Inhibition of AlgU by MucA is required for viability in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Melissa C. Schofield[1], Daniela Rodriguez[1], Amanda A. Kidman[1], Lia A. Michaels[1], Elizabeth A.

Campbell[2], Peter A. Jorth[3] and Boo Shan Tseng[1]*

[1] School of Life Sciences, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, USA

[2] Rockefeller University, New York, NY, USA

[3] Departments of Pathology, Medicine, and Biomedical Sciences, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center,

Los Angeles, CA, USA

Running title: MucA is required in *P. aeruginosa*

* Corresponding author

Email: boo.tseng@unlv.edu

Keywords: anti-sigma factor, sigma factor competition, cystic fibrosis

**ABSTRACT**

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* causes chronic lung infections in people with cystic fibrosis (CF), and

this bacterium undergoes selection in the CF lung environment over the course of these life-long

infections. One genetic adaptation frequently observed in CF *P. aeruginosa* isolates is mutation

of *mucA.* MucA inhibits the sigma factor AlgU. Clinical *mucA* mutations lead to misregulation of

AlgU, resulting in a mucoid bacterial phenotype that is associated with poor CF disease

outcomes. Here we show that paradoxically a portion of the *mucA* gene is essential for *P.*

*aeruginosa* viability. We demonstrate that *mucA* is no longer essential in a strain lacking *algU*,

and *mucA* alleles that encode for proteins that do not bind to AlgU are insufficient for bacterial

viability. Furthermore, we found that *mucA* is no longer essential in mutant strains containing

AlgU variants with reduced sigma factor activity, suggesting that reducing AlgU activity can

suppress the requirement for *mucA*. Finally, we found that overexpression of *algU* from an

inducible promoter prevents cell growth in the absence of MucA, and that this phenotype can be

rescued by overproduction of RpoD, the housekeeping sigma factor. Together, these results

suggest that in the absence of MucA, the inability to regulate AlgU activity leads to sigma factor

competition, preventing the expression of essential housekeeping genes and resulting in the

loss of bacterial viability.

**AUTHOR SUMMARY**

Clinical *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates with mutations in the gene encoding the anti-sigma

factor MucA have long been observed, and loss of MucA function is thought to be advantageous

for chronic infection in the cystic fibrosis (CF) airway. Surprisingly, our work shows that MucA is

required for bacterial viability in various *P. aeruginosa* strains, due to its inhibition of the sigma

factor AlgU. Our results suggest that without MucA, the unchecked activity of AlgU competes

with housekeeping functions, leading to bacterial cell death. Therefore, there is a potential

benefit of targeting this MucA-AlgU interaction for those with CF, as our results show that

eliminating this interaction either kills *P. aeruginosa* or selects for bacteria with *algU* mutations

that have a reduced capacity for mucoid conversion.

## INTRODUCTION

The major cause of death in people with cystic fibrosis (CF), a human autosomal recessive genetic disease, is respiratory failure due to chronic lung infection. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is one of the most prevalent respiratory pathogens of CF patients [1]. The CF lung environment selects for mucoid *P. aeruginosa* mutants, which are associated with poor disease prognosis and the overproduction of the exopolysaccharide alginate [2-6]. Conversion to the mucoid phenotype in clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates is frequently caused by *mucA* mutations [7].

MucA is an anti-sigma factor to the alternative sigma factor AlgU (also known as AlgT, $\sigma^E$, or $\sigma^{22}$), which responds to envelope stress [8, 9]. MucA is a transmembrane protein that sequesters the sigma factor away from RNA polymerase (RNAP) via its N-terminal interaction with AlgU [10-12]. The C-terminus of MucA is in the periplasm, where it is protected from proteolysis via an interaction with MucB [10, 13]. The envelope stress response is controlled via a regulated intramembrane proteolysis cascade: MucB dissociates from MucA upon detection of stress, allowing the proteases AlgW and MucP to cleave MucA from the inner membrane [14, 15]. In the cytoplasm, MucA is further degraded by ClpXP, releasing AlgU to interact with RNAP and activate the AlgU regulon [16]. AlgU regulates at least 350 genes, including those responsible for the production of itself and its cognate anti-sigma factor MucA, as well as the biosynthesis of the exopolysaccharide alginate [15, 17, 18]. This system is homologous to the well-studied envelope stress response in *Escherichia coli*: MucA shares 28% identity (72% similarity) to the anti-sigma factor RseA, and the cognate sigma factor of the *E. coli* RseA, called RpoE, is homologous to and functionally interchangeable with AlgU [19], with 66% identity (93% similarity).

For several reasons, *mucA* is assumed to be dispensable for *P. aeruginosa* viability. First, after

being released from the cell membrane, MucA is presumed to be fully degraded in the

cytoplasm by ClpXP [16]. Second, *mucA* mutations commonly arise in clinical CF isolates [7,

20-23], and many laboratory *mucA* mutants exist in the literature [24-27]. Third, there are three

published strains in which the entirety of *mucA* is removed from the genome [28-30].

Paradoxically, three different whole-genome studies of essential genes in two different strains of

*P. aeruginosa* identified *mucA* as an essential gene, based on the low observed frequency of

transposon insertions in this gene [25, 26, 31]. To investigate this paradox, we attempted to

delete *mucA* from *P. aeruginosa* using allelic exchange. Our results show that a portion of *mucA*

is required for bacterial viability in multiple *P. aeruginosa* strains. Furthermore, our work shows

that *mucA* was no longer essential in a strain lacking *algU*, and that *mucA* alleles that encode

for proteins that do not interact with AlgU were insufficient to rescue viability or lead to a growth

defect. We found that *algU* mutations, which encode for a less active sigma factor, can relieve

*mucA* essentiality, and that overproduction of AlgU in the absence of MucA is lethal.

Interestingly, our works suggests that *mucA* essentiality can be suppressed by increasing the

levels of the housekeeping sigma factor RpoD. Together, our results strongly suggest that the

unregulated activity of AlgU itself, in the absence of MucA, leads to bacterial cell death, which is

in part due to sigma factor competition with RpoD.

**RESULTS**

***mucA* is essential for viability in a diverse set of *P. aeruginosa* isolates**

To determine if *mucA* is essential, we attempted to delete the gene from various *P. aeruginosa*

strains using a modified allelic exchange protocol to turn it into a robust assay (Fig S1). We

introduced a deletion allele of *mucA*, which is missing >95% of the coding region, into *P.*

*aeruginosa* and selected for merodiploids. Via PCR, we confirmed that isolates contained both

the endogenous and deletion alleles of *mucA* in the genome. Using six confirmed merodiploids,

**Figure S1. Allelic exchange assay.**
**(A)** Schematic of assay. To delete the endogenous *mucA* (short red box with *mucA*) from the genome, the deletion vector pBT396, which contains an allele of *mucA* that is missing >95% of the coding region (tall red box with delta), was introduced into *P. aeruginosa* via conjugation. Regions of homology (tall blue boxes) to the genome (short blue boxes) flank the deletion allele and allow for recombination into the genome (X) to create a merodiploid. This first recombination event (1st) can be selected for using antibiotics due to integration of the vector backbone, which contains a gentamicin resistance marker. At least six merodiploids were confirmed via PCR to ensure the genome contained both the deletion and endogenous *mucA* allele. Merodiploids can then undergo a second recombination event (2nd) that will lead to the loss of one of the two alleles, resolving to either the endogenous or deletion allele, which results in a cell that is either wild-type (top) or a deletion mutant (bottom), respectively. For each of the six confirmed merodiploids, we counter-selected for the second recombination event via the loss of *sacB*, a vector backbone marker. Using PCR, eight isolates per merodiploid were tested to determine which allele each isolate resolved to. If a gene is non-essential, we expect to observe both wild type or deletion mutants. However, if a gene is essential, we expect to isolate only those cells that resolved to wild type, as the cells cannot survive with the deletion allele. If we are unable to delete *mucA* from the first replicate of this experiment, we perform two additional biological replicates, for a minimum total of 125 colonies screened. If all isolates resolve to wild-type, we deem *mucA* to be essential in that strain background ($p < 0.0001$, Fisher's exact test). **(B)** Representative image of merodiploid confirmation. The PCR products corresponding to the endogenous allele and the deletion allele are indicated with an arrow labelled "WT" and "Δ," respectively. Positive (WT ctrl; PAO1) and negative (Δ*mucA* ctrl; BTPa355) controls are included. PCR products from six representative merodiploids are shown. **(C)** Representative image of PCR products from isolates after the second recombination. Image is labeled as in (B). Top, the PCR products of 8 isolates from a strain in which *mucA* was deemed essential. Bottom, the PCR products of 8 isolates from a strain in which *mucA* was not essential.

we then counter-selected for loss of one of the two alleles. Isolates were then tested via PCR to

determine which *mucA* allele the isolate resolved to. If a gene is non-essential, isolates that

resolved to either the wild-type or deletion allele should be observed. However, if a gene is

essential, then only the cells that resolved to the wild-type allele should be isolated, as cells

cannot survive in the absence of the gene. To give statistical power to our assay, we performed

three biological replicates for strains from which we were unable to delete *mucA*, for a minimum

total of 125 isolates screened. We performed this assay on a diverse set of wild-type *P.*

*aeruginosa* strains, including four common laboratory isolates as well as seven other clinical

and environmental isolates (Table 1). These strains are not only isolated from diverse locations,

but they also vary in their colony morphology and their exopolysaccharide production profile

[32]. For all strains tested via our allelic exchange assay, all observed isolates had the wild-type

*mucA* allele (p < 0.0001, Fisher's exact test), strongly suggesting that *mucA* is essential in a

variety of wild-type *P. aeruginosa* strains. Paradoxically, among the *P. aeruginosa* isolates we

tested were three laboratory strains (PAO1, PAK, and PA103) for which Δ*mucA* mutants have

previously been published [28-30]. Since we were unable to delete *mucA* from these strains, it

suggests that the published Δ*mucA* strains in these *P. aeruginosa* backgrounds contain

secondary site mutations that allow for their viability, as later described. Nonetheless, since all

tested strains required *mucA* for viability, we continued our experiments using the laboratory

isolate PAO1 as a representative strain.

**Alginate biosynthesis is not solely responsible for *mucA* essentiality**

Clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates containing *mucA* mutations are mucoid due to their

overproduction of alginate [7]. To determine if alginate overproduction is responsible for *mucA*

essentiality, we attempted to delete *mucA* from a strain lacking *algD*, a key alginate biosynthesis

gene [33]. Using our allelic exchange assay, we were unable to delete *mucA* in this background

(Table S1), suggesting that alginate production alone is not responsible for *mucA* essentiality.

**Table 1. *mucA* is essential in a variety of wild-type *P. aeruginosa* strains.**

| Strain background | Description [a] | Number of isolates resolved to | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Δ*mucA* | WT |
| PAO1 | Laboratory strain, Class II | 0* | 168 |
| PA14 | Laboratory strain, Class I | 0* | 147 |
| PA103 | Laboratory strain, unclassified | 0* | 361 |
| PAK | Laboratory strain, unclassified | 0* | 191 |
| CF127 | Mucoid CF isolate, unclassified | 0* | 136 |
| CF18 | Non-mucoid CF isolate, unclassified | 0* | 129 |
| CF27 | Rugose CF Isolate, Class IV | 0* | 152 |
| X13273 | Blood isolate, Class II | 0* | 142 |
| X24509 | UTI isolate, Class II | 0* | 221 |
| MSH10 | Water isolate, Class III | 0* | 146 |
| E2 | Tomato plant isolate, Class II | 0* | 141 |

[a] Class identification is based on the exopolysaccharide expression profile as described in [32]: Class I, Pel-dominant matrix; Class II, Psl-dominant matrix; Class III, exopolysaccharide redundant matrix users; and Class IV, matrix overproducers. CF, cystic fibrosis; UTI, urinary tract infection.

* $p < 0.0001$, Fischer's exact test

**Table S1. Eliminating alginate biosynthesis does not alleviate *mucA* essentiality.**

| PAO1 | Number of isolates resolved to | |
|---|---|---|
| | Δ*mucA* | WT |
| WT | 0* | 168 |
| Δ*algD* | 0* | 144 |
| Δ*algB* | 0* | 158 |
| Δ*algR* | 0* | 153 |
| Δ*amrZ* | 0* | 131 |
| Δ*algB* Δ*algR* Δ*amrZ* | 0* | 133 |

* $p < 0.0001$, Fischer's exact test

Expression of the alginate biosynthesis genes is controlled by three AlgU-regulated transcription

factors that are active in mucoid cells: AlgB, AlgR, and AmrZ [34-36]. Since these transcription

factors regulate a suite of genes in addition to those involved in alginate biosynthesis [37-40],

we tested if overexpression of these three regulons underlies *mucA* essentiality by attempting to

delete *mucA* from strains lacking these transcription factors, either individually or all together.

We found that we could not delete *mucA* in any of these backgrounds (Table S1). Altogether,

our data support the conclusion that eliminating alginate biosynthesis and the expression of

large parts of the AlgU regulon do not alleviate *mucA* essentiality.

**The first 50 amino acids of MucA are necessary and sufficient for cell viability**

While we were unable to delete *mucA* using an allele in which >95% of the coding region is

missing, *mucA* is commonly mutated in clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* and many *mucA*

transposon mutants exist (Fig S2). These data suggest that only a portion of *mucA* is essential,

instead of the entire gene. To determine the necessary portion of *mucA*, we first constructed a

series of strains containing an ectopic *mucA* at the neutral *att*Tn7 site in the genome, driven by

its native promoter. We then attempted to delete the endogenous wild-type *mucA* from these

strains. Although we designed these ectopic alleles to encode for a protein with a His-tag, we

were unable to probe whether equivalent amounts of protein were produced across our strains,

since truncated versions of MucA are cleaved in the cytosol, as shown in previous work [16].

However, we expect that the ectopic alleles produce similar protein levels, as they are all under

the same promoter and located in the same genomic site. Since we expected that the resultant

Δ*mucA* strain would be mucoid in some cases, we used a Δ*algD* background to eliminate

alginate production, which made the strains easier to manipulate. As described above, *mucA*

was still essential in the Δ*algD* background (Table S1).

**Figure S2. Published mutations in *mucA*.**
Top, schematic of *mucA* with the regions indicated encoding for the AlgU binding domain (green, AlgU BD), the transmembrane domain (blue, TM), and the MucB binding domain (purple, MucB BD). Bottom, sites of *mucA* mutations that lead to the production of a truncated protein in published isolates. Each line represents one or more individual isolates described in the references on the right. The mutations for the upper five references [32-36] are in cystic fibrosis clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, while the mutations in the last reference [37] are random transposon insertions in the laboratory PAO1 and PA14 strains.

Mutant MucA proteins of varying lengths were ectopically expressed to determine the necessary

portion of MucA. Full-length MucA is 194 amino acids (aa) in length. Based on the co-crystal

structures of MucA with AlgU and MucB [12], the first 78 residues of MucA interact with AlgU,

and the last 48 residues of MucA interact with MucB (Fig 1A). We engineered *mucA* alleles that

encode for proteins lacking the MucB binding domain (aa 1-143), the entire periplasmic domain

(aa 1-110), and the transmembrane and periplasmic domains (aa 1-75), as well as alleles that

encode for only parts of the AlgU binding domain (aa 1-62, 1-50, 1-40, and 1-24)(Fig 1A). Using

our allelic exchange assay, we attempted to delete the endogenous *mucA* from strains

containing these ectopic *mucA* alleles. Since the flanking regions of these two alleles differ, our

deletion allele specifically targets the native allele. We were able to easily recover isolates that

resolved to the *mucA* deletion allele from strains containing ectopic alleles that encode for the

full length MucA (aa 1-194), as well as MucA aa 1-143, aa 1-110, aa 1-75, and aa 1-62. These

results show that the requirement for *mucA* can be complemented *in trans*, and suggest that the

gene product of *mucA* is required for viability, irrespective of its genomic location.

Interestingly, while we were able to delete the endogenous *mucA* from strains containing an

ectopic *mucA* that encoded for aa 1-50 (Fig 1B), isolates that resolved to the deletion allele

grew up more slowly than those that resolved to the wild-type allele. This suggests that while

this allele is sufficient for viability, it is not well tolerated by the cells. All tested isolates of strains

carrying *mucA* alleles encoding shorter products (aa 1-40 and aa 1-24) resolved to the wild-type

allele. These results show that while an allele encoding the first 50 residues of MucA is sufficient

for cell viability, alleles encoding for shorter lengths are not.

To determine if the first 50 residues of MucA are necessary for viability, we constructed a strain

with an ectopic *mucA* that encodes for aa 51-194. We were unable to delete the native *mucA*

from this strain, suggesting that this allele is not sufficient for cell viability (Fig 1B). Together,

**Figure 1. The first 50 amino acids of MucA are necessary and sufficient for viability.**
**(A)** Schematic of MucA encoded by the ectopic *mucA* alleles in the PAO1 Δ*algD* strains tested for *mucA* essentiality in (B). MucA aa 1-143 is the product of the common *mucA22* allele. MucA 1-110 lacks the entire periplasmic domain. MucA aa 1-75 contains almost all of the AlgU binding domain, while shorter truncations contain only parts of the AlgU binding domain (MucA aa 1-62, 1-50, 1-40, and 1-24). Green, AlgU binding domain (AlgU DB); blue, the transmembrane domain (TM); and purple, the MucB binding domain (MucB BD). **(B)** Frequency of observed isolates resolving to the endogenous wild-type *mucA* allele (WT, black) or the deletion allele (Δ*mucA*, white) in the allelic exchange assay. Super-imposed on the bars are the number of isolates that were tested in each category. Asterisk, $p < 0.0001$; Fisher's exact test. Caret, slower growing isolates.

these results suggest that the first 50 amino acids of MucA are necessary and sufficient for cell

viability in *P. aeruginosa*. Consistent with these results, while many clinical and engineered

*mucA* mutations have been reported [7, 20-23, 27], no mutations fall within the region of *mucA*

that encodes for the first 50 residues (Fig S2). Furthermore, this region of MucA corresponds to

the AlgU binding domain, suggesting that the physical interaction between the two proteins is

important for cell viability.

**The interaction of MucA with AlgU is required for cell survival**

Since the only described function for MucA is to inhibit AlgU, we hypothesized that *mucA*

essentiality is rooted in its regulation of AlgU. In such a case, *mucA* essentiality should require

*algU*. Therefore, we attempted to delete *mucA* from a strain lacking *algU* (Δ*algU*), using our

allelic exchange assay. We found that we were able to delete *mucA* in the absence of *algU*, with

16 of the tested isolates resolving to the deletion allele out of the 48 colonies tested (see Fig

3A), showing that *mucA* essentiality is *algU*-dependent.

Because our data suggest that the AlgU-binding domain of MucA is required for viability (Fig

1B), we hypothesized that the physical interaction between MucA and AlgU is necessary for cell

survival. In the co-crystal structure of MucA and AlgU [12], there are four amino acids in MucA

with side chains that make more than one hydrogen bond with AlgU: D15, E22, R42, and E72

(Fig 2A). Although E72 likely contributes to the binding energy between these two proteins, it is

unlikely that E72 is critical to the MucA-AlgU interaction, since the first 50 amino acids of MucA

are sufficient for viability (Fig 1B). Therefore, we engineered alleles that encode for MucA D15A,

E22A, or R42A to maximally affect the hydrogen bonding while limiting the effects on overall

protein structure. We used an allele encoding MucA aa 1-75 as a base for these mutations

because the co-crystal structure includes the first 78 residues of MucA and such an allele is

sufficient for viability without growth issues (Fig 1B). To determine the effect of these

**Figure 2. The physical interaction of MucA and AlgU is required for survival.**
**(A)** Four residues of MucA make greater than one predicted hydrogen bond with AlgU. The MucA-AlgU co-crystal structure (6IN7) with the cytosolic domain of MucA (aa 1-78; red) and Regions 2 and 4 of AlgU (green, $AlgU_2$; yellow, $AlgU_4$) is shown. The residues of MucA (grey) that are predicted to make more than one hydrogen bond with AlgU are labeled in the inset. Black dotted lines, predicted hydrogen bonds; red atoms, oxygen; blue atoms, nitrogen. **(B)** Substitution of MucA residues at its interface with AlgU abolish their binding via yeast two-hybrid. The first 75 residues of MucA were fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain (DBD-MucA[1-75]) and AlgU was fused to the Gal4 activation domain (AD-AlgU). Interaction of MucA and AlgU lead to *lacZ* expression. Beta-galactosidase activity (in Miller units) was used as a proxy for the protein interaction strength. WT, wild-type protein sequence; –, no fusion protein included; hash, broken y-axis; error bars, SEM (N=3); letters, statistical groups with the different letters representing statistically different groups ($p < 0.01$; biological triplicate with technical quadruplicates; ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD). **(C)** Frequency of observed isolates resolving to the endogenous wild-type *mucA* allele (WT, black) or the deletion allele (Δ*mucA*, white) in the allelic exchange assay, using PAO1 Δ*algD att*Tn7::P*algU*-*mucA*, where *mucA* encodes for the indicated substitution. Super-imposed on the bars are the number of isolates that were tested in each category. Asterisk, $p < 0.0001$; Fisher's exact test. Caret, slower growing isolates.

substitutions on the MucA-AlgU interaction, we used a yeast-two hybrid assay. Using beta-

galactosidase activity as a proxy for their interaction, wild-type MucA aa 1-75 strongly interacted

with AlgU (Fig 2B). This interaction was dependent on the presence of both MucA and AlgU,

and the effect was not directional, as we saw a similar response when the binding partners were

switched (Fig S3). In comparison to the wild-type MucA, the D15A, E22A, and R42A MucA

mutants failed to interact with AlgU (Fig 2B). To determine the effects of these mutations on *P.*

*aeruginosa* viability, we engineered strains carrying an ectopic *mucA* encoding these

substitutions and then attempted to delete the native *mucA*. As described above, an allele

encoding MucA aa 1-75 was sufficient for viability (Fig 1B). However, we were unable to delete

the endogenous *mucA* from strains carrying alleles encoding the D15A and R42A substitutions,

suggesting that the mutant protein is not sufficient for viability (Fig 2C). For a strain carrying a

*mucA* allele encoding the E22A substitution, we were able to delete the endogenous *mucA*.

However, isolates that resolved to the deletion allele in this strain grew up slower than those

that resolved to the wild-type allele. Similar to the *mucA* allele encoding only the first 50 amino

acids, these results suggest that while MucA E22A is sufficient for viability, the cells do not

tolerate it well.

**Mutations that reduce AlgU activity alleviate the requirement for MucA**

Since MucA inhibits AlgU from binding to RNA polymerase, we hypothesized that *mucA*

essentiality is due to the regulation of AlgU and the expression of its regulon, which includes

*algU* itself. To start to test this hypothesis, we reasoned that strains containing mutations

encoding a mutant AlgU with lower affinity for DNA would alleviate the requirement for *mucA*,

since the expression of the AlgU regulon would inherently be lower in such AlgU mutants and,

thus, may not require MucA. Therefore, we engineered strains where the native *algU* is deleted

and an *algU* allele under its native promoter encoding such DNA-binding mutants is inserted at

the neutral *att*Tn7 site on the chromosome. Based on homology to *E. coli* RpoE mutants that

| DBD | Krev1 | Krev1 | MucA$^{1-75}$ | MucA$^{1-75}$ | – | AlgU | – | AlgU |
|-----|-------|-------|---------------|---------------|---|------|---|------|
| AD | Ral$^{WT}$ | Ral$^{Mut}$ | AlgU | – | AlgU | MucA$^{1-75}$ | MucA$^{1-75}$ | – |
| Avg | 2.832 | 0.017 | 17.142 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 6.833 | 0.017 | 0.009 |
| SEM | 0.402 | 0.010 | 0.221 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.355 | 0.006 | 0.003 |

**Figure S3. MucA aa 1-75 and AlgU interact via yeast two-hybrid assay.**
The indicated proteins were fused to the Gal4 DNA binding domain (DBD; "bait") or the Gal4 activation domain (AD; "prey"). Interaction of the bait and prey proteins drive the expression of *lacZ*. Beta-galactosidase activity (in Miller units) was used as a proxy for the protein interaction strength. The average (Avg) and standard error of the mean (SEM) of each bar are indicated (N=3). As positive and negative controls, Krev1 is known to interact with wild-type RalGDS (Ral$^{WT}$), but not the mutant RalGDS (Ral$^{Mut}$) via yeast two-hybrid. AlgU, construct encoding full-length wild-type AlgU; MucA$^{1-75}$, construct encoding only the first 75 residues of wild-type MucA; –, no fusion protein included; error bars, SEM (N=3); letters, statistical groups with the different letters representing statistically different groups ($p < 0.01$; biological triplicate with technical quadruplicates; ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD).

have decreased *in vitro* transcriptional activity [41], we engineered *algU* alleles that encode for

K57A and N81A. We then attempted to delete *mucA* from Δ*algU* strains containing these alleles.

As described above, we were able to delete *mucA* from a Δ*algU* strain (Fig 3A). This phenotype

could be complemented via the addition of a wild-type *algU* allele, as we were no longer able to

delete *mucA* from such a strain. In contrast, *mucA* could be readily deleted from strains carrying

alleles encoding AlgU K57A or N81A, showing that such mutant alleles failed to rescue the

Δ*algU* phenotype (Fig 3A). To determine the effect of these substitutions on AlgU activity, we

induced envelope stress using D-cycloserine [42] and measured AlgU activity using a plasmid-

borne *gfp* reporter driven under the AlgU-regulated *algD* promoter [43]. As expected and similar

to what is seen in RpoE [41], these mutant strains had reduced AlgU transcriptional activity

upon induction of envelope stress, relative to the strain containing a wild-type *algU* (Fig S4B).

Furthermore, the AlgU activity of these mutant strains was equivalent to that in a Δ*algU* strain

(Fig S4A). These results suggest that AlgU mutants with reduced sigma factor activity can

suppress *mucA* essentiality and support our hypothesis that *mucA* essentiality is rooted in its

inhibition of AlgU.

As mentioned above, others have reported strains in which the entirety of *mucA* is deleted from

the genomes of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, PAK, and PA103 [28-30]. Since we were unable to delete

*mucA* from these three strain backgrounds, we hypothesized that these Δ*mucA* strains may

contain suppressor mutations that allowed for their survival in the absence of *mucA*. To identify

these suppressors, we sequenced the genomes of these Δ*mucA* isolates. In the PAO1 Δ*mucA*

strain [30], we found that while the native *mucA* was deleted, the strain contained a second full-

length copy of *algU* and a *mucA* allele that encoded for MucA aa 1-155 elsewhere in the

genome. As expected based on our data (Fig 1B), we were able to delete the native *mucA* allele

from a PAO1 strain containing an ectopic *mucA* allele that encoded for MucA aa 1-155 (with 5 of

the 28 tested isolates resolving to the deletion allele), confirming that the ectopic *mucA* allele in

**Figure 3. AlgU mutants with reduced sigma factor activity suppress *mucA* essentiality.**
**(A)** Frequency of observed isolates resolving to the endogenous wild-type *mucA* allele (WT, black) or the deletion allele (Δ*mucA*, white) in the allelic exchange assay, using PAO1 Δ*algU* *att*Tn7::P$_{algU}$-*algU*, where *algU* encodes for the indicated substitution. Super-imposed on the bars are the number of isolates that were tested in each category. Asterisk, $p < 0.0001$; Fisher's exact test. **(B)** Schematic of mutations seen in revertants that could grow in the absence of *mucA*. Revertants were selected by growing PAO1 Δ*mucA* *att*Tn7::P$_{rhaBAD}$-*mucA* on media lacking rhamnose. Blue rectangles, multi-base pair deletions; left arrow, deletion extends into the promoter; blue triangles, single base pair deletions; black triangles, nonsense mutations; white triangles, duplications resulting in 3 or 4 amino acid insertions; red triangles, missense mutations.

**Figure S4. AlgU mutants have decreased sigma factor activity.**
**(A)** Fold-induction of GFP in PAO1 wild-type (WT) and ∆*algU* strains carrying a plasmid with either a promoter-less *gfp* (vector) or a *gfp* driven by the *algD* promoter (*algD* reporter), which is positively regulated by AlgU, after a 2h D-cycloserine treatment to activate envelope stress. GFP fluorescence was normalized to cell density and was then divided by the signal from untreated cells to determine the fold-induction. Hash, broken y-axis; error bars, SEM (N=3); letters, statistical groups with the different letters representing statistically different groups (p < 0.01; biological triplicate with technical quadruplicates; ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD). **(B)** Fold-induction of GFP in strains of PAO1 ∆*algU att*Tn7::P*algU*-*algU*, where *algU* encodes for the indicated substitution. The experiment and statistics are as described in (A). WT, the wild-type AlgU sequence. **(C)** Fold-induction of GFP in the revertants isolated from PAO1 ∆*mucA att*Tn7::P*rhaBAD*-*mucA* (parental) that can grow in the absence of MucA. The experiment and statistics are as described in (A), except all cells were grown in the presence of 0.05% rhamnose to allow for comparison to the parental strain, which grows only in the presence of rhamnose. The revertant isolate numbers are shown and are grouped based on their *algU* mutation.

the published PAO1 Δ*mucA* strain is sufficient for viability in the absence of the endogenous

*mucA*. In comparison, the PAK and PA103 Δ*mucA* strains [28, 29] contained missense

mutations in *algU,* which results in AlgU A58T and E46G, respectively. To determine the effect

of these AlgU mutants, we replicated them in PAO1 by inserting *algU* alleles encoding these

substitutions in a Δ*algU* strain. We were able to delete *mucA* from these strains (Fig 3A). These

results confirm that the *algU* alleles in the published PAK and PA103 Δ*mucA* strains suppress

*mucA* essentiality and show that these alleles do not rescue the Δ*algU* phenotype, unlike an

allele encoding the wild-type protein. Furthermore, these data suggest that, similar to the AlgU

DNA binding mutants, a mutant AlgU containing these substitutions is not functionally equivalent

to the wild-type protein. To examine the activity of these mutant proteins, we used our plasmid-

borne *algD* reporter to measure AlgU activity upon induction of envelope stress with D-

cycloserine. Similar to what we saw with the DNA-binding mutants of AlgU, we found that

strains carrying these AlgU substitutions had reduced *gfp* expression relative to that of strains

carrying wild-type AlgU (Fig S4B). We note that our reporter assay does not appear to be very

sensitive to low levels of AlgU activity. Both the published PAK and PA103 Δ*mucA* strains are

mucoid [28, 29], suggesting that AlgU A58T and E46G are not completely inactive. While we

cannot distinguish this low level of activity from the null case, our results show that nevertheless

these AlgU mutants have significantly less transcriptional activity than the wild-type protein (Fig

S4A,B).

To identify additional suppressors of *mucA* essentiality, we engineered a strain lacking the

native *mucA* and containing a rhamnose-inducible copy (Δ*mucA att*Tn7::P*rhaBAD*-*mucA*). This

strain was not viable when grown in the absence of rhamnose, but natural revertants arose at a

frequency of less than 1 in $10^9$ colony forming units. We sequenced the *algU* gene in 25 of

these revertants, since our work shows that AlgU mutants can suppress *mucA* essentiality (Fig

3A). All 25 isolates contained mutations in *algU* (Fig 3B, Table S2). There were 10 revertants

**Table S2. Description of Δ*mucA att*Tn7::P*rhaBAD*-*mucA* revertants that can grow in the absence of *mucA* expression.**

| | Isolate | Genomic Change [a] | Product Change [b] |
|---|---|---|---|
| Multi-base pair deletions | | | |
| | #1-10 | 831242-831394 del | No product made |
| | #2-9 | 831298-831491 del | No product made |
| | #2-7 | 831467-831473 del | I56fs X97 |
| | #2-11 | 831469-831502 del | K57fs X88 |
| | #2-6 | 831595-831715 del | V99fs X107 |
| Single base pair deletions | | | |
| | #2-14 | 831467 del | I56fs X99 |
| | #1-1 | 831510 del | Y72fs X99 |
| | #2-10 | 831538 del | I80fs X99 |
| Nonsense mutations | | | |
| | #2-1 | 831433 C>T | Q45X |
| | #2-8 | 831521 G>A | W74X |
| Multi-base pair insertions | | | |
| | #1-9 | 831427-831435 ins GACGCCCAG | D43-A44 ins DAQ |
| | #1-8 | 831430-831438 ins GCCCAGGAA | A44-Q45 ins AQE |
| | #1-11 | 831436-831444 ins GAAGCCCAG | E46-A47 ins EAQ |
| | #2-4 | 831436-831444 ins GAAGCCCAG | E46-A47 ins EAQ |
| | #1-5 | 831445-831456 ins GACGTAGCCCAG | D49-V50 ins DVAQ |
| | #1-6 | 831451-831459 ins GCCCAGGAA | A51-Q52 ins AQE |
| Missense mutations | | | |
| | #1-3 | 831353 A>G | D18G |
| | #2-12 | 831362 C>T | A21V |
| | #2-3 | 831386 A>G | Y29C |
| | #2-2 | 831439 G>A | A47T |
| | #1-2 | 831446 A>G | D49G |
| | #2-13 | 831446 A>G | D49G |
| | #1-7 | 831476 A>G | Y59C |
| | #2-5 | 831541 A>G | N81D |
| | #1-4 | 831820 C>G | R174G |

[a] genomic location based on the Pseudomonas Genome Database [2] for PAO1; bp, base pair; del, deletion; ins, insertion.
[b] fs, frameshift; ins, insertion; X, stop codon.

with deletions or nonsense mutations of *algU*, encoding either no product or a truncated product

completely lacking Region 4 of the sigma factor. We expect that all of these mutations would

lead to a non-functional AlgU. There were 6 revertants that contained multi-base pair

duplications in *algU* that would lead to the insertion of 3 or 4 amino acids in Region 2 helix 3 of

the sigma factor, likely disrupting interactions with RNAP or the -10 promoter element and

reducing sigma factor activity. There were 9 revertants each containing one missense mutation,

8 of which were unique, encoding the following substitutions: D18G, A21V, Y29C, A47T, D49G,

Y59C, N81D, and R174G. Using a model of $\sigma^E$ in complex with the RNAP core and the

promoter element, we expect these substitutions to affect sigma factor folding, RNAP core

interactions, or promoter element interactions (Fig S5). To determine the effect of these 25

mutations on AlgU activity, we then tested AlgU function using our *algD* reporter assay in these

natural revertants. As expected, we saw that all 25 revertants had much lower AlgU activity than

the parental strain upon induction of envelope stress with D-cycloserine (Fig S4C). Together,

these data strongly suggest that *algU* mutations that encode for a protein with reduced

transcriptional activity allow *P. aeruginosa* to survive in the absence of *mucA*.

**Overexpression of *algU* in the absence of *mucA* is lethal**

Collectively, our results suggest that *mucA* essentiality is due to unregulated AlgU activity. We

therefore reasoned that overexpression of *algU* should be lethal. Supporting this hypothesis, the

toxic effects of *algU* overexpression have been previously noted [44-46]. However, studies have

shown that *algU* can be overexpressed in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* [16, 17]. It is important to note

that these wild-type strains contain *mucA*, which is positively regulated by AlgU. We therefore

examined the effect of overproducing AlgU in a strain lacking *mucA*, using a chromosomally

integrated arabinose-inducible *algU*. Since we could delete *mucA* only in a Δ*algU* background,

we tested the overexpression of *algU* in three strains: PAO1, PAO1 Δ*algU*, and PAO1 Δ*algU*

Δ*mucA*. Of note, the Δ*algU* and Δ*algU* Δ*mucA* strains lack the positive feedback of AlgU on its

**Figure S5. Location of affected AlgU residues in revertants that can grow in the absence of MucA.**

Model of the RNAP holoenzyme containing $\sigma^E$ bound to the promoter. Gray, RNAP core; cyan, $\beta$ flap; pink, $\beta'$ coiled coil; green, $\sigma^E$ Region 2; yellow, $\sigma^E$ Region 4; blue/light blue, promoter element. Insets, location of residues that are substituted in the revertants with missense *algU* mutations. The side chain of the affected residue is in white. Substitution of A21 and A47 (V in model) likely affect protein packing and folding of AlgU. Substitution of D18, Y29, and Y59 would reduce predicted intra- and inter-molecular hydrogen bonding and likely affect AlgU folding. Substitution of D49, N81, and R174 would likely affect the interaction of AlgU with the RNAP core or the promoter. Dashed yellow lines, hydrogen bonds; red atoms, oxygen; blue atoms, nitrogen; orange, phosphorus.

own expression, and the expression of *algU* is completely dependent on the inducer in these

strains. Our results show that in the absence of inducer when AlgU is not overexpressed, all

three strains had similar growth rates (Fig 4A). In the presence of 1% arabinose (i.e. high

expression of *algU*), all three strains had a growth defect in comparison to the same strain

grown in the absence of inducer. However, in comparison to the other two strains, the strain

lacking *mucA* failed to grow at all in the presence of this high inducer concentration.

To determine if the Δ*algU* Δ*mucA att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-*algU* strain can grow under lower levels of *algU*

induction, we tested a range of inducer concentrations (Fig 4B, Table S3). We found that

although there was a growth defect, Δ*algU* Δ*mucA att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-*algU* was able to grow in the

presence of 0.1% arabinose, suggesting that this strain was able to tolerate low levels of *algU*

induction. Furthermore, as expected, the drop in growth rate in comparison to the no arabinose

condition was statistically larger for the Δ*algU* Δ*mucA att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-*algU* than for the other two

strains (N=3, $p < 0.05$, ANOVA with post hoc Tukey HSD), since this strain lacks the ability to

produce any MucA to reduce AlgU activity. Together, these results strongly suggest that in the

absence of *mucA*, while a certain level of AlgU activity is tolerated, a high AlgU activity level is

fatal to the cell.

**Expression of *rpoD* can rescue lethality of *algU* overexpression.**

It has been suggested that AlgU competes for RNAP binding with RpoD, the essential primary

sigma factor [47]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the toxicity of AlgU at high concentrations is

due to its competition with RpoD for RNAP, preventing the expression of essential

housekeeping genes. To test this hypothesis, we examined if overexpressing *rpoD* with *algU*

could ameliorate the growth defect of high *algU* expression in the Δ*algU* Δ*mucA att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-

*algU* strain. We inserted into this strain a copy of *rpoD* under the same arabinose-inducible

promoter at the neutral *att*CTX site. We chose to test growth in the presence of 2% arabinose,

**Figure 4. Overexpression of *algU* is lethal in the absence of *mucA*.**
**(A)** Growth curves of PAO1 strains containing an arabinose-inducible copy of *algU* in wild-type (purple squares), Δ*algU* (blue diamonds), and Δ*algU* Δ*mucA* (red circles) backgrounds in LB. Readings are normalized to wild-type PAO1 (lacking an inducible *algU* gene). Open symbols represent conditions in the absence of arabinose; closed symbols, with 1% arabinose. Error bars, SD (n=18). Asterisk, growth rate statistically different from the same strain grown in the absence of arabinose ($p < 0.01$, N = 3, ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD). **(B)** Growth rate of strains as described in (A), with increasing induction of *algU* (relative to PAO1 *att*Tn7::P$_{araBAD}$-*algU* grown in the absence of arabinose). Strains were grown in LB with 0%, 0.1%, 0.25%, and 1% arabinose ([Ara]). Error bars, SEM (N=3). Asterisk, growth rate statistically different from the same strain grown in the absence of arabinose ($p < 0.05$, N = 3, ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD). See Table S3 for full statistical comparisons.

**Table S3. Statistical differences in growth rate among groups under conditions of increasing *algU* induction.**

| | Strain | *att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-*algU* | | | | Δ*algU* *att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-*algU* | | | | Δ*algU* Δ*mucA* *att*Tn7::P*araBAD*-*algU* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strain | Ara | 0 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 1 |
| *att*Tn7:: P*araBAD*-*algU* | 0 | | * | * | * | | | * | * | | * | * | * |
| | 0.1 | | | | * | | | | * | | | * | * |
| | 0.25 | | | | | * | | | * | * | | * | * |
| | 1 | | | | | * | * | | | * | | | * |
| Δ*algU* *att*Tn7:: P*araBAD*-*algU* | 0 | | | | | | | * | * | | * | * | * |
| | 0.1 | | | | | | | * | * | | | * | * |
| | 0.25 | | | | | | | | | * | | * | * |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | * | * | | |
| Δ*algU* Δ*mucA* *att*Tn7:: P*araBAD*-*algU* | 0 | | | | | | | | | | * | * | * |
| | 0.1 | | | | | | | | | | | * | * |
| | 0.25 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Statistical significance was determined via a one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test for pairwise comparisons. Ara, concentration of arabinose (%). Asterisk, p < 0.05. Open box, no statistical difference.

since this new strain contains two arabinose-inducible promoters, in contrast to the strains

described above in Fig 4. Growth with 2% arabinose caused a growth defect for all strains

tested, even in a strain lacking an exogenous arabinose-inducible gene (Fig 5), suggesting that

arabinose itself affects general bacterial physiology. As expected from our results in Fig 4,

$\Delta algU$ $\Delta mucA$ $att$Tn7::P$_{araBAD}$-$algU$ failed to grow in the presence of 2% arabinose. This lethality

defect, however, was rescued in the $\Delta algU$ $\Delta mucA$ $att$Tn7::P$_{araBAD}$-$algU$ $att$CTX::P$_{araBAD}$-$rpoD$

strain. Furthermore, the growth rate of this strain in the presence of arabinose was

indistinguishable from that of $\Delta algU$ $\Delta mucA$ $att$CTX::P$_{araBAD}$-$rpoD$ (Table S4). Since increasing

RpoD levels rescued the lethality associated with high AlgU levels, these results suggest that in

the absence of MucA, the ensuing sigma factor competition of AlgU with RpoD for RNAP

contributes to the viability defect, and that MucA is required to rein in AlgU to prevent

interference with the essential function of RpoD.

**DISCUSSION**

Although it has been assumed that *mucA* can be deleted without affecting bacterial viability, our

work shows that *mucA* is essential in a variety of *P. aeruginosa* wild-type strains. Our results

strongly suggest that the interaction of MucA with its cognate sigma factor AlgU is required for

viability, and that AlgU mutants with reduced sigma factor activity can suppress *mucA*

essentiality. Furthermore, overproduction of AlgU is detrimental to cell growth, which can be

rescued by increasing RpoD production. Together, our data suggest that unchecked AlgU

activity in the absence of MucA leads to sigma factor competition and cell death.

Our data point to the negative regulation of AlgU being necessary for cell survival in *P.*

*aeruginosa* (Fig 6). Under non-stress conditions, AlgU is bound to and inhibited by a full-length

MucA. Under envelope stress or in strains containing *mucA* mutations, the anti-sigma factor is

cleaved. We propose that while this cleaved cytosolic form of MucA does not inhibit AlgU to the

**Figure 5. Lethality caused by *algU* overexpression can be rescued via *rpoD* expression.** Growth rate of indicated strains grown in LB with (+) or without (–) of 2% arabinose (relative to PAO1 Δ*algU* Δ*mucA* grown in the absence of arabinose). Error bars, SEM (N=3). Asterisk, growth rate statistically different from same strain grown in the absence of arabinose ($p < 0.05$, N = 3, ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD). See Table S4 for full statistical comparisons.

**Table S4. Statistical differences in growth rate among groups overexpressing *algU* and/or *rpoD*.**

| Strain | Ara | ΔalgUΔmucA | | ΔalgUΔmucA attTn7::P$_{BAD}$-algU | | ΔalgUΔmucA attCTX::P$_{BAD}$-rpoD | | ΔalgUΔmucA attTn7::P$_{BAD}$-algU attCTX::P$_{BAD}$-rpoD | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strain | Ara | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| ΔalgUΔmucA | 0 | ▓ | * | * | * |  | * | * | * |
| ΔalgUΔmucA | 2 | ▓ | ▓ |  | * |  | * |  |  |
| ΔalgUΔmucA attTn7::P$_{BAD}$-algU | 0 | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | * |  | * |  | * |
| ΔalgUΔmucA attTn7::P$_{BAD}$-algU | 2 | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | * | * | * | * |
| ΔalgUΔmucA attCTX::P$_{BAD}$-rpoD | 0 | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | * |  | * |
| ΔalgUΔmucA attCTX::P$_{BAD}$-rpoD | 2 | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | * |  |
| ΔalgUΔmucA attTn7::P$_{BAD}$-algU attCTX::P$_{BAD}$-rpoD | 0 | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | * |
| ΔalgUΔmucA attTn7::P$_{BAD}$-algU attCTX::P$_{BAD}$-rpoD | 2 | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ | ▓ |

Statistical significance was determined via a one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD test for pairwise comparisons. Ara, concentration of arabinose (%). Asterisk, $p < 0.05$. Open box, no statistical difference.

**Figure 6. Unchecked AlgU activity in the absence of MucA leads to bacterial cell death.**
Under conditions of no envelope stress (left), the full-length MucA (red) binds strongly to AlgU (green star), leaving very little free AlgU to interact with the RNAP (grey oval). This skews the ratio of the holoenzyme to that with RpoD, the primary sigma factor (white star). Therefore, the AlgU regulon (green arrow) is in the "off" state (red X), while the RpoD regulon (white arrow) is in the "on" state (black up arrows). Under conditions of envelope stress or in strains containing *mucA* mutations that lead to a truncated product (middle), a cleaved cytosolic form of MucA is produced. This form can still interact with and inhibit AlgU. However, the strength of the interaction is weaker than with the full-length MucA, allowing for a pool of free AlgU that can then bind to and recruit RNAP to the promoters of its regulon, leading to a decrease in the expression of the RpoD regulon. Under such conditions, the AlgU regulon, which includes *algU* and *mucA*, is activated and in the "on" state (green up arrows). However, because of the feedback on MucA, negative regulation of AlgU activity is still present in the cell. In the absence of *mucA* (right), there is no MucA to bind to and regulate AlgU. All of the AlgU is free to interact with the core RNAP, outcompeting RpoD. This leads to high expression of the AlgU regulon and overproduction of AlgU itself. Under such strong positive feedback and in the absence of the negative regulator, the unchecked activity of AlgU leads to cell death due to the inability of the cell to express essential housekeeping genes.

same extent as the full-length protein, it is still able to interact with and inhibit AlgU to some

degree. Although AlgU is activated under such conditions, because *mucA* is positively regulated

by AlgU, this feedback allows the cell to keep AlgU activity under control. In comparison, in the

absence of MucA, this ability to control AlgU is lost. We propose that the strong positive

feedback of AlgU on its own expression is what leads to cell death and *mucA* essentiality, due

to sigma factor competition with the primary sigma factor RpoD. Supporting this model are

several lines of evidence. First, *mucA* essentiality is rooted in its interaction with *algU* (Figs 1

and 2), strongly suggesting that the ability of MucA to inhibit AlgU is required for viability.

Second, deletion of AlgU-regulated genes, including those encoding the well-characterized

transcription factors AlgB [35], AlgR [34, 35], and AmrZ [36], do not allow for *mucA* deletion

(Table S1), supporting that *algU* itself is the lethal component of the AlgU regulon. Third, AlgU

mutants can suppress *mucA* essentiality, and all 25 natural revertants that we screened

contained mutations in *algU* (Fig 3). The strains carrying these mutations had decreased AlgU

transcriptional activity (Fig S4), supporting the idea that decreasing the positive feedback of

AlgU on its own expression allows the cell to survive in the absence of MucA. Lastly,

overexpression of *algU* leads to a growth defect, which is lethal at high levels in the absence of

*mucA* (Fig 4) and can be rescued by overexpression of *rpoD* (Fig 5), suggesting that sigma

factor competition between AlgU and RpoD is responsible for lethality in the absence of MucA.

While our data supports our model that the AlgU-regulated gene critical for *mucA* essentiality is

*algU* itself, we cannot exclude the possibility that the regulation of another single gene or a

combination of genes within the AlgU regulon is responsible for the *mucA* requirement. This

possibility, however, seems less likely for two reasons. First, all tested revertants of Δ*mucA*

*att*Tn7::P$_{rhaBAD}$-*mucA* that could grow in the absence of *mucA* expression contained *algU*

mutations (Table S2). If another single AlgU-regulated gene were responsible for *mucA*

essentiality, we would have expected to find a revertant with such a mutation. Second, we were

unable to delete *mucA* from a strain lacking three AlgU-regulated transcription factors (Table

S1). Genes regulated by AlgB, AlgR, or AmrZ can account for approximately fifty percent of the

AlgU regulon [17, 37, 38, 40], suggesting that it is unlikely that deleting a combination of AlgU-

regulated genes can alleviate the necessity for *mucA*. Nonetheless, we are actively searching

for non-*algU* suppressors of *mucA* essentiality.

Our data show that the anti-sigma factor MucA is required for viability in various *P. aeruginosa*

strains (Table 1). However, the requirement of an anti-sigma factor for bacterial viability is not

unique to *P. aeruginosa*. While there do not appear to be any essential anti-sigma factors in *E.*

*coli*, as all of them are individually deleted in the Keio collection [48], there are many instances

in other organisms. For instance, the requirement for the anti-sigma factor that inhibits the

general stress response has been suggested in multiple Alphaproteobacteria [49]. In addition,

there are two anti-sigma factors, YhdL and YxlC, in *B. subtilis* that are necessary for bacterial

viability [50-52]. The essentiality of *yhdL* is notable as this anti-sigma factor negatively regulates

SigM, a sigma factor involved in the envelope stress response [51]. Similarly, in *Mycobacterium*

*tuberculosis*, the gene encoding the anti-sigma factor RslA is identified as essential due to an

underrepresentation of interruptions in a genome-wide transposon insertional analysis [53].

However, similar to our results (Fig 3), *rslA* has been deleted from *M. tuberculosis* lacking the

gene encoding its cognate sigma factor SigL, which regulates genes involved in cell envelope

processes [54]. Furthermore, in the defined transposon mutant library for *Vibrio cholerae*, an

interruption in the *mucA* homolog *rseA* does not exist, suggesting that this anti-sigma factor may

be essential in *V. cholerae*, similar to in *P. aeruginosa* [55]. Finally, the *P. syringae mucA*

homolog is deemed essential based on a reduced number of transposon insertions [56].

Therefore, although the *E. coli mucA* homolog *rseA* is not required for cell viability [57, 58],

these data, together with our results, suggest that essentiality of anti-sigma factors that regulate

cell envelope function may be a widespread phenomenon in bacteria.

Our work strongly suggests that lethality in the absence of *mucA* is caused by unchecked AlgU

activity (Figs 4 and 5), in agreement with previous studies suggesting AlgU overexpression is

toxic [44-46]. Our data suggests that sigma factor competition may play a role in this lethality

(Fig 5). The concentrations and affinities of individual sigma factors influence their competition

for the core RNAP [59, 60]. While sigma factor competition is best studied in *E. coli*, it has been

observed in other organisms, including *P. aeruginosa* [47, 59, 61-63]. One such example in *P.*

*aeruginosa* is between AlgU and RpoN (a sigma factor regulating nitrogen metabolism, as well

as other systems [64]), which have overlapping promoter sites upstream of the alginate

biosynthetic gene *algD* [65]. Shifts in the concentrations of these sigma factors affect *algD*

expression [66]. Furthermore, sigma factor competition between AlgU and the primary sigma

factor RpoD has also been suggested [47]. Yin and colleagues found that overexpression of

RpoD could suppress alginate biosynthesis in a mucoid CF isolate. Additionally, they saw that

the activity of both AlgU and RpoN was increased in a mutant with decreased RpoD activity

[47]. Expanding to other organisms, Zhao and colleagues found that in *B. subtilis*, the lethality

defect associated with deleting *yhdL*, which encodes for an anti-sigma factor, can be rescued

via overproduction of the primary sigma factor SigA, similar to our results (Fig 5). They suggest

that the lethality of this strain is due to the YhdL-cognate sigma factor, SigM, outcompeting SigA

for RNAP [61]. Based on these findings, and our data showing that RpoD can rescue the

lethality of high AlgU levels (Fig 5), we speculate that sigma factor competition may be involved

in other instances where anti-sigma factors that regulate cell envelope functions have been

found to be essential.

The interaction of MucA and AlgU is of interest, as *mucA* mutations are common in *P.*

*aeruginosa* isolated from the CF lung environment [7, 20-23]. Our results (Fig 3) agree with the

literature showing that mucoid CF isolates with *mucA* mutations revert to a non-mucoid state via

changes to *algU* when the cells are grown under standard laboratory conditions [21, 45, 67, 68].

While these *algU* secondary site mutations are found in non-mucoid CF isolates with *mucA*

mutations, such isolates are detected at a lower frequency [21]. As suggested by Ciofu and

associates, this may be due to the importance of AlgU for the survival of *P. aeruginosa* in the

CF lung environment, suggesting that reducing AlgU activity may increase *P. aeruginosa*

eradication from the CF airway. Based on our data and the published literature, we propose that

the MucA-AlgU interaction may serve as a good therapeutic target. Our results show that

destabilizing the MucA-AlgU interaction results in bacterial cell death or mutations in *algU* that

likely result in reduced sigma factor activity and reduced mucoid conversion, of which either

outcome could be beneficial in the treatment of *P. aeruginosa* CF lung infections.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions**. The bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides

used for this study are in Tables S5-S7. The construction of strains is described in Supporting

Information. Bacteria were grown at 37°C in LB with shaking or on semi-solid LB media, unless

otherwise noted.

**Allelic exchange assay.** The protocol, depicted in Fig S1, was modified from [69]. Briefly, after

introduction of the *mucA* deletion vector, merodiploids were selected on semi-solid VBMM [70]

with 60 mg/L gentamicin. Using OMS118 and OMS119, PCR was performed on at least six

isolates to confirm that the presence of both the wild type and deletion alleles of *mucA*.

Confirmed merodiploids were then individually streaked on NSLB (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast

extract) with 10% sucrose semi-solid media for counterselection. PCR was performed on eight

colonies per merodiploid, using OBT601 and OBT602 to determine the resolution to either the

WT or deletion allele.

**Yeast two-hybrid assay.** The ProQuest Two-Hybrid System (Invitrogen) was used, per manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, yeast containing the Gal4 activation domain-based prey and the Gal4 DNA binding domain-based bait vectors were grown overnight in SD-Leu-Trp broth (Clontech). The $OD_{600}$ value was recorded. ONPG (VWR) was added to lysed cells, and the mixture was incubated at 37°C until a light yellow color was achieved. The incubation time was recorded. The $OD_{420}$ of the supernatants was determined using a Synergy Hybrid HTX Microplate Reader (Bio-Tek Instruments). Beta galactosidase activity was determined using Miller units, based on the following equation: $1000 \times OD_{420}/(time \times culture\ volume \times OD_{600})$.

**Natural revertant assay.** *P. aeruginosa* $\Delta mucA\ att$Tn7::P$_{rhaBAD}$-*mucA* was grown in LB with 0.05% rhamnose at 37°C with shaking to an $OD_{600}$ of 1. Cells were washed and plated on semi-solid LB plates without rhamnose. Plates were incubated for 24-48 h at 37°C. For isolates that grew on LB without rhamnose, *algU* was Sanger sequenced.

**ACKNOWLEGDEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Cai Tao and Maria Alexandra Ledesma for technical assistance; and Joe J. Harrison for critical discussions of this work. MCS, AAK, DR, and BT are supported by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (TSENG19I0). MCS is also supported by a University of Nevada Las Vegas doctoral graduate research assistantship. EAC and PAJ are supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01GM114450 and K22AI127473, respectively). PAJ is also supported by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (JORTH17F5).

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceptualization: MCS, BST; Formal analysis: MCS, DR, AAK, EAC, PAJ, BST; Funding acquisition: EAC, PAJ, BST; Investigation: MCS, DR, AAK, LAM, BST; Visualization: MCS,

AAK, EAC, BST; Writing – original draft: MCS, BST; and Writing – review and editing: MCS, DR,

LAM, EAC, PAJ, BST.

**REFERENCES**

1. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry. 2018 Annual Data Report. Bethesda, MD:

Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, 2019.

2. Emerson J, Rosenfeld M, McNamara S, Ramsey B, Gibson RL. Pseudomonas aeruginosa

and other predictors of mortality and morbidity in young children with cystic fibrosis. Pediatr

Pulmonol. 2002;34(2):91-100. doi: 10.1002/ppul.10127. PubMed PMID: 12112774.

3. Henry RL, Mellis CM, Petrovic L. Mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a marker of poor

survival in cystic fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol. 1992;12(3):158-61. PubMed PMID: 1641272.

4. Li Z, Kosorok MR, Farrell PM, Laxova A, West SE, Green CG, et al. Longitudinal

development of mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection and lung disease progression in

children with cystic fibrosis. JAMA. 2005;293(5):581-8. doi: 10.1001/jama.293.5.581.

PubMed PMID: 15687313.

5. Parad RB, Gerard CJ, Zurakowski D, Nichols DP, Pier GB. Pulmonary outcome in cystic

fibrosis is influenced primarily by mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection and immune

status and only modestly by genotype. Infect Immun. 1999;67(9):4744-50. PubMed PMID:

10456926; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC96804.

6. Pedersen SS, Hoiby N, Espersen F, Koch C. Role of alginate in infection with mucoid

Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis. Thorax. 1992;47(1):6-13. PubMed PMID:

1539148; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC463537.

7. Martin DW, Schurr MJ, Mudd MH, Govan JR, Holloway BW, Deretic V. Mechanism of

conversion to mucoidy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa infecting cystic fibrosis patients. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993;90(18):8377-81. PubMed PMID: 8378309; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC47359.

8.  Govan JR, Deretic V. Microbial pathogenesis in cystic fibrosis: mucoid Pseudomonas

aeruginosa and Burkholderia cepacia. Microbiol Rev. 1996;60(3):539-74. PubMed PMID:

8840786; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC239456.

9.  Damron FH, Goldberg JB. Proteolytic regulation of alginate overproduction in Pseudomonas

aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol. 2012;84(4):595-607. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08049.x.

PubMed PMID: 22497280; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3345095.

10. Schurr MJ, Yu H, Martinez-Salazar JM, Boucher JC, Deretic V. Control of AlgU, a member

of the sigma E-like family of stress sigma factors, by the negative regulators MucA and

MucB and Pseudomonas aeruginosa conversion to mucoidy in cystic fibrosis. J Bacteriol.

1996;178(16):4997-5004. PubMed PMID: 8759866; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC178285.

11. Xie ZD, Hershberger CD, Shankar S, Ye RW, Chakrabarty AM. Sigma factor-anti-sigma

factor interaction in alginate synthesis: inhibition of AlgT by MucA. J Bacteriol.

1996;178(16):4990-6. PubMed PMID: 8759865; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC178284.

12. Li S, Lou X, Xu Y, Teng X, Liu R, Zhang Q, et al. Structural basis for the recognition of MucA

by MucB and AlgU in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The FEBS Journal. 2019;286(24):4982-94.

doi: 10.1111/febs.14995.

13. Mathee K, McPherson CJ, Ohman DE. Posttranslational control of the algT (algU)-encoded

sigma22 for expression of the alginate regulon in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and localization

of its antagonist proteins MucA and MucB (AlgN). J Bacteriol. 1997;179(11):3711-20.

PubMed PMID: 9171421; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC179169.

14. Qiu D, Eisinger VM, Rowen DW, Yu HD. Regulated proteolysis controls mucoid conversion

in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(19):8107-12. doi:

10.1073/pnas.0702660104. PubMed PMID: 17470813; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC1876579.

15. Wood LF, Ohman DE. Use of cell wall stress to characterize sigma 22 (AlgT/U) activation by

regulated proteolysis and its regulon in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol Microbiol.

2009;72(1):183-201. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06635.x. PubMed PMID: 19226327.

16. Qiu D, Eisinger VM, Head NE, Pier GB, Yu HD. ClpXP proteases positively regulate alginate

overexpression and mucoid conversion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology.

2008;154(Pt 7):2119-30. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2008/017368-0. PubMed PMID: 18599839;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2995304.

17. Schulz S, Eckweiler D, Bielecka A, Nicolai T, Franke R, Dotsch A, et al. Elucidation of sigma

factor-associated networks in Pseudomonas aeruginosa reveals a modular architecture with

limited and function-specific crosstalk. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11(3):e1004744. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1004744. PubMed PMID: 25780925; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4362757.

18. Firoved AM, Deretic V. Microarray analysis of global gene expression in mucoid

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(3):1071-81. doi: 10.1128/jb.185.3.1071-

1081.2003. PubMed PMID: 12533483; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC142812.

19. Yu H, Schurr MJ, Deretic V. Functional equivalence of Escherichia coli sigma E and

Pseudomonas aeruginosa AlgU: E. coli rpoE restores mucoidy and reduces sensitivity to

reactive oxygen intermediates in algU mutants of P. aeruginosa. J Bacteriol.

1995;177(11):3259-68. PubMed PMID: 7768826; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC177019.

20. Boucher JC, Yu H, Mudd MH, Deretic V. Mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis:

characterization of muc mutations in clinical isolates and analysis of clearance in a mouse

model of respiratory infection. Infect Immun. 1997;65(9):3838-46. PubMed PMID: 9284161;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC175548.

21. Ciofu O, Lee B, Johannesson M, Hermansen NO, Meyer P, Hoiby N. Investigation of the

algT operon sequence in mucoid and non-mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from

115 Scandinavian patients with cystic fibrosis and in 88 in vitro non-mucoid revertants.

Microbiology. 2008;154(Pt 1):103-13. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2007/010421-0. PubMed PMID:

18174130.

22. Pulcrano G, Iula DV, Raia V, Rossano F, Catania MR. Different mutations in mucA gene of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa mucoid strains in cystic fibrosis patients and their effect on algU

gene expression. New Microbiol. 2012;35(3):295-305. PubMed PMID: 22842599.

23. Candido Cacador N, Paulino da Costa Capizzani C, Gomes Monteiro Marin Torres LA,

Galetti R, Ciofu O, da Costa Darini AL, et al. Adaptation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to the

chronic phenotype by mutations in the algTmucABD operon in isolates from Brazilian cystic

fibrosis patients. PLoS One. 2018;13(11):e0208013. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0208013.

PubMed PMID: 30496246; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6264809.

24. Gallagher LA, Shendure J, Manoil C. Genome-scale identification of resistance functions in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa using Tn-seq. MBio. 2011;2(1):e00315-10. doi:

10.1128/mBio.00315-10. PubMed PMID: 21253457; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3023915.

25. Liberati NT, Urbach JM, Miyata S, Lee DG, Drenkard E, Wu G, et al. An ordered,

nonredundant library of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain PA14 transposon insertion

mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(8):2833-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0511100103.

PubMed PMID: 16477005; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1413827.

26. Skurnik D, Roux D, Aschard H, Cattoir V, Yoder-Himes D, Lory S, et al. A comprehensive

analysis of in vitro and in vivo genetic fitness of Pseudomonas aeruginosa using high-

throughput sequencing of transposon libraries. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(9):e1003582. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1003582. PubMed PMID: 24039572; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3764216.

27. Turner KH, Wessel AK, Palmer GC, Murray JL, Whiteley M. Essential genome of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis sputum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2015;112(13):4110-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1419677112. PubMed PMID: 25775563; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC4386324.

28. Intile PJ, Diaz MR, Urbanowski ML, Wolfgang MC, Yahr TL. The AlgZR two-component

system recalibrates the RsmAYZ posttranscriptional regulatory system to inhibit expression

of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III secretion system. J Bacteriol. 2014;196(2):357-66.

doi: 10.1128/JB.01199-13. PubMed PMID: 24187093; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3911257.

29. Jones AK, Fulcher NB, Balzer GJ, Urbanowski ML, Pritchett CL, Schurr MJ, et al. Activation

of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa AlgU regulon through mucA mutation inhibits cyclic

AMP/Vfr signaling. J Bacteriol. 2010;192(21):5709-17. doi: 10.1128/JB.00526-10. PubMed

PMID: 20817772; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2953679.

30. Pritchett CL, Little AS, Okkotsu Y, Frisk A, Cody WL, Covey CR, et al. Expression analysis

of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa AlgZR two-component regulatory system. J Bacteriol.

2015;197(4):736-48. doi: 10.1128/JB.02290-14. PubMed PMID: 25488298; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC4334192.

31. Lee SA, Gallagher LA, Thongdee M, Staudinger BJ, Lippman S, Singh PK, et al. General

and condition-specific essential functions of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A. 2015;112(16):5189-94. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1422186112. PubMed PMID: 25848053;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4413342.

32. Colvin KM, Irie Y, Tart CS, Urbano R, Whitney JC, Ryder C, et al. The Pel and Psl

polysaccharides provide Pseudomonas aeruginosa structural redundancy within the biofilm

matrix. Environ Microbiol. 2012;14(8):1913-28. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02657.x.

PubMed PMID: 22176658; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3840794.

33. Deretic V, Gill JF, Chakrabarty AM. Gene algD coding for GDPmannose dehydrogenase is

transcriptionally activated in mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol.

1987;169(1):351-8. doi: 10.1128/jb.169.1.351-358.1987. PubMed PMID: 3025179; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC211774.

34. Martin DW, Schurr MJ, Yu H, Deretic V. Analysis of promoters controlled by the putative

sigma factor AlgU regulating conversion to mucoidy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa:

relationship to sigma E and stress response. J Bacteriol. 1994;176(21):6688-96. PubMed

PMID: 7961422; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC197026.

35. Wozniak DJ, Ohman DE. Transcriptional analysis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa genes

algR, algB, and algD reveals a hierarchy of alginate gene expression which is modulated by

algT. J Bacteriol. 1994;176(19):6007-14. PubMed PMID: 7928961; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC196818.

36. Wozniak DJ, Sprinkle AB, Baynham PJ. Control of Pseudomonas aeruginosa algZ

expression by the alternative sigma factor AlgT. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(24):7297-300.

PubMed PMID: 14645293; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC296253.

37. Jones CJ, Newsom D, Kelly B, Irie Y, Jennings LK, Xu B, et al. ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq

reveal an AmrZ-mediated mechanism for cyclic di-GMP synthesis and biofilm development

by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10(3):e1003984. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1003984. PubMed PMID: 24603766; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3946381.

38. Huang H, Shao X, Xie Y, Wang T, Zhang Y, Wang X, et al. An integrated genomic

regulatory network of virulence-related transcriptional factors in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Nature Communications. 2019;10(1). doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10778-w.

39. Kong W, Zhao J, Kang H, Zhu M, Zhou T, Deng X, et al. ChIP-seq reveals the global

regulator AlgR mediating cyclic di-GMP synthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2015;43(17):8268-82. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv747. PubMed PMID: 26206672;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4787818.

40. Leech AJ, Sprinkle A, Wood L, Wozniak DJ, Ohman DE. The NtrC Family Regulator AlgB,

Which Controls Alginate Biosynthesis in Mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Binds Directly to

the algD Promoter. Journal of Bacteriology. 2008;190(2):581-9. doi: 10.1128/jb.01307-07.

41. Campagne S, Marsh ME, Capitani G, Vorholt JA, Allain FH. Structural basis for -10 promoter

element melting by environmentally induced sigma factors. Nat Struct Mol Biol.

2014;21(3):269-76. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2777. PubMed PMID: 24531660.

42. Wood LF, Leech AJ, Ohman DE. Cell wall-inhibitory antibiotics activate the alginate

biosynthesis operon in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: Roles of sigma (AlgT) and the AlgW and

Prc proteases. Mol Microbiol. 2006;62(2):412-26. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05390.x.

PubMed PMID: 17020580.

43. Damron FH, Qiu D, Yu HD. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa sensor kinase KinB negatively

controls alginate production through AlgW-dependent MucA proteolysis. J Bacteriol.

2009;191(7):2285-95. doi: 10.1128/JB.01490-08. PubMed PMID: 19168621; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC2655532.

44. Hershberger CD, Ye RW, Parsek MR, Xie ZD, Chakrabarty AM. The algT (algU) gene of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a key regulator involved in alginate biosynthesis, encodes an

alternative sigma factor (sigma E). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92(17):7941-5. PubMed

PMID: 7644517; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC41262.

45. Schurr MJ, Martin DW, Mudd MH, Deretic V. Gene cluster controlling conversion to alginate-

overproducing phenotype in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: functional analysis in a

heterologous host and role in the instability of mucoidy. J Bacteriol. 1994;176(11):3375-82.

doi: 10.1128/jb.176.11.3375-3382.1994. PubMed PMID: 8195094; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC205510.

46. Cross AR, Raghuram V, Wang Z, Dey D, Goldberg JB. Overproduction of the AlgT sigma

factor is lethal to mucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Bacteriology. 2020. doi:

10.1128/jb.00445-20.

47. Yin Y, Withers TR, Wang X, Yu HD. Evidence for sigma factor competition in the regulation

of alginate production by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. PLoS One. 2013;8(8):e72329. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0072329. PubMed PMID: 23991093; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3750012.

48. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, et al. Construction of

Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst

Biol. 2006;2:2006 0008. doi: 10.1038/msb4100050. PubMed PMID: 16738554; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC1681482.

49. Fiebig A, Herrou J, Willett J, Crosson S. General Stress Signaling in the

Alphaproteobacteria. Annu Rev Genet. 2015;49:603-25. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-

112414-054813. PubMed PMID: 26442844; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4710059.

50. Mendez R, Gutierrez A, Reyes J, Marquez-Magana L. The extracytoplasmic function sigma

factor SigY is important for efficient maintenance of the Spbeta prophage that encodes

sublancin in Bacillus subtilis. DNA Cell Biol. 2012;31(6):946-55. doi:

10.1089/dna.2011.1513. PubMed PMID: 22400495; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3378957.

51. Horsburgh MJ, Moir A. sigmaM, an ECF RNA polymerase sigma factor of Bacillus subtilis

168, is essential for growth and survival in high concentrations of salt. Molecular

Microbiology. 1999;32(1):41-50. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01323.x.

52. Koo BM, Kritikos G, Farelli JD, Todor H, Tong K, Kimsey H, et al. Construction and Analysis

of Two Genome-Scale Deletion Libraries for Bacillus subtilis. Cell Syst. 2017;4(3):291-305

e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2016.12.013. PubMed PMID: 28189581; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5400513.

53. Griffin JE, Gawronski JD, Dejesus MA, Ioerger TR, Akerley BJ, Sassetti CM. High-resolution

phenotypic profiling defines genes essential for mycobacterial growth and cholesterol

687 catabolism. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(9):e1002251. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002251. PubMed

688  PMID: 21980284; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3182942.

689 54. Dainese E, Rodrigue S, Delogu G, Provvedi R, Laflamme L, Brzezinski R, et al.

690  Posttranslational regulation of Mycobacterium tuberculosis extracytoplasmic-function sigma

691  factor sigma L and roles in virulence and in global regulation of gene expression. Infect

692  Immun. 2006;74(4):2457-61. doi: 10.1128/IAI.74.4.2457-2461.2006. PubMed PMID:

693  16552079; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1418919.

694 55. Cameron DE, Urbach JM, Mekalanos JJ. A defined transposon mutant library and its use in

695  identifying motility genes in Vibrio cholerae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(25):8736-

696  41. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0803281105. PubMed PMID: 18574146; PubMed Central PMCID:

697  PMCPMC2438431.

698 56. Helmann TC, Deutschbauer AM, Lindow SE. Genome-wide identification of Pseudomonas

699  syringae genes required for fitness during colonization of the leaf surface and apoplast. Proc

700  Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(38):18900-10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908858116. PubMed

701  PMID: 31484768; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6754560.

702 57. De Las Penas A, Connolly L, Gross CA. The sigmaE-mediated response to

703  extracytoplasmic stress in Escherichia coli is transduced by RseA and RseB, two negative

704  regulators of sigmaE. Mol Microbiol. 1997;24(2):373-85. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-

705  2958.1997.3611718.x. PubMed PMID: 9159523.

706 58. Missiakas D, Mayer MP, Lemaire M, Georgopoulos C, Raina S. Modulation of the

707  Escherichia coli sigmaE (RpoE) heat-shock transcription-factor activity by the RseA, RseB

708  and RseC proteins. Mol Microbiol. 1997;24(2):355-71. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-

709  2958.1997.3601713.x. PubMed PMID: 9159522.

710 59. Maeda H, Fujita N, Ishihama A. Competition among seven Escherichia coli sigma subunits:

711  relative binding affinities to the core RNA polymerase. Nucleic Acids Res.

2000;28(18):3497-503. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.18.3497. PubMed PMID: 10982868; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC110723.

60. Malik S, Zalenskaya K, Goldfarb A. Competition between sigma factors for core RNA

polymerase. Nucleic Acids Res. 1987;15(20):8521-30. doi: 10.1093/nar/15.20.8521.

PubMed PMID: 3313282; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC306375.

61. Zhao H, Roistacher DM, Helmann JD. Deciphering the essentiality and function of the anti-

sigma(M) factors in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol. 2019;112(2):482-97. doi:

10.1111/mmi.14216. PubMed PMID: 30715747; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6679829.

62. Ju J, Mitchell T, Peters H, 3rd, Haldenwang WG. Sigma factor displacement from RNA

polymerase during Bacillus subtilis sporulation. J Bacteriol. 1999;181(16):4969-77. PubMed

PMID: 10438769; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC93986.

63. Farewell A, Kvint K, Nystrom T. Negative regulation by RpoS: a case of sigma factor

competition. Mol Microbiol. 1998;29(4):1039-51. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00990.x.

PubMed PMID: 9767572.

64. Lloyd MG, Lundgren BR, Hall CW, Gagnon LB, Mah TF, Moffat JF, et al. Targeting the

alternative sigma factor RpoN to combat virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Sci Rep.

2017;7(1):12615. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-12667-y. PubMed PMID: 28974743; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC5626770.

65. Boucher JC, Schurr MJ, Deretic V. Dual regulation of mucoidy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa

and sigma factor antagonism. Mol Microbiol. 2000;36(2):341-51. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-

2958.2000.01846.x. PubMed PMID: 10792721.

66. Al Ahmar R, Kirby BD, Yu HD. Pyrimidine Biosynthesis Regulates the Small-Colony Variant

and Mucoidy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa through Sigma Factor Competition. J Bacteriol.

2019;201(1). doi: 10.1128/JB.00575-18. PubMed PMID: 30322853; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC6287457.

67. DeVries CA, Ohman DE. Mucoid-to-nonmucoid conversion in alginate-producing

Pseudomonas aeruginosa often results from spontaneous mutations in algT, encoding a

putative alternate sigma factor, and shows evidence for autoregulation. J Bacteriol.

1994;176(21):6677-87. doi: 10.1128/jb.176.21.6677-6687.1994. PubMed PMID: 7961421;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC197025.

68. Sautter R, Ramos D, Schneper L, Ciofu O, Wassermann T, Koh CL, et al. A complex

multilevel attack on Pseudomonas aeruginosa algT/U expression and algT/U activity results

in the loss of alginate production. Gene. 2012;498(2):242-53. doi:

10.1016/j.gene.2011.11.005. PubMed PMID: 22088575; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4968699.

69. Hmelo LR, Borlee BR, Almblad H, Love ME, Randall TE, Tseng BS, et al. Precision-

engineering the Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome with two-step allelic exchange. Nat

Protoc. 2015;10(11):1820-41. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2015.115. PubMed PMID: 26492139;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4862005.

70. Vogel HJ, Bonner DM. Acetylornithinase of Escherichia coli: partial purification and some

properties. J Biol Chem. 1956;218(1):97-106. PubMed PMID: 13278318.