Using Multilayer Heterogeneous Networks to Infer Functions of Phosphorylated Sites

Joanne Watson[1, 2], Jean-Marc Schwartz[1] and Chiara Francavilla[2]*,

* Corresponding Author: chiara.francavilla@manchester.ac.uk

[1]Division of Evolution & Genomic Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine &

Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PT

[2]Division of Molecular and Cellular Function, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine &

Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PT.

Running Title: Phosphorylation Site-centric Functional Analysis

Abbreviations

RWHN – Random walk on heterogeneous network

PTM – Post-translational modification

PPI – Protein-protein interaction

GO – Gene Ontology

PSP – Phosphosite Plus

EGF -Epidermal Growth Factor

TGF-α – Tumour growth factor α

RWR – Random walk with restart

GOBP – Gene Ontology Biological Processes

FDR – False discovery rate

SILAC – Stable Isotope Labelling of Amino Acids in Cell culture

KDE – Kernel Density Estimation

Abstract

Mass spectrometry-based quantitative phosphoproteomics has become an essential approach in the

study of cellular processes such as cell signaling. Commonly used methods to analyze phosphoproteomics

datasets depend on generic, gene-centric annotations such as Gene Ontology terms and do not account for

the function of a protein in a given phosphorylation state. Thus, analysis of phosphoproteomics data is

hampered by a lack of phosphorylated site-specific annotations. Here, we propose a method that

combines shotgun phosphoproteomics data, protein-protein interactions and functional annotations from

ontologies or pathway databases into a heterogeneous multilayer network. Phosphorylation sites are

then associated to potential functions using a random walk on heterogeneous network (RWHN)

algorithm. We validated our approach using a dataset modelling the MAPK/ERK pathway and were able

to associate differentially regulated sites on the same protein to their previously described functions.

Random permutation analysis proved that these associations were not random and were determined by

the network topology. We then applied the RWHN algorithm to two previously published datasets; the

algorithm was able to reproduce the experimentally validated conclusions from the publications, and

associate phosphorylation sites with both new and known functions based on their regulatory patterns.

The approach described here provides a robust, phosphorylation site-centric method to analyzing

phosphoproteomics data and identifying potential context-specific functions for sites with similar

phosphorylation profiles.

1.   Introduction

Phosphorylation is the most studied post-translational modification (PTM) due to its central role in

cellular regulation. It is thought to be the principal PTM in the human proteome with upwards of 100,000

predicted phosphorylation sites [1], as well as an essential mediator of protein-protein interaction (PPI)

and protein function. Transient changes occur at specifically regulated phosphorylation sites, of which

there may be multiple on each protein. Regulation of phosphorylation is often dependent on

perturbations such as the activity of extracellular ligands, drug treatment or physical stimuli in the

extracellular environment [2]. By comparing changes in the phosphoproteome of cells in different

experimental conditions through mass spectrometry-based phosphoproteomics, phosphorylated sites

that are key players in cellular processes and functions can be uncovered in an unbiased, high-throughput

manner [3].

Functional analysis of phosphoproteomics datasets is typically based on gene-centric enrichment of Gene

Ontology (GO) terms or involvement in known pathways [4]. However, this approach disregards

information captured by phosphoproteomics data on changes at specific phosphorylated sites, by limiting

the analysis to the protein level. The modification state of a protein is inherently coupled to its function;

PTMs alter protein activity, as well as the ability to interact with different sets of proteins. Furthermore, if

a protein is phosphorylated on multiple sites, each with a different function and regulatory pattern, this

information is not revealed by gene-centric analysis [5]. For instance, the well-studied signalling protein

MAPK1 has 18 known phosphorylated sites recorded in the database PhosphositePlus (PSP), however

only 6 have been annotated to a downstream function[6]. Enrichment analyses that rely on

generalizations based on protein-level or gene-centric descriptions exclude details encoded in the

phosphorylation signature. Analyses are thus hampered by the lack of phosphorylation site-specific

functional annotations.

Several network-based methods have been proposed to move towards phosphorylation site-specific

analyses of phosphoproteomics data. A significant focus has been on the inference of kinase-substrate

networks, such as NetworKIN [7], KSEA [8], and IKAP [9], among others. These methods are useful for

reconstructing the architecture of signalling intracellular networks, which can be informative for

identifying modules of modified proteins involved in cellular processes, but again remain hampered by

the lack of site-specific functional annotation [10]. They may also be biased towards the most studied

kinases and the exclusion of non-kinase proteins. Rudolph *et al.* [11] proposed a method to address this

issue named PHOTON which identified differentially regulated proteins based on the level of

phosphorylation of their binding partners in a high-confidence PPI network, and then used logistic

regression to identify the involvement of the phosphorylated proteins in known signalling pathways.

Krug *et al.* [12] curated a resource containing literature-derived phosphorylated site-specific signatures

to assign functions to sites. However, the use of such a resource is limited by the lack of annotation of

these signatures to specific cellular processes.

In recent years, heterogeneous or multilayer networks have been used to represent many types of 'omics

datasets [13]. These specialized networks are used to describe multiple types of associations with nodes

representing different entities. To identify relationships between the different biological layers, random

walk algorithms have been applied to these networks. The random walk on heterogeneous network

(RWHN) with restart (RWR) method [14] has been particularly popular. Jiang [15] used RWR to prioritize

disease candidate genes in a PPI-phenome network; similarly, Soul *et al.* [16] applied it to a PPI-phenome

network to identify disease mechanisms. Recent work has extended the method to multiple layers of

biological information, for example to infer disease associated m6A RNA methylation via known gene-

disease associations [17]. Similar methodology was used to associate phosphorylation sites recorded in

the PSP database to diseases via kinase-substrate interactions [18].

Here, we propose an algorithm that uses RWHN to associate phosphorylated sites to context-specific

function via a heterogeneous multilayer network using shotgun phosphoproteomics data. The network

combines three layers of information: phosphorylated sites, protein interactions and GO terms. Clustering

of phosphoproteomics data is used to find common features within datasets and is generally followed by

enrichment analyses. This is based on the assumption that common patterns of phosphorylation based on

temporal changes or in response to a particular stimulus, treatment or environmental context, are a likely

indicator of involvement in common functions. We utilize this concept in our algorithm by connecting

phosphorylated sites that have been clustered together, and therefore share regulatory patterns, within

the multilayer network.

To prove the utility of our algorithm, we apply it to a manually-curated validation network and two

previously published datasets, describing early signalling events in HeLa cells upon EGF and TGF-α

stimulation [19] and phosphorylation-mediated changes in breast cancer cells resistant to lapatinib

treatment [20]. We demonstrate that functional annotations can be differentially assigned to

phosphorylated sites and this is driven by changes in the context-dependent modification of these sites.

Our method is suitable for use with any phosphoproteomics dataset and could be generalized for data

describing other PTMs.

2.   Experimental Procedures

A multilayer heterogeneous network was constructed to relate biological function to phosphorylation site

via a protein-protein interaction network (Figure 1). Three types of nodes are contained within the

network: phosphorylation sites (called 'sites' here on in for brevity), proteins and functional annotations

(either Gene Ontology Biological Process (GOBP) terms or KEGG pathways). The edges describe five

possible associations which are either bipartite (i.e. site to protein, protein to function) or between the

same type of node.

2.1   Francavilla et al. Dataset

The results of the phosphoproteomics experiment described in Francavilla *et al.* [19] were taken from

Supplementary Table S1 of their manuscript. The authors had processed the raw mass spectrometry data

using MaxQuant [21], filtered identified phosphorylated sites based on a localization probability of

greater than 0.75 and normalized remaining data to the ratio of EGFR at 1 min after stimulation with EGF

or TGF-α. Prior to multilayer heterogeneous network construction, the data were further filtered to

remove sites with two or more missing ratios in their time series and containing at least one SILAC ratio

higher than 2 or lower than 0.5 (as described in the methods of Francavilla *et al.* [19]). Missing data were

imputed with random draws from a truncated distribution, as previously described [22], using the

impute.QRLIC function from the *imputeLCMD* R package.

2.2   Ruprecht et al. Dataset

Data from the Ruprecht *et al.* [20] study were taken from the Supplementary Data file named 'Filtered and

normalized phosphoproteome dataset'. The raw mass-spectrometry data had been processed using

MaxQuant, filtered to include only identified phosphorylated sites with a localization probability of

greater than 0.75, and normalized. Sites that did not show a significant change (FDR <1%) either between

the untreated parental and laptanib-treated resistant (SILAC ratios H/L) or between the lapatinib treated

parental and lapatinib-treated resistant conditions (SILAC ratios H/M) experimental conditions were

filtered from the data, as described in the methods of Ruprecht *et al.* [20]. Missing data were imputed

with the same method used for the Francavilla et al. dataset [22][22][22][22].

2.3   Construction of Multilayer Network

Phosphorylation Site – Phosphorylation Site Subnetwork

Phosphorylated sites were clustered based on their quantitative values in different experimental

conditions using the Fuzzy C-Means [23] or k-means method. Edges were drawn between sites in the

same cluster that had a Pearson correlation (R2) between all the data points greater than or equal to 0.99

(Figure 1).

Protein - Protein Subnetwork

The protein-protein interaction network was extracted from STRING [24]. All interactions to the proteins

included in the phosphoproteomics datasets with an experimental confidence score of greater than 0.4

were included (Figure 1).

Function – Function Subnetwork

We used either GOBP terms or KEGG pathways as functional annotators in this work. If GOBP terms were

included in the multilayer network, the GOSemSim package from Bioconductor [25] was used to calculate

the semantic similarity of enriched GOBP terms. An edge was drawn between terms with semantic

similarity greater than 0.7, as calculated using the Wang method. In the case of KEGG pathways, edges

were drawn between pathways that had greater than 70% pairwise similarity in their functional

annotation profiles, following the method described in Stoney *et al.* [26] (Figure 1)

Phosphorylation Site – Protein Bipartite Edges

146 Sites and proteins had an edge between them if the residue was found on that protein. Therefore, sites

147 will only have one edge, but proteins will have edges to all the sites found on that protein that were

148 differentially regulated in the data set (Figure 1).

Protein – Function Bipartite Edge

We assumed that closely connected nodes in the protein-protein subnetwork would more likely be

involved in similar biological processes. Therefore we computed modules of the protein-protein

subnetwork using the Louvain method. Proteins from each module were analyzed for enrichment (FDR <

0.05%) of functional annotations (either GOBP terms or KEGG pathways) using the *Enrichr* [27] R

interface. This increased the specificity of terms to be included in the network. When GO terms were

included, high frequency (annotated to more than 5% of genes) and semantically redundant terms were

filtered using the Bioconductor GOSemSim [25] and GO.db packages [28] (Figure 1).

2.4   Random Walk on Heterogeneous Network

158 The heterogeneous network can be represented as an adjacency matrix as follows:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{RR} & A_{RP} & 0 \\ A_{PR} & A_{PP} & A_{PF} \\ 0 & A_{FP} & A_{FF} \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

159 where: A_{RR} are site-site associations, A_{PP} are protein-protein associations, A_{FF} are function-function

160 associations, A_{RP} are site-protein associations with A_{PR} as the transpose and A_{PF} are protein-function

161 associations with A_{FP} as the transpose.

162 As described in previous work [14], a transition matrix (M) was calculated for use in the first stage of the

163 algorithm:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} M_{RR} & M_{RP} & 0 \\ M_{RP^T} & M_{PP} & M_{PF} \\ 0 & M_{PF^T} & M_{FF} \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

164 The bipartite inter-subgraph transition matrices (M_{RP} and M_{PF}) were calculated as:

$$(M_{RP})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \lambda\,(A_{RP})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{RP})_{i,j}, & if \sum_j (A_{RP})_{i,j} \neq 0 \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

$$(M_{PF})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \lambda\,(A_{PF})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{PF})_{i,j}, & if \sum_j (A_{PF})_{i,j} \neq 0 \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

165 where λ is the transition probability (i.e. the likelihood of the walker moving between two layers of the

166 network).

167 The intra-subgraph transition matrices (M_{RR}, M_{PP} and M_{FF}) were calculated as:

$$(M_{RR})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (A_{RR})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{RR})_{i,j}, & if \sum_j (A_{RP})_{i,j} = 0 \\ (1-\lambda)\,(A_{RR})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{RR})_{i,j}, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

$$(M_{PP})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (A_{PP})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{PP})_{i,j}, & if \sum_j (A_{PR})_{i,j}, (A_{PF})_{i,j} = 0 \\ (1-\lambda)\,(A_{PP})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{PP})_{i,j}, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

$$(M_{FF})_{i,j} = \begin{cases} (A_{FF})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{FF})_{i,j}, & if \sum_j (A_{FP})_{i,j} = 0 \\ (1-\lambda)\,(A_{FF})_{i,j} \Big/ \sum_j (A_{FF})_{i,j}, & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

168   RWHN is a ranking algorithm; nodes are ranked based on the probabilities of finding the random walker

169   at a given node in the steady state, having started at a given seed node or set of seed nodes. In this work

170   we set the seed nodes to be those sites belonging to a particular cluster. The probability of finding the

171   random walker at each node for each step is calculated based on the iterative equation:

$$\begin{cases} P_{s+1} = (1-r) \times M^T \times P_s + r \times P_0 \\ \qquad P_{s+1} - P_s \leq 10^{-6} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where r is the restart probability (set to 0.7 as described in Kohler et al. [29]), P_0 is the initial probability

vector and P_s is the probability vector at step s. P_0 was calculated such that all seed nodes were given

equal probabilities with their sum equal to 1. All other nodes in the site-site subnetwork were assigned an

initial probability of 0. Nodes in other subnetworks were assigned equal probabilities with their sum

equal to 1 and weighted with the tunable parameters η_P and η_F, that are used to weight the influence of

each layer.

The output of the algorithm is a ranked list of all the nodes, based on the probability of finding the

random walker at each node in the steady state. This list is filtered by retaining functional annotations

and removing proteins and sites.

We implemented the algorithm in R using packages available from Bioconductor and CRAN and the

source code is available at www.github.com/jowatson2011/RWHN_phosphoproteomics. RWHN on the

validation network took less than 30 seconds to run however the larger experimental data sets took

several hours on a moderately powerful computer (32GB RAM, Intel i7 Processor).

3.   Results

3.1   Overview of Algorithm

To associate phosphorylated sites of unknown function to potential cellular functions we developed an

algorithm to apply to shotgun phosphoproteomics data. First, in order to identify context-specific roles of

proteins based on the regulation of their phosphorylated sites, a multilayer heterogeneous network is

constructed. This represents three layers of biological entities and information: phosphorylated sites,

proteins-protein interaction, and biological function (Figure 1). We then apply a ranking algorithm,

RWHN, which ranks nodes of each layer based on: (i) distance from the phosphorylated sites of interest,

which are assigned as 'seed' nodes and (ii) the topology of the multilayer heterogeneous network [14].

Functions that are highly ranked can be considered more correlated with a set of seed nodes.

In the multilayer heterogeneous network, edges are drawn between sites based on similarity in the

regulatory pattern of their phosphorylation which we determine using k-means or fuzzy C-means

clustering. For the protein layer, a PPI network is constructed of the phosphorylated proteins and their

interactors using the STRING database [24]. Interactors are included to account for non-phosphorylated

proteins, or those below the limit of detection of the experiment [30]. In order to get a comprehensive

and specific list of functional annotations, we calculated closely connected groups of proteins in the PPI

network using module detection and performed functional enrichment analysis on these modules. Edges

between functional annotations terms are drawn based on functional similarity and overlap [25,26].

The RWHN algorithm simulates a walker moving from a starting node(s) (called a seed) and then node to

node through the multilayer network. Each step is influenced by the probability of transition to another

layer (λ), the weighting of the protein and function layers (η_P and η_F) and the probability of restart (that

is, teleportation back to the seed node(s), r). The output of RWHN consists in a list of ranks for all the

nodes in the network based on the likelihood of the walker reaching that node.

To optimize the algorithm, we ran RWHN with each of these parameters (λ, r, η_P and η_F) tested over a

range of values (0.2 – 0.8), changing one whilst setting all others to 0.5 (Figure S1). Performance was

decidedly stable over the range of η_P and η_F however altering λ and r resulted in a spread of ranks

assigned to a term depending on the parameter value. Previous work has suggested an ideal λ and r of 0.7

[14,29], whilst η_P and η_F were set to 0.7 and 0.3 respectively to prioritize movement in the protein layer

and reduce the number of terms having the same rank regardless of seed.

3.2   Validation Data Case Study

MAPK/ERK signalling has been well studied and the temporal phosphorylation status of component

proteins in response to growth factor stimulus are relatively established [31,32](Figure 2A). Based on

this general understanding, we compiled a simple validation dataset of phosphorylation dynamics at

particular sites of the main signalling proteins within the pathway (Figure 2B). Sites were chosen based

on whether their phosphorylation is known to activate or inactivate protein activity, as recorded in the

PSP database [6]. We clustered the data into 5 clusters, referred to here as clusters 1-5, using the fuzzy c-

means algorithm (Figure 2B). The number of clusters was selected based on the number of temporal

trends we could visually identify in the data. The features of the multilayer network that was constructed

are summarized in Table 1. Enrichment of GOBP terms was used to form the functional annotation layer

of the network.

We ran the RWHN algorithm over the multilayer heterogeneous network with seed nodes set to all the

sites belonging to one of the clusters; this was then repeated for each cluster (Figure 3A). The highest

ranked GOBP term was the same ("Protein autophosphorylation") regardless of the seed nodes; however,

it was possible to differentiate between the five clusters based on the ranking of terms below the first one.

For example, the inactivating phosphorylation sites of MAPK2K1 and MAPK2K2 (S212 and S216

respectively) are clustered separately to the activating sites (MAP2K1_S218, MAP2K1_S222,

MAK2K2_S222 and MAP2K2_S226), in clusters 1 and 3 respectively. The ranking of the term "regulation of

mitotic cell cycle" is higher for cluster 1 than cluster 3. This is consistent with annotation in PSP, showing

that whilst activating MAP2K1/MAP2K2 sites are positive regulators of the cell cycle, MAP2K1 and

MAP2K2 are negative regulators of the cellular behaviors downstream of the activating phosphorylation.

Another example is the highly specific term "regulation of cysteine-type endopeptidase activity involved in

apoptotic process", which is ranked highest when the seed nodes are set to cluster 2. The process is

annotated to two of the proteins in this cluster (RPS6KA3 and RAF1) as well as other well-studied signalling

kinases found in the PPI layer of the multilayer heterogeneous network (SRC, AKT1, MEK5, BAD and

MAP3K5). Higher association with this cluster could indicate a particular role for RAF1-S289 in this

process, compared to the other RAF1 phosphorylated sites included in this network.

To assess the robustness of the algorithm, we performed a random permutation control. RWHN was run

one hundred times with the seeds set as before, random permutations of the subnetworks and bipartite

edges maintained. Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) was calculated to assess how often each GO term

occurred at each rank in the 100 random permutations for each set of seed nodes. In each case, there were

a subset of terms that were more likely to have a rank greater than 50, however none strongly correlated

with the actual rankings for each set of seed nodes (Figure 3B). This confirms that the rankings are not

random, but primarily determined by the network topology.

For a given set of seed nodes, the algorithm is capable of giving high ranks to functions with known

associations to those sites. It is also capable of predicting non-random, reasonable functions for sites of

unknown function in this context.

3.3   Experimental Case Study: Dissecting EGF- and TGF-α-Induced Dynamic Phosphorylation

We next wanted to test the performance of the algorithm on an already available and complex

phosphoproteomics dataset. The phosphoproteomics data retrieved from Francavilla *et al*. [19] describes

the effect in HeLa cells of stimulation with EGF or TGF-α over a period of 90 minutes (with time points at

1, 8, 40 and 90 minutes). In this study, one of the findings was that the two ligands activating the same

cell-surface receptor, regulated phosphorylation dynamics in a different manner, resulting in opposite

cellular responses. Phosphorylated sites from Supplementary Table S1 of the original publication were

filtered based on regulation by EGF or by TGF-α and divided based on which stimuli the regulation was

dependent on. The separated data were clustered into 6 clusters using the fuzzy C-means method, as per

the original publication, with each cluster representing a distinct dynamic profile of phosphorylation

(Figure S2). A multilayer heterogeneous network was constructed for both sets of data[19][19][19],

described in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively.

Several terms that were biologically relevant were differentially ranked in the EGF and TGF-α networks

(Figure 4A-B). Consistent with the original publication, the term 'regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade'

was highly ranked when seeds were set to sites in cluster 3 and 5 (representing EGF transient

responders) for the EGF network and the term 'regulation of MAPK cascade' for clusters 4 and 5

(representing TGF-α cycling or sustained responders) for the TGF-α network. We used these terms as

positive controls, due to the process being well studied and many sites within the cascade having

previously documented function we could use to verify the robustness of our approach.

EGF cluster 3 contains two EGFR sites described as activating in the PSP database (EGFR_Y1197,

EGFR_Y1110), as well as sites belonging to EGFR downstream proteins SHC1 (SHC1_Y427, SHC1_Y349,

SHC1_Y350) and PTPN11 (PTPN11_Y584). Cluster 5 contains MAPK1 activating sites (MAPK1_T185,

MAPK1_Y187), serving as a positive control for this association, and two sites associated with EGFR

internalization in PSP (EGFR_S991, EGFR_S693). Interestingly, cluster 1 (representing EGF cycling

responders) contains a tyrosine residue that is also associated with regulation of EGFR internalization

(EGFR_Y998) as well as the RAB7 regulatory site experimentally investigated in the original publication

(RAB7_Y183) and MAPK3 activating sites (MAPK3_T202, MAPK_Y204). When seed nodes are set to

cluster 1 there are higher proportion of terms related to protein localization highly ranked. This

potentially indicates nuanced roles between tyrosine and serine/threonine residues on EGFR and

MAPK1/MAPK3 activation in receptor internalization. For the TGF-α network, only one site (EGFR-

Y1197, activating) was found in one of the two TGF-α clusters associated with MAPK regulation (cluster

4). RAB7A_Y183 and RAB11B, important regulators of the receptor recycling investigated in the paper,

were also found in this cluster. When seed nodes were set to this cluster, terms associated with cell

migration were ranked higher (e.g. `positive regulation of cellular migration`). This was in line with one of

the conclusions of the paper, demonstrating a role for recycling and migration upon TGF-α stimulation.

When seed nodes were set to sites in cluster 3, the term 'regulation of receptor mediate endocytosis' was

ranked high; this cluster contained EGFR sites Y998 and Y1172, both associated to receptor

internalization in PSP, and SH3BP4_S279, a known player in this process [33]. Taken together, the

functions that were highly ranked were related to the biological functions of the sites set as seed nodes.

The algorithm captured the experimentally verified conclusion from the original paper, where EGFR

stimulated by TGF-α is associated with receptor internalization/recycling and a strong migratory

phenotype, whilst EGFR stimulated with EGF is degraded with fewer potent changes in cell behavior.

To consider a single protein example, phosphorylated sites on RAF1 were clustered for the EGF data

depending on whether they are activating (S289, S301 in cluster 6, S296 in cluster 2) or inactivating (S259,

a 14-3-3 binding site [34], in cluster 5) sites, as annotated in PhosphositePlus (marked in Figure S2). In the

EGF network, seeds nodes set as clusters 2 or 6 resulted in 'positive regulation of cellular process' being

ranked higher than seed nodes as cluster 5 sites. These clusters also were able to rank 'regulation of PI3K

signalling' and 'regulation of protein kinase B signaling' highly, picking up on RAF1 crosstalk with other

pathways when active [35,36]. In particular, the cluster containing the sites RAF1_S289 and S301 ranked

'regulation of lipid kinase activity higher'. Cluster 5 ranked 'regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade' higher

than cluster 2 and 6, however it also counterintuitively ranked 'positive regulation of MAPK cascade' higher.

The TGF-α data were also clustered so that RAF_S296 was separated (cluster 3) from the other activating

sites (cluster 5), but the negatively regulating site was not quantified in this experimental condition. As in

the EGF network, cluster 5 ranked 'inositol lipid-mediated phosphorylation' and 'regulation of lipid kinase

activity' higher than cluster 3 did, indicating a stronger correlation between RAF1_S289 and RAF1_S301

phosphorylation and lipid kinase pathway crosstalk. These results suggest the algorithm is capable of

assigning functional terms to differentially regulated phosphorylation site and validates that it can

distinguish between sites of known function.

3.4   Experimental Case study: Phosphorylation-dependent response to Lapatinib treatment and

resistance in breast cancer

To verify the applicability of the algorithm with non-temporal shotgun phosphoproteomics data, we

applied it to the experimental dataset from Ruprecht *et al.* [20] describing changes in the phosphoproteome

upon treatment with the breast cancer drug lapatinib in sensitive ('parental' BT-474) or lapatinib-resistant

(BT-474-J4) cells. The paper uncovered and experimentally validated the role of several metabolic enzymes

and signaling pathways that were driving lapatinib resistance. In particular, proteins that form the

spliceosome, those involved in glycolysis and glycogen catabolism and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway

members were differentially phosphorylated in lapatinib-resistant cells compared to parental lapatinib-

treated cells.

We first constructed a multilayer heterogeneous network as described in Experimental Procedures which

included 1603 phosphorylated sites that showed significant changes in response to lapatinib treatment in

either the parental or resistant cell lines (Table 4). Data were grouped into 5 clusters (referred to as clusters

1 – 5) using the k-means method. The number of clusters to use was determined using the elbow plot

method [37](Figure S3A), confirming that 5 clusters was sufficient to capture all features found in the data.

Indeed, sites sharing similar regulation profiles between the resistant and parental cells were clustered

together (Figure S3B). As the original publication used KEGG pathways rather than GO terms, we

incorporated these in the functional-annotation layer of our network to investigate the flexibility of our

approach. As with the Francavilla *et al.* [19] data, terms that were ranked the same regardless of the seed

nodes were excluded from further analysis. There were 26 such pathways out of the total 149 in the

network; these included ErbB signaling, MAPK signaling, 'pathways in cancer' and various specific cancer

pathways (including breast cancer).

The results of RWHN applied to the multilayer heterogeneous network (Figure 5A) show that when the

seed nodes are set to sites belonging to clusters 3, 4, or 5, which respectively represent sites that are:

rescued in resistance, decreased in resistance, or substantially increased phosphorylation upon lapatinib

treatment, the spliceosome pathway was highly ranked. Clusters 3 and 4 also highly ranked the mTOR

pathway and metabolism-related pathways (such as 'carbon metabolism in cancer'). Cluster 4 highly

ranked 'glycolysis' specifically, along with 'transcriptional misregulation', indicating alternate and nuanced

roles for different SRRM2 phosphorylated sites and its association with non-canonical pathways. Metabolic

terms were also highly ranked when the seed nodes were set to cluster 1 (representing increased

phosphorylation in resistant cells), with terms such as 'glycolysis/gluconeogenesis', 'pentose phosphate

pathway' and 'starch and sucrose metabolism' ranked higher. This cluster contains the ALDOA-S39 site

highlighted in the original publication and, interestingly, the activating S17 on SRC; this could indicate

crosstalk between the ErbB/MAPK and metabolic pathways to be experimentally investigated. Cluster 2

(representing decreased phosphorylation upon lapatinib-treatment) contains several of the glycogen

catabolism sites highlighted in the paper. There is no KEGG pathway associated with this process, however

this cluster highly ranked the mTOR pathway, adding more evidence for the link validated in the paper

between mTOR signalling, metabolic rewiring and lapatinib resistance. This cluster also contained several

of the key spliceosome sites highlighted by Ruprecht et al. [20] (SRRM2_S1132, SRRM2_S1987,

HNRNPU_S59), indicating parallel- or cross-regulation of the 'transcriptional misregulation in cancer'

pathway with the metabolic processes. Sites within this cluster could be investigated as therapeutic targets.

Much of the investigation by Ruprecht et al. [20] was done purely on the sites found to be regulated in

lapatinib-treated resistant cells compared to the untreated parental cells. We therefore constructed a

second network using only those sites that were regulated in lapatinib-treated resistant cells (Table 5).

These were grouped into four clusters (referred to as clusters 1 – 4) by K-means clustering as before

(S3A,C) and KEGG terms were used again in the functional annotation layer. Of the 156 pathways included

in the network, 23 were ranked identically regardless of node; these were largely the same as those in

common in the first network but did not include 'ErbB signaling'.

As described in Figure 5B, two sets of seed nodes (clusters 1 and 4, representing sites downregulated and

moderately upregulated) tended to rank metabolic terms more highly (e.g. 'starch and sucrose metabolism',

'galactose metabolism', 'pentose phosphate pathway', 'purine metabolism'), with clusters 2 and 3

(representing sites slightly and significantly upregulated, respectively) tending to rank other cellular

processes and signalling pathways more highly. However, 'mTOR', 'Hippo' and 'cgmp-pkg' signaling were

highly ranked in clusters 2, 3 and 4, indicating some cross-regulation between these pathways and the

metabolism-associated sites in cluster 4. This is corroborated by the inclusion LDHA_Y10 in cluster 4,

discussed by Ruprecht et al. to be an activating site which is known to be phosphorylated by oncogenic

kinases such as FGFR and ABL. Cluster 2 contains ABL1_S737; this site has not been recorded in PSP, but

could be investigated as a regulator of metabolic rewiring in lapatinib resistance.

When sites found in cluster 1 are set as seed nodes, the pathways 'glycolysis/gluconeogenesis' and

'glucagon signalling' are ranked high alongside 'spliceosome', adding further support for the relationship

between these processes and lapatinib-resistance. Cluster 1 contains ENO1-Y44, which was validated in

the original publication as a marker for sustained glycolysis and a target for therapy, PYGB-T59, which is

involved in glycogen catabolism, and sites of various spliceosome and ribosomal proteins such as RPS6-

S240 and SRRM2-S2123. Furthermore, the high rank of ErbB signalling for this cluster indicates further

that it may contain therapeutically relevant sites, as the BT-474 cell-line are HER2 (ERBB2) overexpressing.

An avenue of investigation could be the specific roles the sites in this cluster, such as ERBB2-Y1233 and

ERBB2 interactors YAP1-S164 and RPS6-S240, may have on signalling and metabolism in the context of

lapatinib resistance in HER2-postivive breast cancer.

By comparing to the experimentally validated conclusions of Ruprecht et al., we have extracted meaningful

associations between specific phosphorylated sites and their functions in driving lapatinib resistance. The

algorithm also highlighted several potential sites, such as SRC_S17, that may serve as hubs or intersections

of crosstalk between key signalling pathways and the rewired metabolic processes.

4.   Discussion

Phosphorylation has an important impact on protein function and thus cellular behavior. Within a network

of kinase-substrate interactions, phosphorylation modulates the flow of information and regulation of

disparate processes throughout the cell. Understanding the impact of phosphorylation on protein function

traditionally required the experimental investigation and manipulation of individual sites, however with

the advent of high throuput phosphoproteomics, thousands of novel phosphorylation sites of unknown

function have been discovered. As there is limited experimentally validated information available on how

regulation at individual phosphorylated sites impacts the downstream cellular output, current methods for

analyzing high-throughput phosphoproteomics data rely on general descriptors of phosphorylated protein

function. These often do not account for the specific role of a given phosphorylated site. These methods,

valuably used in transcriptomics or proteomics analysis, include GO or pathway enrichment analyses or

PPI network construction with differentially phosphorylated proteins. Their use in analyzing

phosphoproteomics data disregards site-specific or multiple-site regulation, masking the roles of proteins

in a particular modification state [10,12]. Here, we developed and tested a method that associates

phosphorylated sites to potential function using RWHN. By incorporating the pattern of phosphorylation

upon perturbation, we consider more of the information available in phosphoproteomics datasets and

establish the phosphorylated sites as the key drivers in the functional analysis. The algorithm is capable of

recapturing experimentally proven functions of phosphorylated sites in a non-gene centric manner,

improving the accuracy of analysis and extracting more information from phosphoproteomics data.

To prove the utility of our algorithm we applied it to a validation network, which represented a simple

model of phosphorylation dynamics in the MAPK/ERK pathway, and to two previously published

phosphoproteomics dataset describing temporal cellular signalling events and the impact of resistance to

drug treatment in breast cancer, respectively. Our algorithm successfully distinguished between

differentially regulated phosphorylated sites from the same protein and associated them to both known

and previously uninvestigated functions. For example, when applying this approach to the data from

Francavilla *et al.* [19], we can recapture the roles of EGFR sites with functions described in PSP. We also

associate EGFR sites to the phenotypes described in the paper. In the case of EGF-driven receptor

localization, EGFR_Y998 associated with the RAB7_Y183 site described in the original publication as an

indicator of the EGF-EGFR response, and was part of a cluster that ranked the term 'establishment of

protein localization to organelle' highly. On the contrary, TGF-α induced receptor recycling and migration

were associated here with EGFR sites Y998, Y1172 and Y1197. Francavilla et al. associated these sites with

their functions using a combination of gene-centric approaches and experimental validation. Here, we use

a single site-centric algorithm to extract these associations directly from the phosphoproteomics dataset,

demonstrating the power of our approach in narrowing down candidates for further functional studies. By

associating ligand-dependent regulation of EGFR sites, it is possible to disentangle the multifaceted role

EGFR plays in regulating cellular signalling networks and downstream cellular behaviors.

From the Ruprecht et al. data, we can use the example of SRRM2. This component of the spliceosome is

known to be highly phosphorylated, with 675 phosphorylation sites recorded in Phosphosite Plus. At the

time of writing none of these sites were are ascribed to a function in the database, despite the importance

of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events in orchestrating splicing events [38]. It is also regularly

mutated (>5% of cases in TGCA) in lung, stomach, bladder, endometrial and colorectal cancers [6].

Ruprecht et al. uncovered a new role for the spliceosome in modulating lapatinib-resistance, highlighting

several sites on spliceosome proteins (including SRRM2_S1132, S1987 and S970) that were differentially

modified in resistant and parental cell populations. Previous work has described how SRRM2-depletion in

HER2-positive breast and ovarian cancer cells reduced the rate of migration [39]; the spliceosome plays

myriad roles in the breast cancer environment, but site-specific analysis is lacking. Using our algorithm, we

can begin to uncover the nuanced modification-specific roles of proteins such as SRRM2 in metabolic

rewiring. Our analysis showed that SRRM2 sites clustered with sites belonging to proteins involved in

glycogen metabolism. When sites in this cluster were set as seed nodes metabolic and transcriptional

processes were upregulated along with mTOR signalling. The sites in this cluster could be investigated as

points of crosstalk between these different cellular processes. This example highlights the value of our

approach in generating hypotheses of modified protein function and signaling pathway crosstalk.

Although the associations predicted here between sites and functions cannot be interpreted as causative,

phosphorylation sites can act as indirect, and context-dependent, regulators in cellular processes. This is

demonstrated by the clustering of SRC_S17, a protein that is typically associated with signalling

downstream of cell-surface receptors, with a cluster that ranked metabolic pathways higher than cellular

processing or signalling pathways. Critical to this is a robust method of defining clusters, to capture

meaningful regulatory patterns at different phosphorylated sites. Here we define clusters using established

techniques (e.g. elbow method for K-means) or visually identifying those with differing time-resolved

behaviors. By integrating clustering of quantitative phosphoproteomics data and multilayer network

construction our algorithm closely associates sites with similar behaviors to predict the influence they have

on downstream cellular processes.

Multilayer heterogeneous networks are increasingly being used to integrate 'omic data; here, their use

allows phosphoproteomics data to be incorporated with PPI networks and functional annotations,

overcoming the issue of considering phosphoproteomics data primarily on the protein level. A potential

drawback of our approach is the reliance on large semi-curated resources such GO or STRING. For instance,

different clusters may have many terms or pathways in common given the involvement of many proteins

in the same biological functions and the high proportion of frequently used GO terms [40]. In the work

described here, we encounter this issue with the RAF1 inhibitory site S259, which was part of a cluster that

resulted in 'positive regulation of MAPK cascade'. We theorize that this may be rectified if the data were

clustered into more groups, in order to capture more nuanced phosphorylation patterns. However,

enrichment of non-specific terms or false positives remains an issue when analyzing high-throughput

'omics data by other commonly used methods too. We have attempted to limit this effect by only including

edges in the PPI network with a STRING experimental confidence score of greater than 0.4. This could be

taken further by filtering for only high confidence functional annotations or incorporating annotations from

multiple sources.

An important application of this work could be the opportunity to revise databases like PhosphositePlus,

in particular if done in combination with the recently described PTMsigDB phosphorylated-site signature

library [12]. There is a pressing need in the phosphoproteomics community to have accurate and context-

specific information identified sites, particularly those that are regularly detected in phosphoproteomics

experiments. We also anticipate that this method could be used routinely in laboratories generating mass

spectrometry-based phosphoproteomics data. Our method is flexible enough to be used with any discovery

phosphoproteomics data that describes a change between conditions. This is an improvement on the

previously published use of RWHN using multiple sources of phosphoproteomics data to uncover disease-

dependent regulation [18]. Moreover, it could easily be generalized to any post-translational modification

proteomics dataset, as it incorporates readily available PPI and functional annotation data, as

demonstrated here. The fundamental aspect would be maintained with any of these expansions: specific

patterns of regulation at modified sites dictate movement through the multilayer heterogeneous network.

It can also be noted that although the experimental datasets used in this work are based on SILAC

quantification, our method is not dependent on a specific quantitative phosphoproteomics method.

We have proposed a site-centric approach to analyze phosphoproteomics data, that provides a robust

alternative to gene-centric methods of analysis. We integrated clustered quantitative phosphoproteomics

data, a context-specific PPI network and functional annotations into a multilayer, heterogeneous network

and use the RWHN method to predict the functions of phosphorylation sites with similar regulatory

patterns. Using our algorithm, we extracted experimentally validated associations between

phosphorylated sites and their role in cellular processes which could not be captured using the typical

gene-centric methods used in previous papers. Moreover, our algorithm has the potential to be used by

researchers in predicting novel site-function associations and generating hypotheses to be experimentally

validated.

5.   Acknowledgements

481   JW is supported by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) Doctoral Training

482   Programme grant (BB/M011208/1). CF is supported by a Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale Fellowship

483   (107636/Z/15/Z), the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/R015864/1), and the

484   Medical Rerearch Council (MR/T016043/1). We would like to thank current and former members of the

485   Schwartz and Francavilla labs for their thoughtful and insightful discussion on this work.

6.   Data Availability

487   Data and source code can be found at github.com/JoWatson2011/RWHN_Phosphoproteomics

7.   References

1.   Sugiyama N, Imamura H, Ishihama Y. Large-scale Discovery of Substrates of the Human Kinome.

Sci Rep. 2019;9: 10503. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46385-4

2.   Day EK, Sosale NG, Lazzara MJ. Cell signaling regulation by protein phosphorylation: a

multivariate, heterogeneous, and context-dependent process. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2016;40:

185–192. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2016.06.005

3.   Vyse S, Desmond H, Huang PH. Advances in mass spectrometry based strategies to study receptor

tyrosine kinases. IUCrJ. 2017;4: 119–130. doi:10.1107/S2052252516020546

4.   Munk S, Refsgaard JC, Olsen J V. Systems Analysis for Interpretation of Phosphoproteomics Data.

In: von Stechow L, editor. Phospho-Proteomics: Methods and Protocols. New York, NY: Springer

New York; 2016. pp. 341–360. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3049-4_23

5.   Sacco F, Perfetto L, Cesareni G. Combining Phosphoproteomics Datasets and Literature

Information to Reveal the Functional Connections in a Cell Phosphorylation Network. Proteomics.

2018;18: 1700311. doi:10.1002/pmic.201700311

6.   Hornbeck P V., Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, Skrzypek E. PhosphoSitePlus, 2014:

Mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: D512–D520.

doi:10.1093/nar/gku1267

7.   Linding R, Jensen LJ, Pasculescu A, Olhovsky M, Colwill K, Bork P, et al. NetworKIN: A resource for

exploring cellular phosphorylation networks. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm902

8.   Casado P, Rodriguez-Prados J-C, Cosulich SC, Guichard S, Vanhaesebroeck B, Joel S, et al. Kinase-

substrate enrichment analysis provides insights into the heterogeneity of signaling pathway

activation in leukemia cells. Sci Signal. 2013;6: rs6. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2003573

9.   Mischnik M, Sacco F, Cox J, Schneider H-C, Schäfer M, Hendlich M, et al. IKAP: A heuristic

framework for inference of kinase activities from Phosphoproteomics data. Bioinformatics.

2016;32: 424–431. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv699

10. Needham EJ, Parker BL, Burykin T, James DE, Humphrey SJ. Illuminating the dark

phosphoproteome. Sci Signal. 2019;12: eaau8645. doi:10.1126/scisignal.aau8645

11.   Rudolph JD, de Graauw M, van de Water B, Geiger T, Sharan R. Elucidation of Signaling Pathways

from Large-Scale Phosphoproteomic Data Using Protein Interaction Networks. Cell Syst. 2016;3:

585-593.e3. doi:10.1016/j.cels.2016.11.005

12.   Krug K, Mertins P, Zhang B, Hornbeck P, Raju R, Ahmad R, et al. A Curated Resource for

Phosphosite-specific Signature Analysis. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2019;18: 576–593.

doi:10.1074/mcp.TIR118.000943

13.   Battiston F, Nicosia V, Chavez M, Latora V. Multilayer motif analysis of brain networks. Chaos An

Interdiscip J Nonlinear Sci. 2017;27: 047404. doi:10.1063/1.4979282

14.   Li Y, Patra JC. Genome-wide inferring gene–phenotype relationship by walking on the

heterogeneous network. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 1219–1224.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq108

15.   Jiang R. Walking on multiple disease-gene networks to prioritize candidate genes. J Mol Cell Biol.

2015;7: 214–230. doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjv008

16.   Soul J, Hardingham TE, Boot-Handford RP, Schwartz J-M. PhenomeExpress: A refined network

analysis of expression datasets by inclusion of known disease phenotypes. Sci Rep. 2015;5: 8117.

doi:10.1038/srep08117

17.   Tang Y, Chen K, Wu X, Wei Z, Zhang S-Y, Song B, et al. DRUM: Inference of Disease-Associated m6A

RNA Methylation Sites From a Multi-Layer Heterogeneous Network. Front Genet. 2019;10: 266.

doi:10.3389/fgene.2019.00266

18.   Xu X, Wang M. Inferring Disease Associated Phosphorylation Sites via Random Walk on Multi-

Layer Heterogeneous Network. IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinforma. 2016;13: 836–844.

doi:10.1109/TCBB.2015.2498548

19.   Francavilla C, Papetti M, Rigbolt KTG, Pedersen A-K, Sigurdsson JO, Cazzamali G, et al. Multilayered

538   proteomics reveals molecular switches dictating ligand-dependent EGFR trafficking. Nat Struct

539   Mol Biol. 2016;23: 608–618. doi:10.1038/nsmb.3218

540   20.   Ruprecht B, Zaal EA, Zecha J, Wu W, Berkers CR, Kuster B, et al. Lapatinib resistance in breast

541   cancer cells is accompanied by phosphorylation-mediated reprogramming of glycolysis. Cancer

542   Res. 2017;77: 1842–1853. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2976

543   21.   Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range

544   mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nat Biotechnol. 2008;26: 1367–1372.

545   doi:10.1038/nbt.1511

546   22.   Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, et al. The Perseus computational

547   platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods. 2016;13: 731–740.

548   doi:10.1038/nmeth.3901

549   23.   Schwämmle V, Jensen ON. A simple and fast method to determine the parameters for fuzzy c–

550   means cluster analysis. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 2841–2848. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq534

551   24.   Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v11: protein–

552   protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in

553   genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;47: D607–D613.

554   doi:10.1093/nar/gky1131

555   25.   Yu G, Li F, Qin Y, Bo X, Wu Y, Wang S. GOSemSim: an R package for measuring semantic similarity

556   among GO terms and gene products. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 976–978.

557   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq064

558   26.   Stoney RA, Ames RM, Nenadic G, Robertson DL, Schwartz J-M. Disentangling the multigenic and

559   pleiotropic nature of molecular function. BMC Syst Biol. 2015;9 Suppl 6: S3. doi:10.1186/1752-

560   0509-9-S6-S3

561   27.   Kuleshov M V, Jones MR, Rouillard AD, Fernandez NF, Duan Q, Wang Z, et al. Enrichr: a

562   comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44:

563   W90–W97. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw377

564   28.   Carlson M. GO.db: A set of annotation maps describing the entire Gene Ontology. R package

565   version 3.8.2. Bioconductor 3.10. 2019.

566   29.   Köhler S, Bauer S, Horn D, Robinson PN. Walking the Interactome for Prioritization of Candidate

567   Disease Genes. Am J Hum Genet. 2008;82: 949–958. doi:10.1016/J.AJHG.2008.02.013

568   30.   Riley NM, Coon JJ. Phosphoproteomics in the Age of Rapid and Deep Proteome Profiling. Anal

569   Chem. 2016;88: 74–94. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04123

570   31.   Fey D, Croucher DR, Kolch W, Kholodenko BN. Crosstalk and Signaling Switches in Mitogen-

571   Activated Protein Kinase Cascades. Front Physiol. 2012;3. doi:10.3389/fphys.2012.00355

572   32.   Arkun Y, Yasemi M. Dynamics and control of the ERK signaling pathway: Sensitivity, bistability,

573   and oscillations. Thattai M, editor. PLoS One. 2018;13: e0195513.

574   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195513

575   33.   Francavilla C, Rigbolt KTG, Emdal KB, Carraro G, Vernet E, Bekker-Jensen DB, et al. Functional

576   proteomics defines the molecular switch underlying FGF receptor trafficking and cellular outputs.

577   Mol Cell. 2013;51: 707–22. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.08.002

578   34.   Chong H, Guan KL. Regulation of Raf through phosphorylation and N terminus-C terminus

579   interaction. J Biol Chem. 2003;278: 36269–36276. doi:10.1074/jbc.M212803200

580   35.   Scheid MP, Woodgett JR. Protein kinases: Six degrees of separation? Current Biology. Current

581   Biology Ltd; 2000. pp. R191–R194. doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00349-3

582   36.   Moelling K, Schad K, Bosse M, Zimmermann S, Schweneker M. Regulation of Raf-Akt cross-talk. J

583   Biol Chem. 2002;277: 31099–31106. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111974200

37.   Zambelli AE. A data-driven approach to estimating the number of clusters in hierarchical

clustering. F1000Research. 2016;5: 2809. doi:10.12688/f1000research.10103.1

38.   Naro C, Sette C. Phosphorylation-mediated regulation of alternative splicing in cancer.

International Journal of Cell Biology. 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/151839

39.   Mukherji M, Brill LM, Ficarro SB, Hampton GM, Schultz PG. A phosphoproteomic analysis of the

ErbB2 receptor tyrosine kinase signaling pathways. Biochemistry. 2006;45: 15529–15540.

doi:10.1021/bi060971c

40.   Gaudet P, Dessimoz C. Gene Ontology: Pitfalls, Biases, and Remedies. In: Dessimoz C, Škunca N,

editors. The Gene Ontology Handbook. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2017. pp. 189–205.

doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3743-1_14

8.   Tables

Table 1. Multilayer heterogeneous network, constructed from MAPK/ERK validation data. GOBP terms

were included as functional annotations.

Subnetwork	Edges	Nodes
Site-site	28	19
Protein-protein	932	313
Function-function	176	71
Site-protein	19	19 sites, 8 proteins
Protein-function	1616	251 proteins, 161 functions

599   Table 2. Multilayer heterogeneous network, constructed from Francavilla *et al.* [19] EGF-regulated

600   phosphorylated sites. GOBP terms were included as functional annotations.

Subnetwork	Edges	Nodes
Site-site	4259	729
Protein-protein	17476	4181
Function-function	24	17
Site-protein	729	729 sites, 437 proteins
Protein-function	190	42 proteins, 53 functions

602　Table 3. Multilayer heterogeneous network, constructed from Francavilla *et al.* [19] TGFα-regulated

603　phosphorylated sites. GOBP terms were included as functional annotations.

Subnetwork	Edges	Nodes
Site-site	2740	683
Protein-protein	17080	4003
Function-function	8	5
Site-protein	683	683 sites, 407 proteins
Protein-function	200	45 proteins, 59 functions

605　Table 4. Multilayer heterogeneous network, constructed from Ruprecht *et al.* [20] lapatinib-regulated

606　phosphorylated sites in parental and lapatinib-resistant cell lines. KEGG pathways were included as

607　functional annotations.

Subnetwork	Edges	Nodes
Site-site	84013	1603
Protein-protein	34146	5692
Function-function	11	18
Site-protein	1603	1603 sites, 932 proteins

Protein-function	710	107 proteins, 138 pathways

609 Table 5. Multilayer heterogeneous network, constructed from Ruprecht *et al.* [20] lapatinib-regulated

610 phosphorylated sites in lapatinib-resistant cell line. KEGG pathways were included as functional

611 annotations.

Subnetwork	Edges	Nodes
Site-site	707785	1920
Protein-protein	39506	6337
Function-function	15	24
Site-protein	1920	1920 sites, 1093 proteins
Protein-function	883	134 proteins, 142 pathways

612 **9.   Figures & Figure Legends**

614 **Figure 1.** Overview of multilayer heterogeneous network construction from phosphoproteomics data.

616

617 **Figure 2.** Construction of validation data based on the MAPK/ERK pathway. A) Traditional

618 representation of the MAPK/ERK pathway. B) A validation dataset was constructed based on the

619 MAPK/ERK pathway, simulating phosphorylation dynamics over time after pathway activation. The sites

620 were clustered using fuzzy c-means clusters. These data were used to construct a heterogeneous

621 multilayer network.

Figure 3. Output of RWHN run on validation data. A) Top ranked terms from each set of seed nodes

(equivalent to the clusters, inset) when RWHN was run on the validation network. The top 20 ranked

terms from each set of seed nodes is shown. B) The validation network was permuted 100 times and

RWHN run over each permutation with seed nodes set to sites found in clusters 1 to 5. KDE was

calculated to estimate how frequently each term appeared at each rank in the random networks. If the

random networks generated the same result as the actual network we would expect the KDE plots to

closely resemble the trend of the true rank.

Figure 4. Output of the RWHN algorithm run on the EGF (A) and TGF-α (B) networks constructed from

the Francavilla *et al.* [19] dataset. The seed nodes were set to the clustered phosphorylated sites. The top

20 ranked terms for each set of seed nodes are shown.

Figure 5. Output of the RWHN algorithm run on the total (lapatinib regulated sites in lapatinib-resistant

and parental cell lines) (A) and lapatinib-resistant only (B) networks from the Ruprecht *et al.* [20] data

with KEGG pathways. The seed nodes were set to the clustered phosphorylated sites. The top 30 ranked

pathways for each set of seed nodes are shown.