Metabolic homeostasis controls the diversity of cooperative swarming in

pathogenic bacteria

Guillem Santamaria[1,3+], Chen Liao[1+], Zhe Wang[2], Kyu Rhee[2], Francisco Pinto[3], Jinyuan

Yan[1]*, Joao B. Xavier[1]*

[1]Program for Computational and Systems Biology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center,

New York, NY, USA

[2]Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY

[3]BioISI – Biosystems & Integrative Sciences Institute, Faculty of Sciences, University of

Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

[+]these authors contributed equally

[*]Correspondence: yanj2@mskcc.org and xavierj@mskcc.org

Abstract

Many bacteria have an incredible ability to swarm cooperatively over surfaces. But swarming phenotypes can be quite different even between strains of the same species. What drives this diversity? We compared the metabolomes of 29 clinical *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates with a range of swarming phenotypes. We identified that isolates incapable of secreting rhamnolipids—a surfactant needed for swarming—had perturbed tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and amino acid pathways and grew exponentially slower in glycerol minimal medium. Analysis of the metabolome signatures and simulations using a genome-scale model led to a mechanism which joins these observations: Strains subject to higher oxidative stress levels grow slower and shut down rhamnolipids secretion, a carbon overflow mechanism possibly to direct carbon resources towards costly stress response pathways to maintain cell viability. *In vitro* experiments confirmed that rhamnolipid non-producers deal worse with oxidative stress, linking intracellular redox homeostasis—a individual-level trait—to swarming—a population-level behavior. This mechanism helps explain the metabolic constraints on bacteria when secreting byproducts to interact with others—competitively and cooperatively—in microbial communities.

Significance

Swarming motility has been associated with virulence of many human bacterial pathogens. The pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarms by cooperatively secreting surfactants called rhamnolipids to lubricate surfaces. To understand why some *P. aeruginosa* strains swarm and others do not, we combined metabolomics, computational modeling and *in vitro* experiments to study the different swarming behaviors of 29 isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* obtained from infected patients. We found that strains can only produce rhamnolipids if they can maintain redox homeostasis. We propose that single cells must have low internal redox stress

levels before they can produce rhamnolipids, which work as an overflow of carbon metabolism into a cooperative secretion that brings a fitness benefit to the entire swarming population. This mechanism links single-cell physiology and a population-level cooperative behavior key to the fitness and virulence of *P. aeruginosa*, a major source of hospital acquired infections.

Synopsis

This study combined metabolomics, computational modeling and experiments to explain the swarming diversity in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, yielding new insights on the genetic and metabolic controls of bacterial swarming behavior

- Rhamnolipid secretion is necessary, but insufficient, for swarming
- *P. aeruginosa* strains unable to produce rhamnolipids in the glycerol minimal medium have perturbed metabolism, slow growth and elevated oxidative stress
- Rhamnolipid producers cannot produce rhamnolipids in succinate as the sole carbon source which causes greater ROS (reactive oxygen species) production
- The requirement of redox homeostasis for rhamnolipid production ensures that the overflow of precious carbon for population-level benefit is prudently controlled
- This study helps linking single cell physiology with collective behavior key to the fitness and virulence of a pathogenic bacterium.

Introduction

Swarming enables millions of bacteria to travel together across centimeter-long distances in a few hours (Deforet *et al*, 2014). This cooperative trait found typically in the phyla Alpha-proteobacteria and Gamma-proteobacteria is a complex phenotype that requires the coordinated expression of many genes: Swarming requires flagella or pili to propel the cells, cell-cell interactions to maintain population integrity during migration, and the collective secretion of biosurfactants to lubricate the surface (Kearns, 2010). Studies over the past two decades revealed many molecular details of swarming motility through genetic (e.g., knockouts, transgenesis) and environmental (e.g., nutrient composition and viscosity of culture medium) perturbations (Köhler *et al*, 2000; Mattingly *et al*, 2018) as well as through experimental evolution (van Ditmarsch *et al*, 2013). Some genes that modulate swarming impact key cellular activities including tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and stress response (Inoue *et al*, 2007; Tremblay & Déziel, 2010) and therefore have pleiotropic effects. Despite these insights, we lack a systems-level understanding of this cooperative bacterial behavior (Kim & Surette, 2004; Inoue *et al*, 2007).

Pseudomonas aeruginosa—an opportunistic human pathogen and a major cause of hospital-acquired infections (Klevens *et al*, 2007)—has a remarkable swarming ability that produces long straight segments (tendrils) in its fractal-like swarming pattern. Similar to other swarming species, *P. aeruginosa* requires both flagella and pili to move, the LasR-LasI and RhlR-RhlI quorum sensing systems to communicate within population, and the ability to produce rhamnolipids to lubricate the surface (Köhler *et al*, 2000). Rhamnolipids are a mixture of biosurfactants that consist of 3-(3-hydroxyalkanoyloxy) alkanoic acids (HAAs), mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids (Abdel-Mawgoud *et al*, 2010). RhlA is the only enzyme required to drive the conversion of fatty acid biosynthesis intermediates (β-

hydroxyacyl-ACP) to HAAs (Zhu & Rock, 2008), whereas RhlB and RhlC each add one

molecule of rhamnose to the HAAs to produce mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids in

sequential steps (Chong & Li, 2017). The genes encoding RhlA and RhlB are located in the

same operon *rhlAB*, which is regulated by the quorum-sensing cascade headed by LasR-LasI

and followed by RhlR-RhlI (Medina *et al*, 2003). Other than quorum-sensing signals, *rhlAB*

expression is also controlled by nutrient cues such as an excess of carbon source (Xavier *et*

al, 2011; Boyle *et al*, 2015; Mellbye & Schuster, 2014). The combination of quorum-sensing

and metabolic signals is called metabolic prudence; it prevents cheating by rhamnolipid non-

producers such as the Δ*rhlA* mutant because it prudently ensures that rhamnolipids are only

produced at the right time when the production benefits outweigh its costs. Strains that

produce rhamnolipids too soon or overproduce rhamnolipids, such as a strain engineered with

the inducible P_{BAD}-*rhlA* construct, have less carbon available for growth and are susceptible

to cheating by non-producers (Xavier *et al*, 2011; de Vargas Roditi *et al*, 2013).

Besides the role of metabolic prudence, which regulates rhamnolipid production and makes

swarming robust to cheating, other aspects of metabolism might have influenced the

evolution of swarming across the *P. aeruginosa* phylogenetic tree. Metabolism is the

currency of all physiological processes that support life (Smith & Morowitz, 2004) and a

major determinant of social behavior (Biro & Stamps, 2010). A previous study (Boyle *et al*,

2017) compared the intracellular metabolites of the laboratory strain PA14 and several

mutants of that strain with different swarming phenotypes. The Δ*rhlA* mutant can neither

swarm nor produce rhamnolipids, but it had less than 10 metabolites perturbed compared to

the wild-type. The Δ*cbrA* mutant, which lacks a global regulator of carbon-nitrogen balance,

had impaired swarming and lower growth rate with dozens of perturbed metabolites. Notably,

the Δ*cbrA* mutant still had the flagella needed to move and secreted even more rhamnolipids

than the wild-type. More interestingly, its swarming phenotype could be rescued by

compensatory point mutations in the RNA chaperone *hfq* through rewiring of metabolic

network to reconstruct a unique metabolome which was distinct from both the Δ*cbrA* and the

wild-type (Boyle *et al*, 2017).

Here we leveraged a collection of 29 clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* that we previously

isolated from hospitalized cancer patients in Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

(MSKCC) (Yan *et al*, 2017). These strains span a wide range of swarming phenotypes;

however, they evolved independently in environments that are largely unknown to us and

exhibit great genetic diversity, which makes it hard to pin down the exact genes responsible

for their different swarming behaviors. Since the differences cannot be solely explained by

genetics, here, in this study, we combined genomics with metabolomics, growth curve

analysis and computational models to study the metabolic differences underlying the

observed swarming diversity. Swarming requires rhamnolipid production; here we found that

rhamnolipid-producing strains have reduced TCA cycle activity, increased levels of amino

acids, and slower exponential-phase growth rate compared to the non-producing strains. We

further provided both computational and experimental evidences showing that these

metabolic changes are likely caused by a higher oxidative stress. This finding suggests that

rhamnolipid production is a form of overflow metabolism that is only activated in strains with

sufficient capability to detoxify reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced by energy

metabolism and maintain redox balance. This mechanism links redox homeostasis with

swarming cooperation, highlighting the importance of metabolism in the evolution of a social

behavior.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis suggests loss of rhamnolipid production drove loss of swarming in

some isolates. We started by analyzing the shapes of swarming colonies of clinical isolates

and three common reference strains whose genomes vary widely (Fig. 1). We used image

analysis to measure six morphological features of the colonies, including perimeter,

maximum length, area, circularity, skeleton longitude and eccentricity (see **Materials and**

methods for their definitions). A principal component analysis of these morphological

features revealed that the maximum length and circularity captured the most variability of the

shape diversity in our tested strains (Supplementary Fig. 1). We quantified the swarming

ability of all strains using a linear combination of the two features but found no significant

correlation of the swarming scores with the phylogeny inferred from their core genomes

(Moran's I test, p-value=0.93). Since production of rhamnolipids is required for swarming

motility, we measured the ability of strains to produce rhamnolipids in a synthetic media with

glycerol as the sole carbon source (Boyle *et al*, 2015). As expected, all strains able to swarm

produced rhamnolipids. Not all rhamnolipid producers swarmed, though, which confirms that

rhamnolipids are necessary but not sufficient for swarming (Caiazza *et al*, 2005; Déziel *et al*,

2003). Similar to swarming phenotype, the ability to produce rhamnolipids, semi-

quantitatively classified as non-, mild- and strong-producers (**Materials and Methods**), was

also uncorrelated with the phylogeny (Fig. 1B**,** Moran's I test, p-value=0.14). To better assess

the link between swarming and rhamnolipid production, we built an evolutionary model to

reconstruct their ancestral states along the phylogenetic tree. The model predicted that the

common ancestor of all the strains can both swarm and produce rhamnolipids. This prediction

suggests that the diversity of swarming found across the isolates was caused by some strains

losing their ability to swarm or produce rhamnolipids, not by concurrent lineages evolving

those functions independently. The model also suggests that rhamnolipid production is more

conserved than swarming: the immediate ancestors of all non-producers are inferred to be

rhamnolipid producers (Supplementary Figure 2A). By contrast, the loss of swarming

occurred earlier than that of rhamnolipid production in several lineages (Supplementary

Figure 2B), a result which is also expected because swarming depends on other factors

besides rhamnolipid production (Kearns, 2010).

The loss of rhamnolipid production is partially explained by the absence of genes. Since

the phylogeny inferred from core genes was unable to explain the observed diversity of

rhamnolipid production, we asked whether accessory genes, particularly those only missing

in rhamnolipid non-producers, could explain the diversity. Here we focused on the

comparison between rhamnolipid producers (both mild and strong rhamnolipid-producing

strains; the same below) and non-producers, rather than between swarmers and non-

swarmers, because (1) the latter phenotype is harder to determine non-arbitrarily and (2)

rhamnolipid production is itself a predictor of swarming (all rhamnolipid non-producers lose

swarming). We then compared the genomic sequences between the 8 rhamnolipid non-

producers and the remaining producers using reciprocal blast to explore the genetic features

that may be associated with rhamnolipid production. We identified 304 genes

(Supplementary File 1) only absent in those non-producers that may directly contribute to the

loss of rhamnolipid production (89 well annotated genes are listed in Table 1): F5677 lacks

the flagella motor switch protein *fliM*, and *qscR*—a quorum-sensing control repressor;

W36662 lacks the type IV pili assembly protein *pilC* and its sensor kinase *pilS*; and F63912,

W36662, W60856 lack three quorum-sensing genes *lasR*, *rhlR*, and *rhlI*. It was previously

shown that the single-gene knockout mutants Δ*fliM*, Δ*pilS*, Δ*rhlR* and Δ*rhlI* (Köhler *et al*,

2000; Overhage *et al*, 2007; Kamatkar & Shrout, 2011), but not Δ*pilC* (Luo *et al*, 2015),

displayed strong swarming-deficient phenotypes. The mutant of a two-component response

regulator gene *pirR* that is missing in H27930 was found to repress swarming in multiple

culture media (Kollaran *et al*, 2019). S86968 lacks *nfxB*, whose mutation caused global

dysregulation of physiology and metabolism in *P. aeruginosa* including impaired swarming

(Stickland *et al*, 2010). Notably, genes encoding rhamnolipid biosynthsis (*rhlA*, *rhlB*, *rhlC*)

are intact and contain no mutation in all non-producers, indicating that the mutations that lead

to the loss of rhamnolipid production are linked to the regulation of the pathway, either in

other regulatory genes (in coding or non coding regions) or in non-coding regions of the

biosynthetic genes.

Besides these functions that are directly linked to rhamnolipid production and swarming,

genes involved in carbon catabolism, biofilm, chemotaxis, antibiotic resistance, virulence and

redox regulation were also found missing in rhamnolipid non-producers. M55212 misses the

catabolite repression control gene *crc*, although a *crc* transposon mutant is able to swarm as

well as the wild-type (Yeung *et al*, 2011). H27930 lacks the methyl-accepting chemotaxis

genes *pctA* and *pctB* and the biofilm biosynthesis gene *pelA*. Both M1608 and S86968 lack

the pyridoxal phosphate (vitamin B_6) biosynthetic gene *pdxA* and the *hcnABC* genes coding

for the virulence factors hydrogen cyanide (Pessi & Haas, 2000). F5677 cannot express

PhzA2 for biosynthesis of phenazine—a well-known virulence factor. The absence of *amrB*

that encodes a membrane protein of the AmrAB-mediated efflux system in M1608 suggests

that the strain may be sensitive to the aminoglycoside antibiotic (Westbrock-Wadman *et al*,

1999). The missing genes for redox regulation include *soxR* (absent in F5677)—a redox

sensitive transcriptional regulator, *katE* (absent in M1608)—a catalase that degrades H_2O_2

(Mulvey *et al*, 1988), and *gor* (absent in M1608)—a flavoprotein that oxidizes glutathione,

which plays an important role in protection against H_2O_2 damage (Perry *et al*, 1991).

Rhamnolipid producers grow faster in glycerol. The missing genes in the 8 non-

rhamnolipid-producers (Table 1) include several global transcriptional regulators (e.g., *rhlR*,

lasR, *crc*, *nfxB*, *soxR*) that are broadly important for cell metabolism and growth. Their

absence may cause growth-independent or -dependent metabolic effects on rhamnolipid

production and swarming. We first tested whether growth is a predictor for the observed

diversity. We monitored growth curves of all our strains over 48h in the same glycerol media

that we had used to measure rhamnolipid production. These growth curves exhibited

markedly different lag times and exponential growth-rates (Fig. 1C), but a high similarity was

observed among 6 out of 9 swarming strains (Supplementary Fig. 3). However, some

rhamnolipid producers clustered with non-producers, suggesting that rhamnolipid production

cannot be classified by the entire growth curves and more sophisticated methods to extract

local growth curve features were needed.

We then used both unsupervised and supervised learning approaches to identify features in

the growth curves associated with rhamnolipid production. For the unsupervised approach,

we used non-negative matrix factorization (Lee & Seung, 1999) which decomposed each

growth curve as a weighted sum of three basis functions (i.e., features). Although the growth

curves of the rhamnolipid producers (orange lines) and non-producers (blue lines) largely

overlapped (Fig. 2A), there was a significant difference in the weights associated with basis 1

between the two groups (Fig. 2B), which suggests a link between rhamnolipid production and

bacterial growth. To pinpoint features associated with rhamnolipid production, we divided

each growth curve into three phases based on its shape (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Fig. 4)

and defined 7 quantitative features to characterize each growth phase (Fig. 2D and

Supplementary File 2). Using Random Forest classification, we found that the top two

features with the highest explanatory power were the maximum and averaged specific growth

rates in phase I when growth speeds up (Fig. 2E). Considering the majority of rhamnolipids

are produced in phase II when growth slows down (Boyle *et al*, 2015), our finding revealed a

strong temporal link between exponential growth rate in phase I and rhamnolipid production

in phase II. We hypothesized that the link might be mediated by metabolic homeostasis: its

disruption could slow down exponential growth in phase I and disfavor rhamnolipid secretion

in phase II by saving precious carbon resources for cell maintenance and stress responses

under metabolic imbalanced conditions.

Rhamnolipid non-producers have perturbed TCA cycle and amino acid pathways.

Similar to our growth curve analysis, we adopted both unsupervised and supervised learning

approaches to test the associations of intracellular metabolite levels with swarming and

rhamnolipid production. We profiled the intracellular metabolome of all our strains in the

same glycerol minimal medium (except for two rhamnolipid non-producers M55212 and

F23197 which grew too slow) during the transition between phase I and phase II when

rhamnolipid production begins (**Materials and Methods**). Using LC-MS (Liquid

chromatography-Mass spectrometry) we identified a total of 92 compounds spanning a wide

range of abundances (Supplementary Fig. 5A), including 67 metabolites whose identities are

known and abundances vary significantly across all the tested strains (Fig. 3A). After data

normalization and imputation (Supplementary Fig. 5B), hierarchical clustering showed the

consistency of data across all three replicates for each strain (Fig. 3B), except for one

replicate of H47921 (sample 25, an outlier removed from further analysis). The similarity

among the metabolomics data yielded three major clusters with one cluster (middle cluster in

Fig. 3B) containing all strong swarmers (strain name in red) and only rhamnolipid producers.

However, no clear metabolic pattern was found among non-producers: Two non-producers

were grouped in the left cluster and 4 in the right cluster, and they all had metabolomics

profiles similar to producers within the same cluster.

The lack of a clear metabolomic profile for rhamnolipid non-producers led us to search for

specific differential metabolites using supervised methods. We fitted our metabolomic data

(explanatory variables) to categorized rhamnolipid production (response variable) using

Orthogonal Projections to Latent Structures-Discriminant Analysis (OPLS-DA) (Cloarec *et*

al, 2005). The OPLS-DA produced a reasonable fit (R^2 = 0.82, Q^2 = 0.66, *p*-value = 5e-4)

with the single predictor that separated rhamnolipid non-producers from producers explaining

only 5% of the variance across all data (Fig. 4A). The remaining 95% of the variance was

explained by three orthogonal components that capture variation within both groups, again

showing that there is a high overlap between the metabolomic profiles of rhamnolipid

producers and non-producers. The loading values of the predictive component reflect how

much each compound contributes to the separation between rhamnolipid producers and non-

producers with negative loadings indicating higher metabolite abundance in non-producers

(Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. 6).

We then used a univariate Mann-Whitney U test to identify the metabolites differentially

abundant in producers and non-producers. We found 24 such metabolites (bars with black

outline in Supplementary Fig. 6) and these metabolites were then used to identify pathways

perturbed in non-producers using the FELLA algorithm (Picart-Armada *et al*, 2017, 2018)

(Supplementary Table 1). The most discriminative pathways were the TCA cycle and amino

acid metabolism (Fig. 4B). 3 out of 6 metabolites in the TCA cycle—fumarate, succinate and

citrate—were significantly changed: Fumarate and malate showed a positive loading value,

while citrate, succinate, and to a less extent cis-aconitate and alpha-ketoglutarate were

negative (Fig. 4B). Pyruvate remained relatively constant across all the strains (Fig. 3A),

implying that the differential responses in the TCA cycle were independent from the changes

in its upstream central carbon metabolism.

Besides the TCA cycle metabolites, the majority of annotated compounds in the metabolism

of branched chain amino acids (leucine/isoleucine, valine) and sulfur-containing amino acids

(cysteine/methionine) had higher abundance in rhamnolipid non-producers relative to

producers. In fact, with the exception of glutamate, proline and tryptophan, all other amino

acids detected in our metabolomics data (threonine, alanine, aspartate, glutamine, cysteine,

lysine, histidine, tyrosine, and arginine) were higher in non-producers (Supplementary Fig.

6). A striking exception to this trend was formylmethionine (fMet), which had lower

abundance in non-producers. Diminished level of fMet had also been found in the Δ*rhlA*

mutant of *P. aeruginosa* (Supplementary Fig. 7) (Boyle *et al*, 2017). Since Δ*rhlA* mutant

grows with a similar growth rate as the wild-type (van Ditmarsch & Xavier, 2011) the link

between fMet and rhamnolipid production should not be simply due to a growth defect.

Metabolome signature and computer simulations link high oxidative stress to lower

rhamnolipid production. So far our metabolomics data show that the non-producers have

perturbed TCA cycle activity and grow slowly. As shown in Fig. 4C, the TCA cycle harbors

five enzymes with Fe-S clusters (aconitase A, aconitase B, succinate dehydrogenase,

fumarase A, fumarase B (Py & Barras, 2010)) and thus represents one of the most vulnerable

pathways subject to attacks of ROS. It is likely that higher oxidative stress in the non-

producers reduces flux through the TCA cycle, which would explain their slower growth. The

significantly opposite association of succinate and fumarate we found in rhamnolipid

production indicates the reduced activity of succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) under oxidative

stress conditions. SDH is a membrane-bound dehydrogenase linked to the respiratory chain—

a major site of ROS production in the cell—and also a member of the TCA cycle that

catalyzes the oxidation of succinate into fumarate (Hederstedt & Rutberg, 1981). Since SDH

contains [2Fe-2S], [3Fe-4S], and [4Fe-4S] clusters (Ayala-Castro *et al*, 2008), ROS that

damages Fe-S clusters could decrease SDH activity *in vivo*. The metabolomics data also

showed that some intermediate metabolites in amino acid biosynthetic pathways, which are

also substrates of Fe-S containing enzymes, accumulated in the non-producers (Fig. 4C). For

example, the glutamate synthetase that consists of a large chain (encoded by *gltB*) and a small

chain (encoded by *gltD*). Both subunits contain Fe-S clusters and catalyze the production of

glutamate from oxoglutarate and glutamine. We found slightly higher oxoglutarate and

glutamine as well as lower glutamate in the non-producers. These observations collectively

suggest that the rhamnolipid non-producers might have higher oxidative stress levels than the

producers.

These reasons suggest that the cause for impaired rhamnolipid production might be that non-

producing strains suffer from high oxidative stress. We then sought to use a whole-genome

reconstruction model to study how oxidative stress could lower the flux of carbon available to

rhamnolipid production. We modified a high-quality genome-scale model of *Pseudomonas*

metabolism, iJN1411 (Nogales *et al*, 2017, 2020), to simulate growth in the culture medium

used in our metabolomics experiments with glycerol and ammonium as the sole carbon and

nitrogen sources. Rhamnolipids are produced when carbon is in excess (Boyle *et al*, 2015). In

this model rhamnolipids secretion occurs when the carbon-to-nitrogen (C:N) ratio exceeds a

6.3 threshold (Supplementary Fig. 8). We set C:N to 10.0, which exceeds the minimum

threshold, and we varied the redox stress level by changing the flux levels of three redox

molecules: NADH (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), NADPH (reduced

nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate) and GSH (reduced glutathione); these

molecules are responsible for the bulk of cellular electron transfer and likely to be the main

sources of ROS (Xiao & Loscalzo, 2019). Although redox stress is ultimately caused by high

ROS levels, not fluxes, and ROS was not an explicit variable in our model, we assumed that

ROS can perturb intracellular metabolic fluxes, particularly the fluxes of redox molecules,

and asked how cell growth and rhamnolipid secretion respond to these ROS-mediated

indirect perturbations.

For all three redox molecules, we found that the maximum growth rate was maintained at an

intermediate flux range (redox homeostasis, white area) while any flux rate outside the range

(gray shading) gradually reduced growth rate (Fig. 5, upper panels). What generally

accompanied the compromised growth rate was the abrupt shutdown of secretion of

rhamnolipid precursors (HAA), mono- and di-rhamnolipids as well as many (but not all)

central carbon metabolites, except for extremely small GSH flux (Fig. 5, lower panels).

Importantly, reducing any of these secretion fluxes did not reduce the growth rate, nor did it

provide growth benefits (i.e., each individual flux could be as low as zero without reducing

maximum growth rate). This observation agrees with the data that the *ΔrhlA* mutant of *P.*

aeruginosa type strain PA14 has the same grow rate as its wild-type strain in the same

glycerol minimal medium (van Ditmarsch & Xavier, 2011). Notably, most of the overflowed

carbon-rich molecules, including HAA, mono- and di-rhamnolipids, were only secreted when

the growth rate maintained its maximum value under excess carbon. The computational

inference that rhamnolipids, as well as other central carbon metabolism intermediates, can be

secreted under maximum growth suggests that *P. aeruginosa* cells have the flexibility to

overflow carbon through alternative metabolic pathways without compromising growth. The

fact that *P. aeruginosa* maintains the secretion of rhamnolipids among these options supports

that rhamnolipid secretion was favored by natural selection for reasons other than improving

single-cell fitness. Collectively, these simulations support a link between rhamnolipids

secretion and redox stress, where fast growth and rhamnolipid secretion are both

metabolically constrained by cellular redox homeostasis.

Experiments support the link between growth, oxidative stress and rhamnolipid

production. We validated the link between oxidative stress and rhamnolipid production by

two additional experiments. In the first experiment, we monitored growth and rhamnolipid

production of all our clinical isolates when they grew in the same minimal medium but with

succinate as the sole carbon source (Supplementary Fig. 9). Since succinate enters the carbon

metabolism directly through the TCA cycle, we expected that, compared to growth in

glycerol, the initial TCA cycle flux would be much faster and generates higher ROS level that

impairs the sustained cell growth and rhamnolipid secretion. Indeed, none of the strains that

produced rhamnolipids in glycerol produced rhamnolipids in succinate. We similarly

extracted 7 phase-dependent growth curve features of our strains grown in succinate

(Supplementary File 3) and compared their values to the same features measured in glycerol

(Supplementary Fig. 10). The comparison showed that all strains had shorter lag phases and

grew exponentially faster in succinate. The strains reached much lower cell densities even

though the succinate medium had the same initial molar concentration of carbon as the

glycerol medium. More interestingly, phase II was almost absent in succinate compared to

glycerol. The strains all switched abruptly from phase I to phase III, suggesting that cells

might have accumulated more oxidative stress during phase I, which caused a sudden stop in

growth as well as carbon overflow.

In the second experiment, we monitored the hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) level when growing

our *Pseudomonas* strains in the glycerol minimal medium (Fig. 6A,B). H_2O_2 is a

representative ROS that can diffuse freely between cell and the environment. By measuring

the fluorescent signal intensity produced by the Amplex assay (**Materials and Methods**), we

found a baseline production of H_2O_2 in the absence of cells, possibly due to photooxidation of

Amplex Red reagent (Zhao *et al*, 2012). When cells were added, *Pseudomonas* strains can

degrade H_2O_2 by producing many antioxidant enzymes including catalases, glutathione

reductase, NADH peroxidase and cysteine-based peroxidases (Mishra & Imlay, 2012). We

computed the signal intensity difference between cell culture and baseline as a proxy of the

ability of each strain to remove H_2O_2 and broadly combat oxidative stress. We saw that all

strains except for M1608 quenched the signal over the first 18 hours (Fig. 6B). Most

importantly, the non-producers (blue lines) removed less H_2O_2 than mild rhamnolipid

producers (green lines) and strong producers (red lines), supporting our hypothesis that non-

producers are worse at removing ROS. Unsurprisingly, the worst strain in this assay was

M1608, which lacks *katE* and *gor* (Table 1)*,* both of which are important for H_2O_2

degradation. To factor out the possibility that the non-producers performed worse due to

lower cell density, we calculated the H_2O_2 removal rate per cell and observed similar relative

trend of H_2O_2 degradation between non-producers and producers (Fig. 6C). Formally, we

used a linear mixed-effect model to test the effect of rhamnolipid production on per-cell H_2O_2

removal rate (**Materials and Methods**). The effect size of mild and strong producers relative

to the non-produces are 1.25x and 1.41x stronger respectively with both p-values < 0.001,

confirming that rhamnolipid producers deal better with oxidative stress.

Discussion

Our data comparing growth phenotypes and metabolomes posit a model to explain the

diversity of swarming phenotypes we saw in *P. aeruginosa* isolates (Fig. 7): Some strains can

produce rhamnolipids because they maintain redox homeostasis due to their greater abilities

to degrade ROS. Rhamnolipid non-producers by contrast had disrupted redox homeostasis,

causing slower growth with reduced TCA cycle activity and increased amino acid

concentration. The impaired ability to degrade ROS by non-producers is possibly caused by

loss of genes that encode ROS detoxification functions (e.g., M1608 lacks *katE* and *gor*).

Consistently, it was reported that OxyR—oxidative stress regulator that controls expression

of antioxidant genes—is required for swarming and rhamnolipid production (Vinckx *et al*,

2010).

All our clinical strains were isolated from hospitalized patients, a host-associated

environment where we may expect redox stresses such as ROS imposed by the immune

system in their fight against pathogens (Puertollano *et al*, 2011) and by antibiotics treatment

(Zhu *et al*, 2019). Non-producers of rhamnolipids seem less capable of dealing with oxidative

stresses, and it remains unclear what selected for this loss of function *in vivo*. Rhamnolipid

production was, nonetheless, relatively frequent across the *P. aeruginosa* phylogenetic tree.

The genes for rhamnolipid biosynthesis (*rhlA*, *rhlB*, *rhlC*) remained intact across all of our

clinical isolations, even in non-producers. This suggests that the loss of rhamnolipid

production in some strains may have resulted from broader metabolic adaptations such as

oxidative stress responses. In support of our model we saw that rhamnolipid producers could

quench oxidation faster than non-producers (Fig. 6). Accumulation of oxidative stress due to

slow removal can reduce SDH activity and perturb TCA cycle (Fig. 7). Others have shown

that *P. aeruginosa* decreases expression of the key quorum-sensing genes (*LasI*, *LasR*, *RhlI*,

RhlR, *PqsA* and PqsR) in response to oxidative stress caused by exposure to H_2O_2 (Mohamed

et al, 2020). Considering quorum sensing regulates hundreds of genes (Lequette *et al*, 2006),

shutting down the cooperative traits that are under quorum-sensing regulation—including

rhamnolipid secretion—could save precious resources for oxidative stress responses.

We also saw that rhamnolipid non-producers had significantly lower levels of fMet than

producers. Interestingly, this also happens in the $\Delta rhlA$ mutant compared to its wild-type

strain PA14 (Boyle *et al*, 2017). fMet plays a role in translation initiation, and in the quality

control mechanisms that degrade misfolded proteins (Piatkov *et al*, 2015). *Bacillus subtills*

lacking Formyl-Methionine Transferase (FMT)—the enzyme attaching a formyl group to

methionine loaded on tRNA$^{\text{fmet}}$—are more sensitive to H_2O_2 and defective in swarming (Cai

et al, 2017). The link between fMet, swarming and oxidative stress is intriguing and warrants

further research.

Only the strains that grew fast on glycerol could produce rhamnolipids in that medium. This

supports the notion that rhamnolipid production is a form of overflow metabolism. Overflow

metabolism is associated with fast growth such as bacteria under conditions of high glucose

consumption. It occurs when cells simultaneously operate on both energy-efficient (e.g.,

respiration) and -inefficient (e.g., fermentation) pathways and secret metabolic byproducts

that could otherwise be used for catabolism or anabolism. This phenomenon has been

observed in different systems: aerobic fermentation in *Escherichia coli* (Farmer & Jones,

1976), the Crabtree effect in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (De Deken, 1966) and the Warburg

effect in cancer cells (Vander Heiden *et al*, 2009). Many molecular mechanisms have been

proposed and validated to account for the seemingly wasteful usage of low energy-yield

pathway in presence of a higher-energy-yield alternative (Basan *et al*, 2015; Szenk *et al*,

2017). For example, the respiratory flux that would be required for fast growth without

fermentation could accumulate NADH that could not be recycled to NAD^+ by respiration

alone, because of a limited surface/volume ratio (Szenk *et al*, 2017). Overexpression of

NADH oxidase in both *E. coli* (Vemuri *et al*, 2006) and *S. cerevisiae* (Vemuri *et al*, 2007)

reduced the $NADH/NAD^+$ ratio and suppressed overflow metabolism. One key difference

between *P. aeruginosa* rhamnolipid production and the overflow metabolism of other

systems is that rhamnolipids are controlled by quorum-sensing in addition to nutrient cues

(Boyle *et al*, 2015), which results in a cell-density-dependence.

Should rhamnolipid production provide a fitness benefit for *P. aeruginosa* under any

environmental conditions? We have previously shown that rhamnolipid production is

dispensable for normal growth of *P. aeruginosa* in laboratory conditions: the Δ*rhlA* mutant is

an engineered rhamnolipid non-producer defector but has almost the same growth rate (van

Ditmarsch & Xavier, 2011) and very similar metabolomic profiles (Boyle *et al*, 2017) as the

wild-type. This is consistent with our flux-balance analysis of *Pseudomonas* metabolism,

where shutting down rhamnolipid secretion did not impact the growth rate because the excess

carbon could be alternatively secreted in other forms such as acetate (Fig. 5). Notably, Δ*rhlA*

had higher intracellular levels of gamma-Glutamylcysteine (Supplementary Fig. 7), the

immediate precursor of glutathione. Since glutathione is a well-known antioxidant that

protects cells from ROS damage (Ezraty *et al*, 2017), Δ*rhlA* may exhibit slight oxidative

burden. This could explain why the Δ*rhlA* has a marginally lower fitness and fails to invade

the wild-type in swarming competitions (de Vargas Roditi *et al*, 2013; Monaco *et al*, 2020).

Our mechanism proposes that increased oxidative burden may be related to altered redox

ratio (NAD(P)H/NAD(P)$^+$), considering that fatty acid biosynthesis that provides precursors

for rhamnolipid production regenerates NAD(P)+ from NAD(P)H. Notably, the active

shutdown of rhamnolipid production in redox imbalanced condition would save precious

carbon and enzyme resources to respond to oxidative stress.

Our results confirm the role that metabolic byproducts play in linking single-cell physiology

and social behavior. Microorganisms secrete various products, including iron scavenging

siderophores (Griffin *et al*, 2004), extracellular enzymes (Altindis *et al*, 2015), toxins and

cell-cell signaling molecules (Schmidt *et al*, 2019). In synthetic and natural microbial

communities the products of one microorganism can impact another, cooperatively or

competitively (Xavier, 2011). Our model proposes that the choice of a given molecule to

drive a social interaction is not arbitrary: molecules that conciliate individual-level and

population-level interests are more likely to drive microbial sociobiology.

Materials and Methods

Media. Glycerol and succinate synthetic media were prepared with 800 ml of Milipore water,

200 ml of 5X minimal salts buffer, 1ml of 1 M magnesium sulphate, 0.1 ml of calcium

sulphate with 0.5 gN/l nitrogen (ammonium sulphate), iron at 5 μM (iron III sulphate) and 3.0

gC/l glycerol or succinate, respectively. Swarming agar consisted in 800ml of Milipore water,

200 ml of 5X minimal salts buffer, 1ml of 1 M magnesium sulphate, 0.1 ml of calcium

sulphate, 25 ml of 200 g/l solution of casamino acids (Bacto TM from BD, Sparks,MD) with

0.5% of agar. 5X stock minimal salts buffer was prepared with 12 g of Na_2HPO4

(anhydrous), 15 g of KH_2PO4 (anhydrous) and 2.5 g of NaCl into 1 l water.

Succinate and glycerol growth curve assays. The clinical isolates were inoculated in 3 mL

of lysogen broth (LB) and incubated at 37ºC overnight with shaking at 250 rpm. 500 μL of

cell culture was pelleted and washed 3 times with PBS. 0.0025 OD_{600} units were inoculated

into 3.0 gC/l glycerol or succinate minimal medium in BD Falcon (BD Biosciences, San Jose,

CA) 96 well flat-bottom plates, with 150 μL of suspension per well. The plate was incubated

during 48 hours at 37ºC in a Tecan Infinite M1000 or Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader

(Männedorf, Switzerland), with an orbital shaking of 4 mm of amplitude. OD_{600} was

measured in 10 minutes intervals.

Swarming assay Swarming assays were performed as described previously (Xavier *et al*,

2011; van Ditmarsch *et al*, 2013). The clinical isolates were inoculated in 3 mL of LB and

incubated at 37ºC overnight, with shaking. 500 μL of the culture was pelleted and washed

twice with PBS. 2 μL of this suspension was spotted on the surface of swarming agar without

penetrating the agar with the pipette tip. Swarming medium contains 0.5% agar (Bacto) and is

supplemented with 5g/L casamino acids, 1mM MgSO4, 0.1mM CaCl2 and 1X salt buffer

(12g/L Na_2HPO_4 (Fisher Scientific), 15g/L KH_2PO4 (Fisher Scientific) and 2.5g/L NaCl,

pH6.7). The plates were incubated at 37ºC ~24 hours. Two replicates were done per strain. In

each batch of swarming assays PA14 was used as control.

Rhamnolipid production quantification. The production of rhamnolipids was assessed by

drop-collapse assay (Jain & Collins; Chen *et al*, 2007). We placed 50 μL of the culture's

supernatant on a polystyrene surface (the lid of a 96 well plate). The presence of

rhamnolipids decreases the surface tension of the liquid, making the drop collapse. We

considered a strain rhamnolipid non-producer if the drop does not spread, a mild-producer if

spreads, but not enough to pass over the lid's circle that correspond to the wells, and producer

if spreads over it.

Image analysis and quantification of swarming phenotype. Images of the swarming plates

were obtained with a Chemidoc gel doc imager (Bio Rad). The extraction of the

morphological features from the images of the swarming plates were analyzed using Matlab

bwmorph function. The features extracted from the images were perimeter of the colony,

maximum length (the longitude of the rectangle that fits the colony), area percentage of the

plate occupied by the colony, circularity (measured as $4*\pi/P^2$, where P is the perimeter of the

colony), longitude of the skeleton (skeletonization, consisting in all the points that are at

equal distance to their nearby borders) and eccentricity (the eccentricity of the fitted ellipse).

The feature values of each strain were normalized by the corresponding values of the PA14

strain in the same experiment. The swarming score (S_i) for each clinical isolate i is defined as

a linear combination of the maximum length (L_i) and circularity (C_i) of its swarming colony

(the two most discriminative features that separate strong swarmers from weak swarmers; see

Supplementary Fig. 1)

$$S_i = 0.60(L_i - \tilde{L}) - 0.80(C_i - \tilde{C}) \tag{1}$$

where $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{C}$ are the mean values of L_i and C_i across all clinical isolates respectively, and

the linear coefficients maximize the variance of the swarming score. To binarize the

swarming phenotype, a strain is called swarmer if its swarming score is higher than the

median swarming score across all strains (otherwise it is a non-swarmer).

Cross-strain reciprocal BLAST. To identify orthologous gene across all *P. aeruginosa*

strains, systematic cross-strain reciprocal BLAST was performed. Protein sequences of each

strain and the type strain PA14 were mutually queried against and the BLAST hits (genes)

with <80% coverage were removed. Two genes are orthologous if they were mutually the

best hit to each other and genes (from other strains) that are orthologous to the same PA14

gene were considered orthologous to each other.

Phylogenetic analysis. The phylogenetic tree of clinical *P. aeruginosa* strains (Fig. 1A) was

constructed from core genomes as previously described (Yan *et al*, 2017). Moran's I test was

carried out using the ape package in R (Paradis & Schliep, 2019). The ancestral state of

swarming and rhamnolipid production was reconstructed using corHMM package (Beaulieu

et al, 2013).

Growth curve analysis. We first normalized the growth curves in different experiments

(plates) by matching their corresponding controls (PA14). The plate-specific coefficients

were obtained by solving a linear regression model 'log(OD of PA14) ~ Plate + Time' (the

Wilkinson notation) using Matlab function fitlm, where Plate is plate ids and Time is time

points (both are categorical variables). Then the growth curves in each plate were normalized

by multiplying the adjustment coefficient of the plate. These normalized growth curves were

then smoothed by Savitzky-Golay filter (sgolayfilt function in Matlab). The growth phases

(phase I, II, III) were determined by the following rules: (phase I): first-order derivative >0

and second-order derivative >0; (phase II) first-order derivative >0 and second-order

derivative <0; (phase III) first-order derivative <0. The mean and maximum specific growth

rate for each growth phase were estimated from the best-fit model (highest R2) among three

models of bacterial growth curves: (1) exponential model $y = y_0 + y_1 e^{\mu t}$; (2) Zwietering-

Logistic model $y = y_0 + (A - y_0)\left(1 + e^{\frac{4\mu}{A}(\lambda-t)+2}\right)^{-1}$; (3) Zwietering-Gompertz model

$y = y_0 + (A - y_0)e^{-e^{\frac{\mu e}{A}(\lambda-t)+1}}$, where $y_0, y_1, \mu, \lambda, A$ are the parameters to fit. Both non-

negative factorization and random forest regression were performed in python, by

sklearn.decomposition.NMF and sklean.ensemble.RandomForestClassifier respectively.

Metabolite extraction. All *P. aeruginosa* strains were grown until OD_{600} = 0.2 (end of

exponential phase of growth) in glycerol minimal media. Bacteria was then loaded into 0.25

µm nylon membranes (Millipore) using vacuum, transferred to pre-warmed hard agar plates

with the same medium composition and incubated at 37ºC during 2.5 h. The filters were then

passed to 35 mm polystyrene dishes (Falcon) with 1 mL of 2:2:1 methanol:acetonitrile:H_2O

quenching buffer and incubated there during 15 minutes on dry ice. Cells were removed by

scraping and the lysate containing quenching buffer was transferred to 1.5 mL tubes and

centrifuged at 16000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4ºC. Supernatant transferred to fresh tubes and

stored at -80ºC.

Metabolomic data preprocessing. The extracts were profiled using liquid-chromatography

coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS), identifying a total of 92 compounds (Supplementary

Fig. 5). Some compounds contained missing values. These missing values in metabolite

abundance can be (1) truly missing; (2) present in a sample but its level is below detection

limit; (3) present in a sample at a level above the detection limit but missing due to failure of

algorithms in data processing. Here we assume that a metabolite with missing values in all

three replicates is truly missing in the sample and removed from our analysis (Supplementary

Fig. 5). However, if the missing values were only found in one or two replicates, the missing

values were imputed by the average of the non-missing values. After that imputation all

compounds with missing values were removed (Supplementary Fig. 5).

The peak areas were normalized using Cross-Contribution Compensating Multiple Standard

Normalization (CCMN) (Redestig *et al*, 2009) with NormalizeMets R package (De Livera *et*

al, 2018). This method relies on the use of multiple internal standards. Since LC-MS lacks

such internal standards, we used instead a set of metabolites assumed to be constant across all

the strains. They were selected with a Kuskal-Wallis test, adjusting the *p*-value with

Benjamini-Hochberg method. The ones with a *p*-value above 0.05 were considered constant

(pyruvate, methylglyoxal, (S)-2-Acetolactate, Tyramine, D-Glucose, (S)-Lactate, N-acetyl-L-

glutamate 5-semialdehyde, 4-Aminobutyraldehyde and Glycine), therefore after the

normalization step they were removed (indicated in red, Fig. 3A).

Hierarchical clustering of metabolomic data. The hierarchical clustering of the normalized

metabolomic data (Fig. 3B) was performed using gplots R package (Warnes *et al.* 2009), with

Euclidean distance and Ward's aggregation method. The clustering was performed with all

metabolites; however, 16 metabolites (indicated in red, Fig. 3A) with unknown compound

identity were removed from Fig. 3B and downstream analyses. These unknown compounds

are not artifacts arising from LC-MS instruments since their peaks were detected in all

strains. Fumarate and guanosine, which were only putative initially, were bioinformatically

confirmed as all other compounds with the same molecular weight are produced by

enzymatic reactions missing in our clinical isolates.

Metabolic pathway enrichment. The differential metabolites between rhamnolipid

producers and non-producers was determined by a Mann-Whitney test, with *p*-values

adjusted with Benjamini-Hochberg method and a significance level of 0.05. These

compounds were fed to FELLA algorithm (Picart-Armada *et al*, 2018, 2017). FELLA

retrieves a graph describing the relationships among pathway, module, enzyme, reaction and

compounds of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain UCBPP-PA14 from the KEGG database. The

graph was then used as an input network of differential compounds for its diffusion

algorithms (Vandin *et al* 2011). The output of FELLA consists of all subnetworks of the

entries predicted to have a high connectivity with the differential compounds. We filtered the

subnetwork entries to keep only metabolic pathways.

OPLS-DA model. OPLS-DA model of metabolomics data was built using ropls R package

(Thévenot *et al*, 2015), fixing the number of orthogonal components to 3. R^2 and Q^2, key

parameters for assessing the validity of the model, were assessed with 7-fold cross validation.

The significance of the model was determined by permutation test (n = 2000). The *p*-value

corresponds to the proportion of Q^2_{perm} above Q^2. With a *p*-value below 0.05 we considered

the model significant. The loadings of the predictive component of the model were extracted

to determine how each metabolite contributes to the separation according to the phenotype.

Genome-scale modeling. Custom Python codes were developed with the COBRApy

package (Ebrahim *et al*, 2013) to carry out all metabolic flux modeling and simulations in the

paper. Since iJN1411 model was developed for *Pseudomonas putida*, we removed genes and

associated reactions that are missing in all our strains but present in the iJN1411 model. The

futile cycles involving NADH, NADPH, and GSH were also removed. The modified iJN1411

model was further expanded by adding rhamnolipid biosynthesis pathway involving 9 new

metabolites and 12 new reactions. The metabolites, reactions and genes of the final model are

given in JSON format (Supplementary File 4).

The boundary fluxes of the model were set to mimic the composition of the glycerol

minimum medium. For C:N=10, the lower bounds of glycerol and ammonium fluxes were set

to -10 and -3 respectively. For C:N=3, the lower bounds were set to -3 and -3 respectively.

The flux unit is mmol/gDW/h throughout the paper. To constrain the total producing flux of

NADH (the same for NADPH and GSH) at a certain value C, we first defined a binary

variable X_k for each NADH-involving reaction k to indicate whether NADH is produced by

this reaction. Given the stoichiometric coefficient of NADH in this reaction (s_k) and its flux

value (f_k), the mathematical constraints for X_k was set by $X_k = 1$ for $s_k f_k > 0$ and $X_k = 0$

otherwise. Therefore, the constraint that equalizes the total NADH producing flux and a

constant C is simply $\sum_k s_k X_k f_k = C$. However, both X_k and f_k are variables and such

quadratic constraint has not yet been supported by COBRApy. We overcame this difficulty

by defining $A_k = X_k f_k$ and linearized the product with the following two inequalities:

$X_k l_b \leq A_k \leq X_k u_b$ and $f_k - (1 - X_k)u_b \leq A_k \leq f_k - (1 - X_k)l_b$, where l_b and u_b are the

lower and upper bounds of f_k. The two constraints ensure that $A_k = 0$ when $X_k = 0$ and

$A_k = f_k$ when $X_k = 1$. The minimum/maximum flux values of byproduct secretion were

simulated by flux variability analysis at maximum growth rate.

Detection of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). The H_2O_2 level in the extracellular medium was

quantified with Amplex® Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, USA, Catalog no. A22188). 500 μL of cell suspension were spinned down after

overnight growth in LB medium, washed twice in PBS and normalized to OD 1. Each

reaction was done in a final volume of 100 μL, with a final concentration of 50 μM of

Amplex® Red reagent, 0.1 U/mL of HRP (Horseradish Peroxidase) and 0.2 cell OD, in

glycerol synthetic medium, in BD Falcon (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) 96 well flat-

bottom plates. The first column of the plate corresponded to the reaction without cells (the

volume was substituted by PBS), and the last column instead of cells contained H_2O_2 (final

concentration 10 μM). OD_{600} and fluorescence 530/590 nm was measured in 10 minutes

intervals (48 h 37ºC).

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) degradation. H_2O_2 was removed from environment by cells

which degrade H_2O_2 intracellularly. The cumulative H_2O_2 removal curve was calculated by

subtracting the values of emission of the wells containing each strain from the values of

emission of the wells without cells or H_2O_2. Then they were normalized to the relative scale

by dividing their values by the corresponding values (at the same time points) of the control

strain (PA14) in the same experiment (plate). These normalized curves were then smoothed

by the Savitzky-Golay filter (sgolayfilt function in Matlab). The H_2O_2 removal rate per cell

was calculated by dividing the first-order derivative of the cumulative removal curves by OD

values. To estimate the effect of rhamnolipid production on per-cell H_2O_2 removal rate, we

developed a linear mixed-effect model that extends the simple linear regression model by

considering both fixed and random effects. The model was specified by the Wilkinson

notation 'RemovalRate ~ Time + RHL + (1|CurveID)', where RemovalRate is per-cell H_2O_2

removal rate, Time is time point (categorical variable), RHL is categorical rhamnolipid

production (non-producer, mild-producer, and strong-producer), and CurveID is the unique

ID for each strain and replicate. The expression '(1|CurveID)' indicates that CurveID was

modeled as random effects. The model was solved by fitlme in Matlab.

Data availability

All experimental and simulation data that support the conclusions of this study are available

from the main text and Supplementary Information. The source codes for generating the

figures of this study are available from

https://github.com/liaochen1988/Source_code_for_Pseudomonas_Metabolomics_Paper.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grants U01 AI124275 and R01 AI137269-01 to J.B.X.

F.R.P. and G.S. were partially supported by UIDB/04046/2020 and UIDP/04046/2020 Centre

grants from FCT, Portugal (to BioISI) and by the LungCARD project (Grant Agreement nº:

734790, H2020-MSCA-RISE-2016). G.S. is recipient of a fellowship from BioSys PhD

programme PD65-2012 (Ref SFRH/BD/142899/2018) from FCT (Portugal). The funders had

no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the

manuscript.

References

Abdel-Mawgoud AM, Lépine F & Déziel E (2010) Rhamnolipids: diversity of structures,

microbial origins and roles. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **86:** 1323–1336

Altindis E, Dong T, Catalano C & Mekalanos J (2015) Secretome analysis of *Vibrio cholerae*

type VI secretion system reveals a new effector-immunity pair. *MBio* **6:** e00075

Ayala-Castro C, Saini A & Outten FW (2008) Fe-S cluster assembly pathways in bacteria.

Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. **72:** 110–25, table of contents

Basan M, Hui S, Okano H, Zhang Z, Shen Y, Williamson JR & Hwa T (2015) Overflow

metabolism in *Escherichia coli* results from efficient proteome allocation. *Nature*

528: 99–104

Beaulieu JM, O'Meara BC & Donoghue MJ (2013) Identifying hidden rate changes in the

evolution of a binary morphological character: the evolution of plant habit in

campanulid angiosperms. *Syst. Biol.* **62:** 725–737

Biro PA & Stamps JA (2010) Do consistent individual differences in metabolic rate promote

consistent individual differences in behavior? *Trends Ecol. Evol. (Amst.)* **25:** 653–659

Boyle KE, Monaco H, van Ditmarsch D, Deforet M & Xavier JB (2015) Integration of

metabolic and quorum sensing signals governing the decision to cooperate in a

bacterial social trait. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11:** e1004279

Boyle KE, Monaco HT, Deforet M, Yan J, Wang Z, Rhee K & Xavier JB (2017) Metabolism

and the evolution of social behavior. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **34:** 2367–2379

Cai Y, Chandrangsu P, Gaballa A & Helmann JD (2017) Lack of formylated methionyl-

tRNA has pleiotropic effects on *Bacillus subtilis. Microbiology (Reading, Engl.)* **163:**

185–196

Caiazza NC, Shanks RMQ & O'Toole GA (2005) Rhamnolipids modulate swarming motility

patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol.* **187:** 7351–7361

Chen CY, Baker SC & Darton RC (2007) The application of a high throughput analysis

method for the screening of potential biosurfactants from natural sources. *J.*

Microbiol. Methods

Chong H & Li Q (2017) Microbial production of rhamnolipids: opportunities, challenges and

strategies. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **16:** 137

Cloarec O, Dumas M-E, Craig A, Barton RH, Trygg J, Hudson J, Blancher C, Gauguier D,

Lindon JC, Holmes E & Nicholson J (2005) Statistical total correlation spectroscopy:

an exploratory approach for latent biomarker identification from metabolic 1H NMR

data sets. *Anal. Chem.* **77:** 1282–1289

De Deken R (1966) The Crabtree Effect: A Regulatory System in Yeast. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*

44: 149–156

De Livera AM, Olshansky G, Simpson JA & Creek DJ (2018) NormalizeMets: assessing,

selecting and implementing statistical methods for normalizing metabolomics data.

Metabolomics **14:** 54

Deforet M, van Ditmarsch D, Carmona-Fontaine C & Xavier JB (2014) Hyperswarming

adaptations in a bacterium improve collective motility without enhancing single cell

motility. *Soft Matter* **10:** 2405–2413

Déziel E, Lépine F, Milot S & Villemur R (2003) *rhlA* is required for the production of a

novel biosurfactant promoting swarming motility in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: 3-(3-

hydroxyalkanoyloxy)alkanoic acids (HAAs), the precursors of rhamnolipids.

Microbiology (Reading, Engl.) **149:** 2005–2013

708 van Ditmarsch D, Boyle KE, Sakhtah H, Oyler JE, Nadell CD, Déziel É, Dietrich LEP &

709   Xavier JB (2013) Convergent evolution of hyperswarming leads to impaired biofilm

710   formation in pathogenic bacteria. *Cell Rep.* **4:** 697–708

711 van Ditmarsch D & Xavier JB (2011) High-resolution time series of *Pseudomonas*

712   *aeruginosa* gene expression and rhamnolipid secretion through growth curve

713   synchronization. *BMC Microbiol.* **11:** 140

714 Ebrahim A, Lerman JA, Palsson BO & Hyduke DR (2013) COBRApy: COnstraints-Based

715   Reconstruction and Analysis for Python. *BMC Syst. Biol.* **7:** 74

716 Ezraty B, Gennaris A, Barras F & Collet J-F (2017) Oxidative stress, protein damage and

717   repair in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15:** 385–396

718 Farmer IS & Jones CW (1976) The energetics of *Escherichia coli* during aerobic growth in

719   continuous culture. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **67:** 115–122

720 Griffin AS, West SA & Buckling A (2004) Cooperation and competition in pathogenic

721   bacteria. *Nature* **430:** 1024–1027

722 Hederstedt L & Rutberg L (1981) Succinate dehydrogenase--a comparative review.

723   *Microbiol Rev* **45:** 542–555

724 Inoue T, Shingaki R, Hirose S, Waki K, Mori H & Fukui K (2007) Genome-wide screening

725   of genes required for swarming motility in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **189:**

726   950–957

727 Jain DK & Collins DL A drop-collapsing test for screening surfactant-producing

728   microorganisms. *Thompson*

729 Kamatkar NG & Shrout JD (2011) Surface hardness impairment of quorum sensing and

730   swarming for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. PLoS One* **6:** e20888

731 Kearns DB (2010) A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8:** 634–

732   644

Kim W & Surette MG (2004) Metabolic differentiation in actively swarming *Salmonella*.

Mol. Microbiol. **54:** 702–714

Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL, Horan TC, Gaynes RP, Pollock DA & Cardo DM

(2007) Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002.

Public Health Rep. **122:** 160–166

Köhler T, Curty LK, Barja F, van Delden C & Pechère JC (2000) Swarming of *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa is dependent on cell-to-cell signaling and requires flagella and pili. *J.*

Bacteriol. **182:** 5990–5996

Kollaran AM, Joge S, Kotian HS, Badal D, Prakash D, Mishra A, Varma M & Singh V

(2019) Context-Specific Requirement of Forty-Four Two-Component Loci in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa Swarming. *iScience* **13:** 305–317

Lee DD & Seung HS (1999) Learning the parts of objects by non-negative matrix

factorization. *Nature* **401:** 788–791

Lequette Y, Lee J-H, Ledgham F, Lazdunski A & Greenberg EP (2006) A distinct QscR

regulon in the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* quorum-sensing circuit. *J. Bacteriol.* **188:**

3365–3370

Luo Y, Zhao K, Baker AE, Kuchma SL, Coggan KA, Wolfgang MC, Wong GC & O'Toole

GA (2015) A hierarchical cascade of second messengers regulates *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa surface behaviors. *MBio* **6:**

Mattingly AE, Kamatkar NG, Borlee BR & Shrout JD (2018) Multiple Environmental

Factors Influence the Importance of the Phosphodiesterase DipA upon *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa Swarming. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **84:**

Medina G, Juárez K, Valderrama B & Soberón-Chávez G (2003) Mechanism of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa RhlR transcriptional regulation of the *rhlAB* promoter. *J.*

Bacteriol. **185:** 5976–5983

Mellbye B & Schuster M (2014) Physiological framework for the regulation of quorum

sensing-dependent public goods in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol.* **196:**

1155–1164

Mishra S & Imlay J (2012) Why do bacteria use so many enzymes to scavenge hydrogen

peroxide? *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **525:** 145–160

Mohamed FA, Shaker GH & Askoura MM (2020) Oxidative Stress Influences *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa Susceptibility to Antibiotics and Reduces Its Pathogenesis in Host. *Curr*

Microbiol **77:** 479–490

Monaco H, Sereno T, Liu K, Reagor C, Deforet M & Xavier JB (2020) Spatial-temporal

dynamics of a microbial cooperative behavior robust to cheating. *BioRxiv*

Mulvey MR, Sorby PA, Triggs-Raine BL & Loewen PC (1988) Cloning and physical

characterization of *katE* and *katF* required for catalase HPII expression in *Escherichia*

coli. Gene **73:** 337–345

Nogales J, Gudmundsson S, Duque E, Ramos JL & Palsson BO (2017) Expanding The

Computable Reactome In *Pseudomonas putida* Reveals Metabolic Cycles Providing

Robustness. *BioRxiv*

Nogales J, Mueller J, Gudmundsson S, Canalejo FJ, Duque E, Monk J, Feist AM, Ramos JL,

Niu W & Palsson BO (2020) High-quality genome-scale metabolic modelling of

Pseudomonas putida highlights its broad metabolic capabilities. *Environ. Microbiol.*

22: 255–269

Overhage J, Lewenza S, Marr AK & Hancock REW (2007) Identification of genes involved

in swarming motility using a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 mini-Tn5-lux mutant

library. *J. Bacteriol.* **189:** 2164–2169

Paradis E & Schliep K (2019) ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and

evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35:** 526–528

Perry AC, Ni Bhriain N, Brown NL & Rouch DA (1991) Molecular characterization of the

gor gene encoding glutathione reductase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*:

determinants of substrate specificity among pyridine nucleotide-disulphide

oxidoreductases. *Mol. Microbiol.* **5:** 163–171

Pessi G & Haas D (2000) Transcriptional control of the hydrogen cyanide biosynthetic genes

hcnABC by the anaerobic regulator ANR and the quorum-sensing regulators LasR and

RhlR in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* **182:** 6940–6949

Piatkov KI, Vu TTM, Hwang C-S & Varshavsky A (2015) Formyl-methionine as a

degradation signal at the N-termini of bacterial proteins. *Microb. Cell* **2:** 376–393

Picart-Armada S, Fernández-Albert F, Vinaixa M, Rodríguez MA, Aivio S, Stracker TH,

Yanes O & Perera-Lluna A (2017) Null diffusion-based enrichment for metabolomics

data. *PLoS One* **12:** e0189012

Picart-Armada S, Fernández-Albert F, Vinaixa M, Yanes O & Perera-Lluna A (2018)

FELLA: an R package to enrich metabolomics data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19:** 538

Puertollano MA, Puertollano E, de Cienfuegos GÁ & de Pablo MA (2011) Dietary

antioxidants: immunity and host defense. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **11:** 1752–1766

Py B & Barras F (2010) Building Fe-S proteins: bacterial strategies. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8:**

436–446

Redestig H, Fukushima A, Stenlund H, Moritz T, Arita M, Saito K & Kusano M (2009)

Compensation for systematic cross-contribution improves normalization of mass

spectrometry based metabolomics data. *Anal. Chem.* **81:** 7974–7980

Schmidt R, Ulanova D, Wick LY, Bode HB & Garbeva P (2019) Microbe-driven chemical

ecology: past, present and future. *ISME J.* **13:** 2656–2663

Smith E & Morowitz HJ (2004) Universality in intermediary metabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. USA **101:** 13168–13173

Stickland HG, Davenport PW, Lilley KS, Griffin JL & Welch M (2010) Mutation of *nfxB*

causes global changes in the physiology and metabolism of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.*

J. Proteome Res. **9:** 2957–2967

Szenk M, Dill KA & de Graff AMR (2017) Why Do Fast-Growing Bacteria Enter Overflow

Metabolism? Testing the Membrane Real Estate Hypothesis. *Cell Syst.* **5:** 95–104

Thévenot EA, Roux A, Xu Y, Ezan E & Junot C (2015) Analysis of the Human Adult

Urinary Metabolome Variations with Age, Body Mass Index, and Gender by

Implementing a Comprehensive Workflow for Univariate and OPLS Statistical

Analyses. *J. Proteome Res.* **14:** 3322–3335

Tremblay J & Déziel E (2010) Gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* swarming

motility. *BMC Genomics* **11:** 587

Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC & Thompson CB (2009) Understanding the Warburg effect:

the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science* **324:** 1029–1033

de Vargas Roditi L, Boyle KE & Xavier JB (2013) Multilevel selection analysis of a

microbial social trait. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **9:** 684

Vemuri GN, Altman E, Sangurdekar DP, Khodursky AB & Eiteman MA (2006) Overflow

metabolism in *Escherichia coli* during steady-state growth: transcriptional regulation

and effect of the redox ratio. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72:** 3653–3661

Vemuri GN, Eiteman MA, McEwen JE, Olsson L & Nielsen J (2007) Increasing NADH

oxidation reduces overflow metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. USA **104:** 2402–2407

Vinckx T, Wei Q, Matthijs S & Cornelis P (2010) The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* oxidative

stress regulator OxyR influences production of pyocyanin and rhamnolipids:

protective role of pyocyanin. *Microbiology (Reading, Engl.)* **156:** 678–686

Westbrock-Wadman S, Sherman DR, Hickey MJ, Coulter SN, Zhu YQ, Warrener P, Nguyen

LY, Shawar RM, Folger KR & Stover CK (1999) Characterization of a *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa efflux pump contributing to aminoglycoside impermeability. *Antimicrob.*

Agents Chemother. **43:** 2975–2983

Xavier JB (2011) Social interaction in synthetic and natural microbial communities. *Mol.*

Syst. Biol. **7:** 483

Xavier JB, Kim W & Foster KR (2011) A molecular mechanism that stabilizes cooperative

secretions in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Mol. Microbiol.* **79:** 166–179

Xiao W & Loscalzo J (2019) Metabolic responses to reductive stress. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*

Yan J, Deforet M, Boyle KE, Rahman R, Liang R, Okegbe C, Dietrich LEP, Qiu W & Xavier

JB (2017) Bow-tie signaling in c-di-GMP: Machine learning in a simple biochemical

network. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **13:** e1005677

Yan J, Estanbouli H, Liao C, Kim W, Monk JM, Rahman R, Kamboj M, Palsson BO, Qiu W

& Xavier JB (2019) Systems-level analysis of NalD mutation, a recurrent driver of

rapid drug resistance in acute *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*

15: e1007562

Yeung ATY, Bains M & Hancock REW (2011) The sensor kinase CbrA is a global regulator

that modulates metabolism, virulence, and antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol. **193:** 918–931

Zhao B, Summers FA & Mason RP (2012) Photooxidation of Amplex Red to resorufin:

implications of exposing the Amplex Red assay to light. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **53:**

1080–1087

Zhu K, Chen S, Sysoeva TA & You L (2019) Universal antibiotic tolerance arising from

antibiotic-triggered accumulation of pyocyanin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. PLoS*

Biol. **17:** e3000573

Zhu K & Rock CO (2008) RhlA converts beta-hydroxyacyl-acyl carrier protein intermediates

in fatty acid synthesis to the beta-hydroxydecanoyl-beta-hydroxydecanoate

component of rhamnolipids in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol.* **190:** 3147–

3154

Table 1 Genes that are missing in non-rhamnolipid-producers but present in all

producers

Non-producers	Missing genes
F5677	*arsB, arsC, arsH, arsR, chiC, dacB, fliM, gcd, qscR, gntK, gntR,*
F63912	*lasR*
H27930	*arnA, pelA, pcaB, pcaD, pfeA, icmF3, rrsmE, pcd, pirR, pctA,*
M1608	*tauD, opdG, pdxA, hcnC, hcnB, hcnA, glgA, ybhO, glgB, katE, glgP, ligD, ada, opdO, kynB, fusA2, cynR, cynT, cynS, cmrA, gor, galU, amrB, gnyD, gnyB, gnyH, gnyA, gnyL, hmgA, fahA, maiA,*
M55212	*crc, rph*
S86968	*kdsB, cysP, hcnC, hcnB, hcnA, pdxA, opdG, nfxB, cupB3*
W36662	*rhlR, rhlI, cupC1, pilC, pilS*
W60856	*lasR, pirA*

Figures

Figure 1. Diversity of swarming across the *P. aeruginosa* phylogeny of core genomes. **A.** Phylogeny of clinical isolates obtained from patients with cancer at MSKCC (Yan *et al*, 2017, 2019) together with reference type strains PAO1, PA14 and PA7. The tissue where each isolate was originally isolated is labeled by circle colors. **B**. Swarming and rhamnolipid production phenotypes. The swarming ability of these strains is represented by the actual swarming images on the agar plates (left column). The ability of producing rhamnolipids of these strains is semi-quantitatively indicated by circle sizes (right column). Both phenotypes do not correlate with phylogeny. All swarmers can

Figure 2. Growth curve features distinguish rhamnolipid producers from non-producers. **A,B.**
Unsupervised feature selection using non-negative matrix factorization (NNMF), which decomposes
growth curves of all *Pseudomonas* isolates into three additive basis functions (features) such that each
growth curve can be approximately represented by the weighted sum of these functions. **A**. Growth
curves from both rhamnolipid producers (orange) and non-producers (blue). **B**. Decomposed
components (basis function multiplied by weights; left panels) and weights (right panels) from NNMF
grouped by rhamnolipid (RL) production. The shaded areas represent 95% bootstrap confidence
interval of the mean. **C-E.** Supervised feature selection using Random Forest classifier. **C,D**. Feature
extraction method. Each growth curve (excluding the initial lag phase) was divided into three phases
(**C**) and each phase was described by 7 quantitative features (**D**). **E.** Ranking of feature importance in

889　classifying rhamnolipid producers. Inset: boxplot of maximum specific growth rate of phase I grouped

890　by rhamnolipid production. Welch's t-test was used in (**B**) and (**E**) for significance testing. ****, p-

891　value ≤ 0.0001; *, p-value ≤0.05; ns, p-value > 0.05.

Figure 3. Variance and clustering analysis of metabolomics across *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

strains. A. Logarithm of raw peak area of all metabolites identified by LC-MS (Liquid

chromatography-Mass spectrometry). The metabolites in red were used as internal standard in the data

normalization step but removed from the dataset afterwards. **B.** Hierarchical clustering of the

metabolic profiles using Euclidean distance and Ward aggregation method. Each row represents one

metabolite and each column represents a specific sample (both strain and sample number are

indicated).

Figure 4. Comparative metabolomics between rhamnolipid producers (include both mild and

strong production levels) and non-producers. A. The scores plot of the OPLS-DA (orthogonal

partial least squares discriminant analysis) model. The first principle component separated the

rhamnolipid non-producers from producers. **B.** OPLS-DA loading values for the first principle

component of a selected number of metabolites. Metabolites with asterisk (*) are significantly

different between producers and non-producers (adjusted p-value of a Mann-Whitney test < 0.05). **C.**

Differential abundance of metabolites involved in reactions catalyzed by some Fe-S-containing

enzymes whose activities are inhibited by reactive oxygen species (ROS). Abbreviations: *acnA*

(aconitate hydratase A), *acnB* (aconitate hydratase B), *sdhB* (succinate dehydrogenase subunit), *fumA* (fumarase A), *fumB* (fumarase B), *gltB* (glutamate synthase subunit), *gltD* (glutamate synthase subunit).

Figure 5 Growth and rhamnolipid secretion are constrained by redox status. The redox stress levels are perturbed by altering fluxes of NADH (**A.** reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide) NADPH (**B.** reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate) and GSH (**C.** reduced glutathione). Upper panels are predicted maximum growth rates and lower panels are predicted maximum byproduct secretion fluxes. C:N indicates the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio between glycerol and ammonium in the culture medium. C:N=3 and C:N=10 represent carbon- and nitrogen-limiting conditions respectively. Abbreviations: HAA: 3-(3-hydroxyalkanoyloxy) alkanoate; monoRL: monorhamnolipid; diRL: dirhamnolipid; aKG: alpha-ketoglutarate.

Figure 6. Comparison of the ability of removing culture medium hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) among

strong rhamnolipid producers (+), weak producers (+/-), and non-producers (-). **A.** Population density.

B. The total amount of hydrogen peroxide removed from the environment. Negative values indicate

net cellular production of hydrogen peroxide released to the environment. **C.** The specific hydrogen

peroxide removal rate. In both **B** and **C**, each trajectory of H_2O_2 fluorescence intensity was normalized

to the averaged trajectory of the wild-type UCBPP-PA14 strain.

Figure 7. Schematic model showing how metabolism regulates evolution of swarming and rhamnolipid production in *P. aeruginosa*. During aerobic growth, cells rely on the TCA cycle and electron transport chain for central energy metabolism, which generates ROS (reactive oxygen species) as byproduct. Particularly, the membrane-located succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), which oxidizes succinate (succ in short, red dot, lower in rhamnolipid producers) to fumarate (fum in short, blue dot, higher in rhamnolipid producers), is an important site of ROS production and serves as the bottleneck of TCA cycle when ROS is excessive. **A.** Rhamnolipid producers are able to effectively remove ROS; therefore, their TCA cycle fluxes are only minimally inhibited by ROS and remain high to support fast growth. When cells reach certain density and nitrogen becomes limited, rhamnolipid production is turned on by quorum-sensing. **B.** Rhamnolipid non-producers ineffectively remove ROS and excessive ROS inhibits SDH, resulting in higher level of succinate and lower level of fumarate compared to rhamnolipid producers. The ROS-mediated inhibition significantly slows down the TCA

944 cycle and cell growth. The increased ROS level is thought to impair quorum-sensing in non-producers

945 and subsequently abolishes rhamnolipid production under the same culture medium of producers. Our

946 model further suggests that null mutations and entire loss of genes that play important roles in redox

947 stress sensing, signaling and protection drive the observed diversity of swarming and rhamnolipid

948 production phenotypes along evolution.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Principle component analysis and biplot of the swarming phenotype. Six morphological features were extracted from quantitative imaging analysis (see **Materials and Methods** in the main text), including maximum length (MaxLength), skeleton, perimeter, area of swarming colony (AreaPercentage), circularity and eccentricity. The MaxLength and circularity were the top two features that separate strong swarmers (bold font-weight) from weak swarmers (normal font-weight). The top 3 strains that have visually the largest (best swarmers) and smallest (worst swarmers) swarming colonies are highlighted in red and blue respectively with their swarming images

shown alongside. The abilities of these strains to produce rhamnolipids are also indicated by triangles

(producers) and dots (non-producers).

Supplementary Figure 2. Phylogenetic ancestor state reconstruction of rhamnolipid production (A)

and swarming phenotypes (B). For both (A) and (B), pie charts at the ancestor nodes of branches

represent relative likelihood proportion of each possible phenotypic state. We rooted the tree with

PA7, a *P. aeruginosa* isolate that is often used as an outlier to root phylogenetic trees. RL,

rhamnolipid production.

Supplementary Figure 3. Clustergram of growth curves of *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates and three

type strains PA14, PAO1 and PA7 in glycerol minimal medium. Euclidean distance was used as the

measure of similarity. The strains that are able to swarm are indicated in bold. Most of the swarmers

are clustered together. The swarmers that do not fall into this cluster include F30658 and PAO1, both

of which are mild swarmers, as well as F23197 whose growth curve has a relatively longer lag time.

Supplementary Figure 4. Growth curve of *P. aeruginosa* strains in glycerol minimal medium. Phase

975 I, II, and III are colored by red, blue and green respectively.

Supplementary Figure S5. A. The same as Fig. 3A of the main text but additionally includes metabolites with putative molecular identifications. These putative metabolites were initially included in clustering metabolomics but later removed from the heatmap (Fig. 3B of the main text). **B.** Metabolite peak area before (left panel) and after (right panel) imputation. The missing value proportion of a metabolite in the x-axis represents the frequency of missing values of the metabolite across all replicates of all strains in our study. The peak range of a metabolite in the y-axis is defined as the maximum peak area minus the minimum peak area among all non-missing values of the same metabolite across all our strains.

Supplementary Figure 6. The loading values of all predictive metabolites of the OPLS-DA model.

The differential metabolites between producers and non-producers were determined by a Mann

Whitney test (adjusted *p*-values with Benjamini-Hochberg method) with a level of significance of

0.05 (bars with black outline) and used as input for a metabolic pathway enrichment with FELLA

algorithm. The colors indicate the mapped metabolite pathway for each metabolite.

Supplementary Figure 7. Volcano plot of metabolomics data between wild-type *P. aeruginosa*

UCBPP-PA14 strain and its *rhlA* mutant grown in glycerol minimal medium (replotted with

permission from (Boyle *et al*, 2017)).

Supplementary Figure 8. Theoretical estimation of threshold carbon (glycerol):nitrogen

(ammonium) ratio above which carbon is in excess in the sense that carbon release through

rhamnolipids and central carbon metabolites does not compromise biomass production.

Abbreviations: HAA: 3-(3-hydroxyalkanoyloxy)alkanoate; monoRL: monorhamnolipid; diRL:

dirhamnolipid; aKG: alpha-ketoglutarate.

1003

1004

1005　**Supplementary Figure 9.** Growth curve of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains in succinate minimal

1006　medium. Phase I, II, and III are colored by red, blue and green respectively.

1007

Supplementary Figure 10. Comparison of growth curve features of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains

grown in glycerol and succinate minimal medium.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary Table 1: output of the FELLA algorithm. A set of differential compounds was

determined with a Mann-Whitney U test between rhamnolipid producers and rhamnolipid non

producers (*p*-value adjusted with Benjamini-Hochberg method, alpha = 0.05). These compounds were

used for applying diffusion algorithms over a graph consisting in all entries for *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa strain UCBPP-PA14 in KEGG database. The entries shown in the table are the ones with a

significative probability of receiving part of the simulated flux.

KEGG.id	Entry.type	KEGG.name	p.score
pau00020	pathway	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) - Pseudomonas aerug...	2.051E-02
pau00030	pathway	Pentose phosphate pathway - Pseudomonas aerug...	2.657E-04
pau00250	pathway	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism -...	3.502E-02
pau00270	pathway	Cysteine and methionine metabolism - Pseudomo...	3.106E-04
pau00290	pathway	Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis -...	1.000E-06
pau00620	pathway	Pyruvate metabolism - Pseudomonas aeruginosa ...	3.766E-02
pau00630	pathway	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism - Pse...	1.105E-02
pau00660	pathway	C5-Branched dibasic acid metabolism - Pseudom...	8.242E-03
pau01503	pathway	Cationic antimicrobial peptide (CAMP) resista...	3.891E-02
pau02060	pathway	Phosphotransferase system (PTS) - Pseudomonas...	4.222E-02
M00001	module	Glycolysis (Embden-Meyerhof pathway), glucose...	1.613E-02
M00002	module	Glycolysis, core module involving three-carbo...	4.022E-03
M00003	module	Gluconeogenesis, oxaloacetate => fructose-6P	2.299E-03
M00004	module	Pentose phosphate pathway (Pentose phosphate ...	3.993E-03

M00005	module	PRPP biosynthesis, ribose 5P => PRPP	1.000E-06
M00007	module	Pentose phosphate pathway, non-oxidative phas...	1.030E-04
M00009	module	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle, Krebs cycle)	7.517E-04
M00010	module	Citrate cycle, first carbon oxidation, oxaloa...	4.789E-04
M00011	module	Citrate cycle, second carbon oxidation, 2-oxo...	8.189E-03
M00012	module	Glyoxylate cycle	1.577E-03
M00019	module	Valine/isoleucine biosynthesis, pyruvate => v...	5.143E-03
M00032	module	Lysine degradation, lysine => saccharopine =>...	2.928E-04
M00049	module	Adenine ribonucleotide biosynthesis, IMP => A...	3.245E-02
M00149	module	Succinate dehydrogenase, prokaryotes	4.051E-02
M00165	module	Reductive pentose phosphate cycle (Calvin cyc...	8.362E-04
M00167	module	Reductive pentose phosphate cycle, glyceralde...	2.917E-04
M00173	module	Reductive citrate cycle (Arnon-Buchanan cycle...	1.377E+09
M00308	module	Semi-phosphorylative Entner-Doudoroff pathway...	2.511E-02
M00344	module	Formaldehyde assimilation, xylulose monophosp...	5.467E-03
M00374	module	Dicarboxylate-hydroxybutyrate cycle	2.552E-02
M00432	module	Leucine biosynthesis, 2-oxoisovalerate => 2-o...	1.000E-06
M00535	module	Isoleucine biosynthesis, pyruvate => 2-oxobut...	1.000E-06
M00570	module	Isoleucine biosynthesis, threonine => 2-oxobu...	8.290E-04
M00620	module	Incomplete reductive citrate cycle, acetyl-Co...	1.000E-06
M00633	module	Semi-phosphorylative Entner-Doudoroff pathway...	3.830E-02
M00740	module	Methylaspartate cycle	4.398E-02
1.1.1.85	enzyme	3-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase	1.000E-06
1.2.1.12	enzyme	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (pho...	1.578E-03

1.2.1.9	enzyme	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (NAD...	4.815E-04
1.3.5.1	enzyme	succinate dehydrogenase	5.028E+08
1.3.5.4	enzyme	fumarate reductase (quinol)	5.028E+08
1.4.1.9	enzyme	leucine dehydrogenase	1.032E-02
1.8.4.15	enzyme	protein dithiol oxidoreductase (disulfide-for...	3.891E-02
2.2.1.1	enzyme	transketolase	1.838E+08
2.2.1.2	enzyme	transaldolase	5.939E-04
2.3.3.1	enzyme	citrate (Si)-synthase	1.000E-06
2.3.3.13	enzyme	2-isopropylmalate synthase	1.000E-06
2.4.2.1	enzyme	purine-nucleoside phosphorylase	1.000E-06
2.4.2.2	enzyme	pyrimidine-nucleoside phosphorylase	1.000E-06
2.5.1.49	enzyme	O-acetylhomoserine aminocarboxypropyltransfer...	4.137E-02
2.6.1.2	enzyme	alanine transaminase	1.000E-06
2.6.1.42	enzyme	branched-chain-amino-acid transaminase	1.985E-02
2.6.1.66	enzyme	valine---pyruvate transaminase	1.000E-06
2.7.1.15	enzyme	ribokinase	1.791E-02
2.7.1.221	enzyme	N-acetylmuramate 1-kinase	1.000E-06
2.7.2.3	enzyme	phosphoglycerate kinase	1.747E-02
2.7.6.1	enzyme	ribose-phosphate diphosphokinase	1.000E-06
2.7.9.2	enzyme	pyruvate, water dikinase	7.185E-03
2.8.3.18	enzyme	succinyl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase	1.672E-02
3.1.3.105	enzyme	N-acetyl-D-muramate 6-phosphate phosphatase	1.000E-06
3.1.3.11	enzyme	fructose-bisphosphatase	3.302E-02
3.1.3.48	enzyme	protein-tyrosine-phosphatase	1.524E-02

3.2.2.10	enzyme	pyrimidine-5'-nucleotide nucleosidase	1.000E-06
3.2.2.4	enzyme	AMP nucleosidase	1.000E-06
3.4.21.107	enzyme	peptidase Do	1.929E-02
3.4.24.40	enzyme	serralysin	3.891E-02
3.5.1.28	enzyme	N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase	1.000E-06
3.5.4.2	enzyme	adenine deaminase	1.786E-02
3.6.1.13	enzyme	ADP-ribose diphosphatase	7.396E+08
3.7.1.20	enzyme	3-fumarylpyruvate hydrolase	2.495E-02
4.1.1.31	enzyme	phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase	4.009E-02
4.1.2.13	enzyme	fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	2.966E-03
4.1.2.14	enzyme	2-dehydro-3-deoxy-phosphogluconate aldolase	2.363E-02
4.1.2.48	enzyme	low-specificity L-threonine aldolase	9.416E-04
4.1.3.1	enzyme	isocitrate lyase	1.814E-02
4.2.1.2	enzyme	fumarate hydratase	1.000E-06
4.2.1.20	enzyme	tryptophan synthase	3.153E-02
4.2.1.3	enzyme	aconitate hydratase	1.000E-06
4.2.1.33	enzyme	3-isopropylmalate dehydratase	1.000E-06
4.2.1.35	enzyme	(R)-2-methylmalate dehydratase	1.000E-06
4.2.3.1	enzyme	threonine synthase	3.155E-02
4.3.1.1	enzyme	aspartate ammonia-lyase	1.000E-06
4.3.1.19	enzyme	threonine ammonia-lyase	1.000E-06
4.3.2.1	enzyme	argininosuccinate lyase	1.647E-02
4.3.2.2	enzyme	adenylosuccinate lyase	9.353E-04
5.1.3.1	enzyme	ribulose-phosphate 3-epimerase	1.624E-02
5.2.1.8	enzyme	peptidylprolyl isomerase	3.891E-02

5.3.1.1	enzyme	triose-phosphate isomerase	1.698E+08
5.3.1.6	enzyme	ribose-5-phosphate isomerase	2.338E-02
5.4.2.2	enzyme	phosphoglucomutase (alpha-D-glucose-1,6-bisph...	4.898E+09
6.2.1.5	enzyme	succinate---CoA ligase (ADP-forming)	6.932E-03
6.3.1.2	enzyme	glutamine synthetase	3.639E-02
6.4.1.1	enzyme	pyruvate carboxylase	4.182E+07
R00086	reaction	ATP phosphohydrolase	3.936E-02
R00122	reaction	ADP phosphohydrolase	3.029E-02
R00177	reaction	ATP:L-methionine S-adenosyltransferase	1.894E-02
R00182	reaction	AMP phosphoribohydrolase	1.000E-06
R00220	reaction	L-serine ammonia-lyase	2.595E-02
R00258	reaction	L-Alanine:2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase	4.726E-02
R00351	reaction	acetyl-CoA:oxaloacetate C-acetyltransferase (...	1.000E-06
R00352	reaction	acetyl-CoA:oxaloacetate C-acetyltransferase [...	1.000E-06
R00402	reaction	succinate:NAD+ oxidoreductase	1.000E-06
R00405	reaction	Succinate:CoA ligase (ADP-forming)	4.397E+09
R00432	reaction	Succinate:CoA ligase (GDP-forming)	2.166E-02
R00446	reaction	L-lysine:NAD+ 6-oxidoreductase (deaminating)	1.000E-06
R00479	reaction	isocitrate glyoxylate-lyase (succinate-formin...	3.522E-02
R00490	reaction	L-aspartate ammonia-lyase (fumarate-forming)	1.000E-06
R00510	reaction	cytidine-5'-monophosphate phosphoribohydrolas...	1.000E-06
R00653	reaction	N-formyl-L-methionine amidohydrolase	1.000E-06
R00751	reaction	L-threonine acetaldehyde-lyase (glycine-formi...	1.000E-06
R00782	reaction	L-cysteine hydrogen-sulfide-lyase (deaminatin...	1.000E-06
R00891	reaction	L-serine hydro-lyase (adding hydrogen sulfide...	1.000E-06

R00892	reaction	L-cysteine:NAD+ oxidoreductase	1.384E-02
R00893	reaction	L-Cysteine:oxygen oxidoreductase	7.899E-03
R00894	reaction	L-glutamate:L-cysteine gamma-ligase (ADP-form...	3.659E-03
R00895	reaction	L-Cysteine:2-oxoglutarate aminotransferase	1.786E-02
R00897	reaction	O3-acetyl-L-serine:hydrogen-sulfide 2-amino-2...	4.683E-03
R00901	reaction	L-cysteine hydrogen-sulfide-lyase (adding sul...	4.539E-03
R00994	reaction	(2R,3S)-3-methylmalate:NAD+ oxidoreductase	1.000E-06
R00996	reaction	L-threonine ammonia-lyase (2-oxobutanoate-for...	1.000E-06
R00999	reaction	O-Succinyl-L-homoserine succinate-lyase (deam...	2.925E-02
R01001	reaction	L-cystathionine cysteine-lyase (deaminating	3.769E-04
R01015	reaction	D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate aldose-ketose-is...	1.000E-06
R01049	reaction	ATP:D-ribose-5-phosphate diphosphotransferase	1.000E-06
R01051	reaction	ATP:D-ribose 5-phosphotransferase	1.000E-06
R01053	reaction	Ribose-5-phosphate:ammonia ligase (ADP-formin...	1.804E+07
R01054	reaction	ADP-ribose ribophosphohydrolase	9.884E+08
R01055	reaction	uracil hydro-lyase (adding D-ribose 5-phospha...	2.245E-03
R01056	reaction	D-ribose-5-phosphate aldose-ketose-isomerase	1.000E-06
R01057	reaction	D-Ribose 1,5-phosphomutase	1.000E-06
R01058	reaction	D-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate:NADP+ oxidoreduc...	1.000E-06
R01059	reaction	ATP:D-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphotransferase	1.000E-06
R01061	reaction	D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate:NAD+ oxidoreduct...	1.357E-04
R01063	reaction	D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate:NADP+ oxidoreduc...	1.690E-04

R01066	reaction	2-deoxy-D-ribose-5-phosphate acetaldehyde-lya...	1.494E-04
R01067	reaction	D-Fructose 6-phosphate:D-glyceraldehyde-3-pho...	5.048E+08
R01068	reaction	D-fructose-1,6-bisphosphate D-glyceraldehyde-...	8.170E+09
R01069	reaction	D-tagatose 1,6-bisphosphate D-glyceraldehyde-...	4.029E-04
R01070	reaction	beta-D-fructose-1,6-bisphosphate D-glyceralde...	8.852E+08
R01082	reaction	(S)-malate hydro-lyase (fumarate-forming)	1.000E-06
R01083	reaction	N6-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)AMP AMP-lyase (fumarat...	2.469E-03
R01085	reaction	3-fumarylpyruvate fumarylhydrolase	1.563E-02
R01086	reaction	2-(Nomega-L-arginino)succinate arginine-lyase...	5.126E-03
R01087	reaction	Maleate cis-trans-isomerase	2.433E+09
R01113	reaction	Glutathione:L-cystine oxidoreductase	3.593E-02
R01128	reaction	5'-Inosinate phosphoribohydrolase	1.000E-06
R01213	reaction	acetyl-CoA:3-methyl-2-oxobutanoate C-acetyltr...	1.000E-06
R01215	reaction	L-Valine:pyruvate aminotransferase	3.535E-02
R01244	reaction	Adenine aminohydrolase	2.846E-02
R01270	reaction	Nicotinamide D-ribonucleotide phosphoribohydr...	1.000E-06
R01322	reaction	Citrate:CoA ligase (ADP-forming)	3.624E-04
R01324	reaction	citrate hydroxymutase	1.000E-06
R01325	reaction	citrate hydro-lyase (cis-aconitate-forming)	1.000E-06
R01364	reaction	4-fumarylacetoacetate fumarylhydrolase	2.477E-02
R01440	reaction	D-Xylulose-5-phosphate:formaldehyde glycolald...	4.322E+09
R01465	reaction	L-threonine:NAD+ oxidoreductase	1.000E-06
R01466	reaction	O-phospho-L-homoserine phosphate-lyase (addin...	6.537E+09
R01561	reaction	adenosine:phosphate alpha-D-ribosyltransferas...	2.961E-03
R01570	reaction	thymidine:phosphate deoxy-alpha-D-ribosyltran...	1.000E-06

R01621	reaction	D-xylulose 5-phosphate D-glyceraldehyde-3-pho...	1.000E-06
R01641	reaction	sedoheptulose-7-phosphate:D-glyceraldehyde-3-...	1.000E-06
R01652	reaction	4-Methyl-2-oxopentanoate + CO2 <=> (2S)-2-Iso...	4.391E-03
R01827	reaction	sedoheptulose-7-phosphate:D-glyceraldehyde-3-...	1.594E-04
R01830	reaction	beta-D-Fructose 6-phosphate:D-glyceraldehyde-...	3.088E+08
R01863	reaction	inosine:phosphate alpha-D-ribosyltransferase	7.366E-03
R01867	reaction	(S)-dihydroorotate:fumarate oxidoreductase	1.035E-03
R01876	reaction	uridine:phosphate alpha-D-ribosyltransferase	2.008E-03
R01969	reaction	Deoxyguanosine:orthophosphate ribosyltransfer...	1.000E-06
R02147	reaction	guanosine:phosphate alpha-D-ribosyltransferas...	1.837E-02
R02164	reaction	succinate:quinone oxidoreductase	1.000E-06
R02294	reaction	N-Ribosylnicotinamide:orthophosphate ribosylt...	4.332E-02
R02296	reaction	cytidine:orthophosphate alpha-D-ribosyltransf...	3.703E-02
R02297	reaction	Xanthosine:orthophosphate ribosyltransferase	4.035E-02
R02313	reaction	N6-(L-1,3-Dicarboxypropyl)-L-lysine:NAD+ oxid...	1.000E-06
R02315	reaction	N6-(L-1,3-Dicarboxypropyl)-L-lysine:NADP+ oxi...	1.000E-06
R02317	reaction	(S)-2-amino-6-oxohexanoate hydro-lyase (spont...	1.000E-06
R02340	reaction	(1S,2R)-1-C-(indol-3-yl)glycerol 3-phosphate ...	7.357E-03
R02409	reaction	Coenzyme A:oxidized-glutathione oxidoreductas...	4.474E-02
R02484	reaction	deoxyuridine:orthophosphate 2-deoxy-D-ribosyl...	1.000E-06
R02557	reaction	Deoxyadenosine:orthophosphate ribosyltransfer...	1.000E-06
R02722	reaction	L-serine hydro-lyase [adding 1-C-(indol-3-yl)...	3.011E-03
R02748	reaction	Deoxyinosine:orthophosphate ribosyltransferas...	1.000E-06
R02749	reaction	2-deoxy-D-ribose 1-phosphate 1,5-phosphomutas...	1.000E-06

R03102	reaction	L-2-aminoadipate-6-semialdehyde:NAD+ 6-oxidor...	1.000E-06
R03103	reaction	L-2-aminoadipate-6-semialdehyde:NADP+ 6-oxido...	1.000E-06
R03217	reaction	O-Acetyl-L-homoserine succinate-lyase (adding...	3.059E-02
R03260	reaction	O-Succinyl-L-homoserine succinate-lyase (addi...	2.438E-03
R03524	reaction	L-cysteine hydrogen-sulfide-lyase (adding HCN...	3.504E-02
R03896	reaction	(R)-2-Methylmalate hydro-lyase (2-methylmalea...	1.149E+08
R03898	reaction	2-methylmaleate hydratase	1.000E-06
R03968	reaction	2-Isopropylmalate hydro-lyase	1.000E-06
R04001	reaction	3-Isopropylmalate hydro-lyase	1.000E-06
R04390	reaction	L-2-aminoadipate-6-semialdehyde:NADP+ 6-oxido...	2.104E-02
R04426	reaction	(2R,3S)-3-isopropylmalate:NAD+ oxidoreductase	1.000E-06
R04559	reaction	1-(5'-Phosphoribosyl)-5-amino-4-(N-succinocar...	7.225E-03
R05598	reaction	Benzylsuccinate fumarate-lyase	1.083E-02
R05605	reaction	2-dehydro-3-deoxy-6-phospho-D-gluconate D-gly...	2.199E-03
R05636	reaction	1-Deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate pyruvate-lyase...	3.417E-03
R06531	reaction	ATP:L-threonine O-phosphotransferase	2.361E-04
R06590	reaction	sedoheptulose-7-phosphate:D-glyceraldehyde-3-...	1.654E-02
R06789	reaction	(2Z,4E,7E)-2-Hydroxy-6-oxonona-2,4,7-triene-1...	1.163E-02
R06903	reaction	2-Methylnaphthalene + Fumarate <=> 2-Naphtylm...	2.194E-02
R06987	reaction	propanoyl-CoA:formate C-propanoyltransferase	2.218E-02
R07159	reaction	D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate:ferredoxin oxido...	1.000E-06

R07274	reaction	O-phospho-L-serine:hydrogen-sulfide 2-amino-2...	1.948E-04
R07399	reaction	Acetyl-CoA + Pyruvate + H2O <=> (R)-2-Methylm...	2.986E-03
R07456	reaction	D-ribulose 5-phosphate,D-glyceraldehyde 3-pho...	3.194E+09
R08323	reaction	7-Mercaptoheptanoic acid + L-Threonine + ATP ...	5.991E-03
R08555	reaction	(R)-lactate hydro-lyase (adding N-acetyl-D-gl...	9.758E-03
R08559	reaction	protein-N(pi)-phosphohistidine:N-acetylmurama...	1.000E-06
R10049	reaction	2-oxopropanal:D-fructose 1,6-bisphosphate gly...	4.546E-02
R10052	reaction	(2R,3S)-3-isopropylmalate:NAD+ oxidoreductase	1.000E-06
R10090	reaction	citrate:N6-acetyl-N6-hydroxy-L-lysine ligase ...	6.270E-03
R10170	reaction	(2R,3S)-3-isopropylmalate hydro-lyase (2-isop...	1.000E-06
R10270	reaction	(5R)-5-phosphooxy-L-lysine phosphate-lyase (d...	4.432E-04
R10343	reaction	succinyl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase	1.608E-03
R10660	reaction	fumarate CoM:CoB oxidoreductase (succinate fo...	6.353E+09
R10677	reaction	citrate:L-glutamate ligase (ADP-forming)	2.358E-02
R10699	reaction	L-lysine:8-amino-7-oxononanoate aminotransfer...	1.201E-03
R10860	reaction	D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate:NAD+ oxidoreduct...	1.000E-06
R10888	reaction	C20914 + L-Threonine <=> C20915	1.339E-02
R10891	reaction	Tabtoxin + H2O <=> Tabtoxinine-beta-lactam + ...	1.168E-03
R10892	reaction	tabtoxinine-beta-lactam:L-threonine ligase (A...	8.832E-04
R10940	reaction	fumarate:L-2,3-diaminopropanoate ligase (AMP-...	6.289E-03
R10973	reaction	D-ribose-2,5-bisphosphate 2-phosphohydrolase	2.912E-03
R11024	reaction	ATP:N-acetyl-D-muramate 1-phosphotransferase	1.000E-06
R11679	reaction	(S)-2-amino-6-oxohexanoate:NADP+ oxidoreducta...	1.000E-06

R11785	reaction	N-acetyl-D-muramate 6-phosphate phosphohydrol...	1.000E-06
R12308	reaction	L-2,3-diaminopropanoate:citrate ligase (2-[(L...	2.769E-03
R12353	reaction	D-Ornithine + Citrate + ATP <=> N5-Citryl-D-o...	4.337E-04
R12354	reaction	N5-Citryl-D-ornithine + Citrate + ATP <=> Sta...	3.695E-02
R12596	reaction	Spermidine + Citrate + ATP <=> N-Citryl-sperm...	2.685E-04
C00009	compound	Orthophosphate	9.902E-03
C00042	compound	Succinate	1.000E-06
C00058	compound	Formate	1.695E-02
C00073	compound	L-Methionine	3.505E-03
C00097	compound	L-Cysteine	1.000E-06
C00109	compound	2-Oxobutanoate	1.133E-02
C00117	compound	D-Ribose 5-phosphate	1.000E-06
C00118	compound	D-Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate	1.000E-06
C00122	compound	Fumarate	1.000E-06
C00141	compound	3-Methyl-2-oxobutanoic acid	2.304E-02
C00158	compound	Citrate	1.000E-06
C00188	compound	L-Threonine	1.000E-06
C00672	compound	2-Deoxy-D-ribose 1-phosphate	1.000E-06
C00673	compound	2-Deoxy-D-ribose 5-phosphate	2.442E-02
C02226	compound	2-Methylmaleate	3.627E-04
C02504	compound	alpha-Isopropylmalate	1.000E-06
C02631	compound	2-Isopropylmaleate	1.000E-06
C02713	compound	N-Acetylmuramate	1.000E-06
C03145	compound	N-Formylmethionine	1.000E-06

C04076	compound	L-2-Aminoadipate 6-semialdehyde	1.000E-06
C04411	compound	(2R,3S)-3-Isopropylmalate	1.000E-06
C06032	compound	D-erythro-3-Methylmalate	1.000E-06
C16698	compound	N-Acetylmuramic acid 6-phosphate	2.110E-03

1017