Title

Nitrogen availability and plant functional composition modify biodiversity-multifunctionality relationships

Authors names and affiliation

Noémie A. Pichon

Corresponding author

Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland

Department of Ecology and Genetics, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

noemie.pichon@oulu.fi

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2972-1912

Seraina L. Cappelli

Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland

Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

seraina.cappelli@uzh.ch

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8141-404X

Santiago Soliveres

Department of Ecology, University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Multidisciplinary Institute for Environmental Studies "Ramón Margalef", University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain

santiagosoliverescodina@gmail.com

http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9661-7192

Tosca Mannall

Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland

tosca.mannall@ips.unibe.ch

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0802-3971

Thu Zar Nwe

Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland

thu.nwe@ips.unibe.ch

Norbert Hölzel

Institute of Landscape Ecology, University of Münster, Robert-Koch-Straße 26, 48149 Münster, Germany

nhoelzel@uni-muenster.de

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6367-3400

Valentin H. Klaus

Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zürich, Universitätstr. 2, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

Forage Production and Grassland Systems, Agroscope, Reckenholzstr. 191, 8046 Zürich, Switzerland

valentin.klaus@usys.ethz.ch

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7469-6800

Till Kleinebecker

Department of Landscape Ecology and Resources Management, Justus-Liebig-University Gießen, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, 35392 Giessen, Germany

till.kleinebecker@umwelt.uni-giessen.de

http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1121-2861

Hugo Vincent

Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland

hugo.vincent@ips.unibe.ch

Eric Allan

Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland

Centre for Development and the Environment, University of Bern, Hallerstrasse 10, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

eric.allan@ips.unibe.ch

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9641-9436

1 Abstract

The ability of an ecosystem to deliver multiple functions at high levels (multifunctionality) typically increases with biodiversity but there is substantial variation in the strength and direction of biodiversity effects, suggesting context-dependency. A better understanding of the drivers of this context dependency is essential to predict effects of global change on ecosystems. To determine how different factors modulate the effect of diversity on multifunctionality, we established a large grassland experiment with 216 communities, crossing a manipulation of plant species richness (1, 4, 8, 20 species) with manipulations of resources (nitrogen enrichment), plant functional composition (gradient in mean specific leaf area [SLA] to manipulate abundances of exploitative, fast-growing vs. conservative, slow-growing species), plant functional diversity (variance in SLA) and enemy abundance (foliar fungal pathogen removal). We measured ten above- and belowground functions, related to productivity, nutrient cycling and energy transfer between trophic levels, and calculated ecosystem multifunctionality. Plant species richness and functional diversity both increased multifunctionality, but their effects were context dependent. Species richness increased multifunctionality only when communities were assembled with fast growing (high SLA) species. This was because slow species were more redundant in their functional effects, whereas different fast species tended to promote different functions. Functional diversity also increased multifunctionality but this effect was dampened by nitrogen enrichment. However, unfertilised, functionally diverse communities still delivered more functions than low diversity, fertilised communities. Our study suggests that a shift towards fast-growing exploitative communities will not only alter ecosystem functioning but also the strength of biodiversity-functioning relationships, which highlights the potentially complex effects of global change on multifunctionality.

Introduction

The ability of an ecosystem to deliver many functions at high levels simultaneously (i.e., multifunctionality) generally increases with diversity (Hector & Bagchi, 2007; Gamfeldt et al., 2008; Isbell et al., 2011; Lefcheck et al., 2015; Meyer et al., 2018; van der Plas, 2019). Although positive biodiversity-ecosystem multifunctionality relationships are common, studies have found substantial variation in the direction and strength of these relationships, which suggests that they depend on the biotic and abiotic environmental context (Balvanera et al., 2006; Soliveres, van der Plas, et al., 2016; van der Plas, 2019). However, only a few studies have attempted to understand this context dependency by determining how different drivers affect the biodiversity-multifunctionality relationship, and these have been either observational (Allan et al., 2015; Jing et al., 2015; van der Plas, 2019) or have focussed on only one of many potential drivers (Giling et al., 2019, but see Alsterberg et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017). A better understanding of context dependency in biodiversity-multifunctionality relationships is important to predict effects of global change on ecosystems, because global change drivers, like nitrogen (N) enrichment or climate change, reduce biodiversity but also alter other aspects of the environment, and these changes may further alter the effects of biodiversity loss on ecosystem functioning. Experimental approaches are needed to rigorously test for context dependency but very few experiments cross diversity gradients with manipulations of major context drivers such as resources, natural enemies or plant species composition.

The main environmental factors influencing the strength and direction of the diversity-multifunctionality relationship are likely to be those that alter the mechanisms by which biodiversity affects functioning. Two of the main mechanisms behind the positive effects of plant diversity on ecosystem functioning are: i) complementary resource use amongst species (Fornara & Tilman, 2009; Jucker et al., 2015; Oram et al., 2018), and ii) increased enemy attack in species-poor communities , reviewed in Barry et al., 2019). If species vary in their resource uptake strategies, then diverse

communities should use resources more efficiently (Tilman, 1982). Increasing resource levels would

therefore remove opportunities for nutrient use complementarity between species and would

equalise functioning between low and high diversity communities (Ratcliffe et al., 2017) (see Figure 1,

Point 1). However, some experimental studies have shown neutral (Wacker et al., 2009; Hooper et al.,

2012) or even positive effects of increased resource levels on the diversity-functioning relationship

(Weigelt et al., 2009; Craven et al., 2016; Eisenhauer et al., 2018), suggesting that niche

complementarity for soil nutrients does not always underlie diversity-functioning relationships.

Nitrogen is one of the major soil nutrients limiting plant growth (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991) and

differences in nitrogen use can be a key driver of niche differences between plants (McKane et al.,

2002; Harpole & Tilman, 2005) and of positive effects of diversity on functioning (Fornara & Tilman,

2009). In addition, nitrogen enrichment is one of the major drivers of global change (Galloway et al.,

2008; Battye et al., 2017) and testing how it modifies diversity effects is therefore of key importance

to understand interactions between diversity loss and other aspects of global change. There is

increasing evidence that natural enemy attack is also an important mechanism driving effects of

diversity on function, and it can either enhance (Maron et al., 2011; Schnitzer et al., 2011), or reduce

(Seabloom et al., 2017) the strength of the diversity-functioning relationship (Figure 1, Point 2). Foliar

fungal pathogens, have received less attention than insects or vertebrate enemies but they are also

key drivers of plant diversity and ecosystem functioning (Allan et al., 2010; Mordecai, 2011). There is

little consensus on how plant enemies or resource availability affect diversity-functioning relationships

but both could potentially be important drivers of context dependency.

In addition to the environment, the composition of the species pool (from which local plant

communities are assembled) could modify biodiversity-multifunctionality relationships. A key element

of composition could be the resource use strategies of the species, as those with different strategies

might vary in their degree of resource use complementarity. The leaf economics spectrum (Wright et

al., 2004; Díaz et al., 2016) distinguishes slow growing, conservative species from fast growing,

acquisitive ones and is indicated by several traits, such as specific leaf area (SLA) and leaf nitrogen

content. Slow species, with low SLA, are more competitive in the low nutrient environments they tend

to dominate (Tilman & Wedin, 1991; Reich, 2014). They might therefore differ more in their

competitive abilities for different nutrients, leading to greater nutrient use complementarity between

slow species. In contrast, fast species, with high SLA, are abundant in high resource environments,

where asymmetric light competition is prevalent (Hautier et al., 2009; Busch et al., 2019). Nutrient use

complementarity between fast species might therefore be low. However, fast species are also likely

to be more sensitive to enemies due to a growth defence trade-off (Endara & Coley, 2011), and

enemies might therefore drive stronger diversity-functioning relationships in fast-dominated

communities. Complementarity effects can lead to positive diversity-ecosystem functioning

relationships for individual functions, which could also translate into higher multifunctionality in

diverse communities. Such effects of the fast-slow functional composition of the species pool on

diversity-function relationships would lead to different effects of diversity, depending on whether

communities are dominated by fast or slow species, and therefore to interactions between changes

in species composition and diversity (Figure 1, Point 4). Changes in diversity and fast-slow functional

composition are typically correlated in observational studies (Allan et al., 2015) and new experimental

approaches are therefore necessary to disentangle their interactive effects.

Biodiversity has many dimensions and different aspects of diversity can affect multifunctionality, with

functional diversity being a key driver of functioning alongside species richness (Allan et al., 2015;

Gross et al., 2017; van der Plas, 2019). A high fast-slow functional diversity could increase

multifunctionality if species with different resource use strategies (fast vs slow) have complementary

interactions (Cadotte et al., 2011; Handa et al., 2014). However, functional diversity can also reduce

multifunctionality in harsh conditions where environmental filtering is strong and functionally distinct

species are poorly adapted to the local conditions (Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al., 2019). In general,

diversity and mass ratio effects can both occur and functions may in some cases be driven by

complementary interactions between many less abundant species (Soliveres, Manning, et al., 2016),

while in other cases they may be driven mostly by the dominant species, i.e. "mass ratio effects"

(Grime, 1998) (Figure 1, Point 3). Functional diversity measures are strongly affected by rare species

if only the presence/absence of species is considered but are mostly driven by differences between

dominant species if they are abundance weighted. We therefore predict that non abundance

weighted functional diversity should increase functioning when diversity effects and complementarity

among rare species are important, e.g. because rare slow species provide novel functions (Mariotte,

2014). However, we would expect abundance weighted functional diversity to decrease functioning if

mass ratio effects dominate and only the presence of key species is important (Mokany et al., 2008;

Ruiz-Benito et al., 2014), e.g. because high productivity is high in communities dominated by fast

species (Allan et al., 2015). Comparing effects of abundance vs. non abundance weighted fast-slow

functional diversity might therefore shed light on the role of mass ratio vs. diversity effects in

determining multifunctionality.

To better understand which contexts modify effects of diversity on multifunctionality, we measured

multifunctionality in 216 plots of a grassland biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiment. In this

experiment, we tested the context-dependency of biodiversity-multifunctionality relationships to the

addition of resources (N), enemy attack (fungal pathogens), and composition of species pool

(functional composition). We factorially manipulated plant species richness, N enrichment, fungal

pathogen removal (fungicide spraying), and plant fast-slow functional composition (by creating a

gradient of abundance weighted specific leaf area [SLA]) and fast-slow functional diversity (the mean

pairwise distance in SLA). We refer to these hereafter as functional composition and functional

diversity. We therefore manipulated a specific element of functional composition and diversity in

terms of the mean and variance in resource economics traits and did not explicitly manipulate

composition and diversity in other trait axes. Our aim was to focus on the resource-economics axis

because it has been identified as one of the key axes of plant functional variation (Wright et al., 2004;

Díaz et al., 2016) and it represents a key set of traits predicting responses to N enrichment and effects

on many ecosystem functions.

We measured ten functions on each plot, related to biotic interactions and biogeochemical cycling.

We selected focussed on measures or proxies of process rates and consider high rates and low losses

to indicate high functioning (Manning et al., 2018). We measured: above and belowground plant

biomass, insect herbivore damage (hereafter herbivory) and foliar fungal pathogen infection, plant

nitrogen and phosphorus uptake (quantified as ratios between available nitrogen and phosphorus

content in the soil and nitrogen and phosphorus content in aboveground plant biomass), two

enzymatic activities related to carbon (β-glucosidase) and phosphorus (phosphatase) cycling, soil

respiration and soil carbon (C) storage, for more details see methods. We used a novel approach to

analysing treatment effects on equal weight ecosystem function multifunctionality (Manning et al.,

2018), in which we calculated multifunctionality at several thresholds between 50 and 80% of

maximum function. We then combined all these multifunctionality measures in a single model (for

details see methods), which allowed us to estimate the average effect of our treatments on

multifunctionality, across thresholds. As any particular threshold is arbitrary, this allowed us to

robustly quantify overall effects on multifunctionality. We hypothesised that: 1) species richness and

fast-slow functional diversity will both increase multifunctionality, 2) the strength of the diversity

effect will depend on the functional traits of the species which are combined (i.e. the functional

composition of the species pool), and 3) increased resource levels and removal of fungal pathogens

will decrease the effect of diversity on multifunctionality.

Figure 1: Concept of context dependency in plant communities.

1 Nutrient addition can reduce the potential for complementarity in diverse communities, and therefore dampen the diversity-multifunctionality relationship (Rattcliffe et al., 2017).

2a Pathogens can remove more biomass in diverse communities. Removing them would increase the diversity-productivity relationship (Seabloom et al., 2017).

2b Specialist pathogens preferentially attack low-diversity communities (Maron et al., 2011; Schnitzer et al., 2011). Removing them would dampen the diversity-productivity relationship due to higher functioning at low levels of diversity.

3 Functional diversity can be calculated in different ways. Weighting functional diversity by the species abundance assumes that a species contributes to functioning proportionately to its abundance, while equally weighted functional diversity assumes that the presence or absence of a species determines its effect on functioning.
Mass-ratio effects, solid lines: if dominant species are key in supporting functioning, multifunctionality will decrease when abundance weighted functional diversity increases, and will not respond to equally weighted functional diversity.
Importance of rare species, dashed lines: if rare species are increasing functioning, then multifunctionality will increase with equally weighted functional diversity, and will not respond to abundance weighted functional diversity.
We show here the two extreme scenarios, but there are intermediate options between the importance of only mass-ratio or only rare species.

4a Fast species are more sensitive to resources and pathogen presence due to the growth-defence trade-off (Endara & Coley, 2011) and respond more strongly to environmental conditions: higher diversity-multifunctionality relationship can be more context-dependent in fast than in slow communities.

4b Slow species are more complementary in their resource uptake because they are adapted to low nutrient environments (Tilman & Wedin, 1991; Reich, 2014): lower diversity-multifunctionality relationship in fast communities than in slow.

We also included in the analyses possible interactions, not represented here, between the different factors (nutrient and pathogens for instance) and with more than two factors.

Results

Richness-multifunctionality relationships depend on functional composition

Diversity effects on multifunctionality were highly context-dependent in our experiment, as we found three interactions between effects of richness and other factors. The strongest of these was the interaction between SLA and richness (estimate of the interaction: 0.025 +/- 0.009 SE), which meant that the effect of sown species richness on multifunctionality was modified by fast-slow functional composition. These results were consistent when using multiple trait measures of functional composition instead of just SLA (Table S1). Species richness decreased multifunctionality slightly in communities with low mean SLA but increased multifunctionality in high mean SLA communities (Figure 2a and b and Table S2). Species richness therefore had a positive effect on multifunctionality in communities assembled from fast-growing, acquisitive species, but a neutral to negative effect when the species pool contained only slow-growing, conservative species. At the same time, SLA generally increased multifunctionality (and the main effect of SLA was the single strongest driver of multifunctionality) but the interaction with richness meant that SLA increased multifunctionality more strongly in diverse communities. Subsequent analyses revealed that these results were not driven by any particular function (Table S3 and Figure S1) and remained consistent regardless of the number of functions included in our multifunctionality metric (Figure S2 and Figure S3), or the use of the realised [as opposed to the sown] species richness (Table S4). SLA as a main effect had contrasting effects on individual functions, with a positive effect on functions measured aboveground (pathogen presence, herbivory), and a negative effect belowground (belowground biomass, phosphatase activity, soil respiration, Figure 3). This shows that fast and slow species drive different functions. We further explored whether the different functional effects of fast vs. slow species may explain why diversity has different effects on multifunctionality in slow vs. fast communities (see below).

High resource levels dampen effects of functional diversity on multifunctionality

Sown fast-slow functional diversity, calculated as the mean pairwise distance between species in their SLA, increased multifunctionality in unfertilised plots (Figure 2a and c). However, nitrogen enrichment dampened this positive effect because it increased multifunctionality in plots with low functional diversity (estimate of the interaction: -0.010 +/-0.004 SE). Nitrogen enrichment increased soil respiration, in particular, in plots with low functional diversity (Figure 3). N enrichment also increased several individual functions related to plant productivity and soil microbial activity overall (above and belowground biomass, soil β-glucosidase activity, plant P uptake, see Figure 3). Interestingly, N enrichment also interacted with species richness to affect belowground biomass and C storage (Figure 3), but this interaction further depended foliar pathogen removal (fungicide treatment).

Foliar pathogen removal also dampened effects of fast-slow functional diversity on multifunctionality, as functional diversity only increased multifunctionality where foliar pathogens were not reduced by fungicide application (estimate of the interaction: -0.008 +/-0.004 SE, Figure 2a and d). However, this interaction should be treated with caution as it seems to be driven mainly by the response of fungal pathogen damage (see Table S5), which was reduced by fungicide, as expected. Fungicide also increased total soil respiration in high SLA communities and belowground biomass in species-rich, fertilised communities and was also involved in some other complex interactions for C storage and phosphatase.

Effect of change in species abundances on functional diversity

Although all species started at equal abundance in our experiment, species shifted their relative

abundances over time. To test the effect of these abundance changes on functional diversity, we

calculated abundance weighted mean pairwise distance (MPDw), weighted by realised species

abundances. While sown functional diversity increased multifunctionality, the abundance-weighted

functional diversity showed the opposite pattern (Figure 4). These contrasting effects indicate that the

communities with the highest multifunctionality were those which had been sown with functionally

distinct species (i.e. with high unweighted MPD), but in which functional diversity decreased because

they became dominated by species with similar functional trait values (they ended up with lower

abundance weighted MPDw). Such high multifunctionality communities are dominated by functionally

similar species but contain functionally distinct rare species.

Effects of individual species on functions

The positive effects of both SLA and functional diversity on multifunctionality show that combining

multiple fast-growing species in a community leads to high multifunctionality, whereas combining

slow species does not increase multifunctionality. There are two main factors that could cause this.

First, if slow species were more redundant in their functional effects (they all drive similar functions),

then adding more slow species to a community (increasing diversity of slow species) would not

increase multifunctionality. Second, slow species could have trade-offs in their functional effects (i.e.

they increase some functions and reduce others), while fast species have more synergies (positive

effects on multiple functions). This would mean that increasing diversity of slow species would not

enhance multifunctionality in the temperate grassland we studied, because adding a slow species to

a slow community would tend to reduce as many functions as it enhanced.

To test these ideas, we calculated the effect of our 20 species on each function, by fitting regression

models for each function with the abundance of each species as predictors (following the approach

used in Hector & Bagchi, 2007; Isbell et al., 2011). We extracted the significant positive and negative

effects of each species on each function. To explore how combining sets of slow or fast species would

affect multifunctionality, we calculated the dissimilarity in functional effects between sets of four

species (see methods for more details). This showed that fast species were more dissimilar in the

functions they drove (Table S6, Table S7 and Table S8), as an increase in mean SLA between species

increased the dissimilarity in the set of functions they affected. The results were similar regardless of

the use of presence-based or abundance weighted effects, the number of species considered when

calculating dissimilarity, and for both positive and negative effects (see methods and Tables S5 to S7),

showing that all slow species tend to affect similar functions, while fast species affect different

functions. For instance, slow species like *Achillea millefolium, Centaurea jacea, Festuca rubra* and

Salvia pratensis all drove biomass production and insect herbivory, while fast species tended to drive

different functions, e.g. *Crepis biennis* increased N uptake, while *Holcus lanatus* increased pathogen

infection.

In order to test whether there are also more trade-offs in functional effects between slow species, we

calculated, for each pair of species, the proportion of functional effects that were trade-offs (see

methods). The effects were non-significant, both when analysed based on species presence or on

species abundance (Table S6). It therefore seems that trade-offs in functional effects are not more

common for slow species and that instead slow species are more redundant in their functional effects

because they tend to drive similar functions.

Figure 2: Context-dependent diversity-multifunctionality relationships. a) Standardised effect (+/- confidence intervals) of each scaled centred variable on multifunctionality, across seven thresholds. Only significant effects are shown to facilitate interpretation. All main effects are shown regardless of significance, as they are all part of at least one significant interaction. b) Interaction between sown species richness and community weighted mean specific leaf area (SLA, m^2 kg^{-1}), represented as quantiles of SLA (see raw data in Figure S4). c) Interaction between functional diversity (MPD, mean pairwise distance) and nitrogen enrichment. d) Interaction between functional diversity and fungicide application. N = 216 plots. Details of the model output can be found in Table S2. Species richness is here sown richness.

Figure 3: Drivers of individual functions. Mean standardised effect (+/- confidence intervals) of each scaled and centred variable on individual functions after model simplification. Only significant effects are shown but main effects and interactions which are part of higher order interactions are displayed regardless of significance, see Figure S1 for the full model. SR: sown plant species richness, MPD: mean pairwise distance in SLA equally weighted, N: nitrogen enrichment, SLA: abundance weighted community specific leaf area, Fng: fungicide treatment. Each function was measured on 216 plots. See Table S9 and Figure S1 for details.

Figure 4: Effect of sown vs. realised functional diversity on multifunctionality. Effect (+/- confidence intervals) on multifunctionality of a) abundance weighted, realised, functional diversity (MPDw) and b) non-abundance weighted, sown, functional diversity (MPD). c) MPDw plotted against MPD. The green area represents the model-predicted multifunctionality from a 65% threshold (midpoint between 50% and 80% thresholds), but the effect is similar at other thresholds, as there is no interaction between threshold and MPD. The high multifunctionality plots are those with both a relatively high MPD and a relatively low MPDw (bottom right corner). Left of the grey line: functional diversity increases from sown communities. Right of the grey line: functional diversity decreases from sown communities. Monocultures were included in the individual models but are not shown in c) as they are all coded as 0 MPD and MPDw. See Table S10 for details on the model output.

Discussion

Functional trait composition modulates richness effects on ecosystem functioning

Our results show that there is substantial context dependency in biodiversity-multifunctionality relationships. The most important driver of this context-dependency in our study was the functional composition of the species pool, in terms of whether communities were composed of fast-growing acquisitive species or slow-growing conservative species. When experimental (Hector et al., 2011; Craven et al., 2018) or observational (e.g. Allan et al., 2015) studies have considered compositional and diversity effects together, they have compared their relative importance but not potential interactions between them. By experimentally crossing manipulations of fast-slow functional composition and of species richness, we were able to show that community SLA and species richness had an interactive effect on multifunctionality, which means that composition and diversity are not always independent drivers of multifunctionality. Some studies have considered how the functional *diversity* of the species pool impacts species richness-functioning relationships (Ratcliffe et al., 2017; Wagg et al., 2017) but our results show that the functional *composition* of the species pool may be a stronger driver. Two different analyses provided evidence that multifunctionality was affected by both mass ratio, or selection, effects and diversity, or complementarity, effects and that these interacted. The analysis of individual species effects on functions showed that certain slow species drove many functions and at the same time abundance weighted functional diversity reduced multifunctionality, indicating mass ratio effects because multifunctionality was highest when the dominants were functionally similar. In contrast, fast species drove different functions, suggesting complementarity between them, and non-abundance weighted functional diversity increased multifunctionality, indicating that functionally distinct rare species also provided different functions. Our results therefore highlight that while species richness and composition have usually been seen as alternative

or opposing drivers of functioning (i.e. mass-ratio vs. diversity effects, Tilman, 1997; Grime, 1998;

Mokany et al., 2008; Ruiz-Benito et al., 2014), they can modify each other's effects (Craven et al., 2018;

Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al., 2019).

Our analysis of individual species effects on functions showed that slow species were more redundant

in their functional effects, because some slow species (especially *Achillea millefolium, Centaurea*

jacea, Festuca rubra and *Salvia pratensis*) drove multiple functions, while fast species tended to affect

a different function each. Therefore, combining multiple fast species increased multifunctionality

because each species increased a different function, while combining multiple slow species did not

add more functions, as they were more redundant in the functions they provided. We also found that

fast and slow species were complementary with each other and provided different functions, also see

below. At the same time, some slow species had only negative effects on functioning, meaning that

on average monocultures of slow species had low multifunctionality. This suggests that amongst slow

species there might be a "multifunctional selection effect" with high multifunctionality driven by the

abundance of those species that promote several functions, while amongst fast species there is

"multifunctional complementarity" with different species driving different functions. This idea is

further supported by the fact that diversity x composition interactions were not significant for most

individual functions, as opposed to what we found for multifunctionality. Instead, species richness

increased belowground biomass and carbon storage regardless of community SLA, and phosphatase

activity peaked in plots dominated by slow species, regardless of their richness. Diversity therefore

did increase several individual functions within slow species communities, presumably because the

slow species were complementary in their effects on these individual functions (Cappelli et al., 2022),

but this complementarity was not realised when combining multiple functions.

Here, we focussed on the fast-slow resource use axis as a key element of functional composition

(which was well represented by the usage of SLA as a unique trait; Table S1). However, other functional

traits or axes, such as size (Díaz et al., 2016), dispersal and root strategies (Bergmann et al., 2020) also

determine overall functional composition. Future work manipulating these elements of functional

composition in combination with diversity would be very valuable.

Resource levels modulate functional diversity effects on ecosystem functioning

In addition to sown species richness, sown functional diversity was an important driver of

multifunctionality but its positive effect was dampened by N enrichment. This results is at odds with

reports of stronger (Weigelt et al., 2009) or consistent (Craven et al., 2016) diversity-productivity

relationship in response to increased resource supply. There are three main reasons why our results

may differ from these previous findings. Firstly, most other studies added multiple nutrients, which

increased total resource levels, whereas we added nitrogen only which may have led to an unbalanced

nutrient stoichiometry and therefore a reduction in complementarity (Cardinale et al., 2009).

Secondly, N addition may have a larger effect on the diversity-*multifunctionality* relationship than on

the diversity-*productivity* relationships examined in previous studies. Consistent with this, our study

also found that the effect of diversity on aboveground productivity was independent of fertilisation

(Figure 3), and that the interaction between N and either species richness or functional diversity was

inconsistent across individual functions. Further, a study on soil multifunctionality (Eisenhauer et al.,

2018), also showed that the positive diversity effect on multifunctionality was weaker under N

enrichment. Finally, we only found that N modified the effect of functional diversity, not of species

richness, and it may be that N addition is more likely to reduce effects of fast-slow functional diversity

than of species richness because the fast slow continuum is more closely linked to resource use.

Overall, our results show that N addition only increases functioning in low diversity communities while

adding N to functionally diverse communities would not further increase multifunctionality. However,

high diversity, unfertilised communities still delivered higher multifunctionality than low diversity,

fertilised communities (0.624 and 0.588 respectively), suggesting low input, high diversity grasslands

could deliver high overall multi-functioning relative to intensive systems.

Foliar fungal pathogen removal also reduced diversity-multifunctionality relationships and modified

the diversity effect on individual functions. Previous studies have shown that specialist soil pathogens

can drive diversity-productivity relationships by reducing biomass particularly at low diversity levels

(Maron et al., 2011; Schnitzer et al., 2011). In our experiment, reducing foliar fungal pathogen

abundance reduced multifunctionality in functionally diverse communities, suggesting that fungal

endophytes present in diverse plant communities may boost certain functions. Fungicide application

might alter the composition of pathogen communities by removing specialists (Cappelli et al., 2020),

but it might also reduce the abundance of mutualists (fungal endophytes, Karlsson et al., 2014; Ayesha

et al., 2021), particularly in diverse plant communities. A high diversity of bacterial leaf endophytes

has been shown to drive effects of tree diversity on ecosystem functioning (Laforest-Lapointe et al.,

2017), and fungal endophyte diversity might therefore also be important. However, the interaction

between fungicide and diversity was sensitive to the inclusion of pathogen damage in the

multifunctionality measure, even though functional diversity and fungicide application did not interact

to affect pathogen damage itself, meaning the effect of pathogen removal on the diversity-

multifunctionality relationship should be treated with caution. Nevertheless, our results indicate that

fungal endophyte communities may deserve more attention as potential drivers of diversity-

multifunctionality relationships.

Both dominant and rare species increase multifunctionality

Multifunctionality was maximised at high levels of sown functional diversity but was reduced by high

levels of abundance weighted functional diversity. These contrasting effects of non-abundance and

abundance weighted functional diversity mean that multifunctionality was highest when communities

became dominated by one or a few functionally similar species, but still retained some distinct rare

species (relatively low abundance weighted functional diversity, combined with high sown functional

diversity; Fig. 4). The negative effects of abundance weighted functional diversity mean that

multifunctionality was maximised when the dominants in a community were functionally similar,

which suggests that mass-ratio effects were important for increasing multifunctionality, this is

analogous to a multifunctional selection effect where species with certain traits are key for providing

multifunctionality. Studies in biocrust systems (Maestre et al., 2012) and global drylands (Le Bagousse-

Pinguet et al., 2019) have also shown that multifunctionality was maximised when communities were

dominated by functionally similar species, which were well adapted to the environmental conditions.

In our experiment, communities dominated by high SLA species could enhance multifunctionality

(CWM SLA had the strongest effects on multifunctionality in our study) by enhancing functions related

to fast biogeochemical cycling (herbivory, pathogen infection, see Figure 3). At the same time some

individual slow species were also able to provide many functions. On the other hand, the increase in

multifunctionality with non-abundance weighted functional diversity emphasises the importance of

diversity and functionally unique species in driving multifunctionality (McLaren & Turkington, 2010;

Vogel et al., 2019). Our analysis of individual species effects on multifunctionality indicated that fast

species tended to be more complementary in the functions they drove, but this result indicates that

fast and slow species are also complementary and provide different functions from each other. These

findings are also in accordance with a body of literature on the importance of locally rare species for

the provision of multiple ecosystem functions (Lyons et al., 2005; Mouillot et al., 2013; Soliveres,

Manning, et al., 2016). In our experiment the presence of low SLA species led to an increase in

belowground biomass (Figure 3) and soil respiration (on plots without fungicide), perhaps indicating

increased microbial activity in slow growing communities (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018). The

addition of a few rare slow species could therefore have increased the levels of certain soil functions

and could have boosted multifunctionality in otherwise fast dominated communities (Le Bagousse-

Pinguet et al., 2021).

Conclusions

Our results shed light on the context dependence of diversity effects on multifunctionality. The most

important driver of context dependency in our study was the functional trait composition of the

species pool (whether communities were assembled with fast or slow species). Our findings show that

shifts in fast-slow functional composition due to global change will not just alter ecosystem functions

themselves but will also alter the strength of diversity-functioning relationships. This could result in

complex interactions between global change drivers, for instance, if some drivers alter fast-slow

functional composition and others change diversity. In addition, they suggest that managing functional

composition can buffer the impacts of other global change drivers on ecosystem functioning and

biodiversity-functioning relationships. For the functions considered here, the optimal community for

multifunctionality contained several different fast species at high abundance and some functionally

distinct slow species at low abundance. However, the optimal community is likely to differ for other

sets of functions or environmental conditions, and considering which functions should be maximised

will be important to define optimal functional composition and diversity for a given scenario. Overall,

these findings underline the need to consider multiple diversity dimensions, and interactions between

them and abiotic conditions, to optimise multifunctionality in the context of multiple simultaneous

global change factors.

Material and methods

387   The PaNDiv Experiment

We set up a field experiment in October 2015 in Münchenbuchsee (Switzerland, 47°03′N, 7°46′E, 564 m a.s.l.) with factorial manipulations of plant species richness, plant functional composition, N enrichment and foliar fungal pathogens (Pichon et al., 2020). The site had been unfertilised for at least 10 years before the start of the experiment. The soil is characterized as 0.7 to 1 m deep brown earth (Cambisol), according to the Geoportal of the Canton Bern (http://www.geo.apps.be.ch). We measured total soil N and C concentrations and pH in the top 20 cm of soil at the start of the experiment and found concentrations of 2.3-4.2% C, 0.26-0.43% N and a pH of 7.4. The original grassland was dominated by *Dactylis glomerata, Lolium multiflorum, Crepis biennis, Plantago lanceolata* and *Trifolium sp*. The area was cleared from vegetation and ploughed before the establishment of experimental plots.

The whole experiment consisted of 216 plots of 2 m × 2 m separated from one another by a 1 m path and arranged in four blocks. We sowed the different communities with enough seeds to obtain a density of 1000 seedlings per m^2, equally divided between the species and corrected for species germination rates. The species pool consisted of 20 herbs and grasses typical of mesic, Central European grasslands (see list of species Table S11). We divided the species into two pools corresponding to different resource economic strategies (Wright et al., 2004), using literature values of leaf N content and specific leaf area (SLA) to classify the species. We created a pool of 10 species with high SLA and leaf N, which have a fast-growing, acquisitive resource use strategy, with short leaf lifespans and high photosynthetic rates, and a pool of 10 species with a more slow-growing, conservative strategy, long leaf lifespans and low photosynthetic rates. For simplicity we refer to these

species as "fast" and "slow" throughout. We excluded legumes from the species pool as they are

expected to decline with N enrichment and including them in the slow growing pool only, would add

an additional difference between the pools. We therefore might have underestimated the diversity

effects by excluding legumes from the species pool.

All 20 monocultures were established, together with 15 four species, 15 eight species and 4 twenty

species plots. Plots with four and eight species could contain either only fast or only slow species, or

a mix of the two strategies. This allowed us to create a large gradient in functional composition (mean

SLA) and functional diversity (variance in SLA), independent of species richness. Species compositions

for each plot were randomly selected from the respective species pool, with the constraint that all

plots (except monocultures) had to contain both grasses and herbs. Each particular species

composition (54 in total) received the four combinations of N enrichment and fungicide application,

for a total of 216 plots. Fertilised plots received N in the form of urea twice a year in April and late

June, for an annual addition of 100 kg N ha^{-1}y^{-1}, which corresponds to intermediately intensive

grassland management (Blüthgen et al., 2012). Urea addition had no significant effect on soil pH

measured in autumn 2017 (Table S12). In order to manipulate multitrophic interactions we removed

foliar fungal pathogens using fungicide. The plots were sprayed with two fungicides to try to achieve

broad suppression of the fungal community ("Score Profi", 24.8 % Difenoconazol 250 g.L^{-1} and

"Ortiva", 32.8% Chlorothalonil 400 g.L^{-1} 6.56% Azoxystrobin 80 g.L^{-1}), four times during the growing

season (beginning of April and June, late July and September). The same amount of water was applied

to the untreated plots at the same time. The fungicides were very effective in suppressing rusts and

mildews but less effective in reducing infection by leaf spots, and had very few non target effects

(Cappelli et al., 2020). The experiment was weeded three times a year to maintain the diversity levels.

Realised species richness values were very close to sown values (Pearson's correlation = 0.997). All

plots were mown twice a year, in mid-June and mid-August, which corresponds to intermediate, to

extensive, grassland management in the region.

Further details about the site characteristics and experimental setup of the PaNDiv Experiment can be

found under Pichon et al. (2020).

Measurements on the plant community

Plant traits

We measured specific leaf area (SLA = surface/dry weight, $m^2 \, kg^{-1}$) in August 2017 and in June and

August 2018, by taking one leaf from each of five individuals in all 80 monoculture plots. We sampled

treatment-specific monocultures to include effects of nitrogen addition and pathogen removal on trait

expression. We rehydrated the leaves and measured leaf surface, and dry weight according to the

protocol of Garnier et al. (2001). The SLA per species is the average of the trait values across the five

samples and across the three sampling dates and therefore averages across seasonal variation. We

used only SLA to calculate functional diversity and composition as our experimental design involved

manipulating the mean and variation in SLA within communities (species were defined as fast and

slow based on SLA). However, we also tested how well SLA represented the overall resource

economics spectrum by using a combined strategy index based on SLA, LDMC and nitrogen

concentration in plant aboveground biomass, see Table S1.

Functional composition (CWM SLA)

We visually estimated the cover of each plant species (target species and all weeds together) before

mowing. We calculated the community weighted mean (CWM) SLA per plot using the relative cover

estimates averaged across 2017 and 2018: CWM = $\sum p_i * x_i$; with p_i the relative abundance of the

species i and x_i the trait value of i in each monoculture of the same treatment (i.e. nitrogen and

fungicide added or not).

Functional diversity (MPD and MPDw)

As a measure of functional diversity, we calculated a community mean pairwise distance, as the mean

distance in SLA between the species sown in the plot (de Bello et al., 2016). This represents the initial

(sown) functional diversity of the plots when species were at equal abundance. The SLA values used

were the monoculture traits of the same treatment, and all monocultures had an MPD value of 0 by

definition.

To assess how changes in species relative abundances affected the realised MPD of the experimental

communities, we calculated an abundance weighted index, MPDw, using cover values from 2017 and

2018 as abundance measures. The two indices were highly correlated (see Figure S5), partly due to

coding the monocultures as 0. To avoid issues of multicollinearity, which could bias regression

coefficients, we therefore analysed the effect of MPD and MPDw in two separate models (Table S10).

Model output calculated with non-abundance weighted MPD, but excluding the species that were not

recorded at least once between 2017 and 2018 did not differ from the main model output (see Table

S4).

Ecosystem functions

Selection of functions

We measured ten functions related to resource and energy transfer both above and belowground:

above and belowground biomass production, foliar fungal pathogen infection, insect herbivore

damage, N and P uptake, soil respiration, C storage, and beta-glucosidase and phosphatase activity.

Half the functions were characteristic of aboveground processes (aboveground biomass, pathogens,

herbivores, N and P uptake), and half of belowground processes (belowground biomass, soil

respiration, C storage, beta-glucosidase, phosphatase). The functions were related to primary

production (above and belowground biomass), to secondary production (pathogens, herbivores), and

to microbial activity (soil respiration, beta-glucosidase, phosphatase). The functions included

measures of nutrient cycling (N and P uptake, phosphatase) and C cycling (soil respiration, C storage,

beta-glucosidase). In addition, we aimed to measure fluxes not stocks, as we were interested in the

transfer rate within the ecosystem (Barnes et al., 2018) and we consider high rates of transfer and low

loss rates to indicate high functioning. We therefore calculated N and P uptake instead of soil available

N and P stocks. Two functions could be considered as stocks, i.e. belowground biomass and C storage,

but they can also be seen as fluxes over several years, as they measure the difference in C stock and

in belowground biomass between the end and the start of the experiment. Pathogen and herbivore

damage are measures of energy transfer to higher trophic levels and high levels of these therefore

indicate high functioning because they mean that energy is transferred more rapidly between trophic

levels and that secondary production is higher (Meyer et al., 2015; Barnes et al., 2018; Manning et al.,

2018; Wang et al., 2019; Barnes et al., 2020; Buzhdygan et al., 2020). Soil respiration can be considered

either as an indicator of high functioning (increased soil activity) or as an indicator of low functioning

(C loss from the system). Due to the positive correlation between respiration and plant biomass (Figure

S8), we chose to consider soil respiration as indicating high functioning. However, the results were not

altered when we reversed respiration values to consider high respiration to be low functioning, see

Table S14. Our results are therefore robust to the uncertainty in how to consider soil respiration.

We also insured that all functions were not strongly correlated with each other and that no one

function strongly correlated with multifunctionality (Fig. S6). The functions described in this study

were all measured between June 2017 and autumn 2018. For each function measured more than

once, we used the mean value per plot over the whole period (see Table S9).

Aboveground biomass

We collected aboveground biomass twice per year, before the mowing. The samples were taken by

clipping plant material to 5cm above ground level, in two quadrats of 20 cm × 50 cm located in the

centre of each plot. We weighed the biomass after 2 days of drying at 65°C. The plot target biomass

production (i.e. of the sown species without weeds) was calculated by multiplying the percentage

cover of weeds by the total biomass and subtracting this estimated weed biomass from the total

weight. To determine if the estimation was accurate, we sorted the biomass per species in June 2017

for all plots of one block. The sorted target biomass (without the weeds) was highly correlated with

the estimated target biomass (R^2 = 0.994, Figure S7). The mean weed percentage was around five

percent and therefore removing the weeds made little difference to the total biomass (Pichon et al.,

2020).

Herbivory

Herbivory was assessed in May and August 2018. Five individuals of each target species were randomly

selected from each plot and five leaves per individual were assessed for damage. Leaves were selected

from the middle tier of each individual, and juvenile and senescent leaves were excluded. Damage

was characterised by the type of herbivory; chewing, sucking, leaf mining and rasping damage based

on the methods of Loranger et al. (2014). In this study, we calculated the community weighted mean

total damage using the sum of damage types per leaf, per species, weighted by the cover of the

particular species.

Pathogens

Fungal infection was measured per species per plot once in October 2017 and twice in 2018, in July

and October. Ten randomly chosen individuals in the central square meter of the plots were visually

screened for signs of infection and the percentage of infected individuals was recorded. If there were

less than 10 individuals in the central square meter, individuals in the rest of the plot were screened.

If there were fewer individuals, the percentage of infected individuals was calculated based on all

individuals present. The species level infection and the percentage cover data were used to calculate

a community weighted mean of fungal infection per plot and season.

Plant N and P uptake

We calculated plant N and P uptake using total biomass and soil available N and P content. The

biomass collected in June and August 2018 was homogenised per plot and we ground a minimum of

5g per plot with a cyclone mill to obtain a fine powder. We then used near infrared reflectance

spectroscopy (NIRS) analyses to estimate biomass N and P content using calibrations by Kleinebecker

et al. (2011). Soil samples were collected five times in 2018 using two homogenised cores per plot.

Soil NO_3^-, NH_4^+ and PO_4^{3-} were extracted with $CaCl_2$ and analysed using a Continuous Flow Optical-

Absorption Spectrometer (CF-OAP; model Skalar Scan+; Skalar Analytical, Breda, The Netherlands).

The plant N and P uptake are the mean plant N and P content divided by the mean available N (NO_3^-

plus NH_4^+) and available P (PO_4^{3-}), respectively.

Enzymatic activity

The activity of two enzymes related to carbon and phosphorus cycling, β-glucosidase and

phosphatase, was measured in autumn 2017 and in April, May, July and August 2018. These functions

give an estimate of soil microbial activity, and β-glucosidase has been shown to be a good soil health

indicator (Turner et al., 2002). We took two soil samples per plot of 1.5 cm diameter to 20 cm depth.

The fresh samples were homogenised and sieved through 2mm meshes. In each sample, we measured

the β-glucosidase and phosphatase enzymatic activities, following the protocol of Tabatabai (1983).

For the analyses presented here, we calculated the mean value of each enzymatic activity for all the

periods sampled.

Belowground biomass

Root biomass was measured once in autumn 2017. We took two cores per plot to 20 cm depth (440

cm^3 of soil). We homogenised the two samples and used a subset of 40 g fresh soil in which we sorted

out the roots. The samples were washed in 200 μm sieves and the roots sorted out with tweezers. We

dried the roots at 65°C for 48 hours. We estimated soil bulk density by weighing 40g of soil from the

same plot before and after drying for 24 hours at 105°C. Bulk density did not differ between the

treatments (Table S13). The final belowground biomass per plot is the weight of roots per g of dry soil.

Respiration

We measured overall soil respiration five times during 2018. We placed one PVC ring per plot at least

a week before taking the measurements. The vegetation was clipped before starting the measures

without disturbing the soil. We took two measures per plot and repeated the measures in case of large

difference between the respiration rates. We took the measurements using a soil CO_2 flux chamber

(6400-09) attached to a LI-6400XT (Li-Cor Inc.). Soil respiration varies during the day and between

days. To minimise this variation, we took the measurements starting at 10 am and finishing the latest

at 2 pm, as this time span is expected to provide rates representative of the mean daily respiration

(Mielnick & Dugas, 2000; Castillo-Monroy et al., 2011). We measured one block per day (54 plots),

avoided humid days and kept the measurement days as close to each other as possible (max 6 days

for one sampling session). The final soil respiration measure is the mean measure per plot.

Carbon storage

We measured soil carbon concentration on soil samples of 440 cm^3, taken to 25 cm depth in autumn

2017. We took two samples per plot, homogenised them and removed stones and living material

(roots, fauna). We weighed the samples before and after drying at 65°C for 48 hours. To calculate C

storage, we compared the 2017 samples to soil samples taken in autumn 2015 before the start of the

experiment. In 2015, we took 5 soil samples per plot from a subset of 89 plots distributed across the

field. As the field had just been ploughed and homogenised there was relatively little variation

between adjacent plots and we estimate soil C concentrations for all plots using kriging (package geoR,

estimating sill and range of the data variogram using the variofit function). Analyses of soil total C were

conducted in a CNS Analyser at the Institute of Geography of the University of Bern. The C storage per

plot is the difference between the percentage carbon in autumn 2017 and in autumn 2015.

Analyses

Multifunctionality

The functions we measured are all related to fast cycling and low resource losses, and thus we

considered all to be positive when calculating our "ecosystem function multifunctionality" metrics

(Manning et al., 2018). We used a multiple threshold approach because, unlike the averaging

approach, it does not assume substitutability of functions and can distinguish cases where functions

are at intermediate values from cases where some functions are high and other low (see Byrnes et al.,

2014; Manning et al., 2018). We transformed each function in order to fit more closely to a normal

distribution, which means functions contribute more equally to multifunctionality (see Table S9 and

Figure S6) and standardised the data around the mean to have all functions on a common scale (using

the formula x-mean/sd). We then calculated multifunctionality using thresholds from 0.5 to 0.8 with

an interval of 0.05 (Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al., 2019). We excluded very large (>0.8) or very small

(<0.5) thresholds as multifunctionality values tend to have small ranges at the extremes (i.e. most

plots either have 0 multifunctionality or maximum multifunctionality). The thresholds were defined

relative to the mean of the five highest values of each function, in order to reduce the influence of

outliers (Zavaleta et al., 2010). Multifunctionality was the proportion of functions reaching this

threshold per plot, calculated using the multidiv function (available at https://github.com/eric-

allan/multidiversity).

We then tested the effects of our experimental treatments on multifunctionality: N enrichment,

fungicide, species richness, SLA (as a continuous measure of functional composition), MPD, and their

interaction. We used sown species richness as our main measure, following recommendations and

common practice in analysing biodiversity experiment data (Schmid et al., 2002) (however, using

realised richness resulted in very similar results, see Table S4). However, we used realised CWM SLA

because functional composition should be important if mass ratio effects (Grime, 1998) dominate and

weighting trait values by abundance better reflects the theoretical expectation. We explored both

realised and abundance weighted effects of functional diversity (MPD in SLA), see above. We did not

test for SLA x MPD interactions, as the two factors are not fully orthogonal in the experimental design

(MPD is inevitably maximal at intermediate SLA, see Figure S5). We allowed all possible interactions

between the other experimental treatments.

The effects of these different factors can vary according to the threshold used to calculate

multifunctionality. Previous approaches have tested how diversity effects vary depending on the

threshold (Byrnes et al., 2014) but visualising how multiple factors vary with multifunctionality

thresholds is more challenging. We therefore used a new approach to test which treatment effects

were consistent across thresholds and which depended on the threshold level. To do this, we first

calculated multifunctionality per plot at seven different thresholds: 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75 and 80%

thresholds. We then analysed all the multifunctionality values using linear mixed effects models,

fitting all the treatment variables described above as fixed effects. We included *plot number*, *block*

and *species combination* (random assemblages within diversity and functional composition levels) as

random terms. We also included threshold as a fixed continuous term which could interact with the

treatment variables, and random slopes for the effect of threshold on multifunctionality in each plot,

which corrects for additional autocorrelation between multifunctionality values measured in the same

plot. Our data met the model assumptions of homogeneity of variance and normal distribution of

residuals. All explanatory variables were scaled and centred to a mean of 0 and standard deviation of

1, so that their effect sizes are comparable. Fitting all multifunctionality measures in the same model

is a similar approach to that used in Soliveres, van der Plas, et al. (2016) but extended to

multifunctionality calculated at different thresholds. Using this method, we could estimate which

effects were significant across all thresholds, and which ones changed continuously as the threshold

was increased. We then estimated the overall effect of each treatment and interaction across the

thresholds and calculated a confidence interval around the effect. Confidence intervals were

calculated using a script from Ben Bolker (available at https://bbolker.github.io/mixedmodels-

misc/glmmFAQ.html#predictions-andor-confidence-or-prediction-intervals-on-predictions).

Since any multifunctionality metric depends on the number and identity of functions, which makes

generalisation across studies challenging (Bradford et al., 2014; Meyer et al., 2018; Giling et al., 2019;

Jing et al., 2020), all functions were also analysed separately using linear mixed effect models with the

same fixed and random terms.

Monocultures all get an arbitrary MPD of 0 causing some correlation between MPD and species

richness (Figure S5). To ensure that the effect of diversity was not driven only by the difference

between monocultures and polycultures, we did an additional analysis in which we added a binary

variable for the monoculture/not monoculture contrast. Results using this approach were qualitatively

similar to those presented in the main text (Table S15). Replacing the effect of sown by realised species

richness gave similar results (Table S4).

All analyses were conducted in R using the package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015; R. Core Team, 2019). We

simplified full models by dropping terms that did not significantly improve the overall model fit using

likelihood-ratios. All models had conditional R-squared higher than 0.87.

Effects of individual species on functions

To better understand the interaction between species richness and SLA, we conducted an additional

analysis testing if individual species from the same functional group (slow or fast) were similar in the

set of functions they increased or decreased, and if there were trade-offs between the functions

supplied by different species of the same group. In short, we followed a six-step approach: i) extract

the functional effect of each species using linear models (Hector & Bagchi, 2007; Isbell et al., 2011), ii)

calculate the similarity in these functional effects in all possible 4-species assemblages, iii) repeat step

ii for both positive and negative functional effects, iv) analyse if that similarity in functional effects

changes depending on the mean (and variation in) SLA of the 4-species assemblages. If low-SLA

assemblages show higher similarity, this means that slow-growing species are more functionally

redundant than fast-growing species. Finally, two more steps were performed to analyse the

likelihood that species with similar functional (slow-growing) trait values showed stronger functional

trade-offs: steps v) take all pairwise species combinations and quantify the number of times that one

of the species in the pair have a functional effect of opposite sign than the other species in the pair

(e.g., one has a positive effect on biomass production and the other a negative effect on the same

function), and vi) relate the number of functional trade-offs (as quantified in step v) to the mean and

difference in SLA between the two species in the pair. If the number of trade-offs was higher in pairs

of species with low SLA, then we can conclude than slow-growing species are more likely to have

opposite functional effects than may cancel each other out.

First step: we tested the effect of each species on each function, following approaches in Hector &

Bagchi (2007) and Isbell et al. (2011). To do so, we first extracted the effect of species on each function.

We fitted two separate models, one with the presence and one with the abundance (relative cover)

of each of the 20 species, using the following model structure:

*Function ~ Nitrogen * Fungicide + Species1 + … + Species20 + (1|Block)*

Non-significant effects were set to 0 (Table S7 and Table S8).

We then calculated (step ii) how similar different sets of species were in the functions they affected

in order to test how many functions different communities of fast vs. slow species would be expected

to provide. We calculated the similarity in functional effects for all possible combinations of four

species. We chose four species as this allows us to test the effect of different species combinations,

varying in mean and variance of their functional traits, and because it corresponds to one of our

diversity treatments. However, we also tested combinations of 3 and 6 species, which did not change

the results (Table S6). We calculated the similarity in both positive and negative functional effects for

all combinations of four species (step iii), and for effects of species presence and abundance. We used

the CqN similarity index (Jost et al., 2011), which is a common measure of beta diversity and allows a

calculation of dissimilarity among any number of communities. We weighted the effects to give more

importance to larger functional effects by using a q value of 2. This corresponds to the multicommunity

extension of the Morisita-Horn index.

We then calculated the mean and variance in SLA between all sets of four species and regressed them

against the dissimilarity in functional effects between the four species (CqN; step iv). We were

principally interested in the effect of mean SLA, to determine if sets of species with higher mean SLA

are more different in the functions they provide. However, we included the variance in SLA between

the species to account for the fact that a set of four species with intermediate mean SLA could either

be composed of low and high SLA (slow and fast) species or a set of species all with intermediate SLA.

To test if the effects were significant, we randomly shuffled the SLA values between the species and

recalculated the relationships between mean and variance in SLA and functional dissimilarity 1000

times. We then tested whether the observed slopes between mean SLA and variance in SLA and

functional dissimilarity (CqN) lay outside the 2.5 and 97.5% quantiles of the random slopes. These

analyses were repeated for the positive and negative effects and effects of species presence and

abundance, see Table S6.

Then, we tested for trade-offs between the functions supplied by different species and whether this

was related to their SLA (steps v and vi). For each pair of species, we summed the number of significant

functional effects that were opposing (e.g. one species has a positive effect on a given function while

the other species has a negative effect). We related the number of trade-offs to the mean and

difference in SLA for the species pair, to test if pairs of low SLA (slow) species tend to have more

opposing effects. We again randomly shuffled the SLA values between the species, recalculated the

relationships 1000 times and tested whether the observed slopes lay outside the 2.5 and 97.5%

quantiles of the random slopes, see Table S6.

Number and identity of functions

In addition to the threshold chosen, multifunctionality values depend on the number and identity of

functions included, which makes generalisation across studies challenging (Bradford et al., 2014;

Meyer et al., 2018; Giling et al., 2019; Jing et al., 2020). To test the sensitivity of our results to the

number and identity of functions, we calculated multifunctionality for all possible combinations of

functions between two and ten functions (1013 combinations), and for four thresholds from 0.5 to 0.8

(4052 multifunctionality measures). We extracted the effects of our treatments and their interactions

from a linear mixed effect model fitted to each multifunctionality measure. In order to correct for the

uncertainty in each estimate, we weighted each estimate by the inverse of its standard error. We then

calculated an overall mean and confidence interval for multifunctionality per threshold depending on

the number of functions included. The number of functions included did not significantly change the

mean effect of our variables on multifunctionality, except for the interaction between SLA and species

richness at the 50% threshold, which strengthened as more functions were considered. The variation

around the mean got larger with a decreasing number of functions, going from positive to negative

depending on the functions included (Figure S2 and Figure S3). This variation was driven more by

variation in the identity rather than in the number of functions included (Figure S2).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Pete Manning and Jasper van Ruijven for very helpful comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. We would also like to thank Mervi Laitinen and Marlise Zimmermann, the technicians working with us on the PaNDiv Experiment, as well as the large team of helpers on the field and in the lab. This study was supported by funding of the Swiss National Science Foundation (31003A_160212). SS was supported by the Spanish Government under a Ramón y Cajal contract (RYC-2016- 20604).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors contribution

N.A.P., S.L.C. and E.A. designed and set up the PaNDiv Experiment; N.A.P., S.L.C., T.M., TZ.N., H.V. collected the data; N.A.P., T.M., N.H., V.H.K. and T.K. processed and analysed the NIRS samples; N.A.P. analysed the data and wrote the first manuscript with substantial input from E.A., S.S. and S.L.C. All authors contributed to revisions of the manuscript.

References

Allan, E., Manning, P., Alt, F., Binkenstein, J., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Böhm, S., Grassein, F., Hölzel, N., Klaus, V. H., Kleinebecker, T., Morris, E. K., Oelmann, Y., Prati, D., Renner, S. C., Rillig, M. C., Schaefer, M., Schloter, M., Schmitt, B., … Fischer, M. (2015). Land use intensification alters ecosystem multifunctionality via loss of biodiversity and changes to functional composition. *Ecology Letters*, *18*(8), 834-843. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12469

Allan, E., van Ruijven, J., & Crawley, M. J. (2010). Foliar fungal pathogens and grassland biodiversity. *Ecology*, *91*(9), 2572-2582. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0859.1

Alsterberg, C., Sundbäck, K., & Gamfeldt, L. (2014). Multiple stressors and multifunctionality : Limited effects on an illuminated benthic system. *Biology Letters*, *10*(12), 20140640. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0640

Ayesha, M. S., Suryanarayanan, T. S., Nataraja, K. N., Prasad, S. R., & Shaanker, R. U. (2021). Seed Treatment With Systemic Fungicides : Time for Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *12*, 1581. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.654512

Balvanera, P., Pfisterer, A. B., Buchmann, N., He, J.-S., Nakashizuka, T., Raffaelli, D., & Schmid, B. (2006). Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and services : Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning/services. *Ecology Letters*, *9*(10), 1146-1156. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00963.x

Barnes, A. D., Jochum, M., Lefcheck, J. S., Eisenhauer, N., Scherber, C., O'Connor, M. I., de Ruiter, P., & Brose, U. (2018). Energy Flux : The Link between Multitrophic Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *33*(3), 186-197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.12.007

Barnes, A. D., Scherber, C., Brose, U., Borer, E. T., Ebeling, A., Gauzens, B., Giling, D. P., Hines, J., Isbell, F., Ristok, C., Tilman, D., Weisser, W. W., & Eisenhauer, N. (2020). Biodiversity enhances the

multitrophic control of arthropod herbivory. *Science Advances*, *6*(45), eabb6603. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb6603

Barry, K. E., Mommer, L., van Ruijven, J., Wirth, C., Wright, A. J., Bai, Y., Connolly, J., De Deyn, G. B., de Kroon, H., Isbell, F., Milcu, A., Roscher, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., & Weigelt, A. (2019). The Future of Complementarity : Disentangling Causes from Consequences. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *34*(2), 167-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.10.013

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*(1). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Battye, W., Aneja, V. P., & Schlesinger, W. H. (2017). Is nitrogen the next carbon? *Earth's Future*, *5*(9), 894-904. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017EF000592

Bergmann, J., Weigelt, A., van der Plas, F., Laughlin, D. C., Kuyper, T. W., Guerrero-Ramirez, N., Valverde-Barrantes, O. J., Bruelheide, H., Freschet, G. T., Iversen, C. M., Kattge, J., McCormack, M. L., Meier, I. C., Rillig, M. C., Roumet, C., Semchenko, M., Sweeney, C. J., Ruijven, J. van, York, L. M., & Mommer, L. (2020). The fungal collaboration gradient dominates the root economics space in plants. *Science Advances*, *6*(27), eaba3756. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba3756

Blüthgen, N., Dormann, C. F., Prati, D., Klaus, V. H., Kleinebecker, T., Hölzel, N., Alt, F., Boch, S., Gockel, S., Hemp, A., Müller, J., Nieschulze, J., Renner, S. C., Schöning, I., Schumacher, U., Socher, S. A., Wells, K., Birkhofer, K., Buscot, F., … Weisser, W. W. (2012). A quantitative index of land-use intensity in grasslands : Integrating mowing, grazing and fertilization. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, *13*(3), 207-220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2012.04.001

Bradford, M. A., Wood, S. A., Bardgett, R. D., Black, H. I. J., Bonkowski, M., Eggers, T., Grayston, S. J., Kandeler, E., Manning, P., Setälä, H., & Jones, T. H. (2014). Discontinuity in the responses of ecosystem processes and multifunctionality to altered soil community composition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(40), 14478-14483. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413707111

Busch, V., Klaus, V. H., Schäfer, D., Prati, D., Boch, S., Müller, J., Chisté, M., Mody, K., Blüthgen, N.,

Fischer, M., Hölzel, N., & Kleinebecker, T. (2019). Will I stay or will I go? Plant species-specific

response and tolerance to high land-use intensity in temperate grassland ecosystems. *Journal*

of Vegetation Science, *30*(4), 674-686. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12749

Buzhdygan, O. Y., Meyer, S. T., Weisser, W. W., Eisenhauer, N., Ebeling, A., Borrett, S. R., Buchmann,

N., Cortois, R., De Deyn, G. B., de Kroon, H., Gleixner, G., Hertzog, L. R., Hines, J., Lange, M.,

Mommer, L., Ravenek, J., Scherber, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Scheu, S., … Petermann, J. S.

(2020). Biodiversity increases multitrophic energy use efficiency, flow and storage in

grasslands. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *4*(3), 393-405. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-

1123-8

Byrnes, J. E. K., Gamfeldt, L., Isbell, F., Lefcheck, J. S., Griffin, J. N., Hector, A., Cardinale, B. J., Hooper,

D. U., Dee, L. E., & Emmett Duffy, J. (2014). Investigating the relationship between biodiversity

and ecosystem multifunctionality : Challenges and solutions. *Methods in Ecology and*

Evolution, *5*(2), 111-124. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12143

Cadotte, M. W., Carscadden, K., & Mirotchnick, N. (2011). Beyond species : Functional diversity and

the maintenance of ecological processes and services. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *48*(5),

1079-1087. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02048.x

Cappelli, S. L., Pichon, N. A., Kempel, A., & Allan, E. (2020). Sick plants in grassland communities : A

growth-defense trade-off is the main driver of fungal pathogen abundance. *Ecology Letters*,

23(9), 1349-1359. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13537

Cappelli, S. L., Pichon, N. A., Mannall, T., & Allan, E. (2022). Partitioning the effects of plant diversity

on ecosystem functions at different trophic levels. *Ecological Monographs*, e1521.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1521

Cardinale, B. J., Hillebrand, H., Harpole, W. S., Gross, K., & Ptacnik, R. (2009). Separating the influence

of resource 'availability' from resource 'imbalance' on productivity-diversity relationships.

Ecology Letters, *12*(6), 475-487. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01317.x

Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Maestre, F. T., Rey, A., Soliveres, S., & García-Palacios, P. (2011). Biological Soil

Crust Microsites Are the Main Contributor to Soil Respiration in a Semiarid Ecosystem.

Ecosystems, *14*(5), 835-847. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-011-9449-3

Craven, D., Eisenhauer, N., Pearse, W. D., Hautier, Y., Isbell, F., Roscher, C., Bahn, M., Beierkuhnlein,

C., Bönisch, G., Buchmann, N., Byun, C., Catford, J. A., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C.,

Craine, J. M., De Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J. N., Hector, A., … Manning, P. (2018). Multiple

facets of biodiversity drive the diversity–stability relationship. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*,

2(10), 1579-1587. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0647-7

Craven, D., Isbell, F., Manning, P., Connolly, J., Bruelheide, H., Ebeling, A., Roscher, C., van Ruijven, J.,

Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., de Luca, E., Griffin, J. N., Hautier, Y., Hector, A.,

Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Loreau, M., … Eisenhauer, N. (2016). Plant diversity effects

on grassland productivity are robust to both nutrient enrichment and drought. *Philosophical*

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *371*(1694), 20150277.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0277

de Bello, F., Carmona, C. P., Lepš, J., Szava-Kovats, R., & Pärtel, M. (2016). Functional diversity through

the mean trait dissimilarity : Resolving shortcomings with existing paradigms and algorithms.

Oecologia, *180*(4), 933-940. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3546-0

Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A. M., Brewer, T. E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D. J., Bardgett,

R. D., Maestre, F. T., Singh, B. K., & Fierer, N. (2018). A global atlas of the dominant bacteria

found in soil. *Science*, *359*(6373), 320-325. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9516

Díaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Wright, I. J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., Reu, B., Kleyer, M., Wirth, C.,

Colin Prentice, I., Garnier, E., Bönisch, G., Westoby, M., Poorter, H., Reich, P. B., Moles, A. T.,

Dickie, J., Gillison, A. N., Zanne, A. E., … Gorné, L. D. (2016). The global spectrum of plant form

and function. *Nature*, *529*(7585), 167-171. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489

Eisenhauer, N., Hines, J., Isbell, F., van der Plas, F., Hobbie, S. E., Kazanski, C. E., Lehmann, A., Liu, M.,

Lochner, A., Rillig, M. C., Vogel, A., Worm, K., & Reich, P. B. (2018). Plant diversity maintains

multiple soil functions in future environments. *eLife*, *7*, e41228.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.41228

Endara, M.-J., & Coley, P. D. (2011). The resource availability hypothesis revisited : A meta-analysis:

Revisiting the resource availability hypothesis. *Functional Ecology*, *25*(2), 389-398.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01803.x

Fornara, D. A., & Tilman, D. (2009). Ecological mechanisms associated with the positive diversity–

productivity relationship in an N-limited grassland. *Ecology*, *90*(2), 408-418.

https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0325.1

Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A.,

Seitzinger, S. P., & Sutton, M. A. (2008). Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle : Recent Trends,

Questions,   and   Potential   Solutions.   *Science*,   *320*(5878),   889-892.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674

Gamfeldt, L., Hillebrand, H., & Jonsson, P. R. (2008). Multiple functions increase the importance of

biodiversity   for   overall   ecosystem   functioning.   *Ecology*,   *89*(5),   1223-1231.

https://doi.org/10.1890/06-2091.1

Garnier, E., Shipley, B., Roumet, C., & Laurent, G. (2001). A standardized protocol for the

determination of specific leaf area and leaf dry matter content : *Protocol for the determination*

of leaf traits. *Functional Ecology*, *15*(5), 688-695. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0269-

8463.2001.00563.x

Giling, D. P., Beaumelle, L., Phillips, H. R. P., Cesarz, S., Eisenhauer, N., Ferlian, O., Gottschall, F., Guerra,

C., Hines, J., Sendek, A., Siebert, J., Thakur, M. P., & Barnes, A. D. (2019). A niche for ecosystem

multifunctionality in global change research. *Global Change Biology*, *25*(3), 763-774.

https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14528

Grime, J. P. (1998). Benefits of Plant Diversity to Ecosystems : Immediate, Filter and Founder Effects.

Journal of Ecology, *86*(6), 902-910.

Gross, N., Bagousse-Pinguet, Y. L., Liancourt, P., Berdugo, M., Gotelli, N. J., & Maestre, F. T. (2017).

Functional trait diversity maximizes ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*,

1(5), 0132. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0132

Handa, I. T., Aerts, R., Berendse, F., Berg, M. P., Bruder, A., Butenschoen, O., Chauvet, E., Gessner, M.

O., Jabiol, J., Makkonen, M., McKie, B. G., Malmqvist, B., Peeters, E. T. H. M., Scheu, S., Schmid,

B., van Ruijven, J., Vos, V. C. A., & Hättenschwiler, S. (2014). Consequences of biodiversity loss

for litter decomposition across biomes. *Nature*, *509*(7499), 218-221.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13247

Harpole, W. S., & Tilman, D. (2005). Non-neutral patterns of species abundance in grassland

communities. *Ecology Letters*, *9*(1), 15-23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00836.x

Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P. A., & Hector, A. (2009). Competition for Light Causes Plant Biodiversity Loss

After Eutrophication. *Science*, *324*(5927), 636-638. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169640

Hector, A., & Bagchi, R. (2007). Biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature*, *448*(7150),

188-190. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05947

Hector, A., Bell, T., Hautier, Y., Isbell, F., Kéry, M., Reich, P. B., van Ruijven, J., & Schmid, B. (2011).

BUGS in the Analysis of Biodiversity Experiments : Species Richness and Composition Are of

Similar Importance for Grassland Productivity. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(3), e17434.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017434

Hooper, D. U., Adair, E. C., Cardinale, B. J., Byrnes, J. E. K., Hungate, B. A., Matulich, K. L., Gonzalez, A.,

Duffy, J. E., Gamfeldt, L., & O'Connor, M. I. (2012). A global synthesis reveals biodiversity loss

as a major driver of ecosystem change. *Nature*, *486*(7401), 105-108.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11118

Isbell, F., Calcagno, V., Hector, A., Connolly, J., Harpole, W. S., Reich, P. B., Scherer-Lorenzen, M.,

Schmid, B., Tilman, D., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B. J., Zavaleta, E. S., & Loreau, M.

(2011). High plant diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. *Nature*, *477*(7363),

199-202. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10282

Jing, X., Prager, C. M., Classen, A. T., Maestre, F. T., He, J.-S., & Sanders, N. J. (2020). Variation in the

methods leads to variation in the interpretation of biodiversity–ecosystem multifunctionality

relationships. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, *13*(4), 431-441. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtaa031

Jing, X., Sanders, N. J., Shi, Y., Chu, H., Classen, A. T., Zhao, K., Chen, L., Shi, Y., Jiang, Y., & He, J.-S.

(2015). The links between ecosystem multifunctionality and above- and belowground

biodiversity are mediated by climate. *Nature Communications*, *6*(1), 8159.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9159

Jost, L., Chao, A., & Chazdon, R. (2011). Compositional similarity and beta diversity. In *Biological

Diversity : Frontiers in Measurement and Assessment* (p. 66-84). Oxford University Press.

Jucker, T., Bouriaud, O., & Coomes, D. A. (2015). Crown plasticity enables trees to optimize canopy

packing in mixed-species forests. *Functional Ecology*, *29*(8), 1078-1086.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12428

Karlsson, I., Friberg, H., Steinberg, C., & Persson, P. (2014). Fungicide Effects on Fungal Community

Composition in the Wheat Phyllosphere. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(11), e111786.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111786

Kleinebecker, T., Klaus, V. H., & Hölzel, N. (2011). Reducing Sample Quantity and Maintaining High

Prediction Quality of Grassland Biomass Properties with near Infrared Reflectance

Spectroscopy. *Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy*, *19*(6), 495-505.

https://doi.org/10.1255/jnirs.957

Laforest-Lapointe, I., Paquette, A., Messier, C., & Kembel, S. W. (2017). Leaf bacterial diversity

mediates plant diversity and ecosystem function relationships. *Nature*, *546*(7656), 145-147.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22399

Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., Gross, N., Saiz, H., Maestre, F. T., Ruiz, S., Dacal, M., Asensio, S., Ochoa, V.,

Gozalo, B., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Deschamps, L., García, C., Maire, V., Milla, R., Salinas, N.,

Wang, J., Singh, B. K., & García-Palacios, P. (2021). Functional rarity and evenness are key

915 facets of biodiversity to boost multifunctionality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

916 *Sciences*, *118*(7), e2019355118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2019355118

917 Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y., Soliveres, S., Gross, N., Torices, R., Berdugo, M., & Maestre, F. T. (2019).

918 Phylogenetic, functional, and taxonomic richness have both positive and negative effects on

919 ecosystem multifunctionality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(17),

920 8419-8424. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815727116

921 Lefcheck, J. S., Byrnes, J. E. K., Isbell, F., Gamfeldt, L., Griffin, J. N., Eisenhauer, N., Hensel, M. J. S.,

922 Hector, A., Cardinale, B. J., & Duffy, J. E. (2015). Biodiversity enhances ecosystem

923 multifunctionality across trophic levels and habitats. *Nature Communications*, *6*(1), 6936.

924 https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7936

925 Liu, Y.-R., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Trivedi, P., He, J.-Z., Wang, J.-T., & Singh, B. K. (2017). Identity of

926 biocrust species and microbial communities drive the response of soil multifunctionality to

927 simulated global change. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *107*, 208-217.

928 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.003

929 Loranger, H., Weisser, W. W., Ebeling, A., Eggers, T., De Luca, E., Loranger, J., Roscher, C., & Meyer, S.

930 T. (2014). Invertebrate herbivory increases along an experimental gradient of grassland plant

931 diversity. *Oecologia*, *174*(1), 183-193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-013-2741-5

932 Lyons, K. G., Brigham, C. A., Traut, B. H., & Schwartz, M. W. (2005). Rare Species and Ecosystem

933 Functioning. *Conservation Biology*, *19*(4), 1019-1024. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-

934 1739.2005.00106.x

935 Maestre, F. T., Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Bowker, M. A., & Ochoa-Hueso, R. (2012). Species richness

936 effects on ecosystem multifunctionality depend on evenness, composition and spatial

937 pattern : Community attributes and multifunctionality. *Journal of Ecology*, *100*(2), 317-330.

938 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01918.x

Manning, P., van der Plas, F., Soliveres, S., Allan, E., Maestre, F. T., Mace, G., Whittingham, M. J., &

Fischer, M. (2018). Redefining ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *2*(3),

427-436. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0461-7

Mariotte, P. (2014). Do subordinate species punch above their weight? Evidence from above- and

below-ground. *New Phytologist*, *203*(1), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12789

Maron, J. L., Marler, M., Klironomos, J. N., & Cleveland, C. C. (2011). Soil fungal pathogens and the

relationship between plant diversity and productivity : Soil pathogens, productivity and

invasibility. *Ecology Letters*, *14*(1), 36-41. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01547.x

McKane, R. B., Johnson, L. C., Shaver, G. R., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Rastetter, E. B., Fry, B., Giblin, A. E.,

Kielland, K., Kwiatkowski, B. L., Laundre, J. A., & Murray, G. (2002). Resource-based niches

provide a basis for plant species diversity and dominance in arctic tundra. *Nature*, *415*(6867),

68-71. https://doi.org/10.1038/415068a

McLaren, J. R., & Turkington, R. (2010). Ecosystem properties determined by plant functional group

identity. *Journal of Ecology*, *98*(2), 459-469. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

2745.2009.01630.x

Meyer, S. T., Koch, C., & Weisser, W. W. (2015). Towards a standardized Rapid Ecosystem Function

Assessment (REFA). *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *30*(7), 390-397.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.04.006

Meyer, S. T., Ptacnik, R., Hillebrand, H., Bessler, H., Buchmann, N., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Engels,

C., Fischer, M., Halle, S., Klein, A.-M., Oelmann, Y., Roscher, C., Rottstock, T., Scherber, C.,

Scheu, S., Schmid, B., Schulze, E.-D., Temperton, V. M., … Weisser, W. W. (2018). Biodiversity–

multifunctionality relationships depend on identity and number of measured functions.

Nature Ecology & Evolution, *2*(1), 44-49. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0391-4

Mielnick, P. C., & Dugas, W. A. (2000). Soil CO2 flux in a tallgrass prairie. *Soil Biology*, *32*(2), 221-228.

Mokany, K., Ash, J., & Roxburgh, S. (2008). Functional identity is more important than diversity in

influencing ecosystem processes in a temperate native grassland : Determinants of ecosystem

processes. *Journal of Ecology*, *96*(5), 884-893. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

2745.2008.01395.x

Mordecai, E. A. (2011). Pathogen impacts on plant communities : Unifying theory, concepts, and

empirical work. *Ecological Monographs*, *81*(3), 429-441. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-2241.1

Mouillot, D., Bellwood, D. R., Baraloto, C., Chave, J., Galzin, R., Harmelin-Vivien, M., Kulbicki, M.,

Lavergne, S., Lavorel, S., Mouquet, N., Paine, C. E. T., Renaud, J., & Thuiller, W. (2013). Rare

Species Support Vulnerable Functions in High-Diversity Ecosystems. *PLoS Biology*, *11*(5),

e1001569. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001569

Oram, N. J., Ravenek, J. M., Barry, K. E., Weigelt, A., Chen, H., Gessler, A., Gockele, A., de Kroon, H.,

van der Paauw, J. W., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Smit-Tiekstra, A., van Ruijven, J., & Mommer, L.

(2018). Below-ground complementarity effects in a grassland biodiversity experiment are

related to deep-rooting species. *Journal of Ecology*, *106*(1), 265-277.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12877

Pichon, N. A., Cappelli, S. L., Soliveres, S., Hölzel, N., Klaus, V. H., Kleinebecker, T., & Allan, E. (2020).

Decomposition disentangled : A test of the multiple mechanisms by which nitrogen

enrichment alters litter decomposition. *Functional Ecology*, *34*(7), 1485-1496.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13560

R. Core Team. (2019). *R : A language and environment for statistical computing [Computer software].*

R Vienna, Austria: Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Ratcliffe, S., Wirth, C., Jucker, T., van der Plas, F., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Verheyen, K., Allan, E.,

Benavides, R., Bruelheide, H., Ohse, B., Paquette, A., Ampoorter, E., Bastias, C. C., Bauhus, J.,

Bonal, D., Bouriaud, O., Bussotti, F., Carnol, M., Castagneyrol, B., … Baeten, L. (2017).

Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning relations in European forests depend on

environmental context. *Ecology Letters*, *20*(11), 1414-1426.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12849

Reich, P. B. (2014). The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum : A traits manifesto. *Journal*

of Ecology, *102*(2), 275-301. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12211

Ruiz-Benito, P., Gómez-Aparicio, L., Paquette, A., Messier, C., Kattge, J., & Zavala, M. A. (2014).

Diversity increases carbon storage and tree productivity in Spanish forests : Diversity effects

on forest carbon storage and productivity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *23*(3), 311-322.

https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12126

Schmid, B., Hector, A., Huston, M., Inchausti, P., Nijs, I., & Leadley, P. W. (2002). The design and

analysis of biodiversity experiments. In *Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning : Synthesis and*

Perspectives (p. 61-78). Oxford University Press.

Schnitzer, S. A., Klironomos, J. N., HilleRisLambers, J., Kinkel, L. L., Reich, P. B., Xiao, K., Rillig, M. C.,

Sikes, B. A., Callaway, R. M., Mangan, S. A., van Nes, E. H., & Scheffer, M. (2011). Soil microbes

drive the classic plant diversity–productivity pattern. *Ecology*, *92*(2), 296-303.

https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0773.1

Seabloom, E. W., Kinkel, L., Borer, E. T., Hautier, Y., Montgomery, R. A., & Tilman, D. (2017). Food webs

obscure the strength of plant diversity effects on primary productivity. *Ecology Letters*, *20*(4),

505-512. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12754

Soliveres, S., Manning, P., Prati, D., Gossner, M. M., Alt, F., Arndt, H., Baumgartner, V., Binkenstein, J.,

Birkhofer, K., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Boch, S., Böhm, S., Börschig, C., Buscot, F., Diekötter, T.,

Heinze, J., Hölzel, N., Jung, K., … Allan, E. (2016). Locally rare species influence grassland

ecosystem multifunctionality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*

Sciences, *371*(1694), 20150269. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0269

Soliveres, S., van der Plas, F., Manning, P., Prati, D., Gossner, M. M., Renner, S. C., Alt, F., Arndt, H.,

Baumgartner, V., Binkenstein, J., Birkhofer, K., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Boch, S., Böhm, S.,

Börschig, C., Buscot, F., Diekötter, T., Heinze, J., … Allan, E. (2016). Biodiversity at multiple

trophic levels is needed for ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature*, *536*(7617), 456-459.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19092

Tabatabai, M. A. (1983). Soil Enzymes. In *Methods of Soil Analysis* (p. 903-947). John Wiley & Sons,

Ltd.

Tilman, D. (1982). *Resource Competition and Community Structure*. Princeton University Press.

https://press.princeton.edu/books/paperback/9780691083025/resource-competition-and-

community-structure-mpb-17-volume-17

Tilman, D. (1997). Distinguishing between the Effects of Species Diversity and Species Composition.

Oikos, *80*(1), 185-185. https://doi.org/10.2307/3546532

Tilman, D., & Wedin, D. (1991). Plant Traits and Resource Reduction For Five Grasses Growing on a

Nitrogen Gradient. *Ecology*, *72*(2), 685-700.

Turner, B. L., Hopkins, D. W., Haygarth, P. M., & Ostle, N. (2002). β-glucosidase activity in pasture soils.

Applied Soil Ecology, *20*(2), 157-162. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(02)00020-3

van der Plas, F. (2019). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in naturally assembled communities.

Biological Reviews, *94*(4), 1220-1245. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12499

Vitousek, P. M., & Howarth, R. W. (1991). Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea : How can it occur?

Biogeochemistry, *13*(2), 87-115. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002772

Vogel, A., Manning, P., Cadotte, M. W., Cowles, J., Isbell, F., Jousset, A. L. C., Kimmel, K., Meyer, S. T.,

Reich, P. B., Roscher, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Tilman, D., Weigelt, A., Wright, A. J.,

Eisenhauer, N., & Wagg, C. (2019). Chapter Three - Lost in trait space : Species-poor

communities are inflexible in properties that drive ecosystem functioning. In N. Eisenhauer,

D. A. Bohan, & A. J. Dumbrell (Éds.), *Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 61, p. 91-131).

Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2019.06.002

Wacker, L., Baudois, O., Eichenberger-Glinz, S., & Schmid, B. (2009). Diversity effects in early- and mid-

successional species pools along a nitrogen gradient. *Ecology*, *90*(3), 637-648.

https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1946.1

Wagg, C., Ebeling, A., Roscher, C., Ravenek, J., Bachmann, D., Eisenhauer, N., Mommer, L., Buchmann,

N., Hillebrand, H., Schmid, B., & Weisser, W. W. (2017). Functional trait dissimilarity drives

both species complementarity and competitive disparity. *Functional Ecology*, *31*(12), 2320-2329. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12945

Wang, L., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Wang, D., Isbell, F., Liu, J., Feng, C., Liu, J., Zhong, Z., Zhu, H., Yuan, X., Chang, Q., & Liu, C. (2019). Diversifying livestock promotes multidiversity and multifunctionality in managed grasslands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(13), 6187-6192. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1807354116

Weigelt, A., Weisser, W. W., Buchmann, N., & Scherer-Lorenzen, M. (2009). *Biodiversity for multifunctional grasslands : Equal productivity in high-diversity low-input and low-diversity high-input systems*. *6*(8), 1695-1706.

Wright, I. J., Reich, P. B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D. D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Cavender-Bares, J., Chapin, T., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Diemer, M., Flexas, J., Garnier, E., Groom, P. K., Gulias, J., Hikosaka, K., Lamont, B. B., Lee, T., Lee, W., Lusk, C., … Villar, R. (2004). The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*, *428*(6985), 821-827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403

Zavaleta, E. S., Pasari, J. R., Hulvey, K. B., & Tilman, G. D. (2010). Sustaining multiple ecosystem functions in grassland communities requires higher biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(4), 1443-1446. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906829107