Rapid-Eye-Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder Exhibits Similar but Distinct Gut Dysbiosis Compared to Parkinson's Disease

Hiroshi Nishiwaki,[a] Tomonari Hamaguchi,[a] Mikako Ito,[a] Tomohiro Ishida,[b] Tetsuya Maeda,[c] Kenichi Kashihara,[d] Yoshio Tsuboi,[e] Jun Ueyama,[b] Teppei Shimamura,[f] Hiroshi Mori,[g] Ken Kurokawa,[g] Masahisa Katsuno,[h] Masaaki Hirayama,[b] Kinji Ohno[a]

[a]Division of Neurogenetics, Center for Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

[b]Department of Pathophysiological Laboratory Sciences, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

[c]Division of Neurology and Gerontology, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Iwate Medical University, Iwate, Japan

[d]Department of Neurology, Okayama Kyokuto Hospital, Okayama, Japan

[e]Department of Neurology, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan

[f]Division of Systems Biology, Center for Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

[g]Genome Evolution Laboratory, Department of Informatics, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan

[h]Department of Neurology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

Corresponding authors: Kinji Ohno, MD, PhD. Division of Neurogenetics, Center for

Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65

Tsurumai, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan (ohnok@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Masaaki Hirayama, MD, PhD. Department of Pathophysiological Laboratory Sciences, Nagoya

University Graduate School of Medicine, 1-1-20 Daiko-Minami, Higashi-ku, Nagoya 461-8673,

Japan (hirasan@met.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Keywords: rapid-eye-movement behavior disorder; gut microbiota; meta-analysis; Parkinson's

disease; topic model

Abstract

Gut dysbiosis has been reported repeatedly in Parkinson's disease (PD), but once in rapid-eye-

movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD) from Germany. Abnormal aggregation of α-synuclein

fibrils causing PD possibly starts from the intestine. RBD patients frequently develop PD. Initial

gut dysbiosis causally associated with PD is thus expected to be observed in RBD. We here

analyzed gut microbiota in 26 RBD patients and 137 controls by 16S rRNA-seq, and meta-

analyzed our dataset with the German dataset. Unsupervised clustering of gut microbiota by

LIGER, a topic model-based tool for single-cell RNA-seq analysis, revealed enterotypes A-D.

Enterotypes were shifted from A-to-D with transition from controls, RBD, to the disease

progression of PD. Seven taxa were increased in RBD in Japan, and six of them were also

increased in RBD in Japan and Germany. Among the six taxa, genus *Akkermansia* and family

Akkermansiaceae were consistently increased in PD in five countries, and the other four taxa

were also variably changed in PD. No short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria were

significantly changed in RBD in the meta-analysis. In contrast, we previously reported that

recognized and putative SCFA-producing genera *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and

Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group were consistently decreased in PD in five countries. Increased

mucin-layer-degrading genus *Akkermansia* possibly accounts for the development of RBD, and

an additional decrease of SCFA-producing genera may be associated with the transition from

RBD to PD.

Importance Nineteen studies have been reported on gut microbiota in PD, whereas only one

study has been reported in RBD from Germany. RBD has the highest likelihood ratio to develop

PD. Our meta-analysis of RBD in Japan and Germany revealed increased mucin-layer-degrading

genus *Akkermansia* in RBD, which was not conspicuous in the German study. Genus

Akkermansia may increase the intestinal permeability, as we previously observed in PD patients,

and make the intestinal neural plexus exposed to oxidative stress, which can lead to abnormal

aggregation of prion-like α-synuclein fibrils in the intestine. In contrast to PD, SCFA-producing

bacteria were not decreased in RBD. As SCFA induces Treg cells, a decrease of SCFA-producing

bacteria may be a prerequisite for the development of PD. We propose that prebiotic and/or

probiotic therapeutic strategies to increase the intestinal mucin layer and to increase intestinal

SCFA potentially retard the development of RBD and PD.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disease exhibiting four major motor deficits of tremor, slowness of movement, rigidity, and postural instability (1). PD also exhibits non-motor symptoms that are characterized by dysautonomia (constipation, vomiting, orthostatic hypotension, excessive sweating, and dysuria) and mental disorders (depression, anxiety disorder, visual hallucination, and dementia) (1). Turning our eyes to the pathophysiology of PD, PD is caused by loss of the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, which is induced by abnormally aggregated α-synuclein fibrils (Lewy bodies) in the neuronal cells. Abnormal aggregation of α-synuclein fibrils behaves like prions, and is propagated to other neuronal cells probably via synapses (2). Lewy bodies are also observed in the cerebral cortex, the lower brainstem (3), the olfactory bulb (4), the autonomic nervous system (5), the salivary glands (6), the skin (7), and the intestine (6, 8, 9). Abnormal aggregation of α-synuclein fibrils possibly initiates in the intestinal neural plexus and ascends to the substantia nigra (4). Constipation, rapid-eye-movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD), and depression are frequently predisposed to the development of motor symptoms in PD in this order, which is in accordance with the ascending α-synucleinopathy (1). A total of 19 studies had been reported by us (10, 11) and others (12-28) for gut microbiota in PD. Our recent report included the largest cohort of PD patients, and the development of a novel nonparametric meta-analysis method that was applied to analyze gut microbiota in PD in five countries (11). Our meta-analysis revealed that increased genus *Akkermansia* and decreased genera *Roseburia* and *Faecalibacterium* were shared in PD across countries. In addition, these taxonomic changes were independent of the confounding effects of constipation, body mass index (BMI), sex, age and catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT) inhibitor intake.

RBD is characterized by dream-enactment behaviors during the rapid eye movement

(REM) sleep, when normal people lose muscle tone, called a state of atonia (29). The prevalence

of RBD is estimated to be 0.5 to 2 percent (30, 31). RBD frequently predisposes to α-

synucleinopathy including PD, dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), and multiple system atrophy

(MSA) (29). RBD patients sometimes have subtle sensory, motor, and cognitive deficits, as well

as constipation, before the onset of PD and other α-synucleinopathies (29). PD has been classified

into three group according as the disease progresses: preclinical PD (no overt symptoms even in

the presence of neurodegeneration), prodromal PD (overt symptoms but lacking the criteria of

PD), and clinical PD (overt symptoms satisfying the criteria of PD) (32). RBD is the most

dependable hallmark of prodromal PD (32). Similarly, the likelihood ratio of RBD to develop PD

is as high as 130 (33). Thus, therapeutic intervention to prevent transition from RBD to PD has a

potential to become a causative treatment for PD (34).

In contrast to as many as 19 studies reported on gut microbiota in PD as stated above,

only one study has been reported on 21 RBD patients along with 76 PD patients from Germany

(22). They reported that gut microbiota in RBD was similar to that in PD. We recently reported

increased genus *Akkermansia*, and decreased genera *Faecalibacterium* and *Roseburia* in PD in

five countries including the German dataset (11, 22). These genera, however, were not

significantly changed in RBD in the German dataset. We here performed 16S rRNA-seq analysis

of 26 RBD patients and 137 controls. We also meta-analyzed our dataset with the German dataset

using a nonparametric meta-analysis method that we developed previously to identify shared

taxonomic changes between the two countries, and compared RBD-associated taxonomic

changes in two countries with PD-associated changes in five countries.

Results

PCoA plot to analyze the overall composition of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PDs

We performed 16S rRNA-seq analysis of gut microbiota in 26 patients with idiopathic

RBD and 137 healthy controls. All RBD patients were diagnosed by International Classification

of Sleep Disorders Criteria-Third Edition (35). We conducted PCoA analysis of gut microbiota in

controls and RBD in our dataset, as well as gut microbiota in our previously reported PD subjects

(Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5) (11). The centers of gravity moved from the upper left to the lower

right with disease progression from controls, RBD, to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 (Fig. 1A). RBD

was positioned close to the mildest form of PD with Hoehn & Yahr scale 1.

LIGER analysis to reveal unsupervised enterotypes in a combined dataset of controls, RBD, and PD

We applied LIGER that was developed for topic model-based single-cell RNA-seq

analysis (36) to make unsupervised clustering of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PD. Each

cluster should represent an enterotype. LIGER revealed four enterotypes of A, B, C, and D (Fig.

1B). Examination of the proportion of controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 in each

enterotype revealed that the proportion of controls was decreased in the order of enterotypes A to

D, while the proportions of Hoehn & Yahr scales 3-5 were increased in the same order (Fig. 1C).

The proportion of RBD was the highest in enterotype A. In factorization by LIGER, the fist factor

contributes most to differentiate enterotypes A to D, and genera with high loadings in the first

factor are major determinants of enterotypes. Color coding of the first factor in each subject

showed a gradual decrease of the first factor from enterotypes A to D (Fig. 1D). The top ten

genera with the highest loadings in the first factor are indicated in Table S1 in the supplemental

material. It was interesting to note that, among the ten genera, nine produce SCFA and one

putatively produces SCFA (*Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*). Scatter plots of the ten genera in

each enterotype showed that the abundances of these genera were also decreased in the order of

enterotypes A to D (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Among the ten genera,

Faecalibacterium, *Roseburia,* and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* were exactly the three genera

that were decreased in PD in our previous meta-analysis of five countries (11). To summarize,

unsupervised clustering of enterotypes revealed that enterotypes were shifted from A to D with

transition from control, RBD, to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5, and that SCFA-producing genera

were decreased from enterotypes A to D.

Analysis of each taxon between controls and RBD in our dataset

We examined taxonomic differences between controls and RBD in our dataset using

Analysis of Composition of Microbiomes (ANCOM) (37) and Wilcoxon rank sum test (Table

S2a for the genus level analysis, and S2b for the family level analysis in the supplemental

material). ANCOM was developed to reduce false discoveries by exploiting microbial

compositional constraints (37, 38). The analyses revealed that seven genera were increased in

RBD (*Ruminococcus 2*, *Alistipes*, *Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-005*, *Ruminococcaceae*

UCG-004, *[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group*, and *Family XIII AD3011 group*); two

families were increased in RBD (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*); and no genera or families

were decreased in RBD. The top ten increased or decreased genera in RBD by ANCOM were

compared to those in PD in our previous report (11). Seven out of the top ten increased genera

were shared between RBD and PD, whereas only one of the top ten decreased genera was shared

between RBD and PD (Fig. 2).

Differences in demographic and clinical features between controls and RBD in our dataset

To search for possible confounding factors, we compared six features (age, sex, BMI, constipation, proton pump inhibitor (PPI), and H_2 blocker) between RBD and controls in our dataset (Table 1). Compared to controls, RBD patients had higher ages and higher BMI, and included more males. Similarly, the ratios of constipation and PPI intake were higher in RBD patients.

PERMANOVA to evaluate the differences in the overall composition of gut microbiota in controls and RBD, as well as in RBD and Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale

We next performed PERMANOVA to evaluate the difference in the overall composition of gut microbiota in controls and RBD (Table 2). The overall composition of gut microbiota between controls and RBD was statistically different by all three distance metrics, and the difference was not accounted for by age, sex, BMI, constipation, or PPI. Similarly, the overall composition of gut microbiota between RBD and Hoehn & Yahr scale 1 was also statistically different by Chao and weighted UniFrac but not by unweighted UniFrac. Again, the difference was not accounted for by any covariates.

Possible confounding factors in our dataset for nine taxa that were significantly changed in RBD in our dataset

We next asked whether any of the nine taxonomic changes in RBD were due to confounding factor(s). We thus performed GLMM analysis with constipation, BMI, sex, age, and PPI. We found that five genera (*Ruminococcus 2*, *Alistipes*, *Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae*

UCG-004, and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) and two families (*Rikenellaceae* and

Akkermansiaceae) were changed in RBD after adjusting for constipation, BMI, sex, age, and PPI

(Fig. 3 and bold letters in Table 3). In contrast, two genera (*Ruminococcaceae UCG-005* and

Family XIII AD3011 group) were increased by age (Fig. 3 and underlines in Table 3). Three

genera (*Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004* and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) and one

family (*Akkermansiaceae*) were decreased by BMI (Fig. 3 and underlines in Table 3). Two

genera (*Ruminococcaceae UCG-004* and *Family XIII AD3011 group*) were increased by

constipation (Fig. 3 and underlines in Table 3).

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

188   Meta-analysis of gut microbiota was performed using the Japanese and German datasets

(22). The effect size and relative abundance of 132 genera and 39 families are collated in Tables

S3a and S3b, respectively, in the supplemental material. Our putative criteria ($I^2 < 25\%$ and *p*-

values of both FEM and REM after Bonferroni correction < 0.05) showed that four genera

(*Ruminococcaceae UCG-004, Alistipes, Family XIII AD3011 group,* and *Akkermansia*) and two

families (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*) were increased in RBD (Fig. 4, Table 4). These

six taxa were a subset of the seven taxa that were significantly changed in RBD after adjusting

for confounding factors in our dataset (Fig. 3 and bold letters in Table 3). Among the seven taxa,

genus *Ruminococcus 2* was increased in Japan but not in Germany, and was excluded from forest

plots (Fig. 4A). Forest plots of the six taxa in RBD in two countries along with in PD in five

countries showed that all taxa tended to be increased in PD, and the most homogenous and

significant increases were observed in genus *Akkermansia* and family *Akkermansiaceae* (Fig.

4A).

We previously reported that two SCFA-producing genera (*Faecalibacterium* and

Roseburia) and one putative SCFA-producing genus (*Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*) were

decreased in PD across countries (11). We assumed that genus *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*

is a putative SCFA producer, because most genera in family *Lachnospiraceae* produce SCFA.

None of the three recognized or putative SCFA-producing genera were decreased in RBD in our

meta-analysis. However, forest plots of the three genera showed that genus *Faecalibacterium*

tended to be decreased in RBD, but genera *Roseburia* and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* were

not (Fig. 4B).

Relative abundances of four genera in progression of α-synucleinopathy

Plots of relative abundances of genera *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia* and

Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group in controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 showed that

genus *Akkermansia* gradually increased, and genera *Faecalibacterium, Roseburia,* and

Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group gradually decreased with progression of α-synucleinopathy

(Fig. 5). Comparison of controls and RBD showed that genus *Akkermansia* was significantly

increased in RBD. In contrast, genus *Faecalibacterium*, but not *Roseburia* or *Lachnospiraceae*

*ND3007 grou*p, tended to be decreased in RBD (Fig. 5).

Discussion

We analyzed gut microbiota in RBD in our dataset, and meta-analyzed the Japanese and

Germany datasets (22). We first observed by PCoA analysis that the overall compositions of gut

microbiota were gradually changing in controls, RBD, Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 in this order

(Fig. 1A). We next applied LIGER (36) to 16S rRNA-seq for the first time. LIGER, which was

developed for single-cell RNA-seq analysis, enables integrative non-negative matrix factorization

by exploiting a topic model. Topic modeling that has been developed for text mining may be able

to be applied to the analysis of gut microbiota (39, 40). LIGER revealed four enterotypes in

controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 in an unsupervised manner (Fig. 1B). Enterotypes

were shifted with transition from control, RBD, to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 (Fig. 1C). SCFA-

producing genera were similarly decreased with the shift in enterotypes (see Fig. S1 in the

supplemental material). We showed that genus *Akkermansia* was increased in RBD in this

communication and in PD in our previous report (11). Genus *Akkermansia*, however, was not

detected in factorization by LIGER. Genus *Akkermansia* was likely to be underestimated by

LIGER, because multiple SCFA-producing genera were coordinately decreased in PD, whereas

genus *Akkermansia* was increased alone without any accompanying genera, which reduced a

chance of detecting genus *Akkermansia* by topic modeling by LIGER. Both PCoA and LIGER

indicate that gut dysbiosis advances with progression of α-synucleinopathy. Alternatively,

patients with α-synucleinopathy with marked gut dysbiosis may progress faster than those with

mild gut dysbiosis.

We additionally analyzed the overall compositions of gut microbiota in controls and

RBD by PERMANOVA. Evaluation of the effects of covariates by PERMANOVA showed that

the overall compositions of gut microbiota were statistically different between controls and RBD

by all three distance metrics, and the difference was not due to covariates (Table 2).

PERMANOVA similarly showed that the overall compositions of gut microbiota were

statistically different between RBD and Hoehn & Yahr scale 1 by Chao and weighted UniFrac,

but not by unweighted UniFrac (Table 2). Again, the difference was not due to covariates.

Weighted UniFrac takes read counts into consideration to calculate the distance so that the effects

of low-abundance taxa become small, whereas low-abundance taxa have more effects on

unweighted UniFrac (41). Thus, RBD and Hoehn & Yahr scale 1 may have large differences in

major taxa but not in minor taxa.

Analysis of individual taxa by ANCOM and the Wilcoxon rank sum test revealed that

seven genera (see Tables S2a in the supplemental material) and two families (see Tables S2b in

the supplemental material) were increased in RBD. Adjustment for possible confounding factors

for the seven genera and two families by GLMM showed that increases of five genera

(*Ruminococcus 2*, *Alistipes*, *Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004* and *Family XIII AD3011*

group) and two families (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*) were indeed accounted for by

RBD (yellow arrows in Fig. 3), although age, BMI, and constipation had additional confounding

effects on three genera (*Akkermansia*, *Ruminococcaceae UCG-004*, *Family XIII AD3011 group*)

and one family (*Akkermansiaceae*). Among the five genera and two families, only genus

Akkermansia and family *Akkermansiaceae* were also changed in PD in our meta-analysis of five

countries (11).

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets revealed that four genera

(*Ruminococcaceae UCG-004, Alistipes, Family XIII AD3011 group* and *Akkermansia*) and two

families (*Rikenellaceae* and *Akkermansiaceae*) were increased in RBD (Fig. 4A, Table 4).

Among these six taxa, we previously reported that genus *Akkermansia* and family

Akkermansiaceae were consistently increased in PD across countries (11). We found that relative

abundances of genus *Akkermansia* gradually increased from RBD to Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5

(Fig. 5). *Akkermansia muciniphila* degrades the mucus layer of the gut (42), and erodes the

mucus layer in the lack of dietary fibers (43). Indeed, intestinal permeability is increased in PD

(44), and the serum lipopolysaccharide-binding protein levels are decreased in PD (10, 44).

Reduced expression of a tight junction protein, occludin, in colonic biopsies in PD is similarly in

accordance with the reduced mucus layer (45). Increased intestinal permeability may expose the

intestinal neural plexus to oxidative stress and pesticide/herbicide (46). which subsequently

allows the formation of abnormal α-synuclein aggregates in the intestine. Moreover, in the

presence of other gut microbiota, *Akkermansia muciniphila* in mouse intestine enhances

differentiation of follicular T cells, which mediate humoral immunity by B cells (47, 48). A high

prevalence (20%) of autoimmune diseases in female patients with RBD compared to 5% in

general population is in accordance with the *Akkermansia*-mediated increased humoral immunity

(49). Similarly, RBD is sometimes associated with neuronal autoimmune diseases including

narcolepsy, anti-IgLON5 disease, Kleine–Levin syndrome, multiple sclerosis, Guillain–Barré

syndrome, anti-Ma2 encephalitis, LGI1 limbic encephalitis, Morvan's syndrome, paraneoplastic

cerebellar degeneration, and anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis (50).

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets also showed that no SCFA-

producing genera were decreased in RBD (Fig. 4B, Table 4). We previously reported that three

recognized and putative SCFA-producing genera (*Faecalibacterium*, *Roseburia*, and

Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group) were consistently decreased in PD across countries (11).

Although genus *Faecalibacterium* tended to be decreased in RBD, no significance was observed

(Fig. 5). In contrast, genera *Roseburia* and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* were not decreased

in RBD (Fig. 5). Preservation of most of SCFA-producing bacteria in RBD was also implicated

in the LIGER analysis, which showed that both controls and RBD were enriched in enterotype A

(Fig. 1C), in which SCFA-producing bacteria were high (Fig. 1D). Major constituents of gut

SCFAs, butyrate and propionate, induce anti-inflammatory Treg cells by inhibiting histone

deacetylase (51, 52), and by binding to G protein-coupled receptors of GPR41, GPR43, and

GPR109A (53, 54). Indeed, in mouse models of PD, SCFAs may (55-57) or may not (58) have

beneficial effects on PD symptoms. In addition, in another German cohort, fecal SCFA

concentrations were decreased in PD (14). Our analysis suggests that reduced fecal SCFA

concentrations may be a prerequisite for the development of PD but not of RBD. Reduction of

SCFA-producing bacteria culminating in the development of PD may start from genus

Faecalibacterium. A decrease of genus *Faecalibacterium* may thus be a hallmark to predict

transition from RBD to PD. We expect that administration of SCFA and probiotics/prebiotics to

increase the intestinal SCFA possibly retard the progression of α-synucleinopathy at the stage of

RBD.

Materials and Methods

Patients in our dataset

All studies were approved by the Ethical Review Committees of the Nagoya University

Graduate School of Medicine (approval #2016-0151), Iwate Medical College Hospital (H28-

123), Okayama Kyokuto Hospital (approval #kyoIR-2016002), and Fukuoka University, School

of Medicine (approval #2016M027). We obtained written informed consent from all patients and

controls.

We recruited 26 patients with idiopathic RBD and their 137 healthy controls from four

hospitals to participate in this study from September 2015 to February 2018. Among the 137

healthy controls, 8 were healthy spouses of RBD patients. All RBD patients were diagnosed by

International Classification of Sleep Disorders Criteria-Third Edition (35). Subjects with diabetes

mellitus, heart failure, liver cirrhosis, any malignancy, hematological diseases, or autoimmune

diseases were excluded from our study. Subjects who have taken any antibiotics in the past one

month were similarly excluded.

DNA isolation and 16S rRNA V3-V4 sequencing in our dataset

The detailed transportation procedures of a fecal sample from participant's home to the

Nagoya University, freeze-drying of the fecal sample (26), and DNA isolation were described

previously (11). The V3–V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified

by primer 341F, 5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3' and primer 805R, 5'-

GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'. Paired-end sequencing of 300-nucleotide fragments was

performed using the MiSeq reagent kit V3 on a MiSeq System (Illumina). Taxonomic analysis

was performed with QIIME2 (59). Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were generated using

DADA2 and the SILVA taxonomy database release 132 (60) was used for taxonomic

identification.

Analysis of the overall gut microbiota between controls, RBD, and PD in our dataset

For the overall analysis of gut microbiota, PD samples in our previous report (11) were

included. We first performed principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of each subject, and the

centers of gravity and standard errors in seven categories of controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr

scales 1-5 were plotted.

We next employed the Linked Inference of Genomic Experimental Relationships

(LIGER) (36), which uses integrative non-negative matrix factorization (iNMF) for single-cell

RNA-seq analysis, for unsupervised clustering of gut microbiota of controls, RBD, and Hoehn &

Yahr scales 1-5. LIGER enabled us to identify four enterotypes, each of which was comprised of

a set of bacteria that were synchronously changed in each subject.

Analysis of each taxon between controls and RBD in our dataset

Taxa were filtered at the genus and family levels using the following conditions. For

each taxon, we counted the number of samples in which the relative abundance of the taxon of

interest was greater than 1E-4. The number of such samples should be 17 or more (more than

~10% of all samples). We thereby chose 50 families and 168 genera.

The difference in the abundance of each taxon between RBD and controls was analyzed

by Analysis of Composition of Microbiomes (ANCOM) (37), as well as by the Wilcoxon rank-

sum test. ANCOM was performed on R

(https://github.com/antagomir/scripts/tree/master/R/ancom). The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was

performed with the mannwhitneyu functionality of scipy.stat on Python 3.6.5. The threshold of W

calculated in ANCOM was set to more than $0.6 \times N$, where N is the number of taxa. The

difference in the abundance of each taxon between RBD and controls was also analyzed by the

Wilcoxon rank-sum test followed by calculation of the false discovery rate (FDR) using the

Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. The FDR threshold was set to 0.05. Bacterial taxa filtered for

both W and FDR were assumed to be significant.

Possible confounding factors in our dataset for nine taxa that were significantly changed in our

dataset

Six demographic and clinical features [age, sex, body mass index (BMI), constipation,

proton pump inhibitor intake, and H_2 blocker intake] were compared between RBD and controls

in our dataset using either Student's *t*-test or Fisher's exact test. Subjects with the stool frequency

twice a week or less were defined to be constipated (61). Constipation and BMI were statistically

different between RBD and controls.

We examined lack of multicollinearity between RBD, constipation, BMI, sex, and age

by calculating the variance inflation factor (VIF) using the R package HH version 3.1-40. We

verified that the VIFs were all less than 2, indicating that there was no multicollinearity between

RBD, constipation, BMI, sex, and age.

Next, we analyzed the effects on the overall composition of gut microbiota of (i) RBD or

controls, and (ii) RBD or controls, age, sex, BMI, constipation, and PPI in our dataset comprised

of controls and RBD using PERMANOVA (62). We similarly analyzed the effects on the overall

composition of gut microbiota of (iii) RBD or Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale, and (iv) RBD or Hoehn &

Yahr 1 scale, age, sex, BMI, constipation, and PPI in a combined dataset comprised of our

current RBD subjects and PD subjects with Hoehn & Yahr 1 scale in our previous report (11)

using PERMANOVA (62). All genera were included in this analysis. The effects were evaluated

by three distance metrics of Chao (63), unweighted-UniFrac (64), and weighted-UniFrac (64).

Chao and unweighted/weighted-UniFrac distances were calculated using the R package vegan

and QIIME2, respectively.

Seven genera and two families that were identified in our dataset were subjected to

GLMM (Generalized Linear Mixed Model) analysis using the function "glmer.nb" of the R

package lme4 by setting an option to accept taxonomic variations from subject to subject.

Meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Our Japanese dataset was comprised of 26 RBD patients and 137 healthy controls,

whereas the German dataset was comprised of 20 RBD patients and 38 healthy controls (22). We

first collated the experimental methods and demographic features (see Table S4 in the

supplemental material), as well as statistical measures of sequencing depths (see Table S5 in the

supplemental material) of the two datasets. The read count of each sample was all more than

10,000 in the two datasets, and no sample was excluded from our meta-analysis. For each taxon,

we counted the number of samples in which the relative abundance of the taxon was more than

1E-4. We then filtered 39 families and 132 genera, in which the number of such samples was

more than 10% (17/163 and 6/58) in both datasets.

In the meta-analysis, we applied two criteria that we used in our previous report (11) to

identify homogeneously and significantly changed taxa in the Japanese and German datasets. The

two criteria were that I^2, representing heterogeneity in meta-analysis, was below 25% (65) and

that the *p*-values after Bonferroni correction for FEM and REM were both less than 0.05.

Data availability

FASTQ files of our RBD dataset are available at the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) under the

accession number DRA009322 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/?term=DRA009322). FASTQ

files of our PD dataset was previously deposited at DDBJ under the accession number

DRA009229 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/?term=DRA009229).

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Japan Society for the

Promotion of Science (JP17K07094, JP19K16516, and JP20H03561); the Ministry of Health,

Labour and Welfare of Japan (20FC1036); the Japan Agency for Medical Research and

Development (20gm1010002, 20ek0109281, and 20bm0804005), the National Center of

Neurology and Psychiatry (2-5), the Smoking Research Foundation, and the Hori Sciences and

Arts Foundation.

Acknowledgements: We thank Keiichi Takimoto, Anzu Suzuki, Rino Asai, Yukina Matsuzaki,

Sayaka Inagaki, Yuka Mishima, Yurika Muramatsu, and Tomomi Yamada at the Nagoya

University Graduate School of Medicine for preparing DNA from fecal samples.

References

1.   Kalia LV, Lang AE. 2015. Parkinson's disease. The Lancet 386:896-912.

2.   Burre J, Sharma M, Sudhof TC. 2018. Cell Biology and Pathophysiology of alpha-

418   Synuclein. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 8.

419   3.   Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rub U, de Vos RA, Jansen Steur EN, Braak E. 2003. Staging of

420   brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol Aging 24:197-211.

421   4.   Chiang HL, Lin CH. 2019. Altered Gut Microbiome and Intestinal Pathology in Parkinson's

422   Disease. J Mov Disord 12:67-83.

423   5.   Bloch A, Probst A, Bissig H, Adams H, Tolnay M. 2006. Alpha-synuclein pathology of the

424   spinal and peripheral autonomic nervous system in neurologically unimpaired elderly

425   subjects. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 32:284-95.

426   6.   Cersosimo MG. 2015. Gastrointestinal Biopsies for the Diagnosis of Alpha-Synuclein

427   Pathology in Parkinson's Disease. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2015:476041.

7.   Gibbons CH, Garcia J, Wang N, Shih LC, Freeman R. 2016. The diagnostic discrimination

of cutaneous alpha-synuclein deposition in Parkinson disease. Neurology 87:505-12.

8.   Braak H, de Vos RA, Bohl J, Del Tredici K. 2006. Gastric alpha-synuclein immunoreactive

inclusions in Meissner's and Auerbach's plexuses in cases staged for Parkinson's disease-

related brain pathology. Neurosci Lett 396:67-72.

9.   Shannon KM, Keshavarzian A, Dodiya HB, Jakate S, Kordower JH. 2012. Is alpha-

synuclein in the colon a biomarker for premotor Parkinson's disease? Evidence from 3

cases. Mov Disord 27:716-9.

10.   Hasegawa S, Goto S, Tsuji H, Okuno T, Asahara T, Nomoto K, Shibata A, Fujisawa Y,

Minato T, Okamoto A, Ohno K, Hirayama M. 2015. Intestinal Dysbiosis and Lowered

Serum Lipopolysaccharide-Binding Protein in Parkinson's Disease. PLoS One

10:e0142164.

11.   Nishiwaki H, Ito M, Ishida T, Hamaguchi T, Maeda T, Kashihara K, Tsuboi Y, Ueyama J,

Shimamura T, Mori H, Kurokawa K, Katsuno M, Hirayama M, Ohno K. 2020. Meta-

Analysis of Gut Dysbiosis in Parkinson's Disease. Mov Disord doi:10.1002/mds.28119.

12.   Scheperjans F, Aho V, Pereira PA, Koskinen K, Paulin L, Pekkonen E, Haapaniemi E,

Kaakkola S, Eerola-Rautio J, Pohja M, Kinnunen E, Murros K, Auvinen P. 2015. Gut

microbiota are related to Parkinson's disease and clinical phenotype. Mov Disord 30:350-8.

13.   Keshavarzian A, Green SJ, Engen PA, Voigt RM, Naqib A, Forsyth CB, Mutlu E, Shannon

KM. 2015. Colonic bacterial composition in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 30:1351-60.

14.   Unger MM, Spiegel J, Dillmann KU, Grundmann D, Philippeit H, Burmann J, Fassbender

K, Schwiertz A, Schafer KH. 2016. Short chain fatty acids and gut microbiota differ

between patients with Parkinson's disease and age-matched controls. Parkinsonism Relat

Disord 32:66-72.

15.   Hill-Burns EM, Debelius JW, Morton JT, Wissemann WT, Lewis MR, Wallen ZD, Peddada

SD, Factor SA, Molho E, Zabetian CP, Knight R, Payami H. 2017. Parkinson's disease and

Parkinson's disease medications have distinct signatures of the gut microbiome. Mov

Disord 32:739-749.

16.   Petrov VA, Saltykova IV, Zhukova IA, Alifirova VM, Zhukova NG, Dorofeeva YB, Tyakht

AV, Kovarsky BA, Alekseev DG, Kostryukova ES, Mironova YS, Izhboldina OP, Nikitina

MA, Perevozchikova TV, Fait EA, Babenko VV, Vakhitova MT, Govorun VM, Sazonov

AE. 2017. Analysis of Gut Microbiota in Patients with Parkinson's Disease. Bull Exp Biol

Med 162:734-737.

17.   Bedarf JR, Hildebrand F, Coelho LP, Sunagawa S, Bahram M, Goeser F, Bork P, Wullner

U. 2017. Functional implications of microbial and viral gut metagenome changes in early

stage L-DOPA-naive Parkinson's disease patients. Genome Med 9:39.

18.   Hopfner F, Kunstner A, Muller SH, Kunzel S, Zeuner KE, Margraf NG, Deuschl G, Baines

JF, Kuhlenbaumer G. 2017. Gut microbiota in Parkinson disease in a northern German

cohort. Brain Res 1667:41-45.

19.   Li W, Wu X, Hu X, Wang T, Liang S, Duan Y, Jin F, Qin B. 2017. Structural changes of gut

microbiota in Parkinson's disease and its correlation with clinical features. Sci China Life

Sci 60:1223-1233.

20.   Qian Y, Yang X, Xu S, Wu C, Song Y, Qin N, Chen SD, Xiao Q. 2018. Alteration of the

fecal microbiota in Chinese patients with Parkinson's disease. Brain Behav Immun 70:194-

202.

21.   Lin A, Zheng W, He Y, Tang W, Wei X, He R, Huang W, Su Y, Huang Y, Zhou H, Xie H.

474   2018. Gut microbiota in patients with Parkinson's disease in southern China. Parkinsonism

475   Relat Disord 53:82-88.

476   22.   Heintz-Buschart A, Pandey U, Wicke T, Sixel-Doring F, Janzen A, Sittig-Wiegand E,

477   Trenkwalder C, Oertel WH, Mollenhauer B, Wilmes P. 2018. The nasal and gut microbiome

478   in Parkinson's disease and idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. Mov

479   Disord 33:88-98.

480   23.   Tan AH, Chong CW, Teh CSJ, Yap IKS, Loke MF, Bowman J, Song SL, Tan JY, Ang BH,

481   Tan YQ, Yong HS, Lang AE, Mahadeva S, Lim SY. 2018. Unveiling the function of altered

482   gut microbiota composition in Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders 33:S783-S784.

483   24.   Barichella M, Severgnini M, Cilia R, Cassani E, Bolliri C, Caronni S, Ferri V, Cancello R,

484   Ceccarani C, Faierman S, Pinelli G, De Bellis G, Zecca L, Cereda E, Consolandi C, Pezzoli

485   G. 2019. Unraveling gut microbiota in Parkinson's disease and atypical parkinsonism. Mov

486   Disord 34:396-405.

487   25.   Schirinzi T, Sancesario GM, Di Lazzaro G, Biticchi B, Colona VL, Mercuri NB, Bernardini

488   S, Pisani A. 2019. CSF alpha-synuclein inversely correlates with non-motor symptoms in a

489   cohort of PD patients. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 61:203-206.

490   26.   Ueyama J, Oda M, Hirayama M, Sugitate K, Sakui N, Hamada R, Ito M, Saito I, Ohno K.

491   2020. Freeze-drying enables homogeneous and stable sample preparation for determination

492   of fecal short-chain fatty acids. Anal Biochem 589:113508.

493   27.   Pietrucci D, Cerroni R, Unida V, Farcomeni A, Pierantozzi M, Mercuri NB, Biocca S,

494   Stefani A, Desideri A. 2019. Dysbiosis of gut microbiota in a selected population of

495   Parkinson's patients. Parkinsonism Relat Disord doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.06.003.

28.   Cirstea MS, Yu AC, Golz E, Sundvick K, Kliger D, Radisavljevic N, Foulger LH,

Mackenzie M, Huan T, Finlay BB, Appel-Cresswell S. 2020. Microbiota Composition and

Metabolism Are Associated With Gut Function in Parkinson's Disease. Mov Disord

35:1208-1217.

29.   Boeve BF. 2010. REM sleep behavior disorder: Updated review of the core features, the

REM sleep behavior disorder-neurodegenerative disease association, evolving concepts,

controversies, and future directions. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1184:15-54.

30.   Kang SH, Yoon IY, Lee SD, Han JW, Kim TH, Kim KW. 2013. REM sleep behavior

disorder in the Korean elderly population: prevalence and clinical characteristics. Sleep

36:1147-52.

31.   Haba-Rubio J, Frauscher B, Marques-Vidal P, Toriel J, Tobback N, Andries D, Preisig M,

Vollenweider P, Postuma R, Heinzer R. 2018. Prevalence and determinants of rapid eye

movement sleep behavior disorder in the general population. Sleep 41.

32.   Berg D, Postuma RB, Adler CH, Bloem BR, Chan P, Dubois B, Gasser T, Goetz CG,

Halliday G, Joseph L, Lang AE, Liepelt-Scarfone I, Litvan I, Marek K, Obeso J, Oertel W,

Olanow CW, Poewe W, Stern M, Deuschl G. 2015. MDS research criteria for prodromal

Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 30:1600-11.

33.   Postuma RB, Berg D. 2016. Advances in markers of prodromal Parkinson disease. Nat Rev

Neurol 12:622-634.

34.   Postuma RB, Gagnon JF, Montplaisir JY. 2013. REM Sleep Behavior Disorder and

Prodromal Neurodegeneration - Where Are We Headed? Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N

Y) 3.

35.   Sateia MJ. 2014. International classification of sleep disorders-third edition: highlights and

519   modifications. Chest 146:1387-1394.

520   36.   Welch JD, Kozareva V, Ferreira A, Vanderburg C, Martin C, Macosko EZ. 2019. Single-

521   Cell Multi-omic Integration Compares and Contrasts Features of Brain Cell Identity. Cell

522   177:1873-1887 e17.

523   37.   Mandal S, Van Treuren W, White RA, Eggesbo M, Knight R, Peddada SD. 2015. Analysis

524   of composition of microbiomes: a novel method for studying microbial composition.

525   Microb Ecol Health Dis 26:27663.

526   38.   Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, Amir A, Bittinger K, Gonzalez A, Lozupone C, Zaneveld JR,

527   Vazquez-Baeza Y, Birmingham A, Hyde ER, Knight R. 2017. Normalization and microbial

528   differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. Microbiome 5:27.

529   39.   Almugbel R, Hung LH, Hu J, Almutairy A, Ortogero N, Tamta Y, Yeung KY. 2018.

530   Reproducible Bioconductor workflows using browser-based interactive notebooks and

531   containers. J Am Med Inform Assoc 25:4-12.

532   40.   Anderson L, Close GL, Morgans R, Hambly C, Speakman JR, Drust B, Morton JP. 2019.

533   Assessment of Energy Expenditure of a Professional Goalkeeper From the English Premier

534   League Using the Doubly Labeled Water Method. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 14:681-684.

535   41.   Chen LL, Madhavan R, Rapoport BI, Anderson WS. 2011. A method for real-time cortical

536   oscillation detection and phase-locked stimulation. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc

537   2011:3087-90.

538   42.   Derrien M, Vaughan EE, Plugge CM, de Vos WM. 2004. Akkermansia muciniphila gen.

539   nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol

540   54:1469-76.

541 43. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, Pudlo NA,

542   Kitamoto S, Terrapon N, Muller A, Young VB, Henrissat B, Wilmes P, Stappenbeck TS,

543   Nunez G, Martens EC. 2016. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the

544   Colonic Mucus Barrier and Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. Cell 167:1339-1353 e21.

545 44. Forsyth CB, Shannon KM, Kordower JH, Voigt RM, Shaikh M, Jaglin JA, Estes JD,

546   Dodiya HB, Keshavarzian A. 2011. Increased intestinal permeability correlates with

547   sigmoid mucosa alpha-synuclein staining and endotoxin exposure markers in early

548   Parkinson's disease. PLoS One 6:e28032.

549 45. Clairembault T, Leclair-Visonneau L, Coron E, Bourreille A, Le Dily S, Vavasseur F,

550   Heymann MF, Neunlist M, Derkinderen P. 2015. Structural alterations of the intestinal

551   epithelial barrier in Parkinson's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun 3:12.

552 46. Ascherio A, Chen H, Weisskopf MG, O'Reilly E, McCullough ML, Calle EE,

553   Schwarzschild MA, Thun MJ. 2006. Pesticide exposure and risk for Parkinson's disease.

554   Ann Neurol 60:197-203.

555 47. Ansaldo E, Slayden LC, Ching KL, Koch MA, Wolf NK, Plichta DR, Brown EM, Graham

556   DB, Xavier RJ, Moon JJ, Barton GM. 2019. Akkermansia muciniphila induces intestinal

557   adaptive immune responses during homeostasis. Science 364:1179-1184.

558 48. Cekanaviciute E, Yoo BB, Runia TF, Debelius JW, Singh S, Nelson CA, Kanner R,

559   Bencosme Y, Lee YK, Hauser SL, Crabtree-Hartman E, Sand IK, Gacias M, Zhu Y,

560   Casaccia P, Cree BAC, Knight R, Mazmanian SK, Baranzini SE. 2017. Gut bacteria from

561   multiple sclerosis patients modulate human T cells and exacerbate symptoms in mouse

562   models. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:10713-10718.

49.   Ju YE, Larson-Prior L, Duntley S. 2011. Changing demographics in REM sleep behavior

disorder: possible effect of autoimmunity and antidepressants. Sleep Med 12:278-83.

50.   Iranzo A. 2020. Sleep and neurological autoimmune diseases. Neuropsychopharmacology

45:129-140.

51.   Canani RB, Costanzo MD, Leone L, Pedata M, Meli R, Calignano A. 2011. Potential

beneficial effects of butyrate in intestinal and extraintestinal diseases. World J Gastroenterol

17:1519-28.

52.   Louis P, Hold GL, Flint HJ. 2014. The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal

cancer. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:661-72.

53.   Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Backhed F. 2016. From Dietary Fiber to Host

Physiology: Short-Chain Fatty Acids as Key Bacterial Metabolites. Cell 165:1332-1345.

54.   Nakajima A, Nakatani A, Hasegawa S, Irie J, Ozawa K, Tsujimoto G, Suganami T, Itoh H,

Kimura I. 2017. The short chain fatty acid receptor GPR43 regulates inflammatory signals

in adipose tissue M2-type macrophages. PLoS One 12:e0179696.

55.   Kidd SK, Schneider JS. 2010. Protection of dopaminergic cells from MPP+-mediated

toxicity by histone deacetylase inhibition. Brain Res 1354:172-8.

56.   St Laurent R, O'Brien LM, Ahmad ST. 2013. Sodium butyrate improves locomotor

impairment and early mortality in a rotenone-induced Drosophila model of Parkinson's

disease. Neuroscience 246:382-90.

57.   Sharma S, Taliyan R, Singh S. 2015. Beneficial effects of sodium butyrate in 6-OHDA

induced neurotoxicity and behavioral abnormalities: Modulation of histone deacetylase

activity. Behav Brain Res 291:306-314.

585 58. Sampson TR, Debelius JW, Thron T, Janssen S, Shastri GG, Ilhan ZE, Challis C, Schretter

586   CE, Rocha S, Gradinaru V, Chesselet MF, Keshavarzian A, Shannon KM, Krajmalnik-

587   Brown R, Wittung-Stafshede P, Knight R, Mazmanian SK. 2016. Gut Microbiota Regulate

588   Motor Deficits and Neuroinflammation in a Model of Parkinson's Disease. Cell 167:1469-

589   1480 e12.

590 59. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H,

591   Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ,

592   Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodriguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R, Diener

593   C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki

594   M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J,

595   Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK,

596   Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, et al. 2019.

597   Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2.

598   Nat Biotechnol 37:852-857.

599 60. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner FO. 2013.

600   The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-

601   based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590-6.

602 61. Jamshed N, Lee ZE, Olden KW. 2011. Diagnostic approach to chronic constipation in

603   adults. Am Fam Physician 84:299-306.

604 62. Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non‐parametric multivariate analysis of variance.

605   Austral Ecology 26:32-46.

606   63.   Chao A, Chazdon RL, Colwell RK, Shen TJ. 2005. A new statistical approach for assessing

607   similarity of species composition with incidence and abundance data. Ecology Letters

608   8:148-159.

609   64.   Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing

610   microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:8228-35.

611   65.   Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. 2003. Measuring inconsistency in meta-

612   analyses. BMJ 327:557-60.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical features of RBD and controls in our dataset

	RBD patients ($n = 26$)	Controls ($n = 137$)	p-value
Age (years)[a]	74.5 ± 6.4	68.3 ± 9.8	*2.2E-3
Sex (males/females)[b]	20/6 (76.9% males)	62/71 (45.3% males)	*5.2E-3
Body mass index (BMI)[a]	24.4 ± 2.4	22.9 ± 3.1	*0.018
# Constipation (less than or equal to twice a week)[b]	9 (34.6%)	6 (4.4%)	*5.7E-5
# Proton pump inhibitor[b]	7 (26.9%)	12 (8.8%)	*0.018
# H_2 blocker[b]	1 (3.8%)	8 (5.8%)	1.000

[a]Mean and SD are indicated, and Student's t-test was applied. [b]Fisher's exact test was applied. *p-value < 0.05.

619 **Table 2. PERMANOVA to examine the effect of each factor on the overall bacterial**

620 **composition in our dataset**

	# RBD patients	# Controls	*p*-value (Chao)	*p*-value (weighted UniFrac)	*p*-value (unweighted UniFrac)
(A)	26	137			
RBD vs controls			[*]4.3E-03	[*]0.010	[*]3.2E-03
(B)	26	133[a]			
RBD vs controls			[*]3.6E-03	[*]0.011	[*]5.7E-03
Age			[*]0.012	0.45	0.14
Sex			0.14	0.8	0.44
BMI			0.49	0.53	0.48
Constipation			0.12	0.1	0.62
PPI			0.092	0.26	0.26
	# RBD patients	# Hoehn Yahr 1 scale	*p*-value (Chao)	*p*-value (weighted UniFrac)	*p*-value (unweighted UniFrac)
(C)	26	30			
RBD vs Hoehn Yahr 1 scale			[*]0.011	[*]4.6E-03	0.44
(D)	26	30			
RBD vs Hoehn Yahr 1 scale			[*]0.046	[*]7.4E-03	0.48
Age			0.46	0.45	0.43
Sex			0.46	0.42	0.63
BMI			0.90	0.79	0.24
Constipation			0.52	0.46	0.85
PPI			0.80	0.75	0.39

P-values of three distance metrics (Chao, unweighted-UniFrac, and weighted-UniFrac) by

PERMANOVA are indicated. PERMANOVA to examine the effect of "RBD vs Controls" **(A)**,

and "RBD vs Hoehn and Yahr 1 scale" **(C)**, on the overall microbial composition without

considering covariates. The effects of "RBD vs Controls" **(B)**, and "RBD vs Hoehn and Yahr 1

scale" **(D)** were evaluated in the presence of the effects of sex, age, BMI, constipation, and PPI

by PERMANOVA. In **(B)** and **(D)**, the six features were equally evaluated as covariates affecting

the overall microbial composition. [a]Four controls lacking demographic features were excluded

from the analysis. [*]P-value < 0.05.

Table 3. Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis of our dataset for nine bacterial taxa that were significantly changed in RBD in our dataset

	W (ANCOM)	P-value (Wilcoxon rank sum test)	P-value of RBD	P-value of age	P-value of sex	P-value of BMI	P-value of constipation	P-value of PPI
Increased at the genus level								
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__**Ruminococcus 2**	157	*7.7E-4	*0.031	0.23	0.90	0.37	0.054	0.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__**Alistipes**[a]	139	*2.7E-5	**2.9E-3**	0.93	0.35	0.12	0.34	0.28
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__**Akkermansia**[a]	130	*6.0E-4	*0.025	0.32	0.31	*0.035	0.15	0.43
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-005	117	*2.2E-3	0.13	*2.2E-3	0.76	0.23	0.18	0.58
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__**Ruminococcaceae UCG-004**[a]	112	*7.6E-5	*0.028	0.18	0.36	*0.012	*0.023	0.23
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group	109	*1.5E-3	0.051	0.45	0.43	0.65	0.25	0.17
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__**Family XIII AD3011 group**[a]	104	*7.5E-4	*0.034	*0.036	0.62	*0.024	*0.036	0.21
Decreased at the genus level								
(no bacteria)								
Increased at the family level								

*D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__***Rikenellaceae**[a]*	38	*5.2E-5	**3.3E-3**	0.78	0.19	0.12	0.42	0.34
*D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__***Akkermansiaceae**[a]*	36	*6.0E-4	**0.025**	0.32	0.31	*0.035	0.15	0.43

Decreased at the family level

(no bacteria)

[a]Six taxa were also significantly changed in RBD in the meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets. *P*-values were obtained by GLMM analysis for each confounding factor. *P*-values are plotted in Fig. 3. Taxa and their *p*-values that were changed in RBD after adjusting for the effects of age, sex, BMI, constipation and PPI are indicated in bold (also indicated by orange arrows in Fig. 3). Taxa and their *p*-values that were changed by age, BMI, and constipation are underlined (also indicated by red, green, and black arrows, respectively, in Fig. 3). $*p < 0.05$.

Table 4. Statistical measures of meta-analysis of bacterial taxa in RBD in two datasets (Japan and Germany) and in PD in five

datasets (Japan, USA, Finland, Russia, and Germany).

	RBD				PD			
	p-value (FEM)	*p*-value (REM)	I^2 (%)	Relative abundance (%)	*p*-value (FEM)	*p*-value (REM)	I^2 (%)	Relative abundance (%)
A. Significantly increased in RBD at the genus level (plotted in Fig. 4A)								
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-004	1.2E-06	1.2E-06	0	0.27	2.9E-07	0.029	74	0.13
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__Alistipes	1.4E-05	1.4E-05	0	2.6	4.8E-08	1.2E-04	45	2.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII AD3011 group	2.5E-04	2.5E-04	0	0.27	6.3E-06	0.026	67	0.13
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__Akkermansia	3.5E-04	3.5E-04	0	1.0	1.9E-08	1.9E-08	0	2.7
B. Significantly decreased in RBD at the genus level								
No bacteria								
C. Significantly increased in RBD at the family level (plotted in Fig. 4A)								
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae	2.5E-05	2.5E-05	0	2.7	2.0E-08	3.8E-05	41	2.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae	3.5E-04	3.5E-04	0	1.0	1.0E-08	1.9E-08	0	2.7
D. Significantly decreased in RBD at the family level								
No bacteria								
E. Significantly decreased in PD at the genus level (plotted in Fig. 4B)								

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	0.052	0.052	0	6.9	4.4E-11	7.2E-10	0	4.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	0.64	0.64	0	1.4	1.0E-11	1.0E-11	12	0.57
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	0.41	0.41	0	0.76	2.0E-10	6.5E-10	4.5	0.20

(A-D) Four genera and two families were significantly increased in RBD (Bonferroni-corrected p-value < 0.05 and the homogeneity

index I^2 < 25%) in the Japanese and German datasets. Statistical measures of these taxa in PD in five datasets in our previous report

(11) are indicated. Forest plots of these taxa are indicated in Fig. 4A.

(E) Two recognized SCFA-producing genera (*Faecalibacterium* and *Roseburia*) and one putative SCFA-producing genus

(*Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group*) were significantly decreased in PD in five datasets in our previous report (11). Statistical measures

of these taxa in RBD in two datasets in this communication are indicated. Forest plots of these taxa are indicated in Fig. 4B.

FEM, the fixed-effects model. REM, the random-effects model.

Figure 1. Overall compositions of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PD in our dataset. (A) PCoA plot showing the center of gravity of the overall compositions of gut microbiota in seven morbidity categories. The number of subjects in controls, RBD, Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 were 137, 26, 30, 99, 73, 16, and 5, respectively. Chao is used as a distance metric. Standard errors are indicated. (B) Unsupervised clustering of overall compositions of gut microbiota in controls, RBD, and PD by LIGER yielded four enterotypes. tSNE was adopted to visualize four clusters representing enterotypes A-D. (C) Fractional ratios of controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr (H&Y) scales 1-5 in each enterotype. (D) Bacterial abundances in a total of 386 subjects were factorized into multiple factors. The first factor is color-coded in each subject on a tSNE plot indicated in (B). As SCFA-producing bacteria have high loadings in the first factor (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), individuals colored in blue carry a high proportion of SCFA-producing bacteria.

Figure 2. The 10 most increased and the 10 most decreased genera by ANCOM in RBD and PD both in our datasets. Identical genera are connected by a red line.

Figure 3. Generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis to evaluate confounding factors of seven genera and two families that were significantly changed between RBD and controls in our dataset. The effects of RBD, age, sex, body mass index (BMI), constipation, and PPI were individually analyzed by mutually adjusting for covariates by GLMM. Arrows indicate taxa that were significantly changed by RBD (orange arrows), age (red arrows), BMI (green arrows) and constipation (black arrows) after adjusting for the other confounding factors. Upward and downward arrows indicate increased and decreased taxa, respectively. Exact *p*-values are indicated in Table 3.

Figure 4. (A) Forest plots of four genera and two families that were significantly and homogenously changed in RBD in the Japanese and German datasets. Forest plots of PD in five datasets are also indicted in parallel. **(B)** Forest plots of two recognized and one putative SCFA-producing genera that were significantly and homogenously decreased in PD in five countries in our previous report (11). Forest plots of RBD in the Japanese and German datasets are also indicted in parallel. An effect size of each dataset, as well as the overall effect sizes by the fixed-effects model (FEM) and the random-effects model (REM), are indicated. Both lines and diamonds indicate 95% confidence intervals. Orange and blue symbols represent RBD and PD, respectively.

Figure 5. Reads counts of genera *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium, Roseburia*, and *Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group* normalized for 1×10^4 reads in controls, RBD, and Hoehn & Yahr scales1 to 5. Bar indicates an average in each category. *P*-values of Jonckheere-Terpstra trend test are shown on the right to indicate whether the genus increases or decreases monotonically. *P*-values of Wilcoxon rank sum test between controls and RBD (Table S2a in the supplemental material) are indicated on the left. Reads counts of genera *Akkermansia*, *Faecalibacterium, and Roseburia* in Hoehn & Yahr scales 1-5 were previously reported (11).

Supplementary Table S1. The top 10 genera with the highest loadings in the first

factor by LIGER analysis

Genus	Factor loading
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Fusicatenibacter	33.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-004	29.6
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	27.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Butyricicoccus	26.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Anaerostipes	26.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	24.9
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae;D_5__Bacteroides	24.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	24.6
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Blautia	24.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospira	23.3

Supplementary Table S2a. Genera changed in RBD in our dataset

Genus	W	*p*-value	*q*-value	Relative abundance in RBD (%)	Increased (+) or decreased (-)
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__**Ruminococcus 2**	157	7.7E-04	0.014	5.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__**Alistipes**	139	2.7E-05	4.6E-03	2.6	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__**Akkermansia**	130	6.0E-04	0.014	1.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__**Ruminococcaceae UCG-005**	117	2.2E-03	0.030	0.68	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__**Ruminococcaceae UCG-004**	112	7.6E-05	6.4E-03	0.27	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__**[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group**	109	1.5E-03	0.023	1.7	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__**Family XIII AD3011 group**	104	7.5E-04	0.014	0.27	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae;D_5__Bacillus	100	3.8E-03	0.046	0.011	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;__	96	7.3E-04	0.014	1.2	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillibacter	95	9.5E-04	0.016	0.42	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Turicibacter	91	9.2E-03	0.091	0.020	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-010	79	7.0E-04	0.014	0.092	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae;D_5__Bifidobacterium	70	0.018	0.14	3.1	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;D_5__Christensenellaceae R-7 group	65	7.4E-03	0.077	0.69	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Eggerthella	60	0.032	0.17	0.083	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Veillonella	56	0.021	0.15	0.093	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Eubacteriaceae;D_5__Eubacterium	40	7.7E-04	0.014	0.073	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Pasteurellales;D_4__Pasteurellaceae;D_5__Aggregatibacter	40	0.026	0.16	0.020	-

Taxonomy					
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__uncultured	39	2.5E-03	0.032	0.23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Allisonella	38	0.027	0.16	6.0E-3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptococcaceae;D_5__uncultured	22	5.7E-04	0.014	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-004	20	0.077	0.28	0.048	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 9	17	0.17	0.47	1.3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Cyanobacteria;D_2__Oxyphotobacteria;D_3__Chloroplast;D_4__Solanum melongena (eggplant);D_5__Solanum melongena (eggplant)	16	0.23	0.58	0.015	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae;D_5__TM7 phylum sp. canine oral taxon 250	16	0.20	0.52	2.1E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coprococcus 2	15	0.41	0.76	0.11	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Alloprevotella	14	0.51	0.79	0.041	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Enterococcaceae;D_5__Enterococcus	14	0.066	0.26	0.024	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Butyricicoccus	14	0.062	0.26	0.33	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;__;__	13	0.44	0.77	4.5E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Family XI;D_5__Gemella	12	0.25	0.62	5.2E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Merdibacter	12	0.64	0.89	7.3E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Alphaproteobacteria;D_3__Rickettsiales;D_4__Mitochondria;__	11	0.59	0.86	3.2E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae;D_5__Olsenella	10	0.96	0.98	0.023	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;Ambiguous_taxa	10	1.0	1.0	4.7E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__uncultured	10	0.72	0.94	0.020	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella 3	10	0.44	0.77	0.014	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Candidatus Soleaferrea	10	0.72	0.94	4.4E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-009	10	0.36	0.72	0.011	+
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__;__;__	10	0.53	0.81	5.4E-03	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__G ordonibacter	9	0.89	0.98	0.013	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae UCG-010	9	0.37	0.72	0.025	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__GCA-900066225	9	0.84	0.98	0.019	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5 __Coprobacillus	9	0.82	0.97	0.011	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lactonifactor	8	0.69	0.94	0.016	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Eubacteriaceae;D_5__Anaerofustis	7	0.80	0.96	6.0E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII UCG-001	7	0.85	0.98	0.011	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Hungatella	7	0.74	0.94	9.8E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Caproicipro ducens	7	0.51	0.79	0.016	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Phocea	7	0.98	0.99	4.3E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococ caceae UCG-003	6	0.64	0.89	0.067	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5 __[Clostridium] innocuum group	6	0.48	0.79	0.050	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Defluviitaleaceae;D_5__Defluviitalea ceae UCG-011	5	4.5E-03	0.050	1.3E-02	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Negativibac illus	5	0.015	0.13	0.12	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacter ia;D_3__Micrococcales;D_4__Micrococcaceae;D_5__Ro thia	3	0.72	0.94	8.7E-03	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__E nterorhabdus	3	0.63	0.89	0.012	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__La ctobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Lactococcus	3	0.62	0.88	0.20	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;__	3	0.043	0.21	0.019	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__ Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillospira	3	0.92	0.98	0.020	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__;__	3	0.93	0.98	0.017	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteob acteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionac eae;D_5__Bilophila	3	0.023	0.15	0.14	+

Taxonomy					
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae;D_5__Methanobrevibacter	2	0.016	0.13	0.30	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;D_5__uncultured	2	0.038	0.19	0.016	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__[Eubacterium] nodatum group	2	0.10	0.33	0.014	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Fusicatenibacter	2	0.059	0.26	1.2	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__UC5-1-2E3	2	0.057	0.25	0.011	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptococcaceae;D_5__Peptococcus	2	0.038	0.19	0.10	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Intestinimonas	2	0.022	0.15	0.094	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 6	2	0.017	0.13	0.34	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemania	2	0.031	0.17	0.040	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__uncultured	2	0.020	0.15	0.040	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group	1	0.57	0.85	0.079	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__[Eubacterium] brachy group	1	0.071	0.27	0.060	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Blautia	1	0.65	0.89	6.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-008	1	0.94	0.98	0.020	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__DTU089	1	0.066	0.26	0.033	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae NK4A214 group	1	0.030	0.17	0.25	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__UBA1819	1	0.034	0.18	0.14	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003	1	0.47	0.78	0.64	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;__	1	0.59	0.86	0.017	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Dialister	1	0.15	0.44	0.26	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae;D_5__Fusobacterium	1	0.31	0.66	0.36	-

Taxonomy					
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae;D_5__Actinomyces	0	0.83	0.98	0.049	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae;D_5__Collinsella	0	0.30	0.65	1.4	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis;D_5__uncultured	0	0.46	0.77	0.045	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Senegalimassilia	0	0.96	0.98	0.054	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Slackia	0	0.29	0.65	0.065	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;__	0	0.27	0.62	0.024	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae;D_5__Bacteroides	0	0.41	0.76	20.9	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Barnesiella	0	0.30	0.65	0.63	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Coprobacter	0	0.55	0.82	0.12	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__uncultured	0	0.20	0.52	0.041	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Butyricimonas	0	0.51	0.79	0.062	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Odoribacter	0	0.085	0.30	0.28	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;__	0	0.19	0.51	0.028	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Paraprevotella	0	0.071	0.27	0.37	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 2	0	0.095	0.31	0.58	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__uncultured	0	0.37	0.72	0.046	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;__	0	0.47	0.78	0.16	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__uncultured Rikenella sp.	0	0.069	0.27	0.044	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae;D_5__Parabacteroides	0	0.25	0.62	2.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__;__	0	0.77	0.94	0.078	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Carnobacteriaceae;D_5__Granulicatella	0	0.96	0.98	9.0E-03	-

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae;D_5__Lactobacillus	0	0.71	0.94	1.1	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Leuconostocaceae;D_5__Weissella	0	0.24	0.60	0.17	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Streptococcus	0	0.41	0.76	3.6	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1;D_5__Clostridium sensu stricto 1	0	0.86	0.98	0.59	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Agathobacter	0	0.88	0.98	1.4	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Anaerostipes	0	0.85	0.98	1.6	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__CAG-56	0	0.49	0.79	0.086	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coprococcus 1	0	0.77	0.94	0.22	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Dorea	0	0.82	0.97	0.75	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Eisenbergiella	0	0.095	0.31	0.032	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__GCA-900066575	0	0.29	0.65	0.055	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnoclostridium	0	0.16	0.46	0.56	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospira	0	0.93	0.98	0.73	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae FCS020 group	0	0.75	0.94	0.054	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae NC2004 group	0	0.013	0.12	0.031	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	0	0.76	0.94	0.67	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae NK4A136 group	0	0.75	0.94	0.27	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Marvinbryantia	0	0.31	0.66	0.068	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Moryella	0	0.45	0.77	0.022	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	0	0.95	0.98	1.3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Sellimonas	0	0.61	0.88	0.23	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella	0	0.61	0.88	0.083	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella 4	0	0.96	0.98	0.32	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] eligens group	0	0.11	0.35	0.55	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] fissicatena group	0	0.13	0.39	0.013	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] hallii group	0	0.97	0.98	1.2	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ruminantium group	0	0.18	0.49	0.19	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ventriosum group	0	0.76	0.94	0.23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] xylanophilum group	0	0.057	0.25	0.037	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gauvreauii group	0	0.44	0.77	0.41	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gnavus group	0	0.52	0.80	0.50	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] torques group	0	0.16	0.46	1.3	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__uncultured	0	0.79	0.96	0.24	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;__	0	0.26	0.62	2.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Romboutsia	0	0.35	0.72	0.030	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Terrisporobacter	0	0.70	0.94	0.082	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;__	0	0.93	0.98	1.3	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Anaerotruncus	0	0.16	0.46	0.010	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	0	0.14	0.43	4.8	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Flavonifractor	0	0.51	0.79	0.16	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Fournierella	0	0.95	0.98	0.031	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 5	0	0.27	0.62	0.50	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 9	0	0.90	0.98	0.079	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-002	0	0.046	0.21	1.1	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-013	0	0.77	0.94	0.34	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-014	0	0.41	0.76	0.59	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 1	0	0.45	0.77	1.5	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Subdoligranulum	0	0.95	0.98	2.3	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;__;__	0	0.092	0.31	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Catenibacterium	0	0.72	0.94	0.26	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelatoclostridium	0	0.45	0.77	0.52	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Faecalitalea	0	0.29	0.65	0.26	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemanella	0	0.41	0.76	0.87	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae;D_5__Acidaminococcus	0	0.41	0.76	0.057	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae;D_5__Phascolarctobacterium	0	0.35	0.72	0.89	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Megamonas	0	0.88	0.98	0.42	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Megasphaera	0	0.71	0.94	0.19	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae;D_5__Desulfovibrio	0	0.20	0.52	0.051	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;D_5__Parasutterella	0	0.92	0.98	0.13	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;D_5__Sutterella	0	0.85	0.98	0.32	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;__	0	0.082	0.29	0.15	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;D_5__Escherichia-Shigella	0	0.46	0.77	2.2	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;D_5__Klebsiella	0	0.37	0.72	0.46	-

	W	P-value	Q-value		
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaprote obacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriac eae;__	0	0.52	0.79	0.051	-
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D _3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae;D_5__Cloaciba cillus	0	0.30	0.65	0.033	+

W indicates a statistical measure generated by ANCOM. *P*-value was calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test. *Q*-value was calculated by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. We set the significance thresholds of W > 0.6 × N (N is the number of taxa tested) and *q*-value < 0.05. Significant taxa are indicated in bold.

Supplementary Table S2b. Families changed in RBD in our dataset

Family	W	*p*-value	q-value	Relative abundance in RBD (%)	Increased or decreased
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__**Rikenellaceae**	38	5.2E-05	2.6E-03	2.7	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__**Akkermansiaceae**	36	6.0E-04	0.010	1.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae	28	6.2E-03	0.031	0.74	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae	28	8.5E-04	0.011	0.22	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptococcaceae	26	1.3E-04	3.1E-03	0.12	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII	23	1.1E-03	0.011	0.36	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Pasteurellales;D_4__Pasteurellaceae	20	0.010	0.045	0.020	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae	18	3.8E-03	0.024	0.011	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Eubacteriaceae	18	3.0E-03	0.022	0.079	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae	16	0.018	0.077	3.1	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae	15	1.7E-03	0.014	23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Enterococcaceae	10	0.066	0.21	0.024	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae	9	0.26	0.58	0.026	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Cyanobacteria;D_2__Oxyphotobacteria;D_3__Chloroplast;D_4__Solanum melongena (eggplant)	9	0.23	0.58	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae	9	0.037	0.13	1.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Family XI	8	0.25	0.58	5.2E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;__	8	0.44	0.70	4.5E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Alphaproteobacteria;D_3__Rickettsiales;D_4__Mitochondria	8	0.59	0.79	3.2E-03	+

Taxonomy					
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Defluviitaleaceae	7	4.5E-03	0.025	0.013	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae	7	0.44	0.70	23	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae	7	0.62	0.80	3.7E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae	6	0.46	0.70	0.29	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae	6	0.58	0.79	2.8	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Micrococcales;D_4__Micrococcaceae	5	0.72	0.88	8.7E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae	5	0.27	0.58	0.36	+
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__;__	5	0.53	0.76	5.4E-03	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Carnobacteriaceae	3	0.96	0.98	9.0E-3	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae	3	0.38	0.70	0.058	+
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae	2	0.030	0.11	0.31	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__	2	0.77	0.90	0.080	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__	2	0.93	0.96	0.017	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae	1	0.41	0.70	21	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae	1	0.05	0.15	0.38	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae	0	0.87	0.95	0.049	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae	0	0.30	0.62	1.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis	0	0.74	0.88	0.046	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae	0	0.35	0.68	0.79	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae	0	0.48	0.70	2.9	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae	0	0.25	0.58	2.0	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae	0	0.72	0.88	1.1	+

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Leuconostocaceae	0	0.34	0.68	0.19	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae	0	0.42	0.70	3.8	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1	0	0.87	0.95	0.59	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiales vadinBB60 group	0	0.09	0.25	0.044	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae	0	0.89	0.95	1.4	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;__	0	0.092	0.25	0.015	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae	0	0.84	0.95	0.95	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Lentisphaerae;D_2__Lentisphaeria;D_3__Victivallales;D_4__Victivallaceae	0	0.60	0.79	0.032	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae	0	0.99	0.99	0.6	+
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae	0	0.46	0.70	2.7	+

W indicates a statistical measure generated by ANCOM. P-value was calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test. Q-value was calculated by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. We set the significance thresholds of W > 0.6 × N (N is the number of taxa tested) and q-value < 0.05. Significant taxa are indicated in bold.

Supplementary Table S3a. Effect sizes and relative abundances of all filtered genera in RBD in the meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Genus	Effect size	Relative abundance in RBD (%)
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-004	0.33	0.18
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae;D_5__Alistipes	0.29	2.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__Family XIII AD3011 group	0.25	0.24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae;D_5__Akkermansia	0.24	2.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__UBA1819	0.24	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] coprostanoligenes group	0.23	1.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__uncultured	0.21	0.26
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillibacter	0.21	0.39
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae;D_5__Bacillus	-0.20	8.6E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 2	0.20	3.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Eisenbergiella	0.20	0.028
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae NK4A214 group	0.19	0.37
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Anaerotruncus	0.19	0.022
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;__	0.19	2.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-010	0.19	0.12

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Negativibacillus	0.19	0.18
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemania	0.18	0.035
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae;D_5__Christensenellaceae R-7 group	0.18	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae NC2004 group	0.18	0.070
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Turicibacter	-0.18	0.025
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__UC5-1-2E3	0.17	0.011
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 9	-0.17	1.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-005	0.17	0.55
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 6	0.17	0.57
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__DTU089	0.16	0.027
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] torques group	0.16	1.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] xylanophilum group	0.16	0.093
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae;D_5__Bifidobacterium	-0.16	2.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII;D_5__[Eubacterium] nodatum group	0.14	0.010
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Faecalibacterium	-0.13	6.9
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-002	0.13	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Dialister	-0.1	0.17

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnoclostridium	0.13	0.61
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-004	-0.13	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;__	0.13	0.054
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 5	0.13	0.35
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae;__	0.12	0.62
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;__	0.12	4.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae;D_5__Parabacteroides	0.11	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coprococcus 2	-0.11	0.24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;__;__	0.11	0.27
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Marvinbryantia	0.11	0.10
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae;D_5__Methanobrevibacter	0.11	0.21
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Butyricimonas	0.11	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Butyricicoccus	-0.10	0.29
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Barnesiella	0.10	0.68
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelatoclostridium	0.10	0.33
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Flavonifractor	0.10	0.16
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Intestinimonas	0.091	0.079
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae;D_5__Odoribacter	0.090	0.31

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__uncultured	0.089	0.027
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;__	0.089	0.037
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Slackia	0.085	0.053
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-014	0.081	0.48
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;__	0.079	0.067
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Sellimonas	0.078	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Prevotella 2	0.077	0.37
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__uncultured	0.077	0.083
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae;D_5__TM7 phylum sp. canine oral taxon 250	-0.073	2.5E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] eligens group	0.072	0.53
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Romboutsia	0.072	0.018
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Coprococcus 1	0.072	0.23
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Paraprevotella	0.071	0.27
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae;D_5__Megasphaera	-0.071	0.11
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-008	-0.066	0.042
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Blautia	0.066	4.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__uncultured	-0.066	0.043
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Candidatus Soleaferrea	-0.064	4.6E-03

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Catenibacterium	-0.064	0.28
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Merdibacter	-0.063	6.7E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-003	-0.062	0.12
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1;D_5__Clostridium sensu stricto 1	-0.062	0.40
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Streptococcus	0.059	2.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae;D_5__Coprobacter	0.059	0.087
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospira	-0.058	0.66
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae;D_5__Cloacibacillus	0.056	0.034
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Fusicatenibacter	-0.056	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae ND3007 group	0.055	0.76
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__GCA-900066225	0.054	0.013
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae;D_5__Collinsella	-0.051	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella	0.048	0.061
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae UCG-010	-0.048	0.039
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003	-0.048	0.48
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Eggerthella	-0.048	0.091
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis;D_5__uncultured	0.047	0.040

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gnavus group	0.047	0.35
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__[Clostridium] innocuum group	0.047	0.049
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ruminantium group	0.046	0.38
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Faecalitalea	0.046	0.17
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Dorea	-0.043	0.63
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Phocea	0.043	5.0E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae;D_5__Klebsiella	-0.043	0.28
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Ruminococcus] gauvreauii group	0.043	0.38
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;__	-0.043	0.75
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae;D_5__Desulfovibrio	0.040	0.29
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-009	0.039	1.1E-02
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae;D_5__Phascolarctobacterium	0.032	0.86
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcaceae UCG-013	0.032	0.24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Agathobacter	-0.032	1.7
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Roseburia	0.032	1.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] hallii group	0.031	1.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Coprobacillus	0.030	0.019
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;__	-0.028	0.27

Taxonomy		
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae;D_5__Lactococcus	0.027	0.12
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae;D_5__Fusobacterium	-0.027	0.20
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__[Eubacterium] ventriosum group	0.026	0.14
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminiclostridium 9	-0.024	0.11
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__Alloprevotella	-0.024	0.12
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae;D_5__Actinomyces	0.023	0.033
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Gordonibacter	0.023	0.012
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__;__	-0.023	0.076
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae;D_5__uncultured	0.023	0.073
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae;D_5__Lactobacillus	0.021	0.91
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Moryella	0.021	0.019
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Tyzzerella 3	-0.019	0.040
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__;__	0.018	0.42
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae;D_5__Terrisporobacter	-0.017	0.062
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Ruminococcus 1	-0.016	1.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospiraceae FCS020 group	0.012	0.077
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__;__	0.012	0.26
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae;D_5__Senegalimassilia	-7.7E-03	0.05
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__CAG-56	7.0E-03	0.11

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia; D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae;D_5__Holdemanella	6.8E-03	0.75
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Lachnospirac eae NK4A136 group	-6.3E-03	0.47
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Oscillospira	5.5E-03	0.045
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__Anaerostipes	-4.7E-03	1.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacterii a;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae;D_5__Olse nella	-4.6E-03	0.023
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D _3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae;D_5__Bacteroid es	4.2E-03	19
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__uncultured	-4.1E-03	0.17
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteo bacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriacea e;__	7.2E-04	0.03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae;D_5__Subdoligran ulum	7.1E-04	2.2
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae;D_5__GCA-900066575	9.5E-05	0.059

Supplementary Table S3b. Effect sizes and relative abundances of all filtered families in RBD in the meta-analysis of the Japanese and German datasets

Family	Effect size	Relative abundance (%)
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Rikenellaceae	0.28	2.8
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Verrucomicrobia;D_2__Verrucomicrobiae;D_3__Verrucomicrobiales;D_4__Akkermansiaceae	0.24	2.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Family XIII	0.21	0.31
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Bacillales;D_4__Bacillaceae	-0.20	8.6E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Veillonellaceae	-0.19	0.63
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Christensenellaceae	0.18	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Pasteurellales;D_4__Pasteurellaceae	-0.18	0.020
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Marinifilaceae	0.17	0.52
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Ruminococcaceae	0.17	24
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Deltaproteobacteria;D_3__Desulfovibrionales;D_4__Desulfovibrionaceae	0.16	0.40
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Bifidobacteriales;D_4__Bifidobacteriaceae	-0.16	2.4
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiales vadinBB60 group	0.12	0.080
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;__	0.11	0.27
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Tannerellaceae	0.10	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Barnesiellaceae	0.087	0.86
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Atopobiaceae	-0.087	0.034
D_0__Archaea;D_1__Euryarchaeota;D_2__Methanobacteria;D_3__Methanobacteriales;D_4__Methanobacteriaceae	0.083	0.21
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Eggerthellaceae	-0.075	0.34

D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Betaproteobacteriales;D_4__Burkholderiaceae	-0.069	1.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Clostridiaceae 1	-0.062	0.40
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Streptococcaceae	0.061	2.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Prevotellaceae	-0.056	3.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriaceae	-0.051	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Synergistetes;D_2__Synergistia;D_3__Synergistales;D_4__Synergistaceae	0.050	0.053
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Fusobacteria;D_2__Fusobacteriia;D_3__Fusobacteriales;D_4__Fusobacteriaceae	-0.032	0.20
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Lentisphaerae;D_2__Lentisphaeria;D_3__Victivallales;D_4__Victivallaceae	0.029	0.050
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Peptostreptococcaceae	-0.027	1.0
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Coriobacteriia;D_3__Coriobacteriales;D_4__Coriobacteriales Incertae Sedis	0.026	0.043
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Clostridia;D_3__Clostridiales;D_4__Lachnospiraceae	0.025	24
D_0__Bacteria;__;__;__	-0.023	0.076
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Patescibacteria;D_2__Saccharimonadia;D_3__Saccharimonadales;D_4__Saccharimonadaceae	-0.021	3.6E-03
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Bacilli;D_3__Lactobacillales;D_4__Lactobacillaceae	0.019	0.91
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;__	0.018	0.42
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Actinobacteria;D_2__Actinobacteria;D_3__Actinomycetales;D_4__Actinomycetaceae	0.016	0.033
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;__;__;__	0.012	0.26
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Proteobacteria;D_2__Gammaproteobacteria;D_3__Enterobacteriales;D_4__Enterobacteriaceae	-6.7E-03	2.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Negativicutes;D_3__Selenomonadales;D_4__Acidaminococcaceae	-6.0E-03	1.1
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Firmicutes;D_2__Erysipelotrichia;D_3__Erysipelotrichales;D_4__Erysipelotrichaceae	4.4E-03	2.3
D_0__Bacteria;D_1__Bacteroidetes;D_2__Bacteroidia;D_3__Bacteroidales;D_4__Bacteroidaceae	4.2E-03	19

Supplementary Table S4. Experimental methods and disease durations of the Japanese and German datasets

Country	Transportation temperature	Storage method	Stool DNA stabilizer	Sequencing	Primers	Disease duration (years)[a]
Japan	0°C	Freeze dry	no	16S rRNA V3-4	341F/805R	6.4 ± 4.8 (Max 20, Min 0.1)
Germany	On dry ice	−80°C	no	16S rRNA V4	515F/805R	n.a.

[a]Mean and SD. n.a., not available.

Supplementary Table S5. The numbers of read counts in the Japanese and German datasets

					(x 1000 read counts)
Country (sample size)	**Average**	**SD**	**Median**	**Max**	**Min**
Japan (163)	53.5	18.7	50.8	98.0	13.8
Germany (58)	155.7	39.5	164.8	261.4	63.7

Supplementary Figure S1. Relative abundances of ten genera with the highest loadings in the

first factor (Supplementary Table S3) are plotted against enterotypes A to D generated by

LIGER. Bar indicates the median value. *P*-values of Jonckheere-Terpstra trend test are indicated

to show whether the genus increases or decreases monotonically.