Coordinated interactions between endothelial cells and macrophages in the islet microenvironment promote β cell regeneration

Diane C. Saunders[1,2]*, Kristie I. Aamodt[1]*, Tiffany M. Richardson[1], Alec Hopkirk[2], Radhika Aramandla[2], Greg Poffenberger[2], Regina Jenkins[2], David K. Flaherty[3], Nripesh Prasad[4], Sean E. Levy[4], Alvin C. Powers[1,2,5], and Marcela Brissova[2]

*D.C.S. and *K.I.A. contributed equally to this work.

[1]Department of Molecular Physiology & Biophysics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

[2]Department of Medicine, Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology, and Metabolism, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

[3]Flow Cytometry Shared Resource, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232, USA

[4]Hudson Alpha Institute of Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL

[5]VA Tennessee Valley Healthcare, Nashville, TN

Address correspondence to:

Marcela Brissova
Vanderbilt University Medical Center
7465 MRB IV
2215 Garland Avenue
Nashville, TN 37232-0475
marcela.brissova@vumc.org
(615) 936-7678

Alvin C. Powers
Vanderbilt University Medical Center
7465 MRB IV
2215 Garland Avenue
Nashville, TN 37232-0475
al.powers@vumc.org
(615) 936-7678

ABSTRACT

Endogenous β cell regeneration could alleviate diabetes, but proliferative stimuli within the islet

microenvironment are incompletely understood. We previously found that β cell recovery

following hypervascularization-induced β cell loss involves interactions with endothelial cells

(ECs) and macrophages (MΦs). Here we show that proliferative ECs modulate MΦ infiltration

and phenotype during β cell loss, and recruited MΦs are essential for β cell recovery.

Furthermore, VEGFR2 inactivation in quiescent ECs accelerates islet vascular regression

during β cell recovery and leads to increased β cell proliferation without changes in MΦ

phenotype or number. Transcriptome analysis of β cells, ECs, and MΦs reveals that β cell

proliferation coincides with elevated expression of extracellular matrix remodeling molecules

and growth factors likely driving activation of proliferative signaling pathways in β cells.

Collectively, these findings suggest a new β cell regeneration paradigm whereby coordinated

interactions between intra-islet MΦs, ECs, and extracellular matrix mediate β cell self-renewal.

INTRODUCTION

While investigating how vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) regulates islet

vascularization, our group previously characterized a mouse model in which signals from the

local microenvironment stimulate β cell self-renewal[1]. In this model, transiently increasing

VEGF-A production in β cells (βVEGF-A) induces endothelial cell (EC) expansion and

hypervascularization that causes β cell loss; remarkably, islet morphology, capillary network, β

cell mass, and function normalize 6 weeks after withdrawal (WD) of the VEGF-A stimulus. This

regenerative response is a result of a transient but robust burst in β cell proliferation, which is

dependent on VEGF-A-mediated recruitment of macrophages (MΦs). These recruited cells

express markers of both pro-inflammatory (M1) and restorative (M2) activation, suggesting a

unique regenerative phenotype[1–5]. Further investigation into the role of various

microenvironmental components on β cell proliferation in this model is needed given that this

regenerative microenvironment promotes proliferation of human in addition to mouse β cells[1].

MΦs are often perceived as damaging to islets due to their role in β cell loss during diabetes[6–8],

but it is becoming increasingly appreciated that tissue-resident MΦs play important roles in

immune surveillance and tissue homeostasis and function in the islet. Mice with compromised

MΦ populations during pancreatic development exhibit reduced β cell proliferation, β cell mass,

and impaired islet morphogenesis[9,10]. Furthermore, recent studies have supported the notion

that MΦs contribute to β cell regeneration after several types of injury, including surgically

induced pancreatitis[11] and diphtheria toxin (DT)-mediated apoptosis[12]. This recent work

highlights the importance of understanding how MΦs contribute to β cell proliferation and further

defining MΦ phenotype and function in the βVEGF-A model.

In addition to MΦs, ECs are known to participate in tissue repair via activation of the VEGF-A–

VEGFR2 pathway, which mediates angiocrine factor production and promotes local cell renewal

and regeneration[13–16]. There is a precedent for ECs facilitating tissue repair by influencing MΦ

activation toward a restorative, M2-like phenotype[4]. Because vasculature is essential for normal

islet function, understanding signals that govern EC homeostasis and the effects of ECs on

neighboring cell populations is crucial for maintaining and restoring islet health. Signaling

between ECs and the pancreatic epithelium is critical for establishing islet vasculature and β cell

mass during development, and in mature islets ongoing signaling between endocrine and ECs

is required to maintain the capillary network through which endocrine cells receive adequate

nutrition and oxygen and can rapidly sense and secrete hormones[17–19]. The vascular basement

membrane is also the primary component of the intra-islet extracellular matrix (ECM) and acts

as a reservoir for growth factors and other signaling molecules important for β cell

differentiation, function, and proliferation[20–23]. In the βVEGF-A model, ECs may affect the

regenerative process indirectly by promoting MΦ recruitment and activation, altering ECM

composition and signaling, and/or by directly influencing β cell proliferation.

Here we deconstructed the complex *in vivo* islet microenvironment in the βVEGF-A model and

show that β cell self-renewal is mediated by coordinated interactions between recruited MΦs,

intra-islet ECs, and the ECM (**Figures 1, S1**). To isolate the roles of MΦs and ECs in this model

we removed MΦs from the islet microenvironment (**Figures 1, S1**; experimental scheme **A**) and

inactivated VEGFR2 signaling in ECs to discern the effects of proliferative or quiescent ECs on

β cell proliferation (**Figures 1, S1**; experimental schemes **B** and **C**). Since the βVEGF-A islet

microenvironment is a complex *in vivo* system involving dynamic changes in islet cell

composition, we also identified regenerative signals by performing transcriptome analysis

(**Figures 1, S1**; experimental scheme **D**) of purified islet cell populations including β cells, ECs,

and MΦs over the course of β cell loss and recovery. Based on previous work[1,16] we predicted

that either MΦ depletion (clodronate) or loss of VEGFR2 signaling in ECs would perturb MΦ

recruitment and polarization and impair β cell regeneration. Indeed, we found that MΦ depletion

suppressed the transient burst in β cell proliferation leading to reduced recovery of β cell mass.

In addition, VEGFR2-mediated signaling in intra-islet ECs was necessary for maximal MΦ

recruitment and M2-like phenotype activation. Surprisingly, VEGFR2 ablation in quiescent ECs

during the period of β cell recovery accelerated islet vascular regression leading to increased β

cell proliferation while MΦ phenotype and number was unchanged. Transcriptome analysis

during β cell death and recovery revealed intricate changes in expression of growth factors,

integrins, and matrix remodeling enzymes in all three cell types, suggesting that ECM

remodeling and activation of ECM-associated molecules within the islet microenvironment play

critical roles in β cell self-renewal. Taken together, our results indicate that both MΦs and intra-

islet ECs provide crucial microenvironmental cues to cooperatively promote β cell regeneration.

Figure 1. The RIP-rtTA; Tet-O-VEGF-A (βVEGF-A) model of β cell regeneration permits specific modulation of the islet microenvironment. Induction of VEGF-A overexpression in β cells with doxycycline (Dox) causes rapid endothelial cell (EC) expansion, β cell death, and recruitment of circulating monocytes increasing the number of intra-islet macrophages (MΦs). Once the Dox stimulus is removed, VEGF-A levels normalize and β cells undergo self-renewal[1]. **(A)** To establish the role of MΦs in β cell proliferation, monocytes and MΦs were depleted with clodronate liposomes. **(B)** To determine whether proliferative intra-islet ECs were required for β cell loss, MΦ recruitment, and MΦ phenotype activation, an additional genetic construct was introduced to knock down key signaling receptor VEGFR2 in ECs prior to VEGF-A induction. **(C)** To determine if VEGFR2 signaling in quiescent ECs contributes to β cell proliferation, VEGFR2 was inactivated in ECs during β cell recovery. **(D)** To identify cell-specific transcriptome changes during β cell loss and recovery, populations of ECs, MΦs, and β cells were isolated prior to and during VEGF-A induction, and after VEGF normalization. For additional details, including mouse models utilized, see **Figure S1**.

RESULTS

We previously developed the βVEGF-A model, which allows for Dox-induced, β cell-specific

overexpression of VEGF-A causing rapid intra-islet EC expansion, widespread β cell loss, and

MΦ recruitment to islets from a pool of circulating monocytes[1]. When Dox is removed and

VEGF-A levels normalize, ECs return to baseline levels, but MΦs persist in the islet

microenvironment, and β cells undergo a transient but robust proliferation that leads to β cell

mass restoration (**Figure 1**).

Chemical depletion of macrophages in βVEGF-A islets inhibits β cell proliferation

To dissect pathways and signaling molecules in the islet microenvironment required for β cell

recovery, we first employed clodronate-mediated MΦ depletion which impacts both infiltrating

and islet resident MΦs. Clodronate is an ATP/ADP translocase inhibitor, and when packaged

into liposomes it is selectively taken up by MΦs due to their phagocytic properties and causes

apoptosis. We treated βVEGF-A mice with either control or clodronate liposomes starting one

day before VEGF-A induction and continuing for one week after VEGF-A normalization (**Figure**

2A). Compared to control, clodronate treatment reduced circulating CD11b⁺ Ly6G⁻ monocytes

by 50% within 24 hours (**Figure 2B**, *No Dox*, and **Figure S2**) and reduced the MΦ population in

islets by 94% one week after VEGF-A induction (**Figure 2C** and **2D,** *1wk Dox*). MΦ depletion

was maintained during VEGF-A normalization, with 86% fewer MΦ in islets from clodronate-

treated βVEGF-A mice one week after Dox withdrawal (**Figure 2C** and **2D,** *1wk WD*). VEGF-A

induction in clodronate-treated mice led to increased EC area (**Figures 2C** and **2E**) and β cell

loss (**Figures 2B** and **2F**) comparable to βVEGF-A mice treated with control liposomes, thus

demonstrating that clodronate treatment and MΦ depletion did not influence intra-islet EC

expansion and hypervascularization-induced β cell loss. In contrast, MΦ depletion significantly

impaired β cell proliferation during the recovery period (8.5 vs. 2.1%, p<0.001; **Figure 2G**) and

resulted in reduced β cell area compared to controls after 6 weeks of VEGF-A normalization

(**Figure 2F**), demonstrating that MΦs are required for the regenerative response in βVEGF-A

islets. Interestingly, β cell area is slightly but significantly increased one week after Dox

withdrawal (**Figure 2F**, *1wk WD*) in clodronate-treated βVEGF-A mice before ultimately the

impaired β proliferation leads to reduced β cell area 6 weeks after VEGF-A normalization. This

finding suggests that MΦs play an important role in islet remodeling and composition in βVEGF-

A mice separate from their effect on β cell proliferation, most likely through their function as

phagocytes.

Proliferative ECs are required for MΦ polarization and maximal MΦ recruitment

To investigate the contribution of ECs to β cell loss and recovery in the βVEGF-A model, we first

created a mouse line in which VEGFR2, which is enriched in ECs of islet capillaries and the

main transducer of VEGF-A signal in islets, is inactivated by tamoxifen (Tm)-inducible Cre-

mediated excision in ECs. We initially tested the EC-SCL-CreERᵀ transgene[24], which contains a

Figure 2. Macrophages are required for β cell proliferation in βVEGF-A mice. (A) To deplete macrophages (MΦs) during VEGF-A induction and normalization, βVEGF-A mice were treated with clodronate or control liposomes (150-200 μl i.v.) every other day, beginning one day before Dox treatment and continuing 1 week after Dox withdrawal (1wk WD). **(B)** Representative flow cytometry plots showing circulating monocytes (CD11b+ Ly6G−) of control and clodronate-treated βVEGF-A mice 24 hours after single injection (No Dox). Approximately 10,000 white blood cells (WBCs) were analyzed (monocyte fraction reported as mean±SEM) per each animal. **(C)** Islet architecture displayed by labeling for β cells (Insulin; blue), endothelial cells (Caveolin-1; green), and MΦs (Iba1; red) during VEGF-A induction (1wk Dox) and normalization (1wk WD). Scale bar, 50 μm. **(D)** Quantification (mean±SEM) of islet MΦ area by immunohistochemistry (5.1±0.7 x 10^5 μm² total islet area analyzed per animal). **(E–F)** Quantification (mean±SEM) of endothelial cell (EC) area **(E)** and β cell area **(F)** in βVEGF-A mice treated with control or clodronate liposomes during VEGF-A induction and normalization. **(G)** Rate of β cell proliferation (mean±SEM; 1,138±87 β cells counted per animal) during VEGF-A normalization (1wk WD and 2wk WD) in control βVEGF-A mice was significantly reduced in clodronate-treated mice. In panels **(B–G)** each closed circle represents one animal; asterisks indicate unpaired two-tailed t-tests of control vs. clodronate groups; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ****, p<0.0001. Dashed lines in **D–G** depict average values in βVEGF-A mice at baseline (No Dox).

5' endothelial enhancer for the stem cell leukemia (SCL) transcription factor, and although Cre

activity was confirmed in islet ECs using the *Gt(ROSA)26Sor^tm1Sor* reporter strain[25], VEGFR2

expression was unchanged in EC-SCL-CreER[T]; VEGFR2^{fl/fl} mice (data not shown). Fortunately,

were able to obtain the Cad5-CreER[T2] line[26] and achieved efficient EC-specific VEGFR2

knockdown in Cad5-CreER[T2]; VEGFR2^{fl/fl} (VEGFR2[iΔEC]) mice. Pancreata harvested after 3

doses of Tm were evaluated to confirm VEGFR2 ablation (**Figures S3A** and **S3B**) without

significant changes in islet capillary density or size (**Figures S3C** and **S3D**) or basal β cell

proliferation (**Figure S3E**), indicating that acute loss of VEGFR2 signaling in ECs is not

detrimental to adult islet vascular homeostasis or β cell proliferation. A similar observation was

made previously when VEGF-A was acutely inactivated in adult β cells[18]. Next, we crossed

VEGFR2[iΔEC] mice with the existing βVEGF-A line to effectively perturb VEGF-A–VEGFR2

signaling in ECs at various time points during β cell loss and recovery. To control for any

possible effects of Tm administration on compensatory β cell proliferation[27], we treated all mice

with Tm and designated Cre-negative (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^{fl/fl}) mice as controls to represent

intact VEGFR2 signaling by ECs.

To determine the effect of proliferative ECs on MΦ recruitment and polarization, Tm was

administered to knock down VEGFR2 (R2) in ECs prior to VEGF-A induction in β cells (**Figures**

4A and **S4A**). VEGF-A was induced in both control βVEGF-A; R2^{fl/fl} and βVEGF-A; R2[iΔEC]

genotypes, with efficient knockdown of VEGFR2 in the latter (**Figure S4B**). As expected, VEGF-

A induction caused a 7% EC expansion and associated 16% β cell loss per islet area in βVEGF-

A; R2^{fl/fl} controls, whereas EC and β cell area did not change in βVEGF-A; R2[iΔEC] mice (**Figures**

3B-D). These results indicate that activation of VEGFR2 signaling in ECs by acute elevation of

VEGF-A in the islet microenvironment is essential for islet hypervascularization and leads to β

cell loss.

Inactivation of VEGFR2 signaling in ECs significantly reduced, but did not completely prevent

MΦ recruitment to βVEGF-A; R2[iΔEC] islets compared to βVEGF-A; R2^{fl/fl} controls (5.0 vs. 6.3%

per total islet area, respectively; p<0.05) (**Figures 3E** and **3F**). This suggests that MΦ infiltration

can occur in response to VEGF-A alone, which is consistent with the fact that VEGF-A can

mediate monocyte recruitment through VEGFR1 activity[28,29]. Still, the proliferative EC

environment (intact VEGF-A–VEGFR2 signaling and β cell loss) leads to maximal MΦ

recruitment. Interestingly, a subset of infiltrating MΦs in control islets expressed the M2-like

marker CD206 (Mrc1), which is normally made only by exocrine MΦs[30,31], while infiltrating MΦs

in βVEGF-A; R2[iΔEC] islets remained CD206⁻ (**Figures 3E** and **3G**). This observation suggests

Figure 4. Phenotypic and structural changes to the islet microenvironment in response to VEGFR2 inactivation in quiescent endothelial cells during β cell recovery. (A) To inactivate VEGFR2 in endothelial cells (ECs) during β cell recovery, control (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$) and VEGFR2^{iΔEC} (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^{iΔEC}) mice received Tamoxifen (Tm; 4mg s.c.) after 7 days (d) of Dox withdrawal (WD). **(B)** Islet architecture visualized by labeling for macrophages (Iba1$^+$) ECs (CD31$^+$, green), β cells (Insulin$^+$, blue) at 7d WD (pre-Tm) and 9d WD (2d post-Tm). Scale bar, 50 μm. **(C–E)** Area quantification (mean±SEM) of islet ECs (**C**), MΦs (**D**), and β cells (**E**) by immunohistochemistry; 14±1 x 10^5 μm^2 total islet area analyzed per animal. Each circle represents one animal; asterisks indicate results of unpaired two-tailed t-tests between genotypes; ***, p<0.001. **(F)** Visualization of islet extracellular matrix by immunofluorescence (ECM; Col-IV$^+$, red), ECs (CD31$^+$, green), β cells (Insulin$^+$, blue) at 7d WD (pre-Tm) and 9d WD (2d post-Tm). Scale bar, 50 μm; inset, 10 μm. Arrowhead in **(F)** points to ECM casts where ECs have regressed. **(G)** β proliferation rates (1,947±145 β cells per animal) plotted as a function of β cell loss (% β cells of total islet area) reveal a significant increase after VEGFR2 inactivation in quiescent ECs at 9d WD. Parentheses beside lines provide x- and y-intercepts derived from linear regression. At 9d WD, intercepts are significantly different; **, p<0.01. **(H)** Schematic of proposed mechanism for increased β cell area observed in VEGFR2^{iΔEC} mice.

that VEGFR2 signaling in proliferative ECs and/or β cell loss promotes MΦ polarization to an

M2-like phenotype.

VEGFR2 inactivation in quiescent ECs accelerates EC regression, enhancing β cell

recovery

To investigate the role of VEGFR2 signaling in quiescent ECs during β cell recovery, VEGF-A-

mediated EC proliferation and β cell loss was first induced with 3-day Dox treatment in βVEGF-

A; R2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice and controls, followed by 7 days of Dox withdrawal to allow VEGF-A to normalize

and ECs to return back to quiescence (**Figures 4A, S5A**). Islet phenotype and β cell

proliferation was assessed after 7 days of VEGF-A normalization (7d WD) and subsequently 2

days post-Tm treatment to inactivate VEGFR2 (9d WD). VEGF-A-induced EC expansion, β cell

loss, and MΦ infiltration occurred in both genotypes, with no difference between the two groups

at 7d WD prior to VEGFR2 inactivation (**Figures 4B-E**). As expected, VEGF-A expression

continued to decline during Dox withdrawal and VEGFR2 was efficiently inactivated in βVEGF-

A; R2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice within 48 hours of a single 4-mg Tm injection (**Figure S5B**). Surprisingly, unlike

under normal homeostatic conditions (**Figure S3**), VEGFR2 inactivation in βVEGF-A; R2$^{i\Delta EC}$

mice significantly accelerated islet EC regression compared to controls (**Figure 4D**) without

changes in MΦ phenotype (**Figure S5C**) and number (**Figure 4C**), suggesting that neither MΦ

retention nor polarization at this stage of β cell recovery are dependent on VEGFR2 signaling in

quiescent ECs.

Since VEGFR2 inactivation in quiescent ECs of βVEGF-A; R2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice leads to a relatively rapid

EC decline after Tm treatment (9d WD), we next evaluated the islet vascular regression by

visualization of collagen IV, a major component of the islet ECM[32,33] generated by intra-islet

ECs. This study revealed that regressing islet capillaries leave behind vascular "casts" of ECM

that are no longer associated with intact ECs (**Figure 4F,** *9d WD*). Even more surprising was

our finding that this accelerated decline in islet ECs enhances β cell proliferation at 9d WD

(**Figure 4G**). We hypothesize that in contrast to β cell homeostasis, the regression of quiescent

ECs during the β cell recovery phase stimulates release of growth factors from degraded ECM,

thereby promoting β cell proliferation (**Figure 4H**).

Identifying interactions between β cells, ECs, and MΦs in the βVEGF-A islet

microenvironment

Our prior studies in the βVEGF-A model localized the stimulus for β cell proliferation to the islet

microenvironment, ruling out any contribution from circulating factors that might reach the

Figure 3. Inactivation of VEGFR2 signaling in endothelial cells prevents β cell loss and M2-like macrophage polarization by acute elevation of VEGF-A in the islet microenvironment. **(A)** To inactivate VEGFR2 in endothelial cells (ECs), control (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2fl/fl) and VEGFR2iΔEC (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2iΔEC) mice were treated with Tamoxifen (Tm; 4mg s.c.) prior to VEGF-A induction. **(B)** Islet architecture displayed by labeling for macrophages (Iba1+), ECs (CD31+), and β cells (Ins+) at baseline (No Dox) and after 3d Dox. **(C–D)** Quantification (mean+SEM) of islet β cell and EC composition (10±1 x 10^5 μm^2 total islet area analyzed per animal). **(E)** Some intra-islet macrophages (MΦs) in control mice showed an "M2-like" phenotype (CD206+) after VEGF-A induction, indicated by arrowheads. Insets show representative intra-islet MΦs in each group and time point. **(F–G)** Quantification (mean±SEM) of MΦ infiltration and M2-like intra-islet MΦs (percent CD206+ Iba1+ of Iba1+), 3±2 x 10^5 μm^2 total islet area analyzed per animal. Each closed circle in bar graphs represents one animal. Asterisks indicate unpaired two-tailed t-tests between genotypes; *, p<0.05; ***, p<0.001. Scale bars in **(B)** and **(E)**, 50 μm; inset, 10 μm.

pancreas[1]. With key roles established for both MΦs and ECs in this system, we next sought to

identify potential mechanisms and signaling pathways coordinating cell-cell and cell-matrix

interactions. To do this we isolated βVEGF-A islets at baseline (No Dox) and during the course

of VEGF-A induction (1wk Dox) and normalization (1wk WD) and purified islet populations of β

cells, ECs, and MΦs at each of these time points for transcriptome analysis (**Figures 5A, S6A**

and **S6B**).

All of the nine sample types analyzed (3 cell types per each of 3 time points) demonstrate

distinct transcriptional profiles, reflecting a high degree of uniqueness among three cell types

and significant temporal changes in gene expression within each cell type (**Figures S6C-E**). By

hierarchical clustering, the highest correlations were observed within one cell type across

different time points; for example, β cells at No Dox are more similar to β cells at 1wk Dox and

1wk WD than they are to MΦs or ECs at any time point. Of the β cell samples, regenerative β

cells (1wk WD) are more similar to stressed β cells (1wk Dox) than quiescent β cells (No Dox);

in contrast, regenerative MΦs (1wk WD) are more similar to resident MΦs (No Dox) than to

those recruited upon VEGF-A induction (1wk Dox), and quiescent ECs following VEGF-A

normalization (1wk WD) are more similar to quiescent ECs at baseline (No Dox) than to

proliferative ECs (1wk Dox) (**Figure S6D**).

With the induction of VEGF-A (1wk Dox), all cell populations increase expression of growth

factors, matrix remodeling enzymes involved in tissue repair and matrix degradation (MMPs,

ADAMs, ADAMTSs), as well as cell adhesion molecules involved in cell-matrix and cell-cell

interactions (ICAM1, VCAM1, selectins), many of which remain elevated during β cell

regeneration (1wk WD) (**Figure S7A**). Pathway analysis shows a high degree of cellular motility

(**Figure S7B**), and extracellular organization and cell adhesion processes are significantly

enriched in all cell types during β cell recovery (**Figure S8**).

Infiltrating MΦs show elevated expression of both pro-inflammatory and pro-regenerative

markers during periods of initial monocyte recruitment (1wk Dox) and β cell regeneration (1wk

WD). Pro-inflammatory genes like *Il12b* and *Il1a* are upregulated at 1wk Dox, while M2 markers

such as *Ptgs1* and *Retnla* are upregulated and/or remained elevated at 1wk WD (**Figure S7A**).

Upregulation of chemokines and cytokines by MΦs and corresponding increase in expression of

receptors (e.g., *Cxcr4*, *Il12rb2*) by β cells suggests crosstalk between the two cell types and

potential phenotypic effects on β cells in addition to MΦs. Similarly, increased growth factor

expression in ECs and MΦs appears in concert with increased expression of growth factor

receptors in β cells (**Figure S7A**).

A

At each of 3 time points, isolate by FACS:

βVEGF-A; MIP-GFP

- β cells (GFP)
- ECs (CD31)
- MΦs (CD11b)

→ Extract RNA

	No Dox	1wk Dox	1wk WD
β cells:	Quiescent	Stressed	Proliferative
ECs:	Quiescent	Proliferative	Quiescent
MΦs:	Resident	Recruited	Regenerative

B

Category	Gene	No Dox	1wk Dox	1wk WD
Transcriptional activators	Ccnd3			
	Cdk1		4.4	
	Cdk6		3.5	3.0
	E2f2			
	Foxm1		3.4	
	Tcf7		2.9	
Growth factor/ECM signal transduction	Ezh2			
	Itgal		2.3	7.0
	Pxn			2.0
	Shc3		3.3	4.1
	Src			2.4
	Itga1		8.1	4.9
	Itga2			2.8
	Itga5		12.3	12.1
	Itgb2			3.4
	Itgb3		8.9	7.3
Second messenger signaling	Jak2			
	Pik3c2g			-2.6
	Pik3cd		2.7	3.2
	Pik3r5			3.5
	Pik3r6		4.8	3.9
	Plce1		4.6	5.6
	Prkcd			
	Prkch		3.2	3.9
	Prkd3			2.4
MAPK signaling	Mapk11		7.0	2.2
	Mapk12			2.2
	Mknk2			2.2
	Trib3			
Transcriptional repressors	Bcl6			
	Cdkn1a			
	Cdkn1c		5.7	9.1
	Cdkn2c			-2.3
	Etv3			2.3
	Rbl1			
	Trp53			
	Tsc2			
Misc. transcription factors	Rhob			2.1
	Creb5		5.5	7.6
	Ets1		5.9	4.6
	Nfatc1			
	Nfatc4		4.2	4.1
	Nfkb2			2.4
	Nkx6-1			
	Pdx1			
	Smad7			
	Tcf7l1			
Other	Dock1		2.2	3.0
	Arhgef6			2.4
	Tnf			10.1

C

1wk WD vs. No Dox

Category	Pathway	Down	Up	No change
Matrix/ integrins	Leukocyte extravasation	4	57	143
	Macropinocytosis signaling	3	20	45
	Paxillin signaling	3	27	71
	Integrin signaling	4	43	154
	ILK signaling	4	42	142
	Agrin interactions	1	17	52
Growth factors	TREM1 signaling	0	28	48
	PAK signaling	1	18	72
	Sphingosine-1-phosphate	4	33	76
	VEGF interactions	2	15	65
	HGF signaling	2	18	88
	FGF signaling	3	14	72
Intracellular pathways	NFAT signaling	5	33	148
	NF-κB signaling	5	29	139
	PI3K signaling	3	28	102
	p38 MAPK signaling	3	21	93
	Wnt/Ca⁺ pathway	0	14	45

(Z-score axis: 0 to 6)

Legend: Down (orange), Up (blue), No change (grey)

Figure 5. Genes and pathways upregulated in β cells during recovery reflect activation of intracellular signaling to promote proliferation. (A) Experimental schematic showing sorting of islet-derived β cells, endothelial cells (ECs), and macrophages (MΦs) from βVEGF-A mice at baseline (No Dox), during VEGF-A induction/β cell loss (1wk Dox), and during VEGF-A normalization/β cell recovery (1wk WD). See also **Figure S5B–E**. **(B)** Normalized expression of selected genes known to function in β cell proliferation[34–37] at No Dox (n=4 replicates), 1wk Dox (n=5), and 1wk WD (n=3). Numbers listed in 1wk Dox and 1wk WD columns represent fold-change ≥2 or <-2 (p<0.05) as compared to No Dox. **(C)** Selected differentially regulated pathways during β cell recovery (1wk WD vs. No Dox), as determined by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA). Total bar width represents z-score; colored fractions indicate percentage of pathway genes that are up (blue), down (orange), and unchanged (grey). Number of genes per category is also listed within or adjacent to bars. A full list of significantly regulated pathways (z-score ≥2 or ≤-2, p<0.05) is provided in **Table S1**. FGF, fibroblast growth factor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; ILK, integrin-linked kinase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T cells; NF-kB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PAK, p21-activated kinase; TREM1, triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 1; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

At the peak of regeneration (1wk WD), β cells highly express integrins and other molecules that

sense and respond to changes in the extracellular milieu (**Figure 5B**). Activation of integrin-

mediated signaling and the integrin-linked kinase pathway were accompanied by increases in

PI3K/Akt and MAPK signaling genes (**Figures S8A** and **5C**), many of which are known

modulators of β cell proliferation[34–37]. Transcriptional activators *Cdk6* and *Foxm1* are initially

upregulated more than 3-fold compared to baseline, followed by downregulation of suppressor

Cdkn2c (p18) specifically during β cell recovery, consistent with previous studies of both mouse

and human β cells[38–40]. *Creb5* and *Ets1* are notably increased as well. Collectively, these data

provide evidence for a model where β cell proliferation is largely driven through MΦ–EC–β cell

paracrine signaling, ECM remodeling, and cell-matrix interactions (**Figure 6**).

Figure 6. Model of interactions between β cells, macrophages, endothelial cells, and the extracellular matrix in β cell regeneration. Upon VEGF-A induction, intra-islet endothelial cells (ECs) proliferate while increasing expression of cell adhesion molecules and growth factors and altering their expression of integrins and extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling enzymes. These adhesion molecules help recruit macrophages (MΦs), which upon islet infiltration also upregulate expression of cell adhesion molecules and pro- and anti-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines, influencing further MΦ recruitment in addition to signaling through chemokine and cytokine receptors on β cells. Chemokine and cytokines become increasingly less inflammatory as VEGF-A normalizes, as MΦs produce growth factors and matrix remodeling enzymes that may promote β cell proliferation. Upon VEGF-A induction, β cells exhibit enrichment for several integrin pathways and other proteins involved in ECM remodeling and cell-matrix interactions in addition to regulating expression of chemokines known to support a regenerative (M2 or alternative) MΦ phenotype. Growth factors from all cell types act on an increased number of growth factor receptors being expressed on β cells, activating downstream signals converging on the PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways. Other signals from cells in the microenvironment, or from the rapidly remodeling ECM, may also play a role in β cell proliferation.

DISCUSSION

Adult β cells have a very limited proliferative capacity and signals regulating this process are

incompletely understood. The complexity of the islet microenvironment and difficulty modeling

this complexity *in vitro* often constrains investigation of β cell proliferation to reductionist

approaches, removing components of the *in vivo* environment known to contribute to the

establishment and maintenance of β cell mass and thereby limiting the utility of these studies.

Here we utilized the βVEGF-A mouse model[1] in which signals from the local islet

microenvironment, including β cells, ECs, and MΦs, promote proliferation of human as well as

mouse β cells. To dissect how these microenvironmental components influence β cell loss and

recovery within the context of this complex and dynamic *in vivo* model, we developed new

experimental tools to intricately modulate EC and MΦ populations and their signaling within the

islet. These tools, coupled with transcriptional analysis of temporal changes in gene expression

patterns of β cells, ECs, and MΦs, allowed us to gain important insight into the cell-cell and

ECM-mediated signaling in this model, which work in concert to promote β cell proliferation

(**Figure 6**).

<u>Role of macrophages</u>

Our transcriptome analysis suggested that MΦ recruitment to islets is facilitated not only by

VEGF-A signaling but also by increased expression of cell adhesion molecules on both MΦs

and ECs, as well as increased production of chemokines and cytokines from MΦs already in the

islet microenvironment[41]. By specifically depleting MΦs in βVEGF-A mice using clodronate

liposomes, we demonstrated that MΦs are required for β cell regeneration in the islet

microenvironment. These recruited MΦs have a unique hybrid phenotype: they express markers

of both classical pro-inflammatory (M1) activation as well as alternative (M2) activation

promoting tissue repair and regeneration. Though MΦ-derived cytokines and chemokines can

exacerbate β cell stress[42,43], the comparable β cell loss in MΦ-depleted and control islets

suggests that MΦs do not promote β cell apoptosis in this model. Instead, MΦs downregulate

key pro-inflammatory cytokines (*e.g., Tnf, Il6*) at 1wk WD, concomitant with β cell proliferation.

Their phenotypic profile at this stage is most reminiscent of phenotyping subtypes "M2a" (high

expression of scavenger and phagocytic receptors like Retnlb/Fizz2, Ym1, and Mrc1; secretion

of profibrotic and trophic factors like fibronectin, IGF, and TGFβ) and "M2c" (associated with

removal of apoptotic cells)[44–46]. This phagocytic phenotype is consistent with our finding that

MΦs at this time point (1wk WD) likely have an effect on islet remodeling and composition

separate from their effect on β cell proliferation. Together, these data suggest a phenotypic shift

in MΦs that facilitates β cell recovery and return to homeostasis in the islet, in line with an

increasing number of studies observing tissue-restorative effects of MΦs triggered in various β

cell injury models[11,12,47]. Further studies will be necessary to clarify the specific signals that

regulate the phenotypic shift and define the cues that govern their withdrawal.

Role of endothelial cells

Regulated EC-derived signals are necessary for pancreatic and islet development[17,48–50],

optimal adult β cell function[18], and islet revascularization after transplantation[51–54]. In addition,

ECs and VEGFR2 signaling have been previously implicated in organ regeneration[13,16]. For

example, during liver regeneration, sinusoidal ECs appear to have a biphasic effect in mediating

hepatic reconstitution; proliferative angiogenesis of sinusoidal ECs inhibits hepatocyte self-

renewal, whereas quiescent sinusoidal ECs stimulate hepatic regeneration[13]. To determine the

role of proliferative and quiescent ECs in β cell loss and recovery, we generated a compound

βVEGF-A model with inducible VEGFR2 inactivation (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^{iΔEC}) that allowed us to

modulate VEGF-A–VEGFR2 signaling in ECs.

Our studies show that intact VEGFR2 signaling is required for the proliferative angiogenesis of

islet endothelium, which ultimately results in β cell loss. Although transcriptome analysis did not

reveal specific signals produced by proliferating ECs that would lead to β cell apoptosis during

VEGF-A induction, there are significant changes in expression of matrix remodeling enzymes

and cell adhesion molecules. Based on the importance of cell-matrix interactions in β cell

survival *in vitro*[20,55], we hypothesize that ECM changes associated with rapidly expanding

endothelium likely contribute to β cell loss in the βVEGF-A system. In addition, we show that

intact VEGFR2 signaling is necessary for accumulation of intra-islet MΦs expressing CD206, a

marker of an M2 phenotype. This observation is consistent with MΦ activation by EC-derived

cues in the context of acute injury, a phenomenon that has been noted in numerous tissues[56–58].

Furthermore, a similar M2 phenotype (high IL-10, CD206) was shown to be required for β cell

regeneration after DT-mediated ablation[12], and elsewhere CD206 has been linked to increased

expression of *Tgfb1* and *Egf* [59] and promotion of β cell proliferation via a Smad7-cyclin

pathway[11].

In contrast to lung and liver regeneration[13,16], VEGFR2 inactivation in quiescent ECs (1wk WD)

resulted in accelerated β cell recovery, which is associated with rapid islet capillary regression,

leaving behind vascular "casts" of ECM components. We postulate that β cell proliferation is

promoted by release of growth factors from degraded ECM. Though ECs are no longer

expanding at the 1wk WD time point, islets still contain quite extensive capillary networks that

might be sustained by the residual extracellular VEGF-A we observed, as well as possibly other

growth factors. Interestingly, VEGFR2 inactivation during β cell homeostasis does not impact β

cell proliferation and islet capillary morphology. It will be important to assess the role of

quiescent ECs and VEGFR2 signaling in other β cell injury models in the future. In addition,

these findings highlight that ECs have different roles in different tissues and during various

physiologic and pathologic stressors.

Role of extracellular matrix and integrated cellular interactions in β cell proliferation

By deconstructing the contributions of the cellular components of the islet microenvironment of

βVEGF-A mice, we were able to uncover a role for ECM remodeling and signaling in β cell

proliferation. MΦs, ECs, and β cells all show transcriptional regulation of integrin receptors and

ECM remodeling enzymes, some of which have been shown previously to affect β cell

proliferation[22,60–62]. In addition to shaping cell-matrix signaling, ECM reorganization can also

lead to the release and/or activation of matrix-sequestered growth factors[63–66]. This is

particularly relevant given the temporal increase of growth factor receptor expression in β cells

during VEGF-A induction and normalization, suggesting heightened β cell responsiveness to

signals from the rapidly remodeling ECM as VEGF-A normalizes. Together, these data provide

evidence that ECM-bound growth factors released during EC regression promote β cell

proliferation.

Remodeling of extracellular milieu can also influence MΦ phenotype[67,68], though more work is

required to define specific signaling pathways that regulate this process in the pancreas.

However, our transcriptome data provides evidence that both MΦs and ECs are quite attuned to

their rapidly changing environment, with integrins and cell adhesion molecules being some of

the most dynamically regulated genes in both cell types. Changes in these molecules are likely

regulating MΦ recruitment and/or polarization[69–72], which may explain the phenotypic shift that

happens between MΦ recruitment (occurring rapidly in response to VEGF-A) and the

appearance of β cell proliferation (during VEGF-A normalization).

It is also possible that β cells undergo intrinsic changes heightening their sensitivity to

extracellular signals, supported by the observation that ECs and MΦs increase expression of

several growth factors known to promote β cell proliferation (IGF-1, PDGF, and CTGF)[37,73–75]

while β cells simultaneously upregulate expression of corresponding receptors (*Igf1r, Pdgfr*).

Signaling cascades activated by integrins and growth factors exhibit extensive downstream

crosstalk and protein activity that makes it difficult to determine pathway activation status based

solely on gene expression. Nonetheless, we did observe transcriptional changes to components

of the PI3K/Akt, PLC, and MAPK pathways, as well as upregulation of transcription factors

regulated by the MAPK pathway[34–37] suggesting that the cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions may

converge on the activation of these pathways leading to β cell proliferation. We therefore

propose a model in which coordination of growth factors – whose bioavailability is likely

modulated by ECs and MΦs – together with increased integrin signaling promotes activation of

pro-proliferative pathways in surviving β cells during VEGF-A normalization to ultimately restore

β cell mass (**Figure 6**).

Recognizing the key role of cellular and extracellular components of the islet microenvironment

on β cell development, function, and homeostasis is critical to further our understanding of

signals regulating adult β cell proliferation. Islet microenvironmental signaling in the βVEGF-A

system promotes human β cell proliferation[1], which prompted us to develop new strategies to

disentangle the roles of various microenvironmental components in this regenerative process.

However, moving forward it will be important to further explore the mechanisms and

combination of specific cytokines, growth factors, and other microenvironmental signals that

activate and regulate relevant mitogenic signaling pathways in human β cells. Overall, these

studies highlight the importance of developing innovative approaches to examine β cells *in vivo*

in order to more completely understand the complex microenvironmental factors regulating β

cell function and regeneration.

METHODS

Mouse Models

All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at

Vanderbilt University Medical Center, and animals were kept in facilities monitored by the

Vanderbilt University Division of Animal Care on a 12 hour light/12 hour dark schedule with

unrestricted access to standard chow and water. Mouse models and abbreviations used to

describe them are summarized in **Table S2**.

RIP-rtTA; TetO-VEGF (βVEGF-A) mice. The original bitransgenic mice with doxycycline (Dox)-

inducible β-cell-specific overexpression of human VEGF-A$_{165}$ (abbreviated βVEGF-A) were

generated by crossing RIP-rtTA male mice and TetO-VEGF female mice, both on a C57BL/6

background[76–80]. These mice were generously provided by Dr. Shimon Efrat of Tel Aviv

University and Dr. Peter Campochiaro of Johns Hopkins University, respectively. In this βVEGF-

A model the rat *Ins2* promoter drives expression of the tetracycline-responsive rtTA

transactivator specifically in pancreatic β cells. Upon exposure to Dox, the rtTA transactivator

binds the tetracycline operator (*TetO*), driving expression of human VEGF-A$_{165}$ in β-cells. Details

of Dox preparation and administration are included below.

Cd5-CreER; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$ (VEGFR2^{iΔEC}) mice. Mice with Tamoxifen (Tm)-inducible EC-specific

knockout of VEGFR2 (abbreviated VEGFR2^{iΔEC}) were generated by crossing Cd5-CreER male

mice and VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$ female mice (see **Table S3**, crosses A1-A2). Heterozygous VEGFR2$^{fl/wt}$

mice on a C57BL/6 background were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (stock #018977) and

bred to create a homozygous VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$ line. Frozen sperm from the Cd5-CreER line[26] was

generously provided by Dr. Yoshiaki Kubota of Keio University, and *in vitro* fertilization (IVF)

was performed by the Vanderbilt Genome Editing Resource using female C57BL/6 mice

(Jackson Laboratories, stock #000664). In the VEGFR2^{iΔEC} model *loxP* sites were inserted

flanking VEGFR2 exon 3, and the *Cdh5* (*VE-cadherin*) promoter drives expression of Tm-

inducible Cre recombinase in vascular ECs. Upon exposure to Tm, Cre recombinase

translocates to the nucleus, excising VEGFR2 exon 3 through Cre-*loxP* recombination and

subsequently preventing VEGFR2 expression in ECs. Details of Tm preparation and

administration are described below.

βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^{iΔEC} mice. To generate an inducible model of EC-specific knockdown of

VEGFR2 in βVEGF-A mice, Cd5-CreER and VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$ mice were crossed with RIP-rtTA and

TetO-VEGF transgenic mice as outlined in **Table S3**. The final cross produced both βVEGF-A;

VEGFR2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice as well as Cre-negative sibling controls (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$). Due to the

inefficient induction of VEGF-A in female mice (the single copy of the *TetO* transgene is subject

to X chromosome inactivation), only male mice were used for experiments.

βVEGF-A; MIP-GFP mice. To enable fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of pancreatic β

cells from βVEGF-A mice, a transgene was separately introduced into the βVEGF-A mouse

model by crossing MIP-GFP mice on a C57BL/6 background[81] (Jackson Laboratories, stock

#006864) with RIP-rtTA and Tet-O-VEGF-A mice (abbreviated βVEGF-A; MIP-GFP). In these

mice, the mouse *Ins1* promoter drives green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression in β cells.

DNA extraction and genotyping. Mouse models used in our breeding schemes were

maintained by genotyping using the primers and PCR conditions listed in **Table S4**. DNA was

extracted and PCR reactions were performed with tail snips from mice as described previously[1].

Thermal cycler conditions listed in **Table S4** were used to amplify DNA before resolving on

agarose gels with 100 ng/ml ethidium bromide in 1X Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE) buffer as

indicated.

Compound preparation and administration. VEGF-A transgene expression was activated in

βVEGF-A mice by Dox administration (5 mg/ml) in light-protected drinking water containing 1%

Splenda® for a period of 3-7 days. VEGFR2 knockdown was induced in VEGFR2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice by

subcutaneous injection of 4 mg Tm (20 mg/ml; 200 µl). Tm (20 mg/ml) was prepared fresh in

filter-sterilized corn oil the day before each injection and allowed to dissolve overnight on a

shaker at room temperature, protected from light. Vetbond tissue adhesive (3M) was used to

seal injection sites to prevent oil leakage. Clodronate-mediated macrophage depletion in

βVEGF-A mice was accomplished by injecting 150-200 µl clodronate liposomes (5 mg/ml;

Clodrosome) retro-orbitally every other day for a 1-2 week period (4-8 total injections).

Liposome injections began one day before Dox administration and continued for 1 week after in

mice harvested at later time points. Control liposomes with the same lipid composition

(Clodrosome) were administered to βVEGF-A mice using the same route, volume, and

schedule. Mice receiving liposome injections were supplemented with Transgenic Dough Diet

(21.2% protein, 12.4% fat, 46.5% carbohydrate; BioServ) throughout the course of the

experiment.

Glucose measurements. Random (non-fasted) plasma glucose levels were measuring by

obtaining whole blood from nicked tail veins using an Accu-chek glucose meter (Roche

Diagnostics) calibrated according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Tissue Collection and Fixation

Mouse pancreata were collected from anesthetized mice prior to cervical dislocation. Organs

were washed in ice-cold 10 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS), then fat and other excess

tissue was removed before pancreata were weighed and processed. Fixation was performed in

0.1 M PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 2-3 hours on

ice with mild agitation, then organs were washed in four changes of 0.1 M PBS over 2 hours

and equilibrated in 30% sucrose/0.01 M PBS overnight. After blotting to remove excess

sucrose, tissues were mounted in Tissue Tek cryomolds filled with Tissue-Plus Optimal Cutting

Temperature (OCT) compound (VWR Scientific Products). Tissue molds were placed on dry ice

until the OCT was set, then stored at -80°C. Tissues were sectioned from 5-10 µm thick on a

Leica CM1950 cryostat (Leica) and these cryosections were attached to Superfrost Plus Gold

slides (ThermoFischer Scientific).

Immunohistochemistry, Imaging, and Analysis

Immunohistochemical analysis was performed on serial 8-10 µm pancreatic cryosections as

described previously[1,18]. Briefly, tissue permeabilization was conducted using 0.2% Triton-X in

10nM PBS and blocking using 5% normal donkey serum in 10mM. Primary and secondary

antibody incubations (listed in **Table S5**) were performed in buffer with 0.1% Triton-X and 1%

BSA and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Slides were mounted using SlowFade Gold

antifade reagent (Invitrogen Molecular Probes) and sealed with fingernail polish prior to imaging.

Digital images were acquired with a Leica DMI6000B fluorescence microscope equipped with a

Leica DFC360FX digital camera (Leica), a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM510

META or LSM880, Carl Zeiss), and a ScanScope FL (Aperio). Image analysis was performed

using MetaMorph 7.7 software (Molecular Devices), ImageScope software (Aperio), or HALO

software (Indica Labs).

For analysis of islet composition, images of entire pancreatic sections were captured at 20x

magnification using a ScanScope FL system. Islet area was annotated manually based on

insulin staining, and HALO algorithms were used to calculate area of β cells (Insulin$^+$), ECs

(CD31$^+$), and MΦs (Iba1$^+$). For β cell proliferation, cells were deemed positive for Ki67 only

when at least 75% of the nucleus was surrounded by insulin$^+$ cytoplasm.

Flow Cytometry and Cell Sorting

Flow analysis and sorting was performed in collaboration with the Vanderbilt Flow Cytometry

Core. Peripheral blood (50-100 µl) was collected from the retro-orbital sinus of βVEGF-A mice

using heparinized capillary tubes 24 hours after beginning liposome injections to evaluate

depletion of circulating monocytes. Blood was incubated for 3-5 minutes at 37°C with 1 ml

warmed, filter-sterilized erythrocyte lysis buffer (8.26 g ammonium chloride, 1 g potassium

bicarbonate, and 0.38 g EDTA in 1 L Milli-Q water). Cells were pelleted by centrifuging at 1800

rpm for 2-3 minutes at 4°C and supernatant discarded. Incubation with erythrocyte lysis buffer

was repeated, and then cells were washed with 1 ml FACS buffer (2 mM EDTA and 2% FBS in

10 mM PBS) prior to antibody incubation. Blood from WT mice was collected for antibody

compensation controls.

Isolated islets from βVEGF-A; MIP-GFP mice handpicked in Clonetics EGM MV Microvascular

Endothelial Cell Growth Medium (Lonza) were washed 3 times with 2 mM EDTA in 10 mM PBS

and then dispersed by incubating with Accutase (Innovative Cell Technologies) at 37°C for 10

minutes with constant pipetting. Accutase was quenched with EGM MV media, and then islet

cells were washed twice with the same media and counted using a hemocytometer prior to

antibody incubation. Anti-rat Ig, κ CompBead Plus Compensation Particles (BD Biosciences)

and EasyComp Fluorescent Particles, GFP (Spherotech) were used as single color

compensation controls for islet cell sorts.

Peripheral blood and islet cells prepared as described above, and anti-rat Ig compensation

particles were incubated for 15-20 minutes at 4°C with fluorophore-conjugated antibodies in

FACS buffer followed by one wash with FACS buffer. All antibodies for flow cytometry and their

working dilutions are listed in **Table S5**. Prior to analysis or sorting, either propidium iodide (0.05

µg/100,000 cells; Invitrogen Molecular Probes) or DAPI (0.25 µg/1,000,000 cells; Invitrogen

Molecular Probes) was added to samples for non-viable cell exclusion. Flow analysis was

performed using an LSRFortessa cell analyzer (BD Biosciences) and a FACSAria III cell sorter

(BD Biosciences) was used for FACS. Analysis of flow cytometry data was completed using

FlowJo 7.6.5-10.2.1 (FlowJo LLC).

RNA Isolation, Sequencing, and Analysis

Sorted islet-derived cells (8,000-400,000/sample) were added to 200-400 μl lysis/binding solution in the RNAqueous micro-scale phenol-free total RNA isolation kit (Ambion). Trace contaminating DNA was removed with TURBO DNA-free (Ambion). RNA quality control quantification was performed using a Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. All RNA samples had an RNA integrity number (RIN) ≥5.0. RNA was amplified using the Ovation system (NuGen Technologies) according to standard protocol. Amplified cDNA was sheared to target 300bp fragment size and libraries were prepared using NEBNext DNA Library Prep (New England BioLabs). 50bp Paired End (PE) sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 using traditional methods[82,83]. Raw reads were mapped to the reference mouse genome mm9 using TopHat v2.0[84] and aligned reads were then imported onto the Avadis NGS analysis platform (Strand Scientific). Transcript abundance was quantified using the TMM (Trimmed Mean of M-values) algorithm[85,86]. Samples were compared by principle component analysis (PCA) and hierarchal clustering analysis. A minimum expression cutoff (normalized expression ≥20 at one or more time points) was applied before determining differential expression between samples, which was calculated on the basis of fold change (cutoff ≥2 or ≤-2) with p-values estimated by z-score calculations (cutoff 0.05) as determined by the Benjamini Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method[87]. Differentially expressed genes were further analyzed through Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Qiagen) and Gene Ontology (GO) analysis using DAVID[88]. RNA quality control, amplification, sequencing, and analysis were performed in collaboration with the Genomic Services Laboratory at HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology.

Statistical Analysis

Prism software (GraphPad) was used to perform all statistical analyses for immunohistochemistry. In all experiments manipulating MΦs and ECs, control and experimental groups were compared at each time point using an unpaired t test. For analysis of proliferative ECs, unpaired t tests were also used to compare baseline (No Dox) and VEGF-A induction (3d Dox) time points within each group. For analysis of quiescent ECs, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze time points within each group, followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test to compare each time point with baseline (No Dox). Unless otherwise noted, data are expressed as mean + standard error of mean (SEM). Statistical analysis of RNA-sequencing data is described above (see RNA Isolation, Sequencing, and Analysis).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, D.C.S., K.I.A., M.B., and A.C.P.; Methodology, D.C.S., K.I.A., M.B., and A.C.P.; Investigation, D.C.S., K.I.A., T.M.R., A.H., Z.K., R.A., G.P., R.J., and M.B.; Formal Analysis, N.P.; Writing – Original Draft, D.C.S., M.B., and A.C.P.; Writing – Review & Editing, all authors; Funding Acquisition, A.C.P.; Supervision, A.C.P., M.B., and S.E.L.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Drs. Y. Kubota, S. Efrat, P. Campochiaro, and M. Gannon for providing mice. We are grateful to Drs. A. Pozzi, A. Hatzopoulos, D. Jacobson, R. Stein, P. Kendall, J. Thomas, V. Babaev, P. Young, and Y. Dor for helpful discussions. This work was supported by grants from the Department of Veterans Affairs, the JDRF, the NIH (DK106755, DK89572, DK66636, DK69603, DK63439, DK62641, DK72473, DK94199, DK68764, DK97829, DK11232, DK117147, DK104211), and the Vanderbilt Diabetes Research and Training Center (DK20593). Islet isolation was performed in the Vanderbilt Islet Procurement and Analysis Core (DK20593). Image acquisition was performed in part through use of the Vanderbilt Cell Imaging Shared Resource (CA68485, DK20593, DK58404, DK59637, EY08126) and Vanderbilt Islet Procurement and Analysis Core (DK20593). Flow cytometry was performed in the Vanderbilt Flow Cytometry Shared Resource (P30 CA68485, DK058404). Cd5-CreER mouse line rederivation was performed by the Vanderbilt Genome Editing Resource of the Center for Stem Cell Biology (CA68485, DK20593).

DATA & RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Authors are in the process of submitting RNA sequencing data to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Additional datasets and materials generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

REFERENCES

1. Brissova, M; Aamodt, K; Brahmachary, P; Prasad, N; Hong, J-Y; Dai, C; Mellati, M; Shostak, A; Poffenberger, G; Aramandla, R; et al. Islet Microenvironment, Modulated by Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor-A Signaling, Promotes β Cell Regeneration. *Cell Metab* **2014**, *19* (3), 498 511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2014.02.001.

2. Tsunawaki, S; Sporn, M; Ding, A; Nathan, C. Deactivation of Macrophages by Transforming Growth Factor-β. *Nature* **1988**, *334* (6179), 260–262. https://doi.org/10.1038/334260a0.

3. Ricardo, SD; Goor, H van; Eddy, AA. Macrophage Diversity in Renal Injury and Repair. *J Clin Invest* **2008**, *118* (11), 3522 3530. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci36150.

4. He, H; Xu, J; Warren, CM; Duan, D; Li, X; Wu, L; Iruela-Arispe, ML. Endothelial Cells Provide an Instructive Niche for the Differentiation and Functional Polarization of M2-like Macrophages. *Blood* **2012**, *120* (15), 3152–3162. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-04-422758.

5. Sica, A; Mantovani, A. Macrophage Plasticity and Polarization: In Vivo Veritas. *J Clin Invest* **2012**, *122* (3), 787 795. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci59643.

6. Meier, JJ; Bhushan, A; Butler, AE; Rizza, RA; Butler, PC. Sustained Beta Cell Apoptosis in Patients with Long-Standing Type 1 Diabetes: Indirect Evidence for Islet Regeneration? *Diabetologia* **2005**, *48* (11), 2221–2228. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-005-1949-2.

7. Campbell-Thompson, ML; Atkinson, MA; Butler, AE; Chapman, NM; Frisk, G; Gianani, R; Giepmans, BN; Herrath, MG von; Hyöty, H; Kay, TW; et al. The Diagnosis of Insulitis in Human Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetologia* **2013**, *56* (11), 2541–2543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-013-3043-5.

8. Halban, PA; Polonsky, KS; Bowden, DW; Hawkins, MA; Ling, C; Mather, KJ; Powers, AC; Rhodes, CJ; Sussel, L; Weir, GC. β-Cell Failure in Type 2 Diabetes: Postulated Mechanisms and Prospects for Prevention and Treatment. *Diabetes Care* **2014**, *37* (6), 1751–1758. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-0396.

9. Banaei-Bouchareb, L; Gouon-Evans, V; Samara-Boustani, D; Castellotti, MC; Czernichow, P; Pollard, JW; Polak, M. Insulin Cell Mass Is Altered in Csf1op/Csf1op Macrophage-Deficient Mice. *J Leukocyte Biol* **2004**, *76* (2), 359 367. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.1103591.

10. Mussar, K; Tucker, A; McLennan, L; Gearhart, A; Jimenez-Caliani, AJ; Cirulli, V; Crisa, L. Macrophage/Epithelium Cross-Talk Regulates Cell Cycle Progression and Migration in Pancreatic Progenitors. *Plos One* **2014**, *9* (2), e89492. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089492.

11. Xiao, X; Gaffar, I; Guo, P; Wiersch, J; Fischbach, S; Peirish, L; Song, Z; El-Gohary, Y; Prasadan, K; Shiota, C; et al. M2 Macrophages Promote Beta-Cell Proliferation by up-Regulation of SMAD7. *Proc National Acad Sci* **2014**, *111* (13), E1211 20. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321347111.

12. Criscimanna, A; Coudriet, GM; Gittes, GK; Piganelli, JD; Esni, F. Activated Macrophages Create Lineage-Specific Microenvironments for Pancreatic Acinar- and β-Cell Regeneration in Mice. *Gastroenterology* **2014**, *147* (5), 1106 18.e11. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2014.08.008.

13. Ding, B-S; Nolan, DJ; Butler, JM; James, D; Babazadeh, AO; Rosenwaks, Z; Mittal, V; Kobayashi, H; Shido, K; Lyden, D; et al. Inductive Angiocrine Signals from Sinusoidal Endothelium Are Required for Liver Regeneration. *Nature* **2010**, *468* (7321), 310 315. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09493.

14. Butler, JM; Nolan, DJ; Vertes, EL; Varnum-Finney, B; Kobayashi, H; Hooper, AT; Seandel, M; Shido, K; White, IA; Kobayashi, M; et al. Endothelial Cells Are Essential for the Self-Renewal and Repopulation of Notch-Dependent Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **2010**, *6* (3), 251–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2010.02.001.

15. Butler, JM; Kobayashi, H; Rafii, S. Instructive Role of the Vascular Niche in Promoting Tumour Growth and Tissue Repair by Angiocrine Factors. *Nat Rev Cancer* **2010**, *10* (2), 138 146. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2791.

16. Ding, B-S; Nolan, DJ; Guo, P; Babazadeh, AO; Cao, Z; Rosenwaks, Z; Crystal, RG; Simons, M; Sato, TN; Worgall, S; et al. Endothelial-Derived Angiocrine Signals Induce and Sustain Regenerative Lung Alveolarization. *Cell* **2011**, *147* (3), 539 553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.003.

17. Cai, Q; Brissova, M; Reinert, RB; Pan, FC; Brahmachary, P; Jeansson, M; Shostak, A; Radhika, A; Poffenberger, G; Quaggin, SE; et al. Enhanced Expression of VEGF-A in β Cells Increases Endothelial Cell Number but Impairs Islet Morphogenesis and β Cell Proliferation. *Dev Biol* **2012**, *367* (1), 40 54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.04.022.

18. Reinert, RB; Brissova, M; Shostak, A; Pan, FC; Poffenberger, G; Cai, Q; Hundemer, GL; Kantz, J; Thompson, CS; Dai, C; et al. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor-A and Islet Vascularization Are Necessary in Developing, but Not Adult, Pancreatic Islets. *Diabetes* **2013**, *62* (12), 4154–4164. https://doi.org/10.2337/db13-0071.

19. Sand, FW; Hörnblad, A; Johansson, JK; Lorén, C; Edsbagge, J; Ståhlberg, A; Magenheim, J; Ilovich, O; Mishani, E; Dor, Y; et al. Growth-Limiting Role of Endothelial Cells in Endoderm Development. *Dev Biol* **2011**, *352* (2), 267 277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.01.026.

20. Hammar, E; Parnaud, G; Bosco, D; Perriraz, N; Maedler, K; Donath, M; Rouiller, DG; Halban, PA. Extracellular Matrix Protects Pancreatic β-Cells Against Apoptosis Role of Short- and Long-Term Signaling Pathways. *Diabetes* **2004**, *53* (8), 2034–2041. https://doi.org/10.2337/diabetes.53.8.2034.

21. Nikolova, G; Jabs, N; Konstantinova, I; Domogatskaya, A; Tryggvason, K; Sorokin, L; Fässler, R; Gu, G; Gerber, H-P; Ferrara, N; et al. The Vascular Basement Membrane: A Niche for Insulin Gene Expression and Beta Cell Proliferation. *Dev Cell* **2006**, *10* (3), 397 405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2006.01.015.

22. Diaferia, GR; Jimenez-Caliani, AJ; Ranjitkar, P; Yang, W; Hardiman, G; Rhodes, CJ; Crisa, L; Cirulli, V. B1 Integrin Is a Crucial Regulator of Pancreatic β-Cell Expansion. *Development* **2013**, *140* (16), 3360–3372. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.098533.

23. Aamodt, KI; Powers, AC. Signals in the Pancreatic Islet Microenvironment Influence β-Cell Proliferation. *Diabetes Obes Metabolism* **2017**, *19* (S1), 124–136. https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13031.

24. Göthert, JR; Gustin, SE; Eekelen, JAM van; Schmidt, U; Hall, MA; Jane, SM; Green, AR; Göttgens, B; Izon, DJ; Begley, CG. Genetically Tagging Endothelial Cells in Vivo: Bone Marrow-Derived Cells Do Not Contribute to Tumor Endothelium. *Blood* **2004**, *104* (6), 1769–1777. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-11-3952.

25. Zambrowicz, BP; Imamoto, A; Fiering, S; Herzenberg, LA; Kerr, WG; Soriano, P. Disruption of Overlapping Transcripts in the ROSA Geo 26 Gene Trap Strain Leads to Widespread Expression of -Galactosidase in Mouse Embryos and Hematopoietic Cells. *Proc National Acad Sci* **1997**, *94* (8), 3789–3794. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.8.3789.

26. Okabe, K; Kobayashi, S; Yamada, T; Kurihara, T; Tai-Nagara, I; Miyamoto, T; Mukouyama, Y; Sato, TN; Suda, T; Ema, M; et al. Neurons Limit Angiogenesis by Titrating VEGF in Retina. *Cell* **2014**, *159* (3), 584 596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.025.

27. Carboneau, BA; Le, TDV; Dunn, JC; Gannon, M. Unexpected Effects of the MIP-CreER Transgene and Tamoxifen on β-Cell Growth in C57Bl6/J Male Mice. *Physiological Reports* **2016**, *4* (18), e12863. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.12863.

28. Luttun, A; Tjwa, M; Moons, L; Wu, Y; Angelillo-Scherrer, A; Liao, F; Nagy, JA; Hooper, A; Priller, J; Klerck, BD; et al. Revascularization of Ischemic Tissues by PlGF Treatment, and Inhibition of Tumor Angiogenesis, Arthritis and Atherosclerosis by Anti-Flt1. *Nat Med* **2002**, *8* (8), 831–840. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm731.

29. Takahashi, H; Shibuya, M. The Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF)/VEGF Receptor System and Its Role under Physiological and Pathological Conditions. *Clin Sci* **2005**, *109* (3), 227–241. https://doi.org/10.1042/cs20040370.

30. Calderon, B; Carrero, JA; Ferris, ST; Sojka, DK; Moore, L; Epelman, S; Murphy, KM; Yokoyama, WM; Randolph, GJ; Unanue, ER. The Pancreas Anatomy Conditions the Origin and Properties of Resident Macrophages. *J Exp Medicine* **2015**, *212* (10), 1497 1512. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20150496.

31. Weitz, JR; Makhmutova, M; Almaça, J; Stertmann, J; Aamodt, K; Brissova, M; Speier, S; Rodriguez-Diaz, R; Caicedo, A. Mouse Pancreatic Islet Macrophages Use Locally Released ATP to Monitor Beta Cell Activity. *Diabetologia* **2018**, *61* (1), 182–192. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-017-4416-y.

32. Kragl, M; Lammert, E. The Islets of Langerhans; The Islets of Langerhans; Springer Netherlands, 2010; Vol. 654, pp 217–234. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3271-3_10.

33. Bogdani, M. Thinking Outside the Cell: A Key Role for Hyaluronan in the Pathogenesis of Human Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes* **2016**, *65* (8), 2105–2114. https://doi.org/10.2337/db15-1750.

34. Schlaepfer, DD; Hanks, SK; Hunter, T; Geer, P van der. Integrin-Mediated Signal Transduction Linked to Ras Pathway by GRB2 Binding to Focal Adhesion Kinase. *Nature* **1994**, *372* (6508), 786–791. https://doi.org/10.1038/372786a0.

35. Foulds, CE; Nelson, ML; Blaszczak, AG; Graves, BJ. Ras/Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Signaling Activates Ets-1 and Ets-2 by CBP/P300 Recruitment†. *Mol Cell Biol* **2004**, *24* (24), 10954–10964. https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.24.24.10954-10964.2004.

36. Carlson, SM; Chouinard, CR; Labadorf, A; Lam, CJ; Schmelzle, K; Fraenkel, E; White, FM. Large-Scale Discovery of ERK2 Substrates Identifies ERK-Mediated Transcriptional Regulation by ETV3. *Sci Signal* **2011**, *4* (196), rs11–rs11. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002010.

37. Chen, H; Gu, X; Liu, Y; Wang, J; Wirt, SE; Bottino, R; Schorle, H; Sage, J; Kim, SK. PDGF Signalling Controls Age-Dependent Proliferation in Pancreatic β-Cells. *Nature* **2011**, *478* (7369), 349 355. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10502.

38. Fiaschi-Taesch, N; Bigatel, TA; Sicari, B; Takane, KK; Salim, F; Velazquez-Garcia, S; Harb, G; Selk, K; Cozar-Castellano, I; Stewart, AF. Survey of the Human Pancreatic β-Cell G1/S Proteome Reveals a Potential Therapeutic Role for Cdk-6 and Cyclin D1 in Enhancing Human β-Cell Replication and Function In Vivo. *Diabetes* **2009**, *58* (4), 882–893. https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-0631.

39. Zhang, H; Zhang, J; Pope, CF; Crawford, LA; Vasavada, RC; Jagasia, SM; Gannon, M. Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Resulting From Impaired β-Cell Compensation in the Absence of FoxM1, a Novel Downstream Effector of Placental Lactogen. *Diabetes* **2009**, *59* (1), 143–152. https://doi.org/10.2337/db09-0050.

40. Karnik, SK; Hughes, CM; Gu, X; Rozenblatt-Rosen, O; McLean, GW; Xiong, Y; Meyerson, M; Kim, SK. Menin Regulates Pancreatic Islet Growth by Promoting Histone Methylation and Expression of Genes Encoding P27Kip1 and P18INK4c. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* **2005**, *102* (41), 14659 14664. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503484102.

41. Shi, C; Pamer, EG. Monocyte Recruitment during Infection and Inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2011**, *11* (11), 762–774. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3070.

42. Grunnet, LG; Aikin, R; Tonnesen, MF; Paraskevas, S; Blaabjerg, L; Størling, J; Rosenberg, L; Billestrup, N; Maysinger, D; Mandrup-Poulsen, T. Proinflammatory Cytokines Activate the Intrinsic Apoptotic Pathway in β-Cells. *Diabetes* **2009**, *58* (8), 1807–1815. https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-0178.

43. Jourdan, T; Godlewski, G; Cinar, R; Bertola, A; Szanda, G; Liu, J; Tam, J; Han, T; Mukhopadhyay, B; Skarulis, MC; et al. Activation of the Nlrp3 Inflammasome in Infiltrating Macrophages by Endocannabinoids Mediates Beta Cell Loss in Type 2 Diabetes. *Nat Med* **2013**, *19* (9), 1132–1140. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3265.

44. David, S; Kroner, A. Repertoire of Microglial and Macrophage Responses after Spinal Cord Injury. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **2011**, *12* (7), 388–399. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3053.

45. Zizzo, G; Hilliard, BA; Monestier, M; Cohen, PL. Efficient Clearance of Early Apoptotic Cells by Human Macrophages Requires M2c Polarization and MerTK Induction. *J Immunol* **2012**, *189* (7), 3508–3520. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1200662.

46. Naito, Y; Takagi, T; Higashimura, Y. Heme Oxygenase-1 and Anti-Inflammatory M2 Macrophages. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **2014**, *564*, 83 88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2014.09.005.

47. Tessem, JS; Jensen, JN; Pelli, H; Dai, X-M; Zong, X-H; Stanley, ER; Jensen, J; DeGregori, J. Critical Roles for Macrophages in Islet Angiogenesis and Maintenance During Pancreatic Degeneration. *Diabetes* **2008**, *57* (6), 1605–1617. https://doi.org/10.2337/db07-1577.

48. Lammert, E. Induction of Pancreatic Differentiation by Signals from Blood Vessels. *Science* **2001**, *294* (5542), 564 567. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064344.

49. Lammert, E; Cleaver, O; Melton, D. Role of Endothelial Cells in Early Pancreas and Liver Development. *Mech Develop* **2003**, *120* (1), 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4773(02)00332-5.

50. Yoshitomi, H; Zaret, KS. Endothelial Cell Interactions Initiate Dorsal Pancreas Development by Selectively Inducing the Transcription Factor Ptf1a. *Development* **2004**, *131* (4), 807–817. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00960.

51. Zhang, N; Richter, A; Suriawinata, J; Harbaran, S; Altomonte, J; Cong, L; Zhang, H; Song, K; Meseck, M; Bromberg, J; et al. Elevated Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Production in Islets Improves Islet Graft Vascularization. *Diabetes* **2004**, *53* (4), 963 970.

52. Narang, AS; Cheng, K; Henry, J; Zhang, C; Sabek, O; Fraga, D; Kotb, M; Gaber, AO; Mahato, RI. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Gene Delivery for Revascularization in Transplanted Human Islets. *Pharmaceut Res* **2004**, *21* (1), 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1023/b:pham.0000012147.52900.b8.

53. Lai, Y; Schneider, D; Kidszun, A; Hauck-Schmalenberger, I; Breier, G; Brandhorst, D; Brandhorst, H; Iken, M; Brendel, MD; Bretzel, RG; et al. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Increases Functional Beta-Cell Mass by Improvement of Angiogenesis of Isolated Human and Murine Pancreatic Islets. *Transplantation* **2005**, *79* (11), 1530 1536. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.tp.0000163506.40189.65.

54. Phelps, EA; Templeman, KL; Thulé, PM; García, AJ. Engineered VEGF-Releasing PEG-MAL Hydrogel for Pancreatic Islet Vascularization. *Drug Deliv Transl Re* **2015**, *5* (2), 125 136. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13346-013-0142-2.

55. Weber, LM; Hayda, KN; Anseth, KS. Cell–Matrix Interactions Improve β-Cell Survival and Insulin Secretion in Three-Dimensional Culture. *Tissue Eng Pt A* **2008**, *14* (12), 1959–1968. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2007.0238.

56. Cucak, H; Grunnet, LG; Rosendahl, A. Accumulation of M1-like Macrophages in Type 2 Diabetic Islets Is Followed by a Systemic Shift in Macrophage Polarization. *J Leukocyte Biol* **2014**, *95* (1), 149 160. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0213075.

57. Lavin, Y; Winter, D; Blecher-Gonen, R; David, E; Keren-Shaul, H; Merad, M; Jung, S; Amit, I. Tissue-Resident Macrophage Enhancer Landscapes Are Shaped by the Local Microenvironment. *Cell* **2014**, *159* (6), 1312 1326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.018.

58. Stout, RD; Jiang, C; Matta, B; Tietzel, I; Watkins, SK; Suttles, J. Macrophages Sequentially Change Their Functional Phenotype in Response to Changes in Microenvironmental Influences. *J Immunol* **2005**, *175* (1), 342–349. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.175.1.342.

59. Gassen, NV; Overmeire, EV; Leuckx, G; Heremans, Y; Groef, SD; Cai, Y; Elkrim, Y; Gysemans, C; Stijlemans, B; Casteele, MV de; et al. Macrophage Dynamics Are Regulated by Local Macrophage Proliferation and Monocyte Recruitment in Injured Pancreas. *Eur J Immunol* **2015**, *45* (5), 1482 1493. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201445013.

60. Parnaud, G; Hammar, E; Ribaux, P; Donath, MY; Berney, T; Halban, PA. Signaling Pathways Implicated in the Stimulation of β-Cell Proliferation by Extracellular Matrix. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabolism* **2009**, *94* (7), 2672–2672. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.94.7.9995.

61. Liu, J; Liu, S; Chen, Y; Zhao, X; Lu, Y; Cheng, J. Functionalized Self-Assembling Peptide Improves INS-1 β-Cell Function and Proliferation via the Integrin/FAK/ERK/Cyclin Pathway. *Int J Nanomed* **2015**, *Volume 10* (1), 3519–3531. https://doi.org/10.2147/ijn.s80502.

62. Peart, J; Li, J; Lee, H; Riopel, M; Feng, Z-C; Wang, R. Critical Role of B1 Integrin in Postnatal Beta-Cell Function and Expansion. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8* (38), 62939–62952. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.17969.

63. Mohammed, FF; Pennington, CJ; Kassiri, Z; Rubin, JS; Soloway, PD; Ruther, U; Edwards, DR; Khokha, R. Metalloproteinase Inhibitor TIMP-1 Affects Hepatocyte Cell Cycle via HGF Activation in Murine Liver Regeneration. *Hepatology* **2005**, *41* (4), 857–867. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.20618.

64. Kveiborg, M; Albrechtsen, R; Couchman, JR; Wewer, UM. Cellular Roles of ADAM12 in Health and Disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biology* **2008**, *40* (9), 1685–1702. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2008.01.025.

65. Apte, SS. A Disintegrin-like and Metalloprotease (Reprolysin-Type) with Thrombospondin Type 1 Motif (ADAMTS) Superfamily: Functions and Mechanisms. *J Biol Chem* **2009**, *284* (46), 31493–31497. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.r109.052340.

66. Townsend, SE; Gannon, M. Extracellular Matrix–Associated Factors Play Critical Roles in Regulating Pancreatic β-Cell Proliferation and Survival. *Endocrinology* **2019**, *160* (8), 1885–1894. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2019-00206.

67. Coudriet, GM; He, J; Trucco, M; Mars, WM; Piganelli, JD. Hepatocyte Growth Factor Modulates Interleukin-6 Production in Bone Marrow Derived Macrophages: Implications for Inflammatory Mediated Diseases. *Plos One* **2010**, *5* (11), e15384. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015384.

68. Song, I; Patel, O; Himpe, E; Muller, CJF; Bouwens, L. Beta Cell Mass Restoration in Alloxan-Diabetic Mice Treated with EGF and Gastrin. *Plos One* **2015**, *10* (10), e0140148. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140148.

69. Sicari, BM; Dziki, JL; Siu, BF; Medberry, CJ; Dearth, CL; Badylak, SF. The Promotion of a Constructive Macrophage Phenotype by Solubilized Extracellular Matrix. *Biomaterials* **2014**, *35* (30), 8605–8612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.06.060.

70. Brown, BN; Sicari, BM; Badylak, SF. Rethinking Regenerative Medicine: A Macrophage-Centered Approach. *Front Immunol* **2014**, *5* (8), 510. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00510.

71. Chen, P; Cescon, M; Zuccolotto, G; Nobbio, L; Colombelli, C; Filaferro, M; Vitale, G; Feltri, ML; Bonaldo, P. Collagen VI Regulates Peripheral Nerve Regeneration by Modulating Macrophage Recruitment and Polarization. *Acta Neuropathol* **2015**, *129* (1), 97 113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-014-1369-9.

72. Kim, H; Cha, J; Jang, M; Kim, P. Hyaluronic Acid-Based Extracellular Matrix Triggers Spontaneous M2-like Polarity of Monocyte/Macrophage. *Biomater Sci-uk* **2019**, *7* (6), 2264–2271. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9bm00155g.

73. Guney, MA; Petersen, CP; Boustani, A; Duncan, MR; Gunasekaran, U; Menon, R; Warfield, C; Grotendorst, GR; Means, AL; Economides, AN; et al. Connective Tissue Growth Factor Acts within Both Endothelial Cells and Beta Cells to Promote Proliferation of Developing Beta Cells. *Proc National Acad Sci* **2011**, *108* (37), 15242 15247. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100072108.

74. Kulkarni, RN; Mizrachi, E-B; Ocana, AG; Stewart, AF. Human β-Cell Proliferation and Intracellular Signaling Driving in the Dark Without a Road Map. *Diabetes* **2012**, *61* (9), 2205–2213. https://doi.org/10.2337/db12-0018.

75. Riley, KG; Pasek, RC; Maulis, MF; Peek, J; Thorel, F; Brigstock, DR; Herrera, PL; Gannon, M. Connective Tissue Growth Factor Modulates Adult β-Cell Maturity and Proliferation to Promote β-Cell Regeneration in Mice. *Diabetes* **2015**, *64* (4), 1284 1298. https://doi.org/10.2337/db14-1195.

76. Brissova, M; Shostak, A; Shiota, M; Wiebe, PO; Poffenberger, G; Kantz, J; Chen, Z; Carr, C; Jerome, WG; Chen, J; et al. Pancreatic Islet Production of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor--a Is Essential for Islet Vascularization, Revascularization, and Function. *Diabetes* **2006**, *55* (11), 2974 2985. https://doi.org/10.2337/db06-0690.

77. Nyman, LR; Wells, KS; Head, WS; McCaughey, M; Ford, E; Brissova, M; Piston, DW; Powers, AC. Real-Time, Multidimensional in Vivo Imaging Used to Investigate Blood Flow in Mouse Pancreatic Islets. *J Clin Investigation* **2008**, *118* (11), 3790–3797. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci36209.

78. Efrat, S; Fusco-DeMane, D; Lemberg, H; Emran, O al; Wang, X. Conditional Transformation of a Pancreatic Beta-Cell Line Derived from Transgenic Mice Expressing a Tetracycline-Regulated Oncogene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1995**, *92* (8), 3576 3580.

79. Milo-Landesman, D; Surana, M; Berkovich, I; Compagni, A; Christofori, G; Fleischer, N; Efrat, S. Correction of Hyperglycemia in Diabetic Mice Transplanted with Reversibly Immortalized Pancreatic Beta Cells Controlled by the Tet-on Regulatory System. *Cell Transplant* **2001**, *10* (7), 645–650. https://doi.org/10.3727/000000001783986422.

80. Ohno-Matsui, K; Hirose, A; Yamamoto, S; Saikia, J; Okamoto, N; Gehlbach, P; Duh, EJ; Hackett, S; Chang, M; Bok, D; et al. Inducible Expression of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor in Adult Mice Causes Severe Proliferative Retinopathy and Retinal Detachment. *Am J Pathology* **2002**, *160* (2), 711 719. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9440(10)64891-2.

81. Hara, M; Wang, X; Kawamura, T; Bindokas, VP; Dizon, RF; Alcoser, SY; Magnuson, MA; Bell, GI. Transgenic Mice with Green Fluorescent Protein-Labeled Pancreatic β-Cells. *Am J Physiol-endoc M* **2003**, *284* (1), E177–E183. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00321.2002.

82. Mortazavi, A; Williams, BA; McCue, K; Schaeffer, L; Wold, B. Mapping and Quantifying Mammalian Transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat Methods* **2008**, *5* (7), 621–628. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1226.

83. Malone, JH; Oliver, B. Microarrays, Deep Sequencing and the True Measure of the Transcriptome. *Bmc Biol* **2011**, *9* (1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-9-34.

84. Trapnell, C; Pachter, L; Salzberg, SL. TopHat: Discovering Splice Junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* **2009**, *25* (9), 1105–1111. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120.

85. Robinson, MD; Oshlack, A. A Scaling Normalization Method for Differential Expression Analysis of RNA-Seq Data. *Genome Biol* **2010**, *11* (3), R25. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-3-r25.

86. Dillies, M-A; Rau, A; Aubert, J; Hennequet-Antier, C; Jeanmougin, M; Servant, N; Keime, C; Marot, G; Castel, D; Estelle, J; et al. A Comprehensive Evaluation of Normalization Methods for Illumina High-Throughput RNA Sequencing Data Analysis. *Brief Bioinform* **2013**, *14* (6), 671–683. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs046.

87. Benjamini, Y; Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B Methodological* **1995**, *57*, 289 300.

88. Huang, DW; Sherman, BT; Zheng, X; Yang, J; Imamichi, T; Stephens, R; Lempicki, RA. Extracting Biological Meaning from Large Gene Lists with DAVID. *Curr Protoc Bioinform* **2009**, *Chapter 13* (1), Unit 13.11 13.11.13. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1311s27.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Figure S1. Schematic representation of experiments to determine the role of macrophages and endothelial cells in the βVEGF-A mouse model. (A) Clodronate liposomes were used to suppress macrophage (MΦ) infiltration, followed by administration of doxycycline (Dox) to overexpress VEGF-A in β cells. **(B–C)** To modulate endothelial cells (ECs), an inducible EC-specific VEGFR2 knockout mouse model Cd5-CreER; VEGFR2fl/fl (VEGFR2iΔEC)[26] was bred into the βVEGF-A line. Tamoxifen (Tm) was used to inactivate VEGFR2 in ECs either prior to **(B)** or after **(C)** VEGF-A induction to discern the role of proliferative and quiescent ECs, respectively in the β cell loss and recovery. **(D)** ECs, MΦs, and β cells were isolated from βVEGF-A mice at three time points; introduction of the MIP-GFP transgene facilitated β cell sorting. For additional details, see **Methods**.

Figure S2. Gating strategy for flow cytometry analysis of circulating monocytes. Peripheral blood (50-100 µl) was collected from the retro-orbital sinus of βVEGF-A mice to assess monocyte depletion following clodronate treatment. Cell debris were excluded by forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC), single cells were identified by voltage pulse geometry, and non-viable cells were excluded using propidium iodide. From remaining white blood cells, CD45 was used as a pan-leukocyte marker and monocytes were identified by a CD11b+ Ly6G– profile. Approximately 10,000 white blood cells were analyzed and quantified per each animal. See also **Figure 2B**.

A

VEGFR2$^{i\Delta EC}$

3x treatment
Vehicle or Tamoxifen (Tm)

Intra-islet capillaries

β cell proliferation

Tamoxifen: inactivate VEGFR2 in ECs

No Tm — 4d — 8d — 15d

B

8d post-treatment	15d post-treatment

Vehicle

Tamoxifen (Tm)

VEGFR2 **CD31 (ECs)** **Insulin (β cells)**

C

Islet capillary density (count / mm^2)

p=0.0551

Vehicle | Tm

D

Area per islet capillary (μm^2)

Vehicle | Tm

E

Ki67$^+$ β cells / Total β cells (%)

p=0.7062

Vehicle | Tm

F

Capillary count per islet

Islet Area (μm^2)

● Vehicle
▼ Tm

Figure S3. Acute ablation of VEGFR2 in endothelial cells does not alter islet vascular homeostasis or β cell proliferation. (A) To inactivate VEGFR2 in endothelial cells (ECs), Cdh5-CreER^{T2}; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$ (VEGFR2$^{i\Delta EC}$) mice received treatments (Tx) of corn oil (vehicle) or tamoxifen (Tm; 4mg s.c.) every other day for 5 days. **(B)** Representative images of islet ECs (CD31$^+$) and β cells (Insulin$^+$) in vehicle- and Tm-treated VEGFR2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice. VEGFR2 expression (red) is virtually undetectable in ECs at 8 or 15 days (d) after initial Tx. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(C–E)** Quantification (mean±SEM) of islet architecture in VEGFR2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice at 8d post-Tx; each circle represents one animal. Islet capillary density **(C)** and area per islet capillary **(D)** determined by CD31$^+$ and Insulin$^+$ stain; 138±15 x 10^5 μm^2 total islet area analyzed per animal. **(E)** Basal β cell proliferation rate; 1,892±259 cells counted per animal. Statistics shown in **(C)** and **(E)** reflect unpaired two-tailed t-tests. **(F)** In both vehicle- and Tm-treated mice, the number of islet capillaries increases proportionately with islet size. Note both axes have a base 2 logarithmic scale.

Figure S4. Effective VEGFR2 inactivation in endothelial cells prevents islet capillary expansion by acute elevation of VEGF-A in the islet microenvironment. (A) To inactivate VEGFR2 in endothelial cells (ECs), βVEGF-A; R2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice and βVEGF-A; R2$^{fl/fl}$ controls were treated with Tamoxifen (Tm; 4mg s.c.) prior to VEGF-A induction. **(B)** Left panel: induction of VEGFA expression at 3d Dox compared to baseline (No Dox) in βVEGF-A; R2$^{i\Delta EC}$ mice and controls; VEGF-A (red); β cells (Insulin$^+$, blue)**.** Boxes indicate regions enlarged in insets. Right panel: inactivation of VEGFR2 expression in ECs at baseline and 3d Dox; VEGFR2 (red), ECs (CD31$^+$, green); β cells (Insulin$^+$, blue)**.** Scale bars, 50 μm; inset, 10 μm.

A

βVEGF-A;
VEGFR2^(iΔEC)

Inactivate VEGFR2
signaling in ECs

↑ β cell recovery

EC expansion

MΦ infiltration

β cell loss

β cell recovery

No Dox | 2 days | 4 days | 2 days | 7 days | 9 days

Dox

Dox withdrawal (WD)

Dox: induce VEGF-A (β cells)
WD: VEGF-A normalization
Tamoxifen: inactivate VEGFR2 in ECs
Phenotype with no intervention
↑ Trend with intervention

B

7d WD (pre-Tm) | 9d WD (2d post-Tm)

Control
βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(fl/fl)

VEGFR2^(iΔEC)
βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(iΔEC)

VEGF-A **Insulin (β cells)**

C

7d WD (pre-Tm) | 9d WD (2d post-Tm)

Control
βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(fl/fl)

VEGFR2^(iΔEC)
βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(iΔEC)

VEGFR2 **CD31 (ECs)** **Insulin (β cells)**

D

7d WD (pre-Tm) | 9d WD (2d post-Tm)

Control
βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(fl/fl)

VEGFR2^(iΔEC)
βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(iΔEC)

Iba1 CD206

Iba1 (MΦs) **CD206 (M2 MΦs)** **Insulin (β cells)**

Figure S5. Effects of VEGF-A induction and VEGFR2 inactivation during β cell recovery.
(A) To inactivate VEGFR2 in endothelial cells (ECs) during β cell recovery, control (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(fl/fl)) and VEGFR2^(iΔEC) (βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^(iΔEC)) mice received Tamoxifen (Tm; 4mg s.c.) after 7 days (d) of Dox withdrawal (WD). **(B)** Expression of VEGF-A in β cells and **(C)** VEGFR2 in ECs at 7d WD and 9d WD. Boxes show regions enlarged in insets; arrowheads point to extracellular VEGF-A staining. **(D)** Intra-islet M2-like macrophages (MΦs, CD206+ Iba1+) were present in both control and VEGFR2^(iΔEC) mice before and after Tm treatment. Approximate islet area is outlined; boxes show regions enlarged in insets with insulin channel (blue) removed. Scale bars in **(B–D)** are 50 μm; insets, 10 μm.

Figure S6. Transcriptome analysis demonstrates unique gene expression profiles in β cells, endothelial cells and macrophages purified from islets during the period of β cell loss and recovery. (A–B) Dispersed islet cells from βVEGF-A; MIP-GFP mice were immunolabeled with fluorophore-conjugated anti-CD31 and anti-CD11b antibodies to separate endothelial cell (EC) and macrophage (MΦ) populations, respectively. GFP+ β cells were sorted from the CD31− CD11b− population. Initial gating is shown in first four plots. **(C)** Principal component analysis (PCA) plot shows the clustering of samples from sorted β cells (blue), ECs (green), and MΦs (red) at No Dox, 1wk Dox, and 1wk WD; n=3-5 samples per time point as listed in Key. Islets from multiple mice were pooled to obtain adequate cells for each sample. **(D)** Pairwise correlation between all samples at all time points based on the Spearman correlation coefficient, which ranks and quantifies the degree of similarity between each pair of samples (perfect correlation=1; green). **(E)** Total number of genes for each cell type significantly up- or down-regulated (FC ≥2 or ≤-2) when comparing time points (dashed outlines): 1wk Dox vs. No Dox, 1wk WD vs. 1wk Dox, and 1wk WD vs. No Dox.

Figure S7. Temporal changes in gene expression profiles of islet cell subpopulations by RNA-sequencing. (A)
Normalized expression of selected genes in isolated β cell (blue), EC (green), and MΦ (red) samples. ECM, extracellular matrix. Numbers listed on heat map in 1wk Dox and 1wk WD columns represent fold-change ≥2 or <-2 (p<0.05) as compared to No Dox. **(B)** Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) was applied to fold-change data (1wk Dox vs. No Dox, 1wk WD vs. 1wk Dox, and 1wk WD vs. No Dox) for β cell, EC, and MΦ populations. Pathways showing significant regulation (z-score ≥2 or ≤-2, p<0.05) in at least two cell types are shown; a full list is provided in **Table S1**. Z-scores of relevant comparisons are listed on heat map.

Figure S8. Biological processes enriched in islet macrophages, endothelial cells, and β cells of βVEGF-A mice during β cell loss and recovery. Venn diagrams showing profiles of β cells **(A)**, endothelial cells (ECs) **(B)**, and macrophages (MΦs) **(C)** as determined by Gene Ontology (GO) term analysis. Processes are organized by pairwise comparisons between time points (1wk Dox vs. No Dox, 1wk WD vs. 1wk Dox, and 1wk WD vs. No Dox), with location of text in Venn diagram accounting for processes that are represented in multiple time point comparisons. **(D)** Unique and shared processes of β cells, ECs, and MΦs during β cell recovery (1wk WD vs. 1wk Dox and/or 1wk WD vs. No Dox). All terms diagrammed in **(A–D)** appeared in top 20 hits (highest statistical significance) for the indicated population(s); those omitted due to space constraints are provided in **Table S2**.

Table S1: GO Terms for sorted cell populations

Term	Process	β cells			ECs			Macrophages		
	p value:	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1
GO:0000087	M phase of mitotic cell cycle			5.31E-09	1.13E-06		2.30E-10			
GO:0000278	Mitotic cell cycle				3.29E-06		3.37E-10			
GO:0000279	M phase			8.80E-11	3.58E-07		2.60E-14			
GO:0000280	Nuclear division				3.28E-06		1.05E-09			
GO:0000902	Cell morphogenesis				6.64E-05				2.70E-09	6.23E-05
GO:0000904	Cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation				1.59E-06				2.25E-08	3.62E-04
GO:0001501	Skeletal system development	2.97E-08								
GO:0001503	Ossification					3.53E-04		0.002241		
GO:0001525	Angiogenesis	7.92E-13						6.32E-05		
GO:0001568	Blood vessel development	3.64E-21	9.44E-13					6.42E-06		
GO:0001775	Cell activation		1.79E-15	1.55E-12						
GO:0001817	Regulation of cytokine production			1.57E-10						
GO:0001944	Vasculature development	3.10E-22	1.52E-13							5.74E-06
GO:0002504	Antigen processing and presentation of peptide or polysaccharide antigen via MHC class II			5.63E-10						
GO:0002526	Acute inflammatory response					6.27E-05				
GO:0002684	Positive regulation of immune system process		1.63E-13	2.60E-13						
GO:0002694	Regulation of leukocyte activation			4.06E-09						
GO:0006323	DNA packaging						2.18E-04			
GO:0006412	Translation						1.06E-04			
GO:0006811	Ion transport					2.18E-04		5.92E-04		6.97E-06
GO:0006812	Cation transport					1.07E-04		4.04E-04	5.69E-07	1.36E-05
GO:0006813	Potassium ion transport					2.24E-04				9.98E-07
GO:0006836	Neurotransmitter transport									3.99E-04
GO:0006928	Cell motion		1.71E-10							5.17E-04
GO:0006935	Chemotaxis		1.78E-11					0.001387		4.89E-04
GO:0006952	Defense response		1.51E-14	3.64E-11				1.18E-04		
GO:0006954	Inflammatory response		2.18E-15	1.20E-09		2.59E-04		3.41E-06	4.13E-09	

Table S1: GO Terms for sorted cell populations

Term	Process	β cells			ECs			Macrophages		
p value:		G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1
GO:0006955	Immune response	1.35E-10	4.67E-22	6.57E-12				1.12E-10		
GO:0007017	Microtubule-based process						4.58E-06			
GO:0007018	Microtubule-based movement				1.42E-05		8.85E-06		2.75E-08	
GO:0007049	Cell cycle			4.50E-09	8.81E-07		4.02E-13			
GO:0007059	Chromosome segregation						1.61E-05			
GO:0007067	Mitosis				3.28E-06		1.05E-09			
GO:0007126	Meiosis						2.02E-04			
GO:0007155	Cell adhesion	1.06E-28	4.21E-36		2.29E-06	6.68E-10		4.43E-10	5.82E-14	9.81E-11
GO:0007156	Homophilic cell adhesion									2.75E-08
GO:0007167	Enzyme linked receptor protein signaling					7.97E-05				
GO:0007169	Transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway					5.49E-05				
GO:0007229	Integrin-mediated signaling pathway		2.45E-11							
GO:0007267	Cell-cell signaling									1.22E-04
GO:0007409	Axonogenesis								2.88E-07	
GO:0007411	Axon guidance				1.55E-04					
GO:0008284	Positive regulation of cell proliferation	9.84E-11						1.03E-06		
GO:0009611	Response to wounding	6.84E-09	8.15E-18			5.42E-04		2.09E-07	3.20E-09	
GO:0015672	Monovalent inorganic cation transport					4.95E-04		8.12E-04		3.65E-06
GO:0016337	Cell-cell adhesion								1.17E-06	4.44E-06
GO:0016477	Cell migration	1.65E-08								7.82E-04
GO:0016485	Protein processing					5.36E-05				
GO:0019886	Antigen processing and presentation of exogenous peptide antigen via MHC class II			1.18E-08						
GO:0022402	Cell cycle process				1.05E-06		2.12E-13			
GO:0022403	Cell cycle phase			2.53E-09	1.90E-07		5.16E-15			
GO:0022610	Biological adhesion	1.32E-28	5.59E-36		2.52E-06	7.21E-10		4.92E-10	6.46E-14	1.07E-10
GO:0030001	Metal ion transport					2.34E-05			1.93E-08	2.25E-06

Table S1: GO Terms for sorted cell populations

Term	Process	β cells			ECs			Macrophages		
	p value:	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1
GO:0030030	Cell projection organization								9.33E-07	
GO:0030155	Regulation of cell adhesion	5.67E-10								
GO:0030182	Neuron differentiation								3.32E-08	9.99E-04
GO:0030198	Extracellular matrix organization	1.11E-13	1.62E-11			6.79E-04				
GO:0030218	Erythrocyte differentiation						9.14E-04			
GO:0031175	Neuron projection development								1.48E-07	
GO:0032940	Secretion by cell					2.48E-04				8.57E-04
GO:0032989	Cellular component morphogenesis				1.22E-04				3.34E-08	6.10E-04
GO:0032990	Cell part morphogenesis								2.09E-07	
GO:0034101	Erythrocyte homeostasis						5.06E-04			
GO:0035295	Tube development	7.39E-09								
GO:0042127	Regulation of cell proliferation	1.27E-14	7.62E-13						8.49E-07	
GO:0042330	Taxis			1.78E-11				0.001387		
GO:0043062	Extracellular structure organization	2.74E-10	5.05E-11		1.18E-04			0.001091	1.38E-06	
GO:0044057	Regulation of system process					7.29E-04				
GO:0045321	Leukocyte activation		4.48E-15	3.83E-12						
GO:0045597	Positive regulation of cell differentiation	2.62E-08								
GO:0045765	Regulation of angiogenesis	3.60E-08								
GO:0045785	Positive regulation of cell adhesion	1.37E-08								
GO:0046649	Lymphocyte activation		3.34E-12	3.00E-10						
GO:0046903	Secretion					7.69E-05				
GO:0048285	Organelle fission				9.66E-06		3.91E-09			
GO:0048514	Blood vessel morphogenesis	7.41E-20	2.09E-12					4.47E-05		
GO:0048666	Neuron development								8.58E-09	
GO:0048667	Cell morphogenesis involved in neuron differentiation				5.62E-05				1.10E-08	
GO:0048812	Neuron projection morphogenesis				2.34E-04				1.70E-07	
GO:0048858	Cell projection morphogenesis								5.79E-08	7.17E-04

Table S1: GO Terms for sorted cell populations

Term	Process	β cells			ECs			Macrophages		
	p value:	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1	G1vG0	G2vG0	G2vG1
GO:0050863	Regulation of T cell activation			6.50E-09						
GO:0050865	Regulation of cell activation			1.74E-09						
GO:0050867	Positive regulation of cell activation			4.69E-09						
GO:0050870	Positive regulation of T cell activation			4.71E-11						
GO:0051094	Positive regulation of developmental process	3.48E-09								
GO:0051249	Regulation of lymphocyte activation			6.63E-09						
GO:0051301	Cell division				5.30E-06		8.55E-10			
GO:0051321	Meiotic cell cycle						2.82E-04			
GO:0051327	M phase of meiotic cell cycle						2.02E-04			
GO:0051604	Protein maturation					1.15E-04				
GO:0051605	Protein maturation by peptide bond cleavage					4.52E-06				
GO:0060348	Bone development							0.001779		

Table S2: Mouse strains utilized in experimental models

Abbreviation	MGI Nomenclature or Strain Name	References
Cd5-CreER	Tg(Cdh5-cre/ERT2)#Ykub	Okabe et al., 2014[22]
MIP-GFP	B6.Cg-Tg(Ins1-EGFP)1Hara/J	Hara et al., 2003[90]
RIP-rtTA	Tg(Ins2-rtTA)2Efr	Milo-Landesman et al., 2001[88]
TetO-VEGF	n/a	Efrat et al., 1995[87]; Ohno-Matsui et al., 2002[89]
VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	Kdr^{tm2Sato}	Hooper et al., 2009[98]

Table S3: Breeding scheme to generate βVEGF-A; VEGFR2^{iΔEC} mice

Cross	Male breeder	Female breeder	Desired Offspring	Frequency
A1	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$	VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	50%
A2	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	25%
A3	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	RIP-rtTA$^{tg/tg}$	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$; RIP-rtTA$^{tg/+}$	50%
A4	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$; RIP-rtTA$^{tg/+}$	VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$; RIP-rtTA$^{tg/+}$	12.5%
B1	VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/tg}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	100%
B2	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/tg}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/tg}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	50%
B3	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/tg}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/+}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/tg}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	25%
C1	Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$; RIP-rtTA$^{tg/+}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/tg}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	TetO-VEGFA$^{tg/+}$; Cd5-CreER$^{tg/+}$; VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$; RIP-rtTA$^{tg/+}$	25%

Table S4: PCR primers and conditions for genotyping

Gentoype	Primers	PCR Conditions	Product(s)
Cd5-CreER	5′- GCG GTC TGG CAG TAA AAA CTA TC -3′ 3′- TT CAC TGT CGT TAC GAC AAA GTG -5′	93°C 3' ------------------------ 93°C 20" 60°C 20" 30 cycles 72°C 45" ------------------------ 72°C 5' 4°C hold	100 bp
MIP-GFP	5′- AAG TTC ATC TGC ACC ACC G -3′ (GFP) 3′- GC GTG GTA GAA GAA GTT CCT -5′ (GFP) 5′- CTA GGC CAC AGA ATT GAA AGA TCT -3′ (IC) 3′- C CTA CTA CGA TCT TAA AGG TGG ATG -5′ (IC)	94°C 1.5' ------------------------ 94°C 30" 60°C 1' 35 cycles 72°C 1' ------------------------ 72°C 2' 4°C hold	173 bp (*GFP*) 324 bp (IC)
RIP-rtTA	5′- GTG AAG TGG GTC CGC GTA CAG -3′ 3′- CTA CGG GAA CCT TAA CTG CTC ATG -5′	92°C 2' ------------------------ 94°C 30" 57°C 30" 30 cycles 72°C 30" ------------------------ 72°C 10' 4°C hold	400 bp
TetO-VEGF	5′- TCG AGT AGG CGT GTA CGG -3′ 3′- GCT ACG CCC CCG ACG ACG -5′	95°C 4' ------------------------ 95°C 1' 57°C 30" 29 cycles 72°C 1' ------------------------ 72°C 10' 4°C hold	420 bp
VEGFR2$^{fl/fl}$	5′ - CCA CAG AAC AAC TCA GGG CTA - 3′ 3′- AA AGG TCT CTG AAA CGA GGG -5′	94°C 2' ------------------------ 94°C 20" 65°C 15" 10 cycles 68°C 10" ------------------------ 94°C 15" 60°C 15" 28 cycles 72°C 10" ------------------------ 72°C 1' 4°C hold	179 bp (*VEGFR2*) 230 bp (*VEGFR2^{loxP}*)

GFP, green fluorescent protein; IC, internal control

Table S5: Antibodies used for immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry

Antigen/Conjugate	Species	Source	Catalog #	Application	Dilution
Caveolin-1	Rabbit	Abcam	ab2910	IHC (1º)	1:2000
CD11b – APC	Rat	BD Pharmingen	561690	FC	1:100, 1:500
CD206 (Mrc1)	Rat	BioLegend	141701	IHC (1º)	1:500
CD31	Rat	BD Pharmingen	553370	IHC (1º)	1:100
CD31 – PE	Rat	BD Pharmingen	561073	FC	1:500
CD45 – PE	Rat	BD Pharmingen	561087	FC	1:500
Col-IV	Rabbit	Abcam	ab6586	IHC (1º)	1:1000
Goat IgG – Cy3	Donkey	Jackson Immunoresearch	705-165-147	IHC (2º)	1:500
Guinea pig IgG – Cy2	Donkey	Jackson Immunoresearch	706-225-148	IHC (2º)	1:500
Guinea pig IgG – Cy5	Donkey	Jackson Immunoresearch	706-175-148	IHC (2º)	1:200
Iba1	Rabbit	Wako	019-19741	IHC (1º)	1:500
Iba1	Rabbit	Abcam	ab221790	IHC (1º)	1:1000
Insulin	Guinea pig	Dako	A0564	IHC (1º)	1:1000
Insulin	Guinea pig	Cell Marque	273A-15	IHC (1º)	1:500
Ki67	Rabbit	Abcam	ab15580	IHC (1º)	1:5000
Ly6G-FITC	Rat	BD Pharmingen	551460	FC	1:500
pERK	Rabbit	Cell Signaling	4370	IHC (1º)	1:200
Rabbit IgG – Cy2	Donkey	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-225-152	IHC (2º)	1:500
Rabbit IgG – Cy3	Donkey	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-165-152	IHC (2º)	1:500
Rat IgG – Cy2	Donkey	Jackson Immunoresearch	712-225-153	IHC (2º)	1:500
VEGF-A	Goat	R&D Systems	AF564	IHC (1º)	1:200
VEGFR2	Goat	R&D Systems	AF644	IHC (1º)	1:2000

1º, primary antibody; 2º, secondary antibody; FC, flow cytometry; IHC, immunohistochemistry