Nitric oxide-inducible Fe-S cluster biogenesis enables *Mycobacterium*

***tuberculosis* to persist in mice**

Kushi Anand[1], Ashutosh Tripathi[1], Kaustubh Shukla[2], Nitish Malhotra[3],

Anilkumar Jamithireddy[4], Rajiv Kumar Jha[5], Susmit Narayan Chaudhury[3],

Raju S Rajmani[1], Arati Ramesh[3], Valakunja Nagaraja[5], Balasubramanian

Gopal[4], Ganesh Nagaraju[2] , Aswin Sai Narain Seshayee[3], and Amit Singh*[1, 5]

[1]Centre for Infectious Disease Research, Indian Institute of Science,

Bangalore 560012, India. [2]Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of

Science, Bangalore 560012, India. [3]National Centre for Biological Science,

Bangalore 560065, India. [4]Molecular Biophysics Unit, Indian Institute of

Science, Bangalore 560012, India. [5]Department of Microbiology and Cell

Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India.

*Correspondence

Amit Singh, Ph.D
Associate Professor
Wellcome Trust-India Alliance Senior Fellow
Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology (MCBL)
Centre for Infectious Disease and Research (CIDR)
Indian Institute of Science (IISc)
Bangalore-12
Ph:+91 8022932604
asingh@iisc.ac.in

Abstract

Host-inducible nitric oxide (NO) suppresses *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) without eradicating it. Mechanisms underlying the persistence of residual bacteria in a hostile host environment are not known. Since NO damages Fe-S clusters of essential enzymes, the mechanism used to repair Fe-S clusters could help *Mtb* persist in host tissues. Here, we show that *Mtb* utilizes the *suf* operon (*Rv1460-Rv1466*) for Fe-S cluster biogenesis to persist under NO stress. Further, transcriptional repressor SufR (*Rv1460*) senses NO through its 4Fe-4S cluster to directly regulate Suf-meditated Fe-S cluster biogenesis. We discovered that NO inflicted irreversible damage upon Fe-S clusters to exhaust respiratory reserves and redox buffering capacity of *MtbΔsufR*. Importantly, *MtbΔsufR* failed to recover from a NO-induced non-growing state and displayed persistence defect inside immune-activated macrophages and murine lungs in a NO-dependent manner. Data suggest that SufR is a sensor of NO that supports persistence by reprogramming Fe-S cluster metabolism and bioenergetics of *Mtb*.

Introduction

About 90% of an estimated 2 billion people infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) remain asymptomatic for tuberculosis (TB). This indicates that the host immune system effectively suppresses the pathogen's replication without eradicating the pathogen. The ability of the host to produce nitric oxide (NO) by an inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) is known to modulate host immunity (1) and microbial physiology (2), thereby controlling diverse infections (3, 4) including TB (5). Upon infection with *Mtb*, lesional macrophages in humans and macaques express functional iNOS (6, 7), and exhaled breath of TB patient contains NO (8). Importantly, iNOS activity seems to control TB in humans (9, 10). Mechanistically, NO inhibits respiration and arrests growth of *Mtb* (2). The bacterial mechanisms responsible for sensing NO and mobilizing adaptation programs are poorly understood.

Previously published models suggest that *Mtb* exploits a three-component system, DosR/S/T, to induce transcriptional changes, growth arrest, and a switch from aerobic to anaerobic respiration to enhance survival under NO stress (11, 12). However, the NO-mediated activation of DosR regulon is transient(13) and other gases, such as carbon monoxide (CO) and oxygen (O_2-limitation), similarly activate the DosR pathway (14, 15). Therefore, the underlying regulatory mechanism that specifically coordinates changes in metabolism, growth, and respiration of *Mtb* in response to NO remains unknown.

NO is believed to affect bioenergetics by targeting heme, Fe-S clusters,

mycothiol, and protein thiols in *Mtb* (16). In this context, a recent study

demonstrated active degradation of several iron-sulfur cluster (Fe-S) proteins

coordinating respiration, central metabolism, and amino acid biosynthesis in

NO-treated *Mtb* (13). In addition, a seven-gene operon, the Suf system

(Rv1460-Rv1466) that is likely involved in Fe-S cluster biogenesis (17),

showed prolonged, elevated expression in response to NO (13). Other targets

of NO, such as haemoglobins, cytochrome C oxidase, and thiol proteins,

remained unaffected(13), supporting the significance of Fe-S cluster

homeostasis in remedying NO stress in *Mtb*. Moreover, NO modulates the

regulatory activity of two redox sensors, WhiB3 and WhiB1, by targeting their

Fe-S clusters (18-20). Since the reaction of NO with Fe-S clusters generates

a lethal dinitrosyl-iron dithiol complex (DNIC) (21), active degradation and

calibrated regeneration of Fe-S clusters via the *suf* operon represents a

potential adaptive defense against NO. Despite these reports, experimental

evidence showing a contribution of the *suf* operon in the bacterial response to

NO and coordination of Fe-S cluster biogenesis remains circumstantial (22,

23). Filling this knowledge gap is crucial to understanding the molecular

underpinning of persistence by this human pathogen.

In the present work we performed transcriptomics, Fe-S enzymatic

assays, bioenergetics flux analysis, and redox biosensor measurements to

identify the molecular underpinnings of *Mtb's* response to NO. We performed

a comprehensive analysis of an Fe-S cluster containing the transcription

factor SufR (Rv1460) and established that SufR-mediated regulation of Fe-S

cluster biogenesis organizes the bacterial response to NO. Finally, we

confirmed the requirement of SufR in promoting persistence of *Mtb* in

response to NO *in vivo*.

Results

NO modulates the expression of Fe-S cluster pathways in *Mtb*
112
We performed transcriptomics of *Mtb* treated for 4 h with 0.5 mM of the

NO donor diethylenetriamine-nitric oxide (DETA-NO) by sequencing RNA

(RNA-seq). *Mtb* treated with 0.5 mM DETA-NO retained viability, but growth

was arrested (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). We identified significant changes in the

expression of 903 genes (fold change ≥ 2; FDR ≤ 0.05) (Data set 1A). Our

RNA-seq data recapitulated the central themes of the NO-responsive

transcriptome of *Mtb*, with induction of the DosR, IdeR, Zur, and CsoR

regulons, and with reduced expression of RNA and protein biosynthesis

pathways (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and Data set 1A) (13). Since NO and its

congeners target lipids, DNA, and redox metabolism (24), genes involved in

redox homeostasis, lipid biosynthesis, and DNA repair were induced (SI

Appendix, Fig. S2 and Data set 1A).

Because iron is the principal transition metal that reacts with NO (25)

and since Fe-S cluster proteins are the most susceptible targets of NO (26),

we examined the expression of 76 genes encoding the Fe-S cluster proteome

of *Mtb* (Data set 1B). We discovered altered expression of 35 genes, 23 being

up-regulated and 12 down-regulated in response to NO (fold change ≥ 1.5;

FDR ≤ 0.05; Fig. 1 and Data set 1B). Genes encoding Fe-S enzymes involved

in central metabolism (*acn*, *prsA*, and *udgA*) and primary respiration (*nuo*

operon) were down-regulated, whereas genes mediating alternate respiration

(*narH, sirA, fdxA, hycP, and fdxC*) (27), alternate carbon catabolism (*korAB,*

frdB) (28), and redox metabolism (*rubA-B*, *cysH*, and *nadA-B*) (29-31) were

induced by NO (Fig. 1; Data set 1B). NO also induces *thiC*, which encodes a

Fe-S cluster-containing enzyme that generates thiamin and thiamin-

dependent branched chain keto-acid dehydrogenase complex (BCKADH)

(Fig. 1). Catabolism of branched chain amino acids (BCAAs) by BCKADH

generates acetyl-CoA and propionyl-CoA (32), which fuel the glyoxylate cycle,

methyl citrate cycle, and gluconeogenesis (33). Consistent with this, NO

induces the expression of genes coordinating BCAAs biogenesis,

glyoxylate/methyl-citrate cycles (isocitrate lyase [*icl1*]), and gluconeogenesis

(PEP-carboxykinase [*pckA*]) in *Mtb* (Fig. 1; Data set 1A).

The strong reactivity of NO toward the heme iron of primary

cytochrome oxidase is thought to arrest respiration (2). We found that NO

does not affect the expression of primary terminal oxidase (cytochrome bc1-

aa3 complex; *qcrABC*) in *Mtb* (Fig. 1). However, the expression of alternate

respiratory complexes (*e.g.,* NADH dehydrogenase type II [*ndh*] and

cytochrome BD oxidase [*cydABCD*]) (Fig.1) (34) was induced. Genes of Fe-S

cluster enzymes producing cofactors for respiratory quinones (isoprenoid;

lytB2, *gcpE*) (35) and nitrate reductase (molybdopterin; *moaA2*) (35) were up-

regulated by NO (Fig. 1). Expression of transcription factors containing NO-

sensitive Fe-S clusters (*e.g., whiB1, whiB2, and whiB3)* was induced (18, 20,

36) (Fig. 1). Up-regulation of the Fe-S cluster transcriptome indicates an

increased demand for Fe-S cluster biogenesis. In agreement, expression of

the *suf* operon (*sufRBDCSUT*; Rv1461-Rv1466) was stimulated 15 to 25-fold

by NO (Fig. 1). Also, NO induces the cysteine biosynthetic machinery that

supplies sulfur for Fe-S cluster biogenesis (Fig. 1). In contrast, genes

coordinating biosynthesis of Fe-binding heme (*hemZ*) and encoding Fe-

storage proteins (bacterioferretin; *bfrA-B*) were down-regulated (Fig. 1). These

observations, along with strong induction of the *ideR*-mediated iron starvation

response, suggest that *Mtb* faces Fe scarcity under NO stress and manages it

by prioritizing the assembly of [Fe-S] clusters.

NO modulates activity of Fe-S cluster enzyme, bioenergetics, and redox

potential of *Mtb*

Altered expression of the Fe-S pathways involved in metabolism and

respiration by NO indicates that NO might modulate these processes in *Mtb*.

To investigate this idea, we evaluated the activity of a 4Fe-4S-containing

enzyme aconitase (Acn), which functions as a critical gatekeeper of the TCA

cycle, and shows sensitivity to NO due to a solvent-exposed Fe atom (37). A

gradual decrease in Acn activity over time was observed in *Mtb* exposed to

0.5 mM of DETA-NO, indicating Fe-S cluster damage. At 12 h post-exposure,

DETA-NO triggered a 40% reduction in Acn activity without decreasing its

abundance (Fig. 2a). Importantly, re-culturing DETA-NO-treated *Mtb* in a

DETA-NO-free medium significantly restored Acn activity, indicating efficient

mobilization of Fe-S cluster regeneration machinery (Fig. 2a).

Fe-S enzymes coordinate carbon catabolism and oxidative

phosphorylation (OXHPHOS) (38). Therefore, we exploited Seahorse XF Flux

technology to analyze the influence of NO on oxygen consumption rate (OCR)

and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), which are measurable readouts of

OXPHOS and glycolysis, respectively (39). To quantify the basal and

maximum rates of OCR and ECAR, we cultured *Mtb* in 7H9-glucose in an XF

microchamber, then exposed it to DETA-NO, and finally to the uncoupler

carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazine (CCCP) (Fig. 2b-c). Addition of

CCCP stimulates respiration to the maximal capacity manageable by *Mtb*.

The difference between basal and CCCP-induced OCR provides an estimate

of the spare respiratory capacity (SRC) available for sustaining stress-

mediated bioenergetics (*e.g.,* RNI and ROI) (40). Under normal growing

conditions, *Mtb* displays a basal OCR of 20±0.14 pmoles/min, which

increases to 85±11.7 pmoles/min in response to uncoupling stress by CCCP

(Fig. 2b). Similarly, basal ECAR increases from 4.5±0.48 mpH/min to 25±4.4

mpH/min in response to CCCP (Fig. 2c). These data indicate that *Mtb*

functions at submaximal OXPHOS (~ 25%) and glycolytic (~ 20%) capacities

under normal growing conditions. As seen with the uncoupler CCCP,

pretreatment with DETA-NO also increased basal OCR in a dose-dependent

manner (Fig. 2b). Also, DETA-NO pretreatment progressively reduces the

ability of *Mtb* to increase OCR or ECAR in response to CCCP (Fig. 2b and

2c). As a result, DETA-NO significantly decreased SRC in a dose-dependent

manner (Fig. 2d), indicating that *Mtb* mobilizes its reserved respiratory

capacity to sustain bioenergetics in response to NO. Our data indicate that

NO depolarizes the cytoplasmic membrane and activates respiratory reserves

of *Mtb*.

Lastly, we asked whether NO perturbs redox homeostasis in *Mtb*. We

used a genetic biosensor (Mrx1-roGFP2) to measure the redox potential of a

physiologically relevant antioxidant, mycothiol (MSH), as a proxy for the

cytoplasmic redox potential (E_{MSH}) of *Mtb* (41). Any change in redox

physiology can be easily quantified by ratiometric measurements of emission

at 510 nm after excitation at 405 and 488 nm (41). In response to an oxidant

or a reductant, the biosensor ratio showed a rapid increase or decrease,

respectively (41). *Mtb* expressing Mrx1-roGFP2 was treated with 0.25 mM,

0.5 mM, and 1 mM DETA-NO, and the biosensor ratio was measured. NO

exposure induces an insignificant increase in the Mrx1-roGFP2 ratio,

indicating that *Mtb* robustly maintains cytoplasmic E_{MSH} in response to NO

(Fig. 2e). Using a ROS-sensitive fluorescent dye CellROX, we confirmed that

NO induces a negligible increase in oxidative stress (Fig. 2f). Overall, the data

indicate that NO-induced growth arrest is linked to modulation of cumulative

respiratory and metabolic capacities of *Mtb*.

SufR regulates the *suf* operon and the DosR regulon in response to NO

Our gene expression and biochemical data indicate that Fe-S cluster

homeostasis is likely to be important for *Mtb's* response towards NO. To

examine this possibility, we investigated the role of *Mtb* SufR, which is a

putative regulator of the *suf* operon involved in Fe-S cluster biogenesis (23).

The *suf* operon, including *sufR*, showed a maximal and a long-lasting

induction in response to NO (13). Except for *sufR*, other genes of the *suf*

operon are essential (17). Therefore, we utilized previously reported

MtbΔsufR and *sufR*-complemented (*sufR-Comp*) strains (42) and performed

RNA-Seq in response to NO. As with wt *Mtb*, 0.5 mM DETA-NO arrested the

growth of *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* without affecting viability (SI Appendix,

Fig. S3). Similar to wt *Mtb*, NO similarly affected the expression of the *ideR*,

zurR, and *csoR/ricR* regulons, carbon metabolism, protein biosynthesis, and

respiratory enzymes in *MtbΔsufR* (SI Appendix, Fig. S4, Data set 1C). A direct

comparison of *MtbΔsufR* with wt *Mtb* after DETA-NO treatment revealed

differential regulation of 67 genes (fold change ≥ 1.5; FDR ≤0.05) (Fig. 3 and

Data set 1D). Several genes of the DosR regulon showed hyper-induction

(≥1.5-fold; FDR ≤0.05) in *MtbΔsufR* as compared to wt *Mtb* or *sufR-Comp*

under NO stress (Fig. 3). More importantly, NO-specific induction of the *suf*

operon was absent in *MtbΔsufR* (Fig. 3). Because SufR is a putative

repressor of the *suf* operon (23), the diminished expression of the *suf* operon

in *MtbΔsufR* was unexpected. It is likely that by removing 345 bp internal to

the *sufR* ORF (+308 to +653 bp) for constructing *MtbΔsufR,* we might have

interrupted the formation of the NO-inducible transcript of the *suf* operon in

MtbΔsufR (SI Appendix, Fig. S5a). However, expression of the operon

remained at a low-level due to an additional transcription start site (TSS2)

upstream of Rv1461 (*sufB*) (SI Appendix, Fig. S5a). Consistent with this, the

aberrant transcript originated from the primary TSS (TSS1) and encompasses

the undeleted region of the *suf* operon (+1 to +307 bp) that remains induced

in NO treated *MtbΔsufR* (SI Appendix, Fig. S5a-b). As expected, the

expression of a native copy of *sufR* in *sufR-Comp* only restored the induction

of *sufR* in response to NO whereas rest of the operon remains uninduced

(Fig. 3).

While the *suf* operon involved in Fe-S cluster biogenesis was down-

regulated, the expression of pathways requiring Fe-S clusters remained

induced in NO-treated *MtbΔsufR* (Fig. 3). Thus, we anticipate that the

heightened demand for Fe-S clusters under NO stress is unlikely to be

satisfied in *MtbΔsufR*. Lastly, genes encoding surface antigens (*mpt70*,

mpt83, and *fbpc*), PE-PGRS family, and redox-sensitive transcription factors

(*oxyS* and *Rv3334*) (43, 44) were also down regulated in NO-treated

MtbΔsufR (Fig. 3 and Data set 1D). We also found that the expression of

nearly 50% of genes showing differential regulation in *MtbΔsufR* was restored

to approximately wt *Mtb* levels in the *sufR-Comp* strain under NO stress (Fig.

3 and Data set 1D). Since the *suf* operon remained repressed in *sufR-Comp*,

the partial complementation could be due to impaired activity of other

transcription factors (*e.g.,* WhiB1 and WhiB3) that require Fe-S clusters to

regulate gene expression in response to NO (18, 45). Altogether, the data

indicate that SufR adjusts the expression of the Suf Fe-S cluster assembly

system and the Dos regulon upon NO stress.

SufR is required to maintain Fe-S cluster homeostasis, bioenergetic

reserves, and redox potential in response to NO

Transcriptional data indicate altered Fe-S cluster homeostasis in

MtbΔsufR. We directly tested this idea by measuring the enzymatic activity of

Acn (a Fe-S enzyme) in *MtbΔsufR* under NO stress (Fig. 4). Compared to wt

Mtb, a similar decrease in Acn activity was observed in *MtbΔsufR* under NO

stress (Fig. 4a). However, and in contrast to wt *Mtb*, reactivation of Acn upon

removal of NO stress was absent in *MtbΔsufR* (Fig. 4a). Data suggest that

defective induction of the *suf* operon impairs regeneration of NO-damaged

Fe-S clusters in *MtbΔsufR*. As expected, *sufR-Comp* which lacks induction of

the *suf* operon in response to NO, did not reinstate Acn activity (SI Appendix,

Fig. S6).

Next, we measured OCR, ECAR, and SRC of *MtbΔsufR* in response to

NO as described earlier. Under normal growing conditions, *MtbΔsufR* utilizes

~ 35% of its maximal respiratory capacity, which is significantly higher than

Mtb (~ 25%) (Fig. 4b). As a consequence, the SRC of *MtbΔsufR* was 2-fold

lower as compared to wt *Mtb* under standard culture conditions (Fig. 4c).

Moreover, while 1 mM of DETA-NO was required to significantly increase

basal OCR of wt *Mtb*, 0.25 mM was sufficient for *MtbΔsufR* (Fig. 4b). This

suggests that *MtbΔsufR* is more sensitive to membrane depolarization by NO.

Likewise, SRC was significantly diminished in *MtbΔsufR* as compared to wt

Mtb upon treatment with 0.25 mM of DETA-NO (Fig. 4c). Data demonstrate

that decreased SRC of *MtbΔsufR* increased its vulnerability towards

bioenergetic exhaustion under NO stress. However, unlike wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR*

significantly increases basal ECAR and retains CCCP-induced ECAR in

response to 0.25 mM and 0.5 mM of DETA-NO (Fig. 4d). This suggests an

increased reliance of *MtbΔsufR* on glycolysis to handle the bioenergetic

needs under NO stress. Lastly, using the Mrx1-roGFP2 biosensor, we

measured E_{MSH} of *MtbΔsufR* in response to NO. We observed that NO

induces a slightly higher oxidative shift in E_{MSH} of *MtbΔsufR* than wt *Mtb* in a

dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4e). Consistent with this, staining with the dye

CellROX also indicated higher oxidative stress in NO-treated *MtbΔsufR* as

compared to wt *Mtb* (Fig. 4f). Altogether, our data indicate that SufR is

required to regenerate Fe-S clusters, maintain respiratory reserves, and buffer

redox imbalance to counteract NO stress.

SufR mediates adaptation to NO stress *in vivo*

Next, we investigated the biological consequence of compromised Fe-

S homeostasis and bioenergetics by assessing the phenotype of *MtbΔsufR*

under NO stress. A single dose of various concentrations of DETA-NO (0.5

mM, 1.25 mM, and 2.5 mM) did not influence the survival of *MtbΔsufR* (Fig.

5a). Repeated exposure to low doses of NO is known to arrest the growth of

Mtb for an extended duration (46). Administration of 0.1 mM of DETA-NO

every 6 h for 36 h induces an extended period of growth arrest followed by

recovery of wt *Mtb* at day 7 post-treatment (Fig. 5b). In contrast, *MtbΔsufR*

resumed growth only at day 16 post-treatment with NO (Fig. 5b). The *sufR-*

comp strain showed a recovery defect similar to *MtbΔsufR*, indicating that the

NO-mediated induction of the entire *suf* operon rather than only *sufR* is

necessary for the timely resumption of growth. We confirmed this by reducing

the expression of another *suf* operon gene *sufT* involved in Fe-S cluster

maturation (47) using CRISPR interference (CRIPSRi-*sufT*). Reduced *sufT*

levels significantly delayed recovery of *Mtb* from NO-induced growth inhibition

(Fig. 5c).

Immunologically activated macrophages are known to induce nitrosative

stress in *Mtb* (48). In line with this, *MtbΔsufR* showed 10- and 40- fold

reduced survival in immune-activated Raw264.7 macrophages as compared

to wt *Mtb* at day 2 and 4 post-infection, respectively (Fig. 5d). These

observations prompted us to investigate the NO-dependent phenotype of

MtbΔsufR in vivo. WT *Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* showed comparable growth in the

lungs of BALB/c mice during the acute phase of infection (0-2 weeks) (Fig.

5e). However, *MtbΔsufR* was cleared progressively from the lungs, with a

more than 1.5-log decline in bacterial burden by 8 weeks (Fig. 5e). The

persistence defect of *MtbΔsufR* was partially rescued in the case of animals

infected with *sufR-Comp* (Fig. 5e). Since *sufR-Comp* did not restore the

induction of the *suf* operon, the partial complementation is likely due to

restored expression of other *sufR*-specific genes (*e.g.,* DosR regulon). The

histopathological changes observed in the lungs of animals at 8 weeks post-

infection were proportionate to the bacterial burden (SI Appendix, Fig. S7a).

The magnitude of pulmonary damage was highest in case of wt *Mtb*

(2.75±0.5), intermediate in *sufR*-Comp (2.0 ±0.0), and lowest in *MtbΔsufR*

(0.25±0.50) (SI Appendix, Fig. S7b). Lastly, to clarify the role of NO in the

persistence defect of *MtbΔsufR*, we infected a highly susceptible mouse strain

lacking inducible nitric oxide (iNOS$^{-/-}$) (5). We found that the phenotypic defect

of *MtbΔsufR* was abolished in iNOS$^{-/-}$ mouse, indicating the requirement of

SufR for the persistence of *Mtb* in response to NO (Fig. 5f-g).

Mechanism of SufR mediated regulation of Fe-S biogenesis

Having confirmed the role of SufR in regulating Fe-S homeostasis, bioenergetics, and *in vivo* persistence, we next sought to understand the underlying biochemical and molecular mechanisms. Biochemical characterization of SufR revealed the presence of a 4Fe-4S cluster. We present data to demonstrate how the 4Fe-4S cluster in SufR serves as a sensor for NO and H_2O_2 to modulate DNA binding and transcriptional activity. These studies, which also allowed us to identify the DNA sequence motif required for SufR binding, provide a basis for a mechanistic model for SufR. The data and analysis leading to this model are described below.

SufR contains a 4Fe-4S cluster

We anaerobically purified histidine-tagged SufR from *E.coli* cultured in growth conditions optimized for the maximum incorporation of Fe-S clusters *in vivo* (49). As isolated, native SufR displayed a characteristic straw brown color, with an absorption maximum indicative of a 4Fe-4S cluster at 413 nm (molar absorption coefficient [ε] at 413 =16200 M^{-1} cm^{-1}) (Fig. 6a and SI Appendix, Fig. S8) (18). Treatment of native SufR with a one-electron donor, sodium dithionite (DTH), caused partial bleaching of brown color and loss of absorbance at 413 nm, consistent with the presence of a redox-responsive 4Fe-4S cluster (18) (Fig. 6a). Gel-filtration performed under anaerobic conditions suggested a molecular mass of ~ 54 kDa for native SufR, which is consistent with a dimer. Clusterless SufR (apo-SufR) also eluted as a dimer, indicating that the Fe-S cluster does not bridge SufR monomers (Fig. 6b).

Moreover, the identity of cysteine residues that coordinates the 4Fe-4S cluster

were experimentally validated. The alanine mutants of three putative cysteine

ligands (C175A, C179A and C192A) were seen to disrupt the 4Fe-4S cluster

as evident from the loss of absorbance at 413 nm (Fig 6c).

A previous study, using aerobically purified SufR and *in vitro*

reconstitution of Fe-S cluster, showed the presence of a 2Fe-2S cluster on

SufR (23). Since Fe-S clusters are susceptible to O_2 (50), our technique of

assembling Fe-S cluster *in vivo* followed by anaerobic purification is more

likely to provide native configuration of SufR Fe-S cluster. We further clarified

this by analyzing native SufR using circular dichroism (CD). The far-UV CD

spectrum shows two minima at 208 and 224 nm and indicates the presence of

70% α-helical content in the secondary structure (Fig. 6d). The near-UV CD

spectrum displayed two characteristic maxima at 330 and 420 nm and minima

near 550 nm as described earlier for [4Fe-4S] cluster (51) (Fig. 6e). As

expected, the near-UV CD spectrum of apo-SufR did not show the absorption

characteristics of a [4Fe-4S] cluster (Fig. 6e). Lastly, the estimation of iron

and sulfide ions in the native SufR revealed the association of 3.23-3.75-iron

atoms/SufR monomer and similar amounts of sulfide ion/SufR monomer (Fig.

6f). In sum, we demonstrate that *Mtb* SufR contains a 4Fe-4S cluster.

SufR responds to NO through its 4Fe-4S cluster

We exposed native SufR to the fast releasing NO donor; proline

NONOate ($T_{1/2}$ ~ 1.8 s, pH 7.4) and subjected it to UV-visible spectroscopy.

Treatment with proline NONOate gradually reduced absorbance at 413 nm

and formed a new chromophoric feature at ~ 350 nm over time with a clear

isosbestic point (Fig 7a). These spectral features are consistent with a

dinitrosyl-iron dithiol complex (DNIC), wherein the sulfide ligands of the 4Fe-

4S clusters are displaced by NO to form $[Fe-(NO)_2]$ (52). The DNIC formation

was confirmed by subjecting NO-treated SufR to continuous wave Electron

Paramagnetic Resonance (cw-EPR). A strong EPR signal centered at g=2.03

was observed in a dose-dependent manner, consistent with the formation of

the monomeric DNIC complex on SufR (Fig. 7b) (18).

The suf operon showed induction under oxidizing stress ($e.g,$ H_2O_2) (53).

Therefore, we determined whether the Fe-S cluster of SufR is responsive to

O_2 and H_2O_2. Exposure to atmospheric O_2 resulted in a slow decline in

absorbance at 413 nm (Fig. 7c). A plot of ΔA_{413nm} against time revealed the

loss of ~75% of the 4Fe-4S cluster only at 20 hr post-O_2 exposure. However,

exposure of native SufR to 1 mM H_2O_2 resulted in the rapid loss of absorption

at 413 nm (Fig. 7d). A plot of ΔA_{413nm} against time revealed the loss of ~75%

of the 4Fe-4S cluster in 20 min. Higher concentrations of H_2O_2 (10 mM and

100 mM) resulted in the loss of the cluster within 1-2 min (SI Appendix, Fig.

S9 a-c). Taken together, these data demonstrate that the 4Fe-4S cluster of

SufR is sensitive to NO and H_2O_2.

SufR binds upstream of the suf operon promoter and represses

expression

426   To investigate the DNA binding properties of SufR, we carried out

electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) using a radioactively (^{32}P)

labeled 170 bp DNA fragment encompassing the promoter region of *suf*

operon (23). We used four different forms of SufR; native/holo-SufR, apo-

SufR, NO-treated SufR, and H_2O_2-treated SufR. As shown in figure 8a, holo-

SufR binds to the *suf* promoter region in a concentration-dependent manner,

whereas apo-SufR, NO- treated SufR, and H_2O_2-treated SufR show no DNA

binding (Fig. 8a-c). Moreover, DNA binding was outcompeted by 50-100-fold

excess of unlabeled *suf* promoter DNA, but not by an unrelated promoter

fragment (*blaC*) (Fig. 8d). These findings suggest that *Mtb* SufR is an NO-

and H_2O_2-responsive, sequence-specific DNA binding protein.

438   We next performed *in vitro* transcription using *Mtb* RNA polymerase as

described (54). Single round of transcription of the *suf* promoter fragment (180

bp [-73 to + 107 bp]) produced a single transcript of 107 nucleotides,

consistent with the leaderless transcription of the *suf* operon (55). Addition of

holo-SufR represses transcription from the *suf* promoter in a dose-dependent

manner, whereas apo SufR does not (Fig. 8e-f). Importantly, the treatment of

native SufR with proline NONOate (NO) reversed the repression of *suf*

promoter (Fig. 8g). These results indicate that holo-SufR binds to the *suf*

promoter and represses expression, whereas NO-damaged or clusterless

apo-SufR lacks DNA binding and transcription repression. The data suggest

that occupancy of Fe-S cluster on SufR serves as an indicator of Fe-S cluster

sufficient or deficient conditions. During low Fe-S cluster demand, holo-SufR

represses the Suf system to reduce Fe-S cluster assembly, whereas loss or

damage of the Fe-S cluster in SufR signals heightened demand for Fe-S

clusters that results in the de-repression of the *suf* operon and mobilization of

Fe-S cluster assembly. In support of this, limiting Fe-S cluster biogenesis by

depleting SufT (CRIPSRi-*sufT*) alleviates the SufR-dependent repression of

the *suf* operon in *Mtb*, suggesting that *suf* machinery assembles the Fe-S

cluster on SufR to regulate Fe-S homeostasis (SI Appendix, Fig. S10).

Lastly, we performed DNase I footprinting to identify the binding site of

native SufR on the promoter region of the *suf* operon (Fig. 9). A clear region

of protection from DNase I digestion was evident with increasing molar ratios

of SufR:DNA (Fig. 9a-b). The protected region contains a perfect inverted

repeat (**ACACT**-N_5-**TGTGA)** that is separated by 5 bp (Fig. 9). This inverted

repeat forms a part of a larger inverted repeat (**ATTTT**GTCACACT-N_5-

TGTG**AAAAT**). Consistent with the footprinting data, mutations in the inverted

repeat completely abolished binding, thus confirming that the SufR binds the

palindrome **ACACT**-N_5-**TGTGA (Fig. 9c-e).** This palindromic sequence was

found to be present upstream of ~ 10 genes deregulated in *MtbΔsufR* under

NO stress (Data set S1E).   Altogether, using multiple techniques we

confirmed that SufR functions as a NO- and H_2O_2-sensitive DNA-binding

transcription factor in *Mtb*.

Discussion

Fe-S cluster production is tightly regulated to promote Fe-S

formation when the necessity for the clusters is heightened (*e.g.,* ROI/RNI/iron

limitation) and to limit unnecessary production when the demand is low (*e.g.,*

hypoxia) (56). Deregulation of Fe-S cluster biogenesis can lead to toxic

accumulation of iron and polysulfides inside cells (56). Little is known about

how *Mtb* senses the need for Fe-S cluster biogenesis/regeneration in

response to ROI/RNI stress and the nature of the underlying regulatory

mechanisms. Here we show that *Mtb* SufR responds to one of the major

dormancy signals, NO, through its 4Fe-4S cluster. We provided biochemical

evidence that *Mtb* SufR contains a redox-responsive 4Fe-4S cluster that

reacts with NO. We also establish a link between NO, Fe-S biogenesis,

respiration, and SufR. Our findings provide a new mechanistic insight into how

Mtb exploits Fe-S cluster regulation and biogenesis processes under NO

stress to favor the pathogen's persistence.

Our RNA-seq data revealed a major realignment of expression of

genes encoding Fe-S cluster proteins and Fe-S cluster biogenesis (*suf*

operon) in NO-treated *Mtb*. Reduced expression of Fe-S cluster factors

associated with energy generation (*e.g.,* TCA cycle and primary respiration)

indicates that metabolic constraints imposed by NO represent the proximal

cause of growth arrest in *Mtb*. Moreover, while the majority Fe-S cluster

proteins are degraded in NO-stressed *Mtb* (13), Suf proteins remained

induced, suggesting that the *suf* operon is likely to facilitate regeneration of

Fe-S clusters during recovery from NO stress. This is reinforced by our data

showing an excellent recovery of Acn activity upon removal of NO stress in

case of wt *Mtb* but not in *MtbΔsufR* cells. Likewise, recovery from NO-

mediated growth arrest was significantly delayed in *MtbΔsufR*. Given the

strong reactivity of NO to metabolic and respiratory enzymes coordinating Fe-

S clusters and heme-Fe (25), we observed major changes in bacterial

OXPHOS, ECAR, and E_{MSH}, suggesting that NO-mediated cytostasis is

coupled to changes in redox balance and bioenergetics in *Mtb*. Our seahorse

XF flux data provide new insights into the mechanisms of NO-mediated

respiratory arrest in *Mtb*.

We discovered that under low electron (e^-) flux and high O_2

conditions (basal respiration), NO stimulated OCR possibly by collapsing

proton motive force (PMF). This is in agreement with the role of NO in

depolarizing the cytoplasmic membrane to arrest respiration and growth (57,

58). Upon artificially increasing the e^- flux through the respiratory chain (CCCP

treatment), which also depletes O_2 (59), NO efficiently inhibited O_2

consumption and depleted SRC of *Mtb*. In line with this, the transcriptome of

Mtb is remarkably responsive to NO under O_2 limitation (2). We also found

that spare respiratory capacity of *MtbΔsufR* is more severely inhibited by NO

as compared to wt *Mtb*. Since spare respiratory capacity depends mainly on

the recruitment of previously inactive respiratory complexes (60), the

sustained activation of the SUF system can provide a reserve of Fe-S cluster-

containing respiratory complexes to maintain electron transfer in response to

NO. Induction of the *suf* operon in response to conditions that damage Fe-S

clusters (*e.g.,* H_2O_2, NO, iron-starvation, antibiotics, phagosomal pH, and

sputum) (42, 53, 54, 61-64) indicates that *Mtb* relies on Suf-dependent Fe-S

cluster coordination to maintain persistence.

Our biochemical data support the role of SufR in sensing and

activating Fe-S cluster biogenesis under unfavorable conditions. For example,

H_2O_2 and NO rapidly targeted the 4Fe-4S cluster of SufR, whereas O_2 was

extremely sluggish. In agreement with this, Acn activity was largely unaffected

in *MtbΔsufR* under aerobic conditions but was significantly perturbed following

exposure to NO. Interestingly, a stand-alone *Mtb* cysteine desulfurase (IscS)

likely coordinates Fe-S clusters of Acn and succinate dehydrogenase under

aerobic conditions (65). Data suggest that *Mtb* prefers IscS for housekeeping

functions but the Suf system for managing stress. Similar roles were assigned

for IscS and Suf systems in *E. coli* (56). From the regulation perspective, SufR

appears to coordinate the expression of the *suf* operon in a negative feedback

loop. Holo-SufR serves as an indicator of Fe-S cluster sufficiency and

represses the *suf* operon, whereas apo- or DNIC forms of SufR indicate Fe-S

deficiency, resulting in derepression of the *suf* operon. Once the Fe-S cluster

demands are satisfied, the *suf* system reconstitutes the Fe-S cluster of SufR

to maintain homeostasis. We propose that this carefully choreographed

mechanism allows *Mtb* to calibrate Fe-S cluster supply with demand in

response to environmental signals encountered during infection, as shown

schematically in figure10.

The marginal survival defect of *MtbΔsufR* inside resting

macrophages and during early stages of infection *in vivo* indicates a lower

requirement of *suf*-dependent Fe-S biogenesis or possible compensation by

IscS. Consistent with this, *MtbΔsufR* showed attenuated phenotype inside the

nitrosatively hostile environment of activated macrophages and chronically

infected lung tissues. Both of these microenvironments are likely to increase

the demand for Fe-S cluster biogenesis. The capacity of SufR to sense and

respond to a range of cues, such as NO, H_2O_2, and iron limitation, possibly

empowers *Mtb* to transduce different redox signals into transcriptional

responses crucial for persistence *in vivo*. The overexpression of the DosR

regulon in *MtbΔsufR* relative to wt *Mtb* specifically under NO stress indicates

that SufR-mediated gene regulation extends beyond derepression of the *suf*

operon. Interestingly, the *suf* operon was overexpressed in *MtbΔdosR* under

hypoxia (15), signifying a regulatory loop between SufR and DosR in *Mtb*.

This is supported by our *in vivo* data wherein the persistence defect displayed

by *MtbΔsufR* was partially restored in *sufR*-Comp even though the expression

of the *suf* operon was not reinstated. Interestingly, the expression of the DosR

regulon recovered to wt levels in *sufR*-Comp, suggesting that further work is

required to explore the breadth of SufR-mediated gene regulation. Based on

this cumulative evidence, we propose that *Mtb* SufR senses NO through its Fe-S

cluster to coordinate Fe-S cluster biogenesis and regulate metabolism,

respiration, and redox balance for long-term persistence.

Methods:

Culture Conditions. The *Mtb* H37Rv, *MtbΔsufR,* and *sufR*-comp

were grown in Middlebrook 7H9 broth (Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD),

USA) medium supplemented with 0.2% glycerol, 0.5% BSA, 0.2% dextrose,

and 0.085% NaCl (ADS) with 0.05% Tween 80 as described previously (42).

For culturing on solid medium, *Mtb* strains were cultured on 7H10/7H11 agar

medium (Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), USA) supplemented with 1x

OADC (Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), USA) and 0.2% glycerol. *E.*

coli cultures were grown in LB medium (HIMEDIA, India). Whenever required,

antibiotics were added to the culture medium (for *E. coli*, 100 µg/ml

kanamycin (Amresco, USA) and 150 µg/ml hygromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, India);

for *Mtb* strains, 50 µg/ml hygromycin).

RNA Sequencing experiments:

The *Mtb* strains were grown to an $O.D._{600}$ of 0.4 and exposed to 0.5

mM diethylenetriamine-nitric oxide (DETA/NO [Sigma-Aldrich, India]) for 4 h at

$37^{o}C$. The experiment was carried out with three independent biological

replicates. Total RNA extraction was conducted using the FastRNA® Pro Blue

Kit (MP Biomedicals, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction

and further purified using RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen, USA) as described

(42). Following purification, the RNA was quantified and assessed for purity

by a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). RNA

samples with an RIN (RNA Integrity Number) value >8 were processed further

for sequencing. Ribosomal RNA (16s and 23s rRNA) was removed by

hybridization with magnetic beads-coupled oligonucleotide (MicrobExpress

Kit, Life Technologies, USA) and concentration of enriched mRNA was

quantified by QuBit RNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies, USA). RNA-seq

was performed as described (17). In brief, libraries were prepared using

NEBNextUltra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina' (New England

Biolabs, USA), according to manufacturer's instructions. The library size

distribution and quality were assessed using a high sensitivity DNA Chip

(Agilent Technologies, USA) and sequenced in HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina,

USA) sequencer using 1X50 bp single-end reads with 1% PhiX spike-in control. All the raw data were deposited into the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE154169 with the accession number GSE154169 and reviewer token kbcdmqecrlotzgp.

Differential gene expression and statistical analysis for RNA-Seq:

Raw reads were obtained for *Mtb* H37Rv strain as fastq files. The reference genome sequence (.fna) and annotation (.gff) files for the same strain (accession number: NC_000962.3) were downloaded from the ncbi ftp website ("ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov"). The annotation file was customized with the addition of annotations for non-coding RNAs (66). The format of the annotation file (.gff) was changed to .bed using an in-house python script. The raw read quality was checked using the FastQC software (version v0.11.5; http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). BWA (version 0.7.12-r1039)(67) was used to index the reference genome. Reads with raw read quality >= 20 were aligned using BWA aln -q option. SAMTOOLS (version 0.1.19-96b5f2294a) (68) was used to filter out the multiply mapped reads. BEDTOOLS (version 2.25.0)(69) was used to calculate the reads count per gene using the annotation file (.bed). The normalization and differential gene expression analysis for the conditions were carried out using edgeR as mentioned previously (70). Genes with at least 10 reads were selected for each comparative analysis. DGE analysis was done in RStudio (1.1.447) with R version 3.4.4. (*http://www.rstudio.com/*)

Aconitase assay:

The activity of aconitase (Acn) was measured by monitoring the disappearance of cis-aconitate at 240nm in a UV spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Biomat 3S, USA) as described (71). One unit (U) of aconitase activity is defined as 1μmol cis-aconitate formed or converted per minute. Reaction mixtures (1ml) for Acn contained 25mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, and 50 μg *Mtb* cell lysates. Reactions were initiated by adding 0.15 mM cis-aconitate and monitored by following the disappearance of cis-aconitate at 240 nm after every 15 sec for total 30 min. Absorbance at 240 was plotted against time. Acn activity was calculated from linear portion of the curve in initial 5 min when reaction follows 0th order of reaction. An extinction coefficient of 3,500 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$ was used to calculate the rates.

OCR and ECAR measurements:

The *Mtb* strains adhered to the bottom of a XF cell culture microplate (Agilent technologies, USA), at $2X10^6$ bacilli per well by using Cell-Tak (a cell adhesive). OCR and ECAR were measured using Agilent XF Extracellular Flux Analyser. Assays were carried out in unbuffered 7H9 media (pH 7.35) with glucose 2mg/ml as carbon source. Basal OCR and ECAR were measured for initial 21 min before the automatic addition of freshly prepared DETA-NO (0 mM, 0.25 mM, 0.5 mM and 1 mM) in 7H9 unbuffered media, through port A of cartridge plate. Three measurements were taken after 1 h of incubation. CCCP (Sigma-Aldrich, India) was added at 10 μM concentration to achieve maximum rate of respiration. Raw data of OCR and ECAR was CFU

normalised for $2X10^6$ CFU/ well. Spare respiratory capacity, was calculated

from % OCR value, by subtracting third basal reading (normalized as 100%)

from first point after CCCP addition.

Animal experiments:

For the chronic model of infection, 5- to 6-week-old female

BALB/c, C57BL/6 and iNOS$^{-/-}$ mice (n = 6 per group) were infected by aerosol

with approximately 100 bacilli per mouse with the *Mtb* strains using a Madison

chamber aerosol generation. At indicated times post infection, mice were

euthanized, and the lungs were harvested for bacillary load, tissue

histopathology analysis, and pathological scoring as described (42). The

remaining tissue samples from each mouse were homogenized and bacillary

load was quantified by plating serial dilutions of tissue homogenates onto

Middlebrook 7H11-OADC agar plates supplemented with lyophilized BBL

MGIT PANTA antibiotic mixture ((polymyxin B, amphotericin B, nalidixic acid,

trimethoprim, and azlocillin, as supplied by BD;USA). Colonies were observed

and counted after 4 weeks of incubation at 37°C.

EPR spectroscopy of SufR:

For EPR spectroscopy, Holo SufR was treated inside the

anaerobic glove box with aliquots of freshly prepared proline NONOate

(Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Aliquots of SufR were placed in an

anaerobic cuvette and titrated by injection with aliquots of a 2.5 mM stock

solution of proline NONOate (Cayman chemicals, USA). Samples were then

transferred to EPR tubes and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. EPR

characteristics of NO-treated SufR were analyzed by subjecting the samples

to continuous-wave spectrometers at liquid nitrogen temperature as described

previously (18). NO-treated SufR was analyzed by continuous-wave EPR on a

perpendicular mode X-band EPR spectrometer operating at 100-kHz

modulation frequency and equipped with liquid nitrogen cryostat and a dual

mode X-band cavity (JES200 ESR spectrometer, JEOL, USA). Field

calibration was done by using a standard NMR G meter. The background

signal from the buffer was subtracted from the spectra.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA):

For EMSA promoter fragment of *sufR* (170 bp upstream of ATG)

and *blaC* (200 bp upstream of ATG) were PCR amplified from the *Mtb*

genome. The 5' end was labeled by [Y-32$_P$]-ATP using T4 polynucleotide

kinase (MBI Fermentas, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions. The

labeled oligonucleotides were passed through a 1 mL Tris-EDTA, pH 7.5

equilibrated Sephadex G-50 column and elute was vacuum dried. Blunt ended

duplex DNA was prepared by annealing radiolabelled and complementary

cold oligonucleotides in a 1: 2 molar ratio in 50 µL reaction system containing

TE and 1X saline-sodium citrate buffer (3 M sodium chloride and 0.3 M

sodium citrate). The reaction mixture was heated to 95 °C for 5 min and then

cooled in a thermal cycler. Annealed mixtures were resolved by 10% native

PAGE in 1x Tris-borate EDTA (TBE). Assembled DNA substrates were

visualized on an X-ray film and substrates were subsequently purified by

excising the respective desired bands. DNA substrates were eluted by

incubating corresponding gel pieces in TE at 4°C for 6 h. Binding reactions

were performed in Binding buffer (25mM Tris-HCl, 1mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml BSA,

5 mM MgCl$_2$; pH 7.4) for 30 min at 4°C and 6% polyacrylamide gel was used

to resolve protein-DNA complexes in an anaerobic chamber by

electrophoresis at constant voltage (50 V). 0.5 nM ^{32}P-labeled DNA substrates

were incubated with indicated concentrations of various forms of SufR (apo-,

holo-, H$_2$O$_2$-treated, and NO-treated). For competition with unlabeled DNA,

fragments of *sufR* and *blaC* (~200–250 bp upstream of translational start

codon) were PCR amplified from the *Mtb* genome and used in various

amounts to outcompete binding of holo-SufR to ^{32}P-labelled DNA fragments.

Gels were exposed to auto radiographic film and visualized by a phosphor

imager (Typhoon FLA-9000, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, USA). All the

above reaction and separation were performed under anaerobic condition

inside glove box (Plas-Labs, Lansing, MI, USA).

DNase I Footprinting :

Five nanomolar of ^{32}P-labeled DNA substrate was incubated with

increasing concentration of purified holo-SufR in binding buffer (25 mM Tris-

HCl (pH 7.5), 1mM DTT, 100 µg/ml BSA, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM CaCl2), and

samples were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C inside glove box under anaerobic

condition. Reactions were initiated by the addition of DNase I to a final

concentration of 0.05 units and incubated for 2 min at room temperature. The

reactions were terminated by the addition of 150µL of TE (pH 7.5) followed by

incubation at 75°C for 15 mins to deactivate DNase I enzyme. The sample

was further subjected to vacuum evaporation and the pellet thus obtained was

re-suspended in loading dye (80% (v/v) formamide, 0.1% (v/v) BPB, and 0.1%

(v/v) xylene cyanol) and analyzed on a denaturing 15% polyacrylamide gel

containing 7M urea. The gel was dried, and the bands were visualized with a

Typhoon FLA-9000 phosphor imager (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, USA).

Maxam and Gilbert A + G ladder was prepared as described previously (72).

A custom python script was developed to search for the SufR binding site and

find its relative positions with respect to genes across the genome. A

sequence of 15 nt length was searched in the region 500 bp upstream of the

start codon and considered as a potential SufR binding site if it shares 80%

identity with 'ACACT' or 'TGTGA' at the ends.

Supplementary methods:

Please refer to supplementary (SI) appendix section for western

blotting, cellRox deep staining and flow cytometry, construction of *Mtb* SufT

Knock Down strain, NO exposure and recovery, cell line infection

experiments, purification of SufR under anaerobic conditions, UV-Vis, CD

analysis, and gel filtration of SufR, chemical analysis of Iron and Sulfide, and

In vitro transcription assays.

Ethics:

Animal experimentation: This study was carried out in strict accordance with

the guidelines provided by the Committee for the Purpose of Control and

Supervision on Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), Government of India.

The protocol of animal experiment was approved by animal ethical Committee

on the Ethics of Animal Experiments, Indian Institute of science (IISc),

Bangalore, India (Approval number: CAF/Ethics/544/2017). All efforts were

made to minimize the suffering.

Acknowledgements:

We are thankful to Next Generation Genomics Facility (NGGF) at National

Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore, for conducting the RNA

sequencing. We thank BSL3 facilities at CIDR, IISc Bangalore. We thank A.

Varalakshmi (Sophisticated Analytical Instrument Facility, IIT Chennai, India)

for excellent technical help In EPR experiments. The *Mtb* work was supported

by the following Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance Grants, IA/S/16/2/502700

(AS), IA/E/16/1/503017 (KA), and in part by Department of Biotechnology

(DBT)   Grant   BT/PR11911/BRB/10/1327/2014,

BT/PR5020/MED/29/1454/2012 (AS) and DBT-IISc Partnership Program (22-

0905-0006-05-987-436). AS and KA are senior- and early- career fellows of

Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance.

Author contributions:

KA, AT, and AS participated in the design of the study. KA, AT, KS, NM, AJ,

RKJ, RSR, and, SNC, carried out the experiments. AR, VN, BG, GN, and

ASN contributed to reagents and analyzed the data. KA and AS conceived the

study, supervised the project, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement:

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

1. Mishra BB*, et al.* (2013) Nitric oxide controls the immunopathology of
tuberculosis by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammasome-dependent processing
of IL-1beta. *Nat Immunol* 14(1):52-60.
2. Voskuil MI*, et al.* (2003) Inhibition of respiration by nitric oxide induces
a *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* dormancy program. *J Exp Med*
198(5):705-713.
3. Nathan C & Shiloh MU (2000) Reactive oxygen and nitrogen
intermediates in the relationship between mammalian hosts and
microbial pathogens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97(16):8841-8848.
4. MacMicking J, Xie QW, & Nathan C (1997) Nitric oxide and
macrophage function. *Annual review of immunology* 15:323-350.
5. MacMicking JD*, et al.* (1997) Identification of nitric oxide synthase as a
protective locus against tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
94(10):5243-5248.
6. Nicholson S*, et al.* (1996) Inducible nitric oxide synthase in pulmonary
alveolar macrophages from patients with tuberculosis. *J Exp Med*
183(5):2293-2302.
7. Mattila JT*, et al.* (2013) Microenvironments in tuberculous granulomas
are delineated by distinct populations of macrophage subsets and
expression of nitric oxide synthase and arginase isoforms. *J Immunol*
191(2):773-784.
8. Wang CH*, et al.* (1998) Increased exhaled nitric oxide in active
pulmonary tuberculosis due to inducible NO synthase upregulation in
alveolar macrophages. *Eur Respir J* 11(4):809-815.
9. Schon T*, et al.* (2003) Arginine as an adjuvant to chemotherapy
improves clinical outcome in active tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J*
21(3):483-488.
10. Schon T*, et al.* (2011) Effects of a food supplement rich in arginine in
patients with smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis--a randomised
trial. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 91(5):370-377.
11. Kumar A, Toledo JC, Patel RP, Lancaster JR, Jr., & Steyn AJ (2007)
Mycobacterium tuberculosis DosS is a redox sensor and DosT is a
hypoxia sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104(28):11568-11573.
12. Sardiwal S*, et al.* (2005) A GAF domain in the hypoxia/NO-inducible
Mycobacterium tuberculosis DosS protein binds haem. *J Mol Biol*
353(5):929-936.
13. Cortes T*, et al.* (2017) Delayed effects of transcriptional responses in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis exposed to nitric oxide suggest other
mechanisms involved in survival. *Sci Rep* 7(1):8208.

14.   Shiloh MU, Manzanillo P, & Cox JS (2008) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
senses host-derived carbon monoxide during macrophage infection.
Cell Host Microbe 3(5):323-330.
15.   Park HD*, et al.* (2003) Rv3133c/dosR is a transcription factor that
mediates the hypoxic response of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Mol*
Microbiol 48(3):833-843.
16.   Chinta KC*, et al.* (2016) The emerging role of gasotransmitters in the
pathogenesis of tuberculosis. *Nitric Oxide* 59:28-41.
17.   Huet G, Daffe M, & Saves I (2005) Identification of the *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis SUF machinery as the exclusive mycobacterial system of
[Fe-S] cluster assembly: evidence for its implication in the pathogen's
survival. *J Bacteriol* 187(17):6137-6146.
18.   Singh A*, et al.* (2007) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* WhiB3 responds to
O2 and nitric oxide via its [4Fe-4S] cluster and is essential for nutrient
starvation survival. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104(28):11562-11567.
19.   Mehta M & Singh A (2019) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* WhiB3
maintains redox homeostasis and survival in response to reactive
oxygen and nitrogen species. *Free Radic Biol Med* 131:50-58.
20.   Kudhair BK*, et al.* (2017) Structure of a Wbl protein and implications for
NO sensing by *M. tuberculosis. Nature communications* 8(1):2280.
21.   Hickok JR*, et al.* (2011) Dinitrosyliron complexes are the most
abundant nitric oxide-derived cellular adduct: biological parameters of
assembly and disappearance. *Free Radic Biol Med* 51(8):1558-1566.
22.   Pandey M, Talwar S, Bose S, & Pandey AK (2018) Iron homeostasis in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis is essential for persistence. *Sci Rep*
8(1):17359.
23.   Willemse D*, et al.* (2018) Rv1460, a SufR homologue, is a repressor of
the suf operon in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS One*
13(7):e0200145.
24.   Fang FC (2004) Antimicrobial reactive oxygen and nitrogen species:
concepts and controversies. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2(10):820-832.
25.   Cooper CE (1999) Nitric oxide and iron proteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
1411(2-3):290-309.
26.   Crack JC, Green J, Thomson AJ, & Le Brun NE (2014) Iron-sulfur
clusters as biological sensors: the chemistry of reactions with
molecular oxygen and nitric oxide. *Acc Chem Res* 47(10):3196-3205.
27.   Cook GM, Hards K, Vilcheze C, Hartman T, & Berney M (2014)
Energetics of Respiration and Oxidative Phosphorylation in
Mycobacteria. *Microbiol Spectr* 2(3).
28.   Baughn AD, Garforth SJ, Vilcheze C, & Jacobs WR, Jr. (2009) An
anaerobic-type alpha-ketoglutarate ferredoxin oxidoreductase
completes the oxidative tricarboxylic acid cycle of *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis. PLoS pathogens 5(11):e1000662.
29.   Palde PB*, et al.* (2016) First-in-Class Inhibitors of Sulfur Metabolism
with Bactericidal Activity against Non-Replicating M. tuberculosis. *ACS*
chemical biology 11(1):172-184.
30.   Boshoff HI*, et al.* (2008) Biosynthesis and recycling of nicotinamide
cofactors in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. An essential role for NAD in
nonreplicating bacilli. *The Journal of biological chemistry*
283(28):19329-19341.

31. Buchko GW, Hewitt SN, Napuli AJ, Van Voorhis WC, & Myler PJ
(2011) Solution-state NMR structure and biophysical characterization
of zinc-substituted rubredoxin B (Rv3250c) from *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun* 67(Pt
9):1148-1153.
32. Harper AE, Miller RH, & Block KP (1984) Branched-chain amino acid
metabolism. *Annu Rev Nutr* 4:409-454.
33. Munoz-Elias EJ & McKinney JD (2006) Carbon metabolism of
intracellular bacteria. *Cell Microbiol* 8(1):10-22.
34. Beites T*, et al.* (2019) Plasticity of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
respiratory chain and its impact on tuberculosis drug development.
Nature communications 10(1):4970.
35. Brown AC, Eberl M, Crick DC, Jomaa H, & Parish T (2010) The
nonmevalonate pathway of isoprenoid biosynthesis in *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis is essential and transcriptionally regulated by Dxs. *J*
Bacteriol 192(9):2424-2433.
36. Ren B, Zhang N, Yang J, & Ding H (2008) Nitric oxide-induced
bacteriostasis and modification of iron-sulphur proteins in *Escherichia*
coli. *Mol Microbiol* 70(4):953-964.
37. Tortora V, Quijano C, Freeman B, Radi R, & Castro L (2007)
Mitochondrial aconitase reaction with nitric oxide, S-nitrosoglutathione,
and peroxynitrite: mechanisms and relative contributions to aconitase
inactivation. *Free Radic Biol Med* 42(7):1075-1088.
38. Lill R (2009) Function and biogenesis of iron-sulphur proteins. *Nature*
460(7257):831-838.
39. Zhang J & Zhang Q (2019) Using Seahorse Machine to Measure OCR
and ECAR in Cancer Cells. *Methods Mol Biol* 1928:353-363.
40. Dranka BP, Hill BG, & Darley-Usmar VM (2010) Mitochondrial reserve
capacity in endothelial cells: The impact of nitric oxide and reactive
oxygen species. *Free Radic Biol Med* 48(7):905-914.
41. Bhaskar A*, et al.* (2014) Reengineering redox sensitive GFP to
measure mycothiol redox potential of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
during infection. *PLoS pathogens* 10(1):e1003902.
42. Mishra R*, et al.* (2019) Targeting redox heterogeneity to counteract
drug tolerance in replicating *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Sci Transl*
Med 11(518).
43. Li Y & He ZG (2012) The mycobacterial LysR-type regulator OxyS
responds to oxidative stress and negatively regulates expression of the
catalase-peroxidase gene. *PLoS One* 7(1):e30186.
44. Gomez RL*, et al.* (2016) The multiple stress responsive transcriptional
regulator Rv3334 of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is an autorepressor
and a positive regulator of kstR. *FEBS J* 283(16):3056-3071.
45. Smith LJ*, et al.* (2010) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* WhiB1 is an
essential DNA-binding protein with a nitric oxide-sensitive iron-sulfur
cluster. *The Biochemical journal* 432(3):417-427.
46. Leistikow RL*, et al.* (2010) The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DosR
regulon assists in metabolic homeostasis and enables rapid recovery
from nonrespiring dormancy. *J Bacteriol* 192(6):1662-1670.

47. Tamuhla T, Joubert L, Willemse D, & Williams MJ (2020) SufT is required for growth of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* under iron limiting conditions. *Microbiology* 166(3):296-305.

48. MacMicking JD, Taylor GA, & McKinney JD (2003) Immune control of tuberculosis by IFN-gamma-inducible LRG-47. *Science* 302(5645):654-659.

49. Crack JC*, et al.* (2009) Characterization of [4Fe-4S]-containing and cluster-free forms of Streptomyces WhiD. *Biochemistry* 48(51):12252-12264.

50. Imlay JA (2006) Iron-sulphur clusters and the problem with oxygen. *Mol Microbiol* 59(4):1073-1082.

51. Freibert SA*, et al.* (2018) Biochemical Reconstitution and Spectroscopic Analysis of Iron-Sulfur Proteins. *Methods Enzymol* 599:197-226.

52. Cruz-Ramos H*, et al.* (2002) NO sensing by FNR: regulation of the Escherichia coli NO-detoxifying flavohaemoglobin, Hmp. *EMBO J* 21(13):3235-3244.

53. Voskuil MI, Bartek IL, Visconti K, & Schoolnik GK (2011) The response of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. *Front Microbiol* 2:105.

54. Mishra S*, et al.* (2017) Efficacy of beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor combination is linked to WhiB4-mediated changes in redox physiology of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Elife* 6.

55. Cortes T*, et al.* (2013) Genome-wide mapping of transcriptional start sites defines an extensive leaderless transcriptome in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Cell Rep* 5(4):1121-1131.

56. Mettert EL & Kiley PJ (2015) How Is Fe-S Cluster Formation Regulated? *Annu Rev Microbiol* 69:505-526.

57. Jones-Carson J*, et al.* (2020) Nitric oxide disrupts bacterial cytokinesis by poisoning purine metabolism. *Sci Adv* 6(9):eaaz0260.

58. Jones-Carson J*, et al.* (2014) Nitric oxide from IFNgamma-primed macrophages modulates the antimicrobial activity of beta-lactams against the intracellular pathogens Burkholderia pseudomallei and Nontyphoidal Salmonella. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 8(8):e3079.

59. Jash S & Adhya S (2015) Effects of Transient Hypoxia versus Prolonged Hypoxia on Satellite Cell Proliferation and Differentiation In Vivo. *Stem Cells Int* 2015:961307.

60. Desler C*, et al.* (2012) Is There a Link between Mitochondrial Reserve Respiratory Capacity and Aging? *J Aging Res* 2012:192503.

61. Tyagi P, Dharmaraja AT, Bhaskar A, Chakrapani H, & Singh A (2015) *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* has diminished capacity to counteract redox stress induced by elevated levels of endogenous superoxide. *Free Radic Biol Med* 84:344-354.

62. Kurthkoti K*, et al.* (2017) The Capacity of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* To Survive Iron Starvation Might Enable It To Persist in Iron-Deprived Microenvironments of Human Granulomas. *mBio* 8(4).

63. Van den Bossche A*, et al.* (2019) Transcriptional profiling of a laboratory and clinical *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strain suggests respiratory poisoning upon exposure to delamanid. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 117:18-23.

64. Kumar M*, et al.* (2011) Identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
genes preferentially expressed during human infection. *Microb Pathog*
50(1):31-38.
65. Rybniker J*, et al.* (2014) The cysteine desulfurase IscS of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis is involved in iron-sulfur cluster biogenesis
and oxidative stress defence. *The Biochemical journal* 459(3):467-478.
66. Gerrick ER*, et al.* (2018) Small RNA profiling in *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis identifies MrsI as necessary for an anticipatory iron
sparing response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115(25):6464-6469.
67. Li H & Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with
Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25(14):1754-1760.
68. Li H*, et al.* (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics 25(16):2078-2079.
69. Quinlan AR & Hall IM (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for
comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26(6):841-842.
70. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, & Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a
Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital
gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26(1):139-140.
71. Tian J, Bryk R, Itoh M, Suematsu M, & Nathan C (2005) Variant
tricarboxylic acid cycle in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: identification of
alpha-ketoglutarate decarboxylase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
102(30):10670-10675.
72. Maxam AM & Gilbert W (1980) Sequencing end-labeled DNA with
base-specific chemical cleavages. *Methods Enzymol* 65(1):499-560.

Figures with legends:

 Figure 1: Nitric oxide (NO) impacts Fe-S cluster transcriptome of *Mtb*.

 Exponentially grown *Mtb* H$_{37}$Rv was exposed to 0.5 mM DETA-NO for 4h in

 three biological replicates. Total RNA was isolated from untreated (UT) and

 DETA-NO treated (T) *Mtb* and subjected to RNA-seq analysis. Heat maps

 indicate log2 fold changes of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) belonging

 to various functional categories (obtained from Mycobrowser, EPFL,

 Lausanne). Genes were considered differentially expressed on the basis of

 the false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤0.05 and absolute fold change of ≥1.5.

 BCCA: branched chain amino acid; BCKADH: branched chain keto-acid

 dehydrogenase complex.

Figure 2: NO affects Fe-S homeostasis and bioenergetics of *Mtb*. a)
Gradual reduction of aconitase (Acn) activity in response to 0.5 mM DETA-NO
over time. At 12 h post-treatment, *Mtb* was cultured in a DETA-NO free 7H9
broth for 24h and recovery (Rec) of Acn activity was quantified as a measure
of Fe-S cluster regeneration. Under these conditions, intracellular levels of
Acn remained unaltered. A non Fe-S cluster protein cystathione-β-synthase

(Cbs) of *Mtb* was used as an internal loading control. **b)** A change in Oxygen Consumption Rate (OCR) was quantified upon addition of indicated concentrations of DETA-NO by Seahorse XF flux analyser. The uncoupler CCCP was used to maximally induce respiration and to determine the influence of NO on the spare respiratory capacity (SRC). The first and second vertical lines indicate the point of addition of DETA-NO and CCCP, respectively. **c)** Extracellular Acidification Rate (ECAR) of DETA-NO treated *Mtb*. **d)** Decrease in SRC of *Mtb* with increasing concentrations of DETA-NO. Percentage SRC was calculated by subtracting basal OCR (before adding DETA-NO) to CCCP induced OCR considering basal OCR as 100%. **e**) *Mtb* expressing Mrx1-roGFP2 was exposed to the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO and changes in the biosensor ratio (405/488 nm) were measured using flowcytometer. **f)** *Mtb* treated with the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO for 2 h was stained with CellRox Deep Red reagent to measure endogenous ROS. Results are expressed as Mean ± SD. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to determine statistical significance between different doses of DETA-NO. Data shown are the result of three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *p* value is <0.05 were indicated with symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to untreated *Mtb* control. Statistical significance for the OCR and ECAR was obtained by comparing different doses of DETA-NO with untreated, Comparisons whose P value is <0.05 were indicated with different symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to 0.25mM; (*): comparison to 0.5mM; and (*): comparison to 1.0 mM */*/* p<0.05; **/**/** p<0.01; ***/***/*** p<0.001. ns, no significant difference (P > 0.05).

1054

Figure 3: Transcriptome of *MtbΔsufR* in response to NO. Total RNA was isolated from three biological replicates of untreated (UT) and DETA-NO treated (T) *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* and subjected to RNA-seq analysis. Heat maps indicate log2 fold changes of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) belonging to various functional categories (obtained from Mycobrowser, EPFL, Lausanne). Genes were considered differentially expressed on the basis of the false discovery rate (FDR) of ≤0.05 and absolute fold change of ≥1.5. "T" and "UT" indicate DETA-NO treated and untreated conditions, respectively. BCCA: branched chain amino acid.

1064

Figure 4: SufR is required to maintain Fe-S cluster homeostasis, respiratory reserves, and redox balance in response to NO. a) Acn activity of *MtbΔsufR* in response to DETA-NO over time. At 12 h post-treatment, *MtbΔsufR* was cultured in a DETA-NO free 7H9 broth for 24h and

recovery (Rec) of Acn activity was quantified as a measure of Fe-S cluster

repair. Under these conditions, intracellular levels of Acn remained unaltered.

A non Fe-S cluster protein cystathione-β-synthase (Cbs) was used as an

internal loading control. **b)** A change in OCR of *MtbΔsufR* was quantified upon

addition of indicated concentrations of DETA-NO by Seahorse XF flux

analyser. The uncoupler CCCP was used to maximally induce respiration and

to determine the influence of NO on the spare respiratory capacity (SRC). The

first and second vertical lines indicate point of addition of DETA-NO and

CCCP, respectively. **c)** Decrease in SRC of wt *Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* with

increasing concentrations of DETA-NO. **d)** ECAR of DETA-NO-treated

MtbΔsufR. **e**) *Wt Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* expressing Mrx1-roGFP2 were exposed

to the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO and changes in the biosensor

ratio (405/488 nm) were measured using flowcytometry. **f)** Wt *Mtb* and

MtbΔsufR treated with the indicated concentrations of DETA-NO for 2 h was

stained with CellRox Deep Red reagent to measure endogenous ROS. Data

shown are the result of three independent experiments performed in triplicate

(mean ± S.D.). One/two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable with

Bonferroni's post hoc test was employed to determine statistical significance

between different doses of DETA-NO. *p* value is <0.05 were indicated with

symbols. Symbols: (*): comparison to untreated *Mtb* control, (#): comparision

to untreated *MtbΔsufR*. Statistical significance for the OCR and ECAR was

obtained by comparing different doses of DETA-NO with untreated,

Comparisons whose P value is <0.05 were indicated with different symbols.

Symbols: (*): comparison to 0.25mM; (*): comparison to 0.5mM; and (*):

comparison to 1.0 mM */*/*/# p<0.05; **/**/**/## p<0.01; ***/***/*** p<0.001.

Figure 5: SufR is required for recovery from NO-induced growth arrest *in vitro* and NO-dependent persistence *in vivo*. a) Wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* were exposed to indicated doses of DETA-NO for 24h and survival was monitored by enumerating CFUs. b) Wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR,* and *sufR-Comp* were either left untreated (UT) or treated (T) with repeated doses of 0.1 mM of DETA-NO for 36 h at an interval of 6 h and growth was monitored over time. **c)** *Mtb* strains expressing CRISPRi vectors without (vector control) or with *sufT*-specific guide RNA (sgRNA) were left untreated (UT) or treated (T) with 0.1 mM of DETA-NO at an interval of 6 h for 36 h and growth was monitored over time**. d**) IFNγ-LPS-activated Raw264.7 cells were infected with wt *Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR -Comp* strains at moi 10 and intramacrophage survival was monitored by enumerating CFUS at the indicated time points. Data are the results of two independent experiments performed in triplicates. **e)** Inbred BALB/c mice (*n* = 6) were given an aerosol challenge with *wt Mtb*, *MtbΔsufR* and *sufR-Comp* and assessed for survival in

lungs at indicated time points. Results are expressed as mean ± standard

deviation (SD). f) C57BL/6 (n=5), iNOS$^{-/-}$ knockout (n=5) mice were given an

aerosol challenge with *wt Mtb* and *MtbΔsufR* and assessed for survival in

lungs at indicated time points. Statistical significance for the intramacrophage

and pulmonic load was obtained by comparing different strains, Comparisons

whose *P* value is <0.05 were indicated with different symbols. Symbols: (*):

comparison to *wt Mtb*; (#): comparison to *sufR -comp*. */# $p<0.05$; **/##

$p<0.01$; ***/### $p<0.001$.

1119

1120

f.

Chemical assay of non-heme iron and acid-labile sulfide of holo-SufR				
	Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Average
Iron (µM)	300.29±32[b]	295.88±11[c]	259.12±25[d]	291.76±29.27
Sulfide (µM)	287.36±40[b]	286.71±28[b]	277.36±76[d]	285.11±38.46
Protein (µM)	80	80	80	80
Iron/Protein[a]	3.75±0.4	3.7±0.14	3.23±0.32	3.64±0.4
Sulfide/protein[a]	3.59±0.5	3.58±0.35	3.47±0.95	3.54±0.5
Fe:S	1.05±0.08	1.08±0.09	0.95±0.17	1.03±0.1

[a] With reference to the monomer of SufR
[b] Average of four
[c] Average of three
[d] Average of two

1121

Figure 6: *Mtb* SufR is dimeric and coordinates 4Fe-4S cluster. (a)

Anaerobically-purified SufR was subjected to UV-visible spectroscopy. A clear

peak at 413 nm indicates presence of a 4Fe-4S cluster. Addition of one

electron reducing agent, dithionite (DTH; 5 mM) caused rapid bleaching of

color and loss of the peak at 413 nm. Inset: **i)** Ni^{2+}-NTA beads free of bound

protein, **ii)** dark brown colored Ni^{2+}-NTA beads due to binding of holo-SufR

under anaerobic condition, and **iii)** anaerobically purified holo-SufR exhibiting

dark brown color. **(b)** Chromatographic profiles of apo- and holo-SufR eluting

from a Superdex 200 HR gel filtration column. (Inset: standard curve

generated from a linear fit of the log molecular weight of the protein standards

versus their elution parameter V_e/V_o where, V_e is the elution volume and V_o is

void volume). Both apo- and holo-SufR eluted at a volume corresponding to a

molecular mass of ~54 kDa. (c) Holo-SufR and cysteine mutants of SufR (M1:

C175A, M2: C175A_C179A; and M3: C175A_C179A_C192A) were purified

anaerobically and scanned by a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The M2 and M3

mutants of SufR showed absence of absorbance at 413 nm indicating loss of

4Fe-4S cluster. (d) A far-UV CD spectrum of holo-SufR from 200 nm to 250

nm wavelength. **(e)** Near-UV CD spectra of apo- and holo- SufR. (**f**) Chemical

estimation of Fe and S content in holo-SufR.

1141

1142

1143

Figure 7: Fig 5: The *Mtb* SufR Fe-S cluster responds to NO and H₂O₂. (a)

UV-visible spectra were acquired before and after addition of proline

NONOate (2.5 mM). A time dependent increase in the characteristic

monomeric DNIC peak at ~ 350 nm was observed in the NO-treated sample.

b) Holo-SufR was exposed to various concentrations of proline NONOate (1,

5, and 10 -fold molar excess) and EPR spectra were acquired at a microwave

frequency of 9.667 GHz and microwave power of 2 mW at liquid nitrogen

temperature. The peak at 2.025 *g* is consistent with the formation of

monomeric DNIC. **(c)** Holo-sufR was exposed to atmospheric O₂ by bubbling

air through the sample for 2 min. UV-visible spectra were acquired before and

after exposure at various time intervals as indicated. **(d)** Holo-SufR was

treated with a fixed concentration of H_2O_2 (1 mM) and absorbance was

monitored over time. The gradual decrease in absorbance around 413 nm

indicates loss of Fe-S cluster. (Inset **c, d**) Rate of the 4Fe-4S cluster loss was

determined by calculating the percent loss of absorbance at 413 nm upon

exposure to air and H_2O_2 at various time intervals.

1160

1161

1162

Figure 8: NO and H$_2$O$_2$ trigger loss of SufR binding to the promoter of the

suf **operon. (a)** EMSA of holo- and apo-SufR binding to ^{32}P-labelled *suf*

promoter DNA fragment (0.5 nM). The concentrations of holo- and apo-SufR

used for EMSAs were 0.125 µM, 0.25 µM, 0.5 µM, 1 µM, and 2 µM. Holo

SufR (0.5 µM) was pretreated with **b)** proline NONOate (NO; 2.5-, 5-, and 10-

fold molar excess) or **(c)** H$_2$O$_2$ (25, 50, 75, 100, 250, 500, 1000 and 5000 nM)

and were incubated with ^{32}P-labelled *suf* promoter. **(d)** Holo SufR (0.5 µM)

was incubated with ^{32}P-labelled *suf* promoter and DNA binding was competed

out using 12.5-, 25-, 50-, and 100-fold molar excess of unlabelled competitor

promoter fragment of *suf* operon and *blaC.* (e) Effect of holo- and apo-SufR

on transcription from *suf* promoter *in vitro*. Single-round transcription assays

show that RNAP-σA efficiently directs transcription from the *suf* promoter

giving an expected product of 107 bp. Increasing concentrations of holo-SufR

inhibit transcription. **f)** Apo-SufR was completely ineffective in inhibiting

transcription. **g)** Holo SufR (0.25 µM) pre-treated with proline NONOate (NO;

2.5-, and 5 -fold molar excess) stimulated transcription in a dose dependent

manner. The graph below indicates densitometry analysis of the *suf* transcript

(*n*=2). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's post hoc test

1181   was employed to determine statistical significance. Statistical significance was

1182   obtained by comparing with SufR untreated.   '*' p<0.05 '**' p<0.01 '***'

1183   p<0.001.

1184

KA10t: 5'- AATTTTGTCACACTGATGTTGTGAAAATCCC -3'
KA11t: 3'- TTAAAACAGTGTGACTACAACACTTTTAGGG-5'

Figure 9: DNase I footprint of holo-SufR bound to *suf* promoter: Sequence upstream of the *suf* operon (KA10t and its complementary strand-

KA11t) were used for DNase I footprint. Bold letter **G** in the sequence is the

transcription start site (TSS) as well start codon for *Mtb* SufR**. (a)** KA10t was

labeled with ^{32}P and annealed with cold KA11t. **(b)** KA11t was labeled with

^{32}P and annealed with cold KA10 in order to examine the protected region in

the reverse strand. Reactions containing 5 nM ^{32}P-labeled dsDNA substrate

were incubated either in absence or in the presence of increasing

concentration of purified holo-SufR protein (125 nM, 250 nM, 500 nM, 1 µM,).

0.05U of DNase I was added to the reaction mixture and digestion was carried

out for 2 mins at room temperature. A+G is Maxam and Gilbert sequencing

ladder. DNase I protected nucleotides are marked with red and two inverted

repeats are marked by arrow (blue and black). (**c-e**) EMSA was performed

with wild type (KA10t and KA11t) and oligonucleotides with mutations in the

inverted repeats (KA10tm1, KA11tm1, KA10tm2 and KA11tm2) at increasing

concentrations of NaCl at two different concentrations of SufR (0.25 µM and

0.5 µM).

1203

1204

Fig. 10. SufR regulates Fe-S cluster homeostasis in *Mtb*. SufR appears to

coordinate the expression of the *suf* operon in a negative feedback loop.

Under Fe sufficient conditions, the Fe-S cluster bound form of SufR (holo-

SufR) binds to the promoter of the *suf* operon and represses Fe-S biogenesis.

Exposure to NO or H_2O_2 damages Fe-S clusters to generate DNIC or apo-

forms of SufR, respectively, which abrogates SufR DNA binding resulting in

de-repression of the *suf* operon. The elevated expression of the SUF Fe-S

assembly system regenerates Fe-S clusters of metabolic enzymes,

respiratory complexes, and redox sensors to maintain Fe-S homeostasis,

spare respiratory capacity, and redox balance. The NO sensing properties of

SufR via its 4Fe-4S cluster and persistence defect exhibited by *MtbΔsufR* in

mice in an iNOS-dependent manner suggest that SufR integrates host

environmental signals to Fe-S cluster homeostasis in *Mtb*.