MTCL2 is a new Golgi-resident microtubule-regulating protein, essential for organizing asymmetric microtubule network

Risa Matsuoka†, Masateru Miki†, Sonoko Mizuno, Yurina Ito, Atsushi Suzuki*

Molecular Cellular Biology Laboratory, Yokohama City University Graduate School of

Medical Life Science, 1-7-29 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230-0045, Japan

† These authors contributed this work equally.

* Corresponding author:　Atsushi Suzuki

　　1-7-29, Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama 230-0045, Japan
　　TEL: 045-508-7238; E-mail: abell@tsurumi.yokohama-cu.ac.jp

Running title: MTCL2 is a novel microtubule-regulating protein

Keywords: MTCL1, SOGA, Golgi ribbon, microtubule, polarity

1   **Abstract**

The Golgi apparatus plays important roles in organizing the asymmetric microtubule

network essential for polarized vesicle transport. The Golgi-associated coiled-coil protein

MTCL1 is crucially involved in Golgi functioning by interconnecting and stabilizing

microtubules on the Golgi membrane through its N- and C-terminal microtubule-binding

domains. Here, we report the presence of a mammalian paralog of MTCL1, named

MTCL2, lacking the N-terminal microtubule-binding domain. MTCL2 localizes to the

Golgi membrane through the N-terminal region and directly binds microtubules through

the conserved C-terminal domain without promoting microtubule stabilization.

Knockdown experiments demonstrated essential roles of MTCL2 in accumulating MTs

around the Golgi and regulating the Golgi ribbon structure. *In vitro* wound healing assays

further suggested a possible intriguing activity of MTCL2 in integrating the centrosomal

and Golgi-associated microtubules around the Golgi ribbon, thus supporting directional

migration. Altogether, the present results demonstrate that cells utilize two members of

the MTCL protein family to differentially regulate the Golgi-associated microtubules for

controlling cell polarity.

Introduction

The microtubule (MT) cytoskeleton plays essential roles in organizing intracellular

structures by mediating the transport and positioning of organelles. Generally, in animal

cells MTs radiate from the centrosome, where MT nucleation and attachment of MT

minus ends occur predominantly (Conduit et al., 2015; Vorobjev and Nadezhdina, 1987).

However, the presence of other subsets of MTs that lack centrosome anchoring (non-

centrosomal MTs) has been documented over the last decade and their physiological

significance has received considerable attention from cell biologists (Akhmanova and

Hoogenraad, 2015; Bartolini and Gundersen, 2006; Nishita et al., 2017). In particular,

non-centrosomal MTs appear in differentiated cells, such as epithelial, neuronal, or

skeletal muscle cells, where the centrosome loses its MT nucleation activity (Muroyama

and Lechler, 2017). Contrasting to centrosomal MTs, which exhibit dynamic instability

at their plus ends and radiate rather symmetrically, non-centrosomal MTs are stabilized

by mechanisms that are not completely clarified (Baas et al., 2016; Pepperkok et al., 1990),

and are frequently bundled and organized into cell-type specific arrays that support cell

polarization (Bacallao et al., 1989; Hahn et al., 2019; Mogensen et al., 1989; Oddoux et

al., 2013). Recent studies have revealed that less differentiated cultured cells containing

centrosomal MTs can also develop non-centrosomal MTs (Meiring et al., 2020). The most

intensely studied non-centrosomal MTs of this kind are the Golgi-associated MTs, which

nucleate from or attach their minus ends to the Golgi membrane (Efimov et al., 2007;

Rivero et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2016). These MTs are stabilized and asymmetrically

accumulated around the Golgi as dense networks (Chabin-Brion et al., 2001), and connect

the individual Golgi stacks laterally (Miller et al., 2009). As a result, these Golgi-

associated MTs facilitate the formation of vertebrae-specific, crescent-like assembly of

Golgi stacks, called the Golgi ribbon, which is required for the polarization of vesicle

transport and thus directional migration (Wei and Seemann, 2010; Yadav et al., 2009).

The molecular mechanisms by which Golgi-associated MTs nucleate from or attach

their minus ends to the Golgi membrane have been intensely studied (Wu and Akhmanova,

2017; Wu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017). However, how these MTs are specifically

stabilized and accumulated remained unclear until recently, when we identified a novel

MT-regulating protein named MTCL1 (microtubule crosslinking factor 1) (Sato et al.,

2013; Sato et al., 2014). MTCL1 is an MT lattice-binding protein that specifically

condenses to the Golgi membrane and plays essential roles in the cross-linkage and

stabilization of Golgi-associated microtubules. MTCL1 is a long coiled-coil protein with

two MT-binding domains (MTBDs) at the N- and C-terminal regions. The C-terminal

MTBD (C-MTBD) has the ability to stabilize the polymerization state of MTs, and was

shown to be essential for specific stabilization of Golgi-associated MTs (Abdul Kader et

al., 2017; Sato et al., 2014). In contrast, the N-terminal MTBD (N-MTBD) of MTCL1,

binds MTs without affecting their stability and induces MT bundling only when it

dimerizes through the downstream coiled-coil rich region (Abdul Kader et al., 2017).

Invertebrate genomes do not encode homologous proteins of MTCL1, suggesting

that the MT-regulating activities of MTCL1 are specifically utilized in vertebrates. In

addition, vertebrate genomes encode a single paralog of MTCL1, which we named

MTCL2 (GenBank accession number: NM_001164663). The deduced amino acid

sequence showed significant homology with MTCL1 in the central coiled-coil region and

the C-MTBD, but not in the N-MTBD (Fig. 1A-C). This suggests that vertebrates exploit

another MT-regulating protein with similar but not identical activity to that of MTCL1.

In this study, we aimed to examine whether MTCL2 functions as a homolog of MTCL1, and if so, to dig into the similarities and differences between these MTCLs. The first question is particularly important considering that a mouse MTCL2 isoform lacking the 203 N-terminal amino acids has already been reported as SOGA (suppressor of glucose from autophagy) with completely different functions than those of MTCL1 (Fig. 1A) (Combs and Marliss, 2014; Cowherd et al., 2010). According to the previous paper, SOGA is translated as a membrane-spanning protein and cleaved into two halves in the ER of hepatocytes (Cowherd et al., 2010). The resultant N-terminal fragment is released into the cytoplasm to suppress autophagy by interacting with the Atg12/Atg5 complex, whereas the C-terminal fragment is secreted after further cleavage (see Fig. 1A, boxed illustration). In this context, we first analyzed the expression, subcellular localization, and functions of MTCL2, and concluded that uncleaved MTCL2 works as a novel MT-regulating protein in the cytosol, at least in several cell lines. Our results indicated that MTCL2 associates with the Golgi membrane, where it crosslinks but does not stabilize MTs through the conserved C-MTBD. Knockdown experiments demonstrated that these activities of MTCL2 are required for the accumulation of MTs around the Golgi and the clustering of Golgi stacks into a compact Golgi ribbon. *In vitro* wound healing assays further suggested a possible intriguing activity of MTCL2 in integrating the centrosomal and Golgi-associated MTs around the Golgi ribbon, thus being essential for directional migration. Since MTCL1 and 2 localize on microtubules in a mutually exclusive manner, the present results demonstrate that cells utilize two members of the MTCL protein family to differentially regulate the Golgi-associated MTs for controlling cell polarity.

 Results

MTCL2 is expressed predominantly as an 180kDa full-length protein without

cleavage

SOGA, a mouse MTCL2 isoform lacking the 203 N-terminal amino acids, is cleaved

into several fragments in hepatocytes (see boxed illustration in Fig. 1A) (Cowherd et al.,

2010). To examine whether MTCL2 functions as an MTCL1 paralog, we first analyzed

the molecular mass of MTCL2 in several cell lines including a human liver cancer cell

line, HepG2. Based on epitope position, the commercially available anti-SOGA antibody

was predicted to detect an 80kDa N-terminal fragment if MTCL2 was subjected to

cleavage as reported previously (Fig. 1A) (Cowherd et al., 2010). As shown in Fig. 1D,

V5-tagged mouse MTCL2 exogenously expressed in HEK293T cells was detected as a

single major band with a molecular mass of around 180kDa, consistent with the nominal

molecular weight of 185,656 predicted from the cDNA sequence of mouse MTCL2

(GenBank accession number: NM_001164663), although very weak signals were

observed just below the major band. Anti-V5 antibody also revealed the same staining

pattern (data not shown). When the antibody was examined for extracts of HeLa-K,

HepG2, and RPE1 cells, bands with a similar molecular mass to V5-mMTCL2 were

mainly detected, and they disappeared in cells subjected to MTCL2 knockdown (Fig. 1D).

As several minor bands were also absent in knockdown cells, they may represent isoforms

or cleaved products of MTCL2, particularly in RPE1 cells. However, most of their

molecular masses are larger than 135kDa. Therefore, these data indicate that endogenous

MTCL2 in these cells is not subjected to the cleavage previously reported for SOGA.

Western blotting analysis of various mouse tissue extracts also revealed a similar 180kDa

band as a major band in the lung, testis, ovary, cerebrum, and cerebellum (Fig.1 E). These

results suggest that MTCL2 is expressed as a full-length protein rather ubiquitously. The

tissue distribution pattern of MTCL2 is similar to that of MTCL1 (Satake et al., 2017),

indicating the possibility that these two MTCL family members work simultaneously.

MTCL2 colocalizes with the perinuclear microtubules and Golgi membrane

Next, we examined the subcellular localization of MTCL2 in HeLa-K cells. The anti-

SOGA antibody revealed granular signals accumulating near the perinuclear region (Fig.

2A), which disappeared in MTCL2 knockdown cells (see Fig. 5 and 6). In the perinuclear

region, these granular signals were frequently arranged in linear order along MTs (Fig.

2A, enlarged view). Consistently, MTCL2 showed strong colocalization with stabilized

perinuclear MTs stained with anti-acetylated tubulin antibody (Fig. 2B, C). However,

MTCL2 signal was not significantly observed around dynamic MTs invading the

peripheral region (Figs.2A and 3). These results suggest that MTCL2 preferentially

associates with Golgi-associated MTs, similar to MTCL1(Sato et al., 2014). In fact,

MTCL2 signals partially overlapped with those of the cis-Golgi marker proteins GM130

(Fig. 2C) and GS28 (Fig. 3). Close inspection using super-resolution microscopy further

revealed the possibility that MTCL2 mediates the association of the Golgi membrane with

stabilized MTs (Fig. 2D, arrows).

To further support the above results, we next examined the subcellular localization

of exogenously expressed MTCL2 (Supplementary Fig.1). When highly expressed in

HeLa-K cells, exogenous MTCL2 induced thick MT bundles and disrupted the normal

Golgi ribbon structure (Supplementary Fig. 1A, B, arrows). However, when the

expression was suppressed to endogenous levels, exogenous MTCL2 mimicked the

subcellular localization of endogenous MTCL2, by showing accumulation to one side of

the perinuclear region and colocalization with Golgi-associated MTs.

In Fig. 3, we compared the subcellular localization of MTCL2 with MTCL1 and

another MT lattice-binding protein MAP4, a classical MAP expressed in HeLa-K cells

(Chapin and Bulinski, 1991). MAP4 exhibits a rather continuous distribution on MTs

without strong preference for Golgi-associated MTs. In contrast, both MTCL1 and 2

intermittently localize on MTs and are specifically condensed on Golgi-associated MTs.

These results indicate that the localization pattern of MTCL2 is indeed a characteristic

feature of MTCL protein family members.

MTCL2 associates with MTs and the Golgi membrane independently through the

C-terminal and N-terminal regions, respectively

To determine which regions are responsible for the subcellular localizations of

MTCL2, we subdivided the molecule into three fragments, N, M, and C, and expressed

them as V5-tagged forms to examine their localization in HeLa-K cells (Fig. 4A, B). As

expected, the C-terminal fragment of MTCL2 (C), which contained the region

corresponding to MTCL1 C-MTBD, colocalized with MTs (Fig. 4B, top panels). Direct

binding with MTs was further confirmed using a shorter fragment of MTCL2 (CT1), still

containing the putative C-MTBD (Fig 4A and C). CT1 fused with MBP (maltose-binding

protein), but not MBP alone, was co-sedimented with MTs *in vitro* when purified from *E.*

coli and mixed with taxol-stabilized MTs (Fig. 4C). A smaller C-terminal fragment, which

completely corresponded to the C-MTBD of MTCL1, colocalized with MTs, although it

tended to accumulate in the nucleus (Fig.8D). Taken together, these results indicate that

MTCL2 directly interacts with MTs through the conserved C-terminal region

corresponding to the C-MTBD of MTCL1.

Fig. 4B also indicates that, contrasting to MTCL1 (Fig. 4B, bottom panels), the N-

terminal fragment of MTCL2 (N) did not colocalize with MTs when expressed in HeLa-

K cells. Instead, this fragment clearly colocalized with the Golgi membrane stained with

anti-GM130 antibody. Together with the results that the middle fragment, M, distributed

diffusely without showing any discrete localizations (Fig. 4B), these results indicate that

MTCL2 associates with MTs and the Golgi membrane independently through the C-

terminal and N-terminal regions, respectively. Since the C-terminal fragment did not

show preferential binding to the perinuclear MTs (Fig. 4B), these results suggest that

preferential association of MTCL2 with the perinuclear, Golgi-associated MTs is the

consequence of the dual binding activity of MTCL2 with MTs and the Golgi membrane.

MTCL2 depletion reduced the accumulation of perinuclear MTs and induced lateral

expansion of the Golgi ribbon structures

To explore the physiological function of MTCL2, we next analyzed the effects of

MTCL2 knockdown in HeLa-K cells. For this purpose, we first established heterogeneous

stable cells expressing mouse MTCL2 in a doxycycline-dependent manner (see Materials

and Methods). When cells were transfected with control siRNA in the absence of

doxycycline (without exogenous MTCL2 expression), many cells showed strong

accumulation of MTs around the perinuclear region at which endogenous MTCL2 is

concentrated (Fig. 5A). In contrast, cells subjected to MTCL2 knockdown in the absence

of doxycycline exhibited complete loss of MTCL2 signal and severely reduced

accumulation of MTs around the perinuclear region (Fig. 5A). The specificity of these

knockdown effects was confirmed by a rescue experiment in which doxycycline was

added to induce expression of RNAi-resistant MTCL2 (mouse MTCL2) at endogenous

levels. Under these conditions, many cells restored MT accumulation in the perinuclear

region, where the exogenous MTCL2 is concentrated. Fig. 5B shows the quantitatively

analyzed data of these experiments. Here, we estimated the asymmetric distribution of

MTs by calculating the skewness of the intensity distribution for tubulin signals within

each cell (Fig. 5B, top panel). In control cells, the pixel intensity of tubulin signals was

distributed with skewness ~ 0.8 (median), whereas in MTCL2 knockdown cells this value

decreased to ~ 0.5, indicating a more symmetric distribution of MTs. Expression of RNAi-

resistant mouse MTCL2 restored the value to ~ 0.65, statistically supporting its rescue

activity. We further confirmed that expression of an MTCL2 mutant lacking C-MTBD

(mMTCL2 ΔC-MTBD) could not restore the perinuclear accumulation of MTs in MTCL2

knockdown cells (Fig. 5C, D). These results suggest that MTCL2 promotes the

accumulation of MT through MT binding via C-MTBD.

Interestingly, MTCL2 knockdown also affected the morphology of Golgi ribbons (Fig.

6). Contrasting to control cells, which showed a compact crescent-like morphology of the

Golgi ribbon at one side of the nucleus, MTCL2 knockdown cells exhibited abnormally

expanded Golgi ribbons along the nucleus (Fig. 6A). The median expansion angle (θ) of

the Golgi apparatus (see top panel of Fig. 6B) was 84.3° for control cells, whereas it

significantly increased to 106.3° in MTCL2 knockdown cells (Fig. 6B). Again, expression

of RNAi-resistant MTCL2 reduced the angle with a median value of 76.6°, indicating that

MTCL2 is essential for compact accumulation of the Golgi ribbon. The MTCL2 ΔC-

MTBD mutant failed to rescue this phenotype (Fig. 6C and D). Similar effects of MTCL2

knockdown were observed in RPE1 cells (Supplementary Fig. 3). Altogether, these results

demonstrate that MTCL2 plays important roles in the perinuclear accumulation of MTs

and the morphology of Golgi ribbons.

MTCL2 depletion resulted in defects in cell migration

Previous studies have demonstrated that the Golgi ribbon structure and its associated

MTs are essential for maintaining directed cell migration due to its essential roles in the

polarized transport of vesicles (Bergmann et al., 1983; Miller et al., 2009; Rivero et al.,

2009; Sato et al., 2014; Yadav et al., 2009). Therefore, we next examined whether MTCL2

depletion affected directed cell migration during *in vitro* wound healing process.

First, HeLa-K cells transfected with control or MTCL2 siRNA were grown to a

confluent monolayer and scratched with a micropipette tip to initiate directional migration

into the wound. In control cells at the wound edge, reorientation of the Golgi and

elongation of a densely aligned MT toward the wound were clearly observed (Fig. 7A).

In MTCL2 knockdown cells, reorientation of the Golgi was reduced but not severely

affected. Nevertheless, cells lacking MTCL2 exhibited randomly oriented MTs and failed

to align them toward the wound (Fig. 7A).

Despite the significant difference in MT organization in cells at the wound edge, we

could not estimate the effects of MTCL2 knockdown on directional migration because

HeLa-K cells migrated very slowly. Thus, we used RPE1 cells to estimate wound healing

velocity, and found that cells lacking MTCL2 migrated significantly slower than control

cells (Fig. 7B, Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). By comparing the normalized areas newly

covered by migrated cells, the directed migration velocity of MTCL2 knockdown cells

was estimated to be ~50% of that of control cells (Fig. 7B). Time-lapse analysis of

differential interference contrast (DIC) images indicated that cells lacking MTCL2

exhibited abnormally elongated shapes and showed less efficiency in extending

lamellipodia (Supplementary Movie 2). Reorientation of the Golgi position toward the

wound was observed in MTCL2 knockdown cells to a similar extent as control cells (Fig.

7C, D). In addition, in contrast to HeLa-K cells, polarized elongation of MTs toward the

wound was also observed, even in MTCL2 knockdown cells (Fig. 7C). However, the

proximal ends of these MTs looked rather unfocused. Close inspection demonstrated that

in MTCL2 knockdown cells at the wound edge the Golgi ribbon was frequently separated

from the centrosome and sometimes detached from the nucleus (Fig. 7 C and E). As a

result, the centrosomal MTs and Golgi-associated MTs elongated from different positions

towards the wound, and could be clearly discerned in many MTCL2 knockdown cells.

This was in sharp contrast to control cells, in which the centrosome and Golgi-ribbon

were tightly linked near the nucleus and the proximal part of the centrosomal and Golgi-

associated MTs were focused together indistinguishably. These results suggest an

intriguing possibility that MTCL2 plays an essential role in integrating the centrosomal

and Golgi-associated MTs by crosslinking them on the Golgi membrane.

Relationship between MTCL2 and MTCL1

Finally, we examined the relationship between MTCL1 and MTCL2 with respect to

their localization and function. To compare their localization, we analyzed HeLa-K cells

stably expressing V5-tagged MTCL2 at a level comparable to that of the endogenous one

(Fig. 8A). Triple staining of the cells with anti-V5, anti-MTCL1, anti-α-tubulin

antibodies revealed that granular signals of MTCL1 and 2 hardly overlapped even when

both of them were on MTs. These results indicate that MTCL1 and 2 interact with MTs

in a mutually exclusive manner. Next, we examined the interaction between endogenous

MTCL1 and 2 in HeLa-K cells, since these coiled-coil proteins were shown to form a

heterogeneous complex when simultaneously overexpressed in HEK293T cells (data not

shown). In Fig. 8B, we immunoprecipitated endogenous MTCL1 from HeLa-K cells and

examined the presence of MTCL2 in the immunocomplex. Although the experiment was

performed to precipitate whole MTCL1 in the input (data not shown), less than 10% of

MTCL2 in the input was condensed in the immunocomplex. This indicates that a

substantial fraction of MTCL2 exists without forming a heterooligomer with MTCL1.

These results are consistent with the immunostaining results in Fig. 8A, and indicate that

MTCL1 and 2 exist independently in the same cells. We also confirmed that MTCL2

knockdown did not affect the perinuclear localization of MTCL1, supporting that both

proteins localize independently on Golgi-associated MTs.

In a previous study, we demonstrated that MTCL1 knockdown does not affect MT

organization significantly, but specifically reduces anti-acetylated tubulin signal on the

perinuclear MTs (Sato et al., 2014). This is in sharp contrast to the present results that

MTCL2 knockdown markedly affected MT organization (Fig. 5A), but did not

significantly reduce tubulin acetylation signals (Fig. 8C). These results suggest the

possibility that the C-MTBD of MTCL2 lacks MT stabilization activity due to the

sequence diversity of MTCL1 CMTB (red line in Fig. 1C). To examine this possibility,

we expressed GFP-CMTB of MTCL1 and 2 in HeLa-K cells, and analyzed their effects

on tubulin acetylation, as a marker of MT stabilization (Fig. 8D). As previously

demonstrated, GFP-MTCL1 C-MTBD strongly induced tubulin acetylation in the MTs to

which it associated even at low concentration (Abdul Kader et al., 2017). However, the

association of GFP-MTCL2 C-MTBD with MTs did not induce tubulin acetylation even

at higher concentrations. Noteworthy, the CMTB of MTCL1, but not MTCL2 caused MT

bundling, which is considered a secondary effect of MT stabilization (also see Fig. 4B).

We confirmed these results quantitatively by western blotting analysis of HEK293T cell

extracts expressing each CMTB construct (Fig. 8E) (Sato et al., 2014). The results clearly

indicate that expression of MTCL1 C-MTBD but not MTCL2 C-MTBD dramatically

increased tubulin acetylation. Taken together, these results demonstrate that MTCL1 and

2 independently localized to the Golgi-associated MTs and exert distinct MT-regulating

functions to differentially contribute to the development of cell polarity.

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrate that MTCL2, the mammalian MTCL1 paralog, is a novel MT-regulating protein that preferentially localizes to the Golgi membrane and plays essential roles in perinuclear MT accumulation and Golgi ribbon morphology.

A shorter variant of MTCL2 was reported in 2010 and named SOGA (Cowherd et al., 2010), the putative internal signal sequence of which was hypothesized to direct its translation and cleavage in ER. The present data indicate that, albeit containing whole SOGA sequences, MTCL2 is mainly expressed in a full-length form without cleavage and functions in the cytosol as an MT-regulating protein. We cannot completely exclude the possibility that a minor fraction of MTCL2 is processed in cultured cells as previously predicted. Alternatively, this process could occur predominantly in the liver to function as a suppressor of glucose by autophagy (SOGA). However, the results presented here are highly consistent with the notion that this gene product dominantly functions as an MT-regulating protein, but not as a SOGA. Based on these results, we propose that this gene product should be called MTCL2 instead of SOGA. This conclusion is supported by a recent study that identified SOGA as a CLASP2-binding protein by mass spectrometry (Kruse et al., 2017). This conclusion is also supported by our observation that MTCL2 knockdown did not induce LC3-positive autophagosomes in HepG2 cells (Supplementary Fig. 3). In addition, we have to mention that we failed to confirm the presence of the putative internal signal sequence as well as Atg16- and Rab5-binding motifs in the MTCL2 sequence, all of which were discussed in a previous paper (see Fig. 1A, boxed illustration) (Cowherd et al., 2010).

Consistent with the overall homology with MTCL1, MTCL2 exhibits several similar

features of MTCL1. It shows homo-oligomerization activity via the coiled-coil region

(Supplementary Fig. 4), localizes to the Golgi membrane, and interacts with MTs through

the C-terminal region. We also demonstrated that, similar to MTCL1, MTCL2

knockdown in RPE1 cells impaired directional migration without significantly affecting

the Golgi repolarization (Sato et al., 2014). On the other hand, we noticed that MTCL2

has characteristic features distinct from those of MTCL1. The most striking difference is

that MTCL2 only has a single MT-binding region in the C-terminus, and this C-MTBD

lacks the MT-stabilizing activity observed for MTCL1 C-MTBD. This indicates that the

major role of MTCL2 is to crosslink and accumulate MTs on the Golgi membrane (Fig.

9A and B). MTCL1 was named after its MT crosslinking activity via N-MTBD (Sato et

al., 2013). However, accumulating data demonstrated that the MT-stabilization activity of

C-MTBD is essential for its physiological roles (Satake et al., 2017). In addition, in

cultured cells, we demonstrated that the MT stabilization activity of MTCL1 is

fundamentally required for the stable development of the Golgi-nucleated MTs, which

are subsequently crosslinked by N-MTBD (Fig. 9B) (Sato et al., 2014). Therefore,

together with the present results that MTCL1 and 2 associate with MTs in a mutually

exclusive manner without forming a heterocomplex, these results suggest that these two

members of the MTCL family exert distinct roles for MT organization on the Golgi

membrane. MTCL1 mainly supports the development of Golgi-nucleated MTs by

stabilizing their elongation, whereas MTCL2 crosslinks and accumulates these MTs

around the Golgi (Fig. 9B).

Interestingly, in RPE1 cells at the wound edge, MTCL2 knockdown led to the

separation of the centrosome and Golgi ribbon. In these cells, MTs emanating from the

centrosome and those associated with the Golgi were clearly distinct, although both of

them independently invaded lammellipodia. These results are consistent with a previous

work demonstrating that disconnecting the Golgi ribbon from the centrosome prevents

directional migration (Hurtado et al., 2011), and raise the intriguing possibility that the

MT crosslinking activity of MTCL2 plays an important role in integrating centrosomal

and Golgi-associated MTs. To establish directional cell migration, repolarization of the

Golgi to the wound (Etienne-Manneville and Hall, 2001; Kupfer et al., 1982) and

development of the Golgi ribbon structure (Miller et al., 2009; Rivero et al., 2009) have

been shown to be required. The present results proposed that the integration of

centrosomal and Golgi-associated MTs represents the third requirement for directional

migration. MTCL2 knockdown-dependent separation of the centrosome and the Golgi

ribbon was not clearly observed in HeLa-K cells, which did not show active migration.

Therefore, the integration of centrosomal and Golgi-derived MTs by MTCL2 might be

particularly important for actively migrating cells, in which MTs must be subjected to

various mechanical stresses (Etienne-Manneville, 2013).

In addition to the effects on MTs, MTCL2 knockdown caused expansion of the

crescent-like morphology of the Golgi ribbon along the nucleus. Since RNAi-resistant

MTCL2 mutant lacking the C-MTBD (MTCL2 ΔC-MTBD) did not rescue this phenotype,

crosslinking and accumulation of the Golgi-associated MTs by MTCL2 may indirectly

facilitate the clustering of the Golgi ribbon (Fig. 9A). However, at present, we cannot

conclusively propose this model as MTCL2 ΔC-MTBD failed to localize to the Golgi and

diffusely distributed in the cytosol. This means that loss of C-MTBD leads to the loss of

Golgi association activity, and raises the possibility that the lack of rescue activity of

MTCL2 ΔC-MTBD might be due to the lack of Golgi-binding activity, but not due to the

lack of the MT-binding activity. Our deletion mutant analysis (Fig. 4) clearly indicated

that the N-terminal region was sufficient for the Golgi association. Therefore, loss of the

Golgi association activity in MTCL2 ΔC-MTBD was unexpected. One possible

explanation is that the Golgi association activity of MTCL2 is masked in the full-length

molecule by, for example, an intramolecular interaction, and MT binding through the C-

MTBD releases the inhibition. Examination of this model as well as identification of the

target molecule of MTCL2 for its Golgi localization (X in Fig. 9B) are important

directions for our future study.

<p>375</p>

Materials and methods

<p>376</p>

<p>377</p> **Molecular biology**

<p>378</p> The cDNA clone encoding full-length mouse MTCL2 (GenBank accession number:

<p>379</p> AK147227) was purchased from Danaform (Kanagawa, Japan). After confirming

<p>380</p> sequence identity with NM_001164663, a DNA fragment corresponding to the MTCL2

<p>381</p> open reading frame was subcloned into an expression vector, pCAGGS-V5. Subsequently,

<p>382</p> several deletion mutants of MTCL2 were constructed in pCAGGS-V5, pEGFP-c2

<p>383</p> (Takara Bio Inc., Japan), or pMal-c5x (New England Biolabs). To establish heterogeneous

<p>384</p> stable transformants, mouse MTCL2 and its mutants with or without a $6 \times$ V5-tag were

<p>385</p> subcloned in pOSTet15.1 (kindly provided by Y. Miwa, University of Tsukuba, Japan),

<p>386</p> an Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)-based extrachromosomal vectors carrying a replication

<p>387</p> origin (oriP) and replication initiation factor (EBNA-1) sufficient for autonomous

<p>388</p> replication in human cells (Tanaka et al., 1999). Human and mouse MTCL1 cDNA

<p>389</p> (GenBank accession numbers: AB018345 and AK147691, respectively) used were

<p>390</p> described previously (Sato et al., 2013).

<p>391</p>

<p>392</p> **Antibodies**

<p>393</p> Anti-KIAA0802 pAb (W19) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and anti-SOGA1 polyclonal

<p>394</p> antibody (pAb) (HPA043992) (Sigma-Aldrich) were used to detect MTCL1 and MTCL2,

<p>395</p> respectively. To detect other proteins, the following antibodies were used: anti-α-tubulin

<p>396</p> monoclonal Ab (mAb) (DM1A), anti-acetylated tubulin mAb (6-11B-1), anti-MAP4

<p>397</p> mAb (H-300), and anti-GFP mAb (B-2) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-V5 mAb

<p>398</p> (R960-25) (Thermo Fisher); anti-GM130 mAb (35/GM130), anti-GS28 (1/GS28) (BD

Transduction Laboratories); anti-GAPDH mAb (6C5) (Hytest ltd.); anti-β-tubulin (KMX-

1) (Upstate/Millipore); anti-pericentrin pAb (ab4448) (Abcam); LC3 mAb (M152-3)

(MBL, Japan).

Cell culture and plasmid transfection

HeLa-Kyoto (HeLa-K), HEK293T, and HepG2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's

modified Eagle's medium (low glucose) (Nissui, Japan) containing 10% fetal bovine

serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 1 mM glutamine at 37°C in

5% CO_2. Immortalized human pigment epithelial cells, hTERT-RPE1 (RPE1) cells were

maintained in a 1:1 mixture of DMEM/Ham's F12 (FUJIFILM WAKO Pure Chemical

Corporation, Japan) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL

streptomycin, 10 μg/mL hygromycin B, and 1 mM glutamine at 37°C in 5% CO2. When

subjected to immunofluorescent analysis, cells were seeded on coverslips settled down in

24-well plates and coated with atelocollagen (0.5 mg/mL IPC-50; KOKEN, Japan).

Plasmid transfections were performed using polyethyleneimine (Polysciences, Inc.) for

HEK293T cells or Lipofectamine LTX (Life Technologies Corporation) for HeLa-K cells

according to the manufacturer's instructions. To establish heterogenous stable HeLa-K

cells expressing mouse MTCL2 in a doxycycline-dependent manner, cells were

transfected with pOSTet15.1 expression vector encoding the appropriate MTCL2 cDNA.

The following day, cells were reseeded at one-twentieth of the cell density, and subjected

to selection using medium containing 800 μg/mL G418 disulfate (Nacalai Tesque, Japan)

for more than 6 days. The surviving cells were used in the following experiments without

cloning.

RNAi experiments and wound healing assays

The siRNA oligonucleotide sequences used for MTCL2 knockdown in human cultured

cells were as follows: #2: 5'-GAGCGACCGAGAGAGCAUUCC-3' and #5:

CUGAAGUACCGCUCGCUCUdTdT. As control, a non-silencing RNAi

oligonucleotide (All stars negative control siRNA: Qiagen) was used. Cells were seeded

on coverslips at densities of $1.2 \sim 4 \times 10^4$ cells, and transfected with siRNA

oligonucleotide at final concentrations of 10 – 17 nM using RNAiMax (Life Technologies

Corporation) according to the manufacturer's instructions. siRNA transfection was

repeated the day after medium change, and cells were subjected to immunofluorescence

analysis on day 3. For rescue experiments, heterogeneous stable HeLa-K cells expressing

mouse MTCL2 were subjected to a similar protocol, except that 100 ng/mL of

doxycycline was always included in the medium after the 1st siRNA transfection. For

wound healing analysis, HeLa-K cells subjected to RNAi were scratched with a

micropipette tip on day 4. RPE1 cells were seeded at 5×10^4 cells in one compartment of

35 mm glass bottom culture dish separated into 4 compartments (Greiner, 627870) after

coating with 10 μg/mL fibronectin (FUJIFILM, Japan, 063-05591), and siRNA

transfections were performed as described above. Wounds were made on day 4 by

scratching cell monolayers with a micropipette tip and subjected to live imaging.

Cell extraction and western blotting

Cell extracts were prepared by adding RIPA buffer (25mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5, 150mM

NaCl, 1% NP40, 1% deoxycholic acid, 0.1% SDS) containing protease inhibitor cocktail

(Sigma, P8340) followed by brief sonication and centrifugation (15,000 × g, 15 min). For

tissue distribution analysis of MTCL2, the mouse tissue lysates prepared in a previous

study were used (Satake et al., 2017). Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and then

transferred to polyvinyldifluoride membranes. Blots were incubated in blocking buffer

containing 5% (w/v) dried skim milk in PBST (8.1mM Na2HPO4.12H2O, 1.47mM

KH2PO4, 137mM NaCl, 2.7mM KCl, and 0.05% Tween 20) followed by overnight

incubation with the appropriate antibodies diluted in blocking buffer. Dilutions of anti-

SOGA pAb and anti-GAPDH mAb were 1:1000 and 1:5000, respectively. Secondary

antibodies were diluted to 1:2,000. Blots were then exposed to horseradish peroxidase

(HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare) diluted in blocking buffer for

60 min at RT and washed again. Blots were visualized using Immobilon Western

Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Millipore) or ECL western blotting detection system

(GE Healthcare), and chemiluminescence was quantified using the ImageQuant LAS4000

Luminescent Image Analyzer (GE Healthcare).

Immunofluorescence staining

Cells were fixed with cold methanol for 10 min at −20°C, followed by blocking with 10%

(v/v) fetal bovine serum in PBST. To visualize the Golgi localization of exogenous

MTCL2, cells were treated with modified PBST containing 0.5% TritonX-100 instead of

Tween20 for 10 min after fixation. Samples were then incubated with appropriate primary

antibodies diluted in TBST (10mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween

20) containing 0.1% (w/v) BSA for 45 min at RT, except for MTCL1 and 2 staining,

which were performed overnight at 4ºC. After washing with PBST, samples were

visualized with the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488,

555, or 647 (Life Technologies Corporation) by incubating for 45min at RT. Antibodies

were diluted as follows: anti-KIAA0802 pAb (1/1000), anti-SOGA pAb (1/2000), anti-α-

tubulin mAb (1:1,000), anti-acetylated tubulin mAb (1:1,000), anti-V5 mAb (1:4,000),

anti-GM130 mAb (1:1,000), anti-GS28 mAb (1:300), anti-GFP mAb (1:2,000), anti-

MAP4 mAb (1:1000), anti-β-tubulin (1:2000), anti-pericentrin pAb (1:1000), and anti-

LC3 mAb (1:300). All secondary antibodies were used at a 1:2000 dilution. The nuclei

were counterstained with DAPI (4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (MBL, Japan) at a

1:2000 dilution in PBST during the final wash. For image acquisition, samples on

coverslips were mounted onto glass slides in Prolong Diamond Antifade Mountant

(Thermo Fisher).

Image acquisition and processing

High-resolution images were acquired using a Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal

microscopy system equipped with an HC PL APO 63x/1.40 Oil 2 objective, using the

Hybrid Detector in photon counting mode. To obtain super-resolution images,

HyVolution2 imaging was performed on the same system using Huygens Essential

software (Scientific Volume Imaging) (Borlinghaus and Kappel, 2016). To obtain wide

view images for quantification (for Figs. 5 and 6), conventional fluorescence images were

obtained using an AxioImager ZI microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany)

equipped with a Plan APCHROMAT 40×/0.95 objective using Orca II CCD camera

(Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan). The laterally expanding angle of the Golgi

apparatus around nuclei and skewness of pixel intensity were quantified using the

"Measure" function of ImageJ software. For statistical analysis, photographs of several

fields containing ~40 cells with similar density were taken from two independent

experiments, and all cells in each field were subjected to quantification analysis to avoid

selection bias. In rescue experiments, ~100 cells expressing exogenous MTCL2 at similar

expression levels as endogenous one were collected from ~10 fields with similar cell

densities. For live cell imaging, differential interference contrast (DIC) images were

acquired using a Leica SP8 confocal microscopy system equipped with an HCX PL APO

10x/0.40 objective using a 488nm laser line. Areas newly covered by migrated cells

during wound healing for 440min were estimated using the "Measure" function of ImageJ

software and normalized by the length of the corresponding wound edge at time 0.

MT-binding assay

MBP (maltose binding protein) or MBP-mMTCL1 CT1 were purified from the soluble

fraction of *E. coli* according to the standard protocol, and dialyzed against BRB buffer

(80 mM PIPES-KOH pH 6.8, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EGTA). Each MBP protein was

incubated with taxol-stabilized MTs (both final concentrations of the sample protein and

α/β-tubulin heterodimer were 0.5 mg/mL) in BRB supplemented with 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$

and 1mM GTP for 15 min at RT, and subjected to centrifugation (200,000 × g) for 20 min

at 25°C on a cushion of 40% glycerol in BRB buffer. After carefully removing the

supernatant and glycerol cushion, the resultant MT pellet was gently washed with PBST

3 times and solubilized with SDS sample buffer (10% β-mercaptoethanol, 125 mM Tris-

HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, and 0.005% bromophenol blue) for subsequent

SDS-PAGE analysis.

Immunoprecipitation and pull-down experiments

HeLa-K cells (~ 5×10^6 cells) were solubilized in 1mL lysis buffer (20mM Tris-HCl, pH

7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1mM DTT) containing a cocktail of protease and

phosphatase inhibitors (Roche Applied Science) for 30 min at 4°C, briefly sonicated, and

centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 30 min. The resulting supernatants were mixed with

Dynabeads Protein G (Thermo Fisher) conjugated with 2μg of anti-MTCL1 antibody for

~ 2 h at 4°C. Immunoprecipitates were boiled in SDS sample buffer and subjected to

western blot analysis. In pull-down experiments, HEK293T cells were transfected with

appropriate expression vectors and subjected to the same procedure as described above,

except that streptavidin-conjugated Sepharose (GE Healthcare) was used instead of

Dynabeads.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Yoshihiro Miwa (University of Tsukuba) for providing the pOSTet15.1

expression vector gift. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English

language editing. This work was supported by KAKENHI (to A.S.) (Grant Numbers

16H04765 and 19H03228) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and

Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

Author contributions

A.S. planned and performed the experiments, interpreted the results, and wrote the paper.

R.M., M.M, S.M, and Y.I. performed the experiments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

                                          Figure legends

Figure 1. MTCL2 is expressed predominantly as an 180kDa full-length protein

without cleavage. (A) Predicted molecular structure of mouse MTCL2 (mMTCL2) and

its amino acid sequence homology with mouse MTCL1 (mMTCL1). CC (dark blue)

indicates the region with highest score (> 0.85) of coiled-coil prediction, whereas CCL

(light blue) indicates the region with moderate score (>0.4) (https://embnet.vital-

it.ch/software/COILS_form.html). A black bar labeled 'epitope' indicates the position of

the antigen peptide against which the used antibody was generated (commercially

available anti-SOGA antibody). The boxed illustrations in the bottom indicate the position

of mouse SOGA (mSOGA) in comparison with mMTCL2, and summarize the arguments

in the paper reporting SOGA (Cowherd et al., 2010). Green bar indicates the predicated

position of the internal signal sequence, whereas green dotted arrows indicate the

predicted positions of cleavages. We failed to confirm all arguments indicated here by

ourselves. (B, C) Sequence alignment of the N and C-terminal region of mouse MTCL1

and 2. The MTCL1 sequence surrounded by bold line corresponds to the N- and C-MTBD

(B and C, respectively). Red line in C indicates the region where MTCL2 sequence

significantly diverges from that of MTCL1 C-MTBD. (D) Western blotting analysis to

estimate the molecular mass of MTCL2 expressed in various cultured cells. In lane 1~3,

cell extracts of HEK293T expressing exogenously expressed V5-mMTCL2 were loaded

after indicated dilution. In other lanes, extracts of indicated culture cells with or without

MTCL2 knockdown were loaded. NS: non-silencing control. #2 and #5 indicate different

siRNA oligonucleotides for MTCL2. (E) Tissue distribution of MTCL2. Total extracts

from the indicated mouse tissues (25μg/lane) were loaded for western blotting analysis

using anti-SOGA antibody. In lane 1, total cell extracts of HEK293T expressing

exogenously expressed V5-mMTCL2 were loaded as a positive control.

Figure 2. MTCL2 specifically colocalizes with stable perinuclear microtubules. (A-

C) HeLa-K cells were stained with anti-SOGA (MTCL2) together with anti-α-tubulin (A),

anti-acetylated tubulin (anti-Ac-tub) (B) or anti-GM130 antibody (C). Note that the

granular signal of MTCL2 specifically concentrates on the perinuclear MTs but not on

the peripheral MTs, and partially co-localizes with GM130. Scale bars, 10μm. (D) HeLa-

K cells were triply stained with anti-SOGA, anti-GM130, and anti-acetylated tubulin as

indicated. Shown are super-resolution microscopic images. Scale bars, 5μm and 2μm

(enlarged panels).

Figure 3. Preferential localization to the Golgi-associated MTs is the specific feature

of MTCL protein family members. HeLa-K cells were subjected to immunofluorescent

analysis using anti-MTCL1, MTCL2 (SOGA), or MAP4 antibodies together with anti-α-

tubulin and anti-GS28 (Golgi protein) antibody. At the bottom, similar staining data using

anti-acetylated tubulin antibody are shown for comparison. Bars, 20μm and 5μm (insets).

Figure 4. MTCL2 associates with MTs and the Golgi membrane independently. (A)

MTCL2 deletion mutants used in this study. (B) Subcellular localization of V5-tagged

deletion mutants of mouse MTCL2 in HeLa-K cells. Cells were triply stained with anti-

V5, anti-β-tubulin, and anti-GM130 antibodies. In the bottom panel, data of cells

expressing V5-mMTCL1 N-terminal fragment (1-916) were shown for comparison. Scale

bar, 20μm. (C) The C-terminal fragment of mMTCL2 directly binds to MTs. MBP-fused

mMTCL2 CT1 purified from *E.coli.* was examined for MT pull-down experiments. MBP-

mMTCL2 CT1 but not MBP was found to be specifically precipitated only when taxol-

stabilized MTs were included.

Figure 5. MTCL2 depletion reduces the perinuclear accumulation of MTs in a C-

MTBD dependent manner. (A) HeLa-K cells stably harboring pOSTet15.1 expression

vector for mouse MTCL2 were transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides for control or

MTCL2 knockdown (#2) in the presence or absence of 100nM doxycycline, and doubly

stained with anti-SOGA (MTCL2) and anti-α-tubulin antibody, as indicated on the left.

Note that cells subjected to control RNAi show MT accumulations at the perinuclear

region where MTCL2 concentrated in the absence of doxycycline (without exogenous

expression of mouse MTCL2). Such accumulation of MTs disappeared in MTCL2

knockdown cells (-dox), whereas exogenous expression of RNAi-resistant MTCL2

(+dox) strongly restore the accumulation. Bar: 20μm. (B) Extent of MT accumulation

was quantitatively estimated by calculating the skewness of the pixel intensity

distribution for tubulin fluorescence signal in each cell. Top panel shows typical data on

the distribution, indicating that the asymmetries of tubulin signal distribution are

compromised in MTCL2 knockdown cells. Bottom is box plot combined with beeswarm

plot of the skewness distribution in each condition. The lines within each box represent

medians. Data represent the results of the indicated number (n) of cells from two

independent experiments. ** $p < 0.01$, estimated by the Wilcoxon test. NS: no significant

difference. (C) HeLa-K cells stably harboring pOSTet15.1 expression vector for mouse

MTCL2 ΔC-MTBD were subjected to the same experimental procedure as in (A). Note

that MT accumulation was not restored by expression of mouse MTCL2 ΔC-MTBD. Bar:

20μm. (D) MT accumulation data in (C) was quantitatively analyzed as in (B). Data

represent the results of the indicated number (n) of cells from two independent

experiments. ** p<0.01, estimated by the Wilcoxon test.

Figure 6. MTCL2 depletion significantly expands the Golgi ribbon structures. (A)

HeLa-K cells stably harboring pOSTet15.1 expression vector for mouse MTCL2 were

transected with siRNA oligonucleotide for control or MTCL2 knockdown (#2) in the

presence or absence of 100nM doxycycline, and doubly stained with anti-SOGA

(MTCL2) and anti-GM130 antibodies, as indicated on the left. Note that cells subjected

to control RNAi show compact Golgi ribbon structures at one side of the perinuclear

region. Such Golgi ribbon structures become laterally expanded around the nucleus in

MTCL2 knockdown cells (-dox), whereas exogenous expression of RNAi-resistant

MTCL2 (+dox) strongly restore their compactness. Bar: 20 μm. (B) Quantification of

Golgi ribbon expanding angle (θ) around the nuclei (top panel) in each condition. Data

represent the results of the indicated number (n) of cells from two independent

experiments. ** p<0.01, estimated by the Wilcoxon test. NS: no significant difference.

(C) HeLa-K cells stably harboring pOSTet15.1 expression vector for mouse MTCL2 ΔC-

MTBD were subjected to the same experimental procedure as in (A). Note that

compactness of Golgi ribbon was not restored by expression of mouse MTCL2 ΔC-

MTBD. Bar: 20 μm. (D) Quantitative analysis as in (B). Data represent the results of the

indicated number (n) of cells from two independent experiments. ** p<0.01, estimated

by the Wilcoxon test.

Figure 7. MTCL2 depletion results in defective cell migration. (A) Confluent

monolayers of HeLa-K cells subjected to control or MTCL2 RNAi were fixed and stained

with the indicated antibodies 6h after wounding. Cells facing the wound edges (white

dotted lines) are shown. Bars, 50 μm. Note that MTCL2-depleted cells did not polarize

MT arrays toward the wound. Right panel indicates the percentage of wound-edge cells

with correctly oriented Golgi, defined as those falling in the indicated quadrant (white

line) in relation to the wound edge. Data represent the means ± S.D. for the indicated

number (n) of cells from two independent experiments. NS: no significant difference

estimated by the Student's t-test assuming the two-tailed distribution and two-sample

unequal variance. (B) Differential interference contrast (DIC) images of wound healing

RPE1 cells at 0 min and 7h 20 min after wounding. White dotted line delineated the

wound edges. Bars: 200 μm. Right panel indicates quantified data on the areas newly

buried by cells after wounding. Data represent the mean ± S.D. of 44 fields taken from

two independent experiments. **p<0.01, estimated by the Student's t-test assuming the

two-tailed distribution and two-sample unequal variance. (C) RPE1 cells subjected

wound healing analysis in (B) were fixed and stained with the indicated antibodies. Cells

facing the wound edges are shown. Right panels are enlarged view. Note that MTCL2-

depleted cells exhibit the separation of the centrosome (white arrowheads) and Golgi,

from which MTs are emanating rather independently. Sometimes the centrosome shows

significant detachment from the perinuclear region (yellow arrowhead). Bars, 50 μm and

20μm (enlarged right panels). (D) Golgi orientation was quantified for wound healing

RPE1 cells as indicated in (A). Data represent the means ± S.D. for the indicated number

(n) of cells from two independent experiments. NS: no significant difference estimated

by the Student's t-test assuming the two-tailed distribution and two-sample unequal

variance. (E) Percentage of wound-edge cells with Golgi detached from the centrosome.

Data represent the means ± S.D. for the indicated number (n) of cells from two

independent experiments. **p<0.01, estimated by the Student's t-test assuming the two-

tailed distribution and two-sample unequal variance.

Figure 8. MTCL1 and 2 differentially regulate Golgi-associated MTs. (A) MTCL1

and 2 localize on MTs in a mutually exclusive manner. HeLa-K cells stably harboring

expression vector for V5-tagged mouse MTCL2 were cultured in the presence of

100ng/mL doxycycline, and stained with the indicated antibodies. Shown are super-

resolution microscopic images. Scale bars, 5μm and 1μm (enlarged panels). (B) HeLa-K

cells transfected with control or MTCL1 siRNA oligonucleotide were subjected to

immunoprecipitation with anti-MTCL1 antibody. (C) HeLa-K cells transfected with

control or MTCL2 siRNA oligonucleotide were fixed and stained with the indicated

antibodies. Bar, 10μm. (D) HeLa-K cells exogenously expressing GFP, GFP-human

MTCL1 C-MTBD, or GFP-mouse MTCL2 C-MTBD were immunostained with the

indicated antibodies. Bar, 20μm. (E) Total cells extracts of HEK293 cells exogenously

expressing GFP, GFP-human MTCL1 C-MTBD, or GFP-mouse MTCL2 C-MTBD were

subjected to western blotting analysis using the indicated antibodies.

Figure 9. Model for the mechanisms by which MTCL2 regulates Golgi-associated

MTs, Golgi ribbon morphology, and cell polarity. (A) MTCL2 parallel dimers

crosslink and accumulate MTs on the Golgi membrane, and thereby facilitate the

clustering of Golgi stacks into a compact Golgi ribbon. (B) MTCL1 mainly supports the

development of the Golgi-nucleated MTs by stabilizing their elongation, whereas MTCL2

crosslinks and accumulates MTs around the Golgi and facilitate lateral clustering of Golgi

stacks. The present results further indicate a possibility that MTCL2 crosslinks the Golgi-

associated MTs with the centrosomal MTs, and thereby integrate these two subsets of MT

populations (not illustrated here). X indicates putative target of MTCL2 mediating its

Golgi association.

References

Abdul Kader, M., Satake, T., Yoshida, M., Hayashi, I. and Suzuki, A. (2017). Molecular basis of the

microtubule-regulating activity of microtubule crosslinking factor 1. *PLoS One* **12**, e0182641.

Akhmanova, A. and Hoogenraad, C. C. (2015). Microtubule minus-end-targeting proteins. *Curr. Biol.*

25, R162–R171.

Baas, P. W., Rao, A. N., Matamoros, A. J. and Leo, L. (2016). Stability properties of neuronal

microtubules. *Cytoskeleton* **73**, 442–460.

Bacallao, R., Antony, C., Dotti, C., Karsenti, E., Stelzer, E. H. K. and Simons, K. (1989). The

subcellular organization of Madin-Darby canine kidney cells during the formation of a polarized

epithelium. *J. Cell Biol.* **109**, 2817–2832.

Bartolini, F. and Gundersen, G. G. (2006). Generation of noncentrosomal microtubule arrays. *J. Cell*

Sci. **119**, 4155–63.

Bergmann, J. E., Kupfer, A. and Singer, S. J. (1983). Membrane insertion at the leading edge of motile

fibroblasts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **80**, 1367–1371.

Borlinghaus, R. T. and Kappel, C. (2016). HyVolution—the smart path to confocal super-resolution.

Nat. Methods **13**, i–iii.

Chabin-Brion, K., Marceiller, J., Perez, F., Settegrana, C., Drechou, A., Durand, G. and Poüs, C.

(2001). The Golgi complex is a microtubule-organizing organelle. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **12**, 2047–60.

Chapin, S. J. and Bulinski, J. C. (1991). Non-neuronal 210 x 10(3) Mr microtubule-associated protein

(MAP4) contains a domain homologous to the microtubule-binding domains of neuronal MAP2 and

tau. *J. Cell Sci.* **98 (Pt 1)**, 27–36.

Combs, T. P. and Marliss, E. B. (2014). Adiponectin signaling in the liver. *Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord.*

15, 137–147.

Conduit, P. T., Wainman, A. and Raff, J. W. (2015). Centrosome function and assembly in animal

cells. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **16**, 611–624.

Cowherd, R. B., Asmar, M. M., Alderman, J. M., Alderman, E. a, Garland, A. L., Busby, W. H.,

Bodnar, W. M., Rusyn, I., Medoff, B. D., Tisch, R., et al. (2010). Adiponectin lowers glucose

production by increasing SOGA. *Am. J. Pathol.* **177**, 1936–1945.

Efimov, A., Kharitonov, A., Efimova, N., Loncarek, J., Miller, P. M., Andreyeva, N., Gleeson, P.,

Galjart, N., Maia, A. R. R., McLeod, I. X., et al. (2007). Asymmetric CLASP-Dependent

Nucleation of Noncentrosomal Microtubules at the trans-Golgi Network. *Dev. Cell* **12**, 917–930.

Etienne-Manneville, S. (2013). Microtubules in cell migration. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **29**, 471–499.

Etienne-Manneville, S. and Hall, A. (2001). Integrin-mediated activation of Cdc42 controls cell polarity

in migrating astrocytes through PKCζ. *Cell* **106**, 489–498.

Hahn, I., Voelzmann, A., Liew, Y. T., Costa-Gomes, B. and Prokop, A. (2019). The model of local

axon homeostasis - Explaining the role and regulation of microtubule bundles in axon maintenance

and pathology. *Neural Dev.* **14**, 1–28.

Hurtado, L., Caballero, C., Gavilan, M. P., Cardenas, J., Bornens, M. and Rios, R. M. (2011).

Disconnecting the Golgi ribbon from the centrosome prevents directional cell migration and

ciliogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* **193**, 917–33.

Kruse, R., Krantz, J., Barker, N., Coletta, R. L., Rafikov, R., Luo, M., Højlund, K., Mandarino, L.

J. and Langlais, P. R. (2017). Characterization of the CLASP2 protein interaction network

identifies SOGA1 as a microtubule-associated protein. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **16**, 1718–1735.

Kupfer, A., Louvard, D. and Singer, S. J. (1982). Polarization of the Golgi apparatus and the

microtubule-organizing center in cultured fibroblasts at the edge of an experimental wound. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **79**, 2603–2607.

Meiring, J. C. M., Shneyer, B. I. and Akhmanova, A. (2020). Generation and regulation of microtubule

network asymmetry to drive cell polarity. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **62**, 86–95.

Miller, P. M., Folkmann, A. W., Maia, A. R. R., Efimova, N., Efimov, A. and Kaverina, I. (2009).

Golgi-derived CLASP-dependent microtubules control Golgi organization and polarized trafficking

in motile cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **11**, 1069–80.

Mogensen, M. M., Tucker, J. B. and Stebbings, H. (1989). Microtubule polarities indicate that

nucleation and capture of microtubules occurs at cell surfaces in Drosophila. *J. Cell Biol.* **108**,

1445–1452.

Muroyama, A. and Lechler, T. (2017). Microtubule organization, dynamics and functions in

differentiated cells. *Dev.* **144**, 3012–3021.

Nishita, M., Satake, T., Minami, Y. and Suzuki, A. (2017). Regulatory mechanisms and cellular

functions of non-centrosomal microtubules. *J. Biochem.* **109**, 20029–20034.

Oddoux, S., Zaal, K. J., Tate, V., Kenea, A., Nandkeolyar, S. A., Reid, E., Liu, W. and Ralston, E.

(2013). Microtubules that form the stationary lattice of muscle fibers are dynamic and nucleated at

golgi elements. *J. Cell Biol.* **203**, 205–213.

Pepperkok, R., Bre, M. H., Davoust, J. and Kreis, T. E. (1990). Microtubules are stabilized in

confluent epithelial cells but not in fibroblasts. *J. Cell Biol.* **111**, 3003–3012.

Rivero, S., Cardenas, J., Bornens, M. and Rios, R. M. (2009). Microtubule nucleation at the cis-side of

the Golgi apparatus requires AKAP450 and GM130. *EMBO J.* **28**, 1016–1028.

Satake, T., Yamashita, K., Hayashi, K., Miyatake, S., Tamura-Nakano, M., Doi, H., Furuta, Y.,

Shioi, G., Miura, E., Takeo, Y. H., et al. (2017). MTCL1 plays an essential role in maintaining

Purkinje neuron axon initial segment. *EMBO J.* **36**, 1227–1242.

Sato, Y., Akitsu, M., Amano, Y., Yamashita, K., Ide, M., Shimada, K., Yamashita, A., Hirano, H.,

Arakawa, N., Maki, T., et al. (2013). The novel PAR-1-binding protein MTCL1 has crucial roles

in organizing microtubules in polarizing epithelial cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **126**, 4671–4683.

Sato, Y., Hayashi, K., Amano, Y., Takahashi, M., Yonemura, S., Hayashi, I., Hirose, H., Ohno, S.

and Suzuki, A. (2014). MTCL1 crosslinks and stabilizes non-centrosomal microtubules on the

Golgi membrane. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5266.

Tanaka, J., Miwa, Y., Miyoshi, K., Ueno, A. and Inoue, H. (1999). Construction of Epstein-Barr virus-

based expression vector containing mini-oriP. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **264**, 938–43.

Vorobjev, I. A. and Nadezhdina, E. S. (1987). The Centrosome and Its Role in the Organization of

Microtubules. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **106**, 227–293.

Wei, J. H. and Seemann, J. (2010). Unraveling the Golgi ribbon. *Traffic* **11**, 1391–1400.

Wu, J. and Akhmanova, A. (2017). Microtubule-Organizing Centers. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **33**,

annurev-cellbio-100616-060615.

Wu, J., de Heus, C., Liu, Q., Bouchet, B. P., Noordstra, I., Jiang, K., Hua, S., Martin, M., Yang, C.,

Grigoriev, I., et al. (2016). Molecular Pathway of Microtubule Organization at the Golgi

Apparatus. *Dev. Cell* **39**, 44–60.

Yadav, S., Puri, S. and Linstedt, A. D. (2009). A primary role for golgi positioning in directed

secretion, cell polarity, and wound healing. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **20**, 1728–1736.

Yang, C., Wu, J., de Heus, C., Grigoriev, I., Liv, N., Yao, Y., Smal, I., Meijering, E., Klumperman,

J., Qi, R. Z., et al. (2017). EB1 and EB3 regulate microtubule minus end organization and Golgi

morphology. *J. Cell Biol.* **216**, 3179–3198.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

V5 / MTCL1 / α-tub   V5/MTCL1   V5/α-tub   MTCL1/α-tub

B

input | anti-MTCL1 IP

MTCL1 RNAi | Cont. RNAi | MTCL1 RNAi | Cont. RNAi

kDa

WB:
MTCL1

— 250

— 150

MTCL2

— 250

— 150

C

MTCL1   Ac-tub   GM130

Cont. RNAi

MTCL2 RNAi #2

D

GFP   α-tubulin   Ac-tub

GFP

GFP-MTCL1 C-MTBD

GFP-MTCL2 C-MTBD

E

GFP | GFP-MTCL1 CMTB | GFP-MTCL2 CMTB

kDa

Acetylated -tubulin

— 63

α-tubulin

— 63

— 48

GFP

— 35

Figure 9

A

B