Dissecting the microenvironment around biosynthetic scaffolds in murine skin wound healing

Chen Hu[a,#], Chenyu Chu[a,#], Li Liu[b,#], Chenbing Wang[a], Shue Jin[c], Renli Yang[a],

Shengan Rung[a], Jidong Li[c], Yili Qu[a], Yi Man[a,*]

[a] *Department of Oral Implantology & State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases & National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, , Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China*

[b] *State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Collaborative Innovation Center for Biotherapy, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China*

[c] *Research Center for Nano-Biomaterials, Analytical and Testing Center , Sichuan University , Chengdu 610064, China.*

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work

[*] Corresponding to Professor. Chair. **Yi Man**, E-mail: manyi780203@126.com

Corresponding author:

Yi Man, DDS, PhD

Professor and Chair

Department of Oral Implantology

State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, 14#, 3rd section, Renmin South Road

Chengdu 610041, China

Tel: 0086-28-85503571

Fax: 0086-28-85483678

E-mail: manyi780203@126.com

Abstract: The structural properties of biomaterials play crucial roles in guiding cell behavior and influencing immune responses against the material. We fabricated electrospun membranes with three types of surface topography (random, aligned, and latticed), introduced them to dorsal skin excisional wounds in mice and rats, and evaluated their regenerative effects on wound healing and immunomodulatory properties. Aligned membranes showed immunomodulatory ability, led to faster wound healing, reduced fibrotic response, and enhanced regeneration of cutaneous appendages. An overview of different immune cells in the microenvironment was realized by single-cell RNA sequencing analysis on cells from wounded mouse skin in the presence of three types of biomaterials and from the Ctrl samples. Keratinocytes, fibroblasts, and immune cells showed diverse cellular heterogeneity. In the comparison of aligned and Ctrl samples, more hair follicle related cells and fibroblast subsets were found in the aligned group, corresponding to the improved regeneration of hair follicles and faster wound closure in aligned samples. As infiltrated macrophages and neutrophils were reduced, and more effector T cells were recruited in aligned samples, the time course of immune response was possibly advanced toward an adaptive immunity-dominant stage by the scaffold. Integrated analysis of three scaffolds further suggested that T cells had modulatory effects on healing parameters. By knocking out T cells, lack of wound-induced hair neogenesis and epidermal hyperplasia demonstrated a regulatory role of T cells on the wound healing process. The microenvironment around scaffolds involved an intricate interplay of immune and cutaneous cells. These findings can be potentially used in the design and

selection of biomaterials for clinical use in wound repair.

Key words: Surface topography, immune microenvironment, immunomodulatory

ability, cellular heterogeneity

Introduction

Biomaterials and devices implanted in the body have a broad spectrum of clinical

applications like tissue regeneration and cell transplantation (1, 2). Among the

components of a cellular microenvironment, structural features (macroscale,

microscale, and nanoscale features) play critical roles in guiding cell behavior (3).

Electrospinning technology has been widely used in preparing scaffolds owing to its

simplicity, the capacity to form fibers on a micro- and nanoscale, structural control of

the electrospun membranes, and cost-effectiveness (4). Electrospun nanofibers can be

assembled into well-ordered nanofiber meshes with different morphologies, e.g., in a

parallel alignment or latticed patterns of nanofibers (5, 6), and have been used for the

regeneration of diverse tissues such as skin and bone (6, 7). Upon implantation of a

material, cells of both the innate and adaptive immune systems play a role in host

response (8, 9). Previous studies have illustrated type 1 (pro-inflammatory) immune

polarization driven by T helper 1 (Th1) cells, and the induced pro-inflammatory M1

activation of macrophages (stimulated by IL-2 and IFN-γ from Th1 cells). Conversely,

in type 2 immune response, T helper 2 (Th2) cells produce cytokines, such as IL-4

and IL-13, which regulate the polarization of macrophages towards an

anti-inflammatory M2 activation (10, 11). More recently, a type 17 immune response

was reported to promote chronic fibrosis in the tissue around the implants (12, 13). In

summary, previous studies had often focused on certain types of immune cells, such

as macrophages, and explored their roles in host response. However, immune

response is jointly regulated by various immune cells, whose phenotypes and

functions are dictated by external and internal signals. An overview of different

immune cells in the microenvironment will aid in the comprehensive understanding of

the immune responses elicited by scaffolds. Technological advances, such as

single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) (14, 15), have enabled cell population, cell

function, and the nuances of their phenotypes in vivo to be studied at a high resolution.

By changing the collector, we developed poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)-fish collagen

(PLGA-FC) hybrid electrospun scaffolds with three types of surface topography, i.e.,

groups with randomly oriented fibers (random group), mesh-like topography and

randomly oriented fibers in microscale (latticed group), and aligned fibers (aligned

group). We explored the regenerative outcomes of these scaffolds in rat/mouse dorsal

skin excisional wounds and evaluated their immunomodulatory properties. The

microenvironment around the scaffolds and Ctrl was investigated using scRNA-seq.

Heterogeneity of keratinocytes, fibroblasts, and immune cell populations, cellular

functions, and their interactions were explored *in vivo*. As far as we were concerned,

this is the first study deciphering the overall immune microenvironment around

cutaneous scaffold using scRNA-seq.

Results

Evaluation of wound healing in a rat skin-wound model

We fabricated scaffolds with random, aligned, and latticed fiber patterns (Fig. 1A) and

placed them below the full-thickness excisional wound (diameter = 6 mm) on the rats'

dorsal skin (Sprague Dawley rat). The Ctrl group received no scaffolds (Fig. 1B-C).

The biophysical properties of the scaffolds are summarized in Fig. S1. The workflow

for evaluating wound healing is summarized in Fig. 1B. The wound healing rate was

significantly accelerated by aligned membranes (Fig. 1D-E). The latticed group

showed delayed wound healing and had the largest residual wound area left on day 7.

By day 14, all groups had achieved complete wound closure. Above each scaffold, the

surrounding epithelium formed an epithelial tongue as the first layer advanced toward

the wound (16). On day 7, the aligned group presented the fastest coverage of the

wound, leaving the smallest gap width, whereas the latticed membrane seemed to

impede the advancement of the surrounding epithelium (Fig. 1F-G, Fig. S2). On day

14, re-epithelialization was complete in all groups except for the latticed group; all

groups showed matured stratified epithelia (Fig. 1F). Immunofluorescent staining for

Krt5 (keratin secreted by keratinocytes in the basal layer) and Krt10 (keratin secreted

by differentiated keratinocytes in the supra-basal layers) showed that, on day 7, the

aligned group had the largest area of newly formed keratinized epithelium, and more

keratinocytes were undifferentiated (Krt5-positive). On day 14, all groups revealed

the formation of stratified epithelia (Fig. 1H-I) (16). The dermis, the wound space

below the epithelium, was filled with granulation tissue on day 7. Collagen was

continuously deposited and remodeled (Fig. S2). On day 28, the aligned group had

more regenerated hair follicles and sebaceous glands than other treatment groups (Fig.

1F). The scaffolds were placed subcutaneously in rats to evaluate host response

against them. The thickness of the fibrotic capsules around scaffolds was measured on

days 3, 7, and 14, and the aligned group had the smallest fibrotic capsule thickness at

all time points (Fig. 1J-K, Fig. S3). Bulk-tissue RNA-Seq analysis for samples

harvested on day 7 (n = 3 for each group) identified transcripts corresponding to

34459 genes, distributed over six orders of magnitude of expression levels. Principal

component analysis (PCA) of the data revealed that the random and aligned samples

had more similar gene expression profiles with each other than with the latticed and

Ctrl samples (Fig. 1L). Gene ontology (GO) analysis showed that random and aligned

scaffolds induced the upregulation of genes associated with immune responses when

compared with the Ctrl samples, whereas the latticed group did not (Fig. 1M-N).

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis also revealed gene

enrichment in an immune-related pathway, "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction"

(KEGG ID rno04060; Fig. S4A-B) (17). Real-time quantitative polymerase chain

reaction (qPCR) confirmed the elevation of these genes in the aligned and random

groups (Fig. S4C). To validate that surface topography was a more influential

parameter in guiding the differential immune responses, we also applied

non-degradable polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)-FC scaffolds with latticed, random

and aligned morphology to the mouse cutaneous wound model (Fig. S5A-B).

Likewise, the random and aligned PVDF-FC membranes elicited more significant

immune responses than latticed membranes (Fig. S5C). To explore whether the

scaffolds had a similar performance in other species, we used them in a mouse

skin-wound model.

Wound healing in mice

Workflow for evaluating wound healing in C57BL/6 mice was summarized in Fig. 2A. We placed three types of scaffolds below the full-thickness excisional wound (diameter = 6 mm; Fig. 2B). The Ctrl group received no scaffolds. Wound coverage was faster in the Ctrl (20.80±4.66%) and aligned (residual wound area = 29.29±4.81%) groups on day 7. On day 14, all groups had achieved complete wound closure (Fig. 2C-D). The aligned group had the smallest gap width on day 7, followed by the Ctrl group (Fig. 2E-F). On day 14, re-epithelialization was completed in all groups except for some samples in the latticed group. All groups showed mature, stratified epithelia. Hair-follicle regeneration was observed in aligned samples after 14 days of healing (Fig. 2E). Scaffolds were also placed subcutaneously to evaluate the host response against them. The aligned membranes had the smallest capsule thickness throughout the observation period (Fig. 2G-H, Fig. S6). Bulk-tissue RNA-Seq was performed for aligned and Ctrl samples harvested on day 7 (n = 3 for each group). Compared to the Ctrl, the aligned group showed increased enrichment of genes involved in the inflammatory response, leukocyte chemotaxis, and migration (Fig. 2I). KEGG analysis revealed elevated gene expression in immune-related signaling pathways for the aligned group (KEGG ID mmu04657, mmu04668, mmu04060, and mmu04064; Fig. 2J). In summary, in both rat and mouse models, the aligned membranes led to faster wound healing, reduced fibrotic response, and enhanced regeneration of cutaneous appendages compared to other membranes. Meanwhile, the aligned scaffold showed immunomodulatory properties. We, therefore, sought to explore how

aligned membranes regulated the peri-implant microenvironment. Hence, we used

scRNA-seq to sequence cells from the wounded murine full-thickness skin 7 days

post-wounding.

Single-cell transcriptome analysis of full-thickness skin after wounding and

scaffold placement

We isolated cells from the aligned and Ctrl samples (n=4 biological replicates in each

group), and applied them to the 10X scRNAseq platform (Fig. 3A). A total of 8,982

cells in the Ctrl group and 9,593 cells in the aligned group were captured. After cell

filtering, 17,181 single cell transcriptomes were included in the final dataset (8,869

for the aligned group and 8,312 for the Ctrl group) (Fig. S7). We first computationally

pooled cells from Ctrl and aligned groups to create a virtual aggregate. Unsupervised

clustering using Seurat categorized the cells into 26 clusters based on global gene

expression patterns (Fig. S8), which were assigned to twelve main classes of cells

(Fig. 3B): keratinocytes (KER), fibroblasts (FIB), sebocytes (SEB), smooth muscle

cells (SMC), endothelial cells (EC), Schwann cells (SC), melanocytes (MEL), innate

lymphoid cells (ILC), monocyte-macrophages (MAC), T cells (TC), neutrophils

(NEU) and dendritic cells (DC). Marker genes for each cell cluster were shown in the

heatmap and listed in Fig. S8 (Fig. 3C, Fig. S8). The composition of each cell cluster

was listed so that the proportion of cells from two groups could be identified across

all cell clusters (Fig. 3B). As smooth muscle cells (57% from the Aligned group and

43% from the Ctrl group) could hardly regenerate at 7 days post-wounding, we

regarded a proportion within 50±7% as equilibrium between two groups. Genes

related to macrophages (Itgam, Cd68, Arg1, Mrc1) were significantly higher

expressed by the Ctrl samples (Fig. 3D).

Sub-clustering of keratinocytes reveals a higher proportion of hair follicle

progenitor cells from the aligned group

We selected cells that were in the first-level clustering defined as keratinocyte (Fig.

S8) and subjected them to a second round of unsupervised clustering (Fig. 4A).

Terminal cornification of epidermis is achieved by keratinocytes passing through

basal layers, differentiated layers, and cornified layers (16). In our study, the

inter-follicular epithelium was composed of the basal layer cells (IFEB, $Krt5^{hi}Krt14^{hi}$),

suprabasal layer cells ($Krt10^{hi}Krt1^{hi}$ IFED1, $Krt10^{hi}Mt4^{hi}$ IFED2), and cornified layer

(Lor^+ IFED3) cells (Fig. 4A-B). The location of keratinocyte subsets was marked in

Fig. 4C. Hair follicles in this study were in second telogen since the mice were 8 to 10

weeks old when they were sacrificed (18). However, anagen hair follicle gene

signatures were also found, possibly resulting from hair follicle regeneration after

wounding. The upper hair follicle cells were separated into three subsets named uHF1

($Krt79^{hi}Krt17^{hi}$), uHF2 ($Klk10^+Krt79^{hi}$), and uHFB (the upper hair follicle basal layer

cells, $Sostdc1^{hi}Apoe^{hi}$). The hair follicle progenitor cells ($Krt28^{hi}Lhx2^{hi}Mki67^{hi}$ HFP)

were highly proliferative. Germinative layer cells ($Mt2^{hi}Dcn^{hi}$) belonging to anagen

hair follicles also expressed high cell proliferation related genes like Top2a and Birc5.

The inner root sheath (IRS) and cortex cells were characterized by Krt28, Krt27,

Krt73, and Krt25, markers for the Henle and Huxley layers of anagen hair follicles

(19). Cells of the inner bulge layer (IB) and outer bulge layer (OB) expressed their

typical gene signatures (Fig. 4A-C). When analyzing the inter-group differences, the

aligned group contributed to a larger proportion of hair follicle progenitor cells (HFP)

and highly proliferative inner root sheath cells (IRS) (Fig. 4A). This might explain the

enhanced hair follicle regeneration observed in aligned groups either on rat or mouse

models.

Inter-group differences in fibroblasts suggest more active extracellular matrix

formation in the aligned group

The dermis consists of several layers: the papillary dermis that lies closest to the

epidermis, the underlying reticular dermis containing the bulk of the fibrillary

extracellular matrix, and the hypodermis that lies beneath the reticular dermis (20).

Fibroblasts from different layers presented distinct gene signatures (Fig. 4D). There

were four populations of papillary fibroblasts (Crabp1$^+$Col23a1$^+$) (21, 22). Dermal

fibroblasts expressed increased Ccl11 and Dcn. The Gpx3$^+$Plac8^{hi} subset was

identified as the hypodermal fibroblast located close to the adventitia (HDF1), and the

Gpx3$^+$Plac8^{lo} subset (HDF2) was around the panniculus carnosus muscle (19).

Contractile myofibroblasts (Acta2$^+$ MF) expressed elevated genes associated with cell

proliferation (Birc5^{hi}). The composition of each subset revealed that more papillary

(PF2), dermal (DF), hypodermal (HDF2), and MF were observed in the aligned group,

suggesting more robust extracellular matrix formation in the presence of scaffolds.

Neutrophils and dendritic cells were more abundant in the Ctrl samples

After wounding, neutrophils close to the center of the injury migrated toward the

nidus, followed by those recruited more than 200 μm from the site of tissue injury

(23). Three neutrophil subsets were identified in our study (Fig. 4E). Peripheral blood

neutrophils (PBN) expressed typical gene signatures, including Csf3r, Pglyrp1, Il1b,

and Retnlg. Neutrophil 2 (Neu2) expressed elevated Cd14 and Ccl3, and was

identified as antimicrobial phagocytic neutrophils. Neutrophil 1 (Neu1) expressed

elevated Ccr1, a chemokine receptor that mediates neutrophil migration.

Correspondingly, PBN showed gene enrichment in leukocyte chemotaxis and

migration; Neu1 expressed genes enriched in leukocyte/granulocyte chemotaxis and

migration, and Neu2 was enriched in anti-bacterial biological processes (Fig. 4F).

According to the correlation analysis, Neu 1 and Neu 2 were derived from PBN in

circulation (Fig. 4G). In Neu1 and Neu2, more cells belonged to the Ctrl group (Fig.

4E), suggesting more significant Neu1 and Neu2 infiltration in the Ctrl samples at the

proliferative stage (7 days post-wounding). Dendritic cells were classified into two

subpopulations (Fig. 4H). The subset derived from monocytes (DC) was characterized

by increased Cd207 and Cd86 (11, 24). The Langerhans cell (LC) subset bore a

keratinocyte gene signature, probably transferred from the resident microenvironment

(Fig. 4I) (25). Both DC and LC presented elevated major histocompatibility complex

(MHC) molecules, suggesting they had an antigen-presenting function (Fig. 4J). LCs

contained more Ctrl-derived cells, indicating differences in Langerhans cell

infiltration between groups.

Macrophage heterogeneity and their down-regulation by scaffolds

To explore the heterogeneity of macrophages *in vivo* and their inter-group differences,

we subjected them to further unsupervised sub-clustering. Four subsets were

determined (Fig. 5A). The subset that showed increased anti-inflammatory genes

(Ccl8, Folr2, C1qa, Mrc1) was named anti-inflammatory macrophages (AIM[1])

(26-28). Another subset characterized by elevated expression of pro-inflammatory

genes (Ptgs2, Ccl3, Inhba, Nos2) was named pro-inflammatory macrophages (PIM[1])

(29, 30). The monocyte (Mono[1]) subset showed higher Ly6c2, Plac8, Cd14, and

Clec4e, indicating inflammatory responses against lesions and microorganisms (31,

32). The Cytip[hi]H2-Eb1^{hi} cell subset was defined as monocyte-derived dendritic cells

(MDC[1]; Fig. 5B) (33). We further found that canonical M1 and M2 markers were not

entirely consistent with computationally determined AIM[1] and PIM[1]. Arg1, a

canonical M2 marker, was expressed by all monocyte-macrophage subsets (AIM[1],

PIM[1], Mono[1]), and regarded as a pan-macrophage marker in this study (Fig. 5B). The

expression of another type 2 gene, Socs3, did not also parallel Mrc1 expression, and a

similar disparity was found in the expression of canonical type 1 genes. MDC[1], rather

than PIM[1], expressed more Cd86, and Nfkbiz did not correlate with Cd86. The

expression of other genes associated with fibrotic or regenerative macrophage subsets

in a scaffold immune microenvironment did not correspond with these clusters (Fig.

5B) (13). Ly6c2, Arg1, Mrc1, and Nos2 were determined to sufficiently distinguish

the computationally determined AIM[1], PIM[1] and Mono[1] subsets. We performed flow

cytometry on cells isolated from aligned and Ctrl samples using Cd68, a

monocyte-macrophage marker also expressed by some neutrophils and dendritic cells,

and the proposed markers (Ly6c2, Arg1, Mrc1, Nos2). Cd68^{+} cells were selected to

create a t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) plot. We then identified

Arg1[+] macrophages expressing the surface markers, Mrc1 and Nos2, in the gated

dataset to represent AIM[1] and PIM[1], respectively. The Arg1^{+}Ly6c2[+] Mono[1] were also

identified. The three terminal clusters (AIM[1], PIM[1] and Mono[1]) could be separated,

indicating that the subsets could be identified experimentally using flow cytometry

(Fig. 5C). The pseudo-temporal trajectory (Monocle 2) of the four subsets revealed

that monocytes developed into MDC[1] and polarized macrophages (AIM[1] and PIM[1]).

Although AIM[1] and PIM[1] expressed distinct gene signatures, they were highly

correlated (Fig. 5D-E). The Ctrl samples contributed to a larger proportion of cells in

all subsets (Fig. 5A). Therefore, the scaffold might play a role in the reduction of

macrophage infiltration at the proliferative stage.

Sub-clustering of T cells revealed a novel T cell population and more effector T

cells in the aligned group

T cells were clustered into four subsets (Fig. 6A). Those characterized by increased

Cd7, Cd3g, and Areg genes were named Activated T cell 1[1] (AT1[1]) (34). The subset

adjacent to AT1[1] expressed elevated Xcl1 and Sult2b1 genes (associated with T cell

activation), and was named Activated T cell 2[1] (AT2[1]) (35). AT2[1] also expressed

increased Areg, Ctla2a, and Ctla2b, genes related to immune homeostasis and

immunosuppression (36, 37). Another activated T cell subset (AT3[1]) expressed

upregulated genes associated with cytotoxic T cells (Cd8b1), Th1 cells (Ifng, Ptpn18),

and Th17 cells (Il17a, Il17f) (38, 39). Therefore, AT3[1] might include multiple effector

T cells. A novel T cell subset connecting AT3[1] and AT1[1] was characterized with high

expression of Birc5, Mki67, and Stmn1 markers for cell proliferation, and was named

Proliferating T cell[1] (PT[1]) (Fig. 6A-B). GO analysis showed that both AT1[1] and AT2[1]

were enriched in T cell activation. Further, the T cell receptor signaling pathway was

elevated in AT2[1], suggesting that these T cells played a role in antigen recognition

(Fig. 6B). Gene expression of canonical T cell markers and typical transcription

factors (Cd4, Cd8a, Foxp3, Gata3, Runx3) were not entirely consistent with

computationally determined subsets (Fig. 6C), suggesting diverse T cell heterogeneity

in vivo. Pseudo-time analysis revealed three terminally differentiated clusters

stemming from two precursors (AT1[1] and AT2[1]). PT[1] was differentiated from AT1[1]

and AT2[1] after the first branch point, and might be a transitional status between early

and effector T cells. At the second branch, cells differentiated into two terminal

clusters; one belonged to AT1[1] and AT2[1], and the other, to the AT3[1] population (Fig.

6D). Correlation analysis showed that AT1[1] and AT2[1] were highly correlated (Fig. 6E).

More cells in the AT1[1] and AT2[1] populations were from the Ctrl samples, whereas a

larger number of effector T cells and PT[1] were from the aligned samples.

Correspondingly, proteomics assay for the aligned and Ctrl samples revealed that the

aligned group expressed higher Tap1 and Tap2 (Fig. 6F), transporters associated with

antigen processing (40). GO enrichment showed protein enrichment in MHC protein

binding (P=0.0059) and TAP complex (P=0.0059) for the aligned sample. Interpro

(IPR) analysis also indicated enrichment of Tap2 in the aligned group (P= 0.00205).

The higher Tap expression level confirmed elevated T cell activities in aligned

samples.

Receptor-ligand analysis reveals intricate interactions among immune cells,

keratinocytes and fibroblasts

To explore potential interactions among immune cells, keratinocytes, and fibroblasts, we performed CellChat analysis on these datasets (41). For AIM[1], broadly speaking, "pro-inflammatory" signals, including Tnf, Visfatin, Rankl, EGFR, and C3a, and "anti-inflammatory" signals, including Spp1, Lgals9, Sema3, Chemerin, Il2, Il13, and Il10, were involved either via the paracrine or autocrine system. Mif, Ccl, Csf, Edn, and Cxcl12 signaling exerted protective or deleterious effects depending on their specific roles (Fig. 7A) (26, 42-53). Among T cell populations (Fig. 7B), AT1[1] communicated with other T cells mainly through Tgfb signaling, mediating T cell tolerance, and immune homeostasis (54). The novel PT[1] population secreted Wnt11 signals that bound to the Fzd5 receptor on AT1[1]. The inner bulge layer keratinocytes secreted Cxcl16 that targeted Cxcr6 on AT1[1], chemoattracting AT1[1] to the wound area (55). For fibroblast populations, HDF1 had the most frequent interaction with other cells (Fig. 7C). In addition to the mentioned signals, oncostatin M signaling was also activated and induced the expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine, IL-6 (56). T cells and macrophages secreted Tgfb1 and Tgfb2 to target HDF1 and PF1, leading to the proliferation and differentiation of fibroblasts into myofibroblasts (57). Among keratinocytes, IFEB and IB1 had the most frequent contact with other cells (Fig. 7D, Fig. S9I-M). IFEB received Tgfb1 and Tgfb2—signals playing key roles in regulating epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition for keratinocytes—from T cells, macrophages, and germinative layer keratinocytes (58). Interactive plots for other cell types are summarized in Fig. S9. The communication between immune and cutaneous cells was

333 complicated, and wound healing resulted from numerous influencing factors working

334 together.

Differences in immune microenvironments around random, latticed and aligned

scaffolds and a regulatory role of T cells in wound healing process

We further explored the differences in cell composition and gene expression among

random, latticed and aligned scaffolds using scRNA-seq. Cells from three groups

were computationally pooled to create a virtual aggregate. Unsupervised clustering

using Seurat categorized the cells into 25 clusters based on global gene expression

patterns (Fig. 8A-B). The aligned samples recruited less adaptive immune cells

($Cd3g^{hi}Cd7^{hi}Nkg7^{hi}$ T cells) than the latticed group, and meanwhile less innate

immune cells (macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells) compared to the random

group (Fig. 8C). We further explored the differently distributed immune cells.

Sub-clustering of macrophages resulted in four subsets, which were PIM^2, AIM^2,

$Mono^2$, and MDC^2 (Fig. 8D). Aligned samples that showed improved wound healing

had the lowest number of anti-inflammatory macrophages ($Folr2^{hi}C1qa^{hi}Mrc1^{hi}$) (26,

28), whereas random samples recruited the most AIM^2 (Fig. 8D). The latticed group

had the most pro-inflammatory macrophages (PIM^2, $Arg1^{hi}Ptgs2^{hi}Clec4e^{hi}$), followed

by the aligned group, and the random group showed the lowest PIM^2 recruitment. The

results indicated that random scaffolds induced a more "anti-inflammatory"

microenvironment than aligned and latticed ones did, which did not correspond with

the wound healing outcomes. Meanwhile, we found no significant correlation between

the number of macrophages (AIM^2, PIM^2) and healing parameters (residual wound

area, thickness of fibrotic capsules). Therefore, we considered that anti- and

pro-inflammatory macrophages was not the predominant cell type influencing wound

healing at day 7. Subclustering of T cells resulted in six subsets. According to their

marker genes and gene enrichment analysis, the T cell subsets were named Activated

T cell 1^2 (AT1^2, Cd3g$^+$Cd7$^+$Fcer1g$^+$), Activated T cell 2^2 (AT2^2, Rgs2$^+$Ctla2a$^+$Areg$^+$),

Activated T cell 3^2 (AT3^2, P2ry10$^+$Zeb1$^+$Hspa1b$^+$), Th17 (Il17f$^+$Ctla4$^+$Ptpn18$^+$), CTL

(Gzma$^+$Cd8b1$^+$Ifng$^+$), and Proliferating T cell^2 (PT^2, Stmn1$^+$Birc5$^+$Tubb5$^+$) (Fig. 8E).

Latticed and random samples contributed to more T cells in all subsets. When three

scaffolds were analyzed, correlation analysis showed that the amount of AT2^2 cells

was positively correlated with thickness of fibrotic capsules (P=0.017, R^2=0.999).

Meanwhile, the amount of Th17 cells (P=0.039, R^2=0.996) and AT1^2 (P=0.021,

R^2=0.996) cells was negatively correlated with the amount of inner bulge layer 2

keratinocytes (IB2, Ifi202b$^+$Plet1$^+$Plk2$^+$Krt6a$^+$). The thickness of newly formed

epidermis was negatively correlated with number of CTLs (P=0.116, R^2=0.967) (Fig.

8F). Based on this, we considered that the amount of T cells might influence healing

outcomes including fibrotic response, formation of skin appendages, and structure of

regenerated epidermis. To testify that, we placed aligned scaffolds in the dorsal

skin-wounds of immunodeficient C57Bl/6 (B6.129-Rag2tm1) mice, which lacked

mature T and B cells (Fig. 9A). We isolated cells of the wounded skin tissue

(harvested at 7 days post-wound) and confirmed significant CD3$^+$ T cell reduction in

the Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. S10). Wound closure rate was not significantly different

between wild type (WT) and Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice (Rag2$^{-/-}$) (Fig. 9B). The new epithelium was

much thicker in Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice, characterized by hyperplasia of stratum spinosum and

stratum corneum. Regeneration of skin appendages was scarce in T cell deficient mice

(Fig. 9C-D).

Discussion

The skin-wound repair process was classically divided into four phases, namely,

hemostasis (hours), inflammation (days), proliferation (1-2 weeks), and remodeling

(>2 weeks). Seven days post-wounding was a transitional time point when the innate

immune response subsided and the activity of adaptive immune cells increased (11)

(Fig. 7E). In the Ctrl samples, the infiltration of T cells occurred to a similar extent as

with macrophages (Fig. 7F; overall distribution). However, in the aligned group,

infiltrated macrophages were much fewer than T cells, and more terminally

differentiated effector T cells were present (Fig. 7F). According to the timeframe of

innate and adaptive immune responses (11), the process of innate immunity seemed to

be alleviated earlier, and adaptive immune response was advanced in the presence of

aligned scaffolds (Fig. FG). In the immune microenvironment around aligned

scaffolds (Fig. 7H), damage-associated molecular patterns, pathogen-associated

molecular patterns, and antigens from cell debris, pathogens, and foreign agents

(scaffold) triggered innate and adaptive immune responses. Neutrophils from

circulation (PBN) quickly migrated to the wound area. The infiltrated neutrophils

(Neu1 and Neu2) phagocytosed dying cells and microorganisms, and secreted

chemo-attractants, like Ccr1 and Ccl4, to recruit more leukocytes and lymphoid cells

(55). Circulating monocytes (Mono[1]) were also recruited to the wound area, and

differentiated into PIM[1], clearing dying neutrophils and debris. Meanwhile, AIM[1]

chemo-attracted more cells via chemokines, including Ccl8 and Ccl6. Some

monocytes differentiated into MDC[1]. Tissue resident LCs, together with MDC[1],

functioned as antigen-presenting cells (APCs). Following antigen-specific signaling,

LC and DC processed antigens into peptides and presented them by MHC class II

molecules (H2-Aa, H2-Eb1) on the cell surface. T cells (AT1[1] and AT2[1]) bound to the

MHC molecules through surface receptors (TCR) and then differentiated into effector

T cells (AT3[1]). The co-stimulatory signal was characterized by the engagement of the

Cd28 receptor on T cells (AT3[1], $Cd8b1^+Cd28^{hi}$) with Cd86 ligands on APCs ($Cd86^+$

DC and LC) (11, 59). The influence of the immune microenvironment on tissue

generation was displayed, as immune cells sent out a variety of signals (Grn, Tgfb,

Areg/Hbegf) that modulated the behaviors of keratinocytes and fibroblasts (Fig.

7A-D). Conversely, keratinocytes and fibroblasts secreted chemotactic pro- or

anti-inflammatory signals that regulated immune cell polarization and function.

Immune cells also interacted with each other via numerous signals; however, the

communication network of immune and cutaneous cells was complicated, and wound

healing resulted from the combined effect of these factors.

Wound-induced hair neogenesis (WIHN) usually occurs upon significant injury such

as full-thickness excisional wounding larger than $1cm^2$, whereas small wounds

($<1cm^2$) typically repair by forming scar tissue (60). However, in this study, hair

follicles regenerated in the center of a small wound ($\varphi=6mm$) in the presence of

aligned scaffold (7 days post-wound) (Fig. 9C). The major difference between aligned

and Ctrl samples was the macrophage population and some effector T cells, hence we

focused on the influences of macrophages and T cells on wound healing. Furthermore,

when the random, latticed and aligned groups were analyzed together, the infiltration

of macrophages did not correlate with the healing outcomes, whereas T cells had

some correlations with the healing parameters. Therefore, we focused on the role of T

cells in regulating mouse skin wound healing. By placing aligned scaffolds into

Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice, we observed that the wound closure was not delayed without T cells.

The regenerated tissue in Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice had no hair follicles and was characterized by

significant hyperplasia of stratum spinosum and stratum corneum. As hair follicles did

not regenerate both in wounds infiltrated with large amount of T cells (latticed

samples) and in T cell deficient mice (Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice), we proposed that proper amount

of T cell recruitment was essential for WIHN in small wounds (diameter=6mm

circular wound). The epidermal hyperplasia (acanthosis) observed in Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice was

similar to skin lesions of psoriasis. The pathogenesis of psoriasis was related to the

IL-23/Th17 pathway involving IL-22, preferentially produced by Th17 cells,

mediating the acanthosis induced by IL-23 (61). Although Rag2$^{-/-}$ mice lacked T cells,

IL-23, IL-22 and IL-17 can also be produced by type 3 innate lymphoid cells,

dendritic cells and macrophages (39, 62), these cells might have compensated for the

production of IL-23, and promoted the epidermal hyperplasia. Future studies should

address how T cells regulate hair follicle neogenesis. In conclusion, immune

responses toward the biomaterials with different scaffolds varied significantly from

that of the Ctrl group. The aligned group displayed an adaptive immunity-dominant

response and yielded the most desirable results among three scaffolds. Integrated

analysis of three scaffolds suggested that T cells had modulatory effects on wound

healing. By knocking out T cells, lack of wound-induced hair neogenesis and

epidermal hyperplasia demonstrated an essential role of T cells in hair regeneration.

The communication network of immune and cutaneous cells around the scaffold was

complex, and overall, wound healing occurred as a result of the combined effect of

several influencing factors. These findings are potentially applicable in the design and

selection of biomaterials for clinical use in wound repair, thereby improving patient

outcomes. Limitations of this study include the insufficient disclosure of how T cells

regulated hair follicle neogenesis. Meanwhile, the mechanical and biological

influences scaffold structure had on wound closure rate was not fully disclosed. The

cellular crosstalk between immune cells and skin cells can be further explored.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Research and Develop Program, West China Hospital of

Stomatology Sichuan University (No.LCYJ2019-19); The Fundamental Research

Funds for The Central Universities (No. 2082604401239)

Statement of conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest related to this manuscript.

Fig. 1: Evaluation of the healing of rat skin wounds implanted with three types of electrospun scaffolds. (A) Surface topography of three types of electrospun membranes. (B) Workflow for evaluating rat skin-wound healing. (C) Surgical processes for the rat skin excisional wound model. (D, E) Residual wound area at 3, 5,

467 7 and 14 days. (F) Histological view of the Control (Ctrl) and other groups implanted

468 with three types of scaffolds. (G) Semi-quantitative evaluation of gap width. (H)

469 Immunofluorescent staining using Krt5 (red) and Krt10 (green). (I) Semi-quantitative

470 evaluation of the fluorescent area. (J) Evaluation of foreign body reaction around the

471 biomaterials. Foreign body gigantic cells lined up on the random and latticed

472 membranes, whereas fewer of them appeared on aligned membranes. (K) Thickness

473 of fibrotic capsules. (L-N) PCA and GO analysis showing immunomodulatory effects

474 for aligned and random scaffolds. ****$P < 0.0001$, **$P < 0.01$, and *$P < 0.05$ by

475 ANOVA for data in (E), (G), and (K).

Fig. 2: Evaluation of the healing of mouse skin wounds implanted with three types of electrospun scaffolds. (A) Workflow for evaluating mouse skin-wound healing. (B) Surgical processes for mouse skin excisional wound model. (C, D)

Residual wound area at 3, 5, 7 and 14 days. (E) Histological analysis of the Control

(Ctrl) and other groups implanted with three types of scaffolds. (F) Semi-quantitative

evaluation of gap width. (G) Evaluation of foreign body reaction around biomaterials.

(H) Thickness of fibrotic capsules. (I, J) GO and KEGG analysis, respectively,

showing immunomodulatory effects for aligned scaffolds. ****$P < 0.0001$, ***$P <$

0.001, and *$P < 0.05$ by ANOVA for data in (D), (F), and (H).

Fig. 3: Overview of the single-cell transcriptome analysis. (A) Workflow for single-cell experiments. (B) Cells are categorized into 12 main classes. Cell populations in each class, number of cells (%), and composition of aligned and Control (Ctrl) cells are listed. (C) Marker genes for cell clusters. (D) Differences in gene expression between Ctrl and aligned groups.

Fig. 4: Further analysis of keratinocytes, fibroblasts, neutrophils, and dendritic

cells. (A) Sub-clustering of keratinocytes showing 12 subsets. The marker genes and

composition for each subset are listed. (B) Marker genes for each keratinocyte subset.

(C) Location of different keratinocyte subset. Color of each cell corresponds with its

cell type in (A). (D) Sub-clustering of fibroblasts showing eight subsets. The marker

genes and composition for each subset are listed. (E) Sub-clustering of neutrophils

showing three subsets. (F) Marker genes for neutrophil subsets and their enriched

gene sets in GO analysis. (G) Correlation analysis of neutrophil subsets. (H)

Sub-clustering of dendritic cells showing two subsets. (I) Marker genes for dendritic

cell subsets. (J) Expression of genes associated with antigen presenting in dendritic

cells.

Fig. 5: Analysis of macrophages. (A) Sub-clustering of macrophages showing four

subsets. The marker genes and composition for each subset are listed. (B) Marker

genes for each macrophage/monocyte subset and their enriched gene sets in GO

analysis. Expression of canonical M1 and M2 markers and other proposed markers

are shown. (C) In vivo flow cytometry strategy using Cd68 to identify monocytes and

macrophages. Arg1^{+} macrophages expressing the surface markers Mrc1 and Nos2 in

the gated dataset represent anti-inflammatory macrophages (AIM[1]) and

pro-inflammatory macrophages (PIM[1]), respectively. The Arg1^{+}Ly6c2[+] monocytes

(Mono[1]) are also shown. (D) Pseudo-temporal ordering of macrophage/monocytes,

and the distribution of four subsets along the trajectory. (E) Correlation analysis of

macrophage/monocyte subsets.

Fig. 6: Analysis of T cells. (A) Sub-clustering of T cells showing four subsets. (B)

Marker genes for T cell subsets and their enriched gene sets in GO analysis, showing

expression of the gene signatures of cytotoxic T cells, Th1 cells, and Th17 cells by the

AT3[1] subset. (C) Expression of canonical T cell markers are inconsistent with the

current computer-derived clustering. (D) Pseudo-temporal ordering of T cells, and the

distribution of four subsets along the trajectory. (E) Correlation analysis of T cell

subsets.

Fig. 7: Cell-cell interaction plots according to receptor-ligand analysis using CellChat, and summary of the differences in wound healing and immune responses between the aligned and control (Ctrl) groups. (A) Signals between AIM[1] and other cell types. (B) Signals between AT1[1] and other cell types. (C) Signals

between HDF1 and other cell types. (D) Signals between IFEB and other cell types.

(E) The aligned membranes had immunomodulatory properties and led to improved

healing. (F) Pie plot of all the immune cells in the aligned and Ctrl groups showing

differences in cell numbers between both groups for each cell type. (G) Timeframe of

innate and adaptive immune responses in the aligned and Ctrl groups. (H) The

immune microenvironment around aligned scaffolds.

Fig. 8: Integrated analysis of three scaffolds showing differences in immune

microenvironments around random, latticed and aligned scaffolds. (A) Cells are

clustered into 25 clusters. (B) Number of cells (%) in each cluster and their marker

genes are listed. (C) Composition of cells in each cluster. (D) Sub-clustering of

macrophages showing four subsets. The inter-group differences are listed. (E)

Sub-clustering of T cells showing six subsets. The inter-group differences are listed.

(F) Correlations found between T cells and healing parameters. IB2 refers to

Ifi202b$^+$Plet1$^+$Plk2$^+$Krt6a$^+$ inner bulge layer keratinocytes.

Fig. 9: Evaluation of wound healing in T cell deficient mice. (A) Workflow for

evaluating wound healing in Rag2$^{-/-}$ and wild type mice. (B) Residual defect area at 7

days post-wound. (C) Histological analysis of wound recovery in WT and Rag2$^{-/-}$

mice. (D) Semi-quantitative evaluation of thickness of epidermis.

References

1.   X. Li, B. Cho, R. Martin, M. Seu, C. Zhang, Z. Zhou, J. S. Choi, X. Jiang, L.

Chen, G. Walia, J. Yan, M. Callanan, H. Liu, K. Colbert, J.

Morrissette-McAlmon, W. Grayson, S. Reddy, J. M. Sacks, H. Q. Mao,

Nanofiber-hydrogel composite-mediated angiogenesis for soft tissue

reconstruction. *Sci Transl Med* **11**, eaau6210 (2019).

2.   A. Mandal, A. V. Boopathy, L. K. W. Lam, K. D. Moynihan, M. E. Welch, N.

R. Bennett, M. E. Turvey, N. Thai, J. H. Van, J. C. Love, P. T. Hammond, D. J.

Irvine, Cell and fluid sampling microneedle patches for monitoring

skin-resident immunity. *Sci Transl Med* **10**, eaar2227 (2018).

3.   G. Huang, F. Li, X. Zhao, Y. Ma, Y. Li, M. Lin, G. Jin, T. J. Lu, G. M. Genin, F.

Xu, Functional and Biomimetic Materials for Engineering of the

Three-Dimensional Cell Microenvironment. *Chem Rev* **117**, 12764-12850

(2017).

4.   H. Li, Y. Xu, H. Xu, J. Chang, Electrospun membranes: control of the

structure and structure related applications in tissue regeneration and drug

delivery. *J Mater Chem B* **2**, 5492-5510 (2014).

5.   Y. Wang, H. Shi, J. Qiao, Y. Tian, M. Wu, W. Zhang, Y. Lin, Z. Niu, Y. Huang,

Electrospun tubular scaffold with circumferentially aligned nanofibers for

regulating smooth muscle cell growth. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **6**,

2958-2962 (2014).

6.   A. Martins, M. L. Alves da Silva, S. Faria, A. P. Marques, R. L. Reis, N. M.

Neves, The influence of patterned nanofiber meshes on human mesenchymal stem cell osteogenesis. *Macromol Biosci* **11**, 978-987 (2011).

7.   K. T. Kurpinski, J. T. Stephenson, R. R. Janairo, H. Lee, S. Li, The effect of fiber alignment and heparin coating on cell infiltration into nanofibrous PLLA scaffolds. *Biomaterials* **31**, 3536-3542 (2010).

8.   C. Chu, L. Liu, S. Rung, Y. Wang, Y. Ma, C. Hu, X. Zhao, Y. Man, Y. Qu, Modulation of foreign body reaction and macrophage phenotypes concerning microenvironment. *J Biomed Mater Res A* **108**, 127-135 (2020).

9.   C. Chu, L. Liu, Y. Wang, R. Yang, C. Hu, S. Rung, Y. Man, Y. Qu, Evaluation of epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG)-modified scaffold determines macrophage recruitment. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl* **100**, 505-513 (2019).

10.   K. Sadtler, A. Singh, M. T. Wolf, X. Wang, D. M. Pardoll, J. H. Elisseeff, Design, clinical translation and immunological response of biomaterials in regenerative medicine. *Nat Rev Mater* **1**, 16040 (2016).

11.   M. O. Dellacherie, B. R. Seo, D. J. Mooney, Macroscale biomaterials strategies for local immunomodulation. *Nat Rev Mater* **4**, 379-397 (2019).

12.   L. Chung, D. R. Maestas, Jr., A. Lebid, A. Mageau, G. D. Rosson, X. Wu, M. T. Wolf, A. J. Tam, I. Vanderzee, X. Wang, J. I. Andorko, H. Zhang, R. Narain, K. Sadtler, H. Fan, D. Cihakova, C. J. Le Saux, F. Housseau, D. M. Pardoll, J. H. Elisseeff, Interleukin 17 and senescent cells regulate the foreign body response to synthetic material implants in mice and humans. *Sci Transl Med*

12, eaax3799 (2020).

13. S. D. Sommerfeld, C. Cherry, R. M. Schwab, L. Chung, D. R. Maestas, P. Laffont, J. E. Stein, A. Tam, S. Ganguly, F. Housseau, J. M. Taube, D. M. Pardoll, P. Cahan, J. H. Elisseeff, Interleukin-36 gamma-producing macrophages drive IL-17-mediated fibrosis. *Sci Immunol* **4**, eaax4783 (2019).

14. T. Stuart, A. Butler, P. Hoffman, C. Hafemeister, E. Papalexi, W. M. Mauck, 3rd, Y. Hao, M. Stoeckius, P. Smibert, R. Satija, Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-1902 e1821 (2019).

15. H. Wu, S. Han, B. Wu, X. Du, Z. Sheng, J. Lin, X. Chen, K. Zhao, V. Bunpetch, Y. Chen, M. Zeng, E. V. Alakpa, Y. Ma, X. Lei, J. Huang, X. Zou, H. Ouyang, Single-cell mass cytometry reveals in vivo immunological response to surgical biomaterials. *Appl Mater Today* **16**, 169-178 (2019).

16. I. Pastar, O. Stojadinovic, N. C. Yin, H. Ramirez, A. G. Nusbaum, A. Sawaya, S. B. Patel, L. Khalid, R. R. Isseroff, M. Tomic-Canic, Epithelialization in Wound Healing: A Comprehensive Review. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle)* **3**, 445-464 (2014).

17. R. Tokunaga, W. Zhang, M. Naseem, A. Puccini, M. D. Berger, S. Soni, M. McSkane, H. Baba, H. J. Lenz, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11/CXCR3 axis for immune activation - A target for novel cancer therapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* **63**, 40-47 (2018).

18. P. Myung, M. Ito, Dissecting the bulge in hair regeneration. *J Clin Invest* **122**, 448-454 (2012).

19.   S. Joost, K. Annusver, T. Jacob, X. Sun, T. Dalessandri, U. Sivan, I. Sequeira,

R. Sandberg, M. Kasper, The Molecular Anatomy of Mouse Skin during Hair

Growth and Rest. *Cell Stem Cell* **26**, 441-457.e447 (2020).

20.   C. Philippeos, S. B. Telerman, B. Oules, A. O. Pisco, T. J. Shaw, R. Elgueta, G.

Lombardi, R. R. Driskell, M. Soldin, M. D. Lynch, F. M. Watt, Spatial and

Single-Cell Transcriptional Profiling Identifies Functionally Distinct Human

Dermal Fibroblast Subpopulations. *J Invest Dermatol* **138**, 811-825 (2018).

21.   T. Tabib, C. Morse, T. Wang, W. Chen, R. Lafyatis, SFRP2/DPP4 and

FMO1/LSP1 Define Major Fibroblast Populations in Human Skin. *J Invest

Dermatol* **138**, 802-810 (2018).

22.   C. F. Guerrero-Juarez, P. H. Dedhia, S. Jin, R. Ruiz-Vega, D. Ma, Y. Liu, K.

Yamaga, O. Shestova, D. L. Gay, Z. Yang, K. Kessenbrock, Q. Nie, W. S. Pear,

G. Cotsarelis, M. V. Plikus, Single-cell analysis reveals fibroblast

heterogeneity and myeloid-derived adipocyte progenitors in murine skin

wounds. *Nat Commun* **10**, 650 (2019).

23.   W. M. Nauseef, N. Borregaard, Neutrophils at work. *Nat Immunol* **15**, 602-611

(2014).

24.   K. Takahara, Y. Omatsu, Y. Yashima, Y. Maeda, S. Tanaka, T. Iyoda, B. E.

Clausen, K. Matsubara, J. Letterio, R. M. Steinman, Y. Matsuda, K. Inaba,

Identification and expression of mouse Langerin (CD207) in dendritic cells.

Int Immunol **14**, 433-444 (2002).

25.   Q. Su, B. Z. Igyarto, Keratinocytes Share Gene Expression Fingerprint with

Epidermal Langerhans Cells via mRNA Transfer. *J Invest Dermatol* **139**,

2313-2323 e2318 (2019).

26.   S. S. Bohlson, S. D. O'Conner, H. J. Hulsebus, M. M. Ho, D. A. Fraser,

Complement, c1q, and c1q-related molecules regulate macrophage

polarization. *Front Immunol* **5**, 402 (2014).

27.   S. A. Islam, D. S. Chang, R. A. Colvin, M. H. Byrne, M. L. McCully, B.

Moser, S. A. Lira, I. F. Charo, A. D. Luster, Mouse CCL8, a CCR8 agonist,

promotes atopic dermatitis by recruiting IL-5+ T(H)2 cells. *Nat Immunol* **12**,

167-177 (2011).

28.   B. Soler Palacios, L. Estrada-Capetillo, E. Izquierdo, G. Criado, C. Nieto, C.

Municio, I. Gonzalez-Alvaro, P. Sanchez-Mateos, J. L. Pablos, A. L. Corbi, A.

Puig-Kroger, Macrophages from the synovium of active rheumatoid arthritis

exhibit an activin A-dependent pro-inflammatory profile. *J Pathol* **235**,

515-526 (2015).

29.   M. Carrion, S. Perez-Garcia, C. Martinez, Y. Juarranz, L. Estrada-Capetillo, A.

Puig-Kroger, R. P. Gomariz, I. Gutierrez-Canas, VIP impairs acquisition of the

macrophage proinflammatory polarization profile. *J Leukoc Biol* **100**,

1385-1393 (2016).

30.   J. E. Kanter, F. Kramer, S. Barnhart, M. M. Averill, A. Vivekanandan-Giri, T.

Vickery, L. O. Li, L. Becker, W. Yuan, A. Chait, K. R. Braun, S. Potter-Perigo,

S. Sanda, T. N. Wight, S. Pennathur, C. N. Serhan, J. W. Heinecke, R. A.

Coleman, K. E. Bornfeldt, Diabetes promotes an inflammatory macrophage

phenotype and atherosclerosis through acyl-CoA synthetase 1. *Proc Natl Acad*

Sci U S A **109**, E715-724 (2012).

31.   M. Clement, G. Basatemur, L. Masters, L. Baker, P. Bruneval, T. Iwawaki, M.

Kneilling, S. Yamasaki, J. Goodall, Z. Mallat, Necrotic Cell Sensor Clec4e

Promotes a Proatherogenic Macrophage Phenotype Through Activation of the

Unfolded Protein Response. *Circulation* **134**, 1039-1051 (2016).

32.   A. M. Soler-Rodriguez, H. Zhang, H. S. Lichenstein, N. Qureshi, D. W. Niesel,

S. E. Crowe, J. W. Peterson, G. R. Klimpel, Neutrophil activation by bacterial

lipoprotein versus lipopolysaccharide: differential requirements for serum and

CD14. *J Immunol* **164**, 2674-2683 (2000).

33.   L. Grosche, C. Drassner, P. Muhl-Zurbes, L. Kamm, V. T. K. Le-Trilling, M.

Trilling, A. Steinkasserer, C. S. Heilingloh, Human Cytomegalovirus-Induced

Degradation of CYTIP Modulates Dendritic Cell Adhesion and Migration.

Front Immunol **8**, 461 (2017).

34.   B. Gerby, E. Clappier, F. Armstrong, C. Deswarte, J. Calvo, S. Poglio, J.

Soulier, N. Boissel, T. Leblanc, A. Baruchel, J. Landman-Parker, P. H. Roméo,

P. Ballerini, F. Pflumio, Expression of CD34 and CD7 on human T-cell acute

lymphoblastic leukemia discriminates functionally heterogeneous cell

populations. *Leukemia* **25**, 1249-1258 (2011).

35.   S. J. Bensinger, M. N. Bradley, S. B. Joseph, N. Zelcer, E. M. Janssen, M. A.

Hausner, R. Shih, J. S. Parks, P. A. Edwards, B. D. Jamieson, P. Tontonoz,

LXR signaling couples sterol metabolism to proliferation in the acquired

immune response. *Cell* **134**, 97-111 (2008).

36.   D. M. W. Zaiss, W. C. Gause, L. C. Osborne, D. Artis, Emerging functions of

amphiregulin in orchestrating immunity, inflammation, and tissue repair.

Immunity **42**, 216-226 (2015).

37.   B. Mahata, X. Zhang, A. A. Kolodziejczyk, V. Proserpio, L. Haim-Vilmovsky,

A. E. Taylor, D. Hebenstreit, F. A. Dingler, V. Moignard, B. Gottgens, W. Arlt,

A. N. McKenzie, S. A. Teichmann, Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals T

helper cells synthesizing steroids de novo to contribute to immune

homeostasis. *Cell Rep* **7**, 1130-1142 (2014).

38.   P. Castro-Sanchez, R. Ramirez-Munoz, P. Roda-Navarro, Gene Expression

Profiles of Human Phosphotyrosine Phosphatases Consequent to Th1

Polarisation and Effector Function. *J Immunol Res* **2017**, 8701042 (2017).

39.   I. C. Boothby, J. N. Cohen, M. D. Rosenblum, Regulatory T cells in skin

injury: At the crossroads of tolerance and tissue repair. *Sci Immunol* **5**,

eaaz9631 (2020).

40.   A. Blees, D. Januliene, T. Hofmann, N. Koller, C. Schmidt, S. Trowitzsch, A.

Moeller, R. Tampe, Structure of the human MHC-I peptide-loading complex.

Nature **551**, 525-528 (2017).

41.   S. Jin, C. F. Guerrero-Juarez, L. Zhang, I. Chang, P. Myung, M. V. Plikus, Q.

Nie, Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat.

bioRxiv, (2020).

42.   M. Croft, The role of TNF superfamily members in T-cell function and

711   diseases. *Nat Rev Immunol* **9**, 271-285 (2009).

712 43. J. D. Klement, A. V. Paschall, P. S. Redd, M. L. Ibrahim, C. Lu, D. Yang, E.

713   Celis, S. I. Abrams, K. Ozato, K. Liu, An osteopontin/CD44 immune

714   checkpoint controls CD8+ T cell activation and tumor immune evasion. *J Clin*

715   *Invest* **128**, 5549-5560 (2018).

716 44. C. Wu, T. Thalhamer, R. F. Franca, S. Xiao, C. Wang, C. Hotta, C. Zhu, M.

717   Hirashima, A. C. Anderson, V. K. Kuchroo, Galectin-9-CD44 interaction

718   enhances stability and function of adaptive regulatory T cells. *Immunity* **41**,

719   270-282 (2014).

720 45. M. Hayashi, T. Nakashima, M. Taniguchi, T. Kodama, A. Kumanogoh, H.

721   Takayanagi, Osteoprotection by semaphorin 3A. *Nature* **485**, 69-74 (2012).

722 46. D. S. Shouval, A. Biswas, J. A. Goettel, K. McCann, E. Conaway, N. S. Redhu,

723   I. D. Mascanfroni, Z. Al Adham, S. Lavoie, M. Ibourk, D. D. Nguyen, J. N.

724   Samsom, J. C. Escher, R. Somech, B. Weiss, R. Beier, L. S. Conklin, C. L.

725   Ebens, F. G. Santos, A. R. Ferreira, M. Sherlock, A. K. Bhan, W. Muller, J. R.

726   Mora, F. J. Quintana, C. Klein, A. M. Muise, B. H. Horwitz, S. B. Snapper,

727   Interleukin-10 receptor signaling in innate immune cells regulates mucosal

728   immune tolerance and anti-inflammatory macrophage function. *Immunity* **40**,

729   706-719 (2014).

730 47. S. S. Jankauskas, D. W. L. Wong, R. Bucala, S. Djudjaj, P. Boor, Evolving

731   complexity of MIF signaling. *Cell Signal* **57**, 76-88 (2019).

732 48. W. Lin, D. Xu, C. D. Austin, P. Caplazi, K. Senger, Y. Sun, S. Jeet, J. Young, D.

Delarosa, E. Suto, Z. Huang, J. Zhang, D. Yan, C. Corzo, K. Barck, S. Rajan, C. Looney, V. Gandham, J. Lesch, W. C. Liang, E. Mai, H. Ngu, N. Ratti, Y. Chen, D. Misner, T. Lin, D. Danilenko, P. Katavolos, E. Doudemont, H. Uppal, J. Eastham, J. Mak, P. E. de Almeida, K. Bao, A. Hadadianpour, M. Keir, R. A. D. Carano, L. Diehl, M. Xu, Y. Wu, R. M. Weimer, J. DeVoss, W. P. Lee, M. Balazs, K. Walsh, K. R. Alatsis, F. Martin, A. A. Zarrin, Function of CSF1 and IL34 in Macrophage Homeostasis, Inflammation, and Cancer. *Front Immunol* **10**, 2019 (2019).

49. S. F. Nie, L. F. Zha, Q. Fan, Y. H. Liao, H. S. Zhang, Q. W. Chen, F. Wang, T. T. Tang, N. Xia, C. Q. Xu, J. Y. Zhang, Y. Z. Lu, Z. P. Zeng, J. Jiao, Y. Y. Li, T. Xie, W. J. Zhang, D. Wang, C. C. Wang, J. J. Fa, H. B. Xiong, J. Ye, Q. Yang, P. Y. Wang, S. H. Tian, Q. L. Lv, Q. X. Li, J. Qian, B. Li, G. Wu, Y. X. Wu, Y. Yang, X. P. Yang, Y. Hu, Q. K. Wang, X. Cheng, X. Tu, Genetic Regulation of the Thymic Stromal Lymphopoietin (TSLP)/TSLP Receptor (TSLPR) Gene Expression and Influence of Epistatic Interactions Between IL-33 and the TSLP/TSLPR Axis on Risk of Coronary Artery Disease. *Front Immunol* **9**, 1775 (2018).

50. S. Avniel, Z. Arik, A. Maly, A. Sagie, H. B. Basst, M. D. Yahana, I. D. Weiss, B. Pal, O. Wald, D. Ad-El, N. Fujii, F. Arenzana-Seisdedos, S. Jung, E. Galun, E. Gur, A. Peled, Involvement of the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway in the recovery of skin following burns. *J Invest Dermatol* **126**, 468-476 (2006).

51. A. Stofkova, Resistin and visfatin: regulators of insulin sensitivity,

inflammation and immunity. *Endocr Regul* **44**, 25-36 (2010).

52.   D. M. Hardbower, K. Singh, M. Asim, T. G. Verriere, D. Olivares-Villagomez,

D. P. Barry, M. M. Allaman, M. K. Washington, R. M. Peek, Jr., M. B.

Piazuelo, K. T. Wilson, EGFR regulates macrophage activation and function in

bacterial infection. *J Clin Invest* **126**, 3296-3312 (2016).

53.   M. C. Walsh, Y. Choi, Biology of the RANKL-RANK-OPG System in

Immunity, Bone, and Beyond. *Front Immunol* **5**, 511 (2014).

54.   J. C. Marie, D. Liggitt, A. Y. Rudensky, Cellular mechanisms of fatal

early-onset autoimmunity in mice with the T cell-specific targeting of

transforming growth factor-beta receptor. *Immunity* **25**, 441-454 (2006).

55.   S. K. Bromley, T. R. Mempel, A. D. Luster, Orchestrating the orchestrators:

chemokines in control of T cell traffic. *Nat Immunol* **9**, 970-980 (2008).

56.   B. Le Goff, S. Singbrant, B. A. Tonkin, T. J. Martin, E. Romas, N. A. Sims, N.

C. Walsh, Oncostatin M acting via OSMR, augments the actions of IL-1 and

TNF in synovial fibroblasts. *Cytokine* **68**, 101-109 (2014).

57.   V. Miguel, O. Busnadiego, M. Fierro-Fernandez, S. Lamas, Protective role for

miR-9-5p in the fibrogenic transformation of human dermal fibroblasts.

Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair **9**, 7 (2016).

58.   S. Liarte, A. Bernabe-Garcia, F. J. Nicolas, Human Skin Keratinocytes on

Sustained TGF-beta Stimulation Reveal Partial EMT Features and Weaken

Growth Arrest Responses. *Cells* **9**, 255 (2020).

59.   M. L. Kapsenberg, Dendritic-cell control of pathogen-driven T-cell

polarization. *Nat Rev Immunol* **3**, 984-993 (2003).

60.   D. Gay, G. Ghinatti, C. F. Guerrero-Juarez, R. A. Ferrer, F. Ferri, C. H. Lim, S.

Murakami, N. Gault, V. Barroca, I. Rombeau, P. Mauffrey, L. Irbah, E.

Treffeisen, S. Franz, A. Boissonnas, C. Combadiere, M. Ito, M. V. Plikus, P. H.

Romeo, Phagocytosis of Wnt inhibitor SFRP4 by late wound macrophages

drives chronic Wnt activity for fibrotic skin healing. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaay3704

(2020).

61.   Y. Zheng, D. M. Danilenko, P. Valdez, I. Kasman, J. Eastham-Anderson, J. Wu,

W. Ouyang, Interleukin-22, a T(H)17 cytokine, mediates IL-23-induced

dermal inflammation and acanthosis. *Nature* **445**, 648-651 (2007).

62.   P. Zeis, M. Lian, X. Fan, J. S. Herman, D. C. Hernandez, R. Gentek, S. Elias,

C. Symowski, K. Knopper, N. Peltokangas, C. Friedrich, R. Doucet-Ladeveze,

A. M. Kabat, R. M. Locksley, D. Voehringer, M. Bajenoff, A. Y. Rudensky, C.

Romagnani, D. Grun, G. Gasteiger, In Situ Maturation and Tissue Adaptation

of Type 2 Innate Lymphoid Cell Progenitors. *Immunity* **53**, 775-792 e779

(2020).

Materials and methods

Electrospinning of polymer scaffolds

We used PLGA (LA/GA = 75:25, Mw = 105 kDa, dispersity = 1.897) produced by Jinan Daigang Biomaterial Co., Ltd. (Shandong, China) and FC (from fish scale and skin) obtained from Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) to fabricate scaffolds by electrospinning. PLGA (20% w/v) and FC (2% w/v) solutions, dissolved in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) solvent (Aladdin Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China), were loaded into a plastic syringe fitted with a flat-tipped 21G needle (inner diameter = 0.5 mm). A high voltage of 7 kV and a distance of 16 cm was used between the needle and the collector. For random group, the electrostatically charged fiber was ejected toward the grounded flat collector in the high electric field. For the latticed group, an electroconductive chess-like wire net was used as the collector. For the aligned group, the rotational speed of the collecting drum was set at 2800 rpm. The membranes were immersed in 50 mM of EDS/NHS and 10 mM of MES ethanol solution for 24 h at 4°C to crosslink FC. Then membranes were washed three times with 75% ethanol and dried in a vacuum oven for 24 h. Subsequently, the prepared membranes were sterilized using γ-irradiation for in vitro and in vivo experiments.

For polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)-fish collagen (FC) scaffolds, PVDF (FL2005, Flurine, Zhejiang, China) (30% w/v) and FC (2% w/v) were dissolved in N, N-dimethylformamide (Keshi, Chengdu, China). The solvent were loaded into a plastic syringe fitted with a flat-tipped 21G needle. A high voltage of 12kV and a distance of 13 cm was used between the needle and the collector.

Characterization of scaffolds

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM; JEOL, JSM-6510LV, Japan) was used to observe the surface morphology of the electrospun membranes. Image-Pro Plus was used to quantitatively measure the fiber diameter and distribution from the obtained SEM images. The surface-wetting behavior of the membranes was characterized by measuring the water contact angles (Chengde Dingsheng, JY-82B, China). Five samples were tested for each type of membrane to obtain an average value. The tensile properties of the membranes were tested under a constant upper clamp at a speed of 15 mm/min. All tensile tests conducted followed the criteria of "Plastics-Determination of tensile properties of films" (GB/T 1040.3–2006, corresponding with ISO 1184–1983).

Cell culture and cell viability test

L929 mouse fibroblast cells and human oral keratinocytes (HOK) were used for viability tests. Cells were cultured in a medium containing RPMI 1640 medium (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco 10270, Brazil), and incubated at 37□ in humidified 5% CO_2/95% air. Cell viability was determined using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan). Electrospun membranes were cut into squares (edge length = 5 mm) and placed on the bottom of 96-well plates (n = 3 for each group). L929 cells and HOK cells were seeded onto membranes at 4×10^4 cells/ml and co-cultured with the membranes for 1, 3, and 5 days. Blank wells seeded with an equal number of cells were used as Ctrl. CCK-8 solution (10 μl) was added to each well, and the plates were

incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After incubation, the absorbance at 450 nm was measured

to determine cell viability, using a microplate reader (Multiskan, Thermo, USA).

Experimental model

Excisional wound model

The protocol of the present experiment was approved by Institution Review Board of

West China Hospital of Stomatology (No. WCHSIRB-D-2017-033-R1) Animals

included Sprague Dawley male rat at ages from 7 to 8 weeks, C57BL/6 male mice at

ages from 7 to 9 weeks (Chengdu Dossy Experimental Animals Co., LTD.), and

immunodeficient C57Bl/6 (B6.129-Rag2tm1) mice (Shanghai Model Organisms

Center, Inc., Shanghai, China). Hair at the surgical area was removed. Full-thickness

circular excisional wound (diameter=6mm) was created at the dorsal skin of rats/mice.

Random, aligned and latticed electrospun scaffolds were trimmed into circular shape

(diameter=8mm), and placed below the wound. The Ctrl group did not receive any

implants. A sterile Tegaderm film (3M) was placed above the wound to protect the

wound area. Then annular silicone splints (inner diameter=8mm, outer

diameter=12mm) were sutured with the Tegaderm film and underlying skin in order to

minimize the contraction of the dorsal muscle. After healing for 1, 2 and 4 weeks,

animals were euthanized for sample harvest. Using the residual wound as center, a

round skin sample (diameter =10mm) containing all the layers of skin was harvested.

Model for subcutaneous implant placement

The surgical area on dorsal skin was shaved and aseptically prepared. Three

horizontal incisions of approximately 10 mm were made and subcutaneous pockets

were created for membrane implantation. Then random, align and lattice scaffolds

were placed into the pockets. After implantation, the incisions were sutured with

interrupted sutures. After recovering for 3, 7, and 14 days, samples including scaffolds

and the whole layer of skin at surgical sites were together harvested.

Specimen harvest for scRNA-seq

We obtained skin samples by cutting off skin at the wound area (circular, diameter =

10 mm). Subcutaneous tissues were removed and a total of four samples were

harvested in each group. The tissues were digested using an Epidermis Dissociation

Kit (Epidermis Dissociation Kit, mouse; Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach,

Germany). A gentleMACS Dissociator (Miltenyi) was used to dissociate the

epidermis (Program B). The sample was passed through a 70-μm cell strainer

(Corning, Durham, NC, USA), centrifuged at 300 ×g for 10 min at 4□, and

resuspended with PBS containing 0.5% BSA. Cells were gently washed twice and

stored in an icebox. The dermis samples were first cut into pieces (diameter < 1 mm),

mixed with 10 ml enzyme mix containing Type I Collagenase (3125 μg/ml; Gibco,

Grand Island, NY, USA) and 2.5 ml trypsin (Gibco, Canada), and then poured into a

gentleMACS™ C Tube. After dissociating the tissue for 37 s (Skin mode) using the

gentleMACS Dissociator, another 10 ml of the enzyme mix was added. The sample

was digested for 2.5 h at 37□ in a Hybridisation oven (Peqlab PerfectBlot, Germany).

Then, the dermis sample was passed through a 70-μm cell strainer (Corning),

centrifuged at 300 ×g for 5 min at room temperature, and resuspended in 3 ml of red

blood cell lysis buffer (Solarbio, Beijing, China). After 3 min, the cell suspension was

centrifuged and gently resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium. Cells were gently washed

twice with PBS containing 0.5% BSA and stored in an icebox. The epidermis and

dermis cell solutions were mixed, centrifuged, and resuspended with 100 μl Dead Cell

Removal MicroBeads (Miltenyi). After incubation for 15 min at room temperature,

the cell suspension was diluted in 3 ml of $1\times$ Binding buffer (Miltenyi). LS columns

(Miltenyi) and a magnetic stand (Miltenyi) were used to remove dead cells and debris.

Negatively selected live cells passed through the column and were resuspended in

PBS containing 0.05% BSA. Lastly, the $10\times$ Genomics® Single Cell Protocol was

carried out.

Single-cell encapsulation and library generation

Single cells were encapsulated in water-in-oil emulsion along with gel beads coated

with unique molecular barcodes using the $10\times$ Genomics Chromium Single-Cell

Platform. For single-cell RNA library generation, the manufacturers' protocol was

performed. ($10\times$Single Cell 3' v3) Sequencing was performed using a Illumina 1.9

mode with 94574 reads per cell. We used the Seurat alignment method canonical

correlation analysis (CCA) [Nat. Biotechnol. 36, 411 420 (2018).] for integrated

analysis.

RNA-seq analysis

RNA was extracted from tissues or cells using standard methods to make sure samples

were strictly controlled for quality. Subsequently, the obtained mRNA was randomly

interrupted by divalent cations in NEB Fragmentation Buffer, and the database was

constructed according to the NEB general database construction method or chain

specific database construction method. Upon completion of library construction, a

Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer was used for initial quantification and the library was diluted to

1.5 ng/µl. Then, the insert size of the library was detected using an Agilent 2100

bioanalyzer. The effective concentration of the library (>2 nM) was accurately

quantified using qRT-PCR to ensure library quality. Lastly, Illumina sequencing of the

libraries was performed. Through z-transformation of Fragments Per Kilobase of

Transcript per Million (fpkm) mapped reads of the selected gene, gene expression was

analyzed. The sample size for conventional bulk RNA-Seq libraries was fixed at three

biological replicates. KEGG (Kanehisa M, 2008) is a database resource for large-scale

molecular datasets generated by genome sequencing and other high-throughput

experimental technologies (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/). We used cluster Profiler R

package to test the statistical enrichment of marker genes in KEGG pathways.

Receptor-ligand analysis was performed via CellChat, a tool that is able to

quantitatively infer and analyze intercellular communication networks from

single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) data. CellChat predicts major signaling

inputs and outputs for cells and how those cells and signals coordinate for functions

using network analysis and pattern recognition approaches. Through manifold

learning and quantitative contrasts, CellChat classifies signaling pathways and

delineates conserved and context-specific pathways across different datasets.

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR).

The harvested samples were cut into pieces and homogenized in TRIzol™ Reagent

(Ambion, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The total RNA concentration and ratio were detected

using a NanoPhotometer (NP80; Implen, Westlake Village, CA, USA) at wavelengths

of 260 and 280 nm. The cDNAs were synthesized using PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit

with gDNA Eraser (Perfect Real Time; Cat. #RR047A, Nojihigashi, Kusatsu, Shiga,

Japan), and then amplified using qPCR with specific primers. PCR was performed

using a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR Systems (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham,

MA). Each 20 μl of PCR mixture contained 10 μl TB Green Premix Ex Taq (2×; Tli

RNaseH Plus, Takara), 0.4 μl each PCR forward and reverse primers (10 μM), 0.4 μl

ROX reference dye (50×), 2 μl template, and 6.8 μl sterile purified water. Samples

were incubated for one cycle at 95□ for 30 s, 40 cycles at 95□ for 5 s and 60□ for 34

s, and a final cycle at 95□ for 15 s, 60□ for 1 min, and 95□ for 15 s. Results were

analyzed using the comparative CT (ΔΔCT) method to calculate gene expression fold

changes normalized to the levels of Gapdh and Actb gene transcripts. The

experiments were performed three times independently (n = 3). mRNA primers were

listed in Table 2 (supplementary material).

Fluorescence activated Cell Sorting (FACS) analysis

The surface markers of macrophages and their phenotypes were examined using flow

cytometry to evaluate the proportion and polarization of macrophages. The in vivo

specimens were first cut into pieces (diameter < 1 mm). The tissue was mixed with 10

ml of the enzyme mix containing Type I collagenase (3125 μg/ml; Gibco) and 2.5 ml

trypsin (Gibco), and poured into a gentleMACS™ C Tube. After dissociating the

tissue on gentleMACS Dissociator for 37 s (Skin mode), another 10 ml of the enzyme

mix was added. The sample was digested for 2.5–3 h at 37□ in a rotary machine

(Peqlab), passed through a 70-μm cell strainer (Corning), and centrifuged at 300 ×g

for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were gently washed twice with PBS containing

0.05% BSA and stored in an icebox. Then, the cell solutions were co-incubated with

antibodies against iNOS (PE, NBP2-22119PE, Novus), CD68 (FITC, ab134351,

Abcam), Arg1 (PE/Cyanine7, Cat# 369707, Biolegend), Ly6c (Alexa Fluor 700, Cat#

128023, Biolegend), CD3 (FITC, Cat# 100203, Biolegend) and Mrc1 (Alexa Fluor

647, ab195192, Abcam) at 1:400 dilution in the dark for 30min-1 h at 4°C (100 μl per

antibody per sample). Prior to the staining of CD3, cells were pre-incubated with

purified anti-CD16/CD32 antibody (Cat# 101301, Biolegend) (=1.0 μg per 10 cells in

100 μL volume) for 5-10 minutes on ice to block Fc receptors. All samples were

centrifuged at 450 ×g for 5 min at 4°C. Supernatants were removed by aspiration and

the pellets were washed twice with 1 ml of PBS solution (1×) containing 0.05% BSA.

FACS analysis was performed using a NovoCyte Flow Cytometer (ACEA

Biosciences®, San Diego, California) and FlowJo 10.5.0. The experiments were

performed three times independently (n=3).

Histological and immunofluorescent staining

The sections were pretreated with 1% BSA in PBS containing 0.1% Triton X 100 for

1 h, incubated in 1% Tween 20 for 20 min, and washed again with PBS. The sections

were subsequently analyzed for Krt10 and Krt5, according to the manufacturers'

instructions. Sections were briefly incubated for 30 min in the dark and excessive dye

was rinsed off using PBS. Sections were then incubated with the antibody isotypes to

exclude false-positive staining. Double immunofluorescence staining with primary

antibodies against cytokeratin 10 (ab76318, Abcam, 1:150) and cytokeratin 5

(ab52635, Abcam, 1:200), and secondary antibodies (GB25303, GB21303, 1:400,

Servicebio, Wuhan, China) was performed. The immunostained specimens were

further subjected to Hoechst 33258 staining (G1011, Servicebio). At least three

parallel sections were observed using Ortho-Fluorescent microscopy and imaging

system (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Fluorescence area measurements were conducted at

five random sites of regenerated epithelia using CaseViewer 2.1 and Image-Pro Plus

7.0 (n = 5).

Statistical Analysis

The statistical significance of in vivo and in vitro histological data, qPCR, and FACS

data was analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the 95% confidence level

using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA), and $P < 0.05$

was considered statistically significant. $P > 0.05$ was marked as NS (not significant).

All P values less than 0.01 are summarized with two asterisks, P values less than

0.001 are summarized with three asterisks, and P values less than 0.0001 are

summarized with four asterisks. The Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted in

SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).