1

Resistance to clothianidin reduces the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG, a neonicotinoid

formulation for indoor residual spraying, against *Anopheles gambiae*

Caroline Fouet[1], Fred A. Ashu[2,3], Marilene M. Ambadiang[2,3], Williams Tchapga[2], Charles

S. Wondji[2,4] and Colince Kamdem[1]*

[1]-Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at El Paso, 500 W.

University Ave. El Paso, TX 79968, USA

[2]-Centre for Research in Infectious Diseases (CRID), P.O. Box 13591, Yaoundé, Cameroon

[3]-Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Yaoundé 1, P.O. Box 812,

Yaoundé, Cameroon

[4]-Vector Biology Department, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM), Pembroke

Place, Liverpool L3 5QA, UK

* Correspondence: gckamdemdjo@utep.edu

Abstract

Chronic exposure of mosquito larvae to pesticide residues in agricultural areas is often associated with evolution of resistance to insecticides used for vector control. This presents a concern for the efficacy of clothianidin, an agricultural neonicotinoid qualified for Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS). Using standard bioassays, we tested if reduced susceptibility to clothianidin affects the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG, one of the two newly approved formulations, which contains 50% clothianidin. We simultaneously monitored susceptibility to clothianidin and to SumiShield® 50WG, testing adults of *Anopheles gambiae*, *An. coluzzii* and *Culex* sp collected from urban, suburban and agricultural areas of Yaoundé. We found that the level of susceptibility to the active ingredient predicted the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG. This formulation was very potent against populations that achieved 100% mortality within 72 h of exposure to a discriminating dose of clothianidin. By contrast, mortality leveled off at 75.4 ± 3.5 % within 7 days of exposure to SumiShield® 50WG in *An. gambiae* adults collected from a farm where spraying of acetamiprid and imidacloprid is driving cross-resistance to clothianidin. These findings indicate that more potent formulations of clothianidin or different insecticides should be prioritized in areas where resistance is emerging.

Key words: *Anopheles*, clothianidin, resistance, SumiShield® 50WG, malaria, neonicotinoids.

Introduction

Malaria prevention in sub-Saharan Africa relies on two core interventions which used

chemical insecticides [1]. Indoor residual spraying (IRS) and long-lasting insecticidal nets

(LLINs) have contributed to a substantial reduction in the disease burden over the last

two decades, but the Achilles' heal of both intervention measures is the development of

insecticide resistance among vector populations [2,3]. A limited number of active

ingredients satisfy the criteria to be effectively deployed on a large scale in endemic

areas [4]. The spread of insecticide resistance poses a major challenge not only to the

efficacy of IRS and LLINs, but also to their cost-effectiveness [5]. Control programs have

often attempted to mitigate the negative impacts of insecticide resistance by switching

between active ingredients [6]. For example, some endemic countries have progressively

adopted more expensive alternatives such as carbamates and organophosphates for IRS

to mitigate mosquito resistance to pyrethroids. This shift has been responsible for a

decline in IRS coverage from 5% in 2010 to 2% in 2018 [7]. Sequential deployment of

insecticides is also associated with the development of multiple forms of phenotypic,

genetic and behavioral resistance that quickly become established in wild mosquito

populations [1]. In order to reduce the likelihood of resistance development, the WHO's

global plan for insecticide resistance management in malaria vectors has recommended

using active ingredients in rotations, combinations, mosaics and mixtures [1,8]. However,

for this management strategy to be successful, it is imperative to reinforce rotation

programs with new chemicals which remain effective against mosquito populations that

are currently tolerant to insecticides previously used in LLINs and IRS [4]. In order to

identify these alternatives, a number of agrochemicals have been tested and a few

candidates have been selected for use in malaria vector control [9–13].

Clothianidin is manufactured into two new IRS formulations that have been evaluated

and prequalified by the World Health Organization (WHO) [4]. The two formulations are

SumiShield® 50WG (50% clothianidin) developed by Sumitomo Chemical and Fludora®

Fusion (Bayer Environmental Science) which is a mixture of 500 g/kg clothianidin and

62.5 g/kg deltamethrin [14,15]. There are currently 8 registered neonicotinoids, including

clothianidin, which have become the most widely used agricultural pesticides worldwide

[16,17]. Neonicotinoids target the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) in the insect

central nervous system and cause over-stimulation, which may result in paralysis and

death [17]. Although neonicotinoids are neurotoxic insecticides, their mode of action is

sufficiently distinct, thus limiting the risk of cross-resistance with other neurotoxic

chemicals such as pyrethroids.

Field trials of IRS formulations containing clothianidin have revealed long-lasting

insecticidal activity against different vector species on diverse surfaces [18–22]. Thus,

clothianidin is considered a reliable alternative to control malaria vectors in areas with

high pre-existing resistance to multiple insecticide classes. In preparation for rollout of

clothianidin formulations as part of national IRS rotation strategies, the U.S. President's

Malaria Initiative has conducted susceptibility testing in anopheline populations from 16

African countries [23]. This investigation suggested that most populations of the major

vectors of *Plasmodium* parasites across the continent were susceptible to filter papers

impregnated with SumiShield® 50WG at a diagnostic dose of 2% (w/v) clothianidin.

While the findings of this study are encouraging, targeted testing of populations from

agricultural regions where *Anopheles* mosquitoes are more likely to develop resistance

to neonicotinoids should be conducted alongside continental-scale surveys.

The role of agricultural pesticides spraying in the development of resistance to

insecticides used in malaria mosquito control has been widely documented [24–31]. It has

recently been demonstrated that *An. gambiae* larvae collected from farming areas

where formulations of acetamiprid and imidacloprid, two neonicotinoids, are intensively

used for crop protection can grow and emerge in water containing doses of

neonicotinoids that were lethal to susceptible strains [32]. This finding suggested that

larval exposure to pesticide residues is selecting for cross-resistance to several

neonicotinoids including clothianidin. Additionally, susceptibility tests conducted on

adults confirmed resistance to thiamethoxam, imidacloprid and acetamiprid in some

rural populations of *An. gambiae* [33,34]. Neonicotinoids are highly soluble in water and

can persist for months in aerobic soils, therefore making contamination of standing

waters, which serve as mosquito breeding sites in farming areas very likely [35–37].

Published information on the use of neonicotinoids across Sub-Saharan Africa is lacking,

but preliminary reports from Cameroon, Tanzania and Ivory Coast suggested that

hundreds of commercial formulations of neonicotinoids have been registered for use in

crop protection [38–41].

Agriculture is one of the main activities in sub-Saharan Africa. The widespread

application of neonicotinoids in crop protection could become a major challenge to the

efficacy of repurposed chemicals such as clothianidin. Prior to rollout of formulations

containing clothianidin, it is thus crucial to specifically test their efficacy against

Anopheles populations that are showing signs of resistance to the active ingredient.

Here we conducted intensive testing of *Anopheles* and *Culex* adult mosquito populations

from five locations centered on one of the largest urban farms in Cameroon, using

standard bioassays. Our aim was to determine if neonicotinoid tolerance observed in

An. gambiae larvae and adults could affect the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG. We found

that as previously demonstrated by continent-wide surveys [23], SumiShield® 50WG is

very effective against clothianidin-susceptible populations of *An. coluzzii* and *An.*

gambiae. However, its efficacy is significantly reduced in *An. gambiae* adults displaying

low mortality to clothianidin (150 µg/ml). These findings suggest that the effectiveness

of clothianidin and its formulations should be monitored in depth in agricultural

settings, and that alternative chemicals should be considered in areas where resistance

to the active ingredient is emerging.

Results

1. Evidence of clothianidin resistance in *Anopheles gambiae*

We used Centers for Disease Control (CDC) bottle bioassays to test a discriminating

dose of clothianidin against a total of 1665 wild mosquitoes belonging to three species:

An. gambiae (n = 912), *An. coluzzii* (n = 673) and *Culex* sp (n = 132) collected from 6

sampling sites. To validate our bioassay protocol, we first analyzed susceptibility in 554

individuals of two laboratory colonies: *An. gambiae* Kisumu (n = 326) and *An. coluzzii*

Ngousso (n = 228). Results showed that the two laboratory strains were fully susceptible

to clothianidin, reaching 100% mortality within 1-3 days of exposure (Fig. 2A). Among

field mosquitoes, *Culex* sp*.* populations were the most susceptible to clothianidin,

achieving 100% mortality approximately 24 h post exposure (Fig. 2A). A diagnostic PCR

confirmed that specimens collected from the two urbanized sites were 100% *An.*

coluzzii. These *An. coluzzii* populations were also susceptible to clothianidin, but 100%

mortality was reached between the second and the fourth day. In *An. gambiae* by

contrast, the overall mortality was only 58.2 ± 5.2 % in all 817 individuals tested from 4

locations, suggesting that some populations of this species have developed resistance to

clothianidin. Knockdown at 60 min was generally low, except in field populations of *An.*

coluzzii (81.8 ± 2.9%). Knockdown within 60 min of exposure ranged from 42 ± 11.0 % to

53.9 ± 9.9 % between lab strains and from 32.1 ± 5.0 % to 36.5 ± 12.2 % among field

populations (Fig. 3A). Consistent with their reduced susceptibility to clothianidin, wild

populations of *An. gambiae* displayed the lowest knockdown (32.1 ± 5.0 %).

2. **Resistance is stronger in populations from agricultural areas**

To examine the link between larval exposure to agricultural neonicotinoids and the

development of clothianidin resistance in *An. gambiae,* we compared the susceptibility

profile of adult populations from different collection sites. Based on the diagnostic PCR,

larvae collected from the farm or from one of the suburban sites (Zamengoué) were

100% *An. gambiae*. Samples from the two semi-rural sites, Soa and Nkolnkoumou, were

~80% *An. gambiae*/20% *An. coluzzii*. All the four sites were treated as *An. gambiae*

habitats. Mortality rates varied along a geographic gradient, ranging from susceptibility

in Soa and Nkolnkoumou to resistance in samples originating from the agricultural site,

Nkolondom. Only 46.5 ± 5.7 % of tested individuals from the agricultural site died

between the first and the seventh day post-exposure (Fig. 2B). Susceptibility of adults

from Nkolondom was significantly low compared with other *An. gambiae* sites

(Wilcoxon Rank Sum test, p < 0.05). Knockdown at 60 min was also significantly lower

(20.1 ± 4.1 %) in samples from the agricultural area (Wilcoxon Rank Sum test, p < 0.05)

(Fig. 3B).

3. PBO is a synergist of clothianidin

Mortality observed in clothianidin-resistant populations of *An. gambiae* from the

agricultural site increased from 46.5 ± 5.7 % without PBO to 92.7 ± 3.7 % when adult

mosquitoes were pre-exposed to the synergist (Wilcoxon rank sum test, p=0.015) (Fig.

3C). This result suggested that metabolic detoxification involving cytochrome P450

enzymes contributes to the development of resistance to clothianidin in *An. gambiae*.

4. Resistance to clothianidin reduces the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG

To determine to what extent clothianidin resistance could impact the efficacy of

manufactured formulations, we used WHO tube tests to estimate the susceptibility of

young female adult mosquitoes to SumiShield® 50WG. This formulation corresponds to

~25-fold the discriminating dose of clothianidin used in CDC bottle assays. We tested

one *An. coluzzii* population from urban areas (Combattant), one susceptible *An.*

gambiae population (Nkolnkoumou) and resistant mosquitoes from Nkolondom. We

used the laboratory strain *An. coluzzii* Ngousso as negative control. As expected, the lab

strain was susceptible to SumiShield® 50WG, reaching 100% within 48 h of exposure to

the formulation (Fig. 4). Among the field populations, susceptibility to clothianidin as

revealed by bottle bioassays was a good predictor of the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG.

Both *An. coluzzii* and *An. gambiae* populations that were fully susceptible to the active

ingredient were also susceptible to the IRS formulation. By contrast, *An. gambiae*

populations from Nkolondom that were resistant to 150 µg/ml clothianidin in bottle

bioassays were less susceptible to SumiShield® 50WG as well. Mortality rates against

filter papers impregnated with SumiShield® 50WG at a diagnostic dose of 2% (w/v)

clothianidin leveled off at 75.4 ± 3.5 % after 7 days of holding period. This finding

suggests that *Anopheles* populations with low mortality to 150 µg/ml clothianidin could

be challenging to control with current neonicotinoid formulations.

Discussion

Widespread pyrethroid resistance has been associated with a decline in efficacy of LLINs

and IRS in several countries [57–59]. Here we have provided evidence that the efficacy of

some insecticides may have started to decline in some vector populations. The efficacy

of SumiShield® 50WG, a formulation of clothianidin prequalified for IRS is reduced in *An.*

gambiae mosquitoes that have evolved resistance to the active ingredient.

We first evaluated the susceptibility of *Anopheles* and *Culex* mosquitoes to clothianidin

using CDC bottle bioassays. We used a protocol that differed slightly from the WHO

standard operating procedure for testing the susceptibility of adult mosquitoes to

clothianidin [48,60]. We made the choice not to use a vegetable oil surfactant as suggested

by the standard operating procedure because it has been demonstrated that these

adjuvants are synergist of neonicotinoids [34]. Additionally, at 150 µg/ml, the

discriminating dose used, clothianidin was soluble in ethanol when the solution was

allowed to rest for at least 24 h before use, and there was therefore no need to add a

surfactant.

Using a discriminating dose of 150 µg/ml in CDC bottle bioassays, we successfully

detected resistance to clothianidin in wild *Anopheles* mosquitoes. Knockdowns after 1 h

exposure to the insecticide were low and had little discriminative power. Other studies

assessing the susceptibility of adults *Anopheles* mosquitoes to clothianidin have

reported low knockdowns, which could be due to the fact that clothianidin act slowly

compared with other neurotoxic insecticides [17,23,50,53]. Contrary to knockdowns,

monitoring mortality rates for seven days provided a reliable assessment of

susceptibility to clothianidin in adult mosquitoes. We first observed that susceptibility

vary between species. *An. coluzzii* adults collected from urban areas of Yaoundé were

susceptible to clothianidin. As crop cultivation associated with neonicotinoid spraying is

less frequent in urban areas, *An. coluzzii* larvae from urbanized settings in Yaoundé are

presumably less exposed to neonicotinoids residues and are therefore less likely to

develop resistance to neonicotinoids. In *An. gambiae* however, the situation was more

complex, with a gradient of susceptibility to clothianidin established among suburban

and rural populations. Populations from a farm where neonicotinoids are used weekly

for crop protection were the most resistant to clothianidin (Fig. 1C). Indeed, during our

field survey in the Nkolondom farm, we collected empty containers of imidacloprid and

acetamiprid confirming their use (Fig. 1C). Dozens of formulations of the two

insecticides are freely sold in local stores in Yaoundé and are highly valued by farmers

[38,41]. The results presented in the current study are based on field surveys that were

conducted between 2019 and 2020. The findings have been supported by monitoring

that continues from 2020 to 2022 and confirmed patterns of susceptibility to

clothianidin observed in precedent years in *Anopheles* mosquitoes from Yaoundé and its

neighboring rural areas [33]. These surveys have combined larval tests and adult bioassays

to reveal that neonicotinoid resistance is emerging in *An. gambiae* populations from the

equatorial forest region of Cameroon, especially in areas where larvae are chronically

exposed to pesticide residues [32–34]. There is ample evidence that *An. gambiae* larvae and

adults from several villages around the city of Yaoundé are currently resistant to

imidacloprid, acetamiprid and thiamethoxam, three neonicotinoids that are among the

most widely used crop protection chemicals in Cameroon [32,33,38,41]. A study conducted in

Ivory Coast has also observed resistance to imidacloprid and acetamiprid in *An. coluzzii*

correlated with agricultural activities [61].

Intriguingly, adults of *Culex sp* whose larvae were collected from the same breeding

sites as *An. gambiae* in Nkolondom were fully susceptible to clothianidin. However, it is

well known aquatic invertebrates have variable responses and threshold of

susceptibility to the lethal and sublethal effects caused by neonicotinoid contaminants

[62]. Although *Culex* sp populations were more directly impacted by the lethal toxicity of

clothianidin, they likely have developed other physiological and/or behavioral

adjustments enabling them to adapt to neonicotinoid residues in farms [63,64].

Bioassay tests using CDC bottles coated with the synergist PBO prior to exposure to

clothianidin showed a drastic increase in mortality in resistant populations. This

suggested that Cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) play a primarily role in neonicotinoid

resistance in *An. gambiae*. Acetamiprid resistance in *An. gambiae* is also highly

dependent on metabolic detoxification mediated by CYPs [33]. More generally,

neonicotinoid resistance in wild populations of many crop pests, primarily those of the

order *Hemiptera* (aphids, whiteflies, and planthoppers), is associated with

overexpression of one or several CYP enzymes [65–68].

The spread of pyrethroid resistance has caused a decline in the effectiveness of LIINs

and IRS [57,58]. There is a risk that resistance will also undermine the efficacy of new

products such as SumiShield®® 50WG and Fludora® Fusion. Precisely, larval bioassays

showed that the intensity of resistance to clothianidin in *An. gambiae* collected from

Nkolondom is currently similar to that of deltamethrin [32]. Therefore, even without any

large-scale deployment of clothianidin in vector control, its efficacy may already be as

reduced as that of pyrethroids in some populations [69]. In our study, we have revealed

that the efficacy of SumiShield®® 50WG is declining in clothianidin-resistant populations.

We did not test Fludora® Fusion, and it remains to be determined if the dual action of

clothianidin and deltamethrin will result in higher efficacy against resistant populations.

However, a recent experiment has demonstrated that exposure of *An. gambiae* larvae

to sublethal doses of a mixture of different types of agrochemicals increased the

tolerance of adults to both clothianidin and Fludora® Fusion [70]. This suggested that any

formulation is at risk of losing its efficacy if clothianidin resistance spreads among

anopheline populations.

Conclusions

The current study shows that the new insecticide clothianidin may have reduced

efficacy in some agricultural regions of Sub-Saharan Africa due to pre-existing levels of

resistance among mosquito populations. These findings suggest that prior to inclusion of

agrochemicals in resistance management programs, their efficacy should be particularly

scrutinized in areas where pesticides of the same class are being used for agricultural

pest management.

Methods

Study sites

We collected mosquitoes from four suburban sites in the outskirts of Yaoundé, the

capital of Cameroon (Figure 1A). We also tested samples collected from two densely

urbanized areas of the city. Approval to conduct a study in the Center region (N°: 1-

140/L/MINSANTE/SG/RDPH-Ce), ethical clearance (N°: 1-141/CRERSH/2020) and

research permit (N°: 000133/MINRESI/B00/C00/C10/C13) were granted by the ministry

of public health and the ministry of scientific research and innovation of Cameroon. The

suburban sites included Nkolondom (3°56'43" N, 11°31'01" E), situated approximately 7

km west of Yaoundé. Since 1985, a swampy area in Nkolondom is exploited for intensive

crop cultivation [42]. In 2020, the farm which attracted at least 100 workers was

subdivided into 100-200 mosaics of ~20m^2 adjacent plots dedicated to the cultivation of

aromatic herbs, amaranth and lettuce (Fig. 1B). Standing water between ridges and

furrows provide mosquito breeding sites, which maintain large larval populations of *An.*

gambiae and *Culex sp* throughout the year [32–34].

Mosquito populations

We sampled and cumulatively tested mosquitoes from the six above-mentioned sites

between 2019 and 2020. *An. gambiae sensu lato* larvae were collected from standing

water using dippers and transported in plastic containers to the insectary where they

were reared to adults under standard laboratory conditions of 25–27°C, 70–90% relative

humidity and a 12:12 h light/dark photoperiod [43]. The two sibling species *An. gambiae*

sensu stricto (hereafter *An. gambiae*) and *An. coluzzii* are the dominant malaria vectors

in Yaoundé [44,45]. *An. coluzzii* is found exclusively in the most urbanized neighborhoods

while *An. gambiae* is the only species present in neighboring rural areas. To determine

which species between *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* was collected from each site, we

genotyped 50 mosquito samples using a diagnostic PCR [46]. *Culex* sp larvae that occur in

the same breeding sites as *An. gambiae* in the Nkolondom farm were also sampled,

reared to adults and tested.

Clothianidin susceptibility testing

The susceptibility of adult mosquitoes that emerged from field-collected larvae was

tested against clothianidin using CDC bottle assays [47]. The bioassay procedure followed

a modified version of the WHO standard operating procedure for testing the

susceptibility of adult mosquitoes to clothianidin [48,49]. Precisely, we did not used the

vegetable oil surfactant, Mero® (1% v/v), as adjuvant. A recent study showed that some

vegetable oil surfactants are synergists of neonicotinoids, which when used in

susceptibility testing lead to an overestimation of the insecticidal activity of the active

ingredient [34]. Instead, mortality was evaluated against the active ingredient alone

dissolved in ethanol using a discriminating dose (i.e., the lowest dose at which 100% of

adults from a susceptible population die) of 150 µg/ml as determined by a previous

study [50]. We prepared stock solutions using a technical-grade formulation of clothianidin

(PESTANAL®, analytical standard, Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, United Kingdom) and absolute

ethanol as solvent. The solutions were conserved at 4°C in the dark for at least 24 h

before use to maximize solubility of clothianidin. Each Wheaton 250-ml bottle and its

cap were coated with 1 ml of a solution containing 150 µg/ml clothianidin in ethanol

following the CDC guidelines [47]. For each bioassay, we used four test bottles coated with

clothianidin and two control bottles coated with 1 ml of absolute ethanol. All bottles

were wrapped in aluminum foil and allowed to dry for 24 h for complete evaporation of

solvent before use. Coated bottles were not reused and were washed three times in

warm soapy water and allowed to dry for 24 h between experiments. 20 to 25 3-5-day-

old females were aspired from mosquito cages and released into one of the test bottles

where they were exposed to the active ingredient or into control bottles containing

ethanol for 1 h. After the exposure period, mosquitoes were transferred into a paper

cup and provided with 10% sugar solution. Knockdowns were scored immediately and

mortality was monitored every 24 h for seven consecutive days. We used adults from

two susceptible strains as controls: *An. gambiae* Kisumu and *An. coluzzii* Ngousso. Both

strains are known to be susceptible to pyrethroid, carbamate, organochlorine and

organophosphate insecticides.

Synergist bioassay

We used CDC bottle assays to test if the synergist piperonyl butoxide (PBO) could

enhance the potency of clothianidin. PBO is an inhibitor of oxidases and non-specific

esterases [51]. A solution of 400 µg/ml PBO was prepared by diluting PBO with absolute

ethanol. The solution was mixed and stored in the dark at 4°C before use. Wheaton

bottles and their cap were coated with 1 ml of the PBO solution, wrapped in aluminum

foil and allowed to dry for 24 h before the test. 100 to 150 female mosquitoes aged

between 3 and 5 days were pre-exposed for 1 h to PBO-coated bottles or to control

bottles coated with ethanol. Mosquitoes were then removed from the bottles and

batches of 20 to 25 individuals were introduced into new bottles coated with 150 µg/ml

clothianidin or with ethanol. After 1 h, mosquitoes were transferred into a paper cup

and provided with 10% sugar solution. Knockdowns were scored and mortality was

monitored every 24 h for seven consecutive days in standard laboratory conditions. We

compared mortality rates with or without the synergist to determine if oxydase

inhibition by PBO affected the level of susceptibility.

Efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG

We used WHO tube tests to assess the efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG against adult

mosquitoes displaying a gradient of tolerance to clothianidin [52]. We used a

discriminating dose of 2% w/v clothianidin (13.2 mg active ingredient per paper)

following the manufacturer's recommendation [23,53]. We prepared a stock solution by

diluting 264 mg SumiShield® 50WG in 20 ml distilled water. We impregnated Whatman

filter papers (12 x 15 cm) containing 13.2 mg clothianidin each using 2 ml of the

insecticide solution as described in [54]. Control filter papers were impregnated using 2 ml

of distilled water. Treated filter papers were allowed to dry overnight and were kept in

foil at 4°C until use. To carry out bioassay tests, we aspirated 20 to 25 3-5-day-old female

mosquitoes from mosquito cages that we introduced into each of the test tubes

containing clothianidin-impregnated papers. We concomitantly released 20-25

mosquitoes into each control tube. After 1 h, mosquitoes were transferred into holding

tubes and provided with 10% sugar solution, and knockdown time was recorded. As

with CDC bottle tests mortality was scored every day until day 7.

Data analysis

We used mortality rates to evaluate the efficacy of clothianidin against laboratory and

field mosquitoes. All tests with mortality > 20% at day 7 in controls were discarded. We

used Abbott's formula to correct mortality rates of tests when 5–20% individuals died

between day 1 and day 7 in the corresponding controls [55]. Following the WHO guidelines

on insecticide susceptibility, mosquito populations were considered susceptible if

355 mortality at day 7 was ≥ 98% and resistant if mortality was less than 90%. Mortality

356 rates between 90% and 97% indicate that the presence of resistant genes in the vector

357 population must be confirmed by additional tests [52]. We used a Wilcoxon rank sum test

358 to determine if mean mortality rates were significantly different among tested

359 populations. We performed all analyses using the R software V 4.2.2 [56].

Author Contributions

361 CF: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original

362 draft; FA: Investigation, Methodology; MA: Investigation, Methodology; WT:

363 Investigation, Methodology; CW: Resources, Supervision. CK: Conceptualization, Formal

364 analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review &

365 editing.

Funding

367 This study was supported by a National Institutes of Health grant (R01AI150529) to CK.

368 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish,

369 or preparation of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

371 The data for this study have been presented within this article.

Conflicts of Interest

373 The authors declare no competing interests.

374   **References**

375   1.   World Health Organization. *Guidelines for malaria vector control*. (2019).

376   2.   Hemingway, J. The way forward for vector control. *Science (80-.).* **358,** 998–

377   999 (2017).

378   3.   Hemingway, J. *et al.* Averting a malaria disaster: Will insecticide resistance

379   derail malaria control? *Lancet* **387,** 1785–1788 (2016).

380   4.   World Health Organization. List of WHO Prequalified Vector Control Products.

381   (2023). Available at: https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vector-control-

382   products/prequalified-product-list.

383   5.   World Health Organization. *World malaria report 2022*. (2022).

384   6.   Initiative, U. S. P. M. *New approaches for malaria vector control*. (2022).

385   7.   World Health Organization. *Malaria Fact Sheets*. (2020).

386   8.   World Health Organization. *Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Management*

387   *in Malaria Vectors*. (World Health Organization, 2012).

388   9.   Hoppé, M., Hueter, O. F., Bywater, A., Wege, P. & Maienfisch, P. Evaluation of

389   Commercial Agrochemicals as New Tools for Malaria Vector Control. *Chimia*

390   *(Aarau).* **70,** 721–729 (2016).

391   10.   Lees, R. *et al.* A testing cascade to identify repurposed insecticides for next-

392   generation vector control tools: Screening a panel of chemistries with novel

393   modes of action against a malaria vector. *Gates Open Res.* **3,** 1–25 (2019).

11. Raghavendra, K. *et al.* Chlorfenapyr : a new insecticide with novel mode of

action can control pyrethroid resistant malaria vectors. *Malar. J.* **10,**

doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-16 (2011).

12. N'Guessan, R., Boko, P., Akogbeto, M., Yates, A. & Rowland, M. Chlorfenapyr : A

pyrrole insecticide for the control of pyrethroid or DDT resistant Anopheles

gambiae (Diptera : Culicidae) mosquitoes. *Acta Trop.* **102,** 69–78 (2007).

13. Portwood, N. M. *et al.* Multi-centre discriminating concentration

determination of broflanilide and potential for cross- resistance to other

public health insecticides in Anopheles vector populations. *Sci. Rep.* 1–10

(2022). doi:10.1038/s41598-022-26990-6

14. Bayer. Fludora fusion [Internet]. Available at:

https://www.vectorcontrol.bayer.com/solutions/products/fludora-fusion.

(Accessed: 9th April 2020)

15. Sumitomo Chemical. SumiShield® 50WG. Available at:

https://www.sumivector.com/SumiShield®-50wg. (Accessed: 9th April

2020)

16. Simon-delso, N. *et al.* Systemic insecticides (neonicotinoids and fipronil):

trends , uses , mode of action and metabolites. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **22,** 5–

34 (2015).

17. Matsuda, K., Ihara, M. & Sattelle, D. B. Neonicotinoid insecticides: Molecular

targets, resistance, and toxicity. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **60,** 241–255

(2020).

18.   Agossa, F. R. *et al.* Small-scale field evaluation of the efficacy and residual

417   effect of Fludora® Fusion (mixture of clothianidin and deltamethrin) against

418   susceptible and resistant Anopheles gambiae populations from Benin, West

419   Africa. *Malar. J.* **17,** 484 (2018).

420   19.   Agossa, F. R. *et al.* Efficacy of a novel mode of action of an indoor residual

421   spraying product, SumiShield®® 50WG against susceptible and resistant

422   populations of Anopheles gambiae (s.l.) in Benin, West Africa. *Parasites and*

423   *Vectors* **11,** 1–13 (2018).

424   20.   Kweka, E. *et al.* Novel Indoor Residual Spray Insecticide With Extended

425   Mortality Effect : A Case of SumiShield® 50WG Against Wild Resistant

426   Populations of Anopheles arabiensis in Northern Tanzania. *Glob. Heal. Sci.*

427   *Pract.* **6,** 758–765 (2018).

428   21.   Uragayala, S. *et al.* Village-scale (Phase III) evaluation of the efficacy and

429   residual activity of SumiShield® 50® WG (Clothianidin 50 %, w/w) for

430   indoor spraying for the control of pyrethroid-resistant Anopheles culicifacies

431   Giles in Karnataka state , India. *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.* **23,** 605–615 (2018).

432   22.   Gueye, M. *et al.* Evaluation of the Efficacy of Fludora® Fusion WP-SB 56.25

433   (Mixture of Clothianidin and Deltamethrin) against Anopheles coluzzii

434   Laboratory and An. arabiensis Wild Colonies. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* **7,** (2022).

23.   Oxborough, R. M. *et al.* Susceptibility testing of Anopheles malaria vectors

with the neonicotinoid insecticide clothianidin; results from 16 African

countries, in preparation for indoor residual spraying with new insecticide

formulations. *Malar. J.* **18,** https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-019-2888-6

(2019).

24. Georghiou, G. P. Studies on Resistance to Carbamate and Organophosphorus

Insecticides in Anopheles albimanus. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **21,** 797–806

(1972).

25. Georghiou, G. P., Breeland, S. G. & V. Ariaratnam. Seasonal escalation of

organophosphorus and carbamate resistance in Anophelesalbimanus by

agricultural sprays. *Env. Entomol* **2,** 369–374 (1973).

26. Chouaïbou, M. S. *et al.* Influence of the agrochemicals used for rice and

vegetable cultivation on insecticide resistance in malaria vectors in southern

Côte d'Ivoire. *Malar. J.* **15,** 1–12 (2016).

27. Müller, P. *et al.* Pyrethroid tolerance is associated with elevated expression of

antioxidants and agricultural practice in Anopheles arabiensis sampled from

an area of cotton fields in Northern Cameroon. *Mol. Ecol.* **17,** 1145–1155

(2008).

28. Yadouleton, A. W. M. *et al.* Development of vegetable farming: A cause of the

emergence of insecticide resistance in populations of Anopheles gambiae in

urban areas of Benin. *Malar. J.* **8,** 1–8 (2009).

29. Djogbénou, L. S., Assogba, B., Djossou, L. & Makoutode, M. Indirect evidence

that agricultural pesticides select for insecticide resistance in the malaria

vector Anopheles gambiae. *J. Vector Ecol.* **41,** 34–40 (2016).

30.   Diabate, A. *et al.* The Role Of Agricultural Use Of Insecticides In Resistance To

Pyrethroids In Anopheles gambiae s . l . In Burkina Faso. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*

67, 617–622 (2002).

31.   Lines, J. D. Do agricultural insecticides select for insecticide resistance in

mosquitoes? A look at the evidence. *Parasitol. Today* **4,** (1988).

32.   Ambadiang, M. M., Fouet, C., Ashu, F. A., Penlap-Beng, V. & Kamdem, C. Chronic

exposure of mosquito larvae to pesticide residues endangers a new

generation of agrochemicals repurposed for malaria prevention. *bioRxiv*

(2023).

33.   Ashu, F. A., Fouet, C., Ambadiang, M. M., Penlap-Beng, V. & Kamdem, C.

Enhancing the efficacy of neonicotinoids against mosquitoes and overcoming

resistance issues. *bioRxiv* (2023).

34.   Ashu, F. A., Fouet, C., Ambadiang, M. M., Penlap-Beng, V. & Kamdem, C.

Vegetable oil surfactants are synergists that can bias neonicotinoid

susceptibility testing in adult mosquitoes. *bioRxiv* (2023).

35.   Bradford, B. Z., Huseth, A. S. & Groves, R. L. Widespread detections of

neonicotinoid contaminants in central Wisconsin groundwater. *PLoS One* **13,**

e0201753 (2018).

36.   Schaafsma, A., Limay-Rios, V., Baute, T., Smith, J. & Xue, Y. Neonicotinoid

insecticide residues in surface water and soil associated with commercial

maize (corn) fields in Southwestern Ontario. *PLoS One* **10,** 1–21 (2015).

37.   R. Ramadevi, R. R., C. Ramachandraiah, C. R. & Reddy, G. V. S. A Review on

Contamination of Soil and Water by Neonicotinoid Pesticides and Trends it's

in Soil and Water Samples with Chromatographic Analytical Techniques.

Orient. J. Chem. **38,** 259–267 (2022).

38.   Ngamo Tinkeu, L. Current situation on the registration and use of

neonicotinoids in Cameroon. (2018).

39.   Katambo, M. Neonicotinoids and their impact on ecosystem services in

Tanzania. (2018).

40.   Akpesse, A. Neonicotinoids and their impact on ecosystem service for

agriculture and biodiversity in Africa. (2018).

41.   Okolle, N. J., Monono, E. Y. & Tabikam, Amungwa Ivan Mambo, Stephania

Kinge Magwell, P. F. R. in *Insecticides* (IntechOpen, 2022).

42.   Temple, L., Marquis, S., David, O. & Simon, S. Le maraîchage périurbain à

Yaoundé est-il un système de production localisé innovant ? *Econ. Sociétés.*

Série AG Systèmes Agroaliment. Press. l'ISMEA **30,** 2309–2328 (2008).

43.   Service, M. W. *Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods*. (Springer, 1993).

doi:10.1007/978-94-015-8113-4

44.   Kamdem, C. *et al.* Anthropogenic Habitat Disturbance and Ecological

Divergence between Incipient Species of the Malaria Mosquito Anopheles

gambiae. *PLoS ONE* **7,** e39453 (2012).

45.   Kamdem, C., Fouet, C., Gamez, S. & White, B. J. Pollutants and insecticides drive

local adaptation in African malaria mosquitoes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34,** 1261–1275

(2017).

46.   Fanello, C., Santolamazza, F. & DellaTorre, A. Simultaneous identification of

species and molecular forms of the Anopheles gambiae complex by PCR-RFLP.

Med. Vet. Entomol. **16,** 461–464 (2002).

47.   Prevention, C. for D. C. and. *Guideline for Evaluating Insecticide Resistance in*

Vectors Using the CDC Bottle Bioassay. CDC Methods (2012).

48.   World Health Organization (WHO). *Standard operating procedure for testing*

insecticide susceptibility of adult mosquitoes in WHO bottle bioassays. World

Health Organization (2022).

49.   Corbel, V. *et al.* A new WHO bottle bioassay method to assess the

susceptibility of mosquito vectors to public health insecticides: results from a

WHO-coordinated multi-centre study. *Parasit. Vectors* **16,** 1–17 (2023).

50.   Agumba, S. *et al.* Diagnostic dose determination and efficacy of chlorfenapyr

and clothianidin insecticides against Anopheles malaria vector populations of

western Kenya. *Malar. J.* **18,** 1–9 (2019).

51.   Bernard, C. & Hilogène, B. Insecticide synergists: role, importance, and

perspectives. *J Toxicol Env. Heal.* **38,** 199–223 (1993).

52.   World Health Organization. *Test procedures for insecticide resistance*

monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes. World Health Organisation Technical

Report Series (World Health Organization, 2016). doi:10.1007/978-3-642-

10565-4

53.   Dagg, K. *et al.* Evaluation of toxicity of clothianidin (neonicotinoid) and

chlorfenapyr (pyrrole) insecticides and cross-resistance to other public

health insecticides in Anopheles arabiensis from Ethiopia. *Malar. J.* **18,** 1–11

(2019).

54.   *Video protocol showing how to treat filter papers with clothianidin.*

55.   Abbott, W. S. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J.*

Econ. Entomol. **18,** 265–267 (1925).

56.   R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

(2016).

57.   Meiwald, A. *et al.* Association of Reduced Long-Lasting Insecticidal Net

Efficacy and Pyrethroid Insecticide Resistance With Overexpression of

CYP6P4, CYP6P3, and CYP6Z1 in Populations of Anopheles coluzzii From

Southeast Côte d'Ivoire. *J. Infect. Dis.* **225,** 1424–1434 (2022).

58.   Riveron, J. M. *et al.* Escalation of Pyrethroid Resistance in the Malaria Vector

Anopheles funestus Induces a Loss of Efficacy of Piperonyl Butoxide – Based

Insecticide-Treated Nets in Mozambique. *J. Infect. Dis.* DOI:

10.1093/infdis/jiz139 (2019). doi:10.1093/infdis/jiz139

59.   Weedall, G. D. *et al.* A cytochrome P450 allele confers pyrethroid resistance on

a major African malaria vector, reducing insecticide-treated bednet efficacy.

Sci. Transl. Med. **11,** eaat7386 (2019).

60. Corbel, V. *et al.* A new WHO bottle bioassay method to assess the

susceptibility of mosquito vectors to public health insecticides : results from a

WHO- coordinated multi- centre study. *Res. Sq.* 1–20 (2022).

61. Mouhamadou, C. S. *et al.* Evidence of insecticide resistance selection in wild

Anopheles coluzzii mosquitoes due to agricultural pesticide use. *Infect. Dis.*

Poverty **8,** 1–8 (2019).

62. Morrissey, C. A. *et al.* Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters

and associated risk to aquatic invertebrates: A review. *Environ. Int.* **74,** 291–

303 (2015).

63. Bartlett, A. J. *et al.* Lethal and sublethal toxicity of neonicotinoid and

butenolide insecticides to the mayfly, Hexagenia spp. *Environ. Pollut.* **238,** 63–

75 (2018).

64. Shepherd, S. *et al.* Sublethal neonicotinoid exposure attenuates the effects of

electromagnetic fields on honey bee flight and learning. *Environ. Adv.* **4,**

100051 (2021).

65. Jin, R. *et al.* Overexpression of CYP6ER1 associated with clothianidin

resistance in Nilaparvata lugens (Stål). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **154,** 39–45

(2019).

66. Bass, C. *et al.* Mutation of a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor β subunit is

associated with resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides in the aphid Myzus

persicae. *BMC Neurosci.* **12,** (2011).

67. Yang, N. *et al.* Transcriptome profiling of the whitefly Bemisia tabaci reveals

stage-specific gene expression signatures for thiamethoxam resistance. *Insect*

Mol. Biol. **22,** 485–496 (2013).

68. Abdallah, I. S., Abou-yousef, H. M., Fouad, E. A. & Kandil, M. A. E. The role of

detoxifying enzymes in the resistance of the cowpea aphid (Aphis craccivora

Koch) to thiamethoxam. *J. Plant Prot. Res.* **56,** 67–72 (2016).

69. Antonio-Nkondjio, C. *et al.* Rapid evolution of pyrethroid resistance

prevalence in Anopheles gambiae populations from the cities of Douala and

Yaoundé (Cameroon). *Malar. J.* **14,** 1–9 (2015).

70. Zoh, M. G. *et al.* Exposure of Anopheles gambiae larvae to a sub-lethal dose of

an agrochemical mixture induces tolerance to adulticides used in vector

control management. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **248,** (2022).

Figure legends

Figure 1: Description of the study site. (A) Map of the sampling locations where

Anopheles and *Culex* mosquitoes were collected to evaluate susceptibility to

clothianidin. (B) Picture of a larval breeding site in Nkolondom. (C) Examples of empty

containers found in farms indicating the use of imidacloprid and acetamiprid

formulations.

Figure 2: Baseline susceptibility of laboratory strains and field mosquito populations to clothianidin monitored for 7 days using CDC bottle bioassays. (A) Mortality values of *Anopheles* and *Culex* female adults exposed to 150 µg/ml clothianidin. (B) Gradient of susceptibility revealed in wild populations of *An. gambiae*. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean and (n) the number of individuals tested.

Figure 3: Knockdowns values and synergistic effect of PBO. Knockdowns after 1 h

exposure to 150 µg/ml clothianidin were compared between *Anopheles* and *Culex*

species (A) and between field populations of *An. gambiae* (B). Standard test (1X) and

synergist bioassay (PBO) showing increase in mortality due to PBO (C). Standard errors

of the mean are shown as vertical bars.

Figure 4: Relationship between susceptibility to clothianidin as revealed by CDC bottle

607 bioassays and efficacy of SumiShield® 50WG evaluated with WHO tube tests.