1 AlphaSimR: An R-package for Breeding Program 2 Simulations

- 3
- 4 R. Chris Gaynor*, Gregor Gorjanc, John M. Hickey
- 5
- 6 The Roslin Institute and Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of
- 7 Edinburgh, Easter Bush Research Centre, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK
- 8 Received _____*Corresponding author (chris.gaynor@roslin.ed.ac.uk)
- 9
- 10 Abbreviations:

11 Abstract

12 This paper introduces AlphaSimR, an R package for stochastic simulations of 13 plant and animal breeding programs. AlphaSimR is a highly flexible software package 14 able to simulate a wide range of plant and animal breeding programs for diploid and 15 autopolyploid species. AlphaSimR is ideal for testing the overall strategy and detailed 16 design of breeding programs. AlphaSimR utilizes a scripting approach to building 17 simulations that is particularly well suited for modeling highly complex breeding 18 programs, such as commercial breeding programs. The primary benefit of this scripting 19 approach is that it frees users from preset breeding program designs and allows them to 20 model nearly any breeding program design. This paper lists the main features of 21 AlphaSimR and provides a brief example simulation to show how to use the software.

22

24 Introduction

25 This paper introduces AlphaSimR, an R package for stochastic simulations of 26 plant and animal breeding programs. Stochastic simulation is a powerful tool for design 27 and optimization of breeding programs, because it provides a fast, inexpensive method 28 for testing alternative breeding program designs. Simulations have been used to 29 improve both plant breeding programs (e.g.; Lin et al. 2016; Gaynor et al. 2017; 30 Gorjanc et al. 2018) and animal breeding programs (e.g.; Hayes and Goddard 2003; 31 Jenko et al. 2015; Johnsson et al. 2019) as well as to address theoretical concepts in 32 quantitative genetics and breeding (e.g., Gorjanc et al. 2015). AlphaSimR has been 33 specifically designed to make simulations more common by providing an easy-to-use 34 and highly flexible software package able to simulate a wide range of plant and animal 35 breeding programs.

36 Stochastic simulations have rarely, if ever, been used to improve breeding 37 programs for many agriculturally important species. This is likely due to the difficultly 38 in setting up and running these simulations. This difficulty is in no small part due to the 39 need for a person with thorough knowledge of breeding programs and computer 40 programming. This person must possess a thorough understanding of the breeding 41 programs they wish to simulate so that they can construct an informative simulation. 42 They must also possess the programming skills needed to develop, run, and evaluate 43 the simulations. The amount of programming skills this person needs to possess is 44 considerable when there are not existing software programs for modeling the specific 45 breeding program of interest. To address this issue, new software is needed that can 46 lower the programming burden and thereby increase the ease of running simulations.

47 AlphaSimR has been specifically designed to make running stochastic 48 simulations of whole breeding programs easier. To accomplish this goal, AlphaSimR 49 provides the ability to run simulations both interactively or via scripts within the R 50 software environment (R Core Team 2019). More specifically, AlphaSimR provides 51 users with a range of R functions that correspond to common operations in a breeding 52 program, such as crossing and selection. This allows users to apply functions 53 representing breeding operations directly to objects that represent populations of 54 animals or plants. The benefit of this approach is that it makes writing simulation code 55 more intuitive, by allowing users to directly translate a description of a breeding 56 program into an R script. It also provides a natural path for learning how to use the 57 software by allowing users to start with simulations of simple breeding programs and 58 gradually progress to more complicated breeding programs. With the respect to 59 learning, simulations are also an invaluable tool to teach students and new professionals 60 about theoretical and practical breeding concepts.

AlphaSimR is suitable for simulating a wide range of breeding programs and species. The software models the genomes of both diploid and autopolyploid species. The scripting approach employed by AlphaSimR allows for modeling nearly any breeding program structure, without limiting users to preset designs. AlphaSimR has been heavily optimized for large scale simulations (>1,000,000 individuals), because it is specifically designed for whole breeding program simulations.

68 Methods

AlphaSimR is a large package with an extensive list of features, so we will only describe its main features here. For the sake of brevity, these descriptions are designed to provide an overview of AlphaSimR's functionality and not a detailed accounting of its implementation. First, AlphaSimR's approach to stochastic simulations will be given to provide a high-level overview of how the software works. Then, we will describe a few key elements of this approach before concluding with an overview of AlphaSimR's implementation.

76 Simulation approach

AlphaSimR uses a simulation approach that combines the coalescent and gene drop methods (Hickey and Gorjanc 2012). The coalescent method is used for backwards-in-time simulations. It is used in AlphaSimR to generate wholechromosome founder haplotypes. The gene drop method is used for forwards-in-time simulations. It is used in AlphaSimR to create new haplotypes from the original founder haplotypes.

83 Founder haplotypes

84 The preferred method for creating founder haplotypes in AlphaSimR is to use 85 the Markovian Coalescent Simulator (MaCS; Chen et al. 2009). MaCS is included in 86 AlphaSimR and used to generate founder haplotypes according to either a predefined 87 parameter set for some species, or user supplied parameters. Alternatively, users can 88 create founder haplotypes by importing external data into AlphaSimR or using built-in 89 functions for random sampling. The option to import external data allows users to use 90 other coalescent simulators or real genotypic data, provided the linkage phase and 91 genetic map are known.

92 Genetic recombination

AlphaSimR creates new haplotypes by modeling genetic recombination during
meiosis. A genetic map is used to model the distribution of genetic recombination.
AlphaSimR allows for sex-specific genetic maps to represent different recombination
rates between sexes. The specifics for modeling meiosis in AlphaSimR depend on
whether the species is a diploid or an autopolyploid.

For diploid species, AlphaSimR simulates meiosis and genetic recombination according to the gamma model (McPeek and Speed 1995). The gamma model accommodates crossover interference and has been shown to fit real data (e.g. Broman and Weber 2000). The magnitude of crossover interference is controlled by a single parameter that can be adjusted by the user.

103 For autopolyploid species, AlphaSimR simulates meiosis using a combination 104 of bivalent and quadrivalent chromosome pairing. Bivalent or quadrivalent 105 homologous pairs are chosen at random according to a parameter for the probability of 106 quadrivalent pairing, which can be tuned by the user. Bivalent pairs are resolved using 107 the gamma model for diploids. Quadrivalent pairs are resolved according to the model 108 for "cross-type" configurations used in the PedigreeSim software (Voorrips and 109 Maliepaard 2012). This model involves sampling chiasmata positions from a gamma 110 distribution and resolving crossovers by sampling centromeres and working outwards 111 towards the telomeres. This technique models unique features of meiosis in 112 autopolyploids, such as recombinant chromosomes composed of three parental 113 chromosomes and double reductions (Bourke et al. 2015).

114 Traits

115 Traits in AlphaSimR are classified according to the biological effects they 116 model. The biological effects modeled in AlphaSimR are: Additive, Dominance, 117 Epistatic, and Genotype-by-environment. The first letter of each effect is used to derive 118 a name for each trait type under the ADEG framework. For example, a trait with only 119 additive effects is called an A trait. A trait with additive and dominance effects is called 120 an AD trait. AlphaSimR currently supports the following trait types: A, AD, AE, AG, 121 ADE, ADG, AEG, and ADEG.

122 The modeling of biological effects is based on classic quantitative genetics 123 models. For example, the additive effects are equivalent to additive effects described in a quantitative genetics textbook (e.g. Falconer and Mackay 1996). The modeling of the 124 125 dominance effects allows for both directional dominance and a variable degree of 126 dominance, ranging from partial dominance to overdominance (Gaynor et al. 2018). 127 For autopolyploid species, the modeling of dominance represents digenic dominance. 128 Epistatic effects are modeled as additive-by-additive epistatic effects between discrete 129 pairs of loci. Genotype-by-environment effects are modeled as additive effects whose 130 value is a function of a single environmental covariate.

AlphaSimR can simulate multiple traits using any combination of trait types.
Each trait is simulated according to a user-defined number of QTL, which can differ
between traits. Correlated traits can be simulated, provided they are pleiotropic and
belong to the same trait type.

AlphaSimR uses a method for sampling QTL effects that is, to the authors'
knowledge, unique among stochastic simulation software. Users of AlphaSimR are
asked to specify a desired mean and variance, either total or additive, for each trait. The

software then samples QTL effects and scales the values for those effects to achieve precisely this mean and variance in a founder population. The benefit of AlphaSimR's approach is that it allows users to set variables relating to the relative levels of dominance or epistasis independently of the founder population's genetic variance. For example, a user can specify the average degree of dominance for QTL controlling a trait independently of the additive genetic variance for this trait.

144 Variance components

145 AlphaSimR reports additive, dominance and additive-by-additive epistatic variances for any population. This is done without assuming random mating or linkage 146 147 equilibrium, so that the values are correct regardless of the population's genetic 148 structure. This allows users to compare simulated populations to real-world data for the 149 sake of benchmarking simulations. AlphaSimR also offers further partitioning of 150 genetic variance into genic variance, covariance due to departures from Hardy-151 Weinberg equilibrium and covariance due to linkage disequilibrium, as described by 152 Bulmer (1976).

153 Selection

A wide range of functions are available for modeling selections. These functions allow for selection on multitude of criteria, such as: phenotypes, genetic values, breeding values, or estimated breeding values. Selection can be on one trait or an index of multiple traits. Selections can also be modeled as selection between or within families or over an entire population. AlphaSimR also supports user supplied selections, allowing users to implement their own selection methods, for example optimum contribution selection as in Gorjanc et al. (2018)

161 Mating and propagation schemes

A wide range of functions are available in AlphaSimR for modeling common mating and propagation schemes. These schemes include: biparental crossing, selfing, clonal propagation, generation of doubled haploid lines, and propagation in open pollinating populations with variable degrees of selfing. AlphaSimR also supports user supplied mating plans.

167 Genomic prediction

168 Modeling genomic prediction in breeding programs is one of the main use cases 169 for AlphaSimR. AlphaSimR offers several built-in functions for fitting common 170 genomic prediction models. The built-in functions use mixed model solvers based on 171 the following R packages: rrBLUP (Endelman 2011), EMMREML (Akdemir and 172 Godfrey 2015) and Sommer (Covarrubias-Pazaran 2016). Each solver has been optimized for performance within AlphaSimR and written in C++ using the R packages 173 174 Rcpp (Eddelbuettel and Francois 2011) and RcppArmadillo (Eddelbuettel and 175 Sanderson 2014). Users can also make use of other R packages or external applications 176 for modeling genomic prediction. This is done by exporting data from an AlphaSimR 177 simulation into another R function or external program for genomic predictions, 178 generating predictions, and importing the predictions back into AlphaSimR objects.

179 Implementation

Much of AlphaSimR's code has been written in C++ to improve performance. For example, this has been used to implement bitwise storage of genotype data to reduce memory usage and enable multithreading for increased speed. AlphaSimR also improves performance by limiting data storage and calculations, such as variance component calculations, to only those expressly requested by the user. This approach

- 185 differs from other stochastic simulation programs, including the original AlphaDrop
- 186 (Hickey and Gorjanc 2012) and AlphaSim (Faux et al. 2016), which typically perform
- all calculations and save all data.

189 **Results and Discussion**

190 Example Simulation

191 This section will demonstrate AlphaSimR using a simulation of a single 192 breeding cycle for a generic wheat breeding program. The code needed to run this 193 simulation is presented below after a brief description of the breeding program.

194 Figure 1 shows a schematic representing the stages of the generic wheat 195 breeding program with a seven-year breeding cycle. In the first year, 200 bi-parental 196 populations are produced by crossing and production of doubled haploid (DH) lines 197 from those bi-parental populations begins. In the second year, the production of DH 198 lines is completed in. In the third year, the DH lines are visually evaluated in a head-199 row (HDRW) nursery. In the remaining years, lines are selected based on performance 200 in the previous year and evaluated in a yield trial. The yield trials are conducted over 201 the course of three years before selecting a variety to release.

The first step is to generate founder haplotypes using MaCS. The founder haplotypes will be used to form the initial parents in the breeding program. Code for simulating the founder haplotypes for 50 inbred individuals is shown below. Each individual will have 21 chromosomes, each with 1000 segregating sites.

The second step is to set global parameters. Below is code for setting simulation parameters to model a single trait. The trait models additive genetic effects on 1000 loci per chromosome. The trait is also modeled as having a broad-sense heritability of 0.4 for evaluation in a single location.

| 211 | SP = SimParam\$ |
|-----|------------------------|
| 212 | new(founderPop)\$ |
| 213 | addTraitA(1000)\$ |
| 214 | <i>setVarE(H2=0.4)</i> |
| 215 | |

| 216 | The next step is to simulate each year of the breeding program. In the first year, |
|-----|--|
| 217 | 200 bi-parental populations are produced by crossing the parents formed from the |
| 218 | founder haplotypes. This code is presented below. The first line uses the founder |
| 219 | haplotypes to form the parents and the second line makes 200 randomly chosen crosses |
| 220 | between those parents. |

- Parants new Pon(foundar Pon) 221 222
- 223

$$F1 = randCross(Parents, 200)$$

224 In the second and third years, the DH lines are produced and then they are 225 evaluated in the HDRW nursery. The code for both these years is presented below. The 226 first line forms 100 DH lines per F₁ plant. The second line models evaluation in the 227 HDRW nursery for the previously defined additive trait. The broad-sense heritability 228 of this trait is reduced to 0.1 to represent visual selection.

231

232 In the fourth year, the best entries in the HDRW nursery are selected and 233 evaluated in a preliminary yield trial (PYT). This is modeled with the code below. The 234 first line models selection in the HDRW by selecting the best lines within families. The 235 second line models evaluation of the PYT at one location. The accuracy of this 236 evaluation is based on the broad-sense heritability defined in the simulation parameters.

239

In the fifth year, the best PYT entries are selected and evaluated in an advanced
yield trial (AYT). This is modeled with the code below. The first line models selection
of the best PYT lines. The second line models evaluation of the AYT at four locations,
which are represented as reps in the code.

244
$$AYT = selectInd(PYT, 100)$$
245 $AYT = setPheno(AYT, reps=4)$

In the sixth year, the best AYT entries are selected and evaluated in an elite yield trial (EYT). This is modeled with the code below. The first line models selection of the best AYT lines. The second line models evaluation of the EYT at sixteen locations.

251 EYT = selectInd(AYT, 10)
 252 EYT = setPheno(EYT, reps=16)
 253

In the seventh year, the best performing EYT entry is chosen for release as a variety. This is modeled with the code below.

The final step is to evaluate the simulation results. This is done by producing a boxplot for the genetic values of entries in stage of the breeding program. The boxplot is shown in Figure 2. The code for generating the boxplot is given below. The first line of code extracts the genetic values for each entry and saves it in a list. The second line

creates the boxplot showing the distribution of genetic values for entries in each stage

263 of the breeding program.

| 264 | yield = list(Parents=gv(Parents), F1=gv(F1), |
|-----|---|
| 265 | HDRW=gv(HDRW), PYT=gv(PYT), |
| 266 | AYT=gv(AYT), EYT=gv(EYT), |
| 267 | Variety=gv(Variety)) |
| 268 | <pre>boxplot(yield, ylab="Genetic Value")</pre> |
| 269 | |

270 Concluding remarks

AlphaSimR represents a considerable improvement over its predecessor in terms of ease-of-use, flexibility, and computational efficiency (AlphaSim; Faux *et al.* 2016). It has been used in a handful of published simulations (Gorjanc *et al.* 2018; Muleta *et al.* 2019; Johnsson *et al.* 2019) as well as numerous unpublished simulations. The largest simulation undertaken in AlphaSimR to date involved over a hundred million individuals (unpublished), a feat that would not be feasible with original AlphaSim.

278 The improvements made to AlphaSimR make it uniquely well suited for 279 simulating whole breeding programs. These types of simulations serve as a valuable 280 tool for aiding strategic decision making within breeding programs. For example, 281 AlphaSimR can be used test the economic value of modifying an existing breeding 282 program. This will be of particular interest to breeding programs considering 283 implementing genomic selection or changing their current implementation. These types 284 of simulations can also be used to optimize selection stages or compare the efficiency 285 of mating strategies.

AlphaSimR can be used for a wide range of simulations outside of wholebreeding program simulations. For example, AlphaSimR can be used to test QTL

mapping strategies or marker imputation strategies. AlphaSimR is also well suited for running simulations that help with teaching quantitative genetics and breeding. This is because students can be quickly taught how to use AlphaSimR for simple simulations, and the software's ability to report variance components, perform genomic evaluations and evaluate accuracy of evaluations against the simulated true values is highly instructive.

AlphaSimR is under continuous development with new features being added on a semi-regular basis. Additional planned features include developing standard breeding program blueprints for major species and developing easy-to-use graphical user interfaces for these blueprints. These planned additions should make AlphaSimR even more user-friendly than it currently is.

300 Web resources

301 AlphaSimR is publicly available CRAN (https://CRAN.Ron 302 project.org/package=AlphaSimR). Additional documentation as well as links to 303 graphical user interfaces for specialized applications are available on the AlphaGenes 304 website (https://alphagenes.roslin.ed.ac.uk/wp/software-2/alphasimr/). A repository of 305 example simulation scripts for learning to use the software, modeling specific breeding 306 programs, and learning quantitative genetics principles are available on Bitbucket 307 (https://bitbucket.org/hickeyjohnteam/alphasimr examples).

309 Acknowledgments

- 310 The authors acknowledge the financial support from Bayer Crop Science and
- 311 the Gates Foundation.
- 312

313 References

- 314 Akdemir, D., and O. U. Godfrey, 2015 *EMMREML: Fitting Mixed Models with*
- 315 Known Covariance Structures. https://CRAN.R-
- 316 project.org/package=EMMREML
- 317 Bourke, P. M., R. E. Voorrips, R. G. F. Visser, and C. Maliepaard, 2015 The Double-
- 318 Reduction Landscape in Tetraploid Potato as Revealed by a High-Density
- 319 Linkage Map. Genetics 201: 853–863.
- 320 Broman, K. W., and J. L. Weber, 2000 Characterization of Human Crossover
- 321 Interference. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 66: 1911–1926.
- 322 Bulmer, M. G., 1976 The effect of selection on genetic variability: a simulation study.
- 323 Genet. Res. 28: 101–117.
- 324 Chen, G. K., P. Marjoram, and J. D. Wall, 2009 Fast and flexible simulation of DNA
 325 sequence data. Genome Res. 19: 136–142.
- 326 Covarrubias-Pazaran, G., 2016 Genome-Assisted Prediction of Quantitative Traits

327 Using the R Package sommer. PLoS ONE 11:.

- 328 Eddelbuettel, D., and R. Francois, 2011 Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ Integration. J.
- 329 Stat. Softw. Artic. 40: 1–18.
- 330 Eddelbuettel, D., and C. Sanderson, 2014 RcppArmadillo: Accelerating R with high-
- 331performance C++ linear algebra. Comput. Stat. Data Anal. 71: 1054–1063.
- 332 Endelman, J. B., 2011 Ridge Regression and Other Kernels for Genomic Selection
- 333 with R Package rrBLUP. Plant Genome J. 4: 250.
- 334 Falconer, D. S., and T. F. C. Mackay, 1996 *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*.
- 335Longman, Harlow, UK.

| 336 | Faux, AM., G. Gorjanc, R. C. Gaynor, M. Battagin, S. M. Edwards et al., 2016 |
|-----|---|
| 337 | AlphaSim - software for the simulation of breeding programs. The Plant |
| 338 | Genome Journal. |
| 339 | Gaynor, R. C., G. Gorjanc, A. R. Bentley, E. S. Ober, P. Howell et al., 2017 A Two- |
| 340 | Part Strategy for Using Genomic Selection to Develop Inbred Lines. Crop Sci. |
| 341 | 57: 2372–2386. |
| 342 | Gaynor, R. C., G. Gorjanc, and J. M. Hickey, 2018 Dominance in stochastic |
| 343 | simulations of animal breeding programs, in Proceedings of the 11th World |
| 344 | COngress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production, Auckland. |
| 345 | Gorjanc, G., P. Bijma, and J. M. Hickey, 2015 Reliability of pedigree-based and |
| 346 | genomic evaluations in selected populations. Genet. Sel. Evol. 47:. |
| 347 | Gorjanc, G., R. C. Gaynor, and J. M. Hickey, 2018 Optimal cross selection for long- |
| 348 | term genetic gain in two-part programs with rapid recurrent genomic selection. |
| 349 | Theor. Appl. Genet. 131: 1953–1966. |
| 350 | Hayes, B., and M. E. Goddard, 2003 Evaluation of marker assisted selection in pig |
| 351 | enterprises. Livest. Prod. Sci. 81: 197-211. |
| 352 | Hickey, J. M., and G. Gorjanc, 2012 Simulated Data for Genomic Selection and |
| 353 | Genome-Wide Association Studies Using a Combination of Coalescent and |
| 354 | Gene Drop Methods. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics 2: 425-427. |
| 355 | Jenko, J., G. Gorjanc, M. A. Cleveland, R. K. Varshney, C. B. A. Whitelaw et al., |
| 356 | 2015 Potential of promotion of alleles by genome editing to improve |
| 357 | quantitative traits in livestock breeding programs. Genet. Sel. Evol. 47: 1–14. |
| 358 | Johnsson, M., R. C. Gaynor, J. Jenko, G. Gorjanc, DJ. de Koning et al., 2019 |
| 359 | Removal of alleles by genome editing (RAGE) against deleterious load. |
| 360 | Genet. Sel. Evol. 51: 14. |

| 361 | Lin. Z., 1 | N. O. I. | Cogan, L | . W. Pembleton. | G. C. S | Spangenberg, J. | W. Forster et al., |
|-----|------------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | | | | | | | |

- 362 2016 Genetic Gain and Inbreeding from Genomic Selection in a Simulated
- 363 Commercial Breeding Program for Perennial Ryegrass. Plant Genome 9:.
- 364 McPeek, M. S., and T. P. Speed, 1995 Modeling interference in genetic
- recombination. Genetics 139: 1031–1044.
- 366 Muleta, K. T., G. Pressoir, and G. P. Morris, 2019 Optimizing Genomic Selection for
- a Sorghum Breeding Program in Haiti: A Simulation Study. G3 Genes

368 Genomes Genet. 9: 391–401.

- 369 R Core Team, 2019 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
- 370 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 371 Voorrips, R. E., and C. A. Maliepaard, 2012 The simulation of meiosis in diploid and
- 372tetraploid organisms using various genetic models. BMC Bioinformatics 13:
- **373 248**.
- 374

Figure 1. An overview of the variety development cycle for the example wheat
breeding program. A variety is developed over the course of seven years. The
steps in the development cycle are: making bi-parent crosses, forming doubled
haploid (DH) lines, visually select lines grown in headrows (HDRW), evaluate

380 lines in a preliminary yield trial (PYT), evaluate lines in an advanced yield trial

381 (AYT), evaluate lines in an elite yield trial (EYT), and release a variety.

| Year | | Actions | Number of Lines |
|------|------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1 | $P_1 \times P_2$ | Make 200 crosses | 50 Parents |
| 1-2 | ×200 | Grow F ₁ , Create DH | |
| 3 | ARA | HDRW, visual selection for 5 per family | 20,000 |
| 4 | | PYT, 1 location | 1000 |
| 5 | | AYT, 4 locations | 100 |
| 6 | | EYT, 16 locations | 10 |
| 7 | | Release variety | 1 |

382

383

Figure 2. The distribution of genetic values in one replicate of the examplebreeding program. Separate boxplots are given for each stage of the breeding

386 program.

