Cryptic diversity masks ecologically distinct coral species on tropical reefs

Bongaerts P[1,2,3]*, Cooke IR[4,5]†, Ying H[6]†, Wels D[2]†, Haan den S[2,7]†, Hernandez-Agreda A[1], Brunner CA[2,8,9], Dove S[2,3], Englebert N[2,3], Eyal G[3,10], Forêt S[6], Grinblat M[4,5,8], Hay KB[2], Harii S[11], Hayward DC[6], Lin Y[12], Mihaljević M[2,13], Moya A[8,14], Muir P[15], Sinniger F[11], Smallhorn-West P[8], Torda G[8], Ragan MA[16]‡, van Oppen MJH[9,17]‡, Hoegh-Guldberg O[2,3]‡

[1]California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California, USA

[2]Global Change Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

[3]ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

[4]Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

[5]Centre for Tropical Bioinformatics and Molecular Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

[6]Division of Ecology and Evolution, Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

[7]Department of Environmental Sciences and Policy, Central European University, Budapest, Hungary

[8]ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

[9]Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

[10]The Mina & Everard Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, Israel

[11]Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, Motobu, Okinawa, Japan

[12]Research School of Computer Science, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

[13]Science Lab UZH, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

[14]Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, Konstanz, Germany

[15]Queensland Museum, Townsville, Australia

[16]Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

[17]School of BioSciences, the University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

*Corresponding author. Email: pbongaerts@calacademy.org

† These authors share second-authorship. ‡ These authors share senior-authorship.

Classification: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES - Evolution

Keywords: coral reefs, Scleractinia, cryptic diversity, population genomics

ABSTRACT

Coral reefs are the epitome of species diversity rivaled only by tropical rainforests on land.

Yet, the number of described scleractinian coral species, the framework-builders of coral reefs,

remains moderate by comparison. DNA sequencing studies are challenging this notion by

uncovering a wealth of "cryptic diversity", but the ecological relevance of this diversity

remains largely unknown. Here, we present a comprehensive case study of one of the most

geographically and environmentally ubiquitous coral species in the Indo-Pacific, *Pachyseris*

speciosa. We provide an annotated draft genome and establish through extensive resequencing

that its broad ecological distribution can be explained by the presence of at least three sympatric

cryptic lineages. The lineages lack morphologically diagnostic characters yet exhibit genome-

wide divergence with demographic modelling indicating an ancient divergence. Targeted

genotyping surveys revealed differences in their ecological distributions, as well as in

physiological and quantitative skeletal traits. Representatives of the lineages were observed to

spawn on different days following the full moon, indicating temporal reproductive isolation

that may explain the limited admixture. Fixed differences in genes related to morphogenesis

and reproduction are consistent with these data. Overall, these findings highlight that our

current taxonomic framework for scleractinian corals may be merely scratching the surface of

the ecologically relevant diversity on coral reefs, consequently limiting our ability to protect

this diversity effectively.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Anthropogenic stressors have caused an unprecedented decline on coral reefs, leading to losses

in biodiversity to an unfathomable extent. A major challenge is the extensive cryptic diversity

that continues to be discovered in corals through molecular studies, the ecological relevance of

which remains poorly understood. We use genomic data to show that one of the most

widespread coral species in the Indo-Pacific comprises an ancient cryptic species complex.

Although the co-occurring lineages lack diagnostic morphological characters, they exhibit

strong genetic divergence and differences in ecological, phenotypic and reproductive traits.

The study demonstrates how cryptic diversity in corals can obscure ecologically divergent

species and highlights the critical need to start capturing and acknowledging this hidden

diversity to inform biodiversity conservation efforts.

INTRODUCTION

Tropical coral reefs are known for their high levels of biodiversity, harboring hundreds of thousands of macroscopic and an unknown number of microscopic species (0). Interestingly, the contribution of zooxanthellate corals –the framework builders of these ecosystems– remains surprisingly moderate with only ~750-850 valid species accepted worldwide (2–4). Under the current taxonomic framework, species are distinguished primarily based on diagnostic skeletal characteristics, an approach that originated from the early days of coral reef science when underwater observations were extremely challenging (6, 7). Skeletal traits at both the corallite and colony level are often highly variable within species and across environments, posing a major challenge to coral taxonomy (8). Conversely, skeletal traits are known to converge and can even obscure deep phylogenetic divergences at the family level (9, 10), highlighting further challenges to the use of the skeletal morphospace as a taxonomic framework. Molecular approaches have helped resolve some of these difficulties by differentiating morphological plasticity from actual species traits (11, 12), confirming species separation in the context of subtle morphological differences (13–15), and clarifying deeper evolutionary relationships within the order (9, 10). Molecular studies have also uncovered a wealth of "cryptic diversity" within taxonomic species that cannot be readily linked to morphology (11, 16–24).

The notion that scleractinian coral diversity may be far greater than acknowledged through conventional taxonomy is not novel. A seminal review published over two decades ago highlighted the ubiquitous presence of cryptic diversity in the marine realm, and stressed the importance of exploring its ecological relevance (25). Since then, molecular studies have indeed exposed "taxonomically cryptic diversity" (i.e. genetically distinct taxa that have been erroneously classified under a single species name) within many coral genera (21, 26). However, much of this cryptic diversity has been exposed through population genetic studies designed to relate genetic patterns to geography (26), and consequently, it usually remains unclear whether and how this cryptic divergence translates to phenotypically and ecologically distinct entities. Besides the detailed studies of the *Orbicella* coral species complex [reviewed in (27)], relatively few studies have since explored ecological or (non-morphological) phenotypic differentiation in sibling species, or assessed potential mechanisms contributing to their divergence (e.g. 28–31). Furthermore, the traditional use of only a few genetic loci has impeded an assessment of the mechanisms underlying cryptic diversification, and the

respective roles of neutral processes (e.g. genetic drift) versus selection on ecological or
reproductive traits (31, 32). Thus, the ecological relevance of cryptic diversity in corals remains
poorly understood and is therefore rarely considered in ecological studies or conservation
planning.

To address this knowledge gap, we conducted a comprehensive assessment to evaluate the
ecological significance of cryptic diversity in *Pachyseris speciosa* ("Serpent Coral"; Figure
1a), one of the most ubiquitous and abundant species across the Indo-Pacific (3). This
zooxanthellate coral has one of the widest bathymetric distributions on tropical coral reefs –
from close to the surface to lower mesophotic depths (~5-95 m) (33)– and has therefore been
the focus of studies assessing its ecological opportunism (34–37). Our initial assessment of the
genetic structure in this species indicated the presence of undescribed sympatric lineages, and
we therefore used this as an opportunity to explore the extent to which cryptic diversity can
obscure genomic and ecological divergence, and how reproductive isolation may be maintained
in these closely-related lineages. Specifically, our study (summarized in Figure 1) involved the
generation of an annotated genome for *P. speciosa* using long-read sequencing, which we used
as a reference for reduced-representation sequencing (nextRAD) of populations ranging from
across the geographic and bathymetric distribution of this species. Subsequent whole-genome
resequencing (WGS) of representative coral colonies was implemented for demographic
modelling, and for the development of a cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS)
assay to assess ecological, phenotypic and reproductive differentiation in the uncovered
lineages from Australia. Given that cryptic diversity in scleractinian corals appears to be
common and widespread, our findings indicate that conventional skeleton-based taxonomy
may be substantially underestimating the ecologically-relevant scleractinian coral diversity on
tropical coral reefs.

Figure 1. Overview of the study system and sequencing/genotyping approach. (A) *Pachyseris speciosa* at 40 m depth at Holmes Reef (Western Coral Sea). (B) Predicted geographic distribution of *P. speciosa* (*sensu lato*) based on the IUCN Red List (2009), and the location of the five regions included in this study. (C) Sampled habitats and their corresponding water depths (± 3 m). (D) Genome assembly summary statistics. (E) Circular phylogenetic tree summarizing the reduced-representation sequencing (nextRAD) data, and showing the partitioning into four major lineages. Sample region is indicated in the inner surrounding circle, and selection for whole-genome sequencing indicated in the outer surrounding circle. (F) Admixture proportion (PCAngsd) based on whole-genome resequencing (WGS) of representative samples belonging to the three lineages occurring on the Great Barrier Reef. (G) Geographic and ecological distribution of the lineages across habitats and regions (excluding Israel). Assignments are based on the CAPS genotyping assay merged with the nextRAD data (note that assignments for Okinawa are based exclusively on nextRAD data).

Results and Discussion

Genome assembly and annotation. We generated a highly contiguous reference genome for *Pachyseris speciosa*, assembled through PacBio single-molecule long-read sequencing (Figure 1d; Table S2). The assembled genome size is 984 Mb, representing one of the largest coral genomes reported to date, and comprising 2,368 contigs with a N50 size of 766.6 kb (largest contig 4.6 Mb; Table S3,4). In total, 39,160 protein-coding genes were predicted – a number comparable to that of two other recently sequenced corals from the "robust" clade [i.e. one of the two major clades of extant corals; (38)] – with the gene model being 90.5% complete and 5.1% partial (based on conserved single-copy metazoan orthologous genes) (Table S5,6,7). *De novo* repeat annotation revealed that 52.2% of the assembly is occupied by repetitive elements, which are generally better-resolved in long-read assemblies (39). The dominance of

transposable elements compared with other robust corals indicates that the larger genome size

may be substantially driven by expansion of those transposons (38) (Table S8).

***Pachyseris speciosa* represents a sympatric species complex.** The annotated genome

assembly was used as a reference for reduced-representation sequencing (nextRAD), where we

sequenced *P. speciosa* colonies (n = 501) from >30 sites from Australasia [the Great Barrier

Reef (GBR), the Western Coral Sea (WCS), and Papua New Guinea (PNG)], Okinawa (OKI),

and Israel (ISR) (Figure 1E, S1; Table S1). Genetic structuring based on principal component

and neighbor-joining analyses revealed the presence of four highly divergent lineages (Figures

2, S2). One lineage represents the geographically separated Israel population (Gulf of Aqaba)

and indicates the existence of a distinct species in the Red Sea region, corroborating a pattern

observed in other corals [e.g. *Stylophora pistillata*; (24, 40–41)]. The other three lineages

occurred sympatrically on all of the sampled reefs in Australasia, hereafter arbitrarily referred

to as "green", "blue" and "red" lineage, with the assembled reference genome representing a

genotype belonging to the "green" lineage. Subsequent structure analyses using a maximum

likelihood framework (snapclust) indicated an optimum between four and six clusters, and the

additional two clusters (for k = 5 and 6) correspond to two populations from Okinawa that

grouped with respectively the "blue" and "green" lineages but were substantially differentiated

from those in eastern Australia (Figures S2,S3). Beyond this expected geographic

differentiation of sub-tropical Okinawa, the overall structuring demonstrates the presence of

genetically distinct lineages within the currently acknowledged species, *P. speciosa*.

Compared to the divergent, congeneric species *Pachyseris rugosa* [characterized by a more

irregular and bifacial morphology (2), outgroup at the bottom of the tree; Figure 2a], the three

P. speciosa lineages in Australasia represent a closely-related species complex. Differentiation

between the "red", "green" and "blue" lineages is observed across the genome and global mean

F_{ST} values are much higher (average = 0.1256; range: 0.1032-0.1613) than between geographic

regions within these lineages (average = 0.0207; range: 0.0130-0.0339) (Figure 2, S4). The two

lineages in Okinawa are more related to the "green" and "blue" lineages, respectively, from

Australia than to each other (global mean Fst = 0.1324). Similarly, the "purple" lineage

exclusive to Israel (Red Sea) is on average the most divergent of all the lineages, with the

closest relatives representing the "blue" lineage from Australia. The apparent restricted

distribution of the "red" lineage in Australasia indicates that it may have originated in that

region. Indications of admixture (i.e. samples with a maximum assignment < 0.95) between

the three lineages were minimal with the exception of one F1 hybrid on the Great Barrier Reef
(Figure 2, S5). This coral had a roughly equal assignment to the "green" and "blue" cluster,
and a heterozygous genotype for 35 out of 39 genotyped SNPs that were alternatively fixed
between corals belonging to these two clusters. Admixture signatures of most other putatively
admixed samples were indicative of analytical artefacts (e.g. due to admixture with an
unsampled population/lineage; Figure S5). Overall, the limited admixture and presence of only
a single F1 hybrid (with its reproductive viability being unknown), indicates that the three
lineages have evolved reproductive isolation. This, combined with their widespread sympatric
distribution, confirms that these lineages represent distinct species (with their formal
description underway; Muir and Bongaerts in preparation), adding to the ever-growing number
of cryptic species within the Scleractinia (21, 26).

Figure 2. Genetic structuring and genome-wide differentiation of *P. speciosa* lineages. (A) Neighbor-joining
tree based on variant nextRAD sites, with matching snapclust (k = 4 to 6) and STRUCTURE (k = 6) assignments
for each coral colony. Dots in the "location" column indicate sampling population (geographically arranged within
region) with the color indicating STRUCTURE assignment (also indicated in the branch tips of the tree; black
indicates potentially admixed samples with a maximum assignment of < 0.95). The bottom clade represents the
Pachyseris rugosa outgroup (n = 3) and is not included in the adjacent clustering analyses. (B) Manhattan plots
showing genome-wide distribution of F_{ST} values for different *P. speciosa* lineage comparisons. Individual single-
nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are plotted along pseudochromosomes (mapped to *Acropora millepora* for
visualization purposes; showing only those that mapped), with the alternating colors indicating the two lineages
compared in each plot ("green", "red", "blue" and "purple"). Gray and black dots indicate SNPs that are
alternatively fixed, with black SNPs indicating those that are missense variants (labelled with their gene ID).

Missense variants relating to the inter-comparison of the three Australasian lineages are additionally labelled with
the corresponding UniProt gene and protein names (note that two variants, identified as located in *Hecw2* and an
unidentified gene, are not mentioned here as they did not map to *A. millepora* chromosomes).

Morphologically cryptic lineages despite ancient divergence. An assessment of *in situ*
photographs of colonies from the Great Barrier Reef and Western Coral Sea unveiled extensive
morphological variability within lineages, but with no apparent distinguishing features between
lineages (n = 157; Figure S6). Examination of qualitative traits (including known diagnostic
traits for the genus) using skeletal specimens, again found substantial variation but this was not
partitioned across the three different lineages (n = 36; Table S9). Although some of the skeletal
features overlapped with those described for other *Pachyseris* species (2, 42), the lineages did
not align with one of the other five taxonomic species described in this genus [of which only
two are reported from Australasian waters; (2)]. An initial assessment of micro-skeletal features
using scanning electron microscopy also did not reveal differentiating characteristics between
the three lineages (n = 15; Figure S7).

In contrast to the lineages being morphologically indistinguishable, they are divergent
genomically with 146 alternatively-fixed SNPs among the three lineages in Australia (0.5 % of
29,287 SNPs; Figure 2). This included 8 missense variants (i.e. a nonsynonymous substitution
producing a different amino acid) located in genes of which 7 had homology to UniProtDB
genes (IDs: *Rabep1*, *Yap1*, *Pappa*, *HecW2*, *Zswim8*, *Fgfr2* and *Dlx1a*). The Fibroblast growth
factor receptor 2 gene (*Fgfr2*) is thought to be involved in environmental sensing and larval
metamorphosis (43-46). The *Dlx1a* gene is part of the homeobox-containing superfamily
generally associated with morphogenesis (47). The *Pappa* gene encodes the pregnancy-
associated plasma protein-A, which is upregulated during spawning in *Orbicella franksi* and
Orbicella annularis (48).

Whole-genome resequencing of representative "green", "blue" and "red" colonies from the
Great Barrier Reef (n = 20 at 4-29X coverage; Table S10) further confirmed the strong genetic
differentiation with minimal admixture (Figure 1f, S8). To assess whether the three lineages
have diverged recently and/or experienced differences in demographic history, we used the
Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent [PSMC; (49)] approach for a subset of colonies
that were sequenced at greater depth (14-27x coverage; n = 10). Modelled demographic
histories showed much greater variation between than within lineages, suggesting gene flow

was limited as far back as 10 mya (Figure 3), and that the cryptic nature is due to morphological
stasis rather than recent divergence. All lineages peaked in effective population size around 1-
3 mya which is consistent with PSMC analyses in recent studies on other robust (50) and
complex (51) corals. We also constructed circularized mitochondrial genomes from the whole-
genome resequencing data (~19 kbp in length), which in contrast only showed a limited
assortment of mitochondrial haplotypes into lineage-specific groups (Figure S9). Given the
limited nuclear admixture, this is likely to reflect incomplete lineage sorting and/or ancient
introgression, combined with very low mutation rates typical of anthozoans (52, 53). It also
reiterates how mitochondrial regions in corals for species-level phylogenetics should be used
cautiously (39).

Figure 3. Demographic history as inferred through whole-genome resequencing. Changes in inferred,
effective population size as estimated using MSMC for 10 deeply sequenced coral colonies representing the three
Pachyseris lineages occurring sympatrically in Australia. The timescale is shown in units of numbers of
generations in the past. Additional x-axis labels show time in the past assuming a generation time of 35 years. A
mutation rate of $4.83e^{-8}$ was assumed for all calculations (50).

Ecological and phenotypic differentiation of the lineages. Given the lack of diagnostic
morphological features discriminating the three *Pachyseris* lineages, we designed a cleaved
amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) assay based on the nextRAD data to allow for high-
throughput or field-based genotyping (Figure S10; Table S11). We used the rapid assay to
expand the number of identified genotypes in Australia (n = 1,442) to include a broad range of
reef habitats and locations, and assessed whether there are other ecological and/or phenotypic
traits that distinguish the three lineages. The genotyping confirmed the ubiquitous sympatric
distribution, with 39 out of 45 sampled Australasian sites containing representatives of all three

lineages (Figure 4A). However, there was a significant overall effect of habitat and region on the relative abundances of the three lineages, with pairwise tests indicating significant differences between shallow (back-reef and 10 m) and mesophotic (40 m and 60 m) habitats (confined to GBR and WCS; Table S12; Figure 1G). When assessing the proportions of individual lineages over depth, the "red" lineage was significantly more abundant on shallow (back-reef and 10 m) as compared to intermediate (20 m) and mesophotic (40 and 60 m) habitats, with the opposite pattern observed for the "green" and "blue" lineages (Figure 4b; Table S13). Although the "red" lineage was not found in Okinawa, the divergent "green" and "blue" lineages (as identified using nextRAD sequencing) appeared to be associated with respectively shallow and mesophotic depths (Figure 1G, 4A), indicating there may also be distinct ecological distributions in Okinawa.

To examine potential phenotypic differentiation, we quantitatively assessed skeletal and physiological differences between lineages in Australia. Principal component analyses based on coral host skeletal traits (four traits; n = 54), physiological traits (protein and lipid content; n = 69) and Symbiodiniaceae physiological traits (cell density and five pigment traits; n = 70) revealed separation between lineages despite high levels of variance (Figure 4D-F). The "green" and "red" lineages differed significantly across all three trait groups, whereas the "blue" and "red" lineages differed in skeletal traits and "blue" and "green" in symbiont physiological traits (Table S14). In terms of skeletal traits, the mean density of septa (i.e. vertical blades inside the corallite) was significantly lower in the "red" lineage, but not morphologically diagnostic given the overlapping ranges (Figure S11; Table S15). Observed ranges of physiological traits were similar to those reported in other studies that include *P. speciosa* (34, 35); however separating the three lineages revealed differences in protein density, Symbiodiniaceae density, and photosynthetic pigment concentrations (Figure S12; Table S15). Although *P. speciosa* (*sensu lato*) prefers shaded or deeper environments, it has been considered an efficient autotroph across its entire depth range (36). However, we observed a tendency for different lineages to vary in how they trade symbiont densities for chlorophyll concentration per symbiont cell, where lineages with thicker tissues (greater protein densities) hosted greater symbiont densities (Figure S12; Table S15). Although sample sizes were small relative to the observed variance, the results demonstrate the existence of phenotypic differences between the morphologically cryptic lineages, pointing towards distinct physiological strategies.

To explore whether the phenotypic differences may be attributed to differences in coral-
associated bacteria or algal symbionts (Symbiodiniaceae), these microbial communities were
compared among the three lineages. Associated bacterial communities were assessed by
genotyping the host lineages from samples of a previously published 16S rRNA gene
metabarcoding dataset [n = 43; (35)]. No significant differences were found in the bacterial
community structure, richness, and diversity of the three lineages (Figure S13), but regional
patterns were present (WCS and GBR, Figure 4G, Table S16) confirming earlier findings (37).
Three bacterial operational taxonomic units (OTUs) from the genera *Corynebacterium* and
Gluconacetobacter were consistently found in the three lineages, across regions. Despite the
lack of differences in the overall bacterial communities, there were two unique OTUs that were
only found in the "blue" and "red" lineage, respectively (Figure S13).

To investigate potential differences in lineage-associated Symbiodiniaceae communities, we
screened the nextRAD data for contaminating chloroplast or mitochondrial Symbiodiniaceae
loci. We found three organellar loci that were genotyped for several hundred samples, but these
were largely invariant within Australian samples. This is in line with a previous study (from
West Australia) that observed a single Symbiodiniaceae type in *P. speciosa* over depth (34),
although some depth partitioning was observed in the Red Sea (35). More detailed
mitochondrial characterization was conducted by aligning whole-genome resequencing data
from the three lineages to the *Cladocopium goreaui* genome [C1; (52)]; this revealed a highly
reticulated haplotype network based on an 8 kb mitochondrial region with 99.7% similarity
across samples (n = 16). Out of the eleven haplotypes, two were shared between the "green"
and "blue" lineages, whereas the remaining haplotypes were found only once or multiple times
but in a single lineage (Figure S14). Overall, microbial associations appeared to be either
primarily driven by environment (in the case of the bacterial communities) or were highly
consistent (Symbiodiniaceae), indicating that observed phenotypic differences between
lineages were unlikely driven by distinct microbial associations.

Figure 4. Ecological, phenotypic and reproductive characterization. (A) Proportional composition of
Pachyseris lineages across geographic locations and depth/habitat. Assignments are based on the CAPS
genotyping assay merged with the nextRAD data. Locations within regions are ordered from north to south (top
to bottom). (B) Boxplots summarizing relative abundances of *Pachyseris* lineages for each habitat and region
(Great Barrier Reef and Western Coral Sea). Dots represent the proportions for each individual population (n =
41; corresponding to those in panel A). (C) Binary heatmap indicating the spawning status of 54 *Pachyseris*
colonies (rows) over time (columns) and grouped by lineage. Colonies were collected from shallow depths at
Orpheus Island (Great Barrier Reef) and monitored *ex situ* between 3 and 9 days after the full moon in
November 2017. Opaque cells indicate the release of eggs (asterisk) or sperm (no symbol). Empty cells indicate
that the colony was isolated and monitored, but that no gamete release was
observed for the "green" lineage). (D) Principal component analysis for four host skeletal traits. (E) Principal
component analysis for two coral host physiological traits: protein and lipid content; PCA). (F) Principal
component analysis for six Symbiodiniaceae photophysiological traits. (G) Principal coordinate analysis for
bacterial community structure (based on 16S amplicon sequencing). Coral colonies are compared within their
own depth groups: shallow (top plots; 10-20 m) and mesophotic (bottom plots; 40-60 m), with most colonies

originating from either 10 or 40 m. Skeletal and physiological traits were measured for samples the WCS,

whereas the bacterial included samples from both the GBR (square shapes) and WCS (circle shapes) regions.

Temporal reproductive isolation. Given the limited admixture between the sympatrically-

occurring *P. speciosa* lineages, we monitored the reproductive behavior of shallow colonies

from Orpheus Island on the Great Barrier Reef. Previous reports from that location indicated

spawning of *Pachyseris speciosa* between 5 and 6 days after the full moon (55). Colonies were

collected from the field just after the full moon in November 2017, genotyped using the CAPS

assay (which identified 20 "red", 7 "blue", and 27 "green" colonies), and monitored *ex situ* for

spawning from 3 to 9 days after the full moon. On day 5, half of the colonies of the "red"

lineage released gametes (with one colony releasing also on day 4), with no colonies from the

other two lineages releasing gametes (Figure 4C). On day 7, nearly half of the colonies from

the "blue" lineage released gametes (with one also releasing on day 6; Figure 4c), with again

no colonies from the other two lineages releasing gametes. No gamete release was observed

for the "green" lineage within the monitoring period, although the "green" colony from which

the draft genome was constructed spawned 8 days after the full moon in December 2014 (see

Methods). Overall, the average spawning time of male colonies was 19 min after sunset (n =

13), versus an average of 43 min for female colonies (n = 4; Table S18), in line with general

observations of males spawning earlier in broadcast spawning coral (56). Attempts to preserve

unfertilized eggs in filtered seawater (at ambient temperature) for experimental inter-lineages

crosses were not successful (complete degradation within 24 hours).

The temporal segregation in the timing of gamete release observed during the November 2017

spawning provides a potential mechanism to explain the minimal admixture in these

sympatrically-occurring lineages. Temporal reproductive isolation is a common strategy for

minimizing interspecific gamete encounters in scleractinian corals, with the timing difference

ranging from hours (57, 58) to months (59, 60). The two-day difference in gamete release

between "red" and "blue" *P. speciosa* points towards differences in processes entrained by the

lunar cycles, rather than environmental seasonal or diurnal cycles (61–63). The identification

of fixed high-impact gene variants related to environmental sensing, development, and

gametogenesis provide initial leads to the genomic basis of this potential prezygotic

reproductive barrier.

Given the predicted split-spawning (i.e. mass spawning occurring across at least two
consecutive months) in 2017, we maintained a subset of the colonies (n = 36) for additional
spawning monitoring after the full moon of December in that year. Observations undertaken
for those colonies saw gamete release for only one colony of the "blue" lineage and one of the
"green" lineages (both on day 4 after the full moon). In total, two-thirds of the colonies from
the "red" lineage released gametes, with release observed in the period between day 3 and day
8, with most of the release occurring on day 4 (8 colonies versus 1-3 colonies on the other days)
(Table S17). While these observations confirmed the occurrence of a split spawning, they may
not reflect natural release patterns, given the extended time these colonies were removed from
natural cues (e.g. exposure to lunar cycle, lack of exposure to tides). In addition, their prolonged
close proximity to one another in the single tank ("raceway") in which they were kept, may
have affected the timing of release [e.g. due to chemical signaling; (62)]. The observations
confirm the potential for experimental inter-lineage crossings under artificial conditions, to
assess whether temporal reproductive isolation is accompanied by gametic incompatibility.

Geographic and habitat structuring within lineages. Within each of the three Australasian
lineages, principal component analyses identified considerable substructuring, which was
driven to a large extent by sampling region (Great Barrier Reef, Western Coral Sea and Papua
New Guinea; Figure 5A,C). However, additional substructure was identified (2-3 apparent
clusters) in the GBR samples that could not be linked to subregions, locations or habitat (Figure
5C). Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) further confirmed the genetic
differentiation among the three sampling regions (Figure 5B), indicating restricted gene flow
between these regions with a some exceptions indicating recent admixture. Although genetic
differentiation between the GBR and WCS has been observed previously in other coral species
(64–65), here we identify further differentiation between individual WCS atolls confirming
their rather isolated nature as they are surrounded by deep oceanic water. In contrast, only very
subtle substructuring was identified between the "Northern" and "Far Northern" regions of the
GBR (Figure 5C). The inability to detect distinct location- or region-associated clusters on the
GBR could indicate the potential for gene flow over large distances, a pattern observed for
other coral species and facilitated by the high density of reefs along the outer shelf (64–68).
Nonetheless, given the presence of substructuring within each of the locations [a pattern
frequently observed in scleractinian corals; (22)], such "panmixia" should be interpreted with
caution.

Where other studies found a clear partitioning of cryptic lineages across habitats (28, 29), we
observed substantial overlap between the depth distributions of the three *Pachyseris* lineages
(e.g. the "red" lineage is present at shallow and mesophotic depths, but at lower relative
abundances in the latter). Surveys during the 2016 mass bleaching found that most *Pachyseris*
colonies down to 25 m were bleached, while fewer than half of the colonies at 40 m depth were
bleached (69). Opportunistic genotyping of 14 healthy and 3 bleached colonies collected from
40 m during this event found healthy representatives of all three lineages (8 "green", 5 "red",
and 1 "blue" healthy colony; versus 2 "green" and 1 "blue" bleached colony). The broad depth
range of these lineages combined with the strong depth-attenuation of bleaching in *Pachyseris*
(69), raises the question whether deep populations may benefit those at shallow depth by acting
as a refuge and source of reproduction (70). Unfortunately, genetic differentiation between
habitats (within each lineage) could not be adequately assessed at individual locations given
the small sample sizes after splitting into cryptic lineages. When merging individual locations
on the GBR, DAPC showed some discrimination between habitat clusters (Figure 5D, S15).
This separation was driven by many low-contributing and several high-contributing alleles
indicating there may be potential limitations to such vertical connectivity (Figure S15).

Figure 5. Genetic structuring within lineages by region and habitat. (A) Map showing the Australasian
sampling locations/regions included in the DAPC plots. (B) DAPC assignment plots for each of the three lineages
(from top to bottom: "green", "red" and "blue") using location as prior (but ignoring habitat and grouping "North"
and "Far North" locations on the Great Barrier Reef). (C) Principal component analyses for each of the three
lineages (from top to bottom: "green", "red" and "blue"), showing structuring by region as well as unexplained
substructuring within the Great Barrier Reef populations. (D) DAPC scatter plot for the Great Barrier Reef using

habitat as prior. PCA and DAPC scatter plots show individual colonies connected to respectively region and
habitat centroids, and analyses are based on nextRAD data after the removal of outliers.

Conclusions. Tens of thousands of multicellular species have been described in association
with tropical coral reefs, yet this is estimated to represent <10% of their actual species richness
(0). Reef-building corals (Scleractinia) have been considered to contribute relatively little to
this estimated discrepancy, with the taxonomy considered to be relatively complete compared
to other less-studied coral reef taxa (0). Molecular approaches have indeed corroborated many
of the current taxonomic species (71). However, the growing number of molecular assessments,
such as the one presented here, are revealing widespread cryptic diversity within the traditional
morphological boundaries (21, 26). Further support for this pattern is likely to be unveiled
given the transition to genome-wide sequencing approaches, solving the resolution issues
associated with traditional sequence markers (30, 72, 73). The inability to discriminate cryptic
species as readily identifiable taxonomic units in the field (or even through close skeletal
examination) has raised concerns about the practicality and necessity of recognizing this newly
exposed diversity, particularly as the lack of morphological differentiation can lead to the
assumption of negligible physiological differentiation. While we found no diagnostic
morphological characters distinguishing the three *Pachyseris* lineages in this study, the
genome-wide differentiation was accompanied by ecological, physiological, and reproductive
differences, demonstrating how cryptic diversity can mask ecological divergence. Failure to
recognize such cryptic diversity will result in erroneous interpretations of species distributions,
extinction risk, and spatial genetic differentiation (21, 26), all with critical ramifications for
conservation management. In light of the rapid degradation of the world's coral reefs, it is
critical to acknowledge and start capturing this hidden diversity to improve our understanding
and ability to protect these fragile ecosystems.

403 **Methods overview**

We assembled and annotated a *de novo* reference genome using PacBio sequencing (~100x
coverage) of a sperm sample from a *Pachyseris speciosa* colony from Orpheus Island on the
Great Barrier Reef. This was used as a reference for reduced-representation sequencing
(nextRAD) of *P. speciosa* colonies (n = 501) from shallow and mesophotic habitats in five
different regions (Great Barrier Reef, Western Coral Sea, Papua New Guinea, Okinawa and
Israel), sequenced across 4 Illumina NextSeq 500 lanes. Whole-genome re-sequencing of

representative *P. speciosa* samples (n = 20) was then undertaken for historic demographic
modelling, using the Illumina Nextera protocol at ~5X or ~20X coverage across 8 Illumina
HiSeq 2500 lanes. Given the lack of diagnostic morphological characteristics distinguishing
the lineages, we designed a cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) assay to increase
the number of genotyped samples (n = 1,442), and assess ecological, phenotypic and
reproductive differences. Morphological differences between lineages were assessed through
in situ photographs (n = 157), examination of qualitative traits in bleach-dried skeletons (n =
36), and micro-skeletal features using scanning electron microscopy (n = 15). Quantitative
measurements were undertaken for five skeletal characters (n = 54). Physiological
characterization was undertaken through protein and lipid quantification, *Symbiodiniaceae* cell
counts, and photopigment quantification using high-performance liquid chromatography
(HPLC) (n = 73). Spawning behavior was assessed through reproductive monitoring of colony
fragments (n = 54) during November/December 2017 at the Orpheus Island Research Station.
Microbiome characterization was undertaken by genotyping host lineages (n = 43) of a
previous study based on 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing (37). See *SI Appendix, Supplementary*
Methods for a detailed explanation of all the methods.

Data Availability. Raw sequence data for the genome and transcriptome assembly are
available through ENA accession number PRJEB23386, the reduced-representation data
through XXXX, and the whole-genome resequencing through XXXX. Genome assembly,
variant call datasets, electronic notebooks, and scripts are accessible through
https://github.com/pimbongaerts/pachyseris.

Acknowledgements We thank David Whillas, Jaap Barendrecht, David Harris, Sara
Naylor, Annamieke van den Heuvel for support in the field or in the lab, as well as Underwater
Earth, The Ocean Agency, and crews from Reef Connections, Mike Ball Dive Expeditions, SY
Ethereal and the Waitt Foundation. The authors acknowledge the Reef Future Genomics
(ReFuGe) 2020 Consortium, of which this study was part, as organized by the Great Barrier
Reef Foundation.

Funding This project was supported (in chronological order) by the XL Catlin Seaview
Survey (2012-2014; funded by the XL Catlin Group in partnership with Underwater Earth and
The University of Queensland), an Accelerate Partnerships grant from the Department of
Science Information Technology Innovation and the Arts of the Queensland Government

(2014-2016), an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award
(2016-2018; DE160101433 awarded to PB), and the Hope for Reefs Initiative at the California
Academy of Sciences (2018-2020). Additional support was received from the Australian
Research Council Centre for Excellence in Coral Reef Studies at The University of Queensland
(awarded to OHG), and through a Natural Sciences grant #24133 from the Mitsubishi
Foundation (awarded to SH). Substantial sea-time was generously provided by the Waitt
Foundation and the Joy Foundation. The genome assembly and whole-genome sequencing was
funded by the Great Barrier Reef Foundation's "Resilient Coral Reefs Successfully Adapting
to Climate Change" program in collaboration with the Australian Government, Bioplatforms
Australia through the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy, Rio Tinto and a
family foundation.

Author contributions PB, IRC, HY, DW, SdH, MAR, MJHvO, OHG conceived and
designed the research. PB, DW, SdH, CAB, SD, NE, GE, MG, KBH, DCH, MM, PM, GT
contributed to lab work. PB, NE, GE, KBH, SH, AM, FS, PSW, GT contributed to specimen
collections. PB, IRC, YH, DW, SdH, AHA, SF, YL contributed to analyses. PB wrote the
paper, and all authors contributed manuscript edits.

References

1. R. Fisher, *et al.*, Species richness on coral reefs and the pursuit of convergent global
estimates. *Current Biology* **25**, 500–505 (2015).

2. J.E.N. Veron, Stafford-Smith M.G., Turak E. and DeVantier L.M. Corals of the
World. Accessed 16 Aug 2020. http://www.coralsoftheworld.org

3. L. DeVantier, E. Turak, L. DeVantier, E. Turak, Species richness and Relative
abundance of reef-building corals in the Indo-West Pacific. *Diversity* **9**, 25 (2017).

4. T. Horton, *et al.*, World Register of Marine Species. Accessed 4 Sep 2020.
https://www.marinespecies.org

5. J. S. Madin, *et al.*, The Coral Trait Database, a curated database of trait information
for coral species from the global oceans. *Scientific Data* **3**, 1–22 (2016).

6. M. B. Best, G. J. Boekschoten, A. Oosterbaan, Species concept and ecomorph
variation in living and fossil Scleractinia. *Palaeontographica Americana* **54**, 70–79
(1984).

7. N. Knowlton, J. B. Jackson, New taxonomy and niche partitioning on coral reefs:
jack of all trades or master of some? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **9**, 7–9 (1994).

8. P. A. Todd, Morphological plasticity in scleractinian corals. *Biological Reviews* **83**, 315–337 (2008).

9. H. Fukami, *et al.*, Conventional taxonomy obscures deep divergence between Pacific and Atlantic corals. *Nature* **427**, 832–835 (2004).

10. D. Huang, W. Y. Licuanan, A. H. Baird, H. Fukami, Cleaning up the 'Bigmessidae': Molecular phylogeny of scleractinian corals from Faviidae, Merulinidae, Pectiniidae and Trachyphylliidae. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **11**, 37 (2011).

11. S. Schmidt-Roach, K. J. Miller, P. Lundgren, N. Andreakis, With eyes wide open: a revision of species within and closely related to the *Pocillopora damicornis* species complex (Scleractinia; Pocilloporidae) using morphology and genetics. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **170**, 1–33 (2014).

12. Z. H. Forsman, *et al.*, Coral hybridization or phenotypic variation? Genomic data reveal gene flow between *Porites lobata* and *P. compressa*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **111**, 132–148 (2017).

13. N. Knowlton, E. Weil, L. A. Weigt, H. M. Guzman, Sibling species in *Montastraea annularis*, coral bleaching, and the coral climate record. *Science* **255**, 330–333 (1992).

14. E. Weil, N. Knowton, A multi-character analysis of the Caribbean coral *Montastraea annularis* (Ellis and Solander, 1786) and its two sibling species, *M. faveolata* (Ellis and Solander, 1786) and *M. franksi* (Gregory, 1895). *Bulletin of Marine Science* **55**, 151–175 (1994).

15. Z. H. Forsman, D. J. Barshis, C. L. Hunter, R. J. Toonen, Shape-shifting corals: molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in *Porites*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **9**, 45 (2009).

16. P. Bongaerts, *et al.*, Genetic divergence across habitats in the widespread coral *Seriatopora hystrix* and its associated *Symbiodinium*. *PLoS one* **5**, e10871 (2010).

17. P. R. Frade, *et al.*, Semi-permeable species boundaries in the coral genus *Madracis*: introgression in a brooding coral system. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **57**, 1072–1090 (2010).

18. F. Stefani, *et al.*, Comparison of morphological and genetic analyses reveals cryptic divergence and morphological plasticity in *Stylophora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). *Coral Reefs* **30**, 1033 (2011).

19. J. T. Ladner, S. R. Palumbi, Extensive sympatry, cryptic diversity and introgression throughout the geographic distribution of two coral species complexes. *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 2224–2238 (2012).

20. P. A. Warner, M. J. van Oppen, B. L. Willis, Unexpected cryptic species diversity in
the widespread coral *Seriatopora hystrix* masks spatial-genetic patterns of
connectivity. *Molecular Ecology* **24**, 2993–3008 (2015).

21. Z. T. Richards, O. Berry, M. J. H. van Oppen, Cryptic genetic divergence within
threatened species of *Acropora* coral from the Indian and Pacific Oceans.
Conservation Genetics **17**, 577–591 (2016).

22. P. Gélin, C. Fauvelot, L. Bigot, J. Baly, H. Magalon, From population connectivity to
the art of striping Russian dolls: the lessons from *Pocillopora* corals. *Ecology and*
Evolution **8**, 1411–1426 (2018).

23. F. Sinniger, R. Prasetia, M. Yorifuji, P. Bongaerts, S. Harii, *Seriatopora* diversity
preserved in upper mesophotic coral ecosystems in Southern Japan. *Frontiers in*
Marine Science **4**, 155 (2017).

24. R. Arrigoni, M. L. Berumen, J. Stolarski, T. I. Terraneo, F. Benzoni, Uncovering
hidden coral diversity: a new cryptic lobophylliid scleractinian from the Indian
Ocean. *Cladistics* **35**, 301–328 (2019).

25. N. Knowlton, Sibling species in the sea. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*
24, 189–216 (1993).

26. E. A. Sheets, P. A. Warner, S. R. Palumbi, Accurate population genetic
measurements require cryptic species identification in corals. *Coral Reefs* **37**, 549–
563 (2018).

27. A. M. González, C. A. Prada, V. Ávila, M. Medina, "Ecological speciation in corals"
in *Population Genomics: Marine Organisms*, (Springer, 2018), pp. 303–324.

28. P. Bongaerts, *et al.*, Adaptive divergence in a scleractinian coral: physiological
adaptation of *Seriatopora hystrix* to shallow and deep reef habitats. *BMC*
Evolutionary Biology **11**, 303 (2011).

29. C. Prada, M. E. Hellberg, Long prereproductive selection and divergence by depth in
a Caribbean candelabrum coral. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
110, 3961–3966 (2013).

30. N. L. Rosser, *et al.*, Phylogenomics provides new insight into evolutionary
relationships and genealogical discordance in the reef-building coral genus *Acropora*.
Proceedings of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences **284**, 20162182 (2017).

31. N. H. Rose, R. A. Bay, M. K. Morikawa, S. R. Palumbi, Polygenic evolution drives
species divergence and climate adaptation in corals. *Evolution* **72**, 82–94 (2018).

32. P. Bongaerts, *et al.*, Deep reefs are not universal refuges: Reseeding potential varies
among coral species. *Science Advances* **3**, e1602373 (2017).

33. P. Bongaerts, *et al.*, *Symbiodinium* diversity in mesophotic coral communities on the
Great Barrier Reef: a first assessment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **439**, 117–126
(2011).

34. T. F. Cooper, *et al.*, Niche specialization of reef-building corals in the mesophotic
zone: metabolic trade-offs between divergent *Symbiodinium* types. *Proceedings of*
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **278**, 1840–1850 (2011).

35. M. Ziegler, C. M. Roder, C. Büchel, C. R. Voolstra, Mesophotic coral depth
acclimatization is a function of host-specific symbiont physiology. *Frontiers in*
Marine Science **2**, 4 (2015).

36. V. Z. Radice, O. Hoegh-Guldberg, B. Fry, M. D. Fox, S. G. Dove, Upwelling as the
major source of nitrogen for shallow and deep reef-building corals across an oceanic
atoll system. *Functional Ecology* **33**, 1120–1134 (2019).

37. A. Hernandez-Agreda, W. Leggat, P. Bongaerts, T. D. Ainsworth, The microbial
signature provides insight into the mechanistic basis of coral success across reef
habitats. *mBio* **7**, e00560-16 (2016).

38. H. Ying, *et al.*, Comparative genomics reveals the distinct evolutionary trajectories
of the robust and complex coral lineages. *Genome Biology* **19**, 175 (2018).

39. S. Koren, *et al.*, Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer
weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Research* **27**, 722–736 (2017).

40. J.-F. Flot, *et al.*, Incongruence between morphotypes and genetically delimited
species in the coral genus *Stylophora*: phenotypic plasticity, morphological
convergence, morphological stasis or interspecific hybridization? *BMC Ecology* **11**,
22 (2011).

41. R. Arrigoni, *et al.*, Towards a rigorous species delimitation framework for
scleractinian corals based on RAD sequencing: the case study of *Leptastrea* from the
Indo-Pacific. *Coral Reefs* doi:10.1007/s00338-020-01924-8 (2020).

42. J. E. N. Veron, M. Pichon, Scleractinia of Eastern Australia. III. Families
Agariciidae, Siderastreidae, Fungiidae, Oculiniidae, Merulinidae, Pectiniidae,
Caryophylliidae, Dendrophylliidae. *Australian Institute of Marine Science*
Monograph Series **4**, 471 (1980).

43. F. Rentzsch, J. H. Fritzenwanker, C. B. Scholz, U. Technau, FGF signalling controls
formation of the apical sensory organ in the cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis*.
Development **135**, 1761–1769 (2008).

44. A. Reyes-Bermudez, A. Villar-Briones, C. Ramirez-Portilla, M. Hidaka, A. S.
Mikheyev, Developmental progression in the coral *Acropora digitifera* is controlled

by differential expression of distinct regulatory gene networks. *Genome Biology and*
Evolution **8**, 851–870 (2016).

45. M. E. Strader, G. V. Aglyamova, M. V. Matz, Molecular characterization of larval
development from fertilization to metamorphosis in a reef-building coral. *BMC*
Genomics **19**, 1–17 (2018).

46. P. A. Cleves, M. E. Strader, L. K. Bay, J. R. Pringle, M. V. Matz, CRISPR/Cas9-
mediated genome editing in a reef-building coral. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **115**, 5235–5240 (2018).

47. M. Takechi, N. Adachi, T. Hirai, S. Kuratani, S. Kuraku, The Dlx genes as clues to
vertebrate genomics and craniofacial evolution in *Seminars in Cell & Developmental*
Biology, (Elsevier, 2013), pp. 110–118.

48. A. M. Gonzalez Angel, Genomic and Transcriptomic Insights on the *Orbicella*
Species Complex (2019). PhD Thesis. Penn State University.

49. S. Schiffels, R. Durbin, Inferring human population size and separation history from
multiple genome sequences. *Nature Genetics* **46**, 919–925 (2014).

50. C. Prada, *et al.*, Empty niches after extinctions increase population sizes of modern
corals. *Current Biology* **26**, 3190–3194 (2016).

51. Y. Mao, E. P. Economo, N. Satoh, The roles of introgression and climate change in
the rise to dominance of *Acropora* corals. *Current Biology* **28**, 3373-3382. e5 (2018).

52. M. J. van Oppen, B. L. Willis, D. J. Miller, Atypically low rate of cytochrome b
evolution in the scleractinian coral genus Acropora. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*
Series B: Biological Sciences **266**, 179–183 (1999).

53. T. L. Shearer, M. J. H. Van Oppen, S. L. Romano, G. Wörheide, Slow mitochondrial
DNA sequence evolution in the Anthozoa (Cnidaria). *Molecular Ecology* **11**, 2475–
2487 (2002).

54. H. Liu, *et al.*, *Symbiodinium* genomes reveal adaptive evolution of functions related
to coral-dinoflagellate symbiosis. *Communications Biology* **1**, 1–11 (2018).

55. R. C. Babcock, *et al.*, Synchronous spawnings of 105 scleractinian coral species on
the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Biology.* **90**, 379–394 (1986).

56. D. R. Levitan, Sex-specific spawning behavior and its consequences in an external
fertilizer. *The American Naturalist* **165**, 682–694 (2005).

57. H. Fukami, M. Omori, K. Shimoike, T. Hayashibara, M. Hatta, Ecological and
genetic aspects of reproductive isolation by different spawning times in *Acropora*
corals. *Marine Biology* **142**, 679–684 (2003).

58. D. R. Levitan, *et al.*, Mechanisms of reproductive isolation among sympatric
broadcast-spawning corals of the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. *Evolution*
58, 308–323 (2004).

59. N. L. Rosser, Asynchronous spawning in sympatric populations of a hard coral
reveals cryptic species and ancient genetic lineages. *Molecular Ecology* **24**, 5006–
5019 (2015).

60. J. P. Gilmour, J. N. Underwood, E. J. Howells, E. Gates, A. J. Heyward, Biannual
spawning and temporal reproductive isolation in *Acropora* corals. *PloS One* **11**,
e0150916 (2016).

61. A. H. Baird, J. R. Guest, B. L. Willis, Systematic and biogeographical patterns in the
reproductive biology of scleractinian corals. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution,*
and Systematics **40**, 551–571 (2009).

62. D. R. Levitan, N. D. Fogarty, J. Jara, K. E. Lotterhos, N. Knowlton, Genetic, spatial,
and temporal components of precise spawning synchrony in reef building corals of
the *Montastraea annularis* species complex. *Evolution: International Journal of*
Organic Evolution **65**, 1254–1270 (2011).

63. P. Kaniewska, S. Alon, S. Karako-Lampert, O. Hoegh-Guldberg, O. Levy, Signaling
cascades and the importance of moonlight in coral broadcast mass spawning. *Elife* **4**,
e09991 (2015).

64. M. J. van Oppen, A. Lutz, G. De'ath, L. Peplow, S. Kininmonth, Genetic traces of
recent long-distance dispersal in a predominantly self-recruiting coral. *PLoS One* **3**,
e3401 (2008).

65. V. Lukoschek, C. Riginos, M. J. van Oppen, Congruent patterns of connectivity can
inform management for broadcast spawning corals on the Great Barrier Reef.
Molecular Ecology **25**, 3065–3080 (2016).

66. M. J. van Oppen, L. M. Peplow, S. Kininmonth, R. Berkelmans, Historical and
contemporary factors shape the population genetic structure of the broadcast
spawning coral, *Acropora millepora*, on the Great Barrier Reef. *Molecular Ecology*
20, 4899–4914 (2011).

67. G. Torda, P. Lundgren, B. L. Willis, M. J. van Oppen, Revisiting the connectivity
puzzle of the common coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Molecular Ecology* **22**, 5805–
5820 (2013).

68. Z. L. Fuller, *et al.*, Population genetics of the coral *Acropora millepora*: Toward
genomic prediction of bleaching. *Science* **369** (2020).

69. P. R. Frade, *et al.*, Deep reefs of the Great Barrier Reef offer limited thermal refuge
during mass coral bleaching. *Nature Communications* **9**, 1–8 (2018).

648 70. P. Bongaerts, T. B. Smith, "Beyond the 'Deep Reef Refuge' Hypothesis: A
649    Conceptual Framework to Characterize Persistence at Depth" in *Mesophotic Coral*
650    *Ecosystems*, Coral Reefs of the World., Y. Loya, K. A. Puglise, T. C. L. Bridge, Eds.
651    (Springer International Publishing, 2019), pp. 881–895.
652 71. J. Veron, Overview of the taxonomy of zooxanthellate Scleractinia. *Zoological*
653    *Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**, 485–508 (2013).
654 72. A. M. Quattrini, *et al.*, Universal target-enrichment baits for anthozoan (Cnidaria)
655    phylogenomics: New approaches to long-standing problems. *Molecular Ecology*
656    *Resources* **18**, 281–295 (2018).
657 73. R. Z. Quek, S. S. Jain, M. L. Neo, G. W. Rouse, D. Huang, Transcriptome-based
658    target-enrichment baits for stony corals (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia).
659    *Molecular Ecology Resources* **20** (2020).