Cytotoxic CD4 Development Requires CD4 Effectors to Concurrently Recognize Local Antigen and Encounter Infection-Induced IL-15

Priyadharshini Devarajan[#1], Allen M. Vong[1], Catherine H. Castonguay[1], Noah J. Silverstein[2], Olivia Kugler-Umana[1], Bianca L. Bautista[1], Karen A. Kelly[3], Jeremy Luban[2], Susan L. Swain[#1]

[1] Department of Pathology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA

[2] Program in Molecular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA

[3] Department of Animal Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA.

Corresponding author: Susan L. Swain, Department of Pathology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA.

Phone: 518 524-1925 (home), 508-856-4494 (office); Email id: susan.swain@umassmed.edu

Corresponding author: Priyadharshini Devarajan, Department of Pathology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA.

Phone: 508-856-4395 (office); Email id: priyadharshini.devarajan@umassmed.edu

ABSTRACT

Cytotoxic CD4 T cells (ThCTL) are tissue-resident effectors that enhance viral clearance by MHC-II-restricted cytotoxicity of infected cells. Using a model of influenza A virus (IAV) infection, we identify key factors that drive CD4 effectors to differentiate into lung-resident ThCTL. We find that, to become ThCTL, CD4 effectors must again recognize cognate antigen on antigen presenting cells (APC) within the lung. Different APC subsets can drive this transition, including dendritic cells, B cells, and to a lesser extent non-hematopoietic MHC-II$^+$ APC. CD28 co-stimulation is not required and can reduce ThCTL development. In contrast, T follicular helper cells (T$_{FH}$) that are another specialized CD4 effector subset, require CD28 during this time. Optimal ThCTL generation also requires ongoing infection in the effector phase, that acts independently of antigen presentation. The mechanism involves production of Type I IFN, that induces IL-15 which acts to support further differentiation of CD4 effectors to ThCTL. The multiple spatial, temporal and cellular requirements for ThCTL generation from CD4 effectors described here would be expected to prevent cytotoxic CD4 responses in the lung after pathogen has already been cleared, while ensuring the development of potent lung-restricted ThCTL effectors when pathogen persists.

INTRODUCTION

Among T cells, CD8 cells are thought of as the cytotoxic lineage. However, in the past decade, many studies have shown that cytotoxic CD4 T cells (ThCTL) can protect against intracellular pathogens and tumors but also propagate human diseases (Cenerenti et al., 2022; Oh and Fong, 2021). In the last year, their role has been highlighted in two human diseases, emphasizing the need to understand factors regulating their development. In one, long-term remission in cancer patients was linked to cytotoxic CD4 T cells induced by CAR-T therapy (Melenhorst et al., 2022). In the other, increased cytotoxic CD4 T cells in the lung and cytotoxic CD4 signatures in blood were found to correlate with worse outcomes in severe COVID-19 patients (Kaneko et al., 2022; Meckiff et al., 2020).

Various mouse models of infection have been used to study ThCTL in the infected tissue and their contributions to the anti-viral immune response including mousepox, CMV (LCMV and MCMV) and influenza infection (Knudson et al., 2021; Marshall et al., 2016; Wehrens et al., 2018). ThCTL can play an important role in controlling primary infections using a perforin dependent mechanism to kill infected cells and can synergize with B cell responses to enhance immunity (Brown et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2012). This is especially important when viruses downregulate MHC-I as an immune evasion mechanism (Marshall and Swain, 2011; Soghoian and Streeck, 2010). However, overt ThCTL responses may also contribute to immunopathology as indicated by recent COVID-19 studies (Kaneko et al., 2022; Meckiff et al., 2020). Thus, it is crucial to define what signals drive ThCTL generation during infections so as to learn how to enhance them with vaccines or block them when they are harmful.

A mouse model of influenza infection allows us to study aspects of a successful T cell

response because primary influenza infection is cleared by T cells with antibody responses

developing only thereafter (Swain et al., 2006). Acute influenza infection induces lung-resident

ThCTL beginning at 6-7 days post infection (dpi), identified by surface expression of NKG2C/E

(Marshall et al., 2016). Only NKG2C/E-expressing CD4 effectors in the infected lung mediate

perforin-dependent cytotoxicity specific for MHC-II-expressing target cells (Marshall et al.,

2016). Consistent with their restricted location, ThCTL express a tissue-resident phenotype and

are not present in uninfected peripheral tissues, such as the spleen and draining lymph node

(DLN) during influenza infection (Marshall et al., 2016). Most previous studies used granzyme B

(GzmB) as the signature marker for cytotoxic CD4 T cells, however the majority of CD4

effectors in the inflamed tissue express GzmB, even though they are not all functionally

cytotoxic (Marshall et al., 2016). GzmB is also associated with processing of proinflammatory

cytokines and has various additional non-cytotoxic functions (Richardon et al., 2022). Thus, we

can reliably use NKG2C/E to identify ThCTL, to unambiguously track the generation of ThCTL

effectors and determine what signals are needed for their development.

The formation of cytotoxic CD4 T cells in response to infection seems to be a two-step process:

(1) the generation of Th1 CD4 effectors and (2) the further differentiation of some of these into

cytotoxic CD4 effectors (Knudson et al., 2021; Krueger et al., 2021). However, the mechanisms

and signals needed to drive the transition of CD4 effectors into ThCTL, and where they are

needed are unclear. Here we use a sequential adoptive transfer model to pinpoint the roles of

antigen (Ag) recognition, costimulatory signals, and other signals from infection at this 2nd step,

in the development of CD4 effectors generated during influenza infection into ThCTL in the

lung. We find that CD4 effectors at 6 dpi need to recognize cognate antigen again, but this must

occur in the lung to drive ThCTL development. Several APC subsets can effectively present Ag

at this timepoint. This pathway does not require CD28 co-stimulation during antigen recognition,

and it can be antagonistic. Finally, we find a requirement for infection-generated Type I IFN to

induce IL-15, which synergizes with local Ag presentation to drive the development of full-

fledged lung ThCTL. Thus, CD4 effectors develop into ThCTL only when multiple infection-

generated signals are present, so that cytotoxic CD4 responses are induced only when infection is

ongoing and not if virus has already been cleared. We discuss the relevance of these findings to

vaccine and immunotherapeutic strategies for tumors and viral infections.

RESULTS

Cognate Ag recognition by CD4 effectors drives the generation of ThCTL phenotype and

function in the lung

Following influenza infection, we find that all MHC-II-restricted functional cytotoxicity

in the lung is mediated by NKG2C/E expressing CD4 effectors (Marshall et al., 2016). Thus, we

can use surface staining for NKG2A/C/E as a signature marker to readily identify ThCTL and

study the factors driving their generation, which previously had been challenging due to the lack

of a reliable surface marker that clearly marked functionally cytotoxic CD4 T cells *in vivo*.

During influenza infection, ThCTL develop only in the lung and after 6 days post-infection (dpi),

peak at 8-10 dpi and contract thereafter (Marshall et al., 2016) (**Figure S1A**). To determine if Ag

presentation after 6 dpi plays a role in ThCTL generation, we transferred naïve OT-

II.Nur77^{GFP}.Thy1.1$^+$ CD4 T cells into wild-type (WT) hosts and infected them with PR8-OVA$_{II}$.

Nur77^{GFP} CD4 T cells transiently express Nur77 and thus GFP when they are stimulated by Ag

recognition (Au-Yeung et al., 2014; Bautista et al., 2016; Moran et al., 2011). At 6 dpi, more of

the Nur77^{GFP+} than the Nurr77^{GFP-} donor cells in the lung expressed NKG2A/C/E, and levels of

Nurr77 were higher on NKG2A/C/E$^+$ cells (**Figure S1B**), indicating that the ThCTL in the lung

have recently recognized Ag.

ThCTL have been found to develop from already generated Th1 effectors (Knudson et

al., 2021; Krueger et al., 2021). After influenza infection Th1 effectors traffic to the lung from

the periphery, beginning at 6 dpi (Strutt et al., 2012). Therefore, we used a sequential transfer

approach (Bautista et al., 2016) to determine if 6 dpi effectors, isolated from the spleens and

DLN of infected host, require Ag recognition to become ThCTL (**Figure 1A**). We generated 6

dpi CD4 effectors *in vivo* by transfer of naïve OT-II (specific for an OVA$_{II}$ epitope) Thy1.1 CD4

T cells, into 1st hosts infected with PR8-OVA$_{II}$ influenza A virus. We isolated the donor-derived

in vivo-generated effectors from the spleen and DLN at 6 dpi. The 6 dpi effectors contain no

ThCTL (Marshall et al., 2016), but we predict they include the CD4 effector precursors to lung

ThCTL. These donor effectors were then transferred into 2nd hosts that had been infected 6d

previously (infection-matched) with or without Ag, to ensure that signals from infection other

than cognate Ag, were reproduced as in a normal *in situ* response. This allows us to separate the

1st step of CD4 effector generation from the 2nd step during which ThCTL are generated from the

CD4 effectors, in an *in vivo* system. We provided 2nd hosts with either Ag and infection (PR8-

OVA$_{II}$ infection) or infection without Ag (PR8 infection) or neither (uninfected) (**Figure 1A**).

We assessed donor ThCTL 2 days post transfer (dpt) in the lung, which corresponded to 8 dpi. A

clear cohort of donor ThCTL developed only when Ag was present in the 2nd hosts (PR8-OVA$_{II}$),

with few found when Ag was absent (PR8) (7-fold less) (**Figure 1B**). This Ag-dependence was

more selective for ThCTL than the total CD4 effector population, as the total number of donor

effectors in the lung was only reduced 1.7-fold without Ag (**Figure S1C**). Donor cell expression

of other indicators of cytotoxicity, such as Granzyme B (GzmB) (**Figure 1C**) and the

degranulation marker CD107a (**Figure 1D**), were also dependent on Ag presented in the 2nd host.

Expression of other ThCTL-associated markers (Marshall et al., 2016) by the donor lung

effectors, PD1 and CXCR6 were also markedly Ag dependent, while two other markers,

activated PSGL1 and decreased CXCR3 were not (**Figure S1D-G**).

To assess *in vivo* cytotoxic function, we transferred *in vivo*-generated effectors into 2nd

hosts with or without Ag (as in Figure 1A) along with CFSE-labeled target cells (**Figure 1E**). In

PR8-OVA$_{II}$-infected hosts we found over 20% cytotoxicity (Ag-specific), but little cytotoxicity

in PR8-infected hosts. Thus, ThCTL that were cytotoxic to Ag-expressing targets, only

developed when effectors re-encountered Ag in the 2nd host.

We also analyzed secretion of canonical Th1 effector cytokines IFNγ and TNFα by lung

donor cells. In contrast to ThCTL development that depended on Ag, the donor effectors

recovered in the lung did not require Ag recognition to maintain the ability to secrete IFNγ, and

TNFα secretion was lost when cognate Ag was present during the effector phase (**Figure 1F-G,**

Figure S1H). Thus, we suggest that the components of the program leading to induction of

ThCTL phenotypes and functions, but not general Th1 characteristics in the lung, were

coordinately driven in 6d effectors by cognate Ag recognition at the effector phase.

ThCTL development from 6 dpi CD4 effectors does not require CD28 co-stimulation in

contrast to T$_{FH}$

CD28 expressed on T cells interacts with CD80/86 on APC during cognate interaction,

co-stimulating IL-2 production and initiating proliferation (Watts, 2010). Since we find that CD4

effectors require cognate Ag presentation again to become ThCTL, we analyzed whether the

generation of ThCTL from CD4 effectors also requires CD28:CD80/CD86 co-stimulation during

this time. As a control, we analyzed generation of T$_{FH}$ (T follicular helper cells) which is another

late CD4 effector subset, that is known to require CD28 co-stimulation even during the peak of

the effector phase (Linterman et al., 2014). We transferred 6d *in vivo* effectors into WT or

CD80/86 deficient PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched hosts (**Figure 2A**). When hosts lacked the

costimulatory ligands for CD28, the recovery of total donor effectors in the lung was

significantly decreased (**Figure S2A**), but the proportion of lung donor ThCTL nearly doubled

(**Figure 2B**). Donor ThCTL numbers were unchanged (**Figure 2B**), suggesting non-ThCTL were

selectively lost. The level of NKG2A/C/E expression on donor ThCTL was also increased in

CD80/86 KO compared with WT hosts (**Figure 2B, Figure S2B**), suggesting that the

development of full-fledged ThCTL improved without CD28:CD80/86 co-stimulation.

To reproduce this *in vitro*, we cultured *in vivo*-generated 6d effectors for 2d *in vitro* with

anti-CD3 vs anti-CD3 plus CD28 (**Figure 2C**). No ThCTL developed without anti-CD3 (**Figure

S2C-D**), mimicking the *in vivo* requirement for cognate Ag recognition by CD4 effectors to

become ThCTL, as shown in Figure 1. ThCTL developed when effectors were stimulated by

CD3 alone and adding CD28 co-stimulation inhibited ThCTL generation (**Figure S2D**).

Development of cytotoxic function also depended on anti-CD3 stimulation and was inhibited

when CD28 co-stimulation was provided *in vitro* (**Figure 2D**). Together the *in vitro* and *in vivo*

results indicate that differentiation of 6d effectors into ThCTL does not require CD28 co-

stimulation. The lack of a need for CD28 co-stimulation is also reminiscent of human ThCTL

populations that are CD28 negative (Serroukh et al., 2018; van de Berg et al., 2008).

In contrast, in the same experiments, both the proportion and absolute number of donor

T$_{FH}$ and GC-T$_{FH}$ in the spleen and DLN were dramatically lower in the CD80/86 KO hosts

(**Figure 2E-F, Figure S2E-F**). Thus, while CD4 effectors require CD28 co-stimulation to fully

develop into T$_{FH}$ in the spleen and the DLN, they do not require CD28 to sustain or induce

further ThCTL generation in the lung. Therefore, while both pathways of specialized CD4

effector development require Ag recognition, the two have distinct co-stimulation requirements.

182   Ag presentation by hematopoietic APC effectively induces ThCTL generation from CD4

183   effectors

A recent study showed that ThCTL generation was significantly reduced when CD11c$^+$

APC were absent from the initiation of the response (Knudson et al., 2021). Since we show here

that 6 dpi effectors need to recognize cognate Ag again to become lung ThCTL, we asked if a

specific APC subset is required during this time, to generate ThCTL from already primed 6 dpi

effectors. To evaluate the need for DC, we used 3 mouse models: (1) CD11c^{Cre}MHC-II$^{fl/fl}$ hosts

where CD11c-expressing APC lose MHC-II and thus cannot present Ag (**Figure 3A**) (2) CD11c

Tg.H2-Ab1$^{-/-}$ hosts, where the only potential APC subsets expressing MHC-II are CD11c$^+$

(**Figure S3A**) and (3) Ag-pulsed DC added as the source of cognate Ag (**Figure S3B**). We

found transferring Ag-pulsed DC (OVA$_{II}$/DC) along with 6d effectors into PR8 infection-

matched mice was sufficient to drive the CD4 effectors to become ThCTL (**Figure S3B**). There

was no defect in donor ThCTL formation when 6 dpi effectors were transferred either into

CD11c^{Cre}MHC-II$^{fl/fl}$ PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched mice (**Figure 3C**) or into CD11c Tg.H2-

Ab1$^{-/-}$ PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched mice (**Figure S3A**). Thus, both endogenous and

exogenously added MHC-II$^+$ DC APC are sufficient to drive ThCTL development, but ThCTL

develop from effectors even when CD11c$^+$ DC APC are absent, indicating DC are not the only

APC that effectively support CD4 effector differentiation to ThCTL.

To ask if B cells are required as APC for 6 dpi effectors to become ThCTL in the lung,

we used B cell deficient JhD mice. We transferred 6 dpi *in vivo*-generated BALB/c.HNT

effectors (specific for influenza A virus hemagglutinin) into PR8 infection-matched BALB/c.JhD

vs BALB/c.WT mice (**Figure 3D**). In JhD hosts, there were 2-fold fewer donor effectors in the

lung at 9 dpi (**Figure 3E**), but an equivalent proportion of ThCTL generated as in WT hosts,

resulting in a 2-fold decrease in number of donor-derived ThCTL (**Figure 3F**). Thus, ThCTL

generation from 6 dpi effectors can be induced in the absence of cognate Ag presentation by B

cells. To determine if B cells, like DC, were sufficient as APC to drive ThCTL development

from effectors, we transferred 6 dpi OT-II effectors with Ag-pulsed B cells (OVA$_{II}$/B) into PR8

infected-matched mice and found ThCTL were generated (**Figure S3C**). Thus, as for DC, B cells

are not essential but are sufficient as APC to drive 6 dpi CD4 effector differentiation into

ThCTL.

During IAV infection, MHC-II is also upregulated on infected epithelial cells in the lung,

a possible source of non-hematopoietic APC (Brown et al., 2012). To determine if non-

hematopoietic APC can support ThCTL generation, we transferred 6 dpi effectors into infection-

matched bone marrow (BM) chimeras in which MHC II Ag-presentation was restricted to either

the hematopoietic compartment [WT→MHC-II KO chimeras] or to the non-hematopoietic

compartment [MHC-II KO→WT chimeras] (**Figure 3G**). As expected, there was no defect in

ThCTL generation when MHC-II was expressed only by the hematopoietic compartment

compared to the controls (**Figure 3I**). On the other hand, when MHC-II was expressed only by

non-hematopoietic cells, we found significantly fewer donor ThCTL (8.5-fold decrease) although

there were still some generated (**Figure 3J**). This defect was not only due to a decrease in

number of total donor effectors in the lung since those were decreased only by 3.4-fold (**Figure**

3H). This indicates that non-hematopoietic APC on their own are inefficient in supporting

effector differentiation to ThCTL. We conclude that development of ThCTL from 6 dpi CD4

effectors does not require a unique APC type, that multiple hematopoietic APC including DC and

B cells efficiently drive this differentiation and that even non-hematopoietic cells can generate

some ThCTL.

Ag delivery to the lung during the effector phase drives lung ThCTL generation

ThCTL are tissue-resident effectors found in the site of viral replication, which is the

lung during influenza virus infection (Marshall et al., 2016). Since 6 dpi effectors isolated from

the SLO need to recognize Ag again to become lung ThCTL (Figure 1), we hypothesized that

local Ag recognition in the lung may be required.

To evaluate this, we targeted Ag presentation to the lung using intranasal (i.n.) Ag/APC

and to the spleen using intrasplenic (i.s.) delivery. We previously showed, using Nur77^{GFP}OT-II.

Thy1.1 effectors and CD45.1^{+} or GFP^{+} APC, that we can visualize the location of APC and Ag

presentation. Transfer of Ag/APC i.n. successfully restricted Ag presentation to the lung, while

transfer of Ag/APC i.s restricted Ag presentation to the spleen (Devarajan et al., 2022)

(summarized in **Figure S4A**).

We transferred 6 dpi *in vivo*-generated effectors into PR8 infection-matched 2nd hosts

with Ag/APC transferred either i.n. or i.s and analyzed ThCTL generation from donor effectors

at 9 dpi (**Figure 4A**). Both i.n. and i.s. Ag/APC transfer increased trafficking of total transferred

effectors to the lung, compared to the negative control (**Figure 4B**). We compared ThCTL

generation after i.n. vs i.s. Ag/APC delivery (**Figure 4C**). Strikingly, only i.n. Ag/APC delivery

induced ThCTL. When we used i.s. delivery, few if any ThCTL were generated, suggesting that

Ag in the lung is required for lung ThCTL generation.

Our previous data show that after intravenous (i.v.) OVA_{II}/APC transfer they are found

predominantly in the spleen and not in the lung, though donor effectors in the lung express

$Nur77^{GFP}$, suggesting that they recognized Ag in the periphery before migrating to the lung

(Devarajan et al., 2022) (**Figure S4A**). To test if peripheral Ag presentation was sufficient to

drive 6d effectors to ThCTL, we transferred OVA_{II}/APC i.v. and compared ThCTL generation to

i.n. OVA_{II}/APC transfer (**Figure S4B**). OVA_{II}/APC transfer i.v., like i.s., increased trafficking of

total transferred effectors to the lung, compared to both the negative control and to i.n. transfer

(**Figure S4C**). These data (**Figure S4C, Figure 4B**) support the concept that Ag presentation in

the spleen enhances pathways that favor migration of CD4 effectors to the lung. In contrast,

further development of donor ThCTL in the lung as measured by NKG2A/C/E expression (both

percent and MFI) after i.v. transfer, was as low as the negative control (**Figure S4D**). CXCR6

and PD1 expression by the $NKG2A/C/E^{+}$ cells generated with i.v. OVA_{II}/APC transfer was also

lower compared to those generated with i.n. OVA_{II}/APC (**Figure S4E**). This suggests that even

if 6d effectors recognize Ag initially in the SLO, and this Ag presentation enhances their

migration to the lung, ThCTL develop optimally only when Ag is presented locally in the lung,

which is their tissue of residence.

Infection-induced Type I IFN is required to support the development of ThCTL from 6 dpi

CD4 effectors

ThCTL effectors can be pathogenic when dysregulated (Broadley et al., 2017; Juno et al.,

2017) as also found recently during COVID infection (Kaneko et al., 2022; Meckiff et al., 2020).

We reasoned that 'danger signals' from infection might also be required at the effector stage as a

mechanism to limit production of lung ThCTL when infection has already been cleared. To

analyze whether generation of ThCTL requires infection, independently of Ag presentation, we

transferred *in vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Nur77^{GFP}.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors along with OVA$_{II}$/B cells as

APC into IAV infection-matched or uninfected 2nd hosts for 3-4 days (**Figure 5A**). To ensure

that the transferred APC present Ag equivalently in both infected and uninfected hosts, we

harvested one cohort of each after 14-16hr (**Figure S5A**). We found there was no significant

difference either in the number of transferred APC found or in Nur77^{GFP} expression by the donor

effectors, in the lung of infected compared to uninfected mice, indicating that we had

successfully normalized Ag presentation in both groups of hosts by presenting Ag only on the

peptide-pulsed APC (**Figure S5A**).

We assessed donor ThCTL generation at 3-4 dpt. Lung ThCTL recovery in infection-

matched positive controls was markedly higher than in uninfected mice (**Figure 5B**). Donor cells

in the infected host lung expressed increased GzmB, increased PD1 and decreased CXCR3

compared to uninfected hosts, each of which are associated with ThCTL (**Figure S5C**). To

investigate whether the impact of infection was linked to Ag presentation, we instead infected

with Influenza B virus (IBV) (**Figure S5D-G**). IBV shares no CD4 T cell epitopes with IAV,

therefore IBV-infected 2nd hosts only provide infection-generated signals but do not present

cognate Ag. We supplied cognate Ag presentation with OVA$_{II}$/B cell APC. ThCTL generation

was highly increased in IBV infection-matched compared to uninfected mice (**Figure S5E**).

GzmB expression by lung donor cells was also drastically increased by IBV infection compared

to uninfected hosts (**Figure S5E**). To evaluate this requirement for infection with another APC

subset, we repeated the experiment using DC as the source of OVA$_{II}$/APC (**Figure 5C-D**).

Again, infection was needed for optimum generation of ThCTL and either IAV or IBV support

this. Infection also enhanced GzmB expression and associated ThCTL phenotypes (**Figure 5D,**

S5G). Thus, infection plays a clear role in inducing ThCTL, distinct and in addition to its role in

providing local Ag presentation.

Since induction of Type I IFN is classically associated with viral infections, we tested if

infection-induced Type I IFN in the host environment, plays a role in ThCTL generation from 6

dpi effectors. We transferred 6 dpi *in vivo*-generated WT effectors into infection-matched

IFNAR KO hosts and analyzed their development into lung ThCTL (**Figure 5E**). Fewer donor

cells became ThCTL and expressed lower GzmB in IFNAR KO compared to those in WT lungs

(**Figure 5F**). However, there was no decrease in total donor effectors recovered from the lung

(**Figure S5H**). This suggests a role for Type I IFN signals in driving induction of ThCTL. In this

model the host mice lacked IFNAR while the transferred 6 dpi WT effectors expressed IFNAR,

suggesting that Type I IFN played an indirect role by inducing a factor in host cells that was

required for ThCTL generation.

To evaluate when infection-induced factors may play a role, we asked when after

infection the signals supporting ThCTL development were optimal. We transferred 6 dpi

effectors with OVA$_{II}$/APC into 0, 2, 4 and 6 dpi PR8-infected hosts and harvested lungs from

each group after 2 dpt (**Figure 5G**). Lung ThCTL were most efficiently generated in mice

infected for 6-8 days (**Figure 5G**, **Figure S5I**) suggesting that type I IFN induces a factor that is

required for ThCTL generation, which is at sufficient levels only after 6 dpi.

IL-15 synergizes with local cognate-Ag recognition in the lung to drive ThCTL generation

from 6 dpi effectors

Since the factor(s) supplied by infection that are required for ThCTL generation in

addition to Ag presentation is best induced after 6 dpi, we analyzed pathways that were

upregulated in lung CD4 effectors at that time. We performed scRNAseq analysis of influenza-

infected lungs at 6 dpi and compared naïve CD4 clusters with activated CD4 clusters. The

differentially expressed genes showed an enrichment for a Hobit-regulated pathway that is

induced by IL-15 (Mackay et al., 2016; Mackay et al., 2015) (**Figure 6A**). As Type I IFN

induced a factor(s) that could drive the generation of lung ThCTL (Figure 5E-F), we asked if

Type I IFN might act by inducing IL-15. We quantified levels of IL-15 in IFNAR KO vs WT

lungs at various dpi. In mice without IFNAR, there was a reduction in IL-15 levels in the

bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) after 5 dpi, as determined by qPCR (**Figure 6B**). IL-15 is

predominantly transpresented by the IL-15Rα on the surface of cells (Nolz and Richer, 2020), so

only minimal quantities of IL-15/IL-15R complex are secreted that can be quantified by ELISA.

There was also a reduction in the secreted IL-15/IL-15R complex in the BAL fluid (BALF) of

IFNAR KO mice (**Figure 6B**). This is in line with previous studies that show that Type I IFN

induces IL-15 during infection (Colpitts et al., 2012; Colpitts et al., 2013; Mattei et al., 2001).

scRNAseq of whole 6 dpi lungs showed that IL-15 and IL-15Rα were expressed mainly by

subsets of macrophages, neutrophils, endothelial cells and fibroblasts, suggesting that these

subsets may have the potential to transpresent IL-15/IL15-Rα (**Figure S6A**).

To specifically assess the role of IL-15 in driving ThCTL generation, we transferred 6 dpi

effectors into infection-matched IL-15Rα KO hosts (**Figure 6C**), that cannot transpresent IL-15

to CD4 effectors. Indeed, fewer donor ThCTL were generated from 6 dpi effectors in the IL-

15Rα KO hosts (**Figure 6D**), suggesting a role for IL-15 in ThCTL generation. To confirm that

IL-15 synergizes with local Ag presentation in the lung to generate ThCTL from 6 dpi peripheral

effectors, we transferred 6 dpi *in vivo*-generated effectors along with i.n. OVA$_{II}$/APC into

uninfected hosts, with or without IL-15/IL-15Rα complex administered i.n. (**Figure 6E**). Many

more ThCTL were generated from 6 dpi effectors in uninfected hosts when intranasal IL-15

complexes were provided (**Figure 6F**), and they were generated to levels comparable to those

seen during influenza infection (**Figure S6E**). Thus, infection-induced Type I IFN induces IL-15

production in the lung which in turn supports lung ThCTL generation from 6 dpi SLO CD4

effectors.

DISCUSSION

ThCTL contribute to viral clearance using perforin-dependent, MHC-II restricted

cytotoxicity and synergize with B cell responses to enhance recovery from infections (Brown et

al., 2006; Brown et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2012; Marshall et al., 2016). We have shown that lung-

resident ThCTL help clear influenza virus and recover from primary influenza infections (Brown

et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2012; Marshall et al., 2016). Lung-resident ThCTL have also recently

been documented in lungs of COVID patients (Kaneko et al., 2022). There are several discrete

subsets of cytotoxic CD4 T cells that are defined by their location including circulating cytotoxic

CD4, CD4 IEL and tissue-resident ThCTL (Juno et al., 2017; Marshall et al., 2016). The

requirements for the generation of ThCTL, that are bona fide CD4 T cells expressing ThPOK,

and that are induced during viral infections is largely undefined in vivo. We show that influenza

infection-induced ThCTL are generated from peripheral 6 dpi effectors that traffic to the lung

where they must once again recognize local cognate Ag on MHC-II$^+$APC. In addition, full-

fledged ThCTL development requires the infection to induce type I IFN, that acts on host cells to

produce IL-15, which drives ThCTL generation from CD4 effectors. Our results also suggest that

tissue residency in CD4 effectors is established by one or more of these signals. Thus, ThCTL

development is tightly regulated and depends on continuing infection at the effector stage.

We previously established that cognate Ag recognition by CD4 effectors and autocrine

IL-2 induction is required for generation of protective CD4 memory, and that these signals are

required by CD4 effectors at 6-8 dpi (Bautista et al., 2016; McKinstry et al., 2014; Swain et al.,

2021). Recently we showed that T_{FH} development during IAV infection required cognate Ag

recognition by CD4 effectors in the SLO (Devarajan et al., 2022), and signals from CD28 co-

stimulation. Here we identify the key signals for CD4 effectors to transition into ThCTL. This

suggests that there is an effector checkpoint, at which CD4 effectors must receive multiple

signals from infection unique to each fate, to progress into each of these specialized CD4

subsets. This effector checkpoint coincides *in situ* with the peak of the CD4 effector response,

which is followed by rapid contraction, supporting the concept that CD4 effectors express a

default program of apoptosis which they avoid only when they recognize Ag (Bautista et al.,

2016; McKinstry et al., 2014). These studies together with our findings here indicate that distinct

sets of signals, during the effector checkpoint, concurrently drive alternate fates for CD4

effectors including T_{FH}, ThCTL and CD4 memory. Thus, even if CD4 effectors are pre-

committed to certain fates early on, they need further signals at this effector checkpoint to realize

their potential and complete their development into these subsets. Our results here imply that the

majority of vaccines, that are known to present antigen and pathogen recognition only for a few

days, will lead to little generation of ThCTL or memory derived from ThCTL. Since many

viruses evade CD8-mediated cytotoxicity by downregulating MHC-I, lack of CD4 driven

cytotoxicity by ThCTL that recognize MHC-II presented antigens, is likely to lead to poor

vaccine-induced protection.

One pathway-specific signal is CD28 co-stimulation that is required for T_{FH}, but not for

ThCTL generation (Figure 2) which it may limit. This finding also fits with studies that indicate

human ThCTL are CD28^{-} (van de Berg et al., 2008). The opposing CD28 requirement for T_{FH} vs

ThCTL generation mirrors their opposing requirements via the Bcl6-Blimp axis (Donnarumma et

al., 2016; Johnston et al., 2009; Marshall et al., 2016), suggesting these two specialized CD4

effector subsets follow opposing axes of development, though they develop concurrently during

infection.

IL-15 is well-known as a homeostatic and survival factor, but has also been proposed to

be a danger signal that communicates an altered tissue state to the immune system, thus licensing

more potent effector functions (Jabri and Abadie, 2015). This seems to be the case during

ThCTL development, where IL-15 peaking at 5-7 dpi in the infected lung tissue, is required for

CD4 effectors to pass the effector checkpoint and become cytotoxic (Figure 6). In NK cells and

CD8 T cells, IL-15 induces the expression of cytolytic pore forming perforin and death-inducing

granzymes (Jabri and Abadie, 2015; Nolz and Richer, 2020), compatible with our finding here

that IL-15 enhances ThCTL in the lung during influenza infection. Mackay et al have shown that

IL-15 also induces CD8 T_{RM} via a Hobit/Blimp dependent pathway (Mackay et al., 2016;

Mackay et al., 2015). Our scRNAseq data indicates that a Hobit pathway is indeed expressed in

lung CD4 effectors along with downregulation of genes such as Klf2, Ccr7, Sell and Tcf7 that

result in tissue retention (Mackay et al., 2016). This suggests IL-15 may act by a similar

mechanistic pathway through Hobit to induce lung-residence in ThCTL effectors, a hypothesis

that needs to be further explored. Thus IL-15 may be playing a dual role inducing both

cytotoxicity as well as tissue residence in lung ThCTL during influenza infection.

While various MHC-II[+] APC, including DC and B cells, could support donor effectors to

develop into ThCTL (Figure 3), ThCTL developed only when Ag/APC were delivered to the site

of residence in the lung (Figure 4). Recently, Ag presentation in tissues has been implicated in

development of both T and B resident memory subsets (Allie et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2016;

McMaster et al., 2018; Takamura et al., 2016). Our data suggest that local Ag presentation in the

lung along with IL-15 likely establishes tissue residency in ThCTL effectors during the effector

checkpoint, and we suggest this most likely is carried over when they become resting memory.

Our results show that the generation of lung ThCTL requires infection to continue into

the effector phase (Figure 5-6) and that the infection-generated signals that are required in

addition to local Ag presentation, are only present optimally after 6 dpi. A recent study using a

mousepox model of Ectromelia infection also showed that ThCTL do not develop if virus is

cleared early (Knudson et al., 2021). We find few ThCTL develop when infection, as sensed by

Type I IFN induced IL-15, is absent at this effector checkpoint. Type I IFNs are induced by

many pathogens and are considered a proxy for ongoing infection. Type I IFN induces IL-15 in

myeloid cells in response to viral infection (Colpitts et al., 2012; Colpitts et al., 2013; Mattei et

al., 2001). We postulate that requiring simultaneous local Ag recognition and infection-generated

type I IFN and IL-15, acts as a safeguard to limit ThCTL generation only to those situations

where there is still an ongoing threat at the effector stage. This would limit unnecessary,

potentially harmful responses. Indeed, several reports describe exaggerated ThCTL responses in

certain autoimmune diseases and infections (Broadley et al., 2017; Juno et al., 2017) where

continuous Ag and inflammation persist, including severe COVID infection (Kaneko et al.,

2022; Meckiff et al., 2020). Our findings here also suggest that interventions such as blocking

IL-15 or local Ag presentation, may attenuate exaggerated ThCTL responses seen during

COVID infections.

ThCTL participate in viral clearance (Marshall and Swain, 2011), so an optimal and well-

regulated ThCTL response is likely needed for a successful response to influenza and other

respiratory viruses. In our studies ThCTL protected against lethal influenza infection by

synergizing with humoral responses via a perforin-dependent mechanism (Brown et al., 2006).

Critically, their cytotoxicity is not sensitive to viral evasion mechanisms that downregulate

MHC-I and thus impede CD8 dependent cytotoxicity (Marshall and Swain, 2011; Soghoian and

Streeck, 2010). With cytotoxic CD4 being linked to sustained responses with CAR-T therapy

(Melenhorst et al., 2022), it is likely that ThCTL play important roles in anti-tumor immunity,

particularly in tumor tissues that downregulate MHC-I to evade immune responses. Thus,

strategies to better induce ThCTL effectors in tumor sites could likely benefit by a design that

provides prolonged Ag and IL-15 signals in tumor sites.

437   Coupled with our earlier studies of CD4 memory (Bautista et al., 2016; McKinstry et al.,

2014) and T_{FH} generation (Devarajan et al., 2022), our results here support a new paradigm in

which a set of critical fate decisions occur at the CD4 effector checkpoint to coordinately support

generation of multiple alternate fates: CD4 memory, T_{FH} and ThCTL. We suggest that most

effective immunity will develop only when acute infection or novel vaccines provide the effector

checkpoint signals identified here, at the right time and in the relevant local tissue sites, so as to

drive robust tissue-resident effectors and subsequent CD4 memory resulting in stronger

protection against future infections (Devarajan et al., 2016).

ACKOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Laura Mackay and Dr. Luke Gandolfo (University of Melbourne) for their assistance acquiring the IL15/Hobit regulated dataset; Dr. Niranj Chandrasekaran (Broad Institute) for help analyzing the scRNAseq data; and Swain Lab members – Dr. Richard Dutton, Dr. Kai McKinstry, Dr. Michael Jones, Dr. Esteban Rozen, Michael Perkins and Jialing Liang for their intellectual input and assistance with experiments.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P.D. and S.L.S. wrote the manuscript with assistance from other authors. P.D., A.M.V. and S.L.S. conceived the project and designed experiments. P.D., A.M.V. and N.J.S. performed and analyzed experiments with assistance from C.H.C., O.K.U. and B.L.B. A.M.V. primarily performed and analyzed experiments for Figures 1-2 and associated supplementary figures. P.D. primarily performed and analyzed experiments for Figure 3-6 and associated supplementary

figures. N.J.S performed the scRNAseq. K.A.K. performed intrasplenic transfers with assistance

from P.D. All authors have read and approved the submitted version.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no financial conflicts of interest.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Cognate Ag during the effector checkpoint is required for lung ThCTL

phenotype and function. (A) Experimental design for (B-D): Naïve OT-II.Thy1.1^{+} cells were

transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infected mice (1st hosts). At 6 dpi, OT-II.Thy1.1^{+} effectors were

isolated from 1st hosts and transferred into following groups of 2nd hosts: 6 dpi PR8-OVA$_{II}$-

infected, 6 dpi PR8-infected, or uninfected mice. Donor cells in the lung were analyzed 8 dpi.

(B) Percentage and numbers of donor lung ThCTL (NKG2A/C/E^{+}) (n=19 per group pooled, 4

independent experiments). **(C)** Representative histogram of donor lung cell GzmB expression

(negative control: naïve CD4 from uninfected mice). Normalized MFI of donor lung cell GzmB

expression (n=10 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(D)** CD107a degranulation

marker expression by donor lung cells (n=9 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(E)**

Experimental design: *In vivo* 6d OT-II.Thy1.1^{+} effectors were transferred into 6 dpi PR8-OVA$_{II}$

or PR8 infection-matched TCRα/β$^{-/-}$ mice. CFSE^{lo} target and bystander CFSE^{hi} bystander cells

were transferred at 7d. Representative CFSE histograms shown. Percentage Ag specific

cytotoxicity in each group is shown. **(F-G)** Experiment done as in (E). Percentage of donor lung

cells expressing intracellular IFNγ (F) and TNFα (G) (E-G, n=7 per group pooled, 2 independent

experiments). Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (*

P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001). See also Fig. S1.

Figure 2. CD4 effectors do not require CD28 co-stimulation to become ThCTL. (A)

Experimental design for (B, E-F): *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred

into 6 dpi PR8-OVA$_{II}$-infected WT or CD80/CD86$^{-/-}$ mice. Spleen, DLN and lungs were

harvested at 8 dpi. **(B)** Percentage and number of lung ThCTL (NKG2A/C/E$^+$) formed from

donor cells. Normalized MFI (nMFI) of NKG2A/C/E expression by ThCTL (n=10-19 per group

pooled, 2-4 independent experiments) **(C)** Experimental design: *In vivo* generated 6d OT-

II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were isolated and stimulated with either anti-CD3 alone or anti-CD3 and

anti-CD28 *in vitro* to mimic *in vivo* effector phase cognate Ag stimulation. **(D)** Ag specific

cytotoxicity of donors generated as in Figure 2C, with anti-CD3 or anti-CD3 + anti-CD28 (Each

E:T ratio is assayed in triplicate or single wells for +EGTA conditions, representative of 2

independent experiments). **(E-F)** Experiment done as in Figure 2A. **(E)** Percentage and number

of spleen donor T$_{FH}$ (n=14-19 per group pooled, 3-4 independent experiments). **(F)** Number of

spleen donor GC-T$_{FH}$ (GL7$^+$CXCR5$^+$ Bcl6$^+$) (n=8-10 per group pooled, 2 independent

experiments). Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed,

unpaired Student's t-test (* P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001). See also Fig. S2.

Figure 3. Hematopoietic APC subsets are sufficient to support ThCTL generation from 6d

effectors. (A-C) *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$

infection-matched CD11c^{Cre}MHC-II$^{fl/fl}$ hosts where MHC-II Ag presentation on CD11c$^+$ APC is

absent or into littermate control mice. **(B)** Total number of donor cells in the lung and **(C)**

ThCTL generation were analyzed at 8 dpi. (n=7 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments).

(D-F) *In vivo* generated 6d HNT.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred into PR8 infection-matched

JhD hosts that are B cell deficient or into WT control mice. **(E)** Total number of donor cells in

the lung and **(F)** ThCTL generation were analyzed at 9 dpi. (n=8 per group pooled, 2

independent experiments). **(G-J)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred

into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched MHC-II KO (H2-Ab1$^{-/-}$) bone marrow chimera mice or into

WT chimera control mice. WT→ MHC-II KO chimeras (n=7-8 per group pooled, 3 independent

experiments) and MHC-II KO →WT chimeras (n=8-11 per group pooled, 3 independent

experiments) were made by transferring WT bone-marrow into MHC-II KO irradiated hosts and

vice versa. WT→WT bone marrow chimeras were used as control mice. **(H)** Total number of

donor cells in the lung and **(I-J)** ThCTL generation were analyzed at 8-9 dpi. Error bars

represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (*

P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001). See also Figure S3.

Figure 4. Ag delivery via i.n. and i.s routes, during the effector phase, shows that local Ag

presentation in the lung drives ThCTL generation from effectors. **(A)** Experimental design:

OVA$_{II}$ peptide pulsed CD45.1$^+$ B-cells were used as APC and transferred into PR8 infection-

matched hosts 6 dpi either intranasally (i.n.) or intrasplenically (i.s.). Unpulsed APC were

transferred both i.n. and i.s. as negative controls. *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Nur77^{GFP}.Thy1.1$^+$

effectors were transferred i.v. Mice were harvested 3 dpt and ThCTL generation from donor cells

in the lung was analyzed. **(B)** Number of donor effectors recovered with i.n. vs i.s. APC transfer.

(C) Donor lung ThCTL formation with i.n. vs i.s. APC transfer. (n=9-12 per group pooled, 4

independent experiments) Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-

tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 and *** P < 0.001). See also Fig S4.

Figure 5. Signals from infection, in addition to Ag recognition, support lung ThCTL generation from effectors. (**A**) *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Nur77^{GFP}.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. along with OVA$_{II}$ peptide pulsed B cells (Fig 5B) or DC (Fig 5C-D) that were transferred both i.n. and i.v. into 2nd hosts that were either infection-matched with Influenza A Virus (IAV: PR8), with Influenza B Virus (IBV: B/Ann Arbor/1/66), or that were uninfected. (**B**) 6d effectors + OVA$_{II}$/B were transferred into IAV infection-matched vs uninfected hosts and were analyzed by FACS 3-4 dpt for donor lung ThCTL generation (n=16-20 per group pooled from 5 independent experiments). (**C-D**) 6d effectors + OVA$_{II}$/DC were transferred into IAV or IBV infection-matched, or uninfected hosts. 4 dpt lungs were analyzed by FACS for (**C**) donor ThCTL generation, (**D**) GzmB normalized MFI of total lung donor cells. (C-D) n=6-10 per group pooled from 2 independent experiments. (**E**) *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. into 2nd wild-type IFNAR KO hosts infection-matched with PR8-OVA$_{II}$ virus. Donor cells in the lung were analyzed 3 dpt (**F**) Lung ThCTL generation from donor cells and donor cell GzmB expression in the lung (n=11-17 per group pooled from 3-4 independent experiments). (**G**) *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. into groups of 2nd hosts that were infected with PR8 at different times before transfer. Equal numbers of OVA$_{II}$ peptide pulsed APC were transferred into all groups of 2nd hosts both i.n. and i.v. T-depleted splenocytes were activated and used as APC. Lung ThCTL generation from donor cells was analyzed 2 dpt. (n=5-7 per group pooled from 2 independent experiments). Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* $P <$ 0.05, ** $P <$ 0.01 and *** $P <$ 0.001). See also Fig. S5.

Figure 6. CD4 effectors require IL-15 to become lung resident ThCTL effectors. (**A**) Influenza infected lungs were analyzed by scRNAseq at 6 dpi. Naïve CD4$^+$ cells in clusters 4&6

were compared with activated CD4$^+$ cells in clusters 7&13. GSEA was used to test if a IL-15

induced Hobit pathway (Mackay et al, 2016) was enriched in lung CD4 effectors. Expression of

a panel of IL-15/Hobit regulated genes are plotted. Size of dots represent the percent of cells in

the population expressing the gene. Color of the dots represents the normalized expression value

of the gene. **(B)** IFNAR KO and WT control mice were infected with PR8 virus. Their

bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was collected at various dpi. IL15 gene expression in the BAL

cells was analyzed by qPCR. Secreted IL-15/IL-15R levels in the BALF was analyzed by

ELISA. (n=5-11 per group pooled, 2-4 independent experiments). **(C)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-

II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched IL-15Rα KO hosts or

into control mice. Donor cells in the lung were analyzed 2 dpt **(D)** Donor ThCTL (NKG2A/C/E$^+$)

generation and donor GzmB nMFI expression was analyzed in the lung (n=9-10 per group

pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(E)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were

transferred into two groups of uninfected hosts along with OVA$_{II}$/APC that were transferred i.n.

T-depleted splenocytes were activated and used as APC. One group was treated i.n. with IL-

15/IL-15Rα complex starting the day before transfer to the day before harvest. Control group

was treated i.n. with PBS. **(F)** ThCTL generation from donor cells and donor GzmB expression

was analyzed 3 dpt in the lung. (n=8-10 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). Error

bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test

(* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ and *** $P < 0.001$). See also Fig. S6.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

C57Bl/6 (B6), B6.CD45.1, B6.Thy1.1, B6.Nr4a1^{eGFP} (Nur77^{GFP}), B6.CD80/CD86 KO, IL-15Ra

KO, CD11c-Cre-GFP, I-AB-flox and B6.MHC II⁻ were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory.

B6.TCRα/β KO mice were obtained from R.Welsh (UMass Chan), Y-linked B6.OT-II mice from

L.Bradley (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA) and were originally published by

F.Carbone's group (Barnden et al., 1998), BALB/c.HNT from D. Lo (Scott et al., 1994) (The

Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA), IFNAR KO from J.Sprent (Garvan Institute),

CD11cTg.H2-Ab1$^{-/-}$ mice from T.Laufer originally and all have been bred and maintained at the

UMass Chan animal facility. Mice were at least 8 weeks old prior to use. Experimental animal

procedures were done in accordance with UMass CHan Animal Care and Use Committee

guidelines.

Virus stocks and infections

608 Influenza A viruses (IAV) A/Puerto Rico/8/34 (PR8), originally from St. Jude Children's

609 Hospital, and A/PR8-OVA$_{II}$, kindly provided by Dr. Peter Doherty, were grown and maintained

610 at the Trudeau Institute. Influenza B virus (IBV) B/Ann Arbor/1/86, kindly provided by Dr.

611 Suzanne Epstein (FDA, CBER), was grown and maintained at the Trudeau Institute. Mice were

612 anesthetized with isoflurane (Piramal Healthcare) or with Ketamine/Xylazine (at a dose of

613 100/10mg/kg by i.p. injection) and were infected intranasally with influenza virus corresponding

614 to a 0.2-0.3 LD$_{50}$ dose in 50 uL of PBS.

615 **Bone marrow chimera mice** were generated as described previously (Devarajan et al., 2022)

617 ***In vivo* day 6 effector generation and transfer/*in vitro* culture**

618 *In vivo* generated 6d CD4 T cell effectors were routinely obtained as described previously

619 (Bautista et al., 2016). Briefly, cells from lymph nodes and spleens of naïve OT-II or HNT

620 transgenic mice were enriched for naïve cells by percoll gradients and CD4 T cells isolated by

621 CD4 positive selection (Miltenyi Biotec) or using a CD4 naïve positive selection kit (Miltenyi

622 Biotec). Naïve CD4 T cells were adoptively transferred into mice (1st hosts), which were then

623 infected with IAV (PR8 or PR8-OVA$_{II}$). On day 6 post infection, the lung draining lymph nodes

624 (DLN) and spleens were harvested and donor T cells were isolated using MACS (Miltenyi

625 Biotec) based on their congenic marker (CD90.1). Immediately after isolation, 1-2x10^6 *in vivo*

626 generated 6d CD4 effectors were adoptively transferred intravenously (i.v.) into host mice (2nd

627 hosts).

628 *In vivo* generated 6d CD4 effectors were also cultured *in vitro* for 2 days by stimulating with

629 plate bound anti-CD3 (2C11, 0.5ug/ml) or anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 (37.51, 20ug/mL) in T cell

630   media (RPMI 1640 supplemented with 7.5% fetal bovine serum, 0.36mM L-glutamine, 50 uM 2-

631   mercaptoethanol, 162 IU penicillin, 162 ug/ml streptomycin and 10mM HEPES).

In vitro APC culture and activation

633   BMDC (bone marrow derived dendritic cells) (Bautista et al., 2016; Brahmakshatriya et al.,

634   2017) and activated B cell (Bautista et al., 2016) generation was done as described previously.

635   When a specific APC subset was not required, APC were generated using naïve splenocytes T-

636   depleted using CD90.2 negative selection (Miltenyi Biotec) and cultured *in vitro* for 2 days with

637   10ng/mL LPS and 10ng/mL dextran sulfate.

In vivo APC delivery

To deliver Ag/APC (BMDC or activated B cells), APC were pulsed with 10μM OVA$_{323-339}$

(OVA$_{II}$) peptide (New England Peptide) or no peptide as a negative control (unpulsed APC) for

1 hour at 37°C with shaking. APC were washed and administered either intravenously (i.v.) in

200uL PBS, intranasally (i.n.) in 50uL PBS, or intrasplenically (i.s.) in 10uL PBS. 0.25-1x10^{6}

BMDC or 1x10^{6} B cells were transferred i.v., 0.5-2x10^{6} BMDC or 1-2x10^{6} B cells were

transferred i.n. and 0.5-1x10^{6} B cells were transferred i.s.

Intrasplenic transfer of APC was performed as described previously (Devarajan et al., 2022).

T cell functional assays

In vivo and *in vitro* cytotoxicity was performed as previously described (Marshall et al., 2016).

Briefly, for *in vivo* cytotoxicity, T depleted splenocytes were stained with either 1uM or 0.4uM

of CFSE denoting target (0.4uM) or bystander (1uM) cells. Target cells were pulsed with OVA$_{II}$

peptide for 1 hour at 37°C. Both populations were washed twice in PBS and adoptively

transferred into host mice. 18 hours later, the spleens of host mice were harvested and the

number of target and bystander cells were quantified by flow cytometry. Specific killing was

calculated as: 100 x (1- (live targets/live bystanders)) normalized to the ratio found in control

mice. For *in vitro* cytotoxicity, targets were activated B cells that were labeled as above using

CellTrace Violet (Invitrogen). Effectors and targets were co-cultured in 96 U bottom plates in T

cell medium at 37°C 5% CO_2 for 4 hours. Plates were washed and stained for cell viability using

Annexin V and 7-AAD (Invitrogen) or live/dead amine dyes (Invitrogen). Ag specific

cytotoxicity was calculated as: 100 x (1- (live targets/live bystanders)) normalized to the ratio

found in control wells with no effector cells. T cell degranulation and cytokine production was

measured by *ex vivo* stimulation with plate bound 0.5ug/mL anti-CD3 and 20ug/mL anti-CD28

or with 10ng/mL PMA and 500ng/mL Ionomycin for 4 hours at 37°C, 5% CO_2 with brefeldin A

(10ug/ml). T cell degranulation was also measured simultaneously with the addition of anti-

CD107a PE (Biolegend, 1:200), and monensin (BD GolgiStop, according to manufacturer's

protocol) at the beginning of the culture. Cells were harvested and stained for intracellular

cytokines.

Flow cytometry

Cells were processed, stained and analyzed flow cytometry as previously described in detail

(Devarajan et al., 2022). Surface antibodies used: anti- CD4 (GK1.5), CD19 (6D5), CD44 (IM7),

CD90.1 (OX-7 and HIS51), CD107a (1D4B), CD183 (CXCR3, CXCR3-173), CD185 (CXCR5,

SPRCL5), CD186 (CXCR6, SA051D1), CD335 (NKp46, 29A1.4), GL-7, NK1.1 (PK136), and

NKG2A/C/E (20d5). Binding to P-selectin was measured by incubating with P-selectin IgG

Fusion protein (BD Bioscience), washed and detected with fluorochrome conjugated secondary

goat anti-human antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Subsequent staining for cytokines using

the following antibodies: anti-IFNγ (XMG1.2), anti- TNFα (MP6-XT22). GzmB was stained

intracellulary directly *ex vivo* using anti-GzmB (GB11). Intracellular Bcl-6 stained with anti-Bcl-

6 (K112-91). Antibodies were obtained from eBioscience, Biolegend, or BD Bioscience.

IL-15 complex treatment

Recombinant Mouse IL-15R alpha Fc Chimera Protein (R&D Systems) and recombinant Mouse

IL-15 (Tonbo Biosciences) were suspended and mixed in PBS, then incubated in a 37^0C water

bath for 20-30 minutes (Stoklasek et al., 2006). The mix was immediately placed in ice and mice

were promptly treated. Each mouse was anesthetized (Ketamine/Xylazine 100/10mg/kg i.p.) and

received 0.75ug of IL-15 precomplexed with 4μg of IL-15Rα-Fc in 50 μl of PBS i.n.

IL15 qPCR

Cells from the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) of mice were resuspend in Trizol and RNA

extracted as per manufacturer protocol. RNA was DNAse treated, and reverse transcribed into

cDNA using SuperScript II (Invitrogen). Duplex Quantitative PCR was performed using the

TaqMan Universal PCR Mastermix (Applied Biosystems) to amplify IL15 gene and 18SrRNA

housekeeping gene, with the Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System. Pre-Developed

TaqMan primers (Applied Biosystems) for IL15-FAM (Mm00434210_m1) were used

multiplexed with 18SrRNA-VIC/MGB housekeeping control primers using the recommended

cycling conditions. IL15 gene expression for samples was normalized to that in mock infected

lungs.

IL-15/IL-15R Complex ELISA was performed using a kit (Invitrogen Cat# 88-7125) following

manufacturer recommended protocol. ELISA plates were incubated with BAL fluid (BALF)

samples at 4°C overnight. Plates were read with a Spectramax190 microplate reader.

Cell isolation, library preparation, and data analysis for single cell RNA-Seq

10-week-old male C57BL/6J mice bred for one generation in the UMMS animal facility, from

animals purchased from Jackson Laboratory were infected with PR8 as described above. At 6

days post infection, 12 infected and 4 uninfected, animals were sacrificed and perfused with

10ml cold PBS. Lungs were collected and single cell suspensions were prepared using the

Miltenyi Biotec Lung Dissociation Kit (130-095-927) with the gentleMACS Octo Dissociator

(130-095-937) following the manufacturer instructions. Cells were loaded onto the Chromium

Controller (10x Genomics) and single-cell RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the Chromium

Single Cell 3□ Reagent Kits v3 (10x Genomics) following the standard manufacturer protocols

(one uninfected animal sample was excluded due to reagent clog during cell partitioning

resulting). The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq S4, and resulting sequencing

data were processed using Cell Ranger (10x Genomics, v3.1.0) within the DolphinNext Cell

Ranger Pipeline (Revision 2) (Yukselen et al., 2020). Reads were aligned to both the mm10 and

PR8 genomes and resulting count matrices were loaded into Monocle 3 (v1.0.0) for analysis

(Cao et al., 2019; Trapnell et al., 2014). Cells expressing fewer than 100 genes or with high

mitochondrial content were discarded. Normalization and PCA were performed using the

preprocess_cds function, UMAP was performed to visualize the cells using the

reduce_dimensions function on the top 100 principal components, and clusters were identified

using the cluster_cells function using default settings. Marker genes for each cluster identified

using the top_markers function were used to identify cell types by comparison to previously

published datasets. For better characterization of T cell subsets, the identified T cell clusters were

further subclustered using the cluster_cells function with resolution of 1e-3. Differential

expression between naïve and activated CD4+ T cells was determined using the fit_models

function. The dotplot in Fig 6A was generated using Plotly.

A list of Hobit induced genes (Mackay et al., 2016) was kindly shared by Dr. Laura Mackay and

Dr. Luke Gandolfo. We then performed the GSEA in Fig 6A using Broad Institute's GSEA

software (Subramanian et al., 2005), to check if Hobit induced genes (p<0.05, FDR<0.05) were

significantly enriched in 6 dpi activated lung CD4 effectors compared to naïve CD4 T cells in the

lung (differentially expressed genes ranked by % expression fold-change).

Statistical analysis

Unpaired, two-tailed, Students t-test was used to assess statistical significance between the

means of two groups, with $P < 0.05$ considered significant. Analysis was done using Prism

(Graphpad) software. Error bars in the figures represent the standard error of the mean.

Significance in the figures are indicated as * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ and *** $P < 0.001$.

Expression levels of different markers analyzed by flow cytometry are shown as MFI (Median

Fluorescence Intensity) or nMFI (normalized MFI). To correct for batch effects while pooling

data from different experiments, we normalized MFI by dividing each data point within an

experiment by the average MFI of the control group from that experiment. nMFI = MFI/(average

MFI of the control group)

739
740
741

REFERENCES

Au-Yeung, B.B., Zikherman, J., Mueller, J.L., Ashouri, J.F., Matloubian, M., Cheng, D.A., Chen, Y., Shokat, K.M., and Weiss, A. (2014). A sharp T-cell antigen receptor signaling threshold for T-cell proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *111*, E3679-3688.

Barnden, M.J., Allison, J., Heath, W.R., and Carbone, F.R. (1998). Defective TCR expression in transgenic mice constructed using cDNA-based alpha- and beta-chain genes under the control of heterologous regulatory elements. Immunol Cell Biol *76*, 34-40.

Bautista, B.L., Devarajan, P., McKinstry, K.K., Strutt, T.M., Vong, A.M., Jones, M.C., Kuang, Y., Mott, D., and Swain, S.L. (2016). Short-Lived Antigen Recognition but Not Viral Infection at a Defined Checkpoint Programs Effector CD4 T Cells To Become Protective Memory. J Immunol *197*, 3936-3949.

Brahmakshatriya, V., Kuang, Y., Devarajan, P., Xia, J., Zhang, W., Vong, A.M., and Swain, S.L.
(2017). IL-6 Production by TLR-Activated APC Broadly Enhances Aged Cognate CD4 Helper
and B Cell Antibody Responses In Vivo. J Immunol *198*, 2819-2833.

Broadley, I., Pera, A., Morrow, G., Davies, K.A., and Kern, F. (2017). Expansions of Cytotoxic
CD4(+)CD28(-) T Cells Drive Excess Cardiovascular Mortality in Rheumatoid Arthritis and
Other Chronic Inflammatory Conditions and Are Triggered by CMV Infection. Front Immunol *8*,
195.

Brown, D.M., Dilzer, A.M., Meents, D.L., and Swain, S.L. (2006). CD4 T cell-mediated
protection from lethal influenza: perforin and antibody-mediated mechanisms give a one-two
punch. J Immunol *177*, 2888-2898.

Brown, D.M., Lee, S., Garcia-Hernandez Mde, L., and Swain, S.L. (2012). Multifunctional CD4
cells expressing gamma interferon and perforin mediate protection against lethal influenza virus
infection. J Virol *86*, 6792-6803.

Cao, J., Spielmann, M., Qiu, X., Huang, X., Ibrahim, D.M., Hill, A.J., Zhang, F., Mundlos, S.,
Christiansen, L., Steemers, F.J.*, et al.* (2019). The single-cell transcriptional landscape of
mammalian organogenesis. Nature *566*, 496-502.

Cenerenti, M., Saillard, M., Romero, P., and Jandus, C. (2022). The Era of Cytotoxic CD4 T
Cells. Front Immunol *13*, 867189.

Colpitts, S.L., Stoklasek, T.A., Plumlee, C.R., Obar, J.J., Guo, C., and Lefrancois, L. (2012).
Cutting edge: the role of IFN-alpha receptor and MyD88 signaling in induction of IL-15
expression in vivo. J Immunol *188*, 2483-2487.

Colpitts, S.L., Stonier, S.W., Stoklasek, T.A., Root, S.H., Aguila, H.L., Schluns, K.S., and
Lefrancois, L. (2013). Transcriptional regulation of IL-15 expression during hematopoiesis. J
Immunol *191*, 3017-3024.

Devarajan, P., Vong, A.M., Castonguay, C.H., Kugler-Umana, O., Bautista, B.L., Jones, M.C.,
Kelly, K.A., Xia, J., and Swain, S.L. (2022). Strong influenza-induced TFH generation requires
CD4 effectors to recognize antigen locally and receive signals from continuing infection. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A *119*.

Donnarumma, T., Young, G.R., Merkenschlager, J., Eksmond, U., Bongard, N., Nutt, S.L.,
Boyer, C., Dittmer, U., Le-Trilling, V.T., Trilling, M.*, et al.* (2016). Opposing Development of
Cytotoxic and Follicular Helper CD4 T Cells Controlled by the TCF-1-Bcl6 Nexus. Cell Rep *17*,
1571-1583.

Fang, M., Siciliano, N.A., Hersperger, A.R., Roscoe, F., Hu, A., Ma, X., Shamsedeen, A.R.,
Eisenlohr, L.C., and Sigal, L.J. (2012). Perforin-dependent CD4+ T-cell cytotoxicity contributes
to control a murine poxvirus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *109*, 9983-9988.

Jabri, B., and Abadie, V. (2015). IL-15 functions as a danger signal to regulate tissue-resident T
cells and tissue destruction. Nat Rev Immunol *15*, 771-783.

Johnston, R.J., Poholek, A.C., DiToro, D., Yusuf, I., Eto, D., Barnett, B., Dent, A.L., Craft, J.,
and Crotty, S. (2009). Bcl6 and Blimp-1 are reciprocal and antagonistic regulators of T follicular
helper cell differentiation. Science *325*, 1006-1010.

Juno, J.A., van Bockel, D., Kent, S.J., Kelleher, A.D., Zaunders, J.J., and Munier, C.M. (2017).
Cytotoxic CD4 T Cells-Friend or Foe during Viral Infection? Front Immunol *8*, 19.

Kaneko, N., Boucau, J., Kuo, H.H., Perugino, C., Mahajan, V.S., Farmer, J.R., Liu, H.,
Diefenbach, T.J., Piechocka-Trocha, A., Lefteri, K.*, et al.* (2022). Temporal changes in T cell

subsets and expansion of cytotoxic CD4+ T cells in the lungs in severe COVID-19. Clin
Immunol *237*, 108991.

Knudson, C.J., Ferez, M., Alves-Peixoto, P., Erkes, D.A., Melo-Silva, C.R., Tang, L., Snyder,
C.M., and Sigal, L.J. (2021). Mechanisms of Antiviral Cytotoxic CD4 T Cell Differentiation. J
Virol *95*, e0056621.

Krueger, P.D., Goldberg, M.F., Hong, S.W., Osum, K.C., Langlois, R.A., Kotov, D.I., Dileepan,
T., and Jenkins, M.K. (2021). Two sequential activation modules control the differentiation of
protective T helper-1 (Th1) cells. Immunity *54*, 687-701 e684.

Linterman, M.A., Denton, A.E., Divekar, D.P., Zvetkova, I., Kane, L., Ferreira, C., Veldhoen,
M., Clare, S., Dougan, G., Espeli, M., and Smith, K.G. (2014). CD28 expression is required after
T cell priming for helper T cell responses and protective immunity to infection. Elife *3*.

Mackay, L.K., Minnich, M., Kragten, N.A., Liao, Y., Nota, B., Seillet, C., Zaid, A., Man, K.,
Preston, S., Freestone, D.*, et al.* (2016). Hobit and Blimp1 instruct a universal transcriptional
program of tissue residency in lymphocytes. Science *352*, 459-463.

Mackay, L.K., Wynne-Jones, E., Freestone, D., Pellicci, D.G., Mielke, L.A., Newman, D.M.,
Braun, A., Masson, F., Kallies, A., Belz, G.T., and Carbone, F.R. (2015). T-box Transcription
Factors Combine with the Cytokines TGF-beta and IL-15 to Control Tissue-Resident Memory T
Cell Fate. Immunity *43*, 1101-1111.

Marshall, N.B., and Swain, S.L. (2011). Cytotoxic CD4 T cells in antiviral immunity. J Biomed
Biotechnol *2011*, 954602.

Marshall, N.B., Vong, A.M., Devarajan, P., Brauner, M.D., Kuang, Y., Nayar, R., Schutten,
E.A., Castonguay, C.H., Berg, L.J., Nutt, S.L., and Swain, S.L. (2016). NKG2C/E Marks the
Unique Cytotoxic CD4 T Cell Subset, ThCTL, Generated by Influenza Infection. J Immunol.

Mattei, F., Schiavoni, G., Belardelli, F., and Tough, D.F. (2001). IL-15 is expressed by dendritic
cells in response to type I IFN, double-stranded RNA, or lipopolysaccharide and promotes
dendritic cell activation. J Immunol *167*, 1179-1187.

McKinstry, K.K., Strutt, T.M., Bautista, B., Zhang, W., Kuang, Y., Cooper, A.M., and Swain,
S.L. (2014). Effector CD4 T-cell transition to memory requires late cognate interactions that
induce autocrine IL-2. Nat Commun *5*, 5377.

Meckiff, B.J., Ramirez-Suastegui, C., Fajardo, V., Chee, S.J., Kusnadi, A., Simon, H.,
Eschweiler, S., Grifoni, A., Pelosi, E., Weiskopf, D.*, et al.* (2020). Imbalance of Regulatory and
Cytotoxic SARS-CoV-2-Reactive CD4(+) T Cells in COVID-19. Cell *183*, 1340-1353 e1316.

Melenhorst, J.J., Chen, G.M., Wang, M., Porter, D.L., Chen, C., Collins, M.A., Gao, P.,
Bandyopadhyay, S., Sun, H., Zhao, Z.*, et al.* (2022). Decade-long leukaemia remissions with
persistence of CD4(+) CAR T cells. Nature *602*, 503-509.

Moran, A.E., Holzapfel, K.L., Xing, Y., Cunningham, N.R., Maltzman, J.S., Punt, J., and
Hogquist, K.A. (2011). T cell receptor signal strength in Treg and iNKT cell development
demonstrated by a novel fluorescent reporter mouse. J Exp Med *208*, 1279-1289.

Nolz, J.C., and Richer, M.J. (2020). Control of memory CD8(+) T cell longevity and effector
functions by IL-15. Mol Immunol *117*, 180-188.

Oh, D.Y., and Fong, L. (2021). Cytotoxic CD4(+) T cells in cancer: Expanding the immune
effector toolbox. Immunity *54*, 2701-2711.

Richardon, K.C., Jung, K., Pardo, J., Turner, C.T., and Granville, D.J. (2022). Non-Cytotoxic
Roles of Granzymes in Health and Disease. Physiology (Bethesda).

Scott, B., Liblau, R., Degermann, S., Marconi, L.A., Ogata, L., Caton, A.J., McDevitt, H.O., and
Lo, D. (1994). A role for non-MHC genetic polymorphism in susceptibility to spontaneous
autoimmunity. Immunity *1*, 73-83.

Serroukh, Y., Gu-Trantien, C., Hooshiar Kashani, B., Defrance, M., Vu Manh, T.P., Azouz, A.,
Detavernier, A., Hoyois, A., Das, J., Bizet, M.*, et al.* (2018). The transcription factors Runx3 and
ThPOK cross-regulate acquisition of cytotoxic function by human Th1 lymphocytes. Elife *7*.

Soghoian, D.Z., and Streeck, H. (2010). Cytolytic CD4(+) T cells in viral immunity. Expert Rev
Vaccines *9*, 1453-1463.

Stoklasek, T.A., Schluns, K.S., and Lefrancois, L. (2006). Combined IL-15/IL-15Ralpha
immunotherapy maximizes IL-15 activity in vivo. J Immunol *177*, 6072-6080.

Strutt, T.M., McKinstry, K.K., Kuang, Y., Bradley, L.M., and Swain, S.L. (2012). Memory
CD4+ T-cell-mediated protection depends on secondary effectors that are distinct from and
superior to primary effectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *109*, E2551-2560.

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A.,
Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Mesirov, J.P. (2005). Gene set
enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression
profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *102*, 15545-15550.

Swain, S.L., Agrewala, J.N., Brown, D.M., Jelley-Gibbs, D.M., Golech, S., Huston, G., Jones,
S.C., Kamperschroer, C., Lee, W.H., McKinstry, K.K.*, et al.* (2006). CD4+ T-cell memory:
generation and multi-faceted roles for CD4+ T cells in protective immunity to influenza.
Immunol Rev *211*, 8-22.

Swain, S.L., Jones, M.C., Devarajan, P., Xia, J., Dutton, R.W., Strutt, T.M., and McKinstry,
K.K. (2021). Durable CD4 T-Cell Memory Generation Depends on Persistence of High Levels
of Infection at an Effector Checkpoint that Determines Multiple Fates. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol *13*.

Trapnell, C., Cacchiarelli, D., Grimsby, J., Pokharel, P., Li, S., Morse, M., Lennon, N.J., Livak,
K.J., Mikkelsen, T.S., and Rinn, J.L. (2014). The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions
are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat Biotechnol *32*, 381-386.

van de Berg, P.J., van Leeuwen, E.M., ten Berge, I.J., and van Lier, R. (2008). Cytotoxic human
CD4(+) T cells. Curr Opin Immunol *20*, 339-343.

Watts, T.H. (2010). Staying alive: T cell costimulation, CD28, and Bcl-xL. J Immunol *185*,
3785-3787.

Wehrens, E.J., Wong, K.A., Gupta, A., Khan, A., Benedict, C.A., and Zuniga, E.I. (2018). IL-27
regulates the number, function and cytotoxic program of antiviral CD4 T cells and promotes
cytomegalovirus persistence. PLoS One *13*, e0201249.

Yukselen, O., Turkyilmaz, O., Ozturk, A.R., Garber, M., and Kucukural, A. (2020).
DolphinNext: a distributed data processing platform for high throughput genomics. BMC
Genomics *21*, 310.

Figure 1. Cognate Ag during the effector checkpoint is required for lung ThCTL phenotype and function. (A) Experimental design for (B-D): Naïve OT-II.Thy1.1^{+} cells were transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infected mice (1st hosts). At 6 dpi, OT-II.Thy1.1[+] effectors were isolated from 1st hosts and transferred into following groups of 2nd hosts: 6 dpi PR8-OVA$_{II}$-infected, 6 dpi PR8-infected, or uninfected mice. Donor cells in the lung were analyzed 8 dpi. **(B)** Percentage and numbers of donor lung ThCTL (NKG2A/C/E[+]) (n=19 per group pooled, 4 independent experiments). **(C)** Representative histogram of donor lung cell GzmB expression (negative control: naïve CD4 from uninfected mice). Normalized MFI of donor lung cell GzmB expression (n=10 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(D)** CD107a degranulation marker expression by donor lung cells (n=9 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(E)** Experimental design: *In vivo* 6d OT-II.Thy1.1[+] effectors were transferred into 6 dpi PR8-OVA$_{II}$ or PR8 infection-matched TCRα/β[-/-] mice. CFSE[lo] target and bystander CFSE^{hi} bystander cells were transferred at 7d. Representative CFSE histograms shown. Percentage Ag specific cytotoxicity in each group is shown. **(F-G)** Experiment done as in (E). Percentage of donor lung cells expressing intracellular IFNγ (F) and TNFα (G) (E-G, n=7 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001). See also Fig. S1.

Figure 2. CD4 effectors do not require CD28 co-stimulation to become ThCTL. (A) Experimental design for (B, E-F): *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1^{+} effectors were transferred into 6 dpi PR8-OVA$_{II}$-infected WT or CD80/CD86^{-/-} mice. Spleen, DLN and lungs were harvested at 8 dpi. **(B)** Percentage and number of lung ThCTL (NKG2A/C/E^{+}) formed from donor cells. Normalized MFI (nMFI) of NKG2A/C/E expression by ThCTL (n=10-19 per group pooled, 2-4 independent experiments) **(C)** Experimental design: *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1[+] effectors were isolated and stimulated with either anti-CD3 alone or anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 *in vitro* to mimic *in vivo* effector phase cognate Ag stimulation. **(D)** Ag specific cytotoxicity of donors generated as in Figure 2C, with anti-CD3 or anti-CD3 + anti-CD28 (Each E:T ratio is assayed in triplicate or single wells for +EGTA conditions, representative of 2 independent experiments). **(E-F)** Experiment done as in Figure 2A. **(E)** Percentage and number of spleen donor T$_{FH}$ (n=14-19 per group pooled, 3-4 independent experiments). **(F)** Number of spleen donor GC-T$_{FH}$ (GL7^{+}CXCR5^{+} Bcl6^{+}) (n=8-10 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001). See also Fig. S2.

Figure 3. Hematopoietic APC subsets are sufficient to support ThCTL generation from 6d effectors. (A-C) *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1^{+} effectors were transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched CD11c^{Cre}MHC-II$^{fl/fl}$ hosts where MHC-II Ag presentation on CD11c^{+} APC is absent or into littermate control mice. **(B)** Total number of donor cells in the lung and **(C)** ThCTL generation were analyzed at 8 dpi. (n=7 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(D-F)** *In vivo* generated 6d HNT.Thy1.1[+] effectors were transferred into PR8 infection-matched JhD hosts that are B cell deficient or into WT control mice. **(E)** Total number of donor cells in the lung and **(F)** ThCTL generation were analyzed at 9 dpi. (n=8 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(G-J)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1[+] effectors were transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched MHC-II KO (H2-Ab1$^{-/-}$) bone marrow chimera mice or into WT chimera control mice. WT→ MHC-II KO chimeras (n=7-8 per group pooled, 3 independent experiments) and MHC-II KO →WT chimeras (n=8-11 per group pooled, 3 independent experiments) were made by transferring WT bone-marrow into MHC-II KO irradiated hosts and vice versa. WT→WT bone marrow chimeras were used as control mice. **(H)** Total number of donor cells in the lung and **(I-J)** ThCTL generation were analyzed at 8-9 dpi. Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001). See also Fig S3.

Figure 4. Ag delivery via i.n. and i.s routes, during the effector phase, shows that local Ag presentation in the lung drives ThCTL generation from effectors. (A) Experimental design: OVA$_{II}$ peptide pulsed CD45.1$^+$ B-cells were used as APC and transferred into PR8 infection-matched hosts 6 dpi either intranasally (i.n.) or intrasplenically (i.s.). Unpulsed APC were transferred both i.n. and i.s. as negative controls. *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Nur77^{GFP}.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. Mice were harvested 3 dpt and ThCTL generation from donor cells in the lung was analyzed. **(B)** Number of donor effectors recovered with i.n. vs i.s. APC transfer. **(C)** Donor lung ThCTL formation with i.n. vs i.s. APC transfer. (n=9-12 per group pooled, 4 independent experiments) Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 and *** P < 0.001). See also Fig S4.

Figure 5. Signals from infection, in addition to Ag recognition, support lung ThCTL generation from effectors. (A) *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Nur77^{GFP}.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. along with OVA$_{II}$ peptide pulsed B cells (Fig 5B) or DC (Fig 5C-D) that were transferred both i.n. and i.v. into 2nd hosts that were either infection-matched with Influenza A Virus (IAV: PR8), with Influenza B Virus (IBV: B/Ann Arbor/1/66), or that were uninfected. **(B)** 6d effectors + OVA$_{II}$/B were transferred into IAV infection-matched vs uninfected hosts and were analyzed by FACS 3-4 dpt for donor lung ThCTL generation (n=16-20 per group pooled from 5 independent experiments). **(C-D)** 6d effectors + OVA$_{II}$/DC were transferred into IAV or IBV infection-matched, or uninfected hosts. 4 dpt lungs were analyzed by FACS for **(C)** donor ThCTL generation, **(D)** GzmB normalized MFI of total lung donor cells. (C-D) n=6-10 per group pooled from 2 independent experiments. **(E)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. into 2nd wild-type IFNAR KO hosts infection-matched with PR8-OVA$_{II}$ virus. Donor cells in the lung were analyzed 3 dpt **(F)** Lung ThCTL generation from donor cells and donor cell GzmB expression in the lung (n=11-17 per group pooled from 3-4 independent experiments). **(G)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred i.v. into groups of 2nd hosts that were infected with PR8 at different times before transfer. Equal numbers of OVA$_{II}$ peptide pulsed APC were transferred into all groups of 2nd hosts both i.n. and i.v. T-depleted splenocytes were activated and used as APC. Lung ThCTL generation from donor cells was analyzed 2 dpt. (n=5-7 per group pooled from 2 independent experiments). Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ and *** $P < 0.001$). See also Fig. S5.

Figure 6. CD4 effectors require IL-15 to become lung resident ThCTL effectors. (A) Influenza infected lungs were analyzed by scRNAseq at 6 dpi. Naïve CD4$^+$ cells in clusters 4&6 were compared with activated CD4$^+$ cells in clusters 7&13. GSEA was used to test if a IL-15 induced Hobit pathway (Mackay et al, 2016) was enriched in lung CD4 effectors. Expression of a panel of IL-15/Hobit regulated genes are plotted. Size of dots represent the percent of cells in the population expressing the gene. Color of the dots represents the normalized expression value of the gene. **(B)** IFNAR KO and WT control mice were infected with PR8 virus. Their bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was collected at various dpi. IL15 gene expression in the BAL cells was analyzed by qPCR. Secreted IL-15/IL-15R levels in the BALF was analyzed by ELISA. (n=5-11 per group pooled, 2-4 independent experiments). **(C)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred into PR8-OVA$_{II}$ infection-matched IL-15Rα KO hosts or into control mice. Donor cells in the lung were analyzed 2 dpt **(D)** Donor ThCTL (NKG2A/C/E$^+$) generation and donor GzmB nMFI expression was analyzed in the lung (n=9-10 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). **(E)** *In vivo* generated 6d OT-II.Thy1.1$^+$ effectors were transferred into two groups of uninfected hosts along with OVA$_{II}$/APC that were transferred i.n. T-depleted splenocytes were activated and used as APC. One group was treated i.n. with IL-15/IL-15Rα complex starting the day before transfer to the day before harvest. Control group was treated i.n. with PBS. **(F)** ThCTL generation from donor cells and donor GzmB expression was analyzed 3 dpt in the lung. (n=8-10 per group pooled, 2 independent experiments). Error bars represent s.e.m. Statistical significance determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ and *** $P < 0.001$). See also Fig. S6.