Directed growth during somatic cell fusion in *Neurospora crassa* requires

contributions from two distinct MAP kinase pathways

Antonio Serrano[1,2] *, Hamzeh H. Hammadeh[1], Ulrike Brandt[1] and André Fleißner[1] *

1 Institut für Genetik, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Spielmannstraße 7, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

2 Centro de Biotecnología y Genómica de Plantas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM)–Instituto Nacional

de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria (INIA/CSIC), Campus de Montegancedo UPM, Pozuelo de

Alarcón, Madrid, Spain.

† Present address: Max Planck Institute for Heart and Lung Research, Bad Nauheim 61231, Germany.

* To whom correspondence should be sent: aserrano@csic.es / a.fleissner@tu-braunschweig.de

Summary statement

MAK-1 activates the Rho GTPase RAC-1 to drive actin polymerization, while MAK-2 orients
this RAC-1/actin complex toward the fusion partner, defining complementary MAPK roles in
somatic cell fusion.

Abstract

Somatic cell fusion in *Neurospora crassa* depends on two MAP kinases, MAK-1 and MAK-2,
but their precise contributions to directed growth have remained unclear. Using analog-
sensitive alleles and live-cell imaging, we found that MAK-1 activity is required for RAC-1
activation and polarized actin assembly, while MAK-2 controls the positioning of RAC-1 and
actin at the fusion tips. Inhibition of MAK-1 disrupted cell communication, prevented actin focus
formation, and blocked membrane recruitment of MAK-2 and SO. In contrast, MAK-2 inhibition
caused SO and actin to shift to alternative cortical sites, showing that MAK-2 regulates their
spatial orientation rather than activation. Actin disruption with latrunculin A eliminated MAK-2
and SO recruitment, confirming its essential role in their localization. Importantly, MAK-1
controlled actin polymerization only during tropic growth, not during general hyphal extension,
and acted upstream of RAC-1. These findings establish complementary roles for MAK-1 and
MAK-2 in directing RAC-1–dependent actin dynamics during fungal cell fusion.

Introduction

Cell polarization is a fundamental process in eukaryotic organisms, indispensable for growth, development, and certain types of cellular communication. It involves the asymmetric distribution of various molecular factors, including proteins, lipids, and RNA, to discrete regions of the cell cortex, enabling specialized functions at distinct cellular sites. A prominent example of this phenomenon is polarized growth, defined by selective cell expansion at define sites, resulting in elongated morphologies. Examples of cells undergoing highly polarized growth include neurons in animals [1], root hairs and pollen tubes formation in plants [2], and mating protrusions (shmoo) in yeast [3]. Filamentous fungi provide some of the most striking examples of polarized growth, as their hyphal cells extend continuously at the tip and form branched, interconnected mycelial networks capable of rapid and directional exploration of the environment [4-6].

Polarized growth in fungi can be broadly divided into two categories: general polarized growth and directed growth (chemotropic or tropic growth). During general polarized growth, hyphae or the germ tubes of germinating spores protrude continuously in a defined axis, often without changing direction. By contrast, directed growth refers to the reorientation of hyphal tips in response to specific environmental or cellular cues, such as host-derived signals in pathogenic fungi, nutrient gradients, or the presence of a compatible fusion partner. This facilitates cell-cell fusion (somatic fusion) of compatible cells, a pivotal process for colony networking, resource sharing, and the formation of specialized sexual structures [7].

In filamentous fungi, this process of somatic fusion takes place repeatedly throughout development and serves as the basis for building an interconnected colony. Fusion occurs first between germinating spores (germling fusion) and later between mature hyphae (hyphal fusion), establishing cytoplasmic continuity between cells and allowing the exchange of nutrients, signals, and organelles within the mycelial network. Each fusion event follows a defined sequence that includes mutual recognition between compatible partners, chemotropic growth of the cell tips toward one another, and subsequent cell wall remodeling and membrane merger. The recognition and tropic growth phases are driven by a "cellular dialogue," a dynamic oscillatory communication in which interacting cells alternate between signal-sending and signal-receiving states to coordinate directed growth and ensure successful fusion [8, 9].

A key aspect of understanding how cells translate extracellular cues into polarization is the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase network [10, 11]. This conserved signaling machinery governs multiple facets of polarized growth across diverse organisms. In the baker's yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the Fus3 MAPK pathway is the most studied regulator of mating, wherein cells detect peptide pheromones released by opposite mating type. Upon pheromone

sensing by specific G protein-coupled receptors, a cascade of GTPases and kinases
converges on phosphorylation of Fus3p, driving transcriptional changes and orchestrating the
reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton at the site of the pheromone gradient. Concurrently,
the cell wall integrity (CWI) pathway, centered on the MAPK Slt2/Mpk1, collaborates with the
pheromone pathway to ensure proper cell wall remodeling [12] and the control of polarity under
mechanical stress [13]. Additionally, the CWI pathway regulates cell wall modifications
required for the culmination of the sexual cell fusion process. Tight coordination of both MAPK
pathways ensures that membrane extension and cell wall restructuring take place correctly,
enabling precise polarize growth toward the mating partner [14]. Related mechanisms
governing MAPK-dependent polarization operate in other yeast and fungal species. In the
fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, MAPK such as Pmk1 (the CWI pathway homolog
to yeast) contribute to cell integrity and polar growth [15]. Similarly, the filamentous fungus
Neurospora crassa relies on two MAPK modules, the MAK-1 (Mpk1p homolog) and MAK-2
(Fus3p homolog) pathways, for hyphal fusion and colony morphogenesis. Mutants lacking
either pathway fail to initiate normal somatic fusion events and cannot form the interconnected
mycelial networks of wild-type colonies [16, 17].

Although all fungal hyphae exhibit continuous tip extension (general polarized growth), certain
stimuli necessitate a shift to directed growth. In *N. crassa*, vegetative germling fusion requires
tropic interactions between germ tube tips. The switch to directed growth involves rearranging
the polarity machinery, including classic polarity regulators, such as Rho-type GTPases,
including CDC-42 and RAC-1, that govern actin cytoskeleton organization and exocytosis,
ensuring the delivery of secretory vesicles to the cell tips [18, 19]. Notably, in this fungus,
CDC-42 is essential for sustaining general germ tube extension, while RAC-1 drives the tropic
response and directed growth associated with cell fusion events [19]. Both regulators seem to
interact with similar downstream components, such as the formin Bni1, that nucleates actin
polymerization, and associated filaments form actin cables that enable secretory vesicles
delivery to the polarity sites [19].

During this process, the MAPK MAK-2 oscillates between the cytoplasm and the plasma
membrane at the tip of the interacting cells, facilitating rapid switches in the cellular dialogue
[8, 9]. Meanwhile, the MAPK MAK-1 pathway functions predominantly at later fusion stages
and contact recognition, controlling the cell wall remodeling and membrane merger once the
fusion partners come into physical contact [20]. However, it is currently unclear, if the MAK-1
pathway also plays any role during directed growth of the fusion cells towards each other.

By delineating temporal and functional roles of these MAPK pathways, we provided a more
comprehensive framework for understanding the cellular transition between general and

directed polarized growth. By employing a chemical genetics approach with analog-sensitive

MAPK variants (*Shokat* alleles) [21, 22], in combination with high spatial resolution live-cell

imaging, we demonstrate that MAK-2 is decisive in orienting growth toward the fusion

interacting cell, ensuring that RAC-1 (Rho-type GTPase) remain properly polarized to drive

precise chemotropic growth. Conversely, MAK-1 is also required for maintaining the polarized

state during directed growth and ensuring actin oscillation between the interacting cells. Taken

together, our results support a model in which the two MAPK act in tandem during directed

polarized growth.

Results

Inhibition of MAK-1 kinase activity disrupts the process of cell fusion

The CWI MAPK MAK-1 is known to play important roles in the successful completion of cell

fusion, including an essential but unknown role in establishing cell fusion competence, and its

activity is crucial for growth arrest of fusing cells after physical contact is established [20, 23].

However, it remains unclear whether MAK-1 also plays a role during the tropic interaction of

fusion partners. Deletion of the *mak-1* gene completely disrupts cell fusion competence [23],

prompting us to create an analog-sensitive version, or *Shokat* allele [21], of MAK-1 to

manipulate its activity in a spatial-temporal manner (Fig. S1A and B).

The resulting strain, MAK-1^{E104G} (*mak-1::mak-1^{E104G}-hph*), appeared macroscopically wild

type-like, indicating that the mutated kinase is functional in the absence of the inhibitor (ATP-

analog, 1-NM-PP1) and supplemented with 1% DMSO (the solvent of 1-NM-PP1) as a control

(Fig. S1C). In contrast, addition of the inhibitor (final concentration of 40 µM) to the growth

medium resulted in a Δ*mak-1*-like phenotype, whereas the wild-type control strain remained

unaffected (Fig. S1C). Microscopic analysis showed that the presence of the inhibitor

drastically reduced the cell fusion rate of the MAK-1^{E104G} strain, enabling us to analyze the

functions of MAK-1 at specific stages of the cell fusion process, such as the cell-cell

communication phase (Fig. S1D). Additionally, as previously shown in other publications,

neither the solvent DMSO nor the ATP-analog had any effect on wild-type cells, demonstrating

the specificity of the inhibitor for analog-sensitive variants in our fungal system.

To investigate further the effects of MAK-1 inhibition on cell-cell communication, multiple

strains were created. The proteins MAK-2 and SO are crucial to the cell fusion process, and

thus, we examined the localization of these following MAK-1 inhibition. The strains MAK-1^{E104G}

+ SO-GFP and MAK-1^{E104G} + MAK-2-GFP were created through sexual crosses (see Materials

and methods for more details). All strains exhibited comparable MAK-1 inhibition

macroscopically phenotypes upon the addition of the ATP-analog (data not shown). When

live-cell imaging of cell-cell communication, the addition of DMSO did not affect the normal oscillatory recruitment of MAK-2 (Fig. 1A). However, the addition of the ATP-analog completely disrupted the cell-cell communication process, and the dynamic localization of MAK-2 was interrupted. The kinase was no longer observed on the membrane, and no full detachment occurred even after almost 18 minutes (Fig. 1B). Similarly, the addition of DMSO did not affect the usual oscillatory recruitment of SO (Fig. 1C). However, 10 minutes after the addition of the kinase inhibitor, SO accumulated at the membrane in both cell tips, and the GFP signals were more widely distributed around the cell tips (Fig. 1D). These findings suggest that MAK-1^{E104G} activity is essential for proper MAK-2 and SO dynamics during the directed growth phase. Similarly, the characteristic MAK-2/SO dynamics also occur during hyphal fusion [24]. We therefore examined the effects of MAK-1 inhibition on hyphal fusion events. When DMSO was added, MAK-2 oscillated in a wild-type manner (Fig. S2A). However, when MAK-1^{E104G} was inhibited, MAK-2 exhibited a disruption of their dynamics similar to the findings in germlings (Fig S2B). Regarding SO, DMSO did not affect the usual oscillatory dynamics (Fig. S2C), but the addition of the inhibitor completely disrupted the process (Fig. S2D). While MAK-2 completely vanished from the hyphal tip, SO remained as single dots distributed widely around the plasma membrane of both interacting hyphae. These data indicate that the function played by MAK-1 during germling fusion is also conserved in hyphal fusion.

Proper actin organization is crucial for polarized hyphal extension in fungi. In non-interacting cells, actin is primarily found at the tips of growing germ tubes in the form of actin patches localized around the cell tips and actin cables [18]. During cell fusion, the disruption of actin assembly leads to a failure of polarized, directed growth of the fusion tips, while microtubules are dispensable for this process [25]. To image actin dynamics, we used the LifeAct reporter, previously adapted for our fungal system [18], and created a strain MAK-1^{E104G} + LifeAct-GFP through a sexual cross (see Materials and methods for more details). This strain behaved similarly to the wild type in the presence of DMSO, and typical actin cables were present at the cell tips during the communication phase and during cell merger, comparable to wild-type cells. Previously unreported in our system, we observed that actin dynamics showed a comparable oscillatory and alternating accumulation at the cell tips of the interacting cells as MAK-2 and SO (Fig. 1E). When MAK-1^{E104G} was inhibited, actin accumulation was clearly affected: the accumulation of actin cables from the tips disappeared and actin patches were no longer focused on the cell tips but localized in a wider distribution around the cell membrane of the entire cell body (Fig. 1F). Actin oscillation was also observed in hyphal fusion, and inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G} disrupted actin accumulation at the hyphal tips, in a similar fashion as described in germlings (Fig. S2E and F).

181 These data indicate that MAK-1 is involved in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, MAK-2
182 and SO dynamics during the cell fusion process.

Actin accumulation at the cell tips oscillates out-of-phase with SO/MAK-2 dynamics.

Remarkably, the observation of actin localization in the presence of DMSO in the MAK-1^{E104G}
inhibitable strain suggested that actin accumulates at the cell tips of the interacting cells and
that this accumulation oscillates in an antiphase manner (Fig. 1E). To further investigate
whether this was a specific effect of this strain, we examined actin localization in wild-type
cells. Using the wild-type strain LifeAct-GFP [18], we made the same observations (Fig. 2A &
S3A). Based on these data, we hypothesized that actin accumulation likely occurs together
with SO, since the presence of SO at the cell tips is associated with a signal sending state of
the fusing cell [26, 27]. We therefore created a heterokaryon combining LifeAct-GFP, and
dsRed-SO, to enable observation of actin and SO within the same cell. When interacting cell
pairs were observed, dynamic interferes co-localization was found, in which SO was recruited
to the membrane at the tip of the first pair, while actin was recruited in the second interacting
cell. After a few minutes, both proteins co-localized at the tip of the first partner. Finally, actin
disassembled from the membrane of the upper cell and was recruited in the lower cell, while
SO remained at the tip of the upper cell (Fig. 2B and S3B, C and D). This indicates that actin
polymerization at the cell tips is out-of-phase with the usual dynamics of MAK-2/SO. To test
whether actin oscillates during vegetative growth, germinated spores of wild-type LifeAct-GFP
were observed under the fluorescence microscope, in single cells with no interacting partner
nearby, and no oscillation was reported (Fig. 2C), indicating that oscillatory accumulation of
actin is exclusive to cell fusion growth.

Inhibition of MAK-2 disrupts the cell fusion process

Based on previous results, it was hypothesized that MAK-1 may directly participate in actin
polymerization or that actin misregulation may be an indirect effect of the disruption of the cell
fusion process caused by MAK-1 inhibition. To test this hypothesis, a similar strategy was
followed by creating an analog-sensitive version of MAK-2 to decipher SO and actin dynamics
during the tropic growth phase. The generated MAK-2^{Q100A} (*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-*
2^{Q100A}-hph) strains exhibited a macroscopic phenotype similar to wild type in the presence of
DMSO and a Δ*mak-2*-like phenotype when the kinase was inhibited (Fig. S4A-C).
Microscopically, cell fusion rates were not fully comparable to the wild-type strain in the
presence of DMSO (73.8% vs 51.3%; WT/MAK-2^{Q100A}), but cell fusion was still observed. In
contrast, the cell fusion rate was comparable to Δ*mak-2* when the kinase inhibitor was added
(Fig. S4D). These data indicate that the strain is functional for cell fusion in the absence of the

kinase inhibitor and allow us to analyze the cell dynamics when MAK-2^{Q100A} is inhibited in a

spatial-temporal manner.

To evaluate the impact of MAK-2^{Q100A} inhibition on the subcellular localization of SO and actin,

we generated strains MAK-2^{Q100A} + SO-GFP and MAK-2^{Q100A} + LifeAct-GFP. We observed

that in the presence of DMSO, the dynamic localization of SO was similar to the wild-type

phenotype (Fig. 3A). However, upon addition of the kinase inhibitor, the oscillation of SO

rapidly ceased (within 4 minutes), and in 10 out of 15 observations, the localization of SO

remained broadly distributed around the cell membrane (Fig. S5A). Interestingly, in 5 out of

15 observations, the localization of SO shifted to a different area of the cell, suggesting that

the complex formed at the cell tips may travel together around the cell membrane after MAK-

2 inhibition (Fig. 3B). We also examined the localization of actin and observed fusing cell pairs

under the microscope in the presence of DMSO, displaying the previously described

oscillatory accumulation of actin at the cell tips (Fig. 3C). However, in the presence of the

kinase inhibitor, the actin localization shifted to a different position within the cell, with 10 out

of 20 observations displaying actin travelling to the opposite cell tip in a manner similar to that

of SO (Fig. 3B). After 10 minutes, actin still accumulated at the new position, despite the

termination of tropic interaction and directed growth (Fig. 3D). In some cases (10 out of 20),

actin shifted to a different position but remained close to the cell tip (Fig. S5B). These data

suggest that MAK-2 inhibition has a slightly different impact on the disruption of actin

localization and indicate that MAK-1 and MAK-2 may have distinct roles in cytoskeleton

organization during cell fusion. Moreover, the observed effect on actin localization rules out

the hypothesis of an indirect effect on actin cytoskeleton due to the disruption of cell fusion.

The actin cytoskeleton plays a crucial role in the dynamic localization of MAK-2 and SO

The inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G} disrupts proper membrane recruitment of MAK-2/SO, while also

disturbing actin accumulation at the cell tips. Actin cables serve as tracks for the transport of

multiple cargoes, including potential secretory vesicles that may be involved in the cell fusion

process [18, 28]. Our data support two alternative hypotheses: either MAK-1 activity is directly

involved in both MAK-2/SO recruitment and actin organization, or it is only involved in actin

organization, and actin disruption results in disturbed MAK-2/SO localization.

In yeast, Fus3p recruitment is mainly mediated through actin cables organized at the Shmoo

of the mating cells [29]. To test whether actin is required for proper MAK-2/SO recruitment,

we employed the actin-disrupting drug latrunculin A [30]. In a pretest, we determined that a

concentration of 10 µM resulted in the dissociation of F-actin in germinating spores within 6-

10 minutes (Fig. S5C). When we tested MAK-2 localization, we observed that actin disruption

due to latrunculin A resulted in the disassembly of MAK-2 after 8 minutes, and no further MAK-

2 membrane recruitment was observed in the cells (Fig. 4A). Similarly, the dynamic
localization and membrane recruitment of SO were also affected by actin inhibition (Fig. 4B),
suggesting that proper actin polymerization at the cell tips is essential for membrane
recruitment of MAK-2 and SO. To further understand the implications of MAK-1/MAK-2 in this
process, we decided to investigate the roles of the upstream regulators of actin polymerization,
the Rho-GTPases.

Rho GTPases are conserved eukaryotic proteins that regulate actin dynamics by cycling
between active (GTP-bound) and inactive (GDP-bound) states [3]. Upon activation, they
translocate to the plasma membrane and interact with actin regulators, such as formins, to
promote actin cable formation. In filamentous fungi, RAC-1 and CDC-42 localize to growing
tips but play distinct roles in cell fusion and germ tube growth, respectively [19]. The RAC-1–
specific inhibitor NSC3766 has been used to distinguish their functions. In our experiments,
we tested if specific inhibition of RAC-1 with NSC3766 affects the dynamics of actin and the
recruitment of MAK-2, SO, and actin assembly. In interacting cell pairs expressing MAK-2-
GFP, the protein was recruited to the membrane in a wild-type manner before addition of RAC-
1 inhibitor. The addition of the RAC-1 inhibitor at a concentration of 100 µM resulted in defects
in the recruitment of MAK-2 after 12 minutes. MAK-2 fully disappeared from the membranes
of both cells and the interaction ended (Fig. 4C). When SO dynamics were tested, the usual
membrane accumulation at the cell tips was observed. Like the previous experiment, defects
in the localization of SO were observed 12 minutes after the addition of the RAC-1 inhibitor.
However, in contrast to MAK-2, SO remained widely distributed at the membranes of both
cells although the interaction had ended (Fig. 4D). To note, this mislocalization of SO was
comparable to the one observed after MAK-1 inhibition (actin patches distributed all around
the cell membrane), suggesting that both proteins (MAK-1 & RAC-1) may play functions within
the same pathway. We also examined actin localization in the presence of the RAC-1 inhibitor.
The addition of the inhibitor resulted in a decline in the number of actin cables, and actin
patches seems to be distributed more widely around the plasma membrane. After 12 minutes,
actin cables had fully disappeared and directed cell growth was terminated (Fig. S5D).

These data indicate that the proper polymerization of actin at the cell tips of the interacting
cells, through activation of the Rho GTPase RAC-1, is essential for the dynamic localization
of MAK-2 and SO.

MAK-1 activity is required for RAC-1 activation, whereas MAK-2 activity determines its
positioning.

Previously, we described a potential connection between the activity of MAK-1 and RAC-1.
Both proteins are necessary for actin assembly during cell fusion, and similar defects are

observed when each one is inhibited. In contrast, MAK-2 inhibition disrupts the cell
communication process, although the actin complex becomes unstable within the cell.
Together, these data support two potential hypotheses: MAK-1 and RAC-1 function in the
same pathway, either upstream or downstream, controlling actin polymerization, or RAC-1
and MAK-1 regulate, independently, a common target that is essential for actin assembly,
such as the formin BNI-1. To test these hypotheses, we decided to analyze the dynamics of
active RAC-1 after MAK-1 and MAK-2 inhibition using the Cdc42-Rac-interacting-binding
(CRIB) reporter. If the first hypothesis is correct, MAK-1 inhibition will inactivate RAC-1,
causing reporter detachment from the membrane. Since Rho GTPases associate with
membranes only when active, detachment indicates inactivation. Alternatively, if the second
hypothesis is correct, MAK-1 inhibition will not affect RAC-1, and the reporter will remain at
the plasma membrane, suggesting that MAK-1 and RAC-1 act independently on a common
factor involved in actin polymerization during cell fusion.

To note CRIB reporters in filamentous fungi binds as a GEF protein to activated Rho-GTPases
without disrupting their function, thereby allowing the localization of both activated RAC-1 and
CDC-42 at the same time in our fungal system [19]. The use of the highly specific RAC-1
inhibitor allowed to distinguish the functions of both proteins, since CDC-42 is unaltered by
this chemical. As a control, we first tested the already reported effects of RAC-1 inhibition on
the CRIB reporter. As previously described, the addition of the RAC-1 inhibitor to interacting
cells resulted in the disappearance of the reporter from the apical tip and cell growth arrest
(Fig. S6A). The complete disappearance of the reporter from the tips of the interacting cells
indicates that only RAC-1, and not CDC-42, is present at the growth cone of the fusing cells
[19].

In our study, we observed that the CRIB reporter was present permanently in both cell tips
during fusion, as previously shown [19] (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, following inhibition of MAK-1,
the CRIB reporter disappeared from the plasma membrane and cell growth was arrested (Fig.
5B). It is noteworthy that both RAC-1 and MAK-1 inhibition produced a similar effect on the
CRIB reporters, suggesting that MAK-1 inhibition specifically affects RAC-1 activity, leading to
the disruption of the actin assembly, membrane recruitment of MAK-2 and SO, and the general
fusion process. Consistent with our hypothesis, we predict that MAK-2 inhibition does not
affect the activity of RAC-1 but rather its localization, as previously observed with the actin in
earlier experiments. As previously observed, the localization of the CRIB reporter remained
permanently at both cell tips during the entire tropic growth phase in our control (Fig. 5C).
However, when MAK-2 was inhibited, the CRIB reporter remained recruited to the plasma
membrane, and its localization appeared to be widely distributed around the cell tip (Fig. 5D).

These suggest that MAK-2 may control the localization and, therefore, growth directionality of
RAC-1.

Nevertheless, as we have previously mentioned, the CRIB reporter allows for the localization
of the activated forms of both RAC-1 and CDC-42 at the same time. To determine if RAC-1,
and not CDC-42, changes its position after MAK-2 inhibition, we applied the RAC-1 inhibitor
after inhibiting MAK-2, combining both chemicals in a temporal resolution. Fusing cells were
observed under the microscope, and the kinase inhibitor was added. After observing the
switching in the position of the CRIB reporter provoked by MAK-2 inhibition, the RAC-1
inhibitor was added. Interestingly, the CRIB reporter disappeared completely from the plasma
membrane, indicating that MAK-2 inhibition of fusing cells affects exclusively the position of
RAC-1 at the plasma membrane (Fig. 5E & S6B). These data indicate that MAK-2 inhibition
in tropically growing cells specifically affects the localization of RAC-1, which remains active
and recruited to the plasma membrane but loses its growth directionality towards the cell
fusion partner and changes its position to another area in the cell.

Taken together, all these data support a model in which the inhibition of MAK-1 specifically
affects RAC-1 membrane recruitment, which results in the disassembly of the actin focus
organized by the Rho-GTPase and the arrest of growth of the interacting cells.

MAK-1 is a specific regulator of actin polymerization during cell fusion

We have shown that MAK-1 activity is crucial for proper actin polymerization at the tips of
interacting cells during cell fusion. This leads to the question of whether MAK-1 functions
specifically in directed growth or whether it plays a role in general polar growth. To address
this question, we conducted an experiment to observe the effect of kinase and RAC-1
inhibitors (as a negative control) independently on non-interacting cells. The length of the germ
tube was quantified under a microscope before and after incubation with the kinase inhibitor,
RAC-1 inhibitor, or DMSO. To ensure that only non-interacting cells were tested, we analyzed
germlings that were at a distance of more than 30 μm from the nearest cell. Our results indicate
that there were no significant differences observed between the DMSO, kinase inhibitor, or
RAC-1 inhibitor treatments, supporting the notion that MAK-1/RAC-1 exclusively regulates
actin polymerization and therefore tropic growth during cell fusion (Fig. 6A). Additionally, we
conducted a similar experiment to test whether MAK-2 had any effect on growth rate and
obtained similar results (Fig. 6A).

Furthermore, we investigated the localization of the CRIB reporter and actin before and after
treatment with DMSO, kinase inhibitor, or RAC-1 inhibitor, in non-interacting cells. As
expected, there were no consistent differences observed in the localization of the CRIB
reporter when cells were treated with DMSO or the kinase inhibitor (Fig. 6B) and with H_2O (the

solvent for the RAC-1 inhibitor) or the RAC-1 inhibitor (Fig. 6C) in the MAK-1-inhibitable

strains. Similarly, no significant differences were observed in the localization of the CRIB

reporter when the cells were incubated with DMSO or the kinase inhibitor (Fig. 6B), H_2O, or

the RAC-1 inhibitor (Fig. 6C) in the MAK-1^{E104G} and MAK-2^{Q100A} (Fig. 6D and E).

To further confirm these findings from the chemical genetics approach, we studied actin

organization in the *mak-1* knockout mutant obtained from the *N. crassa* gene knockout

collection (NCU09482). A Δ*mak-1* LifeAct-GFP strain was created through sexual crosses

(see Materials and methods for more details). As previously reported, this mutant exhibited a

cell fusion-deficient phenotype [23]. The spores of this isolate were incubated in MM for 2

hours, and actin organization was studied using fluorescence microscopy. In the Δ*mak-1*

mutant cells, germ tube elongation and actin assembly at the growing cell tips were

comparable to wild type [18] (Fig. S6C).

Taken together, these data indicate that MAK-1 exclusively functions as an actin

polymerization regulator during the cell fusion process and is not involved in actin

polymerization during general vegetative growth. In other words, MAK-1 is not involved in the

same actin-regulatory pathway as CDC-42.

MAK-1 functions upstream of RAC-1

MAK-1 has been identified as a key factor in regulating the communication and growth arrest

phases during cell fusion. This kinase plays a crucial role in the growth arrest phase, which

involves remodeling of the cell wall to allow for the completion of the fusion process. Analog-

sensitive versions of MAK-1 have been shown to partially inhibit the growth arrest phase,

resulting in disrupted cell merger and the emergence of a corkscrew-like phenotype in fusing

cells, also known as twisting germ tubes [20].

To investigate whether MAK-1 and RAC-1 are part of the same pathway, we conducted

experiments using wild-type cells and partial inhibition of RAC-1. We tested three different

concentrations of RAC-1 inhibitor (100, 50, and 25 μM), with only the latter concentration

allowing for the induction of cell fusion events (Fig. 7A). Furthermore, a large number of cells

exhibited defects in polar growth, which may be related to the effect of RAC-1 inhibition. To

further investigate this observation, we quantified the percentage of cells that showed tropic

defects (observed as growth-forming circles) after RAC-1 inhibition in medium with Ca^{2+}.

Approximately 12.5% of cells exhibited this growth, compared to the water control. To

determine whether this defect was caused by inhibition of the general germ tube elongation

machinery, we performed similar quantifications on wild-type cells placed on media without

Ca^{2+} (which inhibits the induction of cell fusion) and on a cell fusion mutant, such as Δ*so*. We

observed that polarity defects were not observed in either case, suggesting that this phenotype
may be caused by partial inhibition of RAC-1 specifically when cells are undergoing cell fusion
(Fig. 7B). Although MAK-1 and RAC-1 function within the same actin-regulatory pathway
during cell fusion, there is currently a lack of strong evidence to determine which protein is
upstream/downstream. Interestingly, both proteins are localized at the cell tips during the cell
growth arrest phase [19, 20]. Therefore, we conducted experiments to investigate how the
localization of MAK-1 and RAC-1 were affected after inhibition of RAC-1 or MAK-1, when the
cells are merging. To observe the effects of the subcellular localization of MAK-1/RAC-1, cells
that had just established contact were observed under a microscope and either RAC-1 or the
kinase inhibitor was added. When RAC-1 was observed, its localization remained at the
contact area of the fusing cells that had just made contact, and the addition of DMSO had no
effect on its localization (Fig. 8A). However, the complete inhibition of MAK-1 in fusing cells
rapidly induced mislocalization of RAC-1, which completely disappeared from the plasma
membrane while cells likely stopped their cellular interaction (Fig. 8B). The addition of water,
the solvent of the RAC-1 inhibitor, did not affect the cell fusion event and MAK-1 localization
during the final stages of cell fusion (Fig. 8C). Interestingly, the addition of the RAC-1 inhibitor
in interacting cells did not have any significant effect on the localization of MAK-1, which
remained at the contact area, although cells likely stopped their interaction (Fig. 8D).

These data indicate that the MAPK MAK-1 controls, and is therefore upstream of, the
activation and membrane-recruitment of RAC-1 during the cell fusion process.

Discussion

Cell fusion in filamentous fungi is a minimalist paradigm of morphogenesis and cell
communication: genetically identical cells that nevertheless rely on several pathways to
convert a shared signal into a directed actin-based growth in an oscillatory manner. In this
study we show that the CWI MAPK MAK-1 is essential for activating the Rho GTPase RAC-1
and assembling the actin aster required for chemotropic growth, whereas the MAPK MAK-2
governs the spatial positioning of this RAC-1/Actin cluster. When MAK-1 activity is inhibited,
we observed that the actin cluster associated to the tips completely disappeared, arresting the
growth of the interacting cells (Fig. 9). Neurospora encodes six Rho-family GTPases, yet only
two, RAC-1 and CDC-42, direct polarized growth at the hyphal tip: RAC-1 drives the
specialized, chemotropic growth that ends in cell fusion, whereas CDC-42 handles vegetative
extension. Our observations match what Lichius *et al*. reported: when RAC-1 is blocked with
NSC23766, the RAC-1-active CRIB reporter vanishes, the actin focus dissolves, and growth
is arrested, while CDC-42 is unaffected and keeps normal chemotropic growth running [19].
We see the same split, RAC-1 inhibition recreates the MAK-1-block phenotype, but CDC-42

activity and the actin cap at non-interacting tips stay intact, confirming that MAK-1 channels its fusion-specific actin signal through RAC-1 rather than CDC-42. Neurospora carries a single formin, BNI-1, which, like most formins, is normally activated when a GTP-loaded Rho protein binds its regulatory domain, releasing autoinhibition and enabling actin-cable assembly [31]. Surprisingly, however, *bni-1Δ* germlings still undergo fusion and even display a "hyper-fusion" phenotype in which peripheral tips fuse [32]. These observations argue that BNI-1 is not the principal or sole organizer of the fusion actin focus. MAK-1 might therefore influence actin in two, non-exclusive ways: (i) indirectly, by activating RAC-1, which in turn could stimulate BNI-1 activity; or (ii) directly, by phosphorylating BNI-1 (or an alternative actin nucleator) and thereby modulating actin assembly independently of RAC-1. Precedents for both routes exist in higher eukaryotes. The canonical MAPK ERK1/2 phosphorylates the Rho-GEF GEF-H1 to activate the Rho GTPase RhoA [33], while ERK3 not only serves as a GEF for CDC-42/RAC-1 but also phosphorylates the Arp3 subunit of the Arp2/3 complex, boosting actin polymerization. The Arp2/3 complex is the principal catalyst of branched-actin assembly, converting linear filaments into meshworks. In Neurospora, deletion of any Arp2/3 subunit reduces cell-fusion frequency by ~2.5-fold yet leaves germination unaffected [25], suggesting that Arp2/3, like RAC-1, plays a fusion-specific role and could lie downstream of MAK-1. Arp2/3 may work together with BNI-1 or compensate for it when BNI-1 is absent (in *bni-1Δ*) during fusion. Defining how these nucleators fit into the MAK-1 pathway remains an open question.

Importantly, no evidence currently links CWI MAPKs to direct phosphorylation of RAC proteins. A phosphoproteomic assay of the *Aspergillus fumigatus* CWI MAPK MpkA (the MAK-1 orthologue) catalogued hundreds of MpkA-dependent phosphosites [34]; Supplementary Table S1A) yet did not list the AfRAC-1 (UniProt Q4WWL5) among the modified targets, reinforcing the notion that RAC-type GTPases are controlled indirectly rather than being direct MAPK substrates. Our own data support this view. Inhibiting MAK-1 causes the CRIB-GFP reporter to detach from the plasma membrane, mirroring the loss of RAC-1 signal seen when RAC-1 is chemically blocked with NSC23766 (Fig S6A) [32]. Membrane detachment is diagnostic of RAC-1 inactivation, indicating that MAK-1 activity is required to keep RAC-1 in its GTP-bound state, most plausibly via phosphorylation of an upstream activator. By contrast, inhibiting MAK-2 stops the interaction but leaves RAC-1 on the membrane; the reporter simply relocates to ectopic cortical sites, underscoring MAK-2 role in positioning rather than activating the GTPase. Collectively, these observations favor a model in which MAK-1 sustains RAC-1 activity likely through a yet-to-be-identified GEF or scaffold, while MAK-2 provides the spatial cue that keeps the active RAC-1/actin complex aimed at the fusion partner. A striking parallel comes from the Neurospora ergosterol biosynthesis mutant

erg-2Δ; perturbing ergosterol composition destabilizes sterol-rich membrane domains (SRDs)

at the cell tip and produces the same twisting germ-tube phenotype seen under partial MAK-

1 or RAC-1 inhibition (Fig. 7A and B), whereas MAK-2 inhibition simply arrest growth without

twisting [20]. SRDs are recognized polarity platforms in several systems: loss of tip-associated

SRDs in *Aspergillus fumigatus* or yeast prevent recruitment of polarity organizers and results

in growth inhibition [35, 36]. In mammalian cells, Rac1 activation itself requires intact lipid rafts

[37]. The matching phenotypes caused by *erg-2Δ*, partial RAC-1 inhibition, and partial MAK-

1 inhibition therefore support a model in which SRDs maintain RAC-1 in its active, tip-localised

state, most likely via a MAK-1-dependent GEF. Compromising either the SRD scaffold or

MAK-1/RAC-1 activity allows RAC-1 to drift from the apex, producing the characteristic twisted

morphology seen in *erg-2Δ* germ tubes. This also suggest that inhibition of MAK-2 may scatter

the sterol-rich tip patch itself: as the patch drifts around the membrane cortex, it drags the

RAC-1/actin complex with it, losing their fixed direction, potentially due to a loss of phase-

separation at the cell tips [38], an idea we revisit in the next paragraphs. Previous studies

made the hierarchy of the cytoskeleton clear in Neurospora: benomyl-induced loss of

microtubules leaves chemotropic interaction unaltered, whereas latrunculin B mediated

depolymerization of actin stops tip extension and abolishes fusion [25]. Consistent with this

actin dependence, we now see that actin intensity at the two fusion tips oscillates out of phase

with the MAK-2/SO signal: actin oscillates independently whether SO or MAK-2 is associated

to the tip of the interacting cell. The oscillatory cell dialog has also been described in the

nematode-trapping fungus *Arthrobotrys flagrans* [39], but the pattern there is markedly

different. In single Arthrobotrys hyphae, in non-interacting cells (described by the authors as

a monologue), actin, the SO homologue SofT and the MAK-2 homologue MakB all rise and

fall in synchrony, paced by an intrinsic growth oscillator. Only when two hyphae approach do

those in-phase oscillations slow, entrain and eventually split into the familiar anti-phasic

dialogue between partners [39]. By contrast, *Neurospora* shows no sign of a pre-existing

growth oscillator; its actin remains strictly anti-phasic to MAK-2/SO and the rhythm emerges

exclusively during cell-to-cell communication. These contrasts imply that the temporal

coupling between signaling, and actin assembly has diverged in the two lineages, so insights

from Arthrobotrys should be extrapolated to Neurospora with appropriate interpretation.

Mating of the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* offers a well-resolved template for

how an actin focus can be integrated with MAPK signaling during fusion. Studies showed that

the formin Fus1 nucleates a compact, aster-like actin array, the fusion focus, exactly at the

contact site between the two cells [28]. Super-resolution imaging and live secretion assays

revealed that this aster acts as a "cargo concentrator," corralling vesicles laden with cell wall

hydrolases so that enzymatic thinning, membrane juxtaposition, and actin-driven force are

synchronized. The focus also hosts the pheromone MAPK cascade (MAPK Spk1 and its
upstream tiers), creating a signaling hot-spot that locks the cells into the fusion programme.
A key mechanistic insight is that the intrinsically disordered region (IDR) of Fus1 undergoes
liquid–liquid phase separation: the IDR self-associates to form a condensate whose network
simultaneously traps actin regulators, vesicle tethers, and MAPK components in a nanometer-
scale volume, greatly boosting their local concentration and reaction rates [38]. Our
Neurospora data point to a conceptually similar, though compositionally distinct, hub. The
formin BNI-1 is dispensable for the fusion aster, yet two scaffold proteins, HAM-5 and, critically
SO, co-localize with the RAC-1/actin complex at the tip. SO is already known to anchor the
entire three-tier CWI cascade (including MAK-1) [40], but only SO, not MAK-1, participates in
the cell dialog oscillatory dynamic localization, implying that SO fulfils additional, MAPK-
independent roles. We therefore propose that MAK-2 (and the signaling cargo it guides) is
delivered into a HAM-5 condensate at the apex, where high local concentration of RAC-1 and
actin nucleators enforces directionality, in association with SRDs. Whether SO or HAM-5 truly
phase-separate, and how MAK-2 activity is choreographed within such a compartment,
remains an open question.

Taken together, these oscillation patterns point to species-specific timing circuits that are
governed by the upstream MAPKs MAK-1 and MAK-2. Based on our findings we propose a
model in which MAK-1 initiates fusion by potentially activating RAC-1 (or perhaps another,
yet-unknown actin nucleator) to build the actin aster, whereas MAK-2 continually reorients that
aster toward the opposite cell tip (Fig. 9). This system has some similarities with the situation
in budding-yeast mating, where the pheromone-response MAPK Fus3 (homolog to MAK-2)
redirects growth toward the other partner [41]. Unlike yeast mating, Neurospora somatic cell
fusion is pheromone-independent, and its ligand-receptor pair remains unidentified, yet in both
systems a dedicated MAPK module converts an external cue into precise spatial guidance of
the polarity machinery.

Material and methods

N. crassa strains and media

The strains generated and used in this study are listed in the supplementary information (Table
S1). Strains were generally grown in Vogel's Minimal Medium (VMM) (Vogel, 1956)
supplemented with 2% sucrose as the carbon source and with 1.5% agar for solid media.
Generally, all strains were incubated in solid VMM (supplemented with histidine 0.5 mg/ml
when needed) for 3-4 days in dark at 30ºC and an additional day with natural light at room
temperature. Homokariotic strains were purified by either single spore isolation (SSPi) or by
crossing with the wild-type strain. For selection during SSPi, VMM with hygromycin was

employed. Crosses were performed on Westergaard's medium as described earlier (Westergaard and Mitchell, 1947). The ascospores obtained from the crosses were germinated in VMM with hygromycin and confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Plasmid construction

The primers used for the generation of the plasmids are listed in table S2. In order to construct the $mak\text{-}1^{E104G}$ (analog-sensitive) knock-in cassette, a fragment containing a 5´ upstream region together with the $mak\text{-}1$ open reading frame was PCR-amplified using the primers 1304 and 1305 and using the previously generated $mak\text{-}1^{E104G}$-gfp plasmid as a template (Weichert et al., 2016). By yeast recombinational cloning, the resulting fragment was fused to the hygromycin resistance cassette (amplified with primers 82 and 83) and a 1 kb fragment homologous to the 3' downstream region of $mak\text{-}1$ (generated with primers 1306 and 1307), resulting in the plasmid 738.

For the generation of the $Ptef\text{-}1\text{-}mak\text{-}2^{Q100A}$ strain, the fragments required for the construction of the gene knock-in cassette were amplified by PCR. A 1 kb genomic region positioned 2 kb upstream of the $mak\text{-}2$ promoter (considering it to be the 1 kb region upstream of the coding read frame) was amplified with primers 1489 and 1490. The $Ptef\text{-}1\text{-}mak\text{-}2^{Q100A}$-gfp plasmid used in a previous study [24] served as a template for the amplification of the $Ptef\text{-}1\text{-}mak\text{-}2^{Q100A}$ PCR fragment with primers 1488 and 1487. The resistance cassette used was Hyg^{R}, which was amplified with primers 82 and 83. Finally, the 1 kb genomic region downstream of the $mak\text{-}2$ Stop-codon was amplified with primers 1489 and 1492. The four fragments were fused by yeast recombinational cloning generating the plasmid 846. The plasmid 723 ($Ptef\text{-}1\text{-}dsRed\text{-}so$) was generated by cloning of the so ORF amplified with primers 1419 and 1420 and ligated into the plasmid 722 (pMF334-Tef-dsRed) with enzymes AscI/XbaI. The resulting plasmid was transformed into N1-03 generating the strain 843 ($his\text{-}3::Ptef\text{-}1\text{-}dsRed\text{-}so$). Yeast recombinational cloning was performed as previously described (Da et al., 2000).

Strain generation

All strains were either generated by transformation as described in early studies (Margolin et al., 1997) or by crossing (Westergaard and Mitchell, 1947). When indicated, strains were purchased to the Fungal Genetics Stock Center. A helpful list of protocols and media description is available at their website (www.fgsc.net).

For generating the inhibitable MAK-1 strain, the gatekeeper amino acid, glutamine at position 104, was exchanged with glycine to render the kinase analog-sensitive, resulting in the $mak\text{-}1^{E104G}$ gene [20]. The plasmid 738 containing the $mak\text{-}1^{E104G}$-hph construct was used as a

template to amplify the full-length knock-in fragment by PCR (using primers 1304 and 1307),

which was then transformed into the *N. crassa* strain N1-06 (FGSC 9719) (Fig. S1A). This

recipient strain has a mutation in the gene *mus52*, which increases the frequency of

homologous recombination up to almost 100% [42]. The resulting transformants were first

tested by PCR to confirm the base pair exchange (E104G; CAG to GGC), and the proper

integration of the transforming DNA was confirmed by Southern blot of homokaryotic isolates

obtained from a sexual cross of the primary transformants with the wild-type strain (Fig. S1A

and B). To generate the subsequent strains combining reporters and MAK-1^{E104G}, strain 849,

carrying the *mak-1::mak-1^{E104G}-hph* genotype, was crossed independently with strains 665

and 714, which carried the *his-3::Ptef-1-mak-2-gfp* and *his-3::Ptef-1-so-gfp* constructs,

respectively, resulting in strains 865 and 874. The integration of the *mak-1::mak-1^{E104G}-hph*

locus and GFP constructs was confirmed by PCR and fluorescence microscopy, respectively.

For LifeAct, strain 849 was crossed with 754 (*his-3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp*), resulting in strain 869.

The CLA-4 CRIB reporter strain (*Pccg1::crib^cla-4-gfp::bar+*, 927) was crossed with the MAK-

1^{E104G} strain (849), resulting in strain 943 (*mak-1::mak-1^{E104G}-hph; Pal1-crib^cla-4-bar*).

Progenies were tested by fluorescence microscopy and PCR.

Analogous to the design of the *mak-1^{E104G}-hph* construct, a *mak-2^{Q100A}-hph* gene knock-in

cassette was created and integrated at the original gene locus of strain N1-06 (Δ*mus-52*).

However, this construct was found to be non-functional, and the respective transformants

exhibited a Δ*mak-2*-like phenotype (data not shown). In previous work at our laboratory, we

found that the Δ*mak-2* phenotype of the knock-out mutant was only fully complemented when

the WT or the analog-sensitive version was overexpressed using strong constitutive promoters

such as P*ccg-1* or P*tef-1* [8, 24]. Therefore, the promoter at the original gene locus was

replaced by the P*tef-1* sequence. The linear *Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph* knock-in cassette,

amplified from the plasmid 846 with primers 1487 and 1488, was transformed into N1-05

(Δ*mus-52*, like N1-06 but different mating type), resulting in strain 882 (*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-*

1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph). The primary transformants were tested by PCR of the *mak-2* locus and

sequencing of the resulting fragment. To obtain a homokaryotic strain, a sexual cross was

performed between wild type mat a (N1-02) and one of the primary transformants, 882 (mat

A). Four of the resulting progenies were tested by Southern blot analysis for single integration

of the construct. All four isolates showed clear bands corresponding to the *Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}*

radioactive-labelled fragment, while it was absent in the recipient strain (N1-05) (Fig. S4A and

B). To generate the subsequent strains combining reporters and MAK-2^{Q100A}, we performed a

genetic cross between the strain *Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph* (899) and two

additional strains, 714 (*his-3::Ptef-1-so-gfp*) and 754 (*his-3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp*). This generated

two new strains: *his-3::Ptef-1-so-gfp; Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph* (912) and *his-*

3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp; Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph (915), respectively. The CRIB reporter strain was generated by crosses of 927 (*Pal1-crib^cla-4-bar*) with MAK-2^{Q100A}; 899 (*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100}A-hph*), resulting in strain 949 (*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100}A-hph;Pal1-crib^cla-4-bar*).

An actin-labeled Δ*mak-1* strain was obtained by setting up a sexual cross between the Δ*mak-1* (NCU09482; purchased to the Fungal Genetics Stock Center; www.fgsc.net) and 754 (*his-3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp*), resulting in the 891 strain (*mak-1::hph;his-3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp*).

Live-cell imaging

Sample preparation was performed as previously described (Schürg et al., 2012). For observation of hyphal fusion in *N. crassa*, 5 µl of a 10^7-spore suspension were inoculated on the side of a MM agar plate and incubated for 15 hours at 30ºC. The preparation of the sample was performed in a similar way than for the spores. The microscope used and the settings for software analyses were described in an early study (Serrano et al., 2018). All fluorescence images shown in this study were processed by the widely used commercial deconvolution software Huygens (by Scientific Volume Imaging), more specifically the Huygens Essential version. Z-stacks (usually n=10) up to 100 nm of all fluorescence images were captured and assembled as a single tiff file by using ImageJ (image-stacks-image to stack). The composite images were processed through the deconvolution software with the following parameters (40-100 iterations, 12 signal/noise ratio and 0.01% of quality change thresh).

Quantitative analyses

Quantification of the cell fusion and germination rate was performed as described elsewhere (Fleissner et al., 2009; Schürg et al., 2012). For all cell fusion quantifications at least 100 spores were quantified per sample/condition, and at least three independent repetitions were studied.

Chemical inhibition

Three different chemicals were used for the corresponding experiments. Latrunculin A (10 µM), dissolved in water, was used to test the consequences of actin-polymerization inhibition, 1-NM-PP1 (40 µm) dissolved in DMSO was used to test the inhibition of the analog-sensitive kinases, and NSC23766 (100 µM/25 µM), dissolved in water, was used for specific inhibition of the Rho-GTPase RAC-1. As a control, either water or DMSO 1% was used. For all inhibitor experiments, fresh spores were harvested and incubated for 2 hours at 30ºC in MM plates. A 1 cm^2 piece was cut from the agar plate and inverted onto a coverslip. The chemical was added on the sample prior inversion onto the coverslip or on one side of the agar block.

 Statistics applied to the data

634 All quantitative data were statistically analyzed by performing a two-tailed unpaired t-test using

635 Excel. The P values were calculated for 0.001, 0.05 or 0.01, and indicated as ***, ** or *

636 respectively. To analyze whether the variances were paired or unpaired, F-test analyses were

637 performed.

References

1.   Bentley M, Banker G. The cellular mechanisms that maintain neuronal polarity.

Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2016;17(10):611-22. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2016.100.

2.   Cheung AY, Wu H-m. Structural and Signaling Networks for the Polar Cell Growth

Machinery in Pollen Tubes. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2008;59(Volume 59, 2008):547-

72. doi: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092921.

3.   Martin SG, Arkowitz RA. Cell polarization in budding and fission yeasts. FEMS

Microbiology Reviews. 2014;38(2):228-53. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12055.

4.   Arkowitz RA, Bassilana M. Polarized growth in fungi: Symmetry breaking and hyphal

formation. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology. 2011;22(8):806-15. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.08.010.

5.   Harris SD. Cell Polarity in Filamentous Fungi: Shaping the Mold. International

Review of Cytology. 251: Academic Press; 2006. p. 41-77.

6.   Riquelme M, Aguirre J, Bartnicki-García S, Braus GH, Feldbrügge M, Fleig U, et al.

Fungal Morphogenesis, from the Polarized Growth of Hyphae to Complex Reproduction and

Infection Structures. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2018;82(2). Epub 20180411. doi:

10.1128/mmbr.00068-17. PubMed PMID: 29643171; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5968459.

7.   Fischer MS, Glass NL. Communicate and Fuse: How Filamentous Fungi Establish

and Maintain an Interconnected Mycelial Network. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:619. Epub

20190329. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00619. PubMed PMID: 31001214; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC6455062.

8.   Fleissner A, Leeder AC, Roca MG, Read ND, Glass NL. Oscillatory recruitment of

signaling proteins to cell tips promotes coordinated behavior during cell fusion. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(46):19387-92. Epub 20091102. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907039106.

PubMed PMID: 19884508; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2780775.

9.   Serrano A, Hammadeh HH, Herzog S, Illgen J, Schumann MR, Weichert M, et al.

The dynamics of signal complex formation mediating germling fusion in *Neurospora crassa*.

Fungal Genet Biol. 2017;101:31-3. Epub 20170216. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2017.02.003. PubMed

PMID: 28216441.

10.   Atay O, Skotheim JM. Spatial and temporal signal processing and decision making

by MAPK pathways. Journal of Cell Biology. 2017;216(2):317-30. doi:

10.1083/jcb.201609124.

11.   Turrà D, Segorbe D, Di Pietro A. Protein Kinases in Plant-Pathogenic Fungi:

Conserved Regulators of Infection. Annual Review of Phytopathology. 2014;52(Volume 52,

2014):267-88. doi: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-050143.

12.   Levin DE. Cell wall integrity signaling in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Mol

Biol Rev. 2005;69(2):262-91. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.69.2.262-291.2005. PubMed PMID:

15944456; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1197416.

13.   Mishra R, van Drogen F, Dechant R, Oh S, Jeon NL, Lee SS, et al. Protein kinase C

and calcineurin cooperatively mediate cell survival under compressive mechanical stress.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2017;114(51):13471-6. doi:

doi:10.1073/pnas.1709079114.

14.   Sieber B, Coronas-Serna JM, Martin SG. A focus on yeast mating: From pheromone

signaling to cell-cell fusion. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2023;133:83-95. Epub 20220209. doi:

10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.02.003. PubMed PMID: 35148940.

15.   Cansado J, Soto T, Franco A, Vicente-Soler J, Madrid M. The Fission Yeast Cell

Integrity Pathway: A Functional Hub for Cell Survival upon Stress and Beyond. Journal of

Fungi. 2022;8(1):32. PubMed PMID: doi:10.3390/jof8010032.

16.   Maddi A, Dettman A, Fu C, Seiler S, Free SJ. WSC-1 and HAM-7 are MAK-1 MAP

kinase pathway sensors required for cell wall integrity and hyphal fusion in *Neurospora*

crassa. PLoS One. 2012;7(8):e42374. Epub 20120803. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042374.

PubMed PMID: 22879952; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3411791.

17.   Pandey A, Roca MG, Read ND, Glass NL. Role of a mitogen-activated protein kinase

pathway during conidial germination and hyphal fusion in *Neurospora crassa*. Eukaryot Cell.

2004;3(2):348-58. doi: 10.1128/ec.3.2.348-358.2004. PubMed PMID: 15075265; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC387641.

18.   Berepiki A, Lichius A, Shoji JY, Tilsner J, Read ND. F-actin dynamics in *Neurospora*

crassa. Eukaryot Cell. 2010;9(4):547-57. Epub 20100205. doi: 10.1128/ec.00253-09.

PubMed PMID: 20139238; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2863416.

19.   Lichius A, Goryachev AB, Fricker MD, Obara B, Castro-Longoria E, Read ND. CDC-

42 and RAC-1 regulate opposite chemotropisms in *Neurospora crassa*. Journal of Cell

Science. 2014;127(9):1953-65. doi: 10.1242/jcs.141630.

20.   Weichert M, Lichius A, Priegnitz B-E, Brandt U, Gottschalk J, Nawrath T, et al.

Accumulation of specific sterol precursors targets a MAP kinase cascade mediating cell–cell

recognition and fusion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2016;113(42):11877-82. doi: doi:10.1073/pnas.1610527113.

21.   Bishop AC, Ubersax JA, Petsch DT, Matheos DP, Gray NS, Blethrow J, et al. A

chemical switch for inhibitor-sensitive alleles of any protein kinase. Nature.

2000;407(6802):395-401. doi: 10.1038/35030148. PubMed PMID: 11014197.

22.   Fleißner A. Turning the switch: using chemical genetics to elucidate protein kinase

functions in filamentous fungi. Fungal Biology Reviews. 2013;27(1):25-31. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2013.02.001.

23.   Fu C, Iyer P, Herkal A, Abdullah J, Stout A, Free SJ. Identification and

characterization of genes required for cell-to-cell fusion in *Neurospora crassa*. Eukaryot Cell.

2011;10(8):1100-9. Epub 20110610. doi: 10.1128/ec.05003-11. PubMed PMID: 21666072;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3165452.

24.   Serrano A, Illgen J, Brandt U, Thieme N, Letz A, Lichius A, et al. Spatio-temporal

MAPK dynamics mediate cell behavior coordination during fungal somatic cell fusion.

Journal of Cell Science. 2018;131(9). doi: 10.1242/jcs.213462.

25.   Roca MG, Kuo HC, Lichius A, Freitag M, Read ND. Nuclear dynamics, mitosis, and

the cytoskeleton during the early stages of colony initiation in *Neurospora crassa*. Eukaryot

Cell. 2010;9(8):1171-83. Epub 20100305. doi: 10.1128/ec.00329-09. PubMed PMID:

20207852; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2918927.

26.   Fleißner A, Serrano A. 7 The Art of Networking: Vegetative Hyphal Fusion in

Filamentous Ascomycete Fungi. In: Wendland J, editor. Growth, Differentiation and

Sexuality. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016. p. 133-53.

27.   Goryachev AB, Lichius A, Wright GD, Read ND. Excitable behavior can explain the

"ping-pong" mode of communication between cells using the same chemoattractant.

BioEssays. 2012;34(4):259-66. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201100135.

28.   Dudin O, Bendezú FO, Groux R, Laroche T, Seitz A, Martin SG. A formin-nucleated

actin aster concentrates cell wall hydrolases for cell fusion in fission yeast. J Cell Biol.

2015;208(7):897-911. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201411124. PubMed PMID: 25825517; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC4384723.

29.   Qi M, Elion EA. Formin-induced actin cables are required for polarized recruitment of

the Ste5 scaffold and high level activation of MAPK Fus3. J Cell Sci. 2005;118(Pt 13):2837-

48. Epub 20050616. doi: 10.1242/jcs.02418. PubMed PMID: 15961405.

30.   Coué M, Brenner SL, Spector I, Korn ED. Inhibition of actin polymerization by

latrunculin A. FEBS Lett. 1987;213(2):316-8. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(87)81513-2. PubMed

PMID: 3556584.

31.   Goode BL, Eck MJ. Mechanism and function of formins in the control of actin

assembly. Annu Rev Biochem. 2007;76:593-627. doi:

10.1146/annurev.biochem.75.103004.142647. PubMed PMID: 17373907.

32.   Lichius A, Yáñez-Gutiérrez ME, Read ND, Castro-Longoria E. Comparative live-cell

imaging analyses of SPA-2, BUD-6 and BNI-1 in *Neurospora crassa* reveal novel features of

the filamentous fungal polarisome. PLoS One. 2012;7(1):e30372. Epub 20120124. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0030372. PubMed PMID: 22291944; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3265482.

33.   Fujishiro S-h, Tanimura S, Mure S, Kashimoto Y, Watanabe K, Kohno M. ERK1/2

phosphorylate GEF-H1 to enhance its guanine nucleotide exchange activity toward RhoA.

Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 2008;368(1):162-7. doi:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.01.066.

34.   Mattos EC, Silva LP, Valero C, de Castro PA, Dos Reis TF, Ribeiro LFC, et al. The

Aspergillus fumigatus Phosphoproteome Reveals Roles of High-Osmolarity Glycerol

Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases in Promoting Cell Wall Damage and Caspofungin

Tolerance. mBio. 2020;11(1). Epub 20200204. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02962-19. PubMed PMID:

32019798; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7002344.

35.   Makushok T, Alves P, Huisman Stephen M, Kijowski Adam R, Brunner D. Sterol-Rich

Membrane Domains Define Fission Yeast Cell Polarity. Cell. 2016;165(5):1182-96. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.037.

36.   Takeshita N, Higashitsuji Y, Konzack S, Fischer R. Apical Sterol-rich Membranes Are

Essential for Localizing Cell End Markers That Determine Growth Directionality in the

Filamentous Fungus *Aspergillus nidulans*. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 2008;19(1):339-51.

doi: 10.1091/mbc.e07-06-0523. PubMed PMID: 18003978.

37.   Fujitani M, Honda A, Hata K, Yamagishi S, Tohyama M, Yamashita T. Biological

activity of neurotrophins is dependent on recruitment of Rac1 to lipid rafts. Biochem Biophys

Res Commun. 2005;327(1):150-4. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.11.151. PubMed PMID:

15629443.

38.   Billault-Chaumartin I, Muriel O, Michon L, Martin SG. Condensation of the fusion

focus by the intrinsically disordered region of the formin Fus1 is essential for cell-cell fusion.

Curr Biol. 2022;32(21):4752-61.e10. Epub 20221005. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2022.09.026.

PubMed PMID: 36202103; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9671092.

39.   Wernet V, Kriegler M, Kumpost V, Mikut R, Hilbert L, Fischer R. Synchronization of

oscillatory growth prepares fungal hyphae for fusion. eLife. 2023;12:e83310. doi:

10.7554/eLife.83310.

40.   Teichert I, Steffens EK, Schnaß N, Fränzel B, Krisp C, Wolters DA, et al. PRO40 is a

scaffold protein of the cell wall integrity pathway, linking the MAP kinase module to the

upstream activator protein kinase C. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(9):e1004582. Epub 20140904.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004582. PubMed PMID: 25188365; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4154660.

41.   Yu RC, Pesce CG, Colman-Lerner A, Lok L, Pincus D, Serra E, et al. Negative
feedback that improves information transmission in yeast signalling. Nature.
2008;456(7223):755-61. doi: 10.1038/nature07513. PubMed PMID: 19079053; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC2716709.
42.   Ninomiya Y, Suzuki K, Ishii C, Inoue H. Highly efficient gene replacements in
Neurospora strains deficient for nonhomologous end-joining. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2004;101(33):12248-53. Epub 20040806. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402780101. PubMed PMID:
15299145; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC514464.
43.   Lichius A, Goryachev AB, Fricker MD, Obara B, Castro-Longoria E, Read. CDC-42
and RAC-1 Regulate Opposite Chemotropisms in *Neurospora Crassa*. Journal of cell
science. 2014;127(Pt 9). doi: 10.1242/jcs.141630. PubMed PMID: 24790223.

Figures

Figure 1. Activity inhibition of MAK-1 disrupts the oscillatory localization of MAK-2/SO
and LifeAct. **(A)** Oscillatory localization of MAK-2-GFP during cell fusion in a MAK-1^{E104G}
background (strain 865) with the addition of DMSO. **(B)** Inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G} disrupts the
oscillatory dynamic localization of MAK-2. **(C)** Oscillatory dynamic localization of SO during
cell fusion in a MAK-1^{E104G} background (strain 874) with the addition of DMSO. **(D)** Inhibition
of MAK-1^{E104G} disrupts the oscillatory dynamic localization of SO. **(E)** Oscillatory localization
of LifeAct-GFP during cell fusion in a MAK-1^{E104G} background (strain 869) with the addition
of DMSO. **(F)** Inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G} disrupts the oscillatory dynamic localization of LifeAct-
GFP. All observations were made multiple times (n≥15). Scale bars 5 μm.

Figure 2. Actin focalizes to the tips of the interactings cells in an oscillatory manner.
(A) Oscillatory dynamic localization of actin fusion aster at the cell tips of the interacting cells
with LifeAct-GFP (strain 754). Similar observations were made multiple times (n=10) **(B)**
Lifeact-GFP co-localization with dsRed-SO during the interaction of fusing cells in the
heterokaryon created with strains 754 and N1-30. **(C)** LifeAct-GFP localization in a non-
interacting cell during vegetative growth (strain 754). Similar observations were made multiple
times (n=10). Scale bars 5 μm

Figure 3. Activity inhibition of MAK-2 disrupts the SO dynamic localization and
mislocalizes actin around the cell membrane. (A) Oscillatory localization of SO-GFP during
cell fusion in a MAK-2^{Q100A} background (strain 911) with the addition of DMSO. (B) Inhibition
of MAK-2^{Q100A} disrupts the oscillatory dynamic localization of SO-GFP. (C) Oscillatory
localization of LifeAct-GFP during cell fusion in a MAK-2^{Q100A} background (strain 915) with
the addition of DMSO. (D) Inhibition of MAK-2^{Q100A} disrupts the oscillatory dynamic

localization of LifeAct-GFP. All observations were made multiple times (n≥10). Scale bars 5

µm.

Figure 4. Inhibition of actin assembly disrupts the MAK-2/SO dynamic localization. (A)

Inhibition of actin with latrunculin A (Lat A) disrupts the localization of MAK-2-GFP (strain 865)

(B) Inhibition of actin with Lat A disrupts the localization of SO-GFP (strain 874). **(C)** Inhibition

of RAC-1 with RAC-1 inhibitor (NSC23766) disrupts the localization of MAK-2-GFP (strain

865) **(D)** Inhibition of RAC-1 with RAC-1 inhibitor disrupts the localization of SO-GFP (strain

874). All observations were made multiple times (n≥10). Scale bars 5 µm.

Figure 5. Activity inhibition of MAK-1 results in RAC-1 inactivation while MAK-2

inhibition results in RAC-1 mislocalization. (A) Localization of the CRIB-GFP reporter

during cell fusion in a MAK-1^{E104G} background (strain 943) with the addition of DMSO. **(B)**

Inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G} disrupts CRIB-GFP localization during cell fusion. **(C)** Localization

of the CRIB-GFP reporter during cell fusion in a MAK-2^{Q100A} background (strain 949) with the

addition of DMSO. **(D)** Inhibition of MAK-2^{Q100A} results in mislocalization of the CRIB-GFP

during cell fusion. **(E)** Inhibition of MAK-2^{Q100A} results in mislocalization of the CRIB-GFP

during cell fusion, and subsequent addition of the RAC-1 inhibitor results in disruption of the

CRIB reporter. Comparable observations were made multiple times (n≥10). Scale bars 5 µm.

Figure 6. Inhibition of either MAK-1 or RAC-1 does not affect actin localization in

vegetative growth. (A) Quantification of cell elongation in cells treated either with DMSO,

ATP-analog (MAPK inhibitor) or RAC-1 inhibitor. No significant differences are observed

between all tests (n≥25). **(B)** Upper panel: localization of the CRIB-GFP reporter in non-

interacting cells before and after addition of DMSO in a MAK-1^{E104G} background (strain 943).

Bottom panel: localization of the CRIB-GFP reporter in cells before and after inhibition of

MAK-1^{E104G}. **(C)** Upper panel: localization of the CRIB-GFP reporter in non-interacting cells

before and after addition of DMSO in a MAK-2^{Q100A} background (strain 949). Bottom panel:

localization of the CRIB-GFP reporter in cells before and after inhibition of MAK-2^{Q100A} **(D)**

Upper panel: localization of LifeAct-GFP in non-interacting cells before and after addition of

DMSO in a MAK-1^{E104G} background (strain 869). Bottom panel: localization of LifeAct-GFP

in cells before and after inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G}. **(E)** Upper panel: localization of LifeAct-GFP

in non-interacting cells before and after addition of DMSO in a MAK-2^{Q100A} background (strain

915). Bottom panel: localization of LifeAct-GFP in cells before and after inhibition of MAK-

2^{Q100A}. Similar observations were made multiple times (n≥5). Scale bars 5 µm.

Figure 7. RAC-1 and MAK-1 acts within the same pathway. (A) Quantification of twisting and normal growth in cell fusion during partial inhibition of RAC-1. Control (H_2O) and 25 µM of RAC-1 inhibitor are shown (n≥100). Representative images of both phenotypes are shown at the right. **(B)** Quantification of polarity growth defects when RAC-1 is partially inhibited during cell fusion. Control (H2O) and 25 µM (NSC23766), in media with/without Ca^{2+} and with Δso strain as a control. Significant differences (***, $p<0.001$) were observed between the partial inhibition and the control only in the presence of Ca^{2+}.

Figure 8. MAK-1 is upstream of RAC-1. (A) Localization of CRIB-GFP when cells establish physical contact in a MAK-1^{E104G} background (strain 943), when treated with DMSO. **(B)** Localization of CRIB-GFP during inhibition of MAK-1^{E104G} after cells established physical contact. (*) to point the cell fusion event and signal dissapearence. **(C)** Localization of MAK-1-GFP in a wild-type interaction when cells established physical contact (strain MW513). **(D)** Localization of MAK-1-GFP when RAC-1 is fully inhibited with NSC23766 (100 µM). Similar observations were made multiple times (n=10) Scale bars 5 µm.

Figure 9. Proposed model of MAK-1/MAK-2 regulation during cell fusion. **A)** During chemotropic growth toward a fusion partner, MAK-1 activates RAC-1, which in turn drives actin polymerization and formation of a focused actin aster at the leading tip. This actin/RAC-1 complex supports polarized exocytosis and directed growth. In parallel, MAK-2 defines the position of this complex by orienting RAC-1 and the actin aster toward the partner cell. **B)** When MAK-1^{E104G} activity is blocked, RAC-1 becomes inactive and detaches from the membrane, the actin aster collapses, and growth stops. **C)** When MAK-2^{Q100A} activity is blocked, RAC-1 remains active at the cortex but shifts to ectopic positions around the plasma membrane, and growth loses direction.

Supplementary information

Supplementary figures

Figure S1. Characterization of MAK-1^{E104G} strains. (A) Graphical representation of the knock-in construct integrated in the genome of the transformant strains. Arrows indicate BamH1 cutting sites and the bands that are generated. **(B)** Southern blot of the positive strains obtained after transformation and crossing with the wild-type strain. DNA was treated with BamH1 before hybridization with the membrane **(C)** Macroscopic phenotype of wild type and MAK-1^{E104G} (strain 849) in presence of DMSO or ATP-analog. Similar observations were

made on strains 848, 850 and 851 (same genotype than 849). **(D)** Quantification of tropic

interactions and germination of MAK-1^{E104G} strain in presence of DMSO or the ATP-analog

(n>100).

Figure S2. Oscillatory recruitment of MAK-2/SO and actin is disrupted after MAK-1

inhibition during hyphal fusion. (A) Localization of MAK-2-GFP during inhibition of MAK-

1^{E104G} (strain 865) in a hyphal fusion. **(B)** Localization of SO-GFP during inhibition of MAK-

1^{E104G} (strain 874) in a hyphal fusion. **(C)** Localization of LifeAct-GFP during inhibition of MAK-

1^{E104G} (strain 869) in a hyphal fusion. Similar observations were made multiple times (n>5).

Scale bars: 5 μm.

Figure S3. Quantifications of signal intensity during cell fusion. (A) Lifeact relative

intensity ratio between the signal localized at 3 μm within the apex of the cell and the

cytoplasm, from the images shown in figure 2A. **(B)** Lifeact relative intensity ratio between the

signal localized at 3 μm within the apex of the cell and the cytoplasm, from the images shown

in figure 2B. **(C)** SO relative intensity ratio between the signal localized at 3 μm within the apex

of the cell and the cytoplasm, from the images shown in figure 2B. **(D)** Combination of data

extracted from graphs B and C from the left cell of the image, indicating a asynchronous

oscillation.

Figure S4. Characterization of MAK-2^{Q100A} strains. (A) Graphical representation of the

knock-in construct integrated in the genome of the transformant strains. Arrows indicate

BamH1 cutting sites and the bands that are generated. **(B)** Southern blot of the positive strains

obtained after transformation and crossing with the wild-type strain. DNA was treated with

BamH1 before hybridization with the membrane. **(C)** Macroscopic phenotype of wild type and

MAK-2^{Q100A} (strain 898) in presence of DMSO or the ATP-analog. Similar observations were

made on strains AS899, AS900 and AS901 (same genotype as 898). **(D)** Quantification of

tropic interactions and germination of MAK-2^{Q100A} strain in presence of DMSO or the ATP-

analog (n>100).

Figure S5. Actin and MAK-2 inhibition effect on SO/actin dynamics. (A) Localization of

SO-GFP during MAK-2^{Q100A} (strain 912) inhibition on interacting cells. **(B)** Localization of

LifeAct-GFP during MAK-2^{Q100A} (strain 915) inhibition on interacting cells. **(C)** Effect of the actin

inhibitor latrunculin A on actin assembly in LifeAct-GFP localization (strain 754). **(D)** Effect of

RAC-1 inhibition on LifeAct-GFP (strain 869) localization during cell fusion. Similar

observations were made multiple times (n>5).

Figure S6. Effect of RAC-1 inhibition on CRIB-GFP localization and actin assembly on
strain. (A) CRIB-GFP localization after RAC-1 inhibition (NSC23766) during cell fusion. **(B)**
Relative intensity ratio between the signal localized at 3 µm within the apex or in the germ
tube of the cell and the cytoplasm, from the images shown in figure 5C. At the left *y-axis* is
represented the apex/cytop signal (red and dark blue) and in the right *y-axis* blue) and in the
right *y-axis* is represented the germ tube plasma membrane/cytop signal (light blue and
orange). (C) Actin localization and organization in Δ*mak-1* (strain NCU09482).

 Table S1. List of strains used in this study.

Strain	Genotype	Source
N1-01 (FGSC #2489)	Wild type, *Mat A*	FGSC
N1-02 (FGSC #988)	Wild type, *Mat a*	FGSC
N1-03 (FGSC #6103)	*his-3; Mat A*	FGSC
N1-05 (FGSC #9720)	Δ*mus-52::bar+, his-3*, mat A	FGSC
N1-06 (FGSC #9719)	Δ*mus-52::bar+*, mat a	FGSC
NCU09482 (FGSC #11326)	Δ*mak-1::hph*	FGSC
714	*his-3$^+$::Ptef-1-so-gfp, mat A.*	This study
754	*his-3$^+$::Ptef-1-Lifeact-gfp; Δmus-51::bar+; mat A*	[18]
758	*his-3$^+$::Ptef-1-mak-2-mCherry*	This study
843	*his-3$^+$::Ptef-1-dsred-so; Mat A*	This study

848	*mak-1:: mak-1^{E104G}-hph, his-3; Mat a*	This study
849	*mak-1:: mak-1^{E104G}-hph; Mat a*	This study
850	*mak-1:: mak-1^{E104G}-hph; Mat a*	This study
851	*mak-1:: mak-1^{E104G}-hph; bar+::mus52; Mat A*	This study
865	*mak-1::mak-^{E104G}-hph; his-3::Ptef-1-mak-2-gfp; mat A*	This study
869	*mak-1:: mak-1^{E104G}-hph; his-3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp; mat A*	This study
874	*mak-1::mak-1^{E104G}-hph; his-3::Ptef-1-so-gfp; mat A*	This study
882	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; his-3-, bar+::mus52; mat A*	This study
891	*mak-1::hph, his-3::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp mat a*	This study
898	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; mat a*	This study
899	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; mat a*	This study
900	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; mat a*	This study
901	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; mat a*	This study
911	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; his-3+::Ptef-1-so-gfp*	This study
915	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; his-3+::Ptef-1-lifeact-gfp*	This study
927	*Pccg1::crib^cla-4-gfp::bar+ Mat A*	[43]

N	Name	Nucleotide sequence 5' -------> 3'
943	*mak-1::-mak-1^{E104G}-hph; Pccg1::crib^cla-4-gfp::bar+*	This study
949	*Pmak-2-mak-2::Ptef-1-mak-2^{Q100A}-hph; Pccg1::crib^cla-4-gfp::bar+*	This study

918 **Table S2. List of primers used in this study.**

N	Name	Nucleotide sequence 5' -------> 3'
1419	SO-Ascl-Fw	aatgtaggcgcgcccatgtctcgatcccgcggtgttc
1420	SO-Xbal-Rv	aatgtatctagactaatgcccatactccaaatgcggc
1487	mak-2 Q100G R	gagccagcacgcgatcatacgccgatgagatacacttcgttga
1488	mak-2 Q100G F	tcaacgaagtgtatctcatcggcgtatgatcgcgtgctggctc
1489	mak2 5strichF--pRS	gtaacgccagggtttttcccagtcacgacgtctctctggttgttctgttcgga
1490	mak2 5srichR --tef Promotor	tagttcagtggtcacgggatatccaactacttcaccctttcacaca
1492	MAK-2-Pr1.Rv	tcacctcataatctcctggtagatcaactgc

1304	Mak1.knockin.1	gtaacgccagggttttcccagtcacgacgcgagacctatctctacggcggtacgtcatggctcat
1305	Mak1.knockin.2	atccacttaacgttactgaaatcaaacattctttttggctttttgtactaatctgg
1306	Mak1.knockin.3	gctccttcaatatcatcttctgtgaacgccgacgccatgcag
1307	Mak1.knockin.4	gcggataacaatttcacacaggaaacagctcctctctctctccgtcgtcgcc
82	HPH F	gtcggagacagaagatgatattgaaggagc
83	HPH R	gttggagatttcagtaacgttaagtggat

920 **Table S3. List of plasmids used in this study**.

Number	Plasmid
723	*Ptef-1-dsRed-so*
846	*Ptef-1-mak-2q100a*
738	*mak-1-e104g*

Figure 1

A

0 min 4 min 8 min 12 min 16 min 20 min

B

MERGE 0'' 90'' 180'' 270'' 360'' 450'' 540'' 630''

LifeAct

SO

C

0 min 4 min 8 min 12 min 14 min 18 min 22 min

Figure 2

A 0 min +DMSO 6 min +DMSO 10 min

B 0 min 4 min 10 min 15 min +ATP-analog +ATP-analog +ATP-analog

C 0 min 5 min +DMSO 11 min +DMSO 18 min +DMSO 24 min +DMSO

D 0 min 4 min +ATP-analog 10 min +ATP-analog 27 min +ATP-analog

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

0 min   5 min   11 min
+DMSO   +DMSO

B

0 min   6 min   12 min
+ATP-analog   +ATP-analog

C

0 min   6 min   12 min
+DMSO   +DMSO

D

0 min   10 min   20 min
+ATP-analog   +ATP-analog

E

0 min   10 min   20 min   25 min
+ATP-analog   +ATP-analog   +ATP-analog
+RAC-1 inhib

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

A

Wild type

*Or another unknown actin nucleator
** Two independent three-tiered MAPK cascade activation

B

MAK-1^{E104G} Inhibition

* Two independent three-tiered MAPK cascade activation

C

MAK-2^{Q100A} Inhibition

*Or another unknown actin nucleator
** Two independent three-tiered MAPK cascade activation

Figure 9