Antibiotic tolerance is associated with a broad and complex transcriptional response in *E.*
coli

Heather S. Deter[1], Tahmina Hossain[2] and Nicholas C. Butzin*[2]
[1] Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA
[2] Department of Biology and Microbiology. South Dakota State University. Brookings, SD. 57006. USA.
*Correspondence: nicholas.butzin@gmail.com

Summary:
Antibiotic treatment kills a large portion of a population, while a small, tolerant subpopulation
survives. Tolerant bacteria disrupt antibiotic efficacy and increase the likelihood that a population
gains antibiotic resistance, a growing health concern. We examined how *E. coli* transcriptional
networks changed in response to lethal ampicillin concentrations. We are the first to apply
transcriptional regulatory network (TRN) analysis to antibiotic tolerance by leveraging existing
knowledge and our transcriptional data. TRN analysis shows that gene expression changes
specific to ampicillin treatment are likely caused by specific sigma and transcription factors
typically regulated by proteolysis. These results demonstrate that to survive lethal concentration
of ampicillin specific regulatory proteins change activity and cause a coordinated transcriptional
response that leverages multiple gene systems.

Introduction
When disaster strikes, a coordinated response is required to mitigate the effects and survive
in the face of adversity. Cells have developed an array of regulatory networks to coordinate
cellular activity and respond to their environment. These regulatory events occur simultaneously
and at multiple levels, including transcriptional, translational, and post-translational regulation.
The identification of individual regulatory interactions in combination with bioinformatics
approaches has resulted in multiple databases detailing regulation throughout the cell, the most
detailed databases are currently for *E. coli* (e.g. RegulonDB[2], EcoCyc[3]). The depth and availability
of information regarding regulatory dynamics provide a new framework for analyzing sequencing
data in the context of the whole cell. As such, these types of databases have been applied to
analyze network topology[4] as well as experimental datasets[5-9]. This data has been used to
examine transcriptional regulatory networks (TRNs) at the transcriptomic level, which consider
gene expression as a function of regulatory interactions by DNA-binding proteins (transcription
factors and sigma factors)[10]. We focused on RNA-sequencing data in the context of cell growth
and antibiotic stress. Antibiotics disrupt microbial systems and cause targeted cell death; bacterial
survival of antibiotics is therefore dependent on cellular networks adjusting to circumvent the
physiological impact of the antibiotic.
Antibiotic tolerance, and more specifically antibiotic persisters, are a perfect example of how
alterations in metabolic activity (i.e. gene expression and protein activity) lead to changes in cell
phenotypes, increasing the chance of survival. Persisters are a subpopulation of cells that tolerate
antibiotics for long periods of time despite being genetically identical to susceptible cells. After
antibiotic treatment, the surviving population may mutate or acquire resistance genes from their
environment[11-13]. Persistence and antibiotic tolerance have been studied extensively, but the
heterogeneity of the tolerant population combined with the variance between antibiotic survival of
diverse species and growth conditions has led to a number of different, often conflicting, models
regarding the causative factors underlying antibiotic tolerance. Some conflicting reports suggest
the presence of subpopulations that are metabolically repressed, particularly with respect to
translation, while others have found that active metabolism plays a vital role in antibiotic
tolerance[14-18]. A few studies have leveraged [19-21]RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq)[18,22-23] and proteomic
data[24-26], and they have identified several individual genes and systems related to antibiotic
tolerance.

Other work has used gene knockouts and/or overexpression to study specific elements of
antibiotic survival[27-29]. However, knockouts and gene overexpression can lead to disrupted
cellular networks, alter growth rates, and impact mechanisms that alter awakening from dormancy
(growth rates and dormancy have been well-studied[30]). Nonetheless, these works and others
have attributed several different mechanisms to antibiotic tolerance. Many genes identified by
these studies are sigma factors or transcription factors, regulatory proteins that affect gene
expression[26,29,31-33]. The significance of these genes to antibiotic survival suggests that a broader
regulatory network underlies the antibiotic stress response. Although understanding the role of
individual genes in antibiotic survival is important, what is currently lacking is a more holistic
understanding at the network level. While it has been a long-standing goal to identify one or more
genes unique to antibiotic tolerance, our TRN analysis shows that the transcriptional response to
antibiotic treatment is controlled via a whole-cell, network response consisting of smaller,
overlapping networks, some of which are redundant.
We have taken a systems biology, top-down approach to explore antibiotic survival of tolerant
populations, and obtained deep, RNA-seq data on both antibiotic-treated and -untreated
populations emerging from stationary phase. Our results show that cells have an active and
coordinated response to lethal antibiotic stress that alters the activity of specific sigma factors and
transcription factors (TFs). Existing literature allows us to connect the activity of these regulatory
proteins and changes in gene expression to the pathways involved in antibiotic tolerance. Our
findings suggest that many of the regulatory proteins activated during antibiotic stress are
regulated by protein degradation. Protein aggregation was recently demonstrated in persister
cells[26], and our previous work shows that interfering with degradation at the protease ClpXP
increases antibiotic tolerance in *E. coli*[34]. To test if the transcriptional changes are due to altered
proteolysis, we then compared the transcriptome of populations with and without slowed protein
degradation using our previously established system[34]. The analysis of the TRNs in these
populations provides evidence that slowed proteolytic processing of native proteins activates a
stress response that promotes recovery once the stress is removed.
78
Results and Discussion
We hypothesize that bacteria survive antibiotics by a network response where intracellular
networks communicate to adjust cellular responses, rather than a single gene or system as the
sole agent of antibiotic tolerance. While individual genes or systems can alter a population's ability
to survive antibiotics, we assert that the individual genes/systems regulate the response but that
multiple pathways play a role in antibiotic survival. Here, we support this hypothesis using
transcriptional data from antibiotic-treated and untreated populations, and then compare our
results to the current knowledge in the field. We attest that the conflicting data surrounding genes
related to antibiotic survival (persistence and tolerance) in the literature is due, in part, to
researchers slightly or significantly altering network dynamics through gene knockouts and
overexpression, and that a more holistic system biology approach could provide new insights.
90
RNA-sequencing of ampicillin treated and untreated cultures
We will initially discuss gene expression in three different populations: *a stationary phase*
population, which was then diluted into fresh media and incubated for three hours without
ampicillin (*untreated*, Amp-) or with lethal concentrations of ampicillin (*amp-treated,* Amp+). We
used rapid filtration to harvest cell samples followed by flash freezing in liquid nitrogen. This allows
us to recover any whole cells present after antibiotic treatment regardless of viability (this includes
persisters and cells that are considered "viable but not culturable" (VBNC)). An advantage to this
method is that it enables the concentration of cells while minimizing the possible time for RNA
degradation. We found that rapid filtration has greater than 2.5 times fewer dead cells during
harvesting than pelleting through centrifugation (a commonly used alternative to filtration). The
VBNC levels were similar in the untreated and treated populations; we observed that VBNCs

levels were 2.7-fold and 2.2-fold higher than culturable cells (counted on agar plates) in stationary
phase and ampicillin treated cultures respectively. Recent research has suggested that VBNC
cells are physiologically related to persisters; both populations are often found together[35],
increase due to stress[36-37], appear stochastically in non-stressed environments[38], and can
withstand greater stress than the susceptible population[35,39-42]. However, the presence of VBNCs
demonstrates the heterogeneity of the population that survive antibiotic treatment, but VBNCs are
difficult to separate from persister cells. Regardless, we are interested in the tolerant population
as a whole and the systems we have identified relating to antibiotic tolerance may be relevant to
the whole surviving population or specific subpopulations.
This work builds off our previously reported results with antibiotic tolerance, and uses a similar
setup with the same strains of *E. coli*[34]. The sequencing data was analyzed using DESeq. Here
we consider significant changes in gene expression to be greater than two-fold with an adjusted
p-value <0.1. We began by examining changes in gene expression between the stationary phase
and the untreated populations. Comparing these two populations allows us to identify how the
cells adjust to their new environment, as diluting the cells into fresh media is a critical aspect of
selection for ampicillin tolerance from stationary phase (ampicillin is most effective on growing
cells)[30,43-44]. The majority of the genes with significantly different expression in the untreated
population decreased from stationary phase (rather than increased), suggesting that cells are
expending fewer resources in the new media. This phenomenon is likely due to the introduction
of fresh nutrients and dilution of spent media resulting in a less stressful environment. Data for
the untreated population provides information on the changes specific to the new environment;
thus, we can focus on changes specific to antibiotic stress by identifying differences in gene
expression unique to the treated population. These differences provide key insights into the
molecular mechanisms of antibiotic tolerance and the role of transcriptional regulatory networks
(TRNs) in antibiotic survival and recovery.
127
Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) during ampicillin treatment
Using a top-down approach, we analyzed changes in gene expression based on the general
functional classification, as defined by Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs)[1,45]. Although the
COG classification system is limited to known functions, more qualitative than quantitative, and
often used to study evolutionary relationships; it provides a practical method of grouping genes
to identify notable trends in the data. When we analyze the COGs for gene expression unique to
the amp-treated population, we find that a few categories stand out (Fig. 1). We will focus on
groups with known functions; however, there are seven genes categorized as "function unknown"
or "general function prediction only" upregulated during ampicillin treatment, which suggests their
function is related to survival or recovery (Fig. 1).
The most upregulated category is translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis (68 genes).
While the accumulation of proteins involved in translational machinery has previously been shown
in ampicillin treated *E. coli*[26], it is important to note that we removed rRNA during the RNA-seq
library preparation process and most of the genes in this category encode ribosomal proteins.
Thus, we will not go into depth for this particular group to avoid potential biases in our datasets.
The remaining groups with more than 20 upregulated genes are amino acid transport and
metabolism (28 genes); energy production and conversion (26 genes); and posttranslational
modification, protein turnover, chaperones (23 genes). We explore these groups further in the
context of the TRN.
147
148 *Analysis of the sigma factor (σ) regulatory network shows that the activity of σ[70], σ[38], and σ[32]*
149 *increase during ampicillin treatment*
150   Sigma factors are the master regulators of gene expression and essential components of
151 transcriptional regulation. These proteins interact with RNA polymerase to initiate transcription,
152 and their activity changes depending on cellular conditions[46]. Sigma factors are often regulated

Upregulated

Downregulated

Code	Functional category	Up	Down	Genome
C	Energy production & conversion	26	11	239
D	Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning	3	1	30
E	Amino acid transport & metabolism	28	10	329
F	Nucleotide transport & metabolism	11	1	93
G	Carbohydrate transport & metabolism	10	13	353
H	Coenzyme transport & metabolism	10	2	148
I	Lipid transport & metabolism	6	4	108
J	Translation, ribosomal structure & biogenesis	68	2	205
K	Transcription	8	9	195
L	Replication, recombination & repair	4	0	109
M	Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis	13	5	187
N	Cell motility	1	2	82
O	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones	23	3	128
P	Inorganic ion transport & metabolism	9	4	199
Q	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport & catabolism	6	1	67
R	General function prediction only	4	6	225
S	Function unknown	3	9	182
T	Signal transduction mechanisms	1	6	137
U	Intracellular trafficking, secretion, & vesicular transport	5	0	38
V	Defense mechanisms	4	1	79
W	Extracellular structures	0	2	31

Fig. 1. Cluster of Orthologous Genes (COGs). Changes in gene expression specific to ampicillin treatment are enriched in a few COG categories but are rare or nonexistent in others. Left: The number of genes (counts) that are differently expressed (adjusted p<0.1) in the ampicillin treated population organized according to COGs (Top left: upregulated; Bottom left: downregulated). Only genes that were unique to the treated population (compared to stationary phase) were included; genes that show differential regulation in both the treated and untreated population (compared to stationary phase) were removed. Genes in multiple functional categories were accounted for more than once. Right: Functional categories and codes from REF[1]. Up: increased >2-fold gene expression. Down: decreased <2-fold gene expression. Genome: number of genes with that functional category based on the annotated genome.

at the post-translational level by anti-sigma factors or alterations in protein degradation, and
different sigma factors are active under specific circumstances. The activity of some sigma factors
can be altered by environmental conditions with little change in their mRNA level[47]. However, we
can contextualize changes in gene expression controlled by sigma factors by using TRN analysis.
To do so, we used all the available information on the direct regulation of all annotated genes
from EcoCyc[3] as defined at the time of analysis (File S1). We compared overall transcriptional
activity by mapping changes in expression to specific sigma factors in the context of COGs to get
a global picture of gene expression (Fig. 2). We are the first (to our knowledge) to use COGs to
support a TRN analysis based on experimental data.
Extensive studies on sigma factor activity, both *in vivo* and *in silico*, have identified or predicted
the sigma factor(s) responsible for transcription at specific promoters throughout the genome[48-53].
We compared both the untreated and amp-treated populations to stationary phase. The total
number (count) of genes that changed expression is higher in the treated population than in the
untreated population as compared to the stationary phase population (Fig. 2B). As expected, the
amp-treated population had more expression associated with stress related sigma factors, RpoH
(σ^{32}), RpoS (σ^{38}), and RpoE (σ^{24}), than the untreated population (p <0.001) (Fig. 2A). Many of
the genes that increase in expression in response to ampicillin are regulated by both RpoD (σ^{70})
as well as another sigma factor. The overlapping regulons means that some genes are accounted
for twice, but the increase in expression for many of these genes is probably due to a stress-
related sigma factor rather than RpoD.

A. σ regulatory network unique to Amp treatment

Fig. 2. Stress related sigma factors (σ) are more active in the ampicillin treated population. Sigma factors act as global regulators that control several redundant and overlapping pathways related to antibiotic stress. Genes with increased expression over two-fold (adjusted p < 0.1) were mapped to sigma factors responsible for their transcription. **A.** A graphical representation of the sigma regulatory network during antibiotic tolerance inferred from changes in gene expression unique to the ampicillin treated population compared to stationary phase (File S2). Blue lines: increased expression. Large yellow circles: sigma factors. Small grey circles: genes regulated by the sigma factors. **B.** Counts of upregulated genes for the untreated and treated populations compared to stationary phase. Untreated (Amp-): cells incubated for 3 h in fresh media without ampicillin. Treated (Amp+): cells incubated for 3 h in fresh media with ampicillin. Genes with multiple possible sigma factors are accounted for each possible sigma factor. **C.** Changes in gene expression for each sigma factor based on COG functional group; genes uncharacterized by REF[1] were not included.

B. Genes differentially regulated compared to stationary phase

C. Number of genes in σ regulatory network unique to Amp treatment

COG functional class	σ¹⁹	σ⁵⁴	σ²⁴	σ³⁸	σ³²	σ⁷⁰
Translation, ribosomal structure & biogenesis			2		2	39
Energy production & conversion				7		23
Posttranslational modification/protein turnover/chaperones		1	1		11	12
Amino acid transport & metabolism		1				15
Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis			4		2	7
Coenzyme transport & metabolism				1		9
Nucleotide transport & metabolism						8
Inorganic ion transport & metabolism	2					5
Carbohydrate transport & metabolism				1		5
Defense mechanisms			1			4
Secondary metabolites biosynthesis/transport & catabolism						4
Transcription						4
Intracellular trafficking, secretion, & vesicular transport					1	2
Lipid transport & metabolism			1			2
Cell cycle control/cell division/chromosome partitioning						3
Replication, recombination & repair						3
General function prediction only				1		2
Function unknown						2
Cell motility						1
Total	2	2	9	10	16	150

Sigma factor: σ¹⁹ σ⁵⁴ σ²⁴ σ³⁸ σ³² σ⁷⁰

As expected, there was an increase in the expression of many genes regulated by sigma
factors related to stress, which have previously been associated with antibiotic tolerance[26,31].
Namely, RpoH (σ^{32}; associated with heat shock[54]), RpoS (σ^{38}; associated with stationary phase
and stress[55]), and RpoE (σ^{24}; also associated with heat shock[56]) had more active regulons in the
treated compared to the untreated population. Our analysis shows that RpoH transcribes several
genes related to one of the COG groups noted earlier, 'posttranslational modification, protein
turnover and chaperones'. Genes specifically regulated by RpoH encode the proteins ClpB, GroL,
Lon, DnaK, DnaJ, and FtsH, many of which are related to protein degradation, which we will
discuss. Meanwhile, the sigma factor RpoS regulates several genes related to energy production
and conversion, and RpoE regulates a few genes related to cell wall biogenesis (Fig. 2C). While
these trends are exciting to find in our data, it is clear that other components of transcriptional
regulation, namely TFs, are playing a critical role in controlling gene expression and the antibiotic
stress response.
187
Analysis of the transcription factor (TF) regulatory network shows that the activity of global
regulators increase during ampicillin treatment
TFs act on a variety of scales, from simultaneously regulating hundreds of genes (e.g. CRP[57])
to simply a few genes[58]. As with sigma factors, the activity of many TFs, especially global TFs, is
regulated post-translationally (i.e. at the protein level)[57,59-61], and therefore directly measuring
expression of TFs is not typically informative in regards to their activity. We used TRN analysis to
identify the role of TFs that alter gene expression in response to ampicillin.We mapped changes
in expression to all possible direct regulatory interactions that could account for that change in
RNA levels during ampicillin treatment. Analyzing this network makes it very clear that the
regulatory pathways at play in the population's response to ampicillin are highly interconnected
and likely redundant (Fig. 3).
Several TFs are more active during ampicillin treatment, including all seven global regulators
CRP, FNR, IHF, FIS, ArcA, NarL and Lrp. The impact of global TFs on the system is not entirely
surprising as they are critical factors for a healthy cell physiology. Global regulators are
responsible for the up and down regulation of genes across a heterogenous array of functional
groups, and they are an integral facet of the hierarchical structure of the TRN in *E. coli*[4]. The
altered activity of these TFs in the treated population suggests that the cells are actively regulating
their metabolism and responding at the whole-cell level. REF[29] found that global regulation is
critical to antibiotic survival and the authors suggest that global regulators control several
redundant pathways related to antibiotic stress[29], which is also supported by our results.
Furthermore, both *fnr* and *crp* have previously been identified in gene knockout studies as being
related to antibiotic tolerance[62-63].
Another global TF identified in our analysis and previously related to antibiotic tolerance is Fur.
A Δ*fur* strain has been shown to have decreased levels of tolerance to ciprofloxacin but also have
an increased chance of acquiring resistance[64]. Previous work examining expression data of the
Δ*fur* strain with and without ciprofloxacin identified *entA, entC* and *sufA* expression as being
affected by ciprofloxacin and Fur. As in, Fur regulation was critical for expression of these genes
and tolerance to ciprofloxacin[64]. These three genes also show increased expression in our
ampicillin treated population and have been identified in other types of tolerance as well, such as
n-butanol tolerance[65], which suggests that they are involved as a general stress response
mechanism. The operon *entCDEBAH* is regulated by both CRP and Fur, encodes enterobactins,
and is associated with iron homeostasis and the oxidative stress response. Moreover,
enterobactins protect intracellularly against oxidative stress independently of iron availability[66],
supporting that the oxidative and antibiotic stress responses are integrated.
222

A. TF regulatory network unique to Amp treatment

B. Genes differentially regulated compared to stationary phase

C. Number of genes in TF regulatory network unique to Amp treatment

COG functional class

	Mlc	Rob	Fur	MarA	NarL	GadE	OxyR	SoxS	IhfA	IhfB	ArcA	Fnr	Fis	Crp
Energy production & conversion			6		5	4	1	1				10	3	11	12
Translation, ribosomal structure & biogenesis		1		1				1			1	11	4	3
Amino acid transport & metabolism		1	1	1	1		1	1	3	3	1	3		3
Carbohydrate transport & metabolism	4	1		1			1	1	1	1	1		2	5
Inorganic ion transport & metabolism		1	1	1	1		1	1			1	1	5
Coenzyme transport & metabolism	1			1	1		2	2	1	1	2			
Posttranslational modification/protein turnover/chaperones							3		2	2	1		1
Defense mechanisms		2		2			2	2					
Transcription	1					1			1	1		2	1
Lipid transport & metabolism						1			1	1	2	1	
Signal transduction mechanisms									2	2	1		1
General function prediction only						1			1	1			1
Nucleotide transport & metabolism						1			1	1		1	
Replication, recombination & repair		1		1			1						1
Secondary metabolites biosynthesis/transport & catabolism											1		3
Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis					1						1		
Cell motility									1	1			
Total	6	7	8	8	8	9	10	10	14	14	17	21	23	36

TF

Transcription factor (TF) regulatory network shows increased activity of specific, local regulators during ampicillin treatment

When we further examined pathways related to iron-sulfur (Fe-S) clusters and oxidative stress, we identified a group of genes with changes in expression regulated by local regulators OxyR and IscR. Notably, the ampicillin treated population had increased expression of genes required for Fe-S cluster formation: *sufS*, *sufE, sufC, iscA, iscR, iscS, iscU* and *iscX*. Fe-S clusters act as protein co-factors and serve a wide variety of functions in the cell; they are suited to a variety of processes including redox sensing, catalysis, or electron transfer. Notably, the clusters are also part of the oxidative stress response[67]. Fe-S clusters have been associated with increased development of antibiotic resistance to ciprofloxacin[64] and uptake of aminoglycosides[68].

Our findings further support that Fe-S clusters are involved in antibiotic tolerance. This system also highlights a restraint of our study, namely that we are only mapping regulatory events as opposed to deregulatory events. Derepression (removal of repression) is another type of transcriptional regulation that affects antibiotic survival. In this instance, when accounting for genes regulated by IscR, the *isc* genes were not included because IscR represses these genes and the genes increased in expression in our network. However, increased expression of *isc* genes is likely due to derepression of IscR, which is supported by the simultaneous increase of the *suf* genes, which IscR also regulates[67]. The same can be said for the regulation by Fur, which is also associated with iron homeostasis. While Fur was identified as significantly more active in the treated population and primarily acts as a repressor, increased expression resulting from Fur's derepression was not accounted for in the TRN analysis. This particular group of TFs demonstrates how changes in regulatory interactions must be identified contextually with respect to when these changes might occur. The context of regulation is particularly significant for dual-regulators TFs that can activate and repress different genes depending on the state of the cell (e.g. global regulators).

Another group of local TFs that play a role in the antibiotic-treated population is the Mar/Rob/SoxS regulon. These three TFs are closely related, with degenerative consensus sequences that result in considerable overlap of regulated genes, and the regulon is positively associated with antibiotic tolerance and multidrug resistance[32-33]. Constitutive expression of SoxS has been shown to cause multiple antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of *E. coli*[69]. Extensive work has shown that the TF MarA plays a role in antibiotic tolerance, as the operon was named for its role in <u>m</u>ultiple <u>a</u>ntibiotic <u>r</u>esistance[70]. MarA is expressed stochastically and is primarily regulated by degradation at the Lon protease[71-72]. SoxS is also degraded by Lon as well as the protease FtsH. The rapid degradation of MarA and SoxS enables the cell to rapidly recover once a stress is removed[73]. We will discuss more about the importance of protein degradation to antibiotic survival later. Meanwhile, the TF Rob is in an inactive state until it is induced by binding to specific substrates[74], a perfect example of how analyzing the mRNA level of a TF is not sufficient to understand protein activity. It is important to note that the level of *rob, marA* or *soxS* mRNA may not significantly change, while the proteins' concentration and/or regulatory action do change. This fact underscores the importance of analyzing transcriptional networks rather than focusing on TF expression levels.

Altered proteolytic activity affects transcriptional regulation similarly to ampicillin treatment

In addition to the regulation of MarA and SoxS through degradation, proteolytic processes play a vital role in antibiotic tolerance, as many previous works have suggested[34,75-76]. These effects on the TRN during ampicillin treatment suggest that the activity of many transcription factors is controlled at the proteolytic level and that disruption of degradation during environmental stress leads to an increase in the activity of these TFs. We have previously demonstrated that interfering with proteolytic activity causes an increase in antibiotic tolerance . To do so, we overexpressed a fluorescent protein tagged with a short amino acid degradation tag that causes it to compete for degradation at the protease complex ClpXP without affecting growth rate[34]. This competition

Fig. 4. Proteolytic queueing increases survival of ampicillin. A. We obtained RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data on populations with (Q+) or without (Q-) induction of a queue at the protease ClpXP via overexpression of CFP-LAA, and populations with (Amp+) or without (Amp-) ampicillin treatment (100 μg/ml). **B)** Percent survival of cells after ampicillin treatment for three hours without a queue (Amp-treated) or with a queue (Queueing-amp).

results in a proteolytic queue, wherein proteins compete to be processed (i.e. degraded); thus the
degradation of native proteins effectively slows due to an influx of new proteins with a high affinity
for degradation (i.e. CFP-LAA; Fig. 4)[77-81]. The advantage of using this artificial mechanisms to
slow down protease activity is it results in alteration of network dynamics without noticeable
effects on growth, unlike tradition protease knockouts[34].
We hypothesized that the increase in antibiotic tolerance via proteolytic queueing is due to an
amplification of the transcriptional response. We compared the transcriptome of populations
diluted from stationary phase into fresh media containing IPTG to induce expression of CFP-LAA.
Then we incubated the cells for three hours without ampicillin (q*ueueing population*) or with lethal
concentrations of ampicillin (*queueing-amp population*). We will discuss changes in gene
expression as compared to stationary phase.
If slowed proteolytic activity leads to an increase in antibiotic tolerance, TRN behavior should
be similar in the amp-treated and queueing populations. Indeed, that is the case. The queueing-
amp population, which simultaneously responds to the induction of a proteolytic queue and
ampicillin treatment, shares most of these similarities as well. The transcriptional response seen
in the queuing-amp population seems to be a magnification of the response in either the queueing
or amp-treated population alone, with many of the same trends (Fig. 5).
This led us to hypothesize that the queueing is effectively 'quickening' (i.e. causing certain
events to happen at a faster timescale) the response to the antibiotic. We then compared the
ampicillin treated culture's RNA profile after six hours to the untreated and treated populations.
The gene expression profile is similar at three and six hours; however, hundreds of additional
genes changed their expression by six hours (Fig. 5A). In general, the trends between gene
expression in the amp-treated, queueing, and queueing-amp populations are quite similar.
Upregulated genes common to the three populations include genes and systems related to
tolerance discussed earlier, including those related to Fe-S clusters, oxidative stress and heat
shock. When analyzing the COGs most highly regulated in queueing-tolerance, it appears that
the population is heavily regulating processes related to metabolism and energy (Fig. 5B). It has
previously been reported that energy levels largely affect antibiotic tolerance and persistence[26,82-
83]. Particularly relevant to our work, are the findings of REF[26], which demonstrates that lower ATP
levels led to protein aggregation, which also occurs in ampicillin treated *E. coli*.

A. Differential gene expression

B. Changes in gene expression sorted by COG

Fig. 5. Proteolytic queueing and ampicillin treatment similarly affect gene expression in *E. coli*. Changes in gene expression compared to stationary phase (adjusted p<0.1; with >2-fold change) for each population with/without queueing (Q) and/or ampicillin (Amp). Not shown are changes in gene expression present in the untreated population. Cultures treated with ampicillin were treated for three hours (3h) or six hours (6h). **A.** Venn diagram comparing each population. Left: Upregulated. Right: Downregulated **B.** Changes in gene expression sorted in Clusters of Orthologous (COG) groups for each population. Genes in multiple categories were counted for each category.

Mechanistically, protein aggregation likely results from slow protease activity, since many
proteases require ATP to function[84]. In comparison, proteolytic queueing via overexpression of
CFP-LAA interferes with degradation at ClpXP and causes a build-up of proteins normally
degraded by the complex. The queue also affects degradation rates by other proteases[81] and
leads to protein aggregation. When looking at sigma factors and TFs that affect the transcriptional
response, in this case, the alternative sigma factors are more active in the queueing-amp
population compared to queueing or amp-treated cells (Fig. 6).

A. Trends in σ activity

Fig. 6. Proteolytic queueing and ampicillin treatment affect specific regulatory proteins in _E. coli._ Changes in gene expression compared to stationary phase (adjusted p<0.1; with >2-fold change) for each population with/without queueing and/or ampicillin. Not shown are changes in gene expression present in the untreated population. The amp treated population was sequenced after three (3h) and six (6h) hours of ampicillin treatment. **A.** Changes in gene expression mapped to sigma factors (σ) for each population. Genes transcribed by multiple sigma factors were counted for each sigma factor. **B.** Changes in gene expression mapped to transcription factors (TFs) for each population. Genes transcribed by multiple TFs were counted for each TF.

B. Trends in TF activity

We propose that the magnified response in the queueing-amp population expediates the
transcriptional response to the antibiotic; thus, some trends present in the amp-treated population
at three hours become more apparent after six hours and/or in the queueing-amp population,
which was only treated for three hours. In the case of sigma factors, we find that RpoS, RpoH and
RpoE are more active in every condition compared to the untreated population (Fig. 6A).
It is important to note that some TFs that were somewhat active in the amp-treated population
at three hours are now significantly engaged in the queueing-amp population and after six hours
of ampicillin treatment (Fig. 6B). For example, DksA was slightly more active in the amp-treated
population at three hours than in the untreated population, but it is even more active at six hours

of ampicillin treatment and in the queueing-amp population. DksA is particularly interesting
because it has previously been shown to play a role in antibiotic tolerance and the stringent stress
response[85], and is typically degraded by ClpXP[86-87]. The change in gene expression as the
duration of antibiotic increases suggests that the cell population is altering its expression of gene
networks in response to prolonged stress. It would be interesting in the future to see the
expression profile with even longer exposure to antibiotics or a different class of antibiotics.
328
Proteolytic degradation commonly regulates the sigma and transcription factors involved in the
antibiotic stress response
As we previously touched on, many of the sigma factors and TFs involved in the antibiotic
stress response are regulated by proteolysis. In the tested stressed populations (queueing and/or
ampicillin), the sigma factors RpoH and RpoS are significantly more active than the untreated
population based on our TRN analysis (Fig. 6A). Increased activity of these proteins is likely due
to slowed proteolysis; ClpXP and FtsH rapidly degrade RpoS and RpoH , respectively[88-89]. In fact,
there is direct evidence showing that ATP levels regulate RpoS degradation at the protease
complex ClpXP, which slows when ATP levels are low[90]. The induction of CFP-LAA targets queue
formation specifically at ClpXP, and an Δ*rpoS* strain of *E. coli* is more susceptible to antibiotics[27].
We previously attempted to replicate the queueing-tolerance phenomenon by overexpressing
RpoS, but high expression levels of RpoS alone did not measurably affect antibiotic tolerance[34].
Yet, the TRN analysis of the queueing-amp population suggests that RpoS is playing a role in cell
survival. This highlights the importance of looking at networks over individual genes when
studying survival mechanisms to antibiotic stress.
RpoS likely works in concert with RpoH and transcription factors to mediate a response, and
altered proteolytic activity could act as the coordinating factor underlying this stress response. As
mentioned earlier, several genes coordinated under RpoH are related to proteolysis. Particularly
noteworthy are *clpB* and *dnaK* which both have increased expression during ampicillin treatment,
and have previously been demonstrated using gene knockouts in *E. coli* as critical proteins for
resuscitation after removal of the antibiotic[26]. Other genes that would be key to recovering from
antibiotic stress are also upregulated in the amp-treated, queueing and queueing-amp
populations, such as genes for proteases *lon* and *ftsH* (both upregulated by RpoH). Increased
expression of proteases likely prepares the cell to remove aggregated proteins and recover from
the stress. However, both proteases are ATP-dependent; thus, their activity is regulated by the
availability of ATP (especially important during recovery[26,82-83]).
355
TRN analysis suggests a multifaceted response to ampicillin treatment
These results show that a coordinated transcriptional response to ampicillin results from the
expression of many systems with redundant functions, as exemplified by the overlap between the
Rob, MarA, and SoxS regulons. The changes we observed in the TRN under proteolytic and/or
antibiotic stress indicate that cells are actively preparing for survival and recovery. The cells are
likely transcribing mRNA during antibiotic treatment, especially when we consider that queueing
only affects tolerance if the system is actively transcribed[34]. Furthermore, RNA levels of specific
transcripts increased expression between three hours to six hours of ampicillin treatment, which
supports active transcription.
We hypothesize that this transcriptional response to proteolytic queueing and antibiotic stress
improve short-term survival and recovery. Especially when we consider that our previous results
demonstrate that proteolytic queueing does not improve long-term survival[34]. Other factors are
therefore required to survive for extended periods of time. One such possibility is translational
regulation. Many previous works have demonstrated that ribosomes are largely inactive in
persister cells[91-94] resulting in slowed translation. Therefore, the transcripts we have measured
may be slowly building up in the cells and are translated at low levels, if at all. The transcripts
would then be readily available to the cell, and once the antibiotic is removed, ribosomes could

resume protein biosynthesis. It is also possible that cells are actively translating select proteins,
which seems reasonable with the number of genes upregulated during antibiotic treatment.
We also must consider that our samples are heterogeneous populations, and the data is an
average of that population, which is informative but not comprehensive. Our results show that the
transcriptional profile changes the more prolonged the population is exposed to antibiotics (six
compared to three hours); however, it may be more complicated than that. We detected high
levels of VBNCs in our populations with and without antibiotics, and our transcriptional profiles
consist of a mixture of both persisters and VBNCs. Individual cells may have transcriptional
profiles different from the average, and as antibiotic treatment continues, different subgroups are
killed. Perhaps the surviving population after six hours of ampicillin treatment are a subpopulation
present after three hours, but the expression profile is overshadowed by other subpopulations
that are killed later. Understanding transcriptomic heterogeneity in the tolerant population would
necessitate further experiments, such as single-cell transcriptomics, which has only recently been
demonstrated in bacteria[95]. Regardless, future experiments (ribosome profiling, mass
spectrometry, single-cell transcriptomics etc.) are required to understand the cellular response.
Future experiments must use lethal (bactericidal) concentrations of antibiotics to draw conclusions
directly related to antibiotic survival.
390
Future directions to expand our understanding of antibiotic tolerance
We have demonstrated the feasibility of doing transcriptome analysis on cultures treated with
lethal (bactericidal) concentrations of antibiotics and using TRN analysis to probe the network
response. We used *E. coli* as our model organism because its regulatory networks are currently
the most characterized of known bacteria; however, our analysis is limited to the current state of
knowledge. We tested one antibiotic (ampicillin) under a single growth condition (emergence from
stationary phase), and some responses we identified could be specific to these experimental
conditions. Future work may be able to incorporate new information regarding the regulatory
networks and contexts in which TFs and sigma factors regulate gene expression and may allow
this type of analysis to be expanded to other strains of *E. coli* or different microorganisms.
Exploring tolerance with a more minimal bacterial genome with less redundancy between
networks (e.g. Rob and SoxS, etc.) may clarify how the TRN affects survival and recovery.
Our knowledge of the network response to antibiotics could also be expanded by using
different antibiotics, with varying treatment times and growth conditions. Perhaps, the use of
multiple antibiotics will lead to a greater understanding of the networks (or TFs) that are most
important for survival and recovery. The recovery mechanisms after antibiotic treatment are of
therapeutic interest because new drugs may be developed in combination with current antibiotics
to prevent recovery, improving the effectiveness of antibiotic treatments. A recent paper highlights
the potential to use combinations of antibiotics and staggered treatment of antibiotics where
pairing strongly and weakly dependent metabolism antibiotics together effectively kill persisters[96].
We have two competing hypotheses: (1) The longer the cells are exposed to lethal levels of
antibiotics, the more they alter their transcriptome. (2) The longer the cells are exposed to lethal
levels of antibiotics, the more they change cell types (e.g. switched from persisters to VBNCs).
The ratio of VBNC to persisters may be responsible for the change in gene expression we
observed. This hypothesis could be tested in the future by modifying our procedure for isolating
RNA from cells treated with lethal concentrations of antibiotics, with TRN analysis of the data, and
quantification of VBNC levels over time. Expanding our knowledge of antibiotic tolerance beyond
E. coli and ampicillin treatment would significantly improve our understanding of the regulatory
networks across strains and species. However, as proteolysis is a highly conserved process, it is
likely that the coordination of transcriptional regulation is highly conserved during stress.
421

Conclusions

Our TRN analysis and top-down approach show that studying regulatory networks in antibiotic surviving subpopulations is a powerful method to understand the molecular mechanisms that enable cells to survive lethal levels of antibiotics. Gene knockouts have been useful for identifying aspects of these networks. However, our results support that antibiotic tolerance is a whole-cell response that can occur through multiple pathways. This type of systems biology approach is advantageous compared to methods focusing on individual genes and specific mechanisms, which are susceptible to redundant systems and can often lead to contrary results. For example, toxin-antitoxins systems were once thought by many to be the primary effectors of persistence; however, several studies have suggested that they are not directly related to persistence[97-99]. We instead propose that antibiotic tolerance is a multifaceted response rooted in the general stress response systems. Indeed, in our very recent work, we demonstrated that a bacteria population containing primarily essential genes have both antibiotic tolerant and persister subpopulations to different types of antibiotics[98]. Based on ours and others' work, we propose that a systems biology approach would be fruitful for further study of antibiotic tolerance and persistence. Many of the networks we identify and discuss in this work are universal (e.g. proteolysis, oxidative stress response), which indicates that there are likely systems common to antibiotic tolerance across several species. As such, TRN analysis of transcriptomic data is a good step towards developing a comprehensive, systems-level perspective of antibiotic tolerance and recovery from antibiotic stress.

Author contributions:

H.S.D. wrote the manuscript, performed the majority of the experiments, and ran data analysis. T.H. performed the six hour ampicillin treatment and microscopy experiments. N.C.B. planned and directed the project. All authors contributed to discussing and editing the manuscript.

Declaration of interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation award Numbers 1922542 and 1849206, and by a USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch project grant number SD00H653-18/project accession no. 1015687. We would also like to thank Drs. Will Mather and Javier Buceta for their advice and expertise.

Materials and Methods

Strains and Plasmids

Strains and plasmids are from REF[34]. Briefly, the strains are derived from *E. coli* DH5αZ1 and contain the plasmid, p24KmNB82 (contains CFP-LAA under the $P_{lac/ara1}$ promoter) as described in REF[81]. To compare results from this work to our previous work[81], we used this same strain, and produced a queueing at ClpXP by induction of CFP-LAA. Cultures were grown in modified MMB media[34], which consists of the following: K_2HPO_4 (10.5 mg/ml), KH_2PO_4 (4.5 mg/ml), $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (2.0 mg/ml), $C_6H_5Na_3O_7$ (0.5 mg/ml) and NaCl (1.0 mg/ml). Additionally, MMB+ consists of MMB and the following: 2 mM $MgSO_4$ x $7H_2O$, 100 μM $CaCl_2$, thiamine (10 μg/ml), 0.5% glycerol and amino acids (40 μg/ml). Strains containing the plasmid p24KmNB82 were grown in MMB+ kanamycin (Km, 25 μg/ml) or on Miller's Lysogeny broth (LB) agar plates + Km (25 μg/ml). Cultures diluted after stationary phase also contained 0.1% Arabinose for consistency and CFP-LAA was induced by adding 100 μM IPTG (the promoter is $P_{lac/ara1}$). All cultures were incubated at 37° C and broth cultures were shaken at 250 rpm.

Quantification of antibiotic survival
Antibiotic survival was quantified as in REF[34] with the following modifications. Cultures were
grown to stationary phase in MMB+ kanamycin, then diluted 1/100 into 200 ml of pre-warmed
(37°C; consistency between experiments requires the media to be prewarmed) MMB+
kanamycin+ arabinose (0.2%) and incubated in 250 ml flasks (consistency between experiments
requires the use of the same flask size and media volume) for three hours. Cultures with ampicillin
were treated at a concentration of 100 µg/ml. Samples were plated before and after ampicillin
treatment onto LB+Km agar plates to quantify colony forming units (CFUs) and percent survival.
481
Determination of cell viability
Cell viability was determined using a BacLight Live/Dead bacterial viability assay (Molecular
Probes, Inc., Eugene, OR) and microscopy with a slight modification to the manufacturer's
instructions. This assay distinguishes between viable cells with intact cell membranes stained
with CFDA dye (exhibit green fluorescence) and dead/dying cells with damaged cell membranes
stained with propidium iodide (PI) cells (exhibit red fluorescence). In brief, 1 ml cultures (10^7-10^8
cells/ml) were washed with 1X PBS, 0.5 mM EDTA (pH 8.5); 3 ul CFDA (10 mg/ml) and 5 ul PI (1
mg/ml) was added simultaneously, kept in dark at room temperature for 30 min, and then
subsequently washed to remove the dye. Fluorescence was measured via a Nikon Ti2E Inverted
Microscope using custom scripts for image analysis. Cells were counted using "Petroff-Hausser
counting chamber". The VBNC cells was determined by subtracting the average CFU/mL from
average number of live cells/ml.
494
RNA isolation
Samples were treated with Tween (0.2%) and chloramphenicol (5 µg/ml) then immediately
filtered out of solution (using rapid filtration similar to REFs[100-101]) and resuspended in lysis buffer
containing 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 25 mM NH_4Cl, 10 mM MgOAc, 0.8% Triton X-100, 0.1 U/µL RNase-
free DNase I, 1 U/µL Superase-In, ~60 U/µl ReadyLyse Lysozyme, 1.55 mM Chloramphenicol,
and 17 µM 5′-guanylyl imidodiphosphate (GMPPNP); lysis buffer was modified from REF[102].
Rapid filtration allows for the harvesting of any whole-cell; this minimizes RNA degradation
because samples are immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen have suspension in lysis buffer. The
solution was then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Frozen pellets were thawed on ice
and centrifuged for 20 minutes at 16,000 *x g* at 4 °C with 0.3% Sodium Deoxychorate to pellet
particulate matter. The supernatant was then removed, and then RNA was column purified
(Qiagen miRNeasy cat. No. 217004) before removing rRNA (Invitrogen RiboMinus cat no.
K155004) and prepping the cDNA libraries (NEBNext Ultra II cat no. E7103S). Libraries were
multiplexed then pooled and sequenced by Illumina HiSeq paired-end sequencing by GENEWIZ.
This isolation method is advantageous because RNA can be harvested from what are considered
"viable but not culturable" (VBNC) cells and dying cells (note that all cells during antibiotic
treatment are indeed dying cells, and cells only survive because we remove the antibiotic).
512
DNA-sequencing
DH5αZ1 genomic DNA was harvested and purified using Genomic DNA Purification Kit
(ThermoFisher) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The genome was then
sequenced using paired-end Illumina sequencing Novogene Ltd. Sequencing libraries were
generated from the qualified DNA samples(s) using the NEB Next Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for
Illumina (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Reads were then assembled using
Geneious v. 11.0.3[103] with *E. coli* K12 str. MG1655 (NC_000913.3) as a reference sequence. *E.*
coli DH5αZ1 genome is 99.9% identity to MG1655 genome, and due to the more thorough
annotation of the MG1655 reference sequence, we used NC_000913.3 as the reference for RNA-
seq analysis.
523

RNA-sequencing data analysis

Fastq files were aligned to NC_000913.3 and the plasmid p24KmNB82 using Geneious v. 11.0.3[103]. Raw read counts were then analyzed using DEseq to compare expression (counts were normalized using the default median of ratios method); we used a 2-fold cutoff and adjusted p-values<0.1 to identify significant changes in expression[104]. All conditions tested had three biological replicates. Data has been deposited to NCBI GEO at GSE156896.

Transcription factor (TF) network analysis

Information on transcriptional regulation was downloaded using the EcoCyc SmartTables feature[3]. We then used custom Python scripts to generate a network graph made up of directed edges toward any gene with a significant change in expression (>2-fold change in expression, adjusted p<0.01) originating from each transcription factor that may be an effector of the observed change. Visualization of this graph was done using Cytoscape[105]. The total number of genes mapped to a particular TF was compared between populations (i.e. untreated and treated each compared to stationary phase) using a two-sided Fisher's exact test[7,106].

COGs

Data was downloaded from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/[1,45].

Data and materials availability: All data that supports the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request (email: nicholas.butzin@gmail.com).

Supplemental information

File S1: Tab-delimited file containing direct regulatory information for each gene according to the Ecocyc[3] database as of February 2020.

File S2: Tab-delimited file containing regulatory interactions used to make network in Fig. 2A

File S3: Tab-delimited file containing regulatory interactions used to make network in Fig. 3A

References

1. Tatusov, R. L.; Galperin, M. Y.; Natale, D. A.; Koonin, E. V., The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2000,** *28* (1), 33-6.

2. Santos-Zavaleta, A.; Salgado, H.; Gama-Castro, S.; Sanchez-Perez, M.; Gomez-Romero, L.; Ledezma-Tejeida, D.; Garcia-Sotelo, J. S.; Alquicira-Hernandez, K.; Muniz-Rascado, L. J.; Pena-Loredo, P.; Ishida-Gutierrez, C.; Velazquez-Ramirez, D. A.; Del Moral-Chavez, V.; Bonavides-Martinez, C.; Mendez-Cruz, C. F.; Galagan, J.; Collado-Vides, J., RegulonDB v 10.5: tackling challenges to unify classic and high throughput knowledge of gene regulation in E. coli K-12. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019,** *47* (D1), D212-D220.

3. Karp, P. D.; Weaver, D.; Paley, S.; Fulcher, C.; Kubo, A.; Kothari, A.; Krummenacker, M.; Subhraveti, P.; Weerasinghe, D.; Gama-Castro, S.; Huerta, A. M.; Muniz-Rascado, L.; Bonavides-Martinez, C.; Weiss, V.; Peralta-Gil, M.; Santos-Zavaleta, A.; Schroder, I.; Mackie, A.; Gunsalus, R.; Collado-Vides, J.; Keseler, I. M.; Paulsen, I., The EcoCyc Database. *EcoSal Plus* **2014,** *6* (1), ESP-0009-2013: 1-13.

4. Martinez-Antonio, A.; Janga, S. C.; Thieffry, D., Functional organisation of *Escherichia coli* transcriptional regulatory network. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2008,** *381* (1), 238-47.

5. Jensen, P. A.; Zhu, Z.; van Opijnen, T., Antibiotics Disrupt Coordination between Transcriptional and Phenotypic Stress Responses in Pathogenic Bacteria. *Cell Rep* **2017,** *20* (7), 1705-1716.

6. Kang, Y.; Liow, H. H.; Maier, E. J.; Brent, M. R., NetProphet 2.0: mapping transcription factor networks by exploiting scalable data resources. *Bioinformatics* **2018,** *34* (2), 249-257.

7. Sastry, A. V.; Gao, Y.; Szubin, R.; Hefner, Y.; Xu, S.; Kim, D.; Choudhary, K. S.; Yang, L.;
King, Z. A.; Palsson, B. O., The Escherichia coli transcriptome mostly consists of independently
regulated modules. *Nat Commun* **2019,** *10* (1), 5536.
8. Tan, J.; Sastry, A. V.; Fremming, K. S.; Bjorn, S. P.; Hoffmeyer, A.; Seo, S.; Voldborg, B. G.;
Palsson, B. O., Independent component analysis of E. coli's transcriptome reveals the cellular
processes that respond to heterologous gene expression. *Metab. Eng.* **2020**.
9. Liu, R.; Liang, L.; Freed, E. F.; Choudhury, A.; Eckert, C. A.; Gill, R. T., Engineering
regulatory networks for complex phenotypes in *E. coli. Nat Commun* **2020,** *11* (1), 4050.
10. Blais, A.; Dynlacht, B. D., Constructing transcriptional regulatory networks. *Genes Dev.*
2005, *19* (13), 1499-511.
11. Levin-Reisman, I.; Ronin, I.; Gefen, O.; Braniss, I.; Shoresh, N.; Balaban, N. Q., Antibiotic
tolerance facilitates the evolution of resistance. *Science* **2017,** *355* (6327), 826-830.
12. Van den Bergh, B.; Michiels, J. E.; Wenseleers, T.; Windels, E. M.; Boer, P. V.; Kestemont,
D.; De Meester, L.; Verstrepen, K. J.; Verstraeten, N.; Fauvart, M.; Michiels, J., Frequency of
antibiotic application drives rapid evolutionary adaptation of *Escherichia coli* persistence. *Nat*
Microbiol **2016,** *1*, 16020.
13. Windels, E. M.; Michiels, J. E.; Fauvart, M.; Wenseleers, T.; Van den Bergh, B.; Michiels, J.,
Bacterial persistence promotes the evolution of antibiotic resistance by increasing survival and
mutation rates. *ISME J* **2019**.
14. Wood, T. K.; Knabel, S. J.; Kwan, B. W., Bacterial persister cell formation and dormancy.
Applied and environmental microbiology **2013,** *79* (23), 7116-21.
15. Orman, M. A.; Brynildsen, M. P., Inhibition of stationary phase respiration impairs persister
formation in *E. coli. Nat Commun* **2015,** *6*, 7983.
16. Kim, J. S.; Wood, T. K., Persistent Persister Misperceptions. *Front Microbiol* **2016,** *7*, 2134.
17. Prax, M.; Bertram, R., Metabolic aspects of bacterial persisters. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*
2014, *4*, 148.
18. Pu, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Li, Y.; Zou, J.; Ma, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Ke, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Chen, H.; Baker, M. A.;
Ge, H.; Sun, Y.; Xie, X. S.; Bai, F., Enhanced Efflux Activity Facilitates Drug Tolerance in
Dormant Bacterial Cells. *Mol. Cell* **2016,** *62* (2), 284-94.
19. Keren, I.; Shah, D.; Spoering, A.; Kaldalu, N.; Lewis, K., Specialized persister cells and the
mechanism of multidrug tolerance in Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **2004,** *186* (24), 8172-80.
20. Matsumoto, S.; Kawai, Y.; Miyagawa, S.; Iwamoto, Y.; Okuda, S.; Sanchez-Gorostiaga, A.;
Vicente, M.; Tsuneda, S., Unique transcriptional profile of native persisters in *Escherichia coli. J.*
Biosci. Bioeng. **2017**.
21. Keren, I.; Minami, S.; Rubin, E.; Lewis, K., Characterization and transcriptome analysis of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis persisters. *MBio* **2011,** *2* (3), e00100-11.
22. Wu, S.; Yu, P. L.; Wheeler, D.; Flint, S., Transcriptomic study on persistence and survival of
Listeria monocytogenes following lethal treatment with nisin. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* **2018,** *15*,
25-31.
23. Long, Y.; Fu, W.; Li, S.; Ren, H.; Li, M.; Liu, C.; Zhang, B.; Xia, Y.; Fan, Z.; Xu, C.; Liu, J.;
Jin, Y.; Bai, F.; Cheng, Z.; Liu, X.; Jin, S.; Wu, W., Identification of novel genes that promote
persister formation by repressing transcription and cell division in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J.*
Antimicrob. Chemother. **2019**.
24. Babin, B. M.; Atangcho, L.; van Eldijk, M. B.; Sweredoski, M. J.; Moradian, A.; Hess, S.;
Tolker-Nielsen, T.; Newman, D. K.; Tirrell, D. A., Selective Proteomic Analysis of Antibiotic-
Tolerant Cellular Subpopulations in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Biofilms. *MBio* **2017,** *8* (5).
25. Mali, S.; Mitchell, M.; Havis, S.; Bodunrin, A.; Rangel, J.; Olson, G.; Widger, W. R.; Bark, S.
J., A Proteomic Signature of Dormancy in the Actinobacterium *Micrococcus luteus. J. Bacteriol.*
2017, *199* (14).

26. Pu, Y.; Li, Y.; Jin, X.; Tian, T.; Ma, Q.; Zhao, Z.; Lin, S. Y.; Chen, Z.; Li, B.; Yao, G.; Leake,
M. C.; Lo, C. J.; Bai, F., ATP-Dependent Dynamic Protein Aggregation Regulates Bacterial
Dormancy Depth Critical for Antibiotic Tolerance. *Mol. Cell* **2019,** *73* (1), 143-156 e4.
27. Wu, N.; He, L.; Cui, P.; Wang, W.; Yuan, Y.; Liu, S.; Xu, T.; Zhang, S.; Wu, J.; Zhang, W.;
Zhang, Y., Ranking of persister genes in the same *Escherichia coli* genetic background
demonstrates varying importance of individual persister genes in tolerance to different
antibiotics. *Front Microbiol* **2015,** *6*, 1003.
28. Wang, W.; Chen, J.; Chen, G.; Du, X.; Cui, P.; Wu, J.; Zhao, J.; Wu, N.; Zhang, W.; Li, M.;
Zhang, Y., Transposon Mutagenesis Identifies Novel Genes Associated with *Staphylococcus*
aureus Persister Formation. *Front Microbiol* **2015,** *6*, 1437.
29. Hansen, S.; Lewis, K.; Vulic, M., Role of global regulators and nucleotide metabolism in
antibiotic tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2008,** *52* (8), 2718-26.
30. Joers, A.; Kaldalu, N.; Tenson, T., The frequency of persisters in Escherichia coli reflects the
kinetics of awakening from dormancy. *Journal of bacteriology* **2010,** *192* (13), 3379-84.
31. Radzikowski, J. L.; Vedelaar, S.; Siegel, D.; Ortega, A. D.; Schmidt, A.; Heinemann, M.,
Bacterial persistence is an active sigmaS stress response to metabolic flux limitation. *Mol. Syst.*
Biol. **2016,** *12* (9), 882.
32. Duval, V.; Lister, I. M., MarA, SoxS and Rob of Escherichia coli - Global regulators of
multidrug resistance, virulence and stress response. *Int J Biotechnol Wellness Ind* **2013,** *2* (3),
101-124.
33. Sharma, P.; Haycocks, J. R. J.; Middlemiss, A. D.; Kettles, R. A.; Sellars, L. E.; Ricci, V.;
Piddock, L. J. V.; Grainger, D. C., The multiple antibiotic resistance operon of enteric bacteria
controls DNA repair and outer membrane integrity. *Nat Commun* **2017,** *8* (1), 1444.
34. Deter, H. S.; Abualrahi, A. H.; Jadhav, P.; Schweer, E. K.; Ogle, C. T.; Butzin, N. C.,
Proteolytic Queues at ClpXP Increase Antibiotic Tolerance. *ACS synthetic biology* **2020,** *9* (1),
95-103.
35. Ayrapetyan, M.; Williams, T.; Oliver, J. D., Relationship between the Viable but
Nonculturable State and Antibiotic Persister Cells. *Journal of bacteriology* **2018,** *200* (20).
36. Oliver, J. D., Recent findings on the viable but nonculturable state in pathogenic bacteria.
FEMS microbiology reviews **2010,** *34* (4), 415-25.
37. Colwell, R. R., Viable but nonculturable bacteria: a survival strategy. *J Infect Chemother*
2000, *6* (2), 121-5.
38. Ayrapetyan, M.; Williams, T. C.; Baxter, R.; Oliver, J. D., Viable but Nonculturable and
Persister Cells Coexist Stochastically and Are Induced by Human Serum. *Infection and*
immunity **2015,** *83* (11), 4194-203.
39. Besnard, V.; Federighi, M.; Cappelier, J. M., Development of a direct viable count procedure
for the investigation of VBNC state in Listeria monocytogenes. *Letters in applied microbiology*
2000, *31* (1), 77-81.
40. Pasquaroli, S.; Zandri, G.; Vignaroli, C.; Vuotto, C.; Donelli, G.; Biavasco, F., Antibiotic
pressure can induce the viable but non-culturable state in Staphylococcus aureus growing in
biofilms. *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy* **2013,** *68* (8), 1812-7.
41. Xiao, X. L.; Tian, C.; Yu, Y. G.; Wu, H., Detection of viable but nonculturable Escherichia coli
O157:H7 using propidium monoazide treatments and qPCR. *Canadian journal of microbiology*
2013, *59* (3), 157-63.
42. Pinto, D.; Almeida, V.; Almeida Santos, M.; Chambel, L., Resuscitation of Escherichia coli
VBNC cells depends on a variety of environmental or chemical stimuli. *Journal of applied*
microbiology **2011,** *110* (6), 1601-11.
43. Gefen, O.; Gabay, C.; Mumcuoglu, M.; Engel, G.; Balaban, N. Q., Single-cell protein
induction dynamics reveals a period of vulnerability to antibiotics in persister bacteria.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **2008,** *105*
(16), 6145-9.

44. Rowe, S. E.; Conlon, B. P.; Keren, I.; Lewis, K., Persisters: Methods for Isolation and
Identifying Contributing Factors--A Review. *Methods Mol Biol* **2016,** *1333*, 17-28.
45. Galperin, M. Y.; Makarova, K. S.; Wolf, Y. I.; Koonin, E. V., Expanded microbial genome
coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
2015, *43* (Database issue), D261-9.
46. Davis, M. C.; Kesthely, C. A.; Franklin, E. A.; MacLellan, S. R., The essential activities of the
bacterial sigma factor. *Canadian journal of microbiology* **2017,** *63* (2), 89-99.
47. Paget, M. S., Bacterial Sigma Factors and Anti-Sigma Factors: Structure, Function and
Distribution. *Biomolecules* **2015,** *5* (3), 1245-65.
48. Zhao, K.; Liu, M.; Burgess, R. R., The global transcriptional response of Escherichia coli to
induced sigma 32 protein involves sigma 32 regulon activation followed by inactivation and
degradation of sigma 32 in vivo. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005,** *280* (18), 17758-68.
49. Wong, G. T.; Bonocora, R. P.; Schep, A. N.; Beeler, S. M.; Lee Fong, A. J.; Shull, L. M.;
Batachari, L. E.; Dillon, M.; Evans, C.; Becker, C. J.; Bush, E. C.; Hardin, J.; Wade, J. T.;
Stoebel, D. M., Genome-Wide Transcriptional Response to Varying RpoS Levels in Escherichia
coli K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **2017,** *199* (7).
50. Maciag, A.; Peano, C.; Pietrelli, A.; Egli, T.; De Bellis, G.; Landini, P., In vitro transcription
profiling of the sigmaS subunit of bacterial RNA polymerase: re-definition of the sigmaS regulon
and identification of sigmaS-specific promoter sequence elements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2011,** *39*
(13), 5338-55.
51. Koo, B. M.; Rhodius, V. A.; Campbell, E. A.; Gross, C. A., Mutational analysis of Escherichia
coli sigma28 and its target promoters reveals recognition of a composite -10 region, comprised
of an 'extended -10' motif and a core -10 element. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2009,** *72* (4), 830-43.
52. Dartigalongue, C.; Missiakas, D.; Raina, S., Characterization of the Escherichia coli sigma E
regulon. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001,** *276* (24), 20866-75.
53. Kanhere, A.; Bansal, M., A novel method for prokaryotic promoter prediction based on DNA
stability. *BMC Bioinformatics* **2005,** *6*, 1.
54. Arsène, F.; Tomoyasu, T.; Bukau, B., The heat shock response of Escherichia coli. *Int. J.*
Food Microbiol. **2000,** *55* (1-3), 3-9.
55. Battesti, A.; Majdalani, N.; Gottesman, S., The RpoS-mediated general stress response in
Escherichia coli. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **2011,** *65*, 189-213.
56. Rouvière, P. E.; De Las Peñas, A.; Mecsas, J.; Lu, C. Z.; Rudd, K. E.; Gross, C. A., rpoE,
the gene encoding the second heat-shock sigma factor, sigma E, in Escherichia coli. *The EMBO*
Journal **1995,** *14* (5), 1032-1042.
57. Shimada, T.; Fujita, N.; Yamamoto, K.; Ishihama, A., Novel roles of cAMP receptor protein
(CRP) in regulation of transport and metabolism of carbon sources. *PLoS One* **2011,** *6* (6),
e20081.
58. Browning, D. F.; Busby, S. J., Local and global regulation of transcription initiation in
bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2016,** *14* (10), 638-50.
59. Dilweg, I. W.; Dame, R. T., Post-translational modification of nucleoid-associated proteins:
an extra layer of functional modulation in bacteria? *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **2018,** *46* (5), 1381-
1392.
60. Balleza, E.; Lopez-Bojorquez, L. N.; Martinez-Antonio, A.; Resendis-Antonio, O.; Lozada-
Chavez, I.; Balderas-Martinez, Y. I.; Encarnacion, S.; Collado-Vides, J., Regulation by
transcription factors in bacteria: beyond description. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **2009,** *33* (1), 133-51.
61. Jeon, Y.; Lee, Y. S.; Han, J. S.; Kim, J. B.; Hwang, D. S., Multimerization of phosphorylated
and non-phosphorylated ArcA is necessary for the response regulator function of the Arc two-
component signal transduction system. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001,** *276* (44), 40873-9.
62. Shan, Y.; Lazinski, D.; Rowe, S.; Camilli, A.; Lewis, K., Genetic basis of persister tolerance
to aminoglycosides in Escherichia coli. *mBio* **2015,** *6* (2).

63. Mok, W. W.; Orman, M. A.; Brynildsen, M. P., Impacts of global transcriptional regulators on
persister metabolism. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2015,** *59* (5), 2713-9.
64. Mehi, O.; Bogos, B.; Csorgo, B.; Pal, F.; Nyerges, A.; Papp, B.; Pal, C., Perturbation of iron
homeostasis promotes the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2014,** *31* (10),
2793-804.
65. Reyes, L. H.; Almario, M. P.; Winkler, J.; Orozco, M. M.; Kao, K. C., Visualizing evolution in
real time to determine the molecular mechanisms of n-butanol tolerance in Escherichia coli.
Metab. Eng. **2012,** *14* (5), 579-90.
66. Peralta, D. R.; Adler, C.; Corbalan, N. S.; Paz Garcia, E. C.; Pomares, M. F.; Vincent, P. A.,
Enterobactin as Part of the Oxidative Stress Response Repertoire. *PLoS One* **2016,** *11* (6),
e0157799.
67. Mettert, E. L.; Kiley, P. J., How Is Fe-S Cluster Formation Regulated? *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*
2015, *69*, 505-26.
68. Ezraty, B.; Vergnes, A.; Banzhaf, M.; Duverger, Y.; Huguenot, A.; Brochado, A. R.; Su, S.
Y.; Espinosa, L.; Loiseau, L.; Py, B.; Typas, A.; Barras, F., Fe-S cluster biosynthesis controls
uptake of aminoglycosides in a ROS-less death pathway. *Science* **2013,** *340* (6140), 1583-7.
69. Koutsolioutsou, A.; Pena-Llopis, S.; Demple, B., Constitutive soxR mutations contribute to
multiple-antibiotic resistance in clinical Escherichia coli isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
2005, *49* (7), 2746-52.
70. Cohen, S. P.; Hachler, H.; Levy, S. B., Genetic and functional analysis of the multiple
antibiotic resistance (mar) locus in Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **1993,** *175* (5), 1484-92.
71. Rossi, N. A.; Mora, T.; Walczak, A. M.; Dunlop, M. J., Active degradation of MarA controls
coordination of its downstream targets. *PLoS Comput Biol* **2018,** *14* (12), e1006634.
72. El Meouche, I.; Siu, Y.; Dunlop, M. J., Stochastic expression of a multiple antibiotic
resistance activator confers transient resistance in single cells. *Sci Rep* **2016,** *6*, 19538.
73. Griffith, K. L.; Shah, I. M.; Wolf, R. E., Jr., Proteolytic degradation of Escherichia coli
transcription activators SoxS and MarA as the mechanism for reversing the induction of the
superoxide (SoxRS) and multiple antibiotic resistance (Mar) regulons. *Molecular microbiology*
2004, *51* (6), 1801-16.
74. Griffith, K. L.; Fitzpatrick, M. M.; Keen, E. F., 3rd; Wolf, R. E., Jr., Two functions of the C-
terminal domain of Escherichia coli Rob: mediating "sequestration-dispersal" as a novel off-on
switch for regulating Rob's activity as a transcription activator and preventing degradation of
Rob by Lon protease. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2009,** *388* (3), 415-30.
75. Frees, D.; Gerth, U.; Ingmer, H., Clp chaperones and proteases are central in stress
survival, virulence and antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus aureus. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.*
2014, *304* (2), 142-9.
76. Conlon, B. P.; Nakayasu, E. S.; Fleck, L. E.; LaFleur, M. D.; Isabella, V. M.; Coleman, K.;
Leonard, S. N.; Smith, R. D.; Adkins, J. N.; Lewis, K., Activated ClpP kills persisters and
eradicates a chronic biofilm infection. *Nature* **2013,** *503* (7476), 365-70.
77. Stricker, J.; Cookson, S.; Bennett, M. R.; Mather, W. H.; Tsimring, L. S.; Hasty, J., A fast,
robust and tunable synthetic gene oscillator. *Nature* **2008,** *456* (7221), 516-9.
78. Cookson, N. A.; Mather, W. H.; Danino, T.; Mondragon-Palomino, O.; Williams, R. J.;
Tsimring, L. S.; Hasty, J., Queueing up for enzymatic processing: correlated signaling through
coupled degradation. *Molecular systems biology* **2011,** *7*, 561.
79. Butzin, N. C.; Hochendoner, P.; Ogle, C. T.; Mather, W. H., Entrainment of a Bacterial
Synthetic Gene Oscillator through Proteolytic Queueing. *ACS synthetic biology* **2017,** *6* (3), 455-
462.
80. Butzin, N. C.; Hochendoner, P.; Ogle, C. T.; Hill, P.; Mather, W. H., Marching along to an
Offbeat Drum: Entrainment of Synthetic Gene Oscillators by a Noisy Stimulus. *ACS synthetic*
biology **2016,** *5* (2), 146-53.

81. Butzin, N. C.; Mather, W. H., Crosstalk between Diverse Synthetic Protein Degradation Tags in Escherichia coli. *ACS synthetic biology* **2018,** *7* (1), 54-62.

82. Shan, Y.; Brown Gandt, A.; Rowe, S. E.; Deisinger, J. P.; Conlon, B. P.; Lewis, K., ATP-Dependent Persister Formation in *Escherichia coli. MBio* **2017,** *8* (1).

83. Conlon, B. P.; Rowe, S. E.; Gandt, A. B.; Nuxoll, A. S.; Donegan, N. P.; Zalis, E. A.; Clair, G.; Adkins, J. N.; Cheung, A. L.; Lewis, K., Persister formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* is associated with ATP depletion. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2016,** *1* (5), 16051.

84. Gottesman, S., Proteases and their targets in Escherichia coli. *Annual review of genetics* **1996,** *30*, 465-506.

85. Gray, M. J., Interactions between DksA and Stress-Responsive Alternative Sigma Factors Control Inorganic Polyphosphate Accumulation in Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **2020,** *202* (14).

86. Amato, S. M.; Brynildsen, M. P., Persister Heterogeneity Arising from a Single Metabolic Stress. *Current biology : CB* **2015,** *25* (16), 2090-8.

87. Flynn, J. M.; Neher, S. B.; Kim, Y. I.; Sauer, R. T.; Baker, T. A., Proteomic discovery of cellular substrates of the ClpXP protease reveals five classes of ClpX-recognition signals. *Molecular cell* **2003,** *11* (3), 671-83.

88. Narberhaus, F.; Balsiger, S., Structure-function studies of Escherichia coli RpoH (sigma32) by in vitro linker insertion mutagenesis. *J. Bacteriol.* **2003,** *185* (9), 2731-8.

89. Ranquet, C.; Gottesman, S., Translational regulation of the *Escherichia coli* stress factor RpoS: a role for SsrA and Lon. *J. Bacteriol.* **2007,** *189* (13), 4872-9.

90. Peterson, C. N.; Levchenko, I.; Rabinowitz, J. D.; Baker, T. A.; Silhavy, T. J., RpoS proteolysis is controlled directly by ATP levels in Escherichia coli. *Genes Dev.* **2012,** *26* (6), 548-53.

91. Wood, T. K.; Song, S., Forming and Waking Dormant Cells: The ppGpp Ribosome Dimerization Persister Model. *Biofilm* **2020**.

92. Wood, T. K.; Song, S.; Yamasaki, R., Ribosome dependence of persister cell formation and resuscitation. *J Microbiol* **2019,** *57* (3), 213-219.

93. Yamasaki, R.; Song, S.; Benedik, M. J.; Wood, T. K., Persister Cells Resuscitate Using Membrane Sensors that Activate Chemotaxis, Lower cAMP Levels, and Revive Ribosomes. *iScience* **2020,** *23* (1), 100792.

94. Cho, J.; Rogers, J.; Kearns, M.; Leslie, M.; Hartson, S. D.; Wilson, K. S., *Escherichia coli* persister cells suppress translation by selectively disassembling and degrading their ribosomes. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2015,** *95* (2), 352-64.

95. Imdahl, F.; Vafadarnejad, E.; Homberger, C.; Saliba, A. E.; Vogel, J., Single-cell RNA-sequencing reports growth-condition-specific global transcriptomes of individual bacteria. *Nat Microbiol* **2020**.

96. Zheng, E. J.; Stokes, J. M.; Collins, J. J., Eradicating Bacterial Persisters with Combinations of Strongly and Weakly Metabolism-Dependent Antibiotics. *Cell Chem Biol* **2020**.

97. Goormaghtigh, F.; Fraikin, N.; Putrins, M.; Hallaert, T.; Hauryliuk, V.; Garcia-Pino, A.; Sjodin, A.; Kasvandik, S.; Udekwu, K.; Tenson, T.; Kaldalu, N.; Van Melderen, L., Reassessing the Role of Type II Toxin-Antitoxin Systems in Formation of Escherichia coli Type II Persister Cells. *mBio* **2018,** *9* (3).

98. Hossain, T.; Deter, H. S.; Butzin, N. C., Antibiotic tolerance, persistence, and resistance of the evolved minimal cell, Mycoplasma mycoides JCVI-Syn3B. *bioRxiv ((Submitted to iScience - Cell Press)* **2020 (Submitted)**, 2020.06.02.130641.

99. Pontes, M. H.; Groisman, E. A., Slow growth determines nonheritable antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella enterica. Sci Signal* **2019,** *12* (592).

100. Bordag, N.; Janakiraman, V.; Nachtigall, J.; Gonzalez Maldonado, S.; Bethan, B.; Laine, J. P.; Fux, E., Fast Filtration of Bacterial or Mammalian Suspension Cell Cultures for Optimal Metabolomics Results. *PLoS One* **2016,** *11* (7), e0159389.

101. Mohammad, F.; Green, R.; Buskirk, A. R., A systematically-revised ribosome profiling
method for bacteria reveals pauses at single-codon resolution. *Elife* **2019,** *8.*
102. Latif, H.; Szubin, R.; Tan, J.; Brunk, E.; Lechner, A.; Zengler, K.; Palsson, B. O., A
streamlined ribosome profiling protocol for the characterization of microorganisms.
BioTechniques **2015,** *58* (6), 329-32.
103. Kearse, M.; Moir, R.; Wilson, A.; Stones-Havas, S.; Cheung, M.; Sturrock, S.; Buxton, S.;
Cooper, A.; Markowitz, S.; Duran, C.; Thierer, T.; Ashton, B.; Meintjes, P.; Drummond, A.,
Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization
and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **2012,** *28* (12), 1647-1649.
104. Love, M. I.; Huber, W.; Anders, S., Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **2014,** *15* (12), 550.
105. Shannon, P.; Markiel, A.; Ozier, O.; Baliga, N. S.; Wang, J. T.; Ramage, D.; Amin, N.;
Schwikowski, B.; Ideker, T., Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **2003,** *13* (11), 2498-504.
106. Kim, H. Y., Statistical notes for clinical researchers: Chi-squared test and Fisher's exact
test. *Restor Dent Endod* **2017,** *42* (2), 152-155.
839
840