Model-based prediction of bacterial population dynamics in gastrointestinal infection

Janina K. Geißert[1¶], Erwin Bohn[1¶], Reihaneh Mostolizadeh[4,5,6¶*], Andreas Dräger[4,5,6¶*], Ingo B. Autenrieth[1,6], Sina Beier[5], Oliver Deusch[7], Martin Eichner[2,3] and Monika S. Schütz[1,6¶*]

[1] Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, University Hospital Tübingen, Elfriede-Aulhorn-Str. 6, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

[2] Epimos GmbH, Uhlandstraße 3, 72144 Dußlingen, Germany

[3] Institute for Clinical Epidemiology and Applied Biometry, University of Tübingen, Silcherstraße 5, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

[4] Computational Systems Biology of Infection and Antimicrobial-Resistant Pathogens, Institute for Bioinformatics and Medical Informatics (IBMI), University of Tübingen, Sand 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

[5] Department of Computer Science, University of Tübingen, Sand 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

[6] German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), partner site Tübingen, Germany

[7] CEMET GmbH, Eisenbahnstr. 63, 72072 Tübingen, Germany

[*] Corresponding authors

E-mail: monika.schuetz@med.uni-tuebingen.de (MS), draeger@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de (AD), mostoli@informatik.uni-tuebingen.de (RM)

[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

The complex interplay of a pathogen with the host immune response and the endogenous microbiome determines the course and outcome of gastrointestinal infection (GI). Expansion of a pathogen within the gastrointestinal tract implies an increased risk to develop systemic infection. Through computational modeling, we aimed to calculate bacterial population dynamics in GI in order to predict infection course and outcome. For the implementation and parameterization of the model, oral mouse infection experiments with *Yersinia enterocolitica* were used. Our model takes into account pathogen specific characteristics, such as virulence, as well as host properties, such as microbial colonization resistance or immune responses. We were able to confirm the model calculations in these scenarios by experimental mouse infections and show that it is possible to computationally predict the infection course. Far future clinical application of computational modeling of infections may pave the way for personalized treatment and prevention strategies of GI.

Introduction

Gastrointestinal infection is a frequent disease that causes significant morbidity and economic burden (Dautzenberg et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2019). Being self-resolving in most cases, symptomatic treatment (e.g. rehydration) is sufficient for otherwise healthy individuals.

In contrast, gastrointestinal tract (GIT) infection can cause high morbidity and even fatal disease in healthcare settings and in specific populations such as newborns, elderly and immunocompromised individuals. According to the OECD Health Report, 2016-2017, ~ 9 % of healthcare-associated infections were related to the GIT (OECD/European Union Paris/European Union, (2018)). At present it is not possible to reliably identify patients who are at risk of developing a fatal systemic disease, and we do not have personalized prevention strategies. Thus, it would be desirable to develop a means to identify high-risk individuals and to use this knowledge to stratify patient treatment.

In recent years the benefit of computational methods to improve patient treatment has been recognized and

we know that the efficacy of drug treatment is highly variable between individuals. Numerous host

factors, such as the composition of the microbiota, can significantly influence the success of a treatment

(Guthrie & Kelly, 2019). Therefore, computational approaches are being developed that integrate

available patient data to derive personalized and improved therapy guidelines (Shameer et al., 2015; Toh

et al., 2019). Related to such approaches, we asked whether we could use computational modeling to

predict the population dynamics of an enteropathogen within the GIT, and thereby predict the infection

course and its likely outcome. With the ability to integrate the host and pathogen specific properties that

the most influence the course and outcome of GIT infection (i.e., virulence factors expressed by the

pathogen, presence of a microbiota, immune competence), such a model could be a helpful tool to identify

individuals at particularly increased risk of developing a fatal disease.

To tackle our question, we chose a mouse model of infection that makes the abovementioned factors

accessible and modifiable. Experimental mouse infections were used to generate a dataset to build up,

parametrize, and evaluate the model. *Yersinia enterocolitica* (Ye) was employed as a model

enteropathogen because it has well studied virulence factors. The most important ones are the adhesin

YadA, which mediates attachment to host cells (El Tahir & Skurnik, 2001), and the type three secretion

system (T3SS), which mediates immune evasion (Cornelis, 2002; Ruckdeschel et al., 1996). Both

contribute to the efficient colonization of the intestinal tract which elicits an inflammatory response that

leads to a reduction of density and complexity of the commensal microbiota (Lupp et al., 2007; Stecher et

al., 2007). Strains deficient for either YadA or a functional T3SS were used to modulate the virulence of

Ye and to find out whether these traits affect the infection course. To mimic host microbiota deficiency,

we used germfree (GF) mice. As model for an immune-compromised host, we used $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice, which

are strongly impaired in their antimicrobial immune responses.

Our study provides proof-of-concept that it is possible to create a computational model of gastrointestinal

infection and underlines the validity of such approaches. To create our model, a reasonable knowledge

about the infection biology of the causative pathogen was essential. In addition, the accurate definition and

determination of parameter values were crucial. In the future, sophisticated computational models could

be developed and applied in clinical routine to identify high-risk patients and to stratify their treatment in

function of the specific properties of the individual patient and the causative pathogen. Moreover, such

models will contribute to stimulate new hypotheses and provide novel mechanistic insights into the course

of gastrointestinal infections.

Results

The final aim of this study was to create a computational model that can predict the course of a GIT

infection by calculating the colony forming units (CFU) in feces as a surrogate. Our model development

process consisted of 6 steps: **Step 1** was the creation of a primary experimental dataset for Ye population

dynamics in a host harboring a diverse microbiota and an intact immune response, i.e. C57BL/6J wild type

mice with a specific pathogen-free (SPF) microbiota. In **step 2**, based on the data from step 1, we

generated hypotheses about Ye population dynamics in the absence of microbiota and in an

immunocompromised host. **Step 3** was to define the most critical interactions between Ye, the host

immune system, and the microbiota. **Step 4** was the mathematical description of Ye population dynamics,

and **step 5** included the experimental determination of specific parameter values and the calibration for

parameters with unknown values. Finally, in s**tep 6**, we evaluated the model output by comparison to the

experimental data that were obtained by infections of immunocompetent SPF wild type mice, of a host

lacking microbiota (C57BL/6J germfree (GF) mice), and of an immunocompromised host with a diverse

microbiota (C57BL/6J $MyD88^{-/-}$ SPF mice).

(**Step 1**): C57BL/6J wild type SPF mice were infected with a 1:1 mixture of the Ye wild type (wt) strain

and either the Ye YadA0 mutant strain, which lacks the adhesin YadA, or the Ye T3S0 mutant strain,

which is impaired in type three secretion. We then determined the bacterial counts of Ye wt and the co-

infected mutant strains in feces by serial plating on selective media (**Fig. 1A, C**). We found that the Ye wt

strain was able to stably colonize the GIT of all animals over the entire observation period of 14 days. In

contrast, the bacterial counts of both the Ye YadA0 and the T3S0 mutant strains never reached Ye wt

levels and dropped below our limit of detection on 10 dpi. The competitive indices show the reduced

virulence of Ye YadA0 and Ye T3S0 compared to wild type (**Fig. 1B, D**). We recorded the individual

body weight of animals, as a sustainable weight loss is a sign of severe infection and fatal outcome. We

found that three (out of 14) animals in the Ye wt : Ye YadA0 and four in the Ye wt : Ye T3S0 coinfection

group significantly lost weight from 3 dpi on, while the mean change in body weight of all other mice

slightly increased or remained static (**Fig. S1A and B;** suppl. files can be found after the references

section). The mean gain of weight of uninfected animals over a time-course of 14 days was ~7 g during

previous studies. Infected animals that were not affected by weight-loss gained weight at a comparable

level. Thus, we do not assume that those animals were suffering from severe infection. The most striking

difference between Ye wt : Ye YadA0 and Ye wt : Ye T3S0 coinfections was that the bacterial counts of

the Ye T3S0 strain peaked later and at considerably lower levels compared to both Ye wt, and the Ye

YadA0 mutant strain.

Figure 1. Ye population dynamics during coinfection of SPF-colonized mice. (A) Colony forming units (CFU) in feces of individual animals (n = 14) and the median thereof after oral 1:1 coinfection of C57BL/6J SPF mice with a Ye wild type (wt) strain and an attenuated mutant strain lacking the *Yersinia* adhesin A (Ye YadA0). The limit of detection is indicated by a dashed line. **(B)** The competitive index (CI) of the Ye wt : Ye YadA0 coinfection was calculated as indicated. A negative CI is indicative of an attenuation of the mutant strain. **(C)** CFU in feces of individual mice after coinfection with Ye wt and a mutant being impaired in type three secretion (Ye T3S0). **(D)** CI of the Ye at Ye wt : Ye T3S0 coinfection.

In summary, these data indicate that the pleiotropic functions of YadA and the effector functions mediated

by the T3SS seem to be crucial for effective immune evasion and colonization of the GIT in the presence

of a complex microbiome and an immunocompetent host. This effect has been shown in coinfection for

the first time but has been demonstrated previously in oral single-infections using the YadA deficient

strain and in coinfections with a strain lacking the single effector protein Yop H (Dave et al., 2016; Di

Genaro et al., 2003). The body weight development indicates that, at later time points of infection, a kind

of balanced state might be reached again, although Ye wt still colonizes the GIT at high CFUs.

In **step 2**, based on the results of step 1, we inferred Ye population dynamics in a host lacking microbiota

and in an immunocompromised host (**Fig. 2**). We know that in SPF wild type animals (**Fig. 2A and B**) Ye

elicits an innate immune response, leading to an increased antimicrobial peptide (AMP) and cytokine

production as well as infiltration of professional phagocytes into the mucosal site (Handley et al., 2004;

Pepe et al., 1995) (**Fig. S2**). This immune response more strikingly affects the endogenous microbiota and

reduces its density and complexity (**Fig. S3**), especially in locations close to the epithelium. In the

following, we refer to these locations as the mucosal compartment, comprising the mucosa, the

epithelium, and the gut-associated lymphatic tissues, such as the Peyers Patches (PP) and the overlying

microfold cells (M-cells).

Figure 2. Schematic overview of the presumed infection progression after coinfection of different mouse models with Ye wt and mutant strains. (A) Scheme of the small intestine of SPF-colonized C57BL/6J wildtype mice during homeostasis (left), after initial disturbance (mid) and expected outcome after coinfection with a 1:1 mixture of Ye wt and an attenuated mutant strain. Initially, the gut lumen in SPF mice is densely colonized with a complex microbiota. Ye infection, associated with an infiltration of microfold cells (M-cells) mainly conducted by the wt strain, leads to an unspecific antimicrobial immune response accompanied by the release of phagocytic cells into the gut lumen and augmented expression of antimicrobial proteins (AMPs, Reg3γ, defensins) by epithelial cells. Both the antimicrobial response and inflammation affect at least parts of the microbiota and reduce its complexity

and density. Whereas Ye wt can counteract phagocytosis by injection of effectors into immune cells and thereby kills them, the Ye mutant strain is more susceptible to phagocytosis and killing by immune cells and thus is finally outcompeted 14 days after infection onset. **(B)** Schematic overview of expected Ye wt and mutant CFU in feces during the infection course (upper diagram) and the presumed strength of host immune response and colonization resistance (CR; bottom diagram). **(C)** In germ-free (GF) mice that lack a microbiota that confers CR and harbor an immature immune system, Ye wt, and mutant strain are both able to colonize the gut lumen and do not necessarily need to enter a mucosa-near site to colonize the gut effectively. This leads only to weak antimicrobial responses that Ye can cope with, without the necessity to possess specific virulence traits (such as YadA or a functional T3SS). This results in comparable numbers of wt and mutant strains at the end of the observation period. **(D)** Presumed CFUs of Ye wt and mutant strain in feces of GF mice (upper diagram). The immune responses in GF animals are less potent as compared to C57BL/6J wild type mice while microbial CR is absent (bottom diagram). **(E)** In SPF-colonized $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice we assume that the strongly limited immune reaction does not significantly affect the CR that is mediated by the endogenous microbiota. This will presumably result in a lower overall Ye cell count in the gut compared to the SPF wild type and GF mice. The immune deficiency entails an almost contingent infection outcome (right panel) resulting in either comparable numbers of the Ye wt and the mutant strain or one of the strains being more abundant at two days after infection. Please note that the infection course in the $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice has to be monitored for a shorter period due to adherence to animal welfare regulations. **(F)** The presumed coincidental CFU development in feces is illustrated by overlapping, shaded areas (upper diagram). Limited immune responses are reducing CR to a low level (bottom diagram).

Consequently, Ye can colonize and replicate in the mucosal compartment if able to resist the host immune defense. As this compartment has a specific capacity, Ye cells that exceed this capacity drain into the lumen and finally end up in measurable CFUs in feces. Since only the Ye wt strain can cope adequately with the attack of phagocytes, both a YadA- and a T3SS-deficient strain will be quickly eliminated despite initial colonization as experimentally observed by us (**Fig. 1**) and others (Cornelis, 2002; Pepe et al., 1995; Ruckdeschel et al., 1996).

The situation is different in a host lacking a microbiota (**Fig. 2C and D**). In the absence of competing

microbiota in GF mice, both the Ye wt and the mutant strain can expand within the lumen of the GIT

(which will be termed the luminal compartment in the following) without the need to enter a mucosa-near

site to colonize the gut (**Fig. 2D**). The innate immune response to Ye is presumably less intense than in

SPF mice, because the immune system is not developed correctly in the absence of microbiota

(Macpherson & Harris, 2004; Round & Mazmanian, 2009) (**Fig. 2D and Fig. S2**). Still, mutant strains

will be eliminated more efficiently compared to Ye wt. This might lead to a slow reduction of the mutant

strain at late time points after infection. Taken together, due to the lack of microbiome in GF mice, we

assume that both, the Ye wt and the mutant strain, will colonize the GIT at high numbers.

In an immunodeficient host (i.e., *MyD88*$^{-/-}$ mice), harboring a diverse microbiota (**Fig. 2E and F**), we

expect a faster progression of infection (Bhinder et al., 2014; Friedrich et al., 2017; Gibson et al., 2008;

Lebeis et al., 2007). Additionally, we anticipate an amelioration of the difference between Ye wt and

mutant strain CFUs during the infection course, because a better colonization of Ye wt is mostly the result

of its ability to survive the host immune reaction. As the immune system is only weakly active here,

having the full capacity of immune evasion mechanisms is no longer a clear advantage for the Ye wt

strain. Thus, we hypothesize that coinfection can result in different outcomes (wild type + mutant, only

mutant, or only wild type detectable).

In step 3, based on our hypotheses described above, we devised the most critical interactions among Ye,

the host immune system and the microbiota upon host entry and their impact on Ye population dynamics.

These interactions will later be included in the model and described mathematically:

(A) Population dynamics in the mucosal compartment: after oral coinfection with a Ye wt and a mutant

strain, both enter the lumen of the small intestine (SI). A portion of these Ye is then able to enter an extra-

luminal location, the "mucosal compartment." If not attached to surfaces within the SI, bacteria will be

transported towards the colon due to peristalsis. Within the colon, water will be reabsorbed from the

intestinal content, and all bacteria finally end up in feces. Both the retention time and the replication rate

of the bacteria determine how many bacteria will be detected in feces at a distinct time point. As Ye cells

presumably have a lower replication rate than the endogenous microbiota, their CFU in feces would

rapidly decline compared to that of the commensals should they fail to establish a replicating population

within the SI. However, experimental data show that the Ye CFU per g of content in the SI at a later time

point of infection (7 dpi) is relatively high, especially in the distal part of the SI (**Fig. S4**), and we have

hints that there actually does exist a niche within the GIT that can be colonized by Ye (**Fig. S5**). We

hypothesize that Ye located in the mucosal compartment can resist removal by peristalsis and can even

replicate. Since this compartment would have a restricted capacity only, one model assumption is that all

Ye cells exceeding this capacity will re-enter and feed the luminal populations and contribute to the CFU

in feces.

Bacterial interactions in the mucosal compartment: Ye expresses several virulence factors that facilitate

efficient immune evasion. This capability is especially important in the mucosal compartment, where the

number of immune cells and the concentration of AMPs are high. Therefore, we assume that Ye can

proliferate in the mucosal compartment, which is also colonized by a small number of commensal

bacteria. The growth dynamics of both Ye and commensal bacteria are determined by their initial numbers

and their distinct growth rates. We assume that the endogenous microbiome in total has a higher growth

rate compared to Ye because the microbiome members are rather diverse and do not necessarily compete

for nutrients or suitable niches. Importantly, the combined number of all bacterial populations in the

mucosal compartment is restricted by a fixed capacity. Hence, Ye and members of the microbiota compete

for colonization of this compartment, and further expansion of the population is only possible if the

capacity limit has not been reached yet.

(B) The influence of the immune system: host immunity involves humoral and cellular factors. For the

sake of simplicity, we summarized all host defense activities in one abstract immune action that only

affects the mucosal compartment, but is negligible in the luminal compartment. We hypothesize that the

presence of Ye in the mucosal compartment activates the immune system. This activation increases

proportionally to the number of Ye cells. As only the Ye wt strain has a full arsenal of virulence factors

that allow efficient immune evasion, we assume that the Ye mutant strains and commensal bacteria are

much more susceptible to killing by the immune system than the wild type.

(C) Population dynamics in the luminal compartment: most of the Ye applied orally during the initiation

of infection enter the luminal compartment already populated with microbiota. We assume the same

bacterial growth rates in the luminal and mucosal compartment and set a limit to the total bacterial

capacity of the lumen. Moreover, this capacity is conceivably larger than that of the mucosal site. The

CFU of Ye in the luminal compartment over time is - as in the mucosal compartment - determined by the

initial quantity of Ye and a distinct growth rate. Additionally, bacteria that exceed the capacity of the

mucosal compartment spill over into the luminal compartment and thereby contribute to the CFU in the

lumen. We summarized and depicted all our considerations in **Fig. 3.**

Figure 3. Schematic depiction of the model composition and interaction networks. The model calculates population dynamics of the Ye wt ($Y_L^{(wt)}$; $Y_M^{(wt)}$) and mutant strain ($Y_L^{(mut)}$, $Y_M^{(mut)}$) as well as of commensal bacteria (B_L; B_M) at two different sites of the small intestine (SI), the luminal site and the extra-luminal mucosal site ("mucosa"; "lumen"). Additionally, it includes an abstract immune response with a distinct immune cell population (I). Bacterial and immune cell populations are illustrated as reservoirs. Individual growth rates determine the growth of bacterial populations. Decrease of populations is caused by intestinal peristaltic movement in the lumen and by immune killing in the mucosa. Furthermore, a movement of bacteria from the mucosal compartment to the luminal compartment takes place. Upon entry of Ye wt or mutant strains to the mucosal compartment, they stimulate an immune response, which reciprocally affects all Ye and commensal populations within this compartment. Equipped with immune evasion factors, the Ye wt strain is less affected by the immune response than the Ye mutant strain,

whereas both are more resistant than the commensal bacterial population (B_M). Replicating populations that exceed

the limited capacity of the mucosa drain into the lumen and thereby feed luminal populations. As a result of these

bacterial population dynamics in the lumen, the model output is the calculated CFU of the bacteria ending up in

feces. These curves are equivalent to experimental CFU data generated from feces of orally infected mice.

Based on the experimental data and theoretical considerations (Step 1 to 3), in **step 4**, we come up with

the following mathematical model. As pointed out above, we assume that, following oral infection, a 1:1

mixture of the Ye wt and the mutant strain enters the SI. Most of the Ye remain in the lumen, but a small

number enters the mucosal compartment. We assume that few commensal bacteria already populate this

location. The growth dynamics of the commensal bacteria B_M, the wild type $Y_M^{(wt)}$, and the mutant strain

$Y_M^{(mut)}$ in the mucosal compartment are determined by their quantities and by their growth rates, described

by a logistic growth with a maximum possible size. The growth rate $\alpha^{(B)}$ of the endogenous commensal

bacteria is presumably higher than the Ye growth rates $\alpha^{(wt)}$ and $\alpha^{(mut)}$, respectively.

Moreover, the growth rates $\alpha^{(wt)}$ and $\alpha^{(mut)}$ are assumed to be equal. The capacity C_M limits the expansion of

the bacterial population in the mucosal compartment. When bacteria counts exceed this capacity, bacteria

spill over to the lumen at the following rates σ:

$$\sigma_{M \to L}^{(wt)} = \alpha^{(wt)} \frac{B_M + Y_M^{(wt)} + Y_M^{(mut)}}{C_M}, \; \sigma_{M \to L}^{(mut)} = \alpha^{(mut)} \frac{B_M + Y_M^{(wt)} + Y_M^{(mut)}}{C_M}, \text{ and } \sigma_{M \to L}^{(B)} = \alpha^{(B)} \frac{B_M + Y_M^{(wt)} + Y_M^{(mut)}}{C_M}.$$

A variable that determines the infection course is the effect of I, the immune system. In the presence of Ye

in the mucosa Y_M, I is stimulated at rate κ, but its strength is limited to a capacity C_I, resulting in a logistic

growth $(Y_M^{(wt)} + Y_M^{(mut)}) \cdot \kappa \cdot \frac{C_I - I}{C_I}$.

I directly acts on bacteria present in the mucosa but influences only indirectly the luminal populations by

affecting the spillover from the mucosal compartment into the lumen. The immune system kills $Y_M^{(mut)}$

more efficiently than $Y_M^{(wt)}$, which has a full arsenal of virulence factors that allow efficient immune

evasion. However, members of the commensal microbiome B_M are the most susceptible to killing by I. This killing is modeled by using the term $(\gamma \cdot I \cdot B_M)$. We use the adjustment factors $f_\gamma^{(wt)}$ and $f_\gamma^{(mut)}$ to account for the different susceptibilities of $Y_M^{(wt)}$ and $Y_M^{(mut)}$ towards killing by I and the even higher susceptibility of B_M. The following differential equations describe the resulting dynamics of bacterial populations and immunity strength at the mucosal site:

(1) $\dfrac{dY_M^{(wt)}}{dt} = \left(\alpha^{(wt)} - \sigma_{M \to L}^{(wt)} - \gamma \cdot f_\gamma^{(wt)} \cdot I\right) \cdot Y_M^{(wt)}$

(2) $\dfrac{dY_M^{(mut)}}{dt} = \left(\alpha^{(mut)} - \sigma_{M \to L}^{(mut)} - \gamma \cdot f_\gamma^{(mut)} \cdot I\right) \cdot Y_M^{(mut)}$

(3) $\dfrac{dB_M}{dt} = \left(\alpha^{(B)} - \sigma_{M \to L}^{(B)} - \gamma \cdot I\right) \cdot B_M$

(4) $\dfrac{dI}{dt} = \left(Y_M^{(wt)} + Y_M^{(mut)}\right) \cdot \kappa \cdot \dfrac{C_I - I}{C_I}.$

Most of the Ye from the oral infection enter the lumen of the SI. Additionally, luminal populations are fed by bacterial spill over from the mucosal compartment. The lumen is already populated with commensal bacteria. For the sake of simplicity, we use the same bacterial growth rates $\alpha^{(B)}$, $\alpha^{(wt)}$, and $\alpha^{(mut)}$ in the lumen as at the mucosal site. As we limit the total bacterial capacity of the lumen to a large number C_L, we obtain the following logistic growth for the luminal compartment:

$\alpha_L^{(wt)} = \alpha^{(wt)} \dfrac{C_L - (B_L + Y_L^{(wt)} + Y_L^{(mut)})}{C_L}$, $\alpha_L^{(mut)} = \alpha^{(mut)} \dfrac{C_L - (B_L + Y_L^{(wt)} + Y_L^{(mut)})}{C_L}$, and

$\alpha_L^{(B)} = \alpha^{(B)} \dfrac{C_L - (B_L + Y_L^{(wt)} + Y_L^{(mut)})}{C_L}.$

Bacteria in the lumen move along the intestinal tract and are finally excreted at a removal rate β. Combining all this, the following set of equations gives the dynamics of the bacterial populations in the lumen:

(5) $\dfrac{dY_L^{(wt)}}{dt} = \left(\alpha_L^{(wt)} - \beta\right) \cdot Y_L^{(wt)} + \sigma_{M \to L}^{(wt)} \cdot Y_M^{(wt)}$

(6) $\dfrac{dY_L^{(mut)}}{dt} = \left(\alpha_L^{(mut)} - \beta\right) \cdot Y_L^{(mut)} + \sigma_{M \to L}^{(mut)} \cdot Y_M^{(mut)}$

(7) $\frac{dB_L}{dt} = \left(\alpha_L^{(B)} - \beta \right) \cdot B_L + \sigma_{M \to L}^{(B)} \cdot B_M$

One of the most challenging steps in modeling is the estimation of unknown parameter values in an

ordinary differential equation system from experimental data **(Step 5)**. In order to solve the system, we,

therefore, aimed to reduce the number of parameters with unknown values. This was achieved either

through experimental approaches, if possible, by estimating biologically meaningful ranges for unknown

parameters (based on literature and own data), or, at least, by defining the relations between distinct

parameters (higher/lower/same as). To this end, we experimentally determined the gut passage time of

C57BL/6J wild type SPF (termed SPF from now on), C57BL/6J wild type GF (termed GF from now on),

and *MyD88$^{-/-}$* SPF (termed *MyD88$^{-/-}$* from now on) animals and found that in the GF animals the gut

passage time is much longer than in SPF and *MyD88$^{-/-}$* animals (**Fig. S6**). We also determined

immunological parameters of SPF, GF, and *MyD88$^{-/-}$* animals, thus supporting our assumptions in regard

to the relative strength of the immune response in the three distinct systems (**Fig. S2**).

To find reasonable values for parameters that either cannot at all be determined experimentally or only

with non-justifiable cost and effort, we started a computational parameter optimization to yield predictions

in best agreement with experimental data. Therefore, we used built-in optimization methods of MATLAB

(see Materials and Methods). Detailed information for all parameters (definition, source of parameter

values, function, and relation to other parameters) is given in **Table 1**. Of note, the model implementation

and the optimization process were at first based on the dataset generated from the coinfection of SPF wild

type mice with the Ye wt and the YadA0 mutant.

Table 1 Overview about model parameters, source of values, function, relation to other parameters and preset boundary or the exact value used for parameter calculation

Parameter	Definition	Source of parameter value	Functions	Relation to other/Comment	Preset boundary/exact value
$\alpha^{(B)}$	growth rate of commensal bacteria	calculated	Adjustable growth rate of commensal bacteria	higher compared to growth rate of Ye	0.4-2.0
$\alpha^{(wt)}$	growth rate of the Ye wt	calculated	Adjustable growth rate of the Ye wt strain	same as growth rate $\alpha^{(mut)}$	0.4-2.0
$\alpha^{(mut)}$	growth rate of the Ye mutants	calculated	By adjustment of the Ye mutant growth rate, the model is able to account for growth deficiencies	same as growth rate $\alpha^{(wt)}$	0.4-2.0
$\beta^{(SPF)}$	discharge rate of intestines	exp. data (0.22/h)	Adjustable rate accounting for varying GIT passage times in different host models	higher as in Myd88$^{-/-}$ and GF	0.22
$\beta^{(GF)}$	rate at which the intestines are discharged	exp. data (0.08/h)	Adjustable rate accounting for varying GIT passage times in different host models	lower as in SPF and Myd88$^{-/-}$	0.08
$\beta^{(Myd88-/-)}$	rate at which the intestines are discharged	exp. data (0.18/h)	Adjustable rate accounting for varying GIT passage times in different host models	lower as in SPF, but higher compared to GF animals	0.18
γ	immunity action rate	adjustment factor for the immune action; 1 means 100 % activity	Allows adjustment of the global immune action to account for immune deficiencies in a specific host	lower in GF and Myd88$^{-/-}$	0.1-1.0
$f_v^{(wt)}$	immunity adjustment factor of the Ye wt	calculated	Allows adjustment of resistance of the Ye wt strain to immune killing and thereby accounts for immune evasion mechanisms of a pathogen	lowest as compared to $f_v^{(YadAO)}$ and $f_v^{(T3SO)}$	0.001-0.11
$f_v^{(YadAO)}$	immunity adjustment factor of the Ye YadAO strain	calculated	Adjustment allows to account for an increased (or reduced) susceptibility to immune killing due to mutations affecting Ye immune evasion mechanisms	higher compared to $f_v^{(wt)}$ but lower or equal compared to $f_v^{(T3SO)}$	0.11-0.2
$f_v^{(T3SO)}$	immunity adjustment factor of the Ye T3SO strain	calculated	see above	higher compared to $f_v^{(wt)}$ and higher or equal compared to $f_v^{(YadAO)}$	0.11-0.2
C_I	capacity of the immune system	predefined	Caps the maximum activity of the immune system	$C_I = 1$ means that the immune system is fully operative	maximum = 1
C_M	capacity of the mucosal site	calculated	Caps the replication of populations within the mucosa to an adjustable maximum capacity	lower as C_L	10^3-10^7
C_L	capacity of the luminal site	calculated	Caps the replication of populations within the intestinal lumen to an adjustable maximum capacity	higher as C_M	10^6-10^{10}
κ	rate of immune growth	calculated	Allows adjustment of the rate at which the immune response is activated	unknown	0.004-01
thickening factor	reflects water extraction from fecal material during the colon passage	calculated from exp. data	Allows to adjust experimentally measured CFU in fecal pellets and model-calculated CFU (within intestines)	-	1.3 (SPF/MyD88$^{-/-}$) 0.2 (GF)

When evaluating the model (**Step 6**), we found that the model output was in good agreement with the Ye

population dynamics that we determined experimentally in SPF mice co-infected with Ye wt and Ye

YadA0 (**Fig. 4A)**. Independent estimation of parameters based on a second experimental dataset that was

obtained by co-infection of SPF mice with Ye wt and Ye T3S0 delivered slightly different, but

comparable absolute parameter values compared to Ye wt : Ye YadA0 coinfection. Hence, we did observe

concordance of the model output with the experimental data (**Fig. 4B**). Strikingly, the model even reflects

a difference between the dynamics of CFU development of the Ye YadA0 and the Ye T3S0 strain.

Figure 4. Overlay of model output and experimentally determined CFU values during Ye coinfection of SPF

wild type mice. For the model prediction, the listed parameter values were used. **(A)** Model output for CFU of Ye wt

and Ye YadA0 shown as an overlay with experimental data. CFU values of individual animals at indicated time

points are shown for Ye wt and Ye YadA0. The dotted line indicates the limit of detection of our experimental

system. **(B)** Model output for CFU of Ye wt and Ye T3S0 as an overlay with experimentally determined CFU values

from the Ye wt : Ye T3S0 coinfection of SPF wild type mice. Calculated parameter values (red background) and

fixed parameter values (green background) are shown in the tables.

Moreover, our finding that Ye T3S0 is more susceptible to killing compared to Ye YadA0 is also

corroborated by the model. Looking at the relative values compared to Ye wt ($f_\gamma^{(mut)}/f_\gamma^{(wt)}$), the Ye

YadA0 strain is ~5 times and the Ye T3S0 ~ 40 times more susceptible to killing by the immune system.

The calculated parameter values obtained for these experimental datasets are depicted as insets in **Fig.**

4.To better comprehend how changes in the relations of $f_\gamma^{(wt)}$ and $f_\gamma^{(mut)}$ impact on CFU development

we additionally created **Fig. S7**. Taken together, the predicted population development in the SPF wild

type host for both coinfection settings served as a proof of the appropriateness of the model as it proved to

be in line with the experimentally observed infection course.

Challenging the model: Lack of microbiota. In order to challenge our model, different basic parameter

settings for microbiota-derived CR and host immune competence were adapted, and the resulting model

predictions were analyzed by comparing them to experimental coinfection data. To decipher the effect of

the absence of the microbiota on CFU development, we defined the number of B_M and B_L (i.e., number of

bacteria in mucosal (M) and luminal compartment (L)) to be 0. Moreover, we considered that the fecal

pellets have a higher water content in GF mice, as experimentally determined (**Table S8**). The higher

water content was reflected by using a different thickening factor. Furthermore, we took into account the

lower discharge rate in GF mice (12 h mean residence time instead of 4.5 h in SPF animals) which we had

also determined experimentally (**Fig. S6**). Experimental coinfection of GF mice with Ye wt + Ye YadA0

or Ye wt + Ye T3S0, respectively, revealed that both the Ye wt and the mutant strains reached remarkably

higher cell counts in feces as compared to CFU levels in SPF colonized mice. The T3S0 strain exhibited a

slight attenuation resulting in apparently lower CFUs, particularly from 7 dpi on, whereas Ye wt and Ye

YadA0 counts remained constant at a high level over the entire observation period of 14 days (**Fig. 5**). Our

data thus indicate that in the absence of a commensal microbiome, both YadA and the T3SS seem to be

dispensable for effective colonization of the GIT.

Figure 5. Challenging the model part I: Absence of microbiota (A) Overlay of model output for CFU of Ye wt and Ye YadA0 or **(B)** Ye wt and Ye T3S0 and experimentally determined CFU levels from coinfections of GF mice. All parameters were estimated based on respective experimental data (parameter values are listed in the inset table).

Next, we ran the model for the Ye wt : Ye YadA0 coinfection setting by keeping defined boundaries only

for some parameters that were justified from a biological point of view (**Table 1**), and values we had

determined experimentally. The model output was in good agreement with the experimentally determined

course of CFU development (**Fig. 5A**). We also found that the parameter values that the most differed

from what we had previously obtained for the SPF wild type model were higher capacities C_M and C_L for

the mucosal and the luminal compartment, respectively. This makes sense, as GF animals have massively

enlarged intestines. Interestingly, $f_\gamma^{(wt)}$ and $f_\gamma^{(mut)}$ were estimated to be very similar (0.110 for Ye wt and

0.119 for Ye YadA0). This corroborates our interpretation of the infection course in GF mice. Here, the

Ye YadA0 strain does not have any disadvantage compared to the Ye wt strain and can expand within the

gut to the same extent. Thus, also in the model, YadA seems to be dispensable for effective colonization in

the absence of a microbiota.

When we estimated γ in this setting, we obtained an optimized value of ~ 0.997 (**Fig. 5A**), which is very

similar compared to SPF. This finding was surprising as we had expected lower activity of the immune

system in the GF setting according to literature and our own data (see also **Fig. S2**). However, our model

predicts that the overall influence of γ on the expansion of Ye is only subtle (**Fig. S9**). This can be

explained by the absence of the endogenous microbiota that competes with Ye for filling the capacity of

the small intestine in the SPF animals. We also modeled the GF Ye wt : Ye T3S0 coinfection and obtained

very similar results compared to the Ye wt : Ye YadA0 coinfection (**Fig. 5B**). The most apparent

difference was that the predicted CFU for the T3S0 mutant strain slightly dropped towards the end of our

observation period, which is in line with our experimental data. Again, this difference in the behavior of

Ye YadA0 and Ye T3S0 can be explained with their different susceptibility to killing by the host immune

system. As in the absence of a microbiome both strains can expand very quickly, the effect of the

increased susceptibility of Ye T3S0 to killing is not as high as in the SPF model system. Taken together,

our model can compute Ye population dynamics also under GF conditions, and the results correlate with

our experimental data.

Challenging the model: The immunocompromised host. As a second evaluation of our model, we

aimed to mimic an immunocompromised host. We made use of $MyD88^{-/-}$ C57BL/6J mice that were

colonized with a SPF microbiota as a model to decipher the role of a restricted immune response in Ye

population dynamics. We assumed a more rapid and frequent invasion due to the reduction of the immune

response, as depicted in **Fig. 2E** and **2F**. As in the SPF wild type model, in the $MyD88^{-/-}$ animals, Ye

encounters the mucosal compartment occupied by commensals. Because of the MyD88 deficiency, a

much weaker immune response is induced. This primarily has two consequences: (i) The microbiota is

less disturbed and reduced. Therefore, Ye is less successful in establishing a population in the mucosal

compartment, and the Ye counts will be lower. As the mucosal compartment feeds the luminal Ye

population by spill over, we will observe a lower Ye CFU in the GIT compared to C57BL/6J wild type

animals. (ii) Due to the weak immune response of the $MyD88^{-/-}$ animals, we assume that the disadvantage

of the mutant strains in competition with Ye wt is much less pronounced.

Finally, we co-infected SPF colonized $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice, as described before. To compare the experimental

results and modeling data, we created an overlay of the model output and the experimental data (**Fig. 6**).

Figure 6. Challenging the model part II: Impaired immune response (*MyD88^{-/-}*) (A) Overlay of model output

and experimentally determined CFU levels from coinfections of SPF *MyD88^{-/-}* mice with Ye wt and Ye YadA0 and

(B) Ye wt and Ye T3S0. All parameters were estimated based on the respective experimental data (parameter values

are listed in the inset table).

Due to the high frequency of fatal infections that has been observed with *Salmonella* Typhimurium and

Citrobacter rodentium (Bhinder et al., 2014; Gibson et al., 2008; Hapfelmeier et al., 2005), infections with

Ye were conducted for two days only. To get a better temporal resolution within this shorter observation

period, the Ye counts in feces were determined at two additional time points (i.e., after 16 and 40 h).

Within 48 hours post-infection, the CFU of Ye wt showed a slight increase compared to earlier time points

but as expected never reached as high counts as we had observed in SPF wild type mice. The mean CFU

of Ye YadA0 was marginally lower compared to that of Ye wt (**Fig. 6A**), whereas the difference in CFU

of Ye wt compared to Ye T3S0 was more pronounced, but also subtle (**Fig. 6B**). In some of the *MyD88*^{-/-}

mice, the YadA0 and, to a lesser extent, the T3S0 strain reached a comparable, or even a higher CFU than

the one of Ye wt strain at 48 hpi.

In summary, we found that (1) our model is appropriate to predict the infection course in

immunocompromised animals, (2) that a proper immune response outreaches the importance of the

presence of the microbiome in preventing colonization and infection with Ye, and (3) that both YadA and

the T3SS seem to play only a minor role in the colonization of the GIT.

Discussion

The complex interplay of a specific pathogen with host factors, as well as the integrity and composition of

the endogenous microbiome, determines the outcome of a gastrointestinal infection. Herein, we developed

a model to simulate the dynamics of bacterial populations in enteropathogenic infection and to predict the

infection course.

Our main findings are that the model can predict the infection course in different host settings (immune-

competent host with a diverse microbiota, no microbiota, immunocompromised). However, each setting

involves its own distinct parameter set. To predict the infection course reliably, it was not enough to alter

individual parameters to adopt a change implied by a specific condition (e.g., no microbiota present). Only

if parameter values were optimized based on the respective experimental dataset, the predictions were in

good agreement with our experimental observations. Presumably, the differences in structural and

functional details (e.g., GIT morphology and physiology, gut passage time), even in our basic

experimental setting (comparing SPF and GF animals) entail that the parameter values are not merely

exchangeable between systems. Within consistent host condition and pathogen phenotype, however, the

infection course can be predicted mathematically.

We conclude from our study that an excellent understanding of the causative agent of GIT infection is

needed: How does the pathogen interact with the host? Does it produce specific virulence factors? How do

these factors contribute to population dynamics (e.g., by mediating immune evasion)? Does the pathogen

have specific requirements for growth (e.g., oxygen, nutrients)? These and many more questions need to

be known or clarified experimentally in the best case. Consequently, our current model can in principle be

used to predict the infection course of other pathogens, but needs to be adapted by concerning their

specific peculiarities with regards to the above mentioned characteristics. Such adaptations might be easily

done with pathogens that have a lifestyle comparable to that of Ye, but will require profound changes of

the model setup for other pathogens.

To create a model that delivers rational predictions, we also need a good understanding of the infected

host: is its microbiota able to mediate full colonization resistance? Was the microbiota already disturbed

by medication? Is the immune system fully operable? Is the GIT physiology disturbed (leading to, e.g.,

prolonged or impeded gut passage)? The more detailed our understanding of the pathogen and the host,

the better the model can reflect biology.

In recent years, several mathematical models were developed to mirror bacterial gastrointestinal infections

(Grant et al., 2008; Jones & Carlson, 2018; Kaiser et al., 2014; Kaiser et al., 2013; Leber et al., 2017;

Verma et al., 2019), viral infections at epithelial sites (Miao et al., 2010), and inflammatory disorders such

as IBD (Balbas-Martinez et al., 2018; Wendelsdorf et al., 2010). Our model emphasizes the trilateral

relationship of the enteropathogen, the host and the microbiota. By enabling the modulation of pathogen

and host specific properties, it significantly contributed to extend our knowledge about their role for the

course of infection.

There are several aspects that should be included in more refined versions of our model: (I) A better

reflection of the growth dynamics of both the pathogen and the microbiota within the GIT. Different

approaches could resolve this issue (Grant et al., 2008; Jones & Carlson, 2018; Myhrvold et al., 2015;

Simůnek et al., 2012; Stein et al., 2013). Other groups have addressed bacterial colonization dynamics in

the intestines and translocation events after *Salmonella* Typhimurium infection. They parametrized their

mathematical models with data from tagged isogenic *S.* Typhimurium strains (Grant et al., 2008; Kaiser et

al., 2013; Moor et al., 2017). The adoption of this methodology to the Ye infection model could provide

more detailed insights into the population dynamics at specific sites, such as the mucosal compartment.

Another desirable amendment of the model would be (II) the implementation of a more sophisticated

immune system to increase the flexibility of the system. Several studies detailed the complex network of

the host immune response that is activated by a given pathogen (Balbas-Martinez et al., 2018; Leber et al.,

2017; Miao et al., 2010; Verma et al., 2019; Wendelsdorf et al., 2010). The results of these studies could

be used for implementation, of course making the model system much more complicated.

In order to assess how well the model can be adapted to other pathogens, it would be desirable to

investigate its performance in predicting the infection course of other clinically relevant species, such as

enteropathogenic *E. coli*. However, this was beyond the scope of this study and needs to be investigated in

the future, together with experts in the field having the required knowledge about the respective infection

biology and the access to suitable animal infection models.

Finally, it would be great to include (IV) the possibility to reflect external perturbations such as the

treatment of the host with specific antibiotics. This could possibly be achieved by integrating data about

the resistance phenotype of the pathogen and the impact of changes in microbiota composition and

colonization resistance. The dynamics of the intestinal microbiota composition have previously been

addressed in modeling approaches, especially in the context of *Clostridium difficile* infection. Time-

dependent metagenomics data were used to analyze the influence of antibiotic perturbations on microbiota

and pathogen overgrowth *in silico* in an approach that combined a Lotka-Volterra model of population

dynamics and regression (Buffie et al., 2015; Stein et al., 2013). A recent extension of this model

incorporated antibiotic resistance mutations and sporulation as further virulence attributes of *C. difficile*

(Jones & Carlson, 2018). An adaption of this specific model could, in the future, lead to more elaborate

model predictions in terms of microbiota perturbations due to antibiotics.

In sum, we think that computational modelling of infection has a great potential, but also many caveats. It

is tempting to speculate whether at some point computational modeling could be used to predict the

infection course of patients at risk and if such predictions could really be used to improve patient

treatment and outcome. Main caveats are the huge complexity of the system "patient", and also the

plasticity of the causative pathogens. Of course, we are aware that our results using an animal model are

merely transferrable to the human hosts. Still, we hope that with our study, demonstrating the feasibility

and usefulness of infection modelling we have contributed a small piece to make this true in the far future.

Materials & Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Ye wt and mutant strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary **Table S10**. All strains were

cultured overnight at 27°C in Luria Bertani broth (LB). As selective antibiotics nalidixic acid (10 µg/ml),

kanamycin (50 µg/ml), spectinomycin (100 µg/ml) and chloramphenicol (25 µg/ml) (all Sigma-Aldrich)

were supplemented in combinations according to the indicated resistances (**Table S10**). For the

preparation of bacterial suspensions for oral infection, overnight cultures were diluted and subcultured for

3 h at 27°C. Bacteria were then washed once with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS, Gibco,

Thermofisher) and the OD_{600} was determined to prepare the desired inoculum.

Generation of Ye strains containing different antibiotic selection markers

A Chloramphenicol resistance cassette derived from pASK IBA4C (IBA Lifesciences) was

chromosomally introduced into the YenI locus of the Ye WAC strain to discriminate between the Ye wt

and the Ye YadA0 or the T3SS deficient strain (T3S0). The YenI gene encodes for a Ye specific

restriction-modification system the interruption of which allows higher efficiency of genetic

manipulations (Antonenko et al., 2003; Miyahara et al., 1988). The resistance cassette was inserted by

homologous recombination using the suicide plasmid pSB890Y as described previously (Weirich et al.,

2017), and insertion was verified by PCR, antibiotic resistance testing and sequencing. Finally, the

respective virulence plasmids were re-transformed into Ye WAC Cm^R. All plasmids and primers used for

the insertion of selection markers are listed in the Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

Animal handling

Ethics statement: all animal infection experiments were approved by the regional authority of the state

Baden-Württemberg in Tübingen (permission number H2/15). Female C57BL/6J OlaHsd mice were

purchased from Envigo (Horst, NL). MyD88-deficient mice (*MyD88$^{-/-}$*) with C57BL/6J genetic

background were obtained from a local breeding colony (breeding pairs were purchased from Jackson

Laboratories). Animals were housed in the animal facility of the University Hospital Tübingen under

specific-pathogen-free (SPF) conditions. Germ-free (GF) animals were bred in the germ-free core facility

of the University Hospital Tübingen or provided by the Institute for Laboratory Animal Science

(Hannover Medical School, Germany). All animals were housed in individually ventilated cages in groups

of 5 animals and were supplied with autoclaved food and drinking water *ad libitum*. Infection experiments

were performed with female mice at 6-10 weeks of age.

Oral mouse infection

Prior to the intragastric administration of bacteria, mice were deprived of food and water for 3-4 hours.

For oral coinfection experiments, animals were infected with a 1:1 mixture of each $2.5 \cdot 10^8$ CFU of Ye wt

and Ye YadA0 or Ye T3S0, respectively. Upon oral coinfection, SPF wild type and GF mice were

sacrificed at time points indicated within the figures describing the results of individual experiments.

MyD88$^{-/-}$ mice were infected for two days only because of the expected rapid systemic spread in these

immunocompromised animals. Oral infections for subsequent RNA analyses from small intestinal

mucosal scrapings were performed for two days.

Determination of bacterial load from feces

Fresh fecal pellets were collected after manual stimulation of individual mice, weighed, and resuspended in 500 µl sterile DPBS. Pellets were homogenized, serially diluted with DPBS, plated on selective agar plates, and incubated at 27°C for 48 h. Afterwards colonies were counted, and the CFUs per gram of feces was calculated.

Calculation of competitive indices in mixed infections

Competitive indices (CI) from fecal and tissue samples were calculated as the CFU output of the Ye mutant/Ye wild type strain divided by the input (initial oral inoculum) of these strains (CFU Ye mutant strain input/CFU Ye wild type strain input) (Dyszel et al., 2010). The output was determined in the individual experiments as described above. The initial oral inocula (= the input) were verified by serial dilution and subsequent plating on LB with appropriate antibiotics. A CI with a logarithmic value of zero indicates identical fitness of the wild type and the mutant strain, while a negative CI indicates that the mutant strain is impaired in colonization (Dyszel et al., 2010).

Isolation of RNA from gut mucosal scrapings

For isolation of total RNA from gut mucosal scrapings, five mice per group harboring either SPF microbiome or GF and the genetic backgrounds indicated earlier were infected with a 1:1 mixture of each $2.5 \cdot 10^8$ CFU of Ye wt, and Ye T3S0. As controls, five mice of each colonization state and genetic background were orally administered with 100 µl PBS instead of bacterial suspensions. Two days after infection the mice were sacrificed and the distal 10 cm of the small intestine was dissected and shortly incubated in RNA later (Qiagen). Then the tissue was flushed with ice-cold DPBS to remove the fecal content and opened longitudinally on ice using scissors. After the removal of residual feces by flushing again with ice cold DPBS, the mucosa was scraped off with the blunt side of a scalpel and incubated overnight in RNA later at 4°C. RNA later was removed, and scrapings were homogenized in TRI-Reagent (Zymo Research) by rinsing them successively through syringe needles with decreasing diameters. The remaining cell debris was removed by centrifugation, and the supernatants were finally used for the RNA

purification using the DirectZol RNA Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's protocol. This protocol included a step for the removal of contaminating genomic DNA. The resulting RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop photometer (Thermo Fisher), and the integrity was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Quantification of immune parameters by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) (Figure S2)

Relative mRNA levels of target genes were determined using qRT-PCR. After an additional treatment for removal of genomic DNA included in the QuantiTect reverse transcription kit (Qiagen), mRNA was reverse transcribed according to the manufacturer's protocol using 1 µg of RNA as input for a 20 µl reaction. For subsequent qRT-PCR, the TaqMan gene expression master mix (Applied Biosystems; all assays are listed in suppl. Table S2) was used with thermal cycling conditions according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA input was 5 µl for a 20 µl PCR sample. Absolute quantifications were performed on a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche) using the LightCycler 480 Software 1.5. Relative gene expression levels of target genes to the reference gene *beta-glucuronidase* (accession number AI747421) (Wang et al., 2010) were determined to apply kinetic PCR efficiency correction, according to the method of Pfaffl (Pfaffl, 2001) and normalized to the expression levels of uninfected SPF-colonized mice.

16S rRNA sequencing from SI luminal samples (Figure S3)

For analysis of microbiota composition within the SI of mice and to assess changes in microbiota composition upon infection with Ye, mice were initially co-housed for ten days. After oral infection with Ye as described before, or after gavage of the same volume of DPBS, mice were sacrificed at the indicated time points. The entire GIT was dissected, and the SI was removed. Intestinal contents were isolated by gently squeezing them into tubes using sterile forceps. After that, the samples were immediately snap-frozen and stored at -80°C until DNA isolation. DNA was extracted as described in the international human microbiome project standard (IHMS) protocol H (http://www.microbiome-standards.org/fileadmin/SOPs/IHMS_SOP_07_V2.pdf) (Godon et al., 1997; *IHMS Protocols*). Library

preparation and 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing were performed by the CeMet Company (Tübingen) using variable regions v3-v4. Paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform (MiSeq Reagent Kit v3) with 600 cycles. Raw read quality control was done using the FastQC tool (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) ("Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data,"). To this end, reads were merged and quality filtering was performed using USEARCH (Edgar, 2010). Taxonomy data annotation of sequences was done by comparison against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) bacterial 16S rRNA database using MALT (Herbig et al.). Abundance tables at the taxonomic rank of interest were generated using MEGAN6 (Huson et al., 2016) and further analyzed using the software R (http://www.R-project.org)(*R: The R Project for Statistical Computing*) ("R: The R Project for Statistical Computing,"). Before statistical analysis, all samples were normalized to 14947 reads using the tool rrarefy which is part of the vegan package (Dixon, 2003). The vegan package diversity function was used to calculate Shannon diversity. An unpaired Wilcoxon sum rank test determined significant differences between groups. Vegsdist and prcomp (also part of the vegan package) were used to perform principal component analysis (PCA) on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. For the generation of graphical output, ggplot2 (Gómez-Rubio, 2017) was employed. 16S rRNA sequencing data will be published on the European Nucleotide Archive with the study accession number PRJEB37566.

Determination of the distribution of Ye along the mouse GIT (Figure S4)

To determine the ratio of Ye and cultivable commensal bacteria in the different compartments of the GIT, three mice were orally infected with $5 \cdot 108$ CFU of the Ye wt strain. Seven days after infection, mice were sacrificed, and the gut was dissected. A piece of 1 cm length directly adjacent to the stomach was removed, and the residual small intestine was split into three pieces of equal length: a proximal part (SI 1), a middle part (SI 2), and a distal part (SI 3). Additionally, the cecum and the colon were dissected. The contents of the three small intestinal pieces, the cecum, and the colon, were isolated by gently squeezing them into tubes using sterile forceps. For each compartment, the CFU per gram intestinal content was determined as described above for feces, using selective agar to determine Ye CFUs and brain heart

infusion agar (BHI; incubated in anaerobic pots) for determination of the approximate number of

cultivable commensal bacteria.

Systemic administration of gentamicin for cleansing of a potential niche colonized by Ye (Figure S5)

In order to find out about the existence of extra-luminal Ye that drain into the lumen of the SI, we tested if

the systemic administration of an antibiotic that can kill Ye but is not able to enter the lumen of the GIT

might reduce the Ye burden in feces. To this end, 14 mice were coinfected with Ye wt and Ye YadA0 for

two days. At this time point, we assumed the successful colonization of a niche and high bacterial burden

in the feces. Mice were then split into two groups, of which one was administered intraperitoneally 40

mg/kg gentamicin (Ratiopharm) in 200 µl 0.9 % sterile NaCl (Braun) and the other group sterile saline

only. Ye CFUs were determined from feces of mice before gentamicin/saline administration (i.e., on 2

dpi) and one day after treatment (i.e., on 3 dpi) as described above. On 3 dpi mice were sacrificed, and Ye

CFUs were additionally determined from Peyer's patches.

Determination of GIT passage time (Figure S6)

SPF C57BL/6 wild type or $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice, as well as GF wild type mice (2 mice/group), were orally

challenged with 100 µl DPBS containing $1 \cdot 10^9$ fluorescent polystyrene beads (1 µm) (Thermo Fisher) plus

$5 \cdot 10^8$ CFU Ye wt in order to simulate infection conditions. After the gavage, fecal pellets were collected

hourly over 24 hours, weighed, snap-frozen, and stored at -20°C until analysis. Next, samples were

homogenized in 1 ml PBS and debris was removed by a centrifugation step of 20 min with $50 \times g$ (van der

Waaij et al., 1994). To determine the number of fluorescent events per gram of feces, the resulting

supernatant was spiked with a defined number of compensation beads (BD biosciences) in order to be able

to determine the number of fluorescent beads in a defined volume by flow cytometry. The cumulated

bead-hours were then calculated by multiplying the number of beads detected by the time spent in the gut

until excretion. The mean residence time per bead was finally calculated by dividing the number of

summarized events/g feces by the total bead-hours.

Determination of water content of SI content and fecal pellets (Table S8)

Three mice each, with either SPF microbiota or GF, were used for this experiment. Before dissection of the GI tract to determine the water content, 2-5 fecal pellets were collected. Then mice were sacrificed, and the entire GI tract was removed. Afterward, the stomach was discarded, and the small intestine was cut into two pieces of comparable length. Then the cecum and colon were dissected. All pieces and the fecal pellets were placed into individual, weighed Petri dishes. After that the wet weight of all samples was determined. The SI pieces, the cecum and colon were then cut open, and the content was scratched off and transferred into the Petri dish. The remaining emptied tissue was removed and weighed again, and the wet weight of the contents was determined. After that, the Petri dishes were placed without lids into an incubator, and the material was dried overnight at 65°C. Then all samples were weighed again to determine the dry weight. Finally, the total water content was calculated by subtracting the dry weight from the wet weight.

Calculation of the thickening factor for SPF and GF mice

Our model predicts the dynamics of the number of *Yersinia* (i.e., CFU) within the SI, whereas our experimental observations are based on colony counts derived from the plating of fecal pellets ($\log_{10}$ CFU per g of feces). To align model output to experimental data, we determined the mean percentage of water in different sections of the gastrointestinal tract of SPF or GF mice and considered that the small intestinal content is massively concentrated to be excreted as a solid fecal pellet. Based on these data, we calculated a "thickening factor". The content of the SI of SPF mice has a rather different percentage of water (77 %) compared to that of fecal pellets (29 %; **Table S8**). Therefore, the model predictions were multiplied with a correction factor in order to relate model output to laboratory observations. This factor is obtained by dividing the product of 1 g of fecal pellets and its content of solid matter (100 % - 29 %) by the product of the volume of SI content of SPF mice (which is about 2.3 g) and its content of solid matter (100 % - 77 %), i.e., the factor is (1 g · 71 %) / (2.3 g · 23 %) ≈ 1.3. Thus, our model output needs to be multiplied by 1.3 before it can be compared with experimentally determined CFU levels. GF mice differ in several aspects of SPF mice. They have a massively enlarged intestine (we measured the volume of intestinal

contents to be about 10 g). The average water content of the fecal pellets is 49 % in these mice. Using the same calculation as above, we obtain a multiplication factor of $(1 \text{ g} \cdot 51 \text{ %}) / (10 \text{ g} \cdot 23 \text{ %}) \approx 0.2$ for GF mice.

Alignment of model simulation and lab observation time

We determined the passage of the GIT to take on average 4 h in SPF wild type mice, 5.5 h in $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice and 12 h in GF mice (**Fig. S6**). Assuming 1 h passage time in the stomach and 1 h in the colon, this leaves a sojourn time of 2 h (3.5 h in $MyD88^{-/-}$ mice and 10 h for GF) in the SI in which Ye are assumed to multiply. Our model only describes what is happening in the SI, starting when Ye leave the stomach (this corresponds to 1 hpi). Then an additional hour is needed for the colon passage until the CFUs can be counted. Thus, the observation in the laboratory at, e.g., 24 h after oral infection must be compared with the model results after 22 h of model simulation. This time shift of 2 h is taken into consideration whenever modeling results and experimental data are compared.

Parameter optimization

We derived a 7-dimensional ordinary differential-equation system describing Ye population dynamics with seven and eight unknown parameter values (7 in SPF and 8 in both GF and $MyD88^{-/-}$). These values were estimated by solving an optimization problem using the maximum likelihood method. The objective function was to minimize the Euclidean distance between measurements and model output (see Additional Files). Experimental values below the limit of detection (LOD) of the bacterial load per g feces in a given volume of fecal suspension were set to $\log_{10}$ CFU/g feces of 2.05 (LOD in the experimental setting C57BL/6J wild type SPF) and run with integrated likelihood. The optimization problem was implemented using the bound-constrained optimization package FMINSEARCHBND in MATLAB 2019 (Mathworks Inc., Massachusetts) and executed on a laptop computer.

Acknowledgements

We thank André Bleich and Marijana Basic from the Institute for Laboratory Animal Science (Hannover Medical School) for providing GF animals. We thank Ulrich Schoppmeier for his great support with statistical analyses. We thank Tanja Späth for technical assistance and all members of the AG Yersinia for their uncomplicated and sustained willingness to support the experiments in a great team effort. Special thanks to Libera Lo Presti for critical reading of the manuscript, deliberate comments and language editing.

References

Antonenko, V., Pawlow, V., Heesemann, J., & Rakin, A. (2003, 2003/2/28). Characterization of a novel unique restriction-modification system from *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:8 1B. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 219*(2), 249-252. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00047-8

Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Balbas-Martinez, V., Ruiz-Cerda, L., Irurzun-Arana, I., Gonzalez-Garcia, I., Vermeulen, A., Gomez-Mantilla, J. D., & Troconiz, I. F. (2018). A systems pharmacology model for inflammatory bowel disease. *PLoS One, 13*(3), e0192949. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192949

Bhinder, G., Stahl, M., Sham, H. P., Crowley, S. M., Morampudi, V., Dalwadi, U., Ma, C., Jacobson, K., & Vallance, B. A. (2014, 2014/9). Intestinal epithelium-specific MyD88 signaling impacts host susceptibility to infectious colitis by promoting protective goblet cell and antimicrobial responses. *Infect. Immun., 82*(9), 3753-3763. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02045-14

Buffie, C. G., Bucci, V., Stein, R. R., McKenney, P. T., Ling, L., Gobourne, A., No, D., Liu, H., Kinnebrew, M., Viale, A., Littmann, E., van den Brink, M. R. M., Jenq, R. R., Taur, Y., Sander, C., Cross, J. R., Toussaint, N. C., Xavier, J. B., & Pamer, E. G. (2015, 2015/1/8). Precision microbiome reconstitution restores bile acid mediated resistance to *Clostridium difficile*. *Nature, 517*(7533), 205-208. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13828

Chelliah, V., Juty, N., Ajmera, I., Ali, R., Dumousseau, M., Glont, M., Hucka, M., Jalowicki, G., Keating, S., Knight-Schrijver, V., Lloret-Villas, A., Natarajan, K. N., Pettit, J.-B., Rodriguez, N., Schubert, M., Wimalaratne, S. M., Zhao, Y., Hermjakob, H., Le Novère, N., & Laibe, C. (2015, 2015/1). BioModels: ten-year anniversary. *Nucleic Acids Res., 43*(Database issue), D542-548. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1181

Cornelis, G. R. (2002, 2002/8/5). *Yersinia* type III secretion: send in the effectors. *J. Cell Biol., 158*(3),
401-408. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200205077

Dautzenberg, M. J., Wekesa, A. N., Gniadkowski, M., Antoniadou, A., Giamarellou, H., Petrikkos, G. L.,
Skiada, A., Brun-Buisson, C., Bonten, M. J., Derde, L. P., & Mastering h, O. A. R. i. E. W. P. S.
T. (2015, Jun). The association between colonization with carbapenemase-producing
enterobacteriaceae and overall ICU mortality: an observational cohort study. *Crit Care Med,*
43(6), 1170-1177. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000001028

Dave, M. N., Silva, J. E., Eliçabe, R. J., Jeréz, M. B., Filippa, V. P., Gorlino, C. V., Autenrieth, S.,
Autenrieth, I. B., & Di Genaro, M. S. (2016, 2016). *Yersinia enterocolitica* YopH-Deficient Strain
Activates Neutrophil Recruitment to Peyer's Patches and Promotes Clearance of the Virulent
Strain. *Infection and Immunity, 84*(11), 3172-3181. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00568-16

Demarre, G., Guérout, A.-M., Matsumoto-Mashimo, C., Rowe-Magnus, D. A., Marlière, P., & Mazel, D.
(2005, 2005/3). A new family of mobilizable suicide plasmids based on broad host range R388
plasmid (IncW) and RP4 plasmid (IncPalpha) conjugative machineries and their cognate
Escherichia coli host strains. *Res. Microbiol., 156*(2), 245-255.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2004.09.007

Di Genaro, M. S., Waidmann, M., Kramer, U., Hitziger, N., Bohn, E., & Autenrieth, I. B. (2003, 2003/4).
Attenuated *Yersinia enterocolitica* mutant strains exhibit differential virulence in cytokine-
deficient mice: implications for the development of novel live carrier vaccines. *Infect. Immun.,*
71(4), 1804-1812. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.71.4.1804-1812.2003

Dixon, P. (2003, 2003). VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation*
Science, 14(6), 927-930. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x

Dyszel, J. L., Smith, J. N., Lucas, D. E., Soares, J. A., Swearingen, M. C., Vross, M. A., Young, G. M., &
Ahmer, B. M. M. (2010, 2010/1). *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium can detect acyl
homoserine lactone production by *Yersinia enterocolitica* in mice. *J. Bacteriol., 192*(1), 29-37.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01139-09

Edgar, R. C. (2010, 2010/10/1). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
Bioinformatics, 26(19), 2460-2461. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

El Tahir, Y., & Skurnik, M. (2001, 2001/8). YadA, the multifaceted *Yersinia* adhesin. *Int. J. Med.*
Microbiol., 291(3), 209-218. https://doi.org/10.1078/1438-4221-00119

Friedrich, C., Mamareli, P., Thiemann, S., Kruse, F., Wang, Z., Holzmann, B., Strowig, T., Sparwasser,
T., & Lochner, M. (2017, 2017). MyD88 signaling in dendritic cells and the intestinal epithelium
controls immunity against intestinal infection with *C. rodentium*. *PLOS Pathogens, 13*(5),
e1006357. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006357

Gibson, D. L., Ma, C., Bergstrom, K. S. B., Huang, J. T., Man, C., & Vallance, B. A. (2008, 2008).
MyD88 signalling plays a critical role in host defence by controlling pathogen burden and
promoting epithelial cell homeostasis during *Citrobacter rodentium*-induced colitis. *Cellular*
Microbiology, 10(3), 618-631. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.01071.x

807
808 Godon, J. J., Zumstein, E., Dabert, P., Habouzit, F., & Moletta, R. (1997, 1997/7). Molecular microbial
809   diversity of an anaerobic digestor as determined by small-subunit rDNA sequence analysis. *Appl.*
810   *Environ. Microbiol., 63*(7), 2802-2813. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9212428

811 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC168577

812 http://aem.asm.org/cgi/pmidlookup?view=long&pmid=9212428

813
814 Gómez-Rubio, V. (2017, 2017). ggplot2 - Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (2nd Edition). *Journal of*
815   *Statistical Software, 77*(Book Review 2). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.b02

816
817 Grant, A. J., Restif, O., McKinley, T. J., Sheppard, M., Maskell, D. J., & Mastroeni, P. (2008, 2008/4/8).
818   Modelling within-host spatiotemporal dynamics of invasive bacterial disease. *PLoS Biol., 6*(4),
819   e74. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060074

820
821 Guthrie, L., & Kelly, L. (2019, Jun). Bringing microbiome-drug interaction research into the clinic.
822   *EBioMedicine, 44*, 708-715. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.05.009

823
824 Handley, S. A., Dube, P. H., Revell, P. A., & Miller, V. L. (2004, 2004/3). Characterization of oral
825   *Yersinia enterocolitica* infection in three different strains of inbred mice. *Infect. Immun., 72*(3),
826   1645-1656. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.72.3.1645-1656.2004

827
828 Hapfelmeier, S., Stecher, B., Barthel, M., Kremer, M., Müller, A. J., Heikenwalder, M., Stallmach, T.,
829   Hensel, M., Pfeffer, K., Akira, S., & Hardt, W.-D. (2005, 2005/2/1). The *Salmonella*
830   pathogenicity island (SPI)-2 and SPI-1 type III secretion systems allow *Salmonella* serovar
831   typhimurium to trigger colitis via MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent mechanisms. *J.*
832   *Immunol., 174*(3), 1675-1685. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.3.1675

833
834 Heesemann, J. (1987, 1987). Chromosomal-encoded siderophores are required for mouse virulence of
835   enteropathogenic *Yersinia* species. *FEMS Microbiology Letters, 48*(1-2), 229-233.
836   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1987.tb02547.x

837
838 Herbig, A., Maixner, F., Bos, K. I., Zink, A., Krause, J., & Huson, D. H. MALT: Fast alignment and
839   analysis of metagenomic DNA sequence data applied to the Tyrolean Iceman.
840   https://doi.org/10.1101/050559

841
842 Herrero, M., de Lorenzo, V., & Timmis, K. N. (1990, 1990/11). Transposon vectors containing non-
843   antibiotic resistance selection markers for cloning and stable chromosomal insertion of foreign
844   genes in gram-negative bacteria. *J. Bacteriol., 172*(11), 6557-6567.
845   https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.11.6557-6567.1990

846
847 Huson, D. H., Beier, S., Flade, I., Górska, A., El-Hadidi, M., Mitra, S., Ruscheweyh, H.-J., & Tappu, R.
848   (2016, 2016/6). MEGAN Community Edition - Interactive Exploration and Analysis of Large-
849   Scale Microbiome Sequencing Data. *PLoS Comput. Biol., 12*(6), e1004957.
850   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004957

851
852 *IHMS Protocols.* http://www.microbiome-standards.org/fileadmin/SOPs/IHMS_SOP_07_V2.pdf

853
854 Jia, H., Li, L., Li, W., Hou, T., Ma, H., Yang, Y., Wu, A., Liu, Y., Wen, J., Yang, H., Luo, X., Xing, Y.,
855   Zhang, W., Wu, Y., Ding, L., Liu, W., Lin, L., Li, Y., & Chen, M. (2019). Impact of Healthcare-
856   Associated Infections on Length of Stay: A Study in 68 Hospitals in China. *Biomed Res Int, 2019*,
857   2590563. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2590563

858
859 Jones, E. W., & Carlson, J. M. (2018, Feb). *In silico* analysis of antibiotic-induced *Clostridium difficile*
860   infection: Remediation techniques and biological adaptations. *PLoS Comput Biol, 14*(2),
861   e1006001. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006001

862
863 Kaiser, P., Regoes, R. R., Dolowschiak, T., Wotzka, S. Y., Lengefeld, J., Slack, E., Grant, A. J.,
864   Ackermann, M., & Hardt, W. D. (2014, Feb). Cecum lymph node dendritic cells harbor slow-
865   growing bacteria phenotypically tolerant to antibiotic treatment. *PLoS Biol, 12*(2), e1001793.
866   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001793

867
868 Kaiser, P., Slack, E., Grant, A. J., Hardt, W. D., & Regoes, R. R. (2013, Sep). Lymph node colonization
869   dynamics after oral *Salmonella* Typhimurium infection in mice. *PLoS Pathog, 9*(9), e1003532.
870   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003532

871
872 Lebeis, S. L., Bommarius, B., Parkos, C. A., Sherman, M. A., & Kalman, D. (2007, 2007/7/1). TLR
873   signaling mediated by MyD88 is required for a protective innate immune response by neutrophils
874   to *Citrobacter rodentium*. *J. Immunol., 179*(1), 566-577.
875   https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.1.566

876
877 Leber, A., Hontecillas, R., Abedi, V., Tubau-Juni, N., Zoccoli-Rodriguez, V., Stewart, C., & Bassaganya-
878   Riera, J. (2017, May). Modeling new immunoregulatory therapeutics as antimicrobial alternatives
879   for treating *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Artif Intell Med, 78*, 1-13.
880   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artmed.2017.05.003

881
882 Lupp, C., Robertson, M. L., Wickham, M. E., Sekirov, I., Champion, O. L., Gaynor, E. C., & Finlay, B. B.
883   (2007, 2007/9/13). Host-mediated inflammation disrupts the intestinal microbiota and promotes
884   the overgrowth of *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Cell Host Microbe, 2*(3), 204.
885   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2007.08.002

886
887 Macpherson, A. J., & Harris, N. L. (2004, Jun). Interactions between commensal intestinal bacteria and
888   the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol, 4*(6), 478-485. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1373

Miao, H., Hollenbaugh, J. A., Zand, M. S., Holden-Wiltse, J., Mosmann, T. R., Perelson, A. S., Wu, H., & Topham, D. J. (2010, 2010/7). Quantifying the early immune response and adaptive immune response kinetics in mice infected with influenza A virus. *J. Virol., 84*(13), 6687-6698. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00266-10

Miyahara, M., Maruyama, T., Wake, A., & Mise, K. (1988, 1988/2). Widespread occurrence of the restriction endonuclease YenI, an isoschizomer of PstI, in *Yersinia enterocolitica* serotype O8. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 54*(2), 577-580. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2833162

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC202495

http://aem.asm.org/cgi/pmidlookup?view=long&pmid=2833162

Moor, K., Diard, M., Sellin, M. E., Felmy, B., Wotzka, S. Y., Toska, A., Bakkeren, E., Arnoldini, M., Bansept, F., Co, A. D., Völler, T., Minola, A., Fernandez-Rodriguez, B., Agatic, G., Barbieri, S., Piccoli, L., Casiraghi, C., Corti, D., Lanzavecchia, A., Regoes, R. R., Loverdo, C., Stocker, R., Brumley, D. R., Hardt, W.-D., & Slack, E. (2017, 2017/4/27). High-avidity IgA protects the intestine by enchaining growing bacteria. *Nature, 544*(7651), 498-502. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22058

Myhrvold, C., Kotula, J. W., Hicks, W. M., Conway, N. J., & Silver, P. A. (2015, 2015/11/30). A distributed cell division counter reveals growth dynamics in the gut microbiota. *Nat. Commun., 6*, 10039. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10039

OECD/European Union Paris/European Union, B. ((2018)). Healthcare-associated infections. *Health at a Glance: Europe 2018:State of Health in the EU Cycle.* https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1787/health_glance_eur-2018-45-en (OECD Publishing)

Pepe, J. C., Wachtel, M. R., Wagar, E., & Miller, V. L. (1995, 1995). Pathogenesis of defined invasion mutants of *Yersinia enterocolitica* in a BALB/c mouse model of infection. *Infection and Immunity, 63*(12), 4837-4848. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.63.12.4837-4848.1995

Pfaffl, M. W. (2001, 2001/5/1). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res., 29*(9), e45. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.9.e45

R: The R Project for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org

Roggenkamp, A., Neuberger, H. R., Flügel, A., Schmoll, T., & Heesemann, J. (1995, 1995/6). Substitution of two histidine residues in YadA protein of *Yersinia enterocolitica* abrogates collagen binding, cell adherence and mouse virulence. *Mol. Microbiol., 16*(6), 1207-1219. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.tb02343.x

Round, J. L., & Mazmanian, S. K. (2009, 2009/5). The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol., 9*(5), 313-323. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2515

Ruckdeschel, K., Roggenkamp, A., Schubert, S., & Heesemann, J. (1996, 1996/3). Differential
contribution of *Yersinia enterocolitica* virulence factors to evasion of microbicidal action of
neutrophils. *Infect. Immun., 64*(3), 724-733. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8641773

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC173829

http://iai.asm.org/cgi/pmidlookup?view=long&pmid=8641773

Schütz, M., Weiss, E. M., Schindler, M., Hallstrom, T., Zipfel, P. F., Linke, D., & Autenrieth, I. B. (2010,
2010). Trimer Stability of YadA Is Critical for Virulence of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Infection and
Immunity, 78*(6), 2677-2690. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.01350-09

Shameer, K., Readhead, B., & Dudley, J. T. (2015). Computational and experimental advances in drug
repositioning for accelerated therapeutic stratification. *Curr Top Med Chem, 15*(1), 5-20.
https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026615666150112103510

Simůnek, J., Brandysová, V., Koppová, I., & Simůnek, J., Jr. (2012, 2012/7). The antimicrobial action of
chitosan, low molar mass chitosan, and chitooligosaccharides on human colonic bacteria. *Folia
Microbiol., 57*(4), 341-345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-012-0138-1

Stecher, B., Robbiani, R., Walker, A. W., Westendorf, A. M., Barthel, M., Kremer, M., Chaffron, S.,
Macpherson, A. J., Buer, J., Parkhill, J., Dougan, G., von Mering, C., & Hardt, W.-D. (2007,
2007/10). *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium exploits inflammation to compete with the
intestinal microbiota. *PLoS Biol., 5*(10), 2177-2189. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050244

Stein, R. R., Bucci, V., Toussaint, N. C., Buffie, C. G., Rätsch, G., Pamer, E. G., Sander, C., & Xavier, J.
B. (2013, 2013/12/12). Ecological modeling from time-series inference: insight into dynamics and
stability of intestinal microbiota. *PLoS Comput. Biol., 9*(12), e1003388.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003388

Toh, T. S., Dondelinger, F., & Wang, D. (2019, Sep). Looking beyond the hype: Applied AI and machine
learning in translational medicine. *EBioMedicine, 47*, 607-615.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.08.027

van der Waaij, L. A., Mesander, G., Limburg, P. C., & van der Waaij, D. (1994, 1994/7/1). Direct flow
cytometry of anaerobic bacteria in human feces. *Cytometry, 16*(3), 270-279.
https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.990160312

Verma, M., Bassaganya-Riera, J., Leber, A., Tubau-Juni, N., Hoops, S., Abedi, V., Chen, X., &
Hontecillas, R. (2019, Jun 1). High-resolution computational modeling of immune responses in
the gut. *Gigascience, 8*(6). https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giz062

973 Wang, F., Wang, J., Liu, D., & Su, Y. (2010, 2010/4/15). Normalizing genes for real-time polymerase
974    chain reaction in epithelial and nonepithelial cells of mouse small intestine. *Anal. Biochem.,*
975    *399*(2), 211-217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2009.12.029

977 Weirich, J., Bräutigam, C., Mühlenkamp, M., Franz-Wachtel, M., Macek, B., Meuskens, I., Skurnik, M.,
978    Leskinen, K., Bohn, E., Autenrieth, I., & Schütz, M. (2017, 2017/10/3). Identifying components
979    required for OMP biogenesis as novel targets for antiinfective drugs. *Virulence, 8*(7), 1170-1188.
980    https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2016.1278333

982 Wendelsdorf, K., Bassaganya-Riera, J., Hontecillas, R., & Eubank, S. (2010, 2010/6/21). Model of colonic
983    inflammation: immune modulatory mechanisms in inflammatory bowel disease. *J. Theor. Biol.,*
984    *264*(4), 1225-1239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2010.03.027

Supplementary Information

996 **Figure S1. Mouse bodyweight development during Ye coinfection.** The bodyweight development of mice during

997 the infection course was monitored as a marker of the severity of the infection. Percent changes compared to initial

998 bodyweight at different time points are illustrated. **(A)** Change of bodyweight of SPF-colonized C57BL/6J mice after

999 1:1 coinfection with Ye wt and Ye YadA0 and **(B)** with Ye wt and Ye T3S0. As some mice in these coinfections lost

1000 significant weight and had to be sacrificed between 5 and 7 dpi, the individual bodyweight developments are shown.

1001

Figure S2. Relative quantification of mRNA levels of Reg3γ, Lipocalin-2 and S100A8 from mucosal scrapings

as indicators of intestinal inflammation. Relative expression levels compared to the housekeeping gene beta-

glucuronidase were determined by qRT-PCR in mock-infected and mice co-infected for two days with Ye wt/Ye

T3S0. **(A)** Basal expression levels and expression levels of Reg3γ following infection of SPF wild type mice, GF

animals, and SPF-colonized *MyD88⁻/⁻* mice. **(B)** Expression levels of Lipocalin-2 **(C)** Expression levels of S100A8.

Statistical significant differences between groups were determined by a nonparametric Mann-Whitney test. ** *P* <

0.01.

1009

Figure S3. Impact of Ye infection on SI microbiome composition. Microbial composition of SI contents of mice

with different infection outcomes, as assessed by 16S rRNA sequencing. (A) Relative abundances of microbiota

representatives on the family level. Samples were isolated from the SI after oral Ye wt infection. Relative

abundances of families are shown in stacked bar charts for individual animals. Data were grouped according to the

observed change of body weight at earlier time points (weight loss after 3-7 days (red), no weight loss between 1 dpi

and 7 dpi (orange), or uninfected control group (blue). Long-term infected mice returned to a kind of a steady-state at

a late time point of infection and had no signs of sickness anymore (yellow). (B) Taxonomic tree on the phylum-,

class-, order- and family-level allowing the assignment of the color code used in (A). (C) Principal component

analysis (PC) on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of the microbial composition of samples. Color code reflects

1019   assignments to groups as in (A). (D) Impact of Ye infection on microbial diversity. Shannon diversity of the SI

1020   microbiome composition in the different groups of animals. Statistically significant differences were identified using

1021   an unpaired Wilcoxon sum rank test. ** $P < 0.001$.

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

Figure S4. Distribution of Ye and cultivable commensals along the GIT and water content of GI tract sections

and fecal pellets. (A) At 7 dpi after oral infection of SPF-colonized C57BL/6J mice with the Ye wt strain, the

numbers of Ye and cultivable commensals were determined in different compartments of the GIT. The small

intestine was dissected and cut into three pieces of equal length (SI1, SI2, SI3). Additionally, the caecum and the

colon were dissected. CFUs per gram of fecal content of the three SI pieces and of the caecum and the colon were

determined by plating.

1044

Figure S5. Systemic administration of gentamicin for cleansing of a potential extra-luminal niche colonized by

Ye. Mice were orally co-infected with a 1:1 mixture of Ye wt and Ye YadA0 for two days. At this time point, the

successful colonization of a potential niche was assumed. One group was then administered intraperitoneally with

gentamicin and a control group with saline only. (**A**) Ye wt and Ye YadA0 CFU was determined from Peyer's

patches (PP) on 3 dpi. (**B**) Ye CFU of both strains in feces on days 2 and 3 post-infection. (**C**) The impact of

systemic gentamicin treatment on the total CFU at 3 dpi of all cultivable bacteria was addressed by the plating of

feces on non-selective agar plates. A paired t-test assessed a statistically significant difference within the Gentamicin

treatment group. $P = 0.494$.

1053

mouse genotype	C57BL/6J wt	C57BL/6J wt	Excretion profile	C57BL/6J wt	C57BL/6J wt	Excretion profile	C57BL/6J MyD88-/-	C57BL/6J MyD88-/-	Excretion profile
individual	# 1	# 2		# 1	# 2		# 1	# 2	
colonization status	SPF	SPF		GF	GF		SPF	SPF	
time after gavage of beads [h]	cumulated beadhours (number of beads detected x time spent in gut until excretion (h))								
1	63422	11594		662	261		2209	1453	
2	13099257	11040172		3915	1214		3856	75543	
3	12460727	9493193		3165	3700		9052	53469907	
4	5729478	10589117		1809	11866		37879144	67963514	
5	3308800	13189720		19069	5806		20759814	29861538	
6	3123171	15781777		1150000	2814		29408481	34814873	
7	1193026	13094162		2153447	2890809		27450580	21690214	
8	957686	6964909		6626915	8765033		9401666	25226387	
9	721558	5748770		1359951	6273889		11146829	15660793	
10	190476	2821918		2815497	2784325		12914465	4500000	
11	62830	2163237		5356873	5291052		4502700	2097938	
12	39589	1190383		3630067	1974811		1078341	1178330	
13	7909	776814		2985629	1176132		1290435	816504	
14	12224	288214		1837981	2422812		811100	231623	
15	3858	139073		2004346	2734017		600622	74742	
16	2653	370732		825727	4501526		352261	47956	
17	5877	64557		1702740	2245455		213829	198319	
18	4804	68540		2111663	2410065		181556	76509	
19	6441	49317		1465869	1581119		185947	143299	
20	12173	33824		1394890	1941538		49215	92879	
21	2265	41513		1859869	1568976		386125	164340	
22	6197	76718		2023017	1798616		141273	63158	
23	6170	25556		1560010	1774194		100238	44516	
24	24198	41739		1862473	1913604		86380	776181	
mean residence time per bead [h]	3	5		12	12		6	5	

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

Figure S6. Quantification of gut retention times in SPF-colonized or GF C57BL/6J wild type mice and SPF-colonized *MyD88*-/- animals. Two mice per group were orally challenged with $1 \cdot 10^9$ fluorescent polystyrene beads plus $5 \cdot 10^8$ CFU of Ye wt, and feces were collected hourly over 24 h. The number of fluorescent events/g feces at each time point was analyzed by flow cytometry. The cumulated bead-hours were calculated as shown in the heat maps, and the graphs are plotting the $\log_{10}$ of cumulated bead-hours for the individual animals. The mean residence time per bead was calculated by dividing the sum of events/g of feces through the total number of bead-hours.

Figure S7. Dynamics of model output when adopting different relations between $f_Y^{(mut)}$ and $f_Y^{(wt)}$. To visualize

the impact of the relative susceptibility to killing by the immune system on population dynamics of the Ye YadA0

(left column) and the Ye T3S0 strain (right column) we plotted curves for $f_Y^{(mut)}$ adopting values **(A)** equal to that of

$f_Y^{(wt)}$, **(B)** 2x $f_Y^{(wt)}$, **(C)** 5x $f_Y^{(wt)}$, **(D)** 10x $f_Y^{(wt)}$, **(E)** 20x $f_Y^{(wt)}$ and **(F)** 40x $f_Y^{(wt)}$ for the respective settings. The

relationships that the best matched what our model calculated based on the experimental data are highlighted with a

blue frame.

1073

1074 **Table S8.** Mean percentage ± SD of water content in sections of the mouse GIT. SI1, SI2, SI3 indicate the respective

1075 part of the SI that was analyzed. Please also refer to Figure EV4.

	SI1 + ½ SI2	½ SI2 + SI3	Caecum	Colon	Fecal pellet
SPF	74,64 ± 3,31	76,59 ± 3,85	75,42 ± 0,35	50,69 ± 16,31	29,66 ± 2,37
GF	73,11 ± 3,14	73,63 ± 0,63	78,76 ± 1,79	70,07 ± 1,07	49,01 ± 3,69

1076

1077

1078

Figure S9. Dynamics of model output in the GF infection setting when adopting different activities of the host

immune system. (A) Using the same experimental data and parameter set as in Fig. 5A, we calculated the CFU

development after the coinfection of GF mice with Ye wt and Ye YadA0 with γ adopting values between 1 (immune

system fully active) and 0 (no immune activity). **(B)** For better discrimination of curves, the scale of the y-axis was

altered. Curves of the same color and pattern represent one dataset showing the CFU development for Ye wt in the

upper half and that of Ye A0 in the lower half of the graph for a value of γ as indicated on the right side. High

activity of the immune system correlates with more considerable expansion of the Ye wt strain and decrease of CFU

of the Ye A0 strain, but the overall effect of changes in γ is subtle.

Table S10 Strains and plasmids used in this study

Strain	Relevant characteristics	Resistance	Source
Ye WA-C	*Yersinia enterocolitica* WA-314 serotype O:8, lacking the pYV plasmid	Nal	(Heesemann, 1987)
YadA wt	*Yersinia enterocolitica* WA-314 serotype O:8 with pYV plasmid YadA wt	Nal, Kan, Spec	(Schütz et al., 2010)
YadA0	YadA deficient mutant of WA-314, generated by insertion of a kanamycin cassette	Nal, Kan	(Roggenkamp et al., 1995)
T3S0	pYV515 mutant strain of WA-314, deficient in Yop secretion, generated by Tn5 insertional inactivation of lcrD	Nal, Kan	(Ruckdeschel et al., 1996)
YadA0 CmR	YadA0 with chromosomal insertion of a chloramphenicol cassette into the YenI locus	Nal, Kan, Cm	this work
T3S0 CmR	T3S0 with chromosomal insertion of a chloramphenicol cassette in YenI locus	Nal, Kan, Cm	this work
E. coli 118λ pir	variant of *E. coli* CC 118, used to maintain suicide plasmids		(Herrero et al., 1990)
E. coli β2163	strain, carrying a Δdap::(erm-pir) and RP4 from *E. coli* SM10 used for conjugative transfer and counter selection	Kan	(Demarre et al., 2005)

Plasmid	Relevant characteristics		Source
pSB890Y	suicide cloning vector with PstI restriction sites mutated	Tet	this work
pASK-IBA4C	expression plasmid with chloramphenicol[R] cassette	Cm	IBA Lifesciences

1088   Cm: Chloramphenicol; Kan: Kanamycin; Nal: Nalidixic acid; Spec: Spectinomycin; Tet: Tetracyclin

1089

1090   **Table S11** Oligonucleotides used in this study

Name	Sequence (5´-> 3´)	Description
Generation of mutants		
gib_uni_890_r2	CAAGAGGGTCATTATATTTCGCG	reverse (rev.) primer for linearization of pSB890Y
gib_uni_890_f2	CAAGCTCAATAAAAAGCCCCAC	forward (fwd.) primer for linearization of pSB890Y
gib_Yen_up_f	GTTATTCCGCGAAATATAATGACCCTCTT GCAGTACTTTCGCCCAAGAGC	fwd. primer for upstream fragment complementary to YenI locus with overlaps to PSB890Y
gib_Yen_up r2	GTGAAAGTTGGAACCTCTTACGTGCCGAT CTGTCAATTCACTACCTCAGATC	rev. mutagenesis primer for upstream fragment complementary to YenI locus with overlaps to Cm cassette
gib_Yen_camp_f2	AATGGGATGATCTGAGGTAGTGAATTGAC AGATCGGCACGTAAGAGGTTCC	fwd. primer for Cm gene in pASK-IBA4C with overlaps in YenI upstream fragment
gib_Yen_camp_r2	CTTTAAGGTTATCCATCAGAATGATTAAT TCAAACCACCGCTGGTAGCGG	rev. primer for Cm gene in pASK-IBA4C with overlaps in YenI downstream fragment
gib_Yen_down f2	AAAAAAACCACCGCTACCAGCGGTGGTTT GAATTAATCATTCTGATGGATAACC	fwd. primer for downstream fragment complementary to YenI locus with overlaps to Cm cassette
gib_Yen_down_r	CCACCGCGGTGGGGCTTTTTATTGAGCTT GTTATGCTCGCCAAATTTTCC	rev. primer for downstream fragment complementary to YenI locus with overlaps to pSB890Y
Verification of mutations		
p890_seq_f	CGTCACCAAATGATGTTATTCC	fwd. primer for verification of constructs in pSB890Y
p890_seq_r	GTTGAGAAGCGGTGTAAGTG	rev. primer for verification of constructs in pSB890Y
Yen_851_f	TGGGTGAGATGGTGTTAGGC	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus
Yen_1336_f	CAGCTGGATATTACGGCCTTT	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus
Yen_1849_f	CAACAGTACTGCGATGAGTGG	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus

Yen_2356_f	CGCTAAAGAAGAAAGGGAAACA	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus
Yen_2829_f	CCCCTAATTTCCTCCCACTT	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus
Yen_3200_r	TTGATCTCTATTCCTGCATTTTT	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus
Yen_3687_r	TCGGTATGTACTGTCATCAATGTTT	sequencing primer for verification of construct in YenI locus

TaqMan Assays used for qRT-PCRs

assay	target	source
Mm00441127_m1	Reg3γ	ThermoFisher Scientific
Mm01197698_m1	Gusb	ThermoFisher Scientific
Mm00496696_g1	S100A8	ThermoFisher Scientific
Mm01324470_m1	LCN2	ThermoFisher Scientific

1091

1092

1093

Additional files:

S12 qRTPCR raw data.

S13 The data set used to calibrate the model.

S14 Computational model in SBML format.

The *Yersinia* colonization model was deposited in BioModels (Chelliah et al., 2015) and assigned the
identifier identifiers.org/biomodels.db/MODEL2002070001.

While under review, access the models as follows

Please visit https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biomodels/models.

S15 Matlab script for parameter estimation.