversity

on R-pyocin susceptibility and found a heterogenous response to R-pyocins within populations,

likely due to differences in the LPS core. Our work reveals that heterogeneous populations of

microbes exhibit variable susceptibility to R-pyocins and highlights that there is likely

heterogeneity in response to other types of LPS-binding antimicrobials, including phage.

Importance

R-pyocins have potential as alternative therapeutics against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in chronic

infection*,* however little is known about the efficacy of R-pyocins in heterogeneous bacterial

populations. *P. aeruginosa* is known to become resistant to multiple antibiotics, as well as evolve

phenotypic and genotypic diversity over time; thus it is particularly difficult to eradicate in chronic

cystic fibrosis (CF) lung infections. In this study, we found that *P. aeruginosa* populations from

CF lungs maintain the same R-pyocin genotype but exhibit heterogeneity in susceptibility to R-

pyocins from other strains. Our findings suggest there is likely heterogeneity in response to other

types of LPS-binding antimicrobials, such as phage, highlighting the necessity of further studying

the potential of LPS-binding antimicrobial particles as alternative therapies in chronic infections.

Introduction

Pyocins are narrow-spectrum antimicrobials, specifically produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa,*

that have antimicrobial activity against members of the same species (1-4). *P. aeruginosa* produces

three types of pyocin, referred to as S-pyocins, F-pyocins, and R-pyocins (1-3). The focus of this

work is R-pyocins, which are narrow-spectrum, phage-tail-like bacteriocins that vary by subtype

(types R1-R5) (2, 3, 5-11). Each *P. aeruginosa* strain uniquely produces only one of these R-

pyocin types (2, 3). As the variable C-terminus region of the tail fibers of sub-types R2-R4 are

approximately 98% similar in amino acid sequence, they are often grouped together under the R2

subtype (12-14). R-pyocin subtype and specificity are conferred by differences in the "foot" of the

tail fiber (Fig. 1), which is believed to bind to specific residues on the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)

decorating the outer membrane of Gram negative bacteria (12-26). A strain may be susceptible or

resistant to any variation of the different R-types (2, 3, 14, 25, 27-29) .

R-pyocins are similar to phage tails of the *Myoviridae* phage family, both in structure and in killing

mechanism (2, 3, 14-17, 24, 27). The proposed mode of action is through the foot of the R-pyocin

tail fiber recognizing a receptor on the LPS; binding to the target cell triggers the sheath to contract,

pushing the tail spike and core into the outer membrane (1-3, 12, 14, 15, 22-27, 29-33). The

puncture causes membrane depolarization, inhibiting active transport and eventually leading to

cell death (32-36). Several monosaccharide residues on the outer core of the LPS have been

proposed to confer susceptibility and specificity to some of the R-pyocin types (24, 25), however,

only the receptor of the R3-type pyocin has been clearly defined to be the Glc^{IV} terminal glucose

on the outer core of the LPS (26). R-pyocins have previously been explored as potential

therapeutics (12, 13, 37, 38), but little is known about their binding to target cells, or their killing

efficacy in heterogeneous *P. aeruginosa* populations.

P. aeruginosa is found in a number of human infections and is the leading cause of morbidity and

mortality in individuals with cystic fibrosis (CF). Once established in the CF lung, single-strain

populations become heterogeneous - evolving genotypic and phenotypic diversity during the

course of infection (39-49). *P. aeruginosa* possesses many intrinsic antibiotic resistance

mechanisms, and isolates from CF lung infections are known to become increasingly resistant to

multiple antibiotics over time (4, 42, 50-56). It is also known that isolates sourced from clinical

populations of *P. aeruginosa* can exhibit considerable variation in susceptibility to antibiotics (44,

49, 54, 57-59), however heterogeneity in R-pyocin susceptibility, or susceptibility to other types

of bacteriocins have not been explored. Here we determined that R1-type pyocins were the most

prevalent genotype among clinical strains, while a large proportion of strains are untypeable by

classical R-pyocin typing methods. We found that multiple isolates from diverse, clonal

populations of *P. aeruginosa* have the same R-pyocin genotype and that R-pyocin type does not

change across populations collected over a 2-year period from a single patient. Moreover, we

observed heterogeneity in R-pyocin susceptibility within a population of *P. aeruginosa.* This

heterogenous response is likely due to differences in the LPS core composition among isolates,

supporting evidence from other research groups that the LPS core contains the receptors for R-

pyocins. Our findings highlight that diverse *P. aeruginosa* populations exhibit within-population

heterogeneity in R-pyocin susceptibility, suggesting there is likely also heterogeneity in response

to other types of LPS-binding alternative therapies, such as phage.

Results

R1 genotype is the most prevalent R-pyocin type in clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains. To

determine the prevalence of different R-pyocin subtypes in *P. aeruginosa* isolates sourced from

CF infections, we conducted an *in silico* screen to determine R-pyocin genotypes of *P. aeruginosa*

isolates publicly available through the International *Pseudomonas* Consortium Database (IPCD)

(60). The IPCD is a repository of *P. aeruginosa* isolates collected from a variety of sources (with

an emphasis on CF), created to facilitate metadata analyses specifically to improve prognostic

approaches for CF treatment (60). By using the BLAST algorithm from NCBI, we screened 852

strains from the database for nucleotide sequence homology to R1-, R2-, or R5-pyocin tail fiber

genes. As subtypes R2-R4 are highly similar, we considered these three subtypes as one larger R2-

subtype for this analysis (12-15). Out of the 852 isolates in the database, we could not conclusively

type 303 strains, and further analysis is necessary to determine if these strains are lacking R-pyocin

genes entirely, if the tail fiber genes are incomplete, or if there are potentially other subtypes of R-

pyocin among these strains. It is important to note that untyped isolates are not able to be

categorized into one of the three major subtypes of R-pyocin through classical typing methods,

and that typing alone does not have implications on whether a strain produces functional R-pyocins

or not.

Of the 852 strains in the database, we categorized 448 strains as isolated from human "respiratory"

sources; these include *P. aeruginosa* isolated from throat, CF, bronchiectasis, sputum, sinus, and

nasopharynx. Among the 448 respiratory isolates, we typed 144 (32.14%) strains as R1-type, 76

(16.96%) as R2-type, and 43 (9.6%) as R5-type pyocin producers. The remaining 185 (41.29%)

of strains were untypeable. Fig. S1 depicts the distribution of R-types for each source; more

information regarding the categorization and typing of strains from the IPCD can be found in

Supplementary Dataset 1. Due to the curation and labeling of the strain information in the database,

we were not able to precisely distinguish all CF strains from strains isolated from other respiratory

infections, however is it likely that many of the samples labeled by anatomical respiratory sources

are from CF patients, given the research areas of the curating research groups. The distribution of

R-pyocin types among the typeable respiratory isolates shows that (i) R1-pyocin producers are

likely more prevalent in CF than the other R-pyocin subtypes and (ii) a large proportion of isolates

are untypeable by classical typing methods (Fig. 2A).

R-pyocin type does not vary within clonal CF populations of *P. aeruginosa*. Through a

collaboration with Children's Healthcare of Atlanta and the CF Discovery Core at Emory

University, we collected whole populations of *P. aeruginosa* from fresh CF sputum samples of

patients with chronic lung infections. Using multiplex PCR (Table S1), we R-pyocin typed isolates

from 19 of these populations (183 isolates total) collected from 8 different patients over a 2 year

period (Supplementary Dataset 2). In our cohort, the R1 genotype was the most prevalent R-pyocin

type found among CF patients. We found that while R-pyocin type does not change within a

population or over longitudinal collections from the same patient, different patients from the same

clinic may be infected with *P. aeruginosa* containing different R-types. Out of the 8 patients we

typed, 5 of these patients were chronically infected with an R1-pyocin producing strain, 2 patients

were infected with untypeable populations, and 1 patient was infected with an R2-pyocin strain

(Fig. 2B). It appeared that in the time frame sampled (over a 2-year period) there were no strain

switching events within these patients. We did not find any populations containing the R5-pyocin

type in our biobank.

R-pyocin-mediated killing varies among heterogeneous *P. aeruginosa* populations. Previous

work has shown that isolates from within the same population have diverse antibiotic resistance

profiles (54), but diversity in R-pyocin susceptibility has yet to be evaluated within whole

populations of *P. aeruginosa*. We chose three *P. aeruginosa* populations from our biobank, each

from a different CF patient, that exhibited diversity in growth rate (Fig. 3A) and morphology (Fig.

3B). Populations from Patient 1 and Patient 2 were untypeable (UT), while the population from

Patient 3 was determined to consist of R1-pyocin producers (Supplementary Dataset 2).

Preliminary experimentation suggested that these populations each exhibited some susceptibility

to R2-pyocins. To assess heterogeneity in susceptibility to R-pyocins within these populations, we

used partially fractionated R2-pyocin cell lysate from PAO1. To show that other pyocin-associated

lytic enzymes such as holin or lysin are not responsible for the bactericidal activity against other

strains, we included lysates extracted from an isogenic PAO1 R-pyocin mutant lacking the tail

fiber gene and chaperone (PAO1ΔR), which produces nonfunctional partial pyocins. This strain

has an intact lysis cassette, holin genes, and *Pf4* prophage, however filamentous prophage has

previously been shown to be deactivated by chloroform (61-63), which is used during the R-pyocin

isolation process to lyse the cells.

By comparing growth (optical density) of *P. aeruginosa* isolates with and without R2-pyocins

added to liquid culture, we found that across patients, each population as a whole exhibited

different levels of susceptibility. We also found that within each population, there was

heterogeneity in susceptibility among the isolates (Fig. 3C). In *P. aeruginosa* populations sourced

from Patient 1 and Patient 2, all 20 isolates were susceptible to R2-pyocins, however the response

varied approximately 10-fold between individual isolates. In Patient 3, the response ranged from

susceptible to completely resistant. This finding demonstrates that even within one population of

P. aeruginosa producing the same R-pyocin type, there is diversity in susceptibility to R-pyocins

of other types.

There is significant heterogeneity in R-pyocin susceptibility within a *P. aeruginosa*

population. To further distinguish differences in susceptibility between isolates, we chose three

isolates from the R1-pyocin producing population of Patient 3 for further analysis. We first tested

the three isolates for susceptibility to R-pyocins from several R2-pyocin producing strains by

visualizing killing activity with a standard, soft-agar overlay spot assay (Fig. 4A). We used R2-

pyocin containing lysates from the standard laboratory strain PAO1 and a PAO1 R-pyocin null

mutant lacking a functional R-pyocin (PAO1ΔR), as well as a previously described CF isolate

A018 (also a R2-pyocin producer) and a corresponding R-pyocin null mutant (25, 64, 65). The

spot assay indicated that Isolate 1 from Patient 3 was resistant to the R2-pyocins of PAO1 and

A018, while Isolates 2 and 3 were susceptible (depicted by the zones of inhibition where the R2-

pyocin lysates were spotted) (Fig. 4A).

We next measured R2-pyocin susceptibility of the three isolates with lysates from PAO1 in liquid

culture, and measured Log_2 fold-change of colony forming units (CFU) after 4 hours of growth to

compare cell density with and without R-pyocin-containing lysates. Through this assay, we

confirmed that Isolates 2 and 3 from Patient 3 are susceptible to R2-pyocins, while Isolate 1 is

resistant (Fig. 4B). We confirmed in both planktonic growth and in an agar overlay that within a

single population, two isolates were susceptible to R2-pyocins while one isolate was resistant,

indicating that *P. aeruginosa* isolates of the same R-pyocin type and from the same population can

exhibit different susceptibilities to other R-pyocins.

R-pyocin susceptibility of isolates is dependent on the LPS core structure and not alginate

production. As there was diversity in colony morphology and mucoidy among the *P. aeruginosa*

population of Patient 3, we quantified the alginate production of Isolates 1-3 from Patient 3 to

determine if alginate production may influence the susceptibility differences among these isolates.

We found that only Isolate 3 (R2-susceptible) produced alginate while Isolate 2 (R2-susceptible)

and Isolate 1 (R2-resistant) did not produce alginate (Fig. 5A), suggesting that alginate production

did not influence R2-pyocin susceptibility between the three isolates.

As LPS has been shown to be involved in the binding of R-pyocins to target cells (1-3, 12, 14, 15,

22-27, 29-34), we further characterized Isolates 1-3 from Patient 3 by extracting and visualizing

major LPS components via gel electrophoresis and staining. All three isolates showed differences

in O-specific antigen (OSA, B-band) and common antigen (CPA, A-band) presentation, but a

notable difference was that the R2-resistant Isolate 1 possessed a LPS core band that migrated

differently from the susceptible isolates (Fig. 5B). Along with an altered LPS core, Isolate 1 lacks

both the A-band and B-band. We found that Isolate 2 lacks A-band, presented some low molecular

weight B-band, and had a normal core, while Isolate 3 also had a normal core and only presented

A-band. This variation in LPS phenotypes among isolates within a population is in agreement with

previous studies that have shown LPS mutations frequently arise in the CF environment, both *in*

vivo and *in vitro* (66-75).

WGS sequencing of isolates reveals possible SNVs responsible for R-pyocin resistance. We

obtained whole genome sequences of Isolates 1-3 from Patient 3 to determine the strain type and

serotype. We found that all three isolates are of the multi-locus sequence type (MLST) 2999,

suggesting they are derived from the same originating infecting strain. The serotype of each isolate

was predicted to be O6, indicating that the isolates all carried the O6 gene cluster (encoding genes

for synthesis of the variable O-specific antigen or B-band), and that serotype alone is likely not

responsible for the differences in R-pyocin susceptibility. We found that all three isolates shared

30,127 single nucleotide variants (SNVs) when mapped against a PAO1 reference genome (Fig.

6A). We found 232 SNVs unique to the R2-resistant Isolate 1 from Patient 3, when mapped to

PAO1 and compared to SNVs of the two susceptible isolates from Patient 3 (Fig. 6B). Of the 232

SNVs unique to Isolate 1, 169 SNVs were non-synonymous (Supplementary Dataset 3).

Given the high number of SNVs and stark diversity between the isolates, through genetic analysis

we were able to identify several candidate SNVs potentially involved in the R-pyocin resistant

phenotype of this isolate, though it could be any number of these mutations in combination that

play a role in the R-pyocin resistant phenotype we observed. Noteable candidate SNVs unique to

Isolate 1 included a C151T (Arg51Trp) missense variant at position 833604 in the *mucC* gene, a

G710A (Arg237His) missense variant at position 1199237 of the *roeA* gene, and a T1169C

(Leu390Pro) missense variant at position 3968193 in the *algK* gene. Each of these genes are

involved in alginate production or polysaccharide biosynthesis but may indirectly influence LPS

biosynthesis or presentation. However, when we complemented each of these genes (using the

gene sequences from PAO1) into Isolate 1, there were no differences to R2-pyocin susceptibility;

the complemented strains of Isolate 1 remained resistant to R2-pyocins (Fig. S2A) and did not

alter LPS presentation (Fig. S2B).

Discussion

Chronic infections found in CF lungs are debilitating and often lethal. *P. aeruginosa* is a common

pathogen found in CF lungs and is highly resistant to multiple classes of antibiotic (4, 39-56),

making the development of new and alternative therapies critical. Bacteriocins have long been

considered in food preservatives as bio-controlling agents and also as therapeutics (76-82). R-

pyocins have potential as an anti-pseudomonal therapeutic, but little is known about their

antimicrobial efficacy against the heterogeneous *P. aeruginosa* populations found in CF lungs. We

evaluated R-pyocin type and susceptibility among *P. aeruginosa* isolates sourced from CF

infections and found that (i) R1-pyocins are the most prevalent R-type among respiratory infection

and CF strains; (ii) isolates from *P. aeruginosa* populations collected from the same patient have

the same R-pyocin type; (iii) there is heterogeneity in susceptibility to R-pyocins within *P.*

aeruginosa populations and (iv) susceptibility is likely driven by diversity of LPS phenotypes

within clinical populations.

We first assessed the prevalence of the different R-pyocin subtypes in *P. aeruginosa* isolates

sourced from different environments by screening for R-pyocin genotypes of *P. aeruginosa*

isolates through the International Pseudomonas Consortium Database (IPCD) and our biobank of

CF isolates (60). We found that R1-pyocin producers make up the majority of typeable CF strains

from both sources. This suggests that many CF infections may initially be colonized with R1-

producing ancestor strains, or that there is a possible benefit to producing R1-type pyocins during

strain competition in early stages of infection. Our findings agree with previous work, as R1-

producers have been shown to be the most prevalent subtype of CF isolates evaluated in a separate

study which typed 24 *P. aeruginosa* CF isolates (62.5% of which were R1-producers) (64). We

found a large number of strains from the IPCD that could not be typed by our screen using classical

R-pyocin typing methods. Another group evaluating R-pyocin susceptibility among 34 CF isolates

found that 23 (68%) of the tested isolates did not produce R-pyocins or could not be typed (28).

Other work has also shown that CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* exhibited loss of pyocin production

when compared to environmental strains (83). This suggests that CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* may

lose the ability to produce R-pyocins later in chronic infection, however further study is necessary

to explore the potential fitness advantages of this evolutionary trajectory.

We are only just beginning to understand how heterogeneous *P. aeruginosa* populations impact

upon treatment and disease outcomes. Previous studies have R-pyocin typed *P. aeruginosa* from

CF patients and compared R-types from longitudinal collections, only evaluating single isolates

274 from each patient (28, 64, 83). The typing of single isolates, however, does not consider the

275 genotypic and phenotypic diversity of *P. aeruginosa* populations, which evolve over the course of

276 chronic infection (39-49). Out of the *P. aeruginosa* populations collected from eight CF patients

277 we found primarily R1-producing or untypeable strains. We also found that all of the isolates in

278 each population consistently exhibited the same R-pyocin genotype over a 2-year period. A

279 previous study typed single isolates yearly for 8 years and found that R-pyocin type could change

280 over the course of a patient's infection, but strain typing also showed that these patients were often

281 infected with different strains during those events, suggesting that the observed changes in R-

282 pyocin type were likely due to transient strains (28). Several studies have shown that diverse

283 populations of *P. aeruginosa* from chronic infections show heterogeneity in susceptibility to a

284 number of antibiotics, complicating susceptibility testing and treatments (39, 44, 54, 57-59).

285 Though heterogeneity did not influence R-pyocin genotypes within our CF populations, we found

286 that diverse populations of *P. aeruginosa* from chronic CF lung infections exhibit heterogeneity

287 in susceptibility to R-pyocins. Specifically we found that (i) each population of *P. aeruginosa* as

288 a whole exhibited differential levels of susceptibility and (ii) within each population, there was

289 heterogeneity in susceptibility among individual isolates.

291 Previous studies have shown the effect of various LPS mutations on R-pyocin susceptibility in

292 laboratory strains PAO1 and PAK (24-26), however, to our knowledge, our work is the first to

293 examine LPS phenotypes associated with R-pyocin susceptibility and resistance in many different

294 clinical isolates of multiple *P. aeruginosa* populations sourced from chronic CF lung infections.

295 We found that differences in the core of the LPS appear to be correlated with the differences in

296 susceptibility and resistance to R-pyocins among the isolates from one patient; specifically, that a

resistant CF isolate produces an altered LPS core. Our findings are in agreement with recent work

demonstrating heterogenous resistance mechanisms and persistence against tailocin killing by

alterations of the LPS and associated glycosyltransferases in *Pseudomonas syringae* (84). It is

unknown if the altered LPS core phenotype we have found is commonly identified in CF *P.*

aeruginosa populations, or if there is a fitness benefit it confers during infection. During initial

colonization and strain establishment in the early stages of infection, the LPS (and therefore R-

pyocin susceptibility) may play a role in antagonistic interactions between competing strains and

ultimately determine the dominating strain.

While investigating possible mechanisms for the variable R2-pyocin susceptibility of Isolates 1-3

from Patient 3, we showed that serotype, strain type, and alginate production were not responsible

for the resistance in Isolate 1. When we probed the genomes of three isolates from a single clinical

population exhibiting heterogenous susceptibility to R2-pyocins, we identified several candidate

SNVs in *mucC*, *roeA,* and *algK,* unique to the resistant isolate; however ultimately these genes did

not effect R2-pyocin resistance or LPS presentation when complemented. All three genes are

involved with the alginate production pathway or other extracellular polysaccharides (41, 85-98),

known to be closely intertwined with various LPS synthesis and secretory pathways; thus it is

possible that any combination or all three variants combined may play a role in indirectly impeding

presentation of various portions of the LPS or core residues. As there are many regulatory

mechanisms and biosynthetic genes still yet to be understood that are involved in LPS synthesis

and presentation, it is also possible that there is a mechanism at play that has yet to be described.

Overall, our findings highlight that clinical populations of *P. aeruginosa* exhibit a heterogenous

response to R-pyocins and that this likely extends to any antimicrobial that utilizes the LPS. A

number of LPS- and saccharide-binding phage have been identified and tested as antimicrobials

against *P. aeruginosa* (24, 99-105) and other types of LPS-specific antimicrobials, including R-

pyocins, are also being considered as treatments (12, 13, 37, 38, 106, 107). Our work implies that

treatment with alternative therapies utilizing the LPS may not eradicate strains within infections

completely, potentially leading to highly resistant isolates taking over. This reiterates the

importance of assessing multiple, diverse isolates from populations of *P. aeruginosa* rather than

taking a single isolate from a population. To better understand how R-pyocins and other LPS-

binding antimicrobial therapies can be utilized for alternative treatments, it is crucial to know more

about the LPS, core phenotypes, and how they are evolving in *P. aeruginosa* populations during

infection, to better understand the resulting impact on the potential efficacy of these antimicrobials.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, media, and culture conditions. Expectorated sputum samples for this study

were collected from adult CF patients through Emory–Children's Center for Cystic Fibrosis and

Airways Disease Research by the Cystic Fibrosis Biospecimen Laboratory with IRB approval

(Georgia Tech approval H18220). *P. aeruginosa* populations were collected from each sputum

sample using selective media (Pseudomonas Isolation Agar, Sigma-Aldrich) before isolating

single colonies for further characterization. In total, 204 isolates from our biobank, sourced from

CF chronic lung infections, were studied. All *P. aeruginosa* isolates, laboratory and indicator

strains used in this work are listed and described in Supplementary Dataset 2. All bacterial cultures

were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) medium at 37°C with 200rpm shaking agitation. Standard

genetic techniques were used for construction of *P. aeruginosa* mutants. The construction of

plasmids and generation of mutants can be found in more detail in Text S1 of the supplemental

material. All plasmids and primers used for mutant generation can be found in Table S1.

Assessing colony morphology diversity in clinical isolates. To evaluate the diversity in colony

morphologies between *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates, we used a Congo Red-based agar media

(1% agar, 1×M63 salts (3 g of monobasic KHPO4, 7 g of K2PO4, and 2 g of NH4.2SO4, pH

adjusted to 7.4), 2.5 mM magnesium chloride, 0.4 mM calcium chloride, 0.1% casamino acids,

0.1% yeast extracts, 40 mg/l Congo red solution, 100 µM ferrous ammonium sulfate, and 0.4%

glycerol) (108). Isolates were grown overnight (16-18 hours) in LB medium at 37°C with 200rpm

shaking agitation before spotting 10 µL onto the plates. We incubated the plates overnight at 37°C,

and for a further 4 days at 22°C. The colonies were imaged with an Epson scanner at 800 dpi.

R-pyocin typing strains of the IPCD. Previously characterized *P. aeruginosa* strains were

selected for query sequences for each R-type: PAK an R1, PAO1 an R2, and E429 an R5 (25). As

the R-pyocin tail fiber sequences of PAO1 (NP_249311.1) (109-111) and PAK (Y880_RS29810;

accession GCA_000568855.2) (112) are annotated, the corresponding nucleotide sequences were

downloaded in FASTA format from NCBI. The E429 (R5) tail fiber sequence was identified from

previous work (25) and downloaded from NCBI by using the PAO1 tail fiber sequence (PA0620)

to BLAST against the E429 genome (60). NCBI's BLAST+ was also used to determine percent

identity between the whole tail fiber sequences of each strain/R-type pair-wise, in order to

determine the variable region of the tail fiber gene to use for typing. Homology information,

percent identity, query coverage and parameters can be found in Supplementary Data 1 (113). A

detailed description of R-pyocin typing the IPCD can be found in Text S1 in the supplemental

material.

PCR conditions and R-pyocin typing. A detailed description of R-pyocin typing the biobank of

CF populations can be found in Text S1 of the supplemental material. R-pyocin typing primer

details and product sizes are listed in Table S1.

Expression and extraction of R-pyocins. Expression of R2-pyocins used in the susceptibility

assays were induced and pyocins extracted from PAO1 with a modified method from published

work (12) and can be found in detail in text S1 of the supplemental material.

Spot assay for R-pyocin activity. Overnight cultures of clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates were used

to inoculate 4 mL of cooled soft top (0.7%) agar at an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600nm}) of 0.01.

For complemented strains, 4µL of Chromomax isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalacto-pyranoside

(IPTG)/X-Gal solution (Fisher Scientific) was added per 1mL of soft agar for induction of gene

expression. This mixture was poured onto LB agar plates for an overlay of the indicator strain. R2-

pyocin lysates extracted from PAO1, A018, and respective R-pyocin null mutants and were

vortexed before spotting 5 µL of each lysate onto the soft-top overlay indicator strains. Spots were

allowed to dry before plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. Clear zones of growth inhibition

indicated R-pyocin dependent activity against the indicator strains. Spot assays for R-pyocin

susceptibility were conducted in triplicate.

Microtiter plate method for R-pyocin activity. R2-pyocin susceptibility was measured by

changes optical density under conditions with and without added R2-pyocins, using a 96-well

microtiter plate. A detailed description of the microtiter plate method can be found in Text S1 of

the supplemental material.

Alginate Isolation and Quantification. Alginate was isolated and quantified by carbazole

methods described previously (114, 115) and described in detail in Text S1 of the supplemental

material.

Statistical analysis. Data analysis was performed using Prism (Graphpad software, version 9).

Population heterogeneity experiments were normalized to R-pyocin null mutant lysate controls for

fold-change, and analyzed with Prism. CFU data was analyzed for four isolates by normalizing

CFU measurements at t=0 hours and t=4 hours for cultures with and without R-pyocin lysates to

compare $\log_2$ fold-change between treatments for each isolate. Statistical significance between

treatments for each isolate was measured with a paired, parametric Student's T-test. Differences

across all three isolates with the R-pyocin treatments and alginate production were analyzed using

a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparisons analysis in Prism.

Fig. 3C and Fig. 5A show mean values of three biological replicates for each individual isolate,

while Fig. 4B shows four biological replicates (averaged from technical replicates) as individual

data points. Error bars represent standard error (SEM) and significance is denoted as follows: **,

$P = 0.005$.

LPS extraction and characterization. LPS of bacterial cultures were isolated and visualized as

described by previous methods (116) and described in detail in Text S1 of the supplemental

material.

Whole genome sequencing analysis. A detailed description of genomic DNA preparation,

sequencing, and analysis can be found in Text S1 of the supplementary material. The full list of

SNVs unique to each isolate can be found in Supplementary Data 3.

Data Availability. All sequences were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology

Information's SRA database under the accession number PRJNA67996 (117). Raw data and code

have   been   made   available   in   the   Dryad   Digital   Repository:

https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.573n5tb67 (118).

Supplemental Material

Table S1. Primers and plasmids used in this study.

Supplementary Dataset 1. Detailed description of bacterial strains used in this study.

Supplementary Dataset 2. Detailed results of R-pyocin typed IPCD strains.

Supplementary Dataset 3. Detailed description of whole genome sequencing parameters and SNV

lists.

Text S1. Detailed description of materials and methods.

Text S2. Supplemental references.

Acknowledgements. We thank Freya Harrison and Joanna Goldberg for comments on the

manuscript. We thank Arlene Stecenko and the Cystic Fibrosis Biospecimen Registry (CFBR),

maintained by Emory University and the Children's Healthcare of Atlanta Center for Cystic

Fibrosis and Airways Disease Research (CF-AIR), for clinical CF populations of *P. aeruginosa*.

This work was supported by the Georgia Institute of Technology and The Cystic Fibrosis

Foundation for a Pilot Grant (DIGGLE18I0) and a Research Grant (DIGGLE20G0) to S.P.D.

M.M., J.T. and S.P.D. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript. J.T. generated the PAO1ΔR

mutant strain. M.M. performed the experimental work and analyzed data.

References

1. Kageyama M, Egami F. 1962. On the purification and some properties of a pyocin, a

bacteriocin produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Life Sciences 1:471-476.

2. Michel-Briand Y, Baysse C. 2002. The pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Biochimie

84:499-510.

3. Scholl D. 2017. Phage Tail–Like Bacteriocins. Annual Review of Virology 4:453-467.

4. Diggle SP, Whiteley M. 2020. Microbe Profile: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: opportunistic

pathogen and lab rat. Microbiology 166:30-33.

5. Ito S, Kageyama M, Egami F. 1970. Isolation and characterization of pyocins from several

strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology

16:205-214.

6.   Kageyama M. 1970. Genetic mapping of a bacteriocinogenic factor in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa I. Mapping of Pyocin R2 factor by conjugation. The Journal of General and
Applied Microbiology 16:523-530.

7.   Kageyama M. 1970. Genetic mapping of a bacteriocinogenic factor in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa II. Mapping of Pyocin R2 factor by transduction with phage F116. The Journal
of General and Applied Microbiology 16:531-535.

8.   Shinomiya T. 1972. Studies on biosynthesis and morphogenesis of R-type pyocins of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. 3. Subunits of pyocin R and their precipitability by anti-pyocin
R serum. J Biochem 72:499-510.

9.   Kageyama M, Inagaki A. 1974. Genetic mapping of pyocin R3 factor in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology 20:257-267.

10.   Kageyama M. 1974. Genetic mapping of pyocin R1 factor in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology 20:269-275.

11.   Ohsumi M, Shinomiya T, Kageyama M. 1980. Comparative Study on R-type Pyocins of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The Journal of Biochemistry 87:1119-1126.

12.   Williams SR, Gebhart D, Martin DW, Scholl D. 2008. Retargeting R-type pyocins to
generate novel bactericidal protein complexes. Applied and environmental microbiology
74:3868-3876.

13.   Scholl D, Cooley M, Williams SR, Gebhart D, Martin D, Bates A, Mandrell R. 2009. An
Engineered R-Type Pyocin Is a Highly Specific and Sensitive Bactericidal Agent for the
Food-Borne Pathogen *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Antimicrobial Agents and
Chemotherapy 53:3074-3080.

14.   Buth SA, Shneider MM, Scholl D, Leiman PG. 2018. Structure and Analysis of R1 and R2

475   Pyocin Receptor-Binding Fibers. Viruses 10:427.

476   15.   Salazar AJ, Sherekar M, Tsai J, Sacchettini JC. 2019. R pyocin tail fiber structure reveals

477   a receptor-binding domain with a lectin fold. PLOS ONE 14:e0211432.

478   16.   Ikeda K, Egami F. 1969. Receptor Substance for Pyocin R I. Partial Purification and

479   Chemical Properties. The Journal of Biochemistry 65:603-609.

480   17.   Ishii S-i, Nishi Y, Egami F. 1965. The fine structure of a pyocin. Journal of Molecular

481   Biology 13:428-IN12.

482   18.   Yui C. 1971. Structure of Pyocin R I. Isolation of Sheath from Pyocin R by Alkali

483   Treatment and Its Properties. The Journal of Biochemistry 69:101-110.

484   19.   Yui-Furihata C. 1972. Structure of Pyocin R: II. Subunits of Sheath*. The Journal of

485   Biochemistry 72:1-10.

486   20.   Takeda Y, Kageyama M. 1975. Subunit Arrangement in the Extended Sheath of Pyocin R.

487   The Journal of Biochemistry 77:679-684.

488   21.   Takeda Y, Kageyama M. 1983. The Effect of Defocusing on the Electron Microscopic

489   Image of the Extended Particle of Pyocin R1. The Journal of Biochemistry 94:1013-1016.

490   22.   Ikeda K, Egami F. 1973. Lipopolysaccharide of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with special

491   reference to pyocin R receptor activity. The Journal of General and Applied Microbiology

492   19:115-128.

493   23.   Ikeda K, Nishi Y. 1973. Interaction between pyocin R and pyocin R receptor. The Journal

494   of General and Applied Microbiology 19:209-219.

24.   Meadow PM, Wells PL. 1978. Receptor Sites for R-type Pyocins and Bacteriophage E79

in the Core Part of the Lipopolysaccharide of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAC1.

Microbiology 108:339-343.

25.   Köhler T, Donner V, van Delden C. 2010. Lipopolysaccharide as Shield and Receptor for

R-Pyocin-Mediated Killing in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Journal of Bacteriology

192:1921-1928.

26.   Kocíncová D, Lam JS. 2013. A deletion in the *wapB* promoter in many serotypes of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa accounts for the lack of a terminal glucose residue in the core

oligosaccharide and resistance to killing by R3-pyocin. Molecular Microbiology 89:464-

478.

27.   Kageyama M. 1964. Studies of a Pyocin I. Physical and Chemical Properties. The Journal

of Biochemistry 55:49-53.

28.   Redero M, López-Causapé C, Aznar J, Oliver A, Blázquez J, Prieto AI. 2018.

Susceptibility to R-pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates from cystic

fibrosis patients. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 73:2770-2776.

29.   Nakayama K, Takashima K, Ishihara H, Shinomiya T, Kageyama M, Kanaya S, Ohnishi

M, Murata T, Mori H, Hayashi T. 2000. The R-type pyocin of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is

related to P2 phage, and the F-type is related to lambda phage. Mol Microbiol 38:213-31.

30.   Ikeda K, Kageyama M, Egami F. 1964. Studies of a Pyocin II. Mode of Production of the

Pyocin. The Journal of Biochemistry 55:54-58.

31.   Kageyama M, Ikeda K, Egami F. 1964. Studies of a Pyocin III. Biological Properties of

the Pyocin. The Journal of Biochemistry 55:59-64.

32.   Kaziro Y, Tanaka M. 1965. Studies on the Mode of Action of Pyocin II. Inactivation of

518   Ribosomes. The Journal of Biochemistry 58:357-363.

519   33.   Kaziro Y, Tanaka M. 1965. Studies on the Mode of Action of Pyocin I. Inhibition of

520   Macromolecular Synthesis in Sensitive Cells. The Journal of Biochemistry 57:689-695.

521   34.   Govan JRW. 1974. Studies on the Pyocins of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Morphology and

522   Mode of Action of Contractile Pyocins. Microbiology 80:1-15.

523   35.   Ge P, Scholl D, Leiman PG, Yu X, Miller JF, Zhou ZH. 2015. Atomic structures of a

524   bactericidal contractile nanotube in its pre- and postcontraction states. Nature Structural &

525   Molecular Biology 22:377-382.

526   36.   Ge P, Scholl D, Prokhorov NS, Avaylon J, Shneider MM, Browning C, Buth SA, Plattner

527   M, Chakraborty U, Ding K, Leiman PG, Miller JF, Zhou ZH. 2020. Action of a minimal

528   contractile bactericidal nanomachine. Nature 580:658-662.

529   37.   Scholl D, Martin DW. 2008. Antibacterial Efficacy of R-Type Pyocins towards

530   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a Murine Peritonitis Model. Antimicrobial Agents and

531   Chemotherapy 52:1647-1652.

532   38.   Redero M, Aznar J, Prieto AI. 2020. Antibacterial efficacy of R-type pyocins against

533   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on biofilms and in a murine model of acute lung infection. J

534   Antimicrob Chemother doi:10.1093/jac/dkaa121.

535   39.   Hogardt M, Heesemann J. 2010. Adaptation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during

536   persistence in the cystic fibrosis lung. Int J Med Microbiol 300:557-62.

537   40.   Kerem E, Corey M, Gold R, Levison H. 1990. Pulmonary function and clinical course in

538   patients with cystic fibrosis after pulmonary colonization with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

539   J Pediatr 116:714-9.

540   41.   Boucher JC, Yu H, Mudd MH, Deretic V. 1997. Mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in

541   cystic fibrosis: characterization of muc mutations in clinical isolates and analysis of

542   clearance in a mouse model of respiratory infection. Infect Immun 65:3838-46.

543   42.   Winstanley C, O'Brien S, Brockhurst MA. 2016. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Evolutionary

544   Adaptation and Diversification in Cystic Fibrosis Chronic Lung Infections. Trends in

545   Microbiology 24:327-337.

546   43.   Williams D, Evans B, Haldenby S, Walshaw MJ, Brockhurst MA, Winstanley C, Paterson

547   S. 2015. Divergent, coexisting *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lineages in chronic cystic fibrosis

548   lung infections. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 191:775-785.

549   44.   Workentine M, Sibley C, Glezerson B, Purighalla S, Norgaard-Gron J, Parkins M, Rabin

550   H, Surette M. 2013. Phenotypic Heterogeneity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Populations in

551   a Cystic Fibrosis Patient. PLoS One 8:e60225.

552   45.   Jorth P, Staudinger BJ, Wu X, Hisert KB, Hayden H, Garudathri J, Harding CL, Radey

553   MC, Rezayat A, Bautista G, Berrington WR, Goddard AF, Zheng C, Angermeyer A,

554   Brittnacher MJ, Kitzman J, Shendure J, Fligner CL, Mittler J, Aitken ML, Manoil C, Bruce

555   JE, Yahr TL, Singh PK. 2015. Regional Isolation Drives Bacterial Diversification within

556   Cystic Fibrosis Lungs. Cell Host Microbe 18:307-19.

557   46.   Jelsbak L, Johansen HK, Frost AL, Thøgersen R, Thomsen LE, Ciofu O, Yang L,

558   Haagensen JA, Høiby N, Molin S. 2007. Molecular epidemiology and dynamics of

559   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations in lungs of cystic fibrosis patients. Infect Immun

560   75:2214-24.

561   47.   Mowat E, Paterson S, Fothergill JL, Wright EA, Ledson MJ, Walshaw MJ, Brockhurst

562   MA, Winstanley C. 2011. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Population Diversity and Turnover in

Cystic Fibrosis Chronic Infections. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 183:1674-1679.

48. Marvig R, Sommer L, Jelsbak L, Molin S, Johansen H. 2015. Evolutionary insight from whole-genome sequencing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from cystic fibrosis patients, vol 10, p 599-611.

49. Foweraker JE, Laughton CR, Brown DFJ, Bilton D. 2005. Phenotypic variability of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in sputa from patients with acute infective exacerbation of cystic fibrosis and its impact on the validity of antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 55:921-927.

50. Cullen L, McClean S. 2015. Bacterial Adaptation during Chronic Respiratory Infections. Pathogens 4:66-89.

51. D'Costa VM, McGrann KM, Hughes DW, Wright GD. 2006. Sampling the Antibiotic Resistome. Science 311:374-377.

52. Bhagirath AY, Li Y, Somayajula D, Dadashi M, Badr S, Duan K. 2016. Cystic fibrosis lung environment and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. BMC pulmonary medicine 16:174-174.

53. Jorth P, Ehsan Z, Rezayat A, Caldwell E, Pope C, Brewington JJ, Goss CH, Benscoter D, Clancy JP, Singh PK. 2019. Direct Lung Sampling Indicates That Established Pathogens Dominate Early Infections in Children with Cystic Fibrosis. Cell reports 27:1190-1204.e3.

54. Darch S, McNally A, Harrison F, Corander J, Barr H, Paszkiewicz K, Holden S, Fogarty A, Crusz S, Diggle S. 2015. Recombination is a key driver of genomic and phenotypic diversity in a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* population during cystic fibrosis infection. Scientific Reports (Nature Publisher Group) 5:7649.

586   55.   Williams D, Fothergill JL, Evans B, Caples J, Haldenby S, Walshaw MJ, Brockhurst MA,
587   Winstanley C, Paterson S. 2018. Transmission and lineage displacement drive rapid
588   population genomic flux in cystic fibrosis airway infections of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
589   epidemic strain. Microbial Genomics 4:-.

590   56.   Feliziani S, Luján AM, Moyano AJ, Sola C, Bocco JL, Montanaro P, Canigia LF, Argaraña
591   CE, Smania AM. 2010. Mucoidy, quorum sensing, mismatch repair and antibiotic
592   resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from cystic fibrosis chronic airways infections.
593   PLoS One 5.

594   57.   Foweraker JE, Laughton CR, Brown DF, Bilton D. 2009. Comparison of Methods To Test
595   Antibiotic   Combinations   against   Heterogeneous   Populations   of   Multiresistant
596   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Patients with Acute Infective Exacerbations in Cystic
597   Fibrosis. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 53:4809-4815.

598   58.   Qin X, Zhou C, Zerr DM, Adler A, Addetia A, Yuan S, Greninger AL. 2018.
599   Heterogeneous Antimicrobial Susceptibility Characteristics in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
600   Isolates from Cystic Fibrosis Patients. mSphere 3:e00615-17.

601   59.   Azimi S, Roberts AEL, Peng S, Weitz JS, McNally A, Brown SP, Diggle SP. 2020. Allelic
602   polymorphism shapes community function in evolving *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
603   populations. The ISME Journal 14:1929-1942.

604   60.   Freschi L, Jeukens J, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Boyle B, Dupont M-J, Laroche J, Larose S,
605   Maaroufi H, Fothergill JL, Moore M, Winsor GL, Aaron SD, Barbeau J, Bell SC, Burns
606   JL, Camara M, Cantin A, Charette SJ, Dewar K, Déziel É, Grimwood K, Hancock REW,
607   Harrison JJ, Heeb S, Jelsbak L, Jia B, Kenna DT, Kidd TJ, Klockgether J, Lam JS, Lamont
608   IL, Lewenza S, Loman N, Malouin F, Manos J, McArthur AG, McKeown J, Milot J,

Naghra H, Nguyen D, Pereira SK, Perron GG, Pirnay J-P, Rainey PB, Rousseau S, Santos

PM, Stephenson A, Taylor V, Turton JF, Waglechner N, et al. 2015. Clinical utilization of

genomics data produced by the international *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* consortium.

Frontiers in Microbiology 6.

61. Roberts LM, Dunker AK. 1993. Structural changes accompanying chloroform-induced

contraction of the filamentous phage fd. Biochemistry 32:10479-88.

62. Griffith J, Manning M, Dunn K. 1981. Filamentous bacteriophage contract into hollow

spherical particles upon exposure to a chloroform-water interface. Cell 23:747-753.

63. Olofsson L, Ankarloo J, Andersson PO, Nicholls IA. 2001. Filamentous bacteriophage

stability in non-aqueous media. Chemistry & Biology 8:661-671.

64. Oluyombo O, Penfold CN, Diggle SP. 2019. Competition in Biofilms between Cystic

Fibrosis Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Is Shaped by R-Pyocins. mBio 10:e01828-

18.

65. Heo YJ, Ko KS, Song JH, Cho Y-H. 2005. Profiling pyocins and competitive growth

advantages of various *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains. Journal of Microbiology and

Biotechnology 15:1368-1376.

66. Evans DJ, Pier GB, Coyne MJ, Jr., Goldberg JB. 1994. The rfb locus from *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa strain PA103 promotes the expression of O antigen by both LPS-rough and

LPS-smooth isolates from cystic fibrosis patients. Mol Microbiol 13:427-34.

67. Govan JR, Deretic V. 1996. Microbial pathogenesis in cystic fibrosis: mucoid

Pseudomonas aeruginosa and *Burkholderia cepacia*. Microbiol Rev 60:539-74.

68. Hancock RE, Mutharia LM, Chan L, Darveau RP, Speert DP, Pier GB. 1983. *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa isolates from patients with cystic fibrosis: a class of serum-sensitive,

nontypable strains deficient in lipopolysaccharide O side chains. Infection and immunity

42:170-177.

69.   Lyczak JB, Cannon CL, Pier GB. 2000. Establishment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

infection: lessons from a versatile opportunist. Microbes Infect 2:1051-60.

70.   Poschet JF, Boucher JC, Firoved AM, Deretic V. 2001. Conversion to mucoidy in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa infecting cystic fibrosis patients. Methods Enzymol 336:65-76.

71.   Spencer DH, Kas A, Smith EE, Raymond CK, Sims EH, Hastings M, Burns JL, Kaul R,

Olson MV. 2003. Whole-genome sequence variation among multiple isolates of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 185:1316-25.

72.   Penterman J, Nguyen D, Anderson E, Staudinger BJ, Greenberg EP, Lam JS, Singh PK.

2014. Rapid evolution of culture-impaired bacteria during adaptation to biofilm growth.

Cell Rep 6:293-300.

73.   Davis MR, Muszyński A, Lollett IV, Pritchett CL, Carlson RW, Goldberg JB. 2013.

Identification of the Mutation Responsible for the Temperature-Sensitive

Lipopolysaccharide O-Antigen Defect in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Cystic Fibrosis

Isolate 2192. Journal of Bacteriology 195:1504-1514.

74.   Tognon M, Köhler T, Gdaniec BG, Hao Y, Lam JS, Beaume M, Luscher A, Buckling A,

van Delden C. 2017. Co-evolution with *Staphylococcus aureus* leads to lipopolysaccharide

alterations in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The ISME Journal 11:2233-2243.

75.   King JD, Kocíncová D, Westman EL, Lam JS. 2009. Review: Lipopolysaccharide

biosynthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Innate Immunity 15:261-312.

76.   Singh N, Abraham J. 2014. Ribosomally synthesized peptides from natural sources. The

Journal of Antibiotics 67:277-289.

77.   van Heel AJ, Montalban-Lopez M, Kuipers OP. 2011. Evaluating the feasibility of

lantibiotics as an alternative therapy against bacterial infections in humans. Expert Opin

Drug Metab Toxicol 7:675-80.

78.   van Staden AD, Brand AM, Dicks LM. 2012. Nisin F-loaded brushite bone cement

prevented the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in vivo. J Appl Microbiol 112:831-40.

79.   Chatterjee S, Chatterjee S, Lad SJ, Phansalkar MS, Rupp RH, Ganguli BN, Fehlhaber HW,

Kogler H. 1992. Mersacidin, a new antibiotic from *Bacillus*. Fermentation, isolation,

purification and chemical characterization. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 45:832-8.

80.   Lopetuso LR, Giorgio ME, Saviano A, Scaldaferri F, Gasbarrini A, Cammarota G. 2019.

Bacteriocins and Bacteriophages: Therapeutic Weapons for Gastrointestinal Diseases?

International journal of molecular sciences 20:183.

81.   Meade E, Slattery MA, Garvey M. 2020. Bacteriocins, Potent Antimicrobial Peptides and

the Fight against Multi Drug Resistant Species: Resistance Is Futile? Antibiotics (Basel,

Switzerland) 9:32.

82.   Ahmad V, Khan MS, Jamal QMS, Alzohairy MA, Al Karaawi MA, Siddiqui MU. 2017.

Antimicrobial potential of bacteriocins: in therapy, agriculture and food preservation. Int J

Antimicrob Agents 49:1-11.

83.   Bara JJ, Matson Z, Remold SK. 2018. Life in the cystic fibrosis upper respiratory tract

influences competitive ability of the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Royal Society open science 5:180623-180623.

84.   Kandel PP, Baltrus DA, Hockett KL. 2020. *Pseudomonas* Can Survive Tailocin Killing via

Persistence-like and Heterogenous Resistance Mechanisms. Journal of Bacteriology

doi:10.1128/jb.00142-20:JB.00142-20.

85.   Boucher JC, Schurr MJ, Yu H, Rowen DW, Deretic V. 1997. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in

cystic fibrosis: role of mucC in the regulation of alginate production and stress sensitivity.

Microbiology 143:3473-3480.

86.   Martínez-Salazar JM, Moreno S, Nájera R, Boucher JC, Espín G, Soberón-Chávez G,

Deretic V. 1996. Characterization of the genes coding for the putative sigma factor AlgU

and its regulators MucA, MucB, MucC, and MucD in *Azotobacter vinelandii* and

evaluation of their roles in alginate biosynthesis. Journal of Bacteriology 178:1800-1808.

87.   Hay ID, Rehman ZU, Moradali MF, Wang Y, Rehm BHA. 2013. Microbial alginate

production, modification and its applications. Microbial Biotechnology 6:637-650.

88.   Hay ID, Wang Y, Moradali MF, Rehman ZU, Rehm BHA. 2014. Genetics and regulation

of bacterial alginate production. Environmental Microbiology 16:2997-3011.

89.   Bernier SP, Ha D-G, Khan W, Merritt JH, O'Toole GA. 2011. Modulation of *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa surface-associated group behaviors by individual amino acids through c-di-

GMP signaling. Research in Microbiology 162:680-688.

90.   Ha D-G, O'Toole GA. 2015. c-di-GMP and its Effects on Biofilm Formation and

Dispersion: a *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* Review, p 301-317. *In* Ghannoum M, Parsek M,

Whiteley M, Mukherjee PK (ed), Microbial Biofilms doi:10.1128/9781555817466.ch15.

91.   Merritt JH, Ha D-G, Cowles KN, Lu W, Morales DK, Rabinowitz J, Gitai Z, O'Toole GA.

2010. Specific Control of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Surface-Associated Behaviors by Two

c-di-GMP Diguanylate Cyclases. mBio 1:e00183-10.

92.   Franklin M, Nivens D, Weadge J, Howell P. 2011. Biosynthesis of the *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa Extracellular Polysaccharides, Alginate, Pel, and Psl. Frontiers in

Microbiology 2.

93.   Jain S, Ohman DE. 1998. Deletion of *algK* in Mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Blocks

Alginate Polymer Formation and Results in Uronic Acid Secretion. Journal of Bacteriology

180:634-641.

94.   Aarons SJ, Sutherland IW, Chakrabarty AM, Gallagher MP. 1997. A novel gene, *algK*,

from the alginate biosynthetic cluster of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Microbiology 143:641-

652.

95.   Hay ID, Schmidt O, Filitcheva J, Rehm BHA. 2012. Identification of a periplasmic AlgK–

AlgX–MucD multiprotein complex in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* involved in biosynthesis

and regulation of alginate. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 93:215-227.

96.   Rehman ZU, Wang Y, Moradali MF, Hay ID, Rehm BHA. 2013. Insights into the

Assembly of the Alginate Biosynthesis Machinery in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Applied

and Environmental Microbiology 79:3264-3272.

97.   Jain S, Ohman DE. 2005. Role of an Alginate Lyase for Alginate Transport in Mucoid

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infection and Immunity 73:6429-6436.

98.   Muhammadi, Ahmed N. 2007. Genetics of bacterial alginate: alginate genes distribution,

organization and biosynthesis in bacteria. Current genomics 8:191-202.

99.   Forti F, Roach DR, Cafora M, Pasini ME, Horner DS, Fiscarelli EV, Rossitto M, Cariani

L, Briani F, Debarbieux L, Ghisotti D. 2018. Design of a Broad-Range Bacteriophage

Cocktail That Reduces *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Biofilms and Treats Acute Infections in

Two Animal Models. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 62:e02573-17.

100.   Jarrell KF, Kropinski AM. 1981. Isolation and characterization of a bacteriophage specific

for the lipopolysaccharide of rough derivatives of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PAO.

Journal of Virology 38:529-538.

101. Danis-Wlodarczyk K, Olszak T, Arabski M, Wasik S, Majkowska-Skrobek G,
Augustyniak D, Gula G, Briers Y, Jang HB, Vandenheuvel D, Duda KA, Lavigne R,
Drulis-Kawa Z. 2015. Characterization of the Newly Isolated Lytic Bacteriophages KTN6
and KT28 and Their Efficacy against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Biofilm. PLOS ONE
10:e0127603.

102. Yokota S, Hayashi T, Matsumoto H. 1994. Identification of the lipopolysaccharide core
region as the receptor site for a cytotoxin-converting phage, phi CTX, of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa. Journal of Bacteriology 176:5262-5269.

103. Olszak T, Zarnowiec P, Kaca W, Danis-Wlodarczyk K, Augustyniak D, Drevinek P, de
Soyza A, McClean S, Drulis-Kawa Z. 2015. In vitro and in vivo antibacterial activity of
environmental bacteriophages against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains from cystic
fibrosis patients. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 99:6021-6033.

104. Heo Y-J, Lee Y-R, Jung H-H, Lee J, Ko G, Cho Y-H. 2009. Antibacterial Efficacy of
Phages against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections in Mice and *Drosophila melanogaster*.
Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 53:2469-2474.

105. Garbe J, Wesche A, Bunk B, Kazmierczak M, Selezska K, Rohde C, Sikorski J, Rohde M,
Jahn D, Schobert M. 2010. Characterization of JG024, a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PB1-
like broad host range phage under simulated infection conditions. BMC Microbiology
10:301.

106. Gebhart D, Lok S, Clare S, Tomas M, Stares M, Scholl D, Donskey CJ, Lawley TD, Govoni
GR. 2015. A Modified R-Type Bacteriocin Specifically Targeting *Clostridium difficile*
Prevents Colonization of Mice without Affecting Gut Microbiota Diversity. mBio
6:e02368-14.

107. Morse SA, Vaughan P, Johnson D, Iglewski BH. 1976. Inhibition of *Neisseria*
gonorrhoeae by a Bacteriocin from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrobial Agents and
Chemotherapy 10:354-362.

108. Mayer-Hamblett N, Rosenfeld M, L Gibson R, W Ramsey B, D Kulasekara H, Z Retsch-
Bogart G, Morgan W, Wolter D, Pope C, Houston L, Kulasekara B, Khan U, L Burns J, I
Miller S, Hoffman L. 2014. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* In Vitro Phenotypes Distinguish
Cystic Fibrosis Infection Stages and Outcomes, vol 190.

109. Haggård-Ljungquist E, Halling C, Calendar R. 1992. DNA sequences of the tail fiber genes
of bacteriophage P2: evidence for horizontal transfer of tail fiber genes among unrelated
bacteriophages. J Bacteriol 174:1462-77.

110. Lewenza S, Gardy JL, Brinkman FSL, Hancock REW. 2005. Genome-wide identification
of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exported proteins using a consensus computational strategy
combined with a laboratory-based PhoA fusion screen. Genome research 15:321-329.

111. Stover CK, Pham XQ, Erwin AL, Mizoguchi SD, Warrener P, Hickey MJ, Brinkman FSL,
Hufnagle WO, Kowalik DJ, Lagrou M, Garber RL, Goltry L, Tolentino E, Westbrock-
Wadman S, Yuan Y, Brody LL, Coulter SN, Folger KR, Kas A, Larbig K, Lim R, Smith
K, Spencer D, Wong GKS, Wu Z, Paulsen IT, Reizer J, Saier MH, Hancock REW, Lory
S, Olson MV. 2000. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an
opportunistic pathogen. Nature 406:959-964.

112. Tatusova T, Ciufo S, Fedorov B, O'Neill K, Tolstoy I. 2014. RefSeq microbial genomes
database: new representation and annotation strategy. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D553-9.

113. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009.
BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.

114.   Knutson CA, Jeanes A. 1968. A new modification of the carbazole analysis: Application

771   to heteropolysaccharides. Analytical Biochemistry 24:470-481.

772   115.   Cross AR, Goldberg JB. 2019. Remodeling of O Antigen in Mucoid *Pseudomonas*

773   *aeruginosa* via Transcriptional Repression of *wzz2*. mBio 10:e02914-18.

774   116.   Davis MR, Jr., Goldberg JB. 2012. Purification and visualization of lipopolysaccharide

775   from Gram-negative bacteria by hot aqueous-phenol extraction. J Vis Exp

776   doi:10.3791/3916.

777   117.   Mei, M. 2020. Heterogenous response to R-pyocin killing in populations of Pseudomonas

778   aeruginosa clinical samples. NCBI SRA. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/679963

779   118.   Mei, M, Thomas, J, Diggle, SP. 2020. Heterogenous response to R-pyocin killing in

780   populations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sourced from cystic fibrosis lungs. Dryad.

781   Dataset.

782   https://datadryad.org/stash/share/5sMJfYFzdqyDG0qyd3vlEESB_jCAf6vnJc_lan9SLPo

Figure legends

Figure 1. R-pyocin structure. R-type specificity is determined by the sequence on the foot of the

tail fiber, which is believed to recognize glycosylated structures on the outer membrane (such as

the LPS). Upon binding, the sheath contracts to push the core into the cell membrane. Puncture by

the tail spike depolarizes the membrane potential, leading to cell death (1-3, 12, 14, 15, 22-27, 29-

36). R-pyocin structure is depicted as described in previous studies (2, 3, 11, 14-21, 27, 29-31, 35,

36).

Figure 2. R-pyocin typing of clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains and whole populations isolated from CF patients. (A) Using BLAST homology-based alignment, we R-pyocin-typed publicly available *P. aeruginosa* sequences from respiratory sources of the IPCD to determine the prevalence of each pyocin type. Out of the 448 respiratory isolates in the entire database, 185 strains were not able to be typed. (B) Using multiplex PCR, we R-pyocin typed our own biobank of whole populations of *P. aeruginosa* isolated from expectorated sputum of CF patients to compare the prevalence of R-types found among CF patients in our cohort. We used 19 longitudinal samples collected from 8 patients and R-pyocin-typed 183 isolates total. We found that in our biobank, R1 was also the most prevalent R-pyocin type found among CF patients in our cohort (UT = Untyped R-pyocin genotype).

Figure 3. Diverse *P. aeruginosa* populations from CF exhibit heterogeneity in growth, morphology, and R2-pyocin susceptibility. (A) Growth rates vary between 20 isolates each from 3 populations collected from expectorated CF sputum. Growth rate was calculated from optical density (at 600nm) after 16 hours of growth in LB medium and normalized to PAO1. (B) The same isolates from each population are also morphologically diverse as shown on Congo Red Agar medium. Both mucoid and non-mucoid isolates are found within the same populations. The populations of Patients 1 and 2 were untypeable (UT) by classical R-pyocin typing methods, however the population of Patient 3 were typed as R1-producers. (C) The diverse isolates vary in susceptibility to the R2-pyocins of PAO1, when R2-pyocins are added to the medium during growth. Each data point represents the mean of three independent biological replicates for an individual isolate. PAO1 is denoted as a red star, and was measured with each population as a

control for R2-pyocin resistance. A fold-change of 1 indicates resistance to R2-pyocin whereas a

fold-change less than 1 indicates R2-pyocin susceptibility. UT = Untyped R-pyocin genotype.

Figure 4. Clinical CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from the same population exhibit differential

susceptibility to R2-pyocins. (A) Three R1-type pyocin producing clinical CF isolates from the

same population (Patient 3) were tested for susceptibility to spotted lysates with a standard spot

assay, and show differences in susceptibility to R2-pyocin lysates. Isolates 2 and 3 show zones of

inhibition, indicating susceptibility. Spotted strains include the previously described CF strain

A018 (R2), the laboratory strain PAO1 (R2), and a cell-free media preparation. (B) The same

three isolates were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB), and treated with R2-pyocins extracted from

PAO1. Each data point depicts a biological replicate (averaged from technical replicates). Log2

fold-change in CFU was measured at t=0 and t=4 hours for each isolate to depict growth or death

in the presence or absence of R2-pyocins. Two-tailed, paired Student's T-test between treatments

confirm that Isolates 2 and 3 are susceptible to R2-pyocins (**P=0.005), while Isolate 1 is resistant

(ns). A one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test determined that Isolates 2 and 3

significantly decrease in CFU from Isolate 1 when treated with R2-pyocins (not shown, $P<0.05$).

Figure 5. Alginate production and LPS characterization of three CF isolates of P. aeruginosa

from the same population. (A) Alginate was isolated and quantified from clinical Isolates 1-3 (of

Patient 3). Susceptibility to R2-pyocins is denoted by R (resistance) or S (susceptible). Colony

morphology on Congo Red Agar is shown for reference. Alginate concentrations measured from

three biological replicates are represented by the mean value and error bars depict standard error

of the mean (SEM). The means of alginate concentration from each isolate were determined by

comparison to a standard curve generated and analyzed with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey-

Kramer post-hoc test. Isolate 3 exhibited a mucoid morphology and produces more alginate in

comparison ($P<0.025$) though it is still susceptible to R2-pyocins, while Isolates 1 and 2 did not

produce detectable levels of alginate (<50 µg/mL) and differ in R2-pyocin susceptibility. (B) The

three isolates each exhibit different LPS phenotypes; Isolate 1 presents neither A-band nor B-band,

and has a different core from PAO1. Isolate 2 also does not present A-band or B-band, but has a

similar core to Isolate 3 and PAO1. Isolate 3 presents a normal core, and presents A-band antigen.

Figure 6. Whole-genome analysis of three CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from the same

population. (A) Three R1-type pyocin producing clinical CF isolates from the same population

were sequenced and aligned to a PAO1 reference genome for comparison. Only annotated single

nucleotide variants (SNVs) unique to the R2-pyocin resistant Isolate 1 are depicted for simplicity.

(B) Single nucleotide variant lists between each of the isolates when mapped to PAO1 were

compared, to reveal that approximately 30,127 SNVs distinguished this population from the

laboratory strain PAO1. Out of the 30,646 SNVs between Isolate 1 and PAO1, 232 were unique

to Isolate 1 when compared to Isolates 2 and 3 from the same population.

Figure S1. Distribution of R-pyocin types across *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from various

sources as a part of the IPCD. Using BLAST+, 852 publicly available strains through the

International Pseudomonas Consortium Database (IPCD) were assessed for R-pyocin genotype.

Of the 852 strains, 549 strains were typeable (assigned to either R1- R2- or R5-pyocin types). The

distribution of R-pyocin types among typeable respiratory isolates suggest that R1-pyocin

producers are the most prevalent subtype found, including in CF and in other types of respiratory

infections.

Figure S2. R2-pyocin resistance and LPS presentation in complemented strains of clinical

Isolate 1 from Patient 3. (A)The clinical Isolates 1-3 from Patient 3 depicted in a spot assay with

strains of Isolate 1 complemented with *mucC, algK,* and *roeA* of PAO1 inducible under P_{tac}.

Isolates 2 and 3 show susceptibility to the R2-pyocins of PAO1 (denoted by the zones of

inhibition), whereas Isolate 1 and all complemented strains of Isolate 1 are resistant. Each isolate

or strain was tested with and without Chromomax IPTG/X-Gal for induction. (B) The

complemented strains of Isolate 1 were extracted and visualized by SDS-Page, confirming no

alterations to the LPS presentation resulting from complementation. LPS extractions without the

Chromomax induction agent were included.

Figure 1

Core

Sheath

Tail fiber

Foot of tail fiber
Baseplate

Tail spike

Figure 1. R-pyocin structure. R-type specificity is determined by the sequence on the foot of the tail fiber, which is believed to recognize glycosylated structures on the outer membrane (such as the LPS). Upon binding, the sheath contracts to push the core into the cell membrane. Puncture by the tail spike depolarizes the membrane potential, leading to cell death (1-3, 12, 14, 15, 22-27, 29-36). R-pyocin structure is depicted as described in previous studies (2, 3, 11, 14-21, 27, 29-31, 35, 36).

Figure 2

Figure 2. R-pyocin typing of clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains and whole populations isolated from CF patients. (A) Using BLAST homology-based alignment, we R-pyocin-typed publicly available *P. aeruginosa* sequences from respiratory sources of the IPCD to determine the prevalence of each pyocin type. Out of the 448 respiratory isolates in the entire database, 185 strains were not able to be typed. (B) Using multiplex PCR, we R-pyocin typed our own biobank of whole populations of *P. aeruginosa* isolated from expectorated sputum of CF patients to compare the prevalence of R-types found among CF patients in our cohort. We used 19 longitudinal samples collected from 8 patients and R-pyocin-typed 183 isolates total. We found that in our biobank, R1 was also the most prevalent R-pyocin type found among CF patients in our cohort (UT = Untyped R-pyocin genotype).

Figure 3

Figure 3. Diverse *P. aeruginosa* populations from CF exhibit heterogeneity in growth, morphology, and R2-pyocin susceptibility. (A) Growth rates vary between 20 isolates each from 3 populations collected from expectorated CF sputum. Growth rate was calculated from optical density (at 600nm) after 16 hours of growth in LB medium and normalized to PAO1. (B) The same isolates from each population are also morphologically diverse as shown on Congo Red Agar medium. Both mucoid and non-mucoid isolates are found within the same populations. The populations of Patients 1 and 2 were untypeable (UT) by classical R-pyocin typing methods, however the population of Patient 3 were typed as R1-producers. (C) The diverse isolates vary in susceptibility to the R2-pyocins of PAO1, when R2-pyocins are added to the medium during growth. Each data point represents the mean of three independent biological replicates for an individual isolate. PAO1 is denoted as a red star, and was measured with each population as a control for R2-pyocin resistance. A fold-change of 1 indicates resistance to R2-pyocin whereas a fold-change less than 1 indicates R2-pyocin susceptibility. UT = Untyped R-pyocin genotype.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Clinical CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from the same population exhibit differential susceptibility to R2-pyocins. (A) Three R1-type pyocin producing clinical CF isolates from the same population (Patient 3) were tested for susceptibility to spotted lysates with a standard spot assay, and show differences in susceptibility to R2-pyocin lysates. Isolates 2 and 3 show zones of inhibition, indicating susceptibility. Spotted strains include the previously described CF strain A018 (R2), the laboratory strain PAO1 (R2), and a cell-free media preparation. (B) The same three isolates were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB), and treated with R2-pyocins extracted from PAO1. Each data point depicts a biological replicate (averaged from technical replicates). Log2 fold-change in CFU was measured at t=0 and t=4 hours for each isolate to depict growth or death in the presence or absence of R2-pyocins. Two-tailed, paired Student's T-test between treatments confirm that Isolates 2 and 3 are susceptible to R2-pyocins (**P=0.005), while Isolate 1 is resistant (ns). A one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test determined that Isolates 2 and 3 significantly decrease in CFU from Isolate 1 when treated with R2-pyocins (not shown, $P<0.05$).

Figure 5. Alginate production and LPS characterization of three CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from the same population. (A) Alginate was isolated and quantified from clinical Isolates 1-3 (of Patient 3). Susceptibility to R2-pyocins is denoted by R (resistance) or S (susceptible). Colony morphology on Congo Red Agar is shown for reference. Alginate concentrations measured from three biological replicates are represented by the mean value and error bars depict standard error of the mean (SEM). The means of alginate concentration from each isolate were determined by comparison to a standard curve generated and analyzed with a one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test. Isolate 3 exhibited a mucoid morphology and produces more alginate in comparison ($P<0.025$) though it is still susceptible to R2-pyocins, while Isolates 1 and 2 did not produce detectable levels of alginate (<50 μg/mL) and differ in R2-pyocin susceptibility. (B) The three isolates each exhibit different LPS phenotypes; Isolate 1 presents neither A-band nor B-band, and has a different core from PAO1. Isolate 2 also does not present A-band or B-band, but has a similar core to Isolate 3 and PAO1. Isolate 3 presents a normal core, and presents A-band antigen.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Whole-genome analysis of three CF isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from the same population. (A) Three R1-type pyocin producing clinical CF isolates from the same population were sequenced and aligned to a PAO1 reference genome for comparison. Only annotated single nucleotide variants (SNVs) unique to the R2-pyocin resistant Isolate 1 are depicted for simplicity. (B) Single nucleotide variant lists between each of the isolates when mapped to PAO1 were compared, to reveal that approximately 30,127 SNVs distinguished this population from the laboratory strain PAO1. Out of the 30,646 SNVs between Isolate 1 and PAO1, 232 were unique to Isolate 1 when compared to Isolates 2 and 3 from the same population.

Figure S1. Distribution of R-pyocin types across *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from various sources as a part of the IPCD. Using BLAST+, 852 publicly available strains through the International Pseudomonas Consortium Database (IPCD) were assessed for R-pyocin genotype. Of the 852 strains, 549 strains were typeable (assigned to either R1- R2- or R5-pyocin types). The distribution of R-pyocin types among typeable respiratory isolates suggest that R1-pyocin producers are the most prevalent subtype found, including in CF and in other types of respiratory infections.

Figure S2

Figure S2. R2-pyocin resistance and LPS presentation in complemented strains of clinical Isolate 1 from Patient 3. (A)The clinical Isolates 1-3 from Patient 3 depicted in a spot assay with strains of Isolate 1 complemented with *mucC*, *algK*, and *roeA* of PAO1 inducible under P_{tac}. Isolates 2 and 3 show susceptibility to the R2-pyocins of PAO1 (denoted by the zones of inhibition), whereas Isolate 1 and all complemented strains of Isolate 1 are resistant. Each isolate or strain was tested with and without Chromomax IPTG/X-Gal for induction. (B) The complemented strains of Isolate 1 were extracted and visualized by SDS-Page, confirming no alterations to the LPS presentation resulting from complementation. LPS extractions without the Chromomax induction agent were included.