MetaFunc: Taxonomic and Functional Analyses of High Throughput Sequencing for Microbiomes

AUTHORS:

Arielle Kae Sulit (*Corresponding Author*)

School of Natural and Computational Sciences, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

iel_sulit@yahoo.com

Tyler Kolisnik

School of Natural and Computational Sciences, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

tkolisnik@gmail.com

Frank A Frizelle

Department of Surgery, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand

frank.frizelle@otago.ac.nz

Rachel Purcell

Department of Surgery, University of Otago, Christchurch, New Zealand

rachel.purcell@otago.ac.nz

Sebastian Schmeier

School of Natural and Computational Sciences, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

Evotec SE, Hamburg, Germany

s.schmeier@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: The identification of functional processes taking place in microbiome communities augment traditional microbiome taxonomic studies, giving a more complete picture of interactions taking place within the community. While there are applications that perform functional annotation on metagenomes or metatranscriptomes, very few of these are able to link taxonomic identity to function and are limited by their input types or databases used.

Results: Here we present MetaFunc, a workflow which takes input reads, and from these 1) identifies species present in the microbiome sample and 2) provides gene ontology (GO) annotations associated with the species identified. MetaFunc can also provide a differential abundance analysis step comparing species between sample conditions. In addition, MetaFunc allows mapping of reads to a host genome, and separates these reads, before proceeding with the microbiome analyses. From the host reads, MetaFunc is able to identify host genes, perform differential abundance analysis, and gene-set enrichment analysis. A final correlation analysis between microbial species and host genes can also be performed. Finally, MetaFunc builds an R shiny application that allows users to view and interact with the microbiome results. In this paper we showed how MetaFunc can be applied to metatranscriptomic datasets of colorectal cancer.

Conclusion: MetaFunc is a one-stop shop microbiome analysis pipeline that can identify taxonomies and their respective functional contributions in a microbiome sample through GO annotations. It can also analyse host reads in a microbiome sample, providing

information on host gene expression, and allowing for correlations between the microbiome and host genes. MetaFunc comes with a user-friendly R shiny application that allows for easier visualisation and exploration of its results. MetaFunc is freely available through https://gitlab.com/schmeierlab/ workflows/metafunc.git.

Keywords: *metatranscriptomics, microbiome, functional annotation, host correlation*

Background

Metagenomic or metatranscriptomic studies of microbiome communities allow for characterization of functional contributions as well as taxonomic load, by allowing the identification and quantification of genes possibly contributed by the microbial community. The ability to identify functional processes from the microbiome gives a more complete picture of microbe-microbe and/or microbe-host interactions that drive community dynamics (Langille, 2018).

There are existing bioinformatics programs (Nayfach et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016) that perform functional annotation on metagenomes and metatranscriptomes, but most of these are unable to link taxonomies (the microbes under study) to their respective functional processes. Existing packages with this capacity include PICRUSt and PICRUSt2 (Douglas et al., 2019; Langille et al., 2013), and HUMAnN2 (Franzosa et al., 2018). PICRUSt and PICRUSt2 predict metagenome function by inferring genes present in OTUs based on their phylogenetic similarities to other OTUs with known gene content (Douglas et al., 2019; Langille et al., 2013). However, they do not directly measure the genes involved, but rather rely on 16S gene marker sequences, which, being highly conserved, are useful for the

identification of bacterial genera (Bashiardes et al., 2016; Ternes et al., 2020) and are not present in other microbes aside from Bacteria and Archaea (S. H. Ye et al., 2019). Thus 16S based taxonomic identification, and subsequent functional predictions, may be unsuitable for species level identification, and for recognizing other microbes aside from Bacteria and Archaea. HUMAnN2's taxonomic profiling, meanwhile, is reliant on MetaPhlAn2 (Segata et al., 2012; Truong et al., 2015), which uses clade-specific marker genes from reference genomes. Benchmarking efforts by Ye et al., 2019 highlights the limitations of using the MetaPhlAn2 package that includes non-customizable databases and marker gene-based databases, which results in relatively lower precision and recall in its classification.

To augment such meta-omic studies, we present here a simple, straight-forward pipeline named MetaFunc, a snakemake workflow (Köster & Rahmann, 2012) that maps function to a microbiome (and optionally host) sample. MetaFunc uses Kaiju (Menzel et al., 2016) as its main taxonomic classifier. Kaiju uses protein translations of input reads to generate taxonomic profiles. By generating protein-based classifications using metatranscriptomic reads, MetaFunc is able to determine which microbes are more metabolically active, allowing more focus on the functional contributions of microbes. MetaFunc then uses protein accession numbers from Kaiju results to obtain the set of Gene Ontology (GO) terms associated with the microbiome community. Furthermore, Kaiju outputs provide a direct protein – taxonomy ID relationship that makes it possible for MetaFunc to establish which organisms are contributing to the functional GO terms. MetaFunc also has options for pre-processing of reads before running Kaiju: trimming of input reads with fastp (Chen et al., 2018) can be performed in addition to pre-mapping to a host genome (e.g. human) using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013). The unmapped reads following STAR processing are the input used

by MetaFunc for microbe identification, while host gene expression information can be obtained from STAR-mapped reads. Thus, MetaFunc allows simultaneous investigation of host and microbe community active functional processes, as well as active host genes and microbes.

Implementation

Workflow

Figure 1. MetaFunc Workflow. The workflow uses FASTQ or FASTA as input and processes reads through the microbiome pipeline to give microbial abundance and function (**a**) and/or host gene analysis (**b**) which will first map reads to a host before sending unmapped reads to the microbiome pipeline. Applying host read analysis will give gene expression analysis results as well as host gene-microbial species correlation. Solid boxes indicate steps with an output while dotted boxes indicate intermediate steps in the pipeline. *NR: NCBI Blast nr database*

Figure 1 shows the workflow that takes place within MetaFunc. Paired-end and/or single-end sequencing reads are used as input in fasta or fastq format. If trimming and mapping are not enabled, reads are used as input to Kaiju and subsequent microbiome analyses (**Figure 1a**). If trimming is enabled, reads are trimmed for adapters and quality controls using fastp. If mapping is enabled, either the trimmed reads or raw input reads are first mapped to a designated host genome using STAR. Unmapped reads after host mapping

are then used as input to Kaiju. STAR results are then used to obtain host gene information (**Figure 1b**).

Microbiome Analysis (**Figure 1a**)

MetaFunc parses through Kaiju results and gathers taxonomy IDs of species for taxonomic characterization per sample and their corresponding protein accession numbers, which are subsequently annotated with gene ontology terms.

Taxonomy

Each read matches to a taxonomy ID in Kaiju. MetaFunc gathers the species level matches and adds up the raw reads matching to each species taxonomy ID. In cases of strain level identification, MetaFunc adds this count to its parent species. It also obtains scaled read counts in percentages by dividing the final read count of each taxonomy ID by the total reads that have mapped to species level taxonomies. For a dataset, the pipeline removes any taxonomy ID that is less than 0.001% in abundance in all samples of the dataset; this filter removes thousands of species that are likely to be false positives while retaining more confident classifications. Any remaining false classifications are thought not to affect downstream analyses, as the levels would be too low to impact true abundance (S. H. Ye et al., 2019), however, this value can be adjusted by the user. The taxonomy IDs that have passed the cutoff are then used in subsequent analyses. It should be noted that the pipeline still uses the original scaled percent abundances even after filtering. The pipeline would also include the lineage of the taxonomies using *TaxonKit* (Shen & Ren, 2021).

For a dataset, the MetaFunc pipeline outputs two tables containing species as rows and samples as columns with values being raw read counts or percent abundance for each species in the samples. If the user wishes to compare groups or conditions (e.g. disease state vs control), the pipeline calculates the average percent abundance of species among samples belonging to a group and this table is also given as an output. Differential abundance of microbes between groups is also carried out in MetaFunc using edgeR (McCarthy et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2010). Raw read count tables are first filtered using the function *filterbyExpr* with threshold of 1 which is user-adjustable, and normalization factors are calculated by *calcNormFactors* with default settings. *exactTest* is then applied to calculate differential abundance with *p*-values adjusted using Benjamini & Hochberg correction or False Discovery Rate (FDR).

Proteins

Kaiju outputs the accession number(s) of the protein match(es) with the highest BLOSUM62 alignment score of the read after translation into 6 open reading frames (ORF). It is possible to have more than one best protein match if two or more protein matches have equal scores in Kaiju. In order to account for this, we use proportional read counts per protein accession number where one read is divided by the number of best protein matches it has. Similar to that for taxonomy IDs, the pipeline adds up the proportional read counts per protein accession number of a species. Scaled reads as percent abundances are obtained by dividing the proportional count of each accession number by the total read counts that have mapped to a species.

Metafunc relies on Kaiju's nr_euk database for its taxonomic identification and corresponding protein matches. The nr_euk database is built on a subset from NCBI BLAST *nr* database containing Archaea, Bacteria, Fungi, Viruses, and other Microbial Eukaryotes (see https://raw.githubusercontent.com/bioinformatics-centre/kaiju/master/util/kaiju-taxonlistEuk.tsv). Identical sequences in the *nr* database are compiled into 1 entry and Kaiju only outputs the first protein accession number of an entry that has multiple identical sequences (Menzel et al., 2016). Thus we needed to construct the protein-to-GO database such that all functional terms of any protein compiled in 1 *nr* entry are considered.

To facilitate gene ontology annotations, we constructed an sqlite database in which GO annotations of a protein accession number from Kaiju can be looked up. We first gathered relevant NCBI *nr* database entries, converted all of the proteins of an *nr* entry into UniProt (Huang et al., 2011; The UniProt Consortium, 2017) entries, and then gathered corresponding GO annotations using the Gene Ontology Annotation (GOA) database for all those proteins (Camon et al., 2004). All GO annotations of one *nr* entry are then linked to the first protein of that entry in an sqlite database, which is used to annotate Kaiju protein accession matches with GO IDs. For more detailed information, please see the Notes section of the pipeline's documentation page (https://metafunc.readthedocs.io/en/latest/notes.html). For MetaFunc, we provide pre-made databases for download (Sulit et al., 2021a, 2021b) but users can make their own updated databases following instructions from https://gitlab.com/schmeierlab/metafunc/ metafunc-nrgo.git.

For each sample, the pipeline obtains only the proteins that are from taxonomy IDs that passed cutoffs in the *Taxonomy* section described above. Their scaled proportional read counts, as in the *Proteins* section above, are still scaled against the total number of reads that mapped to a species. In order to compare groups or conditions, the pipeline first calculates the average of the corresponding proportional reads and scaled proportional reads of a protein accession number among samples of a group. It then searches for the GO terms annotating the (*nr*) protein using the created sqlite database described in *Gene Ontology: Database Construction.* Each GO term set annotating an accession number is then updated by accessing parent terms related to the GO terms by *'is_a'* or *'part_of'* using *GOATOOLS* (Klopfenstein et al., 2018). Note that this update takes the entire set of GOs annotating the accession number into consideration such that no GO terms or path/s to the top of the GO directed acyclic graph (DAG) is doubled. GOATOOLS also parses other information regarding the go term such as description, namespace, and depth through the go-basic.obo file (Ashburner et al., 2000). The proportional and scaled read counts are then added to all GO terms annotating a protein, including updated terms. Finally, the percentage of reads covering a GO term within a namespace (Biological Process, Molecular Function, and Cellular Component) is calculated by dividing the scaled read count of a GO term by the total scaled read counts covering a namespace and multiplying by 100. The final output table of the pipeline is a contingency table with GO IDs of all namespaces as rows and samples or groups as columns, with percentage within a namespace as values.

To facilitate exploration of results from MetaFunc, MetaFunc automatically builds an R shiny application, such that users can view and interact with the taxonomy and gene ontology tables. The application allows users to select GO terms and identify the species whose proteins are annotated with the searched for term. Conversely, users may search for a species and obtain all GO terms associated with the searched for species. See the pipeline's documentation page for more information (https://metafunc.readthedocs.io/en/latest/rshiny.html).

Host Analyses (**Figure 1b**)

Many microbiome communities are often associated with a host genome. Reads belonging to the host genome have the capacity to misclassify as microbiome (S. H. Ye et al., 2019) and filtering of host reads has been a part of many microbiome studies, either prior to sequencing or *in silico* (Hugerth & Andersson, 2017; Macklaim & Gloor, 2018; Y. Xia et al., 2018). The MetaFunc pipeline offers the option of mapping reads to a host genome using the program STAR and using the unmapped reads from this step as input to Kaiju for the microbiome analysis.

MetaFunc also allows additional analyses of host reads after STAR mapping. Host genes are quantified using featureCounts (Liao et al., 2014) of the subread package. If comparisons between groups are indicated, edgeR is used to perform differential gene expression analysis (DGEA). Additionally, supplying a gene matrix transposed (*.gmt*) file from e.g. the molecular

signatures database (*GSEA*, n.d.; Liberzon et al., 2011; Subramanian et al., 2005) allows for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of host genes using the clusterProfiler package (Yu et al., 2012).

Host Gene – Microbe Species Correlation

When a comparison between groups is specified, the pipeline also performs Spearman correlation analysis between the top most significant differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and top most significant differentially abundant (DA) microbes. Results of these correlations are summarized in a matrix on which hierarchical clustering is performed and a heatmap is generated using Clustergrammer (Fernandez et al., 2017). Through this heatmap and table, a user can investigate the strength of correlation (*rho*) between a DA microbe and a DEG, and which microbes and genes have similar patterns of correlations.

Tutorial/Manual

For a more detailed description of the workflow, usage instructions, and results, documentation of the MetaFunc pipeline may be found in https://metafunc.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html.

Results (Usage Example)

Dataset PRJNA413956: Matched Colorectal Cancer (CRC) and Adjacent Non-Tumor Tissue

In order to demonstrate the utility of the MetaFunc pipeline, we obtained publicly available transcriptomics data from the study of Li et al., (2018) consisting of 10 tumor and corresponding adjacent non-tumor colorectal tissue samples. Raw sequencing data was downloaded from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE104836 and input to the pipeline and the full workflow carried out, generating data for host, microbiome, and host-microbiome correlation.

Microbiome Results

Taxonomy

The MetaFunc pipeline outputs a table of percent abundances of species that are identified in each sample and an average of these abundances across members of the same group if a grouping condition is applied. We ran the pipeline with the intent of comparing microbiome species and function between colon cancer samples and non-tumor matched samples.

Previous studies have already established that certain microbes associate more with colorectal cancer samples compared to healthy controls. We searched for *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Parvimonas micra,* and *Porphyromonas asaccharolytica* in the averaged group results. These microbes have previously been found to be more abundant in colorectal

cancer cohorts in meta-analyses of several datasets (Dai et al., 2018; Thomas et al., 2019). We also searched for *Bifidobacterium* species, *B. bifidum* and *B. longum*; *Bifidobacteria* are thought to confer protection from colorectal cancer (Wei et al., 2018).

The bars in **Figure 2A** show the average percent abundance of the species between samples from tumor and matched non-tumor tissue as identified through MetaFunc. As MetaFunc provides a per sample data, we are also able to plot individual values of CRC (red) and matched normal (blue) samples.

As seen in **Figure 2A**, MetaFunc identified *F. nucleatum, P. micra,* and *P. asaccharolytica* as being relatively more abundant in the CRC group while the *Bifidobacterium* species are relatively more abundant in the normal group.

MetaFunc also has a step that utilizes edgeR to perform differential abundance on per sample species read counts, stratified according to CRC and non-tumor grouping. This resulted in a total of 117 species that were significantly different between the groups (*FDR < 0.05*). There are 59 species upregulated and 58 downregulated in colon cancer samples. Through the MetaFunc results, we identified *Tanerella forsythia* as the most prominent enriched species in the colon cancer cohort with a $log_2 FC = 7.40$. *T. forsythia* is a known oral pathogen, thought to be part of the so-called Red complex of periodontal pathogens, along with *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, and *Treponema denticola* (Malinowski et al., 2019). Members of this Red Complex have been found to be enriched in subtype CMS1 of colorectal cancers (Purcell et al., 2017), the subtype most associated with immune process activation in CRC (Dienstmann et al., 2017; Guinney et al., 2015; Inamura, 2018).

MetaFunc is intended to enable comparisons of the functional potential of the microbiome between groups. MetaFunc uses gene ontology annotations of protein matches from Kaiju. To demonstrate, we focused on polyamine biosynthetic processes GO terms. Polyamines (PAs) are polycations found to play important biological functions in cell growth. These molecules have been found to be associated with tumor progression and growth (Gerner & Meyskens, 2004; Soda, 2011; Tofalo et al., 2019). Although cells are able to biosynthesize polyamines and even export them, a large source of cellular polyamines comes from uptake from their surroundings and, importantly, the microbiota is thought to be an essential source (Soda, 2011; Thomas et al., 2019; Tofalo et al., 2019) with spermidine and putrescine being the most common of bacterial PAs (Tofalo et al., 2019).

The bars in **Figure 2B** show the percent of reads among biological process GOs covering PA biosynthetic processes in the Colorectal Cancer and Normal conditions, superimposed with the individual values of samples from the CRC (red) and Normal (blue) groups. From **Figure 2B**, we saw that several of the polyamine biosynthetic processes were relatively more abundant in the colorectal cancer cohort compared to the normal cohort, using protein annotations.

We used the built-in MetaFunc shiny application to facilitate an inquiry into the microbes species that may contribute to polyamine synthesis. To illustrate, we searched for *'polyamine biosynthetic process'* in the 'GO to TaxIDs' tab of the application, and obtained a total of 126 TaxIDs contributing to the GO term in both colorectal cancer and normal samples. Of these TaxIDs, we identified *E.coli* and *B. fragilis* to be most abundant in both cohorts. However,

differences in relative abundance of some microbial species can be identified between cancer and normal cohorts, notably several of which are oral pathogens from the genus *Prevotella*. A striking difference in abundance was seen in *Tannerella forsythia,* which was previously found to be significantly more abundant in the colorectal cancer cohort via edgeR (**Figure 2C**). These data suggest that *T. forsythia* represents one of the bacterial species that most contributes to increased polyamine synthesis in CRC samples in this cohort.

Host Results

The dataset we used for this study was from a total RNA transcriptomics run aiming to identify long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) and mRNAs in colorectal cancer samples (Li et al., 2018). Therefore, we first mapped the reads to the human genome using the STAR mapping utility of the pipeline, subsequently using only the unmapped reads for the microbiome analyses. From the reads mapped to the human genome, MetaFunc was able to obtain counts of reads covering human genes and using these, obtained differentially expressed genes between CRC and matched normal samples through edgeR. MetaFunc results showed a total of 1476 differentially expressed genes with an *FDR < 0.05* and $|\log_2 \text{fold change}| > 2$. From these, we found all the top 5 upregulated and top 5 downregulated genes as reported in the source publication (Li et al., 2018). **Figure 2D** shows their fold change as found through MetaFunc.

A.

Legend: ● Colorectal Cancer ● Normal

y-axis: $\log_2(\%\text{abundance} + 1)$

x-axis categories: F. nucleatum, P. micra, P. asaccharolytica, B. bifidum, B. longum

B.

Legend: ● Colorectal Cancer ● Normal, ▭ % Abundance (grouped)

y-axis: % Biological Process Abundance

x-axis categories: spermidine biosynthetic process, putrescine biosynthetic process, nor-spermidine biosynthetic process, polyamine biosynthetic process

C.

Count Data Filtered by Selected Gene Ontology Data

Number of Rows Selected: 0

[Download Table]

Search: [ ]

URL	TaxID	Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	RootTaxon	coloncancer	normal
	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All
↗	562	Bacteria	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Enterobacterales	Enterobacteriaceae	Escherichia	Escherichia coli	Bacteria	11.7551041733159	11.0502634186218
↗	817	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Bacteroidaceae	Bacteroides	Bacteroides fragilis	Bacteria	1.04403161769047	1.4181119558471
↗	853	Bacteria	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Ruminococcaceae	Faecalibacterium	Faecalibacterium prausnitzii	Bacteria	0.475194063032865	0.818472695200254
↗	946362	Eukaryota		Choanoflagellata	Craspedida	Salpingoecidae	Salpingoeca	Salpingoeca rosetta	Choanoflagellata	0.374913008288458	0.326644451330982
↗	81824	Eukaryota		Choanoflagellata	Craspedida	Salpingoecidae	Monosiga	Monosiga brevicollis	Choanoflagellata	0.353645577827978	0.358366001836302
↗	310297	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Bacteroidaceae	Bacteroides	Bacteroides plebeius	Bacteria	0.218799295514136	0.201263029294348
↗	33038	Bacteria	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Lachnospiraceae	Blautia	[Ruminococcus] gnavus	Bacteria	0.205298456673208	0.317319126538628
↗	28133	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Prevotellaceae	Prevotella	Prevotella nigrescens	Bacteria	0.153586533112536	0.0762565768048802
↗	28112	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Tannerellaceae	Tannerella	Tannerella forsythia	Bacteria	0.138765678697878	0.000584318432747322
↗	28131	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Prevotellaceae	Prevotella	Prevotella intermedia	Bacteria	0.132903835732265	0.0823035313579517
↗	456999	Eukaryota	Basidiomycota	Agaricomycetes	Cantharellales	Ceratobasidiaceae	Rhizoctonia	Rhizoctonia solani	Fungi	0.112772169685706	0.0998314530647179
↗	1890364	Eukaryota	Evosea	Variosea	Cavosteliida	Cavosteliaceae	Planoprotostelium	Planoprotostelium fungivorum	Amoebozoa	0.0909648401208991	0.0674311701494395
↗	76122	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Prevotellaceae	Alloprevotella	Alloprevotella tannerae	Bacteria	0.0856919932771094	0.080005310091407
↗	281847	Eukaryota	Cryptomycota					Rozella	Rozella allomycis	Fungi	0.0738102043590749	0.0796423984628895
↗	712469	Bacteria	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidia	Bacteroidales	Prevotellaceae	Alloprevotella	Prevotella sp. oral taxon 473	Bacteria	0.0704797703512866	0.00816876553491333

D. Fold Change of Differentially Abundant Genes (CRC/Normal)

Genes (top to bottom): CA7, RERGL, KRT24, OTOP2, ADIPOQ, SLCO1B3, CST1, MMP7, TCN1, REG1B

x-axis: $\log_2$ fold change (-10, -5, 0, 5, 10)

Figure 2. MetaFunc Microbiome and Host Analyses of Dataset PRJNA413956. A. Average percent abundance of selected bacterial species in CRC and matched normal samples. Individual values are shown in red (CRC) and blue (Normal), while means of groups are seen as bars. **B. Percent abundance in biological process GOs of polyamine biosynthetic processes in CRC and normal groups.** Individual values are shown in red (CRC) and blue (Normal); bars depict the abundance when grouping conditions are indicated. **C. Screenshot from MetaFunc R Shiny Application.** This view shows the first 15 species with proteins contributing to the GO *Polyamine Biosynthetic Process*. Columns show a URL, which is linked to the NCBI's Taxonomy Browser, the Species Taxonomy ID, Lineage, Root Taxonomy, and percent abundances of the species in the two groups being compared: CRC and normal samples. Note that percent abundances refer to the total abundance of the species in question, not just the proteins contributing to the GO term. Results shown are sorted from highest to lowest percent abundance in the colon cancer cohort. **D. Fold change of top upregulated and downregulated human genes** (Li et al., 2018) between CRC and matched normal samples as found in this study through MetaFunc.

MetaFunc is also able to perform host gene set enrichment analysis using the differentially expressed genes. Significant gene sets (*p.adjust < 0.05*) with the highest normalized positive enrichment scores (NES) included such terms as ribosome biogenesis, DNA replication, mitotic nuclear division, and condensed chromosome (see **Supplementary Table 1**), many of which appear to be related to cell division or replication, consistent with the findings of Li et al., (2018) that the upregulated lncRNAs they found were involved in mitosis, cell cycle process, and mitotic cell cycle.

Host – Microbiome Correlations

We set MetaFunc's default abundance cutoff for microbial identification to 0.001% to remove most probable contaminants and so as not to lose any other meaningful taxonomies. It has been shown in a prior study (S. H. Ye et al., 2019), however, that most classifiers call false positives at below 0.01% abundance. We therefore applied this 0.01% cutoff in looking at the host-microbiome correlations in this dataset to narrow our focus on microbes that are more likely to be involved in our test case.

In using the 0.01% cutoff, MetaFunc was able to only identify 19 differentially abundant microbes. Their correlations with the top 100 significantly abundant genes can be seen at the URL: http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/clustergrammer/viz/5f02a49e8ec9bb33170b865c/cor.deg-tax.matrix.tsv. **Table 1** highlights some notable correlations between differentially abundant microbes and differentially expressed human genes. *T. forsythia*, although significantly abundant in CRC samples, do not correlate significantly with any DEGs in CRC.

Among its highest correlations however included the gene Colorectal Neoplasia Differentially Expressed (CRNDE).

Conversely, we investigated which species correlated with CRNDE. The highest correlations were with microbes *C. lusitaniae, C. necator,* and *S. pyogenes.* All correlations were determined to be significant. The same species were among the highest correlations of TCN1, and WNT2. TCN1 was among the top DEGs in cancer identified in this study as well as in M. Li et al., 2018. WNT2 meanwhile is part of the *Wnt/β-catenin* pathway, which has roles in cell proliferation, cell migration, and cell differentiation. WNT2 is responsible for hyperactivation of β-catenin and is known to be upregulated in CRC (Jung et al., 2015).

Table 1. Spearman Correlation Between DA Microbes and DGEs in CRC					
Gene Name	Gene ID	TaxID	Species	rho	p-value
CRNDE	ENSG00000245694.10	28112	Tannerella forsythia	0.29	0.22
		36911	Clavispora lusitaniae	0.70	0.00063
		106590	Cupriavidus necator	0.65	0.0019
		1314	Streptococcus pyogenes	0.63	0.0027
TCN1	ENSG00000134827.8	106590	Cupriavidus necator	0.71	0.00042
		36911	Clavispora lusitaniae	0.61	0.0045
		1314	Streptococcus pyogenes	0.60	0.0048
WNT2	ENSG00000105989.10	1314	Streptococcus pyogenes	0.84	4.07E-06
		106590	Cupriavidus necator	0.75	0.00015
		36911	Clavispora lusitaniae	0.75	0.00016

Dataset PRJNA4040030: Consensus Molecular Subtypes (CMS) of CRC Samples

To illustrate MetaFunc's capacity to compare more than two sample groups, we used MetaFunc to analyse transcriptome reads from the study of Purcell et al., (2017) (raw reads

may be accessed at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA404030), which are grouped into four CRC consensus molecular subtypes (CMS). A total of 33 samples were collected during surgical resection of tumors, and sample preparation for RNA sequencing was carried out using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Library preparation kit. For this sample SolexaQA++ (Cox et al., 2010) was used to trim reads, which were then run through Salmon (Patro et al., 2017) to quantify transcript expression. The publicly available CRC CMS classifier (Guinney et al., 2015) was used to categorize samples into one of four CMSs. Of the 33 samples, only 27 were classified into a CMS and of these, only one sample was classified into CMS4. This sample was also removed from the dataset for lack of replicates leaving a total of 26 samples − 7 samples in CMS1, 11 in CMS2, and 8 in CMS3. Metafunc was used with default parameters, except for the following options: trimming was set to false, and featureCounts with reverse stranded option was used.

Microbiome Results

Taxonomy

MetaFunc performed pairwise differential abundance analysis on the three groups using edgeR. From MetaFunc's results, we considered a species to be significantly abundant in a subtype if it is significantly abundant compared to both of the other subtypes. For instance, a significantly abundant species in CMS1 must be significantly abundant in the CMS1 vs CMS2 and CMS1 vs CMS3 comparisons. Using this definition, only CMS1 had species that were significantly abundant (*FDR <0.05*) compared to both CMS2 and CMS3. **Figure 3A** shows the False Discovery Rate (FDR; diamonds) and $\log_2$ fold change (bars) of the species in CMS1 compared to CMS2 (blue) and CMS3 (brown).

We take note of species in the genera *Prevotella* and *Fusobacterium,* which have previously been associated with colorectal cancer. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* in particular has strong evidence of an association with CRC (Dai et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2015; X. Ye et al., 2017). Most of these are also members of the oral microbiota, which have also previously been associated with cancer development particularly through inflammatory processes (Whitmore & Lamont, 2014). We found no species that were significantly abundant in CMS2 or CMS3 using the given criteria.

Function

Through the microbiome functional results of MetaFunc, we then investigated if processes relating to pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) were contributed by the microbial communities, considering that CMS1 is characterized by immune responses, which are usually triggered when the human immune system recognizes such molecules. We used the MetaFunc R shiny application to search for terms *'lipopolysaccharide biosynthetic process', 'lipid A biosynthetic process',* and *'peptidoglycan biosynthetic process',* and their relative abundances. Unsurprisingly, all PAMPs were relatively more abundant in CMS1 (**Figure 3B**).

Using the MetaFunc R shiny application, we also searched for which species might be contributing to the above terms. **Figure 3C** is a screencap of the application showing the species contributing to any of the terms in **Figure 3B**. **Figure 3C** is arranged from highest to lowest relative abundance in CMS1 and we saw microbes that were among those identified

to be significantly abundant in CMS1 such as *Fusobacterium nucleatum, Prevotella timonensis* , and *Hungatella hathewayi*.

Figure 3. MetaFunc Microbiome Analysis of Dataset PRNJA4040030. A. Microbes that are significantly more abundant (*FDR < 0.05*) in CMS1 compared to CMS2 (blue) and CMS3 (brown). Log2FC (left y-axis) is the log$_2$ of the fold-change between CMS1 and the other subtypes (e.g. CMS1/CMS2); FDR is the false discovery rate adjusted p-values. Bar heights are measured against the left y-axis (log2FC) while points are measured against the right y-axis (FDR). **B. Relative abundances of PAMPs biosynthetic processes among BP GOs in different CRC subtypes.** Individual values are shown in red (CMS1), blue (CMS2), and brown (CMS3); bars depict values among subtypes when grouping conditions are applied. **C. Screenshot of R shiny application showing the relative abundances of species associated with PAMPs biosynthetic processes compared among CMS1, CMS2, and CMS3.**

Gene Set Expression Analysis

MetaFunc calculated differentially expressed genes between subtypes in a pairwise manner (i.e. CMS1 vs CMS2, CMS1 vs CMS3, CMS2 vs CMS3). From the DEGs of the results, MetaFunc was also able to calculate enriched gene sets for each comparison. Similar to identifying DA microbes, we obtained a final set of enriched gene sets for a subtype if it showed enrichment compared to both other subtypes (*p.adjust < 0.05*). Unsurprisingly, we saw several GO terms involved in immune response enriched in CMS1, including regulation of innate immune response, response to interferon gamma, and positive regulation of cytokine production among others. Enriched GOs in CMS2 are involved in the cell cycle and and ribosome biogenesis, with terms such as tRNA metabolic process, ribosomal large subunit biogenesis, and DNA replication initiation, while GOs enriched in CMS3 involve metabolic processes, e.g. primary xenobiotic metabolic process, flavonoid metabolic process, and lipid catabolic process. These results are consistent with the description of these three CRC subtypes in the original CMS study (Guinney et al., 2015). The top enriched gene sets for each subtype can be found in **Supplementary Tables 2-7**.

Host – Microbiome Results

Next, using correlation results from MetaFunc, we investigated which of the top significantly differentially expressed genes correlated with the significantly abundant microbes in CMS1. We obtained the following statistically significant correlations between host and microbiome abundances shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Spearman Correlation Between DA Microbes in CMS1 and DGEs in CMS1					
Gene Name	Gene ID	TaxID	Species	rho	p-value
WARS1	ENSG00000140105.18	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.59	0.0015
WARS1	ENSG00000140105.18	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.55	0.0035
RNF213	ENSG00000173821.19	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.54	0.0048
ICAM1	ENSG00000090339.9	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.50	0.01
RNF213	ENSG00000173821.19	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.50	0.01
PARP14	ENSG00000173193.15	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.47	0.02
PARP14	ENSG00000173193.15	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.47	0.02
PARP9	ENSG00000138496.16	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.46	0.02
ICAM1	ENSG00000090339.9	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.46	0.02
SLC15A3	ENSG00000110446.11	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.46	0.02
STAT1	ENSG00000115415.19	386414	*Prevotella timonensis*	0.44	0.02
CD163	ENSG00000177575.12	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.42	0.03
PARP14	ENSG00000173193.15	386414	*Prevotella timonensis*	0.42	0.03
CD163	ENSG00000177575.12	386414	*Prevotella timonensis*	0.42	0.03
ICAM1	ENSG00000090339.9	386414	*Prevotella timonensis*	0.41	0.04
PARP9	ENSG00000138496.16	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.41	0.04
CD163	ENSG00000177575.12	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.41	0.04
SLC15A3	ENSG00000110446.11	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.40	0.04
STAT1	ENSG00000115415.19	851	*Fusobacterium nucleatum*	0.40	0.04
PML	ENSG00000140464.20	1802307	*Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*	0.39	0.05
GBP1	ENSG00000117228.10	28448	*Komagataeibacter xylinus*	-0.39	0.05
CEBPA	ENSG00000245848.3	154046	*Hungatella hathewayi*	-0.41	0.04
GNLY	ENSG00000115523.16	28448	*Komagataeibacter xylinus*	-0.43	0.03

Some of these correlations may be found in

http://maayanlab.cloud/clustergrammer/viz/610d8b3c97f268000ea37f41/cor.deg-tax.matri

x.tsv. This is the hierarchical cluster obtained when correlating top DA microbes and top DGEs in CMS1 compared to CMS2. It is to be noted that there may be correlations in this clustering that are not found in CMS1 compared to CMS3 and are therefore not reported in **Table 2**.

The Spearman correlations (*rho*) between DA microbes and DGEs were quite small in value (the highest value being ~ |0.59| between WARS1 and *Candidatus Taylorbacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_02_FULL_43_55*). Nevertheless, several of the genes appeared to have relevant function with regards to CRC and immune responses. **Table 3** shows information for genes that correlated with *Fusobacteria* and *Prevotella* species in our analyses. These 2 microorganisms have previously been associated with CRC.

Gene Name	Protein Name	Relevant Protein/Gene Function	Association with CRC and/or Inflammation	Sources
ICAM1	Intercellular adhesion molecule 1	Mediates cell adhesion of cytotoxic T lymphocytes and natural killer cells	upregulation of ICAM1 inhibits tumor growth and metastasis; a soluble form (sICAM1) is increased in CRC tissues compared to normal, and is associated with an inflammatory tumor microenvironment	Sánchez-Rovira et al., 1998; Schellerer et al., 2019; Tachimori et al., 2005
SLC15A3	Solute carrier (SLC) 15A3	Membrane transporter; highly expressed in macrophage populations	Upregulated by LPS via NF-κβ pathway; influences pro-inflammatory cytokine production triggered by TLR-4	Wang et al., 2014 Song et al., 2018
CD163	CD163 receptor	M2 Macrophage marker	M2 macrophages are anti-inflammatory macrophages and CD163+ tumor-associated macrophages are with mesenchymal transition and poor prognosis in CRC; is correlated with CCL4	Argyle and Kitamura, 2018; Bayoumi et al., 2016; De la Fuente López et al., 2018; Pinto et al., 2019
STAT1	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1	Transcription factor for IFN signalling	upregulated in CRCs; correlated with PD-L1 and PD1 immune checkpoint inhibitors; pro-oncogenic in MSI CRCs	Leon-Cabrera et al., 2018; Tanaka et al., 2020
PARP 9	Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 9	Involved in cell migration	possible role in metastasis	Vyas and Chang, 2014
PARP 14	Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 14	Involved in IL-4 signalling and cell migration	involved in anti-apoptotic effects	Vyas and Chang, 2014
RNF213	Ring Finger Protein 213	Involved in PI3K-AKT pathway for cell growth	involved in endothelial angiogenesis	Ohkubo et al., 2015
WARS1	Tryptophanyl-TRNA Synthetase 1	Inhibitor of angiogenesis; Involved in IFN-g signalling	involved in immune responses; cleaved form potentially inhibits angiogenesis; increased levels indicate better CRC survival	Ghanipour et al., 2009; Jin, 2019

Table 3. Gene Information of DEGs correlated with DA Microbes in CMS1.

Comparison of Kaiju Results to Humann2

Figure 4. Percentage (%) of reads classified using Humann2 and MetaFunc pipeline using Kaiju as distributed across 20 samples.

Humann2 (Franzosa et al., 2018) is one of the packages most frequently used to assess functional pathways of the microbiome, and to ascertain which organisms are contributing to the functional pathways. Humann2 works by using MetaPhlAn2 to pre-screen for taxonomic classifications on which the database for gene hits will be based. MetaPhlAn2 has a rather limited database for pre-screening of organisms (S. H. Ye et al., 2019), resulting in a high level of unmapped reads and limited number of organisms identified.

We ran the same sequencing reads from the study PRJNA413956 (Li et al., 2018) through Humann2, first trimming with fastp and removing human-mapped reads using the same conditions as for the MetaFunc pipeline. To be more comparable, we changed the pre-screen threshold of Humann2 to 0.001% of mapped reads. Results show that for the 20 samples analyzed, 8.4% – 22.9% of reads mapped after nucleotide and protein alignment steps. In contrast, using Kaiju in the MetaFunc pipeline resulted in 33.8% – 56.2% reads mapped to microbial species through protein matches (**Figure 4**).

We also detected only 87 species across the 20 samples using Humann2, compared with a total of 4267 species using Kaiju in the MetaFunc pipeline.

As raw read counts that are scaled to species-classified reads as percent abundance are used in MetaFunc, while Humann2 uses reads-per-kilobase (RPK), it is difficult to compare results from Humann2 and MetaFunc directly.

Discussion

MetaFunc allowed us to investigate the relative abundances of known CRC – associated bacteria between CRC samples and matched normal tissues using the *PRJNA413956* dataset. MetaFunc results showed that microbes known to contribute to CRC progression are relatively more abundant in cancer samples while those protective in CRC are relatively more abundant in the normal samples. Through MetaFunc, we also identified that *Tannerella forsythia*, a known oral pathogen and part of the Red Complex that causes periodontal diseases (Malinowski et al., 2019), is significantly more abundant in CRC tissues than in normal tissues. Oral pathogens have previously been seen to associate with CRC samples (Flemer et al., 2018; Koliarakis et al., 2019; Thomas et al., 2019; Whitmore & Lamont, 2014). By investigating the R shiny application from MetaFunc, we also found that *T. forsythia,* along with bacteria in the *Prevotella* genera, contributed to polyamine biosynthetic processes indicating that some oral pathogens contribute to cancer progression by producing polyamines that could be taken up by the surrounding cells.

Furthermore, we were able to find known bacteria in the MSI-Immune subset of CRCs by identifying the DA microbes in CMS1 compared to both CMS2 and CMS3 subtypes, as identified by MetaFunc's edgeR step. *Fusobacteria* have long been associated with colorectal cancer development (Dai et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2019; X. Ye et al., 2017) while *Prevotella* includes species that inhabit the oral cavity; there have also been *Prevotella* species that were found to be abundant in CRC cohorts (Dai et al., 2018; Flemer et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2015). In line with this, PAMPs were also found to be relatively more abundant in the CMS1 cohort upon investigation through MetaFunc's R shiny application. The involvement of these bacteria in CMS1 as well as a relatively higher abundance of proteins contributing to biosynthesis of PAMPs in CMS1 indicate a role of microorganisms in the immune responses that drive the development of CRC in these tumors. This is further supported by correlation with host genes involved in inflammation and/or CRC development as found using MetaFunc's spearman correlation step. The lack of significantly abundant microorganisms in CMS2 and CMS3 may reflect that the CRC development in these subtypes are not as dependent on immune dysregulation.

We created MetaFunc with the aim of identifying microbes and their functional contribution in a microbiome environment. One of the most widely used packages for this is Humann2 (Franzosa et al., 2018) but we find the taxonomic identification generated by Humann2 to be limited, because of its reliance on marker genes. We do acknowledge that, especially at the 0.001% abundance cutoff, some of these species we are seeing could be false positives, or that these could be contaminants from sequencing and processing kits used (Goffau et al., 2018; Salter et al., 2014). We would caution users in interpreting data from microbes of very low abundances and would recommend following Salter and Colleagues' (2014) advice of

including negative control samples in sequencing. Indeed we could be seeing these effects upon looking at the microbes correlating with significantly abundant host genes in CRC samples from *PRJNA413956*. While *C. lusitaniae* is an opportunistic pathogen causing candidemia (Desnos-Ollivier et al., 2011; Krcmery et al., 1999) possibly exploiting the lowered immune responses in cancer patients (Aslani et al., 2018), and some *Streptococcus* species have previously been implicated in CRC (Kumar et al., 2017; X. Xia et al., 2020), with *S. pyogenes* having been known to cause invasive infections in humans (Parks et al., 2015), *Cupriavidus necator* (formerly known as *Ralstonia eutropha* (Reinecke & Steinbüchel, 2009), is a soil bacterium that may be a sequencing contaminant in this dataset. *Cupriavidus* and *Ralstonia* species have been previously identified as common contaminants in meta-omics studies (Guo et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2014).

MetaFunc analyzes host and microbiome reads, providing a user-friendly, interactive R-shiny application to investigate results, most useful for those with candidate microbes and function in mind, or for exploratory analyses of the characteristics of a user's dataset. Downstream analysis, such as differential abundance of microbes, can also facilitate parsing of tables in the shiny application. Its results also provide potential starting points for more in-depth analyses or hypothesis generation for experimental procedures. Results in *'.tsv'* formats are also provided for use in other downstream bioinformatics applications.

While this method was developed for a metatranscriptomic dataset, it is also suitable for metagenomic data input. As Kaiju (Menzel et al., 2016) identifies a single best protein match (or multiple matches with equal scores) of a read, we recommend its usage for short-read

datasets. An exception could be made for long read sets in which the user is certain an input read will only span one protein.

We used the MetaFunc pipeline to compare genes and microbes between or among groups, but exploratory analyses of datasets from single groups can also be carried out.

Conclusion

Here we presented MetaFunc, a pipeline that is a one-stop shop for analyzing host and microbiome sequencing reads and their relationships. We found that we identified more microbes in our test datasets using MetaFunc compared to Humann2. We have used MetaFunc to determine that microbes previously known to have associations with CRC are indeed relatively more abundant in CRC samples compared to normal samples. Furthermore, we were able to use MetaFunc to highlight that these microorganisms could contribute to CRC progression through polyamine production.

For a dataset with more than two groups, we have also used MetaFunc to identify abundant bacteria in a CRC subtype associated with immune responses, while conversely, we have not been able to identify significant microbes in the other CRC subtypes. MetaFunc's spearman correlation step showed that the significant bacteria correlate with human DEGs that function in immune responses and CRC progression. We showed that MetaFunc was able to identify candidate microorganisms that differentiate sample groups and provide insight on the functional capacities of these candidates

References

Argyle, D., & Kitamura, T. (2018). Targeting Macrophage-Recruiting Chemokines as a Novel

    Therapeutic Strategy to Prevent the Progression of Solid Tumors. *Frontiers in*

    Immunology, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02629

Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., Davis, A. P.,

    Dolinski, K., Dwight, S. S., Eppig, J. T., Harris, M. A., Hill, D. P., Issel-Tarver, L.,

    Kasarskis, A., Lewis, S., Matese, J. C., Richardson, J. E., Ringwald, M., Rubin, G.

    M., & Sherlock, G. (2000). Gene Ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. *Nature*

    Genetics, *25*(1), 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/75556

Aslani, N., Janbabaei, G., Abastabar, M., Meis, J. F., Babaeian, M., Khodavaisy, S.,

    Boekhout, T., & Badali, H. (2018). Identification of uncommon oral yeasts from cancer

    patients by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *18*(1).

    https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2916-5

Bashiardes, S., Zilberman-Schapira, G., & Elinav, E. (2016). Use of Metatranscriptomics in

    Microbiome Research. *Bioinformatics and Biology Insights*, *10*, 19–25.

    https://doi.org/10.4137/BBI.S34610

Bayoumi, A., Sayed, A., Broskova, Z., Teoh, J.-P., Wilson, J., Su, H., Tang, Y.-L., & Kim, I.

    (2016). Crosstalk between Long Noncoding RNAs and MicroRNAs in Health and

    Disease. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *17*(3), 356.

    https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17030356

Camon, E., Magrane, M., Barrell, D., Lee, V., Dimmer, E., Maslen, J., Binns, D., Harte, N.,

    Lopez, R., & Apweiler, R. (2004). The Gene Ontology Annotation (GOA) Database:

    Sharing knowledge in Uniprot with Gene Ontology. *Nucleic Acids Research*,

    32(Database issue), D262–D266. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh021

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., & Gu, J. (2018). fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ

preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*, *34*(17), i884–i890.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Cox, M. P., Peterson, D. A., & Biggs, P. J. (2010). SolexaQA: At-a-glance quality assessment

of Illumina second-generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *11*(1), 485.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-485

Dai, Z., Coker, O. O., Nakatsu, G., Wu, W. K. K., Zhao, L., Chen, Z., Chan, F. K. L.,

Kristiansen, K., Sung, J. J. Y., Wong, S. H., & Yu, J. (2018). Multi-cohort analysis of

colorectal cancer metagenome identified altered bacteria across populations and

universal bacterial markers. *Microbiome*, *6*(1).

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0451-2

De la Fuente López, M., Landskron, G., Parada, D., Dubois-Camacho, K., Simian, D.,

Martinez, M., Romero, D., Roa, J. C., Chahuán, I., Gutiérrez, R., Lopez-K, F.,

Alvarez, K., Kronberg, U., López, S., Sanguinetti, A., Moreno, N., Abedrapo, M.,

González, M.-J., Quera, R., & Hermoso-R, M. A. (2018). The relationship between

chemokines CCL2, CCL3, and CCL4 with the tumor microenvironment and

tumor-associated macrophage markers in colorectal cancer. *Tumor Biology*, *40*(11),

1010428318810059. https://doi.org/10.1177/1010428318810059

Desnos-Ollivier, M., Moquet, O., Chouaki, T., Guérin, A.-M., & Dromer, F. (2011).

Development of Echinocandin Resistance in Clavispora lusitaniae during

Caspofungin Treatment. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, *49*(6), 2304–2306.

https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00325-11

Dienstmann, R., Vermeulen, L., Guinney, J., Kopetz, S., Tejpar, S., & Tabernero, J. (2017).

Consensus molecular subtypes and the evolution of precision medicine in colorectal

cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, *17*(2), 79–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.126

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson,

M., & Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.

Bioinformatics, *29*(1), 15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Douglas, G. M., Maffei, V. J., Zaneveld, J., Yurgel, S. N., Brown, J. R., Taylor, C. M.,

Huttenhower, C., & Langille, M. G. I. (2019). PICRUSt2: An improved and extensible approach for metagenome inference. *BioRxiv*, 672295. https://doi.org/10.1101/672295

Fernandez, N. F., Gundersen, G. W., Rahman, A., Grimes, M. L., Rikova, K., Hornbeck, P., & Ma'ayan, A. (2017). Clustergrammer, a web-based heatmap visualization and analysis tool for high-dimensional biological data. *Scientific Data*, *4*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.151

Flemer, B., Warren, R. D., Barrett, M. P., Cisek, K., Das, A., Jeffery, I. B., Hurley, E., O'Riordain, M., Shanahan, F., & O'Toole, P. W. (2018). The oral microbiota in colorectal cancer is distinctive and predictive. *Gut*, *67*(8), 1454–1463. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314814

Franzosa, E. A., McIver, L. J., Rahnavard, G., Thompson, L. R., Schirmer, M., Weingart, G., Lipson, K. S., Knight, R., Caporaso, J. G., Segata, N., & Huttenhower, C. (2018). Species-level functional profiling of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes. *Nature Methods*, *15*(11), 962. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-018-0176-y

Gao, Z., Guo, B., Gao, R., Zhu, Q., & Qin, H. (2015). Microbiota disbiosis is associated with colorectal cancer. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00020

Gerner, E. W., & Meyskens, F. L. (2004). Polyamines and cancer: Old molecules, new understanding. *Nature Reviews. Cancer*, *4*(10), 781–792. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1454

Ghanipour, A., Jirström, K., Pontén, F., Glimelius, B., Påhlman, L., & Birgisson, H. (2009). The Prognostic Significance of Tryptophanyl-tRNA Synthetase in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers*, *18*(11), 2949–2956. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0456

Goffau, M. C. de, Lager, S., Salter, S. J., Wagner, J., Kronbichler, A., Charnock-Jones, D. S., Peacock, S. J., Smith, G. C. S., & Parkhill, J. (2018). Recognizing the reagent microbiome. *Nature Microbiology*, *3*(8), 851–853.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0202-y

GSEA. (n.d.). Retrieved May 20, 2020, from https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp

Guinney, J., Dienstmann, R., Wang, X., de Reyniès, A., Schlicker, A., Soneson, C., Marisa, L., Roepman, P., Nyamundanda, G., Angelino, P., Bot, B. M., Morris, J. S., Simon, I. M., Gerster, S., Fessler, E., de Sousa e Melo, F., Missiaglia, E., Ramay, H., Barras, D., … Tejpar, S. (2015). The Consensus Molecular Subtypes of Colorectal Cancer. *Nature Medicine*, *21*(11), 1350–1356. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3967

Guo, M., Xu, E., & Ai, D. (2019). Inferring Bacterial Infiltration in Primary Colorectal Tumors From Host Whole Genome Sequencing Data. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00213

Huang, H., McGarvey, P. B., Suzek, B. E., Mazumder, R., Zhang, J., Chen, Y., & Wu, C. H. (2011). A comprehensive protein-centric ID mapping service for molecular data integration. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(8), 1190–1191. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr101

Hugerth, L. W., & Andersson, A. F. (2017). Analysing Microbial Community Composition through Amplicon Sequencing: From Sampling to Hypothesis Testing. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01561

Inamura, K. (2018). Colorectal Cancers: An Update on Their Molecular Pathology. *Cancers*, *10*(1), 26. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10010026

Jin, M. (2019). Unique roles of tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase in immune control and its therapeutic implications. *Experimental & Molecular Medicine*, *51*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-018-0196-9

Jung, Y.-S., Jun, S., Lee, S. H., Sharma, A., & Park, J.-I. (2015). Wnt2 complements Wnt/β-catenin signaling in colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget*, *6*(35), 37257–37268.

Klopfenstein, D. V., Zhang, L., Pedersen, B. S., Ramírez, F., Warwick Vesztrocy, A., Naldi, A., Mungall, C. J., Yunes, J. M., Botvinnik, O., Weigel, M., Dampier, W., Dessimoz, C., Flick, P., & Tang, H. (2018). GOATOOLS: A Python library for Gene Ontology analyses. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28948-z

Koliarakis, I., Messaritakis, I., Nikolouzakis, T. K., Hamilos, G., Souglakos, J., & Tsiaoussis, J. (2019). Oral Bacteria and Intestinal Dysbiosis in Colorectal Cancer. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *20*(17). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20174146

Köster, J., & Rahmann, S. (2012). Snakemake—A scalable bioinformatics workflow engine. *Bioinformatics*, *28*(19), 2520–2522. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480

Krcmery, V., Mateicka, F., Grausova, S., Kunova, A., & Hanzen, J. (1999). Invasive infections due to *Clavispora lusitaniae*. *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology*, *23*(1), 75–78. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.1999.tb01719.x

Kumar, R., Herold, J. L., Schady, D., Davis, J., Kopetz, S., Martinez-Moczygemba, M., Murray, B. E., Han, F., Li, Y., Callaway, E., Chapkin, R. S., Dashwood, W.-M., Dashwood, R. H., Berry, T., Mackenzie, C., & Xu, Y. (2017). Streptococcus gallolyticus subsp. Gallolyticus promotes colorectal tumor development. *PLOS Pathogens*, *13*(7), e1006440. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006440

Langille, M. G. I. (2018). Exploring Linkages between Taxonomic and Functional Profiles of the Human Microbiome. *MSystems*, *3*(2), e00163-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00163-17

Langille, M. G. I., Zaneveld, J., Caporaso, J. G., McDonald, D., Knights, D., Reyes, J. A., Clemente, J. C., Burkepile, D. E., Vega Thurber, R. L., Knight, R., Beiko, R. G., & Huttenhower, C. (2013). Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nature Biotechnology*, *31*(9), 814–821. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2676

Leon-Cabrera, S., Vázquez-Sandoval, A., Molina-Guzman, E., Delgado-Ramirez, Y., Delgado-Buenrostro, N. L., Callejas, B. E., Chirino, Y. I., Pérez-Plasencia, C., Rodríguez-Sosa, M., Olguín, J. E., Salinas, C., Satoskar, A. R., & Terrazas, L. I. (2018). Deficiency in STAT1 Signaling Predisposes Gut Inflammation and Prompts Colorectal Cancer Development. *Cancers*, *10*(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10090341

Li, M., Zhao, L., Li, S., Li, J., Gao, B., Wang, F., Wang, S., Hu, X., Cao, J., & Wang, G.

(2018). Differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs identified by NGS analysis in colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Medicine*, *7*(9), 4650–4664. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1696

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., & Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(7), 923–930. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Liberzon, A., Subramanian, A., Pinchback, R., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Tamayo, P., & Mesirov, J. P. (2011). Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(12), 1739–1740. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr260

Macklaim, J. M., & Gloor, G. B. (2018). From RNA-seq to Biological Inference: Using Compositional Data Analysis in Meta-Transcriptomics. In R. G. Beiko, W. Hsiao, & J. Parkinson (Eds.), *Microbiome Analysis: Methods and Protocols* (pp. 193–213). Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8728-3_13

Malinowski, B., Węsierska, A., Zalewska, K., Sokołowska, M. M., Bursiewicz, W., Socha, M., Ozorowski, M., Pawlak-Osińska, K., & Wiciński, M. (2019). The role of Tannerella forsythia and Porphyromonas gingivalis in pathogenesis of esophageal cancer. *Infectious Agents and Cancer*, *14*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13027-019-0220-2

McCarthy, D. J., Chen, Y., & Smyth, G. K. (2012). Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *40*(10), 4288–4297. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks042

Menzel, P., Ng, K. L., & Krogh, A. (2016). Fast and sensitive taxonomic classification for metagenomics with Kaiju. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 11257. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11257

Nayfach, S., Bradley, P. H., Wyman, S. K., Laurent, T. J., Williams, A., Eisen, J. A., Pollard, K. S., & Sharpton, T. J. (2015). Automated and Accurate Estimation of Gene Family Abundance from Shotgun Metagenomes. *PLOS Computational Biology*, *11*(11), e1004573. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004573

Ohkubo, K., Sakai, Y., Inoue, H., Akamine, S., Ishizaki, Y., Matsushita, Y., Sanefuji, M.,

Torisu, H., Ihara, K., Sardiello, M., & Hara, T. (2015). Moyamoya disease susceptibility gene RNF213 links inflammatory and angiogenic signals in endothelial cells. *Scientific Reports*, *5*(1), 13191. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13191

Parks, T., Barrett, L., & Jones, N. (2015). Invasive streptococcal disease: A review for clinicians. *British Medical Bulletin*, *115*(1), 77–89. https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldv027

Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., & Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods*, *14*(4), 417–419. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197

Pinto, M. L., Rios, E., Durães, C., Ribeiro, R., Machado, J. C., Mantovani, A., Barbosa, M. A., Carneiro, F., & Oliveira, M. J. (2019). The Two Faces of Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Their Clinical Significance in Colorectal Cancer. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01875

Purcell, R. V., Visnovska, M., Biggs, P. J., Schmeier, S., & Frizelle, F. A. (2017). Distinct gut microbiome patterns associate with consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11237-6

Reinecke, F., & Steinbüchel, A. (2009). Ralstonia eutropha strain H16 as model organism for PHA metabolism and for biotechnological production of technically interesting biopolymers. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *16*(1–2), 91–108. https://doi.org/10.1159/000142897

Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(1), 139–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

Salter, S. J., Cox, M. J., Turek, E. M., Calus, S. T., Cookson, W. O., Moffatt, M. F., Turner, P., Parkhill, J., Loman, N. J., & Walker, A. W. (2014). Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biology*, *12*(1), 87. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z

Sánchez-Rovira, P., Jimenez, E., Carracedo, J., Barneto, I. C., Ramirez, R., & Aranda, E. (1998). Serum levels of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) in patients with

colorectal cancer: Inhibitory effect on cytotoxicity. *European Journal of Cancer*, *34*(3), 394–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(97)10033-8

Schellerer, V. S., Langheinrich, M. C., Zver, V., Grützmann, R., Stürzl, M., Gefeller, O., Naschberger, E., & Merkel, S. (2019). Soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 is a prognostic marker in colorectal carcinoma. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, *34*(2), 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-018-3198-0

Segata, N., Waldron, L., Ballarini, A., Narasimhan, V., Jousson, O., & Huttenhower, C. (2012). Metagenomic microbial community profiling using unique clade-specific marker genes. *Nature Methods*, *9*(8), 811–814. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2066

Sharma, A. K., Gupta, A., Kumar, S., Dhakan, D. B., & Sharma, V. K. (2015). Woods: A fast and accurate functional annotator and classifier of genomic and metagenomic sequences. *Genomics*, *106*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2015.04.001

Shen, W., & Ren, H. (2021). TaxonKit: A practical and efficient NCBI taxonomy toolkit. *Journal of Genetics and Genomics*, *48*(9), 844–850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgg.2021.03.006

Silva, G. G. Z., Green, K. T., Dutilh, B. E., & Edwards, R. A. (2016). SUPER-FOCUS: A tool for agile functional analysis of shotgun metagenomic data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *32*(3), 354–361. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv584

Soda, K. (2011). The mechanisms by which polyamines accelerate tumor spread. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*, *30*(1), 95. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-9966-30-95

Song, F., Yi, Y., Li, C., Hu, Y., Wang, J., Smith, D. E., & Jiang, H. (2018). Regulation and biological role of the peptide/histidine transporter SLC15A3 in Toll-like receptor-mediated inflammatory responses in macrophage. *Cell Death & Disease*, *9*(7), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-0809-1

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V. K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B. L., Gillette, M. A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S. L., Golub, T. R., Lander, E. S., & Mesirov, J. P. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting

genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
102(43), 15545–15550. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102

Sulit, A. K., Kolisnik, T., Frizelle, F. A., Purcell, R., & Schmeier, S. (2021a). *MetaFunc
Databases: Kaiju database* [Data set]. Zenodo.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5602178

Sulit, A. K., Kolisnik, T., Frizelle, F. A., Purcell, R., & Schmeier, S. (2021b). *MetaFunc
Databases: Nr-go database* [Data set]. Zenodo.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5602157

Tachimori, A., Yamada, N., Sakate, Y., Yashiro, M., Maeda, K., Ohira, M., Nishino, H., &
Hirakawa, K. (2005). Up regulation of ICAM-1 gene expression inhibits tumour
growth and liver metastasis in colorectal carcinoma. *European Journal of Cancer
(Oxford, England: 1990)*, *41*(12), 1802–1810.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2005.04.036

Tanaka, A., Zhou, Y., Ogawa, M., Shia, J., Klimstra, D. S., Wang, J. Y., & Roehrl, M. H.
(2020). STAT1 as a potential prognosis marker for poor outcomes of early stage
colorectal cancer with microsatellite instability. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(4), e0229252.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229252

Ternes, D., Karta, J., Tsenkova, M., Wilmes, P., Haan, S., & Letellier, E. (2020). Microbiome
in Colorectal Cancer: How to Get from Meta-omics to Mechanism? *Trends in
Microbiology*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.01.001

Thomas, A. M., Manghi, P., Asnicar, F., Pasolli, E., Armanini, F., Zolfo, M., Beghini, F.,
Manara, S., Karcher, N., Pozzi, C., Gandini, S., Serrano, D., Tarallo, S., Francavilla,
A., Gallo, G., Trompetto, M., Ferrero, G., Mizutani, S., Shiroma, H., … Segata, N.
(2019). Metagenomic analysis of colorectal cancer datasets identifies cross-cohort
microbial diagnostic signatures and a link with choline degradation. *Nature Medicine*,
25(4), 667–678. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0405-7

Tofalo, R., Cocchi, S., & Suzzi, G. (2019). Polyamines and Gut Microbiota. *Frontiers in
Nutrition*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2019.00016

Truong, D. T., Franzosa, E. A., Tickle, T. L., Scholz, M., Weingart, G., Pasolli, E., Tett, A., Huttenhower, C., & Segata, N. (2015). MetaPhlAn2 for enhanced metagenomic taxonomic profiling. *Nature Methods*, *12*(10), 902–903. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3589

The UniProt Consortium. (2017). UniProt: The universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D158–D169. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099

Vyas, S., & Chang, P. (2014). New PARP targets for cancer therapy. *Nature Reviews. Cancer*, *14*(7), 502–509. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3748

Wang, Y., Sun, D., Song, F., Hu, Y., Smith, D. E., & Jiang, H. (2014). Expression and Regulation of the Proton-Coupled Oligopeptide Transporter PhT2 by LPS in Macrophages and Mouse Spleen. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, *11*(6), 1880–1888. https://doi.org/10.1021/mp500014r

Wei, H., Chen, L., Lian, G., Yang, J., Li, F., Zou, Y., Lu, F., & Yin, Y. (2018). Antitumor mechanisms of bifidobacteria. *Oncology Letters*, *16*(1), 3–8. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8692

Whitmore, S. E., & Lamont, R. J. (2014). Oral Bacteria and Cancer. *PLoS Pathogens*, *10*(3), e1003933. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003933

Xia, X., Wu, W. K. K., Wong, S. H., Liu, D., Kwong, T. N. Y., Nakatsu, G., Yan, P. S., Chuang, Y.-M., Chan, M. W.-Y., Coker, O. O., Chen, Z., Yeoh, Y. K., Zhao, L., Wang, X., Cheng, W. Y., Chan, M. T. V., Chan, P. K. S., Sung, J. J. Y., Wang, M. H., & Yu, J. (2020). Bacteria pathogens drive host colonic epithelial cell promoter hypermethylation of tumor suppressor genes in colorectal cancer. *Microbiome*, *8*(1), 108. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00847-4

Xia, Y., Sun, J., & Chen, D.-G. (2018). Bioinformatic Analysis of Microbiome Data. In Y. Xia, J. Sun, & D.-G. Chen (Eds.), *Statistical Analysis of Microbiome Data with R* (pp. 1–27). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1534-3_1

Ye, S. H., Siddle, K. J., Park, D. J., & Sabeti, P. C. (2019). Benchmarking Metagenomics Tools for Taxonomic Classification. *Cell*, *178*(4), 779–794.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.010

Ye, X., Wang, R., Bhattacharya, R., Boulbes, D. R., Fan, F., Xia, L., Adoni, H., Ajami, N. J., Wong, M. C., Smith, D. P., Petrosino, J. F., Venable, S., Qiao, W., Baladandayuthapani, V., Maru, D., & Ellis, L. M. (2017). *Fusobacterium Nucleatum* Subspecies *Animalis* Influences Proinflammatory Cytokine Expression and Monocyte Activation in Human Colorectal Tumors. *Cancer Prevention Research*, *10*(7), 398–409. https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-16-0178

Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., & He, Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: An R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS : A Journal of Integrative Biology*, *16*(5), 284–287. https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118