Title: The relationship between microbiomes and selective regimes in the sponge genus

Ircinia.

Authors: Joseph B. Kelly[1,2*], David Carlson[1], Jun Siong Low[3,4], Tyler Rice[4], Robert W.

Thacker[1,5].

Affiliations:

[1]Stony Brook University, Department of Ecology and Evolution, Stony Brook NY, USA.

[2]Limnological Institute University Konstanz, Aquatic Ecology and Evolution, Konstanz,

Germany.

[3]Fondazione per l'istituto di Ricerca in Biomedicina, Bellinzona, Switzerland.

[4]Department of Immunobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA.

[5]Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Box 0843-03092, Balboa, Republic of Panama.

*Correspondence to: joseph.b.kelly@stonybrook.edu.

Abstract

Sponges are often densely populated by microbes that benefit their hosts through

nutrition and bioactive secondary metabolites; however, sponges must simultaneously contend

with the toxicity of microbes and thwart microbial overgrowth. Despite these fundamental tenets

of sponge biology, the patterns of selection in the host sponges' genomes that underlie tolerance

and control of their microbiomes are still poorly understood. To elucidate these patterns of

selection, we performed a population genetic analysis on multiple species of *Ircinia* from Belize,

Florida, and Panama using an F_{ST}-outlier approach on transcriptome-annotated RADseq loci. As

part of the analysis, we delimited species boundaries among seven growth forms of *Ircinia*. Our

analyses identified balancing selection in immunity genes that have implications for the hosts'

tolerance of high densities of microbes. Additionally, our results support the hypothesis that each

of the seven growth forms constitutes a distinct *Ircinia* species that is characterized by a unique

microbiome. These results illuminate the evolutionary pathways that promote stable associations

between host sponges and their microbiomes, and that potentially facilitate ecological divergence

among *Ircinia* species.

Main Text

Introduction

Microorganisms affect nearly every aspect of macro-organismal biology. Across

eukaryotes, the influences of microbiomes on hosts can be found in biological processes such as

nutrition, development, and disease resistance [1–4]. These effects can be advantageous, for

example, by producing essential nutrients for the hosts [5] and by enabling the exploitation of

novel resources [6–8]. An important characteristic of metazoan microbiomes concerns their

stability, or at the very least the prevention of their overgrowth of the host, as commensal

microbes can transition into opportunistic pathogens [9]. The universal challenge of maintaining

healthy associations with microbiomes is met by diverse strategies that include embargoes [10],

phagocytosis [11], and physical expulsions of microbes [12]. Beneath the many mechanisms of

microbiome control might lie a common process among metazoans that polices the crosstalk

between hosts and their microbes: the innate immune system. This proposition is supported by

observations that pathways concerned with innate immune surveillance, especially in

lipopolysaccharide (LPS) sensing, are functionally conserved across distantly related host clades.

LPS is a common membrane-motif found in nearly all gram-negative bacteria and has been

coopted as a prototypical endotoxin that binds to toll-like receptors (TLRs), instigating an

immune response. Interestingly, similarities have been documented between human and sponge

LPS-induced pathways, including the likely role of toll-like receptors (TLRs) as pattern

recognition receptors (PRRs) that bind LPS [13]. Furthermore, nodal signaling molecules

downstream of the LPS-induced pathway in humans, serine-threonine-directed mitogen-activated

protein kinases (MAPK) p38 kinases and c-*jun* N-terminal kinases/JNK [14], are also stimulated

by LPS in sponges [15], a clade that is among the most distant metazoan relatives of humans.

Given the homology between the components of the LPS-induced pathway, and the fact that

sponges and humans share a common ancestor at the base of the metazoan phylogeny [16], these

pathways might constitute extant versions of the innate immune system of ancient metazoans

that facilitated inhabitation of hosts by symbiotic microbes in Earth's early oceans, and which

promote stable associations between hosts and microbes today.

Sponges stand out as a holobiont success story. The relationship between sponges and

their microbes is a longstanding affair dating back to the advent of the phylum Porifera over 540

million years ago [17]. Symbiotic microbes can comprise a substantial physical portion of

sponge bodies, constituting up to 40% of the total biomass in some host species [18–20]. Much

like the zooxanthellae of coral, the cyanobacterial photosymbionts of sponges can supplement

the host's nutrition [4]; although in some sponges that possess high abundances of symbiotic

microbes, often termed high microbial abundance or HMA sponges, cyanobacteria only

constitute a portion of the microbial diversity [21]. The remaining fraction of these microbiomes

can be comprised of thousands of microbial species from dozens of bacterial phyla [21] that

perform fermentation [20], produce secondary metabolites [22], conduct chemoautotrophic

processes such as nitrogen fixation and sulfur oxidation [23–25] and heterotrophic processes via

the assimilation of dissolved organic matter (DOM) [26]. Based on measurements of nutrient

transfer from microbes to their hosts [27], supplements of microbial origin to the sponges'

energy pools that the hosts use for growth [4,28], and chemical defense by the secondary

metabolites [29,30], microbial symbionts can be identified as influencing the fitness of their

hosts in addition to shaping their ecological identities.

The importance of microbiomes to HMA sponges is reflected in the observation that they

tend to be compositionally stable and distinct relative to the microbial communities of the

surrounding environment [21,31]. The microbiomes can also be divergent among host species

[21] which, combined with the metabolic diversity of the microbes, supports the hypothesis that

the microbiome acts as a mechanism for ecological diversification within sponges. This

evolutionary model has received some of its strongest support in recent work investigating the

bulk isotopic enrichment levels of sympatric sponge species [32], where both microbiome

compositions and isotopic enrichment values were divergent among host taxa within geographic

sites, identifying the microbiomes as being not only a mechanism for accessing novel resources

but also a means for alleviating resource competition. Granted that microbiomes hold the

potential to unlock access to new resources, they might also enable ecological diversification

among incipient sponge species.

A suitable case study to test this hypothesis exists in *Ircinia*, a cosmopolitan sponge

genus comprised of over 130 described species, many of which are densely populated by

taxonomically diverse communities of symbiotic microbes [33–36]. The microbiomes of several

Ircinia are compositionally stable [33,37] and unique among host species (Kelly & Thacker 2020

A, *in review*). These microbes also have the potential to supplement host nutrition given the high

rates of primary productivity in Caribbean *Ircinia* [38] and isotopic evidence demonstrating the

allocation of microbial nitrogen to the hosts [39]. In the Caribbean, *Ircinia* are characterized by

divergence among the species' microbiome compositions, a feature that might underly local

adaptation (Kelly & Thacker 2020 A, *in review*). Thus, the present study sought to use Caribbean

Ircinia to investigate how patterns of selection in the hosts' genomes promote the residence of

symbiotic microbes which could, in turn, mediate ecological divergence among closely related

host species. To perform this study, we first tested whether active control of microbiomes by the

hosts is evidenced throughout *Ircinia* by characterizing beta diversity among the microbial

consortia of the hosts and surrounding seawater using 16S rRNA metabarcoding. Second, we

tested whether this control translates to dissimilar microbiome compositions among *Ircinia* host

species, several of which we delimited using 2bRAD (RADseq) data, as the possession of unique

microbiomes is congruent with prior evidence of ecological diversification within sponges [32].

Finally, we identified F_{ST} outliers in the 2bRAD data and annotated them using a *de novo*-

assembled and annotated transcriptome to find candidate genes that might underly divergence in

microbiome control, as well as genes that could facilitate the tolerance of symbionts.

Results

Microbial Communities

To test whether the taxonomic compositions of *Ircinia* microbiomes are distinct relative

to the community compositions of the ambient seawater microbial community, and to test

whether the microbiome compositions are unique within each *Ircinia* growth form, we censused

the microbial communities of seawater samples and the microbiomes of *Ircinia* spp. by

sequencing the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. Relative abundance matrices of OTUs were

constructed using mothur v1.39.5 [40] with an OTU clustering threshold of 99%. Differences

among community compositions among groups (i.e. *Ircinia* and seawater) were inferred using

PERMANOVA based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity [41]. Microbiome compositions among the

host *Ircinia* lineages (populations of nominal species and growth forms) were visualized using

principal coordinate analysis, and dissimilarities among the microbiome compositions of these

lineages were further investigated by calculating the average overlaps among the standard ellipse

areas (SEAs) of the data using SIBER [42].

Sequencing of 16S rRNA amplicons generated from the host *Ircinia* and seawater

samples generated 8,777,283 paired-end raw reads, of which trimmomatic removed 67.64% [43].

Of the remaining 2,839,911 reads, 2,000,318 survived downstream quality control steps

including removal of homopolymers and chimeric reads in mothur v1.39.5 [40] (Table S1). 392

OTUs were identified as chloroplasts and one OTU as mitochondrial. 11042 OTUs were

retrieved at the 99% clustering threshold, which SILVA v132 identified as belonging to 59

accepted and candidate prokaryotic phyla [44]. The mean final read abundance per specimen was

15127.09 +/- 7458.258 (1 SD).

The microbiomes of *Ircinia* were compositionally distinct relative to seawater microbial

communities (PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.41$, p = $1e^{-04}$). *Ircinia* microbiomes were 1.45x as

taxonomically rich at the OTU level relative to seawater microbial communities and contained

1.56x as many source-specific OTUs relative to seawater microbial communities. The two

sources only overlapped by 1043 of the 11042 OTUs, with 6115 OTUs only found in *Ircinia* and

3908 OTUs found only in seawater; however, the relative abundances of the OTUs found in both

seawater and sponges were correlated with their source, where OTUs that were highly enriched

in sponges were nearly absent in seawater, and vice versa (PERMANOVA: R2= 0.44, P = 1e-04,

Figure 2). The shared OTUs were also the most numerically dominant in the total dataset. When

considering the fraction of OTUs found in the sponges, the shared OTUs had an average relative

abundance of $8.59e^{-04}$ +/- $4.04e^{-03}$(1 SD), an order of magnitude greater than the average relative

abundance of sponge-specific OTUs ($1.71e^{-05}$ +/- $1.25e^{-04}$ (1 SD)). The same trend held for the

seawater dataset, where the shared OTUs had a mean relative abundance of $8.3e^{-04}$ +/- $4.42e^{-03}$ (1

SD), an order of magnitude greater than the average relative abundance of seawater-specific

OTUs $3.35e^{-05}$ +/- SD $1.28e^{-04}$ (1 SD). 8 OTUs were identical to 16S rRNA sequences from

bacteria reported to be vertically transmitted in *I. felix*, all of which fell within the intersection of

the sponge and seawater datasets, 6 of which were in appreciably higher relative abundances in

sponges relative to seawater (Table S4, Figure 2).

Each sponge lineage (growth form and population of nominal species) harbored unique

microbiomes, evidenced by the significance of 66 of 67 pairwise PERMANOVAs (Table S5).

Additionally, the microbiome compositions of each host lineage occupied distinct multivariate

space, in which each of the standard ellipse areas (SEAs) of each host lineage had a mean

overlap of 2.38 +/- 6.11 % (Figure 3). Within the nominal species *I. strobilina* and *I. campana*,

geographically distant populations of conspecifics harbored significantly dissimilar microbiome

compositions (Table S5). 108 OTUs were found across all 10 host species and could thus be

considered 'core' microbiota of Caribbean *Ircinia*. One OTU that blasted to the vertically

transmitted symbiont 16S sequences was found across all 10 species, Otu00012, belonging to

Constrictibacter (Proteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Puniceispirillales, Puniceispirillales

incertae sedis) [45]. Of the eight putatively vertically transmitted symbionts, Otu00012 had the

highest average relative abundance across all host species (Figure 2).

F_{ST} *Outliers*

We investigated patterns of selection among the growth forms and populations of nominal species among *de novo*-assembled 2bRAD loci sourced from the host sponges using an F_{st} outlier approach. Prior to assembly and subsequent analyses, the 2bRAD data was decontaminated by removing reads that mapped to metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) of symbiotic prokaryotes in Caribbean *Ircinia* (Kelly et al. 2020, *in review*) using bbsplit (sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/). A locus was identified as an outlier if it fell outside the 90% confidence interval (CI) that was estimated via fsthet [46], or if it deviated significantly from a model of neutral evolution inferred via BayeScan [47] using a 10% false discovery rate (FDR). We then annotated the outlier loci by mapping them to a *de novo*-assembled and annotated transcriptome that we produced from an *Ircinia* specimen of the Floridian ramose growth form. The transcriptome was also subjected to contaminant removal by mapping reads to *Ircinia* prokaryotic symbiont MAGs, and also using a kraken-based pipeline [48] and deconseq [49] (see Materials and Methods).

A total of 118,948,690 single-end reads corresponding to *AlfI* restriction digests were generated using the 2bRAD pipeline, with an average of 1,383,124.30 reads +/- 629,275.12 (1 SD) per specimen. After processing the reads with 2bRAD_trim_launch.pl (https://github.com/z0on/2bRAD_denovo) and cutadapt [50], a total of 103,371,805 reads remained with an average of 1,201,997.73 +/- 566,898.18 (1 SD) reads per specimen. Contamination screening against the MAGs of symbiotic prokaryotes via bbsplit removed on average 74.52% +/- 7.89% (1 SD) of reads per sample. Samples with less than 125,988.63 reads (the per-sample mean of post-decontamination reads minus 1 SD) were omitted from further analysis. 66 samples remained with an average of 360,502.20 +/- 180,813.27 (1 SD) reads per

184   sample (Table S1). Assembly of the 2bRAD data in STACKS [51] requiring that a SNP be

185   present in 75% of the populations and in half of the individuals per population produced 389

186   loci, 333 of which were variant, 50 of which were later identified as outliers by fsthet [46] and

187   BayeScan [47].

188   Decontamination of the transcriptomic data via kraken [48] removed 14.88% of reads and

bbsplit removed a further 0.49%. After *de novo* assembly in Trinity [52], deconseq [49] removed

3791 sequences corresponding to 1.84% of the assembled transcripts. The final assembled

transcriptome had a contig N50 of 1570 bps and a metazoan-specific BUSCO completeness

score of 95.6%, 191,399 transcripts, 126,897 Trinity "genes", and a GC content of 41.58 %.

51,471 transcripts received functional annotations via HMMER, implemented in dammit [53],

that met the e-value cutoff of <1e-5.

195   Fifty outlier loci were detected among the 333 variant 2bRAD loci; BayeScan identified

13, 10 of which were candidates for positive selection and 3 for balancing selection, and fsthet

identified 43, 18 of which for candidates for positive selection and 25 for balancing selection.

One locus identified as being under balancing selection and five outlier loci under positive

selection were detected by both methods. 18 of these loci mapped to the transcriptome and

passed the annotation criteria (Table 2). Two of the positively selected outliers mapped to genes

involved in cellular mechanics (FLNC and FLNB), and one to a gene involved in maintaining

DNA integrity (BLM). One of the genes under balancing selection is involved in protein

degradation (S8 Family Serine Peptidase), and five in host immune and stress responses

(MAP3K, Rassf1, TES, PRSS21, and Kdm5b). One of the positively selected loci mapped to a

mobile genetic element (TY3B-G) as did six loci under balancing selection (three POL, gag-pol,

GIY-YIG, pro-pol-dUTPase). Additionally, the two genes annotated as TES and PRSS21 also

contained viral recombination domains (phage integrase family); PRSS21 also contained a

reverse transcriptase domain.

Species Boundaries and Gene Flow

We delimited genetic species boundaries among *Ircinia* growth forms by performing a

multispecies coalescent-based test of genetic species boundaries using BFD* [54], a pipeline that

evaluates support among competing species-grouping hypotheses using Bayes factors [55].

BFD* also provides a suitable test of genetic species boundaries given our dataset as the pipeline

is robust to SNP under-sampling and the sampling of relatively few individuals per species [54].

Competing species-grouping models were constructed based on whether growth forms shared a

habitat type (e.g. mangrove, seagrass bed, coral reef), had similarities in the overall features of

their growth habit (e.g. encrusting, massive), or were sourced from the same or nearby sites

(Table 1). Additionally, we investigated patterns of hybridization among the species identified by

BFD* using STRUCTURE [56]. Prior to these analyses, F_{st} outliers that were identified by either

fsthet [46] or BayeScan [47] were removed to help ensure the assumption of neutrality was met

[57].

BFD* lent highest support to the model representing each growth form and population of

nominal species as a separate species (Table 1). The clades that were recovered via SNAPP

showed a strong correlation with geography, especially with the Panamanian populations, which

were monophyletic with the exception of *I. strobilina* (Figure 4). The Floridian and Panamanian

I. campana were divided into two distinct clades that were connected by a deep node near the

base of the tree; however, the *I. strobilina* populations were monophyletic.

The best supported number of ancestral populations was identified unambiguously as

K=4, followed distantly by K=5, by the Evanno method [56] (Figure S1). Both the K=4 and K=5

STRUCTURE plots showed patterns of hybridization indicative of interbreeding among *Ircinia*

species, with rates of hybridization greater within sites relative to across sites (Figure 5). In both

plots, SNPs from both populations of *I. strobilina* were predominantly sourced from a shared

ancestral population, whereas *I. campana* was split between two ancestral populations that

coincided with geography.

Discussion

Our results provide the first insight into the selective forces on specific genes that might

underlie microbiome control and tolerance in high-microbial abundance (HMA) sponges. In

particular, balancing selection was detected in immune system genes and positive selection in

genes that concern the sponge's mechanical control of its body and DNA repair. A heritable

component of microbiome control might also be evidenced in our observations of dissimilarity

between host microbiomes and seawater microbial communities. Combined with previous

observations of vertical transmission of microbial symbionts in sponges [58–61] and the fitness

benefits to host sponges that can result from microbial farming [4,39], our study provides a

model that could help explain the persistence of host-microbial relationships throughout the

evolutionary history of Porifera.

Hidden Species Richness of Caribbean Ircinia

The growth forms of Caribbean *Ircinia* appear to be genetically distinct species, a

hypothesis that held despite high rates of hybridization. Two notable features stand out on the

species tree for the best-supported BFD* species model. The first is a strong correlation of the

grouping of taxa with geography – all four Panamanian growth forms are monophyletic with the

Panamanian population of *I. campana*, and the Floridian Ramose growth form is monophyletic

with the Floridian population of *I. campana*. Second, and perhaps more striking, is the polyphyly

of the *I. campana* populations. Conversely, the allopatric populations of *I. strobilina* are

monophyletic on the tree.

Sympatric sister lineages on the phylogeny are separated by nodes as deep or deeper than

the one connecting the allopatric *I. strobilina* populations (see the pairs of sister lineages: *I.*

campana Florida and Ramose and Massive A pink vs Encrusting, Figure 4). We interpret the

BFD* results, the depths of these nodes, and the distinct physical characteristics of each growth

form as support for the hypothesis that each growth form represents a separate species, despite

high rates of hybridization. We recommend further investigation into the taxonomy of *I.*

campana as our results provide evidence that this taxon likely consists of multiple species. We

recommend against splitting *I. strobilina* into two species; we interpret the observed divergence

among samples as population-level differences, based on comparisons of the depth of the node

joining the two allopatric *I. strobilina* populations to the depths of nodes joining sympatric

species pairs. Complete scientific descriptions of each of these species are provided in a separate

publication (Kelly & Thacker 2020 B, *in review*).

Microbiome Compositions of Caribbean Ircinia are Distinct from Seawater Microbial

Communities and are Unique among Host Species

Consistent with prior observations (Kelly & Thacker 2020 A, *in review*), the most abundant microbes are those shared between host and seawater, whereas the OTUs specific to either sponges or seawater occur in relatively low abundances. None of these shared OTUs co-occurred in high relative abundances in both sources. Instead, sponges appear to be actively excluding some microbes that occur in high abundances in seawater and fostering high relative abundances of microbes that are only found in trace abundances in seawater. The presence of the majority of putatively vertically transmitted microbes in the set of microbes being maintained at high relative abundances in sponges supports this finding.

The microbiomes of each *Ircinia* host lineage are unique with regard to taxonomic composition, as the pairwise comparisons of the microbiome compositions of all allopatric species pairs and all but one sympatric species pairs were significantly dissimilar. Combined with the distinctiveness of the compositions of sponge microbiomes relative to the seawater microbial communities, a plausible explanation might be that a heritable mechanism in the sponges underlies microbiome assembly and potentially facilitates character displacement among sympatric species in addition to local adaptation to different habitats. Under the assumption that these microbes have an impact on the fitness of their hosts, which is a likely prospect given the high concentrations of photosynthetic pigments [62] and the presence of nitrogen metabolism [24] found in Caribbean *Ircinia*, these observations fit a model whereby microbiomes provide a conduit for ecological diversification within this genus.

The microbiomes of *Ircinia* species are also likely shaped on short-term ecological

timescales to some degree; however, data describing the responses of microbiome compositions

in Caribbean *Ircinia* to contrasting abiotic and biotic regimes across spatial and temporal scales

are in short supply and were predominantly collected from *Ircinia* inhabiting other ocean basins.

One study examining the Great Barrier Reef sponge, *I. ramosa*, found that microbiome

compositions were stable when exposed to different salinity regimes [63]. Additionally, previous

studies focusing on Mediterranean *Ircinia* spp. discovered that microbiome demographics are

stable within a host species across seasons and throughout the range of their species distributions

[33,37]. Recently, an analogous study was performed in the Caribbean that investigated patterns

of beta diversity among the microbiomes of *I. campana* populations [35]. This study discovered

a distance-decay relationship among the microbiome compositions of the populations [35],

which is consistent with the significantly dissimilar microbiome compositions of the *I. campana*

populations in the current study. However, given the topology of our species tree and the BFD*

results, it may be the case that more than one *Ircinia* species were investigated by the

aforementioned study, again confounding interpretations of the relative contributions of species-

level ecological divergence and ecological factors that scale with latitude. To better understand

how intersecting evolutionary and ecological forces dictate microbiome assembly in Caribbean

Ircinia, we advocate for more studies performing long-term monitoring of microbiome

compositions in Caribbean *Ircinia* and reciprocal transplant experiments that could help

elucidate how *Ircinia* are able to use their microbiomes to exploit unique resource pools in

different habitats.

The Role of Selection in Ircinia Evolution

The demands of living in a microbial world must be met by competent host defenses. Immune system genes are routinely subjects of balancing selection given the positive effect allelic diversity has in guarding against novel cellular assaults and in detecting a broader suite of foreign epitopes [64], and have been previously detected as being under balancing selection in sponges [65]. In our dataset, we detected multiple outliers under balancing selection involved in immune responses. The first two, MAP3K and Rassf1, are both expressed in the cytosol and are components of the Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK (MAPK/ERK) LPS-induced pathway that communicates molecular signals of a bacterial infection to drive the transcriptional changes necessary for an immune response [66]. This signaling pathway also plays a role in cell-cycle mediation and in the induction of apoptosis, and is thought to be tumor-suppressing given the silencing of Rassf1 and somatic mutation of MAP3K in many cancers [67–70]. Two other oncogenes under balancing selection are TES (testisin) and PRSS21 (testisin precursor). Both are localized in the cell membrane. The former is a focal adhesion protein that controls cell proliferation [71], the latter, a serine protease that is putatively involved in the regulation of proteolysis during germ line development [72]. Our analysis detected both positive and balancing selection in biological processes involved in maintaining DNA integrity. Balancing selection was detected in Kdm5b, a histone demethylase expressed in the nucleus that signals double-stranded breaks in DNA [73]. Balancing selection was also detected in a member of the GIY-YIG nuclease family, which includes members that prevent the incorporation of exogenous DNA and are thought to preserve DNA integrity in basal metazoans [74,75]. Positive selection was detected in the BLM protein, which helps ensure accurate recombination during double-strand DNA break repair [76].

The concentration of bioactive compounds in *Ircinia* can be substantial, and some that have been identified as being produced by the symbiotic microbes are cytotoxic [77–79]. Many

prokaryotic symbionts present in the adult sponges have also been observed in *Ircinia* larvae,

where the toxic effects of the secondary metabolites are likely pronounced [58]. Thus, balancing

selection in immune system genes could be having a fitness effect in multiple cellular

compartments (cell membrane, cytosol, and nucleus) and over the lifespan of the host.

Additionally, the patterns of selection present in genes that promote DNA integrity, including

oncogenes, could result in adaptations to the molecular apparatuses that prevent mutagenesis of

host DNA in an environment that contains abundant foreign DNA and secondary metabolites.

Both balancing and positive selection were detected in viral recombination genes,

providing the second account of selection in genes of a viral origin in sponge hosts [65].

Additionally, a recent study investigating the roles of phages in sponge symbionts discovered

that bacteriophages of four Mediterranean sponge species contain ankyrin repeats, which likely

aid the symbiont in evasion of the host's immune system, and other genes that supplement the

host bacterium's metabolism [80]. Since similar viral loci are under selection in the host, it may

be the case that viruses are also transferring adaptive gene content to the sponges. Another

biologically plausible scenario is that these genes are erroneously being detected as under

selection, in that if they are in close proximity to other genes that are the true targets of selection,

then our analysis could be detecting them as a result of a hitchhiking effect. However, this

scenario does not preclude the possibility that the viral loci might still somehow be involved in

the mobility of the target of selection. Further research that can provide information on the

physical relationship among these loci (i.e. using a reference genome) is required to better vet the

potential for viruses to introduce adaptive genes to host sponges.

Two of the loci under positive selection (FLNC and FLNB) are involved in cellular

mechanics, including the development and functioning of muscles in other metazoans [81–83];

additionally, the copy of FLNC in our dataset contains a CH-like domain that is present in sperm

flagella (Table 2). These genes might be involved in contractions of the canal system and the

mechanics of the flagellar beating of the choanocytes, which regulate the flow of water

throughout the sponge [84]. Some host organisms, such as legumes, control their microbiome by

manipulating the microenvironment, which can deprive root nodules that are overgrown with

cheater strains of rhizobia of oxygen [10]. Sponges might control their microbiomes analogously,

as they are able to control which portions of their aquiferous canal system receive irrigation,

resulting in a heterogenous distribution of oxygen that could impact physiologies of bacterial

symbionts and thus change the microbiome composition [85]. Given that the growth forms are

specific with regard to habitat preference, the divergence among these genes could further be

compounded by the different hydrodynamic environments of coral reefs, seagrass beds, and

mangroves [86].

Conclusion

Ecological divergence, as facilitated by the microbiomes of Caribbean *Ircinia*, could be

enabled in part by the patterns of selection we detected in the genomes of the hosts, which

include balancing selection at immunity genes and positive selection in genes involved in

cellular mechanics and the maintenance of DNA integrity. Of special interest are the immunity

genes, as the innate immune system of sponges might play a central role governing host-

microbial crosstalk and maintaining a healthy microbial homeostasis [87]. Immunity pathways

involving the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) p38 protein kinase and c-*jun* N-

terminal kinases/JNK are induced by LPS in the model sponge species *Suberites domuncula* [15].

One of the pathways detected as being under balancing selection here, Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK

(MAPK/ERK), is stimulated by LPS in human cell lines and triggers downstream immune

responses from the host [14]. Given the conservation of the actions of the other two MAPK

pathways, a similar biological function could perhaps be performed by MAPK/ERK in *Ircinia*.

Future work should test whether this pathway is inducible by microbes or microbial metabolites

and investigate the implications of its role in the cell cycle for tolerance of the microbiome. By

identifying the products of genes in this pathway and of other genes that we detected as being

under selection, such research will further illuminate how sponges coexist with their

microbiomes and how selection in the host genome might drive microbially mediated ecological

diversification.

Materials and Methods

Specimen collections and next generation sequencing library preparation

Specimens of *Ircinia* representing seven growth forms were collected from three sites:

Bocas del Toro, Panama (July 2016), the Florida Keys (July 2018), and the Mesoamerican

Barrier Reef (August 2018) (Figure 1, Table S1). In Panama, individuals of the growth form

Massive A pink were collected form mangrove prop roots of *Rhizophora* at Inner Solarte;

individuals of two growth forms, Massive A green and Massive B, were collected from the

seagrass-dominated (*Thalassia*) habitat of STRI point; and individuals of the Encrusting growth

form were collected from patch reefs of Punta Caracol. In Florida, specimens of *I. campana* and

a growth form with a branching body morphology (Ramose) were collected from a 150 m-long

seagrass bed that begins 50 m immediately to the west of MOTE Marine Laboratory and

Aquarium's Elizabeth Moore International Center for Coral Reef Research & Restoration; *I.*

campana specimens were also collected from the coral reef at Looe Key. An additional specimen

of the Ramose growth form was snap frozen in an EtOH - dry ice bath and stored at -80C for

subsequent RNA extraction. In Belize, specimens of *I. strobilina* and *I. felix* were collected from

the forereef on the western (seaward) slope of Carrie Bow Cay. Specimens were collected of a

sixth growth form with an irregularly massive body morphology (Sp. 1) from the *Rhizophora*

prop roots of the Twin Cays and Blue Ground mangrove hammocks, and of a seventh growth

form with an encrusting body morphology (Sp. 2) from the Blue Ground coral patch reef.

Specimens of *I. strobilina* were also collected from the Blue Ground patch reef alongside Sp. 2.

All growth forms are specific with regard to habitat preference and inhabit either coral patch

reefs, seagrass beds, or mangrove prop roots, and were collected in close proximity to each other

within a site; all Panamanian collections were made within a 10.7-km radius, the Floridan

specimens shared a habitat, and the Belizean sampling locations fall within a 7.5-km radius.

Sponge specimens were fixed in 90% EtOH, which was replaced at the 24-hour and 48-

hour marks. 0.5L seawater specimens were taken immediately adjacent to the sampled *Ircinia* in

Panama and transported in opaque brown Nalgene bottles, subsequently concentrated via

vacuum filtration through 0.2-μm Whatman filter papers, and then stored in RNA later. DNA

extractions were made from the outermost 2mm layer of the sponge tissues using DNeasy

PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen) and from the interior of the sponge tissue (<2mm from the exterior

pinacoderm) and the seawater filter papers using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit

(Promega).

To census the taxonomic microbial community compositions of sponges and seawater,

we amplified the V4 region of the 16S rRNA (ribosomal subunit) from the DNeasy PowerSoil

Kit DNA isolations using the primers 515f (5' GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A 3') and

806rB (5' GGA CTA CNV GGG TWT CTA AT 3') following the Earth Microbiome Project

16S protocol (http://press.igsb.anl.gov/earthmicrobiome/protocols-and-standards/16s/). PCR

reactions were conducted in 50 uL volumes with the following recipe: 25 uL of 2x HotStarTaq

Master Mix, 1 uL of each primer at 10 uM concentration, 22 uL H_20, and 1 uL DNA template.

Thermocycler conditions used an initial denaturing step of 95°C for 5 minutes followed by 35 of

the following cycles: 94°C for 45 seconds, 50°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 1.5 minutes; and was

completed with a final elongation step of 72°C for 10 minutes.

To generate genome-wide SNPs data for the host sponges, we constructed a 2bRAD

(RADseq) library from the sponge DNA isolations produced using the Genomic DNA

Purification Kit following the workflow of Wang et al. 2012 [88], whereby all *AlfI* restriction

sites were targeted for amplification with the primers 5ILL-NN (5' CTA CAC GAC GCT CTT

CCG ATC TNN 3') and 3ILL-NN (5' CAG ACG TGT GCT CTT CCG ATC TNN 3') [88]. The

16S and 2bRAD libraries were multiplexed using 12-basepair Golay barcodes and pooled within

each amplicon type following dsDNA quantification on a Qubit 3.0.

RNA was extracted from the frozen Ramose specimen by incubating a homogenized

tissue fragment in Trizol and processing the resultant aqueous phase through the QIAGEN

RNAeasy kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA extraction was sent to the Yale

Center for Genome Analysis (YCGA) for library preparation via poly-A pulldown and

sequencing on a NovaSeq6000. The 16S rRNA library was sequenced on a MiSeq in the lab of

Dr. Noah Palm at Yale University using a V2 2x250 bp chemistry kit, and the 2bRAD library

was sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 at YCGA.

Read Preprocessing and Data Hygiene

Initial quality filtering was performed on the 16S rRNA reads using the paired-end

function in Trimmomatic v0.36 with the settings TRAILING:30 SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20

MINLEN:100 [43]. The forward 2bRAD reads were trimmed to the 36-bp restriction fragments

using the script 2bRAD_trim_launch.pl (https://github.com/z0on/2bRAD_GATK), and quality

filtered using cutadapt with the settings -q 15,15 -m 36 [50]. Decontamination of the 2bRAD

dataset (i.e. removal of reads of prokaryotic origin) was performed using bbsplit.sh

(https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap) with default mapping parameters against a reference

database of 356 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) sourced from the same 12 *Ircinia*

host lineages studied here (Kelly et al. 2020, *in review*). RNAseq reads were filtered and

trimmed prior to assembly using the paired-end function of fastp v0.19.6 with the options --

poly_g_min_len 10 -x --poly_x_min_len 10 [89]. A first round of contaminant removal was

performed on the RNAseq data using kraken v1.1.1 with the parameter setting --confidence 0.05

[48]. To mitigate contamination of both eukaryotic and prokaryotic commensals, we

supplemented the default kraken databases with custom databases built from the aforementioned

dereplicated MAG dataset of *Ircinia* and a set of publicly available genomes downloaded from

NCBI, which is predominantly crustacean and annelid as these taxa comprised the majority of

eukaryotic commensals in the ramose growth form (Table S2). A second round of contaminant

removal was performed using bbsplit.sh, implemented with a modification to default mapping

parameters of maxindel=200000 and a reference database of the dereplicated *Ircinia* MAG

dataset.

16S rRNA Analysis

16S rRNA reads passing initial quality filters were assembled into contigs,

demultiplexed, and aligned to the V4 region of the SILVA v132 SSU reference sequence

database in mothur v1.39.5 [40]. Following removal of chimeric sequences, OTUs were

clustered at the 99% threshold using distance-based greedy clustering implemented in

VSEARCH [90]. OTUs represented by only one or two reads were omitted from the final dataset

to mitigate read error and contamination. Additionally, OTUs that were identified by SILVA as

being from mitochondria, chloroplasts, or Eukaryotes were removed from the dataset prior to

downstream analyses. To infer which OTUs might be vertically transmitted, we used BLASTn to

match the representative 16S rRNA sequences of our OTUs to a database of 16SrRNA sequences

from bacteria that are putatively vertically transmitted in *I. felix* [58], downloaded from NCBI

(Table S3). OTUs were identified as being putatively vertically transmitted if they had 100%

sequence identity over the entire length of the query.

Beta diversity among the host species and differences in microbial community

compositions between sponges and water were inferred using Permutational Analysis of

Variance (PERMANOVA) based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity [41,91]. Sponge microbiome

compositions were visualized in multivariate space using a PCoA, and the overlap among the

standard ellipse areas of each host species' microbiome composition was calculated using SIBER

[42]. The number of unique and shared OTUs between seawater and sponge microbial

communities were plotted with the eulerr package (https://github.com/jolars/eulerr). Since

seawater microbial communities were not sampled from Florida, analyses comparing sponge and

seawater microbial communities are restricted to Panamanian and Belizean samples.

Transcriptome Assembly, Post-Assembly Decontamination, and Functional Annotation

Reads passing the kraken and bbsplit.sh filtering steps were *de novo* assembled using Trinity v2.8.5 [52]. After assembly, a third round of contamination removal was performed using deconseq v0.4.3 with default parameters and the publicly available mouse, human, bacterial, archaeal, and viral deconseq reference databases [92]. Functional annotations were then made for the assembly using the annotate function of dammit v1.0rc2 (https://github.com/dib-lab/dammit) including the UniRef90 annotations (--full) and the metazoan lineage-specific BUSCO group [53].

De novo 2bRAD assembly

Following parameter optimization via the guidelines of Paris et al. [93], we assembled the 2bRAD reads into loci *de novo* in Stacks v2.41 with the settings -m 3 -M 3 -n 4 [51]. Additionally, we filtered the data to require that a SNP be present in 75% of the populations (-p 9) and half of the individuals of a population (-r 0.50). For downstream population genetic analyses, we used only the first variant site from each 2bRAD locus to satisfy the assumption of independence among our SNPs.

F_{ST} *Outlier Detection and Annotation*

Using two methods, we detected F_{ST} outliers by treating each growth form and allopatric population of nominal species as a separate population. First, via BayeScan v2.1, a Bayesian

software program that employs reversible-jump Monte Carlo Markov chains to estimate

posterior distributions of F_{ST} values for loci under two alternative models, one with selection and

another under neutral evolution [47]. Loci with posterior F_{ST} values that deviate from

expectations under the neutral model are identified as outliers. BayeScan was run for 5000

iterations with a thinning interval of 10 and a burn-in of 50000. Outliers were identified from the

output files and plotted using the R function plot_bayescan with a false discovery rate (FDR) of

0.10. Second, we identified outliers using the R package fsthet, an implementation of the F_{ST}-

heterozygosity approach of Beaumont & Nichols (1996) that identifies outlier loci against

smoothed quantiles generated from the empirical SNP dataset [46]. Using this approach, a given

locus was identified as an outlier if it fell outside the 90% confidence interval (CI) of its

heterozygosity bin.

To investigate the biological implications of selection in our dataset, we performed a two-

step functional annotation. First, we mapped 2bRAD loci containing outliers by querying them

against the assembled and annotated transcriptome using BLASTn with an e-value cutoff of 1e-

9. Functional annotations of transcripts containing outlier loci were deemed reliable if the

HMMER hits had e-values below 1e-5. Second, we queried outliers via BLASTx to the to the

NCBI non-redundant (nr) protein sequences database.

Species Delimitation, Species Tree Estimation, and Hybridization

SNPs that were identified as F_{ST} outliers were removed from the SNP matrix prior to

downstream population genetic analyses. Species delimitation was performed using Bayes factor

delimitation with genomic data (BFD*) [54]. 13 competing species grouping models were

constructed based on plausible biological scenarios (Table 1). Each model was assigned an alpha

= 1 and beta = 130 for the expected divergence prior θ and a prior distribution of gamma (2,200)

for the birth rate prior λ (https://www.beast2.org/bfd/). Marginal likelihoods were then estimated

for each competing model via path sampling analysis [95], which was run for 50,000 generations

with 28 path steps and a pre-burn-in of 25,000 generations. Bayes Factors were calculated and

compared following Kass & Raftery [55]. A species tree was estimated in SNAPP v1.3.0 for the

best-supported species grouping model using four MCMC chains, each with a length of 1 million

generations and a burn-in of 25% (totaling 3 million generations post-burn-in) [96]. Likelihood

estimates and trees were logged every 500 generations for the SNAPP species tree and the path

sampling analyses. Hybridization was inferred among the species identified by BFD* using

STRUCTURE by setting the number of ancestral populatiions (K) to range from 3 to 12 and

performing 10 runs for each K using an MCMC length of 200,000 and a burn-in of 50,000

generations [56]. ΔK was calculated to estimate the number of ancestral populations using the

Evanno method implemented in Structure Harvester v0.6.94 [56,97].

Data accessibility statement:

The quality-controlled 16S rRNA, 2bRAD, and transcriptomic sequence data are deposited under

the GenBank accession numbers XXX, YYY, ZZZ, respectively.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. Jackie L. Collier and Dr. Liliana Davalos-Alvarez for their

comments on the manuscript; the staffs of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute's Bocas

Research Station, the Smithsonian's Carrie Bow Cay Field Station, and the MOTE Marine

Laboratory and Aquarium's Elizabeth Moore International Center for Coral Reef Research &

Restoration for their support on logistical aspects of the field work; and Barrett Brooks and Dr.

Karen Koltes for help with specimen collections in Carrie Bow Cay. We thank Dr. Noah Palm of

Yale University for use of his laboratory's MiSeq, and to the Yale Center for Genome Analysis

for their careful attention to the 2bRAD and RNA sequencing. This study was supported by a

Fellowship of Graduate Student Travel (Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology) and

Dr. David F. Ludwig Memorial Student Travel Scholarship (Association for Environmental

Health and Sciences Foundation) awarded to J.B.K. and by grants awarded to R.W.T. from the

U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB-1622398, DEB-1623837, OCE-1756249).

Competing interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Table and Figure Captions

Table 1. Bayes factor delimitation results. Rank corresponds to relative support via Bayes factor.

Table 2. Table listing F_{ST} outliers mapped to annotated transcripts.

Figure 1: Top: Four Panamanian growth forms were collected from three sites: Massive A pink

was collected from *Rhizophora* prop roots at Inner Solarte, a network of mangrove hammocks;

Massive A green and Massive B were collected from STRI Point, a *Thalassia* seagrass-

dominated habitat; and Encrusting was collected from Punta Caracol, a coral patch reef. *I.*

campana and *I. strobilina* specimens were also collected from STRI Point and Punta Caracol.

Middle: specimens of a growth form (Ramose) and *I. campana* were collected from a seagrass

bed on Summerland Key, Florida; two specimens of *I. campana* were also collected from Looe

Key. **Bottom:** Two Belizean growth forms were collected from three sites: Sp. 1 specimens were

collected from *Rhizophora* prop roots at the Twin Cays and from mangrove hammocks adjacent

to the series of Blue Ground coral patch reefs; and Sp. 2 specimens were collected from the coral

reefs at Blue Ground. Specimens of *I. strobilina* were collected form the same patch reef

inhabited by Sp. 2 and also from the forereef at Carrie Bow Cay, and *I. felix* specimens were

collected from the Carrie Bow Cay forereef. A complete sampling overview can be found in

Table S1.

Figure 2. A: plot showing the relative abundances of the 1043 OTUs that are shared between

sponges and seawater, restricted to Panama and Belize. Orange dots are relative abundances in

sponges, blue dots are relative abundances in seawater. Black triangles mark OTUs that

correspond to vertically transmitted bacteria in *I. felix* [58]. **B:** Venn diagram showing the

number of sponge-specific OTUs, seawater-specific OTUs, and OTUs found in both sources.

OTUs in the intersection of the two sources are plotted by relative abundance in A.

Figure 3. PCoA of microbiome compositions, normalized by relative abundance, for each host

lineage. Ellipses are standard ellipse area (SEA). Squares are Floridian specimens (FL), circles

are Panamanian specimens (PA), and triangles are Belizean specimens (BZ).

Figure 4. Phylogeny produced via SNAPP for the best-supported species grouping model in

BFD*. **Left:** consensus tree with posterior probabilities as node labels. **Right:** Densitree

visualization of posterior tree distribution displaying most frequent topology in blue and

alternative topologies in green and red. Tip labels are colored by geography: blue for Belize,

yellow for Florida, and red for Panama.

Figure 5. STRUCTURE plots of SNP ancestries estimated for K=4 and K=5.

Figure S1. Estimation of delta K using Structure Harvester.

Table 1. The species model representing each growth from and each population of nominal species as a distinct species (in bold letter face) received decisive support over competing models.

Species Model	Motivation	# Species	MLE	BF	Rank
all growth forms separate species, split I. campana and *I. strobilina* by geography	**Test population-level divergence.**	**12**	**-10712.77**	**2559.69**	**1**
split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, combine Massive A pink and Panamanian *I. campana*	Test if Massive A pink is a Panamanian I. campana phenotype	11	-10723.72	2537.80	2
all growth forms separate species, split *I. campana* by geography	Test population-level divergence.	11	-10743.48	2498.29	3
split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, combine Massive B and Panamanian *I. campana*	Test if Massive B is a Panamanian I. campana phenotype	11	-10743.96	2497.31	4
split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, combine Encrusting and Panamanian *I. campana*	Test if Encrusting is a Panamanian I. campana phenotype	11	-10745.95	2493.33	5
split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, combine Encrusting, Massive A pink, and Panamanian *I. campana*	Test if Encrusting and Massive A pink are Panamanian I. campana phenotypes	10	-10753.99	2477.27	6
split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, split Massive B between Panamanian *I. campana* and Encrusting (reference Kelly & Thacker 2020 A)	Test if Massive B is a mixture of Encrusting and Panamanian *I. campana*	11	-10767.13	2450.98	7

split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, combine Massive A pink, Massive B, Encrusting, and Panamanian *I. campana*	Test if Massive B, Encrusting, and Massive A pink are Panamanian *I. campana* phenotypes	9	-10779.35	2426.54	8
split *I. campana* and *I. strobilina* by geography, combine sp2 and Belizean *I. strobilina*	Test if sp 2 is a Belizean *I. strobilina* phenotype	11	-10809.40	2366.44	9
all growth forms separate species, split *I. strobilina* by geography	Test population-level divergence.	11	-10895.09	2195.06	10
all growth forms separate species, combine *I. campana* and *I. stroblinia* populations	Full species model combining populations of nominal species.	10	-10924.28	2136.68	11
combineMassive A pink and Massive B	Both massive; shared geography.	9	-10940.90	2103.44	12
combine Massive B and Massive A green	Shared habitat (STRI point); sympatry.	9	-10942.36	2100.53	13
combine Massive A green and Encrusting	Sympatry	9	-10990.90	2003.45	14
combines sp1 and Massive A pink	Shared habitat type (mangrove)	9	-11064.83	1855.58	15
combine ramose and *I. campana*	Sympatry	9	-11073.93	1837.39	16
combine sp2 and Encrusting	Shared habitat type (coral patch reef)	9	-11224.58	1536.08	17
combine sp1 and sp2	Sympatry	9	-11357.66	1269.93	18
combine growth forms into one species	Are the growth forms different phenotypes of the same species?	4	-11826.39	332.46	19

combine growth forms with *I. felix*	Are the growth forms different *I. felix* phenotypes?	3	-11992.62	-	20

619 **Table 2. Outliers mapped to annotated transcripts. Transcripts with identical annotations**
620 **are collapsed into one row. Genes involved in immunity are under balancing selection and**
621 **genes involved in cellular mechanics are under positive selection in *Ircinia*.**

BayeScan	fsthet	2bRAD Locus Outlier	Trinity 'gene'	Transcripts on gene	Domain features via HMMER	Gene annotation via NCBI
positive sel		CLocus_76203	TRINITY_DN2919_c0_g1	Transcript_19655	CH-like domain in sperm protein, CAMSAP CH domain, Filamin/ABP280 repeat, Cyclin N-terminal domain	FLNC
balancing sel		CLocus_376757	TRINITY_DN3714_c0_g1	Transcript_24274, Transcript_24276, Transcript_24277,	Ras association (RalGDS/AF-6) domain, Novel Ras effector 1 C-terminal SARAH (Sav/Rassf/Hpo) domain, Ankyrin repeats (Ank,	Rassf1

				Transcript_24 278, Transcript_24 279	Ank_2, Ank_3, Ank_4, Ank_5)	
	balanc ing sel	CLocus_22 8457	TRINITY_DN13274 _c0_g1	Transcript_67 503, Transcript_67 504	Reverse transcriptas e-like	POL
positiv e sel	positiv e sel	CLocus_13 857	TRINITY_DN17238 _c0_g1	Transcript_78 835	Integrase core domain, Reverse transcriptas e (RNA-dependent DNA polymerase), Zinc knuckle	TY3B-G
	balanc ing sel	CLocus_21 9329	TRINITY_DN23900 _c0_g2	Transcript_93 043	Phage integrase family	TES
	balanc ing sel	CLocus_68 5090	TRINITY_DN1258_ c2_g1	Transcript_95 88, Transcript_95 91, Transcript_95 94	PHD-finger	Kdm5b
positiv e sel		CLocus_76 713	TRINITY_DN1384_ c0_g1	Transcript_99 01, Transcript_99 03	Filamin/AB P280 repeat, YtkA-like	FLNB

balanc ing sel	CLocus_22 3903	TRINITY_DN2279_ c0_g2	Transcript_15 199	Reverse transcriptas e (RNA-dependent DNA polymerase)	GIY-YIG
balanc ing sel	CLocus_32 978	TRINITY_DN7718_ c0_g1	Transcript_45 973	BED zinc finger	ZBED 4
positiv e sel	CLocus_13 672	TRINITY_DN11504 _c0_g1	Transcript_61 643, Transcript_61 644	DEAD/DE AH box helicase, Helicase conserved C-terminal domain	BLM
balanc ing sel	CLocus_31 257	TRINITY_DN12087 _c0_g1	Transcript_63 383, Transcript_63 384, Transcript_63 390	no sig. HMMER hits	S8 Family Serine Peptida se
balanc ing sel	CLocus_21 4786	TRINITY_DN23991 _c0_g1	Transcript_93 163	Integrase core domain	POL
balanc ing sel	CLocus_34 684	TRINITY_DN68288 _c0_g1	Transcript_13 6651, Transcript_13 6653, Transcript_13 6654, Transcript_13 6655, Transcript_13 6656	Phage integrase family, Reverse transcriptas e (RNA-dependent DNA polymerase)	PRSS2 1

balanc ing sel	CLocus_23 4266	TRINITY_DN185_c 0_g1	Transcript_16 75, Transcript_16 81	Reverse transcriptas e (RNA-dependent DNA polymerase), Integrase core domain	gag-pol
balanc ing sel	CLocus_57 351	TRINITY_DN11166 _c0_g3	Transcript_60 243	no sig. HMMER hits	MAP3 K
balanc ing sel	CLocus_23 794	TRINITY_DN12242 _c0_g1	Transcript_63 993, Transcript_63 996	Reverse transcriptas e (RNA-dependent DNA polymerase), Integrase core domain	pro-pol-dUTPa se
balanc ing sel	CLocus_37 6757	TRINITY_DN14710 2_c0_g1	Transcript_18 7547	PUB domain	PUB domain - contain ing protein
balanc ing sel	CLocus_46 1127	TRINITY_DN20193 _c1_g1	Transcript_85 677	Reverse transcriptas e (RNA-dependent DNA polymerase)	POL

624 **Figure 1. Specimens of *Ircinia* growth forms were sourced from three sites in the**
625 **Caribbean.**

628 **Figure 2. *Ircinia* possess microbiomes that are compositionally distinct relative to microbial**
629 **communities of the ambient seawater**.

Figure 3. Microbiome compositions are distinct among host lineages of *Ircinia*.

Figure 4. The *Ircinia* growth forms are supported as being genetically distinct species.

Figure 5. *Ircinia* **experience high rates of hybridization within a site.**

Figure S1. K=4 ancestral populations of *Ircinia* receive highest support via the Evanno
method, followed by K=5.

References

1. Haney CH, Samuel BS, Bush J, Ausubel FM. 2015 Associations with rhizosphere bacteria can confer an adaptive advantage to plants. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 15051. (doi:10.1038/nplants.2015.51)

2. Berendsen RL, Pieterse CMJ, Bakker PAHM. 2012 The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends Plant Sci.* **17**, 478–486. (doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001)

3. Clemente JC, Ursell LK, Parfrey LW, Knight R. 2012 The Impact of the Gut Microbiota on Human Health: An Integrative View. *Cell* **148**, 1258–1270. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.035)

4. Freeman CJ, Thacker RW. 2011 Complex interactions between marine sponges and their symbiotic microbial communities. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **56**, 1577–1586. (doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.5.1577)

5. Moran NA, Baumann P. 2000 Bacterial endosymbionts in animals. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **3**, 270–275. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(00)00088-6)

6. Falkowski PG, Dubinsky Z, Muscatine L, Porter JW. 1984 Light and the Bioenergetics of a Symbiotic Coral. *Bioscience* **34**, 705–709. (doi:10.2307/1309663)

7. Long SR. 1989 Rhizobium-legume nodulation: Life together in the underground. *Cell* **56**, 203–214. (doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(89)90893-3)

8. Yeoman CJ, White BA. 2014 Gastrointestinal Tract Microbiota and Probiotics in Production Animals. *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.* **2**, 469–486. (doi:10.1146/annurev-animal-022513-114149)

9. Brown SP, Cornforth DM, Mideo N. 2012 Evolution of virulence in opportunistic pathogens: generalism, plasticity, and control. *Trends Microbiol.* **20**, 336–342. (doi:10.1016/j.tim.2012.04.005)

10. Kiers ET, Rousseau RA, West SA, Denison RF. 2003 Host sanctions and the legume–rhizobium mutualism. *Nature* **425**, 78–81. (doi:10.1038/nature01931)

11. Aderem A, Underhill DM. 1999 Mechanisms of Phagocytosis in Macrophages. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **17**, 593–623. (doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.593)

12. Nyholm S V, McFall-Ngai M. 2004 The winnowing: establishing the squid–vibrio symbiosis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2**, 632–642. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro957)

13. Wiens M, Korzhev M, Perovic-Ottstadt S, Luthringer B, Brandt D, Klein S, Muller WEG. 2007 Toll-like receptors are part of the innate immune defense system of sponges (demospongiae: Porifera). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 792–804. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msl208)

14. Sweet MJ, Hume DA. 1996 Endotoxin signal transduction in macrophages. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **60**, 8–26. (doi:10.1002/jlb.60.1.8)

15. Böhm M, Hentschel U, Friedrich A, Fieseler L, Steffen R, Gamulin V, Müller I, Müller W. 2001 Molecular response of the sponge Suberites domuncula to bacterial infection. *Mar. Biol.* **139**, 1037–1045. (doi:10.1007/s002270100656)

16. Dunn CW, Leys SP, Haddock SHD. 2015 The hidden biology of sponges and ctenophores. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **30**, 282–291. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.03.003)

17. Brunton FR, Dixon OA. 1994 Siliceous sponge-microbe biotic associations and their recurrence through the Phanerozoic as reef mound constructors. *Palaios* **9**, 370–387. (doi:10.2307/3515056)

18. Vacelet J, Donadey C. 1977 *Vacelet J, Donadey C.. Electron microscope study of the association between some sponges and bacteria. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 30: 301-314*. (doi:10.1016/0022-0981(77)90038-7)

19. Wilkinson CR. 1978 Microbial associations in sponges. II. Numerical analysis of sponge and water bacterial populations. *Mar. Biol.* **49**, 169–176. (doi:10.1007/BF00387116)

20. Hentschel U, Usher KM, Taylor MW. 2006 Marine sponges as microbial fermenters. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **55**, 167–177. (doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2005.00046.x)

21. Thomas T *et al.* 2016 Diversity, structure and convergent evolution of the global sponge microbiome. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11870.

22. Thomas TRA, Kavlekar DP, LokaBharathi PA. 2010 Marine drugs from sponge-microbe association--a review. *Mar. Drugs* **8**, 1417–1468. (doi:10.3390/md8041417)

23. Tian R-M, Wang Y, Bougouffa S, Gao Z-M, Cai L, Bajic V, Qian P-Y. 2014 Genomic analysis reveals versatile heterotrophic capacity of a potentially symbiotic sulfur-oxidizing bacterium in sponge. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**, 3548–3561. (doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12586)

24. Archer SK, Stevens JL, Rossi RE, Matterson KO, Layman CA. 2017 Abiotic conditions drive significant variability in nutrient processing by a common Caribbean sponge, Ircinia felix. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **62**, 1783–1793. (doi:10.1002/lno.10533)

25. Slaby BM, Hackl T, Horn H, Bayer K, Hentschel U. 2017 Metagenomic binning of a marine sponge microbiome reveals unity in defense but metabolic specialization. *Nat. Publ. Gr.* **11**, 2465–2478. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.101)

26. Rix L, Ribes M, Coma R, Jahn MT, de Goeij JM, van Oevelen D, Escrig S, Meibom A, Hentschel U. 2020 Heterotrophy in the earliest gut: a single-cell view of heterotrophic carbon and nitrogen assimilation in sponge-microbe symbioses. *ISME J.* (doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0706-3)

27. Achlatis M, Pernice M, Green K, Guagliardo P, Kilburn MR, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Dove S. 2018 Single-cell measurement of ammonium and bicarbonate uptake within a photosymbiotic bioeroding sponge. *ISME J.* **12**, 1308–1318. (doi:10.1038/s41396-017-0044-2)

28. Erwin PM, Thacker RW. 2008 Phototrophic nutrition and symbiont diversity of two

Caribbean sponge – cyanobacteria symbioses. (doi:10.3354/meps07464)

29.   Wilson MC *et al.* 2014 An environmental bacterial taxon with a large and distinct
metabolic repertoire. *Nature* **506**, 58–62. (doi:10.1038/nature12959)

30.   Lackner G, Peters EE, Helfrich EJN, Piel J. 2017 Insights into the lifestyle of uncultured
bacterial natural product factories associated with marine sponges. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
114, E347 LP-E356. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1616234114)

31.   Moitinho-Silva L *et al.* 2017 The sponge microbiome project. *Gigascience* **6**, 1–7.
(doi:10.1093/gigascience/gix077)

32.   Freeman CJ, Easson CG, Matterson KO, Thacker RW, Baker DM, Paul VJ. 2020
Microbial symbionts and ecological divergence of Caribbean sponges: A new perspective
on an ancient association. *ISME J.* (doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0625-3)

33.   Erwin PM, Pita L, López-Legentil S, Turon X. 2012 Stability of sponge-associated
bacteria over large seasonal shifts in temperature and irradiance. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. **78**, 7358–7368. (doi:10.1128/AEM.02035-12)

34.   Marino C, Pawlik J, Lopez-Legentil S, Erwin P. 2017 Latitudinal variation in the
microbiome of the sponge Ircinia campana correlates with host haplotype but not anti-
predatory chemical defense. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **565**, 53–66. (doi:10.3354/meps12015)

35.   Griffiths S, Antwis R, Lenzi L, Lucaci A, Behringer DC, Butler M, Preziosi R. 2019 Host
genetics and geography influence microbiome composition in the sponge Ircinia campana.
J. Anim. Ecol. **88**, 1684–1695. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.13065)

36.   Van Soest RWM *et al.* 2019 World Porifera Database. (doi:10.14284/359)

37.   Pita L, Turon X, López-Legentil S, Erwin PM. 2013 Host rules: spatial stability of
bacterial communities associated with marine sponges (Ircinia spp.) in the Western
Mediterranean Sea. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **86**, 268–276. (doi:10.1111/1574-6941.12159)

38.   Wilkinson C, Cheshire A. 1990 Comparisons of sponge populations across the Barrier
Reefs of Australia and Belize: evidence for higher productivity in the Caribbean. *Mar.*
Ecol. Prog. Ser. **67**, 285–294. (doi:10.3354/meps067285)

39.   Weisz JB, Hentschel U, Lindquist N, Martens CS. 2007 Linking abundance and diversity
of sponge-associated microbial communities to metabolic differences in host sponges.
Mar. Biol. **152**, 475–483. (doi:10.1007/s00227-007-0708-y)

40.   Schloss PD *et al.* 2009 Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent,
community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.
Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **75**, 7537–7541. (doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09)

41.   Anderson MJ. 2005 PERMANOVA Permutational multivariate analysis of variance.
Austral Ecol. (doi:10.1139/cjfas-58-3-626)

42.   Jackson AL, Parnell AC, Inger R, Bearhop S. 2011 Comparing isotopic niche widths

among and within communities: SIBER – Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. *J. Anim.*
Ecol. , 595–602.

43. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014 Genome analysis Trimmomatic : a flexible trimmer
for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120.
(doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170)

44. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO.
2013 The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing and
web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D590–D596. (doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219)

45. Yamada K, Fukuda W, Kondo Y, Miyoshi Y, Atomi H, Imanaka T. 2011 Constrictibacter
antarcticus gen. nov., sp. nov., a cryptoendolithic micro-organism from Antarctic white
rock. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **61**, 1973–1980. (doi:10.1099/ijs.0.026625-0)

46. Flanagan SP, Jones AG. 2017 Constraints on the FST–Heterozygosity Outlier Approach.
J. Hered. **108**, 561–573. (doi:10.1093/jhered/esx048)

47. Foll M, Gaggiotti O. 2008 A Genome-Scan Method to Identify Selected Loci Appropriate
for Both Dominant and Codominant Markers: A Bayesian Perspective. *Genetics* **180**, 977
LP – 993. (doi:10.1534/genetics.108.092221)

48. Wood DE, Salzberg SL. 2014 Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification
using exact alignments. *Genome Biol.* **15**, R46. (doi:10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46)

49. Schmieder R, Edwards R. 2011 Fast identification and removal of sequence contamination
from genomic and metagenomic datasets. *PLoS One* **6**, e17288.
(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017288)

50. Martin M. 2011 CUTADAPT removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* **17**. (doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200)

51. Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA. 2013 Stacks: an analysis
tool set for population genomics. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 3124–3140. (doi:10.1111/mec.12354)

52. Grabherr MG *et al.* 2011 Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without
a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* (doi:10.1038/nbt.1883)

53. Scott C. 2016 dammit: an open and accessible de novo transcriptome annotator. *in prep.*

54. Leaché AD, Fujita MK, Minin VN, Bouckaert RR. 2014 Species delimitation using
genome-wide SNP Data. *Syst. Biol.* **63**, 534–542. (doi:10.1093/sysbio/syu018)

55. Kass RE, Raftery AE. 1995 Bayes Factors. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **90**, 773–795.
(doi:10.1080/01621459.1995.10476572)

56. Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. 2005 Detecting the number of clusters of individuals
using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 2611–2620.
(doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x)

57. Liu L, Yu L, Kubatko L, Pearl DK, Edwards S V. 2009 Coalescent methods for estimating

phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **53**, 320–328.
(doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.05.033)

58. Schmitt S, Weisz JB, Lindquist N, Hentschel U. 2007 Vertical transmission of a
     phylogenetically complex microbial consortium in the viviparous sponge Ircinia felix.
     Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **73**, 2067–2078. (doi:10.1128/AEM.01944-06)

59. Lee OO, Chui PY, Wong YH, Pawlik JR, Qian P-Y. 2009 Evidence for vertical
     transmission of bacterial symbionts from adult to embryo in the Caribbean sponge
     Svenzea zeai. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 6147–6156. (doi:10.1128/AEM.00023-09)

60. Sharp KH, Eam B, Faulkner DJ, Haygood MG. 2007 Vertical Transmission of Diverse
     Microbes in the Tropical Sponge Corticium sp. *Appl. Environ.
     Microbiol.* **73**, 622 LP – 629. (doi:10.1128/AEM.01493-06)

61. Enticknap JJ, Kelly M, Peraud O, Hill RT. 2006 Characterization of a culturable
     alphaproteobacterial symbiont common to many marine sponges and evidence for vertical
     transmission via sponge larvae. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 3724–3732.
     (doi:10.1128/AEM.72.5.3724-3732.2006)

62. Erwin PM, Thacker RW. 2007 Incidence and identity of photosynthetic symbionts in
     Caribbean coral reef sponge assemblages. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. United Kingdom* **87**, 1683–
     1692. (doi:10.1017/S0025315407058213)

63. Glasl B, Smith CE, Bourne DG, Webster NS. 2018 Exploring the diversity-stability
     paradigm using sponge microbial communities. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 8425. (doi:10.1038/s41598-
     018-26641-9)

64. Ferrer-Admetlla A *et al.* 2008 Balancing Selection Is the Main Force Shaping the
     Evolution of Innate Immunity Genes. *J. Immunol.* **181**, 1315 LP – 1322.
     (doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.2.1315)

65. Leiva C, Taboada S, Kenny NJ, Combosch DJ, Giribet G, Jombart T, Riesgo A. 2019
     Population substructure and signals of divergent adaptive selection despite admixture in
     the sponge Dendrilla antarctica from shallow waters surrounding the Antarctic Peninsula.
     Mol. Ecol. **28**, 3151–3170.

66. Orton RJ, Sturm OE, Vyshemirsky V, Calder M, Gilbert DR, Kolch W. 2005
     Computational modelling of the receptor-tyrosine-kinase-activated MAPK pathway.
     Biochem. J. **392**, 249–261. (doi:10.1042/BJ20050908)

67. Vos MD, Ellis CA, Bell A, Birrer MJ, Clark GJ. 2000 Ras Uses the Novel Tumor
     Suppressor RASSF1 as an Effector to Mediate Apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 35669–
     35672. (doi:10.1074/jbc.C000463200)

68. Agathanggelou A, Cooper WN, Latif F. 2005 Role of the Ras-Association Domain Family
     1 Tumor Suppressor Gene in Human Cancers. *Cancer Res.* **65**, 3497 LP – 3508.
     (doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-4088)

69. Donninger H, Vos MD, Clark GJ. 2007 The RASSF1A tumor suppressor. *J. Cell Sci.* **120**,

850   3163 LP – 3172. (doi:10.1242/jcs.010389)

70. Stark MS *et al.* 2011 Frequent somatic mutations in MAP3K5 and MAP3K9 in metastatic melanoma identified by exome sequencing. *Nat. Genet.* **44**, 165–169. (doi:10.1038/ng.1041)

71. Coutts AS, MacKenzie E, Griffith E, Black DM. 2003 TES is a novel focal adhesion protein with a role in cell spreading. *J. Cell Sci.* **116**, 897–906. (doi:10.1242/jcs.00278)

72. Manton KJ, Douglas ML, Netzel-Arnett S, Fitzpatrick DR, Nicol DL, Boyd AW, Clements JA, Antalis TM. 2005 Hypermethylation of the 5' CpG island of the gene encoding the serine protease Testisin promotes its loss in testicular tumorigenesis. *Br. J. Cancer* **92**, 760–769. (doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6602373)

73. Li X *et al.* 2014 Histone demethylase KDM5B is a key regulator of genome stability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 7096 LP – 7101. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1324036111)

74. Brachner A *et al.* 2012 The endonuclease Ankle1 requires its LEM and GIY-YIG motifs for DNA cleavage in vivo. *J. Cell Sci.* **125**, 1048–1057. (doi:10.1242/jcs.098392)

75. Dunin-Horkawicz S, Feder M, Bujnicki JM. 2006 Phylogenomic analysis of the GIY-YIG nuclease superfamily. *BMC Genomics* **7**, 98. (doi:10.1186/1471-2164-7-98)

76. Suzuki T, Yasui M, Honma M. 2016 Mutator Phenotype and DNA Double-Strand Break Repair in BLM Helicase-Deficient Human Cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **36**, 2877–2889. (doi:10.1128/MCB.00443-16)

77. Kalinovskaya NI *et al.* 1995 Surfactin-like structures of five cyclic depsipeptides from the marine isolate of Bacillus pumilus. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **44**, 951–955. (doi:10.1007/BF00696935)

78. Prokof'eva NG, Kalinovskaya NI, Luk'yanov PA, Shentsova EB, Kuznetsova TA. 1999 The membranotropic activity of cyclic acyldepsipeptides from bacterium Bacillus pumilus, associated with the marine sponge Ircinia sp. *Toxicon* **37**, 801–813. (doi:10.1016/s0041-0101(98)00219-0)

79. Hardoim CCP, Costa R. 2014 Microbial communities and bioactive compounds in marine sponges of the family Irciniidae-a review. *Mar. Drugs* **12**, 5089–5122. (doi:10.3390/md12105089)

80. Jahn M *et al.* 2019 A Phage Protein Aids Bacterial Symbionts in Eukaryote Immune Evasion. *Cell Host Microbe* **26**. (doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.08.019)

81. González-Morales N, Holenka TK, Schöck F. 2017 Filamin actin-binding and titin-binding fulfill distinct functions in Z-disc cohesion. *PLOS Genet.* **13**, e1006880.

82. Leber Y, Ruparelia AA, Kirfel G, van der Ven PFM, Hoffmann B, Merkel R, Bryson-Richardson RJ, Fürst DO. 2016 Filamin C is a highly dynamic protein associated with fast repair of myofibrillar microdamage. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **25**, 2776–2788. (doi:10.1093/hmg/ddw135)

83. Mao Z, Nakamura F. 2020 Structure and Function of Filamin C in the Muscle Z-Disc. *Int.*
J. Mol. Sci. **21**. (doi:10.3390/ijms21082696)

84. Leys SP, Yahel G, Reidenbach MA, Tunnicliffe V, Shavit U, Reiswig HM. 2011 The
sponge pump: the role of current induced flow in the design of the sponge body plan.
PLoS One **6**, e27787–e27787. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027787)

85. Hoffmann F, Røy H, Bayer K, Hentschel U, Pfannkuchen M, Brümmer F, de Beer D.
2008 Oxygen dynamics and transport in the Mediterranean sponge Aplysina aerophoba.
Mar. Biol. **153**, 1257–1264. (doi:10.1007/s00227-008-0905-3)

86. Guannel G, Arkema K, Ruggiero P, Verutes G. 2016 The Power of Three: Coral Reefs,
Seagrasses and Mangroves Protect Coastal Regions and Increase Their Resilience. *PLoS*
One **11**, e0158094.

87. Müller WEG, Müller IM. 2003 Origin of the Metazoan Immune System: Identification of
the Molecules and Their Functions in Sponges1. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **43**, 281–292.
(doi:10.1093/icb/43.2.281)

88. Wang S, Meyer E, Mckay JK, Matz M V. 2012 2b-RAD: A simple and flexible method
for genome-wide genotyping. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 808–810. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2023)

89. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018 Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics **34**, i884–i890. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)

90. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. 2016 VSEARCH: a versatile open
source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**, e2584–e2584. (doi:10.7717/peerj.2584)

91. Oksanen AJ *et al.* 2016 Vegan: community ecology package.
https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan (doi:10.4135/9781412971874.n145)

92. Schmieder R, Edwards R. 2011 Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic
datasets. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 863–864. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026)

93. Paris JR, Stevens JR, Catchen JM. 2017 Lost in parameter space: a road map for stacks.
Methods Ecol. Evol. **8**, 1360–1373. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12775)

94. Beaumont MA, Nichols RA. 1996 Evaluating Loci for Use in the Genetic Analysis of
Population Structure. *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. B* **263**, 1619–1626.

95. Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, Suchard MA, Rambaut A,
Drummond AJ. 2014 BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis.
PLoS Comput. Biol. **10**, e1003537. (doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537)

96. Bryant D, Bouckaert R, Felsenstein J, Rosenberg NA, Roychoudhury A. 2012 Inferring
species trees directly from biallelic genetic markers : bypassing gene trees in a full
coalescent analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 1917–1932. (doi:10.1093/molbev/mss086)

97. Earl D, vonHoldt BM. 2011 STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for
visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv. Genet.*

Resour. **4**, 359–361.