Calcium-vesicles perform active diffusion in the sea urchin embryo during larval biomineralization

Mark R. Winter[1], Miri Morgulis[1], Tsvia Gildor[1], Andrew R. Cohen[2] and *Smadar Ben-Tabou de-Leon[1]

[1]Marine Biology Department, Charney School of Marine Sciences, the University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel

[2]Drexel University, PA, USA

*Author of correspondence, e-mail: sben-tab@univ.haifa.ac.il phone: +972-52-6135770

Calcium-vesicle diffusion in biomineralization

ABSTRACT (<300 words)

Biomineralization is the process by which organisms use minerals to harden their tissues and provide them with physical support. Biomineralizing cells concentrate the mineral in vesicles that they secret into a dedicated compartment where crystallization occurs. The dynamics of mineral-vesicle motion and the molecular mechanisms that regulate it, are not well understood. Sea urchin larval skeletogenesis provides an excellent platform for the analyses of vesicle kinetics. Here we used calcein labeling and lattice light-sheet microscopy to investigate the three-dimensional (3D) vesicle dynamics in control sea urchin embryos and in Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR) inhibited embryos, where skeletogenesis is blocked. We developed computational tools for displaying 3D-volumetric movies and for automatically quantifying vesicle dynamics in the different embryonic tissues. Our findings imply that calcium vesicles perform an active diffusion motion in all the cells of the embryo. This mode of diffusion is defined by the mechanical properties of the cells and the dynamic rearrangements of the cytoskeletal network. The diffusion coefficient is larger in the mesenchymal skeletogenic cells compared to the epithelial ectodermal cells, possibly due to the distinct mechanical properties of the two tissues. Vesicle motion is not directed toward the biomineralization compartment, but the vesicles slow down when they approach it, and probably bind for mineral deposition. Under VEGFR inhibition, vesicle volume increases and vesicle speed is reduced but the vesicles continue in their diffusive motion. Overall, our studies provide an unprecedented view of calcium vesicle 3D-dynamics and illuminate possible molecular mechanisms that control vesicle dynamics and deposition.

Authors summary (150-200 words)

Biomineralization is a widespread, fundamental process by which organisms use minerals to harden their tissues. Mineral bearing vesicles were observed in biomineralizing cells and believed to play an essential role in biomineralization, yet little is known about their three-dimensional (3D) dynamics. Here we quantify 3D-vesicle-dynamics during skeleton formation in sea urchin larvae, using lattice-light-sheet microscopy. We discover that calcium vesicles perform an active diffusive motion in both calcifying and non-calcifying cells of the embryo. The motion of the vesicles in the calcifying skeletogenic cells, is not directed toward the biomineralization compartment and has a diffusion coefficient of $\sim 0.01 \mu m^2/sec$ and average speed of $\sim 0.09 \mu m/sec$. The inhibition of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR) that blocks skeletogenesis, increases vesicle volume and decreases vesicle speed but doesn't change the diffusion mode in the embryo cells. Our studies reveal the diffusive motion of mineral bearing vesicles and have implications on basic and translational research.

Biomineralization; biophysics; Lattice light sheet microscopy; vesicles trafficking; active diffusion; sea urchin; VEGF

Introduction

Organisms from the five kingdoms of life use different minerals to harden their tissues and gain protection and physical support[1]. The ability of the cells to control the time and place of crystal nucleation as well as crystal orientation, elasticity and stiffness is beyond the state-of-the art of human technologies[2-4] and inspired the design of biomimetic systems[5-7]. Biomineralization is thought to have evolved independently and rapidly in different phyla, through the use of preexisting components and the evolution of specialized biomineralization proteins[8, 9]. The biomineralized skeletons, teeth and shells, constitute the fossil record that carry the information on the evolution of life on earth. Thus, revealing the cellular and molecular control of the biomineralization process has been the desired goal of both fundamental and applied researchers in the fields of biology, chemistry, geology and material sciences[1, 8-10].

Within the variety of minerals used and phylum specific proteins, a common design of mineral uptake and deposition emerges from various studies: the mineral enters the cells through endocytosis of extracellular fluid[11, 12]. The mineral is then concentrated and maintained in an amorphous phase in intracellular vesicles until the vesicles are deposited into a dedicated compartment where crystallization occurs[13]. The biomineralization compartment provides a highly regulated environment for crystal nucleation and growth. The molecular mechanisms that regulate the accumulation and trafficking of mineral vesicles and those that control vesicle secretion into the biomineralization compartment, are not well understood.

Sea urchin larval skeletogenesis is an excellent system for investigating mineral uptake and deposition within a relatively small (~100μm) and transparent embryo, which is easy to manipulate[11, 14-21]. The sea urchin skeleton is made of two rods of calcite termed "spicules" generated by the skeletogenic mesodermal cells[18, 22]. The skeletogenic cells fuse and arrange in a ring with two lateral symmetrical cell clusters. In those lateral clusters the skeletogenic cells form a tubular compartment into which calcium-carbonate is deposited to make the calcite spicules[16, 20, 21]. The uptake of calcium from the blastocoel fluid occurs through endocytosis, as was shown through a series of experiments[11, 14, 15]: Electron microscope images of the skeletogenic cells show cell membrane invagination that forms an inner pocket of about 1μm filled with blastocoel fluid[11]. This pocket then separates from the cell membrane and forms an intracellular vesicle with a similar size (~1μm)[11, 14, 15]. Calcium vesicle movement was tracked manually and the vesicles seem to barely move within the skeletogenic and ectodermal cells[15]. Calcium and carbonate are eventually concentrated in the vesicles in the form of

amorphous calcium-carbonate (ACC)[11, 14, 15, 23], which is deposited into the

biomineralization compartment where crystallization occurs[14, 20, 24, 25].

The regulation of endocytosis and vesicular transport between membrane-bound cellular

compartments were intensively studied in other systems[26, 27]. The observed size of the pocket

and vesicles (~1µm) suggests that this endocytosis process is most likely macropinocytosis ("cell

drinking"), and not receptor/clathrin mediated endocytosis (upper limit of ~200nm)[26] or

caveolin mediated (upper limit of ~80nm)[28]. Macropinocytosis is an actin-dependent process

that initiates from surface membrane ruffles that give rise to large endocytic vacuoles called

macropinosomes[29]. The macropinosomes go through a maturation process that involves

shrinking and coating with various membrane-bound proteins[30, 31]. Some of the membrane-

bound proteins could be motor proteins like dynein or kinesin that actively transport vesicles

along the microtubules in the cells[32, 33]. Alternatively, the vesicles can perform a diffusive

motion that is constrained by the cellular organelles and affected by the dynamic remodeling of

the cytoskeleton network within the cell[34-37]. This mode of vesicle diffusion within the cells is

called "active diffusion" to distinguish it from thermal diffusion[34-37]. Possibly, some of the

molecular mechanisms that regulate macropinosomes shrinking and transport are used by the

skeletogenic cells to concentrate the calcium and carbonate they uptake from the blastocoel and

transfer it into the biomineralization compartment.

The molecular control of sea urchin skeletogenesis has been intensively studied resulting in a

state-of-the-art model of the gene regulatory network (GRN) that controls skeletogenic cell fate

specification[17, 18, 38-40]. A key control gene in this GRN encodes the Vascular Endothelial

Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR)[17, 39-41],[77] that in vertebrates regulates the formation of

blood vessels (vascularization) and the sprouting of new blood vessels from existing ones

(angiogenesis)[42]. VEGFR is exclusively expressed in the sea urchin skeletogenic cells, and its

inhibition by either genetic manipulation or using the VEGFR specific inhibitor, axitinib, distorts

skeletogenic cell migration and completely blocks spicule formation[17, 39, 41],[77]. We previously

studied the cellular and molecular machinery activated by the VEGF pathway during sea urchin

skeletogenesis and revealed multiple parallels to the regulation of vertebrate vascularization[17].

The similarities are observed both in the upstream gene regulatory network, in the downstream

effector genes and the cellular processes that VEGF signaling activates. Possibly, sea urchin

skeletogenesis and vertebrate vascularization diverged from a common ancestral tubulogenesis

program, uniquely co-opted for biomineralization in the echinoderm phylum.

VEGFR inhibition in the sea urchin embryo affects the number of calcium vesicles accumulated in the skeletogenic cells[17]. We previously studied the role of sea urchin VEGF signaling in calcium vesicle accumulation using calcein labeling in live embryos by confocal microscopy[17]. We observed that calcium vesicles are still detected in the sea urchin embryo under VEGFR inhibition. However, when the spicules form in normal embryos the number of vesicles in the skeletogenic cells is higher under VEGFR inhibition compared to normal embryos. Possibly, calcium vesicles accumulate in higher numbers in the skeletogenic cells when VEGF signaling is inactive since the biomineralization compartment doesn't form and vesicles are not deposited. Yet, these measurements were based on two dimensional images, therefore they lack the volumetric information and temporal resolution required for assessing calcium vesicle volume and kinetics in the 3-dimensional (3D) cellular environment. Lattice light-sheet microscopy (LLSM) is a promising technique for the measurement and assessment of the 3D vesicle dynamics in live sea urchin embryos[43].

LLSM is a recent improvement in 3D fluorescence imaging[43]. Light-sheet microscopy (LSM) excites a sample using an excitation objective that is perpendicular to the imaging objective. This excitation along a "sheet" reduces the excitation time for each point in the sample, while maintaining similar resolution to confocal microscopy. Lattice light-sheet techniques extend LSM by using a lattice beam to excite the sample rather than a Gaussian beam. The lattice beam improves the spatial resolution of the excitation, as well as reduces the intensity of excitation on the sample. LLSM techniques produce high spatial and temporal resolution images, while avoiding the phototoxicity problems that are common with confocal and standard LSM. The high spatial and temporal resolutions are indispensable for capturing the motion of fast-moving subcellular structures such as the calcium vesicles. In addition, the reduced excitation of the LLSM avoids harming the cells of the embryo allowing for the long-term visualization of calcium dynamics.

The power of LLSM to produce fast high-resolution imaging also presents new challenges for data analysis. Single slice-based visualization often misses important structure in the 3D volume. While full 3D volumetric visualization provides a more comprehensive view of the data, it also requires a deep understanding of the image statistics in order to properly highlight structures of interest. Similar to looking through fog, image noise in 3D volumetric data visualization can obscure the structure unless the visualization parameters are tuned for each dataset. The high throughput of LLSM exacerbates these problems: the microscope can generate gigabytes of image data per minute, requiring high-performance graphics and computer hardware in order to

enable real-time visualization of the datasets. Therefore, manually quantifying cellular and sub-
cellular dynamics in tens of 3D movies, each having hundreds of frames, is error-prone and in
most cases, practically infeasible.

Automated analysis approaches are highly suitable for handling large 3D LLSM datasets.
However, accurate computational analysis of biological image data is also an active area of
research and presents additional cross-disciplinary challenges. Quantification of cellular
dynamics begins with automatic identification of individual structures of interest in each frame
(often referred to as segmentation). After segmentation, a tracking algorithm links the segmented
structures frame-to-frame to maintain the "identity" for each object over time. Once tracking is
complete, size and motion dynamics can be measured for each object and statistical comparisons
can be made. Several software tools have been developed focused on automatic 3D biological
image analysis[44-46]. The LEVER package is extensible and supports visualization of the
vesicle tracking along with the raw image data for validation of the automated results. LEVER
has previously been used for both 2D and 3D cellular dynamics quantification. As part of this
work we integrated our segmentation and tracking approaches into LEVER to be used for
quantifying 3D calcium vesicle dynamics[47-49].

Here we used LLSM to measure 3D vesicle dynamics in control and VEGFR inhibited sea urchin
embryos at the gastrula stage using calcein and membrane labeling. We extended the LEVER
software platform to support automatic identification and tracking of calcium vesicles in 3D and
to generate interactive volumetric 3D visualization and movie capture. We applied these tools to
quantify calcium vesicle dynamics within different embryonic tissues and across experimental
conditions. Our studies reveal the typical length and velocity scales and the characteristic motion
of calcium vesicles in the sea urchin embryonic cells and illuminate possible molecular
mechanisms that control this motion.
172

Results

Detecting cellular and calcium vesicle dynamics in LLSM

In order to visualize 3D calcium vesicle dynamics in live sea urchin embryos for long periods of
time, we used the LLSM at the advanced imaging center at the Janelia research campus[43]. This
LLSM setup allows for high temporal resolution of ~40ms per slice and about ~2 sec for 3D
embryonic volume of ~40μm[43, 50]. We used green calcein to stain the calcium ions[14] and
FM4-64 to mark cell membranes in live sea urchin embryos of the species, *Lytechinus variegatus*

(*L. variegatus*) at different stages of skeletogenesis (Fig. 1A-C)[17]. Calcein is membrane-
impermeable and therefore can only enter the cells through endocytosis[11]. To study the effect
of VEGF signaling we treated sea urchin embryos with the VEGFR inhibitor, axitinib (Fig. 1D-
F). Axitinib is a specific inhibitor of human VEGFR2 that binds to the kinase domain that is
highly conserved between human and sea urchin; specifically, the six amino-acids to which
Axitinib binds are conserved between human and sea urchin VEGFR[17]. Axitinib treatment
results in similar skeletogenic phenotypes to those observed in genetic perturbations of the VEGF
gene in *L. variegatus*[39] and particularly, it results in complete skeletal loss (Fig. 1D-F)[17, 39].
Axitinib is dissolved in DMSO and our control embryos were therefore cultured in a similar
concentration of DMSO like the axitinib embryos, see Methods for experimental details. Details
of all the time-lapses we used in this work are provided in Dataset S1.

Before we further describe our experiments and observations, some methodological limitations
must be mentioned. The embryos were grown in calcein until early gastrula stage and then calcein
was washed about two hours or more before we could image vesicle dynamics. This time interval
after the wash was necessary to eliminate the calcein stain from the cell cytoplasm and
blastocoelar fluid so the individual calcein stained-vesicles would be distinct from the
background. However, as the blastoceolar fluid was not stained with calcein during the imaging,
we were unable to detect live-pinocytosis as well as unstained calcium vesicles that were
apparently deposited into the spicules (Fig. 1C). To immobilize the embryos and enable live-
imaging for long period of time (>30min), we immersed the embryos in low melting agarose and
in this condition the spicule elongation is significantly slower than in free swimming embryos. In
other systems, substrate stiffness was shown to regulate cellular behaviors[51]˙[52], and we need
to consider this effect in our interpretation of the data.

203   4D rendering of the LLSM data reveals rich cellular behaviors and vesicular
204   dynamics

To visualize the 3D structure and motion of the cells and the vesicles in the embryos throughout
time, we reconstructed a 3D model from the individual slices and then connected these images
over time to produce time-lapse sequences. The datasets are made up of two-channels (calcein
and FM4-64) of between 80 to 120 image-slices per time point (frame). Each frame is
reconstructed into a 3D volume that spans approximately 40μm of the sea urchin embryo. We
present here six representative movies, three of control and three of VEGFR inhibition, that
include 200-400 frames separated by time intervals of 3.26-6.12 sec, spanning about 20-30
minutes of cellular and vesicular motion (Fig. 1 and Movies S1-6).

The rendered movies demonstrate the highly dynamic cellular and vesicular motion in the two
experimental conditions. At the developmental stages in which we recorded the movies the
skeletogenic cells are in close contact with the ectodermal layer[53]. In normal embryos they are
in close vicinity to the developing spicule (Fig. 1B,C, 2A) and in both conditions they form
clusters and rapidly extend filopodia that contact and fuse between cells[39] (Figs. 1 and 2). We
also detect some non-skeletogenic mesenchymal cells that move around individually and are not
in direct contact with the ectoderm (Fig. 1A, Sup movie 3). The formation of skeletogenic cell
clusters that are bound to the ectoderm in both control and VEGFR inhibition suggests that
VEGF-independent ectodermal cues are responsible for the observed skeletogenic adhesion[53].

Vesicle volume is larger in the skeletogenic cells vs. the ectodermal cells and
increases under VEGFR inhibition

Next, we wanted to study the effect of VEGFR inhibition on the volume of calcium vesicles
within the embryonic cells. To automatically quantify vesicle volume, we identified each vesicle
in all frames using the segmentation algorithm discussed in the methods section (Fig. 3A, B).
After automated identification of each vesicle, the size of each vesicle can be measured based on
the volume of the pixels identified. To differentiate between the skeletogenic cells and the other
embryonic territories we manually identified the boundaries of the ectoderm and of the endoderm
regions in the first frame of each movie and used this frame for volume statistics (Fig. 3C). As the
endoderm region was apparent only in few of the movies, we focused on characterizing the sizes
of vesicles in the ectoderm and the skeletogenic cells. In some of the movies the mesodermal
region contains a few non-skeletogenic mesodermal cells, but since the movies were focused on
clusters of skeletogenic cells, the contribution of the non-skeletogenic cells to our analyses is
minor.

Our measurements show that in control embryos, the average volume of calcein stained vesicles
in the skeletogenic cells is ~$0.4\mu m^3$ while in the ectodermal cells it is significantly smaller,
~$0.25\mu m^3$ (Fig. 3D, Dataset S1). Under VEGFR inhibition, the average volume of calcein stained
vesicles is higher compared to normal embryos both in the skeletogenic cells and in the
ectodermal cells (Fig. 3D, Dataset S1). The average volume of the calcein stained vesicles under
VEGFR inhibition is ~$0.46\mu m^3$ in the skeletogenic cells and ~$0.34\mu m^3$ in the ectodermal cells, an
increase of more than 12% in vesicle volume in both tissues. We previously observed an increase
in the number of vesicles in the skeletogenic cells under VEGFR inhibition at the time when the
spicule forms in normal embryos[17]. The increase in vesicle number and volume might result
from the higher level of calcium present in the blastocoel when VEGF signaling is inactive, since

calcium is not sequestered into the spicules and accumulates in the blastocoel and its level is

increased in all the cells of the embryo.

248   Vesicle speed is slower in the skeletogenic cells compared to the ectodermal cells and

249   the directionality is similar in the two tissues.

We wanted to quantify vesicle dynamics in the cells of the sea urchin embryo and study the effect

of VEGFR inhibition on vesicle motion. To do that we applied the tracking algorithm we

developed previously[49, 54] (see the methods section for details). Tracking maintains the

identity of each vesicle over time, allowing instantaneous speed and velocity measurements,

frame to frame, for each individual vesicle. Throughout this manuscript we use the term "**speed**"

to describe the size of the velocity and the term "**velocity**" to describe the vector-velocity that

includes the information of both the size and direction. An example **instantaneous speed**

measurement is shown in Figure 4A, the magenta line represents the motion of the vesicle from

the previous frame. The vesicle instantaneous speed is the length of the line (distance traveled in

a single frame) divided by the time between frames (here, ~6 seconds). An important constraint

on the effectiveness of tracking algorithms is the temporal resolution of the imaging relative to

the speed of tracked objects. In the case of the calcium vesicles, we discovered that too much

motion occurred between frames for effective tracking if the temporal resolution was 15 seconds

or more per frame. We therefore only applied the tracking algorithm and motion analyses to

movies with temporal resolution less than 15 seconds per frame, that is, 3.26-6.12 seconds per

frame (see Dataset S1 for the details of movies that were included in this analysis).

The average instantaneous speed per track of the vesicles in the skeletogenic cells is about

0.09µm/sec in control embryos and is reduced to ~0.08µm/sec in VEGFR inhibition (Fig. 4C,

Dataset S1 and methods). A larger difference is observed between the vesicle speed in the

skeletogenic cells and in the ectoderm, where the average instantaneous speed per track is

0.07µm/sec in control embryos and reduces to ~0.05µm/sec under VEGFR inhibition. Thus,

vesicle volume and speed are larger in the skeletogenic cells compared to the ectodermal cells in

both control and VEGFR inhibition (Fig. 3D, 4C, Dataset S1).

Interestingly, the instantaneous speed decreases with VEGFR inhibition while the volume

increases in this condition for both the skeletogenic cells and the ectoderm. Despite these clear

trends, there is no correlation between vesicle volume and speed throughout the experimental

conditions (Fig. S1A, B). The lack of correlation implies that the change of vesicle volume is not

related to the change in vesicle motion and is due to different regulation of these quantities in the

embryonic cells.

To further characterize vesicle motion, we quantified the directionality of vesicle velocity by

measuring the **directionality index**. The directionality index is the ratio between the maximal

displacement and the total length of the vesicle track during a time window of one minute (Fig.

4B)[55, 56]. Values close to 1, reflect linear (directed) motion and values close to 0, reflect

random motion. The average directionality index in both the skeletogenic and ectodermal cells is

about 0.42 and it shows minor changes under VEGFR inhibition (Fig. 4D, Dataset S1). This value

is similar to the directionality index measured for endocytic vesicles that guided by molecular

motors along microtubules in astrocyte cultures[55, 56]. However, the typical speed of motor-

guided vesicles in these cultures and in other systems is much faster (~0.4-0.5µm/sec)[55-57]

compared to our measurements (~0.05-0.09µm/sec). Additionally, the motor-guided movement of

vesicles along microtubules was shown to be in bursts of directed motion that last ~6-7 seconds

followed by a pause for a similar time interval[36, 57]. If that was the case for the calcium

vesicles we would have expected to see two peaks of instantaneous speed, a fast-speed peak

corresponding to the directed motion and a slow-speed peak corresponding to the pause.

However, the distribution of measured instantaneous speed shows only a single peak that

corresponds to the relatively slow movement of the vesicles reported above (Fig. S2). The slow

instantaneous speed and the lack of two modes of motion suggest that the vesicle motion is not

guided by molecular motors along the microtubule network.

The calcium vesicles perform an active diffusion motion in the skeletogenic cells and the ectoderm

In other systems, vesicles were shown to experience a diffusive motion that is not thermal in

nature but results from the dynamic remodeling of the cytoskeletal network and the ubiquitous

activity of motor proteins that affect every moving object within the cell cytoplasm[36, 37]. This

mode of diffusion is called "active diffusion" and is characterized by a larger amplitude (step

size) compared to the amplitude of thermally-induced diffusion. Additionally, the diffusion

coefficient for active diffusion is independent of particle size, unlike thermal diffusion where the

diffusion coefficient decreases with increasing particle size[37]. To test whether the calcium

vesicles experience an active diffusion motion we fit a standard diffusion motion model to each

track. We plot the mean-square displacement, $\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle$, as a function of time, Δt, and use a linear fit

to measure the **diffusion coefficient**[34], D, $\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle = D \Delta t$, for each track (Fig. 4E). Mean-square

displacement is measured by taking the squared sum of path-lengths (e.g. the lengths of magenta

line segments in Fig. 4B) for each vesicle track. Most of the data fit well within this model, that

is, $R^2 \geq 0.8$ for 90% of the tracked vesicles (Fig. 4F). The average diffusion coefficient is

D~$0.01\mu m^2/sec$ in the skeletogenic cells and is not affected by VEGFR inhibition (Fig. 4E,

Dataset S1). In the ectoderm the diffusion coefficient is smaller, D~$0.008\mu m^2/sec$ and it

decreases to $0.005\mu m^2/sec$ under VEGFR inhibition. This is in agreement with the lower

instantaneous speed measured for the ectodermal vesicles compared to the skeletogenic cells (Fig.

4C). The diffusion coefficient does not correlate with vesicle size (Fig. S1C, D), which supports

active diffusion. Thus, our analyses reveal an active diffusion mode of the calcium vesicle motion

in both the skeletogenic and ectodermal cells, with higher diffusion coefficient in the skeletogenic

cells.

Vesicle motion is not directed toward the spicule but the vesicle speed slows down

close to the spicule

Lastly, we wanted to investigate vesicle deposition in normal embryos and study vesicle motion

near the spicule in this condition. Vesicle-membrane fusion is a very fast process that occurs

within ~100 milliseconds[58], and therefore our temporal resolution did not allow us to detect

such events. However, vesicle content deposition can last several minutes, as was shown for the

vesicles that carry adhesive glycoproteins that are secreted into the lumen of the drosophila

salivary gland[59, 60]. To see if we could detect such processes, we studied the directionality and

speed of vesicle motion relative to the spicule and tried to infer whether the vesicles are trafficked

toward the spicule and if they slow down near the spicule vicinity.

To measure vesicle motion relative to the spicule we manually marked the spicule and measured

vesicle velocity toward the spicule at increasing distances from the spicule (Fig. 5A, B). The

average velocity is around zero indicating random motion toward and away from the spicule,

which suggests that vesicle motion is not directed towards the spicule; in other words, the spicule

does not attract vesicle movement towards it. However, the average vesicle speed is significantly

lower near the spicules (Fig. 5C). The vesicle speed is ~0.05µm/sec at distances of 1-2µm from

the spicule, while at distances ≥8µm it increases to ~0.09µm/sec, which is the average

instantaneous speed in the skeletogenic cells (Fig. 5C). Overall, vesicle velocity is not directed

toward the spicules but the vesicles significantly slow down near the spicule, possibly, as they

bind to it and deposit their content.

Discussion

A key requirement of biomineralizing organisms is the ability to accumulate minerals inside

intracellular vesicles where the mineral is kept in an amorphous state until it is deposited into the

biomineralization compartment[11, 13, 15]. Despite the key role of mineral bearing vesicles in

the biological regulation of biomineralization, very little is known about their trafficking inside

the biomineralizing cells and the regulation of their dynamics and deposition. Here we studied the

cellular dynamics and the motion of calcium vesicles in the cells of normal sea urchin embryos

and in embryos grown under VEGFR inhibition, where skeletogenesis is blocked. Our studies

reveal differences in vesicle volume and speed between the epithelial ectodermal cells and the

mesenchymal skeletogenic cells that generate the skeleton. In both tissues the vesicles seem to

perform an active diffusion motion, with higher diffusion coefficients in the skeletogenic cells.

The motion of the vesicles is not directed toward the spicule but they seem to slow down near it,

as they possibly bind and deposit the mineral. Below we discuss the possible molecular

mechanisms that underlie these observations and the implications of our studies on the

understanding the biological regulation of biomineralization and reproducing it in artificial

systems.

Our measurements show that in both control and VEGFR inhibited embryos, the average volume

of calcein stained vesicles is larger in the skeletogenic cells compared to the ectodermal cells

(Fig. 3D, Dataset S1). This difference might result from different processing of the vesicle

content in these two cell populations. Indeed, previous studies where calcein was added to the sea

water together with another membrane impermeable dye, alexa-dextran, showed different

behaviors of the dyes in the skeletogenic vs. ectodermal cells: while most of the ectoderm

vesicles were stained evenly with the two dyes, about a third of the skeletogenic vesicles were

stained only in calcein and lack alexa-dextran[11]. This indicates that calcium vesicles in the

skeletogenic cells are biologically processed to eliminate the sea water and increase the calcium

concentration, while in the ectoderm this processing does not occur. Together, these findings

indicate that the biological regulation of calcium vesicle content is distinct between the

skeletogenic and the ectodermal cells which apparently leads to higher calcium vesicle volume in

the skeletogenic biomineralizing cells. There is a multitude of evidence of a specific activation of

genes that regulate CO_3^{-2} homeostasis in the skeletogenic cells, *e.g.,* the carbonic anhydrase like-7,

Caral7[17, 41], and the bicarbonate transporter, SCL4a10[61]. However, further studies are

required to identify the genes responsible for the specific regulation of Ca^{+2} ions in the vesicles of

the skeletogenic cells.

VEGFR inhibition increases calcium vesicle volume in both the skeletogenic and ectodermal cells

(Fig. 3D). Apparently, when the skeleton doesn't form and the calcium is not sequestered into the

spicule, calcium is accumulated in the sea urchin blastocoel and is taken by the cells through

macropinocytosis. As VEGFR is only expressed in the skeletogenic cells, the increase of calcium

vesicle volume in both tissues supports VEGF-independent macropinocytosis as a major source

of calcium accumulation in all the cells of the embryo.

The slow speed of the vesicles compared to motor-guided motion on microtubules and the good

agreement of the diffusion model support an active diffusion motion in all the cells of the embryo

(Fig. 4). In active diffusion motion the vesicle diffusion length depends on the mechanical

properties and dynamics of the cytoskeleton network in the cells so every object in the cell is

expected to move in a similar manner, regardless of its size[34, 37]. Hence, the lower speed and

diffusion coefficient of the vesicles in the ectoderm could be due to the epithelial nature of these

cells that makes them stiffer compared to the mesenchymal skeletogenic cells[51]. The lack of

correlation between the diffusion coefficient and vesicle size (Fig. S1C,D) and the similarity of

the values we measured to the diffusion coefficients measured in mice synapses where the vesicle

size is much smaller (~50-100nm)[62], further support the active diffusion motion modality.

Under VEGFR inhibition, the vesicle speed is slightly reduced but the vesicles continue in their

diffusive motion, indicating that the motion of the vesicles in the cells is VEGF-independent.

Overall, our studies suggest that calcium vesicles undergo active diffusion motion that reflects the

mechanical properties of cells and therefore varies between the mesenchymal skeletogenic cells

and the epithelial ectodermal cells of the sea urchin embryo.

The interaction of the embryos with the surrounding agarose could have potentially affected

the mechanical properties of the cells, as environmental stiffness was shown to affect cell

stiffness and mechanical properties in other systems[51]. The agarose stiffness is about 35-

50kP[63] which is much more rigid than the sea water where the sea urchins naturally grow. The

agarose stiffness could increase the stiffness of the ectodermal cells and of the skeletogenic cells

that attach to them and affect their mechanical properties. This effect and possibly the lack of

movement, could underlie the slow growth of the spicule under these conditions. Relatedly,

vertebrates' endothelial cell-specification and vascular tubulogenesis were shown to depend on

the mechanical properties of the substrate *in-vitro*[52, 64, 65]. Specifically, growth on soft

substrates promoted VEGF-induced vascular tubulogenesis whereas growth on more rigid

substrates inhibited this process[52]. It would be interesting to study the effect of environmental

stiffness on the mechanical properties of the sea urchin embryonic cells and see whether the

inhibition of tubulogensis in hard substrates is common to vertebrate vascularization and sea

urchin skeletogenesis.

Our analysis of vesicle movement near the spicules showed that the vesicle motion is not directed

towards the spicule but they slow down at distances of 1-2µm from the spicule, as they possibly

bind to it (Fig. 5). In the *Drosophila* salivary gland a regulatory network of cytoskeleton proteins
assembles around the vesicles once they bind to the apical membrane and controls the secretion
of the vesicle content[59, 60]. This network includes the small GTPase, Rho1, that activates the
Rho kinase, ROK, that activates myosin II contractions. Additionally, Rho1 recruits a RhoGap
protein that inactivates Rho1 which leads to the disassembly of the F-actin around the
vesicles[60]. Relatedly, inhibition of the sea urchin homolog of ROK completely blocks
skeletogenesis, inhibition of the small GTPase CDC42 prevents the formation of the spicule
chord and biomineralization, and knockdown of the RhoGap gene, rhogap24l/2 perturbs normal
spicule formation[17, 66, 67]. Based on these works and on our observation, we offer the
following hypothesis for mineral deposition: The calcium vesicles attach to the inner membrane
of the spicule chord, and secret their content by regulated acto-myosin contractions around the
vesicle. To verify our hypothesis, the role of these and other cytoskeleton remodeling proteins in
vesicle deposition in the sea urchin need to be investigated.

The absence of directed vesicle motion is quite promising for the design of artificial systems that
try to mimic the ability of biomineralizing cells to control mineral properties and growth[5, 68].
In these systems, synthetic vesicles with controlled lipid, protein and mineral content are
fabricated, the proteoliposomes. The proteoliposomes are investigated in search for novel
therapeutic approaches for promoting calcification on one hand, and preventing ectopic
calcification on the other hand[5, 6, 68]. If mineral bearing vesicles are not actively transported to
the biomineralization site, but just diffuse, there is one less molecular mechanism to worry about
when constructing artificial vesicle based biomimetic systems. Thus, our studies provide a
promising starting point for deciphering the molecular control of calcium vesicle dynamics with
implications for both basic and translational research.

Methods

Adult animals and embryo cultures

435 Adult *L. variegatus* were obtained from the Duke University Marine Laboratory (Beaufort, NC,
436 USA). Spawning was induced by intracoelomic injection of 0.5M KCl. Embryos were cultured in
437 artificial sea water at 23°C.

Calcein staining

A 2mg/ml stock solution of green calcein (C0875, Sigma, Japan) was prepared by dissolving the
chemical in distilled water. Working solution of 25µg/ml was prepared by diluting the stock

solution in artificial sea water. Embryos were grown in calcein artificial sea water from
fertilization and washed from calcein about 2-3 hours prior to the experiments.

FM4-64 staining

A 100µg/ml stock solution of FM4-64 (T13320, Life technologies, OR, USA) was prepared by
dissolving the chemical in distilled water. Working solution of 5µg/ml was prepared by diluting
the stock solution in artificial sea water. Calcein stained embryos were immersed in working
solution about 10 minutes before visualization.

Axitinib (AG013736) treatment

A 5mM stock solution of the VEGFR inhibitor, axitinib (AG013736, Selleckchem, Houston, TX,
USA), was prepared by reconstituting this chemical in dimethylsulfoxyde (DMSO). Treatments
were carried out by diluting aliquots of the axitinib stock in embryo cultures to provide a final
concentration of 150 nM. Control embryos in all experiments were cultured in equivalent
concentrations of DMSO at no more than 0.1% (v/v).

Sample preparation for Lattice Light Sheet Microscopy

2% low melting agarose (Sigma cat# A0701) melted in artificial sea water at 37°C was added to
the stained embryos at the ratio of 5:1, to immobilize the embryos. The sample was then
immersed in the microscope tab with 8 mL artificial sea water with 20µL FM4-64 working
solution.

Lattice Light Sheet Microscopy

The lattice light sheet microscope (LLSM) used in these experiments is housed in the Advanced
Imaged Center (AIC) at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute Janelia research campus. The
system is configured and operated as previously described[43]. Samples are illuminated by a 2D
optical lattice generated by a spatial light modulator (SLM, Fourth Dimension Displays). The
sample is excited by 488 nm, diode lasers (MPB Communications) through an excitation
objective (Special Optics, 0.65 NA, 3.74-mm WD). Fluorescent emission is collected by
detection objective (Nikon, CFI Apo LWD 25XW, 1.1 NA), and detected by a sCMOS camera
(Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4.0 v2). Acquired data are deskewed as previously described[43] and
deconvolved using an iterative Richardson-Lucy algorithm with a point-spread function
empirically determined for the lattice-light sheet optical system.

Tracking of Calcium Vesicles

The automatic detection of vesicles in each frame (segmentation) is accomplished using the high-

performance Hydra Image Processing library for fast 3-D image filtering[69]. We use a blob-

detection approach similar to Chenourd et al. based on the Laplacian of Gaussians (LOG)

filter[47]. The LOG filter can be used for blob-like object detection. In this work we used a

thresholded 3D LOG to identify the vesicles in each frame. This method identifies the size and

position of each vesicle, some example vesicle detections are shown in figure 3B, based on the

image data in Fig. 3A. **Source code for all segmentation, tracking and statistical analyses can**

be found in the referenced GitLab repository[70].

In order to keep track of each vesicle over time, we integrated our segmentation approach into the

LEVER software package[48, 49]. LEVER uses a multitemporal cost function based on a small

motion model to link the most likely vesicle detections into tracks (Fig. 4B). We used these

vesicle tracks to analyze vesicle dynamics such as changes in velocity or size over time. The

performance of the tracking algorithm degrades with significant motion, beyond the capabilities

of the software to predict[48, 54]. In these datasets it is difficult to determine the frame-to-frame

vesicle identities even by eye (see *e.g.* movie S7). For this reason, we have restricted our

tracking-based analyses to datasets with a time-lapse of 6.12 seconds or less, per frame.

Single-Frame Manual Identification of Ectodermal Region

In order to examine the dynamics of calcium specifically in the skeletogenic cells, we must

separate out the ectoderm cells which form the exterior layer of the sea urchin embryo. However,

in these images, the cells of the ectodermal region are often similar in their general shape to the

skeletogenic cells and are quite close together. For this task we manually defined the ectodermal

boundary in the first frame of each dataset. An example of such a boundary is shown in figure 3C.

We also applied a registration algorithm using normalized covariance to automatically detect

motion of the ectoderm region over time. For all movies analyzed, the motion of the ectoderm

region was minimal for the first 50 frames. We therefore limited the analysis of our datasets to the

first 50 frames, allowing the use of the ectoderm mask for identifying vesicles throughout the

analysis.

Vesicle Speed, Directionality, and Motion

We measure the frame-to-frame speed of each vesicle by measuring the distance moved between

frames divided by the frame rate. This instantaneous speed is averaged over each vesicle track to

produce an **average instantaneous speed** per vesicle. Short vesicle tracks (less than 7 frames) are

ignored as they are likely to be made up of noisy or unreliable detections.

We also measure the **directionality index** for each vesicle (Fig. 4B). This is the ratio of the

maximal displacement of the vesicle divided by the total path length traveled by the vesicle in a

window of 60 seconds. For example, in figure 4B, the directionality index ratio would be the

length of the white dotted segment (maximal displacement) divided by the total length of the

magenta segments. The index is related to the directedness of motion observed for each vesicle, a

vesicle traveling in a straight line will have a directionality index of 1, whereas an undirected

vesicle will have a low directionality index.

In order to identify the types of motions exhibited by the calcium vesicles, we fit a standard

diffusion motion model to each track. For the diffusion model, we measure the **diffusion**

coefficient as the slope of the linear relationship between vesicle mean-square displacement

(MSD) and time. All motion and size comparisons were based on the vesicle segmentation and

tracking information from the LEVER software, they were computed using MATLAB version

2019b analysis software.

Spicule-Relative Measurements

Understanding the dynamics of calcium vesicles in biomineralization requires identifying the

motion of vesicles relative to the skeletal structure (spicule) in DMSO image sequences. For a

selection of movies with a visually defined spicule, we manually identify the spicule centerline in

a single frame. An example centerline is shown along with the 3-D spicule image in figure 5A.

All vesicle distances are measured relative to the spicule centerline in each frame. Vesicles are

binned in 1µm increments by their average distance from the spicule for comparison of average

speed at different distances from the spicule. Average distance change per second is also

measured for each vesicle, this is referred to as the average velocity toward the spicule.

Statistical Analysis

For the analysis of differences in average vesicle size and motion characteristics, we first apply

the Kruskal-Wallis one-way rank test to identify if there are significant differences across all

experimental groups and regions. If a significant difference is observed, then post-hoc pairwise

analysis (Dunn-Sidak) is carried out between groups to identify differences between each pair of

interest. The MATLAB version 2019b software package was used to perform the analysis. The p-

values of each comparison are presented in Dataset S1.

References

1.   Lowenstam HA, Weiner S. On Biomineralization. New York: Oxford University Press; 1989.

2.   Lerch MM, Grinthal A, Aizenberg J. Viewpoint: Homeostasis as Inspiration-Toward Interactive Materials. Adv Mater. 2020:e1905554. Epub 2020/01/11. doi: 10.1002/adma.201905554. PubMed PMID: 31922621.

3.   Wu F, Chen S, Chen B, Wang M, Min L, Alvarenga J, et al. Bioinspired Universal Flexible Elastomer-Based Microchannels. Small. 2018;14(18):e1702170. Epub 2018/01/13. doi: 10.1002/smll.201702170. PubMed PMID: 29325208.

4.   Kumar K, Liu J, Christianson C, Ali M, Tolley MT, Aizenberg J, et al. A Biologically Inspired, Functionally Graded End Effector for Soft Robotics Applications. Soft Robot. 2017;4(4):317-23. Epub 2017/12/19. doi: 10.1089/soro.2017.0002. PubMed PMID: 29251563.

5.   Simao AM, Yadav MC, Ciancaglini P, Millan JL. Proteoliposomes as matrix vesicles' biomimetics to study the initiation of skeletal mineralization. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2010;43(3):234-41. Epub 2010/04/20. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x2010007500008. PubMed PMID: 20401430; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5298493.

6.   Bolean M, Izzi B, van Kerckhoven S, Bottini M, Ramos AP, Millan JL, et al. Matrix vesicle biomimetics harboring Annexin A5 and alkaline phosphatase bind to the native collagen matrix produced by mineralizing vascular smooth muscle cells. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj. 2020;1864(8):129629. Epub 2020/05/04. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2020.129629. PubMed PMID: 32360152.

7.   Chen Y, Feng Y, Deveaux JG, Masoud MA, Chandra FS, Chen H, et al. Biomineralization Forming Process and Bio-inspired Nanomaterials for Biomedical Application: A Review. Minerals. 2019;9(68):1-21.

8.   Murdock DJ, Donoghue PC. Evolutionary origins of animal skeletal biomineralization. Cells Tissues Organs. 2011;194(2-4):98-102. Epub 2011/06/01. doi: 10.1159/000324245. PubMed PMID: 21625061.

9.   Knoll AH. Biomineralization and Evolutionary History. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry. 2003;54:329-56. doi: doi:10.2113/0540329.

10.   De Yoreo JJ, Gilbert PU, Sommerdijk NA, Penn RL, Whitelam S, Joester D, et al. CRYSTAL GROWTH. Crystallization by particle attachment in synthetic, biogenic, and geologic environments. Science. 2015;349(6247):aaa6760. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa6760. PubMed PMID: 26228157.

11.   Vidavsky N, Addadi S, Schertel A, Ben-Ezra D, Shpigel M, Addadi L, et al. Calcium transport into the cells of the sea urchin larva in relation to spicule formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1612017113. PubMed PMID: 27791140; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5111655.

12.   Bentov S, Brownlee C, Erez J. The role of seawater endocytosis in the biomineralization process in calcareous foraminifera. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(51):21500-4. Epub 2009/12/17. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906636106. PubMed PMID: 20007770; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2799886.

13.   Weiner S, Addadi I. Crystallization Pathways in Biomineralization. Annu Rev Mater Res. 2011;41:21-40.

14.   Vidavsky N, Addadi S, Mahamid J, Shimoni E, Ben-Ezra D, Shpigel M, et al. Initial stages of calcium uptake and mineral deposition in sea urchin embryos. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(1):39-44. Epub 2013/12/18. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1312833110. PubMed PMID: 24344263; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3890786.

15.   Vidavsky N, Masic A, Schertel A, Weiner S, Addadi L. Mineral-bearing vesicle transport in
sea urchin embryos. J Struct Biol. 2015;192(3):358-65. doi: 10.1016/j.jsb.2015.09.017. PubMed
PMID: 26431896.

16.   Politi Y, Metzler RA, Abrecht M, Gilbert B, Wilt FH, Sagi I, et al. Transformation
mechanism of amorphous calcium carbonate into calcite in the sea urchin larval spicule. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(45):17362-6. Epub 2008/11/07. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806604105.
PubMed PMID: 18987314; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2582271.

17.   Morgulis M, Gildor T, Roopin M, Sher N, Malik A, Lalzar M, et al. Possible cooption of a
VEGF-driven tubulogenesis program for biomineralization in echinoderms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2019. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1902126116. PubMed PMID: 31152134.

18.   Oliveri P, Tu Q, Davidson EH. Global regulatory logic for specification of an embryonic
cell lineage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(16):5955-62. Epub 2008/04/17. doi:
10.1073/pnas.0711220105. PubMed PMID: 18413610; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2329687.

19.   Gibbins JR, Tilney LG, Porter KR. Microtubules in the formation and development of the
primary mesenchyme in Arbacia punctulata. I. The distribution of microtubules. J Cell Biol.
1969;41(1):201-26. PubMed PMID: 5775786; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2107725.

20.   Beniash E, Addadi L, Weiner S. Cellular control over spicule formation in sea urchin
embryos: A structural approach. J Struct Biol. 1999;125(1):50-62. Epub 1999/04/10. doi:
10.1006/jsbi.1998.4081. PubMed PMID: 10196116.

21.   Gong YU, Killian CE, Olson IC, Appathurai NP, Amasino AL, Martin MC, et al. Phase
transitions in biogenic amorphous calcium carbonate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2012;109(16):6088-93. Epub 2012/04/12. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118085109. PubMed PMID:
22492931; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3341025.

22.   De-Leon SBT, Davidson EH. Gene regulation: Gene control network in development.
Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure. 2007;36:191-212. doi:
10.1146/annurev.biophys.35.040405.102002. PubMed PMID: WOS:000247773000010.

23.   Wilt FH, Killian CE, Hamilton P, Croker L. The dynamics of secretion during sea urchin
embryonic skeleton formation. Exp Cell Res. 2008;314(8):1744-52. doi:
10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.01.036. PubMed PMID: 18355808; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2444014.

24.   Killian CE, Wilt FH. Endocytosis in primary mesenchyme cells during sea urchin larval
skeletogenesis. Exp Cell Res. 2017;359(1):205-14. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2017.07.028. PubMed
PMID: 28782554.

25.   Ingersoll EP, Wilt FH. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors disrupt spicule formation by
primary mesenchyme cells in the sea urchin embryo. Dev Biol. 1998;196(1):95-106. doi:
10.1006/dbio.1998.8857. PubMed PMID: 9527883.

26.   McMahon HT, Boucrot E. Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of clathrin-
mediated endocytosis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2011;12(8):517-33. Epub 2011/07/23. doi:
10.1038/nrm3151. PubMed PMID: 21779028.

27.   Pollard TD, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Earnshaw WC, Johnson GT. Cell Biology. 3rd ed:
Elsevier Inc. ; 2017.

28.   Parton RG, Simons K. The multiple faces of caveolae. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.
2007;8(3):185-94. Epub 2007/02/24. doi: 10.1038/nrm2122. PubMed PMID: 17318224.

29.   Lim JP, Gleeson PA. Macropinocytosis: an endocytic pathway for internalising large
gulps. Immunol Cell Biol. 2011;89(8):836-43. Epub 2011/03/23. doi: 10.1038/icb.2011.20.
PubMed PMID: 21423264.

30.   Kasahara K, Nakayama Y, Sato I, Ikeda K, Hoshino M, Endo T, et al. Role of Src-family
kinases in formation and trafficking of macropinosomes. J Cell Physiol. 2007;211(1):220-32.
Epub 2006/12/15. doi: 10.1002/jcp.20931. PubMed PMID: 17167779.
31.   Racoosin EL, Swanson JA. Macropinosome maturation and fusion with tubular
lysosomes in macrophages. J Cell Biol. 1993;121(5):1011-20. Epub 1993/06/01. doi:
10.1083/jcb.121.5.1011. PubMed PMID: 8099075; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2119679.
32.   Balint E, Lapointe D, Drissi H, van der Meijden C, Young DW, van Wijnen AJ, et al.
Phenotype discovery by gene expression profiling: mapping of biological processes linked to
BMP-2-mediated osteoblast differentiation. J Cell Biochem. 2003;89(2):401-26. Epub
2003/04/22. doi: 10.1002/jcb.10515. PubMed PMID: 12704803.
33.   Verdeny-Vilanova I, Wehnekamp F, Mohan N, Sandoval Alvarez A, Borbely JS,
Otterstrom JJ, et al. 3D motion of vesicles along microtubules helps them to circumvent
obstacles in cells. J Cell Sci. 2017;130(11):1904-16. Epub 2017/04/20. doi: 10.1242/jcs.201178.
PubMed PMID: 28420672; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5482975.
34.   Aaron J, Wait E, DeSantis M, Chew TL. Practical Considerations in Particle and Object
Tracking and Analysis. Curr Protoc Cell Biol. 2019;83(1):e88. Epub 2019/05/06. doi:
10.1002/cpcb.88. PubMed PMID: 31050869.
35.   Saxton MJ, Jacobson K. Single-particle tracking: applications to membrane dynamics.
Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct. 1997;26:373-99. Epub 1997/01/01. doi:
10.1146/annurev.biophys.26.1.373. PubMed PMID: 9241424.
36.   Posey D, Blaisdell-Pijuan P, Knoll SK, Saif TA, Ahmed WW. Small-scale displacement
fluctuations of vesicles in fibroblasts. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):13294. Epub 2018/09/07. doi:
10.1038/s41598-018-31656-3. PubMed PMID: 30185883; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6125338.
37.   Brangwynne CP, Koenderink GH, MacKintosh FC, Weitz DA. Intracellular transport by
active diffusion. Trends Cell Biol. 2009;19(9):423-7. Epub 2009/08/25. doi:
10.1016/j.tcb.2009.04.004. PubMed PMID: 19699642.
38.   Rafiq K, Shashikant T, McManus CJ, Ettensohn CA. Genome-wide analysis of the
skeletogenic gene regulatory network of sea urchins. Development. 2014;141(4):950-61. Epub
2014/02/06. doi: 10.1242/dev.105585. PubMed PMID: 24496631.
39.   Adomako-Ankomah A, Ettensohn CA. Growth factor-mediated mesodermal cell
guidance and skeletogenesis during sea urchin gastrulation. Development. 2013;140(20):4214-
25. doi: 10.1242/dev.100479. PubMed PMID: 24026121.
40.   Duloquin L, Lhomond G, Gache C. Localized VEGF signaling from ectoderm to
mesenchyme cells controls morphogenesis of the sea urchin embryo skeleton. Development.
2007;134(12):2293-302. Epub 2007/05/18. doi: 10.1242/dev.005108. PubMed PMID: 17507391.
41.   Sun Z, Ettensohn CA. Signal-dependent regulation of the sea urchin skeletogenic gene
regulatory network. Gene Expr Patterns. 2014;16(2):93-103. doi: 10.1016/j.gep.2014.10.002.
PubMed PMID: 25460514.
42.   Potente M, Gerhardt H, Carmeliet P. Basic and therapeutic aspects of angiogenesis. Cell.
2011;146(6):873-87. Epub 2011/09/20. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.08.039. PubMed PMID:
21925313.
43.   Chen BC, Legant WR, Wang K, Shao L, Milkie DE, Davidson MW, et al. Lattice light-sheet
microscopy: imaging molecules to embryos at high spatiotemporal resolution. Science.
2014;346(6208):1257998. Epub 2014/10/25. doi: 10.1126/science.1257998. PubMed PMID:
25342811; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4336192.

44.   de Chaumont F, Dallongeville S, Chenouard N, Herve N, Pop S, Provoost T, et al. Icy: an
open bioimage informatics platform for extended reproducible research. Nat Methods.
2012;9(7):690-6. Epub 2012/06/30. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2075. PubMed PMID: 22743774.

45.   Amat F, Lemon W, Mossing DP, McDole K, Wan Y, Branson K, et al. Fast, accurate
reconstruction of cell lineages from large-scale fluorescence microscopy data. Nat Methods.
2014;11(9):951-8. Epub 2014/07/22. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3036. PubMed PMID: 25042785.

46.   Bray MA, Carpenter AE. CellProfiler Tracer: exploring and validating high-throughput,
time-lapse microscopy image data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2015;16:368. Epub 2015/11/06. doi:
10.1186/s12859-015-0759-x. PubMed PMID: 26537300; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4634901.

47.   Chenouard N, Smal I, de Chaumont F, Maska M, Sbalzarini IF, Gong Y, et al. Objective
comparison of particle tracking methods. Nat Methods. 2014;11(3):281-9. Epub 2014/01/21.
doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2808. PubMed PMID: 24441936; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4131736.

48.   Winter MR, Liu M, Monteleone D, Melunis J, Hershberg U, Goderie SK, et al.
Computational Image Analysis Reveals Intrinsic Multigenerational Differences between Anterior
and Posterior Cerebral Cortex Neural Progenitor Cells. Stem cell reports. 2015;5(4):609-20. Epub
2015/09/08. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.08.002. PubMed PMID: 26344906; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4624899.

49.   Winter M, Mankowski W, Wait E, Temple S, Cohen AR. LEVER: software tools for
segmentation, tracking and lineaging of proliferating cells. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(22):3530-1.
Epub 2016/07/18. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw406. PubMed PMID: 27423896; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5181556.

50.   Heddleston JM, Chew TL. Light sheet microscopes: Novel imaging toolbox for visualizing
life's processes. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2016;80:119-23. Epub 2016/10/31. doi:
10.1016/j.biocel.2016.10.002. PubMed PMID: 27725275.

51.   Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, Discher DE. Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage
specification. Cell. 2006;126(4):677-89. Epub 2006/08/23. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.044.
PubMed PMID: 16923388.

52.   Hanjaya-Putra D, Yee J, Ceci D, Truitt R, Yee D, Gerecht S. Vascular endothelial growth
factor and substrate mechanics regulate in vitro tubulogenesis of endothelial progenitor cells. J
Cell Mol Med. 2010;14(10):2436-47. Epub 2009/12/09. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00981.x.
PubMed PMID: 19968735; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3823161.

53.   Hodor PG, Illies MR, Broadley S, Ettensohn CA. Cell-substrate interactions during sea
urchin gastrulation: migrating primary mesenchyme cells interact with and align extracellular
matrix fibers that contain ECM3, a molecule with NG2-like and multiple calcium-binding
domains. Dev Biol. 2000;222(1):181-94. Epub 2000/07/08. doi: 10.1006/dbio.2000.9696.
PubMed PMID: 10885756.

54.   Winter MR, Fang C, Banker G, Roysam B, Cohen AR. Axonal transport analysis using
Multitemporal Association Tracking. Int J Comput Biol Drug Des. 2012;5(1):35-48. Epub
2012/03/23. doi: 10.1504/IJCBDD.2012.045950. PubMed PMID: 22436297; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC3380808.

55.   Potokar M, Kreft M, Pangrsic T, Zorec R. Vesicle mobility studied in cultured astrocytes.
Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2005;329(2):678-83. Epub 2005/03/02. doi:
10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.02.030. PubMed PMID: 15737639.

56.   Potokar M, Kreft M, Li L, Daniel Andersson J, Pangrsic T, Chowdhury HH, et al.
Cytoskeleton and vesicle mobility in astrocytes. Traffic. 2007;8(1):12-20. Epub 2007/01/19. doi:
10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00509.x. PubMed PMID: 17229312.

57.   Balint S, Verdeny Vilanova I, Sandoval Alvarez A, Lakadamyali M. Correlative live-cell and
superresolution microscopy reveals cargo transport dynamics at microtubule intersections. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(9):3375-80. Epub 2013/02/13. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1219206110.
PubMed PMID: 23401534; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3587250.
58.   Domanska MK, Kiessling V, Stein A, Fasshauer D, Tamm LK. Single vesicle millisecond
fusion kinetics reveals number of SNARE complexes optimal for fast SNARE-mediated membrane
fusion. J Biol Chem. 2009;284(46):32158-66. Epub 2009/09/18. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.047381.
PubMed PMID: 19759010; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2797286.
59.   Rousso T, Schejter ED, Shilo BZ. Orchestrated content release from Drosophila glue-
protein vesicles by a contractile actomyosin network. Nat Cell Biol. 2016;18(2):181-90. Epub
2015/12/08. doi: 10.1038/ncb3288. PubMed PMID: 26641716.
60.   Segal D, Zaritsky A, Schejter ED, Shilo BZ. Feedback inhibition of actin on Rho mediates
content release from large secretory vesicles. J Cell Biol. 2018;217(5):1815-26. Epub
2018/03/03. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201711006. PubMed PMID: 29496739; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5940311.
61.   Hu MY, Yan JJ, Petersen I, Himmerkus N, Bleich M, Stumpp M. A SLC4 family bicarbonate
transporter is critical for intracellular pH regulation and biomineralization in sea urchin embryos.
eLife. 2018;7. Epub 2018/05/02. doi: 10.7554/eLife.36600. PubMed PMID: 29714685; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5986267.
62.   Rothman JS, Kocsis L, Herzog E, Nusser Z, Silver RA. Physical determinants of vesicle
mobility and supply at a central synapse. eLife. 2016;5. Epub 2016/08/20. doi:
10.7554/eLife.15133. PubMed PMID: 27542193; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5025287.
63.   Salerno M, Dante S, Patra N, Diaspro A. AFM measurement of the stiffness of layers of
agarose gel patterned with polylysine. Microsc Res Tech. 2010;73(10):982-90. Epub 2010/03/17.
doi: 10.1002/jemt.20838. PubMed PMID: 20232377.
64.   Xue C, Zhang T, Xie X, Zhang Q, Zhang S, Zhu B, et al. Substrate stiffness regulates
arterial-venous differentiation of endothelial progenitor cells via the Ras/Mek pathway. Biochim
Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res. 2017;1864(10):1799-808. Epub 2017/07/25. doi:
10.1016/j.bbamcr.2017.07.006. PubMed PMID: 28732675.
65.   Smith Q, Chan XY, Carmo AM, Trempel M, Saunders M, Gerecht S. Compliant
substratum guides endothelial commitment from human pluripotent stem cells. Sci Adv.
2017;3(5):e1602883. Epub 2017/06/06. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1602883. PubMed PMID: 28580421;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5451190.
66.   Sepulveda-Ramirez SP, Toledo-Jacobo L, Henson JH, Shuster CB. Cdc42 controls primary
mesenchyme cell morphogenesis in the sea urchin embryo. Dev Biol. 2018;437(2):140-51. Epub
2018/03/21. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.03.015. PubMed PMID: 29555242; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC5973877.
67.   Croce J, Duloquin L, Lhomond G, McClay DR, Gache C. Frizzled5/8 is required in
secondary mesenchyme cells to initiate archenteron invagination during sea urchin
development. Development. 2006;133(3):547-57. doi: 10.1242/dev.02218. PubMed PMID:
16396908.
68.   Simao AMS, Bolean M, Favarin BZ, Veschi EA, Tovani CB, Ramos AP, et al. Lipid
microenvironment affects the ability of proteoliposomes harboring TNAP to induce
mineralization without nucleators. J Bone Miner Metab. 2019;37(4):607-13. Epub 2018/10/17.
doi: 10.1007/s00774-018-0962-8. PubMed PMID: 30324534; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6465158.

69.   Wait E, Winter M, Cohen AR. Hydra image processor: 5-D GPU image analysis library
with MATLAB and python wrappers. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(24):5393-5. Epub 2019/06/27. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btz523. PubMed PMID: 31240306.
70.   Winter M. Source code for analyses described in "Dynamics and molecular control of
calcium-vesicle motion during sea urchin skeletogenesis revealed by 4D lattice-light-sheet-
microscopy" 2020. Available from: https://git-bioimage.coe.drexel.edu/opensource/llsm-
calcium-vesicles-lever.

Figures

Figure 1 Examples of the LLSM 3D images of sea urchin embryos in different
developmental stages and treatments. These representative images are 2D projections of the 3D
rendered frames of selected datasets. Calcein staining is marked in green and the FM4-64
membrane staining is marked in gray. (A-C), control embryos (DMSO) at the early gastrula stage
before spicule formation (A), just after the tri-radiate spicule forms (B) and when the spicule is
elongated (C). (D-F) Representative embryos at gastrula stage treated with VEGFR inhibitor,
axitinib, do not have spicules while embryos in a similar stage have elongated spicule. Scale bars
are 5µm. 3D movies showing the first 100-200 frames of each of the dataset presented in this
figure are provided as movies S1-6. Ec – ectoderm, SC – skeletogenic cells, NSM- non-
skeletogenic mesoderm, S – spicule.

Figure 2 Sequences of time-lapse images demonstrating cellular dynamics in control and under VEGFR inhibition. (A) Time-lapse images of control embryo (sup movie 3) showing the rapid movement of a free mesenchymal cell (marked in arrowhead) compared to the stable position of the skeletogenic cells that are in direct contact with the ectoderm (asterisks). (B) Time lapse images of an embryo grown under VEGFR inhibition demonstrating the active filopodia extension and fusion between two skeletogenic cell clusters. Relative time from the beginning of the movie is shown in seconds at the top of each frame. Scale bar is 10µm. Ec – ectoderm, S – spicule, SC – skeletogenic cell, F - Filopodia.

Figure 3 Vesicle volume is larger in the skeletogenic cells compared to the ectodermal cells and is significantly larger under VEGFR inhibition. (A-C) An example for the image processing involved in the quantification of vesicle volume in the ectodermal vs. skeletogenic embryonic domains. (A) Raw image rendering of calcium vesicle detection. (B) Demonstration of the automated vesicle detection (segmentation) overlaid on the image in (A). (C) Manual identification of ectodermal region in red, rendered along with raw image frame. (D) Comparison of vesicle sizes in ectodermal and skeletogenic cells in control and VEGFR inhibition. Each box plot shows the average (white square), median (middle line), the first and the third quartiles (the 25th and 75th percentiles, edges of boxes) skeletogenic cells compared to the ectodermal cells in all treatments and VEGFR inhibition significantly increases vesicle volume in the skeletogenic and the ectodermal cells. (Dunn-Sidak test, p<0.0001, exact p-values are given in Dataset S1).

Figure 4 Vesicle tracking reveals an active diffusion motion with higher diffusion coefficient and speed in the skeletogenic cells compared to the ectodermal cells. (A, B) Examples for the automated tracking used to quantify vesicle kinetics. (A) Instantaneous speed indicates the distance traveled between sequential frames divided by the time interval between the frame. The magenta line demonstrates this distance for the magenta labeled vesicle. (B) Directionality index is the ratio of maximal displacement (white line) over the total distance traveled (magenta line) within a one-minute time interval. A representative 3D movie of tracking session is provided in movie S7. (C-E) Comparison of vesicle motion statistics between control and VEGFR inhibited embryos. Each box plot shows the average (white square), median (middle line), the first and the third quartiles (the 25th and 75th percentiles, edges of boxes) and outliers. (C) Vesicle instantaneous speed. (D) Directionality index. (E) Vesicle diffusion coefficient. (Dunn-Sidak test, p<0.0001, exact p-values are given in Dataset S1). (F) Histogram of Diffusion model fit, 90% of vesicle tracks are well modeled by the standard diffusion model (R^2>0.8).

Figure 5 Vesicle velocity is not directed toward the spicule buts vesicle speed is lower near the spicule. (A) An example for the manually identified spicule centerline shown in red with raw image data. (B) Average instantaneous velocity (µm/sec) toward the spicule relative to the average distance from spicule. Each box plot shows the average (white square) median (middle line), the first and the third quartiles (the 25th and 75th percentiles, edges of boxes) and outliers. (C) Average instantaneous vesicle speed (µm/sec) at increasing average distances from the spicule (µm). The speed at distances 1-2µm are significantly lower than at distances >6µm (Dunn-Sidak test, p<0.001, exact p-values are given in Dataset S1).

Acknowledgements

We thank the advanced imaging center at Jenelia research campus for their instrumental help with using the LLSM and in animal maintenance. Specifically, we thank Teng-Leong Chew and John Heddleston for the help with the LLSM, Satya Kuhn for help with animals and reagents and Eric Wait for helpful discussions regarding data analysis. We thank Yarden Ben-Tabou de-Leon for the illustration of the graphical abstract. This work was supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant number 41/14 (S.B.D.), Zuckerman fellowship (M.R.W.) and ISEF fellowship (M.M.).

Author contributions

S.B.D., M.M. and T.G. designed the project. M.M. and S.B.D. performed the LLSM experiments. A.C. and M.R.W. developed visualization, tracking and segmentation tools. M.R.W. analyzed the LLSM data. S.B.D., M.R.W., M.M. and T.G. interpreted the analyses results. S.B.D. and M.R.W. wrote the paper with significant help from M.M. and T.G. and A.C.

Author information

The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and request for materials should be addressed to Smadar Ben-Tabou de-Leon (sben-tab@univ.haifa.ac.il)