Nitric oxide-inducible Fe-S cluster biogenesis enables *Mycobacterium*

***tuberculosis* to persist in mice**

Kushi Anand[1], Ashutosh Tripathi[1], Kaustubh Shukla[2], Nitish Malhotra[3],

Anilkumar Jamithireddy[4], Rajiv Kumar Jha[5], Susmit Narayan Chaudhury[3],

Raju S Rajmani[1], Arati Ramesh[3], Valakunja Nagaraja[5], Balasubramanian

Gopal[4], Ganesh Nagaraju[2] , Aswin Sai Narain Seshayee[3], and Amit Singh*[1, 5]

[1]Centre for Infectious Disease Research, Indian Institute of Science,

Bangalore 560012, India. [2]Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of

Science, Bangalore 560012, India. [3]National Centre for Biological Science,

Bangalore 560065, India. [4]Molecular Biophysics Unit, Indian Institute of

Science, Bangalore 560012, India. [5]Department of Microbiology and Cell

Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India.

*Correspondence

Amit Singh, Ph.D
Associate Professor
Wellcome Trust-India Alliance Senior Fellow
Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology (MCBL)
Centre for Infectious Disease and Research (CIDR)
Indian Institute of Science (IISc)
Bangalore-12
Ph:+91 8022932604
asingh@iisc.ac.in

Abstract

Host-inducible nitric oxide (NO) suppresses *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) without eradicating it. Mechanisms underlying the persistence of residual bacteria in a hostile host environment are not known. Since NO damages Fe-S clusters of essential enzymes, the mechanism used to repair Fe-S clusters could help *Mtb* persist in host tissues. Here, we show that *Mtb* utilizes the *suf* operon (*Rv1460-Rv1466*) for Fe-S cluster biogenesis to persist under NO stress. Further, transcriptional repressor SufR (*Rv1460*) senses NO through its 4Fe-4S cluster to directly regulate Suf-meditated Fe-S cluster biogenesis. We discovered that NO inflicted irreversible damage upon Fe-S clusters to exhaust respiratory reserves and redox buffering capacity of *MtbΔsufR*. Importantly, *MtbΔsufR* failed to recover from a NO-induced non-growing state and displayed persistence defect inside immune-activated macrophages and murine lungs in a NO-dependent manner. Data suggest that SufR is a sensor of NO that supports persistence by reprogramming Fe-S cluster metabolism and bioenergetics of *Mtb*.

Introduction

About 90% of an estimated 2 billion people infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) remain asymptomatic for tuberculosis (TB). This indicates that the host immune system effectively suppresses the pathogen's replication without eradicating the pathogen. The ability of the host to produce nitric oxide (NO) by an inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) is known to modulate host immunity (1) and microbial physiology (2), thereby controlling diverse infections (3,4) including TB (5). Upon infection with *Mtb*, lesional macrophages in humans and macaques express functional iNOS (6,7), and exhaled breath of TB patient contains NO (8). Importantly, iNOS activity seems to control TB in humans (9,10). Mechanistically, NO inhibits respiration and arrests growth of *Mtb* (2). The bacterial mechanisms responsible for sensing NO and mobilizing adaptation programs are poorly understood.

Previously published models suggest that *Mtb* exploits a three-component system, DosR/S/T, to induce transcriptional changes, growth arrest, and a switch from aerobic to anaerobic respiration to enhance survival under NO stress (11,12). However, the NO-mediated activation of DosR regulon is transient(13) and other gases, such as carbon monoxide (CO) and oxygen (O_2-limitation), similarly activate the DosR pathway (14,15). Therefore, the underlying regulatory mechanism that specifically coordinates changes in metabolism, growth, and respiration of *Mtb* in response to NO remains unknown.

NO is believed to affect bioenergetics by targeting heme, Fe-S clusters,

mycothiol, and protein thiols in *Mtb* (16). In this context, a recent study

demonstrated active degradation of several iron-sulfur cluster (Fe-S) proteins

coordinating respiration, central metabolism, and amino acid biosynthesis in

NO-treated *Mtb* (13). In addition, a seven-gene operon, the Suf system

(*Rv1460-Rv1466*) that is likely involved in Fe-S cluster biogenesis (17),

showed prolonged, elevated expression in response to NO (13). Other targets

of NO, such as haemoglobins, cytochrome C oxidase, and thiol proteins,

remained unaffected (13), supporting the significance of Fe-S cluster

homeostasis in remedying NO stress in *Mtb*. Moreover, NO modulates the

regulatory activity of two redox sensors, WhiB3 and WhiB1, by targeting their

Fe-S clusters (18-20). Since the reaction of NO with Fe-S clusters generates

a lethal dinitrosyl-iron dithiol complex (DNIC) (21), active degradation and

calibrated regeneration of Fe-S clusters via the *suf* operon represents a

potential adaptive defense against NO. Despite these reports, how *Mtb*

senses the need for Fe-S cluster biogenesis in response to NO and the nature

of the underlying regulatory mechanisms remains uncharacterized. Filling this

knowledge gap is crucial to understanding the molecular underpinning of

persistence by this human pathogen.

In the present work, we performed transcriptomics, Fe-S enzymatic

assays, bioenergetics flux analysis, and redox measurements to characterize

the response of *Mtb* towards NO. We performed a comprehensive

biochemical and genetic investigation of an Fe-S cluster containing

transcription factor SufR (Rv1460) and established that SufR-mediated

regulation of Fe-S cluster biogenesis organizes the bacterial response to NO.

Finally, we confirmed the requirement of SufR in promoting persistence of *Mtb*

in response to NO in mice.

Results

NO modulates the expression of Fe-S cluster pathways in *Mtb*

We performed transcriptomics of *Mtb* treated for 4 h with 0.5 mM of the

NO donor diethylenetriamine-nitric oxide (DETA-NO) by sequencing RNA

(RNA-seq). *Mtb* treated with 0.5 mM DETA-NO retained viability, but growth

was arrested (Figure 1-figure supplement 1). We identified significant changes

in the expression of 903 genes (fold change ≥ 2; FDR ≤ 0.05) (Supplementary

file 1A). Our RNA-seq data recapitulated the central themes of the NO-

responsive transcriptome of *Mtb*, with induction of the DosR, IdeR, Zur, and

CsoR regulons, and with reduced expression of RNA and protein biosynthesis

pathways (Figure 1-figure supplement 2 and Supplementary file 1A) (13).

Since NO and its congeners target lipids, DNA, and redox metabolism (22),

genes involved in redox homeostasis, lipid biosynthesis, and DNA repair were

induced (Figure 1-figure supplement 2 and Supplementary file 1A).

Since Fe-S cluster proteins are the most susceptible targets of NO

(23), we examined the expression of 76 genes encoding the Fe-S cluster

proteome of *Mtb* (Supplementary file 1B). We discovered altered expression

of 35 genes, 23 being up-regulated and 12 down-regulated in response to NO

(fold change ≥ 1.5; FDR ≤ 0.05; Figure 1 and Supplementary file 1B). Genes

encoding Fe-S enzymes involved in central metabolism (*acn*, *prsA*, and *udgA*)

and primary respiration (*nuo* operon) were down-regulated, whereas genes

mediating alternate respiration (*narH, sirA, fdxA, hycP, and fdxC*) (24),

alternate carbon catabolism (*korAB*, *frdB*) (25), and redox metabolism (*rubA-*

B, *cysH*, and *nadA-B*) (26-28) were induced by NO (Figure 1; Supplementary

file 1B). NO also induces *thiC*, which encodes a Fe-S cluster-containing

enzyme that generates thiamin and thiamin-dependent branched chain keto-

acid dehydrogenase complex (BCKADH) (Figure 1). Catabolism of branched

chain amino acids (BCAAs) by BCKADH generates acetyl-CoA and propionyl-

CoA (29), which fuel the glyoxylate cycle, methyl citrate cycle, and

gluconeogenesis (30). Consistent with this, NO induces the expression of

genes coordinating BCAAs biogenesis, glyoxylate/methyl-citrate cycles

(isocitrate lyase [*icl1*]), and gluconeogenesis (PEP-carboxykinase [*pckA*]) in

Mtb (Figure 1; Supplementary file 1A).

The strong reactivity of NO toward the heme iron of primary

cytochrome oxidase is thought to arrest respiration (2). We found that NO

does not affect the expression of primary terminal oxidase (cytochrome bc1-

aa3 complex; *qcrABC*) in *Mtb* (Figure 1). However, the expression of alternate

respiratory complexes (*e.g.,* NADH dehydrogenase type II [*ndh*] and

cytochrome BD oxidase [*cydABCD*]) (Figure 1) (31) was induced. Genes of

Fe-S cluster enzymes producing cofactors for respiratory quinones

(isoprenoid; *lytB2*, *gcpE*) (32) and nitrate reductase (molybdopterin; *moaA2*)

(32) were up-regulated by NO (Figure 1). Expression of transcription factors

containing NO-sensitive Fe-S clusters (*e.g., whiB1, whiB2, and whiB3)* was

induced (18,20,33) (Figure 1). Up-regulation of the Fe-S cluster transcriptome

indicates an increased demand for Fe-S cluster biogenesis. In agreement,

expression of the *suf* operon (*sufRBDCSUT*; Rv1461-Rv1466) was stimulated

15 to 25-fold by NO (Figure 1). Also, NO induces the cysteine biosynthetic

machinery that supplies sulfur for Fe-S cluster biogenesis (Figure 1). In

contrast, genes coordinating biosynthesis of Fe-binding heme (*hemZ*) and

encoding Fe-storage proteins (bacterioferretin; *bfrA-B*) were down-regulated

(Figure 1). These observations, along with strong induction of the *ideR*-

mediated iron starvation response, suggest that *Mtb* faces Fe scarcity under

NO stress and manages it by prioritizing the assembly of [Fe-S] clusters.

NO modulates activity of Fe-S cluster enzyme, bioenergetics, and redox

potential of *Mtb*

Altered expression of the Fe-S pathways involved in metabolism and

respiration by NO indicates that NO might modulate these processes in *Mtb*.

To investigate this idea, we evaluated the activity of a 4Fe-4S-containing

enzyme aconitase (Acn), which functions as a critical gatekeeper of the TCA

cycle, and shows sensitivity to NO due to a solvent-exposed Fe atom (34). A

gradual decrease in Acn activity over time was observed in *Mtb* exposed to

0.5 mM of DETA-NO, indicating Fe-S cluster damage. At 12 h post-exposure,

DETA-NO triggered a 40% reduction in Acn activity without decreasing its

abundance (Figure 2A). Importantly, re-culturing DETA-NO-treated *Mtb* in a

DETA-NO-free medium significantly restored Acn activity, indicating efficient

mobilization of Fe-S cluster regeneration machinery (Figure 2A).

Fe-S enzymes coordinate carbon catabolism and oxidative

phosphorylation (OXHPHOS) (35). Therefore, we exploited Seahorse XF Flux

technology to analyze the influence of NO on oxygen consumption rate (OCR)

and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), which are measurable readouts of

OXPHOS and glycolysis, respectively (36). To quantify the basal and

maximum rates of OCR and ECAR, we cultured *Mtb* in 7H9-glucose in an XF

microchamber, then exposed it to DETA-NO, and finally to the uncoupler

carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazine (CCCP) (Figure 2B-C). Addition

of CCCP stimulates respiration to the maximal capacity manageable by *Mtb*.

The difference between basal and CCCP-induced OCR provides an estimate

of the spare respiratory capacity available for sustaining stress-mediated

bioenergetics (*e.g.,* RNI and ROI) (37). Under normal growing conditions, *Mtb*

displays a basal OCR of 20±0.14 pmoles/min, which increases to 85±11.7

pmoles/min in response to uncoupling stress by CCCP (Figure 2B). Similarly,

basal ECAR increases from 4.5±0.48 mpH/min to 25±4.4 mpH/min in

response to CCCP (Figure 2C). These data indicate that *Mtb* functions at

submaximal OXPHOS (~ 25%) and glycolytic (~ 20%) capacities under

normal growing conditions. As seen with the uncoupler CCCP, pretreatment

with DETA-NO also increased basal OCR in a dose-dependent manner

(Figure 2B). Also, DETA-NO pretreatment progressively reduces the ability of

Mtb to increase OCR or ECAR in response to CCCP (Figure 2B and 2C). As a

result, DETA-NO significantly decreased spare respiratory capacity in a dose-

dependent manner (Figure 2D), indicating that *Mtb* mobilizes its reserved

respiratory capacity to sustain bioenergetics in response to NO. Our data

indicate that NO depolarizes the cytoplasmic membrane and activates

respiratory reserves of *Mtb*.

Lastly, we asked whether NO perturbs redox homeostasis in *Mtb*. We

used a genetic biosensor (Mrx1-roGFP2) to measure the redox potential of a

physiologically relevant antioxidant, mycothiol (MSH), as a proxy for the

cytoplasmic redox potential (E_{MSH}) of *Mtb* (38). Any change in redox

physiology can be easily quantified by ratiometric measurements of emission

at 510 nm after excitation at 405 and 488 nm (38). In response to an oxidant

or a reductant, the biosensor ratio showed a rapid increase or decrease,

respectively (38). *Mtb* expressing Mrx1-roGFP2 was treated with 0.25 mM,

0.5 mM, and 1 mM DETA-NO, and the biosensor ratio was measured. NO

exposure induces an insignificant increase in the Mrx1-roGFP2 ratio,

indicating that *Mtb* robustly maintains cytoplasmic E_{MSH} in response to NO

(Figure 2E). Using a ROS-sensitive fluorescent dye CellROX, we confirmed

that NO induces a negligible increase in oxidative stress (Figure 2F). Overall,

the data indicate that NO-induced growth arrest is linked to modulation of

cumulative respiratory and metabolic capacities of *Mtb*.

SufR regulates the *suf* operon and the DosR regulon in response to NO

Our gene expression and biochemical data indicate that Fe-S cluster

homeostasis is likely to be important for *Mtb's* response towards NO. To

examine this possibility, we investigated the role of *Mtb* SufR, which is a

putative regulator of the *suf* operon involved in Fe-S cluster biogenesis (39).

The *suf* operon, including *sufR*, showed a maximal and a long-lasting

induction in response to NO (13). Except for *sufR*, other genes of the *suf*

operon are essential (17). Therefore, we utilized previously reported

MtbΔsufR and *sufR*-complemented (*sufR-Comp*) strains (40) and performed

RNA-Seq in response to NO. As with wt *Mtb*, 0.5 mM DETA-NO arrested the

growth of *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* without affecting viability (Figure 3-figure

supplement 1). Similar to wt *Mtb*, NO similarly affected the expression of the

ideR, *zurR*, and *csoR/ricR* regulons, carbon metabolism, protein biosynthesis,

and respiratory enzymes in *MtbΔsufR* (Figure 3-figure supplement 2,

Supplementary file 1C). A direct comparison of *MtbΔsufR* with wt *Mtb* after

DETA-NO treatment revealed differential regulation of 67 genes (fold change

≥ 1.5; FDR ≤0.05) (Figure 3 and Supplementary file 1D). Several genes of the

DosR regulon showed hyper-induction (≥1.5-fold; FDR ≤0.05) in *MtbΔsufR* as

compared to wt *Mtb* or *sufR-Comp* under NO stress (Figure 3). More

importantly, NO-specific induction of the *suf* operon was absent in *MtbΔsufR*

(Figure 3). Because SufR is a putative repressor of the *suf* operon (39), the

diminished expression of the *suf* operon in *MtbΔsufR* was unexpected. One

possibility is that by removing 345 bp internal to the *sufR* ORF (+308 to +653

bp) for construction of *MtbΔsufR,* we might have interrupted the NO-inducible

transcription of the remaining *suf* genes (*Rv1461-Rv1466*) in *MtbΔsufR*

(Figure 3-figure supplement 3A). However, these genes remained basally

expressed due to an alternate transcription start site (TSS2) upstream of

Rv1461 (*sufB*) (Figure 3-figure supplement 3A). Consistent with this, the

aberrant transcript originated from the primary TSS (TSS1) containing the

undeleted region of the *sufR* (+1 to +307 bp) remains induced in NO treated

MtbΔsufR (Figure 3-figure supplement 3A-B). As expected, the integration of

a native copy of *sufR* alone in the genome of *sufR-Comp* only restored the

expression of *sufR* in response to NO whereas rest of the operon remained

uninduced (Figure 3).

260   While the *suf* operon was not induced, the expression of pathways

requiring Fe-S clusters remained induced in NO-treated *MtbΔsufR* (Figure 3).

Thus, we anticipate that the heightened demand for Fe-S clusters under NO

stress is unlikely to be satisfied in *MtbΔsufR*. Lastly, genes encoding surface

antigens (*mpt70*, *mpt83*, and *fbpc*), PE-PGRS family, and redox-sensitive

transcription factors (*oxyS* and *Rv3334*) (41,42) were also down regulated in

NO-treated *MtbΔsufR* (Figure 3 and Supplementary file 1D). We also found

that the expression of nearly 50% of genes showing differential regulation in

MtbΔsufR was restored to approximately wt *Mtb* levels in the *sufR-Comp*

strain under NO stress (Figure 3 and Supplementary file 1D). Since the *suf*

operon remained repressed in *sufR-Comp*, the partial complementation could

be due to impaired activity of other transcription factors (*e.g.,* WhiB1 and

WhiB3) that require Fe-S clusters to regulate gene expression in response to

NO (18,43). Altogether, the data indicate that SufR adjusts the expression of

the Suf Fe-S cluster assembly system and the Dos regulon upon NO stress.

SufR is required to maintain Fe-S cluster homeostasis, bioenergetic

reserves, and redox potential in response to NO

278   Transcriptional data indicate altered Fe-S cluster homeostasis in

MtbΔsufR. We directly tested this idea by measuring the enzymatic activity of

Acn (a Fe-S enzyme) in *MtbΔsufR* under NO stress (Figure 4A). Compared to

wt *Mtb*, a similar decrease in Acn activity was observed in *MtbΔsufR* under

NO stress (Figure 4A). However, and in contrast to wt *Mtb*, reactivation of Acn

upon removal of NO stress was absent in *MtbΔsufR* (Figure 4A). Data

suggest that defective induction of the *suf* operon impairs regeneration of NO-

damaged Fe-S clusters in *MtbΔsufR*. As expected, *sufR-Comp* which lacks

induction of the *suf* operon in response to NO, did not reinstate Acn activity

(Figure 4-figure supplement 1).

Next, we measured OCR, ECAR, and SRC of *MtbΔsufR* in response to

NO as described earlier. Under normal growing conditions, *MtbΔsufR* utilizes

~ 35% of its maximal respiratory capacity, which is more than *Mtb* (~ 25%)

(Figure 4B). Moreover, while 1 mM of DETA-NO was required to increase

basal OCR of wt *Mtb*, 0.25 mM was sufficient for *MtbΔsufR* (Figure 4B). This

suggests that *MtbΔsufR* is more sensitive to membrane depolarization by NO.

We also found that spare respiratory capacity of *MtbΔsufR* was significantly

lower than wt *Mtb* under normal culture conditions and upon exposure to 0.25

mM of DETA-NO (Figure 4C). Data demonstrate that decreased spare

respiratory capacity of *MtbΔsufR* increased its vulnerability towards

bioenergetic exhaustion under NO stress. However, unlike wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR*

significantly increases basal ECAR and retains CCCP-induced ECAR in

response to 0.25 mM and 0.5 mM of DETA-NO (Figure 4D). This suggests an

increased reliance of *MtbΔsufR* on glycolysis to handle the bioenergetic

needs under NO stress. Lastly, using the Mrx1-roGFP2 biosensor, we

measured E_{MSH} of *MtbΔsufR* in response to NO. We observed that NO

induces a slightly higher oxidative shift in E_{MSH} of *MtbΔsufR* than wt *Mtb* in a

dose-dependent manner (Figure 4E). Consistent with this, staining with the

dye CellROX also indicated higher oxidative stress in NO-treated *MtbΔsufR*

as compared to wt *Mtb* (Figure 4F). Altogether, our data indicate that SufR is

required to regenerate Fe-S clusters, maintain respiratory reserves, and buffer

redox imbalance to counteract NO stress.

SufR mediates adaptation to NO stress *in vivo*

Next, we investigated the biological consequence of compromised Fe-

S homeostasis and bioenergetics by assessing the phenotype of *MtbΔsufR*

under NO stress. A single dose of various concentrations of DETA-NO (0.5

mM, 1.25 mM, and 2.5 mM) did not influence the survival of *MtbΔsufR* (Figure

5A). Repeated exposure to low doses of NO is known to arrest the growth of

Mtb for an extended duration (44). Administration of 0.1 mM of DETA-NO

every 6 h for 36 h induces an extended period of growth arrest followed by

recovery of wt *Mtb* at day 7 post-treatment (Figure 5B). In contrast, *MtbΔsufR*

resumed growth only at day 16 post-treatment with NO (Figure 5C). The *sufR-*

comp strain showed a recovery defect similar to *MtbΔsufR*, indicating that the

NO-mediated induction of the entire *suf* operon rather than only *sufR* is

necessary for the timely resumption of growth. We confirmed this by depleting

an essential gene of *suf* operon (*Rv1466*; *sufT*) involved in Fe-S cluster

maturation (45) using CRISPR interference (CRISPRi-*sufT*). Reduced *sufT*

levels significantly delayed recovery of *Mtb* from NO-induced growth inhibition

(Figure 5C).

Immunologically activated macrophages are known to induce

nitrosative stress in *Mtb* (46). In line with this, *MtbΔsufR* showed 10- and 40-

fold reduced survival in immune-activated Raw264.7 macrophages as

compared to wt *Mtb* at day 2 and 4 post-infection, respectively (Figure 5D).

These observations prompted us to investigate the NO-dependent phenotype

of *MtbΔsufR in vivo*. WT *Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* showed comparable growth in the

lungs of BALB/c mice during the acute phase of infection (0-2 weeks) (Figure

5E). However, *MtbΔsufR* was cleared progressively from the lungs, with a

more than 1.5-log decline in bacterial burden by 8 weeks (Figure 5E). The

persistence defect of *MtbΔsufR* was partially rescued in the case of animals

infected with *sufR-Comp* (Figure 5E)*.* Since *sufR-Comp* did not restore the

induction of the *suf* operon, the partial complementation is likely due to

restored expression of other *sufR*-specific genes (*e.g.,* DosR regulon). The

histopathological changes observed in the lungs of animals at 8 weeks post-

infection were proportionate to the bacterial burden (Figure 5-figure

supplement 1A). The magnitude of pulmonary damage was highest in case of

wt *Mtb* (2.75±0.5), intermediate in *sufR*-Comp (2.0 ±0.0), and lowest in

MtbΔsufR (0.25±0.50) (Figure 5-figure supplement 1B). Lastly, to clarify the

role of NO in the persistence defect of *MtbΔsufR*, we infected a highly

susceptible mouse strain lacking inducible nitric oxide (iNOS^{-/-}) (5). We found

that the phenotypic defect of *MtbΔsufR* was abolished in iNOS^{-/-} mouse,

indicating the requirement of SufR for the persistence of *Mtb* in response to

NO (Figure 5F-G).

Mechanism of SufR mediated regulation of Fe-S biogenesis

Having confirmed the role of SufR in regulating Fe-S homeostasis,

bioenergetics, and *in vivo* persistence, we next sought to understand the

underlying biochemical and molecular mechanisms. Biochemical

characterization of SufR revealed the presence of a 4Fe-4S cluster. We

present data to demonstrate how the 4Fe-4S cluster in SufR serves as a

sensor for NO and H_2O_2 to modulate DNA binding and transcriptional

activity. These studies, which also allowed us to identify the DNA sequence

motif required for SufR binding, provide a basis for a mechanistic model for

SufR. The data and analysis leading to this model are described below.

SufR contains a 4Fe-4S cluster

We anaerobically purified histidine-tagged SufR from *E.coli* cultured in

growth conditions optimized for the maximum incorporation of Fe-S clusters *in*

vivo (47). As isolated, native SufR displayed a characteristic straw brown

color, with an absorption maximum indicative of a 4Fe-4S cluster at 413 nm

(molar absorption coefficient [ε] at 413 =16200 M^{-1} cm^{-1}) (Figure 6A and

Figure 6-figure supplement 1) (18). Treatment of native SufR with a one-

electron donor, sodium dithionite (DTH), caused partial bleaching of brown

color and loss of absorbance at 413 nm, consistent with the presence of a

redox-responsive 4Fe-4S cluster (18) (Figure 6A). Gel-filtration performed

under anaerobic conditions suggested a molecular mass of ~ 54 kDa for

native SufR, which is consistent with a dimer. Clusterless SufR (apo-SufR)

also eluted as a dimer, indicating that the Fe-S cluster does not bridge SufR

monomers (Figure 6B). Moreover, the identity of cysteine residues that

coordinates the 4Fe-4S cluster were experimentally validated. The alanine

mutants of three putative cysteine ligands (C175A, C179A and C192A) were

seen to disrupt the 4Fe-4S cluster as evident from the loss of absorbance at

413 nm (Figure 6C).

A recent study, using aerobically purified SufR, has demonstrated that

SufR is a monomer and coordinates a 2Fe-2S cluster upon Fe-S cluster

reconstitution *in vitro* (39). Since Fe-S clusters are susceptible to O_2 (48), our

technique of assembling Fe-S cluster *in vivo* followed by anaerobic

purification is more likely to provide native configuration of SufR Fe-S cluster.

We clarified this by analyzing native SufR using circular dichroism (CD). The

far-UV CD spectrum shows two minima at 208 and 224 nm and indicates the

presence of 70% α-helical content in the secondary structure (Figure 6D). The

near-UV CD spectrum displayed two characteristic maxima at 330 and 420

nm and minima near 550 nm as described earlier for [4Fe-4S] cluster (Figure

6E) (49). As expected, the near-UV CD spectrum of apo-SufR did not show

the absorption characteristics of a [4Fe-4S] cluster (Figure 6E). Lastly, the

estimation of iron and sulfide ions in the native SufR revealed the association

of 3.23-3.75-iron atoms/SufR monomer and similar amounts of sulfide

ion/SufR monomer (Figure 6F). In sum, we demonstrate that *Mtb* SufR

contains a 4Fe-4S cluster.

SufR responds to NO through its 4Fe-4S cluster

We exposed native SufR to the fast releasing NO donor; proline NONOate ($T_{1/2}$ ~ 1.8 s, pH 7.4) and subjected it to UV-visible spectroscopy. Treatment with proline NONOate gradually reduced absorbance at 413 nm and formed a new chromophoric feature at ~ 350 nm over time with a clear isosbestic point (Figure 7A). These spectral features are consistent with a dinitrosyl-iron dithiol complex (DNIC), wherein the sulfide ligands of the 4Fe-4S clusters are displaced by NO to form $[Fe-(NO)_2]$ (50). The DNIC formation was confirmed by subjecting NO-treated SufR to continuous wave Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (cw-EPR). A strong EPR signal centered at g=2.03 was observed in a dose-dependent manner, consistent with the formation of the monomeric DNIC complex on SufR (Figure 7B) (18).

The *suf* operon showed induction under oxidizing stress (*e.g,* H_2O_2) (51). Therefore, we determined whether the Fe-S cluster of SufR is responsive to O_2 and H_2O_2. Exposure to atmospheric O_2 resulted in a slow decline in absorbance at 413 nm (Figure 7C). A plot of ΔA_{413nm} against time revealed the loss of ~75% of the 4Fe-4S cluster only at 20 hr post-O_2 exposure. However, exposure of native SufR to 1 mM H_2O_2 resulted in the rapid loss of absorption at 413 nm (Figure 7D). A plot of ΔA_{413nm} against time revealed the loss of ~75% of the 4Fe-4S cluster in 20 min. Higher concentrations of H_2O_2 (10 mM and 100 mM) resulted in the loss of the cluster within 1-2 min (Figure 7-figure supplement 1A-C). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the 4Fe-4S cluster of SufR is sensitive to NO and H_2O_2.

SufR binds upstream of the *suf* operon promoter and represses expression

To investigate the DNA binding properties of SufR, we carried out electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) using a radioactively (^{32}P) labeled 170 bp DNA fragment encompassing the promoter region of *suf* operon (39). We used four different forms of SufR; native/holo-SufR, apo-SufR, NO-treated SufR, and H_2O_2-treated SufR. As shown in figure 8a, holo-SufR binds to the *suf* promoter region in a concentration-dependent manner, whereas apo-SufR, NO-treated SufR, and H_2O_2-treated SufR show no DNA binding (Figure 8A-C). Moreover, DNA binding was outcompeted by 50-100-fold excess of unlabeled *suf* promoter DNA, but not by an unrelated promoter fragment (*blaC*) (Figure 8D). These findings suggest that *Mtb* SufR is an NO- and H_2O_2-responsive, sequence-specific DNA binding protein.

We next performed *in vitro* transcription using *Mtb* RNA polymerase as described (52). Single round of transcription of the *suf* promoter fragment (180 bp [-73 to + 107 bp]) produced a single transcript of 107 nucleotides, consistent with the leaderless transcription of the *suf* operon (53). Addition of holo-SufR represses transcription from the *suf* promoter in a dose-dependent manner, whereas apo SufR does not (Figure 8E-F). Importantly, the treatment of holo-SufR with proline NONOate (NO) reversed the repression of *suf* promoter (Figure 8G). These results indicate that holo-SufR binds to the *suf* promoter and represses expression, whereas NO-damaged or clusterless

apo-SufR lacks DNA binding and transcription repression. The data suggest

that occupancy of Fe-S cluster on SufR serves as an indicator of Fe-S cluster

sufficient or deficient conditions. During low Fe-S cluster demand, holo-SufR

represses the Suf system to reduce Fe-S cluster assembly, whereas loss or

damage of the Fe-S cluster in SufR signals heightened demand for Fe-S

clusters that results in the de-repression of the *suf* operon and mobilization of

Fe-S cluster assembly. In support of this, limiting Fe-S cluster biogenesis by

depleting SufT (CRIPSRi-*sufT*) alleviates the SufR-dependent repression of

the *suf* operon in *Mtb*, suggesting that *suf* machinery assembles the Fe-S

cluster on SufR to regulate Fe-S homeostasis (Figure 8-figure supplement 1).

Lastly, we performed DNase I footprinting to identify the binding site of

native SufR on the promoter region of the *suf* operon (Figure 9). A clear

region of protection from DNase I digestion was evident with increasing molar

ratios of SufR:DNA (Figure 9A-B). The protected region contains a perfect

inverted repeat (**ACACT**-N_5-**TGTGA)** that is separated by 5 bp (Figure 9).

This inverted repeat forms a part of a larger inverted repeat

(**ATTTT**GTCACACT-N_5-TGTG**AAAAT**). Consistent with the footprinting data,

mutations in the inverted repeat completely abolished binding, thus confirming

that the SufR binds the palindrome **ACACT**-N_5-**TGTGA** (Figure 9C-E). This

palindromic sequence was found to be present upstream of ~ 10 genes

deregulated in *Mtb∆sufR* under NO stress (Supplementary file 2). Altogether,

using multiple techniques we confirmed that SufR functions as a NO- and

H_2O_2-sensitive DNA-binding transcription factor in *Mtb*.

Discussion

Fe-S cluster production is tightly regulated to promote Fe-S formation when the necessity for the clusters is heightened (*e.g.,* ROI/RNI/iron limitation) and to limit unnecessary production when the demand is low (*e.g.,* hypoxia) (54). Deregulation of Fe-S cluster biogenesis can lead to toxic accumulation of iron and polysulfides inside cells (54). Therefore, calibrated expression of Fe-S cluster biogenesis is important. Here we show that *Mtb* SufR a major regulator of Fe-S cluster biogenesis in *Mtb* under NO stress. We provided biochemical evidence that *Mtb* SufR contains a redox-responsive 4Fe-4S cluster that reacts with NO to form a DNIC complex. We also establish a link between NO, Fe-S biogenesis, respiration, and SufR. Our findings provide a new mechanistic insight into how *Mtb* exploits Fe-S cluster regulation and biogenesis processes under NO stress to favor the pathogen's persistence.

Our RNA-seq data revealed a major realignment of expression of genes encoding Fe-S cluster proteins and Fe-S cluster biogenesis (*suf* operon) in NO-treated *Mtb*. Reduced expression of Fe-S cluster factors associated with energy generation (*e.g.,* TCA cycle and primary respiration) indicates that metabolic constraints imposed by NO represent the proximal cause of growth arrest in *Mtb*. Moreover, while the majority Fe-S cluster proteins are degraded in NO-stressed *Mtb* (13), Suf proteins remained induced, suggesting that the *suf* operon is likely to facilitate regeneration of Fe-S clusters during recovery from NO stress. This is reinforced by our data showing an excellent recovery of Acn activity upon removal of NO stress in

case of wt *Mtb* but not in *MtbΔsufR* cells. Likewise, recovery from NO-mediated growth arrest was significantly delayed in *MtbΔsufR*. Given the strong reactivity of NO to metabolic and respiratory enzymes coordinating Fe-S clusters and heme-Fe (55), we observed major changes in bacterial OXPHOS, ECAR, and E_{MSH}, suggesting that NO-mediated cytostasis is coupled to changes in redox balance and bioenergetics in *Mtb*. Our seahorse XF flux data provide new insights into the mechanisms of NO-mediated respiratory arrest in *Mtb*.

We discovered that under low electron (e^-) flux and high O_2 conditions (basal respiration), NO stimulated OCR possibly by collapsing proton motive force (PMF). This is in agreement with the role of NO in depolarizing the cytoplasmic membrane to arrest respiration and growth (56,57). Upon artificially increasing the e^- flux through the respiratory chain (CCCP treatment), which also depletes O_2 (58), NO efficiently inhibited O_2 consumption and depleted spare respiratory capacity of *Mtb*. In line with this, the transcriptome of *Mtb* is remarkably responsive to NO under O_2 limitation (2). We also found that spare respiratory capacity of *MtbΔsufR* is more severely inhibited by NO as compared to wt *Mtb*. Since spare respiratory capacity depends mainly on the recruitment of previously inactive respiratory complexes (59), the sustained activation of the SUF system can provide a reserve of Fe-S cluster-containing respiratory complexes to maintain electron transfer in response to NO. Induction of the *suf* operon in response to conditions that damage Fe-S clusters (*e.g.,* H_2O_2, NO, iron-starvation,

antibiotics, phagosomal pH, and sputum) (40,51,52,60-64) indicates that *Mtb*

relies on Suf-dependent Fe-S cluster coordination to maintain persistence.

Our biochemical data support the role of SufR in sensing and

activating Fe-S cluster biogenesis under unfavorable conditions. For example,

H_2O_2 and NO rapidly targeted the 4Fe-4S cluster of SufR, whereas O_2 was

extremely sluggish. In agreement with this, Acn activity was largely unaffected

in *MtbΔsufR* under aerobic conditions but was significantly perturbed following

exposure to NO. Interestingly, a stand-alone *Mtb* cysteine desulfurase (IscS)

likely coordinates Fe-S clusters of Acn and succinate dehydrogenase under

aerobic conditions (65). Data suggest that *Mtb* prefers IscS for housekeeping

functions but the Suf system for managing stress. Similar roles were assigned

for IscS and Suf systems in *E. coli* (54). From the regulation perspective, SufR

appears to coordinate the expression of the *suf* operon in a negative feedback

loop. Holo-SufR serves as an indicator of Fe-S cluster sufficiency and

represses the *suf* operon, whereas apo- or DNIC forms of SufR indicate Fe-S

deficiency, resulting in derepression of the *suf* operon. Once the Fe-S cluster

demands are satisfied, the *suf* system reconstitutes the Fe-S cluster of SufR

to maintain homeostasis. We propose that this carefully choreographed

mechanism allows *Mtb* to calibrate Fe-S cluster supply with demand in

response to environmental signals encountered during infection, as shown

schematically in figure 10.

The marginal survival defect of *MtbΔsufR* inside resting

macrophages and during early stages of infection *in vivo* indicates a lower

requirement of *suf*-dependent Fe-S biogenesis or possible compensation by

IscS. Consistent with this, *MtbΔsufR* showed attenuated phenotype inside the

nitrosatively hostile environment of activated macrophages and chronically

infected lung tissues. Both of these microenvironments are likely to increase

the demand for Fe-S cluster biogenesis. The capacity of SufR to sense and

respond to a range of cues, such as NO, H_2O_2, and iron limitation, possibly

empowers *Mtb* to transduce different redox signals into transcriptional

responses crucial for persistence *in vivo*. The overexpression of the DosR

regulon in *MtbΔsufR* relative to wt *Mtb* specifically under NO stress indicates

that SufR-mediated gene regulation extends beyond derepression of the *suf*

operon. Interestingly, the *suf* operon was overexpressed in *MtbΔdosR* under

hypoxia (15), signifying a regulatory loop between SufR and DosR in *Mtb*.

This is supported by our *in vivo* data wherein the persistence defect displayed

by *MtbΔsufR* was partially restored in *sufR*-Comp even though the expression

of the *suf* operon was not reinstated. Interestingly, the expression of the DosR

regulon recovered to wt levels in *sufR*-Comp, suggesting that further work is

required to explore the breadth of SufR-mediated gene regulation. Based on

this cumulative evidence, we propose that *Mtb* SufR senses NO through its Fe-S cluster

to coordinate Fe-S cluster biogenesis and regulate metabolism, respiration, and redox

balance for long-term persistence.

Materials and Methods:

Culture Conditions. The *Mtb* H37Rv, *MtbΔsufR,* and *sufR*-comp were grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), USA) medium supplemented with 0.2% glycerol, 0.5% BSA, 0.2% dextrose, and 0.085% NaCl (ADS) with 0.05% Tween 80 as described previously (40). For culturing on solid medium, *Mtb* strains were cultured on 7H10/7H11 agar medium (Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), USA) supplemented with 1x OADC (Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), USA) and 0.2% glycerol. *E. coli* cultures were grown in LB medium (HIMEDIA, India). Whenever required, antibiotics were added to the culture medium (for *E. coli*, 100 µg/ml kanamycin (Amresco, USA) and 150 µg/ml hygromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, India); for *Mtb* strains, 50 µg/ml hygromycin).

RNA Sequencing experiments:

The *Mtb* strains were grown to an $O.D._{600}$ of 0.4 and exposed to 0.5 mM diethylenetriamine-nitric oxide (DETA/NO [Sigma-Aldrich, India]) for 4 h at 37°C. The experiment was carried out with three independent biological replicates. Total RNA extraction was conducted using the FastRNA® Pro Blue Kit (MP Biomedicals, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction and further purified using RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen, USA) as described (40). Following purification, the RNA was quantified and assessed for purity by a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). RNA samples with an RIN (RNA Integrity Number) value >8 were processed further for sequencing. Ribosomal RNA (16s and 23s rRNA) was removed by

hybridization with magnetic beads-coupled oligonucleotide (MicrobExpress

Kit, Life Technologies, USA) and concentration of enriched mRNA was

quantified by QuBit RNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies, USA). RNA-seq

was performed as described (17). In brief, libraries were prepared using

NEBNextUltra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina' (New England

Biolabs, USA), according to manufacturer's instructions. The library size

distribution and quality were assessed using a high sensitivity DNA Chip

(Agilent Technologies, USA) and sequenced in HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina,

USA) sequencer using 1X50 bp single-end reads with 1% PhiX spike-in

control.

Differential gene expression and statistical analysis for RNA-Seq:

Raw reads were obtained for *Mtb* H37Rv strain as fastq files. The

reference genome sequence (.fna) and annotation (.gff) files for the same

strain (accession number: NC_000962.3) were downloaded from the ncbi ftp

website ("ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov"). The annotation file was customized with the

addition of annotations for non-coding RNAs (66). The format of the

annotation file (.gff) was changed to .bed using an in-house python script. The

raw read quality was checked using the FastQC software (version v0.11.5;

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). BWA (version

0.7.12-r1039)(67) was used to index the reference genome. Reads with raw

read quality >= 20 were aligned using BWA aln -q option. SAMTOOLS

(version 0.1.19-96b5f2294a) (68) was used to filter out the multiply mapped

reads. BEDTOOLS (version 2.25.0)(69) was used to calculate the reads count

per gene using the annotation file (.bed). The normalization and differential

gene expression analysis for the conditions were carried out using edgeR as

mentioned previously (70). Genes with at least 10 reads were selected for

each comparative analysis. DGE analysis was done in RStudio (1.1.447) with

R version 3.4.4. (*http://www.rstudio.com/*)

Aconitase assay:

627   The activity of aconitase (Acn) was measured by monitoring the

disappearance of cis-aconitate at 240nm in a UV spectrophotometer (Thermo

Scientific Biomat 3S, USA) as described (71). One unit (U) of aconitase

activity is defined as 1µmol cis-aconitate formed or converted per minute.

Reaction mixtures (1ml) for Acn contained 25mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM

NaCl, and 50 µg *Mtb* cell lysates. Reactions were initiated by adding 0.15 mM

cis-aconitate and monitored by following the disappearance of cis-aconitate at

240 nm after every 15 sec for total 30 min. Absorbance at 240 was plotted

against time. Acn activity was calculated from linear portion of the curve in

initial 5 min when reaction follows 0th order of reaction. An extinction

coefficient of 3,500 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$ was used to calculate the rates.

Western blot:

640   Whole cells lysate (50 µg) was separated on 12% SDS-PAGE

and then transferred onto a PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare, Piscataway,

NJ, USA). Membrane were blocked in 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk and incubated

for 3 h at room temperature with primary antibody (Acn and Cbs 1:10000

dilution). After washing with 1XTBST, membranes were incubated in goat

anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:10000 dilution) for 1 h.

The autoradiography signals were visualized using ECL advance Western

blotting detection kit (BioRad, USA).

OCR and ECAR measurements:

650   The *Mtb* strains adhered to the bottom of a XF cell culture

microplate (Agilent technologies, USA), at $2X10^6$ bacilli per well by using Cell-

Tak (a cell adhesive). OCR and ECAR were measured using Agilent XF

Extracellular Flux Analyser. Assays were carried out in unbuffered 7H9 media

(pH 7.35) with glucose 2mg/ml as carbon source. Basal OCR and ECAR were

measured for initial 21 min before the automatic addition of freshly prepared

DETA-NO (0 mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM and 1 mM) in 7H9 unbuffered media,

through port A of cartridge plate. Three measurements were taken after 1 h of

incubation. CCCP (Sigma-Aldrich, India) was added at 10 µM concentration to

achieve maximum rate of respiration. Raw data of OCR and ECAR was CFU

normalised for $2X10^6$ CFU/ well. Spare respiratory capacity, was calculated

from % OCR value, by subtracting third basal reading (normalized as 100%)

from first point after CCCP addition.

CellRox Deep Staining and Flow Cytometry:

665   Logarithmically grown *Mtb* strains were treated with DETA-NO (0

mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM and 1 mM) and then incubated at 37 °C with shaking

for 2 h. 200 µl cells were treated with CellROX® reagent (Thermo Fisher,

USA) at a final concentration of 5 µM for 30 minutes at 37°C and analysed on

BD FACSVerse flow cytometer with 640/665 nm excitation and emission

respectively. We collected 5000-10,000 events for each sample wherever

possible.

Animal experiments:

For the chronic model of infection, 5- to 6-week-old female

BALB/c, C57BL/6 and iNOS$^{-/-}$ mice (n = 6 per group) were infected by aerosol

with approximately 100 bacilli per mouse with the *Mtb* strains using a Madison

chamber aerosol generation. At indicated times post infection, mice were

euthanized, and the lungs were harvested for bacillary load, tissue

histopathology analysis, and pathological scoring as described (40). The

remaining tissue samples from each mouse were homogenized and bacillary

load was quantified by plating serial dilutions of tissue homogenates onto

Middlebrook 7H11-OADC agar plates supplemented with lyophilized BBL

MGIT PANTA antibiotic mixture ((polymyxin B, amphotericin B, nalidixic acid,

trimethoprim, and azlocillin, as supplied by BD;USA). Colonies were observed

and counted after 4 weeks of incubation at 37°C.

Construction of *Mtb* SufT Knock Down strain:

For construction of *Mtb* SufT Knock Down strain **(**SufT KD), we

have followed CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) technology was utilized as

described (72). Anhydrotetracycline (ATC, Cayman, USA) 200 ng/ml was

added for the induction of *sufT* specific–guide RNA (sgRNA) and dCas9 every

48 h. SufT KD culture was divided equally when A_{600} reached 0.1–0.2 and

cultured in the presence or absence of ATC. The dCas9 was expressed by

pRH2502 from a TetR-regulated *uvtetO* promoter and sgRNA in pRH2521

under the control of a TetR-regulated *smyc* promoter (Pmyc1tetO). To deplete

sufT, gene specific sgRNAs were designed for two regions between 98-127

bp and 171-191 bp of *sufT* and cloned in pRH2521. Depletion of *sufT* was

verified by qRT-PCR and based on the significant repression of *sufT,* we

chose sgRNA targeting *sufT* region between 98-127 bp for further study. For

qRT-PCR analysis, total RNA was extracted from SufT KD and control strains

after 24 h of ATC treatment. After DNase treatment, total 600 ng of RNA was

used for cDNA synthesis by using Random hexamer oligonucleotide primer

(iScript Select cDNA Synthesis Kit, BioRad, USA). Gene specific primers

(Supplementary file 3) and iQ SYBER Green Supermix (BioRad, USA) were

used for RT-PCR (StepOne Plus, Thermo, USA). Gene expression was

normalized to *Mtb* 16S rRNA expression level.

NO exposure and recovery:

Logarithmically grown *Mtb* strains (OD_{600} of 0.8) were diluted to an

OD_{600} of 0.1 and exposed to six doses of 100 µM DETA-NO, once every 6 h.

Recovery from exposure to DETA-NO was monitored by recording the

OD_{600} after each addition of DETA-NO, as well as every 24 h after addition.

Two biological replicates were used for each strain. For single dose

experiments, different doses of DETA-NO (0.5 mM, 1.25 mM, 2.5 mM and

1mM) were added. After 4 and 24 h cells were harvested, washed with 1X

PBS and plated on ADS-7H11 plates. Colonies were counted after 3–4 weeks

of incubation at 37°C.

Cell line experiments:

RAW 264.7 murine macrophage cell line was activated by treatment with IFN-Y (100U/mL, Invitrogen, USA) for 12 h before infection and LPS (100 ng/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, India) for 2 h before infection. Activated RAW 264.7 macrophages were infected with wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR*, and *sufR-Comp* strains at multiplicity of infection (MOI) 2 for 4 h, followed by washing thoroughly to remove extracellular bacteria with warm DMEM medium and suspended in the same containing 10 % FBS. For CFU determination macrophages were lysed using 0.06 % SDS-7H9 medium diluted in PBS/Tween and plated on OADC-7H11 at indicated time points. Colonies were counted after 3–4 weeks of incubation at 37°C.

Purification of SufR under anaerobic conditions:

The entire ORF of *Mtb sufR* (Rv1460) was PCR-amplified using gene-specific oligonucleotides (pET28a*sufR*F and pET28a*sufR*R; Supplementary file 3), digested with *Nde*I-*Hind*III, and ligated into similarly digested His-tag-based expression vector, pET28a (TAKARA BIO, Clontech Laboratories, CA, USA) to generate pET28a:SufR. A N-terminal histidine-tagged SufR was overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21 λDE3 by 0.6 mM IPTG [Isopropyl β- d-1-thiogalactopyranoside; MP Biomedicals, USA (60 min, 30 °C)]. To facilitate Fe-S cluster formation, cultures were incubated on ice for 18 min prior to induction and were supplemented with 300 µM Ferric ammonium citrate and 75 µM L- Methionine (Amresco, USA) and purified as described (47).

Purification was performed under strict anaerobic conditions inside an

anaerobic glove box (PLas-Labs, Lansing, MI, USA) maintaining ≈2.0 ppm O_2

by volume, and buffers and solutions were appropriately deoxygenated. The

sufR gene on pET28a-SufR was mutated using oligonucleotide-based site-

directed mutagenesis approach to create individual cysteine to alanine

substitutions. After the PCR, *Dpn*I was added into the reaction mixture to

digest the wild- type plasmid that was used as the template. The reaction

mixture containing the mutated *sufR* gene was used to transform *E. coli* BL21

λDE3. Sequences of primers used to create mutations are shown in (Data set

1F). Resulting clones were verified by sequencing, and the mutant Cys

variants of the wt SufR were purified as described earlier. In order to generate

apo-SufR, the holo-SufR was incubated with EDTA

(Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, Sigma-Aldrich, India) and potassium

ferricyanide in a molar ratio of protein: EDTA: ferricyanide in 1:50:20 at 25°C

and incubated for 20-30 min till the extensive loss of color. The solution was

passed through PD10 desalting column and stored at -80 °C.

UV-Vis, CD analysis, and gel filtration of SufR:

The UV-visible absorption spectroscopy was carried out in a

Thermo scientific spectrophotometer (Thermo scientific, USA) at 25°C.

Absorption spectra of SufR WT (native/holo) and mutants were recorded

immediately as the elution fractions were collected during the purification. In

order to study the effect of air oxidation, on Fe-S cluster stability, freshly

purified holo-SufR was transferred to an anaerobic quartz cuvette, exposed to

air by opening the cap and mixing by pipetting for 2 min. The cuvette was then

sealed and monitored by UV-visible spectroscopy over time (Thermo

scientific, USA). To study the effect of NO, DTH and H_2O_2 on [4Fe-4S] cluster

of SufR, the absorption spectra of freshly purified protein were recorded at

indicated concentration and different time intervals in anaerobic quartz

cuvette.

CD measurements were conducted in a Jasco J-715

spectropolarimeter (Jasco, USA). Far-UV spectra were measured from 190 to

250 nm range and near-UV spectra from 300 nm to 650 nm range. Protein

concentration used for the far-UV CD measurements was 10-20 µM and for

the near-UV measurements 140-150 µM. Cells of 1.0 cm path length were

used for the measurements of the far- and near-UV spectra, respectively.

Three repeat scans were obtained for each sample. The averaged baseline

spectrum was subtracted from the averaged sample spectrum. The protein

was dissolved in 5 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4and 150 mM NaCl. Results

are expressed as molar ellipticity [θ] (deg cm2 dmol-1), calculated from the

following formula $[θ]λ=θ/[c]*l*10*n$, where, θ is the measured ellipticity in

degrees at wavelength λ, c is the protein concentration in mgml-1, l is the light

path length in centimeters and n is the number of amino acids. CD Pro

software was used to analyze the data.

To determine the molecular mass of apo- and holo-SufR protein,

analytical size-exclusion chromatography experiments were performed with

Superdex 200 increase, 10/300 GL analytical column (GE Healthcare Life

Sciences, USA). The column was pre-equilibrated and eluted with the running

buffer (10 mM phosphate buffer, 10% glycerol and 100 mM NaCl at pH 7.4) at

a constant flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Molecular mass of the proteins was

determined by using gel filtration molecular mass standard (Carbonic

Anhydrase, Albumin, Alcohol Dehydrogenase, β-Amylase, Apoferritin and

Thyroglobulin). Ve/Vo was plotted as a function of log10 Mr of the standard

protein where Ve is the elution volume of the protein, V0 is the void volume of

the column and Mr molecular weight of the particular protein. Blue dextran

was used to determine the void volume (Vo). Running buffers were purged

with nitrogen gas and were degassed thoroughly to remove any dissolved

atmospheric oxygen. The experiments were conducted under anaerobic

conditions.

EPR spectroscopy of SufR:

For EPR spectroscopy, Holo SufR was treated inside the

anaerobic glove box with aliquots of freshly prepared proline NONOate

(Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Aliquots of SufR were placed in an

anaerobic cuvette and titrated by injection with aliquots of a 2.5 mM stock

solution of proline NONOate (Cayman chemicals, USA). Samples were then

transferred to EPR tubes and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. EPR

characteristics of NO-treated SufR were analyzed by subjecting the samples

to continuous-wave spectrometers at liquid nitrogen temperature as described

previously (18). NO-treated SufR was analyzed by continuous-wave EPR on a

perpendicular mode X-band EPR spectrometer operating at 100-kHz

modulation frequency and equipped with liquid nitrogen cryostat and a dual

mode X-band cavity (JES200 ESR spectrometer, JEOL, USA). Field

calibration was done by using a standard NMR G meter. The background

signal from the buffer was subtracted from the spectra.

Chemical analysis of Iron and Sulfide:

The total iron content and acid-labile sulfide content of holo-SufR, was

measured using a previously described procedure (73). For iron estimation,

freshly purified holo-SufR (0.1 mL) was heated at 95°C degree for 30 min

after treatment with 22% HNO_3 (0.1 mL). Samples were cool to ambient

temperature followed by addition of 0.6 mL ammonium acetate (7.5 % w/v),

0.1 mL freshly prepared ascorbic acid (12.5% w/v) and 0.1mL ferene (10

mM). The concentration of iron present in the protein was determined by

measuring the absorbance of the product, iron- ferene complex at 593 nm,

which was compared with a standard curve prepared from dilutions of freshly

prepared Fe (III) solution in the range of 0-200 µM. To measure acid-labile

sulphide content, freshly prepared $Na_2S.9H_2O$ solution was used to prepared

standard solution in the range of 52-260 µM of S^{2-}. Protein sample / standard

(200 µL) was mixed with 0.6 mL of zinc acetate (1% w/v) followed by addition

of 50 µL of NaOH (12 %w/v). After incubation of 15 min, 0.15 mL of N, N-

dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (0.1 % w/v dissolved in 5 M

HCl) and 0.15 mL of freshly prepared 10 mM $FeCl_3$ (dissolved in 1M HCl) was

added. The reaction mixture was further incubated for 30 min at room

temperature and the absorbance of the product methylene blue was

measured at 670 nm. For iron and sulfide estimation three independent

preparation of holo-SufR were analyzed to ensure the reproducibility and

three dilution of each sample were considered.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA):

844   For EMSA promoter fragment of *sufR* (170 bp upstream of ATG)

and *blaC* (200 bp upstream of ATG) were PCR amplified from the *Mtb*

genome. The 5' end was labeled by [Y-32$_P$]-ATP using T4 polynucleotide

kinase (MBI Fermentas, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The

labeled oligonucleotides were passed through a 1 mL Tris-EDTA, pH 7.5

equilibrated Sephadex G-50 column and elute was vacuum dried. Blunt ended

duplex DNA was prepared by annealing radiolabelled and complementary

cold oligonucleotides in a 1: 2 molar ratio in 50 μL reaction system containing

TE and 1X saline-sodium citrate buffer (3 M sodium chloride and 0.3 M

sodium citrate). The reaction mixture was heated to 95 °C for 5 min and then

cooled in a thermal cycler. Annealed mixtures were resolved by 10% native

PAGE in 1x Tris-borate EDTA (TBE). Assembled DNA substrates were

visualized on an X-ray film and substrates were subsequently purified by

excising the respective desired bands. DNA substrates were eluted by

incubating corresponding gel pieces in TE at 4°C for 6 h. Binding reactions

were performed in Binding buffer (25mM Tris-HCl, 1mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml BSA,

5 mM MgCl$_2$; pH 7.4) for 30 min at 4°C and 6% polyacrylamide gel was used

to resolve protein-DNA complexes in an anaerobic chamber by

electrophoresis at constant voltage (50 V). 0.5 nM ^{32}P-labeled DNA substrates

were incubated with indicated concentrations of various forms of SufR (apo-,

holo-, H$_2$O$_2$-treated, and NO-treated). For competition with unlabeled DNA,

fragments of *sufR* and *blaC* (~200–250 bp upstream of translational start

codon) were PCR amplified from the *Mtb* genome and used in various

amounts to outcompete binding of holo-SufR to ^{32}P-labelled DNA fragments.

Gels were exposed to auto radiographic film and visualized by a phosphor

imager (Typhoon FLA-9000, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, USA). All the

above reaction and separation were performed under anaerobic condition

inside glove box (Plas-Labs, Lansing, MI, USA).

***In vitro* transcription assays:**

874   The DNA templates (180 bp) including the *sufR* promoter regions

were PCR amplified using primers P*sufR* F1/P*sufR* R1 (Supplementary file 3).

The amplicons (50 nM) were pre-incubated with different concentration of

holo- and apo-SufR in the transcription buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, (pH 8.0), 10

mM magnesium acetate, 100 mM EDTA, 100 mM DTT, 50 mM KCl, 50 mg/ml

BSA, and 5% glycerol) for 30 min at room temperature. Single-round

transcription reactions were initiated with the addition of 100 nM *Mtb* RNAP-

σA holo enzyme, 100 μM NTPs, 1 μCi [α-32P]-UTP, 50 μg ml−1 heparin and

incubated at 37°C for 20 min. The reactions were terminated by addition of 2X

formamide dye (95% formamide, 0.025% (w/v) bromophenol blue, 0.025%

(w/v) xylene cyanol, 5 mM EDTA and 0.025% SDS and 8 M urea) and heated

at 95℃ for 5 min followed by snap chilling in ice for 2 min. The transcripts

were resolved on an 8% TBE-urea-PAGE gel. To study the effect of NO,

transcription assays were performed as mention above after treating the holo-

SufR with proline NONOate for 5 min followed by purification. All the

treatments and the reaction were performed inside anaerobic glove box under

anoxic condition.

DNase I Footprinting:

893   Five nanomolar of ^{32}P-labeled DNA substrate was incubated with

increasing concentration of purified holo-SufR in binding buffer (25 mM Tris-

HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM DTT, 100 µg/ml BSA, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM CaCl2), and

samples were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C inside glove box under anaerobic

condition. Reactions were initiated by the addition of DNase I to a final

concentration of 0.05 units and incubated for 2 min at room temperature. The

reactions were terminated by the addition of 150 µL of TE (pH 7.5) followed by

incubation at 75°C for 15 mins to deactivate DNase I enzyme. The sample

was further subjected to vacuum evaporation and the pellet thus obtained was

re-suspended in loading dye (80% (v/v) formamide, 0.1% (v/v) BPB, and 0.1%

(v/v) xylene cyanol) and analyzed on a denaturing 15% polyacrylamide gel

containing 7M urea. The gel was dried, and the bands were visualized with a

Typhoon FLA-9000 phosphor imager (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, USA).

Maxam and Gilbert A + G ladder was prepared as described previously (74).

A custom python script was developed to search for the SufR binding site and

find its relative positions with respect to genes across the genome. A

sequence of 15 nt length was searched in the region 500 bp upstream of the

start codon and considered as a potential SufR binding site if it shares 80%

identity with 'ACACT' or 'TGTGA' at the ends.

Ethics:

Animal experimentation: This study was carried out in strict accordance with the guidelines provided by the Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision on Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), Government of India. The protocol of animal experiment was approved by animal ethical Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments, Indian Institute of science (IISc), Bangalore, India (Approval number: CAF/Ethics/544/2017). All efforts were made to minimize the suffering.

Statistical Analysis:

All data were graphed and analyzed with Prism v8.0 (GraphPad) unless otherwise stated. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's t-tests (two-tailed). Where comparison of multiple groups was made either one-way or two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparisons was performed. Differences with a p value of < 0.05 were considered significant. Statistical significance for RNA seq was calculated using the QL F-test followed by Benjamini-hochberg method of multiple testing correction.

Miscellaneous:

The molar extinction coefficient Per $[4Fe-4S]^{2+}$ cluster ($\varepsilon413$ $=16200$ M^{-1} cm^{-1}) was determined from *in vitro* reconstituted protein. Protein concentration of SufR throughout the study was calculated using A_{280} reading. Anti-SufR polyclonal antibody was used to monitor the expression and purification of wt SufR and mutant proteins by Western blot. Samples (5 mg of

protein per slot) were resolved by 12% reducing SDS-PAGE and transferred

to nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were probed with primary and secondary

(horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti- rabbit IgG) antibodies and

processed.

Data availability:

The RNA sequencing data presented in the manuscript are

deposited into the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE154169 with the

accession number GSE154169 and reviewer token kbcdmqecrlotzgp.

Author Disclosure Statement

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis,

decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We confirm that no

competing financial interests exist.

Acknowledgements:

We are thankful to Next Generation Genomics Facility (NGGF) at

National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore, for conducting the RNA

sequencing. We thank BSL3 facilities at CIDR, IISc Bangalore. We thank A.

Varalakshmi (Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility, IIT Chennai, India)

for excellent technical help In EPR experiments. The *Mtb* work was supported

by the following Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance Grants, IA/S/16/2/502700

(AS), IA/E/16/1/503017 (KA), and in part by Department of Biotechnology

(DBT) Grant BT/PR11911/BRB/10/1327/2014,

BT/PR5020/MED/29/1454/2012 (AS) and DBT-IISc Partnership Program (22-

0905-0006-05-987-436). AS and KA are senior- and early- career fellows of

Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance.

Author contributions:

KA, AT, and AS participated in the design of the study. KA, AT, KS,

NM, AJ, RKJ, RSR, and, SNC, carried out the experiments. AR, VN, BG, GN,

and ASN contributed to reagents and analyzed the data. KA and AS

conceived the study, supervised the project, analyzed the data and drafted

the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement:

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

1. Mishra, B. B., Rathinam, V. A., Martens, G. W., Martinot, A. J.,
Kornfeld, H., Fitzgerald, K. A., and Sassetti, C. M. (2013) Nitric oxide
controls the immunopathology of tuberculosis by inhibiting NLRP3
inflammasome-dependent processing of IL-1beta. *Nat Immunol* **14**, 52-
60
2. Voskuil, M. I., Schnappinger, D., Visconti, K. C., Harrell, M. I.,
Dolganov, G. M., Sherman, D. R., and Schoolnik, G. K. (2003)
Inhibition of respiration by nitric oxide induces a Mycobacterium
tuberculosis dormancy program. *J Exp Med* **198**, 705-713
3. Nathan, C., and Shiloh, M. U. (2000) Reactive oxygen and nitrogen
intermediates in the relationship between mammalian hosts and
microbial pathogens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 8841-8848

4. MacMicking, J., Xie, Q. W., and Nathan, C. (1997) Nitric oxide and
macrophage function. *Annual review of immunology* **15**, 323-350
5. MacMicking, J. D., North, R. J., LaCourse, R., Mudgett, J. S., Shah, S.
K., and Nathan, C. F. (1997) Identification of nitric oxide synthase as a
protective locus against tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**,
5243-5248
6. Nicholson, S., Bonecini-Almeida Mda, G., Lapa e Silva, J. R., Nathan,
C., Xie, Q. W., Mumford, R., Weidner, J. R., Calaycay, J., Geng, J.,
Boechat, N., Linhares, C., Rom, W., and Ho, J. L. (1996) Inducible
nitric oxide synthase in pulmonary alveolar macrophages from patients
with tuberculosis. *J Exp Med* **183**, 2293-2302
7. Mattila, J. T., Ojo, O. O., Kepka-Lenhart, D., Marino, S., Kim, J. H.,
Eum, S. Y., Via, L. E., Barry, C. E., 3rd, Klein, E., Kirschner, D. E.,
Morris, S. M., Jr., Lin, P. L., and Flynn, J. L. (2013) Microenvironments
in tuberculous granulomas are delineated by distinct populations of
macrophage subsets and expression of nitric oxide synthase and
arginase isoforms. *J Immunol* **191**, 773-784
8. Wang, C. H., Liu, C. Y., Lin, H. C., Yu, C. T., Chung, K. F., and Kuo, H.
P. (1998) Increased exhaled nitric oxide in active pulmonary
tuberculosis due to inducible NO synthase upregulation in alveolar
macrophages. *Eur Respir J* **11**, 809-815
9. Schon, T., Elias, D., Moges, F., Melese, E., Tessema, T., Stendahl, O.,
Britton, S., and Sundqvist, T. (2003) Arginine as an adjuvant to
chemotherapy improves clinical outcome in active tuberculosis. *Eur
Respir J* **21**, 483-488
10. Schon, T., Idh, J., Westman, A., Elias, D., Abate, E., Diro, E., Moges,
F., Kassu, A., Ayele, B., Forslund, T., Getachew, A., Britton, S.,
Stendahl, O., and Sundqvist, T. (2011) Effects of a food supplement
rich in arginine in patients with smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis--
a randomised trial. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* **91**, 370-377
11. Kumar, A., Toledo, J. C., Patel, R. P., Lancaster, J. R., Jr., and Steyn,
A. J. (2007) Mycobacterium tuberculosis DosS is a redox sensor and
DosT is a hypoxia sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 11568-11573
12. Sardiwal, S., Kendall, S. L., Movahedzadeh, F., Rison, S. C., Stoker, N.
G., and Djordjevic, S. (2005) A GAF domain in the hypoxia/NO-
inducible Mycobacterium tuberculosis DosS protein binds haem. *J Mol
Biol* **353**, 929-936
13. Cortes, T., Schubert, O. T., Banaei-Esfahani, A., Collins, B. C.,
Aebersold, R., and Young, D. B. (2017) Delayed effects of
transcriptional responses in Mycobacterium tuberculosis exposed to
nitric oxide suggest other mechanisms involved in survival. *Sci Rep* **7**,
8208
14. Shiloh, M. U., Manzanillo, P., and Cox, J. S. (2008) Mycobacterium
tuberculosis senses host-derived carbon monoxide during macrophage
infection. *Cell Host Microbe* **3**, 323-330
15. Park, H. D., Guinn, K. M., Harrell, M. I., Liao, R., Voskuil, M. I., Tompa,
M., Schoolnik, G. K., and Sherman, D. R. (2003) Rv3133c/dosR is a
transcription factor that mediates the hypoxic response of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Mol Microbiol* **48**, 833-843

16. Chinta, K. C., Saini, V., Glasgow, J. N., Mazorodze, J. H., Rahman, M.
A., Reddy, D., Lancaster, J. R., Jr., and Steyn, A. J. (2016) The
emerging role of gasotransmitters in the pathogenesis of tuberculosis.
Nitric Oxide **59**, 28-41
17. Huet, G., Daffe, M., and Saves, I. (2005) Identification of the
Mycobacterium tuberculosis SUF machinery as the exclusive
mycobacterial system of [Fe-S] cluster assembly: evidence for its
implication in the pathogen's survival. *J Bacteriol* **187**, 6137-6146
18. Singh, A., Guidry, L., Narasimhulu, K. V., Mai, D., Trombley, J.,
Redding, K. E., Giles, G. I., Lancaster, J. R., Jr., and Steyn, A. J.
(2007) Mycobacterium tuberculosis WhiB3 responds to O2 and nitric
oxide via its [4Fe-4S] cluster and is essential for nutrient starvation
survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 11562-11567
19. Mehta, M., and Singh, A. (2019) Mycobacterium tuberculosis WhiB3
maintains redox homeostasis and survival in response to reactive
oxygen and nitrogen species. *Free Radic Biol Med* **131**, 50-58
20. Kudhair, B. K., Hounslow, A. M., Rolfe, M. D., Crack, J. C., Hunt, D. M.,
Buxton, R. S., Smith, L. J., Le Brun, N. E., Williamson, M. P., and
Green, J. (2017) Structure of a Wbl protein and implications for NO
sensing by M. tuberculosis. *Nature communications* **8**, 2280
21. Hickok, J. R., Sahni, S., Shen, H., Arvind, A., Antoniou, C., Fung, L.
W., and Thomas, D. D. (2011) Dinitrosyliron complexes are the most
abundant nitric oxide-derived cellular adduct: biological parameters of
assembly and disappearance. *Free Radic Biol Med* **51**, 1558-1566
22. Fang, F. C. (2004) Antimicrobial reactive oxygen and nitrogen species:
concepts and controversies. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2**, 820-832
23. Crack, J. C., Green, J., Thomson, A. J., and Le Brun, N. E. (2014) Iron-
sulfur clusters as biological sensors: the chemistry of reactions with
molecular oxygen and nitric oxide. *Acc Chem Res* **47**, 3196-3205
24. Cook, G. M., Hards, K., Vilcheze, C., Hartman, T., and Berney, M.
(2014) Energetics of Respiration and Oxidative Phosphorylation in
Mycobacteria. *Microbiol Spectr* **2**
25. Baughn, A. D., Garforth, S. J., Vilcheze, C., and Jacobs, W. R., Jr.
(2009) An anaerobic-type alpha-ketoglutarate ferredoxin
oxidoreductase completes the oxidative tricarboxylic acid cycle of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *PLoS pathogens* **5**, e1000662
26. Palde, P. B., Bhaskar, A., Pedro Rosa, L. E., Madoux, F., Chase, P.,
Gupta, V., Spicer, T., Scampavia, L., Singh, A., and Carroll, K. S.
(2016) First-in-Class Inhibitors of Sulfur Metabolism with Bactericidal
Activity against Non-Replicating M. tuberculosis. *ACS chemical biology*
11, 172-184
27. Boshoff, H. I., Xu, X., Tahlan, K., Dowd, C. S., Pethe, K., Camacho, L.
R., Park, T. H., Yun, C. S., Schnappinger, D., Ehrt, S., Williams, K. J.,
and Barry, C. E., 3rd. (2008) Biosynthesis and recycling of
nicotinamide cofactors in mycobacterium tuberculosis. An essential
role for NAD in nonreplicating bacilli. *The Journal of biological
chemistry* **283**, 19329-19341
28. Buchko, G. W., Hewitt, S. N., Napuli, A. J., Van Voorhis, W. C., and
Myler, P. J. (2011) Solution-state NMR structure and biophysical
characterization of zinc-substituted rubredoxin B (Rv3250c) from

1088   Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst*
1089   *Commun* **67**, 1148-1153

1090 29. Harper, A. E., Miller, R. H., and Block, K. P. (1984) Branched-chain
1091   amino acid metabolism. *Annu Rev Nutr* **4**, 409-454

1092 30. Munoz-Elias, E. J., and McKinney, J. D. (2006) Carbon metabolism of
1093   intracellular bacteria. *Cell Microbiol* **8**, 10-22

1094 31. Beites, T., O'Brien, K., Tiwari, D., Engelhart, C. A., Walters, S.,
1095   Andrews, J., Yang, H. J., Sutphen, M. L., Weiner, D. M., Dayao, E. K.,
1096   Zimmerman, M., Prideaux, B., Desai, P. V., Masquelin, T., Via, L. E.,
1097   Dartois, V., Boshoff, H. I., Barry, C. E., 3rd, Ehrt, S., and Schnappinger,
1098   D. (2019) Plasticity of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis respiratory chain
1099   and its impact on tuberculosis drug development. *Nature*
1100   *communications* **10**, 4970

1101 32. Brown, A. C., Eberl, M., Crick, D. C., Jomaa, H., and Parish, T. (2010)
1102   The nonmevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis in
1103   Mycobacterium tuberculosis is essential and transcriptionally regulated
1104   by Dxs. *J Bacteriol* **192**, 2424-2433

1105 33. Ren, B., Zhang, N., Yang, J., and Ding, H. (2008) Nitric oxide-induced
1106   bacteriostasis and modification of iron-sulphur proteins in Escherichia
1107   coli. *Mol Microbiol* **70**, 953-964

1108 34. Tortora, V., Quijano, C., Freeman, B., Radi, R., and Castro, L. (2007)
1109   Mitochondrial aconitase reaction with nitric oxide, S-nitrosoglutathione,
1110   and peroxynitrite: mechanisms and relative contributions to aconitase
1111   inactivation. *Free Radic Biol Med* **42**, 1075-1088

1112 35. Lill, R. (2009) Function and biogenesis of iron-sulphur proteins. *Nature*
1113   **460**, 831-838

1114 36. Zhang, J., and Zhang, Q. (2019) Using Seahorse Machine to Measure
1115   OCR and ECAR in Cancer Cells. *Methods Mol Biol* **1928**, 353-363

1116 37. Dranka, B. P., Hill, B. G., and Darley-Usmar, V. M. (2010)
1117   Mitochondrial reserve capacity in endothelial cells: The impact of nitric
1118   oxide and reactive oxygen species. *Free Radic Biol Med* **48**, 905-914

1119 38. Bhaskar, A., Chawla, M., Mehta, M., Parikh, P., Chandra, P., Bhave,
1120   D., Kumar, D., Carroll, K. S., and Singh, A. (2014) Reengineering redox
1121   sensitive GFP to measure mycothiol redox potential of Mycobacterium
1122   tuberculosis during infection. *PLoS pathogens* **10**, e1003902

1123 39. Willemse, D., Weber, B., Masino, L., Warren, R. M., Adinolfi, S.,
1124   Pastore, A., and Williams, M. J. (2018) Rv1460, a SufR homologue, is
1125   a repressor of the suf operon in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *PLoS*
1126   *One* **13**, e0200145

1127 40. Mishra, R., Kohli, S., Malhotra, N., Bandyopadhyay, P., Mehta, M.,
1128   Munshi, M., Adiga, V., Ahuja, V. K., Shandil, R. K., Rajmani, R. S.,
1129   Seshasayee, A. S. N., and Singh, A. (2019) Targeting redox
1130   heterogeneity to counteract drug tolerance in replicating
1131   Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Sci Transl Med* **11**

1132 41. Li, Y., and He, Z. G. (2012) The mycobacterial LysR-type regulator
1133   OxyS responds to oxidative stress and negatively regulates expression
1134   of the catalase-peroxidase gene. *PLoS One* **7**, e30186

1135 42. Gomez, R. L., Jose, L., Ramachandran, R., Raghunandanan, S.,
1136   Muralikrishnan, B., Johnson, J. B., Sivakumar, K. C., Mundayoor, S.,
1137   and Kumar, R. A. (2016) The multiple stress responsive transcriptional

1138		regulator Rv3334 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is an autorepressor
1139		and a positive regulator of kstR. *FEBS J* **283**, 3056-3071
1140	43.	Smith, L. J., Stapleton, M. R., Fullstone, G. J., Crack, J. C., Thomson,
1141		A. J., Le Brun, N. E., Hunt, D. M., Harvey, E., Adinolfi, S., Buxton, R.
1142		S., and Green, J. (2010) Mycobacterium tuberculosis WhiB1 is an
1143		essential DNA-binding protein with a nitric oxide-sensitive iron-sulfur
1144		cluster. *The Biochemical journal* **432**, 417-427
1145	44.	Leistikow, R. L., Morton, R. A., Bartek, I. L., Frimpong, I., Wagner, K.,
1146		and Voskuil, M. I. (2010) The Mycobacterium tuberculosis DosR
1147		regulon assists in metabolic homeostasis and enables rapid recovery
1148		from nonrespiring dormancy. *J Bacteriol* **192**, 1662-1670
1149	45.	Tamuhla, T., Joubert, L., Willemse, D., and Williams, M. J. (2020) SufT
1150		is required for growth of Mycobacterium smegmatis under iron limiting
1151		conditions. *Microbiology* **166**, 296-305
1152	46.	MacMicking, J. D., Taylor, G. A., and McKinney, J. D. (2003) Immune
1153		control of tuberculosis by IFN-gamma-inducible LRG-47. *Science* **302**,
1154		654-659
1155	47.	Crack, J. C., den Hengst, C. D., Jakimowicz, P., Subramanian, S.,
1156		Johnson, M. K., Buttner, M. J., Thomson, A. J., and Le Brun, N. E.
1157		(2009) Characterization of [4Fe-4S]-containing and cluster-free forms
1158		of Streptomyces WhiD. *Biochemistry* **48**, 12252-12264
1159	48.	Imlay, J. A. (2006) Iron-sulphur clusters and the problem with oxygen.
1160		*Mol Microbiol* **59**, 1073-1082
1161	49.	Freibert, S. A., Weiler, B. D., Bill, E., Pierik, A. J., Muhlenhoff, U., and
1162		Lill, R. (2018) Biochemical Reconstitution and Spectroscopic Analysis
1163		of Iron-Sulfur Proteins. *Methods Enzymol* **599**, 197-226
1164	50.	Cruz-Ramos, H., Crack, J., Wu, G., Hughes, M. N., Scott, C.,
1165		Thomson, A. J., Green, J., and Poole, R. K. (2002) NO sensing by
1166		FNR: regulation of the Escherichia coli NO-detoxifying
1167		flavohaemoglobin, Hmp. *EMBO J* **21**, 3235-3244
1168	51.	Voskuil, M. I., Bartek, I. L., Visconti, K., and Schoolnik, G. K. (2011)
1169		The response of mycobacterium tuberculosis to reactive oxygen and
1170		nitrogen species. *Front Microbiol* **2**, 105
1171	52.	Mishra, S., Shukla, P., Bhaskar, A., Anand, K., Baloni, P., Jha, R. K.,
1172		Mohan, A., Rajmani, R. S., Nagaraja, V., Chandra, N., and Singh, A.
1173		(2017) Efficacy of beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination is
1174		linked to WhiB4-mediated changes in redox physiology of
1175		Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Elife* **6**
1176	53.	Cortes, T., Schubert, O. T., Rose, G., Arnvig, K. B., Comas, I.,
1177		Aebersold, R., and Young, D. B. (2013) Genome-wide mapping of
1178		transcriptional start sites defines an extensive leaderless transcriptome
1179		in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Cell Rep* **5**, 1121-1131
1180	54.	Mettert, E. L., and Kiley, P. J. (2015) How Is Fe-S Cluster Formation
1181		Regulated? *Annu Rev Microbiol* **69**, 505-526
1182	55.	Cooper, C. E. (1999) Nitric oxide and iron proteins. *Biochim Biophys*
1183		*Acta* **1411**, 290-309
1184	56.	Jones-Carson, J., Yahashiri, A., Kim, J. S., Liu, L., Fitzsimmons, L. F.,
1185		Weiss, D. S., and Vazquez-Torres, A. (2020) Nitric oxide disrupts
1186		bacterial cytokinesis by poisoning purine metabolism. *Sci Adv* **6**,
1187		eaaz0260

57. Jones-Carson, J., Zweifel, A. E., Tapscott, T., Austin, C., Brown, J. M.,
Jones, K. L., Voskuil, M. I., and Vazquez-Torres, A. (2014) Nitric oxide
from IFNgamma-primed macrophages modulates the antimicrobial
activity of beta-lactams against the intracellular pathogens Burkholderia
pseudomallei and Nontyphoidal Salmonella. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **8**,
e3079
58. Jash, S., and Adhya, S. (2015) Effects of Transient Hypoxia versus
Prolonged Hypoxia on Satellite Cell Proliferation and Differentiation In
Vivo. *Stem Cells Int* **2015**, 961307
59. Desler, C., Hansen, T. L., Frederiksen, J. B., Marcker, M. L., Singh, K.
K., and Juel Rasmussen, L. (2012) Is There a Link between
Mitochondrial Reserve Respiratory Capacity and Aging? *J Aging Res*
2012, 192503
60. Tyagi, P., Dharmaraja, A. T., Bhaskar, A., Chakrapani, H., and Singh,
A. (2015) Mycobacterium tuberculosis has diminished capacity to
counteract redox stress induced by elevated levels of endogenous
superoxide. *Free Radic Biol Med* **84**, 344-354
61. Kurthkoti, K., Amin, H., Marakalala, M. J., Ghanny, S., Subbian, S.,
Sakatos, A., Livny, J., Fortune, S. M., Berney, M., and Rodriguez, G.
M. (2017) The Capacity of Mycobacterium tuberculosis To Survive Iron
Starvation Might Enable It To Persist in Iron-Deprived
Microenvironments of Human Granulomas. *mBio* **8**
62. Van den Bossche, A., Varet, H., Sury, A., Sismeiro, O., Legendre, R.,
Coppee, J. Y., Mathys, V., and Ceyssens, P. J. (2019) Transcriptional
profiling of a laboratory and clinical Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain
suggests respiratory poisoning upon exposure to delamanid.
Tuberculosis (Edinb) **117**, 18-23
63. Kumar, M., Khan, F. G., Sharma, S., Kumar, R., Faujdar, J., Sharma,
R., Chauhan, D. S., Singh, R., Magotra, S. K., and Khan, I. A. (2011)
Identification of Mycobacterium tuberculosis genes preferentially
expressed during human infection. *Microb Pathog* **50**, 31-38
64. Pandey, M., Talwar, S., Bose, S., and Pandey, A. K. (2018) Iron
homeostasis in Mycobacterium tuberculosis is essential for
persistence. *Sci Rep* **8**, 17359
65. Rybniker, J., Pojer, F., Marienhagen, J., Kolly, G. S., Chen, J. M., van
Gumpel, E., Hartmann, P., and Cole, S. T. (2014) The cysteine
desulfurase IscS of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is involved in iron-
sulfur cluster biogenesis and oxidative stress defence. *The*
Biochemical journal **459**, 467-478
66. Gerrick, E. R., Barbier, T., Chase, M. R., Xu, R., Francois, J., Lin, V.
H., Szucs, M. J., Rock, J. M., Ahmad, R., Tjaden, B., Livny, J., and
Fortune, S. M. (2018) Small RNA profiling in Mycobacterium
tuberculosis identifies MrsI as necessary for an anticipatory iron
sparing response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 6464-6469
67. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment
with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760
68. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N.,
Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and Genome Project Data
Processing, S. (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-2079

69. Quinlan, A. R., and Hall, I. M. (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of
utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842
70. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010) edgeR: a
Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital
gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139-140
71. Tian, J., Bryk, R., Itoh, M., Suematsu, M., and Nathan, C. (2005)
Variant tricarboxylic acid cycle in Mycobacterium tuberculosis:
identification of alpha-ketoglutarate decarboxylase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A **102**, 10670-10675
72. Singh, A. K., Carette, X., Potluri, L. P., Sharp, J. D., Xu, R., Prisic, S.,
and Husson, R. N. (2016) Investigating essential gene function in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis using an efficient CRISPR interference
system. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, e143
73. Crack, J. C., Green, J., Thomson, A. J., and Le Brun, N. E. (2014)
Techniques for the production, isolation, and analysis of iron-sulfur
proteins. *Methods Mol Biol* **1122**, 33-48
74. Maxam, A. M., and Gilbert, W. (1980) Sequencing end-labeled DNA
with base-specific chemical cleavages. *Methods Enzymol* **65**, 499-560
1256
1257

1259 **Figure legends (Main Figures):**

1260

Figure 1: Nitric oxide (NO) impacts Fe-S cluster transcriptome of *Mtb*.

Exponentially grown *Mtb* H$_{37}$Rv was exposed to 0.5 mM DETA-NO for 4h in

three biological replicates. Total RNA was isolated from untreated (UT) and

DETA-NO treated (T) *Mtb* and subjected to RNA-seq analysis. Heat maps

indicate log$_2$ fold changes of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) belonging

to various functional categories (obtained from Mycobrowser, EPFL,

Lausanne). Genes were considered differentially expressed on the basis of

the false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤0.05 and absolute fold change of ≥1.5.

BCCA: branched chain amino acid; BCKADH: branched chain keto-acid

dehydrogenase complex. *See also Figure 1-figure supplement 1 and Figure*

1-figure supplement 2.

1272

Figure 2: NO affects Fe-S homeostasis and oxidative bioenergetics of

Mtb. (A) Gradual reduction of aconitase (Acn) activity in response to 0.5 mM

DETA-NO over time. At 12 h post-treatment, *Mtb* was cultured in a DETA-NO

free 7H9 broth for 24h and recovery (Rec) of Acn activity was quantified as a

measure of Fe-S cluster regeneration. Under these conditions, intracellular

levels of Acn remained unaltered. A non Fe-S cluster protein cystathione-β-

synthase (Cbs) of *Mtb* was used as an internal loading control. **(B)** A change

in Oxygen Consumption Rate (OCR) was quantified upon addition of indicated

concentrations of DETA-NO by Seahorse XF flux analyzer. The uncoupler

CCCP was used to maximally induce respiration and to determine the

influence of NO on the spare respiratory capacity. The first and second

vertical lines indicate the point of addition of DETA-NO and CCCP, 1285 respectively. **(C)** Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) of DETA-NO treated 1286 *Mtb*. **(D)** Decrease in spare respiratory capacity of *Mtb* with increasing 1287 concentrations of DETA-NO. Percentage spare respiratory capacity was 1288 calculated by subtracting basal OCR (before adding DETA-NO) to CCCP 1289 induced OCR considering basal OCR as 100%. **(E**) *Mtb* expressing Mrx1- 1290 roGFP2 was exposed to the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO and 1291 changes in the biosensor ratio (405/488 nm) were measured using 1292 flowcytometer. **(F)** *Mtb* treated with the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO 1293 for 2 h was stained with CellRox Deep Red reagent to measure endogenous 1294 ROS. Results are expressed as Mean ± SD. One-way analysis of variance 1295 (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to determine 1296 statistical significance between different doses of DETA-NO. Data shown are 1297 the result of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. *p* value is 1298 <0.05 were indicated with symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to untreated *Mtb* 1299 control. Statistical significance for the OCR and ECAR was obtained by 1300 comparing different doses of DETA-NO with untreated (two-tailed, unpaired 1301 Student's t- test), Comparisons whose P value is <0.05 were indicated with 1302 different symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to 0.25mM; (*): comparison to 1303 0.5mM; and (*): comparison to 1.0 mM. */*/* p<0.05; **/**/** p<0.01; ***/***/*** 1304 p<0.001. ns, no significant difference (P > 0.05).

1305

Figure 3: SufR regulates Fe-S cluster biogenesis and DosR Regulon in 1307 **response to NO.** Total RNA was isolated from three biological replicates of 1308 untreated (UT) and DETA-NO treated (T) *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* and

subjected to RNA-seq analysis. Heat maps indicate log2 fold changes of

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) belonging to various functional

categories (obtained from Mycobrowser, EPFL, Lausanne). Genes were

considered differentially expressed on the basis of the false discovery rate

(FDR) of ≤0.05 and absolute fold change of ≥1.5. "T" and "UT" indicate DETA-

NO treated and untreated conditions, respectively. BCCA: branched chain

amino acid. *See also Figure 3-figure supplement 1, Figure 3-figure*

supplement 2, and Figure 3-figure supplement 3.

1317

Figure 4: SufR coordinates Fe-S cluster homeostasis, respiratory

reserves, and redox balance in response to NO. (A) Acn activity of

MtbΔsufR in response to DETA-NO over time. At 12 h post-treatment,

MtbΔsufR was cultured in a DETA-NO free 7H9 broth for 24h and recovery

(Rec) of Acn activity was quantified as a measure of Fe-S cluster repair.

Under these conditions, intracellular levels of Acn remained unaltered. A non

Fe-S cluster protein cystathione-β-synthase (Cbs) was used as an internal

loading control. **(B)** A change in OCR of *MtbΔsufR* was quantified upon

addition of indicated concentrations of DETA-NO by Seahorse XF flux

analyser. The uncoupler CCCP was used to maximally induce respiration and

to determine the influence of NO on the spare respiratory capacity. The first

and second vertical lines indicate point of addition of DETA-NO and CCCP,

respectively. **(C)** Decrease in spare respiratory capacity of wt *Mtb* and

MtbΔsufR with increasing concentrations of DETA-NO. **(D)** ECAR of DETA-

NO-treated *MtbΔsufR*. **(E)** *Wt Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* expressing Mrx1-roGFP2

were exposed to the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO and changes in

the biosensor ratio (405/488 nm) were measured using flowcytometry. **(F)** wt

Mtb and *MtbΔsufR* treated with the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO for

2 h was stained with CellRox Deep Red reagent to measure endogenous

ROS. Data shown are the result of three independent experiments performed

in triplicate (mean ± SD). One/two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as

applicable with Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to determine

statistical significance between different doses of DETA-NO. *p* value is <0.05

were indicated with symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to untreated *Mtb*

control, (#): comparision to untreated *MtbΔsufR*. Statistical significance for the

OCR and ECAR was obtained by comparing different doses of DETA-NO with

untreated (Two-tailed, unpaired Student's t- test.), Comparisons whose P

value is <0.05 were indicated with different symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison

to 0.25mM; (*): comparison to 0.5mM; and (*): comparison to 1.0 mM */*/*/#

p<0.05; **/**/**/## p<0.01; ***/***/*** p<0.001. *See also Figure 4-figure*

supplement 1.

1349

Figure 5: Fe-S cluster biogenesis enables recovery from NO-induced

growth arrest *in vitro* and NO-dependent persistence in mice. (A) Wt *Mtb*,

MtbΔsufR and *sufR-Comp* were exposed to various doses of DETA-NO for

24h and survival was monitored by enumerating CFUs.   **(B)** Wt *Mtb*,

MtbΔsufR, and *sufR-Comp* were either left untreated (UT) or treated (T) with

repeated doses of 0.1 mM of DETA-NO for 36 h at an interval of 6 h and

growth was monitored over time. **(C)** *Mtb* strains expressing CRISPRi vectors

without (vector control) or with *sufT*-specific guide RNA (sgRNA) were left

untreated (UT) or treated (T) with 0.1 mM of DETA-NO at an interval of 6 h for

36 h and growth was monitored over time. To maintain reduced level of *sufT*,

anhydrotetracycline (ATc) 200 ng/ml was added for the induction of *sufT*

specific–guide RNA and dCas9 every 48 h. A similar treatment of ATc was

given to the control cells. (**D**) IFNγ-LPS-activated Raw264.7 cells were

infected with wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR -Comp* strains at moi 10 and

intramacrophage survival was monitored by enumerating CFUS at the

indicated time points. Data are the results of two independent experiments

performed in triplicates. (**E**) Inbred BALB/c mice (*n* = 6) were given an aerosol

challenge with wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* and assessed for survival in

lungs at indicated time points. Results are expressed as mean ± standard

deviation (SD). (**F**) C57BL/6 (n=5), (**G**) iNOS$^{-/-}$ knockout (n=5) mice were

given an aerosol challenge with *wt Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* and assessed for

survival in lungs at indicated time points. Statistical significance for the

intramacrophage and pulmonic load was obtained by comparing different

strains, Comparisons whose *P* value is <0.05 were indicated with different

symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to wt *Mtb*; (#): comparison to *sufR-Comp*.

*/# p<0.05; **/## p<0.01; *** p<0.001. <u>*See also Figure 5-figure supplement 1.*</u>

1376

Figure 6: *Mtb* SufR is dimeric and coordinates 4Fe-4S cluster. (A)

Anaerobically-purified SufR was subjected to UV-visible spectroscopy. A clear

peak at 413 nm indicates presence of a 4Fe-4S cluster. Addition of one

electron reducing agent, dithionite (DTH; 5 mM) caused rapid bleaching of

color and loss of the peak at 413 nm. Inset: **(i)** Ni^{2+}-NTA beads free of bound

protein, **(ii)** dark brown colored Ni^{2+}-NTA beads due to binding of holo-SufR

under anaerobic condition, and **(iii)** anaerobically purified holo-SufR exhibiting

dark brown color. **(B)** Chromatographic profiles of apo- and holo-SufR eluting

from a Superdex 200 HR gel filtration column. (Inset: standard curve

generated from a linear fit of the log molecular weight of the protein standards

versus their elution parameter V_e/V_o where, V_e is the elution volume and V_o is

void volume). Both apo- and holo-SufR eluted at a volume corresponding to a

molecular mass of ~54 kDa. **(C)** Holo-SufR and cysteine mutants of SufR (M1:

C175A, M2: C175A_C179A; and M3: C175A_C179A_C192A) were purified

anaerobically and scanned by a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The M2 and M3

mutants of SufR showed absence of absorbance at 413 nm indicating loss of

4Fe-4S cluster. **(D)** A far-UV CD spectrum of holo-SufR from 200 nm to 250

nm wavelength. **(E)** Near-UV CD spectra of apo- and holo-SufR. **(F)** Chemical

estimation of Fe and S content in holo-SufR. *See also Figure 6-figure*

supplement 1.

1397

Figure 7: SufR responds to NO and H_2O_2 via 4Fe-4S cluster. (A) UV-

visible spectra were acquired before and after addition of proline NONOate

(2.5 mM). A time dependent increase in the characteristic monomeric DNIC

peak at ~ 350 nm was observed in the NO-treated sample. **(B)** Holo-SufR was

exposed to various concentrations of proline NONOate (1, 5, and 10 -fold

molar excess) and EPR spectra were acquired at a microwave frequency of

9.667 GHz and microwave power of 2 mW at liquid nitrogen temperature. The

peak at 2.025 *g* is consistent with the formation of monomeric DNIC. **(C)** Holo-

sufR was exposed to atmospheric O_2 by bubbling air through the sample for 2

min. UV-visible spectra were acquired before and after exposure at various

time intervals as indicated. **(D)** Holo-SufR was treated with a fixed

concentration of H_2O_2 (1 mM) and absorbance was monitored over time. The

gradual decrease in absorbance around 413 nm indicates loss of Fe-S

cluster. (Inset **C, D**) Rate of the 4Fe-4S cluster loss was determined by

calculating the percent loss of absorbance at 413 nm upon exposure to air

and H_2O_2 at various time intervals. *See also Figure 7-figure supplement 1.*

1414

Figure 8: DNA binding activity of holo-SufR is dependent on NO and

H_2O_2. (A) EMSA of holo- and apo-SufR binding to ^{32}P-labelled *suf* promoter

DNA fragment (0.5 nM). The concentrations of holo- and apo-SufR used for

EMSAs were 0.125 µM, 0.25 µM, 0.5 µM, 1 µM, and 2 µM. Holo SufR (0.5

µM) was pretreated with **(B)** proline NONOate (NO; 2.5-, 5-, and 10-fold molar

excess) or **(C)** H_2O_2 (25, 50, 75, 100, 250, 500, 1000 and 5000 nM) and were

incubated with ^{32}P-labelled *suf* promoter. **(D)** Holo SufR (0.5 µM) was

incubated with ^{32}P-labelled *suf* promoter and DNA binding was competed out

using 12.5-, 25-, 50-, and 100-fold molar excess of unlabelled competitor

promoter fragment of *suf* operon and *blaC*. **(E)** Effect of holo- and apo-SufR

on transcription from *suf* promoter *in vitro*. Single-round transcription assays

show that RNAP-σA efficiently directs transcription from the *suf* promoter

giving an expected product of 107 bp. Increasing concentrations of holo-SufR

inhibit transcription. **(F)** Apo-SufR was completely ineffective in inhibiting

transcription. **(G)** Holo SufR (0.25 µM) pre-treated with proline NONOate (NO;

2.5-, and 5 -fold molar excess) stimulated transcription in a dose dependent

manner. The graph below indicates densitometry analysis of the *suf* transcript

(*n*=2). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's post hoc test

was employed to determine statistical significance. Statistical significance was

obtained by comparing with SufR untreated. '*' p<0.05 '**' p<0.01 '***'

p<0.001. _See also Figure 8-figure supplement 1._

1436

Figure 9: DNase I footprint of holo-SufR bound to the _suf_ promoter.

Sequence upstream of the _suf_ operon (KA10t and its complementary strand-

KA11t) were used for DNase I footprint. Bold letter **G** in the sequence is the

transcription start site (TSS) as well start codon for _Mtb_ SufR**. (A)** KA10t was

labeled with ^{32}P and annealed with cold KA11t. **(B)** KA11t was labeled with

^{32}P and annealed with cold KA10 in order to examine the protected region in

the reverse strand. Reactions containing 5 nM ^{32}P-labeled dsDNA substrate

were incubated either in absence or in the presence of increasing

concentration of purified holo-SufR protein (125 nM, 250 nM, 500 nM, 1 µM,).

0.05 U of DNase I was added to the reaction mixture and digestion was

carried out for 2 mins at room temperature. A+G is Maxam and Gilbert

sequencing ladder. DNase I protected nucleotides are marked with red and

two inverted repeats are marked by arrow (blue and black). **(C-E)** EMSA was

performed with wild type (KA10t and KA11t) and oligonucleotides with

mutations in the inverted repeats (KA10tm1, KA11tm1, KA10tm2 and

KA11tm2) at increasing concentrations of NaCl at two different concentrations

of SufR (0.25 µM and 0.5 µM).

1454

1455 **Figure 10: A model for SufR-mediated regulation of Fe-S cluster**

1456 **biogenesis in _Mtb_.** SufR appears to coordinate the expression of the _suf_

1457 operon in a negative feedback loop. Under Fe sufficient conditions, the Fe-S

cluster bound form of SufR (holo-SufR) binds to the promoter of the *suf* operon and represses Fe-S biogenesis. Exposure to NO or H_2O_2 damages Fe-S clusters to generate DNIC or apo-forms of SufR, respectively, which abrogates SufR DNA binding resulting in de-repression of the *suf* operon. The elevated expression of the SUF Fe-S assembly system regenerates Fe-S clusters of metabolic enzymes, respiratory complexes, and redox sensors to maintain Fe-S homeostasis, spare respiratory capacity, and redox balance. The NO sensing properties of SufR via its 4Fe-4S cluster and persistence defect exhibited by *MtbΔsufR* in mice in an iNOS-dependent manner suggest that SufR integrates host environmental signals to Fe-S cluster homeostasis in *Mtb*.

Figure Supplement Legends

Figure 1-figure supplement 1: NO arrests growth of *Mtb*: *Mtb* strain was treated with slow release NO donor DETA-NO (0.5 mM) and growth were monitored by enumerating CFU at the indicated time points. Data shown are the result of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. Results are expressed as (Mean±SD). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to determine statistical significance. Statistical significance was obtained by comparing untreated and treated at different time point with untreated control (0h). '*' $p < 0.05$. '***' $p < 0.001$.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2: Regulation of multiple pathways and regulons upon NO stress in *Mtb*. Exponentially grown wt *Mtb was* exposed

to 500 μM DETA-NO for 4h. Total RNA was isolated and subjected to RNA-

seq analysis. Heat maps depicting expression of genes (log$_2$fold-change≥1;

FDR≤0.05) coordinating dormancy regulon, Fe- homeostasis, Zn-

homeostasis, Cu- homeostasis, transcription and translation, Redox

homeostasis, DNA repair, and central carbon metabolism for untreated (UT)

and 4 hr of DETA-NO-treated (T) *Mtb* from three biological samples.

1488

1489 **Figure 3-figure supplement 1: NO arrests growth of *wt Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR***

1490 **and *sufR–Comp*.** *Mtb* strains were treated with DETA-NO (0.5 mM) and

1491 survival was monitored by enumerating CFU at the indicated time points. Data

1492 shown are the result of three independent experiments performed in

1493 duplicate. Results are expressed as (Mean±SD).

1494

Figure 3-figure supplement 2: Impact of SufR on the transcriptome of

***Mtb* in response to NO.** *wt Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* strains were

grown and exposed to 500 μM DETA-NO for 4h. Total RNA was isolated from

three biological replicates and subjected to RNA-seq analysis. Heat maps

depicting expression of various pathways (log$_2$fold-change≥1; FDR≤0.05)

between DETA-NO-treated (T) and untreated conditions.

1501

Figure 3-figure supplement 3: Genomic organization of *sufR*: (A) 5'RACE

confirmed the presence of two TSS (transcription start site) for the *suf* operon.

Primary TSS1 upstream of *sufR* (*Rv1460*) is marked in blue and alternate

TSS2 upstream of *sufB* (*Rv1461*) marked in violet. Nucleotide position was

marked according to mycobrowser and NCBI. Genomic region deleted in

MtbΔsufR is marked as red and intact region is marked as green. **(B)** RNA-

seq data showing the expression of undeleted region of *sufR* in response to

NO in wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR*, and *sufR-Comp*.

1510

Figure 4-figure supplement 1: Aconitase activity of *sufR-Comp* strain

upon NO stress. Upon exposure to 0.5 mM DETA-NO, Aconitase (Acn)

activity in *sufR-Comp* was decreased in a time dependent manner similar to

Mtb and *MtbΔsufR*. After 12h of NO stress, cells were freshly cultured in NO-

free 7H9 broth for 24h and subjected to Acn activity. No recovery in Acn

activity was observed after 24h. The change in enzymatic activity is

independent of Acn abundance. Data shown are the result of three

independent experiments. Results are expressed as (Mean±SD). One-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to

determine statistical significance. Statistical significance was obtained by

comparing DETA-NO treated at different time point with untreated control

(0h). '*' $p < 0.05$. '***' $p < 0.001$.

1523

Figure 5-figure supplement 1: Histopathology of BALB/C lungs infected

with *Mtb, MtbΔsufR* and *ΔsufR-Comp*. A) Representative H&E staining of

the lung tissue sections of BALB/c mice eight weeks' post infection. **B)** Scatter

plots indicate modified granuloma score for lung section histopathology of

chronically-infected BALB/c mice across experimental groups at eight weeks

post-infection. Results are expressed as (median±inter-quartile range). '*'

p<0.05. '***' p<0.001. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with

Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to determine statistical significance.

Statistical significance was obtained by comparing with *Mtb*. (n=4)

1533

Figure 6-figure supplement 1: Purification and spectroscopic

characterization of *Mtb* SufR (Rv1460): (A) UV- visible spectra of *Mtb* SufR

purified under aerobic and anaerobic condition **(B)** UV- visible absorption

spectrum of *Mtb* SufR purified in native condition shows the presence of 4Fe-

4S cluster indicated by arrow (λmax- 413 nm). Presence of broad peaks at

410-420 nm indicates the presence of a [4Fe-4S] cluster in SufR (Holo-SufR).

(C) SDS-PAGE confirming the purification of SufR (27.5 kDa). I: Induced, UI:

Un-induced, W1, W2, W3: Wash through fractions, M: Protein marker, E1, E2,

and E3: Elution fractions.

1543

Figure 7-figure supplement 1: *4Fe-4S cluster of* SufR is sensitive to

H_2O_2. Holo SufR was treated either with the **(A)** indicated concentrations of

H_2O_2 for 1 min or a fixed concentration of H_2O_2 **(B)** 10 mM **(C)** 0.1 mM and

absorbance was monitored over time. The gradual decrease in absorbance at

413 nm indicates loss of Fe-S cluster. **(Inset C)** Rate of the 4Fe-4S cluster

loss was determined by calculating the percent loss of absorbance at 413 nm

upon exposure to H_2O_2 at various time intervals.

1551

Figure 8-figure supplement 1: CRISPRi of *sufT* in *Mtb*. Expression of *sufT* along with *sufR, sufB, sufD, sufC, sufS,* and *sufU* in SufT knock-down (KD) strain added with ATC (Induced) for 24 h, with respect to control strain not added with ATC (without induction). The significance of the difference in gene-specific RNA between samples from induced and uninduced cultures is indicated by asterisks above the bar for the induced sample (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.001; ***P < 0.0001). Data shown are the result of three independent experiments performed in duplicate. Results are expressed as (Mean±SD).

List of figure supplements:

Figure 1-figure supplement 1: NO arrests growth of *Mtb*.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2: Regulation of multiple pathways and regulons upon NO stress in *Mtb*.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1: NO arrests growth of wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR–Comp*.

Figure 3-figure supplement 2: Impact of SufR on the transcriptome of *Mtb* in response to NO.

Figure 3-figure supplement 3: Genomic organization of *sufR*.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1: Aconitase activity of *sufR-Comp* strain upon NO stress.

Figure 5-figure supplement 1: Histopathology of BALB/C lungs infected with

Figure 6-figure supplement 1: Purification and spectroscopic characterization of *Mtb* SufR (Rv1460).

Figure 7-figure supplement 1: 4Fe-4S cluster of SufR is sensitive to H_2O_2.

Figure 8-figure supplement 1: CRISPRi of *sufT* in *Mtb*.

1577

List of Supplementary files:

Supplementary file 1A: Differentially regulated genes in *wt Mtb* upon exposure to 0.5mM DETA-NO as compare to untreated *wt Mtb* [$\log_2$fold-change≥1; FDR≤0.05]. (Enclosed as a separate excel spread sheet).

Supplementary file 1B: List of Fe-S cluster protein differentially regulated in DETA-NO treated *wt Mtb* as compared to untreated wt *Mtb*. [fold change ≥ 1.5; FDR ≤ 0.05] (Enclosed as a separate excel spread sheet).

Supplementary file 1C: Genes differentially regulated in DETA-NO treated *MtbΔsufR* as compared to untreated *MtbΔsufR* [$\log_2$ fold-change≥1; FDR≤0.05]. (Enclosed as a separate excel spread sheet).

Supplementary file 1D: Genes differentially regulated in DETA-NO treated *MtbΔsufR* as compared to DETA-NO treated *wt Mtb*, DETA-NO treated *sufR-Comp* as compared to DETA-NO treated *wt Mtb*, and DETA-NO treated *sufR-Comp* as compared to DETA-NO treated *wt MtbΔsufR* [fold change ≥ 1.5; FDR ≤ 0.05]. (Enclosed as a separate excel spread sheet).

Supplementary file 2: List of genes differentially regulated in DETA-NO treated *MtbΔsufR* as compared to DETA-NO treated *wt Mtb* [fold change ≥ 1.5; FDR ≤ 0.05]) having similar *sufR* binding motifs as identified using DNAase I footprint (Figure 9).

1601

1602 **Supplementary file 3:** Sequences of primers used in this study.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 5

Figure 6

Chemical assay of non-heme iron and acid-labile sulfide of holo-SufR			
	Batch 1	**Batch 2**	**Batch 3**	**Average**
Iron (µM)	300.29±32[b]	295.88±11[c]	259.12±25[d]	291.76±29.27
Sulfide (µM)	287.36±40[b]	286.71±28[b]	277.36±76[d]	285.11±38.46
Protein (µM)	80	80	80	80
Iron/Protein[a]	3.75±0.4	3.7±0.14	3.23±0.32	3.64±0.4
Sulfide/protein[a]	3.59±0.5	3.58±0.35	3.47±0.95	3.54±0.5
Fe:S	1.05±0.08	1.08±0.09	0.95±0.17	1.03±0.1

[a] With reference to the monomer of SufR
[b] Average of four
[c] Average of three
[d] Average of two

Figure 7

Figure 9

KA10t: 5'- AATTTTGTCACACTGATGTTGTGAAAATCCC -3'

KA11t: 3'- TTAAAACAGTGTGACTACAACACTTTTAGGG-5'

Wild type(Wt)   Mutant 1(M1)   Mutant 2(M2)

KA10t: 5'- AATTTTGTCACACTGATGTTGTGAAAATCCC -3'

KA11t: 3'- TTAAAACAGTGTGACTACAACACTTTTAGGG-5']Wild type(Wt)

5'- AACGGAATTTTGTCGATGTTGTGAAAATCCC-3'

3'- TTGCCTTAAAACAGCTACAACACTTTTAGGG-5']Mutant 1(M1)

5'-AACGGAATTTTGTCACACTTGTGAAAATCCC-3'

3'- TTGCCTTAAAACAGTGTGAACACTTTTAGGG-3']Mutant 2(M2)

Figure 10

Figure 1-figure supplement 1

Figure 3-figure supplement 1

Figure 3-figure supplement 3

A.

Annotated Translational start site (NCBI) (Nucleotide position:1646186 bp)

5' RACE Predicted TSS1 (Nucleotide position:1646258 bp)

5' RACE Predicted TSS2 (Nucleotide position:1646977 bp)

sufR **(Rv1460)** genomic position (1646186 bp – 1646992 bp)

100 bp

tcaat**gtg**accagcacaaccctgccgcatagggcatccctggtagaccgatcaacggaattttgtcacactgatgtt

TSS1   **Intact region**

gtgaaaatcccggcggtctctaccactgtccccgcggcagtctcggacggtcacactcgtcgggccattgtgcgctt

gctgctgg--

cgccaagcgctaccggctgaccgcggccggccgggccaagctcgaccactcctatgacgacctggcgtcggcgg

Deleted region

ccatgcggcagctgcgggagatcggcggcgaggaggcggtgcggacgtttgcccggcgccgtatcgacgccatc

ctggccgacgtcgcgccggccgacggtcccgacgacgccgcgctcgaggcggccgccgagcggatcgcaacg

gcgctcag---

accgagcagcaggccatggccgaggtgctcggcacccacgtccagcggttggcgaccatcgtcaacggagactg

Intact region   **TSS2**

cgcctgcaccacccacgtacccctgtcgccggcgcccagcccgcgcccacccgccaccagcaccga**a**ggagcgt

cccgatga

B.

Comparison	Log$_2$ fold change	FDR	Abs fold change	Regulation
Mtb [T] vs *Mtb* [UT]	4.620428305	5.37E-18	24.59730422	Up
Mtb ΔsufR [T] vs *Mtb ΔsufR* [UT]	1.672581636	7.89E-10	3.187845335	Up
sufR-Comp [T] vs *sufR-Comp* [UT]	2.31000536	4.18E-13	4.958849223	Up
Mtb ΔsufR [UT] Vs *Mtb* [UT]	**1.984276389**	**1.61E-08**	**3.9566416**	Up
sufR-Comp [UT] Vs *Mtb* [UT]	2.537077208	1.73E-11	5.804119437	Up
Mtb ΔsufR [UT] Vs *sufR-Comp* [UT]	-0.552800818	0.007575	1.466930803	Down

Figure 4-figure supplement 1

Figure 5-figure supplement 1

Figure 6-figure supplement 1

A.

B.

C.

Figure 8-figure supplement 1