Manuscript title:

Covering soybean leaves with cellulose nanofiber changes leaf surface

hydrophobicity and confers resistance against *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*

Authors' full names:

Haruka Saito[1], Yuji Yamashita[1], Nanami Sakata[1], Takako Ishiga[1], Nanami Shiraishi[1],

Giyu Usuki[1], Viet Tru Nguyen[1,2], Eiji Yamamura[1] and Yasuhiro Ishiga[1*]

Institution addresses:

[1]Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai,

Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

[2]Western Highlands Agriculture and Forestry Science Institute, Nguyen Luong Bang,

Buon Ma Thuot, Dak Lak, Vietnam.

*For correspondence:

Yasuhiro Ishiga

Address: Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1

Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

1   Email: ishiga.yasuhiro.km@u.tsukuba.ac.jp

2   Tel/Fax (+81) 029-853-4792

Abstract

Asian soybean rust (ASR) caused by *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, an obligate biotrophic

fungal pathogen, is the most devastating soybean production disease worldwide.

Currently, timely fungicide application is the only means to control ASR in the field. We

investigated cellulose nanofiber (CNF) application on ASR disease management.

CNF-treated leaves showed reduced lesion number after *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation

compared to control leaves, indicating that covering soybean leaves with CNF confers *P.*

pachyrhizi resistance. We also demonstrated that formation of *P. pachyrhizi*

pre-infection structures including germ-tubes and appressoria, and also gene expression

related to these formations, such as *chitin synthases* (*CHSs*), were significantly

suppressed in CNF-treated soybean leaves compared to control leaves. Moreover,

contact angle measurement revealed that CNF converts soybean leaf surface properties

from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. These results suggest that CNF can change soybean

leaf surface hydrophobicity, conferring resistance against *P. pachyrhizi*, based on the

reduced expression of *CHSs*, as well as reduced formation of pre-infection structures.

This is the first study to investigate CNF application to control field disease.

1 **Keywords**

2 Asian soybean rust; Cellulose nanofiber; *Chitin synthase*; Hydrophobicity; *Phakopsora*

3 *pachyrhizi*; Pre-infection structure

1 Introduction

Diseases in important crop plants have a significant negative impact on agricultural productivity. For example, Asian soybean rust (ASR) caused by *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, an obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen, is the most devastating soybean production disease worldwide, with an estimated crop yield loss of up to 90%. ASR has impacted the South American economy in recent years. Yorinori et al. (2005) reported that the losses caused by ASR were ~2 billion US dollars in Brazil alone in 2003. Although most rust fungi have a high host specificity, the *P. pachyrhizi* host range is broad and can infect diverse leguminous plant leaves in the field (Slaminko et al., 2008). The infection process starts when urediniospores germinate to produce a single germ-tube with an appressorium. Unlike cereal rust fungi that penetrates through stomata (Bolton et al., 2008), *P. pachyrhizi* directly penetrates into host plant epidermal cells by an appressorial peg. After penetration, *P. pachyrhizi* extends the infection hyphae and forms haustoria (feeding structures) in the mesophyll cells 24 to 48 hours after infection (Goellner et al., 2010). Five to eight days after infection, *P. pachyrhizi* then produces urediniospores by asexual reproduction (Goellner et al., 2010). Urediniospores can be dispersed by wind and germinate on other host plants.

There are several ASR control methods for soybean protection against *P.*

pachyrhizi, including chemical control by fungicide application, growing ASR resistant

soybean cultivars, and employing cultivation practices. Synthetic fungicides are the

primary ASR disease control method. However, fungicide use can cause many problems

such as environmental impacts (Maltby et al., 2009), increased production costs (Godoy

et al., 2015), and the emergence of fungicide-resistant pathogens (Langenbach et al.,

2016; Klosowski et al., 2018). Another major and effective control method is breeding

or engineering of ASR resistant soybean cultivars. Analysis of soybean accessions

disclosed six dominant *R* genes conferring resistance to a particular *P. pachyrhizi* race,

and these loci were referred to as the *Rpp* 1–6 genes (Bromfield and Hartwig, 1980;

McLean and Byth, 1980; Hartwig, 1986; Garcia et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012). However,

none of the soybean accessions in the world show resistance to all *P. pachyrhizi* races

(Monteros et al., 2007). Due to the limited resistance available in soybean cultivars,

heterologous expression of resistance genes from other plant species in soybean has

been investigated as an alternative source of ASR resistance. Kawashima et al. (2016)

reported that soybean plants expressing *CcRpp1* (*Cajanus cajan* Resistance against

Phakopsora pachyrhizi 1) from pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) showed full resistance

against *P. pachyrhizi*. Conversely, identifying resistance traits from non-host plant

species has become an intelligent approach. Uppalapati et al. (2012) screened *Medicago*

truncatula Tnt1 mutant lines and identified an *inhibitor of rust germ tube differentiation 1* (*irg1*) mutant with reduced formation of pre-infection structures, including germ-tubes and appressoria. They demonstrated that the loss of abaxial epicuticular wax accumulation resulting in reduced surface hydrophobicity inhibited formation of pre-infection structures on the *irg1* mutant (Uppalapati et al., 2012). Furthermore, Ishiga et al. (2013) reported that gene expression related to pre-infection structure formation was activated on the hydrophobic surface of the *M. truncatula* wild-type, but not on the *irg1* mutant, based on *P. pachyrhizi* transcriptome analysis, suggesting that leaf surface hydrophobicity can trigger gene expression related to formation of pre-infection structures. Based on these previous studies, we hypothesized that modification of leaf surface hydrophobicity might be a useful strategy to confer resistance against *P. pachyrhizi.*

Cellulose is an organic polysaccharide consisting of a β-1,4 linked glucopyranose skeleton. Cellulose is an important structural component of plant primary cell walls and is essential in maintaining the plant structural phase. Due to the positive properties, cellulose has been investigated as an application in different research and development fields including energy, environmental, water, and biomedical related fields (Mondal, 2017). Cellulose nanofiber (CNF), which can be derived from cellulose,

is one of the most abundant and renewable biomasses in nature (Abe et al., 2007).

Because CNF exhibits properties such as low weight, high aspect ratio, high strength,

high stiffness, and large surface area, CNF potentially has wide areas of application.

There are several CNF isolation methods, e.g. acid hydrolysis, enzymatic hydrolysis,

and mechanical processes. The aqueous counter collision (ACC) method can make it

possible to cleave interfacial interactions among cellulose molecules without any

chemical modification (Kondo et al., 2014). Both hydrophobic and hydrophilic sites

co-exist in a cellulose molecule resulting in amphiphilic properties when CNF is

derived from the ACC method. Kose et al. (2011) reported that coating with CNF

derived from the ACC method could switch surface hydrophilic and hydrophobic

properties, depending on substrate characteristics. They demonstrated that coating a

filter paper and polyethylene with CNF changed the surface property into hydrophobic

and hydrophilic, respectively (Kose et al., 2011). To investigate the potential application

of CNF in agriculture, we examined whether coating with CNF protected soybean

plants against *P. pachyrhizi*. We show that a specific CNF property can change soybean

leaf surface hydrophobicity, resulting in reduced formation of pre-infection structures

associated with reduced *P. pachyrhizi* infection.

Materials & Methods

Plant growth conditions, pathogen inoculation assay, and CNF treatment

Susceptible soybean cultivar seeds (*Glycine max* cv. Enrei) were germinated in

a growth chamber at 25°C/20°C with 16-hrs-light/8-hrs-dark cycle (100-150 μ mol m^{-2}

s^{-1}) for 3 to 4 weeks.

An isolate of the ASR pathogen *P. pachyrhizi* T1-2 (Yamaoka et al., 2014) was

maintained on soybean leaves. Fresh urediniospores were collected and suspended in

distilled water with 0.001% Tween 20. The 3-week-old soybean plants were

spray-inoculated with 1 x 10^5 spores/ml using a hand sprayer for uniform spore

deposition. The inoculated plants were maintained in a chamber for 24 hours with 90%

to 95% humidity at 23°C and 0-hrs-light/24-hrs-dark cycle. The plants were then

transferred to a growth chamber (22°C/20°C with 16 hrs-light/8 hrs-dark cycle) and

incubated further to allow symptom development. To quantify ASR lesion number on

CNF-treated plants, soybean leaves were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi*. At 10

days after inoculation, photographs were taken, and lesions were counted to calculate

the lesion number per cm^2. Lesions were counted from 54 random fields on three

independent leaves.

Cellulose nanofiber (CNF, marketed as nanoforest®) supplied through the

courtesy of Chuetsu Pulp & Paper (Takaoka, Japan) was used. CNF suspension was

adjusted to a concentration of 0.1% including 0.02% Tween 20 (FUJIFILM, Tokyo,

Japan) before treatment. Both adaxial and abaxial sides of soybean leaves were

spray-treated with 0.1% CNF till runoff and then the treated soybean plants were dried

at room temperature for 3 to 4 hours before inoculation. Scopoletin (TCI, Tokyo, Japan)

was pre-solved as 500 mM stock solutions in DMSO and diluted to 500 μM in *P.*

pachyrhizi spore suspensions.

Quantification of pre-infection structures formation

To quantify the formation of pre-infection structures including germ-tubes and

appressoria on control, CNF-, and scopletin-treated plants, soybean leaves were

spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* 1 x 10^5 spores/ml. At 6 hours after inoculation, the

leaves were observed with an Olympus BX51 fluorescence microscope after Calcofluor

White (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) staining and photographed. The germ-tubes

forming differentiated appressoria were counted as appressoria. The differentiated

germ-tubes without appressoria that grew on the leaf surface were also counted from at

least 100 urediniosopres on three independent leaves.

The formation of pre-infection structures on borosilicate glass slides and

polyethylene tape with or without CNF treatment was quantified after dropping *P.*

pachyrhizi spores (2.0 x 10□/ml). Six hours after inoculation, pre-infection structures

were observed with a Nikon ECLIPSE 80i phase contrast microscope. The germ-tubes

forming differentiated appressoria were counted as appressoria. The differentiated

germ-tubes without appressoria that grew on the leaf surface were also counted from at

least 500 urediniosopres on three independent leaves.

Contact angle measurement on soybean leaves and polyethylene tapes

The surface hydrophobicity on the CNF-treated leaves, borosilicate glass slides,

and polyethylene tapes were investigated based on contact angle measurement using an

automatic contact angle meter DM-31 (Kyowa Interface Science, Niiza, Japan). The

contact angle was measured by dropping 2 µl of water from a syringe attached to the

DM-31 automatic contact angle meter. The contact angle was measured on the adaxial

and abaxial leaf surfaces, and polyethylene tapes with or without 0.1% CNF treatments.

The contact angle was analyzed using the multi-functional integrated analysis software

FAMAS (Kyowa Interface Science).

RNA-spray-induced gene silencing of CHSs

Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) of *GFP* and *CHS* were synthesized using the *in*

vitro Transcription T7 Kit (Takara, Ohtsu, Japan). Briefly, we designed 3 primer sets to

amplify *P. pachyrhizi CHS5-1* fragments (Supplementary Fig. S1 and Table S1). After

RT-PCR amplification, fragments were purified and used as templates for *in vitro*

transcription. The products of RNA transcripts were confirmed by gel electrophoresis

(Supplementary Fig. S1) and quantified by NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Waltham, USA). We equally mixed 3 fragments and used for SIGS assay on

polyethylene tape. The formation of pre-infection structures and expression levels of

CHSs were quantified after dropping 1 x 10□/ml of *P. pachyrhizi* spores containing 10

ng/ml dsRNA polyethylene tape. Six hours after inoculation, pre-infection structures

were observed with a Nikon ECLIPSE 80i phase contrast microscope.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analyses

For urediniospores attachment assay, 4-week-old soybean leaves covered with

or without 0.1% CNF were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* 1 x 10^5 spores/ml. The

inoculated leaves were immediately fixed, and total RNA was extracted from the leaf

areas and purified using RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa, Otsu, Japan). To investigate the SIGS

efficacy, expression levels of *CHSs* were quantified after dropping 1 x 10□/ml of *P.*

pachyrhizi spores containing 10 ng/ml dsRNA on polyethylene tape. Six hours after

inoculation, total RNA was extracted from the inoculated leaf areas and purified using

RNAiso Plus. To investigate the gene expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi CHSs* during

infection, 4-week-old soybean leaves were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* 1 x 10^5

spores/ml and incubated in darkness overnight, and then transferred to a growth

chamber (22°C/20°C with a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle). At 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours after

inoculation, total RNA was extracted from the inoculated leaf areas and purified using

RNAiso Plus. For gene expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi CHSs* and soybean

defense-related genes, 4-week-old soybean leaves covered with or without 0.1% CNF

were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* 1 x 10^5 spores/ml and incubated in darkness

overnight, and then transferred to a growth chamber (22°C/20°C with a

16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle). At 6, 12, and 24 hours after inoculation, total RNA was

extracted from the inoculated leaf areas and purified using RNAiso Plus according to

the manufacture's protocol.

Two micrograms of total RNA were treated with gDNA Remover (TOYOBO,

Osaka, Japan) to eliminate genomic DNA, and the DNase-treated RNA was reverse

transcribed using the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix (TOYOBO). The cDNA

(1:10) was then used for RT-qPCR using the primers shown in Supplementary Table S1

with THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) on a Thermal Cycler Dice Real

Time System (TaKaRa). *P. pachyrhizi Ubiquitin 5* (*PpUBQ5*) and soybean *Ubiquitin*

(*GmUBQ3*) were used to compare urediniospores attachment on soybean leaves. *P.*

pachyrhizi Elongation factor 1α (*PpEF1α*) and *Ubiquitin 5* (*PpUBQ5*) were used to

normalize *P. pachyrhizi* gene expression. Soybean *GmEF1α* and *GmUBQ3* were used as

internal controls to normalize soybean gene expression.

Results

Covering soybean leaves with CNF confers resistance against *P. pachyrhizi*

To investigate the potential application of CNF in agriculture, especially disease

resistance against pathogens, we first treated soybean leaves with CNF. Four hours after

spraying with 0.1% CNF, we challenged soybean leaves with *P. pachyrhizi* and

observed lesion formation including uredinia at 10 days after inoculation. CNF-treated

leaves showed reduced lesion area compared to control leaves (Fig. 1A). CNF-treated

leaves showed significantly reduced lesion number compared to control leaves (Fig. 1B).

These results indicate that covering soybean leaves with CNF confers resistance against

P. pachyrhizi. Next, we investigated urediniospores attachment on control and

CNF-treated leaves by quantifying the relative ratio of *ubiquitin* gene transcripts in

1   soybean and *P. pachyrhizi*. As shown in Fig. 1C, we found no significant difference in

2   the relative ratio of *ubiquitin* transcripts between control and CNF-treated leaves,

3   indicating that urediniospores were uniformly sprayed on control and CNF-treated

4   leaves.

6   **CNF converts leaf surface properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic**

7   CNF has amphipathic properties, and thus can convert material surface

properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic, and *vice versa* (Kose et al., 2011). To

confirm whether CNF-treatments can convert soybean leaf surface properties from

hydrophobic to hydrophilic, we quantified the differences in surface hydrophobicity by

measuring the contact angle at the interface of a liquid (water) drop with the leaf surface.

A greater contact angle (>90°) is indicative of poor wetting or hydrophobicity.

Interestingly, significant differences in the contact angle were observed between control

and CNF-treated adaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 2D). The adaxial leaf surface of control

leaves exhibited an average contact angle of 128°, whereas CNF-treated leaves showed

a dramatic decrease in the contact angle (around 90°), which is indicative of a

hydrophilic surface (Fig. 2E). Similarly, significant differences in the contact angle were

observed between control and CNF-treated abaxial leaf surfaces (Fig. 2D). The abaxial

leaf surface of control leaves exhibited an average contact angle of 127°, whereas

CNF-treated leaves showed a dramatic decrease in contact angle (around 70°; Fig. 2E).

These results clearly indicate that CNF-treatments can convert leaf surface properties

from hydrophobic to hydrophilic.

Covering soybean leaves with CNF suppresses formation of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures

Since CNF-treatments suppressed the lesion number, we next investigated the

formation of pre-infection structures including germ-tubes and appressoria on

CNF-treated leaves. In control leaves, around 90% of urediniospores germinated, and

~75% formed appressoria on adaxial and abaxial leaves (Fig. 1F and Fig. 1G). In

CNF-treated leaves, around 90% of urediniospores germinated, and interestingly ~50%

and ~30% of them formed appressoria on adaxial and abaxial leaves, respectively (Fig.

1F and Fig. iG). We also investigated the scopoletin application effect, since scopoletin

is known to protect soybean from soybean rust by suppressing the formation of

pre-infection structures (Beyer et al., 2019). Consistent with a previous study, in

scopoletin-treated leaves, ~70% and ~60% of urediniospores germinated, and ~40% and

~30% of them formed appressoria on adaxial and abaxial leaves, respectively (Fig. 1F

and Fig. 1G). These results suggest that covering soybean leaves with CNF suppresses

formation of pre-infection structures, including germ-tubes and appressoria.

Hydrophobicity with CNF suppresses formation of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection

structures

Since CNF-treatments converted leaf surface properties from hydrophobic to

hydrophilic, and suppressed the formation of pre-infection structures, we next

investigated the effect of CNF treatment on hydrophobic polyethylene tape. The

hydrophilic borosilicate glass slide exhibited an average contact angle of 16.8°, whereas

the hydrophobic polyethylene tape showed an average contact angle of 115.1° (Fig. 2A

and 2B). Interestingly, CNF-treated polyethylene tape showed a dramatic decrease in

contact angle (around 90°), which is indicative of a hydrophilic surface (Fig. 2A and

2B). On control polyethylene tape, around 90% of urediniospores germinated, and

~50% formed appressoria on hydrophobic surfaces (Fig. 2C). On CNF-treated

polyethylene tape, around 90% of urediniospores germinated, and interestingly ~20% of

them formed appressoria (Fig. 2C). Scopoletin suppressed urediniospore germination

(Fig. 2C). These results suggest that covering hydrophobic surfaces with CNF

suppresses formation of pre-infection structures, including germ-tubes and appressoria,

1   which resulted from conversion of surface properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic.

3   *P. pachyrhizi* chitin synthases are required for formation of pre-infection structures

Ishiga et al. (2013) reported that gene expression related to formation of

pre-infection structures was induced on the hydrophobic surface based on *P. pachyrhizi*

transcriptome analysis. Chitin synthases (CHSs) are key enzymes in the biosynthesis of

the fungal cell wall structural component, chitin. Since Ishiga et al. (2013) demonstrated

that *P. pachyrhizi CHS* expression was induced on the hydrophobic leaf surface, we next

tested the expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi CHS* genes in soybean leaves. Except for

CHS2-1 and *CHS3-3*, all *CHS* gene transcripts were significantly induced within 2

hours after soybean leaf inoculation (Fig. 3A and Supplementary Fig. 1A), suggesting

CHSs may be involved in the formation of pre-infection structures, including

germ-tubes and appressoria.

To investigate *P. pachyrhizi* CHSs function on pre-infection structures formation,

we performed RNA-spray-induced gene silencing (SIGS) targeting *CHS* genes. We

designed dsRNA to target all *CHS* genes, and checked these gene expression levels on a

hydrophobic polyethylene surface with or without *CHS* dsRNA for 6 hours. As expected,

all *CHS* transcripts were significantly suppressed by treatment with *CHS* dsRNA

(Supplementary Fig. S2). We next investigated the effect of *CHS* dsRNA on

pre-infection structures formation. On control polyethylene tape with *GFP* dsRNA

treatment, around 90% of urediniospores germinated, and ~50% of them formed

appressoria on the hydrophobic surface (Fig. 3B and 3C). Interestingly, with CHS

dsRNA treatment, around ~60% of urediniospores germinated, and interestingly less

than 5% of them formed appressoria (Fig. 3B and 3C). These results clearly indicate

that *P. pachyrhizi* CHSs are required for formation of pre-infection structures, including

germ-tubes and appressoria.

Soybean defense-related gene expression analysis

Nanofibers such as chitin nanofibers induce plant immune responses by

activating defense-related gene expression (Egusa et al., 2015). Therefore, one could

argue that the CNF-induced resistance phenotype in soybean plants may result from

defense response activation, rather than from the direct effects of CNF treatments

against *P. pachyrhizi*. To rule out this possibility, we investigated the expression profiles

of the defense marker *PR* genes and defense-related genes, including phenylpropanoid

and isoflavonoid pathways leading to phytoalexin production. Except *IFR* and *CHR*, all

defense marker *PR* genes and defense-related genes were clearly induced within 6 hours

of *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation, and these transcripts reached high levels at 12 hours (Fig. 4

and Supplementary Fig. S3). Interestingly, the transcript levels of defense marker *PR*

genes and defense-related genes were significantly less at 6 hours on CNF-treated

soybean leaves compared to control leaves, suggesting that CNF treatment does not

induce *PR* and defense-related genes. These results confirmed that the resistance

phenotype against *P. pachyrhizi* on CNF-treated soybean leaves is a direct effect of CNF

treatment.

Covering soybean leaves with CNF changes gene expression profiles related to

formation of pre-infection structures

　　　　P. pachyrhizi CHSs are required for formation of pre-infection structures (Fig.

3). We next investigated gene expression profiles of *CHSs* in control and CNF-treated

leaves at 6, 12, and 24 hours after *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation. Except *CHS2-1* and

CHS3-3, all *CHSs* gene transcripts were clearly induced within 6 h in control soybean

leaves (Fig. 5). However, the expression of these genes was clearly suppressed in

CNF-treated leaves (Fig. 5A, 5C, 5D, 5E, 5F, 5H, 5I, and 5J), indicating that covering

soybean leaves with CNF changes gene expression profiles of CHSs. Together, these

results suggest that CNF-treatments suppress the expression of *CHSs*, resulting in

reduced chitin biosynthesis activity in the *P. pachyrhizi* cell wall.

Discussion

We investigated the potential application of CNF in agriculture, especially disease

protection, and found that CNF-treated soybean leaves conferred resistance against the

rust pathogen *P. pachyrhizi* (Fig. 1A and Fig. 1B). CNF-treatments convert soybean leaf

surface properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic (Fig. 1D and Fig. 1E), resulting in

suppression of *P. pachyrhizi CHSs* genes involved in the formation of pre-infection

structures, including germ-tubes and appressoria (Fig. 5) associated with reduced

appressoria formation (Fig. 1F and 1G). These results provide new insights into CNF

application on *P. pachyrhizi* disease management strategies.

CNF-treatments conferred soybean resistance against *P. pachyrhizi* associated

with reduced lesion formation (Fig. 1A and Fig. 1B). The application of chitin

nanofibers for plant protection against pathogens has been investigated. Egusa et al.

(Egusa et al., 2015) reported that chitin nanofibers effectively reduced fungal and

bacterial pathogen infections in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by activating plant defense

responses, including reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and defense-related

gene expression. Furthermore, chitin nanofiber treatment can reduce the occurrence of

Fusarium wilt disease in tomato plants (Egusa et al., 2019). These results suggest that

chitin nanofibers activate plant immunity, resulting in reduced pathogen infection.

However, we showed no CNF elicitor activity based on defense gene expression profiles

(Fig. 4). Although there is no similarity to the mechanism by which nanofibers,

including cellulose and chitin, function to protect plants against pathogens, both

nanofibers will be able to provide eco-friendly disease control strategies in sustainable

agriculture.

Formation of pre-infection structures including germ-tubes and appressoria was

significantly suppressed in CNF-treated leaves compared to control leaves (Fig. 2).

Consistent with our results, Uppalapati et al. (Uppalapati et al., 2012) reported the

reduced formation of pre-infection structures on a *M. truncatula irg1* mutant, in which

the epicuticular waxes were completely defective and the surface property was changed

to hydrophilic. These results indicate that properties such as hydrophobicity are

important to form *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures during early infection stages.

The importance of hydrophobicity and/or epicuticular waxes on the formation of

germ-tubes and appressoria has also been reported for other fungal pathogens

(Mendoza-Mendoza et al., 2009; Hansjakob et al., 2010; Weidenbach et al., 2014).

Further characterization of the mechanisms by which fungal pathogens recognize plant

surface properties and initiate infection behavior will be needed to develop effective and

sustainable disease control methods.

CNF-treatments suppressed *P. pachyrhizi CHSs* expression related to chitin

formation, which are associated with reduced formation of pre-infection structures (Fig.

S2, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). CHSs are important in cell wall formation in most filamentous

fungi (Takeshita et al., 2005; Lenardon et al., 2010). Treitschke et al. (2010) reported

that an *Ustilago maydis CHS5* mutant Δ*msc1* showed reduced virulence associated with

abnormal hyphal morphology. Madrid et al. (2003) also demonstrated that CHS5 in

Fusarium oxysporum, a causal agent of tomato vascular wilt, has a crucial role in

virulence and mediates the tomato protective response. A *F. oxysporum CHS5* mutant

could not infect tomato, and exhibited abnormal morphologies such as hyphal swelling,

due to changes in the cell wall properties (Madrid et al., 2003). These results suggest

that *CHS5* gene deficiency or mutation causes morphological abnormalities in fungal

cell wall formation, leading to virulence suppression. Together, it is tempting to

speculate that suppression of *P. pachyrhizi CHS5* in CNF-treated leaves may result in

changes in the cell wall properties of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures. Further

characterization of CHSs, especially CHS5 based on dsRNA-mediated silencing such as

SIGS and host-induced gene silencing (HIGS), in conjunction with analysis of *P.*

pachyrhizi cell wall properties on CNF-treated leaves, will be necessary to understand

CHSs molecular function during formation of pre-infection structures.

We demonstrated that CNF-treatments suppressed ASR caused by *P. pachyrhizi*,

one of the most important soybean diseases (Fig. 1A and Fig. 1B) associated with

reduced formation of pre-infection structures (Fig. 1F and Fig. 1G). Because numerous

rust and filamentous fungal pathogens form pre-infection structures during early

infection stages, these results imply that CNF might be an additional disease

management tool to prevent crop diseases against these pathogens. However, we tested

the ability of CNF to protect plants against an obligate biotrophic pathogen, but not

other pathogen types, including hemibiotrophs and necrotrophs. Therefore, further

characterization of CNF effects on disease suppression not only against fungal

pathogens, but also against bacterial pathogens will be needed.

Our results demonstrated that SIGS targeting *P. pachyrhizi CHSs* functioned

successfully in reducing pre-infection structures formation on hydrophobic polyethylene

surfaces (Fig. 3B, 3C, and Supplementary Fig. 2). SIGS is a technology that promotes

silencing by spraying the target dsRNA on the plant surface. Therefore, it is possible to

silence a specific phytopathogen gene and protect the plant without the need for plant

gene recombination (Cagliari et al., 2019; Wytinck et al., 2020). Hu et al., (2020)

demonstrated that SIGS targeting *P. pachyrhizi* genes encoding an acetyl-CoA

acyltransferase, a 40S ribosomal protein S16, and glycine cleavage system H protein

reduced pustule numbers over 70%. SIGS against filamentous fungi threating

agronomically important crops has also been studied, including head blight caused by

Fusarium graminearum and gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea* (Koch et al., 2016;

Wang et al., 2016; Nerva et al., 2020). Koch et al. (2016) demonstrated that SIGS

targeting *Fusarium graminearum cytochrome P450* genes, which are required for fungal

ergosterol biosynthesis, successfully inhibited fungal growth in barley. Although further

precise studies for SIGS targeting *P. pachyrhizi* virulence genes will be needed, SIGS is

a powerful tool to develop sustainable disease management strategies.

Expression profiles of soybean leaves revealed that gene transcripts related to the

phenylpropanoid and isoflavonoid pathways were upregulated within 6 hours of *P.*

pachyrhizi inoculation, and reached high levels at 12 hours (Fig. 4). Consistent with our

results, previous studies reported that the expression of these genes was upregulated

within 12 hours after *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation (Naoumkina et al., 2010; Schneider et al.,

2011; Ishiga et al., 2015; Hossain et al., 2018). We demonstrated that the transcript

levels of defense marker *PR* genes and defense-related genes were significantly less at 6

hours in CNF-treated soybean leaves compared to control leaves (Fig. 4). Further,

appressoria formation was significantly reduced in CNF-treated leaves compared to

controls (Fig. 1F and 1G). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that reduced transcripts

of defense marker *PR* genes and defense-related genes in CNF-treated leaves is the

result of the decreased penetration rate associated with reduced appressoria formation.

In summary, CNF-treatments confer resistance against *P. pachyrhizi*, a causal

agent of ASR. Moreover, CNF-treatments can change leaf surface hydrophobicity,

resulting in CHSs gene suppression related to chitin synthase, which is associated with

reduced formation of pre-infection structures including *P. pachyrhizi* germ-tubes and

appressoria (Fig. 5). Since CNF is an abundant and renewable biomass in nature, CNF

application for plant protection will provide a new avenue into eco-friendly and

sustainable disease management.

Figure legends

Figure 1. *P. pachyrhizi* lesion formation, pre-infection structures formation, and

hydrophobicity on CNF-treated soybean leaves

(A) Lesions resulting from *P. pachyrhizi* infection on the abaxial leaf surface of control,

and leaves covered with 0.1% cellulose nanofiber derived (CNF). Soybean plants were

spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1×10^5 spores/ml), and photographs were taken 10

days after inoculation. Bars indicate 0.2 cm.

(**B**) Lesion numbers resulting from *P. pachyrhizi* infection on the abaxial leaf surface of

control, and leaves covered with 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF). Soybean plants were

spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5 spores/ml), and lesion numbers were

counted to calculate lesion number per cm^2. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of

the means ($n = 54$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and

CNF-treatments in a *t* test (** $p < 0.01$).

(**C**) Urediniospore attachment quantification on the leaf surface of control and leaves

covered with 0.1% cellulose nanofiber derived (CNF). Soybean plants were

spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5 spores/ml) and immediately total RNAs

including soybean and *P. pachyrhizi* were purified. Relative expression of soybean

Ubiquitin 3 (*GmUBQ3*) and *P. pachyrhizi Ubiquitin 5* (*PpUBQ5*) were evaluated using

RT-qPCR. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of the means ($n = 4$).

(**D**) Reduction of contact angle and hydrophobicity on cellulose nanofiber derived

(CNF)-treated soybean leaves. Contact angles of water droplets on the adaxial and

abaxial leaf surface of control, and leaves covered with 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF).

Contact angles were evaluated as described in the Methods.

(**E**) Reduction of contact angle and hydrophobicity on cellulose nanofiber derived

(CNF)-treated soybean leaves. Contact angles of water droplets on the adaxial and

abaxial leaf surface of control, and leaves covered with 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF).

Contact angles were evaluated as described in the Methods. Vertical bars indicate the

standard error of the means ($n = 60$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between

control and CNF-treatments in a t test (** $p < 0.01$).

(**F**) Suppression of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures on cellulose nanofiber

(CNF)-treated soybean leaves. *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structure formation on the

adaxial and abaxial surfaces of control, and leaves covered with 0.1% cellulose

nanofiber (CNF), treated with 0.1% DMSO and 500 µM scopoletin. Soybean plants

were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1×10^5 spores/ml). The pre-infection

structures were stained with Calcofluor White and photographs were taken 6 hours after

inoculation. Bars indicate 50 µm.

(**G**) Suppression of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures on cellulose nanofiber

(CNF)-treated soybean leaves. *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structure formation on the

adaxial and abaxial surfaces of control, and leaves covered with 0.1% cellulose

nanofiber (CNF), treated with 0.1% DMSO and 500 µM scopoletin. Soybean plants

were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1×10^5 spores/ml). The photographs were

taken 6 hours after inoculation, and the percentage of germinated (Ge) urediniospores

and differentiated germ-tubes with appressoria (Ap) were evaluated as described in the

Methods. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of the means ($n = 21$). Significant

differences ($p < 0.05$) are indicated by different letters based on a Tukey's honestly

significant difference (HSD) test.

Figure 2. *P. pachyrhizi* **pre-infection structures formation and hydrophobicity on**

polyethylene surfaces covered with CNF

(A) Hydrophobicity on borosilicate glass slide (hydrophilic), and polyethylene tape

(hydrophobic) covered with or without 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF). Contact angles

were evaluated as described in Methods.

(B) Hydrophobicity on borosilicate glass slide (hydrophilic), and polyethylene tape

(hydrophobic) covered with or without 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF). Contact angles

were evaluated as described in Methods. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are indicated

by different letters based on a Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

(**C**) Suppression of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures on cellulose nanofiber

(CNF)-treated polyethylene tape. *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structure formation on

polyethylene tape covered with or without 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF), treated with

0.1% DMSO and 500 μM scopoletin. Polyethylene tapes were spray-inoculated with *P.*

pachyrhizi (1 x 10^5 spores/ml). The photographs were taken 6 h after inoculation and the

percentage of germinated (Ge) urediniospores and differentiated germ-tubes with

appressoria (Ap) were evaluated as described in the Methods. Vertical bars indicate the

standard error of the means (n = 19 ~ 28). Significant differences (p < 0.05) are

indicated by different letters based on a Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD)

test.

Figure 3. Gene expression profiles and functional analysis of *P. pachyrhizi chitin*

***synthase* genes**

(A) The heatmap created from gene expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi chitin synthases*,

including *CHS2-1*, *CHS2-2*, *CHS2-3*, *CHS3-1*, *CHS3-2*, *CHS3-3*, *CHS4*, *CHS5-1*, and

CHS5-2 on soybean leaves. Soybean plants were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1

x 10^5 spores/ml). Total RNAs including soybean and *P. pachyrhizi* was purified at 0, 2,

4, 6, 12, and 24 hours after inoculation, and expression profiles were evaluated using

RT-qPCR. *P. pachyrhizi Elongation factor* and *Ubiquitin 5* were used to normalize the

samples. Expression profiles were visualized as a heatmap using Heatmapper (Babicki

et al., 2016).

(B) Suppression of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures by *chitin synthase* (*CHS*)

double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structure formation was

observed on polyethylene tapes treated with *GFP* dsRNA and *CHS* dsRNA.

Polyethylene tapes were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1×10^5 spores/ml). The

photographs were taken 6 h after inoculation. Bars indicate 50 μm.

(**C**) Suppression of *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structures by *chitin synthase* (*CHS*)

double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). *P. pachyrhizi* pre-infection structure formation on

polyethylene tapes treated with *GFP* dsRNA and *CHS* dsRNA. Polyethylene tapes were

spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1×10^5 spores/ml). The photographs were taken 6

hours after inoculation and the percentage of germinated (Ge) urediniospores and

differentiated germ-tubes with appressoria (Ap) were evaluated as described in the

Methods. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of the means ($n = 46 \sim 47$).

Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are indicated by different letters based on a Tukey's

honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

Figure 4. Gene expression profiles of soybean defense marker *PR* and

defense-related genes in response to *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation on CNF-treated

leaves

The heatmap was created from gene expression profiles of soybean defense marker *PR*

and defense-related genes including *pathogenesis-related protein 1* (*PR1*), *2* (*PR2*), *3*

(*PR3*), *4* (*PR4*), *10* (*PR10*), *phenylalanine ammonia-lyase* (*PAL*), *cinnamate*

4-hydroxylase (*C4H*), *4-coumarate CoA ligase* (*4CL*), *caffeoyl coenzyme A*

O-methyltransferase (*CCoAOMT*), *chalcone synthase* (*CHS*), *chalcone reductase* (*CHR*),

chalcone isomerase (*CHI*), isoflavone synthase (*IFS*), and *isoflavone reductase* (*IFR*) in

response to *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation on CNF-treated leaves. Soybean plants were

spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5 spores/ml). Total RNAs including soybean

and *P. pachyrhizi* were purified at 6, 12, and 24 hours after inoculation and expression

profiles were evaluated using RT-qPCR. Soybean *elongation factor 1α* (*GmEF1α*) and

ubiquitin 3 (*GmUBQ3*) were used to normalize the samples. Expression profiles were

visualized as a heatmap using Heatmapper (Babicki et al., 2016).

Figure 5. Gene expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi chitin synthase* genes on

CNF-treated soybean leaves

(**A**) The heatmap was created from gene expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi chitin*

synthases, including *CHS2-1*, *CHS2-2*, *CHS2-3*, *CHS3-1*, *CHS3-2*, *CHS3-3*, *CHS4*,

CHS5-1, and *CHS5-2* on soybean leaves covered with or without 0.1% cellulose

nanofiber (CNF). Soybean plants were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5

spores/ml). Total RNAs including soybean and *P. pachyrhizi* were purified at 0, 6, 12,

and 24 hours after inoculation, and expression profiles were evaluated using RT-qPCR.

P. pachyrhizi elongation factor 1α (*PpEF1α*) and *ubiquitin 5* (*PpUBQ5*) were used to

normalize the samples. Expression profiles were visualized as a heatmap using

Heatmapper (Babicki et al., 2016). Gene expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi chitin*

synthases, including *CHS2-1* (**B**), *CHS2-2* (**C**), *CHS2-3* (**D**), *CHS3-1* (**E**), *CHS3-2* (**F**),

CHS3-3 (**G**), *CHS4* (**H**), *CHS5-1* (**I**), and *CHS5-2* (**J**) on soybean leaves covered with or

without 0.1% cellulose nanofiber (CNF). Vertical bars indicate the standard error of the

means ($n = 4$). Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are indicated by different letters based

on a Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

Figure 6. Proposed mechanism model by which CNF-treatments confer resistance

against *P. pachyrhizi*

CNF-treatments convert leaf surface properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic. The

formation of pre-infection structures, and the associated gene expressions related to

these formations are suppressed on CNF-treated leaves, resulting in reduced *P.*

pachyrhizi infection. Gt, Ap, and Ht show germ-tubes, appressoria, and haustoria,

respectively.

Supplementary Figure S1. Expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi chitin synthases* (*CHSs*)**, including *CHS2-1* (A), *CHS2-2* (B), *CHS2-3* (C), *CHS3-1* (D), *CHS3-2* (E),** *CHS3-3* (F), *CHS4* (G), *CHS5-1* (H), and *CHS5-2* (I) on soybean leaves.** Soybean plants were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5 spores/ml). Total RNAs including soybean and *P. pachyrhizi* were purified at 0, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours after inoculation, and expression profiles were evaluated using RT-qPCR. *P. pachyrhizi elongation factor 1α* (*PpEF1α*) and *ubiquitin 5* (*PpUBQ5*) were used to normalize the samples. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of the means ($n = 4$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between 0 h and each time point in a *t* test (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$).

Supplementary Figure S2. Expression profiles of *P. pachyrhizi chitin synthases* (*CHSs*)**, including *CHS2-1* (A), *CHS2-2* (B), *CHS2-3* (C), *CHS3-1* (D), *CHS3-2* (E),** *CHS3-3* (F), *CHS4* (G), *CHS5-1* (H), and *CHS5-2* (I) on polyethylene tapes treated with double-stranded RNA.** Down-regulation of *CHSs* transcripts were quantified on polyethylene tapes treated with *GFP* dsRNA and *CHS* dsRNA. Polyethylene tapes were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5 spores/ml). Total RNAs of *P. pachyrhizi*

1 were purified 6 hours after inoculation, and expression profiles were evaluated using

2 RT-qPCR. *P. pachyrhizi elongation factor 1α* (*PpEF1α*) and *ubiquitin 5* (*PpUBQ5*)

3 were used to normalize the samples. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of the

4 means (*n* = 4). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between 0 time and each time

5 point in a *t* test (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$).

7 **Supplementary Figure S3. Gene expression profiles of soybean defense marker *PR***

8 **and defense-related genes in response to *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation on CNF-treated**

9 **leaves.** Gene expression profiles of soybean defense marker *PR* and defense-related

10 genes including *pathogenesis-related protein 1* (*PR1*; **A**), *2* (*PR2*; **B**), *3* (*PR3*; **C**), *4*

11 (*PR4*; **D**), *10* (*PR10*; **E**), *phenylalanine ammonia-lyase* (*PAL*; **F**), *cinnamate*

12 *4-hydroxylase* (*C4H*; **G**), *4-coumarate CoA ligase* (*4CL*; **H**), *caffeoyl coenzyme A*

13 *O-methyltransferase* (*CCoAOMT*; **H**), *chalcone synthase* (*CHS*; **I**), *chalcone reductase*

14 (*CHR*; **J**), *chalcone isomerase* (*CHI*; **K**), *isoflavone synthase* (*IFS*; **L**), and *isoflavone*

15 *reductase* (*IFR*; **M**) in response to *P. pachyrhizi* inoculation on CNF-treated leaves.

16 Soybean plants were spray-inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* (1 x 10^5 spores/ml). Total

17 RNAs including soybean and *P. pachyrhizi* were purified at 6, 12, and 24 hours after

18 inoculation, and expression profiles were evaluated using RT-qPCR. Soybean

elongation factor 1α (*GmEF1α*) and *ubiquitin 3* (*GmUBQ3*) were used to normalize the

samples. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) are indicated by different letters based on a

Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test.

Supplementary Figure S4. Gene sequence and primer sets for *in vitro* transcription

of *CHS5-1* double-stranded RNA

Supplementary Table S1. Primer sets used in this study

References

Abe, K., Iwamoto, S., and Yano, H. (2007). Obtaining cellulose nanofibers with a

uniform width of 15 nm from wood. Biomacromolecules 8, 3276-3278. doi:

10.1021/bm700624p

Babicki, S., Arndt, D., Marcu, A., Liang, Y., Grant, J.R., Maciejewski, A., and

Wishart, D.S. (2016). Heatmapper: web-enabled heat mapping for all. Nucleic

Acids Res. 44, W147-153. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw419

Beyer, S.F., Beesley, A., Rohmann, P.F.W., Schultheiss, H., Conrath, U., and

Langenbach, C.J.G. (2019). The *Arabidopsis* non-host defense-associated

coumarin scopoletin protects soybean from Asian soybean rust. Plant J. 99, 397-413. doi: 10.1111/tpj.14426

Bolton, M.D., Kolmer, J.A., and Garvin, D.F. (2008). Wheat leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina*. Mol. Plant Pathol. 9, 563-575. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2008.00487.x

Bromfield, K.R., and Hartwig, E.E. (1980). Resistance to soybean rust and mode of inheritance. Crop Sci. 20, 254-255. doi: 10.2135/CROPSCI1980.0011183X002000020026X

Cagliari, D., Dias, N.P., Galdeano, D.M., Dos Santos, E., Smagghe, G., and Zotti, M.J. (2019). Management of pest insects and plant diseases by non-transformative RNAi. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 1319. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01319

Egusa, M., Parada, R., Aklog, Y.F., Ifuku, S., and Kaminaka, H. (2019). Nanofibrillation enhances the protective effect of crab shells against Fusarium wilt disease in tomato. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 128, 22-27. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.01.088

Egusa, M., Matsui, H., Urakami, T., Okuda, S., Ifuku, S., Nakagami, H., and Kaminaka, H. (2015). Chitin nanofiber elucidates the elicitor activity of

polymeric chitin in plants. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 1098. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01098

Garcia, A., Calvo, E.S., de Souza Kiihl, R.A., Harada, A., Hiromoto, D.M., and Vieira, L.G. (2008). Molecular mapping of soybean rust (*Phakopsora pachyrhizi*) resistance genes: discovery of a novel locus and alleles. Theor. Appl. Genet. 117, 545-553. doi: 10.1007/s00122-008-0798-z

Godoy, C.V., Bueno, A.d.F., and Gazziero, D.L.P. (2015). Brazilian soybean pest management and threats to its sustainability. Outlooks on Pest Management 26, 3.

Goellner, K., Loehrer, M., Langenbach, C., Conrath, U., Koch, E., and Schaffrath, U. (2010). *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*, the causal agent of Asian soybean rust. Mol. Plant Pathol. 11, 169-177. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2009.00589.x

Hansjakob, A., Bischof, S., Bringmann, G., Riederer, M., and Hildebrandt, U. (2010). Very-long-chain aldehydes promote *in vitro* prepenetration processes of *Blumeria graminis* in a dose- and chain length-dependent manner. New Phytol. 188, 1039-1054. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03419.x

Hartwig, E.E. (1986). Identification of a fourth major gene conferring resistance to soybean rust. Crop Sci. 26, 1135-1136. doi:

10.2135/cropsci1986.0011183X002600060010x

Hossain, M.Z., Ishiga, Y., Yamanaka, N., Ogiso-Tanaka, E., and Yamaoka, Y. (2018). Soybean leaves transcriptomic data dissects the phenylpropanoid pathway genes as a defence response against *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 132, 424-433. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.09.020

Hu, D., Chen, Z.Y., Zhang, C., and Ganiger, M. (2020). Reduction of *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* infection on soybean through host- and spray-induced gene silencing. Mol. Plant Pathol. 21, 794-807. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12931

Ishiga, Y., Upplapapti, S., and Mysore, K.S. (2013). Expression analysis reveals a role for hydrophobic or epicuticular wax signals in pre-penetration structure formation of *Phakopsora pachyrhizi*. Plant Signal Behav. 8, e26959. doi: 10.4161/psb.26959

Ishiga, Y., Uppalapati, S.R., Gill, U.S., Huhman, D., Tang, Y., and Mysore, K.S. (2015). Transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses identify a role for chlorophyll catabolism and phytoalexin during *Medicago* nonhost resistance against Asian soybean rust. Sci. Rep. 5, 13061. doi: 10.1038/srep13061

Kawashima, C.G., Guimarães, G.A., Nogueira, S.R., MacLean, D., Cook, D.R., Steuernagel, B., Baek, J., Bouyioukos, C., Melo, B.o.V., Tristão, G., de

Oliveira, J.C., Rauscher, G., Mittal, S., Panichelli, L., Bacot, K., Johnson, E.,

Iyer, G., Tabor, G., Wulff, B.B., Ward, E., Rairdan, G.J., Broglie, K.E., Wu,

G., van Esse, H.P., Jones, J.D., and Brommonschenkel, S.H. (2016). A

pigeonpea gene confers resistance to Asian soybean rust in soybean. Nat.

Biotechnol. 34, 661-665. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3554

Klosowski, A.C., Castellar, C., Stammler, G., and May De Mio, L.L. (2018).

Fungicide sensitivity and monocyclic parameters related to the *Phakopsora*

pachyrhizi–soybean pathosystem from organic and conventional soybean

production systems. Plant Pathol. 67, 1697-1705. doi: 10.1111/ppa.12883

Koch, A., Biedenkopf, D., Furch, A., Weber, L., Rossbach, O., Abdellatef, E.,

Linicus, L., Johannsmeier, J., Jelonek, L., Goesmann, A., Cardoza, V.,

McMillan, J., Mentzel, T., and Kogel, K.H. (2016). An RNAi-based control of

Fusarium graminearum infections through spraying of long dsRNAs involves a

plant passage and is controlled by the fungal silencing machinery. PLoS Pathog.

12, e1005901. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005901

Kondo, T., Kose, R., Naito, H., and Kasai, W. (2014). Aqueous counter collision using

paired water jets as a novel means of preparing bio-nanofibers. Carbohydrate

Polymers 112, 284 - 290. doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.05.064

Kose, R., Kasai, W., and Kondo, T. (2011). Switching surface properties of substrates

by coating with a cellulose nanofiber having a high adsorbability. Sen'i

Gakkaishi 67, 163-168. doi: 10.2115/fiber.67.163

Langenbach, C., Campe, R., Beyer, S.F., Mueller, A.N., and Conrath, U. (2016).

Fighting Asian soybean rust. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 797. doi:

10.3389/fpls.2016.00797

Lenardon, M.D., Munro, C.A., and Gow, N.A. (2010). Chitin synthesis and fungal

pathogenesis. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 13, 416-423. doi:

10.1016/j.mib.2010.05.002

Li, S., Smith, J.R., Ray, J.D., and Frederick, R.D. (2012). Identification of a new

soybean rust resistance gene in PI 567102B. Theor. Appl. Genet. 125, 133-142.

doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1821-y

Madrid, M.P., Di Pietro, A., and Roncero, M.I. (2003). Class V chitin synthase

determines pathogenesis in the vascular wilt fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* and

mediates resistance to plant defence compounds. Mol. Microbiol. 47, 257-266.

10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03299.x

Maltby, L., Brock, T.C., and Van den Brink, P.J. (2009). Fungicide risk assessment

for aquatic ecosystems: importance of interspecific variation, toxic mode of

action, and exposure regime. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 7556-7563. doi: 10.1021/es901461c

McLean, R., and Byth, D. (1980). Inheritance of resistance to rust *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* in soybeans. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 31, 951-956. doi: 10.1071/AR9800951

Mena, E., Stewart, S., Montesano, M., and Ponce de León, I. (2019). Soybean stem canker caused by *Diaporthe caulivora*; Pathogen diversity, colonization process, and plant defense activation. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 1733.

Mendoza-Mendoza, A., Berndt, P., Djamei, A., Weise, C., Linne, U., Marahiel, M., Vranes, M., Kämper, J., and Kahmann, R. (2009). Physical-chemical plant-derived signals induce differentiation in *Ustilago maydis*. Mol. Microbiol. 71, 895-911.

Mondal, S. (2017). Preparation, properties and applications of nanocellulosic materials. Carbohydr. Polym. 163, 301-316.

Monteros, M.J., Missaoui, A.M., Phillips, D.V., Walker, D.R., and Boerma, H.R. (2007). Mapping and confirmation of the 'Hyuuga' red-brown lesion resistance gene for Asian soybean rust. Crop Sci. 47, 829-834.

Naoumkina, M.A., Zhao, Q., Gallego-Giraldo, L., Dai, X., Zhao, P.X., and Dixon,

R.A. (2010). Genome-wide analysis of phenylpropanoid defence pathways. Mol.

Plant Pathol. 11, 829-846. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2010.00648.x

Nerva, L., Sandrini, M., Gambino, G., and Chitarra, W. (2020). Double-stranded

RNAs (dsRNAs) as a sustainable tool against gray mold (*Botrytis cinerea*) in

grapevine: Effectiveness of different application methods in an open-air

environment. Biomolecules 10, 200. doi: 0.3390/biom10020200

Schneider, K.T., van de Mortel, M., Bancroft, T.J., Braun, E., Nettleton, D., Nelson,

R.T., Frederick, R.D., Baum, T.J., Graham, M.A., and Whitham, S.A. (2011).

Biphasic gene expression changes elicited by *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* in soybean

correlate with fungal penetration and haustoria formation. Plant Physiol. 157,

355-371. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.181149

Slaminko, T.L., Miles, M.R., Frederick, R.D., Bonde, M.R., and Hartman, G.L.

(2008). New legume hosts of *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* based on greenhouse

evaluations. Plant Dis. 92, 767-771. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-92-5-0767

Takeshita, N., Ohta, A., and Horiuchi, H. (2005). CsmA, a class V chitin synthase

with a myosin motor-like domain, is localized through direct interaction with the

actin cytoskeleton in *Aspergillus nidulans*. Mol. Biol. Cell 16, 1961-1970. doi:

10.1091/mbc.e04-09-0761

Treitschke, S., Doehlemann, G., Schuster, M., and Steinberg, G. (2010). The myosin motor domain of fungal chitin synthase V is dispensable for vesicle motility but required for virulence of the maize pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. Plant Cell 22, 2476-2494. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.075028

Uppalapati, S.R., Ishiga, Y., Doraiswamy, V., Bedair, M., Mittal, S., Chen, J., Nakashima, J., Tang, Y., Tadege, M., Ratet, P., Chen, R., Schultheiss, H., and Mysore, K.S. (2012). Loss of abaxial leaf epicuticular wax in *Medicago truncatula irg1/palm1* mutants results in reduced spore differentiation of anthracnose and nonhost rust pathogens. Plant Cell 24, 353-370. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.093104

Wang, M., Weiberg, A., Lin, F.M., Thomma, B.P., Huang, H.D., and Jin, H. (2016). Bidirectional cross-kingdom RNAi and fungal uptake of external RNAs confer plant protection. Nat. Plants 2, 16151. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2016.151

Weidenbach, D., Jansen, M., Franke, R.B., Hensel, G., Weissgerber, W., Ulferts, S., Jansen, I., Schreiber, L., Korzun, V., Pontzen, R., Kumlehn, J., Pillen, K., and Schaffrath, U. (2014). Evolutionary conserved function of barley and *Arabidopsis* 3-KETOACYL-CoA SYNTHASES in providing wax signals for germination of powdery mildew fungi. Plant Physiol. 166, 1621-1633. doi:

10.1104/pp.114.246348

Wytinck, N., Manchur, C.L., Li, V.H., Whyard, S., and Belmonte, M.F. (2020).

dsRNA uptake in plant pests and pathogens: Insights into RNAi-based insect and

fungal control technology. Plants (Basel) 9. doi: 10.3390/plants9121780

Yamaoka, Y., Yamanaka, N., and Akamatsu, H. (2014). Pathogenic races of soybean

rust *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* collected in Tsukuba and vicinity in Ibaraki, Japan. J.

Gen. Plant Pathol. 80, 184-188. doi: 10.1007/s10327-014-0507-5

Yorinori, J.T., Paiva, W.M., Frederick, R.D., Costamilan, L.M., Bertagnolli, P.F.,

Hartman, G.E., Godoy, C.V., and Nunes, J. (2005). Epidemics of soybean rust

(*Phakopsora pachyrhizi*) in Brazil and Paraguay from 2001 to 2003. Plant Dis.

89, 675-677. doi: 10.1094/PD-89-0675

A

Hydrophilic   Hydrophobic   CNF

B

C

Row Z-Score

IFR
CHR
PR3
PR1
IFS
PAL
CCoAOMT
PR10
PR4
CHS
CHI
4CL
C4H

0 time
Cont-M
CNF-M
Cont-P
CNF-P
Cont-M
CNF-M
Cont-P
CNF-P
Cont-M
CNF-M
Cont-P
CNF-P

6 h
12 h
24 h

Control

Water

Gt Ap

Epidermal cells

Mesophyll cells

Ht

CNF

Water

Gt

CNF converts leaf surface properties from hydrophobic to hydrophilic

Suppression of genes related to chitin synthase

Suppression of pre-infection structures formation

Reduced lesions formation