Short title: Microdomain coordination between neighbors in plants

Authors:

Andreas Kolbeck[1,#], Peter Marhavý[1,#,¶], Damien De Bellis[1,2], Lothar

Kalmbach[1,†] and Niko Geldner[1,§,‡]

Title: CASP microdomain formation requires cross cell wall stabilization of

domains between neighbors and non-cell autonomous action of LOTR1 cell

wall protein

Author affiliations

1 Department of Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne,

Lausanne, Switzerland

2 Electron Microscopy Facility, University of Lausanne, Lausanne,

Switzerland

Author list footnotes

# These authors contributed equally

§ Corresponding author. Tel: +41 21 692 4192;

E-mail: niko.geldner@unil.ch

‡ Lead Contact

¶ Present address: Umeå Plant Science Centre (UPSC), Department of

Forest Genetics and Plant Physiology, Swedish University of Agricultural

Sciences (SLU), Umeå, Sweden

† Present address: Sainsbury Laboratory, University of Cambridge,

Bateman Street, Cambridge CB2 1LR, UK

Summary

Efficient uptake of nutrients in both animal and plant cells requires tissue-spanning diffusion barriers separating inner tissues from the outer lumen/soil. However, we poorly understand how these contiguous three-dimensional superstructures are formed in plants. Here, we show that correct establishment of the plant Casparian Strip (CS) network requires neighbor communication. We show that positioning of Casparian Strip membrane domains (CSDs) is tightly coordinated between neighbors in wild-type and that restriction of domain formation involves the putative extracellular protease LOTR1. Impaired domain restriction in *lotr1* is associated with disrupted CSDs and establishment of fully functional CSD at ectopic positions, forming "half strips". LOTR1 is expressed in stele and needs to be expressed there. Endodermal expression, by contrast, cannot complement, while cortex expression causes a dominant-negative phenotype. Our findings establish *LOTR1* as a crucial player in CSD positioning acting in a non-cell-autonomous pathway to restrict and coordinate CS positioning.

Keywords

Endodermis, Casparian Strips, extracellular diffusion barriers, membrane domains, coordination, cell ablation

Introduction

Plants mine the surrounding soil for water and dissolved minerals to sustain their growth and to complete their life cycle. Likened to inverted guts, roots evolved crucial epithelial functions — selective uptake and diffusion barriers — in order to generate and sustain body homeostasis in variable and harsh environments. The required separation of outside lumen and inside tissues is achieved in gut epithelial cells through the formation of tight junctions. These specialized membrane domains form ring-like domains between the apical (gut lumen) and basal (blood stream) sides. Close adhesion of both adjacent plasma membranes is achieved by tight interaction of occludins, claudins, and cadherins, organized into tight and adherens junctions, forming impermeable and mechanically-resistant barriers. In plants, a functionally similar diffusion block is achieved by Casparian strips (CS), highly localized impregnations of the cell wall, the plant's extracellular matrix, in root endodermal cells.

Similar to tight/adherence junctions, a specialized membrane domain (Casparian Strip membrane Domain, CSD) forms a precise ring in transversal and anticlinal membranes of elongated endodermal cells. This domain acts as a molecular fence that separating the endodermal plasma membranes into peripheral (outer) and central (inner) domains, highlighted by the polar distribution of nutrient transporters (Julien Alassimone, Naseer, and Geldner 2010; Bao et al. 2019; Ma et al. 2007; Takano et al. 2010). CASPARIAN STRIP DOMAIN PROTEINs (CASPs) are specifically targeted to this domain and form a stable matrix for the subsequent lignification machinery (Roppolo et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2013; Hosmani et al. 2013; Kalmbach et al. 2017; Barbosa, Rojas-Murcia, and Geldner 2019). Lignification of the primary cell wall of CSs extends through the entire apoplast including cross-linkage to the middle lamella. This is illustrated in cell wall digestions leaving only the resistant lignified tissues of CSs and xylem visible as a fishnet-like matrix (Enstone, Peterson, and Ma 2002).

The CS and tight/adherens junctions must form a contiguous network in order to fulfill their role as functional diffusion barriers. However, we still

poorly understand how such a supracellular structure is coordinated within

a cell layer such as the endodermis. Although we are ignorant of the

mechanism, the precisely opposing localization of CSDs evidences that

endodermal cells coordinate the positioning of their membrane domains

across the cell wall space. In epithelial cells, adherens junctions are first

initiated by cell-to-cell contact mediated by nectins and cadherins and kept

in place by firm attachment to the actin cytoskeleton (Rajasekaran et al.

1996; Itoh and Bissell 2003). In plant cells, their cell wall matrices separate

neighboring cell by more than 100 nm, which prevents such a direct

interaction of membrane bound proteins. Still, a more complex positioning

mechanism that requires local signals from directly adjacent neighbors

would ensure coordination of CSDs with the correct neighbor.

Many components necessary for correct CS formation have been identified

in recent years, but few show impacts on CS positioning *per se*. Mutation of

components in the recently discovered barrier surveillance mechanism

causes interrupted, but correctly localized CSDs (Pfister et al. 2014; Doblas

et al. 2017; Nakayama et al. 2017; J Alassimone et al. 2016). Loss of the

endodermal differentiation transcription factor MYB36 abolishes the

formation of the entire CSD while disruption of CS cell wall localised ESB1

causes unstable, but still correctly localised domains (Kamiya et al. 2015;

Liberman et al. 2015; Hosmani et al. 2013). The recently published *lotr2*

mutant revealed the importance of a member of the exocyst complex,

EXO70A1, in mediating targeted secretion of CASP proteins to the pre-

established CSD (Kalmbach et al. 2017). However, the fragmented domains

visible in this mutant are non-functional and unstable. Thus, based on

current data, it is unclear whether formation of functional CSDs at ectopic

positions is even possible.

Here, we report that the recently discovered *lotr1* mutant forms fully

functional CSDs outside the endodermal-endodermal cell interface in. We

establish that neighbouring endodermal cells are absolutely required to

form stable and continuous CSDs and we highlight close coordination of CSD

microdomains during the early stages of domain formation. In addition, we

demonstrate that *LOTR1* is crucial for restriction of the CSD and that it acts

independently of any known pathway for CSD formation. We show that this

new type of putative cell wall protease is expressed in the stele and acts in

a novel non-cell-autonomous signalling pathway that controls CSD stability

in the endodermis. We thus demonstrate that CS positioning is both directly

and indirectly coordinated between endodermal cells to ensure correct

positioning of this crucial membrane domain and open up new pathways for

investigation.

Results

Ectopic CASP deposits in lotr1 are fully functional CSDs

During their initial characterization of the *lotr1* mutant, Li et al. 2017

reported a novel 'patchy' phenotype, where large patches of the Casparian

strip membrane domain marker CASP1::CASP1-GFP occurred outside of the

regular median CS position. Further analysis revealed an apoplastic delay

phenotype attributed to large gaps that could be observed in the CS upon

lignin stain. We performed a more detailed analysis of the domain marker

CASP1::CASP1-GFP in this mutant background to search for disruptions in

the CSD that could explain these gaps in lignification. Confirming the earlier

findings (Li et al. 2017), we found large patches of CASP1-GFP occurring in

endodermal membranes that were never observed in wildtype plants (Fig.

1B). Whereas previously reported to occur only facing pericycle cells, we

found similar patches to also occur towards the outer cortex (Fig. 1B, Fig S1),

although quantification revealed a clear preference for the endodermal-

pericycle interface. In addition to these patches, we found disruptions of the

central CSD which occurred primarily at cell corners with nearby ectopic

CASP deposits (Fig. 1B), coherent with the reported gaps in CS lignin. The

overall correctly positioned and largely continuous central CSD in *lotr1*

suggests that the core-localization mechanism for the CSD is still functional.

We speculate that the change in cell shape and cell walls at junctions might

favor patch formation in *lotr1*. If patch formation starts simultaneously with

initial focalization of CASPs into micro-domains (known as the string-of-

pearls stage), nearby ectopic CASP deposits might inhibit the growth of the central domain leading to the observed large discontinuities. In order to better understand the nature and origin of these patches, we followed CASP deposition throughout endodermal differentiation. In both wildtype and *lotr1*, CASP1-GFP aggregated into aligned micro-domains in the cell's median (Fig. S1C). During this initial focalization step, we observed occurrence of ectopic CASP islands in *lotr1*, indicating a role of LOTR1 during this early step of defining the CS location. Ectopic CASP deposits grew over time and were able to fuse into larger patches, similarly to the central micro-domains combining into a continuous ring. We did not observe degradation of these patches nor formation of new patches once the central domain was fused, confirming that these patches are stable once formed and their establishment is restricted to the short developmental window in which the cells determine their CS location. Consequently, LOTR1 likely acts in this early phase as an inhibitor of ectopic domain formation. We then investigated whether these patches represent fully functional domains, i.e. whether they are able to guide lignification enzymes to these sites. Deposition of lignin can be easily identified in electron microscopy, where CSs are visible as a clearly defined, homogenous stretch of cell wall spanning the entire apoplastic space (Roppolo et al. 2011; Fujita et al. 2020) (Fig. S1D). Ultra-structure analysis of *lotr1* revealed similar cell wall modifications in pericycle-facing endodermal membranes (Fig. 1D).To our surprise, and in contrast to regular CSs, this ectopic lignin patch was restricted to the endodermal part of the cell wall, stopping precisely at the middle lamella, effectively creating half of a Casparian Strip. Such a strict spatial confinement of lignification on a nanometer scale is quite surprising since monolignols and ROS, both essential for lignin polymerization, are thought to be mobile in cell walls and lignin polymerization itself not to be under direct enzymatic control. To our knowledge, such a strictly restricted lignification encompassing only half of a thin primary has not been observed previously. Our finding therefore suggests a very local and directed lignin polymerization in the CS, where each endodermal cell is responsible for lignifying their part of the cell wall to build a functional CS barrier. A

Page | 6

functional CSD is also characterized by a tight attachment of the underlying

membrane, which is revealed in EM by the mild plasmolysis of the plasma

membrane introduced through sample preparation, which is absent at the

CSD. Perfectly matching the cell autonomous nature of the ectopic patches,

tight attachment of the endodermal membrane, but not the pericycle

membrane was observed.

In order to further support our hypothesis that *lotr1* ectopic patches are fully

functional domains, we compared consecutive electron micrograph sections

with and without potassium permanganate (KMnO$_4$) staining to check for

lignification. Depositing electron dense material by reaction with lignin

sidechains, KMnO$_4$ highlights lignin presence as darkening of cell walls in CSs

and protoxylem vessels (Stein, Klomparens, and Hammerschmidt 1992;

Yamashita et al. 2016). Ectopic patches in *lotr1* displayed KMnO4 staining on

the endodermal side of the half-strips, while the opposite pericycle cell walls

were unstained (Fig. 1E). This correlated with CS-typical cell wall appearance

(more homogenous, less electron-dense, slightly thickened) observed in

unstained consecutive cuts, confirming lignin presence at these ectopic

domains. Notably, several samples displayed CS-type cell wall morphology

with accompanying membrane attachment and lignification throughout the

entire endodermal cell corner, stretching from the median endodermal-cell

interface all the way to the endodermal-pericycle cell walls (Fig. S1E).

Although ectopic lignification is a hallmark of many Casparian Strip mutants,

this was not described for *lotr1.* Furthermore, enhanced lignification itself

does not result in firm membrane attachment outside the regular CS.

Consequently, this points to a drastically enlarged CSD indicative of an

impaired ability to restrict CSD growth in *lotr1,* agreeing with a presumed

role of LOTR1 as inhibitor of domain formation.

The regular CSD recruits a multitude of enzymes required for restricted

monolignol polymerization to form the CS. The tightly restricted lignin

accumulation at ectopic *lotr1* patches suggested similar recruitment of these

enzymes. Indeed, when we co-expressed the CSD marker CASP1-GFP

together with typical members of this lignin machinery in *lotr1* to confirm

their recruitment to ectopic CSDs. Endodermis specific PEROXIDASE 64

(PRX64) and LACCASE 3 (LAC3) displayed a clear co-labelling in both central

and ectopic domains while NADPH oxidase RbohF, the main generator of

ROS for monolignol polymerization, was also found to be present, although

it did not specifically accumulate there (Fig. 1F). Finally, we analyzed the

localization of dirigent-domain protein ESB1 in *lotr1*. Although it was

previously reported to be absent from ectopic deposits (Li et al. 2017). We

found ESB1 to accumulate at the sites of ectopic CASP1-deposition when

expressed as ESB1-mCherry under its native promoter together with CASP1-

GFP. Dirigent-domain proteins are thought to be a key component in

restricting and directing lignin polymerization in the cell wall (Hosmani et al.

2013), which was evident in CSs as well as patches in *lotr1* in our ultra-

structure analysis. Our results of ESB1 localization therefore agree with

PER64 and LAC3 labelling, as well as the tight restriction of lignin at these

patches, proving these ectopic formations to be fully functional, but

ectopically formed CSDs.

Focalization of CASPs is coordinated between cells

The fact that an ectopic CSD patch towards a non-endodermal neighbor can

only induce formation of a half-strip suggested that two neighboring

endodermal cells absolutely need to align their respective CSDs in order to

lignify the entire CS cell wall space. To ensure this, a mechanism would need

to be in place that promotes formation of a CSD at places where a

neighboring cell is forming one and/or inhibits CSD formation in the absence

of a neighboring CSD. We therefore investigated the initial focalization of

CASP proteins at high resolution. When properly aligned along the z-axis, we

were able to identify two distinct membrane signals present between two

neighboring endodermal cells (Fig. 2A,C). Strikingly, at the string-of-pearls

stage, CASP1-GFP intensity along the length of both signals already showed

a strong positive correlation (R^2 = 0.535, p = 1.612 e-9) (Fig. 2B), indicating

coordination of CSD formation across the cell wall already at this stage. To

validate our assumption that these paired signals represent the signals of

neighboring cell membrane domains, we checked the position of CS cell wall

protein ESB1 and cell wall tracer Propidium Iodide (Fig. 2B+C). In contrast to CASP1-GFP, ESB1-mCherry localized in a single line in between the observed CASP-labelled membranes in both early and late CSDs (Fig. 2C). To further confirm that these GFP signals truly represented separate membranes, we decided to employ cell-specific laser ablation to destroy one of the cells, arguing that this should remove only one half of the paired signal. Indeed, upon ablation, GFP signal was specifically lost in the ablated cell whereas the adjacent signal of the intact neighboring cell was unchanged (Fig. 2D,E). Together, our data demonstrate the observed double signals to be adjacent CSDs of neighboring endodermal cells, whose initial formation is tightly coordinated between cells. We then investigated whether currently known mutants such as *sgn1*, *sgn3* and *esb1* would display defects in CASP microdomain coordination (Fig. S2). However, all these mutants showed wildtype like coordination between adjacent CSDs. Interestingly, *lotr1* also showed well-coordinated deposition of micro-domains at the Casparian strip position. Therefore, while LOTR1 appears to be necessary to restrict, unpaired, ectopic domain establishment, its loss-of function does not seem to affect the process of coordination at the correct central position where the future CS between neighboring cells is forming. This is corroborated by the lack of CS half-strips at the regular median position between endodermal cells in *lotr1*.

Endodermal cells require a neighboring cell to stabilize CASPs

The close correlation of CASP micro-domains in neighboring endodermal membranes could be explained by an unknown feedback control mechanism that stabilizes microdomains upon presence of neighboring domains and de-stabilizes them in their absence. Such a mechanism would immediately guide CSD formation towards endodermis-endodermis surfaces. In order to test this model, we ablated individual endodermal cells in the elongation zone, prior to their transition to differentiation. This enabled us to compare CSD establishment with and without a functional neighbor in the same cell. Initially, we observed CASP deposition in a string-of-pearls-typical pattern in membranes facing living and dead cells alike (Fig. 3A,D). However, only

membranes facing intact neighbors were able to form a continuous strip, whereas domains towards ablated cells did not progress past the string-of-pearls stage. When we ablated all adjacent cells, the single intact cell was still able to express and focalize CASPs but was unable to establish any continuous domains (Fig. 3C). We also noticed a strong difference in signal intensity between membranes facing living and dead cells, where GFP signal increased rapidly in CSDs towards intact neighbors, but not ablated cells (Fig. 3B). This discrepancy is likely a result of an inability to recruit additional CASP proteins to the initially formed micro-domains. This suggested a control point during CSD establishment where a neighboring signal is required to progress from the string-of-pearls stage and commit to formation of a CS. We had to exclude that isolating endodermal cells from their neighbors by ablation might cause stresses that influence overall endodermal differentiation and not just a destabilization of CASP recruitment to the membrane. We therefore used a CASP1 transcriptional reporter, driving a nuclear fluorescent protein. Upon ablation of all surrounding endodermal cells a similar CASP promoter activity compared to non-affected cells was observed, indicating that progress of differentiation *per se* was not halted in the isolated cell (Fig. S3A). To rule out possible general effects on secretion or localization of plasma membrane proteins, we then ablated cells in double marker lines expressing CASP1-GFP and the generic SYP122-3xmCherry plasma membrane marker, both under the control of the CASP1 promoter. Again, this clearly established that isolated cells still express from the CASP1 promoter. Moreover, they accumulate SYP122 on all cell sides, in contrast to CASP1, which accumulates only at plasma membrane domains facing a living neighbor (Fig. 3D).

We then thought to confirm our findings by means that do not involve destruction of neighboring cells. To do so we generated inducible complementation lines for MYB36, a key transcription factor of endodermal differentiation (Liberman et al. 2015; Kamiya et al. 2015). *MYB36* loss-of-function mutants are unable to activate expression of key CSD proteins, e.g. CASPs, and consequently lack a CSD. Using very low level of inducer, we

generated sporadic MYB36 activation to enable some, but not all cells to

start differentiation and initiate CS formation. Expectedly, we observed a

sporadic, patchy expression pattern of CSD domain marker CASP1-GFP upon

induction, with some samples displaying expression in isolated, single cells,

while others showed cell groups with a near complete CSD network (Fig. 3E).

Confirming our ablation results, we found that isolated cells were able to

deposit CASP1-GFP in typical micro-domains but were incapable of forming

a stable and continuous CSD, similar to what was observed using cell

ablation (Fig. 3E). If two adjacent cells started to deposit CASPs, we observed

an increase in GFP signal only in the domain between these cells, followed

by fusion of the initial micro-domains into a continuous strip. The domains

not facing a CASP1 expressing neighbor did not progress beyond a string-of-

pearls stage and eventually lost the CASP1-GFP signal (Fig. 3F, S3B). We

conclude that wildtype plants appear to require signals from neighbors to

form stable microdomains and commit to a CS. *lotr1* is currently the only

known mutant that can form functional microdomains in the absence of

neighbors. We therefore asked whether *lotr1* might be able to fuse micro-

domains at the side facing the dead neighbor into continuous strips. Yet,

when we ablated cells in *lotr1,* we observed a similar disappearance of the

central CSD facing the ablated cell (Fig S3C). One explanation could be that

LOTR1 function is redundant for destabilization of unpaired domains in the

correct, central position, but is required at surfaces facing non-endodermal

neighbors. Indeed, we did observe formation of stable, *lotr1*-typical patches

on the side facing its dead neighbor, although they occurred in membranes

facing alive pericycle cells.

LOTR1 defines a novel pathway controlling CASP domain positioning

While no other knock-out mutant resembles *lotr1*, overstimulation of the

SCHENGEN (SGN) pathway by external application of CIF2 peptide, leads to

ectopic formation of CASP domains somehow resembling the phenotype of

lotr1. The CIF2 peptide is perceived by the Leucine-rich repeat receptor

kinase SGN3 (also called GSO1), a key receptor controlling a novel apoplastic

barrier surveillance pathway (Doblas et al. 2017; Nakayama et al. 2017). A

complete absence of SGN3 activity in knock-out mutants leads to an

incomplete fusion of otherwise correctly positioned CASP micro-domains. In

order to test, whether *lotr1* ectopic patches are due to an overactive SGN

pathway, we generated double knockouts of *lotr1* in combination with *sgn1*,

sgn2 or *sgn3*. Single mutants *sgn1* and *sgn2* showed sporadic disruptions in

their central CSD while *sgn3* displayed more numerous holes in comparison,

agreeing with previously published data (J Alassimone et al. 2016; Doblas et

al. 2017) (Fig. 4A). Double mutants displayed *lotr1*-typical ectopic deposition

of CASP1-GFP, as well as discontinuities of the central domain. Although

discontinuities in the central CSDs were visible in *lotr1* itself, these occurred

mostly at cell junctions, while *sgn*-typical interruptions were present

throughout the length of the CSD. Both types of disruptions were found in

the respective double mutants. Moreover, no significant difference between

the double and single mutants was observed in blockage of apoplastic tracer

PI, a test for CS functionality (Fig 4C). This clear additivity of phenotypes

suggests that LOTR1 and SGN mutants are involved in separate pathways. It

certainly excludes a model whereby ectopic patch formation of *lotr1* is due

to an overactive SGN pathway. We also tested a possible connection to ESB1.

Again, *lotr1 esb1* double mutants displayed a mixture of parental

phenotypes with an *esb1*-typical string-of-pearl-stage central CSD and *lotr1*-

typical ectopic CASP deposits and showed no enhanced apoplastic barrier

phenotype, indicating that LOTR1 also acts independently of esb1 in CS

domain formation.

The model of SGN3-CIF1/2 integrity signaling predicts enhanced lignification

of endodermal cell corners and an early onset of suberization whenever the

diffusion barrier is broken in such a way that CIF peptides cannot be

restricted anymore. The previously described enhanced suberin phenotype

in *lotr1* is consistent with such a barrier-defect induced activation of the SGN

pathway, due to its large corner gaps in the CS domain. the previously

reported large gaps in lignification of CSs (Li et al., 2017), fit the

discontinuous CSDs in this mutant. Presence of $KMnO_4$-stained lignin

throughout the endodermal cell corners found in some samples during our

ultra-structural analysis (Fig. S1E) resembledSGN3-induced ectopic lignification. To unambiguously demonstrate ectopic lignin deposition outside the CASP-labelled domain, we employed a novel clearing protocol that enables co-observation of fluorescent markers, e.g. CASP1-GFP, and lignin specific stains, such as Basic Fuchsin (Ursache et al. 2018) (Fig. S4A). Additional to the central CS, lignin accumulates at ectopic CASP1-deposits in *lotr1*, further confirming these patches to be fully functional CSDs (FIG 4B). Cell corner lignification not associated with CASP1 domains was observed at sites of gaps in the CSDs within a cell, but not at places where the CSD was continuous. This nicely supports the recently proposed model in which SGN3-stimulation can induce local lignification with subcellular precision (Fujita et al. 2020). Consistently, enhanced lignin deposition in cell corners was not present in the *lotr1 sgn3* double mutant, confirming this ectopic lignin to be caused by activation of the barrier surveillance mechanism.*lotr1*-typical patches, by contrast, were still lignified in the double mutant, supporting the concept of two separate pathways controlling lignin deposition in the endodermis: A developmental lignification pathway building up CS-type lignin and the SGN3-mediated ectopic lignin deposition that compensates in case of barrier defects. Exogenous application of CIF2 peptide further demonstrated that the SGN3-pathway is functional in *lotr1* (Fig. 4D). In the presence of CIF2, both genotypes formed ectopic lignin at cell corners.

LOTR1 is broadly expressed in the root

Due to a lack of available expression data in public databases (*LOTR1* was not included on the ATH1 microarrays), it was crucial to generate a reporter line. We therefore placed a nuclear-localized tdTomato under the control of a 3 kb LOTR1 promoter fragment. We observed strong promoter activity in the root meristem in nearly all tissue layers and cells, including QC and columella, with the highest expression found in the stele (FIG 4E). Very low expression was found in the entire cortex cell linage, where promoter activity was visible in the cortex/endodermal initial and its endodermis daughter cell, but not in the cortex daughter. In older meristematic cells,

LOTR1 expression further reduced in epidermis and endodermis, whereas stele cells continued to show strong promoter activity. This pattern continued past the elongation zone, where stele expression was still strong with some residual signals found in epidermal and endodermal cells. Inside the stele itself, *LOTR1* was specifically absent in xylem precursor and xylem-adjacent procambium cells, whereas pericycle, phloem precursor and phloem-adjacent procambium cells displayed strong expression (FIG 4E). Recently published single-cell RNA sequencing results showed strong expression of LOTR1 in clusters corresponding to phloem, stele, xylem, and root cap cells, confirming our promoter activity analysis (Ryu et al. 2019; Denyer et al. 2019). Despite this broad expression pattern, *lotr1* mutants displayed only phenotypes related to endodermal differentiation, i.e. ectopic CASP deposition and disrupted CSDs causing compensatory lignification and suberin deposition, which themselves are likely responsible for the previously observed delay in lateral root development and low-calcium-sensitivity phenotype (Li et al. 2017). Consequently, if the expression pattern points to a much broader function for LOTR1 outside of endodermal differentiation, these additional functions are probably redundantly fulfilled some of the many homologs of LOTR1 (Fig. 4F).

LOTR1 codes for a putative cell wall protein with a predicted signal peptide, a putative auto-inhibitory domain (Neprosin-AP), and a Neprosin domain, recently identified as novel proline protease domain in an enzyme found in the digestive fluids of pitcher plants (Rey et al. 2016; Schrader et al. 2017). We found 42 other proteins in the Arabidopsis proteome with a domain structure like LOTR1, i.e. having both Neprosin-AP and Neprosin domains, although subsequent phylogenetic analysis showed considerable variation among the family members. Average identity towards LOTR1 among this "HOMOLOGS-OF-LOTR1" (HOLO) family was only 38 % (± 12) with the three closest homologs standing out at ~ 70 % (Table S1). Phylogenetic clustering identified 5 subgroups (A:E) with instances of gene-duplication events visible especially in group C, where 8 homologs were found in consecutive loci on chromosome 4, highlighting the variable nature of this family (Fig. 4F).

Nevertheless, putative orthologs of LOTR1 can be identified in both mono-

and eudicot species, indicating that, although some family members seem

to evolve rapidly, LOTR1 has a deep conservation of function, expected for a

regulator of CS formation.

While 34 of the putative homologs showed predicted signal peptides for

apoplastic localization, we also found 5 proteins in the family predicted to

have a single N-terminal transmembrane domain with their active domain

outside in the apoplast (Fig. 4F, Table S1). Thus, the HOLO family are

interesting candidates for proteolytically processing membrane or cell wall

proteins relevant for domain establishment. Single-cell RNAseq expression

profiles of *LOTR1's* four closest homologs partially overlapped in cell clusters

for QC/meristem (At1g23340, At1g70550, At5g56530), root cap (At1g10750,

At1g70550), phloem (At1g70550, At5g56530), and xylem (At1g10750,

At23340, At1g70550, At56530) (Ryu et al. 2019), explaining the lack of any

meristematic phenotypes in *lotr1*. However, while 8 separate mutants with

this unique patchy phenotype identified in the *LORD-OF-THE-RINGS* screen

all turned out to be alleles of *LOTR1*, none of its homologs were found,

indicating they likely have separate roles.

Mature and active Neprosin has been reported to consist only of its

functional domain (PF03080) (Rey et al. 2016), presumably undergoing

processing during or after secretion. However, only full-length protein was

found in functional, full-length complementation lines (Fig. S4C), suggesting

that LOTR1 might retain its putative auto-inhibitory Neprosin-AP domain.

Expression of artificially truncated versions of LOTR1 consisting of only its

Neprosin domain or only its putatively auto-inhibitory Neprosin-AP domain

resulted in extensive intracellular labelling and non-functional

complementation (Fig. S4D), supporting that LOTR1 does not undergo post-

processing.

As many of the Neprosin-containing proteins are still annotated as putative

carboxyterminal proteases, we investigated potential homologies towards

such enzymes. Database searches identified *E.coli* protein mepS, a cell wall

protease required for cell wall expansion, as a potential candidate. Although

displaying only 20 % identity to LOTR1's Neprosin domain, structural comparison revealed that three crucial amino acids of mepS's catalytic center, one cysteine and two histidines, are present in LOTR1, its three closest homologs, and Neprosin (Fig. S5A,B). Expression of a full-length LOTR1 version with putative active-site residues C255 and C260 mutated to alanine showed apoplastic localization similar to unmutated LOTR1, but was unable to complement the *lotr1* phenotype (Fig. S4D). This suggests an important functional role for these amino acids in LOTR1 and supports a protease function for this enzyme class in *Arabidopsis*.

Tissue specific complementation indicates a non-cell autonomous action for LOTR1.

The strong expression pattern of LOTR1 suggests a function in the inner stele tissues and a non-autonomous action of LOTR1 on endodermal differentiation. With a potential protease function an action on the equally stele-expressed CIF peptides was conceivable, but inconsistent with our genetic analysis. In order to establish the site of LOTR1 action, we generated LOTR1 fusion proteins and were able to complement the loss-of-function phenotype with LOTR1 N-terminal (behind the signal peptide), but not C-terminal fusion proteins, indicating that a free C-terminus is important for its functionality (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, the *lotr1-8* allele, where a late STOP-codon truncated the protein by just 16 aa leads to a knock-out phenotype, further suggesting an important role of the c-terminal extremity. In agreement with the promoter activity, fluorescence of the fusion construct was mainly visible in the apoplastic space between stele cells, with outer tissues showing very low levels of accumulation.

To determine if the very low expression observed in the endodermis is nonetheless responsible for LOTR1 function, we generated lines driving LOTR1 expression from stele-, endodermal-, or cortex-specific promoters in the *lotr1* mutant background. We found continuous CSDs and lack of patches only when expressed from the stele specific SHORTROOT (SHR) and CIF2 promoters, but not from endodermal SCR, CASP1, and ELTP, nor cortex specific C1 promoters (Fig. 5C). Covering early, middle, and late endodermal

development, the lack of complementation by endodermal promoters demonstrated that LOTR1 was not acting in the endodermis to fulfil its role in CSD formation. Peculiarly, expression of the same construct under the ubiquitous 35S CaMV promoter did also not complement the underlying *lotr1* phenotype. Several scenarios could explain this finding: LOTR1 function could require exclusive expression in the stele, although its native promoter showed some weak outer tissue activity. Alternatively, over- and misexpression of the construct might lead to the same patchy *lotr1* phenotype, but through dominant interference. We therefore created an inducible complementation construct, where the 3kb *LOTR1* promoter fragment was fused to the estrogen-inducible artificial XVE transcription factor, with a subsequent minimal 35S promoter driving expression of the native gene (Zuo, Niu, and Chua 2000; Siligato et al. 2016). This allows control of expression strength of the target genes. Upon induction of this construct in the *lotr1* background, we observed a full complementation of the phenotype even under strong induction conditions (Fig. 5B). Control plants without inducer showed the typical phenotype. Thus, expression strength was not causing the lack of complementation in the 35S lines, leaving mis- expression in outer tissues as a probable cause for the observed phenotype.

We therefore tested whether ubiquitous expression via 35S would lead to a dominant-interference phenotype in wildtype. We outcrossed all generated lines to wildtype CASP1-GFP plants to test for genetic dominance of the transformed constructs. Previously complementing constructs LOTR1::LOTR1, SHR::LOTR1, and CIF2::LOTR1 continued to display a wild-type CSDs and no occurrence of *lotr1* typical patches in the F1 generation (Fig. 5C). Wild-type phenotypes were also observed for the non-complementing, endodermis-specific SCR and CASP1 driven lines. Remarkably, not only expression driven by 35S, but also from cortex-specific C1 and endodermal/cortex-expressing ELTP promoters caused ectopic CASP1-GFP patches like those observed in uncomplemented *lotr1* in the F1 progeny. We confirmed this dominant phenotype in the following

segregating F2 generations, where all plants harboring ELTP, C1, or 35S constructs showed patch formation. Only 7/37 (ELTP), 9/42 (C1), and 8/45 (35S) plants displayed wildtype like continuous CSDs and no patches in the respective F2 populations. Since the dominant phenotype was not easily distinguishable from the normal *lotr1* loss-of-function phenotype, our observed ratios (18.9 %, 21.4 %, 17.7 %) matched the expected 3/16 (18.75 %) Mendelian segregation ratio for a wildtype phenotype. Apoplastic barrier functionality tests in these lines confirmed our observations (Fig. 5D).

Discussion

Establishment of a functional apoplastic barrier relies on the correctly localized deposition of lignin at the median position between endodermal cells (Naseer et al. 2012; Geldner 2013). Although many components involved in this process have been identified in the last decade, we still poorly understand the mechanism by which these cells achieve the precise formation of Casparian Strips in such a way that a single, thin, continuous ring of lignin is formed in between endodermal cells. In our current work, we provide new insights into this mechanism by demonstrating strict coordination of CASP deposition in membranes of adjacent endodermal cells. Independent of any known CS mutant, this coordination pathway appears to allow a local initial pairing of forming CASP micro-domains, across the cell wall of neighboring endodermal cells, which promotes their stabilization and eventual fusion. This results in the necessity for having a functional, differentiating endodermal cell neighbors in order to form a continuous CS. Without a neighboring cell in the same developmental state, wild-type endodermal cells abort CS development after the initial formation of CASP microdomains, which eventually disappear. In our current understanding, CASPs are initially secreted in a non-localized fashion (Roppolo et al. 2011), yet rapidly form aligned CASP micro domains. This is initiated by a specific exocyst complex, defined by subunit EXO70A1, whose accumulation at the site of the future CS precedes CASP accumulation and is necessary for their targeted secretion to this domain (Kalmbach et al. 2017).

Accordingly, EXO70A1 mutants display a high number of non-localized and

non-functional, unstable CASP microdomains. What mechanism could

account for the selective stabilization of CASPs in domains facing intact

neighbors of the same differentiation state, but not towards dead,

undifferentiated cells or cells of a different cellular identity? One explanation

would postulate the existence of a stabilizing short-range signal, produced

by CASPs domain that can only act *in trans*, not *in cis*. However, such an

exclusive *in trans* action is difficult to conceive with small, released

molecules able to diffuse across the cell wall space. It would be much easier

to conceive with filaments, reaching across the cell walls between domains,

but there is no evidence for the existence of such filament-like structures.

Alternatively, a cumulative threshold for a short-range, stabilizing signal

produced by the microdomains could be postulated, that could only be

reached when both cells produce it. However, such a model would require a

very finely tuned thresholding. Although a promising candidate for a factor

involved in this coordination process, our detailed analysis of the *lotr1*

mutant demonstrates that it does not affect the coordination of the properly

localized micro-domains between cells, nor does it allow for their formation

without neighbors. Nevertheless, absence of LOTR1 activity clearly allows

for formation of non-paired, stable, and fully functional microdomains,

oriented towards non-endodermal neighbors. Therefore, it is most likely

responsible for inhibiting sporadic micro-domain formation throughout the

endodermal membrane when CASPs are starting to focalize from their

ubiquitous distribution in the plasma membrane into aligned micro-

domains. The peculiar formation of Casparian half-strips in *lotr1*, further

indicates that lignin deposition into the CS cell wall is separately performed

by both contributing cells, which would make a tight coordination of

neighboring CASP domains all the more critical for achieving a fully sealed

cell wall space. Restricted mostly to the stele in mature regions of the root,

we provide evidence that this putative cell wall protease might act on a

target originating outside of its own expression profile. *lotr1* could only be

complemented using stele-specific promoters and neither endodermal,

cortex nor ubiquitous expression leads to complementation. Importantly we

discovered that wildtype plants displayed a dominant *lotr1*-like phenotype with ectopic patches, disrupted CSDs, and a corresponding delay in PI block when LOTR1 was mis-expressed in the cortex. How could ectopic expression of a putative cell wall protease in the cortex interfere with the action of the same protease in its wild-type expression domain in the stele? The most parsimonious explanation we can conceive is that a LOTR1 substrate is produced in the outer cortical tissues and has to diffuse into the stele for activation by stele-expressed LOTR1 (Fig. 5E). Expression of LOTR1 in the cortex would lead to a premature activation of its substrate in the cortex and not allow it to reach the stele. It would thus deplete the endogenous LOTR1 of its substrate and cause the observed dominant loss-of-function phenotype. In summary, this explanation draws a reverse-type SGN3/CIF pathway model where a cortex-specific substrate passes across the endodermal cell layer to be activated by a stele-specific enzyme complex, destabilizing CASPs and preventing domain formation at ectopic positions.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the Central Imaging Facility (CIF) and the Electron Microscopy Facility (EMF) of the University of Lausanne for their technical expertise. We also thank Hiroko Uchida and Marie Barberon for their graphical work. This work was supported by an ERC Consolidator Grant (GA-N°: 616228—ENDOFUN) and two SNSF grants (CRSII3_136278 and 31003A_156261) to N.G., and a Federation of European Biochemical Sciences Postdoctoral Long-Term Fellowship to P.M..

Author contributions

AK, PM, LK, and NG conceived and designed the project. AK, PM, and DDB

performed experiments and AK and PM performed image quantification. AK

and NG wrote the manuscript and all authors revised the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

 Figure legends

Fig. 1: Ectopic domain formation in *lotr1*.

A Schematic representation of endodermal maturation with stages of
Casparian Strip (CS) development (green). Elongated endodermal cells
focalize CASP1-GFP into aligned micro-domains which are subsequently
fused into a continuous ring around each endodermal cells.

B CASP1-GFP localization in wildtype and *lotr1* with focus on patch
localization. Images depict maximum intensity projections of z-stack
images. 3D reconstruction image depicts region indicated in *lotr1* overview
image, section view images are annotated by yellow (top) and blue
(bottom) arrows. Overview images scale bars: 20 µm; 3D reconstruction &
section views scale bars: 10 µm.

C 3D schematic for visualization of median endodermal cell section with
annotated cell faces.

D TEM section of *lotr1* obtained at 2 mm from root tip. Overview of section
with focus on regular CS and adjacent ectopic patch. Closeup indicated by
dashed black line in overview image; en = endodermis, pe = pericycle, co =
cortex, scale bars = 2 µm.

E Consecutive TEM sections at 2 mm from root tip, with and without
$KMnO_4$ staining, position indicated in (D). Dark deposits indicate electron-
dense MnO_2 precipitation caused by reaction with lignin. Note that in the
case of ectopic patches, the staining is restricted to the endodermal side of
the cell wall; en = endodermis, pe = pericycle, interspaced white line
depicts extend of CS-like cell wall morphology, scale bar = 1 µm.

F Localization of enzymes necessary for CS lignification in wildtype Col-0
and *lotr1*, pictures depict cells as seen in (C). Cellulosic cell walls are stained
with Calcofluor White and depicted in white in overlay images, scale bar =
10 µm.

Fig. 2: CASP deposition is coordinated between neighboring endodermal
cells.

A Double membrane phenotype visible with CASP1::CASP1-GFP during
string-of-pearls stage of CS development, scale bars depict 10 µm. Red and
grey arrows indicate separate membranes measured in (B).

B Comparison of GFP intensity between adjacent membranes indicated in
(A). Pixel intensity was measured along each membrane and relative GFP
intensity was adjusted to mean intensity of each membrane. Correlation of

original intensities (R-squared) was determined by fitting of a linear model
with indicated probability of fit (p-value).

C Localization of CSD marker CASP1-GFP in comparison to cell wall protein
ESB1-mCherry at early and mature CSs, scale bar depicts 5 μm.

D,E Double membrane phenotype of CASP1-GFP before and after ablation
of one adjacent endodermal cell in early (D) and late (E) CSs. Cell walls (red)
are stained with Propidium iodide (PI), yellow arrows indicate intensity
profiles measured in F-I; asterisks indicate ablated cell, scale bars = 5 μM.

F-I Quantification of CASP1-GFP and PI intensity before and after cell
ablation. Intensity was quantified in a 7-pixel wide line indicated in (D) and
(E).

Fig. 3: Endodermal neighbors are required for proper CSD establishment

A Endodermal cell ablation leads to instability of the CSD in membranes
facing dead cells. Endodermal cells were ablated 3-5 cells prior to onset of
CASP1-GFP (white) expression and followed over indicated time spans. First
image depicts overview after ablation with cells outlined with PI; # depicts
remaining live endodermal cell, dashed yellow line depicts cell outlines of
ablated cells, arrow indicates onset of CASP expression, dashed green and
red rectangles indicate quantification areas shown in (B), scale bar = 20
μm.

B Quantification of GFP fluorescence over time in membranes facing live
(green) and dead (red) adjacent endodermal cells. Intensity depicted in
relation to maximum total GFP intensity.

C Ablation of all adjacent endodermal cells prevents fusion of CSDs.
Endodermal neighbors were ablated at timepoint 0 and CASP1-GFP
expression (white) followed over time in remaining cell (#), PI (red)
highlighted cell outlines and confirmed destruction of cells; yellow arrow
indicates initial CASP microdomains, scale bar = 50 um.

D CASP instability in membranes facing ablated cells is independent from
CASP expression. Cells ablated 3-5 cells prior to onset of CASP expression,
cell outline depicted with dashed yellow line, arrow indicates stable CASP
formation, scale bar = 100 um.

E CASP fusion occurs only if both neighboring cells reach expression
threshold. Endodermal differentiation was induced via 0.5 μM β-estradiol
and CASP1-GFP expression followed over the next 12 h. Yellow arrows
indicate CASP deposits in the membrane, dashed green and red rectangles
indicate areas for quantification shown in (F).

F Quantification of GFP fluorescence over time in membranes reaching
local stability threshold (green) and those below the threshold (red).

Fig. 4: LOTR1 restricts CSD establishment through independent pathway.

A CASP1-GFP fluorescence of known CS mutants in wildtype and *lotr1*
background. Yellow arrows indicate parental CS mutant phenotype while
blue arrows highlight *lotr1* specific phenotypes; scale bar = 20 um.

B Enhanced lignification in *lotr1* is SCHENGEN-dependent. Ectopic lignin
(magenta) accumulates in *lotr1* at disruptions of the CASP1-GFP (green)
marked CSD. Lignification of the central domain and ectopic patches is
unaffected by disruption of *SGN3*, scale bar = 20 um.

C Apoplastic barrier phenotypes of *lotr1* double mutants. Formation of
apoplastic barrier was determined by penetrance of apoplastic tracer PI to
the stele; n = 10, significance determined by one-way ANOVA and separate
groups identified with Tukey-Kramer test.

D SCHENGEN-pathway is unaffected in *lotr1*. Wildtype and *lotr1* seedlings
were treated for 24 h with 100 µM synthetic CIF2 peptide and lignin
accumulation (magenta) outside the regular CSD domain (green) analysed.
Cell walls were stained with Calcofluor White, yellow arrows indicate
ectopic lignin accumulation, scale bar = 5 µm.

E LOTR1 expression indicates additional roles. Promoter activity highlighted
by tdTomato fluorescence in 5 day old seedlings; cells outlined by
Propidium iodide (white); mid-view regions depicted below highlighted by
continuous rectangles (a,b) and section views indicated by dash-dotted
lines (c,d), inlay shows colour profile of *LOTR1* expression intensity. a
Single-plane section through root meristem region, arrow indicates cortex-
daughter cell. b Single-plane section after cell elongation, arrows indicate
expression in endodermis (top arrow) and epidermis (bottom arrow). c
Maximum-intensity projection of section view of z-stack through meristem
region indicated in top picture. d Maximum-intensity projection of section
view of z-stack through mature region indicated in top picture; * denotes
endodermal cell lineage, scale bars: *top*: 100 um; *a,b*: 20 um; *c,d*: 50 um.

F Phylogenetic analysis of *HOMOLOGS-OF-LOTR1* (HOLO) family in
Arabidopsis thaliana. Homologs of LOTR1 in *A.thaliana* were identified and
grouped into five subfamilies by phylogenetic distance, LOTR1 (At5g50150)
highlighted in red, underlined genes have predicted N-terminal
transmembrane domains.

Fig. 5: Stele-specific LOTR1 is restricts CSD establishment through a
cortex-expressed, mobile target.

A Complementation of *lotr1* phenotype. N-terminal fusion construct
expressed under native *LOTR1* promoter complements ectopic CSD
phenotype. Scale bars: *left* 100 um, *section views* 20 um.

B Overexpression of *LOTR1* in wild-type and *lotr1* backgrounds does not
affect complementation. CASP1-GFP phenotype of seedlings grown for 5
days on control or 5 μM β-estradiol; scale bar: 20 um.

C Mis-expression of LOTR1 in cortical cells causes dominant loss-of-
function phenotypes. CASP1-GFP phenotypes of seedlings expressing
LOTR1-complementation construct used in (A) under indicated promoters.
Activity of used promoter indicated in schematics below; blue arrows
indicate *lotr1*-like phenotypes; EP = epidermis, CO = cortex, EN =
endodermis, ST = stele; scale bars = 20 um.

D Apoplastic barrier phenotypes of tissue specific complementation lines in
wildtype (white) and *lotr1* (grey) background. Cells after onset of
elongation counted until penetrance of PI was blocked. n = 10, significance
determined via one-way ANOVA and independent groups identified via
Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test.

STAR Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

All experiments were performed in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* Columbia-0 ecotype. T-DNA insertion lines obtained from NASC: *lotr1-10* (SALK_051707) (Li et al. 2017), *sgn3-3* (SALK_043282) (Pfister et al. 2014), *sgn1-2* (SALK_055095C) (J Alassimone et al. 2016), *sgn2-2* (SALK_009847) (Doblas et al. 2017); *myb36-2* (GK- 543B11) (Kamiya et al. 2015), *esb1-1* was kindly shared by Prof. David Salt's group (Hosmani et al. 2013), and the *lotr1-1* allele was identified in the *LOTR*-screen (Li et al. 2017). Inducible pUBQ10>>XVE::MYB36 were obtained from the TRANSPLANTA collection (N2102512 and N2102513) and crossed to CASP1::CASP1-GFP. If not otherwise stated, plants were grown as follows: Seeds were surface sterilized and sown on half-strength Murashige-Skoog medium (Duchefa) solidified with 0.8 % plant agar (Duchefa). After stratification for 2 days at 4 °C, seeds were germinated in growth chambers with long-day conditions (16 h light/8 h dark) and temperature cycling between 22 °C/19 °C (day/night). 5 days after germination, seedlings were directly analysed or cleared and stained with ClearSee as described (Ursache et al. 2018). For long-term imaging, agar blocks with seedlings were cut and transferred into microscope chamber slides (Marhavý and Benková 2015; Marhavý et al. 2016) and equal volume of double-concentrated β-estradiol added before imaging.

Plasmid construction

A 3 kb promoter fragment of *LOTR1* was generated by 5'-aacaggtctcgacctctttctatctgtttgtacctaagt-3' and 5'-aacaggtctcatgttaactagagaatgtacggcttgttt-3' and cloned via Eco31I-restriction sites into GreenGate entry module vector pGGA000 (Lampropoulos et al. 2013). Genomic fragment of *LOTR1* coding sequence was amplified with 5'-aacaggtctcaggctcgatgTCAGCTATTCATCTTAAAAACCAAACTTCA and 5'-aacaggtctcaCTGAAGGACACCTTGGGTTCCG-3' and cloned into pGGC000. mScarlet-I was amplified from pmScarlet-I_C1 (Bindels et al. 2016)

obtained from Addgene and secretion peptide was added by tandem PCR

amplification with 5'-

gctctttccctctatctcctgcccaatccagccactagtATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG-3' (FW1)

and 5'-

aacaGGTCTCaAACAatgaaagccttcacactcgctctcttcttagctctttccctctatctcctg-3'

(FW2) and 5'-aacaGGTCTCaAGCCCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC-3' (RV) and

cloned via Eco31I sites into pGGB000. Final destination clones were

obtained by subsequent GoldenGate reaction with pGreenII based

pGGZ003 and transformed into *Arabidopsis* using floral dip.

Confocal imaging

Imaging was performed on Leica SP8 or Zeiss LSM880 microscopes,

excitation and emission as follows (excitation, detection): Calcofluor White

(405 nm, 425 – 475 nm), GFP (488nm, 500 – 550 nm),

mCherry/tdTomato/mScarlet-I (563 nm, 580 – 650 nm), PI (563 nm, 580 –

650 nm), basic Fuchsin (563 nm, 580 – 650 nm).

PI assay

PI was performed as described in Lee et al. 2013 with following changes:

Seedlings were incubated in 10 µg/ml PI dissolved in water for 5 min and

imaged immediately afterwards. Number of endodermal cells determined

after onset of elongation (defined as first endodermal cell with length to

width ratio of at least 2, i.e. at least twice as long than wide).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

5-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings were fixed in glutaraldehyde solution

(EMS, Hatfield, PA) 2.5% in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 1 hour at

room temperature. Then, they were post-fixed in osmium tetroxide 1%

(EMS) with 1.5% of potassium ferrocyanide (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) in

phosphate buffer for 1 hour at room temperature. Following that, the

plants were rinsed twice in distilled water and dehydrated in ethanol

solution (Sigma) at gradient concentrations (30% 40 min; 50% 40 min; 70%

40 min; two times (100% 1 hour). This was followed by infiltration in Spurr

resin (EMS) at gradient concentrations (Spurr 33% in ethanol, 4 hours;

Spurr 66% in ethanol, 4 hours; Spurr two times (100% 8 hours) and finally

polymerized for 48 hours at 60℃ in an oven. Ultrathin sections 50 nm thick

were cut transversally at 2mm ± 0.2 mm from the root tip, on a Leica

Ultracut (Leica Mikrosysteme GmbH, Vienna, Austria) and two consecutive

sections were picked up on a nickel slot grid 2X1 mm (EMS) coated with a

polystyrene film (Sigma).

Lignin staining with permanganate potassium (KMnO₄) using TEM

Visualization of lignin deposition around Casparian strip was done using

permanganate potassium (KMnO$_4$) staining (Hepler, Fosket, and Newcomb

1970). The first section was imaged without any post-staining. Then the

sections were post-stained using 1% of KMnO$_4$ in H$_2$O (Sigma, St Louis, MO,

US) for 45min and rinsed several times with H$_2$O. Then the second section

of the grid was imaged. Micrographs were taken with a transmission

electron microscope FEI CM100 (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) at an

acceleration voltage of 80kV and 11000X magnifications (pixel size of

1.851nm), with a TVIPS TemCamF416 digital camera (TVIPS GmbH,

Gauting, Germany) using the software EM-MENU 4.0 (TVIPS GmbH,

Gauting, Germany). Panoramic were aligned with the software IMOD

(Kremer, Mastronarde, and McIntosh 1996).

Cell ablation

Endodermal cells were ablated using a MaiTai-SpectraPhysics laser at 800

nm integrated into a Zeiss LSM880 microscope. A ROI outlining the cells to

ablate was used and cells were ablated with 2 % power and 0.8 μs pixel

dwell time. Cell destruction was confirmed using transmitted light and PI

staining.

Western blot

Roots of 5-day old seedlings grown on ½ MS medium were cut and

weighted before shock-freezing in liquid nitrogen. Samples were then

homogenized using TissueLyser II (Qiagen). Proteins were then denatured

by addition of 3x (v/w) 1x NuPAGE LDS sample buffer + 50 mM DTT

(Invitrogen, NP0007) and heating for 5 min at 70 °C. Cell debris was

removed by centrifugation at 1'000 *g* for 5 min before separation of

proteins on pre-cast 12 % Bis-Tris SDS-PAGE gels (iD PAGE, Eurogentec).

After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred onto PVDF membrane

using Pierce FastBlotter G2 semi-dry blotting. Membranes were then

blocked (5 % skim milk in TBS) for 1 h before incubation with anti-RFP

antibody (1:1000, Chromotek 6G6) overnight at 4 °C. After washing the

membrane three times with 1x TBS + 0.1 % Tween (TBS-T), blot incubated

for 2 h at root temperature with anti-mouse-HRP antibody (1:5000).

Finally, after washing blot three times with TBS-T, HRP activity was

detected using SuperSignal West Femto Kit (Thermo Scientific) and GE

ImageQuant LAS 500.

Phylogenetic analysis

Homologs of LOTR1 were identified by searching the *Arabidopsis thaliana*

proteome for proteins containing both Neprosin-AP (PF14365) and

Neprosin domains (PF03080). Sequence similarity was compared using

MUSCLE (EMBL-EBI, Madeira et al. 2019). Phyolgenetic analysis was done

using the NGPhylogeny.fr online-tool with PhylML+SMS settings. Final tree

was assembled and annotated with iTOL online tool (Letunic and Bork

2019). Conserved residues were identified using pre-aligned sequences and

the online-weblogo tool from the University of Berkely

(https://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi) (Crooks et al. 2004).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done in R software (R Core Team, 2013)

(https://www.r-project.org/). For multiple group comparisons, one-way

ANOVA was performed, and significantly different groups identified with

Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test.

Fig. S1: Establishment of ectopic domain formations in *lotr1*.

A Localization of ectopic deposition of CASP1-GFP (green). Section view of z-stack through roots 5-10 cells after onset of protoxylem differentiation, cell wall stained with Calcofluor White (white) and crops shown on top outlined in overview pictures below (dashed yellow line); scale bar = 50 um, c = cortex, e = endodermis, p = pericycle.

B Quantification of ectopic CASP1-GFP patches. Number and position of ectopic patches were determined per cell and depicted as ratio of patches facing pericycle cells vs total patch number, maximum of 3 cells counted per seedling, n_{total} = 11.

C Deposition of CASP1-GFP in *lotr1*. CASP1-GFP fluorescence depicted throughout CSD formation in *lotr1*; scale bars = 5 um. Kymograph image at bottom illustrates fluorescence over time in line indicated above, individual patch formation visible as independent pyramid-like structures (yellow arrows).

D TEM section of wildtype at 2 mm. Closeup indicated by dashed black line in overview image, en = endodermis, pe = pericycle, scale bars = 2 μm.

E Consecutive TEM sections at 2 mm from root tip, with and without $KMnO_4$ staining. Dark deposits indicate electron-dense MnO_2 precipitation caused by reaction with lignin; en = endodermis, pe = pericycle, black arrows indicate CS-like cell wall with attached plasma membranes, white arrows highlight plasmolyzed membranes detached from the cell wall, scale bar = 1 μm.

Fig. S2: CASP1-GFP membrane coordination.
Double membrane phenotype in CS mutants, scale bars = 5 um. R^2 value depicts Spearman rho correlation coefficients of fluorescence of 5 pixel wide lines following each membrane.

Fig. S3: Cell ablation impacts CS establishment.
A CASP1 promoter activity highlighted by nls-GFP (green) after ablation of all neighboring cells prior to onset of expression, PI (red) outlines cells and confirmed cell destruction. Scale bar = 50 um.

B Endodermal differentiation was induced via 0.5 μM β-estradiol and CASP1-GFP expression (white) followed over the next 12 h. Red arrows indicate unstable CASP deposits in the membrane, scale bar = 50 um.

C CSD formation in wildtype and *lotr1*. Single endodermal cells ablated 3-4
cells prior to onset of CASP expression, * depicts ablated cell, scale bar = 50
um.

Fig. S4: Investigation of putative post-translational processing in LOTR1.

A Lignin accumulation (magenta) in wildtype and *sgn3* with CSDs labelled
by CASP1-GFP (green).

B Schematic view of LOTR1 with position of identified loss-of-function
alleles indicated.

C Processing of LOTR1. Root protein extracts separated via SDS-PAGE and
LOTR1-fragments detected by anti-RFP antibody (Chromotek 6G6). Note
that C-terminal fusion construct LOTR1::LOTR1-mCherry does not
complement the *lotr1* phenotype, but shows processing/degradation
fragments. Both weak (#1) and strong (#2) C-terminal complementation
lines lack processing/degradation fragments.

D Complementation analysis of the *lotr1* CASP1-GFP phenotype using
truncated versions consisting of only LOTR1's Neprosin domain (Neprosin),
the putative autoinhibitory Neprosin-AP domain (Neprosin-AP), or full-
length LOTR1 with conserved cysteines C255 and C260 mutated
(LOTR1^{C255A,C260A}), scale bars = 50 μm.

Fig. S5: Neprosin domain analysis in the HOLO family.
A Conservation of Neprosin domain throughout the HOLO-family, including
LOTR1. Putative catalytic triade amino acids aligning with *E.coli* mepS
marked with red arrows.

B Sequence alignment of *E.coli* mepS, Neprosin, LOTR1 and its closest
homologs At1g23340 (HOLO2), At1g70550 (HOLO3), and At1g10750
(HOLO4)

References

Alassimone, J, S Fujita, V G Doblas, M van Dop, M Barberon, L Kalmbach, J E

Vermeer, et al. 2016. "Polarly Localized Kinase SGN1 Is Required for

Casparian Strip Integrity and Positioning." *Nat Plants* 2: 16113.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2016.113.

Alassimone, Julien, Sadaf Naseer, and Niko Geldner. 2010. "A

Developmental Framework for Endodermal Differentiation and

Polarity." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107 (11): 5214–19.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910772107.

Bao, Zhulatai, Juan Bai, Hongchang Cui, and Chunmei Gong. 2019. "A

Missing Link in Radial Ion Transport: Ion Transporters in the

Endodermis." *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10 (June): 1–8.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00713.

Barbosa, Inês Catarina Ramos, Nelson Rojas-Murcia, and Niko Geldner.

2019. "The Casparian Strip—One Ring to Bring Cell Biology to

Lignification?" *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 56: 121–29.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2018.10.004.

Bindels, Daphne S., Lindsay Haarbosch, Laura Van Weeren, Marten Postma,

Katrin E. Wiese, Marieke Mastop, Sylvain Aumonier, et al. 2016.

"MScarlet: A Bright Monomeric Red Fluorescent Protein for Cellular

Imaging." *Nature Methods*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4074.

Crooks, Gavin E, Gary Hon, John Marc Chandonia, and Steven E. Brenner.

2004. "WebLogo: A Sequence Logo Generator." *Genome Research* 14

(6): 1188–90. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004.

Denyer, Tom, Xiaoli Ma, Simon Klesen, Emanuele Scacchi, Kay Nieselt, and

Marja C.P. Timmermans. 2019. "Spatiotemporal Developmental

Trajectories in the Arabidopsis Root Revealed Using High-Throughput

Single-Cell RNA Sequencing." *Developmental Cell* 48 (6): 840-852.e5.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.02.022.

Doblas, Verónica G, Elwira Smakowska-Luzan, Satoshi Fujita, Julien

Alassimone, Marie Barberon, Mathias Madalinski, Youssef Belkhadir,

and Niko Geldner. 2017. "Root Diffusion Barrier Control by a

Vasculature-Derived Peptide Binding to the SGN3 Receptor." *Science*

355 (6322): 280–84. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaj1562.

Enstone, Daryl E., Carol A. Peterson, and Fengshan Ma. 2002. "Root

Endodermis and Exodermis: Structure, Function, and Responses to the

Environment." *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*. Springer.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-003-0002-2.

Fujita, Satoshi, Damien De Bellis, Kai H Edel, Philipp Köster, Tonni Grube

Andersen, Emanuel Schmid-Siegert, Valérie Dénervaud Tendon, et al.

2020. "SCHENGEN Receptor Module Drives Localized ROS Production

and Lignification in Plant Roots." *The EMBO Journal*, e103894.

https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2019103894.

Geldner, Niko. 2013. "The Endodermis." *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 64

(1): 531–58. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-

120050.

Hepler, Peter K., Donald E. Fosket, and Eldon H. Newcomb. 1970.

"LIGNIFICATION DURING SECONDARY WALL FORMATION IN COLEUS:

AN ELECTRON MICROSCOPIC STUDY." *American Journal of Botany* 57

(1): 85–96. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1970.tb09793.x.

Hosmani, P S, T Kamiya, J Danku, S Naseer, N Geldner, M L Guerinot, and D

E Salt. 2013. "Dirigent Domain-Containing Protein Is Part of the

Machinery Required for Formation of the Lignin-Based Casparian Strip

in the Root." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110 (35): 14498–503.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1308412110.

Itoh, Masahiko, and Mina J. Bissell. 2003. "The Organization of Tight

Junctions in Epithelia: Implications for Mammary Gland Biology and

Breast Tumorigenesis." *Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and*

Neoplasia 8 (4): 449–62.

https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOMG.0000017431.45314.07.

Kalmbach, L, K Hematy, D De Bellis, M Barberon, S Fujita, R Ursache, J
Daraspe, and N Geldner. 2017. "Transient Cell-Specific EXO70A1
Activity in the CASP Domain and Casparian Strip Localization." *Nat*
Plants 3: 17058. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.58.

Kamiya, Takehiro, Monica Borghi, Peng Wang, John M. C. Danku, Lothar
Kalmbach, Prashant S. Hosmani, Sadaf Naseer, Toru Fujiwara, Niko
Geldner, and David E. Salt. 2015. "The MYB36 Transcription Factor
Orchestrates Casparian Strip Formation." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112
(33): 10533–38. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1507691112.

Kremer, James R, David N Mastronarde, and J.Richard McIntosh. 1996.
"Computer Visualization of Three-Dimensional Image Data Using
IMOD." *Journal of Structural Biology* 116 (1): 71–76.
https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013.

Lampropoulos, A, Z Sutikovic, C Wenzl, I Maegele, J U Lohmann, and J
Forner. 2013. "GreenGate---a Novel, Versatile, and Efficient Cloning
System for Plant Transgenesis." *PLoS One* 8 (12): e83043.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083043.

Lee, Y, M C Rubio, J Alassimone, and N Geldner. 2013. "A Mechanism for
Localized Lignin Deposition in the Endodermis." *Cell* 153 (2): 402–12.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.045.

Letunic, Ivica, and Peer Bork. 2019. "Interactive Tree of Life (ITOL) v4:
Recent Updates and New Developments." *Nucleic Acids Research*.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz239.

Li, B, T Kamiya, L Kalmbach, M Yamagami, K Yamaguchi, S Shigenobu, S
Sawa, et al. 2017. "Role of LOTR1 in Nutrient Transport through
Organization of Spatial Distribution of Root Endodermal Barriers." *Curr*
Biol 27 (5): 758–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.030.

Liberman, L M, E E Sparks, M A Moreno-Risueno, J J Petricka, and P N
Benfey. 2015. "MYB36 Regulates the Transition from Proliferation to
Differentiation in the Arabidopsis Root." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112

(39): 12099–104. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1515576112.

Ma, Jian Feng, Naoki Yamaji, Namiki Mitani, Kazunori Tamai, Saeko Konishi, Toru Fujiwara, Maki Katsuhara, and Masahiro Yano. 2007. "An Efflux Transporter of Silicon in Rice." *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05964.

Madeira, Fábio, Young Mi Park, Joon Lee, Nicola Buso, Tamer Gur, Nandana Madhusoodanan, Prasad Basutkar, et al. 2019. "The EMBL-EBI Search and Sequence Analysis Tools APIs in 2019." *Nucleic Acids Research*. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz268.

Marhavý, Peter, and Eva Benková. 2015. "Real-Time Analysis of Lateral Root Organogenesis in Arabidopsis." *BIO-PROTOCOL* 5 (8). https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.1446.

Marhavý, Peter, Juan Carlos Montesinos, Anas Abuzeineh, Daniel Van Damme, Joop E.M. Vermeer, Jerôme Duclercq, Hana Rakusová, et al. 2016. "Targeted Cell Elimination Reveals an Auxin-Guided Biphasic Mode of Lateral Root Initiation." *Genes and Development* 30 (4): 471–83. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.276964.115.

Nakayama, Takuya, Hidefumi Shinohara, Mina Tanaka, Koki Baba, Mari Ogawa-Ohnishi, and Yoshikatsu Matsubayashi. 2017. "A Peptide Hormone Required for Casparian Strip Diffusion Barrier Formation in Arabidopsis Roots." *Science* 355 (6322): 284–86. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai9057.

Naseer, S, Y Lee, C Lapierre, R Franke, C Nawrath, and N Geldner. 2012. "Casparian Strip Diffusion Barrier in Arabidopsis Is Made of a Lignin Polymer without Suberin." *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109 (25): 10101–6. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205726109.

Pfister, A, M Barberon, J Alassimone, L Kalmbach, Y Lee, J E Vermeer, M Yamazaki, et al. 2014. "A Receptor-like Kinase Mutant with Absent Endodermal Diffusion Barrier Displays Selective Nutrient Homeostasis Defects." *Elife* 3: e03115. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03115.

Rajasekaran, Ayyappan K., Minoru Hojo, Tellervo Huima, and Enrique

Rodriguez-Boulan. 1996. "Catenins and Zonula Occludens-1 Form a

Complex during Early Stages in the Assembly of Tight Junctions."

Journal of Cell Biology 132 (3): 451–63.

https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.132.3.451.

Rey, M, M Yang, L Lee, Y Zhang, J G Sheff, C W Sensen, H Mrazek, et al.

2016. "Addressing Proteolytic Efficiency in Enzymatic Degradation

Therapy for Celiac Disease." *Sci Rep* 6: 30980.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30980.

Roppolo, Daniele, Bert De Rybel, Valérie Dénervaud Tendon, Alexandre

Pfister, Julien Alassimone, Joop E. M. Vermeer, Misako Yamazaki,

York-Dieter Stierhof, Tom Beeckman, and Niko Geldner. 2011. "A

Novel Protein Family Mediates Casparian Strip Formation in the

Endodermis." *Nature* 473 (7347): 380–83.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10070.

Ryu, K H, L Huang, H M Kang, and J Schiefelbein. 2019. "Single-Cell RNA

Sequencing Resolves Molecular Relationships Among Individual Plant

Cells." *Plant Physiol* 179 (4): 1444–56.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01482.

Schrader, C U, L Lee, M Rey, V Sarpe, P Man, S Sharma, V Zabrouskov, B

Larsen, and D C Schriemer. 2017. "Neprosin, a Selective Prolyl

Endoprotease for Bottom-up Proteomics and Histone Mapping." *Mol*

Cell Proteomics 16 (6): 1162–71.

https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.066803.

Siligato, R, X Wang, S R Yadav, S Lehesranta, G Ma, R Ursache, I Sevilem, et

al. 2016. "MultiSite Gateway-Compatible Cell Type-Specific Gene-

Inducible System for Plants." *Plant Physiol* 170 (2): 627–41.

https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01246.

Stein, Barry D., Karen L. Klomparens, and Raymond Hammerschmidt. 1992.

"Comparison of Bromine and Permanganate as Ultrastructural Stains

for Lignin in Plants Infected by the FungusColletotrichum

Lagenarium." *Microscopy Research and Technique* 23 (3): 201–6.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.1070230302.

Takano, Junpei, Mayuki Tanaka, Atsushi Toyoda, Kyoko Miwa, Koji Kasai,

Kentaro Fuji, Hitoshi Onouchi, Satoshi Naito, and Toru Fujiwara. 2010.

"Polar Localization and Degradation of Arabidopsis Boron

Transporters through Distinct Trafficking Pathways." *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910744107.

Ursache, R, T G Andersen, P Marhavy, and N Geldner. 2018. "A Protocol for

Combining Fluorescent Proteins with Histological Stains for Diverse

Cell Wall Components." *Plant J* 93 (2): 399–412.

https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13784.

Yamashita, Daichi, Satoshi Kimura, Masahisa Wada, and Keiji Takabe. 2016.

"Improved Mäule Color Reaction Provides More Detailed Information

on Syringyl Lignin Distribution in Hardwood." *Journal of Wood Science*

62 (2): 131–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-016-1536-9.

Zuo, Jianru, Qi-Wen W. Niu, and Nam-Hai H. Chua. 2000. "An Estrogen

Receptor-Based Transactivator XVE Mediates Highly Inducible Gene

Expression in Transgenic Plants." *The Plant Journal* 24 (2): 265–73.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00868.x.

1116

A Casparian strip

Maturation

B CASP1::CASP1-GFP

wild-type — overview

lotr1 — overview | 3D reconstr. | section view

C inner side (pericycle) / outer side (Cortex)

D *lotr1*

overview — en, pe, en, co

closeup — en, pe, CS, patch, en

E

Casparian Strip
- KMnO₄ — en, en
+ KMnO₄ — en, en

ectopic patch
- KMnO₄ — pe, en
+ KMnO₄ — pe, en

F *lotr1*

GFP | mCherry | overlay

CASP1 | ESB1
CASP1 | LAC3
CASP1 | PRX64
CASP1 | RbohF

stele — cortex

Fig. 1

A CASP1::CASP1-GFP (*esb1 sgn3*)

cellA

cellB

B

Relative GFP intensity to the mean

— Membrane 1
— Membrane 2

R² = 0.535
p = 1.612 e⁻⁰⁹

Distance [μm]

C CASP1::CASP1-GFP/ESB1::ESB1-mCherry

string-of-pearls

GFP

cell A

cell B

mCherry

merged

continuous

GFP

cell A

cell B

mCherry

merged

D CASP1::CASP1-GFP + PI

before ablation

GFP   PI   merged

cell A   cell B

30 sec.after ablation

GFP   PI   merged

E CASP1::CASP1-GFP + PI

before ablation

GFP   PI   merged

cell A   cell B

30 sec.after ablation

GFP   PI   merged

F

Relative intensity

— GFP — PI

Distance [um]

G

Relative intensity

— GFP — PI

Distance [um]

H

Relative intensity

— GFP — PI

Distance [um]

I

Relative intensity

— GFP — PI

Distance [um]

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5