Birnavirus Ribonucleoprotein Assembly

Idoia Busnadiego[1,2,*], Maria T. Martín[3], Diego S. Ferrero[1,4], María G. Millán de la Blanca[1,5], Laura Broto[1], Elisabeth Díaz-Beneitez[1], Daniel Fuentes[1], Dolores Rodríguez[1], Nuria Verdaguer[4], Leonor Kremer[3] and José F. Rodríguez[1*]

(1) Departamento de Biología Molecular y Celular. Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Madrid, 28049, Spain (2) Institute of Medical Virology, University of Zurich, Zurich, 8057, Switzerland. (3) Protein Tools Unit and Department of Immunology and Oncology. Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Madrid, 28049, Spain (4) Departamento de Biologia Estructural. Institut de Biología Molecular de Barcelona, Barcelona, 08028, Spain (5) Departamento de Reproducción Animal. Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria. Madrid, 28040, Spain.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Idoia Busnadiego. Tel: +41 44 63 42620; Email: busnadiego.idoia@virology.uzh.ch. Correspondence may also be addressed to José F. Rodríguez. Email: jfrodrig@cnb.cisc.es

ABSTRACT

Birnaviruses are ancient evolutionary intermediates between double- and single-

stranded RNA viruses that package their dsRNA genomes as filamentous

ribonucleoproteins (RNP). The major RNP protein component is VP3, a homodimeric

polypeptide that functionally mimics nucleoproteins from single stranded RNA viruses.

An experimentally-tested VP3-dsRNA interaction model underlying the assembly of

Birnavirus RNPs. Our report provides new and relevant clues to better understanding

the molecular biology of this unique virus family.

INTRODUCTION

Double-stranded (ds) RNA duplexes are ubiquitously found in all live entities. dsRNA modules are indeed essential for a wide variety of biological processes, e.g. mRNA splicing and transport, gene transcription and mRNA translation. The multiple roles played by dsRNA modules entail their interaction with specific protein partners. Ribosomes and spliceosomes are good examples of the importance of these interactions for the maintenance of life. Paralleling their paramount biological significance, dsRNA-protein interactions have been analysed using a wide variety of experimental approaches, thus multiple dsRNA-binding proteins have been structurally characterized leading to a better understanding on how proteins recognize and interact with RNA duplexes (1,2).

Viruses, especially those harbouring dsRNA genomes, are utterly dependent upon dsRNA-protein interactions both to successfully completing their replication program and preventing detection by host-encoded dsRNA pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and dsRNA-dependent antiviral effectors that constitute a major component of the cellular innate antiviral response (3).

The *Birnaviridae* family comprises a group of non-enveloped icosahedral viruses harbouring bi-segmented, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) genomes (4). Members of this family infect insects, aquatic fauna and birds. Within this family, Drosophila X (DXV), pancreatic necrosis (IPNV), blotched snakehead (BSV) and infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) are prototype members of the entomo-, aqua-, blosna- and avibirnavirus genera, respectively (4).

IBDV, the best characterized member of this family, infects domestic chickens (*Gallus gallus*) causing an acute immunosuppressive disease that imposes severe loses

to the poultry industry worldwide (5). The IBDV major structural components namely

VP2 (the capsid polypeptide) and VP3 (a multifunctional polypeptide), are encoded by

the polyprotein open reading frame (6). The polyprotein undergoes a co-translational,

self-proteolytic processing that releases pVP2 (the capsid polypeptide precursor) along

with VP4 (the viral protease) and VP3 (7). VP3 is multifunctional participating in several

processes during IBDV replication, i.e. acting as a scaffolding element during particle

morphogenesis (8,9,10), activating the virus-encoded RNA-dependent RNA polymerase

(RdRP, also known as VP1) (11,12), and interacting with both dsRNA genome segments

to form ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes that occupy the inner particle space (13).

Prototypical dsRNA viruses, e.g. members of the *Reoviridae* family, enclose their

multipartite genomes into a conserved icosahedral structure, known as transcriptional

core, which remains intact throughout the replication cycle. This structure holds the

enzymatic machinery required for genome replication, transcription and mRNA

extrusion whilst providing an efficient shelter against dsRNA host sensor proteins (14).

In a sharp structural and functional contrast, Birnaviruses lack replicative cores (15-17).

This structure is functionally replaced by RNP complexes built by the genome dsRNA

segments associated to VP3 dimers. The third, and minor, RNP component is the virus-

encoded RNA polymerase (VP1), which is found in two molecular forms, i.e. as a free

polypeptide and as VPg, covalently linked to the 5'-ends of both genome dsRNA

segments (18,19). RNPs are transcriptionally active, *in vitro* and *ex vivo*, and act as

transcriptional/replication devices capable of triggering a productive infection in the

absence of the capsid protein (19,20).

Like other dsRNA binding proteins, e.g. NS1 from influenza virus (21) and E3 from

vaccinia virus (VACV) (22), *in vitro* assays indicate that VP3 shields viral dsRNA from

cellular dsRNA sensors, thus preventing the activation of at least two major components

of the host's innate antiviral protein arsenal, namely the protein kinase RNA-activated

(PKR) (23) and the melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) (24).

Additionally, we have also found that VP3 acts as an efficient anti-silencing protein in an

experimental virus/plant model (25).

According to our preliminary mapping, the VP3 polypeptide holds a bipartite

dsRNA binding domain (dsRBD) located at the central region of the protein (25). The

dsRBD encompasses two surface exposed electropositive regions, termed Patch1 and

Patch2, located at the same protein face. Each region holds four electropositive

residues: Patch1 (K99, R102, K105 and K106) and Patch2 (R159, R168, H198 and R200)

(25). The central VP3 region harbouring the dsRBD folds in two ⍺-helical modules

connected by a long and flexible hinge and is organized as a swapped dimer (26).

So far, attempts to solving the crystal structure of VP3 bound to dsRNA have

failed, thus hindering the possibility of obtaining precise information about the VP3-

dsRNA interaction mechanism. Here, we present an *in silico* model docking the VP3

dimer on the A-form of the dsRNA helix. The model has been tested using surface

plasmon resonance (SPR) analysis performed with a collection of VP3 mutant versions

and RNA duplexes of different lengths. Experimental data are in good agreement with

the interaction model. We have also identified K99 and K106 as the critical residues for

dsRNA binding. Indeed, mutations affecting either one of these two residues abrogate

both the capacity of the protein to bind dsRNA and shield dsRNA from cellular sensors,

and thwart virus infectivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses

BSC-1 (African green monkey kidney cells, ATCC number CRL-2761), DF-1 (spontaneously transformed chicken embryo fibroblasts, ATCC number CRL-12203) and QM7 (quail muscle myoblasts, ATCC number CRL-19DF cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified minimal essential medium (DMEM). *HighFive* cells (*Trichoplusia ni* ovary cells, BTI-TN-5B1-4, Invitrogen) were grown in TC-100 medium (Gibco). All cell media were supplemented with penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml) and 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Spain). The recombinant VACV VT7LacOI, kindly provided by B. Moss (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA), was grown and titrated in BSC-1 cells as previously described (27). All recombinant baculoviruses (rBV) used in this report were grown and titrated in HighFive cells following instructions provided in the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression System manual (Invitrogen, Publication Number MAN0000414. Revision A.0.). IBDV infections and titrations were carried out in QM7 cells as previously described (28).

Generation of rBVs

rBVs FB/his-VP3, FB/his-VP3P1 and FB/his-VP3P2, used for the production of recombinant hVP3, hVP3P1 and hVP3P2 have been described elsewhere (25,29). Other rBVs used in this report were generated as follows. The construction of baculovirus transfer vectors encoding hVP3 mutant polypeptides were generated using PCR-based site directed mutagenesis on the pFB/hisVP3 plasmid (29) using synthetic DNA oligonucleotide primers (Sigma-Aldrich) described in Supplemental Table 1. The resulting plasmids, pFB/hVP3K99D, pFB/hisVP3R102D, pFB/hVP3K105D and pFB/hVP3K106D, respectively, were used for the introduction of double mutations using

119 the corresponding oligonucleotide primers (Supplemental Table 1), thus generating

120 plasmids pFB/hVP3K99D-R102D, pFB/hVP3K99D-K105D, pFB/hVP3K99D-K106D,

121 pFB/hVP3R102D-K105D, pFB/hVP3R102D-K106D and pFB/hisK105D-K106D). Plasmids

122 were subjected to nucleotide sequencing and then used to generate rBVs using the Bac-

123 to-Bac system (Invitrogen, USA).

Generation of pcDNA3-VP3 plasmids

Generation of pcDNA3-VP3wt has been described elsewhere (23). For the

generation of pcDNA3VP3Patch1, pcDNA3VP3Patch2, pcDNA3VP3Patch1+2,

pcDNAVP3K99D, pcDNAVP3R102D, pcDNAVP3K105D and pcDNAVP3K106D, DNA

fragments corresponding to each of the VP3 coding regions were generated by PCR from

the previously described pFB baculovirus transfer vectors using the primers 5'-

CGCGAAGCTTATGGGTTTCCCTCACAATCCACGC   and   5'-

GCGCGGATCCTCACTCAAGGTCCTCATCAGAGAC. The DNA fragments were purified,

restricted with HindIII and BamHI and cloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen) previously

digested with the same enzymes. The resulting plasmids were subjected to nucleotide

sequence analysis to assess the correctness of the cloned sequence.

Generation of recombinant VACV expressing mutant versions of the VP3 polypeptide

The production of recombinant VACV (rVACV) VT7/VP3K99D and

VT7/VP3K106D, was initiated by generating the corresponding VACV insertion vectors.

For this, two DNA fragments of 789 bp containing the VP3K99D and VP3K106D mutant

versions of the VP3 ORF, flanked by NdeI and BamHI restriction sites, were generated

by PCR using the plasmids pFB/hisVP3K99D and pFB/hisVP3K106D as templates and the

primers   5'GCGCCATATGGCTGCATCAGAGTTCAAAGAG   and

5'GCGCGGATCCTCACTCAAGGTCCTCATCAGAG. The resulting PCR products were

purified, digested with NdeI and BamHI and ligated to the pVOTE.2 VACV

insertion/expression plasmid vector (27) previously digested with the same restriction

enzymes. The resulting plasmids, pVOTE/VP3K99D and pVOTE/VP3K106D, were

subjected to nucleotide sequencing, and then used to generate the corresponding

rVACVs, VT7/VP3K99D and VT7/VP3K106D, via homologous recombination with the VT7

VACV genome as previously described (27).

Expression and Purification of his-tagged VP3 protein versions from Insect cells

Expression of the different hVP3 protein versions was achieved by infecting

HighFive cell monolayers with the rBVs described above at a MOI of 3 PFU/cell. Infected

cells were harvested at 72 h post-infection (PI), washed twice with phosphate-buffered

saline, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% igepal)

supplemented with protease inhibitors (Complete Mini; Roche), and maintained on ice

for 20 min. Thereafter, extracts were centrifuged at 13,000xg for 10 min at 4°C.

Supernatants were collected and subjected to metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC)

purification by using a HisTrap HP Ni^{2+} affinity column (GE Healthcare, Spain). Resin-

bound polypeptides were released with elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 500

mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazol). hisVP3-containing fractions were pooled, dialyzed against

lysis buffer lacking igepal, and subjected to a second purification round under identical

conditions. Finally, protein samples were dialyzed against 1,000 volumes of 50 mM Tris-

HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl. The purity of eluted proteins was assessed by SDS-PAGE

analysis and Coomassie blue staining. Protein concentration was determined using the

BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific Pierce, USA). Purified proteins were maintained

at 4°C for a maximum period of 14 days.

Generation of dsRNA analytes

RNA duplex preparation was performed by annealing complementary synthetic

single stranded RNA oligonucleotide pairs where one of the oligonucleotides from each

pair was biotinylated at its 5'-end. Both oligonucleotide synthesis and annealing was

performed by the manufacturer (Biomers.net, Germany). Oligonucleotide sequences

are described in Supplemental Table 2.

Surface Plasmon Resonance Analysis

SPR experiments were performed using a biosensor Biacore 3000 (Biacore, GE

Healthcare). dsRNA ligands bearing a biotin at the 5`end of one the strands were

immobilized on the surface of streptavidin-coated sensor chips (SA). RNA duplexes were

loaded at a flow rate of 10 µl/min, using HBS-P (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 0.2 M NaCl, 3

mM EDTA, 0.005% Surfactant P20) running buffer. Reference surfaces, used as control

for these assays, were blank flow cells.

Binding assays, performed in duplicate within each experiment, were carried out

at 25°C at a flow rate of 30 µl/min. Proteins under study were serially diluted in running

buffer to reach the indicated concentrations. The protein fraction that remained bound

after the dissociation phase was removed by injecting 800 mM NaCl. Data were collected

for the association and dissociation periods as indicated in each specific experiment. SPR

sensograms recorded with each tested protein concentration were overlaid, aligned and

analysed using the BIAevaluation Software 4.1 (GE Healthcare). All data sets were

processed using a double-referencing method (30). Collected data were fit to a 1:1

Langmuir model with a correction for mass transport (31).

IBDV reverse genetics analysis

The reverse genetics analysis was performed as previously described (32) using

an approach based on the co-transfection of QM7 cells with two plasmids containing

cDNAs corresponding to the positive strand sequence of the IBDV segments A and B,

respectively. Plasmid pT7-SA-Rz harboring the cDNA of segment A was subjected to site

directed mutagenesis to introduce mutations VP3K99D or VP3K106D within the context

of the IBDV polyprotein open reading frame. Mutagenesis was performed as described

above using primer pairs K99DFW and K99DREV or K106DFW and K106DREV

(Supplemental Table 1). The resulting plasmids, pT7-SAVP3K99D-Rz and pT7-

SAVP3K106D-Rz, were subjected to nucleotide sequence analysis to assess their

correctness.

QM7 cells were transfected with a combination of plasmids pT7-SA-Rz and pT7-

SB-Rz, corresponding to the IBDV wild-type genome segments, pT7-SAVP3K99D-Rz and

pT7-SB-Rz, or pT7-SAVP3K106D-Rz and pT7-SB-Rz, respectively, using Lipofectamine

2000 (Invitrogen). At 6 h post-transfection, cultures were infected with 3 PFU/cell of

VT7, an rVV inducibly expressing the T7 RNA polymerase. Cultures were then maintained

at 37°C in medium supplemented with 1 mM isopropyl-D-thiogalactosidase (IPTG). At

72 h PI, cultures were harvested and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles. Infected cell

samples were used to assess the expression of the VP3 polypeptide by Western blotting.

After removing cell debris by low speed centrifugation, supernatants were recovered

and filtered through 0.1 μm filters (Merk Millipore) to eliminate contaminant rVV

particles, and used to infect fresh QM7 cell monolayers. Samples from these infections

were collected at 72 h PI and used to rule out possible contamination with the rVACV by

Western blotting by using the monoclonal antibody mAbC3 recognizing a highly

abundant VACV structural protein (33). The corresponding cell supernatants were used

to perform two subsequent rounds of IBDV amplification by infecting fresh QM7

monolayers. The presence of IBDV in all samples was assessed by plaque assay as

previously described (28).

Characterization of IBDV virus-like particles in cells infected with rVACV

BSC-1 cell monolayers were infected with rVACV VT7/VP3, VT7/VP3K99D or

VT7/VP3K106D at a MOI of 3 PFU/cell. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis

was performed using cell samples collected at 48 h PI following a previously described

protocol (9). Purification of IBDV virus-like particles (VLP) was carried out using samples

collected at 72 h PI as previously described (34). VLP samples were negatively stained

with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate. TEM micrographs were recorded with a Jeol 1200 EXII

electron microscope operating at 100 kV.

Western Blot analysis

225   Samples used for Western blot analysis were prepared as described previously

226   (23). The antibody used in this study was a rabbit polyclonal serum specific for IBDV VP3

227   (34). After incubation with the primary antibody, membranes were incubated with goat

228   anti-rabbit IgG-Peroxidase conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich). Immunoreactive bands were

229   detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare).

IFN-β promoter activation assay

DF-1 cells were transfected with 400 or 800 ng of plasmid pIF(-116/+72)lucter

(pIFNβ-luc), expressing the *Photinus pyralis* luciferase gene under the control of the

human IFN-β promoter (35), along with 30 ng of pRL-null, constitutively expressing the

Renilla muelleri luciferase gene (Promega Biotech, Spain). The latter used as transfection

control. Cells were simultaneously transfected with 400 or 800 ng of pCDNA3 derived

plasmids expressing the different VP3 protein versions, or with identical amounts of

empty pcDNA3. All samples received the same amount of total DNA, that was adjusted

by adding the required amount of pcDNA3. At 8 h post-transfection, IFN-β promoter

activity was induced by transfecting 250 ng of poly I:C (average size 0.2-1 kb) (InvivoGen,

France) during 16 h. After this period, cell lysates were collected and analysed using the

dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega Biotech) following the manufacter's instructions.

Luciferase activities were recorded using an Orion II luminometer (Titerthek Berthold,

Germany). *Photinus* luciferase activity was expressed as the relative fold induction (n-

fold) over that detected in the pcDNA3-transfected cells, after normalization to the

Renilla luciferase activity.

RESULTS

In silico **VP3-dsRNA interaction model**

As described above, our attempts to solve the crystal structure of the VP3-dsRNA

complex have not yet been successful. However, the previously described atomic

structure of VP3 (26) together with our preliminary mapping of the VP3 dsRBD (25)

prompted us to generate a hypothetical interaction model. Here, we propose an *in silico*

model allowing a feasible electrostatic docking of the VP3 protein (pdb: 2r18) and the

A-form of the dsRNA helix (pdb: 1RNA). As shown in Fig. 1A, the electronegative side

chains of Patch1 residues K99 and K106, located on α-helix 2 of VP3, are spaced by 12.3

Å. This distance is rather close to the 13.9 Å gap spacing the OH$^-$ groups from 5'-

phosphates of nucleotides n1 and n9$_c$ (the latter corresponding to the complementary

RNA strand) within the major groove of the dsRNA helix. This suggests that both

phosphates could be efficiently trapped by K99 and K106 residues. In this context, the

closely located R126 (VP3 α-helix 1) and the hydrophobic I103 residue (VP3 α-helix 2)

could also play a significant role stabilizing the complex, either assisting K99/K106-

mediated interactions and/or blocking the displacement of the dsRNA. The Patch2

subdomain, placed below Patch1 within the same face of the VP3 monomer, would also

contribute to stabilizing dsRNA binding. Both dsRBD subdomains are off centered the

vertical VP3 axis, with Patch2 facing the half of α-helix 2 harboring the seemingly crucial

Patch1 K99 and K106 residues (Fig. 1B). The average distance between K99 and the

clustered electropositive residues forming Patch2 is of 37.3 Å, fairly close to the 37.7 Å

gap spacing the OH$^-$ groups from the 5´-phosphates of nucleotides n1 and n17$_c$ at the

major dsRNA helix groove.

According to the model, stable VP3-dsRNA complex formation would be strictly

dependent upon the length of the interacting dsRNA helix providing a suitable platform

for the correct positioning of both VP3 dsRBD subdomains. According to the model, a

perfectly base-paired dsRNA helix formed by 17-bp should support the engagement of

both Patch1 and Patch2 subdomains whilst a shorter one formed by 9-bp would allow a

weaker interaction with Patch1.

Indeed, these theoretical helix sizes are prone to variations due to the inherent

instability of the helix, which is especially high at both ends. This phenomenon, known

as "breathing", has been thoroughly described in the DNA helix and reflects

spontaneous local conformational fluctuations within double-stranded DNA leading to

the breaking of base pairs at temperatures below the melting temperature (36). Indeed,

the breathing of dsRNA analytes used in our study might impose some deviation of

experimental data with respect to the proposed model.

Kinetic analysis of the VP3-dsRNA interaction

Previous work from our laboratory showed that hVP3, a recombinant version of

the VP3 polypeptide harbouring an N-terminal polyhistidine tag, efficiently binds

purified IBDV dsRNA genomic segments (ca. 3 Kb) as well as short (21- and 26-bp)

synthetic dsRNA duplexes (25). That study was based on the use of electrophoretic

mobility assays (EMSA), an experimental approach based on equilibrium analysis that

does not provide information about protein-dsRNA binding kinetics. Hence mechanistic

details about the VP3-dsRNA interaction remained unknown.

Indeed, testing the interaction model described above required a more

sophisticated approach allowing to compare the performance of different RNA duplexes

and mutant VP3 versions. For this, we resorted to the use of SPR, a highly sensitive

approach providing real time quantitative binding kinetics data and allowing the

detection of weak protein-dsRNA interactions (37).

In order to obtain a comprehensive analysis of the hVP3-dsRNA interaction,

biotinylated RNA duplexes of different lengths (10-, 12-, 14-, 16-, 18-, 20-, 22-, 24-, 32-

and 40-bp) were captured on the surface of different flow cells of a streptavidin-coated

sensor-chip. Analyses were then performed by injecting different concentrations

(ranging from 0.313 to 20 nM) of affinity-purified hVP3 onto the RNA duplexes captured

on sensor-chips following the protocol described in the Material and Methods section.

Results of this analysis are shown in table 1 and supplemental figure 1. Although

our model predicts the binding of VP3 dimers to a helix comprising 10-bps, experimental

data show that this is not the case, probably reflecting helix end's instability and the

consequent reduction of the available dsRNA length.

Complex formation was detected with all other tested RNA duplexes (Suppl. Fig.

1). As shown in the corresponding sensorgrams, responses during the hVP3 association

and dissociation phases are fast and highly dependent on protein concentration.

Recoded information was used to calculate kinetics data using a simple 1:1 Langmuir

model including a term for mass transport deficiency. Noteworthy, as shown in Suppl.

Fig. 1, data gathered with the 40-bp analyte do not fit the 1:1 Langmuir model, hence

precluding the calculation of reliable kinetics data. Indeed, this observation strongly

suggests that the 40-bp dsRNA provides an interaction surface allowing to

simultaneously accommodate more than one VP3 dimer.

A comparison of apparent dissociation constant (K_D) values recorded with

duplexes ranging from 12- to 32-bp (Fig. 2) indicates that, as predicted by the model, the

dsRNA/VP3 binding affinity is largely proportional to duplex length, showing an increase

of over 4 $\log_{10}$ K_D units when comparing data recorded with the 12- and 32-bp dsRNA

analytes, respectively.

Mutational analysis of the VP3 dsRBD

Fitting our previous EMSA data (25), the proposed model predicts that both

dsRBD subdomains are crucial for the establishment of the dsRNA/VP3 interaction. So,

we initiate the mutational assessment of the proposed interaction model by analysing

the binding capacity of two previously described mutant VP3 polypeptides, hVP3Patch1

and hVP3Patch2, using SPR (25). A cartoon showing the electrostatic three-dimensional

surface of wild-type, Patch1 and Patch2, hVP3 dimers used for this analysis is shown in

Fig. 2A.

The 24-bp biotinylated dsRNA was captured on the surface of parallel flow cells

of a streptavidin sensor-chip as described above. Affinity purified wild type hVP3,

hVP3Patch1 and hVP3Patch2 polypeptides were then injected on different flow cells at

a concentration of 200 nM for 120 s followed by injection of protein dilution buffer to

analyse protein dissociation. An unmodified flow cell served as a reference surface for

these experiments. In view of the low resonance signals detected with both hVP3Patch1

and hVP3Patch2 polypeptides, the association phase for these two proteins was

extended to 180 s to get a better binding assessment.

Fig. 2B shows a set of representative sensorgrams corresponding to assays

performed with the three proteins used in these assays. As expected, a sharp interaction

signal was detected with the wild-type hVP3 polypeptide. However, signals gathered

with hVP3Patch1 and hVP3Patch2 mutants were exceedingly lower, thus conspicuously

revealing the harsh detrimental effect of mutations on both Patch1 and Patch2 dsRBD

subdomains. Significantly, the obliteration of Patch1 completely arrests dsRNA binding.

In contrast, the hVP3Patch2 mutant protein lacking the Patch2 dsRBD subdomain retains

a marginal, yet detectable, capacity to interact with the dsRNA analyte. This observation

is consistent with the accessory role of the dsRBD Patch2 subdomain predicted by the

interaction model described above.

Next, to assess the specific contribution of individual Patch1 residues, we

generated a set of hVP3 polypeptides holding single amino acid substitutions replacing

each electropositive residue (K or R) by an electronegative D residue. The resulting

protein mutants (hVP3K99D, hVP3R102D, hVP3K105D and hVP3K106D) were tested

using parallel flow cells loaded with the 24-bp RNA duplex. Assays were performed by

injecting purified proteins at three concentrations (i.e. 50, 100 and 200 nM) using a 60 s

association phase. As shown in Fig. 3A, while hVP3R102D and hVP3K105D retain a dose-

dependent binding capacity, this activity is completely abolished in their hVP3K99D and

hVP3K106D counterparts.

To further confirm data gathered with single point hVP3 mutants, a set of double

mutants within the dsRBD Patch1 subdomain (hVP3K99D/R102D, hVP3K99D/K105D,

hVP3K99D/K106D, hVP3R102D/K105D, hVP3R102D/K106D and hVP3K105D/K106D)

were generated and tested by SPR. The results of this analysis, shown in Fig. 3B, indicate

that the dsRNA binding capacity is exclusively retained by the hVP3R102D/K105D

mutant protein. Significantly, the only mutant holding unaltered K99 and K106 residues.

Taken together this set of results show that, as predicted by the VP3-dsRNA

interaction model, both K99 and K106 are absolutely essential for VP3-dsRNA complex

formation.

VP3 residues K99 and K106 play a key role counteracting the innate antiviral response

Binding to the viral dsRNA genome represents a key function of VP3 to evade

cellular dsRNA sensors that would otherwise trigger the innate antiviral response. In

light of the different dsRNA binding activities observed for the tested VP3 mutant

versions, it seemed feasible to hypothesize a correlation between the dsRNA-binding

affinity and the capacity to control the activation of the innate antiviral response.

Accordingly, we compared the capacity of VP3 and its derived mutant versions to

hamper the activation of the innate antiviral activity triggered by dsRNA transfection

(Fig. 4). For this, we designed an experimental approach allowing to quantify the

transcriptional activation of the IFN-β promoter in response to synthetic Poly I:C dsRNA

transfection.

DF-1 chicken cells were cotransfected with pIFNβ-luc, expressing the *Photinus*

*pyrali*s luciferase gene (PL) under the control of the IFN-β promoter, and a pcDNA3-

derivative constitutively expressing each VP3 version under analysis; pRL-null,

constitutively expressing the *Renilla muelleri* (RL) luciferase gene was used as

transfection control. 18 h later, cultures were transfected with Poly I:C to trigger the

transcriptional activation of the IFN-β promoter. After 16 h, cells were collected and the

corresponding extracts used to quantify both PL and PR activities.

As shown in Fig. 4, transfection of poly I:C induced a strong activation of the IFN-

⍰ promoter in cells transfected with the empty pcDNA3 vector control. This activation is

strongly reduced in the presence of wild type VP3, suggesting that the synthetic dsRNA

is sheltered by the protein and thus, not detected by cellular sensors. As expected,

expression of the VP3Patch1 mutant, unable to bind dsRNA, shows high levels of IFN-⍰

promoter activation, almost as high as those found with the empty vector control; and

in the case of the VP3Patch2 mutant, IFN-α activation levels are significantly reduced.

Interestingly, when expressing the VP3 versions bearing single amino acid

substitutions on the Patch1 dsRBD subdomain we observed that only VP3R102D and

VP3K105D were able to reduce IFN-α promoter activation to levels similar to those

achieved with the VP3 wild type. VP3K99D and VP3K106D mutants behaved similarly to

VP3Patch1, and in both cases poly I:C transfection induced a strong activation of the IFN-

▯ promoter due to the sheltering deficiency of both mutant proteins.

In all cases, a VP3 dose-dependent response is observed, further supporting the

correlation between the capacity to interact with dsRNA and the evasion from the

cellular sensors. Remarkably, the substitution of only one residue, either K99 or K106,

completely abolishes the capacity of the protein to evade the antiviral response. Indeed,

this finding offers a new and interesting therapeutic target that could be further

explored in the future.

VP3 residues K99 and K106 are essential for IBDV replication

In view of results described above it was of outmost importance to determine

the effect that K99D and K106D mutations might exert on IBDV replication. For this, we

used a previously described reverse genetics approach based on the use of two

plasmids, i.e. pT7_SA_Rz and B pT7_SB_Rz, harbouring cDNA sequences corresponding

to IBDV genome segments A and B under the transcriptional control of the T7

bacteriophage RNA polymerase (32). VP3K99D and VP3K106D substitutions were

introduced into the IBDV segment A coding sequence by site-directed mutagenesis. The

resulting plasmids pT7_SA-VP3K99D_Rz and pT7_SA-VP3K106D_Rz respectively, were

used to cotransfect QM7 cells along with the plasmid pT7_SB_Rz, expressing segment

B. As a control for these experiments, cultures were cotransfected with plasmids

pT7_SA_Rz and pT7_SB_Rz expressing cDNAs corresponding to wild-type IBDV genome

segments A and B, respectively. After transfection, cultures were infected with

VT7LacOI, a recombinant vaccinia virus expressing the T7 RNA polymerase upon

addition of IPTG to cell media. Cultures were then maintained in the presence of the

IPTG to trigger transcription of both IBDV genome segments. At 72 h PI, cell

supernatants were harvested and used to detect infectious IBDV by plaque assay.

Supernatants were also used to further amplify infectious IBDV by two consecutive

rounds of infection on fresh QM7 cell cultures. Results presented in Table 2, indicate

that both, VP3K99D and VP3K106D, mutations are lethal, completely abolishing the

production of an infective IBDV progeny.

Western blotting analysis performed with samples from transfected/infected

cultures showed that the mutations under analysis do not affect VP3 expression. This

rules out the possibility that the failure to recovering infectious IBDV might be due

defects on the expression of mutant VP3 genes (Fig. 5A).

The VP3 polypeptide acts as an essential scaffolding element during IBDV particle

assembly (9). Accordingly, it seemed feasible that mutations under analysis might alter

capsid morphogenesis. Therefore, we next analysed the effect of both VP3K99D and

VP3K106D on the assembly of IBDV virus-like particles (VLP). Two recombinant vaccinia

viruses VT7_POLY-VP3K99D and VT7_POLY-VP3K106D, expressing mutant versions of

the IBDV polyprotein gene, harbouring either the VP3K99D or the VP3K106D point

mutations, were generated. The previously described VT7_POLY recombinant vaccinia

virus, expressing the wild-type polyprotein gene, known to direct the assembly of IBDV

VLP (34), was used as a control for subsequent experiments. These three recombinant

viruses were used to infect cultures of BSC-1 cells. Infected cultures were maintained in

the presence of the IPTG to trigger the expression of the different polyprotein gene

versions.

IBDV capsid assembly was tested using two complementary approaches: i)

transmission electron microscopy analysis of cells infected with the described

recombinant vaccinia viruses; and ii) sucrose gradient-based purification of VLP from

infected cell extracts. As shown in Fig. 5B, regardless of the polyprotein gene version

being expressed, typical honeycomb-like IBDV-derived VLP superstructures were

detected within the cytoplasm of infected cells. Additionally, icosahedral VLPs identical

to *bona fide* IBDV particles were isolated from extracts corresponding to cells expressing

all three genes under analysis (Fig. 5C). Hence, showing that neither K99D nor K106D

affect the VP3 scaffolding activity.

DISCUSSION

RNPs are a distinctive structural and functional hallmark differentiating Birnaviruses from prototypical dsRNA viruses deserving an in depth characterization. Indeed, the lack of VP3-dsRNA complex model posed a major obstacle to both understanding mechanisms governing RNP assembly/disassembly processes and to exploring the RNP's contribution to the birnavirus replication process.

Here, we present a hypothetical model based on the *in silico* docking of the VP3 dimer on the A-form dsRNA helix suggesting that complex formation relies on the establishment of electrostatic interactions between the RNA duplex and VP3 dimers. The first one involving OH^- groups from 5'-phosphates of nucleotides n1 and $n9_c$, within the major dsRNA groove, and electropositive side chains of VP3 residues K99 and K106, located at the Patch1 region. According to the model, a second interaction is established between the OH^- group from 5'-phosphate of $n17_c$, also placed at the RNA's major groove, and electropositive Patch2 residues. In this scenario, the interaction mediated by the dsRBD Patch1 subdomain would play a major role, docking the VP3 dimer on the major groove of the RNA helix, whilst the Patch2 subdomain would have a secondary function stabilizing the complex by further engaging the protein dimer on the major groove of the next helix turn. The model predicts that complex assembly to be strictly dependent on the length of the RNA duplex. So, stable complexes, engaging both VP3 Patch1 and 2 regions, would only be formed with dsRNA molecules offering a perfectly base-paired helix comprising at least 17-bp. VP3 dimers should also weakly interact with smaller RNA duplexes holding a flawless helical structure comprising at least 9-bp.

The robustness of the proposed model was tested using a SPR-based approach

using both a range of dsRNA analytes of different sizes and recombinant VP3

polypeptides harbouring mutations on the previously described dsRNA subdomains.

Results gathered with the different dsRNA analytes are conclusive showing that,

as predicted by the model, VP3/dsRNA complex formation is utterly dependent on

dsRNA length. Although predictions concerning helix size requirements are bound to be

affected by the inherent dsRNA instability, experimental data roughly fit the proposed

model. Hence, showing a clear correlation between the size of the dsRNA analytes and

the VP3 binding affinity. The analysis performed with VP3 mutant protein versions

confirm the involvement of both dsRBD subdomain, Additionally, as predicted by the

model, the analysis show that the electropositive Patch1 subdomain plays a chief role in

complex formation. Data collected from the analysis of mutant VP3 polypeptides

harbouring Patch1 single and double amino acid substitutions demonstrate that, as

predicted by the *in silico* model, residues K99 and K106 are absolutely essential for the

interaction to take place. Taking together SPR data presented are in good agreement

with the proposed dsRNA/VP3 interaction model.

The role of RNPs on IBDV replication

As described above, experimental data gathered during the assessment of the

dsRNA/VP3 interaction model showed the essential role of both VP3 residues K99 and

K106. Indeed, the replacement of either one of these residues by an electronegative

amino acid completely abrogates the dsRNA binding capacity of the protein. We took

advantage of this finding in order to analyse the effect of such mutations on the capacity

of the protein to hinder the recognition of dsRNA by cellular dsRNA sensors and the

subsequent activation of the innate antiviral response. The results of this analysis

conclusively show that both residues are critical to counteracting the dsRNA-triggered

innate host's response. Moreover, here we show that although these mutations do not

affect the VP3 scaffolding activity, allowing capsid morphogenesis, their introduction

within the virus genome completely preclude virus replication. Hence showing for the

first time how the introduction of a single amino acid substitution (either K99D or

K106D) efficiently abrogates the ability of the virus to complete its replication

programme. Certainly, these two critical amino acid residues offer a highly promising

target for the design of structure-based of IBDV-specific antivirals. Indeed, data

presented here underscore the utmost importance of RNP complexes during the IBDV

life cycle.

Insights into the IBDV replication cycle

According to SPR data, VP3-dsRNA association and dissociation phases are fast

and highly dependent upon protein concentration. This was somehow expected due to

the predicted roles of RNPs during the IBDV replication process; i.e. acting as

transcription/replication devices, hindering dsRNA sentinel cellular proteins and likely

cooperating to the encapsidation of the virus genome.

In order to facilitate the transcription/replication process, VP3 dimers should

readily dislodge from dsRNA, thus allowing the synthesis of the nascent RNA daughter

chain without affecting the processivity of the viral RdRp. The apparent K_D of VP3 dimer

interaction with duplexes ranging from 20- to 24-bp dsRNA is ca. 2 nM. Similar studies

conducted with other virus-encoded RNA-interacting proteins rendered kinetic

constants comparable to that detected with VP3, e.g. the structural NP protein of

Influenza virus ($K_D = 8.27 \pm 1.43$ nM) (38); or the N nucleocapsid protein of coronaviruses

($K_D = 0.66$-2.82 nM) (39,40). Although experimental differences preclude a direct

comparison, our data indicate that the affinity VP3 for dsRNA is not too far off from

those recorded with other viral RNA-binding proteins.

Additionally, VP3 dimers should swiftly bind the newly synthesized dsRNAs, thus

preventing dsRNA detection. Indeed, VP3 dimer binding should be favoured by the high

concentration of this protein detected at IBDV replication sites (20).

The proposed VP3-dsRNA interaction model suggests that IBDV replication leads

to formation of a complex network built by intertwined genome segments, reversibly

glued by VP3 dimers within the cell cytoplasm. Such network would act as a molecular

trap engulfing newly synthesized RNP components, i.e. dsRNA, VP3 and VP1. This

probably explains the presence of rather large viral factories within discrete cytoplasmic

areas of IBDV infected cells (41). In addition to conferring an effective shield against

specialized host's dsRNA sensors, this RNP network might also serve as a convenient

platform to facilitate interactions between pVP2, the capsid precursor polypeptide, and

RNP-associated VP3 dimers, probably facilitating the previously described random

packaging of genome segments (13) during the IBDV assembly process.

According to previously published data, the large majority of infectious IBDV

particles package four genome segments in a random manner (13). This accounts for a

total RNA duplex length of ca. 12,200-bp per particle (four segments with an average

length of 3,050-bp). We have also shown that IBDV virions contain 457±50 VP3

monomers (228±25 dimers) (13). Each VP3 dimer possesses two dsRBDs, one at each

dimer face, thus being able to simultaneously interact with two dsRNA molecules. Our

experimental data indicates that the RNA duplex size stably holding two VP3 dimers is

of 20-24-bp. Provided this is maintained under natural infection conditions, a simple

calculation, based on available genome and VP3 stoichiometric data, indicates that the

542 number of VP3 dimers required to completely enfold the four dsRNA genome segments

543 found in IBDV particles should be between 305 and 254, quite close to the figure found

544 in purified IBDV virions. This suggests that RNPs released into the cytoplasm from

545 infecting virus particles are fully protected by VP3 dimers. Hence, providing a

546 mechanism to ensuring the stealth of the initial virus replication steps.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the excellent technical assistance provided by Antonio Varas.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness

[AGL2014-60095-P]; Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities [AGL2017-87464-

C2-1-P]; Spanish Ministry of Science and Education [BES-2007-15089 to I.B.] and Spanish

Senior Council of Scientific Research [PIE-201420E109 to L.K.]. Work in Barcelona was

supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness [BIO2017-83906-P]

and [MDM-2014-0435].

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. Saunders,L.R. and Barber,G.N. (2003) The dsRNA binding protein family: critical roles, diverse cellular functions. FASEB J., 17(9): 961-83. DOI: 10.1096/fj.02-0958rev

2. Wang,X., Vukovic,L., Koh,H.R., Schulten,K. and Myong,S. (2015) Dynamic profiling of double-stranded RNA binding proteins. Nucleic Acids Res., 43(15): 7566-76. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkv726

3. Jensen,S. and Thomsen,A.R. (2012) Sensing of RNA Viruses: a Review of Innate Immune Receptors Involved in Recognizing RNA Virus Invasion. J. Virol., 86(6): 2900-2910. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.05738-11

4. Delmas, D., Attoui, H., Ghosh, S., Malik, Y.S., Mundt, E., Vakharia, V.N., and ICTV Report Consortium, 2019, ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: *Birnaviridae*, Journal of General Virology, 100, 5–6. DOI: 10.1099/jgv.0.001185

5. van den Berg,T.P., Eterradossi,N., Toquin,D. and Meulemans,G. (2000) Infectious bursal disease (Gumboro disease). Rev Sci Tech., 19: 509-43.

6. Kibenge,F.S., Qian,B., Cleghorn,J.R. and Martin,C.K. (1997) Infectious bursal disease virus polyprotein processing does not involve cellular proteases. Arch Virol., 142: 2401-19. DOI: 10.1007/s007050050251

7. Sánchez,A.B. and Rodríguez,J.F. (1999) Proteolytic processing in infectious bursal disease virus: identification of the polyprotein cleavage sites by site-directed mutagenesis. Virology., 262: 190-9. DOI: 10.1006/viro.1999.9910

8. Maraver,A., Oña,A., Abaitua,F., González,D., Clemente,R., Ruiz-Díaz,J.A., Castón,J.R., Pazos,F. and Rodríguez,J.F. (2003) The oligomerization domain of VP3, the scaffolding protein of infectious bursal disease virus, plays a critical role in capsid assembly. J Virol., 77(11): 6438-49. DOI: 10.1128/jvi.77.11.6438-6449.2003

9. Oña,A., Luque,D., Abaitua,F., Maraver,A., Castón,J.R. and Rodríguez,J.F. (2004) The C-terminal domain of the pVP2 precursor is essential for the interaction between VP2 and VP3, the capsid polypeptides of infectious bursal disease virus. Virology., 322(1): 135-42. DOI: 10.1016/j.virol.2004.01.025

10. Saugar,I., Irigoyen,N., Luque,D., Carrascosa,J.L., Rodriguez, J.F. and Castón,J.R.
(2010) Electrostatic interactions between capsid and scaffolding proteins
mediate the structural polymorphism of a double-stranded RNA virus. J Biol
Chem., 285: 3643-3650. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M109.075994

11. Garriga,D., Navarro,A., Querol-Audí,J., Abaitua,F., Rodríguez,J.F. and
Verdaguer,N. (2007) Activation mechanism of a noncanonical RNA-dependent
RNA polymerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A., 104(51): 20540-5. DOI:
10.1073/pnas.0704447104

12. Ferrero,D., Garriga,D., Navarro,A., Rodríguez,J.F. and Verdaguer,N. (2015)
Infectious Bursal Disease Virus VP3 Upregulates VP1-Mediated RNA-Dependent
RNA Replication. J Virol., 89(21): 11165-8. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.00218-15

13. Luque,D., Rivas,G., Alfonso,C., Carrascosa,J.L., Rodríguez,J.F. and Castón,J.R.
(2009) Infectious bursal disease virus is an icosahedral polyploid dsRNA virus.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A., 106(7): 2148-2154. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0808498106

14. Lawton,J.A., Zeng,C.Q., Mukherjee,S.K., Cohen,J., Estes,M.K. and Prasad,B.V.
(1997) Three-dimensional structural analysis of recombinant rotavirus-like
particles with intact and amino-terminal-deleted VP2: implications for the
architecture of the VP2 capsid layer. J Virol., 71(10): 7353-7360. DOI:
10.1128/JVI.71.10.7353-7360.1997

15. Böttcher,B., Kiselev,N.A., Stel'Mashchuk,V.Y., Perevozchikova,N.A., Borisov,A.V.
and Crowther,R.A. (1997) Three-dimensional structure of infectious bursal
disease virus determined by electron cryomicroscopy. J Virol., 71(1): 325-330.
DOI: 10.1128/JVI.71.1.325-330.1997

16. Coulibaly,F., Chevalier,C., Gutsche,I., Pous,J., Navaza,J., Bressanelli,S., Delmas,B.
and Rey,F.A. (2005) The birnavirus crystal structure reveals structural
relationships among icosahedral viruses. Cell. 120(6): 761-72. DOI:
10.1016/j.cell.2005.01.009

17. Castón,J.R., Rodríguez,J.F. and Carrascosa,J.L. (2008) Infectious Bursal Disease
Virus: A Segmented Double-Stranded RNA Virus With a T = 13 Capsid That Lacks
a T = 1 Core. In Patton,J.T. (ed.), Segmented Double-Stranded RNA Viruses:
Structure and Molecular Biology. Bethesda, USA, Caister Academic Press. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(08)70063-X

18. Hjalmarsson,A., Carlemalm,E. and Everitt,E. (1999) Infectious pancreatic necrosis
virus: identification of a VP3-containing ribonucleoprotein core structure and
evidence for O-linked glycosylation of the capsid protein VP2. J Virol. 73: 3484-
90.

19. Luque,D., Saugar,I., Rejas,M.T., Carrascosa,J.L., Rodríguez,J.F. and Castón,J.R.
(2008). Infectious Bursal disease virus: ribonucleoprotein complexes of a double-
stranded RNA virus. J Mol Biol. 386: 891-901. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.73.4.3484-
3490.1999

20. Dalton,R.M. and Rodríguez,J.F. (2014) Rescue of Infectious birnavirus from
recombinant ribonucleoprotein complexes. PLoS One. 9(1):e87790. DOI:
10.1371/journal.pone.0087790

21. Tan,S.L. and Katze,M.G. (1998) Biochemical and genetic evidence for complex
formation between the influenza A virus NS1 protein and the interferon-induced
PKR protein kinase. J Interferon Cytokine Res. 18: 757-66. DOI:
10.1089/jir.1998.18.757

22. Romano,P.R., Zhang,F., Tan,S.L., García-Barrio,M.T., Katze,M.G., Dever,T.E. and
Hinnebusch,A.G. (1998) Inhibition of Double-Stranded RNA-Dependent Protein
Kinase PKR by Vaccinia Virus E3: Role of Complex Formation and the E3 N-
Terminal Domain. Mol Cell Biol. 18: 7304-7316. DOI: 10.1128/mcb.18.12.7304

23. Busnadiego,I., Maestre,A.M., Rodríguez,D. and Rodríguez,J.F. (2012) The
Infectious Bursal Disease Virus RNA-Binding VP3 Polypeptide Inhibits PKR-
Mediated Apoptosis. PLoS One. 7(10):e46768. DOI:
10.1371/journal.pone.0046768

24. Ye,C., Jia,L., Sun,Y., Hu,B., Wang,L., Lu,X. and Zhou,J. (2014) Inhibition of antiviral
innate immunity by birnavirus VP3 protein via blockage of viral double-stranded
RNA binding to the host cytoplasmic RNA detector MDA5. J Virol. 88(19): 11154-
65. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.01115-14

25. Valli,A., Busnadiego,I., Maliogka,V., Ferrero,D., Castón,J.F., Rodríguez,J.F. and
García,J.A. (2012) The VP3 Factor from Viruses of Birnaviridae Family Suppresses
RNA Silencing by Binding Both Long and Small RNA Duplexes. PLoS One.
7(9):e45957. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0045957

26. Casañas,A., Navarro,A., Ferrer-Orta,C., González,D., Rodríguez,J.F. and
Verdaguer,N. (2008) Structural insights into the multifunctional protein VP3 of
birnaviruses. Structure. 16: 29-37. DOI: 10.1016/j.str.2007.10.023

27. Ward,G.A., Stover,C.K., Moss,B. and Fuerst,T.R. (1995) Stringent chemical and
thermal regulation of recombinant gene expression by vaccinia virus vectors in
mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 92(15):6773-7. DOI:
10.1073/pnas.92.15.6773

28. Méndez,F., de Garay,T., Rodríguez,D. and Rodríguez,J.F. (2015) Infectious bursal
disease virus VP5 polypeptide: a phosphoinositide-binding protein required for
efficient cell-to-cell virus dissemination. PLoS One. 10(4):e0123470. DOI:
10.1371/journal.pone.0123470

29. Kochan,G., Gonzalez,D. and Rodríguez,J.F. (2003) Characterization of the RNA-
binding activity of VP3, a major structural protein of Infectious bursal disease
virus. Arch Virol. 148(4):723-44. DOI: 10.1007/s00705-002-0949-5

30. Myszka,D.G. (2000) Kinetic, equilibrium, and thermodynamic analysis of
macromolecular interactions with BIACORE. Methods Enzymol. 323: 325-40.
DOI: 10.1016/s0076-6879(00)23372-7

31. Morton,T.A., Myszka,D.A. and Chaiken,I.M. (1995) Interpreting complex binding
kinetics from optical biosensors: a comparison of analysis by linearization, the
integrated rate equation, and numerical integration. Anal Biochem. 227(1): 176-
85. DOI: 10.1006/abio.1995.1268

32. Irigoyen,N., Garriga,D., Navarro,A., Verdaguer,N. Rodríguez,J.F. and Castón,J.R.
(2009) Autoproteolytic activity derived from the infectious bursal disease virus
capsid protein. J Biol Chem. 284(12):8064-72. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M808942200

33. Rodriguez,J.F., Janeczko,R. and Esteban,M. (1985) Isolation and characterization
of neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to vaccinia virus. J Virol. 56(2):482-8. DOI:
10.1128/JVI.56.2.482-488.1985

34. Fernández-Arias,A., Risco,C., Martínez,S., Albar,J.P. and Rodríguez,J.F. (1998)
Expression of ORF A1 of infectious bursal disease virus results in the formation
of virus-like particles. J Gen Virol. 79: 1047-54. DOI: 10.1099/0022-1317-79-5-
1047

35. King P. and Goodbourn S. (1992) A method for sequence-specific deletion
mutagenesis. Nucleic Acids Res. 20(5): 1039-1044. DOI: 10.1093/nar/20.5.1039

36. Fei J. and Ha T. (2013) Watching DNA breath one molecule at a time. PNAS.
110(43): 17173-17174. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1316493110

37. Myszka,D.G. (1997) Kinetic analysis of macromolecular interactions using surface
plasmon resonance biosensors. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 8(1):50-7. DOI:
10.1016/s0958-1669(97)80157-7

38. Liu,C.L., Hung,H.C., Lo,S.C., Chiang,C.H., Chen,I.J., Hsu,J.T.. and Hou,M.H. (2016)
Using mutagenesis to explore conserved residues in the RNA-binding groove of
infuenza A virus nucleoprotein for antiviral drug development. Sci Rep. 6: 21662.
DOI: 10.1038/srep21662

39. Chen,H., Gill,A., Dove,B.K., Emmet,S.R., Kemp,C.F., Ritchie,M.A., Dee,M. and
Hiscox,J.A. (2005) Mass spectroscopic characterization of the coronavirus
infectious bronchitis virus nucleoprotein and elucidation of the role of
phosphorylation in RNA binding by using surface plasmon resonance. J Virol.
79(2):1164-79. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.79.2.1164-1179.2005

40. Spencer,K.A. and Hiscox,J.A. (2006) Characterisation of the RNA binding
properties of the coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus nucleocapsid protein
amino-terminal region. FEBS Lett. 580(25):5993-8. DOI:
10.1016/j.febslet.2006.09.052

41. Méndez,F., Romero,N., Cubas,L.L., Delgui,L.R., Rodríguez,D. and Rodríguez,J.F.
(2017) Non-Lytic Egression of Infectious Bursal Disease Virus (IBDV) Particles
from Infected Cells. PLoS One. 12(1):e0170080. DOI:
10.1371/journal.pone.0170080

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS

Figure 1. In silico VP3-dsRNA interaction model. (A) Matching phosphate distances in

dsRNA and Patch1 and Patch2 VP3 dsRB subdomains. The left panel shows a stick

representation of a dsRNA structure in a standard A-conformation (PDB id.6BU9). The

bases and sugars of each chain are depicted in yellow and green, respectively, with

the phosphate groups shown in orange and red for the phosphate and oxygen atoms,

respectively. The right panel shows a ribbon representation of IBDV VP3, highlighting

the positive residues forming Patch1 and Patch2. The distance between K99 in Patch1

and R159 in Patch 2 is 37.3 Å (dashed line), very close to the distance between

phosphate groups in a 17 nucleotides dsRNA duplex (labelled in the left

panel). The upper central panel shows a close-up of the VP3 Patch1 subdomain. The

dashed-line indicates the distance between residues K99 and K106 that is almost

coincident with the distance linking the phosphate groups of complementary chains in a

9-bp dsRNA region, as shown in the lower central panel. (B) **VP3 dsRBD subdomains.**

Left panel, ribbon representation of IBDV VP3 dimer highlighting the electropositive

residues forming Patch1 and Patch2, and each VP3 chain in orange and grey,

respectively. Central panel, top view of VP3. Right panel, top view of α-helix 1, the

dashed line indicates the central part of the secondary structure with most of the

electropositive residues facing Patch2**.**

Figure 2. Comparative analysis of the dsRNA binding capacity of Patch1 and Patch2

hVP3 mutant polypeptides. (A) hVP3 wt, hVP3Patch1 and hVP3Patch2 protein mutants

displayed with its Connolly surface colored according to its electrostatic potential.

Residues mutated to alter the electrostatic potential of each hVP3 version are indicated.

(B) Biacore surface plasmon resonance analysis performed with the wild type (wt),

Patch1 (P1) and Patch2 (P2) versions of the hVP3 polypeptide. A biotinylated 24-bp RNA

duplex was immobilized on the surface of different cells of a streptavidin sensor-chip.

Affinity purified hVP3 protein versions were then injected at 200 nM for 3 min. The

graph on the left hand side corresponds to sensograms recorded following the injection

of the three proteins under analysis. An enlarged view of sensograms recorded with

hVP3Patch1 and hVP3Patch2 polypeptides is presented on the right hand side.

Figure 3. VP3 residues K99 and K106 are necessary for the interaction with dsRNA.

Biacore surface plasmon resonance analysis performed with mutant versions of the

hVP3 polypeptide harbouring **(A)** single (hVP3K99D, hVP3R102D, hVP3K105D and

hVP3K106D) or **(B)** double (hVP3K99D/R102D, hVP3K99D/K105D, hVP3K99D/K106D,

hVP3R102D/K105D, hVP3R102D/K106D and hVP3K105D/K106D) amino acid

substitutions on electropositive Patch1 residues. A biotinylated 24-bp RNA duplex was

immobilized on the surface of different cells of a streptavidin sensor-chip. Affinity

purified hVP3 protein versions were then injected at the indicated concentrations for 1

min.

Figure 4. VP3 residues K99 and K106 are essential to counteract the innate antiviral

response. (A) IFN-α promoter activation. DF-1 cells were transfected with a plasmid

expressing the *Photinus pyralis* luciferase gene under the control of the human IFN-β

promoter, along with the pRL-null plasmid, constitutively expressing the *Renilla muelleri*

luciferase gene. The latter used as transfection control. Cells were simultaneously

transfected with 400 or 800 ng of pCDNA3 derived plasmids expressing the different VP3

protein versions, or with identical amounts of empty pcDNA3. At 8 h post-transfection,

IFN-β promoter activity was induced by transfecting 250 ng of poly I:C. After 16 h, cell

lysates were collected and luciferase activities were recorded. *Photinus* luciferase

activity was expressed as the relative fold induction (n-fold) over that detected in the

pcDNA3-transfected cells, after normalization to the *Renilla* luciferase activity. Charts

correspond to the mean ± the standard deviation of three independent experiments. **(B)**

Expression of VP3 variants. Western blot analysis (anti-VP3) of the expression of VP3wt

and indicated mutants in DF-1 cells.

Figure 5. K99D or K106D mutations do not alter the VP3 scaffolding activity during

IBDV particle morphogenesis. (A) Western blot analysis performed with the anti-VP3

serum using lysates from QM7 cultures co-transfected with plasmids pT7_SA_Rz and

pT7_SB_Rz (WT), pT7_SA-VP3K99D_Rz and pT7_SB_Rz (K99D) and pT7_SA-VP3K106D

and pT7_SB_Rz (K106D), respectively, infected with VT7LacOI and maintained either in

the presence (+) or absence (-) of IPTG. Untransfected VT7LacOI-infected cells (VT7)

were used as control. **(B)** BSC-1 cell monolayers were infected with VT7_POLY (WT),

VT7_POLY-VP3K99D (K99D) or VT7_POLY-VP3K106D (K106D), and maintained for 48 h

in the presence of IPTG. Cultures were then processed for transmission electron

microscopy. Panels show ultra-thin sections corresponding to the cytoplasm of cells

infected with the different viruses. Arrows indicate the position of vaccinia virus virions.

Scale bars represent 200 nm. **(C)** Electron microscopy images of negatively stained IBDV-

like particles purified from BSC-1 cultures infected with VT7_POLY (WT), VT7_POLY-

VP3K99D (K99D) or VT7_POLY-VP3K106D (K106D). Scale bars represent 50 nm.

Table 1. Kinetic and affinity constants of the VP3-dsRNA interaction. Presented data

were obtained from sensorgrams shown in supplemental Fig. 1 using the BIAevaluation

4.1 software.

Figure 1

A

VP3 Patch1 mutant   VP3 WT   VP3 Patch2 mutant

K99D, R102D, K105D, K106D   R159D, R168D, H198D, R200D

B

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

dsRNA length (bp)	k_a (M^{-1}s^{-1})	k_d (s^{-1})	K_D (M)
10	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
12	1.15 x 10^6	1.76 x 10^{-1}	1.52 x 10^{-7}
14	1.11 x 10^6	4.48 x 10^{-2}	4.05 x 10^{-8}
16	1.51 x 10^6	4.50 x 10^{-2}	3.05 x 10^{-8}
18	3.61 x 10^6	4.41 x 10^{-2}	1.22 x 10^{-8}
20	6.26x 10^6	1.32 x 10^{-2}	2.12 x 10^{-9}
22	9.40 x 10^6	3.02 x 10^{-2}	3.21 x 10^{-9}
24	7.14 x 10^6	1.42 x 10^{-2}	2.00 x 10^{-9}
32	7.73 x 10^6	3.60 x 10^{-3}	3.99 x 10^{-10}
40	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

Table 1. Kinetic and affinity constants of the VP3-dsRNA interaction.
n.d. : Not detected.

Table 1

VP3 gene	Virus titers (PFU/ml)		
	First round	Second round	Third round
Wild-type	$2.1 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{2}$	$3.4 \pm 2.3 \times 10^{4}$	$6 \pm 3.1 \times 10^{7}$
VP3K99D	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
VP3K106D	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.

Table 2. Reverse genetics analysis of the effect of mutations VP3K99D and VP3K106D on the rescue of infectious IBDV.

Table 2