Leading edge stability in motile cells is an emergent property of branched actin network growth

Rikki M. Garner[1,2] and Julie A. Theriot[2]*

[1]Biophysics Program, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

[2]Department of Biology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

*Correspondence to: jtheriot@uw.edu

One sentence summary: An experimental and computational investigation of fluctuation dynamics at the leading edge of motile cells demonstrates that the specific angular geometry of Arp2/3-mediated actin network branch formation lies at the core of a successful biological noise-suppression strategy.

Abstract: Animal cell migration is predominantly driven by the coordinated, yet stochastic, polymerization of thousands of nanometer-scale actin filaments across micron-scale cell leading edges. It remains unclear how such inherently noisy processes generate robust cellular behavior. We employed high-speed, high-resolution imaging of migrating neutrophil-like HL-60 cells to explore the fine-scale dynamic shape fluctuations that emerge and relax throughout the process of leading edge maintenance. We then developed a minimal stochastic model of the leading edge that is able to reproduce this stable relaxation behavior. Remarkably, we find that lamellipodial stability *naturally emerges* from the interplay between branched actin network growth and leading edge shape – with no additional feedback required – based on a synergy between membrane-proximal branching and lateral spreading of filaments. These results thus demonstrate a novel biological noise-suppression mechanism based entirely on system geometry. Furthermore, our model suggests that the Arp2/3-mediated ~70-80º branching angle optimally smooths lamellipodial shape, addressing its long-mysterious conservation from protists to mammals.

Main text:

Cell migration driven by actin polymerization plays an essential role in countless organisms spanning the eukaryotic tree of life (*1*, *2*). Across this broad phylogeny, cells have been observed to form a dizzying array of protrusive actin structures, each exhibiting unique physical and biological properties (*3*). In all cases, the fundamental molecular unit of these micron-scale structures is the single actin filament, which polymerizes stochastically by addition of single monomers to push the leading edge membrane forward (*4–7*). Higher-order actin structures, and the biological functions they robustly enable, are therefore mediated by the collective action of thousands of stochastically growing filaments (*8*). It remains an open question how cells control for – or leverage – this inherent stochasticity to maintain stable leading edge protrusions over length and time scales more than three orders of magnitude larger than the scales of actin monomer addition (*9*, *10*).

Perhaps the archetype of dynamically stable actin structures is the lamellipodium, a flat "leaf-like" protrusion that is ~200 nm tall, up to 100 µm wide, and filled with a dense network of dendritically branched actin filaments (*11–14*). Cell types that undergo lamellipodial migration (most notably fish epidermal keratocytes and vertebrate neutrophils) can maintain a single, stable lamellipodium for minutes to hours, allowing the cells to carry out their biological functions (*15*, *16*). For example, in their *in vivo* role as first responders of the innate immune system, neutrophils must undergo persistent migration over millimeter-scale distances to reach sites of inflammation and infection (*17*, *18*). Regardless of the cell type, the origins of this striking stability in the face of stochastic actin filament polymerization remain elusive. The stability of lamellipodia has been theoretically proposed to depend on the dendritically branched structure of their actin networks, wherein filaments are oriented at an angle relative to the local membrane normal, allowing growing filament tips to spread out laterally along the leading edge as they polymerize (*16*, *19*). (Although any acute angle would permit spreading, we note that filament orientation in cells has been experimentally observed to be highly stereotyped, averaging ±35º relative to the

membrane normal (*20, 21*) – approximately one half of the highly evolutionarily-conserved ~70º branch

angle mediated by the Arp2/3 complex (*22–24*).) Experimental efforts have further identified a number of

additional potential contributors to lamellipodial stability, including membrane tension (*25–29*), plasma

membrane curvature-sensing proteins (*29*), and force-feedback via directional filament branching (*30*).

Seeking to dissect the origins of lamellipodial stability, we pursued complimentary experimental

and computational methodologies. First, we performed high-speed, high-resolution microscopy on

migrating human neutrophil-like HL-60 cells to monitor their leading edge shape dynamics. In contrast to

the remarkable overall lamellipodial stability observed over minutes, high-speed imaging revealed that

the leading edge shape is extremely dynamic at shorter time and length scales, constantly undergoing fine-

scale fluctuations around the average cell shape. We determined that these shape fluctuations continually

dissipate (thereby enabling long time scale lamellipodial maintenance) in a manner quantitatively

consistent with viscous relaxation back to the time-averaged leading edge shape. We next developed a

minimal stochastic model of branched actin network growth against a flexible membrane, broadly

applicable to a wide variety of cell types, that was able to recapitulate the global leading edge stability and

fine-scale fluctuation relaxation behavior observed in cells. Our model suggests that the suppression of

stochastic fluctuations is an intrinsic, emergent property of collective actin dynamics at the leading edge,

as branched network geometry *alone* is necessary and sufficient to generate lamellipodial stability.

Moreover, we find that the evolutionarily-conserved geometry, the ~70° branching angle of the Arp2/3

complex, optimally quells shape fluctuations.

Fine-scale leading edge shape fluctuations revealed at high spatiotemporal resolution

Neutrophils form lamellipodia that are intrinsically lamellar, maintaining a thin, locally flat sheet of actin

even in the absence of support structures like the substrate surface (*14*). Here, we study the migration of

neutrophil-like HL-60 cells (*31*) within quasi-two-dimensional confinement between a glass coverslip and

an agarose pad overlay (*32*). In addition to serving as an excellent *in vitro* model for neutrophil

surveillance of tissues, this assay allows for easy visualization and quantification of lamellipodial

dynamics by restraining the lamellipodium to a single imaging plane. Cells in this type of confinement

can migrate persistently, maintaining nearly-constant cell shape, for time scales on the order of minutes

to hours (*15, 33*). In order to capture leading edge dynamics on time scales more relevant to the stochastic

growth of individual filaments, we performed high-speed (20 Hz) imaging of migrating HL-60 cells.

These experiments revealed dynamic, fine-scale fluctuations around the average leading edge shape

(Fig. 1A, Movie S1, Fig. S1-S2, *Materials and Methods*), reminiscent of an excitable system in which

local instabilities in the leading edge emerge, grow, and then relax. Consistent with the idea that these

fluctuations arise from stochasticity in actin growth, kymograph analysis of curvature and velocity

(Fig. 1B-C) showed that relatively long-lived curvature fluctuations are formed by the continual

time-integration of very short-lived (sub-second, sub-micron) velocity fluctuations. Because the average

cell shape remains constant over time, there must be some form of feedback acting on leading edge

curvature to sustain stable lamellipodial growth. These rich, measurable fine-scale dynamics therefore

provide a unique opportunity to directly observe the time-evolution of leading edge maintenance. Taking

advantage of our high-precision measurements, we aimed to quantitatively investigate the properties of

the observed fluctuations, with the goal of determining the mechanisms by which molecular machinery at

the leading edge coordinates the stochastic polymerization of individual actin filaments.

Lamellipodial stability mediated by viscous relaxation of shape fluctuations

The relaxation of fine-scale shape fluctuations back to the steady-state leading edge shape is essential for

the long time scale stability of lamellipodia. As for any physical system, the nature of this relaxation

Fig. 1. High-speed, high-resolution imaging reveals fine-scale fluctuations in leading edge shape.
(**A-C**) Example of leading edge fluctuations extracted from a representative migrating HL-60 cell. (A) Phase contrast microscopy image from the first frame of a movie, overlaid with segmented leading edge shapes from time points increasing from blue to red in 2 sec intervals. Top Inset: Magnification of the segmented leading edge between t = 0-2 sec increasing from blue to red in 50 ms intervals. Bottom Inset: A de-magnified image of the whole cell at the last time point. (B-C) Kymographs of curvature (B) and velocity (C). (**D**) Schematic demonstrating a commonly observed trend between fluctuation wavelength and relaxation time. (**E**) Autocorrelation amplitude (complex magnitude) of the spatial Fourier transform plotted as a function of time offset from a representative video of a cell. (**F**) Best fit of the autocorrelation data shown in (E) to an exponential decay, fitted out to a drop in amplitude of $2/e$. (**G-H**) Fitted parameters of the autocorrelation averaged over 67 cells.

reflects the system's underlying physical properties; in this case, the characteristics of – and interactions

between – actin filaments and the membrane. To provide a framework for exploration of the physical

mechanisms underlying stable lamellipodial protrusion, we quantified the relaxation dynamics by

performing time-autocorrelation analysis on the leading edge shape (*Materials and Methods*). Applied in

this context, this analytical technique calculates the extent to which the lamellipodium contour loses

similarity with the shape at previous time points as fluctuations emerge and relax. As most material

systems (actively-driven or otherwise) exhibit relaxation behavior with a characteristic wavelength-

dependence (e.g., Fig. 1D), we performed Fourier decomposition on the leading edge shape to separate

out fluctuations at different length scales, and then performed autocorrelation analysis separately on each

Fourier mode.

This analysis revealed a monotonic relaxation of shape fluctuations at each wavelength

(Fig. 1E-F); the decay is well-fit by an exponential form (Fig. 1F), consistent with overdamped viscous

relaxation. A clear wavelength-dependence is observed, with shorter wavelengths decaying faster and

having smaller amplitudes (Fig. 1G-H). This general trend is shared by many physical systems with linear

elastic constraints, such as idealized membranes (*34*) and polymers (*35*) freely fluctuating under Brownian

motion, but can be contrasted with systems that have a dominant wavelength, as in the case of buckling

or wrinkling of materials under compression (*36*).

Leading edge stability as an emergent property of branched actin growth

The rich behavior and quantitative nature of our leading edge shape fluctuation data made them ideal for

comparison with physical models. In order to determine the biophysical mechanisms underlying the

observed leading edge dynamics, we aimed to reproduce this behavior in a stochastic model of branched

actin network growth against a membrane (Fig. 2A-C, Movie S2, *Materials and Methods*). Previous

stochastic models of protrusive actin-based forces largely focused on actin polymerization against rigid

obstacles (e.g., the bacterial cell wall for the *Listeria* comet tail (*37, 38*) or a single, flat membrane segment

in models of lamellipodia (*27*)). We expanded on this general framework to incorporate a two-dimensional

leading edge with filaments polymerizing against a flexible membrane, which is modeled as a system of

Fig. 2. Minimal model of branched actin growth recapitulates leading edge stability and shape fluctuation relaxation. (A-B) Model schematic. Black lines, membrane; green circles, actin; purple flowers, Arp2/3 complex; blue crescents, capping protein. Rates: k_{on}, polymerization; k_{off}, depolymerization; k_{branch}, branching; k_{cap}, capping. θ_{branch}, branching angle. d_{branch}, branching window. Physical parameters: F_{spring}, forces between membrane segments; F_{BR}, force of filaments on the membrane (Brownian ratchet); F_{drag}, viscous drag. (B) Schematic demonstrating filament angle evolution. Filaments growing perpendicular to the leading edge (i) outcompete their progeny (branches), leading to a reduction in filament density; filaments growing at an angle (ii and iii) make successful progeny. Filaments spreading down a membrane positional gradient (ii) are more evolutionarily successful than those spreading up (iii). (C) Simulation snapshot: Black lines, membrane; colored lines, filament equilibrium position and shape; gray dots, barbed ends; black dots, capped ends; filament color, x-position of membrane segment filament is pushing (increasing across the x-axis from blue to red). (D-H) For a representative simulation, mean (solid line) and standard deviation (shading) of various membrane and actin filament properties as a function of simulation time. Note for linear filament density (D) lamellipodia are ~10 filament stacks tall along the z-axis, giving mean filament spacing of 10/density ~ 30nm. (I-L) Autocorrelation analysis and fitting for a representative simulation (I-J) as well as best fit parameters averaged over 40 simulations (K-L).

membrane segments connected by springs. Simulated filaments apply force to the membrane following

the classic untethered Brownian ratchet formalism (*4*), consistent with recent experiments showing that

cellular protrusions are formed by largely untethered actin networks (*39*). Designed to be as comparable

as possible to our experimental data, the model incorporated experimentally-measured values from the

literature for the membrane tension, membrane bending modulus, and biochemical rate constants

(Table S1-S2) (*4*, *40*). As we were specifically interested in identifying biophysical mechanisms

regulating leading edge stability, we minimized the model's biological complexity by including only the

core biochemical elements of actin network growth dynamics: polymerization, depolymerization,

branching, and capping. All filament nucleation in the model occurs through dendritic branching

(observed in cells to be mediated by the Arp2/3 complex, which catalyzes the nucleation of new

"daughter" actin filaments as branches from the sides of pre-existing "mother" filaments at a characteristic

angle of ~70º (*22–24*)). By simulating individual filament kinetics, the model captures the evolutionary

dynamics of the filament network, allowing us to directly test hypothesized mechanisms for the interplay

between actin network properties (e.g., filament orientation) and protrusion dynamics (*4*, *20*, *27*) (Fig. 2B).

To our great surprise, this very simple model was able to recapitulate stable leading edge

fluctuations. Nascent leading edges reach steady state values for filament density, filament length,

filament angle, membrane velocity, and (most importantly) membrane fluctuation amplitude within

seconds, a biologically realistic time scale (Fig. 2D-H). Furthermore, the steady state values obtained are

in quantitative agreement with both our own experimental data and previously published measurements,

with the model yielding mean values of: 0.3 filaments/nm for filament density (~30 nm filament spacing

for a lamellipodium that is 10 filaments tall (*12*)), ~150 nm for filament length, ~40° for filament angle

(with respect to the membrane normal), ~0.35 nm/ms for membrane velocity, and ~50 nm for membrane

fluctuation amplitude (*11*, *20*, *21*).

As observed in the experimental measurements, simulated leading edge shape stability is mediated

by an exponential decay of shape fluctuations (Fig. 2I-J). Furthermore, the minimal model correctly

predicts the monotonic trends of fluctuation amplitude and decay time scale with wavelength (Fig. 2K-L)

in a way that was not sensitive to our choices of simulation time step, membrane segment length, and

overall length of the leading edge (Fig. S3-5). It should be noted that the generation of the simulated data

in Figure 2K-L did not involve any curve-fitting (and therefore *no free parameters* that could be fit) to the

experimentally-measured autocorrelation dynamics. The simulated fluctuation relaxation behavior,

qualitatively reproducing our experimental measurements, emerges directly from the molecular-scale

actin growth model, in which all biochemical parameters were estimated from measurements in the

existing literature (Table S1-S2) – leaving no free simulation parameters.

Predicting effects of drug treatment with Latrunculin B

We were interested in further assaying the predictive power of this minimal stochastic model. As an

example, we elected to test whether the model could correctly predict the response of HL-60 cells to

treatment with the drug Latrunculin B, which binds to and sequesters actin monomers. Qualitatively, cells

treated with Latrunculin B (Movie S3) present with enhanced bleb formation and more variable leading

edge shapes, in comparison with cells treated with a DMSO vehicle control (Movie S4). In our model,

addition of this drug can be simulated by reducing the free monomer concentration, which consequently

reduces both the polymerization rate and the branching rate. At low effective doses, subtle but measurable

changes to leading edge fluctuations were predicted: specifically, an increase in the amplitudes at large

wavelengths, and a decrease in the decay rates across all wavelengths (Fig 3A-B). Our experimental

results were consistent with these quantiative predictions; Latrunculin B-treated cells exhibited increased

fluctuation amplitudes and decreased fluctuation rates over the predicted ranges (Fig. 3C-D).

Biochemical perturbation: reduction in free monomer concentration

Fig. 3. Minimal model correctly predicts response of HL-60 cells to drug treatment. Predicted and experimentally-measured response of the autocorrelation decay fit parameters to drug treatment with Latrunculin B, plotted as in Fig. 1G-H. (**A-B**) Predicted response to a reduction in the free monomer concentration (green, 10 µM) compared to the standard concentration used in this work (black, 15 µM) – medians over 40 simulations for each condition. (**C-D**) Experimentally measured behavior: DMSO control – medians over 67 cells (same data as plotted in Fig. 1G-H). 30nM Latrunculin B – medians over 34 cells.

Geometry as the core determinant of simulated leading edge stability

Given the success of the model in reproducing experimental results, we next wanted to determine which

features of the simulation were responsible for leading edge stability and relaxation of fluctuations. The

simplicity of the model allowed us to determine the stability mechanism by process of elimination,

selectively removing elements of the model (*Materials and Methods*) and determining whether stability

was retained. Given that leading edge protrusion is resisted by a flexible membrane, we initially expected

that shape fluctuations would be dominated by membrane tension or membrane bending rigidity. To assay

the importance of these membrane properties, we removed the forces between the membrane segments

(Fig. 2A, F_{spring}) from the simulation (*Materials and Methods*). Surprisingly, the coupling between the

membrane segments (i.e., the effects of tension and bending at length scales larger than the size of an

individual membrane segment) was completely dispensable for leading edge stability (Fig. 4A-E).

Fig. 4. Simulated lamellipodial stability is governed by leading edge geometry. (**A-E**) Comparison of leading edge properties with and without the coupling of the membrane segments by tension and bending rigidity (no coupling: $F_{spring} = 0$ in Fig. 2A), plotted as in Fig. 2D-H. (**F-I**) Comparison of leading edge properties with and without the ability of filaments to spread between neighboring membrane segments. (G) A snapshot of the simulation after filament network collapse (defined as a state where at least 25% of the membrane segments have no associated filaments). (F,G,I) Plots made from the same simulation. (H) A histogram of the average time to network collapse over 40 simulations. (**J**) Schematic representing the proposed molecular mechanism underlying the stability of leading edge shape, with time increasing from i-iv.

Following a similar process of elimination, we determined that in fact only two elements were required

for stability. First, as reported previously for dendritic actin network polymerization against a single stiff

obstacle, it was necessary to constrain branching to occur only within a fixed distance from the leading

edge membrane (Fig. 2A-B) in order to maintain a steady state actin density (*37*). The molecular

motivation for this spatially limited "branching window" is rooted in that fact that activators of the Arp2/3

complex, which render Arp2/3 competent for actin filament nucleation, are typically membrane-associated

proteins (*41*). Second, we found that stability is inherently tied to the ability of filaments to spread laterally

to neighboring membrane segments (Fig. 2B *ii-iii*, Fig. 4F-I). (Recall that, because the branched actin

network geometry causes filaments to grow, on average, at an angle relative to the membrane normal (*20*,

21), polymerizing tips spread laterally along the leading edge (*16*, *19*).) Removing filament spreading

from the model by fixing filaments to remain associated with their nearest membrane segment at birth

(*Materials and Methods*) led to actin density divergence: network regions with low filament density

eventually underwent complete depolymerization, while high-density regions continued to accumulate

actin (Fig. 4F-G).

These findings lead us to a simple molecular mechanism for leading edge stability, based on a

synergy between filament spreading and membrane-proximal branching (Fig. 4J): To begin with, regions

with initially high filament density come to protrude beyond the average position of the rest of the

membrane, representing the emergence of a leading edge shape fluctuation (Fig. 4J, *i-ii*). This induces

asymmetric filament spreading, where filaments from high-density regions can spread productively into

neighboring regions (Fig. 2B, *ii*), but filaments spreading from adjacent low-density regions cannot keep

up with the fast moving membrane segments (Fig. 2B, *iii*), and thus are unproductive (Fig. 4J, *ii-iii*). In

this way, the branched geometry inherent to dendritic actin polymerization, as well as its interaction with

the shape of the membrane, naturally encodes leading edge stability (Fig. 4J, *iv*). Thus our results directly

demonstrate a "stability-through-spreading" mechanism that has previously only been assumed in mean-

field analytical theories (*16*). Remarkably, this means that leading edge maintenance is an intrinsic,

emergent property of branched actin network growth against a membrane, without requiring any further

regulatory governance. Geometrical constraints imposed simply by the nature of membrane-proximal

actin branching ensure that any small variations in *either* local actin filament density *or* growth rate are

inherently self-correcting to regress toward the mean.

Of note, it has previously been shown that Arp2/3-mediated branching is required for lamellipodial

formation in a wide variety of cell types; cells with inhibited or depleted Arp2/3 complex exhibit complete

disruption of the lamellipodium shape and often switch to a different mode of migration altogether, such

as filopodial motility (*14*, *42*, *43*). Indeed, HL-60s treated with the Arp2/3 inhibitor CK-666 have

extremely variable leading edge shapes, characterized by long, thin filopodia-like protrusions (Movie S5).

Our theoretical results provide a mechanistic interpretation for this striking phenomenon, suggesting that

the vital lamellipodial maintenance role of Arp2/3-mediated branching stems from its ability to mediate

efficient filament spreading and equilibration of actin density fluctuations, purely because the daughter

filament always grows at an angle distinct from its mother.

208   Optimal suppression of fluctuations by the highly-conserved ~70° branching angle

Given the essential contribution of branched network geometry to the stability of the simulated leading

edges, we reasoned that variations in the branching geometry alone might have a significant effect on

leading edge fluctuations. We therefore performed simulations to determine the effects of changing the

average branching angle and branching angle variability on filament orientation, filament density, and

leading edge fluctuation fit parameters (Fig. 5). In this context, we highlight the distinction between the

branching angle, θ_{br} (i.e., the angle of a daughter filament relative to its mother), and the filament angle or

orientation, θ_f (i.e., the angle of a filament relative to the local membrane normal) (Fig. 5A, inset). In

effect, our simulations represent selection assays, as mother filaments compete to stay within the fixed

branching window, spawn daughter branches, and thus pass down their angle to their progeny (*20*). For

example, when filaments are initialized with a random orientation, and the branching angle is fixed (i.e.,

there is no variability in the branching angle), only a handful of mother filament angles (θ_f) survive until

the end of the simulation (Fig. 5A-C). The surviving, successful filament angles are narrowly and

Fig. 5. The genetically-encoded Arp2/3-mediated branching angle is optimal for suppressing leading edge fluctuations. (A-C) Time course (A) and steady state distribution (B-C) of the filament angle (θ_f) for simulations with various branching angle standard deviations ($\Delta\theta_{br}$, A-B) and means (θ_{br}, C). Dashed lines represent $\theta_{br}/2$ plus integer multiples of θ_{br}. (D-E) Steady state filament density distribution as a function of the mean branching angle in the context of $\Delta\theta_{br}=0°$ (D) and $\Delta\theta_{br}=10°$ (E). (A-B, D-E) Results from a representative simulation for each condition. (C) Data integrated over 40 simulations. (**F-Q**) Predicted response of leading edge fluctuations and filament density variability to changes in the branch angle and branch angle variability, **(continued on next page)**

(Fig. 5 continued) medians over 40 simulations for each condition. Red error bars – standard error. Color map is identical for panels C-Q. (H,L,P) Fitted amplitude as a function of branch angle, where each line represents a different spatial frequency, increasing from 0.2-3.2 um^{-1} in intervals of 0.5 um^{-1}. Insets have identical x-axes to main panels. (I,M,Q) Standard deviation of the filament density at steady state plotted as a function of branch angle. Note the x- and y-axes limits in (F-G, J-K, N-O) are expanded compared to the equivalent panels in Figs. 1-3.

symmetrically distributed around one half of the branching angle (Fig. 5B-C). This optimal filament angle

allows mother and daughter filaments to branch back and forth symmetrically about the membrane normal,

such that mother filaments do not out-compete their progeny (Fig. 2B) (*20*).

In living cells, branching is mediated by the Arp2/3 complex, which has been experimentally

measured to form highly regular and sterotyped branches at ~70° (*22–24*). Intriguingly, this protein

complex is highly conserved (*44*), with measurements of the branching angle in a wide variety of species

(protists (*22–24, 45*), yeast (*24*), mammals (*24, 45, 46*), and amphibians (*47*)) using various experimental

techniques (platinum replica electron microscopy, cryo-electron microscopy, and total internal reflection

microscopy) all falling within the range of 67-78° (± 2-13°). The high degree of conservation hints that

this specific angle might carry some functional optimality, but the question has not been addressable

experimentally; due to the lack of naturally occuring Arp2/3 variants with a substantially different

branching angle, an alternative branching structure would hypothetically have to be designed do novo,

presumably by altering the protein interaction interface by which the Arp2/3 complex binds to the side of

a mother filament (*23*). We thus sought to explore the possible functional significance of this conserved

angle using our minimal stochastic model. Excitingly, we found that in simulations with no branch angle

variability, a 70-80° branching angle was optimal for minimizing both actin density fluctuations

(Fig. 5D,I) and leading edge fluctuation amplitudes for wavelengths smaller than ~2 µm (Fig. 5F,H).

These results provide tantalizing mechanistic insight into the long-standing question of why this angle is

so ubiquitous.

Heritability of filament orientation (i.e., the extent to which mother filament orientation determines

the orientation of the daughters) is set by the degree of variability in the branching angle (which, in turn,

reflects the influence of thermal fluctuations). Perhaps unsurprisingly, decreasing this orientational

heritability significantly reduces the dependence of fluctuation amplitude and filament density variability

on the branching angle (Fig. 5J-Q), and thereby counteracts the beneficial effect of the optimal angle on

leading edge fluctuations. Introducing a branch angle variability of $\pm 2°$ (on the lower end of the

experimentally-measured values) broadens the range of near-optimal branch angles but maintains the

optimum at ~70-80° (Fig. 5J,L), while introducing a variability of $\pm 10°$ (on the higher end of the measured

range) completely removes the optimum (Fig. 5N,P). In both cases, increasing the branch angle variability

increases the minimum possible fluctuation amplitude (Fig. 5H,L,P – insets) and filament density

variability (Fig. 5I,M,Q), representing a decrease in the noise-suppression capabilities of the system.

Overall, these results provide strong support for the idea that actin network geometry is not only essential

for leading edge stability, but also plays a major role in determining the fundamental limits of smoothness

in lamellipodial shape.

Discussion

The emergence of robust collective behaviors from stochastic elements is an enduring biological mystery

which we are only beginning to unravel (*48–54*). The apparent dichotomy in actin-based motility between

the random elongation of individual filaments and the stable formation of smooth and persistent higher-

order actin structures such as lamellipodia exemplifies this enigma, and provides an avenue towards

understanding general strategies for noise suppression in biological systems.

In recent years it has become clear that perturbation-free experiments which examine fluctuations

around the mean at steady state (in contrast to probing the change in the mean due to a perturbation) can

be a powerful tool for understanding noisy systems (*55*). In this work, application of that principle in

combination with high-precision measurements, quantitative analytical techniques, and physical modeling

led to the surprising revelation that the suppression of stochastic fluctuations *naturally emerges* from the

interactions between a growing actin network and the leading edge membrane, with *no additional*

feedback required.

Cells migrating *in vivo* inevitably experience a much more challenging and dynamic environment, in

which additional feedback mechanisms will almost certainly be required for the maintenance of polarized

migration. The simplicity and biophysical realism of our modeling framework should make it particularly

well-suited for future studies focused on predicting and understanding the effects of additional potential

feedback mechanisms, including tethering (*56–59*), a limiting monomer concentration (*60*), force-

dependent branching (*30*, *61*, *62*), and regulation by curvature-sensing proteins (*29*, *63*). Further, this

computational model might be useful for exploring the effects of various extracellular forces, such as those

produced by obstacles or variations in matrix density. We also note that a certain degree of biochemical

signaling is implicit in our model in the form of biochemical rate constants that are invariant in time and

space; this property relies on signaling networks to maintain uniform gradients of actin-associated

molecules (*64*). How local biochemical control (or lack thereof) over these rate constants might affect

leading edge fluctuations remains an interesting avenue for future investigation, both theoretically and

experimentally.

The defining characteristic and major advance of our model was the explicit inclusion of both the

evolutionary dynamics of the actin network and its interaction with the two-dimensional geometry of the

leading edge. By selectively removing elements of the model, we determined that lateral filament

spreading, combined with a fixed branching window, is indispensable for leading edge stability. This

highlights the crucial role in lamellipodial maintenance of the branched structure of actin networks,

wherein each daughter filament inherits angular information from its mother. Our further investigations

into the evolutionary properties of actin network growth revealed that a ~70-80° branch angle maximally

suppresses fine-scale actin density and leading edge shape fluctuations, showing for the first time that

Arp2/3-mediated branching imparts optimal functionality, as was long hypothesized based on strong

sequence, structural, and functional conservation throughout the eukaryotic tree of life.

Returning to the broader question of how noisy biological systems control for stochasticity, we

find that stability in the case of lamellipodial dynamics is inherently encoded by the geometry of branched

actin network growth. It will be interesting to see whether similar principles hold for other cytoskeletal

structures with clear geometric constraints, such as endocytic pits, the cytokinetic ring, and the mitotic

spindle.

References:

1.   T. D. Pollard, J. A. Cooper, Actin, a Central Player in Cell Shape and Movement. *Science*. **326**, 1208–1212 (2009).

2.   L. K. Fritz-Laylin, S. J. Lord, R. D. Mullins, WASP and SCAR are evolutionarily conserved in actin-filled pseudopod-based motility. *J. Cell Biol.* **216**, 1673–1688 (2017).

3.   T. Svitkina, The actin cytoskeleton and actin-based motility. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **10**, 1–21 (2018).

4.   A. Mogilner, G. Oster, Cell motility driven by actin polymerization. *Biophys. J.* **71**, 3030–45 (1996).

5.   C. S. Peskin, G. M. Odell, G. F. Oster, Cellular motions and thermal fluctuations: the Brownian ratchet. *Biophys. J.* **65**, 316–324 (1993).

6.   J. A. Theriot, T. J. Mitchison, L. G. Tilney, D. A. Portnoy, The rate of actin-based motility of intracellular Listeria monocytogenes equals the rate of actin polymerization. *Nature*. **357**, 257–260 (1992).

7.   M. Prass, K. Jacobson, A. Mogilner, M. Radmacher, Direct measurement of the lamellipodial protrusive force in a migrating cell. *J. Cell Biol.* **174**, 767–772 (2006).

8.   T. M. Svitkina, Ultrastructure of protrusive actin filament arrays. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **25**, 574–581 (2013).

9.   S. M. Rafelski, J. A. Theriot, Crawling Toward a Unified Model of Cell Motility: Spatial and Temporal Regulation of Actin Dynamics. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **73**, 209–239 (2004).

10. D. Vavylonis, Q. Yang, B. O'Shaughnessy, Actin polymerization kinetics, cap structure, and fluctuations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 8543–8548 (2005).

11. T. M. Svitkina, A. B. Verkhovsky, K. M. McQuade, G. G. Borisy, Analysis of the actin-myosin II

system in fish epidermal keratocytes: Mechanism of cell body translocation. *J. Cell Biol.* **139**,

397–415 (1997).

12. V. C. Abraham, V. Krishnamurthi, D. L. Taylor, F. Lanni, The Actin-Based Nanomachine at the

Leading Edge of Migrating Cells. *Biophys. J.* **77**, 1721–1732 (1999).

13. V. M. Laurent, S. Kasas, A. Yersin, T. E. Schäffer, S. Catsicas, G. Dietler, A. B. Verkhovsky, J.-

J. Meister, Gradient of rigidity in the lamellipodia of migrating cells revealed by atomic force

microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **89**, 667–675 (2005).

14. L. K. Fritz-Laylin, M. Riel-Mehan, B.-C. Chen, S. J. Lord, T. D. Goddard, T. E. Ferrin, S. M.

Nicholson-Dykstra, H. Higgs, G. T. Johnson, E. Betzig, R. D. Mullins, Actin-based protrusions of

migrating neutrophils are intrinsically lamellar and facilitate direction changes. *Elife*. **6** (2017),

doi:10.7554/eLife.26990.

15. T. Y. C. Tsai, S. R. Collins, C. K. Chan, A. Hadjitheodorou, P.-Y. Lam, S. S. Lou, H. W. Yang, J.

Jorgensen, F. Ellett, D. Irimia, M. W. Davidson, R. S. Fischer, A. Huttenlocher, T. Meyer, J. E.

Ferrell, J. A. Theriot, Efficient Front-Rear Coupling in Neutrophil Chemotaxis by Dynamic

Myosin II Localization. *Dev. Cell*. **49**, 189–205 (2019).

16. C. I. Lacayo, Z. Pincus, M. M. VanDuijn, C. A. Wilson, D. A. Fletcher, F. B. Gertler, A.

Mogilner, J. A. Theriot, Emergence of Large-Scale Cell Morphology and Movement from Local

Actin Filament Growth Dynamics. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, 2035–2052 (2007).

17. S. De Oliveira, E. E. Rosowski, A. Huttenlocher, Neutrophil migration in infection and wound

repair: Going forward in reverse. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **16**, 378–391 (2016).

18. E. Kolaczkowska, P. Kubes, Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. *Nat.*

Rev. Immunol. **13**, 159–175 (2013).

19. H. P. Grimm, A. B. Verkhovsky, A. Mogilner, J. J. Meister, Analysis of actin dynamics at the

leading edge of crawling cells: implications for the shape of keratocyte lamellipodia. *Eur.*

Biophys. J. **32**, 563–577 (2003).

20. I. V. Maly, G. G. Borisy, Self-organization of a propulsive actin network as an evolutionary

process. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **98**, 11324–11329 (2001).

21. A. B. Verkhovsky, O. Y. Chaga, S. Schaub, T. M. Svitkina, J.-J. Meister, G. G. Borisy,

Orientational Order of the Lamellipodial Actin Network as Demonstrated in Living Motile Cells.

Mol. Biol. Cell. **14**, 2559–2569 (2003).

22. R. D. Mullins, J. A. Heuser, T. D. Pollard, The interaction of Arp2/3 complex with actin:

Nucleation, high affinity pointed end capping, and formation of branching networks of filaments.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **95**, 6181–6186 (1998).

23. N. Volkmann, K. J. Amann, S. Stoilova-McPhie, C. Egile, D. C. Winter, L. Hazelwood, J. E.

Heuser, R. Li, T. D. Pollard, D. Hanein, Structure of arp2/3 complex in its activated state and in

actin filament branch junctions. *Science*. **293**, 2456–2459 (2001).

24. I. Rouiller, X. P. Xu, K. J. Amann, C. Egile, S. Nickell, D. Nicastro, R. Li, T. D. Pollard, N.

Volkmann, D. Hanein, The structural basis of actin filament branching by the Arp2/3 complex. *J.*

Cell Biol. **180**, 887–895 (2008).

25. A. Diz-Muñoz, K. Thurley, S. Chintamen, S. J. Altschuler, L. F. Wu, D. A. Fletcher, O. D.

Weiner, Membrane Tension Acts Through PLD2 and mTORC2 to Limit Actin Network

Assembly During Neutrophil Migration. *PLOS Biol*. **14**, e1002474 (2016).

26. A. R. Houk, A. Jilkine, C. O. Mejean, R. Boltyanskiy, E. R. Dufresne, S. B. Angenent, S. J.

Altschuler, L. F. Wu, O. D. Weiner, Membrane tension maintains cell polarity by confining

signals to the leading edge during neutrophil migration. *Cell*. **148**, 175–188 (2012).

27. J. Mueller, G. Szep, M. Nemethova, I. de Vries, A. D. Lieber, C. Winkler, K. Kruse, J. V. Small,

C. Schmeiser, K. Keren, R. Hauschild, M. Sixt, Load Adaptation of Lamellipodial Actin Networks. *Cell*. **171**, 188–200 (2017).

28. N. C. Gauthier, T. A. Masters, M. P. Sheetz, Mechanical feedback between membrane tension and dynamics. *Trends Cell Biol.* **22**, 527–535 (2012).

29. K. Tsujita, T. Takenawa, T. Itoh, Feedback regulation between plasma membrane tension and membrane-bending proteins organizes cell polarity during leading edge formation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **17**, 749–758 (2015).

30. V. I. Risca, E. B. Wang, O. Chaudhuri, J. J. Chia, P. L. Geissler, D. a. Fletcher, Actin filament curvature biases branching direction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 2913–2918 (2012).

31. B. J. Spellberg, M. Collins, S. W. French, J. E. Edwards, Y. Fu, A. S. Ibrahim, A phagocytic cell line markedly improves survival of infected neutropenic mice. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **78**, 338–344 (2005).

32. A. Millius, O. D. Weiner, in *Chemotaxis* (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 2009; http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-60761-198-1_11), vol. 571, pp. 167–177.

33. Neutrophil-like HL-60 cells expressing only GFP-tagged β-actin exhibit nearly normal motility, doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/cm.21603.

34. F. L. H. Brown, Elastic Modeling of Biomembranes and Lipid Bilayers. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **59**, 685–712 (2008).

35. P. G. De Gennes, Dynamics of Entangled Polymer Solutions. I. The Rouse Model. *Macromolecules*. **9**, 587–593 (1976).

36. E. Cerda, L. Mahadevan, Geometry and Physics of Wrinkling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 074302 (2003).

37. A. E. Carlsson, Growth of Branched Actin Networks against Obstacles. *Biophys. J.* **81**, 1907–

1923 (2001).

38.   A. E. Carlsson, Growth Velocities of Branched Actin Networks. *Biophys. J.* **84**, 2907–2918

(2003).

39.   A. Bisaria, A. Hayer, D. Garbett, D. Cohen, T. Meyer, Membrane-proximal F-actin restricts local

membrane protrusions and directs cell migration. *Science*. **368**, 1205–1210 (2020).

40.   A. D. Lieber, S. Yehudai-Resheff, E. L. Barnhart, J. A. Theriot, K. Keren, Membrane tension in

rapidly moving cells is determined by cytoskeletal forces. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 1409–1417 (2013).

41.   S. Suetsugu, Activation of nucleation promoting factors for directional actin filament elongation:

Allosteric regulation and multimerization on the membrane. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **24**, 267–271

(2013).

42.   J. H. Henson, M. Yeterian, R. M. Weeks, a. E. Medrano, B. L. Brown, H. L. Geist, M. D. Pais, R.

Oldenbourg, C. B. Shuster, Arp2/3 Complex Inhibition Radically Alters Lamellipodial Actin

Architecture, Suspended Cell Shape, and the Cell Spreading Process. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. **26** (2015),

doi:10.1091/mbc.E14-07-1244.

43.   C. Wu, S. B. Asokan, M. E. Berginski, E. M. Haynes, N. E. Sharpless, J. D. Griffith, S. M.

Gomez, J. E. Bear, Arp2/3 is critical for lamellipodia and response to extracellular matrix cues

but is dispensable for chemotaxis. *Cell*. **148**, 973–987 (2012).

44.   M. D. Welch, A. H. Depace, S. Verma, A. Iwamatsu, T. J. Mitchison, The Human Arp2/3

Complex Is Composed of Evolutionarily Conserved Subunits and Is Localized to Cellular

Regions of Dynamic Actin Filament Assembly. *J. Cell Biol.* **138**, 375–384 (1997).

45.   L. Blanchoin, K. J. Amann, H. N. Higgs, J. Marchand, D. A. Kaiser, T. D. Pollard, Direct

observation of dendritic actin filament networks nucleated by Arp2/3 complex and WASP/Scar

proteins. *Nature*. **404**, 1007–1011 (2000).

46.   L. Cai, A. M. Makhov, D. A. Schafer, J. E. Bear, Coronin 1B antagonizes cortactin and remodels
Arp2/3-containing actin branches in lamellipodia. *Cell*. **134**, 828–842 (2008).

47.   T. M. Svitkina, G. G. Borisy, Arp2/3 complex and actin depolymerizing factor/cofilin in dendritic
organization and treadmilling of actin filament array in lamellipodia. *J. Cell Biol*. **145**, 1009–1026
(1999).

48.   W. Y. C. Huang, Q. Yan, W. C. Lin, J. K. Chung, S. D. Hansen, S. M. Christensen, H. L. Tu, J.
Kuriyan, J. T. Groves, Phosphotyrosine-mediated LAT assembly on membranes drives kinetic
bifurcation in recruitment dynamics of the Ras activator SOS. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci*. **113**, 8218–
8223 (2016).

49.   N. Battich, T. Stoeger, L. Pelkmans, Control of Transcript Variability in Single Mammalian Cells.
Cell. **163**, 1596–1610 (2015).

50.   A. Y. Chang, W. F. Marshall, Organelles - Understanding noise and heterogeneity in cell biology
at an intermediate scale. *J. Cell Sci*. **130**, 819–826 (2017).

51.   L. Mohapatra, B. L. Goode, P. Jelenkovic, R. Phillips, J. Kondev, Design Principles of Length
Control of Cytoskeletal Structures. *Annu. Rev. Biophys*. **45**, 85–116 (2016).

52.   A. Raj, A. van Oudenaarden, Nature, Nurture, or Chance: Stochastic Gene Expression and Its
Consequences. *Cell*. **135**, 216–226 (2008).

53.   W. T. Gray, S. K. Govers, Y. Xiang, B. R. Parry, M. Campos, S. Kim, C. Jacobs-Wagner,
Nucleoid Size Scaling and Intracellular Organization of Translation across Bacteria. *Cell*. **177**,
1632-1648.e20 (2019).

54.   A. C. Oates, What's all the noise about developmental stochasticity? *Development*. **138**, 601–607
(2011).

55.   E. S. Welf, G. Danuser, Using fluctuation analysis to establish causal relations between cellular

events without experimental perturbation. *Biophys. J.* **107**, 2492–2498 (2014).

56.   A. Mogilner, G. Oster, Force generation by actin polymerization II: The elastic ratchet and

tethered filaments. *Biophys. J.* **84**, 1591–1605 (2003).

57.   F. S. Soo, J. A. Theriot, Adhesion controls bacterial actin polymerization-based movement. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. **102**, 16233–16238 (2005).

58.   J. B. Alberts, G. M. Odell, In silico reconstitution of Listeria propulsion exhibits nano-saltation.

PLoS Biol. **2** (2004), doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020412.

59.   S. C. Kuo, J. L. McGrath, Steps and fluctuations of Listeria monocytogenes during actin-based

motility. *Nature.* **407**, 1026–1029 (2000).

60.   R. D. Mullins, P. Bieling, D. A. Fletcher, From solution to surface to filament: actin flux into

branched networks. *Biophys. Rev.* **10**, 1537–1551 (2018).

61.   O. Chaudhuri, S. H. Parekh, D. A. Fletcher, Reversible stress softening of actin networks. *Nature.*

445, 295–298 (2007).

62.   S. H. Parekh, O. Chaudhuri, J. A. Theriot, D. A. Fletcher, Loading history determines the velocity

of actin-network growth. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **7**, 1219–1223 (2005).

63.   H. Zhao, A. Pykäläinen, P. Lappalainen, I-BAR domain proteins: linking actin and plasma

membrane dynamics. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **23**, 14–21 (2011).

64.   P. N. Devreotes, S. Bhattacharya, M. Edwards, P. A. Iglesias, T. Lampert, Y. Miao, Excitable

Signal Transduction Networks in Directed Cell Migration. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **33**, 103–

125 (2017).

Acknowledgements:

We kindly thank E. F. Koslover and A. J. Spakowitz for their helpful advice on model conceptualization

and data analysis, and E. F. Koslover, N. M. Belliveau, P. Radhakrishnan, and A. Savinov for helpful

comments on the manuscript. Funding: Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Washington Research

Foundation, NIH Grant R37-AI036929 to J.A.T., Gerald J. Lieberman Fellowship to R.M.G, NSF

Graduate Research Fellowship to R.M.G.

Author contributions:

Study was conceived by R.M.G. and J.A.T. All experiments, modeling, and analysis were carried out by

R.M.G. Interpretation of the results and preparation of the manuscript were performed by R.M.G. and

J.A.T.

Competing Interests Statement:

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Data and Materials Availability:

Analysis and modeling code for this paper is available on the Theriot lab Gitlab:

<https://gitlab.com/theriot_lab/leading-edge-stability-in-motile-cells-is-an-emergent-property-of-

branched-actin-network-growth>. All data will be made available upon reasonable request to the

corresponding author.