A generalist lifestyle allows rare *Gardnerella* spp. to persist at low levels in the vaginal

microbiome

Salahuddin Khan, Sarah J. Vancuren[1] and Janet E. Hill*

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan,

Canada, S7N 5B4

[1]Current address: Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph,

ON

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

52 Campus Drive

Saskatoon, SK. S7N 5B4

E-mail:

SK: salahuddin.khan@usask.ca

SJV: svancure@uoguelph.ca

JEH: Janet.Hill@usask.ca

ORCID:

SK: 0000-0002-6826-9319

SJV: 0000-0002-6145-9670

JEH: 0000-0002-2187-6277

Abstract

Gardnerella spp. are considered a hallmark of bacterial vaginosis, a dysbiosis of the vaginal microbiome. There are four cpn60 sequence-based subgroups within the genus (A, B, C, and D), and thirteen genome species have been defined recently. *Gardnerella* spp. co-occur in the vaginal microbiome with varying abundance, and these patterns are shaped by a resource-dependent, exploitative competition, which affects the growth rate of subgroup A, B, and C negatively. The growth rate of rarely abundant subgroup D, however, increases with the increasing number of competitors, negatively affecting the growth rate of others. We hypothesized that a nutritional generalist lifestyle and minimal niche overlap with the other, more abundant *Gardnerella* spp. facilitate the maintenance of subgroup D in the vaginal microbiome through negative-frequency dependent selection. Using 40 whole genome sequences from isolates representing all four subgroups we found that they could be distinguished based on content of their predicted proteomes. Proteins associated with carbohydrate and amino acid uptake and metabolism were significant contributors to the separation of subgroups. Subgroup D isolates had significantly more of their proteins assigned to amino acid metabolism than the other subgroups. Subgroup D isolates were also significantly different from others in terms of number and type of carbon sources utilized in a phenotypic assay, while the other three could not be distinguished. Overall, the results suggest that a generalist lifestyle and lack of niche overlap with other *Gardnerella* spp. leads to subgroup D being favoured by negative-frequency dependent selection in the vaginal microbiome.

Keywords: *Gardnerella*, vaginal microbiome, negative frequency dependent selection, pangenome, competition

Introduction

Gardnerella spp. are an important diagnostic marker of bacterial vaginosis (BV), a dysbiosis of the vaginal microbiome characterized by a shift from lactobacilli dominated vaginal microbiome to a more diverse microbiome, containing many aerobic and anaerobic bacterial species, including *Gardnerella* spp.. *Gardnerella* is a diverse genus and at least four subgroups (A, B, C, and D) have been identified using cpn60 universal target barcode sequencing [1], which correspond to genomic four clades defined by Ahmed et al. [2]. Recently, *Gardnerella* subgroups have been reclassified into thirteen genome species, of which four are now named as *G. vaginalis* (Subgroup C/Clade 1), *G. swidsinskii* and *G. leopoldii* (Subgroup A/Clade 4), and *G. piotii* (Subgroup B/Clade 2) [3, 4]. These *Gardnerella* species differ in their phenotypic traits, including sialidase activity and vaginolysin production, which may render some of the subgroups more pathogenic than the others [5–7].

Women with vaginal microbiomes dominated by *Gardnerella* are usually colonized by at least two *Gardnerella* spp. [4, 8]. The relative abundances of these co-occurring species, however, are not equal. Subgroup A (*G. swidsinksii* and *G. leopoldii*) and subgroup C (*G. vaginalis*) are most frequently dominant in reproductive aged women [4, 8]. These two subgroups are also often associated with the clinical symptoms of bacterial vaginosis [4, 9, 10]. Subgroup B has been suggested to be associated with intermediate microbiota [7, 9, 10]. Subgroup D, comprised of several unnamed "genome species", has only been detected at low prevalence and abundance [4, 10].

Interestingly, some species of *Gardnerella* co-occur together more frequently than others [4]. One of the factors contributing to the co-occurrence patterns of *Gardnerella* species observed in the vaginal microbiome could be ecological interactions between them. Recently, we

demonstrated that an indirect, exploitative competition between subgroups of *Gardnerella* is

prevalent in co-cultures *in vitro*. While the growth rates of isolates in subgroups A, B, and C,

were negatively affected by competition, growth rates of *Gardnerella* subgroup D isolates

increased with the increasing number of competing subgroups in co-culture communities [11].

The strength of microbial interactions between bacterial species can be affected by niche overlap

[12, 13], and species with similar nutritional requirements will naturally compete over the same

resources [14]. In addition to competition for nutritional resources, bacteria may also compete

for resources essential for colonizing a specific site. Since isolates from *Gardnerella* subgroups

A, B and C are negatively affected by competition, and subgroup D isolates experienced a boost

in growth rate, the degree of niche overlap between subgroup A, B, and C is presumably higher

than between subgroup D and any of the others.

Although the growth rate of subgroup D increases in co-cultures, it does not have an intrinsically

high growth rate. In fact, the *in vitro* growth rate of subgroup D is half of that of subgroup C,

which may contribute to its low abundance in the vaginal microbiome [11]. Low abundance

species are often favoured by negative-frequency-dependent selection [15, 16], which can be

governed by nutritional requirements [17]. Bacteria capable of utilizing relatively few,

abundantly available nutrients in a particular environment are nutritional specialists in the

context of that environment. Generalists, on the contrary, are bacteria capable of utilizing more

nutrient sources than their specialist counterparts. In negative frequency-dependent selection, the

resources accessible to rapidly growing specialists will dwindle, reducing the fitness of the

specialists as their population increases. As a result, the population of more generalist bacteria

capable of utilizing a wider range of nutrient sources will expand in a density-dependent manner

[17, 18]. Generalists can also negatively affect the growth of specialists by competing for the

resources that can be utilized by both of them [13].

Although growth of the rarely abundant subgroup D is facilitated in co-cultures, the degree of

overlap in nutrient utilization among the subgroups and the range of nutrient utilization by

individual subgroups are yet unknown. The objective of our present study was, therefore, to

evaluate the amount of genomic and phenotypic overlap in nutrient utilization among the

subgroups of *Gardnerella* and to determine if subgroup D is a nutritional generalist relative to

the three other subgroups. Findings are interpreted in relation to the hypothesis that subgroup D

is maintained in the vaginal microbiome through negative frequency dependent selection.

Methods

Bacterial isolates

Thirty-nine *Gardnerella* isolates from our culture collection representing all four subgroups

(based on cpn60 barcode sequencing) were selected for the study ($n = 12$ subgroup A, 12

subgroup B, 8 subgroup C, and 7 subgroup D isolates) (Table S1). Isolates were streaked on

blood agar plates and were incubated anaerobically at 37° C for 48 h. For broth culture, colonies

from blood agar plates were sub-cultured in BHI supplemented with 10% horse serum and

0.25% maltose.

Whole-genome sequencing

Whole genome sequences for 10 of the study isolates had been published previously, and the

remaining 29 were sequenced as part of the current study (Table S1). DNA was extracted from

isolates using a modified salting out protocol [19] and was stored at -20°C. DNA was quantified

using Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen, Burlington, Ontario) and the quality of the extracts

was assessed by the A260/A280 ratio. Isolate identity was confirmed by cpn60 barcode

sequencing as follows. cpn60 barcode sequences were amplified from extracted DNA with the

primers JH0665 (CGC CAG GGT TTT CCC AGT CAC GAC GAY GTT GCA GGY GAY

GGH CHA CAA C) and JH0667 (AGC GGA TAA CAA TTT CAC ACA GGA GGR CGA

TCR CCR AAK CCT GGA GCY TT). The reaction contained 2 μL template DNA in 1× PCR

buffer (0.2 M Tris-HCl at pH 8.4, 0.5 M KCl), 2.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 200 μM dNTP mixture, 400 nM

of each primer, 2 U AccuStart Taq DNA polymerase, and water to bring to a final volume of 50

μL. PCR was carried out with incubation at 94°C for 30 seconds, 40 cycles of 94°C 30 sec, 60°C

for 1 min, 72°C for 1min, and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified

and sequenced by Sanger sequencing and compared with the chaperonin sequence database

cpnDB [20] to confirm identity.

Following confirmation of the identity of isolates, sequencing libraries were prepared using the

Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina,

Inc., San Diego, CA). PhiX DNA (15% [vol/vol]) was added to the indexed libraries before

loading onto the flow cell. The 500 cycle V2 reagent kit was used for the Illumina MiSeq

platform (Illumina, Inc.).

Raw sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic [21] with a minimum quality score of 20 over

a sliding window of 4, and minimum read length of 40. Trimmed sequences were assembled

using SOAPdenovo2 [22] or SPAdes (NR002, NR043, NR044) [23]. Assembled genomes were

annotated using the National Center for Biotechnology Information Prokaryotic Genome

Annotation Pipeline.

Pangenome analysis

Pangenome analysis of the 39 study isolates and the published genome of *G. vaginalis* strain

ATCC 14019 (Accession number: PRJNA55487) was performed using the micropan R package

[24]. We used "complete" linkage for clustering, and the cut-off value for the generation of

clusters was set to 0.75. Jaccard Index was used to determine the relationships of patterns of

presence and absence of proteins among all isolates.

COG analysis

Predicted protein sequences from individual genomes were classified into Clusters of

Orthologous Groups (COG) categories using WebMEGA

(http://weizhonglab.ucsd.edu/webMEGA).

Carbon source utilization assay

Bacterial isolates from freezer stocks were streaked on 5% sheep blood agar plates and were

grown for 48 h anaerobically, prior to inoculation of AN Microplates (Biolog Inc, Hayward,

CA). Each plate contained 95 carbon sources and one blank well. Colonies of *Gardnerella*

isolates were harvested using a sterile swab and suspended in 14 mL of inoculating fluid

supplied by the manufacturer. The cell density was adjusted to 55%T (OD_{595} approximately

0.25) using a turbidimeter. Each well was filled with 100 μl of culture suspension and was

incubated at 35˚ C anaerobically for 48 h. All inoculations and incubations were performed in an

anaerobic chamber containing 10 % CO_2, 5% hydrogen, and 85% nitrogen. All plates were read

visually after 48h of incubation. If there was no carbon source utilization, the wells remained

colourless. A visual change from colourless to purple indicated carbon source utilization. To

avoid bias in interpretation, a subset of the plates was read by a second observer who was

blinded to the identity of the isolates. There was no disagreement between independent

observers. The entire experiment was performed in two biological replicates.

Carbon source profiling of co-cultures

Representative isolates from the four subgroups were co-cultured in the Biolog AN Microplate in

a pairwise fashion (n =6, AB, AC, AD, BC, BD, CD), by combining 50 µL of each isolate

suspended in inoculation fluid in each well. The co-cultured AN Microplates were incubated at

35°C for 48h before being assessed visually for colour change. The experiment was repeated on

separate days.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses included one-way ANOVA, student's t-test and chi-square tests to determine

if utilization of particular carbon sources was associated with specific subgroups. ADONIS and

pairwise-ADONIS were performed to assess the degree of similarity between the isolates in

terms of presence/absence of clusters generated in the pangenome analysis, proportional

abundance of proteins in various COG categories, and carbon source utilization patterns.

SIMPER analysis was performed to identify variables that drive the differences between the

subgroups in ADONIS. PCA was used to cluster the isolates based on the presence/absence of

clusters generated by pangenome analysis, proportional distribution of proteins among COG

categories, and positive/negative results from carbon source profiling assay in Biolog plates. All

figures were generated using GraphPad Prism 8.0 and RStudio (version 3.5.2). All statistical

analyses were performed in RStudio (version 3.5.2).

Results

Overlap between the subgroups based on pangenome and COG analysis

The purpose of our pangenome analysis was to estimate the degree of niche overlap between

Gardnerella subgroups based on comparisons of their predicted proteomes. Hierarchical

clustering using complete linkage produced 4,868 clusters or predicted proteins in the

pangenome of the 40 isolates included (Fig. S1). The strict core (defined as the protein clusters

present in all isolates) included 176 clusters. Most of these core proteins were related to

metabolism, transcriptional control, DNA replication, and protein synthesis. Based on the

presence/absence pattern of clusters among isolates, a PCA was performed to determine the

extent of overlap between the subgroups. The amount of variance explained by the two principal

components was 19.4%, based on which, the four subgroups were separable (Fig. 1). The

dissimilarity between the four subgroups was significant (pairwise-ADONIS, Bonferroni

adjusted, $p < 0.05$, A vs B, $R^2 = 0.45$; A vs C, $R^2 = 0.48$; A vs D, $R^2 = 0.26$; B vs C, $R^2 = 0.34$; B

vs D, $R^2 = 0.45$; and C vs D, $R^2 = 0.55$).

Following the identification of core and accessory proteins, we investigated the distribution of

functional classifications of proteins encoded by isolates in the four subgroups. COG analysis

resulted in assignment of predicted proteins into 23 functional categories. Based on the output

from this process, the proportion of proteins in each of the COG categories was calculated for

each genome. The distributions of proportional abundances of each category were then used to

assess the relationships of the four subgroups in terms of COG category representation (Fig. 2).

The differences between all subgroups were significant (pairwise ADONIS, Bonferroni adjusted,

$p < 0.05$, A vs B, $R^2 = 0.31$; A vs C, $R^2 = 0.71$; A vs D, $R^2 = 0.26$; B vs C, $R^2 = 0.48$; B vs D, $R^2 =$

0.20; and C vs D, $R^2 = 0.74$).

We identified the variables which caused the four subgroups to diverge in terms of abundance of

different COG categories in a multivariate analysis using SIMPER [25]. SIMPER calculates the

contribution of each variable to the dissimilarity observed between two groups and relies on

Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix for calculating the proportion of contribution of each variable

being tested. Thirty-six percent of the differences between subgroup A and subgroup B were

accounted for by amino acid transport and metabolism (COG category E), inorganic ion transport

metabolism (category P), translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis proteins (category J).

The proportion of proteins with functions related to carbohydrate transport and metabolism

(category G) was the major factor that differentiated subgroup A from C, contributing to 34% of

the dissimilarity observed. Carbohydrate transport and metabolism also accounted for 31% of the

dissimilarity observed between subgroups B and C and 36% of the dissimilarity between

subgroups C and D. The major contributing factors that differentiated subgroup A and D were

proportional abundance of proteins assigned to functional categories H (co-enzyme transport and

metabolism) and E (amino acids transport and metabolism) (23%). Subgroups B from D were

separated primarily based on functional categories P (inorganic ion transport and metabolism), J

(translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis), G (carbohydrate transport and metabolism

proteins), and E (amino acid transport and metabolism proteins), which together accounted for

37% of the dissimilarity observed.

Functional categories of proteins differentiating subgroups of *Gardnerella*

We tested if the proportions of individual functional categories of proteins that drive the overall

separation of the four subgroups in multivariate analysis were significantly different between

pairs of *Gardnerella* subgroups. This analysis revealed that subgroup C has a significantly higher

proportion of its encoded proteins associated with carbohydrate transport and metabolism and

transport, and transcriptional regulation than the other subgroups (paired-t-test, $p < 0.05$,

Bonferroni adjusted, Fig. 3a, 3d). The proportion of proteins associated with amino acid

transport and metabolism is significantly higher in subgroup D than subgroups A, B, and C

(paired t-test, $p < 0.05$, Bonferroni adjusted, Fig. 3b). Proteins involved in co-enzyme transport

and metabolism were found in significantly higher proportional abundance in subgroup A than in

subgroup B, C and D (paired t-test, p <0.05, Bonferroni adjusted, Fig. 3c). Subgroup B has a

significantly higher abundance of proteins associated with inorganic ion transport and

metabolism than subgroup A and D (paired t-test, p <0.05, Fig. 3e), but the difference between

subgroup B and C was not significant. Subgroup B also has a significantly higher proportion of

translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis proteins (paired t-test, p<0.05, Fig. 3f) compared

to subgroup C.

Carbon source utilization phenotypes

We hypothesized that subgroup D, a slow-growing, rarely detected *Gardnerella* subgroup is

maintained in the vaginal microbiome at a low level and avoids competitive exclusion through

negative-frequency-dependent selection, made possible by being a nutritional generalist. We

performed carbon source utilization profiling of thirty-six representative isolates (n= 12,

subgroup A; n= 9, subgroup B; n=8, subgroup C (including type strain *G. vaginalis* ATCC

14018); and n=7, subgroup D). The number of carbon sources utilized by any *Gardnerella* strain

ranged from 5 to 24. Only 25% (9/36) of the isolates utilized more than 17 carbon sources,

including two subgroup C (NR001, NR038) and all subgroup D isolates. Twenty isolates utilized

at least 13 carbon sources, including three subgroup A (3/12, 25%), four subgroup B (4/8, 50%),

six subgroup C (6/7, 86%), and all seven isolates of subgroup D (100%). The average number of

carbon sources utilized by isolates in subgroups A, B, C, and D was 10.4±3.1, 11.8±1.75,

13.9±3.6, and 20.3±1.9, respectively (Fig. 4). A one-way ANOVA was performed to compare

the overall difference in carbon sources utilization among the four subgroups showed significant

difference among the subgroups ($F_{(3,32)} = 18.15$, p <0.05). A posthoc comparison between the

subgroups revealed that the number of carbon sources utilized by subgroup D was significantly

higher than subgroup A, B, and C (Tukey HSD, p <0.05). All of the tested *Gardnerella* isolates

were able to utilize pyruvic acid, palatinose, and L-rhamnose. The next most frequently utilized

carbon sources were D-fructose (32/36, 97%) and L-fucose (32/36, 97%).

Overall, 31/95 carbon sources were utilized by at least one isolate, and the majority (20/31) of

these were sugars (mono- or oligosaccharides). Together, subgroup D isolates (n = 7) utilized

more of the sugar substrates (18/37 available) than any other subgroup, including subgroup C

(n=8), which utilized 15/37 available sugars. Utilization of any of the 11 available amino acids

was rarely observed, with only two of the subgroup C isolates positive for L-methionine or L-

valine utilization.

Overlap in carbon sources utilization among the subgroups

To determine if subgroups could be distinguished based on carbon source utilization profiles, a

principal component analysis was performed (Fig. 5). The overlap between the representative

isolates of subgroups A, B, and C was significant. Subgroup D was significantly dissimilar to

subgroups A and B (Fig. 5, pairwise-ADONIS, A vs D, R^2 = 0.55; B vs D, R^2 = 0.55, p<0.05).

Although the dissimilarity between subgroup C and D was not statistically significant after

Bonferroni adjustment, 39% (pairwise ADONIS, C vs D, R^2= 0.39) of the variation in carbon

source utilization could be explained by subgroup affiliation of the tested isolates, which was

higher than between subgroups A, B and C (A vs B: 13%, A vs. C: 21%, and B vs. C: 8%).

Association of carbon source utilization pattern with subgroups

To identify carbon sources that differentiate the subgroups, we selected twelve substrates that

were utilized by more than five isolates but fewer than thirty isolates. Chi-square tests were

performed to determine if the subgroups significantly differ in the utilization of those twelve

carbon sources. The four Gardnerella subgroups differed in their use of 3 of the 12 carbon

sources: turanose, inosine, and uridine 5-monophosphate (Chi-square test, $p < 0.05$, Bonferroni

adjusted) (Fig. 6). For each of these three carbon sources, subgroups A and B had low frequency

of use (9.5% = 2/21, 0.0% = 0/21, 0.0% = 0/21; subgroups A and B combined), subgroup C had

low or intermediate frequency of use (25.0% = 2/8, 50.0% = 4/8, and 62.5% = 5/8), whereas

subgroup D had high frequency of use (100.0% = 7/7, 100.0% = 7/7, 100.0% = 7/7).

Carbon source utilization by co-cultured isolates

Since the four subgroups co-exist in the same ecosystem, it is possible that mixing them might

facilitate the utilization of certain carbon sources. To detect any such facilitation in carbon

sources utilization, we co-cultured isolates from all four subgroups in six pairwise combinations

(A+B, A+C, A+D, B+C, B+D, and C+D). No combination resulted in the utilization of more

carbon sources than the best utilizer in any combination.

Discussion

Rarely abundant species can be maintained in the human microbiome through a variety of

mechanisms, which include but are not limited to sequestration of essential nutrients from

competing species, diversification of phenotype [26], social cheating [27], and negative

frequency dependent selection [16]. Differences in nutrient utilization among community

members can be a key factor that sets the stage for negative frequency dependent selection [28].

The reproductive fitness of nutritional specialist species will remain high as long as the supply of

nutrients usable by the specialists is abundant. As soon as the supply of these nutrients drops,

slower-growing generalists, by virtue of their greater utilization capacity, will have increased

fitness, which if unchecked, will eventually lead to their dominance.

Among the four subgroups of *Gardnerella* spp. that colonize the vaginal microbiome of

reproductive-aged women, subgroup D is the rarest [4]. Subgroup D is also relatively slow-

growing, yet shows an increased growth rate when the number of competitors in an *in vitro*

community increases [11]. We have reported previously that resource-based competition is

common among *Gardnerella* spp. and no evidence for contact-dependent interaction was

observed. Therefore, we set out to investigate if negative-frequency dependent selection is

responsible for persistence of subgroup D, which would require relatively small niche overlap

and a more generalist lifestyle than the other *Gardnerella* spp. in the vaginal microbiome.

Predicted niche overlap between the four subgroups

Niche overlap may lead to competition for nutrients and space [28–31] and it has been reported

that competition is prevalent among metabolically similar bacterial species [13]. Occupying

distinct niches can therefore help bacterial species avoid competition for space, growth factors,

and nutrients, resulting in increased reproductive fitness. Since subgroup D isolates have higher

growth rates in the presence of competitors compared to when grown alone, these isolates

presumably occupy a distinct niche. The pangenome analysis showed that the four subgroups

differ significantly based on the composition of their predicted proteomes (Fig. 1), with only 176

proteins comprising the strict core of proteins found in all isolates. This finding is not surprising

since the genetic diversity among *Gardnerella* is well established, and genome sequence

comparisons formed the basis for the recent reclassification of *Gardnerella* into 13 genome

species [1–3].

Comparisons of the entire proteomes do not, however, focus on the key factors for a resource-

based competition: nutrient utilization potential. Proteins involved in nutrient uptake and

metabolism account for only a fraction of the 4,868 protein clusters comprising the pangenome.

Analysis of the distribution of various functional (COG) categories of proteins revealed

significant differences among subgroups in their predicted capacity to utilize carbohydrates and

amino acids (Fig. 3a, 3b), with subgroup D having significantly more of its proteome dedicated

to amino acid transport and metabolism than any of the other subgroups. Since a resource-based

competition encapsulates competition for space, growth factors and nutrients, our findings from

the pangenome and COG analyses suggest that the competition among the four subgroups is not

spatial but may be primarily for nutrients; a speculation supported by the previous observation

that *Gardnerella* spp. form multi-subgroup biofilms [11].

Subgroup D is a nutritional generalist relative to subgroup A, B and C

The diversity of nutrients available to microbiota in the vaginal microbiome is less than in the

gastrointestinal microbiome, where food intake provides a constant source of diverse nutrients

that affect the assembly of gut microbiota [32, 33]. Vaginal microbiota, on the contrary, are

largely dependent upon host-derived nutrients, the most abundant of which is glycogen.

Glycogen is deposited in the vaginal lumen by epithelial cells under the influence of estrogen

[34], and is digested into maltooligosaccharides, maltodextrins and glucose by the combined

activities of host and microbial enzymes prior to uptake and metabolism by the microbiota [35–

37]. Given the relatively narrow range of nutrients available in the vaginal microbiome, it is

expected that the resident microbiota, including the four subgroups of *Gardnerella,* overlap to a

considerable extent in their nutrient utilization capacity, resulting in some level of competition

among them [29, 33, 38]. As discussed earlier, subgroup D is an exception since the growth of

these isolates was actually facilitated in co-cultures, suggesting that while it may compete with

other *Gardnerella* spp. over common nutrients like the breakdown products of glycogen, it may

be able to utilize a greater overall diversity of nutrients (i.e. it is a generalist).

The AN microplate assay results showed that subgroup D isolates utilized more of the provided

carbon sources than isolates in the three other subgroups (Fig. 4). Furthermore, when the patterns

of substrate use were considered, subgroup A, B and C were not separable from each other, but

subgroup D was significantly different (Fig. 5). The distinct pattern observed in subgroup D was

partially driven by utilization of three particular substrates: turanose, inosine, and uridine 5-

monophosphate (Fig. 6). Turanose is an isomer of sucrose, known as a non-accumulative

osmoprotectant, aiding bacterial growth at high osmolarity [39]. The importance of turanose

utilization in the vaginal environment is not known yet, but our observation is an indication that

subgroup D isolates can metabolize sucrose-like sugars. The two other carbon sources: inosine

and uridine 5-monophosphate are probably used in purine and pyrimidine biosynthesis in

Gardnerella spp..

Some findings of the pangenome and COG analyses could not be reconciled with the phenotypic

carbon source utilization assay. For example, although subgroup C and D have higher

proportions of their proteomes predicted to be involved in transport and metabolism of

carbohydrates (Category G) and amino acids (Category E), respectively, than the other

subgroups, subgroup C isolates did not utilize the greatest number of available sugar substrates

in the AN microplate and subgroup D isolates did not utilize any of the amino acid substrates

available. It is, however, important to consider that the carbon source utilization assay was

performed in a plastic environment and included only 95 substrates, many of which are not

relevant to the vaginal microbiome. More relevant amino acid sources available in the vagina,

including those whose abundance is altered in bacterial vaginosis, such as isoleucine, leucine,

proline, and tryptophan, are not included [40–42]. Ideally, this study would have involved a

vaginal-microbiome specific nutrient panel, but such reagents were not available. Even with this

limitation, our results support the conclusion that subgroup D is a nutritional generalist relative

to other *Gardnerella* spp.. Most of the ecological studies that have been performed to date to

elucidate mechanisms shaping the assembly of bacterial communities have included either

environmental bacterial species or well-characterized model organisms [13, 15, 26, 33, 38, 43–

47]. There are understandably fewer studies that focus on interactions among host-associated

microbiota [48, 49].

Negative-frequency-dependent selection in the vaginal microbiome

The genomic and phenotypic differences we observed between subgroup D and the three other

subgroups, including the potential to utilize more amino acids, use of a greater number of carbon

sources, and a distinct pattern of substrate utilization, suggest that subgroup D is a candidate for

negative frequency dependent selection. Why then are these *Gardnerella* spp. only observed

rarely, and in low abundance in reproductive aged women? Among 413 vaginal samples from

reproductive aged Canadian women, genome species comprising subgroup D of *Gardnerella*

were detected in <10% of samples and never accounted for more than 5% of the microbiota [4].

Vaginal environmental dynamics and related host factors, such as menstruation, sloughing of

epithelial cells, and fluctuating pH contribute to the turnover of bacterial species, shifting the

bacterial population density and changing the nutrients available [50]. A decline in population

density would reshuffle the vaginal ecosystem, increasing the supply of abundant nutrients

accessible to faster growing, specialists, and checking the growth of slower growing generalist

subgroup D.

Although subgroup D is likely rare due the factors described above, it could still be a major

player in ecological succession and transition of vaginal microbiota between a *Lactobacillus*

dominated community and the overgrowth of anaerobes characteristic of bacterial vaginosis.

These organisms may also play a particular role in biofilm formation or competition for

occupancy of the vaginal mucosa. Rarely abundant species often act as keystone species helping

colonization by other bacterial species, which are also essential to maintain homeostasis of an

ecosystem [51–54]. Resolution of the role of low abundance *Gardnerella* spp. will depend on the

development and application of experimental systems that more closely model the human

vaginal microbiome. Rodent models have shown some promise, especially for studies of specific

combinations of organisms [55], but there is also potential in bioreactors [56], and cell and tissue

culture systems that attempt to recapitulate many of the environmental and physiological aspects

of the vaginal microbiome [57]. Further study of rare *Gardnerella* spp. will likely also result in

the definition of additional species within this diverse genus.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Champika Fernando for excellent technical support and to all

members of the Hill Lab for their review of the manuscript. Special thanks to Prairie Diagnostic

Services for access to their anaerobic chamber. No thanks to COVID-19. The research was

supported by an Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant

to JEH.

References

1. Paramel Jayaprakash T, Schellenberg JJ, Hill JE (2012) Resolution and characterization of
distinct cpn60-based subgroups of *Gardnerella vaginalis* in the vaginal microbiota. PLoS
ONE 7:e43009. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043009

2. Ahmed A, Earl J, Retchless A, et al (2012) Comparative genomic analyses of 17 clinical
isolates of *Gardnerella vaginalis* provide evidence of multiple genetically isolated clades
consistent with subspeciation into genovars. J Bacteriol 194:3922–37.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00056-12

3. Vaneechoutte M, Guschin A, Van Simaey L, et al (2019) Emended description of
Gardnerella vaginalis and description of *Gardnerella leopoldii* sp. nov., *Gardnerella piotii*
sp. nov. and *Gardnerella swidsinskii* sp. nov., with delineation of 13 genomic species within
the genus *Gardnerella*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 69:679–687.
https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003200

4. Hill JE, Albert AYK (2019) Resolution and co-occurrence patterns of *Gardnerella leopoldii,*
Gardnerella swidsinskii, Gardnerella piotii and *Gardnerella vaginalis* within the vaginal
microbiome. Infect Immun 87:e00532-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00532-19

5. Janulaitiene M, Gegzna V, Baranauskiene L, et al (2018) Phenotypic characterization of
Gardnerella vaginalis subgroups suggests differences in their virulence potential. PLOS
ONE 13:e0200625. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200625

6. Yeoman CJ, Yildirim S, Thomas SM, et al (2010) Comparative genomics of *Gardnerella*
vaginalis strains reveals substantial differences in metabolic and virulence potential. PLoS
ONE 5:e12411. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012411

7. Schellenberg JJ, Paramel Jayaprakash T, Withana Gamage N, et al (2016) *Gardnerella*
vaginalis subgroups defined by cpn60 sequencing and sialidase activity in isolates from
Canada, Belgium and Kenya. PLoS One 11:e0146510.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146510

8. Albert AY, Chaban B, Wagner EC, et al (2015) A study of the vaginal microbiome in
healthy Canadian women utilizing cpn60-based molecular profiling reveals distinct
Gardnerella subgroup community state types. PLoS One 10:e0135620.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135620

9. Hilbert DW, Schuyler JA, Adelson ME, et al (2017) *Gardnerella vaginalis* population
dynamics in bacterial vaginosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-017-2933-8

10. Shipitsyna E, Krysanova A, Khayrullina G, et al (2019) Quantitation of all four *Gardnerella*
vaginalis clades detects abnormal vaginal microbiota characteristic of bacterial vaginosis
more accurately than putative *G. vaginalis* sialidase A gene count. Mol Diagn Ther 23:139–
147. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40291-019-00382-5

11. Khan S, Voordouw MJ, Hill JE (2019) Competition among *Gardnerella* subgroups from the
human vaginal microbiome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 9:374.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00374

12. Madsen JS, Røder HL, Russel J, et al (2016) Coexistence facilitates interspecific biofilm
formation in complex microbial communities. Environ Microbiol 18:2565–2574.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13335

13. Russel J, Røder HL, Madsen JS, et al (2017) Antagonism correlates with metabolic similarity
in diverse bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:10684–10688.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706016114

14. Darwin C (1859) On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or preservation of
favoured races in the struggle for life. John Murray, London

15. Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB (2010) Bacterial competition: surviving and
thriving in the microbial jungle. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:15–25.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2259

16. Levin BR (1988) Frequency-dependent selection in bacterial populations. Philos Trans R Soc
Lond B Biol Sci 319:459–472. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1988.0059

17. Kurihara Y, Shikano S, Toda M (1990) Trade-off between interspecific competitive ability
and growth rate in bacteria. Ecology 71:645–650. https://doi.org/10.2307/1940318

18. Ross-Gillespie A, Gardner A, Buckling A, et al (2009) Density dependence and cooperation:
theory and a test with bacteria. Evolution 63:2315–2325. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-
5646.2009.00723.x

19. Martin-Platero AM, Valdivia E, Maqueda M, Martinez-Bueno M (2007) Fast, convenient,
and economical method for isolating genomic DNA from lactic acid bacteria using a
modification of the protein "salting-out" procedure. Anal Biochem 366:102–4.
https://doi.org/S0003-2697(07)00159-5 [pii] 10.1016/j.ab.2007.03.010

20. Vancuren SJ, Hill JE (2019) Update on cpnDB: a reference database of chaperonin
sequences. Database 2019:. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baz033

21. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

22. Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, et al (2012) SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient
short-read *de novo* assembler. Gigascience 1:18. https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-217X-1-18

23. Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, et al (2020) Using SPAdes De Novo Assembler. Curr
Protoc Bioinforma 70:e102. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpbi.102

24. Snipen L, Liland KH (2015) micropan: an R-package for microbial pan-genomics. BMC
Bioinformatics 16:79. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-015-0517-0

25. Whitfield-Cargile CM, Cohen ND, Suchodolski J, et al (2015) Composition and diversity of
the fecal microbiome and inferred fecal metagenome does not predict subsequent pneumonia
caused by *Rhodococcus equi* in foals. PLOS ONE 10:e0136586.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136586

26. Martín PV, Muñoz MA, Pigolotti S (2019) Bet-hedging strategies in expanding populations.
PLOS Comput Biol 15:e1006529. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006529

27. Chen R, Déziel E, Groleau M-C, et al (2019) Social cheating in a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
quorum-sensing variant. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:7021–7026.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1819801116

28. Freter R, Brickner H, Botney M, et al (1983) Mechanisms that control bacterial populations
in continuous-flow culture models of mouse large intestinal flora. Infect Immun 39:676–685.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.39.2.676-685.1983

29. Holt RD (2009) Bringing the Hutchinsonian niche into the 21st century: Ecological and
evolutionary perspectives. Proc Natl Acad Sci 106:19659–19665.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0905137106

30. Hutchinson GE (1957) Concluding Remarks. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 22:415–
427. https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1957.022.01.039

31. Amarasekare P (2002) Interference competition and species coexistence. Proc R Soc Lond B
Biol Sci 269:2541–2550. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2181

32. Graf D, Di Cagno R, Fåk F, et al (2015) Contribution of diet to the composition of the human
gut microbiota. Microb Ecol Health Dis 26:26164. https://doi.org/10.3402/mehd.v26.26164

33. Smith CC, Snowberg LK, Gregory Caporaso J, et al (2015) Dietary input of microbes and
host genetic variation shape among-population differences in stickleback gut microbiota.
ISME J 9:2515–2526. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.64

34. Mirmonsef P, Hotton AL, Gilbert D, et al (2014) Free glycogen in vaginal fluids is
associated with *Lactobacillus* colonization and low vaginal pH. PLoS One 9:e102467.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102467

35. Bhandari P, Tingley JP, Abbott DW, Hill JE (2020) Characterization of an α-glucosidase
enzyme conserved in *Gardnerella* spp. isolated from the human vaginal microbiome.
bioRxiv 2020.05.11.086124. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.11.086124

36. Nunn KL, Forney LJ (2016) Unraveling the dynamics of the human vaginal microbiome.
Yale J Biol Med 89:331–337

37. Spear GT, French AL, Gilbert D, et al (2014) Human alpha-amylase present in lower-
genital-tract mucosal fluid processes glycogen to support vaginal colonization by
Lactobacillus. J Infect Dis 210:1019–1028. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu231

38. Bauer MA, Kainz K, Carmona-Gutierrez D, Madeo F (2018) Microbial wars: Competition in
ecological niches and within the microbiome. Microb Cell 5:215–219.
https://doi.org/10.15698/mic2018.05.628

39. Gouffi K, Blanco C (2000) Is the accumulation of osmoprotectant the unique mechanism
involved in bacterial osmoprotection? Int J Food Microbiol 55:171–174.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00192-6

40. Srinivasan S, Morgan MT, Fiedler TL, et al (2015) Metabolic signatures of bacterial
vaginosis. mBio 6:. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00204-15

41. Vicente-Muñoz S, Cobo T, Puchades-Carrasco L, et al (2020) Vaginal metabolome: towards
a minimally invasive diagnosis of microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity in women with
preterm labor. Sci Rep 10:5465. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62542-6

42. Vitali B, Cruciani F, Picone G, et al (2015) Vaginal microbiome and metabolome highlight
specific signatures of bacterial vaginosis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 34:2367–2376.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-015-2490-y

43. Stubbendieck RM, Straight PD (2015) Escape from lethal bacterial competition through
coupled activation of antibiotic resistance and a mobilized subpopulation. PLOS Genet
11:e1005722. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005722

44. Gonzalez DJ, Haste NM, Hollands A, et al (2011) Microbial competition between *Bacillus
subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* monitored by imaging mass spectrometry. Microbiology
157:2485–2492. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.048736-0

45. Griffin AS, West SA, Buckling A (2004) Cooperation and competition in pathogenic
bacteria. Nature 430:1024–1027. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02744

46. Oliveira NM, Martinez-Garcia E, Xavier J, et al (2015) Biofilm formation as a response to
ecological competition. PLoS Biol 13:e1002191.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002191

47. Rezzoagli C, Granato ET, Kümmerli R (2020) Harnessing bacterial interactions to manage
infections: a review on the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as a case
example. J Med Microbiol 69:147–161. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.001134

48. García-Bayona L, Comstock LE (2018) Bacterial antagonism in host-associated microbial
communities. Science 361:. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat2456

49. Rakoff-Nahoum S, Foster KR, Comstock LE (2016) The evolution of cooperation within the
gut microbiota. Nature 533:255–259. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17626

50. Abreu CI, Friedman J, Andersen Woltz VL, Gore J (2019) Mortality causes universal
changes in microbial community composition. Nat Commun 10:2120.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09925-0

51. Banerjee S, Schlaeppi K, van der Heijden MGA (2018) Keystone taxa as drivers of
microbiome structure and functioning. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:567–576.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0024-1

52. Herren CM, McMahon KD (2018) Keystone taxa predict compositional change in microbial
communities. Environ Microbiol 20:2207–2217. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14257

53. Hajishengallis G, Liang S, Payne MA, et al (2011) A low-abundance biofilm species
orchestrates inflammatory periodontal disease through the commensal microbiota and the
complement pathway. Cell Host Microbe 10:497–506.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.10.006

54. Maldonado-Contreras A, Goldfarb KC, Godoy-Vitorino F, et al (2011) Structure of the
human gastric bacterial community in relation to *Helicobacter pylori* status. ISME J 5:574–
579. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.149

55. Teixeira GS, Carvalho FP, Arantes RM, et al (2012) Characteristics of *Lactobacillus* and
Gardnerella vaginalis from women with or without bacterial vaginosis and their
relationships in gnotobiotic mice. J Med Microbiol 61:1074–81.
https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.041962-0

56. Guzman-Rodriguez M, McDonald JAK, Hyde R, et al (2018) Using bioreactors to study the
effects of drugs on the human microbiota. Methods 149:31–41.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2018.08.003

57. Herbst-Kralovetz MM, Pyles RB, Ratner AJ, et al (2016) New systems for studying
intercellular interactions in bacterial vaginosis. J Infect Dis 214:S6–S13.
https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw130

Fig. 1 Four cpn60 defined subgroups of *Gardnerella* spp. are separable based on predicted

protein sequences. A presence/absence matrix was created for all protein clusters identified in the

pangenome analysis. The matrix was then used to perform PCA to determine the overlap

between the four subgroups. A pairwise ADONIS performed between the four groups showed

that the dissimilarity between the four subgroups is significant ($p < .05$, Bonferroni adjusted). The

dissimilarity between subgroups was 45%, 48%, 26%, 34%, 45%, and 55% for A vs B, A vs C,

A vs D, B vs C, B vs D, and C vs D, respectively.

Fig. 2 Four cpn60 defined subgroups of *Gardnerella* spp. are separable based on the proportion

of different categories of orthologous protein. The distribution of proportional abundance of

twenty-three COG categories was compared among the four subgroups using PCA. The

separability between the four subgroups based on the distributions of COG categories was

significant (pairwise ADONIS, p <.05, Bonferroni adjusted). The dissimilarities between

subgroups were 31% (A vs. B), 71% (A vs. C), 26% (A vs. D), 48% (B vs. C), 20% (B vs. D),

and 74% (C vs. D).

Fig. 3 The separation of the four subgroups are driven mainly by differential abundance of six COG categories: (a) carbohydrate metabolism and transport proteins (Category G), (b) amino acid transport and metabolism proteins (Category E), (c) co-enzyme transport and metabolism proteins (Category H), (d) transcription proteins (Category K), (e) inorganic ion transport and

609   metabolism proteins (Category P), and (f) translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis

610   proteins (Category J). Subgroup C has significantly higher proportion of its proteome classified

611   in Category G (carbohydrate metabolism proteins) than the other subgroups, whereas D and A

612   had significantly higher proportions of their proteomes classified into Category E (amino acid

613   and transport metabolism) and co-enzyme transport and metabolism (Category H), respectively.

Fig. 4 Subgroup D isolates utilize more carbon sources than the three other subgroups. The

number of carbon sources utilized by isolates in subgroup D was significantly higher than those

in subgroups A, B and C (TukeyHSD, $p < 0.05$). Only one fourth (9/36) of the tested isolates

utilized more than 17 carbon sources, including all seven tested isolates of subgroup D.

Fig. 5 Subgroup D has minimal overlap with the other subgroups in carbon source utilization.

The degree of variation based on carbon sources utilization between subgroup D and subgroups

A and B was significant (Pairwise ADONIS, P < 0.05, after Bonferroni adjustment). The

variation in carbon sources utilization between subgroup C and D can be explained by subgroup

affiliation in 39% of cases. Overall, 42% of differences in carbon sources utilization between

subgroups can be explained by their subgroup affiliation (Adonis, $R^2 = 0.42$, p < 0.05).

Fig. 6 Subgroup D could be differentiated from the other subgroups based on its capacity to

utilize inosine (a), uridine 5-monophosphate (b), and turanose (c). The utilization of these three

carbon sources is significantly (Chi-square test, $p < 0.05$) associated with subgroup D.

640 **Table S1** Bacterial isolates and whole-genome sequences used for pangenome analysis and
641 carbon source utilization profiling assay.

Isolate	cpn60 subgroup	Species	Included in pangenome and COG analyses?	Included in Biolog assay?	BioProject accession number
NR020	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR015	A	Unknown	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR016	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
GH005	A	*G. leopoldii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR020	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR021	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR017	A	*G. leopoldii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR019	A	*G. leopoldii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR010	A	*G. leopoldii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA310104
N72	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA310104
VN003	A	*G. leopoldii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
WP021	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
WP022	A	*G. swidsinskii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
N170	B	Genome species 3	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
N144	B	Genome species 3	Yes	Yes	PRJNA310104
N153	B	Genome species 3	Yes	No	PRJNA265102
W11	B	Genome species 3	Yes	Yes	PRJNA265103
NR026	B	Genome species 3	Yes	No	PRJNA394757
VN002	B	*G. piotii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
N101	B	Genome species 3	Yes	Yes	PRJNA265097
N95	B	Genome species 3	Yes	Yes	PRJNA265092
GH019	B	*G. piotii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
GH020	B	*G. piotii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
GH022	B	*G. piotii*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
ATCC14018	C	*G. vaginalis*	No	Yes	PRJNA524873
ATCC14019	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	No	PRJNA55487
N165	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA310104
NR037	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	No	PRJNA394757
NR038	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR039	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
GH015	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA310104
GH021	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR001	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
WP023	C	*G. vaginalis*	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR003	D	Genome species 8	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
WP012	D	Genome species 9	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
N160	D	Genome species 10	Yes	Yes	PRJNA310104
NR047	D	Unknown	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR002	D	Genome species 8	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR043	D	Genome species 10	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757
NR044	D	Genome species 10	Yes	Yes	PRJNA394757

Fig. S1 Rarefaction curve of the pangenome of *Gardnerella* spp..