Title: Foliar water uptake as a source of hydrogen and oxygen in plant biomass

Akira Kagawa*

Affiliation:

*Wood Anatomy and Quality Laboratory, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute (FFPRI), Tsukuba, 305-8687, Japan

Correspondence to: Akira Kagawa (akagawa@ffpri.affrc.go.jp)

Summary:

The assumption that hydrogen and oxygen of plant biomass originate almost exclusively from water absorbed by roots (Farquhar et al., 1998; Roden et al., 2000; McElrone et al., 2013), has long been taken for granted in plant sciences. However, recent studies have also identified foliar water uptake as a significant but still unquantified net water source for terrestrial plants (Eller et al., 2013; Goldsmith et al., 2013; Dawson & Goldsmith, 2018; Berry et al., 2019; Schreel & Steppe, 2020). The growing interest in the development of a new model that includes foliar water uptake to explain hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in leaf water and tree rings requires a method for distinguishing between these two water sources. I therefore devised a method utilizing two different heavy waters (HDO and $H_2{}^{18}O$) to simultaneously label both foliar-uptake water and root-uptake water and quantify their relative contributions to plant biomass. Using this new method, I here present evidence that, in the case of well-watered *Cryptomeria japonica*, hydrogen and oxygen incorporated into new leaf cellulose in the rainy season derives mostly from foliar-uptake water, while that of new root cellulose derives mostly from root-uptake water, and new branch xylem is somewhere in between. Abandoning the assumption that these elements are supplied from soil water alone may have vast implications in fields ranging from isotope dendroclimatology, silviculture, to biogeochemistry.

[Text]

1 Introduction

The concept that terrestrial plants absorb water through their roots and release water into the air through their leaves is common knowledge (McElrone et al., 2013) that has formed the basis for models for irrigation, atmospheric sciences, and isotope dendroclimatology, but, in recent decades, the underlying assumptions of these models have started to fall apart in response to growing evidence that plants can absorb much of their water through their leaves (Eller et al., 2013; Goldsmith et al., 2013; Dawson & Goldsmith, 2018; Berry et al., 2019; Schreel & Steppe, 2020).

The first indications that the general model was too simplistic came in the 1980s from studies of fractionation of water in plant leaves (Leaney et al., 1985; Yakir et al., 1990). The ratio of heavy isotopes (δD and $\delta^{18}O$) in leaf water tends to be elevated during transpiration because water molecules composed of heavy isotopes (HDO and $H_2{}^{18}O$) evaporate slower from leaf surfaces than $H_2{}^{16}O$. Although the influence of this enrichment on a number of biogeochemical processes and the resulting ratios of oxygen isotopes in the atmosphere—including isotopes in carbon dioxide, a potential indicator of terrestrial gross primary productivity (Farquhar et al., 1993; Farquhar & Lloyd, 1993; Cuntz et al., 2003; Welp et al., 2011) and isotopes in molecular oxygen, which contributes to the Dole effect (Dole et al., 1954; Luz et al., 1999; Hoffmann et al., 2004)—is now well accepted, the fate of enriched leaf water within plants is less understood. Modifications of the "Craig-Gordon" model (Craig & Gordon, 1965; Dongmann et al., 1974) have tended to overestimate leaf water enrichment, and have been further developed into two mechanistic models: the discrete-pool model and the Péclet model. The discrete-pool model views leaf water as two distinct fractions: evaporatively enriched water in the lamina and unenriched source water in the veins (Leany et al., 1985; Yakir et al., 1989; Yakir et al., 1990; Yakir et al., 1994). The Péclet model takes into account both advection of vein water due to transpiration and backward diffusion of enriched water from evaporative sites, thus generating an isotopic gradient from veins to the evaporation site (Farquhar & Lloyd, 1993; Farquhar & Gan, 2003). However, despite huge efforts to further revise these models, they are still insufficient to describe observed isotopic distributions, and researchers have begun to look for creative solutions (Cernusak et al., 2016). For example, Helliker & Richter (2008) reported a discrepancy between observed oxygen isotope enrichment of tree-ring cellulose above source water ($\Delta^{18}O_c$) and $\Delta^{18}O_c$ values predicted from the Péclet model (Barbour & Farquhar, 2000), and attributed the discrepancy to convergence of tree-leaf temperatures in subtropical and boreal biomes. However, there is a latitudinal gradient of precipitation with lesser precipitation and humidity at higher latitude (Baumgartrer & Reichel, 1975). Perhaps some discrepancies between current models and observations can be explained by foliar water uptake (FWU, the uptake of water through the surface of leaves) in addition to root water uptake (RWU).

In the past, water in roots and stems was commonly assumed to have the same isotopic composition as soil water (Gonfiatini et al., 1965; Wershaw et al., 1966; Dawson & Ehleringer, 1991). However, in light of current research showing that FWU provides plants with a significant secondary water source (Dawson & Goldsmith, 2018; Berry et al., 2019; Schreel & Steppe, 2020), we should expect isotopic differences between precipitation and soil water (Treydte et al., 2014) to be reflected in different parts of plants (Zhao et al., 2016; Hannes et al., 2020). Since FWU is associated with reversals in sap flow, we might hypothesize the existence of a gradient in the ratio of foliar-uptake water to root-uptake water (FUW/RUW), with the ratio decreasing across tissue water from leaves to roots, and FUW even reaching the roots in some cases (Eller et al., 2013; Cassana et al., 2016). If this gradient is then reflected in biomass, the results of Helliker & Richter's (2008) study could be explained more simply by the correlation of latitude and precipitation (Baumgartner & Reichel, 1975). Adequate assessment of this possibility requires investigating the contribution of FUW and RUW to the isotopic gradient along the stem as well as in the roots and leaves, and in both tissue water and biomass.

Understanding how leaf water isotope signals are then incorporated into tree-ring cellulose is important for applications such as reconstruction of paleoclimate and analysis involving plant responses to environmental changes (Gessler et al., 2014). In East Asia, archaeological research has come to rely more and more on tree-ring oxygen isotopes for isotopic cross-dating (Roden, 2008; Nakai et al., 2018; Loader et al., 2019; Seo et al., 2019; Choi et al., 2020; Nakatsuka et al., 2020) and provenancing of wood (Kagawa & Leavitt, 2010). The precision of these methods depends on within-site coherence and mechanistic understanding of tree-ring $\delta^{18}O$, but the idea that FUW may be incorporated into plant biomass has only received serious attention in recent years. For example, Lehman et al. (2018; 2019) used water vapour depleted in ^{18}O to demonstrate how FUW can be assimilated into sugars formed during photosynthesis in wet and humid climates, but left open the question of FUW assimilation into tree rings. It is therefore vital that we investigate similar possibilities for tree-ring cellulose in climates with a wet growing season.

According to Köppen's climate classification, the Japanese mainland is characterized by a "humid subtropical climate" (Kottek et al., 2006), in which the summer monsoon brings a marked rainy season

(commonly called the “plum rain”, 梅雨, in countries in this region). During the rainy season, overcast conditions with photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of less than 1000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} are much more frequent than sunny conditions with PPFD around 2000 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} (Miyashita et al., 2012). Rice leaves, for example, stay wet for about 70% of this period (Yoshida et al., 2015; Hiromitsu Kanno, unpublished data), and reported durations of leaf wetness in forests are only slightly less (Klemm et al., 2002). In Tsukuba, where this study was conducted, an average rainy season lasts from June 8 to July 21 (Japan Meteorological Agency, https://www.jma.go.jp/), which corresponds to the latter half of the earlywood formation period and includes the summer solstice when radial tree growth is at a maximum (Kagawa et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2006).

With the requirement for leaf wetness during the growing season met, we might suspect that FWU will have an especially strong impact on hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in trees in Japan and surrounding regions. This suspicion grows if we consider that, according to statistical isotope dendroclimatology data from various parts of the world, tree-ring $\delta^{18}O$ series from regions with relatively wet growing seasons tend to show both higher correlation to early-summer humidity and precipitation, and higher within-site coherence. For example, oxygen isotope ratios of tree rings from Japan and Korea—including tree-ring $\delta^{18}O$ series for Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica*) with some of the highest known degrees of coherences in the world—show very high correlations to precipitation and humidity during the rainy season (Nakatsuka et al., 2004; Li et al., 2015; Seo et al., 2019; Nakatsuka et al., 2020). In the Pacific Northwest, which has a similarly wet growing season, FWU has been directly observed in coastal redwoods (*Sequoia sempervirens*) with up to 6% of leaf water originating from the previous night’s fog (Burgess & Dawson, 2004), and tree rings of coastal redwood also show strong coherence at both inter-annual and intra-annual levels (Roden et al., 2008; Roden et al., 2009; Roden et al., 2011). In contrast, in regions with a Mediterranean climate, for example, precipitation and humidity reach a minimum in the middle of the growing season (Kottek et al., 2006), and tree-ring $\delta^{18}O$ shows weaker coherence (Saurer et al., 2008; Reynolds-Hennne et al., 2009; Konter et al., 2014).

This is understandable if we consider that isotope ratios of rainwater are strongly coherent from catchment to regional scales (Miyake et al., 1968; Ingraham, 1998; Treydte et al., 2014; Baker et al., 2015), whereas isotope ratios of soil water are less coherent because of the heterogeneous nature of soil (Dawson, 1993; Tsujimura & Tanaka, 1998; Treydte et al., 2014). We can therefore hypothesize that tree-ring hydrogen and oxygen isotopic series with higher contributions of FUW may show stronger coherence across a study site.

Our current mechanistic understanding of the assimilation of FUW into tree rings has been limited by lack of effective tools to study this phenomenon (Berry et al., 2019). Authors including myself have suggested that use of artificially enriched tracers in the forms of $^{13}CO_2$, HDO, and $H_2{}^{18}O$ instead of natural isotope tracers may provide a clearer picture of the physiological processes between photosynthetic incorporation of isotopes and their deposition into tree rings (Helle & Panferov, 2004; Kagawa et al., 2005; Kagawa et al., 2006a). I have therefore devised a novel dual-isotope labelling method utilizing HDO and $H_2{}^{18}O$ (Fig. 1), which are particularly appealing because hydrogen and oxygen isotopes behave similarly in the environment (Craig & Gordon, 1965) and tree-ring isotope ratios of hydrogen and oxygen are explained by the same mechanistic model with different fractionation factors (Roden et al., 2000; Gessler et al., 2014). The dual-isotope labelling method allows separate labelling of foliar- and root-absorbed water with heavy hydrogen water and heavy oxygen water.

There are three immediate questions I hope this dual labelling method can help to answer: (1) What is the rate of foliar water uptake and when does it reach equilibrium? (2) Does the FUW/RUW ratio differ across needles, phloem+epidermis, xylem and roots? (3) What percentage of hydrogen and oxygen in cellulose and dry organic matter originates from FUW? In order to answer these questions, I chose Japanese cedar as my subject. Japanese cedar is one of the most frequently studied trees in (isotope) dendroclimatology research in Japan, while their North American siblings in the sequoia genus (family Cupressaceae) are similarly well-known in research. For example, redwood is known as the tallest tree species on earth, and even with ample soil moisture, increasing leaf water stress due to gravity and path length resistance is likely to limit its height (Koch et al., 2004), unless FWU can provide a second

source of water (Burgess & Dawson, 2004). Likewise, FWU may play an important role for Japanese cedar, which achieves the tallest height among Japanese tree species.

From 16 July at 18:00 through 19 July at 18:00, during the rainy season of east-central Japan, I pulse-labelled one "O/H" group of three saplings by spraying needles with heavy oxygen water and watering roots with heavy hydrogen water, and a second "H/O" group of three saplings by spraying needles with heavy hydrogen water and watering roots with heavy oxygen water (Fig. 1). Two control saplings were supplied only tap water. By analysing the subsequent hydrogen and oxygen isotopic signals of the drainage water and of the tissue water and biomass in each organ, I herein attempt to shed light on the absorption, transport, and assimilation of foliar-uptake water into the structural components of trees.

2 Results

2.1 Preliminaries

In Tsukuba, the rainy season of 2019 lasted from June 7 to July 24 (Japan Meteorological Agency, https://www.jma.go.jp/), which puts our pulse-labelling period (July 16 to 19) near the end of the rainy season. The weather during the pulse-labelling period (dotted square in Extended Data Fig. 1) was cloudy, and I was satisfied that FWU was likely. The four days following the pulse-labelling had low solar radiation with occasional rain and July 24 onward saw a series of sunny days with temperature exceeding 30 °C. Transpiration was proportional to PPFD, and, trees transpired an average of 53 g each on sunny days and 3-35 g each on rainy/cloudy days (Extended Data Table 1).

Preliminary analysis of heavy water gave the following results, which were used in subsequent sample analysis: Heavy hydrogen water had ^{18}O concentration within natural range and deuterium concentration of 1.1%, the equivalent of a deuterium concentration 72 times higher than natural water. Heavy oxygen water had deuterium concentration within natural range and ^{18}O concentration of 2.3%, 12 times higher than natural water (Extended Data Table 2). Based on these values, a 960‰ increase in

excess δD translates to a 1% increase in τ_H, and a 140‰ increase in excess $\delta^{18}O$ translates a 1% increase in τ_O.

Analysis of the control trees showed no significant increase over reference of $\delta^{18}O$ in needle and $\delta^{18}O$ and δD in root water (t test, one tailed, $P > 0.05$). There was a significant increase in δD of needle water (24.4‰, $P = 3.1 \times 10^{-5}$), but still within natural variation (±26‰) according to Cernusak et al. (2016). I therefore concluded that any cross-chamber contamination was within acceptable limits.

2.2 Isotopic signals of FUW and RUW in tissue water

Heavy water concentrations in needles and roots showed similar trends in both an O/H sapling (Fig. 2a) and an H/O sapling (Fig. 2b). Needles sampled during the labelling period showed the highest water content at the upper part (62.2 ± 1.2 %, n = 10), followed by middle (57.0 ± 5.8 %, n = 10) and lower parts (51.2 ± 3.0 %, n = 10), however, the concentration of FUW varied little among upper, middle, and lower needles. Almost half of needle water was replaced by foliar-uptake heavy water (heavy FUW) within 24 hours of heavy water exposure. Immediately after the last heavy water spray (18 July, 18:00), heavy FUW in needles started decreasing, and within 48 hours of opening each chamber on 19 July, 18:00 (Fig. 1b), the heavy FUW signal in needles was completely lost (21 July, 18:00).

Root-uptake heavy water (heavy RUW) was first detected in needles 24 hours after the first heavy water administration (17 July, 18:00) and reached a maximum (19 July, 18:00 – 20 July, 18:00) at around the end of the labelling period. Heavy RUW in needles continued to decrease from 20 July, 18:00 until 22 July, 18:00, which corresponds to a rainy period with low PPFD. Then, from 24 July, trees responded to a series of sunny days with increased transpiration. Quite unexpectedly, heavy RUW reappeared strongly in needles on 25 July 18:00, but by 28 July, 18:00, the signal was completely lost.

Heavy RUW in roots showed larger short-term fluctuations than heavy RUW in needles, but roots sampled from different locations showed similar values, suggesting large temporal change and small spatial change in heavy RUW concentrations. Concentration of heavy RUW in roots reached maximum at the end of heavy water administration (18 July, 18:00) then gradually decreased.

Very small but significant amounts of heavy FUW were detected in all root samples of the O/H and H/O trees, except for O/H roots of 20 July, 18:00 (t-test, one tailed, $P < 0.032$). The highest excess $\delta^{18}O$ and δD values observed in O/H and H/O roots were 51.5‰ and 232‰, respectively.

Overall, the peak area ratio of heavy FUW to RUW ($\Delta^{18}O_w/\Delta D_w$ for O/H tree and $\Delta D_w/\Delta^{18}O_w$ for H/O tree in Fig. 2) in tissue water across different organs, ranged from 4.8 in the needles to 0.02 in the roots when averaged over O/H and H/O trees (forming a gradient qualitatively expressed in the left side of Fig. 3).

2.3 Isotope ratios of drainage water

Less than half of the drainage water from the aboveground chambers originated from the heavy water sprayed to needles (Extended Data Table 3). About half of the drainage water was natural water originating from inside the trees and soil and a small fraction (4-8%) was heavy water administered to the ground chamber.

About one third of drainage water from the ground chambers consisted of heavy water administered to the soil, and the rest was natural water. The absence of heavy FUW (Excess $\delta^{18}O$ of O/H tree ground drainage water was 9.1‰, and excess δD of H/O tree ground drainage water was 2.3‰) further indicates that no cross-chamber contamination occurred (Fig. 1).

2.4 Heavy FUW and RUW ratios in cellulose

Trends in the ratios of FUW to RUW assimilation into cellulose were examined through analysis of heavy water percentage (τ) in α-cellulose (Extended Data Table 4). ANOVA tests showed no significant difference between O/H and H/O treatments on heavy water percentage (τ) in α-cellulose extracted from needles, phloem+epidermis, xylem, and roots ($P = 0.40$), nor a significant difference in τ between (1) needles and (2) phloem+epidermis ($P = 0.63$). However, (1) needles, phloem, and epidermis, (2) xylem, and (3) roots were significantly different from each other ($P = 1.8\times10^{-10}$).

Average τ_{Cell} of FUW decreased in the order of (1) needles, phloem, and epidermis, (2) xylem, and (3) roots, decreasing with distance from needles (Extended Data Table 4). Similarly, average τ_{Cell} of RUW decreased in the order of (1) roots, (2) xylem, and (3) needles, phloem, and epidermis, decreasing with distance from roots. The ratio of assimilated FUW/RUW in α-cellulose ranged from a ratio of 2.2 in the needles to 0.2 in the roots (forming a gradient qualitatively expressed in the right side of Fig. 3).

3 Discussion

3.1 Foliar and root water uptake

The first question I aim to address in this paper concerns the rates of FWU and RWU and the process of absorption. In this study, even though water content increased with needle elevation within saplings—which we would expect since needles near the tops of Japanese cedars show the most succulence and have the most transfusion tissue for storing water (Azuma et al., 2016)—the concentration of FUW varied little among upper, middle, and lower needles, so I will hereafter refer to the average trends of all needles. I saw up to 40% of needle water replaced by FUW within the first 24 hours of labelling. According to Berry et al. (2019)'s classification of leaves, the Japanese cedar needles in my study fall in the range of "high FWU rate" and "high water capacitance" (See Fig. 4 in Berry et al., 2019). Reports on FUW replacement of leaf water vary widely across species and experimental design, with other reports of high FWU rates including 21% for a temperate conifer (*Araucaria angustifolia*), in overnight fog (See Fig. 3a in Cassana et al., 2016), and nearly 100% in 3 to 8 hours for *Pinus sylvestris* and *Fagus sylvatica* in fog (Lehman et al., 2019; See Lehman et al., 2019 for other examples).

When rain falls on Japanese cedar, the water forms droplets coalesce as they roll down, and are trapped among the bases of needles at the shoot axis, keeping the needles mostly dry (Extended Data Fig. 2, Nanko et al., 2013). This is advantageous for CO_2 uptake in rainy climates (Hanba et al., 2004),

but it limits FWU, and means that a significant portion "foliar water uptake" may happen via uptake of liquid water through shoot axes instead of vapour through the stomata of needles.

Because plants both absorb and lose water through bidirectional exchange at leaf surfaces (Kim & Lee, 2011; Goldsmith et al., 2017), the drainage water of the above-ground chambers in this experiment included not only run-off from spraying the needles, but also water from inside the saplings (Extended Data Table 3). Without further experiment, it would be hard to say how much heavy FUW from needle water was expelled through leaves back into the environment, but the 45-54% of drainage water composed of natural isotope ratios must have come from inside the saplings or from the soil, while another 4-8% was root-absorbed heavy water.

We can get a sense of the relative rates of FWU and RWU, by noticing that the heavy RUW signals in roots and needles were initially smaller but more persistent than the heavy FUW signal in needles. Fig. 2 is dominated by the heavy FUW signals in needles which spiked dramatically during labelling, but disappeared within four days of the last labelled spray, while heavy RUW signals were more erratic and somewhat less pronounced, but still clearly present in both roots and needles seven days after labelling. I deduce that the turnover of soil water took longer than the turnover of foliar-absorbed precipitation. In other words, FWU happened at faster rate over a shorter period than RWU, creating a lag in the heavy RUW signal. This agrees with published research (Kabeya et al. 2007; Lehman et al., 2018; Lehman et al., 2019).

The relative size of the isotopic signals of RUW versus FUW in needles can be explained by considering that the drainage water from the ground chambers contained 64-72% natural water (Extended Data Table 3). Even allowing for bidirectional water exchange between roots and soil, I estimate that heavy water available to roots could not have exceeded averaged concentrations of much more than 1/3 during the labelling period. The erratic nature of the heavy water signal may reflect temporal fluctuations of water flux in fine roots and erratic dispersion of water in the soil (Dawson 1993; Treydte et al., 2014) that would make labelling plants through soil water particularly tricky.

3.2 Transport of FUW and RUW

The next step is to consider the transport of FUW and RUW within the saplings. The transport of RUW to needles is a direct prediction of the traditional model of transpiration, but what about transport of FUW? Fig. 2 shows that minima of RUW in needle water were accompanied by minima of transpiration, demonstrating that xylem flow changed direction depending on transpiration conditions.

FUW signals in roots were close to zero but still significant in both trees. Cassana et al. (2016) report much larger concentrations of FUW in root water and possible release of FUW through the roots into the soil, creating FUW concentrations in soil+fine root as high as 34%, but this only occurs for water-stressed trees (See Fig. 3 in Cassana et al., 2016). In my experiments, roots were watered only a few minutes after watering the needles, most likely resulting in a bidirectional flow from leaves and roots towards stem, where water potential is lowest (as depicted in Fig. 3B in Schreel & Steppe (2020)). This also explains why drainage water from the ground chambers in this experiment contained only 0.01-0.09% FUW, much too small a signal to detect in the soil. Other authors with better-watered trees also report no detection of FUW in soil (Limm et al., 2009, Berry et al., 2014).

Nevertheless, FUW in roots was first detected on 17 July, the morning after the first labelling, showing the possibility that translocation of phloem sap happened overnight. The first clear RUW signal in needles only appeared later that day. If we refer again to Cassana et al. (2016), we find that direction and volume of advectional flow depend heavily on water potential, and that flow of xylem sap in the direction of leaves to roots is driven by an inverted gradient in the water potential across leaves, stem, and roots. However, maximum FUW in roots observed was 0.5%, which is much smaller than 34% of FUW observed in Cassana et al. (2016). For example, the phloem to xylem flux ratio of water in popular is reported to be 0.19 at night (Windt et al., 2006). Since Cassana et al. (2016) did not find significant amount of FUW in roots of well-watered trees, and flux of water in phloem is much smaller than in xylem, I believe that FUW in roots observed in this study was most likely transported to roots via phloem. Given a phloem translocation velocity of 0.1 m h^{-1} for a conifer (Epron et al., 2019), phloem sap exported from the leaves of saplings like those in this study should be able to reach roots within five hours. In springtime, about 30% of gross primary production is translocated underground via

phloem (Epron et al., 2019), and I deduce both active phloem translocation and positive turgor in roots during my experiment because I observed active fine root growth (up to 1 cm per day). Furthermore, phloem thickness is reported to be larger at night when the vapour pressure deficit is lower and phloem water potential is higher (Pfautsch et al., 2015). When trees are well-watered, low osmotic pressure (i.e. low viscosity) of phloem sap enhances active transport of phloem sap (Dannoura et al., 2019). I therefore expect significant active phloem transport under conditions favourable to FWU.

By this reasoning, opening the chambers to outside ventilation and thereby decreasing humidity on 19 July would lead to the normal water potential, increased transpiration, acropetal xylem sap flow, and active water uptake by fine roots the following days. Indeed—except for 22 July, which was a dark day of 100% humidity (data not shown) with a minimum of transpiration—RUW in the needles was observed through 25 July. Absence of RUW in needles on 22 July signifies that sap had been flowing in the inverted, basipetal direction. By then, the trees had been receiving only tap water for three days, and I believe that any heavy FUW still in the needles would have been lost by transpiration or diluted by newly absorbed tap water. However, some of the heavy FUW could still have existed in the phloem of branches and stems, which have capacitance and can store some amount of water (Sevanto et al., 2011, Steppe et al., 2018). I conclude that the combination of high humidity, needle wetting, and minimum transpiration triggered inversion of the water potential and caused heavy FUW in the needles to flow down to branches or stems through xylem, resulting in a small but persistent supply of FUW to the roots through phloem until 25 July.

Conditions on both 24 and 25 July were sunny and dry, and, by the above reasoning, active transpiration would have returned the xylem sap flow the familiar, roots-to-needles direction, replacing tap water in needles with heavy RUW transported from the branch, stem and roots. Heavy RUW in needles reached the second maximum on 25 July at 18:00, but all signals in root and needle water were lost by 6 August, probably due to repeated watering with tap water during and after transplanting and active transpiration under drier weather.

These findings support the theory that the internal dynamics of FUW and RUW are extremely vital to forest hydrology, and help to explain one of the functions of the forest canopy. Anyone who has taken

shelter under a tree has experienced canopy interception, and Breshears et al. (2008) have demonstrated that foliar absorption and transportation of intercepted rainfall is sufficient to improve plant water status in *Juniperus monosperma*, which naturally grows in dryland ecosystems. In coniferous forests, the closed canopies keep the forest floor relatively dry at the beginning of rain events. This is a seasonal effect, with stronger interception during the spring/summer growing season, when the water potential of leaves is more negative (Dunin et al., 1988; Murakami, 2006), and in a mature stand of Japanese cedar, such interception by the canopy can last up to 24 hours from the start of a rain event (See Fig. 5D in Iida et al., 2017). This is similar to the time the trees in my experiment required for needle FWU to reach equilibrium (roughly estimated as the time between first administration of heavy water and first FUW and RUW signal peaks in Fig. 2).

I believe that FWU is at least one of the mechanisms driving active canopy interception, and I hypothesize that maximal transport of FUW toward roots occurs at the beginning of rain events, when the canopy interception is greatest (Iida et al., 2017) and the water potential difference between leaves and soil is still large—a variation on the model described by Schreel & Steppe (2020). Even when rain is falling in a forest, the air is not saturated (Murakami, 2006, Dunkerley, 2009), suggesting the possibility of net foliar "vapour" uptake of atmospheric humidity. Some studies demonstrate FWU under high humidity conditions by up-regulation of aquaporin (Laur & Hacke, 2014a; Laur & Hacke, 2014b), even in the absence of physical leaf wetting (Wang et al., 2016; Vesala et al., 2017), which could be tested by future labelling experiments.

3.3 Assimilation of FUW and RUW into cellulose

Analysis of the biomass formed during the rainy season of pulse labelling revealed that a significant percentage of hydrogen and oxygen in the cellulose was derived from FUW. This finding literally turns the commonly accepted notion that hydrogen and oxygen of plant biomass derive from water absorbed by roots—as enshrined in textbook figures explaining photosynthesis—upside-down. At least for climates with wet growing seasons, textbook figures explaining water use in photosynthesis are

inadequate, and we need new figures that include FWU (such as Fig. 3). Furthermore, we have discussed evidence that water potential drives transportation of FUW and RUW to set up a FUW/RUW gradient in tissue water. I propose that this FUW/RUW gradient in tissue water is then embedded in the cellulose (as illustrated in Fig. 3).

Let us combine this study's results with current knowledge to examine how this process might play out. First, as discussed in 3.2, we assume that the uptake and transportation of FUW and RUW create a gradient in the tissue water that can shift according to water status. Photosynthesis assimilates available hydrogen and oxygen from leaf water (and carbon from CO_2) and embeds the leaf water signal of FUW/RUW into carbohydrates (Epstein et al., 1976; DeNiro & Epstein, 1979; Farquhar et al., 1998). These carbohydrates may be used locally or translocated to other parts of the plant. Although we do not know if and at what rate the carbohydrates exchange oxygen (and hydrogen) with local water during phloem loading, transportation, and unloading (Gessler et al., 2014), even with lack of direct data, we expect carbohydrates dominated by sucrose to carry isotopic signals acquired in production to other parts of the plant, because sucrose has no free carbonyl group to exchange oxygen atoms with local water. However, various authors have found that there is a high rate of exchange during synthesis of cellulose (Hill et al., 1995; Cernusak et al., 2005; Sternberg, 2009), which would encode the local ratio of FUW/RUW into the cellulose.

In leaves, the isotopic signals of biomass should be a function of the integrated isotopic signals of leaf water, dominated by FUW (Barnard et al., 2007; Gessler et al., 2007). Away from the site of photosynthesis, we can expect exchange during cellulose formation to shift the isotopic signals of cellulose from FUW towards RUW as we move in the direction from leaves to roots. Therefore, the signal in tree rings should be a function of the signal in cambial water and depend on the average fractions of carbon-bound hydrogen available for exchange (q_{ex}) and oxygen available for exchange (p_{ex}). In my study, the similarity of trends of heavy water distributions in tissues (Fig. 2) and signals in α-cellulose (Extended Data Table 4) in the O/H and H/O tree supported the assumption that $q_{ex} \approx p_{ex}$ in our approach. The signal in roots should be a function dominated by the RUW signal.

Substitution of τ_{Ht} and τ_{Ot} with isotope ratios of bulk root biomass (which includes cellulose and other carbohydrates) sampled from 16 July to 25 July gave averaged pq_{ex} values of 0.93 for the O/H tree and 0.83 for the H/O tree (Extended Data Table 5), and samples of α-cellulose extracted from roots yielded pq_{ex} = 0.90 for the O/H tree and pq_{ex} = 1.01 for the H/O tree (calculated from data in Extended Data Table 4 and 5). As time elapsed from the start of labelling, I also observed a gradual increase in r, indicating deposition of deuterium and oxygen-18 into newly formed root biomass.

Among reported pq_{ex} values, these are on the high end, but not unreasonably so. For various species and growing conditions, other authors have found that, q_{ex} during biomass synthesis to be close to 0.40 (Yakir & Deniro, 1990; Terwilliger & Deniro, 1995; Roden et al., 2000; Waterhouse et al., 2002) and p_{ex} usually between 0.40 and 0.50 (Sternberg & Deniro, 1983; Sternberg et al., 1986; Yakir & Deniro, 1990; Hill et al., 1995; Roden et al., 2000; Helliker & Ehleringer, 2002; Sternberg et al., 2003; Cernusak et al., 2005; Sternberg et al., 2006), but as low as 0.32 (Roden et al., 2000). Under certain circumstances, however, p_{ex} can be much higher. For example, p_{ex} is known to show seasonal variations between spring and summer, with the highest exchange observed at the beginning of the growing season (p_{ex} = 0.76 for *Fagus sylvatica*, Offermann et al., 2011), when elevated temperatures are known to promote enzymatic conversion of starch to sugar to supply energy for new cell wall formation (Begum et al., 2010). Variable p_{ex} from 0.40 to 1.0 have been reported (Schmidt et al., 2001; Sternberg et al., 2006) with especially high p_{ex} values under water stressed and high salinity conditions (Ellsworth & Sternberg, 2014). For a supply of assimilate to be maintained, phloem transport has to stay unaffected by water stress and high salinity, and, for example, *Ricinus communis* under water stress increases sucrose content in the sieve tubes by osmoregulation (Smith & Milburn, 1980). Incidentally, Song et al. (2014) also studied *Ricinus communis* to find positive correlation between p_{ex} and the turnover time of carbohydrate (sucrose) pool available for cellulose synthesis, and they found positive (although statistically non-significant) correlation between rainfall amount and p_{ex} in *Eucalyptus globuls* in south-western Australia, suggesting a link between p_{ex} and water availability.

Since the trees on my study were well–watered, one potential explanation for high p_{ex} (and q_{ex}) could be that FWU caused leaf water and the carbohydrates contained within to be transported to the stem and

roots via phloem (Laur & Hacke 2014a), thereby increasing phloem sugar content—and hence turnover time of carbohydrate pool— at the branch, stem and roots. As cells at the cambial zone absorb water and expand, solutes in their cytoplasm are diluted. To maintain constant osmotic pressure in cytoplasm, expanding cells actively break down sucrose into glucose and fructose (Koch 2004), which may explain the unusually high exchange of oxygen (and hydrogen) between sugars and local water that I observed. Song et al. (2014) hypothesized that p_{ex} and the turnover time of the carbohydrate (sucrose) pool is positively related. This hypothesis could be tested with further labelling experiments.

Eventually, the labelling signal of water inside trees would be either incorporated into tree-ring cellulose or released back into the environment. Although I found significant labelling signals in new roots that were sampled during the labelling period (Extended Data Table 4 and 5), replanting the trees on July 26 also allowed me to later isolate roots formed in the post-labelling period, when there would have been less, if any, labelled primary photosynthate available for cellulose production. When I took root samples the following January, I did not find significant labelling signals in any of the thick, post-labelling roots (diameter up to 3 mm) sampled from all six labelled trees (P = 0.095, Extended Data Table 6). In a previous study, my laboratory successfully detected signals from photosynthate labelled with $^{13}CO_2$ in July in roots formed later that year (Kagawa et al., 2006b). Since I found no such D and ^{18}O signals in the present study, I deduce a complete exchange of D and ^{18}O signals in the carbohydrate pool with medium water and/or fast turnover of the carbohydrate pool within the six months between labelling in July and sampling the following January. Since 83-93% of hydrogen and oxygen in substrates for roots was replaced with root water within a week (Extended Data Table 5), hydrogen and oxygen of the carbohydrate pool might have been turning over at a much faster rate than carbon. In the coming years, I plan to analyse hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of tree rings formed in years following labelling to see if hydrogen and oxygen isotope signals also appear in later rings, as ^{13}C signals appear in later rings after $^{13}CO_2$ labelling (Kagawa et al. 2006a).

Current mechanistic models explaining tree-ring hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios are based mainly on experiments in which trees were grown in hydroponic facilities without leaf wetting (Roden et al., 2000). However, in the present study, more than half of the hydrogen and oxygen in cellulose extracted

from the current-year's branch wood was derived from FUW, so this practice is clearly inadequate. We can make a comparison to carbon allocation patterns of trees, which can be explained by a source/sink model (Lacointe, 2000). There is only one source of carbon for autotrophic trees: Leaves. However, there are at least two sources of hydrogen and oxygen: Foliar-uptake water and root-uptake water. According to source-sink models of carbon allocation, each non-green organ (sink) draws carbon from the nearest source with the shortest distance. Similarly, in this study, leaves contained mostly foliar uptake water, roots contained mostly root-uptake water, and branch wood contained roughly equal parts of foliar-uptake water and root-uptake water (Extended Data Table 4), thus suggesting that this rule also holds true for hydrogen and oxygen allocation of trees during rain events. Because foliar water uptake was accompanied by an increased exchange of hydrogen and oxygen (up to 100%) between local water and carbohydrate pool (Extended Data Table 5), I conjecture that, during a rain event following days of sunshine, hydrogen and oxygen isotopic information in sugars, which carries leaf evaporative enrichment signals (elevated δD and $\delta^{18}O$) from the time of photosynthesis, may be mostly overwritten by local water at the cambium. A significant portion of hydrogen and oxygen incorporated into tree rings formed during rainy season should therefore derive directly from foliar-uptake water, especially for trees in humid regions, such as Monsoon Asia. Perhaps this can explain some recently published findings such as unusual δD and $\delta^{18}O$ values in the earlywood of Japanese oak (Nabeshima et al., 2018).

On one hand, future studies may find that the percentage of FUW in stem wood turns out to be somewhat lower than the percentages in branch wood where samples were taken in this study. However, since the latter half of earlywood formation of Japanese trees overlaps with the rainy season, and radial growth reaches maximum speeds during this period (Kagawa et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2006), I expect that FUW to make a significant contribution to tree-ring hydrogen and oxygen isotopes in Monsoon Asia. If this is correct, current mechanistic models explaining hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of leaf water and tree rings will need to be revised to account for contributions from FWU.

4 Conclusions

As far as I know, this is the first study to quantify relative contributions of foliar-uptake water and root-uptake water to the biomass of terrestrial plants. I found that foliar water uptake reached equilibrium within 12-24 hours in *Cryptomeria japonica* saplings, and the ratio of foliar-uptake water and root-uptake water increased from roots to branches to leaves, creating isotopic patterns that were embedded in cellulose. More than half of the hydrogen and oxygen in new branch wood originated from foliar-uptake water. Although these results may be most representative of trees with rainy growing seasons, they clearly demonstrate the need to overhaul the commonly accepted view that trees assimilate water absorbed almost exclusively from roots into their biomass. Future research on mature trees over a longer time period is still required to determine, exactly how much hydrogen and oxygen in plant biomass originates from foliar-uptake water.

The dual-isotope pulse-labelling method can be used to further explore this topic and other biological processes related to this study, such as transport processes of foliar-uptake water, and clarification of whether foliar-uptake water is transported via xylem, phloem, or some combination of the two. We also need to test whether net foliar water uptake of trees can happen from near-saturated air even in the absence of physical leaf wetting, and if so, at what humidity (Yan et al., 2015). We might also address how much foliar-uptake water is used for production of molecular oxygen through photosynthesis. In the future, experiments with stable isotopes, if conducted in a closed system with closely monitored isotopic mass balances between plants and the surrounding environment, may even enable us to quantify the absolute net foliar uptake of water into plants. Another interesting subject would be application to isotope dendroclimatology. For example, I would hypothesize that tree-ring cores sampled from upper and lower parts of the stem may have isotopic differences that are most obvious between wood increments formed during rain events, because the upper core may rely more on foliar-uptake water. In conclusion, the dual labelling method with HDO and $H_2{}^{18}O$ first implemented in this study offers a new tool to study the uptake, transport, and assimilation processes of water in terrestrial plants, and successfully enabled independent labelling of foliar-uptake water and root-uptake water.

Using this method, I found conclusive evidence that foliar water uptake can be a significant source of hydrogen and oxygen in plant biomass, such as tree rings, formed during rainy season.

M Methods

M.1 Sample trees and labelling experiment

For this experiment, I used eight two-year old saplings of Japanese cedar, grown at the Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute in Tsukuba, Japan. Each sapling grew in a pot (inner diameter 45 mm, depth 135 mm), filled with coconut coir and fertilized with slow-release nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. The pots were moved to a nearby greenhouse for acclimatization one month before the labelling experiment. During this period, they were watered every 2-3 days to field capacity with tap water. The greenhouse was well ventilated with open side windows, and equipped with a glass roof to shelter the saplings from rain. Average sapling height was 45 ± 14 cm at the time of labelling.

The saplings were divided into three groups: The O/H group consisting of trees A, B, and C would have their aboveground parts supplied with heavy oxygen water and their belowground parts supplied with heavy hydrogen water. The H/O group consisting of trees D, E, and F would have their aboveground parts supplied with heavy hydrogen water and their belowground parts supplied with heavy oxygen water. The control group consisting of two trees G and H would be supplied only tap water.

On July 16 at 15:00, I installed separate chambers constructed from transparent, resealable plastic bags around the aboveground parts and belowground parts of each sapling, as shown in Fig. 1a. I reinforced the seal around the stem where it exits the aboveground chambers with Gaffer tape, leaving a 5-cm gap between chambers, where I gently wrapped each stem in paper towel. I turned the bottom hem of each aboveground chamber up towards the inside to create a gutter leading condensation towards a drainage bottle. Soil drained to a bottom corner in the ground chambers. Throughout the experiment, the air inside each aboveground chamber was gently circulated by an electric fan. The two control saplings

were placed immediately downwind of the experimental saplings to detect potential interference of heavy-water vapour escaping the chambers. To prevent condensation, chamber seals were left open until after the first labelling procedure.

On 16 July at 18:00, around sunset, I used a sprayer to wet the surfaces of the upper needles of tree A with heavy oxygen water (0.2 atom% ^{18}O). After closing the top seal, I opened the side seal and similarly wetted the middle and lower needles, injecting a total of 15.4 ml of heavy oxygen water into the aboveground chamber. I then closed the side seal, leaving open a small vent just large enough for atmospheric gases to enter the chamber and maintain a CO_2 concentration above 200 ppm. Next I opened the seal of the ground chamber. I used a pipette to uniformly wet the upper surface of the soil with 12 ml of heavy hydrogen water (1.1 atom% D), then closed the seal, except for a CO_2 vent (Fig. 1a). I repeated the same procedure on trees B and C, and repeated the whole process for the three saplings in the H/O group, but with the heavy water supplies swapped for the aboveground and belowground parts. All of this was completed within 30 minutes of 18:00. On July 17 and 18 at 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00, I repeated this labelling process for both groups of trees. In total, the aboveground parts of each tree received 108 ml of heavy water and the belowground parts of each tree received 84 ml of heavy water. I chose these values in consideration of reports that, under the trees' typical growing conditions, 22 % of rainfall does not reach the ground due to interception mechanisms including FWU (Murakami, 2006; Breshears et al., 2008).

After the last administration of heavy water, I kept the aboveground chambers sealed except for CO_2 vents for 24 hours to emulate high-humidity conditions for one day following a rain event. On 19 July at 18:00, I collected the drainage water and opened the chambers to outside ventilation (Fig. 1b) until 20 July at 06:00, when I removed the chambers completely. I weighed the saplings on 20, 21, 22, 24, and 26 July to measure transpiration (Seiler & Johnson, 1988), and watered them with tap water (15.4 ml to aboveground parts and 12 ml to belowground three times a day) through 18:00 on 26 July, at which point I transplanted saplings to 4 litre pots to accommodate growing root systems and watered the pots to field capacity three times. From this date onward, I watered saplings with tap water every 2-3 days to field capacity, including wetting the needles and watering the soil. Temperature and CO_2 concentration

were monitored inside the chambers from 16 to 19 July, and outside the chambers from 15 July to 7 August.

I sampled needles from upper, middle and lower branches, and new roots (easily distinguishable from older roots by their white colour) periodically from 16 July at 17:30 (before labelling) through 25 July (6 days after the last labelling) and sampled needles again on 28 July and 6 August. I limited samples to volumes the saplings could tolerate without damage and reduced sampling frequency as necessary, as shown in Fig. 2. I wiped wet samples dry, then sealed all samples in air-tight vials and put them immediately into a freezer (-14 ^{o}C) located at the greenhouse before transfer to a larger freezer (-30 ^{o}C) closer to our microbalance.

The following January, I sampled roots and current-year branches for α-cellulose extraction. To minimize damage and keep the trees healthy for later observation, I used roots formed within the soil added around the original coconut coir. I extracted α-cellulose from these samples and also from needles and roots sampled previously on 25 July according to the methods of Laumer et al. (2009) and Kagawa et al. (2015). Needles, phloem, and epidermis were removed from current-year branches. Needles were dried, ground in a steel ball mill (ICL, Wig-L-Bug Model 30) and extracted with 3:1 chloroform/ethanol. Phloem+epidermis and xylem samples were sliced by razor into thin slivers. Chloroform extracted needles, slivered phloem+epidermis, and slivered xylem samples were next extracted with ethanol (60°C, 48 h) and distilled water (100°C, 48 h), acidified sodium chlorite (70 °C, 12 h), and NaOH (80 °C, 12 h). Finally, the resulting α-cellulose was rinsed, ultrasonically homogenized, and freeze-dried (Laumer et al. 2009).

M.2 Stable isotope sample preparation and analysis

For this analysis, in addition to measurements of the control trees G and H, I measured the isotope ratios in the water of upper, middle, and lower needles and fine roots of one randomly selected O/H sapling (tree C) and one randomly selected H/O sapling (tree F); the organic matter of upper, middle, and lower needles, and fine roots of one O/H sapling (tree C) and one H/O sapling (tree F); the cellulose

of needles, phloem+epidermis, xylem, and roots of all saplings; the drain water from the aboveground and ground chambers of all saplings; and the heavy hydrogen water, heavy oxygen water, and local (Tsukuba) tap water.

Before analysis of each plant sample, I removed the vial from the freezer and let it approach room temperature for a few minutes to prevent condensation. Then, working quickly to minimize evaporative losses, I divided each needle or piece of fine roots into three segments of roughly equal length and placed the central segments in preweighed smooth-walled tin capsules designed for liquids. I folded over the lip of each capsule and pressed it closed three-times to make the seal airtight. I weighed these capsules, placed them in the carousel of a Zero Blank autosampler (Costech), and ran the rotary vacuum pump connected to the autosampler for more than one hour before weighing the capsules again to check for leaks. Meanwhile, I placed the remaining outer pairs of needle and root segments in preweighed tin capsules designed for solids, weighed them, dried them in a vacuum oven at 70 °C for more than 24 hours, and weighed them again to calculate the water content of needles and root segments. I also prepared samples of heavy waters, drainage water from the chamber vials, and reference water standards in smooth-walled tin capsules. For reference standards, I used artificially enriched and natural water (IAEA-607, IAEA-608, and IAEA-609, International Atomic Energy Agency, our internal standard) and natural cellulose (IAEA-C3 cellulose, Merck cellulose) with known isotope ratios measured against the VSMOW standard (detailed in the next section). To increase precision, I prepared five or more capsules from each sample of water and cellulose, except when limited by sample volume (Olsen et al. 2006), and two to five capsules from each needle and root sample, depending on the amount of samples available. However, I successfully analysed only one out of two capsules of roots of O/H sapling sampled at 06:00 and 18:00 on 18 July, and at 18:00 on 19 July, due to improper technique (Fig. 2a).

To analyse the hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of samples, I used a high-temperature pyrolysis system (Hekatech, HTO) coupled via thermal conductivity detector to a mass spectrometer (Thermo-Finnigan, Delta V Advantage) with a longer gas chromatography column (molecular sieve 5A, 2 m, i.d. 5 mm) to achieve clear separation of hydrogen, nitrogen, and carbon monoxide gas (Brand et al., 2009).

To minimize background moisture and nitrogen gas from the samples, I opened the three-way valve on the autosampler to the vacuum pump for 30 secs, then switched the valve to the helium gas supply for 30 seconds, and repeated this procedure ten or more times until the background levels (mass=28, 29, 30) stabilized, before initiating analysis (Kagawa et al., 2015). To minimize the impact of sample-to-sample memory, I grouped together plant and water samples with similar isotope ratios in the analytical sequence. I replaced the pyrolysis tube as necessary due to tin accumulation, typically every 50 samples for smooth-walled tin capsules.

M.3 Calculation of isotope data

I based calculations of excess D and ^{18}O of labelled samples on published methodologies for calculating excess ^{13}C of $^{13}CO_2$ pulse-labelled samples as follows (Boutton, 1991, Kagawa et al., 2006b). For each sample, δD and $\delta^{18}O$ (δ) were first converted to absolute isotope ratio (R_{sample}):

$$^{n}R_{sample} = (^{n}\delta / 1000 + 1) \cdot {}^{n}R_{std}$$

where n is the mass number of the hydrogen or oxygen isotopes and R_{std} is the absolute isotope ratios of Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW: $^{2}R_{std}$ = 0.00015576, $^{17}R_{std}$ = 0.000379, and $^{18}R_{std}$ = 0.0020052; De Wit et al., 1980; IAEA 2006). The D and ^{18}O abundance ratios (A) were calculated as follows:

$$^{2}A = D / (D + H) = {}^{2}R / ({}^{2}R + 1)$$

and

$$^{18}A = {}^{18}O / ({}^{18}O + {}^{17}O + {}^{16}O) = {}^{18}R / ({}^{18}R + {}^{17}R + 1)$$

Then excess D and ^{18}O abundance ($\Delta^n A$) was calculated as the deviation from natural isotopic values:

$$\Delta^n A = {}^n A_{\text{sample}} - {}^n A_{\text{BL}}$$

where A_{BL} and A_{sample} are abundance ratios of the samples taken before and after labelling, respectively. Excess abundance of tissue water (ΔA_{TW}) was calculated from isotopic mass balance as,

$$\Delta^n A_{\text{TW}} = (\Delta^n A_{\text{F}} - (1-x) \cdot \Delta^n A_{\text{D}}) / x$$

where ΔA_{F} and ΔA_{D} are the excess abundances of fresh and dry samples of needles or roots, and x refers to the portion of hydrogen or oxygen contributed by tissue water (leaf water or root water) to the total hydrogen or oxygen of the fresh sample, calculated from the water and elemental content of fresh and dry samples. (See Gan et al. (2004) for details.) I then introduced τ_{H} and τ_{O}, the percentages of hydrogen and oxygen, respectively, in samples replaced by atoms from heavy water and calculated as,

$$\tau_{\text{H}} = \Delta^2 A_{\text{sample}} / \Delta^2 A_{\text{HW}}$$

and

$$\tau_{\text{O}} = \Delta^{18} A_{\text{sample}} / \Delta^{18} A_{\text{HW}}$$

where $\Delta^n A_{\text{HW}}$ is the excess abundance of heavy water compared to local tap water. To offset any effect of the chemical difference between hydrogen and oxygen, and to calculate the relative contribution of FUW and RUW assimilated into biomass (I focus on cellulose in this paper) by weight, I calculated τ_{Cell}, the weight percentage of hydrogen+oxygen in cellulose replaced by heavy water. Since the cellulose samples were not nitrified, I assumed hydrogen atoms in the three OH bonds of each cellulose unit were replaced by natural hydrogen atoms from distilled water during cellulose extraction (Horikawa & Sugiyama, 2008), and therefore multiplied cellulose τ_{H} by a factor of 1.43 (=10/7).

$\tau_{Cell} = (1.43 \cdot \tau_H + 8 \cdot \tau_O) / 9$

To check for differences between O/H and H/O trees, I compared the corresponding τ of α-cellulose across four different parts (needles, phloem+epidermis, xylem and roots, Extended Data Table 4), using an *F*-test (two-way ANOVA with three replication, MS Excel 2016).

Because hydrogen and oxygen show similar biochemical behaviour within the various parts of trees, hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of organic matter of various tissues (δD_t and $\delta^{18}O_t$)—including needles, phloem, epidermis, xylem, and roots—can be explained by the same mechanistic model as follows (Roden et al., 2000):

$$\delta D_t = q_{ex} \cdot (\delta D_{wt} + \varepsilon_{HH}) + (1 - q_{ex}) \cdot (\delta D_{wl} + \varepsilon_{HA})$$

$$\delta^{18}O_t = p_{ex} \cdot (\delta^{18}O_{wt} + \varepsilon_o) + (1 - p_{ex}) \cdot (\delta^{18}O_{wl} + \varepsilon_O)$$

where the subscripts wt refers to local tissue water at non-green parts of the tree and wl refer to leaf water at the chloroplast. The hydrogen and oxygen available for exchange are represented by q_{ex} and p_{ex}, respectively. The hydrogen isotope fractionation factors associated with heterotrophic and autotrophic metabolism are expressed as ε_{HH} (= +158 ‰) and ε_{HA} (= -171 ‰), respectively. For oxygen, there is no need to distinguish between the two, hence both are expressed as ε_O (= +27 ‰) (Roden et al. 2000).

We are specifically interested in δD_t and $\delta^{18}O_t$ of new tissues. ΔD_t and $\Delta^{18}O_t$ can be related to τ_H and τ_O of whole tissue sample (τ_{Ht} and τ_{Ot}), as follows:

$$\Delta D_t = \tau_{Ht} / r$$

$$\Delta^{18}O_t = \tau_{Ot} / r$$

where τ_{Ht} and τ_{Ot} can be calculated from measured isotope ratios by mass spectrometer, and r is proportion of H and O in new tissue to those of whole sampled tissue.

M.4 Calculation of isotope exchange

Compared to oxygen, less research is available concerning hydrogen isotope exchange (Yakir & DeNiro, 1990; Terwilliger & DeNiro, 1995; Roden & Ehleringer, 1999; Waterhouse et al., 2002), simply because it is more difficult to analyse natural-abundance isotope ratios of carbon-bound hydrogen in plant biomass. I assumed that $q_{ex} \approx p_{ex}$ (an assumption justified in the Discussion section, 3.3.) in order to simplify calculations. (Roden & Ehleringer, 1999, Roden et al. 2000, Yakir & DeNiro 1990). Considering that the excess δD and $\delta^{18}O$ of the heavy water (Extended Data Table 2) were more than 400 times larger than the absolute values of ε_{HH}, ε_{HA}, and ε_{O}, I deemed contributions from ε_{HH}, ε_{HA}, and ε_{O} to be negligible compared to δD_{wt}, δD_{wl}, $\delta^{18}O_{wt}$, and $\delta^{18}O_{wl}$. Therefore, to estimate fractions of hydrogen and oxygen exchange with the water at the cambium, I used the following formulas:

$$\Delta D_t = pq_{ex} \cdot \Delta D_{wt} + (1 - pq_{ex}) \cdot \Delta D_{wl}$$

$$\Delta^{18}O_t = pq_{ex} \cdot \Delta^{18}O_{wt} + (1 - pq_{ex}) \cdot \Delta^{18}O_{wl}$$

where ΔD_{wt} and $\Delta^{18}O_{wt}$ are τ_H and τ_O of tissue water and ΔD_{wl} and $\Delta^{18}O_{wl}$ are τ_H and τ_O of leaf water. ΔD_{wl}, $\Delta^{18}O_{wl}$, ΔD_{wt}, and $\Delta^{18}O_{wt}$ can be calculated as time-integrals of τ_H and τ_O values of leaf water and root water from data in Fig. 2 (Extended Data Table 5). By solving these equations simultaneously for r and pq_{ex}, fractions of hydrogen and oxygen exchange can be calculated from measured isotopic data as follows.

$$pq_{ex} = [\tau_{Ht} \cdot \Delta^{18}O_{wl} - \tau_{Ot} \cdot \Delta D_{wl}] \,/\, [\tau_{Ot} \cdot (\Delta D_{wt} - \Delta D_{wl}) + \tau_{Ht} \cdot (\Delta^{18}O_{wl} - \Delta^{18}O_{wt})]$$

I examined roots in particular because roots were the only non-green tissue I could repeatedly sample without damaging trees.

References

Azuma, W., Ishii, H. R., Kuroda, K. & Kuroda, K. Function and structure of leaves contributing to increasing water storage with height in the tallest *Cryptomeria japonica* trees of Japan. *Trees* **30**, 141-152 (2016).

Baker, J. C. et al. Oxygen isotopes in tree rings show good coherence between species and sites in Bolivia. *Global Planet. Change* **133**, 298-308 (2015).

Barbour, M. M., & Farquhar, G. D. Relative humidity - and ABA - induced variation in carbon and oxygen isotope ratios of cotton leaves. *Plant Cell Environ.* **23**, 473-485 (2000).

Barnard, R. L. et al. Evaporative enrichment and time lags between $\delta^{18}O$ of leaf water and organic pools in a pine stand. *Plant Cell Environ.* **30**, 539–550 (2007).

Baumgartrer, A., & Reichel, E. The world water balance; mean annual global, continental and maritime precipitacion, evaporation and run-off. (No. 628.1 B3). Elsevier Amsterdam (1975).

Begum, S., Nakaba, S., Oribe, Y., Kubo, T., & Funada, R. Changes in the localization and levels of starch and lipids in cambium and phloem during cambial reactivation by artificial heating of main stems of *Cryptomeria japonica* trees. *Ann. Bot.* **106**, 885-895 (2010).

Berry, Z. C., Emery, N. C., Gotsch, S. G. & Goldsmith, G. R. Foliar water uptake: processes, pathways, and integration into plant water budgets. *Plant Cell Environ.* **42**, 410-423 (2019).

Berry, Z. C., White, J. C. & Smith, W. K. Foliar uptake, carbon fluxes and water status are affected by the timing of daily fog in saplings from a threatened cloud forest. *Tree Physiol.* **34**, 459-470 (2014).

Boutton, T. W. Stable carbon isotope ratios of natural materials: I. Sample preparation and mass spectrometric analysis, *Carbon Isotope Techniques*, **1**, 155-171, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-179730-0.X5001-2 (Academic Press, 1991).

Brand, W. A. et al. Comprehensive inter-laboratory calibration of reference materials for $\delta^{18}O$ versus VSMOW using various on-line high-temperature conversion techniques. *Rapid Commun. Mass Sp.* **23**, 999-1019 (2009).

Breshears, D. D. et al. Foliar absorption of intercepted rainfall improves woody plant water status most during drought. *Ecology* **89**, 41-47 (2008).

Burgess, S. S. O. & Dawson, T. E. The contribution of fog to the water relations of *Sequoia sempervirens* (D. Don): foliar uptake and prevention of dehydration. *Plant Cell Environ.* **27**, 1023-1034 (2004).

Cassana, F. F., Eller, C. B., Oliveira, R. S. & Dillenburg, L. R. Effects of soil water availability on foliar water uptake of *Araucaria angustifolia*. *Plant Soil* **399**, 147-157 (2016).

Cernusak, L. A. et al. Stable isotopes in leaf water of terrestrial plants. *Plant Cell Environ.* **39**, 1087-1102 (2016).

Cernusak, L. A., Farquhar, G. D. & Pate, J. S. Environmental and physiological controls over oxygen and carbon isotope composition of Tasmanian blue gum, *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Tree Physiol.* **25**, 129-146 (2005).

Choi, E. B. et al. Synchronization of tree-ring $\delta^{18}O$ time series within and between tree species and provinces in Korea: A case study using dominant tree species in high elevations and archaeological wood. *J. Wood Sci.* **66**, https://doi.org/10.1186/s10086-020-01901-3 (2020).

Craig, H. & Gordon L. I. Deuterium and oxygen-18 variations in the ocean and the marine atmosphere. *In Proceedings of a Conference on Stable Isotopes in Oceanographic Studies and Palaeotemperatures* (ed E. Tongiorgi), pp. 9–130. Lischi and Figli, Pisa (V. Lischi, 1965).

Cuntz, M., Ciais, P., Hoffmann, G. & Knorr, W. A comprehensive global three-dimensional model of $\delta^{18}O$ in atmospheric CO_2: 1. Validation of surface processes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **108**, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003153 (2003).

Dannoura, M. et al. The impact of prolonged drought on phloem anatomy and phloem transport in young beech trees. *Tree Physiol.* **39**, 201-210 (2019).

Dawson, T. E. Hydraulic lift and water use by plants: implications for water balance, performance and plant-plant interactions. *Oecologia* **95**, 565-574 (1993).

Dawson, T. E., & Ehleringer, J. R. Streamside trees that do not use stream water. *Nature* **350**, 335-337 (1991).

Dawson, T. E., & Goldsmith, G. R. The value of wet leaves. *New Phytol.* **219**, 1156-1169 (2018).

De Wit, J. C., Van der Straaten, C. M. & Mook, W. G. Determination of the absolute hydrogen isotopic ratio of V-SMOW and SLAP. *Geostandard. Newslett.* **4**, 33-36 (1980).

DeNiro, M. J. & Epstein, S. Relationship between the oxygen isotope ratios of terrestrial plant cellulose, carbon dioxide, and water. *Science* **204**, 51-53 (1979).

Dole, M., Lane, G. A., Rudd, D. P. & Zaukelies, D. A. Isotopic composition of atmospheric oxygen and nitrogen. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* **6**, 65–78 (1954).

Dongmann, G., Nurnberg, H. W., Förstel H. & Wagener K. On the enrichment of $H_2{}^{18}O$ in the leaves of transpiring plants. *Radiat. Environ. Bioph.* **11**, 41–52 (1974).

Dunin, F. X., O'loughlin, E. M. & Reyenga, W. Interception loss from eucalypt forest: lysimeter determination of hourly rates for long term evaluation. *Hydrol. process.* **2**, 315-329 (1988).

Dunkerley, D. L. Evaporation of impact water droplets in interception processes: historical precedence of the hypothesis and a brief literature overview. *J. Hydrol.* **376**, 599-604 (2009).

Eller, C. B., Lima, A. L. & Oliveira, R. S. Foliar uptake of fog water and transport belowground alleviates drought effects in the cloud forest tree species, *Drimys brasiliensis* (Winteraceae). *New Phytol.* **199**, 151-162 (2013).

Ellsworth, P. V., & Sternberg, L. S. Biochemical effects of salinity on oxygen isotope fractionation during cellulose synthesis. *New Phytol.* **202**, 784-789 (2014).

Epron, D., Dannoura, M., Ishida, A., & Kosugi, Y. Estimation of phloem carbon translocation belowground at stand level in a hinoki cypress stand. *Tree Physiol.* **39**, 320-331 (2019).

Epstein, S., Yapp, C. J. & Hall, J. H. The determination of the D/H ratio of non-exchangeable hydrogen in cellulose extracted from aquatic and land plants. *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.* **30**, 241-251 (1976).

Farquhar, G. D. et al. Vegetation effects on the isotope composition of oxygen in atmospheric CO_2. *Nature* **363**, 439–443 (1993).

Farquhar, G. D., Barbour, M. M. & Henry, B. K. Interpretation of oxygen isotope composition of leaf material. In '*Stable Isotopes: Integration of Biological, Ecological, and Geochemical Processes*'. pp. 27–48. doi:10.1086/393961 Oxford (BIOS, 1998).

Farquhar G. D. & Gan, K. S. On the progressive enrichment of the oxygen isotopic composition of water along leaves. *Plant Cell Environ.* **26**, 801–819 (2003).

Farquhar, G. D. & Lloyd, J. Carbon and oxygen isotope effects in the exchange of carbon dioxide between terrestrial plants and the atmosphere. In: Ehleringer J. R., Hall, A. E., and Farquhar, G. D., eds. *Stable Isotopes and Plant Carbon-water Relations*. 47-70, doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-091801-3.50011-8 San Diego (Academic Press, 1993).

Gan, K. S., Wong, S. C. & Farquhar, G. D. Oxygen isotope analysis of plant water without extraction procedure, *Handbook of Stable Isotope Analytical Techniques*, **1,** 473-481 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044451114-0/50023-5 (Elsevier, 2004).

Gessler, A. et al. Stable isotopes in tree rings: towards a mechanistic understanding of isotope fractionation and mixing processes from the leaves to the wood. *Tree Physiol.* **34**, 796-818 (2014).

Gessler, A., Peuke, A. D., Keitel, C. & Farquhar, G. D. Oxygen isotope enrichment of organic matter in *Ricinus communis* during the diel course and as affected by assimilate transport. *New Phytol.* **174**, 600-613 (2007).

Goldsmith, G. R., Matzke, N. J., & Dawson, T. E. The incidence and implications of clouds for cloud forest plant water relations. *Ecol. Lett.* **16**, 307-314 (2013).

Goldsmith, G. R., Lehmann, M. M., Cernusak, L. A., Arend, M. & Siegwolf, R. T. Inferring foliar water uptake using stable isotopes of water. *Oecologia* **184**, 763-766 (2017).

Gonfiantini, R., Gratsiu, S. & Tongiorgi, E. Oxygen isotopic composition of water in leaves. In *Use of Isotopes and Radiation in Soil-Plant Nutrition Studies*, pp.405-410 Vienna (IAEA, 1965).

Hanba, Y. T., Moriya, A. & Kimura, K. Effect of leaf surface wetness and wettability on photosynthesis in bean and pea. *Plant Cell Environ.* **27**, 413-421 (2004).

Hannes et al. Diurnal variation in the isotope composition of plant xylem water biases the depth of root-water uptake estimates. *Biogeosciences* https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-512 (2020).

Helle, G. & Panferov, O. Tree-Rings, isotopes, climate and environment: TRICE. *Pages News* **12**, 22-23 (2004).

Helliker, B. R. & Ehleringer, J. R. Differential ^{18}O enrichment of leaf cellulose in C3 versus C4 grasses, *Funct. Plant Biol.* **29**, 435-442 (2002).

Helliker, B. R., & Richter, S. L. Subtropical to boreal convergence of tree-leaf temperatures, *Nature* **454**, 511 (2008).

Hill, S. A., Waterhouse, J. S., Field, E. M., Switsur, V. R. & Ap Rees, T. Rapid recycling of triose phosphates in oak stem tissue. *Plant Cell Environ.* **18**, 931-936 (1995).

Hoffmann, G. et al. A model of the Earth's Dole effect. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* **18**, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002059 (2004).

Horikawa, Y. & Sugiyama, J. Accessibility and size of Valonia cellulose microfibril studied by combined deuteration/rehydrogenation and FTIR technique, *Cellulose* **15**, 419-424 (2008).

IAEA. Reference Sheet for International Measurement Standards, https://nucleus.iaea.org/rpst/documents/VSMOW_SLAP.pdf (2006).

Ingraham, N. L. Isotopic variations in precipitation, In Isotope tracers in catchment hydrology, 87-118, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-81546-0.50010-0 (Elsevier, 1998).

Iida, S. I. et al. Intrastorm scale rainfall interception dynamics in a mature coniferous forest stand. *J. Hydrol.* **548**, 770-783 (2017).

Kabeya, N., Katsuyama, M., Kawasaki, M., Ohte, N. & Sugimoto, A. Estimation of mean residence times of subsurface waters using seasonal variation in deuterium excess in a small headwater catchment in Japan. *Hydrol. process*. **21**, 308-322 (2007).

Kagawa, A. & Leavitt, S. W. Stable carbon isotopes of tree rings as a tool to pinpoint the geographic origin of timber. *J. Wood Sci.* **56**, 175-183 (2010).

Kagawa, A., Sano, M., Nakatsuka, T., Ikeda, T. & Kubo, S. An optimized method for stable isotope analysis of tree rings by extracting cellulose directly from cross-sectional laths. *Chem. Geol.* **393**, 16-25 (2015).

Kagawa, A., Sugimoto, A. & Maximov, T. C. $^{13}CO_2$ pulse-labelling of photoassimilates reveals carbon allocation within and between tree rings. *Plant Cell Environ.* **29**, 1571-1584 (2006a).

Kagawa, A., Sugimoto, A. & Maximov, T. C. Seasonal course of translocation, storage and remobilization of ^{13}C pulse-labeled photoassimilate in naturally growing *Larix gmelinii* saplings. *New Phytol.* **171**, 793-804 (2006b).

Kagawa, A., Sugimoto, A., Yamashita, K. & Abe, H. Temporal photosynthetic carbon isotope signatures revealed in a tree ring through $^{13}CO_2$ pulse-labelling. *Plant Cell Environ.* **28**, 906-915 (2005).

Kim, K., & Lee, X. Transition of stable isotope ratios of leaf water under simulated dew formation. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **34,** 1790-1801 (2011).

Klemm, O., Milford, C., Sutton, M. A., Spindler, G., & Van Putten, E. A climatology of leaf surface wetness. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, **71**, 107-117 (2002).

Koch, G. W., Sillett, S. C., Jennings, G. M., & Davis, S. D. The limits to tree height. *Nature* **428**, 851-854 (2004).

Koch, K. Sucrose metabolism: regulatory mechanisms and pivotal roles in sugar sensing and plant development. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **7**, 235-246 (2004).

Konter, O. et al. Climate sensitivity and parameter coherency in annually resolved $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ from *Pinus uncinata* tree-ring data in the Spanish Pyrenees. *Chem. Geol.* **377**, 12-19 (2014).

Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B. & Rubel, F. World map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorol. Z.* **15**, 259-263 (2006).

Lacointe, A. Carbon allocation among tree organs: a review of basic processes and representation in functional-structural tree models. *Ann. Forest Sci.* **57**, 521-533 (2000).

Laumer, W. et al. A novel approach for the homogenization of cellulose to use micro-amounts for stable isotope analyses. *Rapid Commun. Mass Sp.* **23**, 1934-1940 (2009).

Laur, J. & Hacke, U. G. Exploring *Picea glauca* aquaporins in the context of needle water uptake and xylem refilling. *New Phytol.* **203**, 388-400 (2014a).

Laur, J. & Hacke, U. G. The role of water channel proteins in facilitating recovery of leaf hydraulic conductance from water stress in *Populus trichocarpa*. *PloS One* **9**, e111751 (2014b).

Leaney, F., Osmond, C., Allison, G. & Ziegler, H. Hydrogen-isotope composition of leaf water in C3 and C4 plants: its relationship to the hydrogen-isotope composition of dry matter. *Planta* **164**, 215–220 (1985).

Lehmann, M. M. et al. The ^{18}O-signal transfer from water vapour to leaf water and assimilates varies among plant species and growth forms. *Plant Cell Environ.* **43**, 510-523 (2019).

Lehmann, M. M. et al. The effect of ^{18}O-labelled water vapour on the oxygen isotope ratio of water and assimilates in plants at high humidity. *New Phytol.* **217**, 105-116 (2018).

Li, Z., Nakatsuka, T. & Sano, M. Tree-ring cellulose δ^{18}O variability in pine and oak and its potential to reconstruct precipitation and relative humidity in central Japan. *Geochem. J.* **49**, 125-137 (2015).

Limm, E. B., Simonin, K. A., Bothman, A. G. & Dawson, T. E. Foliar water uptake: a common water acquisition strategy for plants of the redwood forest. *Oecologia* **161**, 449-459 (2009).

Loader, N. J. et al. Tree ring dating using oxygen isotopes: a master chronology for central England. *J. Quat. Sci.* **34**, 475-490 (2019).

Luz, B., Barkan, E., Bender, M. L., Thiemens, M. H. & Boering, K. A. Triple-isotope composition of atmospheric oxygen as a tracer of biosphere productivity. *Nature* **400**, 547-550 (1999).

McElrone, A. J., Choat, B., Gambetta, G. A. & Brodersen, C. R. Water uptake and transport in vascular plants. *Nature Education Knowledge* **4**, 6 (2013).

Miyake, Y., Matsubaya, O., & Nishihara, C. An isotopic study on meteoric precipitation. *Papers in Meteor. and Geophys.* **19**, 243-266 (1968).

Miyashita, A. et al. Long-term, short-interval measurements of the frequency distributions of the photosynthetically active photon flux density and net assimilation rate of leaves in a cool-temperate forest. *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* **152**, 1-10 (2012).

Murakami, S. A proposal for a new forest canopy interception mechanism: Splash droplet evaporation. *J. Hydrol.* **319**, 72-82 (2006).

Nabeshima, E., Nakatsuka, T., Kagawa, A., Hiura, T. & Funada, R. Seasonal changes of δD and δ^{18}O in tree-ring cellulose of *Quercus crispula* suggest a change in post-photosynthetic processes during earlywood growth. *Tree Physiol.* **38**, 1829-1840 (2018).

Nakai, W., Okada, N., Sano, M. & Nakatsuka, T. Sample preparation of ring-less tropical trees for $\delta^{18}O$ measurement in isotope dendrochronology. *Tropics* **27**, 49-58 (2018).

Nakatsuka, T. et al. Oxygen and carbon isotopic ratios of tree-ring cellulose in a conifer-hardwood mixed forest in northern Japan. *Geochem. J.* **38**, 77-88 (2004).

Nakatsuka, T. et al. Reconstruction of multi-millennial summer climate variations in central Japan by integrating tree-ring cellulose oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratios. *Clim. Past Discuss*. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2020-6 (2020).

Nanko, K., Watanabe, A., Hotta, N. & Suzuki, M. Physical interpretation of the difference in drop size distributions of leaf drips among tree species. *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* **169**, 74-84 (2013).

Offermann, C. et al. The long way down - are carbon and oxygen isotope signals in the tree ring uncoupled from canopy physiological processes? *Tree Physiol.* **31**, 1088-1102 (2011).

Olsen, J., Seierstad, I., Vinther, B., Johnsen, S. & Heinemeier, J. Memory effect in deuterium analysis by continuous flow isotope ratio measurement. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **254**, 44-52 (2006).

Pfautsch, S., Renard, J., Tjoelker, M. G., & Salih, A. Phloem as capacitor: radial transfer of water into xylem of tree stems occurs via symplastic transport in ray parenchyma. *Plant Physiol.* **167**, 963-971 (2015).

Reynolds-Henne, C. E., Saurer, M. & Siegwolf, R. T. Temperature versus species-specific influences on the stable oxygen isotope ratio of tree rings. *Trees* **23**, 801–811 (2009).

Roden, J. Cross-dating of tree ring $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ time series. *Chem. Geol.* **252**, 72-79 (2008).

Roden, J. S. & Ehleringer, J. R. Observations of hydrogen and oxygen isotopes in leaf water confirm the Craig-Gordon model under wide-ranging environmental conditions. *Plant Physiol.* **120**, 1165-1174 (1999).

Roden, J. S., Johnstone, J. A. & Dawson, T. E. Intra-annual variation in the stable oxygen and carbon isotope ratios of cellulose in tree rings of coast redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*). *The Holocene* **19**, 189-197 (2009).

Roden, J. S., Johnstone, J. A. & Dawson, T. E. Regional and watershed-scale coherence in the stable-oxygen and carbon isotope ratio time series in tree rings of coast redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*). *Tree-Ring Res.* **67**, 71-86 (2011).

Roden, J. S., Lin, G. & Ehleringer, J. R. A mechanistic model for interpretation of hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in tree-ring cellulose. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* **64**, 21-35 (2000).

Rossi, S. et al. Conifers in cold environments synchronize maximum growth rate of tree-ring formation with day length. *New Phytol.* **170**, 301–310 (2006).

Saurer, M. et al. An investigation of the common signal in tree ring stable isotope chronologies at temperate sites. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000689 (2008).

Schmidt, H. L., Werner, R. A. & Roßmann, A. ^{18}O pattern and biosynthesis of natural plant products. *Phytochemistry* **58**, 9-32 (2001).

Schreel, J. D. M. & Steppe, K. Foliar water uptake in trees: negligible or necessary? *Trends Plant Sci.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.01.003 (2020)

Seiler, J. R. & Johnson, J. D. Physiological and morphological responses of three half-sib families of loblolly pine to water-stress conditioning. *Forest Sci.* **34**, 487-495 (1988).

Seo, J. W. et al. Oxygen isotope ratios of subalpine conifers in Jirisan National Park, Korea and their dendroclimatic potential. *Dendrochronologia* **57**, 125626 (2019).

Sevanto, S., Hölttä, T., & Holbrook, N. M. Effects of the hydraulic coupling between xylem and phloem on diurnal phloem diameter variation. *Plant Cell Environ.* **34**, 690-703 (2011).

Singer, M. B. et al. Contrasting water-uptake and growth responses to drought in co-occurring riparian tree species. *Ecohydrology* **6**, 402–412 (2013).

Smith, J. A. C. & Milburn, J. A. Phloem turgor and the regulation of sucrose loading in *Ricinus communis* L. *Planta* **148**, 42-48 (1980).

Song, X., Farquhar, G. D., Gessler, A., & Barbour, M. M. Turnover time of the non-structural carbohydrate pool influences δ^{18}O of leaf cellulose. *Plant, Cell Environ.* **37**, 2500-2507 (2014).

Steppe, K. et al. Direct uptake of canopy rainwater causes turgor-driven growth spurts in the mangrove *Avicennia marina*. *Tree Physiol.* **38**, 979-991 (2018).

Sternberg, L. D. S. L. O. R. Oxygen stable isotope ratios of tree-ring cellulose: The next phase of understanding. *New Phytol.* **181**, 553-562 (2009).

Sternberg, L. D. S. L., Anderson, W. T. & Morrison, K. Separating soil and leaf water ^{18}O isotopic signals in plant stem cellulose. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* **67**, 2561-2566 (2003).

Sternberg, L. & DeNiro, M. J. Isotopic composition of cellulose from C3, C4, and CAM plants growing near one another. *Science* **220**, 947-949 (1983).

Sternberg, L. D. S., Deniro, M. J. & Savidge, R. A. Oxygen isotope exchange between metabolites and water during biochemical reactions leading to cellulose synthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **82**, 423-427 (1986).

Sternberg, L., Pinzon, M. C., Anderson, W. T. & Jahren, A. H. Variation in oxygen isotope fractionation during cellulose synthesis: intramolecular and biosynthetic effects. *Plant Cell Environ.* **29**, 1881-1889 (2006).

Terwilliger, V. J. & Deniro, M. J. Hydrogen isotope fractionation in wood-producing avocado seedlings: Biological constraints to paleoclimatic interpretations of δD values in tree ring cellulose nitrate. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* **59**, 5199-5207 (1995).

Treydte, K. et al. Seasonal transfer of oxygen isotopes from precipitation and soil to the tree ring: source water versus needle water enrichment. *New Phytol.* **202**, 772-783 (2014).

Tsujimura, M. & Tanaka, T. Evaluation of evaporation rate from forested soil surface using stable isotopic composition of soil water in a headwater basin. *Hydrol. Process.* **12**, 2093-2103 (1998).

Vesala, T. et al. Effect of leaf water potential on internal humidity and CO_2 dissolution: reverse transpiration and improved water use efficiency under negative pressure. *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 54 (2017).

Wang, X., Xiao, H., Cheng, Y. & Ren, J. Leaf epidermal water-absorbing scales and their absorption of unsaturated atmospheric water in *Reaumuria soongorica*, a desert plant from the northwest arid region of China. *J. Arid Environ.* **128**, 17-29 (2016).

Waterhouse, J. S., Switsur, V. R., Barker, A. C., Carter, A. H. C. & Robertson, I. Oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratios in tree rings: how well do models predict observed values? *Earth Planet. Sc. Lett.* **201**, 421-430 (2002).

Welp, L. R. et al. Interannual variability in the oxygen isotopes of atmospheric CO_2 driven by El Nino. *Nature* **477**, 579-582 (2011).

Wershaw, R. L., Friedman, I., Heller, S. J. & Frank, P. A. Hydrogen isotopic fractionation of water passing through trees. *In* Advances in Organic Geochemistry (ed. G.D. Hobson), *International Series of Monographs in Earth Sciences* **32**, pp. 55-67 (Pergamon Press, 1966)

Windt, C. W., Vergeldt, F. J., De Jager, P. A., & Van As, H. MRI of long-distance water transport: a comparison of the phloem and xylem flow characteristics and dynamics in poplar, castor bean, tomato and tobacco. *Plant Cell Environ.* **29**, 1715-1729 (2006).

Yakir, D., Berry, J. A., Giles, L. & Osmond, C. B. Isotopic heterogeneity of water in transpiring leaves: identification of the component that controls the $\delta^{18}O$ of atmospheric O_2 and CO_2. *Plant Cell Environ.* **17**, 73-80 (1994).

Yakir, D., & DeNiro, M. J. Oxygen and hydrogen isotope fractionation during cellulose metabolism in *Lemna gibba* L. *Plant Physiol.* **93**, 325-332 (1990).

Yakir, D., DeNiro, M. J. & Gat, J. R. Natural deuterium and oxygen-18 enrichment in leaf water of cotton plants grown under wet and dry conditions: evidence for water compartmentation and its dynamics. *Plant Cell Environ.* **13**, 49-56 (1990).

Yakir, D., DeNiro, M. J. & Rundel, P. W. Isotopic inhomogeneity of leaf water: evidence and implications for the use of isotopic signals transduced by plants. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.* **53**, 2769-2773 (1989).

Yan, X. et al. Molecular mechanisms of foliar water uptake in a desert tree. *AoB Plants* **7**, https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plv129 (2015).

Yoshida, R. et al. An application of a physical vegetation model to estimate climate change impacts on rice leaf wetness, *J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.* **54**, 1482-1495 (2015).

Zhao, L. et al. Significant difference in hydrogen isotope composition between xylem and tissue water in *Populus euphratica*. *Plant Cell Environ.* **39**, 1848-1857 (2016).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Gerd Helle, and Masaki Sano for development of the research ideas, Kiyosada Kawai, Tayoko Kubota, Kazuki Nanko, and Shin'ichi Iida for comments, and Yuji Kominami for experimental support. Jennifer Lue revised the first draft of this paper. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K06179.

Author Contributions

AK has done all the work.

Competing financial interests

None declared.

Materials and Correspondence

Correspondence and material requests should be addressed to AK (akagawa@ffpri.affrc.go.jp)

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper. Any additional information may be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Figures



Fig. 1|Chambers for dual-isotope pulse-labelling. (a) To label O/H trees (Trees A, B, and C), needles were sprayed with heavy oxygen water ($H_2{}^{18}O$) and soil was watered with heavy hydrogen water (HDO). (b) To label H/O trees (Trees D, E, and F), needles were sprayed with heavy hydrogen water and soil was watered with heavy oxygen water. After labelling, chambers were exposed to gentle wind so that vapours potentially leaking from chambers would not enter other chambers.



Fig. 2|Absorption and transport of heavy foliar-uptake water (FUW) and heavy root-uptake water (RUW) within trees. (a) Concentrations of heavy water in needles and roots of an O/H tree. Needles and roots of this tree were exposed to heavy oxygen water and heavy hydrogen water, respectively. (b) Concentrations of heavy water in needles and roots of an H/O tree. Needles and roots of this tree were exposed to heavy hydrogen water and heavy oxygen water, respectively. Labelling with heavy water was conducted from 16 July at 18:00 to 19 July at 18:00 (dashed box). Heavy FUW signals in needles spiked dramatically during labelling, but disappeared within four days of the last labelled spray, while heavy RUW signals were more erratic and somewhat less pronounced, but still

clearly present in both roots and needles seven days after labelling. For reference, the diurnal patterns of PPFD in Extended Data Fig. 1 are illustrated by the grey colour map at the top of the figure. Error bars represent SD of replicate measurements, except for FUW in roots of (a) O/H tree sampled at 06:00 and 18:00 on 18 July, and at 18:00 on 19 July, for which only one data per sample was available.



Fig. 3|Origins of hydrogen and oxygen in tree biomass formed under rain conditions. During the first three days of the experiment, which were rainy, leaf water was mostly composed of FUW, and root water was mostly composed of RUW. Stem water was estimated to be around half FUW and half RUW (left side of the tree figure). FUW and RUW concentrations in local tissue were reflected in the hydrogen and oxygen of local biomass. About 90% of hydrogen and oxygen in sugars should have exchanged with local water at the cambium. Thus, most of the hydrogen and oxygen incorporated into new leaf cellulose was derived from FUW, while that of new root cellulose was derived mostly from RUW, and that of new branch xylem was derived from roughly equal parts of FUW and RUW.

Extended Data Tables

Extended Data Table 1|Hours of solar radiation and amounts of transpiration.

	Radiation hours (h)	Transpiration (g)
20 July	9.6	34.5 ± 8.8
21 July	6.1	18.6 ± 5.7
22 July	1.7	3.0 ± 2.0
24 July	10.4	39.6 ± 8.4
26 July	11.6	52.5 ± 14.1

Transpiration amounts were measured by weighing each pot before and after watering. Transpiration was proportional to radiation hours, with trees transpiring an average of 53 g each on sunny days and 3-35 g each on rainy/cloudy days.

Extended Data Table 2|Isotope ratios and atom abundances in heavy water and tap water.

	Heavy hydrogen water	Heavy oxygen water	City of Tsukuba tap water
δ^2H (‰, VSMOW)	69999 ± 1078	-85 ± 1	-28.1 ± 0.7
^{2}H ($\Delta^2 A_{HW}$) (atom %)	1.0938	0.0143	0.0151
δ^{18}O (‰, VMOW)	4.1 ± 0.7	10845 ± 110	-5.4 ± 0.2
^{18}O ($\Delta^{18} A_{HW}$) (atom %)	0.201	2.319	0.1994

Heavy hydrogen water had an oxygen-18 concentration within natural range and deuterium concentration 72 times higher than natural water. Heavy oxygen water had a deuterium concentration within natural range and oxygen-18 concentration 12 times higher than natural water.

Extended Data Table 3|Proportions of heavy water detected in drainage water.

		O/H trees	H/O trees
Drainage water from upper chamber	Heavy hydrogen water fraction (τ_H, %)	7.6 ± 2.0	41.5 ± 3.1
	Heavy oxygen water fraction (τ_O, %)	47.9 ± 0.4	4.3 ± 0.2
	Natural water fraction (100 - τ_H - τ_O, %)	44.6 ± 2.0	54.2 ± 3.2
Drainage water from ground chamber	Heavy hydrogen water fraction (τ_H, %)	35.6 ± 7.1	0.01 ± 0.00
	Heavy oxygen water fraction (τ_O, %)	0.09 ± 0.02	28.3 ± 4.3
	Natural water fraction (100 - τ_H - τ_O, %)	64.4 ± 7.2	71.7 ± 4.3

O/H trees were labelled by spraying the needles with heavy oxygen water and watering the soil with heavy hydrogen water. H/O trees were labelled by spraying the needles with heavy hydrogen water and watering the soil with heavy oxygen water. The presence of natural water and both heavy waters in the upper chamber drainage water indicates bidirectional exchange between water on leaf/shoot surfaces and water inside the leaf.

Extended Data Table 4|Origins of hydrogen and oxygen in α-cellulose

	Foliar-uptake water (FUW, %)	Root-uptake water (RUW, %)	Foliar-uptake water / root-uptake water (FUW/RUW)
Average of O/H and H/O trees	(τ_{Cell})	(τ_{Cell})	(τ_{Cell}/τ_{Cell})
Needles	72 ± 2	28 ± 4	2.2 ± 0.1
Phloem+epidermis	73 ± 4	26 ± 5	2.3 ± 0.2
Xylem	57 ± 4	46 ± 3	1.2 ± 0.1
Roots	19 ± 7	77 ± 7	0.2 ± 0.4
O/H trees	(τ_O)	(τ_H)	(τ_O/τ_H)
Needles	72 ± 2	28 ± 2	2.6 ± 0.1
Phloem+epidermis	74 ± 5	26 ± 5	2.9 ± 0.2
Xylem	58 ± 4	42 ± 4	1.4 ± 0.1
Roots	18 ± 7	82 ± 7	0.2 ± 0.4
H/O trees	(τ_H)	(τ_O)	(τ_H/τ_O)
Needles	67 ± 4	33 ± 4	2.1 ± 0.1
Phloem+epidermis	68 ± 5	32 ± 5	2.1 ± 0.2
Xylem	53 ± 3	47 ± 3	1.1 ± 0.1
Roots	24 ± 8	76 ± 8	0.3 ± 0.4

τ_H is the percetage of hydrogen atoms in α-cellulose replaced by heavy hydrogen water. τ_O is the percentage of oxygen atoms in α-cellulose replaced by heavy oxygen water. τ_{Cell} is weight proportion of (hydrogen+oxygen) in cellulose replaced by heavy water. Most of the hydrogen and oxygen incorporated into new leaf cellulose was derived from FUW, while that of new root cellulose was derived mostly from RUW, and that of new branch xylem was derived from roughly equal parts of FUW and RUW.

Extended Data Table 5|Proportion of H and O exchange between root water and root biomass

		Root sampling date								
Tree type	Variable	7/17 6h	7/17 18 h	7/18 6h	7/19 18h	7/20 18h	7/21 18h	7/22 18h	7/25 18h	Average
O/H tree	$\boldsymbol{pq_{ex}}$	**0.98**	**0.95**	**1.00**	**0.98**	**0.90**	**0.90**	**0.91**	**0.79**	**0.93**
	r	0.04	0.06	0.18	0.25	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.37	0.28
	τ_{Ht}	0.44	0.76	2.31	3.41	5.31	5.42	5.31	3.32	
	ΔD_{wl}	0.00	0.51	1.30	3.33	3.96	3.61	3.11	2.72	
	ΔD_{wt}	10.36	14.23	13.00	13.78	13.23	13.43	12.86	10.61	
	τ_{Ot}	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.23	1.10	0.96	0.74	0.87	
	$\Delta^{18}O_{wl}$	19.25	28.33	30.44	28.70	23.67	19.17	16.00	10.71	
	$\Delta^{18}O_{wt}$	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.22	0.15	
H/O tree	$\boldsymbol{pq_{ex}}$	**0.57**	**0.71**	**0.83**	**0.80**	**0.92**	**0.85**	**0.86**	**1.08**	**0.83**
	r	0.07	0.07	0.21	0.29	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.30	0.29
	τ_{Ht}	2.92	1.53	2.42	3.05	1.43	1.74	1.12	0.94	
	ΔD_{wl}	12.74	22.53	26.29	24.46	20.04	16.11	13.50	9.12	
	ΔD_{wt}	1.06	1.08	0.85	0.66	0.59	0.65	1.10	1.67	
	τ_{Ot}	0.78	0.98	3.55	4.47	5.68	4.39	2.63	5.59	
	$\Delta^{18}O_{wl}$	0.00	0.01	0.19	0.86	1.35	1.93	2.10	1.60	
	$\Delta^{18}O_{wt}$	2.82	6.52	9.02	9.79	9.00	8.23	7.46	5.89	

ΔD_{wl}, ΔD_{wt}, $\Delta^{18}O_{wl}$, $\Delta^{18}O_{wt}$ of each date were calculated by integrating isotope values of leaf water and tissue water in Fig. 2 over time, from the beginning of labelling (16 July 18:00) until the time of root sampling. Between 83 and 93 % of the hydrogen and oxygen in translocated sugar was replaced by those of local water before formation of root biomass.

Extended Data Table 6|Isotope ratios of α-cellulose extracted from roots sampled in January.

	δD (‰ VSMOW)	$\delta^{18}O$ (‰ VSMOW)
O/H trees	-65.7 ± 3.4	34.0 ± 0.1
H/O trees	-42.5 ± 3.8	35.3 ± 0.3
Control trees	-10.2 ± 4.0	33.8 ± 0.5

Roots for this analysis were sampled in January, 2020, approximately six months after labelling. No significant increase of D and ^{18}O signals were found, indicating a complete exchange of D and ^{18}O in the carbohydrate pool with medium water and/or fast turnover of the carbohydrate pool within the six months

Extended Data Figures



Extended Data Fig. 1|Hourly temperature and PPFD data. Labelling with heavy water was conducted from 16 July at 18:00 to 19 July at 18:00 (dashed box). Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was estimated from radiation data.



Extended Data Fig. 2|Droplets on *Cryptomeria japonica* needles and shoots. Because of the low-wettability of Japanese cedar needles, needles were mostly free from water droplets, so stomatal bands could function unimpeded by water (red box). Droplets tended to roll down needle surfaces to form larger drop with longer residence time at the shoot axis surface between needle bases (white box).