Title:

CREB-binding protein gene, *HAC701*, negatively regulates WRKY45-dependent immunity
in rice

Running title:

HAC701-dependent immunity regulation

Authors:

Nino A. Espinas[1,4,*], Tu Ngoc Le[1,2], Saori, Miura[1], Yasuka Shimajiri[1,3], Ken Shirasu[4],
Hidetoshi Saze[1]

Affiliation:

[1]Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna-son, Okinawa,
Japan

[2]Bioinformation and DDBJ Center, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Shizuoka, Japan

[3]EditForce, Fukuoka, Japan

[4]RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan

Contact:

[*]Correspondence to: Nino A. Espinas (nino.espinas@riken.jp)

26 *Category:*

27 Biological Sciences, Plant Biology

SIGNIFICANCE

HAC701 is a member of CREB-binding protein (CBP) family that acts as transcriptional coactivator and acetyltransferase. However, little is known how it regulates innate immunity in plants. Herein we reported that rice *HAC701* suppresses WRKY45-dependent defense pathway. Our study showed that *HAC701* seemingly interacts genetically with *WRKY45* in rice to modulate immune responses against pathogens.

ABSTRACT

CREB-binding protein (CBP) is a known transcriptional coactivator and an acetyltransferase that functions in several cellular processes by regulating gene expression. However, how it functions in plant immunity remains unexplored. By characterizing *hac701*, we demonstrate that *HAC701* negatively regulates the immune responses in rice. *hac701* shows enhanced disease resistance against a bacterial pathogen, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*), which causes bacterial halo blight of rice. Our transcriptomic analysis revealed that rice *WRKY45*, one of the main regulators of rice immunity, is upregulated in *hac701* and possibly conferring the resistance phenotype against *Pso*. The morphological phenotypes of *hac701* single mutants were highly similar to *WRKY45* overexpression transgenic lines reported in previous studies. In addition, we also compared the list of genes in these studies when *WRKY45* is overexpressed and chemically induced transiently with the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *hac701*, and found that they largely overlap. When we investigated for *cis*-elements found 1kb upstream of *WRKY45* gene and WRKY45-dependent DEGs, we found that *WRKY45* promoter contains the CRE motif, a possible target of HAC701-mediated regulation. Genome-wide H3K9 acetylation profiling showed depletion of acetylation at large set of genes in *hac701*. However, consistent with the upregulation of *WRKY45* gene expression, our ChIP-sequencing analysis demonstrated that regions of *WRKY45* promoter are enriched in H3K9 acetylation in *hac701* compared to the segregated wild type control in the mock condition. *WRKY45* promoter might be on the receiving end for possible genome-wide compensatory effects when a global regulator like *HAC701* is mutated. Finally, we show that *HAC701* may have roles in systemic immune signaling. We therefore propose that wild type *HAC701* negatively regulates *WRKY45* gene expression, thereby suppressing immune responses.

Keywords:

Oryza sativa, rice CREB-binding protein, *HAC701*, *WRKY45*, innate immunity, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*), *Magnaporthe*

INTRODUCTION

Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) are a diverse group of histone- and non-histone-modifying enzymes that contain multiple subunits to enable catalytic functions (1). These HATs primarily function as scaffolding bridges of proteins to form the transcriptional regulatory complex important for target recognition and subsequent substrate modification (1-3). The association of HATs to its interacting proteins and the existing state of the epigenome dictates the dual-switch functionality of either transcriptional activation or repression (1). They are considered integrators or adaptors as they were shown to interact with DNA-binding activators and the basal transcriptional machinery (4, 5).

In plant model Arabidopsis, HATs and histone deacetylases (HDACs) are grouped into four (Figure 1A) and three families, respectively (6). HATs consists of CBP, TAF1/TAFII250, GNAT, and MYST, while HDACs are RPD3/HDA1, HD2-like, and SIR2. A previous review of HATs and HDACs in plants listed about 12 putative HAT and 18 HDAC proteins exhibiting acetylation and deacetylation activities with differential site specificities (6). Work on rice (*Oryza sativa*), however, has just begun with a preliminary investigation of eight histone acetyltransferases (7, 8) and deacetylases (9).

Several studies have shown that histone acetylation and deacetylation control defense signaling in plants in response to phytohormone or pathogen application (10-12). More specifically, HATs and HDACs in rice model were shown to be responsive to abiotic stresses and can be modulated by phytohormones, thus implicating a role in biotic stresses (7, 13). Similar to Arabidopsis, HATs in rice are also classified into four families (Figure 1A) (7). In contrary, rice HDACs are represented in only two families, RPD3/HDA1-like and SIR2-like with no known rice member belonging to HD2 family (9).

OsHAC701 was reported (14) earlier as a putative rice CBP-related acetyltransferase,

herein after referred to as *HAC701*, of the p300/CBP acetyltransferase (PCAT) family of

proteins. The Arabidopsis genome contains five CBP genes, while rice genome contains three

(Figure 1A), having broad acetyltransferase specificity on histones (7). Currently, there is no

clear consensus on the similarity of biological functions of Arabidopsis and rice homologs of

CBP family proteins. In animals, p300 and CBP are paralogs and originally described as

transcriptional coactivator that exhibit histone acetyltransferase activity on all four core

histones specifically at H4 N-terminal tail sites K5, K8, K12, and K16 (15, 16). Other sites

include H3 sites K14, 23 (17), K18, K27 (18, 19), and K56 (20); H2B sites are K12 and K15

(21). H3K9 is mainly acetylated by GCN5/PCAF (18), however acetylation by p300/CBP was

also reported (17, 22, 23). Its highly conserved function is mainly found in multicellular

organisms as it probably participates in complex physiological processes acting as a limiting

factor in various pathways due to its high cellular demand (14).

As HATs have been shown to be modulated by biotic stresses (24), we hypothesized

that it could be a potential system for studying rice immunity. Indeed, we show that *HAC701*

is involved in rice innate immunity. In this study, we have made CRISPR/Cas9 edited *hac701*

lines and showed that *HAC701* is apparently a negative regulator of immunity with the mutants

showing resistance against bacterial and fungal infection. Further transcriptomic investigation

showed that HAC701 possibly regulates *WRKY45* loci, one of the main regulators of rice

immunity pathways. In *hac701*, *WRKY45* and its direct and indirect gene targets were

upregulated upon pathogen inoculation. In addition, *hac701* phenocopies *WRKY45*-

overexpression (*WRKY45-ox*) lines, indicating a potential interaction between *HAC701* and

WRKY45-dependent immunity pathway in rice.

RESULTS

Characterization of a rice acetyltransferase mutant, *HAC701.*

We isolated null mutant lines by independently targeting two sites of *HAC701* gene (Fig. S1A-C; Fig. S1D-I) using CRISPR/Cas9 editing technology. From the 11 positive *HAC701* lines (Target 2), we have isolated four biallelic homozygous mutant lines (Fig. S1D), which we utilized for experimentation including their segregating wild type siblings, 9-WT (Fig. 1B). The phenotype in terms of effective grain number and tiller number of mostly all biallelic homozygous mutants at T2 generation did not show impairment as compared to 9-WT (Fig. S1F). In addition, the T3 lines did not show any visible growth or developmental defect in height and photosynthesis (*e.g.* chlorosis phenotype) (Fig. S1G), respectively. However, the weights of 1000-grain T3 and T4 generations of mutants were increased compared to 9-WT (Fig. S1I). These results indicate that a loss of HAC701 does not cause severe developmental defects in rice, and that HAC701 may have a positive role in seed production.

HAC701 mutant rice is resistant to pathogen infection.

Our expression analysis of the rice HAT genes showed that *HAC701* is significantly up-regulated by treatment of bacterial flg22 peptide, suggesting a potential role of HAC701 in plant defense against pathogens (Fig. S2). To investigate the involvement of *HAC701* in basal defense in rice, we inoculated 9-WT and *HAC701* mutant lines, *9-5$^{-/-}$*, *9-12a$^{-/-}$*, and *9-12b$^{-/-}$* with mock (10 mM MgCl$_2$) and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*) (OD = 0.2; resuspended in 10 mM MgCl$_2$) for 72 h. *Pso* is a causative agent of halo blight in rice characterized by brown lesions and yellow halo-like blotches on leaves (25). Infection of *Pso* showed that *hac701* was resistant to *Pso*-treatment as compared to 9-WT (Fig. 1C; Fig. S3). These data suggest that *HAC701* might be involved in the regulation of rice innate immunity acting in its capacity as a transcriptional coactivator and/or as an acetyltransferase. We further tested whether *hac701*

158 also shows resistance phenotype when infected with a rice blast pathogen, *Magnaporthe*

159 *oryzae*. Although the data were not statistically significant, results showed a resistant

160 phenotype tendency in *hac701* (Fig. 1D). Overall, these indicate that *HAC701* plays a negative

161 role in rice innate immunity.

Transcriptome profiling of the rice-*Pso* pathosystem.

To profile the genome-wide effect of *HAC701* mutation in rice innate immunity, we performed

RNA-sequencing on mock- and *Pso*-treated 9-WT and *hac701* using local leaf tissues (Fig. S4;

Table S2). We first characterized the effect of *Pso* infection on 9-WT and analysis of the highly

variable genes in mock- and *Pso*-treated 9-WT plants showed gene clusters that were

dependent on *Pso* induction alone (Fig. S4; Fig. S5). The top 10 highly variable genes across

the 9-WT samples showed features involved in tolerance and/or resistance such as disease

resistance and stress tolerance mostly by catalysis of primary and secondary metabolism (Fig.

S5). This includes well-documented genes involved in plant resistance against pathogens, such

as tryptophan decarboxylase 1 (Os08g0140300) that catalyzes the production of serotonin via

conversion of tryptophan to tryptamine in rice (26), which has been implicated to confer

resistance in rice infected with *Bipolaris oryzae* (27). Another gene, naringenin 7-O-

methyltransferase (Os12g0240900), that catalyzes the production of rice phytoalexin

sakuranetin has been reported to participate in rice defenses via JA signaling (28, 29). Lignin

and phytoalexin encoding genes for instance laccases (*e.g.* Os12g0258700, Os11g0641500)

and cytochrome P450s (*e.g.* Os07g0218700, Os08g0508000) are metabolic products known to

be involved in plant defenses as well (30-32). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of upregulated

genes in *Pso*-infected 9-WT plants revealed enrichment of genes involved in response to biotic

stimulus (GO terms: Response to wounding, Response to other organism, Cell wall

macromolecule catabolic process, *etc.*) (Fig. S6; Table S3). Both up and downregulated genes

showed enrichment of transcriptional machinery regulation, a possible consequence of

defense-related transcriptional reprogramming processes during bacterial invasion. GO

analysis also identified pathways associated with upregulated gene networks involved in plant

defense such as diterpene phytoalexin biosynthetic pathway and chorismate biosynthesis.

Thus, the rice-*Pso* pathosystem is a functional system to analyze defense responses of rice

against *Pso* infection.

Potentiated *WRKY45* gene in *hac701* provides resistance against *Pseudomonas* and

***Magnaporthe* infections.**

To investigate the molecular basis of resistance phenotype observed in *Pso*-infected *hac701*,

we further analyzed our transcriptome data and found 141 upregulated genes in *hac701* (Fig.

S7A; Table S4). We refer to these genes as *HAC701*-repressed genes. GO analysis of *HAC701*-

repressed genes showed enrichment of processes involved in response to xenobiotic stimuli

and defense response (GO terms: Response to xenobiotic stimulus, Response to wounding,

Regulation of defense response, Jasmonic acid mediated signaling pathway, Defense response,

etc.). These genes were lowly expressed in the 9-WT background. On the other hand, 336

upregulated genes in the 9-WT background alone referred to as *HAC701*-independent genes

did not show a very distinct enrichment of genes in defense responses yet rather showed a more

general biological and physiological processes (GO terms: Mitochondrial respiratory chain

complex IV assembly, Alanine transport, Cellular response to heat, *etc.*) (Fig. S7A; Table S3).

We also looked into the features of 31 downregulated genes in the mutant background referred

to as *HAC701*-enhanced genes (Fig. S7B; Table S4). These genes were not normally

downregulated in the 9-WT background. *HAC701*-enhanced genes contained genes enriched

in photosynthetic and response to abiotic stress terms (GO terms: Glycerolipid biosynthetic

pathway, Response to cold, Photosynthesis, *etc.*). In addition, the remaining 46 downregulated

genes in the 9-WT background alone also showed a more general biological processes with no

hint of enrichment in defense response against pathogen infection (GO terms: Chloroplast

organization, Gluconeogenesis, Organelle fission, *etc.*) (Fig. S7B; Table S3).

We were intrigued what genes were affected in *HAC701* mutant background without

Pso-treatment. Thus, we analyzed the transcriptome of mock-treated *hac701*, and found a small

number of DEGs (98 genes; Table S5) mainly enriched in NADH dehydrogenase complex

assembly and photosynthesis. This is in contrast with the number of DEGs found in *Pso*-treated

hac701 transcriptome, which yielded 660 genes (Table S6). These results simply indicate that

Pso induced the expression of substantial number of genes in *hac701*. To fully understand the

role of *HAC701* in *Pso*-treated conditions, we overlapped the list of genes derived from mock

and *Pso*-treated mutants, and found *WRKY45* (*OsWRKY45*) upregulated in the *hac701*

background in mock-treated *hac701* (Fig. 2A). *WRKY45* was also identified as one of the 141

upregulated genes in *Pso*-infected *HAC701* mutant (Fig. S7A). There was no candidate gene

found downregulated in *HAC701* mutant background alone (Fig. 2A). We then checked

whether there were differences in the upregulation strength of *WRKY45* in the *HAC701*

mutation alone, compared to *HAC701* mutation combined with *Pso*-treatment. Transcriptome

data revealed that *WRKY45* expression was increased by about 20% upon *Pso*-treatment (Fig.

2B). These results suggest that *WRKY45* expression is partially dependent on *HAC701*

mutation. In addition, *Pso*-treatment allowed the co-expression of genes downstream of

WRKY45 pathway in a HAC701-dependent manner.

***hac701* phenocopy *WRKY45* overexpression transgenic plants.**

To determine whether the upregulation of *WRKY45* in *hac701* is relevant to rice with

overexpressed *WRKY45*, we compared the DEGs in our *hac701* with *WRKY45* overexpression

(*WRKY45-ox*) lines previously reported (in these studies: 33, 34). Our results indicated a

striking similarity of targeted genes from rice samples despite the fact that they were inoculated

with two different types of pathogens, a bacterial (*Pso*; this project) and the fungal pathogen

Magnaporthe (33, 34) and minor differences in sampling time periods (Fig. 3). In addition, we

then analyzed whether benzothiadiazole-responsive genes (Fig. 3A; Table S6; Table S7)

would overlap with *HAC701* mutant-DEGs in *Pso*.   It is known that benzothiadiazole (*i.e.*

BTH is a synthetic analog of salicylic acid, SA) robustly induces defense genes in rice (34, 35),

which usually contains high levels of SA. As a result, about 43.3% (286/660 genes; *P*<3.336e-

161) of *HAC701* mutant-DEGs in *Pso* overlapped with BTH-responsive genes, while about

12.4% (82/660 genes; *P*<2.368e-79) of the *HAC701* mutant-DEGs in *Pso* overlapped with

BTH- and WRKY45-regulated genes (Fig. 3B; Table S8). Furthermore, genes upregulated in

plants expressing DEX-induced myc-tagged WRKY45 protein in rice were mostly found in

HAC701 mutant-DEGs in *Pso* (75% or 9/12 genes; Table S9). Our analysis also showed that

at most 9.1 % (60/660 genes; *P*<3.986e-15) of the *HAC701* mutant-DEGs in *Pso* were

regulated in BTH- and NPR1/NH1-dependent manner (Fig. 3B; Table S10). The rice

NPR1/NH1 is an independent immune signaling pathway that mediates gene responses through

BTH/SA-induction (Fig. S18). We then analyzed the 72 *HAC701* mutant-DEGs in *Pso* that

were exclusively regulated by BTH- and WRKY45 as well as the other 10 genes jointly

regulated by WRKY45 and NPR1/NH1 under BTH treatment (Fig. 3B-D). We found that most

of these *HAC701* mutant genes were genuine WRKY45-regulated genes (Fig. 3C-D) (33, 34).

Analysis of these WRKY45-dependent defense-related genes showed that in the presence of

Pso-infection, a number of glutathione-S-transferase (GST) gene, were more upregulated in 9-

WT than in *hac701* (Fig. 3D). These results were also consistent with the result that

OsWRKY62, a known negative regulator and direct target of *OsWRKY45* (34), had lower

transcription level in *HAC701* mutants (Fig. 3D). It is interesting that genes in JA biosynthesis,

signaling and perception tend to be more highly expressed in *HAC701* mutant than 9-WT (Fig.

3D). In addition, *HAC701* mutant genes that were found regulated in BTH and *WRKY45* indeed

contain W-box motifs (i.e. binding motifs for WRKY transcription factors, 36), raising more

the possibility that these genes are direct WRKY45 targets (72/82 or 87.8%; Table S11).

Meanwhile, all 10 genes that were commonly regulated in BTH, *WRKY45*, and *NPR1/NH1*

(Fig. 3) contain W-box motifs as well (10/10 or 100%; Table S12). Taken together, these results

indicate that upregulation of *WRKY45* expression as an outcome of *HAC701* mutation resulted

in expression profile of genes almost identical to overexpressing *WRKY45* transgenic lines as

well as to DEX-inducible *WRKY45* expression system. These also suggest that a significant

fraction of *HAC701* mutant DEGs are probably WRKY45-regulated, given the presence of W-

box motif.

Surprisingly, the upregulation of *WRKY45* expression in *hac701* did not result in any

visible morphological defects (Fig. S1G). This indicates that *WRKY45* does not pose growth

penalties, at least in this case where there is no pathogen applied.

***WRKY45* promoter contains CRE motif.**

Rice HAC701 and two others, HAC703 and HAC704, belong to the CBP [Cyclic adenosine

monophosphate response element-binding protein (CREB) Binding Protein] family group of

proteins that is known to bind CREB transcription factor through its KIX domain (7, 37).

Therefore, we examined *in silico* the members of rice CBP family for the presence of KIX

domains using a KIX domain database (38). Our results showed that only HAC701 and

HAC703 proteins contain the highly conserved KIX domain (Fig. S8). Although we have not

identified CREB-like transcription factor candidates in rice so far, we further examined the

DNA motifs in the 1kb promoter regions of the 660 *HAC701* mutant-DEGs in *Pso* that might

potentially bind to KIX-CREB-like transcription factor. Our DNA motif analyses result

showed 49 significantly enriched motifs in promoters (Table S13; E-value <0.05). We then

used these motifs to compare against a database of known motifs and found a CRE motif when

the query sequence CGRCGRCG (E-value<1.5e-27) was used (Fig. S9A). The CRE motif is

a well-known sequence motif in animals usually bound by KIX-CREB transcription factor

complex (39). We then searched for genes that contain the CRE motif and found that only five

genes (0.76%) contain full CRE motif (Fig. S9B; Table S6). To our surprise, *WRKY45*

promoter contains the full CRE motif that could possibly be targeted via KIX domain and

CREB-like transcription factor-dependent regulation in rice system (Fig. S9C). These data

show that *WRKY45* promoter could possibly be *cis*-regulated by HAC701 through its KIX

domain, while the occurrence of CRE motif is not necessarily associated with other HAC701-

regulated genes.

Genome-wide investigation of histone modifications and enriched gene targets in *HAC701*

mutant.

The CREB-binding protein (CBP) is also known to regulate gene expression by acetylating

histone tails in both animals and plants (40). Thus, we performed chromatin

immunoprecipitation coupled to sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis on histone markers associated

with active and repressive chromatin to examine the landscape of chromatin modifications in

HAC701 mutant. We performed ChIP-seq analyses of H3K9 acetylation (H3K9ac), H3K27

acetylation (H3K27ac), H3K9 di-methylation (H3K9me2), and H3K9 tri-methylation

(H3K9me3) on untreated 9-WT and *9-12b$^{-/-}$* mutant (Fig. S10; Table S14). Metaplot profiles of

these histone modifications were generated for genes, transposable elements (TEs), and simple

repeats (Fig. S11; Fig. S12). The enrichment profiles show that both H3K9ac and H3K27ac

accumulated in genes mainly along the transcriptional start site (TSS) and tapering through the

gene body regions. In contrast, we found that H3K9me2 and H3K9me3 were highly depleted

in the gene TSS region (Fig. S11A). As expected, H3K9 and H3K27 acetylation marks were

depleted in TEs, while H3K9 di- and tri-methylation marks showed enrichment (Fig. S11B).

In addition, enrichment analysis of simple repeats showed similar profiles with TEs in both

acetylation and methylation histone marks, although simple repeats tend to be highly enriched

in H3K9me2 at a narrow set of genomic loci (Fig. S12). These results showed that our ChIP-

seq profiles of histone modifications were largely consistent with the previous report on rice

ChIP-seq analysis (41).

The observed low enrichment of H3K9ac in *9-12b^{-/-}* mutant is a probable indication of

mutation on *HAC701* gene (Fig. S11A). Therefore, we further analyzed the significantly

enriched or depleted regions in *9-12b^{-/-}* by taking the enrichment ratio of 9-WT over *9-12b^{-/-}*

(wild type/*hac701*) in H3K9ac, H3K27ac, H3K9me2, and H3K9me3 modifications. These

analyses yielded 265 peaks in the H3K9ac fraction (Fig. 4A; Table S14). From the metaplot

analysis, it showed that *9-12b^{-/-}* has depleted H3K9ac enrichment in the 265 regions identified

containing putatively 263 genes (Fig. 4A; Table S15), which include *HAC704* and *HAC701*

loci and a mediator gene, *MED11* (Fig. 4D; Fig. S13A). These 265 regions were not associated

with changes in other modifications in *9-12b^{-/-}* mutant when compared to 9-WT (Fig. 4B).

These results suggest that HAC701 acetyltransferase may have a specificity to H3K9 site. It

also suggests that HAC701 probably autoacetylates its own promoter including another

member of CBP family, *HAC704*.

We then analyzed the GO enrichment features of the putative 263 genes found in these

265 regions with H3K9ac changes (Fig. 4C; Table S15). The results indicate that these genes

function in processes involved in the flow of genetic information, DNA methylation in the

CHH-context, circadian rhythm, organellar transport, cell cycle and reproduction,

ubiquitination, and in a number of biosynthetic processes. It is also perhaps not surprising that

histone acetylation was also enriched. In addition, enrichment of genes involving

environmental responses included heat stress and innate immunity were observed, in which

about 9% (23/263) were possibly involved in defense responses. A large fraction of these

defense genes were identified as candidate sensors or decoys (e.g. Cyclin A1, Thioredoxin,

Jacalin-like lectin, *etc.*) that may act as negative regulators of rice effector-triggered immunity

(ETI-immunity) (42) (Fig. 4E; Fig. S13B). The distribution of these 265 regions in H3K9ac

ChIP revealed that a large portion were found in genes (73.58%) followed by promoters

(18.11%) (Fig. S14). It is also interesting to note that H3K9ac in these identified regions was

found in transposable elements (7.55%). Overall, these results demonstrate that HAC701-

dependent acetylation of H3K9 histone site might modulate transcription of decoy genes that

are monitored by R proteins in rice immunity. Additionally, H3K9ac assessed in different

regions of the rice genome showed that it is found mostly in genes and promoters with high

similarity in the previous study (41).

We proceeded by analyzing the general distribution of H3K9ac, H3K27ac, H3K9me2,

and H3K9me3 peaks in intergenic and intragenic regions of rice genome in 9-WT and *9-12b^{-/-}*

mutant (Fig. 5A). A large proportion of H3K9ac and H3K27ac were concentrated on exonic

and intronic regions of the gene, while *HAC701* mutation resulted in the increase of enrichment

of H3K27ac genome-wide on all regions of the rice genome (Fig. 5A). It is also worth

mentioning that loss of *HAC701* increased the level of enrichment of these methylation

modifications in intergenic regions. These data suggest that the loss of *HAC701* leads to an

increase of a counter acetylation modification, H3K27ac, on a genome-wide scale.

To explain the disease resistance phenotype of *hac701* through WRK45-dependent

immune pathway, we investigated the genomic locus of rice *WRKY45* (Fig. 5B). The

upregulation of WRKY45 was accompanied by H3K9ac enrichment along the 1kb upstream

region of *WRKY45* gene in *9-12b^{-/-}* (Fig. 5B, C). As the CRE motif was specifically enriched

in the promoter region of *WRKY45* (Fig. S9C), we compared the enrichment of H3K9ac and

H3K27ac in *9-12b^{-/-} WRKY45* promoter. We found that H3K9ac, but not H3K27ac, was highly

enriched in this regulatory region (Fig. S15), while H3K27ac of *WRKY45* gene body was less

pronounced than H3K9ac. Overall, our ChIP-seq data are consistent with RNA-seq data in

showing that mutation in *HAC701* gene potentiated *WRKY45* locus by regulating the enrichments of histone acetylation and methylation modifications on *WRKY45* promoter. We also found that the CRE motif of *WRKY45* is modulated by H3K9ac and perhaps other modifications, including those that are analyzed here.

Systemic gene expression in rice-*Pso* pathosystem. To explore the nature of systemic gene expression in rice-*Pso* pathosystem, we performed RNA-sequencing on systemic or distal tissues of 9-WT and *hac701*. The tissue samples were collected together with infected samples (local samples) 72 hours after *Pso* infection without further bacterial treatment. Genome-wide transcriptome analysis in systemic 9-WT samples showed that 24 genes were differentially expressed, and among them were eight genes that were common to both local and systemic tissues (Fig. S16A; Table S16). Comparative analysis also showed that although there were substantial expression changes in systemic tissues, the number of DEGs was highly reduced in systemic tissues than in local tissues. Among the eight DEGs, five of them showed altered transcriptional expression from local to systemic tissues (Fig. S16B). These genes were mannose-specific jacalin-related lectin/*OsJAC1* (Os12g0247700) (43), putative cytochrome P450 (Os09g0275400) (44), ATPase (Os07g0187400), GDP-L-galactose phosphorylase (Os12g0190000) (45), and terpene synthase (Os04g0344100), some with putative functions in response to pathogen infection or stress. These results indicate that these genes were mostly activated locally in the infection site and that any altered expression in distal tissues may facilitate systemic form of resistance. To compare the effect of *HAC701* mutation on the number of DEGs, we analyzed the MA-plots of systemic tissues of 9-WT and *hac701*. Our results showed that the number of DEGs with significant expression (*i.e.* those that are in red) was diminished in the mutant background implicating a possible role of *HAC701* in systemic signaling in rice-*Pso* pathosystem (Fig. S17). Overall, these results indicate that systemic tissues in *Pso*-challenged 9-WT plants have augmented transcriptional gene expression that

possibly aims to potentiate distal tissues on the onset of secondary pathogen attack. This also

indicates that *HAC701* might potentially regulate systemic defenses through an unknown

mechanism at distal non-infected site in preparation for future infection episodes.

DISCUSSION

Histone acetyltransferases or HATs and their complexes are involved in various biological

processes in the cell. Currently, studies have been focused on the roles of HATs specifically

members of the CREB-binding protein (CBP) family in regulating substrate specificity and

enzymatic activity such as acetylation (40). However, its involvement in molecular signaling

pathway leading to modulation of plant immunity remains to be explored. Therefore, it is

expected that the analysis of plant CBP will facilitate a broader understanding of regulation of

gene expression and protein function in plant immunity pathways.

In this study, we generated CRISPR/Cas9 mutants of the rice *HAC701* gene, a member

of the CBP family of HATs, since our results indicated its involvement in rice immunity. By

inoculating a rice compatible bacterial pathogen, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*), we

showed that *hac701* are resistant to *Pso* proliferation and also showed resistance tendency

when infected with *Magnaporthe* (rice blast). These indicates that *HAC701* negatively

regulates rice immunity responses to *Pso* and *Magnaporthe* pathogens. When we analyzed our

transcriptome data, it showed that this negative regulation of immunity by *HAC701* is

attributable to suppression of a major immunity pathway in rice probably through WRKY45.

In *hac701*, *WRKY45* gene expression is upregulated and it seemed to be in a potentiated state

enabling a further increase of expression upon bacterial infection. In addition, both

morphological phenotypes and target genes in *hac701* mimic those in *WRKY45-ox* transgenic

lines possibly indicating a genetic interaction of *HAC701* and *WRKY45* genes. Lastly, we

showed in our ChIP-seq analysis that the promoter of *WRKY45* in *hac701* is enriched in

H3K9ac coinciding with a *cis* regulatory CRE motif that might be responsible for *WRKY45*

upregulation and control.

In Arabidopsis, the p300/CBP acetyltransferase gene, *HAC1*, has been shown to

positively regulate defense priming only in repetitively abiotic-stressed plants (46). *hac1-1* did

not show any immunity related responses prior to abiotic stress applications indicating that

Arabidopsis *HAC1* requires several abiotic stress stimulations to activate its immunity.

However, this is not the case in *HAC701* in rice. We showed that the rice *HAC701* did not need

abiotic stress stimulation to be transcribed and to effect pathogen and disease specific

phenotypes (Fig. 1; Fig. S2; Fig. S3). Rather, our results are consistent with the disease

phenotype exhibited by Arabidopsis mutant of GCN5, an acetyltransferase member of the

SAGA transcriptional coactivator complex catalyzing the H3K14 modification site and at the

same time influencing the acetylation of H3K9 (47). The *gcn5* showed upregulation of SA-

mediated immunity resulting in resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* infection.

In our study, we found that WRKY45-dependent pathway confers resistance to *hac701*.

Consistent with the view that the rice hormone defense network does not support a

dichotomous role of salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid/ethylene (JA/ET) phytohormones in

regulating rice immunity (48), we found no evidence in this study that SA-mediated immunity

played a central role in *hac701* resistance phenotype. However, we found JA-related

differential gene expression as components of WRKY45-dependent defense-related genes

(Fig. 3D). Indeed, JA biosynthesis is known as a downstream target of rice WRKY45 (49). In

rice immunity model, both SA and JA/ET are considered effective in defense responses against

(hemi) biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens (48, 50, 51). While SA-mediated *AtNPR1*

regulates majority, if not all, of Arabidopsis immune responses (52), *OsWRKY45* and

OsNPR1/NH1 are mostly independent of each other (34; Fig. S18). Although, our results

suggest that *hac701* DEGs partially overlapped with NPR1/NH1-dependent pathways (Fig.

3B). Given that the rice system has a constitutive high levels of SA compared to most plants

including Arabidopsis and tobacco, and pathogen applications did not increase its basal SA

level (53, 54), our results are consistent with this report that SA in rice may not directly act on

pathogens, rather, it may function to potentiate endogenous defense pathways in which

WRKY45 plays the central role. *OsWRKY45-ox* showed strong resistance against blast

pathogen, even though lacking the constitutive expression of defense genes prior to blast

infection (34). It could be that disruption of HAC701-dependent pathways through *HAC701*

mutation led to activation of WRKY45-dependent pathways in an already SA-potentiated rice

network, although this needs to be further examined in detail. Our results are also consistent

with the disease phenotype presented by non-sense mutation in *Med15* gene, a subunit of

Mediator complex (55). The *med15b.D* wheat mutants were resistant to stem rust, and the

authors attribute a part of this to segmental coregulation in which a certain portion of the

chromosome containing R genes (i.e. specifically NLR) was differentially expressed in wild

type compared to mutant. While we did not observe any form of segmental coregulation in our

analysis of *hac701*, we found that mutations in *HAC701* resulted in downregulation of ETI

components notably the decoys/sensors (Fig. 4E).

OsHAC701, *AtHAC1*, *AtGCN5*, and *TaMed15*, play a central role in regulating the

transcriptional machinery. They likely do this regulation by associating themselves and their

complexes to RNA polymerase II and transcription factors as shown by their homologous

counterparts in animals (4, 14, 56, 57). This is possible because they are multidomain genes

that have the capacity to form and maintain large complexes. Os*HAC701*, *AtHAC1*, and

AtGCN5 are also involved in regulating abiotic stress responses suggesting that the complexity

and specificity of their activities are dependent on their interacting factors that recruit them to

the biological pathway itself (6, 7). Furthermore, majority of these genes, *OsHAC701*, *AtHAC1*,

and *TaMed15*, encode the KIX domain, a docking site for transcription factors reported to be

involved in plant immunity (58).

In line with the above results, we propose that HAC701 is a negative regulator of

WRKY45-dependent defense pathway in rice (Fig. S18). We suggest here two possible

mechanistic insights into HAC701 regulation of WRKY45 that need further experimental

evidence. First, similar to Med15, the HAC701-mediated immunity may require a loss or

truncation of HAC701 in regulating the transcription of *WRK45* locus. Given that the promoter

of *WRKY45* contains a rare CRE motif (Fig. S9), we assume that an unidentified CREB-like

transcription factor with regulatory potential is interacting with HAC701 through its KIX

domain (Fig. S8) and with the CRE motif of *WRKY45* promoter. This interaction may also

include other protein interactors specific to *WRKY45* locus regulation. From our results,

mutation of *HAC701* is enough to potentiate *WRKY45* and further addition of *Pso* enables

hac701 to mount higher *WRKY45* expression compared to 9-WT. These suggest to us that

WRKY45 locus is poised for activation as suggested by our ChIP-seq analysis of untreated

hac701 (Fig. 5). However, the H3K9 acetylation we observed in *WRKY45* promoter might not

be catalyzed by HAC701 itself in *hac701*, but may come from other CBP family members (Fig.

1A; Fig. S1H) or other HATs. Although, we do not have ChIP-seq data for pathogen inoculated

hac701, our RNA-seq data suggest that the poised *WRKY45* through *HAC701* mutation is

enough to activate downstream defense likely through *WRK45*-dependent signaling. Second,

the decoys/sensors component that we found may act as negative regulators as predicted by the

Decoy model (Fig. 4E) (42, 59). It could be that HAC701 maintains the acetylation of these

decoys/sensors to equilibrium. Any disturbance in their expression could possibly lead to

activation of R genes monitoring these decoys/sensors, which could in effect activate

WRKY45-dependent immunity. Indeed, we found seven R genes upregulated in *HAC701*

mutation treated with *Pso* (Table S17). It is of course possible that these two mechanisms are

occurring simultaneously in conferring resistance, although finer details of these proposed

mechanisms are still unknown.

As HAC701 is a global transcriptional regulator, we found that it regulates a myriad

number of biological processes underscoring its importance in genome and epigenome control

(Fig. 4C). Among the enriched GOs, we found histone acetylation and innate immune

responses were affected in *hac701*. These suggest to us the intricate network in HAC701-

dependent regulation of immunity through its scaffolding and catalytic activity in the cell. It is

possible that any misregulation in *hac701* could lead to genome-wide functional compensation

events by activating other proteins acting on the same pathway as HAC701. This compensation

functionality has been shown in a PHD-finger protein, Enhanced Downy Mildew 2 (EDM2),

in NLR (i.e. a type of R protein) protein expression control as it affects plant fitness (60).

Therefore, it is also likely that the expression regulation of *WRKY45* locus as well as the

decoys/sensors may be subjected to compensatory regulation in the case of *HAC701* mutation.

The heterochromatic marker, H3K9me3, is common in animals, but rarely found in

Arabidopsis and in plants in general (61). Indeed, our analysis did not find the peaks in 9-WT

ChIP-seq, although we found 33 peaks in the *HAC701* mutant (Table S14). Most H3K9me3

enrichments were found in intergenic regions and in only two genes with intragenic

enrichments. Table S18 also shows genes nearest the H3K9me3 enrichment in the intergenic

regions. These results suggest that H3K9me3 is maybe a rare modification and is possibly

modulated by HAC701 presence and activity in certain genomic regions.

Trade-off between growth and defense in plants is a common occurrence and often

considered inevitable (62, 63). However, we were surprised to find that *hac701* in the T2 up

until T4 generations do not have readily visible penalties in height, tiller number, number of

effective grains, and grain weight (Fig. S1D-I). Previous studies showed that *WRKY45-ox* had

no obvious autoimmunity phenotype, although there was a slight decrease in height observed

as compared to wild type in response to growth conditions (33, 34). In this study, WRKY45-

dependent resistance against *Pso* was observed in *hac701* (Fig. 1C, Fig. S3). Furthermore, these

HAC701 mutants also showed resistant tendencies against *Magnaporthe* infection (Fig. 1D).

WRKY45-ox treated with *Magnaporthe* showed resistance, while a BTH-induction in

knockdown *WRKY45* mutants did not rescue the *Magnaporthe* resistance and remained

susceptible (34). Together these suggest that morphological and disease phenotypes of *hac701*

resembled that of *WRKY45-ox* indicating that *HAC701* mutation indeed upregulates *WRKY45*

gene that prompts WRKY45-dependent defense pathway into action leading to resistance

against bacterial and fungal pathogens. It could be that the potentiated state of *WRKY45* in

hac701 has modulated the expression of resistance from affecting these agronomic traits.

Nonetheless, the mechanistic basis for this trade-off, if it truly exists, is not well understood

and needs further investigation.

We would like to emphasize that at the moment we do not have strong evidence that

HAC701 specifically catalyzes H3K9 acetylation. Although, the H3K9ac antibody used in this

study detected H3K9ac decrease of numerous loci in *hac701* compared to 9-WT that are not

present in H3K27ac, histone target-specificity of HAC701 still needs to be verified. As

H3K9ac and H3K14ac were seemed co-regulated and were directly correlated to each other in

regulating their common target genes (47), we assume that the H3K14ac in our *hac701* would

show the same correlation as well.

HAC701 appears to be an ancient protein in the CBP histone acetyltransferase family

clade (Fig. 1A). The fact that *HAC701* mutation is not lethal, suggests that other CBP members

and arguably members of other histone acetyltransferase families might have redundant

functions at some regulatory level. However, our results showing that *hac701* has enhanced

disease resistance phenotype and reduction in acetylation demonstrate certain functional

specificities through HAC701-dependent pathways. Also, the fact that *hac701* showed

reduction in expressed genes at the systemic level (Fig. S17), indicates possible broader roles

of HAC701 other than localized modulation of rice innate immunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biological samples and plant growth conditions. *Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica* cv. Nipponbare

(wild type) plants and mutant lines were grown in commercial soil (Kumiai, JA Okinawa) at

30°C day/25°C night temperatures under a 12-h-light/12-h-dark photoperiod in an incubator

(BiOTRON, NK System). The lighting was supplied by white light at an intensity of 31,000

lx. Relative humidity was at 70%. For embryonic rescue, rice embryos were extracted from

wild-type immature seeds 10-14 days after flowering. Embryos were grown into plantlets in

Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar medium before being transferred to soil for further growth.

Isolation and screening of *HAC701* mutant lines. To generate *HAC701* CRISPR/Cas9

knockout mutant lines, two sgRNA *HAC701*-specific target sites were obtained from CRISPR-

P website and were used to synthesize primers for pRGEB31 (stable system) (64) (Table S1).

Briefly, the vectors were digested with BSA I, while primers were phosphorylated and

annealed to produce a DNA oligo duplex. The digested vectors were ligated to DNA oligo

duplex using T4 ligase. CRISPR/Cas9 vectors were introduced into *Agrobacterium* EHA105

and rice calli were transformed using the standard *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation

procedure. For CRISPR/Cas9 T0 and T1 screening, leaf samples were collected and genomic

DNA was extracted using a standard CTAB protocol. Then, PCR-RFLP assay utilizing BseLI

restriction enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to detect the CRISPR/Cas9-engineered

mutations on *HAC701* targets (Table S1). Positive lines detected by PCR-RFLP assay were

further analyzed by sequencing for INDEL mutations using S2 and S5 forward primers (Table

S1). Four DNA amplicons per line were cloned and sequenced to determine the zygosity of the lines. Biallelic mutations were found in a few T0 and T1 lines. First exon (Target 1) was initially targeted in *HAC701* gene using a CRISPR/Cas9 vector construct containing a single-guided RNA (S2) (Fig. S1A; Table S1). The isolation of the first generation (T0) *CRISPR Cas9-HAC701-S2* lines yielded 90 positive independent lines, and among these, randomly chosen representative lines were further genotyped to characterize the identified DNA mutation. PCR and RFLP assays resulted in the isolation of monoallelic lines characterized mostly by deletions and a few insertions (INDELS) on or surrounding the targeted site of sgRNA (S2) (Fig. S1B-C; Table S1). The T1 *HAC701-S2* generation as observed from seeds showed conservation of mutation directly from parental lines (Fig. S1B). Then, mutant lines were isolated using the same technology targeting the fifth exon (Target 2) of the *HAC701* gene using a construct that also contains a single guide RNA (S5) (Fig. S1D; Table S1). The *CRISPR-Cas9-HAC701-S5* (T0) lines generated 11 positive independent lines of which three were genotyped for verification of mutations (Fig. S1E). Second generation (T1) lines also showed conservation of mutations (Fig. S1E). Similar to *HAC701-S2* lines, genotyping showed INDELS in proximity to or on the target site.

Phenotyping. Positive lines containing biallelic homozygous mutations were phenotyped for effective grain production by examining and counting the mature grains produced in each panicle. Tiller number was counted one month after flowering. Chlorosis phenotype was used as a proxy for any defect in photosynthesis and or apparatus. 1000-grain weight was measured on seeds oven dried for 30-days at 37°C. Comparison of height and general morphological structures were documented using photography.

flg22 leaf disc assay. flg22 peptide, a well-known inducer of plant innate immunity, specifically of PTI was used to test which rice HATs respond to flg22 treatment. Leaf disc assay (modified from 65, 66) was performed on fully expanded 30-d-old wild-type leaf samples

and treated with synthetic flg22 peptide (30-51 aa, Flic, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) (ADI, Inc.)

at different time periods and concentrations. Briefly, leaves were cut into about 5 mm sizes and

floated on the water for 24-h in growth chamber to remove the symptoms of wounding stress.

Leaves were then treated with PAMP solution in water at 15 ml falcon tubes with rotation

(Corning Science). After treatment, paper towel-dried leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Pathogenesis assay. Seeds were surface sterilized and imbibed in sterile water in the dark for

72 h before sowing on MS basal medium (Sigma Life Science). After 10 days, the plantlets

were transferred to soil and were grown for another 18 days until infection. *Pseudomonas*

syringae pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*) (MAFF No. 301530, NIAS Genebank) was grown in Luria-Bertani

(LB) broth (Sigma-Aldrich) at 28°C until OD = 0.2 and was resuspended in 10 mM $MgCl_2$.

The fourth leaf counting from the first true leaf was infected with *Pso* using needleless syringe

injected from the lower surface of the leaf. *Pso* leaf infiltration was performed 10 cm from the

tip of the leaf and was done 3x with approximately 1 cm space between the infiltration sites.

Infected plants were temporarily maintained outside the growth chamber for 2 h to allow drying

of the infected sites before returning to the chamber. Infected leaf samples were collected 3

days after *Pso* inoculation utilizing only the tissues comprising the spaces between the

infiltration sites. These tissues were grounded in sterile 10 mM $MgCl_2$ and the grounded tissue

suspensions were transferred to LB agar medium for incubation. The infected local tissues

were assayed with minor modifications as detailed in Liu*, et al.* (67). For log cfu per leaf disc

measurement, the grounded tissue suspensions were serially diluted six times to be able to

count with accuracy the *Pso* colonies on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar medium. *Pso* colonies were

counted from 4th until 6th serial dilution in several independent and genotypically dissimilar

hac701 lines*, 9-5[-/]*, *9-12a[-/-]* , and *9-12b[-/-]*. The remaining samples of the fourth leaf were used

for RNA-sequencing analysis. For blast assay, the fifth leaf of 25-day-old plants were used for

infection of *Magnaporthe oryzae* (MAFF No. 101511, NIAS Genebank). Blast spores were

incubated 10 cm from the tip of the leaf (1st site) and at another site of the same leaf 10 cm

from the first site (2nd site). Infected plants were incubated for 10 days inside an incubator

(BiOTRON, NK System) and the length of blast infection was measured.

Gene expression analysis. Total RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit

(Qiagen) or Maxwell® 16 LEV Plant RNA Kit (Promega). cDNA was synthesized using

Primescript II 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara) according to manufacturer's

instructions. RT-qPCR assays were performed on three biologically independent samples or

as indicated. RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (Tli RNaseH Plus)

(Takara) and was calculated following Pfaffl (68) by averaging the values relative to *ACT1*

control gene. Primer sequences are listed in Table S19.

RNA-sequencing. Total RNA was isolated from mock- and *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae*

(*Pso*)-treated 9-WT wild type and *hac701* with Maxwell 16 LEV Plant RNA Kit (Promega)

run on the Maxwell 16 Instrument (Promega) and/or mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Invitrogen

by Thermo Fisher Scientific). The *hac701* lines, *9-5$^{-/-}$* and *9-12b$^{-/-}$*, were lumped together as

two independent biological samples during analysis. To remove the contaminating genomic

DNA from RNA samples isolated using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit, RNA was treated

with DNase I (RNA free) (Nippon Gene) following the manufacturer's instructions. Samples

were submitted to OIST Sequencing Center for RNA quality checking, library preparation, and

paired-end mRNA-sequencing (PE mRNA-seq).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing.

ChIP analysis of histone modifications in wild type and mutants were performed as follows:

One-month-old mature leaves of wild type (9-WT) and *hac701* (*9-12b$^{-/-}$*) were fixed in a

fixation buffer (10mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 0.1 M sucrose, 1 % formaldehyde)

for 10 min, followed by quenching with 125 mM Glycine for 5min. Nuclei isolation was

performed as previously described (69). Immuno-precipitation was performed for two

replicates for each genotype (about 1 g tissue/IP) by SimpleChIP Plus Kit (Cell Signaling

Technology) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Anti-Histone H3 antibody (Abcam

ab1791), Anti-acetyl Histone H3K9 antibody (Merck ABE18), Anti-Dimethyl Histone H3K9

antibody (Merck 05-1249), Anti-Trimethyl Histone H3K9 antibody (Merck 17-10242), Anti-

acetyl Histone H3K27 antibody (Abcam ab4729) were used for IPs. Dynabeads M-280 Sheep

Anti-Rabbit IgG (Invitrogen 11203D) or Anti-Mouse IgG (Invitrogen 11201D) was used for

purification of chromatin-antibody complex. Precipitated DNA samples were sequenced by

Hiseq 4000 in 150 bp paired-end mode in OIST Sequencing Center. For ChIP real-time PCR,

we used the "Percent Input Method" (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 33% input value to

normalize the data for enrichment calculation. Three independent biological replicates were

performed for each sample.

Data analysis. For RNA-sequencing analysis, high quality reads were trimmed in order to

remove sequencing bias and adapter effects. Trimmed reads were then mapped to the *Oryza*

sativa spp. *japonica* genome (Os-Nipponbare-Reference-IRGSP-1.0) using Tophat (70, 71).

Custom R scripts were used to generate the RNA count table necessary to analyze the

differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Differential expression analysis was performed using

DESeq2 package (72). DEGs were selected at Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p value of < 0.01.

To perform Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, gene lists were submitted to Enrichment Analysis

(73) web tool of the Gene Ontology Consortium (74-76). To create the Venn diagram, gene

sets were submitted to InteractiVenn web tool using *unions by list* to obtain overlapping

components of input datasets (77). For ChIP-sequencing analysis, raw reads were trimmed and

were mapped to *Oryza sativa* spp. *japonica* genome (Os-Nipponbare-Reference-IRGSP-1.0)

using Bowtie (78). Read alignments were visualized using Integrated Genome Browser (IGB)

(79). ChIP-enriched peaks were called by deriving a quantitative reproducibility score called

"irreproducibility discovery rate" (IDR) (73). Comparative analyses and quantitation of

enrichments between 9-WT and *hac701* (*9-12b^{-/-}*) were analyzed using a suite of tools and

utilities found in deepTools (80) and BEDTools (81). Peaks were positioned to genomic

locations (intergenic, genes, transposable elements, simple repeats) and within genes

(promoter, exon, intron, TSS, TTS). Table S14 showed the number of peaks identified for each

histone modifications in 9-WT and *hac701* (*9-12b^{-/-}*). Peak-to-gene assignment was performed

using Homer (v4.11) (82). To create the phylogenetic tree of Arabidopsis and rice histone

acetyltransferases, we used the UniProt amino acid sequences and reconstructed the

phylogenetic relationships using Phylogeny.fr (83, 84). For KIX domain analysis, we used

KIXBASE to perform multiple sequence alignment (38). To identify *cis*-elements on DNA

sequences, we used cister prediction software that utilizes hidden Markov model (85). For

motif analyses, we utilized the 1kb upstream promoter sequences of the sample genes. We used

Discriminative Regular Expression Motif Elicitation (DREME) (86) to discover the

significantly enriched motifs at a threshold of E-value<0.05 using shuffled input sequences as

control. These enriched motifs were used as query sequences in Tomtom (MEME suite 5.2.0)

to find similar motifs in published libraries. Libraries used were Eukaryotic DNA and

Vertebrates (*in vivo* and *in silico*).

Data visualization. Visualization of majority of the data was performed using Microsoft Excel

for Mac 2011 (version 14.7.1) and R packages: heatmaply, functions from *gplots*, and *ggplot2*.

Data Repository. For RNA-sequencing and ChIP-sequencing, raw data have been deposited

in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive under accession ID (pending upon submission of data).

All other data can be found in the Supplemental Tables 1-19 in this manuscript.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Disease phenotype of biallelic homozygous CRISPR/Cas9 lines targeting the fifth

exon of rice acetyltransferase gene, *HAC701*. (*A*) Phylogenetic relationships of members of

Arabidopsis and rice histone acetyltransferases. The numbers in red are branch support values.

All amino acid sequences are from UniProt database. (*B*) Upper panel: Alleles from four lines

of the second generation (T1) plants were identified by cloning and sequencing the PCR

products from *HAC701* target region using the primers found in Table S1. Lower panel: PCR

and RFLP assays of representative T1 generation lines. The mutant line *9-12a$^{-/-}$* displaying a

wild type-like band was due to unaltered sequence order recognized by BseLI even after an

insertion event, however we confirmed by sequencing that an insertion mutation was present

in this line. (*C*) *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*) infection assay of *hac701*, *9-12b$^{-/-}$* and

9-5$^{-/-}$ (T2), using *9-5$^{+/+}$* segregated wild type line (9-WT) as control. Bacterial density

quantification uses values from 4th-6th serial dilutions. The mutant line *9-12b$^{-/-}$* was grown from

the seeds of the third generation (T2), while *9-5$^{-/-}$* line was embryonically rescued about 15

days post flowering from the second generation (T1) parental plants. All mock measurements

yielded zero bacterial growth for both wild type and mutant lines. Bars represent the 95%

confidence interval (CI) and compared to the wild type using two-tailed Student's *t*-test at

P<0.05 in *9-12b$^{-/-}$*; one-tailed Student's *t*-test at *P*<0.05 in *9-5$^{-/-}$*. (*D*) *Magnaporthe oryzae*

infection assay of *hac701*, *9-12b$^{-/-}$* and *9-5$^{-/-}$*, using *9-5$^{+/+}$* segregated wild type line (9-WT) as

control. Lesion length was measured on leaves after 10 dpi (days post infection). White length

indicator bar on the left panel is 10 mm. Bars on the right panel represent standard error (SE)

and compared to the wild type using *F*-test for variance and Student's *t*-test.

Figure 2 The rice *WRK45* gene expression is induced in *hac701* lines. (*A*) Overlap analysis of

upregulated and downregulated genes in *hac701* background under mock and pathogen (*Pso*)-

treatment conditions (Table S5, S6). *OsWRKY45* is the only differentially expressed gene in

either the absence or presence of pathogen. Transcriptome data were normalized using mock

data sets for each genotype and condition. (*B*) *OsWRKY45* expression when *HAC701* is

mutated (+ sign). The addition of pathogen (+ sign) on *hac701* increased further the

OsWRKY45 expression. Transcriptome data of *hac701*, *9-12b*$^{-/-}$ and *9-5*$^{-/-}$, under mock or *Pso*-

treated conditions were lumped as two independent biological samples for analysis (See Table

S2). hpi, hours after infection.

Figure 3 *hac701* phenocopies *OsWRKY45* overexpression transgenic plants. (*A*) Overlap

analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *hac701* background with benzothiadiazole

(BTH)-responsive genes (*i.e.* BTH is a salicylic acid analog) in wild type rice (Table S6, S7).

Significance value of the overlap data was tested using the hypergeometric distribution test.

(*B*) Overlap analysis of DEGs in *hac701* background with BTH-inducible and *OsWRKY45*-

dependent or *OsNPR1/NH1*-dependent genes (Table S8, S10). Significance values of the

overlap data were tested using the hypergeometric distribution test.   (*C*) *OsWRKY45*-

dependent defense pathway components. (*D*) Gene expression of *OsWRKY45*-dependent

defense genes in 9-WT and *hac701*.

Figure 4 Genome-wide H3K9 acetylation is depleted in *hac701*. (*A*) Heatmap showing the

accumulation of H3K9 acetylation on 265 peaks by taking the enrichment ratio of 9-WT and

9-12b$^{-/-}$ data (Wild type/mutant) (Table S15). (*B*) Heatmaps showing the accumulation of

H3K27 acetylation, H3K9 di-methylation, and H3K9 tri-methylation on 265 peaks by taking

the same enrichment ratio as (*A*). Heatmaps in (*A*) and (*B*) are representatives of two

biologically independent data showing similar enrichment results and number of peaks

identified. (*C*) GO enrichment analysis showing only the "Biological Process" of the 263 genes

found in the 265 peaks (Table S15). Numbers in enrichment indicate Fold Enrichment Value

using Fisher's Exact test with results for uncorrected *P*<0.05. (*D*) Enrichment scores of seven

representative genes showing depletion of H3K9 acetylation in *hac701, 9-12b$^{-/-}$*. (*E*)

Enrichment scores of 10 representative putative decoy/sensor genes (42) showing depletion of

H3K9 acetylation in *hac701, 9-12b$^{-/-}$*. Scores in (*D*) and (*E*) are averages of two biologically

independent ChIP-seq replicates with bars showing standard deviation (SD).

Figure 5 *OsWRKY45* loci is enriched in H3K9 acetylation in *hac701*. (*A*) Enriched peaks on

histone modifications positioned to genomic locations (Intergenic, Promoter-TSS, Exon,

Intron, and TTS) in 9-WT and *hac701, 9-12b$^{-/-}$*. All data were normalized to input. (*B*)

OsWRKY45 depth graph of RNA-seq and H3K9 acetylation ChIP-seq showing the number of

reads in RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase of transcript, per Million mapped reads) in 9-WT and

hac701, 9-12b$^{-/-}$. The red dotted box shows the 1kb upstream region of *OsWRKY45* with arrow

indicating the direction of transcription. (*C*) Upper panel: Gene map of *OsWRKY45* with arrow

showing the direction of transcription, gray bars are 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs),

black bars are exons, and white bars are introns. Lower panel: 9-WT and *hac701* (*9-12b$^{-/-}$*)

mutant ChIP-qPCR showing enrichments of H3K9 acetylation and H3K9 di-methylation in

OsWRKY45 locus. The significant difference in enrichment is computed using two-tailed

Student's *t*-test where asterisks: ***P<0.01, **P<0.05, and NS means Not Significant.

TABLE LEGENDS

None

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1 Characterization of mutations generated by CRISPR/Cas9 editing on the first and

fifth exon of rice acetyltransferase gene, *HAC701*. (*A*) Schematic showing an sgRNA targeted

to the first exon of *HAC701* gene. (*B*) PCR and RFLP assays of representative T0 and T1

generation lines from leaf blade (Lb), panicle (Pa), and seed (S) DNA samples. +/+ and M

represent the zygosity of the line, where +/+ refers to wild type and M refers to monoallelic.

(*C*) Alleles from 12 T0 generation lines identified by cloning and sequencing the PCR products

from *HAC701* target regions using the primers found in Table S1. Similar line number indicates

that lines came from the same callus. For each line, four DNA amplicons were cloned and

sequenced and the fraction indicates the number of times the type of mutations were found in

each line. In case not indicated, it means wild type. The asterisk (*) indicates the most common

mutation found within and across different lines. (*D*) Upper panel: Schematic showing an

sgRNA targeted to the fifth exon of *HAC701*. Lower panel: Schematic showing the protein

domains of HAC701. (*E*) PCR and RFLP assays of representative T0 and T1 generation lines

from leaf blade DNA samples. M, B represent the zygosity of the line, where M refers to

monoallelic, and B refers to biallelic. T1 lines came from parental 2-3 line. (*F*) Phenotype of

T2 lines showing effective grains and tiller number. (*G*) Images of T3 *hac701* lines compared

to segregated wild type, 9-WT. (*H*) RT-qPCR levels of *HAC703* and *HAC704* genes in

HAC701 mutation line background. Samples were treated hydroponically with HDAC

inhibitors (1μM Trichostatin A (TSA) and 100 μM Nicotinamide at final concentration) for

three days. Bars represent standard error (SE); n= 3. The significant difference in transcription

is computed using two-tailed Student's *t*-test where asterisks: *$P<0.01$. (*I*) Phenotype of T3

and T4 lines showing grain weight.

Figure S2 flg22 induced the expression of rice pathogenesis-related and histone

acetyltransferase (HAT) gene, *HAC701*. Transcriptional levels of pathogenesis-related gene,

PR10a, and of eight HAT genes upon flg22 induction at concentrations in μM units. Data

shown are means ± SE; n= 3. The significant difference in transcription is computed using two-

tailed Student's *t*-test where asterisks: ****P*≤0.01, ***P*≤0.03, * *P*≤0.05.

Figure S3 *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*) infection assay in T2 *hac701* line, *9-12a^{-/-}*

. Values from 4th-6th serial dilutions were used for quantification. Segregated wild type line

was used as a control. Bars represent the 95% confidence interval (CI) and compared to wild

type using one-tailed Student's *t*-test at *P*<0.05.

Figure S4 Sample distances of RNA-seq data after regularized-logarithm transformation

(rlog). Heatmap showing the Euclidean sample distance matrix of mock- and *Pseudomonas*

syringae pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*)-treated samples in two biologically independent replicates.

Figure S5 Top 10 genes that are most highly variable in mock- and *Pso*-treated RNA-seq

samples. Gene descriptions were derived from Oryzabase: Integrated Rice Science Database

(NBRP) and Rice Genome Annotation Project (NSF). Two biologically independent RNA-seq

replicates are presented.

Figure S6 Rice-*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*) pathosystem. (*A*) Enriched pathways

in the rice-*Pso* pathosystem. (*B*) Pie chart of the number of up- and down-regulated genes in

9-WT control 72h post *Pso* infection (Table S3). Two biologically independent RNA-seq data

in mock and *Pso* treatments are presented where differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were

selected at *P* adjusted value < 0.01.

Figure S7 Features of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv.

oryzae (*Pso*)-treated 9-WT and *hac701* transcriptomes. Overlap and GO-analysis of

upregulated (*A*) and downregulated (*B*) genes in *hac701* under *Pso* treatment (Table S3, S4).

Transcriptome data were normalized using mock data sets for each genotype. GO enrichment

analysis showing only the "Biological Process" of upregulated *HAC701*-repressed genes (141)

and HAC701-independent genes (336), downregulated *HAC701*-enhanced genes (31) and

HAC701-independent genes (46). Fold Enrichment Value uses Fisher's Exact test with results

for uncorrected *P*<0.05.

Figure S8 Alignment of KIX domain found in different organisms. KIX domain in HAC701

(*A*) and HAC703 (*B*) proteins. Sc: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Os: *Oryza sativa*, Hs: *Homo*

sapiens, Ce: *Caenorhabditis elegans*, Dm: *Drosophila melanogaster*, Mm: *Mus musculus*.

Figure S9 *OsWRKY45* promoter contains a rare CRE motif. (*A*) A CRE motif (upper panel)

matches significantly to the query motif CGRCGRCG (lower panel). The query motif was

derived from discovered motifs (Table S13) in the 1 kb promoter regions of differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) in *hac701* treated with *Pso*. (*B*) 5 genes out of 660 differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) (0.76%) (Table S6) in the *hac701* contain the full CRE motif. Cister

parameter settings: default settings were used. *(C)* CRE motif cluster found in 1kb upstream

sequences of *OsWRKY45* start site. The red line indicates the probability of transcription factors

binding to these CRE motif sites. CRE motif is found most probably on the direct strand (+).

Figure S10 Enrichment strength of ChIP signals in 9-WT input and histone modifications.

Figure S11 Heatmaps of genome-wide histone modification enrichments in genes and

transposable elements (TEs) of rice 9-WT and *hac701* (*9-12b$^{-/-}$*) mutant. Enrichment of H3K9

acetylation, H3K9 di-methylation, H3K9 tri-methylation, H3K27 acetylation, and H3 in genes

(*A*) and TE (*B*) regions. Data represent one of the two biologically independent samples

showing similar enrichment results.

Figure S12 Heatmaps of genome-wide histone modification enrichments in simple repeats of

rice 9-WT and *hac701* (*9-12b$^{-/-}$*) mutant. Enrichment of H3K9 acetylation, H3K9 di-

methylation, H3K9 tri-methylation, H3K27 acetylation, and H3 in simple repeat regions. Data

represent one of the two biologically independent samples showing similar enrichment results.

Figure S13 Enrichment scores of additional representative genes showing differential

enrichments of H3K9 acetylation in *hac701* (*9-12b$^{-/-}$*) mutant. (*A*) Transcriptional coactivator

genes showing reduced or partially reduced H3K9 acetylation enrichments. (*B*) Putative

guardee genes showing differential enrichments of H3K9 acetylation. Scores are averages of

two biologically independent ChIP-seq replicates with bars showing standard deviation (SD).

Figure S14 Distribution on genomic locations of 265 regions in H3K9 acetylation ChIP (wild

type/mutant).

Figure S15 *OsWRKY45* depth graph of H3K9 and H3K27 acetylation ChIP-seq showing the

number of reads in RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase of transcript, per Million mapped reads) in 9-

WT and *hac701* (*9-12b^(-/-)*) mutant. The red dotted box shows the 1 kb upstream region of

OsWRKY45 with arrow indicating the direction of transcription. Data show a representative

sample.

Figure S16 Systemic gene expression analysis in rice-*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*)

pathosystem. (*A*) Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the local (870) and systemic (24)

tissues of plants infected with *Pso* (Table S16). Eight DEGs were found to be common in both

local and systemic tissues. (*B*) The expression of eight DEGs shown in log2 fold changes. For

local tissue analysis, two independent RNA-seq samples in both treatments (mock and *Pso*)

are presented. For systemic tissue analysis, two independent RNA-seq samples in mock and

three in *Pso* treatment are presented. The number of reads are in RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase

of transcript, per Million mapped reads) in 9-WT and *hac701*.

Figure S17 MA-plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in systemic tissues of 9-WT and

hac701 infected with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *oryzae* (*Pso*). (*A*) and (*B*) plots showing

differences in measurements of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). *P* adjusted value is set

at <0.01. For 9-WT and *hac701* samples, two independent RNA-seq samples in mock treatment

and three in *Pso* treatment are presented.

Figure S18 *OsWRKY45*-dependent defense pathway is suppressed by *HAC701*. Defense-

related genes regulated directly and indirectly by *OsWRKY45* are negatively regulated by

HAC701 resulting in resistance phenotype of *hac701* through *OsWRKY45* upregulation.

 SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE LEGENDS

Table S1 sgRNA oligonucleotides used for CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis and primers for

genotyping. Bold sequences in the guide RNAs are the Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM).

Table S2 Summary of total RNA-sequencing data in local tissues.

Table S3 Wild type (9-WT) differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *Pso*.

Table S4 *HAC701* repressed and enhanced genes.

Table S5 *HAC701* mutation differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in Mock (*HAC701mut*-
DEGs in Mock).

Table S6 *HAC701* mutation differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in *Pso* (*HAC701mut*-DEGs

in *Pso*). The motifs were identified 1kb upstream sequence of the start codon.

Table S7 BTH-responsive genes (24 hpi) in microarray experiments (34, 35) (Shimono et al.

2007, The Plant Cell & Sugano et al. 2010, Plant Molecular Biology). Overlap genes were

removed.

Table S8 BTH-inducible & WRKY45-dependent genes (33) (Nakayama et al. 2013, BMC

Plant Biology).

Table S9 Upregulated genes after DEX-induced *WRKY45* expression (33) (Nakayama et al.

2013, BMC Plant Biology).

Table S10 BTH-inducible genes affected by rice *NPR1/NH1* knockdown (35) (Sugano et al.

2010, Plant Molecular Biology).

Table S11 *HAC701* mutation differentially expressed genes (DEGs) found in BTH-WRKY45

regulated genes that contain W-box motifs. The motifs were identified 1 kb upstream sequence

of the start codon.

Table S12 *HAC701* mutation differentially expressed genes (DEGs) found in BTH-WRKY45

and BTH-NPR1/NH1 regulated genes that contain W-box motifs. The motifs were identified

1 kb upstream sequence of the start codon.

Table S13 Significantly enriched DNA motifs in HAC701 mutation differentially expressed

genes (DEGs) in *Pso* (HAC701mut-DEGs in *Pso*).

Table S14 Rice ChIP-sequencing information of histone modifications.

Table S15 Identified peaks in H3K9ac and their corresponding genes in *9-WT*/*9-12b*$^{-/-}$ (wild

type/mutant). Colored boxes indicate the repeated genes.

Table S16 Summary of total RNA-sequencing data in systemic tissues.

Table S17 List of R genes upregulated in *hac701* in *Pso*.

Table S18 Identified peaks in H3K9me3 and their corresponding genes in *9-12b$^{-/-}$*/Input

(mutant/Input). Colored boxes indicate repeated genes.

Table S19 Oligonucleotides used for RT-qPCRs (1) and ChIP-qPCRs (2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank OIST SQC for RNA-seq and ChIP-seq analysis. This project benefited greatly from

the comments of Drs. Yusuke Saijo, Hirofumi Nakagami, Justin Walley, Yasuhiro Kadota, and

from the members of the Plant Epigenetics Unit (OIST) and Plant Immunity Research Group

(RIKEN CSRS).

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by the Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate

University (OIST). N.A.E. was also funded by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (Grant No:

18F18085). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to

publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NAE: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Investigation,

Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review & editing

LNT: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing-review & editing

SM: Formal analysis, Investigation

YS: Formal analysis, Investigation

KS: Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing-review & editing

HS: Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing-review &

editing

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1.   Lee KK & Workman JL (2007) Histone acetyltransferase complexes: one size doesn't
fit all. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8(4):284-295.

2.   Chan HM & La Thangue NB (2001) p300/CBP proteins: HATs for transcriptional
bridges and scaffolds. *J. Cell Sci.* 114(Pt 13):2363-2373.

3.   Kalkhoven E (2004) CBP and p300: HATs for different occasions. *Biochem.*
Pharmacol. 68(6):1145-1155.

4.   Janknecht R & Hunter T (1996) Transcription. A growing coactivator network.
Nature 383(6595):22-23.

5.   Goodrich JA & Tjian R (1994) TBP-TAF complexes: selectivity factors for
eukaryotic transcription. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 6(3):403-409.

6.   Pandey R*, et al.* (2002) Analysis of histone acetyltransferase and histone deacetylase
families of Arabidopsis thaliana suggests functional diversification of chromatin
modification among multicellular eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30(23):5036-5055.

7.   Liu X*, et al.* (2012) Histone acetyltransferases in rice (Oryza sativa L.): phylogenetic
analysis, subcellular localization and expression. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12:145.

8.   Fang H, Liu X, Thorn G, Duan J, & Tian L (2014) Expression analysis of histone
acetyltransferases in rice under drought stress. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*
443(2):400-405.

9.   Ma X, Lv S, Zhang C, & Yang C (2013) Histone deacetylases and their functions in
plants. *Plant Cell Rep.* 32(4):465-478.

10. Song G & Walley JW (2016) Dynamic Protein Acetylation in Plant–Pathogen
Interactions. *Frontiers in plant science* 7.

11. Ding B & Wang GL (2015) Chromatin versus pathogens: the function of epigenetics
in plant immunity. *Frontiers in plant science* 6:675.

12. Zhu QH, Shan WX, Ayliffe MA, & Wang MB (2016) Epigenetic Mechanisms: An
Emerging Player in Plant-Microbe Interactions. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*
: MPMI 29(3):187-196.

13. Fu W, Wu K, & Duan J (2007) Sequence and expression analysis of histone
deacetylases in rice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 356(4):843-850.

14. Yuan LW & Giordano A (2002) Acetyltransferase machinery conserved in
p300/CBP-family proteins. *Oncogene* 21(14):2253-2260.

15. Ogryzko VV, Schiltz RL, Russanova V, Howard BH, & Nakatani Y (1996) The
transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. *Cell*
87(5):953-959.

16. Bannister AJ & Kouzarides T (1996) The CBP co-activator is a histone
acetyltransferase. *Nature* 384(6610):641-643.

17. Henry RA, Kuo YM, & Andrews AJ (2013) Differences in specificity and selectivity
between CBP and p300 acetylation of histone H3 and H3/H4. *Biochemistry*
52(34):5746-5759.

18. Jin Q*, et al.* (2011) Distinct roles of GCN5/PCAF-mediated H3K9ac and CBP/p300-
mediated H3K18/27ac in nuclear receptor transactivation. *EMBO J.* 30(2):249-262.

19. Tie F*, et al.* (2009) CBP-mediated acetylation of histone H3 lysine 27 antagonizes
Drosophila Polycomb silencing. *Development* 136(18):3131-3141.

20. Das C, Lucia MS, Hansen KC, & Tyler JK (2009) CBP/p300-mediated acetylation of
histone H3 on lysine 56. *Nature* 459(7243):113-117.

21. Schiltz RL*, et al.* (1999) Overlapping but distinct patterns of histone acetylation by
the human coactivators p300 and PCAF within nucleosomal substrates. *J. Biol. Chem.*
274(3):1189-1192.

22. Sakamoto S*, et al.* (2012) E2A and CBP/p300 act in synergy to promote chromatin
accessibility of the immunoglobulin kappa locus. *J. Immunol.* 188(11):5547-5560.

23. Modak R*, et al.* (2013) Probing p300/CBP associated factor (PCAF)-dependent
pathways with a small molecule inhibitor. *ACS chemical biology* 8(6):1311-1323.

24.   Espinas NA, Saze H, & Saijo Y (2016) Epigenetic Control of Defense Signaling and
998   Priming in Plants. *Frontiers in plant science* 7.

25.   Kuwata H (1985) Pseudomonas syringiae pv. oryzae pv. nov., causal agent of
bacterial halo blight of rice. *Ann Phytopath. Soc. Japan* 51:212-218.

26.   Kang S, Kang K, Lee K, & Back K (2007) Characterization of rice tryptophan
decarboxylases and their direct involvement in serotonin biosynthesis in transgenic
rice. *Planta* 227(1):263-272.

27.   Ishihara A*, et al.* (2008) The tryptophan pathway is involved in the defense responses
of rice against pathogenic infection via serotonin production. *Plant J.* 54(3):481-495.

28.   Shimizu T*, et al.* (2012) Purification and identification of naringenin 7-O-
methyltransferase, a key enzyme in biosynthesis of flavonoid phytoalexin sakuranetin
in rice. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287(23):19315-19325.

29.   Ogawa S*, et al.* (2017) OsMYC2, an essential factor for JA-inductive sakuranetin
production in rice, interacts with MYC2-like proteins that enhance its transactivation
ability. *Scientific reports* 7:40175.

30.   Swaminathan S, Morrone D, Wang Q, Fulton DB, & Peters RJ (2009) CYP76M7 is
an ent-cassadiene C11alpha-hydroxylase defining a second multifunctional
diterpenoid biosynthetic gene cluster in rice. *Plant Cell* 21(10):3315-3325.

31.   Vanholme R, Demedts B, Morreel K, Ralph J, & Boerjan W (2010) Lignin
biosynthesis and structure. *Plant Physiol.* 153(3):895-905.

32.   Wang Q*, et al.* (2012) Characterization of CYP76M5-8 indicates metabolic plasticity
within a plant biosynthetic gene cluster. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287(9):6159-6168.

33.   Nakayama A*, et al.* (2013) Genome-wide identification of WRKY45-regulated genes
that mediate benzothiadiazole-induced defense responses in rice. *BMC Plant Biol.*
13(150).

34.   Shimono M*, et al.* (2007) Rice WRKY45 plays a crucial role in benzothiadiazole-
inducible blast resistance. *Plant Cell* 19(6):2064-2076.

35.   Sugano S*, et al.* (2010) Role of OsNPR1 in rice defense program as revealed by
genome-wide expression analysis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 74(6):549-562.

36.   Cheng X*, et al.* (2019) Structural basis of dimerization and dual W-box DNA
recognition by rice WRKY domain. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47(8):4308-4318.

37. Parker D*, et al.* (1996) Phosphorylation of CREB at Ser-133 induces complex
formation with CREB-binding protein via a direct mechanism.pdf>. *Mol. Cell.*
Biol.:694-703.

38. Yadav A, Thakur JK, & Yadav G (2017) KIXBASE: A comprehensive web resource
for identification and exploration of KIX domains. *Scientific reports* 7(1):14924.

39. Thakur JK, Yadav A, & Yadav G (2014) Molecular recognition by the KIX domain
and its role in gene regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42(4):2112-2125.

40. Dancy BM & Cole PA (2015) Protein Lysine Acetylation by p300/CBP. *Chemical*
reviews 115(6):2419-2452.

41. Du Z*, et al.* (2013) Genome-wide analysis of histone modifications: H3K4me2,
H3K4me3, H3K9ac, and H3K27ac in Oryza sativa L. Japonica. *Molecular plant*
6(5):1463-1472.

42. Sarris PF, Cevik V, Dagdas G, Jones JD, & Krasileva KV (2016) Comparative
analysis of plant immune receptor architectures uncovers host proteins likely targeted
by pathogens. *BMC Biol.* 14:8.

43. Weidenbach D*, et al.* (2016) Polarized Defense Against Fungal Pathogens Is
Mediated by the Jacalin-Related Lectin Domain of Modular Poaceae-Specific
Proteins. *Molecular plant* 9(4):514-527.

44. Chen X*, et al.* (2019) Transcriptome and Proteome Profiling of Different Colored
Rice Reveals Physiological Dynamics Involved in the Flavonoid Pathway.
International journal of molecular sciences 20(10).

45. Holler S*, et al.* (2015) Ascorbate biosynthesis and its involvement in stress tolerance
and plant development in rice (Oryza sativa L.). *Plant Mol. Biol.* 88(6):545-560.

46. Singh P*, et al.* (2014) Environmental History Modulates Arabidopsis Pattern-
Triggered Immunity in a HISTONE ACETYLTRANSFERASE1-Dependent Manner.
Plant Cell.

47. Kim S*, et al.* (2020) GCN5 modulates salicylic acid homeostasis by regulating
H3K14ac levels at the 5' and 3' ends of its target genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
48(11):5953-5966.

48. De Vleesschauwer D, Gheysen G, & Hofte M (2013) Hormone defense networking in
rice: tales from a different world. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18(10):555-565.

49. Vidhyasekaran P (2016) *Switching on plant innate immunity signaling systems*
(Springer International Publishing, Switzerland).

50. De Vleesschauwer D, Xu J, & Hofte M (2014) Making sense of hormone-mediated
defense networking: from rice to Arabidopsis. *Frontiers in plant science* 5:611.

51. Yang DL, Yang Y, & He Z (2013) Roles of plant hormones and their interplay in rice
immunity. *Molecular plant* 6(3):675-685.

52. Yan S & Dong X (2014) Perception of the plant immune signal salicylic acid. *Curr.*
Opin. Plant Biol. 20:64-68.

53. Silverman P*, et al.* (1995) Salicylic acid in rice (biosynthesis, conjugation, and
possible role). *Plant Physiol.* 108:633-639.

54. Chen Z, Iyer S, Caplan A, Klessig DF, & Fan B (1997) Differential accumulation of
salicylic acid and salicylic acid-sensitive catalase in different rice tissues. *Plant*
Physiol. 114:193-201.

55. Hiebert CW*, et al.* (2020) Stem rust resistance in wheat is suppressed by a subunit of
the mediator complex. *Nature communications* 11(1):1123.

56. Brownell JE & Allis CD (1996) Special HATs for special occasions: linking histone
acetylation to chromatin assembly and gene activation. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*
6:176-184.

57. Poss ZC, Ebmeier CC, & Taatjes DJ (2013) The Mediator complex and transcription
regulation. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 48(6):575-608.

58. Canet JV, Dobon A, & Tornero P (2012) Non-recognition-of-BTH4, an Arabidopsis
mediator subunit homolog, is necessary for development and response to salicylic
acid. *Plant Cell* 24(10):4220-4235.

59. van der Hoorn RA & Kamoun S (2008) From Guard to Decoy: a new model for
perception of plant pathogen effectors. *Plant Cell* 20(8):2009-2017.

60. Lai Y*, et al.* (2020) The Arabidopsis PHD-finger protein EDM2 has multiple roles in
balancing NLR immune receptor gene expression. *PLoS Genet.* 16(9):e1008993.

61. Jackson JP*, et al.* (2004) Dimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9 is a critical mark for
DNA methylation and gene silencing in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Chromosoma*
112(6):308-315.

62. Karasov T, Chae E, Herman J, & Bergelson J (2017) Mechanisms to Mitigate the
Tradeoff between Growth and Defense. *Plant Cell*.

63. Huot B, Yao J, Montgomery BL, & He SY (2014) Growth-defense tradeoffs in plants:
a balancing act to optimize fitness. *Molecular plant* 7(8):1267-1287.

64. Xie K & Yang Y (2013) RNA-guided genome editing in plants using a CRISPR-Cas
system. *Molecular plant* 6(6):1975-1983.

65. Heese A*, et al.* (2007) The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is a central regulator of
innate immunity in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America 104(29):12217-12222.

66. Park CJ & Ronald PC (2012) Cleavage and nuclear localization of the rice XA21
immune receptor. *Nature communications* 3:920.

67. Liu X*, et al.* (2015) Bacterial Leaf Infiltration Assay for Fine Characterization of
Plant Defense Responses using the Arabidopsis thaliana-Pseudomonas syringae
Pathosystem. *J Vis Exp* (104).

68. Pfaffl MW (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time
RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29(9):e45.

69. Saleh A, Alvarez-Venegas R, & Avramova Z (2008) An efficient chromatin
immunoprecipitation (ChIP) protocol for studying histone modifications in
Arabidopsis plants. *Nature protocols* 3(6):1018-1025.

70. Trapnell C, Pachter L, & Salzberg SL (2009) TopHat: discovering splice junctions
with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* 25(9):1105-1111.

71. Trapnell C*, et al.* (2012) Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-
seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. *Nature protocols* 7(3):562-578.

72. Love MI, Huber W, & Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome biology* 15(12):550.

73. Li Q, Brown JB, Huang H, & Bickel PJ (2011) Measuring reproducibility of high-
throughput experiments. *The Annals of Applied Statistics* 5(3):1752-1779.

74. Consortium TGO (2017) Expansion of the Gene Ontology knowledgebase and
resources. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45(D1):D331-D338.

75. Consortium TGO (2000) Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat.*
Genet. 25:25-29.

76. Consortium TGO (2015) Gene Ontology Consortium: going forward. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. 43(Database issue):D1049-1056.

77. Heberle H, Meirelles GV, da Silva FR, Telles GP, & Minghim R (2015)
InteractiVenn: a web-based tool for the analysis of sets through Venn diagrams. *BMC*
Bioinformatics 16:169.

78.   Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, & Salzberg SL (2009) Ultrafast and memory-
efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome biology*
10(3):R25.

79.   Freese NH, Norris DC, & Loraine AE (2016) Integrated genome browser: visual
analytics platform for genomics. *Bioinformatics* 32(14):2089-2095.

80.   Ramirez F*, et al.* (2016) deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-
sequencing data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44(W1):W160-165.

81.   Quinlan AR & Hall IM (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26(6):841-842.

82.   Heinz S*, et al.* (2010) Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription
factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities.
Mol. Cell 38(4):576-589.

83.   Dereeper A*, et al.* (2008) Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-
specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36(Web Server issue):W465-469.

84.   Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and
high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32(5):1792-1797.

85.   Frith M, Hansen U, & Weng Z (2001) Detection of cis-element clusters in higher
eukaryotic DNA. *Bioinformatics* 17:878-889.

86.   Bailey TL (2011) DREME: motif discovery in transcription factor ChIP-seq data.
Bioinformatics 27(12):1653-1659.

1145

1146

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure S1

Figure S2

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5

Gene ID	Description
OS12G0491800	Kaurene synthase-like 10
OS12G0258700	Laccase 29
OS07G0218700	Cytochrome P450 family protein
OS08G0140300	Tryptophan decarboxylase 1
OS07G0218200	Terpene synthase 3
OS12G0240900	Naringenin 7-O-methyltransferase
OS10G0528300	Tau glutathione S-transferase 4
OS08G0508000	Cytochrome P450 76M2
OS04G0659300	Root meander curling
OS11G0641500	Laccase 23

Figure S6

A

Highly enriched terms upon *Pseudomonas syringiae pv. oryzae* infection in 9-WT rice		
Up-regulated terms	Fold Enrichment	raw P-value
Cell wall macromolecule catabolic process	54.94	4.22E-07
Adventitious root development	54.94	3.54E-02
Lignin biosynthetic process	54.94	3.54E-02
Sphingolipid biosynthetic process	54.94	3.54E-02
Gibberellin biosynthetic process	54.94	3.54E-02
Chitin catabolic process	54.94	4.22E-07
Response to wounding	54.94	3.54E-02
Response to other organism	54.94	2.70E-08
ent-kaurene oxidation to kaurenoic acid	54.94	3.54E-02
Diterpene phytoalexin precursor biosynthetic process pathway	41.21	1.89E-04
Shikimate biosynthetic process	36.63	3.08E-03
Chorismate biosynthetic process	36.63	3.08E-03
Carbohydrate transport	18.31	8.32E-03
Carbohydrate metabolic process	5.78	6.62E-03
Electron transport chain	5.49	1.17E-03
Regulation of transcription, DNA templated	2.79	2.43E-02

Down-regulated terms	Fold Enrichment	raw P-value
Regulation of transcription, DNA templated	10.26	1.69E-02

B

870 DEGs

■ Up-regulated genes
■ Down-regulated genes

9-WT in *Pso* treatment

Figure S7

A **Upregulated genes**

B **Downregulated genes**

HAC701-repressed genes

HAC701-enhanced genes

HAC701-independent genes

HAC701-independent genes

Figure S8

A

```
                                     GQRKDWHE VT DLRNHLVHKLVQ              AIFPTPDPAAWKDRMENLVAYAKKVEDMFESANSREYYHLLAEKIYKIQ
                                 QLDNMDQDTS  KYFSXTHRIIEDYLNERKSPMNFDLSPLXSEXKELXTXADSTFRHERTOEXXEEXAXSFXBGYERSSXXER LDSEHEYXHFR
GAL11_Sc                    .....VQDKD.......TLSNA.......ERAKNVNGLLQVLMDINTLNGGSSDTADKIRIHAKNFEAALFAKSSSKKEYMDSMNEKVAVMR    73
HAC701_Os 37-106      QLDNMDQDTS.......VVRNIIHRKIVEYLNERKEFCNFDLSFLMEI................GKCIDRHLFEKADSKIKYMDLETLRTRLN.    70
ARC105_Hs                  .GAMGQETDWRSTA...FRQKLVSQIED............AMRKAGVA.........HSKSSKDMESHVFLKAKTRDEYLSLVARLIIHFR       66
CBP1_Ce                    .....DCTKEWHHQVTKDLRNHLVGKLVK...........AIFPEPNQEAMNDNRLKDLIAYARKVEKMFSANDREYYHLLAEKIYKIQ        76
CBP_Dm                     .....DNEKDWRESVIADLRNHLVHKLVQ...........AIFPTSDPTTMQDKRMHNLVSYAEKVEKDMYEMAKSRSEYYHLLAEKIYKIQ      76
CBP_Hs/Mm                  .....GVRKGWHEHVTQDLRSHLVHKLVQ...........AIFPTPDPAALKDRRMENLVAYAKKVEGDMYESANSRDEYYHLLAEKIYKIQ      76
EP300 Hs/Mm                .....GIRKQWHEDITQDLRNHLVHKLVQ...........AIFPTPDPAALKDRRMENLVAYARKVEGDMYESANNRAEYYHLLAEKIYKIQ      76
consensus                        *  *      *  ***** *** ***       *** ****     *      *    ******  *** *      !* ***********
```

```
                        KELEEKRNSRL
                        DTNXKRSPRETA
GAL11_Sc            NTYNTRKNAVTA     85
HAC701_Os 37-106    ............     70
ARC105_Hs           DIHNKKSQASV.     77
CBP1_Ce             KELQEKKNSRL.     87
CBP_Dm              KELEEKRLKR..     86
CBP_Hs/Mm           KELEEKRRSRL.     87
EP300 Hs/Mm         KELEKRRTRL..     86
consensus           *** *
```

X‌ non conserved

X‌ ≥50% conserved

B

```
                                     GQRKDWHE VT DLRNHLVHKLVQAIFPTPDPKALSDRRMENLVAYAKKVEKDMYESANSREYYHLLAEKIYKIQ        KELEEKRNSRL
                                     GAXVXETDIETLRXHXA RSTEXPDLXRSLXVXSPQIEDXSDGXSKXVEXKVDIDXBEXVSHEXSMFLQFAILTHAKSQPRETA
HAC703_Os 52-126     ...........LLMR...NTMRDRIFEYIGRKQSSTDWRRRIPELAKRLPEILYRKFLNKADYLNMMRGPVEPQLQFAIKTLSAQNQQN.        75
CBP1_Ce             ...DCTKEWHHQVTKDLRNHLVGKLVKAIFPEPNQEAMNDNRLKDLIAYARKVEKMFSANDREYYHLLAEKIYKIQ....KELQEKKNSRL.        87
CBP_Dm              ...DNEKDWRESVIADLRNHLVHKLVQAIFPTSDPTTMQDKRMHNLVSYAEKVEKDMYEMAKSRSEYYHLLAEKIYKIQ....KELEEKRLKR..      86
CBP_Hs/Mm           ...GVRKGWHEHVTQDLRSHLVHKLVQAIFPTPDPAALKDRRMENLVAYAKKVEGDMYESANSRDEYYHLLAEKIYKIQ....KELEEKRRSRL.       87
EP300 Hs/Mm         ...GIRKQWHEDITQDLRNHLVHKLVQAIFPTPDPAALKDRRMENLVAYARKVEGDMYESANNRAEYYHLLAEKIYKIQ....KELEKRRTRL..       86
GAL11_Sc            ...VQDKDTLSN...AERAKNVNGLLQVLMDINTLNGGSSDTADKIRIHAKNFEAALFAKSSSKKEYMDSMNEKVAVMR....NTYNTRKNAVTA       85
ARC105_Hs           GAMGQETDWRST...AFRQKLVSQIEDAMR.....KAGV.....AHSKSSKDMESHVFLKAKTRDEYLSLVARLIIHFR....DIHNKKSQASV.       77
consensus                  *  *   ***!  * ***  **********  *   *      *      *   *  ****!  *** *      !* ***********     *** *
```

X‌ non conserved

X‌ ≥50% conserved

Figure S9

A

P value: 2.72E-03

CGRCGRCG

B

5 (0.76%) with <u>TGACGTCA</u>

HAC701mut-DEGs (660)

C

Possible functional cis-elements

Type	Position	Strand	Sequence	Probability
CRE	303-314	+	cgtgacgtcatc	0.62
CRE	289-300	+	gctgacgtcgcc	0.56
CRE	317-328	-	gttgtcgtcccc	0.14
CRE	289-300	-	gctgacgtcgcc	0.12

Figure S10

Figure S11

Genes

Transposable elements

Figure S12

Simple Repeats

Figure S13

Figure S14

Figure S15

H3K9ac

H3K27ac

9-WT #1

9-12b-/- #1

9-WT #1

9-12b-/- #1

OsWRKY45

1kb

Figure S16

Figure S17

DEGs in *Pso*/Mock in wild type   DEGs in *Pso*/Mock in *HAC701-/-* mutant

Systemic tissues

Figure S18