Short Title: Regulation of ABI4 activity by phosphorylation

Author for Contact:

Dudy Bar-Zvi

Department of Life Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel.

Email: barzvi@bgu.ac.il

Title: Phosphorylation of Serine114 of the transcription factor ABSCISIC

ACID INSENSITIVE 4 is essential for activity

Author names and affiliations:

Nadav Eisner [a], Tzofia Maymon [a], Ester Cancho Sanchez [a], Dana Bar-Zvi [b], Sagie

Brodsky [b], Ruth Finkelstein [c] and Dudy Bar-Zvi [a1]

[a]Department of Life Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 8410501, Israel.

[b]Department of Molecular Genetics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 7610001, Israel.

[c]Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of California at Santa

Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA.

One sentence summary:

Stress and abscisic acid repressed growth and gene expression requires phosphorylation of a

single amino acid in the transcription factor ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE 4.

List of author contributions:

N.E. performed most of the experiments and participated in writing the draft of the manuscript;

T.M. performed some experiments; E.C.S. cloned, expressed and purified the recombinant MAPKs;

Da.B.Z. and S.B. analyzed the RNA seq data and participate in writing the manuscript; R.F.

contributed to experiment planning, data analysis and writing the manuscript; Du.B.Z. conceived

the project idea, designed and analyzed the experiments, wrote part of the manuscript.

Funding information:

This work was supported by a grant No. 2011097 from the US Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) (to R.F. and Du.B.Z.)

Email address of Author for Contact: Dudy Bar-Zvi barzvi@bgu.ac.il

ABSTRACT

The transcription factor ABA-INSENSITIVE(ABI)4 has diverse roles in regulating plant growth, including inhibiting germination and reserve mobilization in response to ABA and high salinity, inhibiting seedling growth in response to high sugars, inhibiting lateral root growth, and repressing light-induced gene expression. ABI4 activity is regulated at multiple levels, including gene expression, protein stability, and activation by phosphorylation. Although ABI4 can be phosphorylated at multiple residues by MAPKs, we found that S114 is the preferred site of MPK3. To examine the possible biological role of S114 phosphorylation, we transformed *abi4-1* mutant plants with *ABI4pro::ABI4* constructs encoding wild type (114S), phosphorylation-null (S114A) or phosphomimetic (S114E) forms of ABI4. Phosphorylation of S114 is both necessary and sufficient for the response to ABA, glucose, salt stress, and lateral root development, where the *abi4* phenotype could be complemented by expressing ABI4(114S) or ABI4(S114E) but not ABI4(S114A). Comparison of root transcriptomes in ABA-treated roots of *abi4-1* mutant plants transformed with constructs encoding the different phosphorylation-forms of S114 of ABI4 revealed that 85% of the ABI4-regulated genes whose expression pattern could be restored by expressing ABI4(114S) are down-regulated by ABI4. Over half of the ABI4-modulated genes were independent of the phosphorylation state of ABI4; these are enriched for stress responses. Phosphorylation of S114 was required for regulation of 35% of repressed genes, but only 17% of induced genes. The genes whose repression requires the phosphorylation of S114 are mainly involved in embryo and seedling development, growth and differentiation, and regulation of gene expression.

INTRODUCTION

The transcription factor ABA-INSENSITIVE(ABI)4 was initially identified on the basis of ABA-resistant germination in loss of function mutants (Finkelstein, 1994; Finkelstein et al., 1998). Additional mutants were found in screens for resistance to stress responses due to high salinity (Quesada et al., 2000), high sugar concentrations (Arenas-Huertero et al., 2000; Huijser et al., 2000; Laby et al., 2000) or inhibited sugar-induced gene expression (Rook et al., 2001). Further characterization of these mutants revealed roles in lipid reserve mobilization, lateral root growth, regulation of light-regulated gene expression, retrograde signaling from mitochondria, redox signaling, and some pathogen responses (reviewed in Wind et al., 2013). ABI4 has also been implicated in retrograde signaling from plastids (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), but this is controversial (Kacprzak et al., 2019).

Although *ABI4* is most strongly expressed in embryos, imbibing seeds and maturing pollen (Soderman et al., 2000; Nakabayashi et al., 2005; Penfield et al., 2006), its diversity of functional roles reflects expression in vegetative tissues. In addition to developmental contexts, *ABI4* promoter activity is regulated by environmental stresses and interactions with signaling via other hormones including cytokinin and auxin (Arroyo et al., 2003; Shkolnik-Inbar and Bar-Zvi, 2010; Huang et al., 2017). ABI4 protein accumulation is further regulated by proteasomal degradation, but is stabilized in the dark or by exposure to high salt or sugar concentrations (Finkelstein et al., 2011; Gregorio et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016).

Like many cellular proteins, ABI4 protein activity may also be subject to regulation by post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation. The primary amino acid sequence of ABI4 is highly enriched in the phosphorylatable residues Ser and Thr: 47 and 20 residues, respectively, out of the 328 amino acid residues, corresponding to 20% of the total amino acid residues of ABI4. An *in vitro* phosphorylation study of the Arabidopsis proteome by MAPKs showed that ABI4 could be phosphorylated by 3 out of the 10 tested MAPKs (Popescu et al., 2009). The phosphorylating MAPKs (MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6) regulate numerous signaling pathways, including stress response, in Arabidopsis (Colcombet and Hirt, 2008; de Zelicourt et al., 2016) and their activities were shown to be activated by ABA treatment, suggesting that this

could be a mechanism for stress-regulation of ABI4 activity. MAPK signaling may also regulate

ABI4 activity at the level of expression via effects on as-yet unidentified transcription factor(s)

(Li et al., 2017).

Previous studies of ABI4 phosphorylation focused on its role in retrograde signaling

controlling light-regulated gene expression (Guo et al., 2016) and inhibiting adventitious root

formation (Bai et al., 2020). Guo et al. demonstrated Ca^{2+}-dependent scaffolding of MAPK

cascades resulting in activation of MPK3 and MPK6, which in turn activated ABI4 to repress the

photosynthesis-associated nuclear gene LHCB. In the present study, we tested the importance of

ABI4 phosphorylation by MAPKs in regulating ABA and stress responses in seeds and

seedlings.

RESULTS

Phosphorylation of ABI4 by MAPKs

We first set out to check the interaction between ABI4 and MAPKs in our system. Using

bimolecular fluorescence complementation we confirmed interactions between ABI4 and both

MPK3 and MPK6 in nuclei of *N. benthamiana* leaves transiently expressing these proteins

(Supplemental Figure S1). We then used purified recombinant proteins to confirm the *in vitro*

phosphorylation of ABI4 by MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6. We chose to use the basal activity of the

protein kinases, rather than the enhanced activity following their phosphorylation by MAPKKs,

because the basal activity may phosphorylate sites with higher affinity, that cannot be

distinguished from lower affinity sites that may be phosphorylated by the enhanced kinase

activities attained by the phosphorylation of a MAPK by a MAPKK. Although our data

confirmed the reported finding that ABI4 could be phosphorylated by MPK3, MPK4, and

MPK6, these kinases varied in their potency to phosphorylate ABI4: all three kinases showed

similar degrees of autophosphorylation, but MPK3 was more effective than MPK4 and MPK6

for phosphorylating ABI4 (Figure 1). Thus, we chose to focus on MPK3.

ABI4 Phosphorylation sites

MAPKs phosphorylate serine and threonine residues in their substrate proteins (Mishra et al., 2006). MAPKs are well studied in eukaryotes, and thus many of their phosphorylation sites are characterized. Two consensus sequences, P-X-S/T-P and S/T-P were found to be highly abundant in protein substrates of MAPKs (Seger and Krebs, 1995). Searching the primary amino acid sequence of ABI4 for these motifs, we found that ABI4 has one of the 4-amino acid long MAPK phosphorylation consensus motifs, with S114 as the suggested phosphorylated residue, and two shorter 2-amino acid motifs at residues S140 and T111 (Supplemental Figure S3). Since the short T111 motif overlaps with the longer S114 motif, we first tested whether MPK3 could phosphorylate Ser residues 114 and 130 of ABI4 by mutating the respective codons to encode Ala, a residue that cannot be phosphorylated. Single and double mutations were constructed and verified by DNA sequencing. Wild-type and mutated His-tagged recombinant ABI4 proteins were expressed in *E. coli*, purified by affinity chromatography, and assayed for phosphorylation by MPK3. Replacing S114 of ABI4 with Ala greatly diminished MPK3's ability to phosphorylate ABI4, whereas mutating S130 did not affect the extent of the observed phosphorylation of ABI4 by MPK3 (Figure 2). The lack of phosphorylation of the S114A/S130A double mutant of ABI4 by MPK3 also suggested that T111 is not a preferred phosphorylation site of this protein kinase.

Construction of transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing ABI4 harboring mutations in codons of S114 and S130

To evaluate if the observed *in vitro* phosphorylation of ABI4 by MPK3 (Figure 2) has any biological significance, we tested the potency of ABI4 proteins mutated in S114 and S130 to complement the phenotype of *abi4* mutants. Since overexpression of ABI4 using a strong promoter severely inhibits growth (Shkolnik-Inbar and Bar-Zvi, 2010; Finkelstein et al., 2011), we chose to use the 2 kb ABI4 promoter that was sufficient for the regulated expression of this gene (Shkolnik-Inbar and Bar-Zvi, 2010). Codons of ABI4 encoding S114 and S130 were mutated to codons encoding Ala, resulting in phosphorylation-null forms of the protein. A phosphomimetic ABI4 mutant was prepared by replacing the respective Ser residues with Glu, which resembles the negatively charged phosphorylated Ser residue. *Arabidopsis thaliana abi4-1* mutant plants were transformed by *Agrobacteria* carrying pCAMBIA plasmids encoding wild-

type ABI4(114S/130S) or the mutated proteins ABI4(S114A), ABI4(S130A), ABI4(S114E), and

ABI4(S130E). Homozygous T3 plants resulting from the integration of single T-DNAs were

selected by germination and growth in the presence of kanamycin. The phenotype of the

resulting transgenic plants was indistinguishable from that of wild-type or *abi4* plants when

assayed for germination on plates containing solid MS medium with 0.5% sucrose and 0.55%

plant agar, or growth in pots (Supplemental Figure S4).

Germination in the presence of ABA

A pronounced phenotype of *abi4* mutants is their ability to germinate in the presence of

inhibitory concentrations of ABA (Finkelstein, 1994). To test the functional significance of the

MAPK phosphorylation sites, seeds of transgenic and control lines were sown on 0.5x MS plates

containing 10 µM ABA, and radicle emergence was scored daily for 7 days (Figure 3). All tested

genotypes fully germinated with similar kinetics when sown on agar plates containing 0.5x MS

medium supplemented with 0.5% sucrose. In agreement with the known phenotype of *abi4,*

seeds of the mutant line were less sensitive to ABA inhibition than wild-type plants. For

example, 7 days after sowing on plates containing 10 µM ABA, the *abi4* seeds fully germinated

whereas only half of the wild type seeds showed radicle emergence. Transformation of *abi4*

mutant plants with a construct encoding wild-type ABI4 whose expression was driven by the

ABI4 promoter complemented the germination phenotype (Figure 3, green). Although expression

of the ABI4(S114A) phosphorylation-null protein did not complement the germination

phenotype, transformants with a construct encoding phosphomimetic ABI4(S114E) yielded

seeds with even higher ABA sensitivity than those expressing the wild-type protein. In contrast,

the transformation of the *abi4* mutant plants with constructs expressing wild-type, S130A or

S130E forms of ABI4 all completely restored the ABA sensitivity. These results clearly suggest

that the phosphorylation of S114 but not S130 is necessary and sufficient for the activity of

ABI4.

Following radicle emergence, we also scored the development of cotyledon expansion

and greening 10 days after sowing on media supplemented with ABA, in which 9.8% of the

wild-type seeds developed green expanded cotyledons (Figure 3C). In contrast, 81.8 % of the

abi4 seeds developed green expanded cotyledons under these conditions. Cotyledon

development in the plants expressing ABI4(S114A) was similar to that of the parental *abi4*

plants, whereas those expressing ABI4(114S) and ABI4(S114E) resembled those of the wild-

type plants, indicating that these constructs express biologically active forms of the ABI4

protein.

Seedling sensitivity to high glucose

High glucose and sucrose concentrations are known to inhibit seed germination and

seedling development, and multiple *abi4* mutants have been selected as resistant to these sugars

(Arenas-Huertero et al., 2000; Huijser et al., 2000; Laby et al., 2000). We thus tested seedling

development in the presence of 7% glucose. The plants were grown at 22 ºC with 16 h light and

8 h dark conditions. Although no differences were obtained in the seed germination rate, the

tested genotypes showed differences in cotyledon development rate at 4 days after sowing.

Under these conditions, very few seeds of the wild-type plants had expanded cotyledons (Figure

4). In contrast, 89% of the seeds of the *abi4* mutants developed open cotyledons 4 days after

plating. The wild-type phenotype could be restored by complementation of the *abi4* mutants with

constructs expressing wild-type ABI4(114S) or the phosphomimetic ABI4(S114E) mutant, but

not by the phosphorylation-null S114A form of ABI4. Preliminary experiments showed that

mutating S130 to Ala or Glu did not affect the protein potency to complement the mutated

phenotype, similar to their lack of effects on ABA sensitivity.

Response to salt

The salt-tolerant *salobreño*(*sañ*) mutants were selected for germination of Arabidopsis

seeds in the presence of 250 mM NaCl (Quesada et al., 2000). One of the isolated mutants, *sañ5,*

also termed *abi4-2* resulted from a frameshift mutation in codon 94 of the *ABI4* gene. We thus

tested the seeds of *abi4* mutants transformed with constructs expressing the different

phosphorylation forms of ABI4 for their potency to germinate in the presence of 0.2 M NaCl.

Whereas 92% of the *abi4* seeds germinated 3 days after sowing, only 29% of the wild-type seeds

germinated under the same conditions (Figure 5A). Transformation of the *abi4* plants with

constructs expressing the wild-type (114S) or phosphomimetic (S114E) form of ABI4 restored

sensitivity to salt for inhibition of germination, whereas transformation with the phosphorylation-

null S114A form was ineffective, reaching 89% germination at 3 days.

We previously showed that *abi4* mutants display higher salt tolerance when exposed to

NaCl at the rosette stage (Shkolnik-Inbar et al., 2013). To test the relevance of ABI4

phosphorylation for this response, one-month-old pot grown rosettes were irrigated with 0.25 M

NaCl and 16 mM $CaCl_2$, and plant survival was scored 17d later. In agreement with earlier

studies, *abi4* mutants displayed enhanced salt tolerance compared with wild-type plants (Figure

5). This tolerance could be reversed by complementation with wild-type ABI4(114S) and

ABI4(S114E) phosphomimetic mutant proteins, but not by a transgene encoding the

phosphorylation-null ABI4(S114A) mutant.

Lateral root development

The *A. thaliana abi4* mutants produce more lateral roots than wild-type plants, whereas

ABI4 expression inhibits lateral root development (De Smet et al., 2003; Shkolnik-Inbar and

Bar-Zvi, 2010). Seeds were sown in Petri dishes containing 0.5x MS, 0.5% sucrose and 0.5%

plant agar, and plates were incubated for 12 days in a growth room in 12-hour light/dark

conditions. The plants were harvested, and the lengths of the primary roots (PR) and first lateral

roots (LR) were measured. The lengths of the PR did not differ among genotypes, whereas the

length of the LR and the calculated ratios of the LR/PR lengths of the *abi4* mutant, and plants

expressing ABI4(S114A) were significantly higher than those of the wild-type, and plants

expressing ABI4(114S) and ABI4(S114E) (Figure 6).

DNA binding activity

DNA binding potency of the different phosphorylated states of S14 of ABI4 was studied

in vitro by using Electrophoresis Mobility Shift Assays (EMSAs) with the respective

recombinant ABI4 proteins and radiolabeled short DNA fragments containing two sequences

from the Arabidopsis *HKT1;1* gene previously shown to be bound by ABI4 (Shkolnik-Inbar et

al., 2013). The wild-type ABI4 and the phosphomimetic protein ABI4(S114E) bound the DNA

sequences, whereas the phosphorylation-null ABI4(E114A) form did not bind the probes

(Supplemental Figure S5).

Phosphorylation of Serine 114 of ABI4 is essential for modulating gene expression *in planta*.

240
241

ABI4 is known to act as both an activator and a repressor of transcription. To determine
whether the phosphorylation state of the S114 residue affects transcriptional control by ABI4, we
analyzed the root transcriptomes of 1-week-old seedlings of the 5 genotypes following a 4 hr
treatment with 50 μM ABA. Principal component analyses show that wild-type and *abi4*
transcriptomes display the greatest difference in this set, with all 3 transgenic lines intermediate
with respect to PCA1 (Supplemental Figure S6).
We first compared the transcriptomes of ABA-treated roots of wild-type and *abi4*
seedlings to identify the complete set of ABI4-regulated genes (see Methods). This analysis
identified 823 genes, differentially expressed between the two genotypes, where the expression
levels of 592 and 231 genes were higher and lower, respectively, in ABA-treated roots of the
abi4 mutant (Supplemental Figure S7, and Supplemental Table S1). Our results also showed that
transformation of *abi4* mutants with a construct expressing wild-type ABI4(114S) protein,
partially restored the transcription pattern of the wild-type plants. Nevertheless, as expressing
ABI4(114S) in *abi4* mutants was sufficient for complementation of the lateral root development
phenotype (Figure 6), as well as ABA and stress responses at germination and seedling growth of
the *abi4* mutant (Figures 3-5), we focused our analysis on 420 genes whose expression is
significantly different between roots of *abi4* mutants and both wild-type and *abi4* plants
expressing wild type ABI4(114S) (Figure 7A and Supplemental Table S2). Of these, the
expression levels of 355 genes (84.5%) were higher in *abi4* mutant roots, suggesting that ABI4
acts mostly as a repressor.
To determine whether phosphorylation state affected ABI4 activity *in planta*, we
compared the expression levels of these genes in the transgenic plants expressing ABI4(114S),
ABI4(S114A) and ABI4(S114E) relative to the respective levels in the *abi4* plants to determine
whether phosphorylation state affected ABI4 activity *in planta* (Figure 7A and Supplemental
Figure S8). Heat map and Venn diagrams show that the gene expression profile in roots of ABA-
treated plants expressing the phosphomimetic ABI4(S114E) protein more closely resembles that
of the wild-type ABI4(114S) profile than that of plants expressing the phosphorylation-null
ABI4(S114A) (Figure 7A & B). Expression levels of 52% and 68% of the genes whose transcript
levels were higher or lower, respectively, in *abi4* mutants than in wild-type and *abi4* expressing

ABI4(114S) plants, were reversed by either ABI4(S114A) or ABI4(S114E) proteins (Figure 7B

& C, Supplemental Table S3, marked as WSAE), suggesting that modulation of the expression

of these genes by ABI4 is independent of the phosphorylation state of S114. In contrast, the

phosphorylated state of S114 is required for modulation of the expression of 35% and 17% of the

ABI4-regulated genes whose levels in *abi4* were higher or lower, respectively, than in *abi4*

mutants expressing ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) (Figure 7B & C, Supplemental Table

S4, marked as WSE no A). Even fewer ABI4-modulated genes require the non-phosphorylated

form of S114 for regulation: only 5% and 14% for repression or activation, respectively (Figure

7B and C, Supplemental Table S5, marked as WSA no E).

The genes that require phosphorylation of S114 for repression are enriched for those

affecting development (embryo, post-embryonic and reproductive), morphogenesis, cell growth,

differentiation, and regulation of gene expression. Those that are repressed regardless of

phosphorylation state are enriched for responses to stress, abiotic and endogenous stimuli (Figure

7D). The few ABI4-induced genes that require phosphorylation of S114 include several that are

involved in defense response and/or are induced by salt treatment of roots (Kilian et al., 2007).

In terms of molecular function, the largest classes of genes whose expression is

modulated by the phosphorylation of S114 encode nucleic acid binding proteins, transporters,

and enzymes, especially hydrolases and transferases including those involved in cell wall

synthesis (Supplemental Figure S9). Consistent with the ABA-resistant growth of the *abi4*

mutants, the ABI4-repressed genes that were most highly enriched relative to their abundance in

the genome code for factors needed for growth including nucleic acid binding proteins mediating

transcription, RNA splicing, chromatin modification; translation initiation and elongation

factors; and ion channels.

Screening the upstream sequences of the differentially expressed genes for 6 DNA

sequences previously reported to be bound by ABI4 identified an average of 2.55 putative ABI4

binding sites per gene. The most abundant are the CE1-like element (CACCK), ABRE-like

sequence (BACGTGKM), and DRE core motif (RCCGAC) (Supplemental Figure S10). The

differences between the numbers of the different binding sites in genes whose expression levels

is affected by the different phosphorylation states of S114 of ABI4 maybe too small to be

significant. Moreover, these sites are present in similar abundance in all the root expressed genes

(Supplemental Figure S10), suggesting that the occurrence of these sites in the promoter DNA

sequence is not enough to be regulated by ABI4. Furthermore, some of these genes may be

indirectly regulated by ABI4 so would not contain ABI4 binding sites in their promoters.

DISCUSSION

308   ABI4 activity has been shown to be regulated at the level of gene expression, protein

stability, and most recently by phosphorylation. Previous studies of ABI4 phosphorylation by

MAP kinases identified 3 MAPKs that could phosphorylate ABI4 *in vitro* (Popescu et al., 2009)

and focused on how MAPKs activated by MAPKKs affected ABI4 function in chloroplast

retrograde signaling (Guo et al., 2016). Guo et al. identified 3 residues phosphorylated by MPK3

and MPK6: T111, S114, and S130. Although the relevance of each phosphosite was not tested

individually, a mutant form of ABI4 with all 3 residues converted to Ala was unable to bind an

LHCB promoter *in vitro* or repress *LHCB* expression in transgenic plants. More recently,

phosphorylation of ABI4 by MPK3 and MPK6 was found to repress production of adventitious

roots at the root-hypocotyl junction, in part by inhibiting accumulation of reactive oxygen

species and programmed cell death in overlying cell layers (Bai et al., 2020). Furthermore, these

MPKs phosphorylate PP2C12, which dephosphorylates ABI4, thereby inactivating PP2C12 and

stabilizing ABI4 in an activated state. As for *LHCB* regulation, this study did not distinguish

functional significance among the 3 potential phosphosites.

322   In the current study, we focused on activation of ABI4 by MPK3, the kinase with the

highest intrinsic affinity for ABI4 without prior activation by a MAPKK. Under these conditions,

substitution of both S114 and S130 with Ala was necessary and sufficient to block

phosphorylation of ABI4, suggesting that T111 is not phosphorylated by MPK3 displaying its

basal activity (Figure 2). Furthermore, the extent of phosphorylation suggests that the

phosphorylation of S114 is preferred over S130 (Figure 2). Although both S114 and S130 were

phosphorylated *in vitro*, functional tests of the individual phosphosites showed that

phosphorylation of S114 was necessary and sufficient for ABI4 activity in promoting inhibition

of growth by ABA, high sugar or salinity, including inhibition of lateral root growth. This

modification could enhance ABI4 activity by increasing stability and/or binding to target

promoters. *In vitro* assays showed that S114 phosphorylation or substitution with the

phosphomimic S114E was required for binding to at least one class of ABI4-regulated promoter elements. Consistent with this, the root transcriptome of the ABI4(S114A) plant is most similar to that of the *abi4* mutant, whereas the transcriptomes of ABI4(S114) and ABI4(S114E) are closer to that of wild type plants. It is not clear why transgenic expression of the wild type ABI4 protein restored regulation of only half of the genes mis-regulated in the *abi4* mutant, but this might reflect different threshold levels of ABI4 needed for proper expression of its targets. If so, even small differences in expression or accumulation of ABI4 could account for this incomplete complementation, and would be consistent with the transcriptome variability observed among biological replicates of any given genotype.

ABI4-regulated individual genes and transcriptomes have been reported in a variety of contexts, including seed development, dormancy and germination (Nakabayashi et al., 2005; Penfield et al., 2006; Shu et al., 2013), oxidative stress response (Kerchev et al., 2011), ABA-treatment of ectopic expression lines (Reeves et al., 2011), salt stress response (Li et al., 2016), and retrograde signaling from organelles (Koussevitzky et al., 2007; Giraud et al., 2009; Leon et al., 2012). In dry seeds, expression of over 2200 genes was reduced at least two-fold in *abi4* mutants, while over 2800 genes were expressed at least two-fold higher in this background (Nakabayashi et al., 2005), reflecting primarily repressive action of ABI4. Approximately 50-70% of genes activated by ABA treatment of ABI4 overexpression lines were also activated in wild-type plants exposed to ABA, high salt or osmotic stress at different ages (Reeves et al., 2011). In contrast, consistent with the diversity of physiological and developmental contexts described above, there is very little overlap between the ABI4 target genes identified in these studies. A further complication for making comparisons is that many ABA or stress responses proceed through phases of early vs. late gene expression, so different studies may simply be examining different phases or transient responses (Finkelstein, 2013). However, all of these studies show that ABI4 can function as either an activator or repressor of distinct subsets of genes.

The present study analyzed ABI4-regulated genes in seedling roots following a brief exposure to ABA. Comparison of wild-type and *abi4* mutants identified at least 2.5-fold more genes that are repressed by ABI4 under these conditions than genes that are activated (Supplemental Figure S7). Filtering out those genes whose expression was not restored to wild-type levels by the complementing transgene removed about half of these genes, but preferentially

removed ABI4-induced genes, resulting in a 4-fold difference between repressed and induced
genes. Further narrowing the focus to genes whose regulation was restored in roots of plants
expressing ABI4(S114E) but not in ABI4(S114A) seedling roots, the ratio of repressed to
induced genes was greater than 10-fold, suggesting that phosphorylation of S114 of ABI4 might
be more important for action as a repressor. Whereas the genes requiring phosphorylation of
ABI4 for repression were enriched in functions associated with reproductive development and
growth, most of the few transcripts that were higher in the ABA-treated roots of wild-type and
ABI4(S114E) than *abi4* were associated with abiotic and/or biotic stress responses.

Many transcription factors are known to require phosphorylation for activity. For
example, in the core ABA signaling pathway, stability and/or activity of the ABA and stress-
responsive ABI5/ABF/AREB clade of bZIPs depend on phosphorylation by SnRK2 family
protein kinases (Cutler et al., 2010), possibly mediated by interactions with 14-3-3 proteins
(Sirichandra et al., 2010). However, the effects of phosphorylation of these transcription factors
on accumulation of specific transcripts has not been systematically analyzed. Our results show
that phosphorylation of a single residue of ABI4 affects its ability to regulate some, but not all,
ABI4 target genes. Similar results were described for the neurogenic transcription factor,
SOX11, in transfected cells (Balta et al., 2018). In this case, transactivation assays testing wild-
type, phosphomimetic and phosphorylation-null mutants for effects on three different promoter-
LUC fusions produced three distinct results: no difference between wild type and mutant
SOX11, and both phosphomutants more active than wild type but differing in whether
phosphomimetic or phosphorylation-null was more effective. These results suggest that the
balance between phosphorylation states may be another important aspect regulating activity
relative to specific target genes.

Surprisingly, some of the genes that are repressed in ABA-treated roots by ABI4,
regardless of its phosphorylation state, show reduced expression in *abi4* mutant seeds (e.g.,
AT3G53040, encoding a LEA protein) (Nakabayashi et al., 2005), indicating that ABI4 may be
either an activator or repressor of a given gene in different developmental contexts. This may
reflect interactions with a variety of other transcription factors. The putative ABI4 binding sites
have diverse sequences and previous EMSA studies indicate relatively low affinity and
specificity of binding to several of these (Reeves et al., 2011; Shkolnik-Inbar et al., 2013),
suggesting that *in vivo* binding may be modulated by associations with other factors. Two recent

reports document formation of complexes containing ABI4 and the histone deacetylase HDA9,

leading to repression of a subset of ABI4-regulated genes by chromatin modification during

drought stress (Baek et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2020). Furthermore, a recent report indicates that

intrinsically disordered domains in transcription factors can influence *in vivo* binding site

specificity (Brodsky et al., 2020), and more than half of ABI4 is predicted to be disordered.

In summary, our results confirm previously reported MAPK phosphorylation sites, but

show that phosphorylation of only one of these is actually necessary and sufficient for ABI4

activity in responding to ABA, glucose and salt stress. Furthermore, the transcriptome

experiment identified a novel subset of ABI4-regulated genes, approximately one-third of which

are dependent on phosphorylation of ABI4 for proper expression in roots, and this regulation is

primarily repressive.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Expression and purification of recombinant proteins

cDNA sequences of Arabidopsis genes encoding ABI4, MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 were

amplified by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using gene-specific primers. Resulting

sequences were subcloned into the *Sal*I and *Xho*I sites of pGST-parallel1 bacterial expression

vector. The resulting plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing and introduced into *E. coli* BL-

21 cells. The respective GST-MAPK proteins were expressed and affinity-purified on GST-

agarose column.

The coding sequence of ABI4 was cloned into the *Xho*I and *Sal*I sites of pRSET A,

downstream to a sequence encoding hexahistidine (Shkolnik-Inbar et al., 2013). Site-directed

mutagenesis was carried out according to the QuikChange protocol (Agilent Technologies). The

primers used for mutagenesis are shown in Supplemental Table S6 using the pRSET A-ABI4

plasmid as a template. The introduced respective mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* BL-21 cells and cultures were grown at 16 ºC in LB

medium containing in addition 100 mg/ml ampicillin, to OD600 nm=0.6. The expression of the

recombinant protein was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG. The culture was incubated

overnight in the shaker at 16 ºC. Bacteria were then harvested, and cells were osmotically

shocked to reduce the abundance of cation chelators within the bacterial intermembrane space,

which was shown to reduce the binding capacity of low-expressed recombinant His-tagged

proteins to matrices containing immobilized nickel ions (Magnusdottir et al., 2009).

Hypertonically shocked bacteria were suspended in a lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl

pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole, using a ratio of 1.5 buffer ml/gr bacteria and

sonicated on ice by 60 seconds of 70Ω bursts using a tip sonicator (Sonics Vibra-Cell). The

resulting homogenate was centrifuged for 45 min at 150,000 × g and 4 ºC in an ultracentrifuge,

and the resulting supernatant was loaded on a 1ml HiTrap IMAC FF nickel-agarose column (GE)

attached to an AKTA start chromatography apparatus. The column was thoroughly washed with

the lysis buffer and then eluted with a 20–300 mM imidazole gradient in a buffer containing 50

mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 0.5 M NaCl. Eluted fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE; fractions

containing ABI4 were pooled, and their buffer was replaced by 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 0.5

M NaCl by using a 10 kDa cutoff Amicon Ultra 0.5ml centrifugal filter (Merck).

Protein phosphorylation assay

Approximately 0.5 µg of affinity-purified His-tagged ABI4 proteins (WT and proteins

containing the respective amino acid changes) were incubated in a phosphorylation reaction mix

containing 20 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.4, 15 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 3 µl of

carrier-free [γ-^{32}P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol; 5 mCi/ml), and 0.1µg of each of recombinant GST-

MAPK3, GST-MAPK4, or GST-MAPK6 proteins (in phosphate-buffered saline, PBS). Reaction

mixtures were incubated for 1 hour at 30 ºC, followed by adding 10 µl of 5 × SDS-PAGE sample

buffer. Proteins were denatured by incubation for 5 min at 95 ºC, and samples were loaded on

SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, 1970). Following the electrophoresis run, the gel was stained with

Coomassie blue, vacuum dried for 2 hours at 80 ºC, and exposed to a phosphorimager screen.

Signals were detected by a Typhoon phosphorimager (GE Life Sciences).

Plant material and growth

All the studies used *Arabidopsis thaliana*– Columbia ecotype. Seeds of *abi4-1* mutants

were obtained from an Arabidopsis stock center in Columbus, Ohio. The plants were grown at

22–25 ºC in day/night periods of 12 hours each and 50% humidity. For seed collection, plants

were grown in long daylight regimes (16/8 hours, day/night) to accelerate flowering time. Seeds

were surface sterilized by incubation for 20 min with constant rotation in 20% commercial

bleach containing 1% Triton X-100, followed by eight washes with sterile deionized water.

Seeds were resuspended in soft agar and incubated for 3–5 days at 4 ºC, to enhance germination

rate and uniformity. Cold stratified seeds were sown in Petri dishes containing solidified 0.5 ×

Murashige and Skoog (MS, (Murashige and Skoog, 1962)), 0.5% sucrose and 0.55% plants agar,

containing, also, the added materials as indicated. Plants were also sown in $6 \times 6 \times 7$ cm black

pots using a potting mix containing 20 % tuff, 30% peat, 30% vermiculite, 20% perlite, and

slow-release fertilizer. Pot-flats were placed in trays and were irrigated by filling the trays with

deionized water.

Preparation of constructs and transformation of plants

DNA sequence comprised of ABI4 encoding sequence and 2 kbp of upstream promoter

sequence was cloned by PCR using gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table S6) and template

comprised of genomic DNA prepared form WT Arabidopsis plants. The resulting PCR product

was verified by DNA sequencing and subcloned into the *Kpn*I and *Xba*I sites of the plant

transformation vector pCAMBIA 2300. Constructs expressing ABI4(S114A), ABI4(S114E),

ABI4(S130A) or ABI4(S130E) mutated proteins were constructed by replacing the *Bam*HI-*Blp*I

restriction fragment of the wild-type ABI4 in pCAMBIA 2300 by the respective fragments of

constructs in pRSET encoding the respective mutation. The resulting plasmids were verified by

DNA sequencing and were introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (strain GV3101). The

transformed bacteria were used to transform *abi4* mutant Arabidopsis plants (Clough and Bent,

1998). Transgenic plants were selected by germination in Kanamycin containing plates.

Homozygous seeds from the T2 and T3 generations, resulting from a single T-DNA insertion

event, were chosen for this study.

Plant phenotyping

Plate grown seedlings

Cold-treated, surface-sterilized Arabidopsis seeds were plated on Petri dishes containing

0.5xMS, 0.5% sucrose, and 0.55% plant agar, supplemented with the indicated concentrations of

ABA, glucose or NaCl. Plates were incubated in the growth room in 12 h of dark/light conditions

at 22ºC, and radicle emergence was assayed daily for one week. Cotyledon development was

assayed by measuring seedlings with spread cotyledons. Lateral root development was assayed

in 12 days old seedlings grown on Petri dishes containing $0.5 \times$ MS, 0.5% sucrose, and 0.5%

plant agar. Seedlings were harvested, placed on a transparent sheet, and roots were arranged

before taking their images by a scanner. The lengths of primary and lateral roots were measured

from the resulting images. All assays were carried out at least 3 times with more than 50

seedlings per line in each assay. Statistical significance of the data was analyzed using Tukey's

HSD post-hoc test.

Salt treatment of pot-grown rosettes

Seeds of plants of the indicated genotypes were sowed in $6 \times 6 \times 7$ cm pots. The pots were

placed in the growth room under conditions of 12h of dark/light at 22 ºC for approximately 1

month. Plants were then irrigated with water or with a solution containing 0.25 M NaCl and 16

mM $CaCl_2$. Rosettes were followed daily for 3 weeks. Rosettes having even a small amount of

green tissue were scored as live plants, where all yellow-brown rosettes were scored as dead

plants. All assays were carried out at least 3 times with more than 15 seedlings per line in each

assay. Statistical significance of the data was analyzed using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test.

Electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Recombinant His-tagged ABI4 WT, or ABI4 containing the indicated mutations in

positions 114 or 130, were expressed in *E. coli* and purified on nickel-agarose columns as

described above. Double stranded 5' ^{32}P-radiolabelled oligonucleotides corresponding to two

sequences from HKT1;1 promoter, termed A6-1 and A6-5, each containing an ABE motif

previously shown to bind ABI4 were prepared as described (Shkolnik-Inbar et al., 2013). DNA

binding assays were performed as previously described (Shkolnik-Inbar et al., 2013). Following

PAGE, gels were dried, and a radiogram was obtained by using a Typhoon phosphorimager.

RNA Sequencing and expression analysis

Arabidopsis seeds were plated on top of a sterilized silk print mesh placed on growth

medium ($0.5 \times$ MS, 0.5% sucrose and 0.5% agar) and grown for 1 week as described above. Nets

with the germinated seedlings were transferred to fresh Petri dishes containing Whatman No 1

filter paper soaked with 0.5 x MS, 0.5% sucrose and 50 μM of the plant hormone ABA. Plates

were incubated in the light at room temperature for 4 h, roots were harvested, and total RNA was

extracted by using Quick-RNA Plant Kit (Zymo Research). The RNA concentrations were

determined by using NanoDrop (DeNovix DS-11 FX). Four biological repeats, each containing

all tested genotypes, were performed on different days.

Sequencing libraries were prepared and sequenced by the Israel National Center for

Personalized Medicine (INCPM) at the Weizmann Institute. Briefly, total RNA was fragmented,

followed by reverse transcription and second-strand cDNA synthesis. The double-strand cDNA

was subjected to end repair, A base addition, adapter ligation, and PCR amplification to create

libraries. Libraries were evaluated by Qubit and TapeStation. Sequencing libraries were

constructed with barcodes to allow multiplexing of the samples on two lanes of Illumina HiSeq

2500 V4 instrument, resulting in ~25 million single-end 60-bp reads per sample. Poly-A/T

stretches and Illumina adapters were trimmed from the reads using cutadapt (Martin, 2011);

resulting reads shorter than 30 bp were discarded. Reads were mapped to the *A. thaliana*

reference genome TAIR10 using STAR (Dobin et al., 2012), supplied with gene annotations

downloaded from Ensembl (and with EndToEnd option and outFilterMismatchNoverLmax was

set to 0.04). Expression levels for each gene were quantified using htseq-count (Anders et al.,

2014), using the annotation of the reference genome above.

The total reads of each sample were normalized to 20 million, and $\log_2$ transformed.

Values below 2 ($\log_2$) were removed, and only genes where at least two out of the 18 samples

had a threshold value of 6 ($\log_2$) were included in the analysis. Data were then normalized to

remove the day bias: for each gene, the median value of all samples from the same day was

subtracted from the value of each sample. Three outlier samples were not included in the

analysis.

In order to find the genes regulated by the WT, we first compared it to *abi4*. In each

comparison, genes were assigned to 30 bins according to the sum of expression of the two

genotypes (values used for binning are $\log_2$ values prior to day normalization, for indication of

absolute expression levels). Z-score of the delta $\log_2$ expression between the two genotypes was

calculated for each bin, using the values normalized by experiment day. A cutoff of |Z-score| $\geq$ 2

was implemented in order to find genes that are differentially expressed. The same was done in

order to find genes that are differentially expressed between the *abi4* mutant and the transgenic

lines expressing ABI4(114S), ABI4(S114A) or ABI4(S114E). Since expressing wild-type

ABI4(114S) in the *abi4* genetic background complemented the wild-type phenotype (Figures 3-

6), we focused our analysis on genes whose expression could be complemented by ABI4(114S).

In order to find the genes that are affected by the specific phosphorylation in S114, we checked

which of those genes could also be complemented by expressing the phosphorylation-null

ABI4(S114A) or the phosphomimetic ABI4(S114E) in *abi4*.

ABI4 DNA binding sites were searched by using the Patmatch tool at TAIR. DNA sites

searched were: S box (CACYKSCA), CE-1 like (CACCK), ABRE (YACGTGGC), ABRE-like

(BACGTGKM), DRE core motif (RCCGAC) (Reeves et al., 2011), and ABE motif (GCSCTTT)

(Shkolnik-Inbar et al., 2013). The resulting list was searched for genes whose expression in *abi4*

mutants compare with wild-type plants, could be complemented in the *abi4* plants expressing

ABI4(114S), ABI4(S114A) or ABI4(S114E). As a control we used the list of genes whose

expression was detected by RNA-seq.

Gene Ontology (GO) was performed using the agriGO v2.0

(http://systemsbiology.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2/) (Tian et al., 2017) using the Fisher statistical test

method, Yekutieli (FDR dependency) at significant level of 0.05 and the Plant GO slim option.

Accession Numbers

ABI4, At2G40220; MPK3, At3G45640; MPK4, At4G01370; MPK5, At2G43790; HKT1;1,

At4G10310.

Large Datasets

The SRA accession number for the RNA-seq data reported in this paper is

RJNA655114.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Figure S1. BiFC interactions between ABI4 and MPK3 and MPK6.

Supplemental Figure S2. Coomassie blue-stained gel of ABI4 phosphorylation by MAPKs.

Supplemental Figure S3. Putative MAPK phosphorylation sites in ABI4.

Supplemental Figure S4. Phenotype of the studies genotype grown in standard conditions.

Supplemental Figure S5. Effect of ABI4 S114 residue on its interaction with DNA.

Supplemental Figure S6. Principal component (PCA) analysis of gene expression levels in roots of ABA-treated plants.

Supplemental Figure S7. Expression of ABI4-regulated genes in roots of ABA-treated plants.

Supplemental Figure S8. Heatmap of genes that are differentially expressed both in the wild-type and abi4 complemented by ABI4(114S) genotypes versus abi4.

Supplemental Figure S9. Molecular function of the differential expressed genes.

Supplemental Figure S10. Analysis of putative ABI4-binding sites in the differentially expressed genes.

Supplemental Table S1. List of genes with different root expression between ABA-treated wild-type and *abi4* mutant plants.

Supplemental Table S2. List of genes with different root expression in both wild type and 114S compared to *abi4*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

618   Du.B.Z. is the incumbent of The Israel and Bernard Nichunsky Chair in Desert Agriculture, Ben-

619   Gurion University of the Negev.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. ABI4 phosphorylation by MAPKs. Recombinant ABI4 was incubated with GST-

tagged MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 in the presence of $[\gamma-^{32}P]ATP$. Samples were resolved on 10%

SDS-PAGE, fixed by staining with coomassie-blue, dried, and exposed to a phosphorimager

screen. The radiolabeled bands of MAPK and ABI4 are marked. Autophosphorylation of MAPK

serves as a control for the kinase activity. The image of the coomassie blue-stained gel is

presented in Supplemental Figure S2.

Figure 2. Test of phosphorylation of S114A and S130E mutants of ABI4 by MPK3. The

indicated His-tagged ABI4 proteins (WT and the respective Ser to Ala mutants) were expressed

in *E. coli*, affinity purified and assayed for phosphorylation by MPK3 in the presence of

$[\gamma-^{32}P]ATP$. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, gels were stained with coomassie blue,

dried, and exposed to a phosphorimager screen. A: Autoradiogram showing phosphorylation of

ABI4. B Coomassie staining of the different ABI4 proteins.

Figure 3. Effect of ABA on seed germination. Surface sterilized cold treated seeds of the

indicated genotypes were sown on plates containing agar solidified growth medium without

(dashed lines) or supplemented with 10 µM (solid lines) ABA. A&B, Radicle emergence was

scored daily. Data represent mean ± SE. A. Mutants of S114. B. Mutants of S130. Dashed gray –

germination of all tested genotypes in the absence of ABA. Black, WT plants; Red, *abi4*

mutants; Green, Orange and Blue, *abi-4* plants transformed with constructs expressing ABI4 in

which amino acid residues 114 (A) or 130 (B) are Ser, Ala or Glu, respectively. C. Expanded

cotyledons were scored 10 days after sowing on medium without (shaded) or with (black) 10 µM

ABA. The phosphomimetic ABI4(S114E) mutant but not the phosphorylation null ABI4(S114A)

mutant could complement the *abi4* phenotype. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars with different

letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P ≤0.05).

Figure 4. ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) mutations, complement *abi4* resistance to

glucose effects on cotyledon development. Seeds of plants from the indicated genotypes were

sown on plates containing 0.5 x MS salts and 0.7% agar (grey bars) or the same medium

supplemented with 7% glucose. Expanded cotyledons were scored 4 days later. Grey and black

bars represent data obtained in without or with glucose, respectively. Data represent mean ± SE.

Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test

(P ≤0.05).

Figure 5. ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) mutations, complement *abi4* resistance to NaCl

effects on seed germination and viability of rosettes. A. Seeds from the indicated genotypes were

sown on plates containing 0.5 x MS salts, 0.5% sucrose and 0.7% agar, containing in addition 0

(shaded) or 0.2 M NaCl (black). Radicle emergence was scored 3 days later. Data represent mean

± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-

hoc test (P≤0.01).

B. Seeds of the indicated genotypes were sown in a pot containing potting mix and irrigated with

water for one month. Rosettes were than irrigated twice a week with water (shaded) or 0.25 M

NaCl and 16 mM $CaCl_2$. Plant survival was scored 17 d later. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars

with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test

(P≤0.01).

Figure 6. ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) mutations, complement over-production of

lateral roots by *abi4* mutants. Length of primary (blue bars) and 1st lateral roots (orange bars) in

12 d old seedlings were measured. (●) The ratio of lateral root/primary root lengths. Data

represent mean ± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using

Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P ≤0.01).

Figure 7. Expression of ABI4-regulated genes that are affected by the phosphorylation status of

S114.

A. Heatmap of genes that are differentially expressed both in the wild-type and *abi4*

complemented by ABI4(114S) genotypes versus *abi4*. Color indicate the Z-score values of the

differential expression of the indicated genotypes compared to *abi4* (see Methods).

B. Venn diagram presenting ABI4-regulated genes shown in A. WS, genes that are differentially

expressed both in wild type and ABI4(114S); WSA, genes that are differentially expressed in

WS and ABI4(S114A); WSE, WS and ABI4(S114E).

C. Expression patterns of gene clusters whose expression is independent of the phosphorylation

state of ABI4-S114 (WSAE), or dependent on the non-phosphorylated (WSA no E) or

phosphorylated (WSE no A) states of ABI4 S114, corresponding to the Figure 7B. Plots show

log_2 values of medians of each gene in the group normalized to the expression of the respective

gene in the wild-type plant, where plots of individual genes are shown by colored lines, and the

average values in thick black lines.

D. Biological processes of the proteins encoded by the ABI4-downregulated genes in (B).

Biological processes were analyzed by AgriGo ver2. Blue bars represent ABI4-down regulated

genes whose expression is not affected by the phosphorylation state of ABI4 (WSAE) ; orange

bars represent genes in group WSE, that are down-regulated by the phosphorylated state of S114

of ABI4 (WSE no A). The WSA no E group was too small for the analysis.

LITERATURE CITED

696 **Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W** (2014) HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-
697   throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics **31:** 166-169
698

699 **Arenas-Huertero F, Arroyo A, Zhou L, Sheen J, Leon P** (2000) Analysis of Arabidopsis
700   glucose insensitive mutants, gin5 and gin6, reveals a central role of the plant hormone
701   ABA in the regulation of plant vegetative development by sugar. Genes Dev **14:** 2085-
702   2096
703

704 **Arroyo A, Bossi F, Finkelstein RR, Leon P** (2003) Three genes that affect sugar sensing
705   (*Abscisic acid Insensitive 4, Abscisic acid Insensitive 5,* and *Constitutive Triple Response*
706   *1)* are differentially regulated by glucose in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **133:** 231-242
707

708 **Baek D, Shin G, Kim MC, Shen M, Lee SY, Yun D-J** (2020) Histone deacetylase HDA9 with
709   ABI4 contributes to abscisic acid homeostasis in drought stress response. Front Plant Sci
710   **11**
711

Bai Z, Zhang J, Ning X, Guo H, Xu X, Huang X, Wang Y, H Z, Lu C, Zhang L, Wei C

(2020) A kinase–phosphatase–transcription factor module regulates adventitious root emergence

in *Arabidopsis* root–hypocotyl junctions. Mol Plant (in press)

Balta E-A, Schäffner I, Wittmann M-T, Sock E, von Zweydorf F, von Wittgenstein J, Steib
K, Heim B, Kremmer E, Häberle BM, Ueffing M, Lie DC, Gloeckner CJ (2018)
Phosphorylation of the neurogenic transcription factor SOX11 on serine 133 modulates
neuronal morphogenesis. Sci Rep **8:** 16196
720

721 **Brodsky S, Jana T, Mittelman K, Chapal M, Kumar DK, Carmi M, Barkai N** (2020)
722   Intrinsically disordered regions direct transcription factor *in vivo* binding specificity. Mol
723   Cell **79:** 1-13
724

725 **Clough SJ, Bent AF** (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated
726   transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J **16:** 735-743
727

728 **Colcombet J, Hirt H** (2008) Arabidopsis MAPKs: a complex signalling network involved in
729   multiple biological processes. Biochem J **413:** 217-226
730

731 **Cutler SR, Rodriguez PL, Finkelstein RR, Abrams SR** (2010) Abscisic acid: emergence of a
732   core signaling network. Annu Rev Plant Biol **61:** 651-679
733

734 **De Smet I, Signora L, Beeckman T, Inze D, Foyer CH, Zhang H** (2003) An abscisic acid-
735   sensitive checkpoint in lateral root development of Arabidopsis. Plant J **33:** 543-555

736 **de Zelicourt A, Colcombet J, Hirt H** (2016) The role of MAPK modules and ABA during
737   abiotic stress signaling. Trends Plant Sci **21:** 677-685
738

739 **Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M,**
740   **Gingeras TR** (2012) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics **29:** 15-
741   21
742

743 **Finkelstein R** (2013) Abscisic Acid synthesis and response. The Arabidopsis Book **11:** e0166-
744   e0166
745

746 **Finkelstein R, Lynch T, Reeves W, Petitfils M, Mostachetti M** (2011) Accumulation of the
747   transcription factor ABA-insensitive (ABI)4 is tightly regulated post-transcriptionally. J
748   Exp Bot **62:** 3971-3979
749

750 **Finkelstein RR** (1994) Mutations at two new Arabidopsis ABA response loci are similar to the
751   *abi3* mutations. Plant J **6:** 765-771
752

753 **Finkelstein RR, Wang ML, Lynch TJ, Rao S, Goodman HM** (1998) The Arabidopsis abscisic
754   acid response locus ABI4 encodes an APETALA 2 domain protein. Plant Cell **10:** 1043-
755   1054
756

757 **Giraud E, Van Aken O, Ho LH, Whelan J** (2009) The transcription factor ABI4 is a regulator
758   of mitochondrial retrograde expression of *ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a*. Plant Physiol
759   **150:** 1286-1296
760

761 **Gregorio J, Hernandez-Bernal AF, Cordoba E, Leon P** (2014) Characterization of
762   evolutionarily conserved motifs involved in activity and regulation of the ABA-
763   INSENSITIVE (ABI) 4 transcription factor. Mol Plant **7:** 422-436
764

765 **Guo H, Feng P, Chi W, Sun X, Xu X, Li Y, Ren D, Lu C, David Rochaix J, Leister D,**
766   **Zhang L** (2016) Plastid-nucleus communication involves calcium-modulated MAPK
767   signalling. Nat Commun **7:** 12173
768

769 **Huang X, Zhang X, Gong Z, Yang S, Shi Y** (2017) ABI4 represses the expression of type-A
770   *ARRs* to inhibit seed germination in Arabidopsis. Plant J **89:** 354-365
771

772 **Huijser C, Kortstee A, Pego J, Weisbeek P, Wisman E, Smeekens S** (2000) The Arabidopsis
773   *SUCROSE UNCOUPLED-6* gene is identical to *ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE-4*:
774   involvement of abscisic acid in sugar responses. Plant J **23:** 577-585
775

776 **Kacprzak SM, Mochizuki N, Naranjo B, Xu D, Leister D, Kleine T, Okamoto H, Terry MJ**
777   (2019) Plastid-to-nucleus retrograde signalling during chloroplast biogenesis does not
778   require ABI4. Plant Physiol. **179:** 18-23
779

Kerchev PI, Pellny TK, Vivancos PD, Kiddle G, Hedden P, Driscoll S, Vanacker H, Verrier
P, Hancock RD, Foyer CH (2011) The transcription factor ABI4 Is required for the

ascorbic acid-dependent regulation of growth and regulation of jasmonate-dependent
defense signaling pathways in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **23**: 3319-3334

Khan IU, Ali A, Khan HA, Baek D, Park J, Lim CJ, Zareen S, Jan M, Lee SY, Pardo JM,
Kim WY, Yun D-J (2020) PWR/HDA9/ABI4 complex epigenetically regulates ABA
dependent drought stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci **11**

Kilian J, Whitehead D, Horak J, Wanke D, Weinl S, Batistic O, D'Angelo C, Bornberg-
Bauer E, Kudla J, Harter K (2007) The AtGenExpress global stress expression data
set: protocols, evaluation and model data analysis of UV-B light, drought and cold stress
responses. Plant J. **50**: 347-363

Koussevitzky S, Nott A, Mockler TC, Hong F, Sachetto-Martins G, Surpin M, Lim J,
Mittler R, Chory J (2007) Signals from chloroplasts converge to regulate nuclear gene
expression. Science **316**: 715-719

Laby RJ, Kincaid MS, Kim D, Gibson SI (2000) The Arabidopsis sugar-insensitive mutants
sis4 and *sis5* are defective in abscisic acid synthesis and response. Plant J **23**: 587-596

Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of
bacteriophage T4. Nature **227**: 680-685

Leon P, Gregorio J, Cordoba E (2012) ABI4 and its role in chloroplast retrograde
communication. Front Plant Sci **3**: 304

Li K, Yang F, Miao Y, Song C-P (2017) Abscisic acid signaling is involved in regulating the
mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade module, AIK1-MKK5-MPK6. Plant signal
Behav **12**: e1321188-e1321188

Li PC, Huang JG, Yu SW, Li YY, Sun P, Wu CA, Zheng CC (2016) Arabidopsis YL1/BPG2
is involved in seedling shoot response to salt stress through ABI4. Sci Rep **6**: 30163

Magnusdottir A, Johansson I, Dahlgren LG, Nordlund P, Berglund H (2009) Enabling
IMAC purification of low abundance recombinant proteins from *E. coli* lysates. Nat
Methods **6**: 477-478

Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
2011 **17**: 3

Mishra N, Tuteja R, Tuteja N (2006) Signaling through MAP kinase networks in plants. Arch
Biochem Biophys **452**: 55-68

Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) Aevised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco
tissue cultures. Physiol Plantar **15**: 473-497

Nakabayashi K, Okamoto M, Koshiba T, Kamiya Y, Nambara E (2005) Genome-wide
profiling of stored mRNA in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seed germination: epigenetic and
genetic regulation of transcription in seed. Plant J. **41**: 697-709
830
831 **Penfield S, Li Y, Gilday AD, Graham S, Graham IA** (2006) *Arabidopsis* ABA
832   INSENSITIVE4 regulates lipid mobilization in the embryo and reveals repression of seed
833   germination by the endosperm. Plant Cell **18**: 1887-1899
834
835 **Popescu SC, Popescu GV, Bachan S, Zhang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M, Dinesh-Kumar SP**
836   (2009) MAPK target networks in *Arabidopsis thaliana* revealed using functional protein
837   microarrays. Genes Dev **23**: 80-92
838
839 **Quesada V, Ponce MR, Micol JL** (2000) Genetic analysis of salt-tolerant mutants in
840   *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Genetics **154**: 421-436
841
842 **Reeves WM, Lynch TJ, Mobin R, Finkelstein RR** (2011) Direct targets of the transcription
843   factors ABA-Insensitive(ABI)4 and ABI5 reveal synergistic action by ABI4 and several
844   bZIP ABA response factors. Plant Mol Biol **75**: 347-363
845
846 **Rook F, Corke F, Card R, Munz G, Smith C, Bevan MW** (2001) Impaired sucrose-induction
847   mutants reveal the modulation of sugar-induced starch biosynthetic gene expression by
848   abscisic acid signalling. Plant J. **26:** 421-433
849
850 **Seger R, Krebs EG** (1995) The MAPK signaling cascade. FASEB J **9:** 726-735
851
852 **Shkolnik-Inbar D, Adler G, Bar-Zvi D** (2013) *ABI4* downregulates expression of the sodium
853   transporter *HKT1;1* in Arabidopsis roots and affects salt tolerance. Plant J **73**: 993-1005
854
855 **Shkolnik-Inbar D, Bar-Zvi D** (2010) *ABI4* mediates abscisic acid and cytokinin inhibition of
856   lateral root formation by reducing polar auxin transport in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **22:**
857   3560-3573
858
859 **Shu K, Zhang H, Wang S, Chen M, Wu Y, Tang S, Liu C, Feng Y, Cao X, Xie Q** (2013)
860   ABI4 regulates primary seed dormancy by regulating the biogenesis of abscisic acid and
861   gibberellins in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. **9**: e1003577-e1003577
862
863 **Sirichandra C, Davanture M, Turk BE, Zivy M, Valot B, Leung J, Merlot S** (2010) The
864   Arabidopsis ABA-activated kinase OST1 phosphorylates the bZIP transcription factor
865   ABF3 and creates a 14-3-3 binding site involved in its turnover. PloS one **5:** e13935-
866   e13935
867
868 **Soderman EM, Brocard IM, Lynch TJ, Finkelstein RR** (2000) Regulation and function of the
869   Arabidopsis ABA-insensitive4 gene in seed and abscisic acid response signaling
870   networks. Plant Physiol **124**: 1752-1765
871

872 **Tian T, Liu Y, Yan H, You Q, Yi X, Du Z, Xu W, Su Z** (2017) agriGO v2.0: a GO analysis
873   toolkit for the agricultural community, 2017 update. Nucl Acids Res **45:** W122-W129
874

875 **Wind JJ, Peviani A, Snel B, Hanson J, Smeekens SC** (2013) ABI4: versatile activator and
876   repressor. Trends Plant Sci **18:** 125-132
877

878 **Winter D, Vinegar B, Nahal H, Ammar R, Wilson G, Provart N** (2007) An "Electronic
879   Fluorescent Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data
880   sets. PloS ONE **2:** e718-e718
881

882 **Xu X, Chi W, Sun X, Feng P, Guo H, Li J, Lin R, Lu C, Wang H, Leister D, Zhang L**
883   (2016) Convergence of light and chloroplast signals for de-etiolation through ABI4–HY5
884   and COP1. Nature Plants **2:** 16066

Figure 1. ABI4 phosphorylation by MAPKs. Recombinant ABI4 was incubated with GST-tagged MPK3, MPK4, and MPK6 in the presence of [γ–^{32}P]ATP. Samples were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE, fixed by staining with coomassie-blue, dried, and exposed to a phosphorimager screen. The radiolabeled bands of MAPK and ABI4 are marked. Autophosphorylation of MAPK serves as a control for the kinase activity. The image of the coomassie blue-stained gel is presented in Supplemental Figure S2.

Figure 2. Test of phosphorylation of S114A and S130E mutants of ABI4 by MPK3. The indicated His-tagged ABI4 proteins (WT and the respective Ser to Ala mutants) were expressed in *E. coli*, affinity purified and assayed for phosphorylation by MPK3 in the presence of [γ–^{32}P]ATP. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, gels were stained with coomassie blue, dried, and exposed to a phosphorimager screen. A: Autoradiogram showing phosphorylation of ABI4. B Coomassie staining of the different ABI4 proteins.

Figure 3. Effect of ABA on seed germination. Surface sterilized cold treated seeds of the indicated genotypes were sown on plates containing agar solidified growth medium without (dashed lines) or supplemented with 10 μM (solid lines) ABA.

A&B, Radicle emergence was scored daily. Data represent mean ± SE

A. Mutants of S114. B. Mutants of S130. Dashed gray – germination of all tested genotypes in the absence of ABA. Black, WT plants; Red, *abi4* mutants; Green, Orange and Blue, *abi-4* plants transformed with constructs expressing ABI4 in which amino acid residues 114 (A) or 130 (B) is Ser, Ala or Glu, respectively.

C. Expanded cotyledons were scored 10 days after sowing on medium without (shaded) or with (black) 10 μM ABA. The phosphomimetic ABI4(S114E) mutant but not the phosphorylation null ABI4(S114A) mutant could complement the *abi4* phenotype. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P ≤0.05).

Figure 4. ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) mutations, complement *abi4* resistance to glucose effects on cotyledon development. Seeds of plants from the indicated genotypes were sown on plates containing 0.5 x MS salts and 0.7% agar (grey bars) or the same medium supplemented with 7% glucose. Expanded cotyledons were scored 4 days later. Grey and black bars represent data obtained in without or with glucose, respectively. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P ≤0.05).

Figure 5. ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) mutations, complement *abi4* resistance to NaCl effects on seed germination and viability of rosettes.
A. Seeds from the indicated genotypes were sown on plates containing 0.5 x MS salts, 0.5% sucrose and 0.7% agar, containing in addition 0 (shaded) or 0.2 M NaCl (black). Radicle emergence was scored 3 days later. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P≤0.01).
B. Seeds of the indicated genotypes were sown in a pot containing potting mix and irrigated with water for one month. Rosettes were than irrigated twice a week with water (shaded) or 0.25 M NaCl and 16 mM CaCl$_2$. Plant survival was scored 17 d later. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P≤0.01).

Figure 6. ABI4(S114E), but not ABI4(S114A) mutations, complement over-production of lateral roots by *abi4* mutants. Length of primary (blue bars) and 1st lateral roots (orange bars) in 12 d old seedlings were measured. (●) The ratio of lateral root/primary root lengths. Data represent mean ± SE. Bars with different letters represent statistically different values using Tukey's HSD post-hoc test (P ≤0.01).

Figure 7. Expression of ABI4-regulated genes that are affected by the phosphorylation status of S114.

A. Heatmaps of genes that are differentially expressed both in the wild-type and *abi4* complemented by ABI4(114S) genotypes versus *abi4*. Color indicate the Z-score values of the differential expression of the indicated genotypes compared to *abi4* (see Methods).

B. Venn diagram presenting ABI4-regulated genes shown in A. WS, genes that are differentially expressed both in wild type and ABI4(114S); WSA, genes that are differentially expressed in WS and ABI4(S114A); WSE, WS and ABI4(S114E).

C. Expression patterns of gene clusters whose expression is independent of the phosphorylation state of ABI4-S114 (WSAE), or dependent on the non-phosphorylated (WSA no E) or phosphorylated (WSE no A) states of ABI4 S114, corresponding to the Figure 7B. Plots show log$_2$ values of medians of each gene in the group normalized to the expression of the respective gene in the wild-type plant, where plots of individual genes are shown by colored lines, and the average values in thick black lines.

D. Biological processes of the proteins encoded by the ABI4-downregulated genes in (B). Biological processes were analyzed by AgriGo ver2. Blue bars represent ABI4-down regulated genes whose expression is not affected by the phosphorylation state of ABI4 (WSAE) ; orange bars represent genes in group WSE, that are down-regulated by the phosphorylated state of S114 of ABI4 (WSE no A). The WSA no E group was too small for the analysis.