Predicting the Health Impact of Dietary Polyphenols Using a Network Medicine

Framework

Italo F. do Valle[1], Harvey G. Roweth[2,3], Michael W. Malloy[2,3], Sofia Moco[4], Denis

Barron[4], Elisabeth Battinelli[2,3], Joseph Loscalzo[3,5], Albert-László Barabási[1,6,7]

[1] Network Science Institute and Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

[2] Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

[3] Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[4] Nestle Institute of Health Sciences, Lausanne, Switzerland

[5] Department of Medicine Brigham and Women's Hospital

[6] Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical

School, Boston, MA, USA

[7] Department of Network and Data Science, Central European University, Budapest, Hungary

Abstract

Polyphenols, natural products present in most plant-based foods, play a protective role

against several complex diseases through their antioxidant activity and by diverse

molecular mechanisms. Here we developed a network medicine framework to uncover

the mechanistic roles of polyphenols on health by considering the molecular interactions

between polyphenol protein targets and proteins associated with diseases. We find that

the protein targets of polyphenols cluster in specific neighborhoods of the human

interactome, whose network proximity to disease proteins is predictive of the known

therapeutic effects of polyphenols. This finding allows us to predict that rosmarinic acid

(RA) has a direct impact on platelet function, representing a novel mechanism through

which it could affect cardiovascular health, and experimentally confirm that RA inhibits

platelet aggregation and alpha granule secretion through inhibition of protein tyrosine

phosphorylation. Our framework represents a starting point for mechanistic

interpretation of the health effects underlying food-related compounds, allowing us to

integrate into a predictive framework knowledge on food metabolism, bioavailability, and

drug interaction.

Introduction

Diet plays a defining role in human health. Indeed, while poor diet can significantly increase the risk for coronary heart disease and diabetes mellitus, a healthy diet can play a protective role, even mitigating genetic risk for CHD[1]. Polyphenols are a class of compounds present in plant-based foods, from fruits to vegetables, herbs, spices, tea, and wine, with well documented protective role as antioxidants, which affect several diseases, from cancer to diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular, and neurodegenerative diseases[2,3]. Recent efforts profiling over 500 polyphenols in more than 400 foods[4,5] have documented the high diversity of polyphenols to which humans are exposed through their diet, ranging from flavonoids to phenolic acids, lignans, and stilbenes.

The underlying molecular mechanisms through which specific polyphenols exert their beneficial effects on human health remain largely unexplored. From a mechanistic perspective, dietary polyphenols are not engaged in endogenous metabolic processes of anabolism and catabolism, but rather affect human health through their anti- or pro-oxidant activity[6], by binding to proteins and modulating their activity[7,8], interacting with digestive enzymes[9], and modulating gut microbiota growth[10,11]. Yet, the variety of experimental settings used to explore the molecular effects of polyphenols have, to date, offered a range of often conflicting evidence for interpretation. For example, different clinical trials resulted in conflicting conclusions about the beneficial effects of resveratrol on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients[12,13]. We, therefore, need a framework to interpret the evidence present in the literature, and to offer in-depth mechanistic predictions on the molecular pathways responsible for the health implications of polyphenols present in diet. Ultimately, these insights could help us develop novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies, and may lead to the synthesis of novel drugs.

Here, we address this challenge by developing a network medicine framework to capture the molecular interactions between polyphenols and their cellular binding targets, unveiling their relationship to complex diseases. The developed framework is based on the human interactome, a comprehensive subcellular network consisting of all known physical interactions between human proteins, which has been validated

previously as a platform for understanding disease mechanisms[14,15], rational drug target

identification, and drug repurposing[16,17].

We find that the proteins to which polyphenols bind form identifiable

neighborhoods in the human interactome, allowing us to demonstrate that the proximity

between polyphenol targets and proteins associated with specific diseases is predictive

of the known therapeutic effects of polyphenols. Finally, we unveil the potential

therapeutic effects of rosmarinic acid on vascular diseases, predicting that its

mechanism of action is related to modulation of platelet function. We confirm this

prediction by experiments that indicate that rosmarinic acid modulates platelet function

in vitro by inhibiting tyrosine protein phosphorylation. Altogether, our results

demonstrate that the network-based relationship between disease proteins and

polyphenol targets offers a tool to systematically unveil the health effects of

polyphenols.

Results

Polyphenol Targets Cluster in Specific Functional Neighborhoods of the Interactome

We mapped the targets of 65 polyphenols (see Methods) to the human

interactome, consisting of 17,651 proteins and 351,393 interactions (Fig 1a,b). We find

that 19 of the 65 polyphenols have only one protein target, while a few polyphenols

have an exceptional number of targets (Fig 1c). We computed the Jaccard Index (JI) of

the protein targets of each polyphenol pair, finding only a limited similarity of targets

among different polyphenols (average JI = 0.0206) (Fig S1a). Even though the average

JI is small, it is still significantly higher (Z = 147, Fig S1b) than the JI expected if the

polyphenol targets were randomly assigned from the pool of all network proteins with

degrees matching the original set. This finding suggests that while each polyphenol

targets a specific set of proteins, their targets are confined to a common pool of

proteins, likely determined by commonalities in the polyphenol binding domains of the

three-dimensional structure of the protein targets[20]. Gene Ontology (GO) Enrichment

Analysis of all polyphenol protein targets revealed that they tend to target pathways

related to post-translation protein modifications, regulation, and xenobiotic metabolism

(Figs 1d). The enriched GO categories indicate that polyphenols modulate common

regulatory processes, but the low similarity in their protein targets, illustrated by the low

average JI, indicates that they target different processes within the same pathways.

We next asked whether the polyphenol targets cluster in specific regions of the

human interactome. We focused on polyphenols with more than two targets (n=46,

Figure 2), and measured the size and significance of the largest connected component

(LCC) formed by the targets of each polyphenol. We found that 25 of the 46

polyphenols have a larger LCC than expected by chance (Z-score > 1.95) (Fig 1e, Fig

2). In agreement with experimental evidence documenting the effect of polyphenols on

multiple pathways[21], we find that ten polyphenols have their targets organized in

multiple connected components of size > 2.

These results indicate that the targets of polyphenols modulate specific well

localized neighborhoods of the interactome (Fig 2, S1c). This prompted us to explore if

the interactome regions targeted by the polyphenols reside within network

neighborhoods associated with specific diseases, seeking a network-based framework

to unveil the molecular mechanism through which specific polyphenols modulate health.

<u>Proximity Between Polyphenol Targets and Disease Proteins Reveals their Therapeutic</u>

<u>Effects</u>

Polyphenols can be viewed as drugs in that they bind to specific proteins, affecting their

ability to perform their normal functions. We, therefore, hypothesized that we can apply

the network-based framework used to predict the efficacy of drugs in specific

diseases[16,17] to also predict the therapeutic effects of polyphenols. The closer the

targets of a polyphenol are to disease proteins, the more likely that the polyphenol will

affect the disease phenotype. We, therefore, calculated the network proximity between

polyphenol targets and proteins associated with 299 diseases using the closest

measure, d_c, representing the average shortest path length between each polyphenol

target and the nearest disease protein (see Methods). Consider for example (-)-

epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate (EGCG), a polyphenol abundant in green tea.

Epidemiological studies have found a positive relationship between green tea

consumption and reduced risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D)[22,23], and physiological

and biochemical studies have shown that EGCG presents glucose-lowering effects in

both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models[24,25]. We identified 54 experimentally validated EGCG

protein targets and mapped them to the interactome, finding that the ECGC targets form

an LCC of 17 proteins (Z = 7.61) (Fig 3a). We also computed the network-based

distance between EGCG targets and 83 proteins associated with T2D, finding that the

two sets are significantly proximal to each other. We ranked all 299 diseases based on

the network proximity to the ECGC targets in order to determine whether we can

recover the 82 diseases in which ECGC has known therapeutic effects according to the

CTD database. By this analysis, we were able to recover 15 previously known

therapeutic associations among the top 20 ranked diseases (Table 1), confirming that

network-proximity can discriminate between known and unknown disease associations

for polyphenols, as previously confirmed among drugs[16,17].

We expanded these methods to all polyphenol-disease pairs, to predict diseases

for which specific polyphenols might have therapeutic effects. For this analysis, we

grouped all 19,435 polyphenol-disease associations between 65 polyphenols and 299

diseases into known (1,525) and unknown (17,910) associations. The known

polyphenol-disease set was retrieved from CTD, which is limited to manually curated

associations for which there is literature-based evidence. For each polyphenol, we

tested how well network proximity discriminates between the known and unknown sets

by evaluating the area under the Receiving Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve

(AUC). For EGCG, network proximity offers good discriminative power (AUC = 0.78, CI:

0.70 - 0.86) between diseases with known and unknown therapeutic associations (Table

1). We find that network proximity (d_c) offers predictive power with an AUC > 0.7 for 31

polyphenols (Fig 3b). In Table 2 we summarize the top 10 polyphenols for which the

network medicine framework offers the best predictive power of therapeutic effects,

limiting the entries to predictive performance of AUC > 0.6 and performance over top

predictions with precision > 0.6.

Finally, we performed multiple robustness checks to exclude the role of potential

biases in the input data. To test if the predictions are biased by the set of known

associations retrieved from CTD, we randomly selected 100 papers from PubMed

containing MeSH terms that tag EGCG to diseases. We manually curated the evidence

for EGCG's therapeutic effects for the diseases discussed in the published papers,

excluding reviews and non-English language publications. The dataset was processed

to include implicit associations (see Methods), resulting in a total of 113 diseases

associated with EGCG, of which 58 overlap with the associations reported by CTD (Fig

3c). We observed that the predictive power of network proximity was unaffected by

whether we considered the annotations from CTD, the manually curated list, or the

union of both (Fig 3d). To test the role of potential biases in the interactome, we

repeated our analysis using only high-quality polyphenol-protein interactions retrieved

from ligand-protein 3D resolved structures (Fig S1d) and a subset of the interactome

derived from an unbiased high-throughput screening (Fig S1f). We find that the

predictive power was largely unchanged, indicating that the literature bias in the

interactome does not affect our findings.

<u>Network Proximity Predicts Gene Expression Perturbation Induced by Polyphenols</u>

To validate the predicted polyphenol-disease associations, we retrieved expression

perturbation signatures from the Connectivity Map database[26] for the treatment of the

breast cancer MCF7 cell line with 22 polyphenols (Table S1). We investigated the

relationship between the extent to which polyphenols perturb the expression of disease

genes, the network proximity between the polyphenol targets and disease proteins, and

their known therapeutic effects (Fig 4a). For example, we observe different perturbation

profiles for gene pools associated with different diseases: for treatment with genistein (1

µM, 6 hours) we observe 10 skin disease (SD) genes with perturbation score > 2, while

we observe only one highly perturbed cerebrovascular disorder (CD) gene (Fig 4b).

Indeed, network proximity indicates that SD is closer to the genistein targets than CD,

suggesting a relationship between network proximity, gene expression perturbation, and

the therapeutic effects of the polyphenol (Fig 4a). To test this hypothesis, we computed

an enrichment score that measures the overrepresentation of disease genes among the

most perturbed genes (see Methods), finding 13 diseases that have their genes

significantly enriched among the most deregulated genes by genistein, of which 4 have
known therapeutic associations. We find that these four diseases are significantly closer
to the genistein targets than the nine diseases with non-therapeutic associations (Fig
4c). We observed a similar trend for treatments with other polyphenols, whether we use
the same (1µM, Fig 4c) or different (100nM to 10µM, Fig S2) concentrations. This result
suggests that changes in gene expression caused by a polyphenol are indicative of its
therapeutic effects, but only if the observed expression change is limited to proteins
proximal to the polyphenol targets (Fig 4a).

Consequently, network proximity should also be predictive of the overall gene
expression perturbation caused by a polyphenol on the genes of a given disease. To
test this hypothesis, in each experimental combination defined by the polyphenol type
and its concentration, we evaluated the maximum perturbation among genes for each
disease. We then compared the magnitude of the observed perturbation between
diseases that were proximal (d_c < 25th percentile, Z_{d_c} < -0.5) or distal (d_c > 75th
percentile, Z_{d_c} > -0.5) to the polyphenol targets. Figures 5a and 5b show the results for
the genistein treatment (1µM, 6 hours), indicating that diseases proximal to the
polyphenol targets show higher maximum perturbation values than distal diseases. The
same trend is observed for other polyphenols (Figs 5b, S3 and S4), confirming that the
impact of a polyphenol on cellular signaling pathways is localized in the network space,
being greater in the vicinity of the polyphenol targets compared to neighborhoods
remote from these targets. These results indicate that network proximity offers a
mechanistic interpretation for the gene expression perturbations induced by
polyphenols, being also predictive of whether these perturbations result in therapeutic
effects.

<u>Experimental Evidence Confirms that Rosmarinic Acid Modulates Platelet Function</u>

To demonstrate how the network-based framework can facilitate the mechanistic
interpretation of the therapeutic effects of selected polyphenols, we next focus on
vascular diseases (VD). Of 65 polyphenols evaluated in this study, we found 27 to have
associations to VD, as their targets were within the VD network neighborhood (Table

S3). We, therefore, inspected the targets of 15 of the 27 polyphenols with 10 or less

targets. The network analysis identified direct links between biological processes related

to vascular health and the targets of three polyphenols: gallic acid, rosmarinic acid, and

1,4-naphthoquinone (Fig S5, Supplementary Note1). The network neighborhood

containing the targets of these polyphenols suggests that gallic acid activity involves

thrombus dissolution processes, rosmarinic acid acts on platelet activation and

antioxidant pathways through FYN and its neighbors, and 1,4-naphthoquinone acts on

signaling pathways of vascular cells through MAP2K1 activity (Fig S5, Supplementary

Note 1).

To validate the developed framework, we set out to obtain direct experimental

evidence of the predicted mechanistic role of rosmarinic acid (RA) in VD. The RA

targets are in close proximity to proteins related to platelet function, forming the RA-VD-

platelet module: a connected component formed by the RA target FYN and the VD

proteins associated with platelet function PDE4D, CD36, and APP (Fig 7a). We,

therefore, asked whether RA influenced platelet activation *in vitro*. As platelets can be

stimulated through different activation pathways, RA effects can, in principle, occur in

any of them. To test these different possibilities, we pretreated platelets with RA and

then activated with: 1) glycoprotein VI by collagen or collagen-related peptide

(CRP/CRPXL); 2) protease-activated receptors-1,4 by thrombin receptor activator

peptide-6 (TRAP-6); 3) prostanoid thromboxane receptor by the thromboxane A_2

analogue (U46619); and 4) P2Y1/12 receptor by adenosine diphosphate (ADP)[39]. When

we compared the network distance between each stimulant receptor and the RA-VD-

platelet module (Fig 7a), we observed that the receptors for CRP/CRPXL, TRAP-6, and

U46619 are closer than random expectation, while the receptor for ADP is more distant

(Fig 7b). We expected that platelets would be most affected by RA when treated with

stimulants whose receptors are most proximal to the RA-VD-platelet module, i.e.,

CRP/CRPXL, TRAP-6, and U46619, and as a control, we expect no effect for the

distant ADP receptor. The experiments confirm this prediction: RA inhibits collagen-

mediated platelet aggregation (Fig 7c) and impairs dense granule secretion induced by

CRPXL, TRAP-6, and U46619 (Fig S13). RA-treated platelets also displayed dampened

alpha-granule secretion (Fig 7d) and integrin $\alpha IIb\beta 3$ activation (Fig S6) in response to

U46619. As expected, RA did not affect platelet function when we used an agonist

whose receptor is distant from the RA-VD-module, i.e., ADP. These findings suggest

that RA impairs basic hallmarks of platelet activation via strong network effects,

supporting our hypothesis that the proximity between RA targets and the neighborhood

associated with platelet function (Fig 7a) could in part explain RA's impact on VD.

We next searched to clarify the molecular mechanisms involved in the impact of

RA on platelets. Given that platelet activation is coordinated by several kinases, we

hypothesized that RA inhibits platelet function by blocking agonist-induced protein

tyrosine phosphorylation. We observed that RA-treated platelets demonstrated a dose-

dependent reduction in total tyrosine phosphorylation in response to CRPXL, TRAP-6

and U46619 (Fig 7e). Given that RA caused a substantial decrease in phosphorylation

of proteins with atomic mass between 50-60 KDa (Fig 7e), we hypothesized that RA

may reduce phosphorylation of FYN (59 KDa), or other similarly sized members of the

same protein family (*i.e.* src family kinases, SFKs). To test this, we measured the level

of phosphorylation within the activation domain (amino acid 416) of SFKs, finding that

RA reduced collagen induced phosphorylation of FYN as well as basal tyrosine

phosphorylation of SFKs (Fig 7f). This indicates that RA perturbs the phospho-signaling

networks that regulate platelet response to extracellular stimuli.

Altogether, these findings support our prediction that RA modulates platelet

activation and function. It also supports the observation that its mechanism of action

involves reduction of phosphorylation at the activation domain of the protein-tyrosine

kinase FYN (Fig 7a) and the inhibition of general tyrosine phosphorylation. Finally, while

polyphenols are usually associated to their antioxidant function, here we illustrate

another mechanistic pathway through which they could benefit health.

Discussion

Here, we proposed a network-based framework to predict the therapeutic effects of

dietary polyphenols in human diseases. We find that polyphenol protein targets cluster

in specific functional neighborhoods of the interactome, and we show that the network

proximity between polyphenol targets and disease proteins is predictive of the

therapeutic effects of polyphenols. We demonstrate that diseases whose proteins are

proximal to polyphenol targets tend to have significant changes in gene expression in

cell lines treated with the respective polyphenol, while such changes are absent for

diseases whose proteins are distal to polyphenol targets. Finally, we find that the

network neighborhood around the rosmarinic acid (RA) targets and vascular disease

proteins are related to platelet function. We validate this mechanistic prediction by

showing that RA modulates platelet function through inhibition of protein tyrosine

phosphorylation. These observations suggest a role of RA on prevention of vascular

diseases by inhibiting platelet activation and aggregation.

The observed results also suggest multiple avenues through which our ability to

understand the role of polyphenols could be improved. First, some of the known health

benefits of polyphenols might be caused not only by the native molecules, but also by

their metabolic byproducts [40,41]. We, however, lack data about colonic degradation, liver

metabolism, bioavailability, and interaction with proteins of specific polyphenols or their

metabolic byproducts. Future experimental data on protein interactions with polyphenol

byproducts and conjugates can be incorporated in the proposed framework, further

improving the accuracy of our predictions. The lack of this data does not invalidate the

findings presented here, since previous studies report the presence of unmetabolized

polyphenols in blood[42–44]; and it has been hypothesized that, in some instances,

deconjugation of liver metabolites occurs in specific tissues or cells[45–47]. Second,

considering that several experimental studies of polyphenol bioefficacy have been

observed in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models, the proposed framework might help us interpret

literature evidence, possibly even allowing us to exclude chemical candidates when

considering the health benefits provided by a given food in epidemiological association

studies.

The low bioavailability of some polyphenols in food might still present a major

challenge when considering the therapeutic utility of these molecules. However, in the

same way that the polyphenol phlorizin led to the discovery of new strategies for

disease treatment resulting in the development of new compounds with higher

efficacy[48], we believe that the present methodology can helps us identify polyphenol-

based candidates for drug development.

The methodology introduced here offers a foundation for the mechanistic

interpretation of alternative pathways through which polyphenols can affect health, e.g.,

the combined effect of different polyphenols and their interaction with drugs[50]. Finally,

this methodology can be applied to other food-related chemicals, providing a framework

by which to understand their health effects. Future research may help us also account

for the way that food-related chemicals affect endogenous metabolic reactions,

impacting not only signaling pathways, but also catabolic and anabolic processes.

Taken together, the proposed network-based framework has the potential to reveal

systematically the mechanism of action underlying the health benefits of polyphenols,

offering a logical, rational strategy for mechanism-based drug development of food-

based compounds.

Methods

<u>Building the Interactome</u>

The human interactome was assembled from 16 databases containing different types of

protein-protein interactions (PPIs): 1) binary PPIs tested by high-throughput yeast-two-

hybrid (Y2H) experiments[51]; 2) kinase-substrate interactions from literature-derived low-

throughput and high-throughput experiments from KinomeNetworkX[52], Human Protein

Resource Database (HPRD)[53], and PhosphositePlus[54]; 3) carefully literature-curated

PPIs identified by affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry (AP-MS), and from

literature-derived low-throughput experiments from InWeb[55], BioGRID[56], PINA[57],

HPRD[58], MINT[59], IntAct[59], and InnateDB[60]; 4) high-quality PPIs from three-dimensional

(3D) protein structures reported in Instruct[61], Interactome3D[62], and INSIDER[63]; 5)

signaling networks from literature-derived low-throughput experiments as annotated in

SignaLink2.0[64]; and 6) protein complex from BioPlex2.0[65]. The genes were mapped to

their Entrez ID based on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)

database as well as their official gene symbols. The resulting interactome includes

351,444 protein-protein interactions (PPIs) connecting 17,706 unique proteins

(Supplementary Data 1). The largest connected component has 351,393 PPIs and

17,651 proteins.

<u>Polyphenols, Polyphenol Targets, and Disease Proteins</u>

We retrieved 759 polyphenols from the PhenolExplorer database[4]. The database lists

polyphenols with food composition data or profiled in biofluids after interventions with

polyphenol-rich diets. For our analysis, we only considered polyphenols that: 1) could

be mapped in PubChem IDs, 2) were listed in the Comparative Toxicogenomics (CTD)

database[18] as having therapeutic effects on human diseases, and 3) had protein-

binding information present in the STITCH database[19] with experimental evidence

(Figure 1a). After these steps, we considered a final list of 65 polyphenols, for which

598 protein targets were retrieved from STITCH (Table S1). We considered 3,173

disease proteins corresponding to 299 diseases retrieved from Menche *et al* (2015)[15].

Gene ontology enrichment analysis of protein targets was performed using the

Bioconductor package clusterProfiler with a significance threshold of $p < 0.05$ and

Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction with $q < 0.05$.

<u>Polyphenol Disease Associations</u>

We retrieved the polyphenol-disease associations from the Comparative

Toxicogenomics Database (CTD). We considered only manually curated associations

labeled as therapeutic. By considering the hierarchical structure of diseases along the

MeSH tree, we expanded explicit polyphenol-disease associations to include also

implicit associations. This procedure was performed by propagating associations in the

lower branches of the MeSH tree to consider diseases in the higher levels of the same

tree branch. For example, a polyphenol associated with 'heart diseases' would also be

associated with the more general category of 'cardiovascular diseases'. By performing

this expansion, we obtained a final list of 1,525 known associations between the 65

polyphenols and the 299 diseases considered in this study.

<u>Network Proximity Between Polyphenol Targets and Disease Proteins</u>

The proximity between a disease and a polyphenol was evaluated using a distance

metric that takes into account the shortest path lengths between polyphenol targets and

disease proteins[16]. Given S, the set of disease proteins, T, the set of polyphenol targets,

and $d(s,t)$, the shortest path length between nodes s and t in the network, we define:

380
$$d_c(S,T) = \frac{1}{||T||} \sum_{t \in T} \min_{s \in S} d(s,t) \qquad (1)$$

We also calculated a relative distance metric (Z_{dc}) that compares the absolute distance

$d_c(S,T)$ between a disease and a polyphenol with a reference distribution describing the

random expectation. The reference distribution corresponds to the expected distances

between two randomly selected groups of proteins matching the size and degrees of the

original disease proteins and polyphenol targets in the network. It was generated by

calculating the proximity between these two randomly selected groups across 1,000

iterations. The mean $\mu_{d(S,T)}$ and standard deviation $\sigma_{d(S,T)}$ of the reference distribution

were used to convert the absolute distance d_c into the relative distance Z_{dc}, defined as:

390
$$Z_{d_c} = \frac{d - \mu_{d_c(S,T)}}{\sigma_{d_c(S,T)}} \qquad (2)$$

Due to the scale-free nature of the human interactome, there are few nodes with high

degrees, and to avoid repeatedly choosing the same (high degree) nodes, we

performed a degree-preserving random selection. The Supplementary Data 2 reports

the proximity scores d_c and Z_{d_c} for all pairs of diseases and polyphenols.

<u>Area Under ROC Curve Analysis</u>

For each polyphenol, we used AUC to evaluate how well the network proximity

distinguishes diseases with known therapeutic associations from all of the others of the

set of 299 diseases. The set of known associations (therapeutic) retrieved from CTD

were used as positive instances, all unknown associations were defined as negative

instances, and the area under the ROC curve was computed using the implementation

in the Scikit-learn Python package. Furthermore, we calculated 95% confidence

intervals using the bootstrap technique with 2,000 resamplings with sample sizes of 150

each. Considering that AUC provides an overall performance, we also searched for a

metric to evaluate the top ranking predictions. For this analysis, we calculated the

precision of the top 10 predictions, considering only the polyphenol-disease

associations with relative distance $Z_{dc} < -0.5$[16].

<u>Analysis of Network Proximity and Gene Expression Deregulation</u>

We retrieved perturbation signatures from the Connectivity Map database

(https://clue.io/) for the MCF7 cell line after treatment with 22 polyphenols. These

signatures reflect the perturbation of the gene expression profile caused by the

treatment with that particular polyphenol relative to a reference population, which

comprises all other treatments in the same experimental plate[26]. For polyphenols having

more than one experimental instance (time of exposure, cell line, dose), we selected the

one with highest distil_cc_q75 value (75th quantile of pairwise spearman correlations in

landmark genes, https://clue.io/connectopedia/perturbagen_types_and_controls). We

performed Gene Set Enrichment Analysis[66] to evaluate the enrichment of disease

genes among the top deregulated genes in the perturbation profiles. This analysis offers

Enrichment Scores (ES) that have small values when genes are randomly distributed

among the ordered list of expression values and high values when they are

concentrated at the top or bottom of the list. The ES significance is calculated by

creating 1,000 random selection of gene sets with the same size as the original set and

calculating an empirical p-value by considering the proportion of random sets resulting

in ES smaller than the original case. The p-values were adjusted for multiple testing

using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. The network proximity d_c of disease proteins

and polyphenol targets for diseases with significant ES were compared according to

their therapeutic and non-therapeutic associations using the Student's t-test.

<u>Platelet Isolation</u>

Human blood collection was performed as previously described in accordance with the

Declaration of Helsinki and ethics regulations with Institutional Review Board approval

from Brigham and Women's Hospital (P001526). Healthy volunteers did not ingest

known platelet inhibitors for at least 10 days prior. Citrated whole blood underwent

centrifugation with a slow brake (177 x g, 20 minutes), and the PRP fraction was

acquired for subsequent experiments. For washed platelets, PRP was incubated with 1

μM prostaglandin E_1 (Sigma, P5515) and immediately underwent centrifugation with a

slow brake (1000 x g, 5 minutes). Platelet-poor plasma was aspirated, and pellets

resuspended in platelet resuspension buffer (PRB; 10 mM Hepes, 140 mM NaCl, 3 mM

KCl, 0.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 5 mM $NaHCO_3$, 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4).

Platelet Aggregometry

Platelet aggregation was measured by turbidimetric aggregometry as previously

described[67]. Briefly, PRP was pretreated with RA for 1 hour before adding 250 μL to

siliconized glass cuvettes containing magnetic stir bars. Samples were placed in

Chrono-Log® Model 700 Aggregometers before the addition of various platelet agonists.

Platelet aggregation was monitored for 6 minutes at 37°C with a stir speed of 1000 rpm

and the maximum extend of aggregation recorded using AGGRO/LINK®8 software. In

some cases, dense granule release was simultaneously recorded by supplementing

samples with Chrono-Lume® (Chrono-Log®, 395) according to the manufacturer's

instructions.

Platelet Alpha Granule Secretion and Integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ Activation

Changes in platelet surface expression of P-selectin (CD62P) or binding of Alexa

Fluor™ 488-conjugated fibrinogen were used to assess alpha granule secretion and

integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ activation, respectively. First, PRP was pre-incubated with RA for 1 hour,

followed by stimulation with various platelet agonists under static conditions at 37°C for

20 minutes. Samples were then incubated with APC-conjugated anti-human CD62P

antibodies (BioLegend®, 304910) and 100 μg/mL Alexa Fluor™ 488-Fibrinogen (Thermo

Scientific™, F13191) for 20 minutes before fixation in 2% [v/v] paraformaldehyde

(Thermo Scientific™, AAJ19945K2). Fifty thousand platelets were processed per

sample using a Cytek™ Aurora spectral flow cytometer. Percent-positive cells were

determined by gating on fluorescence intensity compared to unstimulated samples.

<u>Platelet Cytotoxicity</u>

Cytotoxicity were tested by measuring lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release by

permeabilized platelets into the supernatant[68]. Briefly, washed platelets were treated

with various concentrations of RA for 1 hour, before isolating supernatants via

centrifugation (15,000 x g, 5 min). A Pierce LDH Activity Kit (Thermo Scientific™,

88953) was then used to assess supernatant levels of LDH.

<u>Immunoprecipitation and Western blot</u>

Washed platelets were pre-treated with RA for 1 hour, followed by a 15 minute

treatment with Eptifibatide (50 μM). Platelets were then stimulated with various agonists

for 5 minutes under stirring conditions (1000 rpm, 37°C). Platelets were lysed on ice

with RIPA Lysis Buffer System® (Santa Cruz®, sc-24948) and supernatants clarified via

centrifugation (15,000 x g, 10 min, 4°C). For immunoprecipitation of FYN, lysates were

first precleared of IgG by incubating with Protein A agarose beads (Cell Signaling

Technologies, 9863S) for 30 minutes at 4°C, before isolation of the supernatant via

centrifugation (15,000 x g, 10 min, 4°C). Supernatants were incubated with anti-FYN

antibodies (Abcam, 2A10) overnight at 4°C before incubation with Protein A beads for 1

hour. Beads were then washed 5 times with NP-40 lysis buffer (144 mM Tris, 518 mM

NaCl, 6 mM EDTA, 12 mM Na_2VO_3, 33.3% [v/v] NP-40, Halt™ protease inhibitor

cocktail (Thermo, 78429)).

For Western Blot analysis, total cell lysates or immunoprecipitated FYN were

reduced with Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad, 1610737) and proteins separated by

molecular weight in PROTEAN TGX™ precast gels (Bio-Rad, 4561084). Proteins were

transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad, 1620174) and probed with either 4G10

(Milipore, 05-321), a primary antibody clone that recognizes phosphorylated tyrosine

residues, or primary antibodies that probe for the site-specific phosphorylation of src

family kinases (SFKs, p-Tyr416) within their activation loop. Membranes were incubated

with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling

Technologies, 7074S) to catalyze an electrochemiluminescent reaction (Thermo

Scientific^{TM}, PI32109). Membranes were visualized using a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Imaging

System and densitometric analysis of protein lanes conducted using ImageJ (NIH,

Version 1.52a).

<u>Code Availability</u>

The relevant code for calculating the network proximity, AUCs, and enrichment scores

can be found on https://github.com/italodovalle/polyphenols

Author Contributions

I.F.V and A.L.B designed the study. I.F.V. performed all computational analyses. H.G.R,

M.W.M., E.B., and J.L designed and performed experimental validation. J.L. guided

I.F.V. on validation case studies. S.M and D.B guided I.F.V for data interpretation and

curation of disease associations obtained from literature. I.F.V and A.L.B wrote the

paper with input from all authors. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported, in part, by NIH grant 1P01HL132825, HG007690, HL108630,

and HL119145; American Heart Association grants 151708 and D700382; and ERC

grant 810115-DYNASET.

Declaration of Interests

Table 1 – Top 20 Predicted Therapeutic Associations Between EGCG and Human Diseases

Disease	Distance d_c	Significance Z_{d_c}	Known Therapeutic Effect (References)
nervous system diseases	1.13	-1.72	[69,70]
nutritional and metabolic diseases	1.25	-1.45	[25]
metabolic diseases	1.25	-1.41	[25]
cardiovascular diseases	1.27	-2.67	[71–76]
immune system diseases	1.29	-1.31	[77]
vascular diseases	1.33	-3.47	[71,72,75]
digestive system diseases	1.33	-1.57	[78–82]
neurodegenerative diseases	1.37	-1.71	[83]
central nervous system diseases	1.41	-0.54	[83]
autoimmune diseases	1.41	-1.30	[77]
gastrointestinal diseases	1.43	-1.02	[84]
brain diseases	1.43	-0.89	NA
intestinal diseases	1.49	-1.08	[84]
inflammatory bowel diseases	1.54	-2.10	NA
bone diseases	1.54	-1.18	NA
gastroenteritis	1.54	-1.92	NA
demyelinating diseases	1.54	-1.78	NA
glucose metabolism disorders	1.54	-1.58	[25]
heart diseases	1.56	-1.20	[73,74,76]
diabetes mellitus	1.56	-1.66	[25]

Diseases were ordered according to the network distance (d_c) of their proteins to EGCG targets and diseases with relative distance $Z_{dc} > -0.5$ were removed. References reported in CTD for curated 'therapeutic associations' are shown.

537 **Table 2 – Top Ranked Polyphenols.** Polyphenols for which network proximity to

538 diseases best predicts their therapeutic effects.

Polyphenol	AUC	AUC CI*	Precision**	Concentration in Blood***	N Mapped Targets	LCC Size
Coumarin	0.93	[0.86 - 0.98]	0.6		7	1
Piceatannol	0.86	[0.77 - 0.94]	0.6		39	23
Genistein	0.82	[0.75 - 0.89]	0.7	[0.006 - 0.525 uM]	18	6
Ellagic acid	0.79	[0.63 - 0.92]	0.6		42	19
(-)-epigallocatechin 3-O-gallate	0.78	[0.70 - 0.86]	0.8		51	17
Isoliquiritigenin	0.75	[0.77 - 0.94]	0.6		10	8
Resveratrol	0.75	[0.66 - 0.82]	1		63	25
Pterostilbene	0.73	[0.61 - 0.84]	0.6		5	2
Quercetin	0.73	[0.64 - 0.81]	1	[0.022 - 0.080 uM]	216	140
(-)-epicatechin	0.65	[0.49 - 0.80]	0.8	0.625 uM	11	3

Table showing polyphenols with AUC > 0.6 and Precision > 0.6.
* Confidence intervals calculated with 2,000 bootstraps with replacement and sample size of 50% of the
diseases (150/299)
** Precision was calculated based on the top 10 polyphenols after their ranking based on the distance (d_c)
of their targets to the disease proteins and considering only predictions with Z-score < -0.5.
*** Concentrations of polyphenols in blood were retrieved from the Human Metabolome Database
(HMDB)
546

Figure 1 – Properties of Polyphenol Protein Targets. (A) Schematic representation of the human interactome, highlighting regions where polyphenol targets and disease proteins are localized. (B) Diagram showing the selection criteria of the polyphenols evaluated in this study. (C) Distribution of the number of polyphenol protein targets mapped to the human interactome. (D) Top (n=15) enriched GO pathways (Biological Process) among all polyphenol protein targets. The X-axis shows the proportion of targets mapped to each pathway. (E) Size of the Largest Connected Component (LCC) formed by the targets of each polyphenol in the interactome and the corresponding significance (z-score).

559   **Figure 2 – Protein-protein Interactions of Polyphenol Targets.** The 23 polyphenols whose targets
560   form connected components in the interactome and their respective subgraphs. For example, piceatannol
561   targets form a unique connected component of 23 proteins, while quercetin targets form multiple
562   connected components, the largest with 140 proteins. Polyphenol targets that are not connected to any
563   other target are not shown in the figure. Colors distinguish connected component of different polyphenols.
564

Figure 3 – Proximity Between Polyphenol Targets and Disease Proteins is Predictive of Therapeutic Effects of the Polyphenol. (a) Interactome neighborhood showing the EGCG protein targets and their interactions with type 2 diabetes (T2D)-associated proteins. (b) Distribution of AUC values considering the predictions of therapeutic effects for 65 polyphenols. (c) Comparison of the ECGC-disease associations considering the CTD database and the in-house database derived from the manual curation of the literature. (d) Comparison of the prediction performance when considering known EGCG-disease associations from the CTD, in-house manually curated database, or combined datasets.

Figure 4 – Relationships among Gene Expression Perturbation, Network Proximity, and Therapeutic Effects of Polyphenols on Diseases. (a) Schematic representation of the relationship between the extent to which a polyphenol perturbs disease genes expression, its proximity to the disease genes, and its therapeutic effects. (b) Interactome neighborhood showing the modules of skin diseases (SD, genistein, and cerebrovascular disorders (CD). The SD module has 10 proteins with high perturbation scores (>2) in the treatment of the MCF7 cell line with 1 µM of genistein. Genes associated to SD are significantly enriched among the most differentially expressed genes, and the maximum perturbation score among disease genes is higher in SD than CD. (c) Among the diseases in which genes are enriched with highly perturbed genes, those with therapeutic associations show smaller network distances to the polyphenol targets than those without. The same trend is observed in treatments of the polyphenols quercetin, resveratrol, and myricetin.

Figure 5 – Diseases Proximal to Polyphenol Targets Have Higher Gene Expression Perturbation
Profiles. (a) Schematic representation of proximal and distal diseases in relation to genistein targets.
Each node represents a disease and the node size proportional to the perturbation score after treatment
with genistein (1 µM, 6 hours). Distance from the origin represents the network proximity (d_c) to genistein
targets. Purple nodes represent diseases in which the therapeutic association was previously known. (c)
Cumulative distribution of the maximum perturbation scores of genes from diseases that are distal or
proximal to polyphenol targets considering different polyphenols (1 µM, 6 hours): genistein, quercetin,
resveratrol, and myricetin. Statistical significance was evaluated with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Figure 6 – Rosmarinic Acid Modulates Platelet Function. (a) Interactome neighborhood showing
rosmarinic acid (RA) targets and the RA-VD-platelet module - the connected component formed by the
RA target FYN and the VD proteins associated with platelet function PDE4D, CD36, and APP – and the
receptor for platelet agonists used in our experiments (collagen/CRPXL, TRAP6, U46619, and ADP). (b)
Average shortest path length from each platelet agonist receptor and the RA-VD-platelet module formed
by the proteins FYN, PDE4D, CD36, APP. Bars represent standard deviation of that same measure over
1000 iterations of random selection of nodes in a degree preserving fashion. c-e) Platelet-rich plasma
(PRP) or washed platelets were pre-treated with RA for 1 hour before stimulation with either collagen (1

μg/mL), collagen-related peptide (CRP-XL, 1μg/mL), thrombin receptor activator peptide-6 (TRAP-6, 20
μM), U46619 (1 μM), or ADP (10 μM). Platelets were assessed for either (c) aggregation, (d) alpha
granule secretion. Platelet lysates were also probed for either (e) non-specific tyrosine phosphorylation
(p-Tyr) of the whole cell lysate, or (d) site-specific phosphorylation of src family kinases (SFKs) and FYN
at residue 416. n = 3-6 separate blood donations, mean +/- SEM. p-values in (c) and (d) were determined
by Kruskal-Wallis test and by unpaired t.tests in (f).
613

References

1. Khera, A. V. *et al.* Genetic Risk, Adherence to a Healthy Lifestyle, and Coronary
Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **375**, 2349–2358 (2016).

2. Arts, I. C. W. & Hollman, P. C. H. Polyphenols and disease risk in epidemiologic
studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **81**, 317S-325S (2005).

3. Wang, X., Ouyang, Y. Y., Liu, J. & Zhao, G. Flavonoid intake and risk of CVD: A
systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Br. J. Nutr.*
111, 1–11 (2014).

4. Neveu, V. *et al.* Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database on
polyphenol contents in foods. *Database* **2010**, bap024–bap024 (2010).

5. Pérez-Jiménez, J., Neveu, V., Vos, F. & Scalbert, A. Systematic analysis of the
content of 502 Polyphenols in 452 foods and beverages: An application of the
phenol-explorer database. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **58**, 4959–4969 (2010).

6. Zhang, H. & Tsao, R. Dietary polyphenols, oxidative stress and antioxidant and
anti-inflammatory effects. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* (2016)
doi:10.1016/j.cofs.2016.02.002.

7. Boly, R. *et al.* Quercetin inhibits a large panel of kinases implicated in cancer cell
biology. *Int. J. Oncol.* **38**, 833–842 (2011).

8. Lacroix, S. *et al.* A computationally driven analysis of the polyphenol-protein
interactome. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 2232 (2018).

9. Hanhineva, K. *et al.* Impact of dietary polyphenols on carbohydrate metabolism.
Int. J. Mol. Sci. **11**, 1365–402 (2010).

10. Hervert-Hernández, D. & Goñi, I. Dietary polyphenols and human gut microbiota:
A review. *Food Rev. Int.* **27**, 154–169 (2011).

11. Zhang, S. *et al.* Dietary pomegranate extract and inulin affect gut microbiome
differentially in mice fed an obesogenic diet. *Anaerobe* **48**, 184–193 (2017).

12. Thazhath, S. S. *et al.* Administration of resveratrol for 5 wk has no effect on
glucagon-like peptide 1 secretion, gastric emptying, or glycemic control in type 2
diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **103**, 66–70 (2016).

13. Bhatt, J. K., Thomas, S. & Nanjan, M. J. Resveratrol supplementation improves

glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nutr. Res.* **32**, 537–41 (2012).

14. Sharma, A. *et al.* A disease module in the interactome explains disease
heterogeneity, drug response and captures novel pathways and genes in asthma.
Hum. Mol. Genet. **24**, 3005–3020 (2014).

15. Menche, J. *et al.* Disease networks. Uncovering disease-disease relationships
through the incomplete interactome. *Science* **347**, 1257601 (2015).

16. Guney, E., Menche, J., Vidal, M. & Barabási, A.-L. Network-based in silico drug
efficacy screening. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10331 (2016).

17. Cheng, F. *et al.* Network-based approach to prediction and population-based
validation of in silico drug repurposing. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–12 (2018).

18. Davis, A. P. *et al.* The Comparative Toxicogenomics Database: update 2019.
Nucleic Acids Res. **47**, D948–D954 (2019).

19. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v10: protein-protein interaction networks, integrated
over the tree of life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, D447-52 (2015).

20. Kovács, I. A. *et al.* Network-based prediction of protein interactions. *Nat.*
Commun. **10**, 1240 (2019).

21. Sarkar, F. H., Li, Y., Wang, Z. & Kong, D. Cellular signaling perturbation by
natural products. *Cell. Signal.* **21**, 1541–7 (2009).

22. Iso, H. *et al.* The relationship between green tea and total caffeine intake and risk
for self-reported type 2 diabetes among Japanese adults. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **144**,
554–62 (2006).

23. Song, Y., Manson, J. E., Buring, J. E., Sesso, H. D. & Liu, S. Associations of
dietary flavonoids with risk of type 2 diabetes, and markers of insulin resistance
and systemic inflammation in women: a prospective study and cross-sectional
analysis. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **24**, 376–84 (2005).

24. Keske, M. A. *et al.* Vascular and metabolic actions of the green tea polyphenol
epigallocatechin gallate. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **22**, 59–69 (2015).

25. Wolfram, S. *et al.* Epigallocatechin gallate supplementation alleviates diabetes in
rodents. *J. Nutr.* **136**, 2512–8 (2006).

26. Subramanian, A. *et al.* A Next Generation Connectivity Map: L1000 Platform and
the First 1,000,000 Profiles. *Cell* **171**, 1437-1452.e17 (2017).

27.   Gil-Longo, J. & González-Vázquez, C. Vascular pro-oxidant effects secondary to
the autoxidation of gallic acid in rat aorta. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **21**, 304–9 (2010).

28.   Badavi, M., Bazaz, A., Dianat, M. & Sarkaki, A. Gallic acid improves endothelium-
dependent vasodilatory response to histamine in the mesenteric vascular bed of
diabetic rats. *J. Diabetes* **9**, 1003–1011 (2017).

29.   Jin, L. *et al.* Gallic Acid Reduces Blood Pressure and Attenuates Oxidative Stress
and Cardiac Hypertrophy in Spontaneously Hypertensive Rats. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1–14
(2017).

30.   de Oliveira, L. M. *et al.* The vasorelaxant effect of gallic acid involves
endothelium-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Vascul. Pharmacol.* **81**,
69–74 (2016).

31.   Badhani, B., Sharma, N. & Kakkar, R. Gallic acid: a versatile antioxidant with
promising therapeutic and industrial applications. *RSC Adv.* **5**, 27540–27557
(2015).

32.   Couto, J. A. *et al.* Somatic MAP2K1 Mutations Are Associated with Extracranial
Arteriovenous Malformation. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **100**, 546–554 (2017).

33.   Séverin, S. *et al.* Distinct and overlapping functional roles of Src family kinases in
mouse platelets. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* **10**, 1631–45 (2012).

34.   Reddy, K. B., Smith, D. M. & Plow, E. F. Analysis of Fyn function in hemostasis
and alphaIIbbeta3-integrin signaling. *J. Cell Sci.* **121**, 1641–8 (2008).

35.   Quek, L. S. *et al.* Fyn and Lyn phosphorylate the Fc receptor gamma chain
downstream of glycoprotein VI in murine platelets, and Lyn regulates a novel
feedback pathway. *Blood* **96**, 4246–53 (2000).

36.   Ji, R. *et al.* Rosmarinic acid exerts an antagonistic effect on vascular calcification
by regulating the Nrf2 signalling pathway. *Free Radic. Res.* 1–11 (2019)
doi:10.1080/10715762.2018.1558447.

37.   Sotnikova, R. *et al.* Rosmarinic acid administration attenuates diabetes-induced
vascular dysfunction of the rat aorta. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **65**, 713–23 (2013).

38.   Han, J. *et al.* Rosmarinic Acid Protects against Inflammation and Cardiomyocyte
Apoptosis during Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury by Activating
Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma. *Front. Pharmacol.* **8**, 456

(2017).

39.   Grover, S. P., Bergmeier, W. & Mackman, N. Platelet Signaling Pathways and

New Inhibitors. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* **38**, e28–e35 (2018).

40.   Moco, S., Martin, F. P. J. & Rezzi, S. Metabolomics view on gut microbiome

modulation by polyphenol-rich foods. *J. Proteome Res.* **11**, 4781–4790 (2012).

41.   van Duynhoven, J. *et al.* Metabolic fate of polyphenols in the human

superorganism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 4531–4538 (2011).

42.   Ottaviani, J. I., Heiss, C., Spencer, J. P. E., Kelm, M. & Schroeter, H.

Recommending flavanols and procyanidins for cardiovascular health: Revisited.

Mol. Aspects Med. **61**, 63–75 (2018).

43.   Stalmach, A., Troufflard, S., Serafini, M. & Crozier, A. Absorption, metabolism and

excretion of Choladi green tea flavan-3-ols by humans. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*

(2009) doi:10.1002/mnfr.200800169.

44.   Meng, X. *et al.* Identification and characterization of methylated and ring-fission

metabolites of tea catechins formed in humans, mice, and rats. *Chem. Res.*

Toxicol. (2002) doi:10.1021/tx010184a.

45.   Perez-Vizcaino, F., Duarte, J. & Santos-Buelga, C. The flavonoid paradox:

Conjugation and deconjugation as key steps for the biological activity of

flavonoids. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **92**, 1822–1825 (2012).

46.   Shimoi, K. & Nakayama, T. Glucuronidase deconjugation in inflammation.

Methods Enzymol. **400**, 263–272 (2005).

47.   Kaneko, A. *et al.* Glucuronides of phytoestrogen flavonoid enhance macrophage

function via conversion to aglycones by β-glucuronidase in macrophages. *Immun.*

Inflamm. Dis. **5**, 265–279 (2017).

48.   Chao, E. C. & Henry, R. R. SGLT2 inhibition-A novel strategy for diabetes

treatment. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **9**, 551–559 (2010).

49.   Mereles, D. & Hunstein, W. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) for clinical trials:

More Pitfalls than Promises? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **12**, 5592–5603 (2011).

50.   Jensen, K., Ni, Y., Panagiotou, G. & Kouskoumvekaki, I. Developing a Molecular

Roadmap of Drug-Food Interactions. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, 1–15 (2015).

51.   Rolland, T. *et al.* A proteome-scale map of the human interactome network. *Cell*

159, 1212–1226 (2014).

52.   Cheng, F., Jia, P., Wang, Q. & Zhao, Z. Quantitative network mapping of the

human kinome interactome reveals new clues for rational kinase inhibitor

discovery and individualized cancer therapy. *Oncotarget* **5**, 3697–710 (2014).

53.   Calçada, D. *et al.* The role of low-grade inflammation and metabolic flexibility in

aging and nutritional modulation thereof: A systems biology approach. *Mech.*

Ageing Dev. (2014) doi:10.1016/j.mad.2014.01.004.

54.   Hornbeck, P. V *et al.* PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and

recalibrations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, D512-20 (2015).

55.   Li, T. *et al.* A scored human protein-protein interaction network to catalyze

genomic interpretation. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 61–64 (2016).

56.   Chatr-Aryamontri, A. *et al.* The BioGRID interaction database: 2017 update.

Nucleic Acids Res. **45**, D369–D379 (2017).

57.   Cowley, M. J. *et al.* PINA v2.0: mining interactome modules. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

40, D862-5 (2012).

58.   Peri, S. *et al.* Human protein reference database as a discovery resource for

proteomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, D497-501 (2004).

59.   Orchard, S. *et al.* The MIntAct project--IntAct as a common curation platform for

11 molecular interaction databases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D358-63 (2014).

60.   Breuer, K. *et al.* InnateDB: systems biology of innate immunity and beyond--

recent updates and continuing curation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D1228-33 (2013).

61.   Meyer, M. J., Das, J., Wang, X. & Yu, H. INstruct: a database of high-quality 3D

structurally resolved protein interactome networks. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1577–9

(2013).

62.   Mosca, R., Céol, A. & Aloy, P. Interactome3D: adding structural details to protein

networks. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 47–53 (2013).

63.   Meyer, M. J. *et al.* Interactome INSIDER: a structural interactome browser for

genomic studies. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 107–114 (2018).

64.   Fazekas, D. *et al.* SignaLink 2 - a signaling pathway resource with multi-layered

regulatory networks. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **7**, 7 (2013).

65.   Huttlin, E. L. *et al.* Architecture of the human interactome defines protein

communities and disease networks. *Nature* **545**, 505–509 (2017).

66. Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based

approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U. S. A. **102**, 15545–50 (2005).

67. Roweth, H. G. *et al.* Two novel, putative mechanisms of action for citalopram-

induced platelet inhibition. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1–14 (2018).

68. Roweth, H. G. *et al.* Citalopram inhibits platelet function independently of SERT-

mediated 5-HT transport. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1–14 (2018).

69. Nath, S., Bachani, M., Harshavardhana, D. & Steiner, J. P. Catechins protect

neurons against mitochondrial toxins and HIV proteins via activation of the BDNF

pathway. *J. Neurovirol.* **18**, 445–455 (2012).

70. Park, K.-S. *et al.* (-)-Epigallocatethin-3-O-gallate counteracts caffeine-induced

hyperactivity: evidence of dopaminergic blockade. *Behav. Pharmacol.* **21**, 572–

575 (2010).

71. Ramesh, E., Geraldine, P. & Thomas, P. A. Regulatory effect of epigallocatechin

gallate on the expression of C-reactive protein and other inflammatory markers in

an experimental model of atherosclerosis. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **183**, 125–32

(2010).

72. Han, S. G., Han, S.-S., Toborek, M. & Hennig, B. EGCG protects endothelial cells

against PCB 126-induced inflammation through inhibition of AhR and induction of

Nrf2-regulated genes. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **261**, 181–8 (2012).

73. Sheng, R., Gu, Z.-L. & Xie, M.-L. Epigallocatechin gallate, the major component of

polyphenols in green tea, inhibits telomere attrition mediated cardiomyocyte

apoptosis in cardiac hypertrophy. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **162**, 199–209 (2013).

74. Devika, P. T. & Stanely Mainzen Prince, P. (-)Epigallocatechingallate protects the

mitochondria against the deleterious effects of lipids, calcium and adenosine

triphosphate in isoproterenol induced myocardial infarcted male Wistar rats. *J.*

Appl. Toxicol. **28**, 938–44 (2008).

75. Yi, Q.-Y. *et al.* Chronic infusion of epigallocatechin-3-O-gallate into the

hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus attenuates hypertension and

sympathoexcitation by restoring neurotransmitters and cytokines. *Toxicol. Lett.*

262, 105–113 (2016).

76.   Devika, P. T. & Prince, P. S. M. Preventive effect of (-)epigallocatechin-gallate

(EGCG) on lysosomal enzymes in heart and subcellular fractions in isoproterenol-

induced myocardial infarcted Wistar rats. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **172**, 245–52

(2008).

77.   Hushmendy, S. *et al.* Select phytochemicals suppress human T-lymphocytes and

mouse splenocytes suggesting their use in autoimmunity and transplantation.

Nutr. Res. **29**, 568–78 (2009).

78.   Shen, K. *et al.* Epigallocatechin 3-Gallate Ameliorates Bile Duct Ligation Induced

Liver Injury in Mice by Modulation of Mitochondrial Oxidative Stress and

Inflammation. *PLoS One* **10**, e0126278 (2015).

79.   ZHEN, M. *et al.* Green tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits oxidative

damage and preventive effects on carbon tetrachloride–induced hepatic fibrosis.

J. Nutr. Biochem. **18**, 795–805 (2007).

80.   Yasuda, Y. *et al.* (−)-Epigallocatechin gallate prevents carbon tetrachloride-

induced rat hepatic fibrosis by inhibiting the expression of the PDGFRβ and IGF-

1R. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **182**, 159–164 (2009).

81.   Cao, W. *et al.* iTRAQ-based proteomic analysis of combination therapy with

taurine, epigallocatechin gallate, and genistein on carbon tetrachloride-induced

liver fibrosis in rats. *Toxicol. Lett.* **232**, 233–245 (2015).

82.   Kitamura, M. *et al.* Epigallocatechin gallate suppresses peritoneal fibrosis in mice.

Chem. Biol. Interact. **195**, 95–104 (2012).

83.   Sakla, M. S. & Lorson, C. L. Induction of full-length survival motor neuron by

polyphenol botanical compounds. *Hum. Genet.* **122**, 635–643 (2008).

84.   Shimizu, M. *et al.* (−)-Epigallocatechin gallate inhibits growth and activation of the

VEGF/VEGFR axis in human colorectal cancer cells. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **185**,

247–252 (2010).