De novo virus inference and host prediction from metagenome using CRISPR

spacers

Ryota Sugimoto 1), Luca Nishimura 1,2), Phuong Nguyen Thanh 1,2), Jumpei Ito 3), Nicholas F.

Parrish 4), Hiroshi Mori 5), Ken Kurokawa 5), Hirofumi Nakaoka 6) and Ituro Inoue* 1)

1) Human Genetics Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, Research Organization of

Information and Systems, 1111 Yata, Mishima, Shizuoka, Japan

2) The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI, 1111 Yata, Mishima, Shizuoka,

Japan

3) Division of Systems Virology, Department of Infectious Disease Control, International

Research Center for Infectious Diseases, Institute of Medical Science, The University of Tokyo,

4-6-1 Shirokanedai, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan

4) Genome Immunobiology RIKEN Hakubi Research Team, Center for Integrative Medical

Sciences, RIKEN, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan

5) Genome Evolution Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, Research Organization of

Information and Systems, 1111 Yata, Mishima, Shizuoka, Japan

6) Department of Cancer Genome Research, Sasaki Institute, 2-2 Kandasurugadai, Chiyoda-ku,

Tokyo, Japan

Abstract

Viruses are the most numerous biological entity, existing in all environments and infecting all cellular organisms. Compared with cellular life, the evolution and origin of viruses are poorly understood; viruses are enormously diverse and most lack sequence similarity to cellular genes. To uncover viral sequences without relying on either reference viral sequences from databases or marker genes known to characterize specific viral taxa, we developed an analysis pipeline for virus inference based on clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR). CRISPR is a prokaryotic nucleic acid restriction system that stores memory of previous exposure. Our protocol can infer viral sequences targeted by CRISPR and predict their hosts using unassembled short-read metagenomic sequencing data. Analysing human gut metagenomic data, we extracted 11,391 terminally redundant CRISPR-targeted sequences which are likely complete circular genomes of viruses or plasmids. The sequences include 257 complete crAssphage family genomes, 11 genomes larger than 200 kilobases, 766 genomes of *Microviridae* species, 114 genomes of *Inoviridae* species and many entirely novel genomes of unknown taxa. We predicted the host(s) of approximately 70% of discovered genomes by linking protospacers to taxonomically assigned CRISPR direct repeats. These results support that our protocol is efficient for de novo inference of viral genomes and host prediction. In addition, we investigated the origin of the diversity-generating retroelement (DGR) locus of the crAssphage family. Phylogenetic analysis and gene locus comparisons indicate that DGR is orthologous in human gut crAssphages and shares a common ancestor with baboon-derived crAssphage; however, the locus has likely been lost in multiple lineages recently.

Introduction

Viruses are not included among the domains of life, but they are the most numerous biological entity, presumably containing the most genetic material on Earth. The number of viruses infecting microbial populations is estimated at 10^{31}[1]. Viruses exist in all environments and infect all cellular organisms. In the historical experiments of Hershey and Chase[2], a virus was used to first show that DNA is the genetic material, and the first sequenced complete genome was viral[3,4]; despite those historical efforts to obtain genetic information, the evolution and origins of viruses are poorly understood, reasons for which are related to the nature of viruses. Firstly, viral genomes are extremely diverse in size, ranging from several thousand to a few million bases, and in genomic content, encode various non-overlapping sets of genes. In fact, there are no genes universally present among all viruses, indicating that a monophyletic explanation of viral origin is implausible[5,6]. Secondly, most viral genes show little to no homology to cellular genes. Despite their parasitic nature, viral genomes contain sparse sequences from which to infer shared lineage or evolutionary history with cellular life. Considering these confounding biological features, we were motivated to uncover viral genomic sequences without relying on either marker genes or known sequences.

Metagenomics[7] became a popular method to sequence viral genomes. Metagenomics sequences all genetic materials extracted from a given organism or tissue. The advantage of a metagenomic approach is, with sufficient depth of sequencing, presumably all genetic information of viruses in the sample can be obtained. However, because metagenomic approaches obtain a mixture of cellular and viral genomic information, it is necessary to subsequently distinguish viral from cellular sequences.

Several methods have been developed to detect viral sequences from metagenomic data,

after assembling sequences into those derived from presumably contiguous DNA molecules called

contigs. One virus detection software, VirSorter[8] detects regions within contigs enriched with viral

'hallmark genes', viral-like genes, uncharacterized genes, and shortened genes. To detect viral

proteins, this program uses a custom-made protein database including both reference viral proteins

and predicted proteins from virome samples. Another virus detection method, VirFinder[9] is a k-

mer based machine learning program using a logistic regression model trained by RefSeq virus

and prokaryote genomes. It takes k-mer features of a given contig as input and outputs the

probability that the contig is a virus. Although these methods have been successfully used to

uncover viral diversity from metagenomics[10], both rely on similarity to known viral genomes.

Therefore, as reference-based approaches, they cannot uncover viral lineages totally different from

known viral genomes, if such lineages exist. Thus, we sought to develop a non-reference-based

analysis pipeline to detect viral sequences from metagenomes; however, we were tasked with the

question 'What information could be used for this purpose'? The underlying biology of the

clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) system, a prokaryotic form of

adaptive immunological memory[11], provides a potential source. After infection by viruses or

horizontal transfer of plasmids, some archaeal and bacterial cells incorporate fragments of 'non-

self' genetic materials in specialized genomic loci between CRISPR direct repeats (DRs). The

incorporated sequences, called 'spacers', are identical in sequence to part of the previously

infecting mobile genetic element. Thus, the genetic information encoded in CRISPR spacers can

be inferred as likely viral, and distinguishable to the genetic material of the organism encoding

CRISPR which is most often cellular, but potentially also viral[12,13].

CRISPR spacers have been used to detect viral genomes[14–18] and predict viral hosts[19,20].

They have previously been extracted from assembled bacterial genomes to assess CRISPR 'dark

matter', finding that 80–90% of identifiable material matches known viral genomes[17,18]. In the

current study, we extended this conceptual approach to enormous amount of unassembled short-

read metagenomic data. CRISPR repeats are relatively easily identifiable, particularly compared

to unknown viral sequences. This trait enables us to search immense metagenomic datasets for

reads comprised in part as CRISPR DR sequences, and in other parts, unknown sequences inferred

as CRISPR spacers. By analysing these reads and the contigs assembled from them, we

successfully extracted 11,391 terminally redundant (TR) CRISPR-targeted sequences ranging

from 894 to 292,414 bases. These sequences are expected to be complete or near-complete circular

genomes which can be linked to their predicted hosts. The sequences we inferred de novo in this

manner included 257 complete genomes of the crAssphage family[21,22], 11 genomes larger than

200 kilobases (kb), 766 genomes of *Microviridae* species, 114 genomes of *Inoviridae* species and

many novel, taxonomically unplaced genomes. Furthermore, by comparing the spacer-associated

metagenomic CRISPR DR sequences to CRISPRs from reference bacterial and archaeal genomes,

we predicted CRISPR targeting hosts for 69.7% of the discovered genomes.

Results and Discussion

Extraction of CRISPR-targeted sequences. For this study, we analysed human gut metagenomes

as they serve as an 'ecosystem' with the most abundant metagenomic data available to our

knowledge. We downloaded 11,817 human gut metagenome datasets equivalent to 50.7 Tb from

the European Nucleotide Archive FTP server. FASTQ files were pre-processed and assembled to

180,068,349 contigs comprising 767.7 Gb of data (Supplementary Table 1). We discovered 11,223

unique CRISPR DRs from the assembled contigs which were used to extract CRISPR spacers from

raw reads, resulting in 1,969,721 unique CRISPR spacers (Supplementary data). These spacers

were then used as query to reveal candidate protospacers (i.e. contigs containing the spacer

sequence not within a CRISPR locus). Spacers were mapped to CRISPR masked contigs with a

93% minimum sequence identity threshold. To increase specificity, we checked that the 5' and 3'

adjacent sequences of spacer-mapped positions were not similar either to each other or the spacer-

associated DR. A total of 164,590,387 candidate protospacer loci, attributed to 1,114,947 unique

spacers (56.6% of all unique spacers) were revealed (Supplementary data), showing a substantially

higher discovery rate compared with that of a previous study (~7%[17]) which used National Center

for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide sequences for protospacer discovery. Spacers

were clustered based on protospacer co-occurrence and used to extract contigs targeted by more

than 30% of members of a spacer cluster. Finally, 764,883 gapless CRISPR-targeted sequences

(15.9 Gb) were extracted (Supplementary Fig. 1 and 2). Of these, 11,391 unique sequences were

identified as TR[23], which are expected if originating as complete or near-complete circular mobile

genetic elements. The size of CRISPR-targeted TR sequences ranged from 894 to 292,414 bases

(Supplementary table 2 and Supplementary data).

We then investigated protein coding genes encoded in CRISPR-targeted sequences. To

maximize recovery of novel genes, 3,099,178 protein coding genes were predicted from CRISPR-

targeted sequences, including both TR (400,566 genes) and non-TR sequences (2,698,612 genes).

Protein sequences were clustered based on a 30% sequence identity threshold, resulting in 83,796

clusters with more than two members and comprising 2,996,113 genes (96.7%). Each cluster was

aligned and used to build a hidden Markov model (HMM), then used as query against UniRef50

and RefSeq viral proteins. We found that 12,283 HMMs (14.7%), comprising 891,121 genes

(28.8%), were similar to 60,296 different RefSeq viral protein sequences (E-value < 10^-10). A

total of 6,853 TR sequences (60%) encoded at least one of these genes, suggesting that a substantial

portion of TR sequences are viral genomes.

Length-based classification of CRISPR-targeted TR sequences. Evaluation of TR sequence

length showed a multi-modal distribution with a distinct trough at 20 kb (Fig. 1a). For reference,

we refer to the 8,837 TR sequences shorter than 20 kb as 'small' and the 2,554 TR sequences

longer than 20 kb as 'large'. This simple classification was previously used to infer capsid

morphology[24,25]. Among large TR sequences, 1,509 sequences (59%) encode a set of tail, portal

and terminase large-subunit genes (score > 30), whereas only 50 small TR sequences (0.6%)

encoded this set of genes (Supplementary Fig. 3), indicating that the majority of large TR

sequences are tailed phages, also known as *Caudovirales*. In addition, 766 small TR sequences,

ranging in size from 4,574 to 12,988 bases (average 5,766.14 bases), encoded both *Microviridae*

major capsid and replication initiator homologues[26]. 114 small TR sequences, ranging in size from

4,783 to 18,988 bases (average 6,715.6 bases), encoded both *Inoviridae* major coat and replication

initiator homologues. We consider that this portion of small TR sequences are likely viruses with

a non-tailed morphology[27,28].

Predicted CRISPR targeting hosts of TR sequences. As our approach uses CRISPR spacers to

extract CRISPR-targeted sequences, we hypothesised that the relationship between virus and

targeted host can be resolved for the majority of TR sequences. CRISPR DR sequences were

searched on RefSeq genomes and taxonomically assigned. Based on counts of protospacers

associated with taxonomically assigned DRs, 7,937 TR sequences (69.7%) were resolved to a

targeting host at the phylum level (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 4), and 6,083 TR sequences

(53.4%) were resolved to a targeting host at the order level (Supplementary Fig. 5 and

Supplementary table 2). The most frequent host was *Firmicutes*, followed by *Bacteroidetes* and

Actinobacteria. Notably, these are the most common bacteria in human intestine[29]. In addition,

1,418 TR sequences (12.5%) were predicted to be targeted by multiple phyla. Although some of

these TR sequences were targeted by multiple monoderm or diderm phyla exclusively, there was

an exceptional host ambiguity between *Firmicutes* and *Verrucomicrobia* which crosses the

monoderm-diderm boundary (Fig. 1c). We did not use CRISPR DR sequences shared between

cross-phyla species for targeting host prediction; targeting host ambiguity is unlikely because of

ambiguous taxonomic assignment of DR sequences. To determine whether the targeting host

predicted by this method corresponds with reported permissive hosts, we calculated GC content[30]

of the TR sequences (Fig. 1d). Among the 2,050 high-GC (GC% > 55%) TR sequences, 1,109

(54%) and 249 (12.1%) were predicted to be targeted by *Actinobacteria* and *Firmicutes*

respectively, and the targeting host was undetermined for 222 TR sequences (10.8%). The large

fraction of high-GC TR sequences predicted to be targeted by *Actinobacteria* likely indicate

genomic adaption of parasitic genetic elements which infect and routinely become targeted by

CRISPR systems of high-GC gram-positive bacteria.

Host range within a viral lineage was further assessed by phylogenetic analysis of TR

sequences determined to represent *Microviridae* species. The predicted targeting hosts of putative

Microviridae species from our study were *Bacteroides*, *Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria*, indicating

a wide host range of *Microviridae* species. Molecular phylogeny of the *Microviridae* major capsid

protein clearly separates sequences based on their targeting host (Fig. 2). Interestingly, most

known *E. coli*-infecting viral species (such as phiX174) and other *Proteobacteria*-infecting species

(such as phi MH2K[31]) used as reference in this phylogeny split to two clades, and the clade

containing the latter was within a clade of TR sequences targeted by *Firmicutes*. The nested

phylogenetic structure of capsids encoded by TR sequences predicted to represent *Microviridae*

species may indicate that host-switching, a critically important topic in virus evolution[32,33], has

occurred within the viral lineage.

These results suggest that approximately 70% of discovered sequences are targeted by

specific host phyla. 12.5% of TR sequences were targeted by multiple phyla; however, we are

uncertain whether these elements actually infect multiple hosts at present, recently host-switched,

or some genomes become CRISPR-targeted as a result of abortive infections[34]. Importantly,

expanding this approach to detect viral genomes from different environments may be useful to

infer the evolutionary history and genetic factors involved in host-switching.

Comparison of CRISPR-targeted TR sequences to available viral and plasmid sequences. TR

sequences identified in this study were compared to virus and plasmid genome databases,

including RefSeq[35] virus, RefSeq plasmid, IMG/VR[36,37] and GVD[10] (Fig. 3). IMG/VR is currently

the largest database of uncultivated viral genomes. GVD is a database of viral genomes discovered

from human gut metagenome datasets using VirSorter and VirFinder. Both of these databases rely

on protein homology, which complements to our approach, to discover the viral genomes.

Therefore, we consider these databases are appropriate to validate our results.

Among the 2,554 large TR sequences, we found that 1,726 TR sequences (67.6%) were

represented in RefSeq virus, IMG/VR or GVD (Fig. 3a), using a threshold of 85% sequence

identity with at least 75% aligned fraction of the query sequence to a unique subject sequence.

These sequences included 257 crAssphage genomes, ranging in size from 92,182 to 100,327 bases

(average 96,984.8 bases). Members of *Bacteroidales* were predicted as targeting hosts of

crAssphages in this study, findings which are consistent with those hosts reported to propagate

crAssphage in previous studies[21,22]. We also found that 154 large TR sequences (6%) were listed

in RefSeq plasmid, of which 7 were also listed in the IMG/VR database. Based on our investigation,

we determined that there is adequate classification between plasmids and viruses for large genomes.

Notably, we discovered 11 TR sequences larger than 200 kb; 7 of which correspond to recently

reported 'huge phage' genomes[13] and 4 of these very large TR sequences were novel. Finally, we

found that 681 large TR sequences (26.7%) were novel. In summary, we conclude that many of

the large TR sequences are already represented in virus or plasmid databases, with the exception

of those greater than 200 kb which were only recently reported.

In contrast to the large TR sequences, most of the small TR sequences were not represented

in the databases searched (Fig. 3b). Among the 8,837 small TR sequences, 491 small TR sequences

(5.6%) were listed in IMG/VR, whereas 2,573 small TR sequences (29.1%) were listed in GVD.

Only 256 small TR sequences (2.9%) were listed in both IMG/VR and GVD. Finally, 5,518 small

TR sequences (62.4%) were novel, indicating that the majority of small viral or plasmid sequences

targeted by CRISPR are still unexplored, even in the intensively studied human gut metagenome.

However, IMG/VR filters out genomes shorter than 5 kb to minimize the rate of false positive

predictions[37], which likely explains the substantially lower represented sequences in IMG/VR for

small TR sequences. Moreover, among the 942 RefSeq plasmid-listed sequences, 444 small TR

sequences were listed in RefSeq virus, IMG/VR and/or GVD, suggesting a possible

misclassification between virus and plasmid within these databases. We investigated database

representation of CRISPR-targeted TR sequences predicted to represent *Microviridae* and

Inoviridae species. Among the 766 putative *Microviridae* genomes from this study, we found that

639 genomes (83.4%) were represented in at least one virus database, and none were listed among

RefSeq plasmids. In contrast to *Microviridae*, among the 114 putative *Inoviridae* genomes from

this study, only 2 were listed in the virus databases and 11 were listed in the RefSeq plasmid

database. Next, we compared our putative *Inoviridae* genomes with recently reported *Inoviridae*

genomes discovered using a machine learning approach[38], and found that 43 genomes from our

study were highly similar to the genomes from the previous study, supporting our prediction that

these sequences are indeed *Inoviridae* genomes.

To determine whether our novel TR sequences are viruses, we searched sequences for

capsid genes using a high-sensitivity homology detection method. We built a capsid HMM

database using reference capsid proteins as baits which were then used to scan TR sequences. We

identified 1,582 small TR sequences (17.9%) encoded capsid genes (score > 30), suggesting that

at least this portion of TR sequences are viral genomes. However, capsid genes are unlikely to

share a single common ancestor[39], and it is unlikely that the reference sequences cover the entire

sequence diversity of capsids. In addition, some viruses do not encode capsids[40,41]. Therefore,

although this is a conservative estimate of the truly viral fraction of the taxonomically

unclassifiable sequences reported here, we are unable to strongly conclude whether the remaining

small TR sequences are viruses or not at this point. With DNA synthesis technology and predicted

host information from this study, one might be able to experimentally investigate these potentially

viral genomes.

Gene content based hierarchical clustering of CRISPR-targeted TR sequences. To scrutinize

CRISPR-targeted TR sequences in a genomic context, we hierarchically clustered TR sequences

based on gene content. For this analysis, we selected the top 1,000 genes recurrently observed

from large and small TR sequences. The clustering results for large TR sequences (Fig. 4a) showed

that the majority of genomes, with a variety of gene contents, have already been listed in virus

databases. This finding further supports that these databases contain at least representatives similar,

at broad taxonomic levels, to the large viruses present in human gut. Specific gene contents were

observed for crAssphages, which are exclusively targeted by *Bacteroidetes*. In addition, RefSeq

plasmid hit sequences formed an exclusive cluster with conjugation-related genes. As the

conjugation proteins included those involved in pili formation and intercellular DNA transfer

proteins, these sequences are likely F plasmids. TR sequences predicted to be targeted by

monoderm and diderm hosts clustered separately, indicating there is little gene flow between them.

Except for the likely F plasmid sequences, most of these sequences encode capsids. Combined

with the fact that most of the large TR sequences encoded tailed phage essential genes, this result

further supports the conclusion that the majority of the large TR sequences are tailed phages.

In contrast to the large TR sequences, we found that the small TR sequences remained

largely enigmatic when clustered by gene content. Few clusters were represented in IMG/VR, and

although GVD covers a relatively broader range, representatives are still missing or sparse for

some clusters. Several clusters were also listed in both plasmid and virus databases. As many

clusters did not encode detectable capsid genes, both clusters representing *Microviridae* evince

capsid genes. Another pattern shown by this analysis was that high-GC content TR sequences were

frequently observed with *Actinobacteria* as the predicted targeting host.

Diversity-generating retroelements of crAssphage lineage. Recently, one crAssphage genome

encoding a diversity-generating retroelement (DGR) was reported[42]. DGRs are retroelements

found in prokaryotes and viruses[43]. They minimally consist of an error-prone reverse transcriptase

and a non-coding region called a template repeat. The transcript of a template repeat is reverse

transcribed, and this complementary DNA (cDNA) product includes mutations from adenine to a

random nucleotide (A-to-N). The cDNA is incorporated to a specific position of the target gene

called the variable repeat; thus, this element effectively alters the coding sequence of the target

gene which may benefit from rapid adaptation, such as expansion to new hosts and

environments[44,45]. Among the 257 putative crAssphage genomes identified in our study, 98

genomes encoded DGRs including a reverse transcriptase gene with an associated non-coding

region recognizable as a putative template repeat showing A-to-N (adenine-to-any base) mutations

to the tail-collar coding gene. Using these abundant putative crAssphage sequences, we further

investigated this locus to uncover the origin of this retroelement.

The position of the DGR locus was consistent among DGR-encoding crAssphages

(Supplementary Fig. 6), suggesting that DGRs in crAssphages observed so far are orthologous and

likely originate from a common ancestral DGR insertion. The reverse transcriptase gene and

template repeat within this module showed high sequence conservation, whereas the variable

repeat within the adjacent gene that encodes tail-collar fibre showed high diversity, indicating that

this DGR was recently generating diversity (Fig. 5a). Notably, however, the tail-collar fibre of

putative crAssphage sequences without DGR were similarly diverse. We used the protein sequence

of DGR reverse transcriptase to query non-human-derived crAssphage-like genomes[46], and only

one crAssphage-like genome, which was derived by sequencing baboon faeces, encoded this

element (Fig. 5a). Pair-wise alignment of template and variable repeats within the baboon

crAssphage-like genome showed A-to-N substitutions that are likely facilitated by the DGR (Fig.

5b), which indicates the DGR in the baboon crAssphage-like genome is also functional. We then

constructed a Bayesian phylogeny of crAssphage genomes (Fig. 5c). We found that the distribution

of reverse transcriptase-encoding genomes (shown in red text in Fig. 5c) did not form a cluster, a

finding which is most consistent with multiple losses of this retroelement, and potentially

explaining the high diversity of the tail collar fibre even in assembled sequences that do not

currently contain a DGR. A deeper-rooted molecular phylogeny with distant crAssphages-like

genomes would be required to uncover more details about the origin of this retroelement.

Remnant CRISPR spacers and overall contribution of CRISPR-targeted sequences to

identified spacers. Viruses and other mobile genetic elements can escape from CRISPR targeting

by acquiring mutations to protospacer loci[47,48]. While the corresponding spacers are thus no longer

effective, they can remain in the host genome. To investigate these potential 'remnant' CRISPR

spacers, we mapped all unique CRISPR spacers to TR sequences as well as scrambled sequences

with various sequence identity thresholds (Fig. 6). The scrambled sequences were used to monitor

false positive matches arising by chance (see Methods). Based on the observation of incremental

false positive matches of spacers to scrambled sequences, 84% is a sequence identity threshold at

which some putative remnant spacers can be mapped, but very few false-positive matches. At an

84% sequence identity threshold, 269,808 spacers and 126,616 spacers were mapped to large and

small TR sequences, respectively. Taken together, 20.13% of all unique spacers (396,424 spacers)

were mapped to TR sequences. Compared to the stringent identity threshold initially applied (93%),

91.91% more spacers were mapped to small TR sequences with relaxed threshold. By comparison,

only 42.68% more spacers were mapped to large TR sequences with relaxed threshold. These

results suggest that a substantial fraction of CRISPR spacers imperfectly matches to protospacers

within circular mobile genetic elements in human gut. This potentially reflects an 'escape mutation'

phenomenon. By percentage, small TR sequences can be explained in this manner than large TR

sequences.

316   While we focused TR sequences in this study because of high confidence of the genomic

317 completeness, spacers could be derived from incompletely reconstructed or non-circular genomes.

318 To estimate the contribution of discovered CRISPR-targeted sequences to identified CRISPR

319 spacers, all unique spacers were mapped to all representative CRISPR-targeted sequences with

320 84% sequence identity threshold. Under these conditions, 971,224 spacers (49.31% of all unique

321 spacers) were mapped.

322   Overall, spacer mapping with looser criterion suggesting that at least about one-fifth of

discovered CRISPR spacers originated from TR sequences or their recognizable evolutionary

predecessors, whereas about half of CRISPR spacers originated from our discovered CRISPR-

targeted sequences including both TR and non-TR.

Conclusion

In the current study, we demonstrated that CRISPR spacers can be used as a tool to detect viral

genomes and other mobile genetic elements from metagenome sequences. Using spacers to

confidently infer which sequences are targeted by CRISPR, we substantially expanded the

diversity of mobile genetic elements identifiable from the human gut metagenome, which has

already been a topic of intense investigation for virus discovery. Comparing the sequences

predicted by this approach against viral databases showed that our protocol effectively detects viral

genomes without requiring similarity to any known viral sequence. Although the majority of large

(>20 kb) genomes were predicted as tailed phages with high confidence based on sequence

homology, we found that the majority of small (<20 kb) genomes remain unclassified because of

a lack of similar genomes in annotated databases. We showed that the source of nearly half of the

CRISPR spacers encoded by residents of the human gut remains unknown, suggesting that

additional protospacer reservoirs, whether extinct or simply unsampled, are still to be characterized.

Applying this conceptual advance to additional metagenomic datasets will increase the breadth of

the lens through which we can study the diversity of Earth's virome.

Materials and Methods

Materials. Sequencing data were selected based on NCBI metadata. Filtering parameters for the

query were as follows: layout = PAIRED, platform = ILLUMINA, selection = RANDOM, strategy

= WGS, source = METAGENOME, NCBI Taxonomy = 408170 (human gut metagenome) and

minimum library size = 1 Gb. If a sample contained multiple runs, we selected the run with the

most bases for simplicity of the analysis pipeline and to avoid possible bias to protospacer counts

from nearly identical metagenomes.

Database versions and download dates. RefSeq Release 98 was downloaded on 10 January 2020

and IMG/VR Release Jan. 2018 was downloaded on 21 October 2019. GVD was downloaded on

11 March 2020 and UniRef50 was downloaded on 16 December 2019.

Metagenome assembly. All downloaded paired FASTQ files were pre-processed based on

guidance in BBTools[49] (version 38.73). Adapters, phi X and human sequences were removed using

BBDuk and BBMap. Sequencing errors were corrected using Tadpole. Each pre-processed pair of

FASTQ files was assembled using SPAdes[50] (version 3.12) with -meta option. Contigs smaller

than 1 kb were discarded.

Detection of CRISPR and spacer extraction. Assembled contigs were scanned with

CRISPRDetect[51] (version 2.2) to extract CRISPR DRs which were deduplicated using CD-HIT-

EST[52] (version 4.7) and used to mask the raw reads using BBDuk. We extracted CRISPR spacers

from the raw reads to maximize spacer capture from the library. Sequences between the masked

regions within the raw reads were considered CRISPR spacers which were extracted by a simple

Python program (available in our source code repository) and then deduplicated.

Detection of protospacer loci. All DRs were mapped to contigs using BBMap with a 93%

minimum sequence identity. DR mapped positions and their flanking 60 bases were masked as

CRISPR loci. Next, identified spacers were mapped to all CRISPR masked contigs with a 93%

minimum sequence identity. Rather than excluding all contigs with CRISPR loci, we exclusively

masked CRISPR loci to identify viruses that encode CRISPR systems which are themselves

targeted by other CRISPR systems. To increase specificity, we aligned the 5' and 3' adjacent

regions of spacer-mapped positions. These adjacent sequences were also aligned to the DR

sequence associated with the mapped spacer. We discarded those loci in which any alignment

score divided by length was higher than 0.5, using the alignment parameters: match = 1, mismatch

= -1, gap = -1 and gap extension = -1. The remaining positions were considered authentic

protospacer loci.

Co-occurrence-based spacer clustering. We clustered spacers in two steps (Supplementary Fig.

2). First, we clustered protospacer loci located within 50 kb of another protospacer locus. We then

further clustered spacers based on the co-occurrence of protospacers represented as a graph. In this

graph, protospacers are nodes and the edges represent co-occurrence of connected protospacers.

The weights of edges were observed counts of co-occurrence of the connected protospacers

defined in the previous clustering. Graph communities were detected using Markov Clustering

algorithm[53] (options: -I 4 -pi 0.4; version 14-137). Clusters with a size lower than 10 and a global

clustering coefficient lower than 0.5 were discarded. Finally, 12,749 clusters comprising 591,189

spacers were derived.

Extraction of CRISPR-targeted sequences. Contiguous regions of contigs targeted by more than

30% of members of a spacer cluster were marked as a bed file using BEDTools[54]. To join the

fragmented clusters, adjacent regions within 1 kb were concatenated. Marked regions were

extracted, and sequences containing assembly gap were discarded. Finally, both ends of each

extracted sequence were compared to identify TR sequences using a python program utilizing the

Biopython[55] package (available in our source code repository).

Deduplication of CRISPR-targeted sequences. TR sequences were clustered using PSI-CD-HIT

(options: -c 0.95 -aS 0.95 -aL 0.95 -G 1 -g 1 -prog blastn -circle 1). The remaining CRISPR-

targeted sequences were clustered twice using linclust[56] (options: --cluster-mode 2 --cov-mode 1

-c 0.9 --min-seq-id 0.95), then clustered again using PSI-CD-HIT (options: -c 0.9 -aS 0.95 -G 1 -

g 1 -prog blastn -circle 1).

Gene prediction and annotation of CRISPR-targeted sequence. Protein-coding genes were

predicted from both TR and non-TR sequences using Prodigal (version 2.6.3) with the -p meta

option. To recover truncated genes from TR sequences, each TR sequence was concatenated in

silico and unique predicted genes were selected. Predicted protein sequences with partial frags

were discarded. The remaining protein sequences were clustered based on a 30% sequence identity

threshold and aligned using mmseqs[57] (version e1a1c1226ef22ac3d0da8e8f71adb8fd2388a249).

HMMs were constructed from each aligned sequence using hmmbuild from HMMER[58] (version

3.2.1). Constructed HMMs were then used as query to search against UniRef50 and RefSeq viral

proteins (E value < 10e-10) using hmmsearch.

Capsid HMM database construction. We selected capsids and coat proteins from RefSeq viral

protein sequences. Sequences containing 'capsid' and 'coat' in the description were selected and

then manually inspected to remove sequences unlikely to actually be capsid, such as containing

the term 'associate' or phrase 'assembly chaperone'. A total of 5,069 sequences were clustered

based on a 30% sequence identity, resulting in 1,324 representative sequences. Each representative

sequence was used as bait and underwent five jackhmmer iterations on UniRef50 database. HMMs

from the final iteration were used to build the capsid HMM database.

Targeting host prediction. DRs were mapped to RefSeq bacteria and archaea genomes using

BBMap. A locus with more than three consecutive DR hits within 100 bases was considered an

authentic CRISPR locus associated with the mapped DR. DRs mapped to multiple taxa in a given

taxonomic level were not taxonomically assigned for that level. DRs assigned to taxa were used

to predict the targeting host. We counted the protospacers linked to taxonomically assigned DRs

within a TR sequence. If the count of a given taxon was ≥10 and higher than 90% exclusiveness,

we considered that the corresponding taxon is a targeting host of a given contig. Host prediction

was done for each taxonomic level: species, genus, family, order, class, phylum and domain.

Gene content-based hierarchical clustering of TR sequences. TR sequences were scanned by

HMMs derived from the clustering results of the predicted protein sequences using both TR and

non-TR sequences. The scanned result was represented by a binary matrix (score > 60). We

selected the top 1,000 genes recurrently observed within TR sequences. The matrix was

hierarchically clustered with ComplexHeatmap[59] (version 2.5.3), and then annotated with database

hits, host, GC content, capsids and predicted gene functions. Clustering was separately performed

for large and small TR sequences.

Phylogenetic analysis of *Microviridae* major capsid proteins. Representative *Microviridae*

major capsid protein sequences were selected by clustering all capsids based on an 85% sequence

identity threshold throughout the entire length of the protein. Representative and reference protein

sequences were aligned using MAFFT[60] (version 7.310) and then trimmed using trimAl[61] (version

1.4). Aligned sequences were used for Bayesian phylogenetic analysis using MrBayes[62] (version

3.2.7). A mixed substitution model with a uniform prior that converged to Blosum62 (posterior

probability = 1.000) was selected. All other priors were set to default. Two chains of Markov chain

Monte Carlo (MCMC) with identical priors run over ten million generations and sampled every

500 generations. The standard deviation of split frequencies approached close to zero (0.007837)

over the run.

Phylogenetic analysis of crAssphage core genes. 55 core crAssphage genes which were encoded

in all crAssphage genomes from our study and the reference crAssphage genome were selected.

Representative crAssphage genomes were selected by clustering RNA polymerase coding

sequences based on a 97.5% sequence identity threshold. Each gene was aligned using MAFFT

and then trimmed using trimAl. Aligned core genes were then concatenated and used for Bayesian

phylogenetic analysis using MrBayes. A GTR + I + Γ substitution model was selected. Two chains

of MCMC with identical priors were run over ten million generations and sampled every 500

generations. The standard deviation of split frequencies approached close to zero (0.000499) over

the run.

Generation of scrambled sequences. Scrambled sequences are random sequences with identical

lengths to the TR sequences. The sequences are generated based on sampled nucleotide

frequencies from the TR sequences using Biopython package (available in our source code

repository).

Code availability. The source codes used in this study are available at https://github.com/ryota-

sugimoto/virome_scripts

Data availability. Data set including discovered CRISPR spacers, direct repeats, protospacers, co-

occurrence-based spacer clustering result, predicted protein sequences, built HMMs, database

comparison results, phylogenetic analysis results and CRISPR-targeted TR sequences are

available in our google drive. (URL:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1QwpG3TilpBFwmOD5_qi2NESWA_XC0_g0?usp=shar

ing MD5: d0f2ebe8dd20de4033a68735015943d4)

References

1. 474 Wommack, K. E. & Colwell, R. R. Virioplankton: viruses in aquatic ecosystems. *Microbiol*
    475 *Mol Biol Rev* **64**, 69-114 (2000).

2. 476 HERSHEY, A. D. & CHASE, M. Independent functions of viral protein and nucleic acid in
    477 growth of bacteriophage. *J Gen Physiol* **36**, 39-56 (1952).

3. 478 Fiers, W. *et al*. Complete nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage MS2 RNA: primary and
    479 secondary structure of the replicase gene. *Nature* **260**, 500-507 (1976).

4. 480 Sanger, F. *et al*. Nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage phi X174 DNA. *Nature* **265**, 687-695
    481 (1977).

5. 482 Koonin, E. V., Senkevich, T. G. & Dolja, V. V. The ancient Virus World and evolution of
    483 cells. *Biol Direct* **1**, 29 (2006).

6. 484 Krupovic, M., Dolja, V. V. & Koonin, E. V. Origin of viruses: primordial replicators
    485 recruiting capsids from hosts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **17**, 449-458 (2019).

7. 486 Handelsman, J., Rondon, M. R., Brady, S. F., Clardy, J. & Goodman, R. M. Molecular
    487 biological access to the chemistry of unknown soil microbes: a new frontier for natural
    488 products. *Chem Biol* **5**, R245-9 (1998).

8. 489 Roux, S., Enault, F., Hurwitz, B. L. & Sullivan, M. B. VirSorter: mining viral signal from
    490 microbial genomic data. *PeerJ* **3**, e985 (2015).

9. 491 Ren, J., Ahlgren, N. A., Lu, Y. Y., Fuhrman, J. A. & Sun, F. VirFinder: a novel k-mer based
    492 tool for identifying viral sequences from assembled metagenomic data. *Microbiome* **5**, 69
    493 (2017).

10. 494 Gregory, A. C., Zablocki, O., Howell, A., Bolduc, B. & Sullivan, M. B. The human gut
    495 virome database. *bioRxiv* doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/655910

11. Barrangou, R. *et al*. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes.

Science **315**, 1709-1712 (2007).

12. Seed, K. D., Lazinski, D. W., Calderwood, S. B. & Camilli, A. A bacteriophage encodes its

own CRISPR/Cas adaptive response to evade host innate immunity. *Nature* **494**, 489-491

(2013).

13. Al-Shayeb, B. *et al*. Clades of huge phages from across Earth's ecosystems. *Nature* **578**, 425-

431 (2020).

14. Andersson, A. F. & Banfield, J. F. Virus population dynamics and acquired virus resistance

in natural microbial communities. *Science* **320**, 1047-1050 (2008).

15. Snyder, J. C., Bateson, M. M., Lavin, M. & Young, M. J. Use of Cellular CRISPR (Clusters

of Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) Spacer-Based Microarrays for

Detection of Viruses in Environmental Samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

76, 7251-7258 (2010).

16. Zhang, Q., Rho, M., Tang, H., Doak, T. G. & Ye, Y. CRISPR-Cas systems target a diverse

collection of invasive mobile genetic elements in human microbiomes. *Genome Biol* **14**, R40

(2013).

17. Shmakov, S. A. *et al*. The CRISPR spacer space is dominated by sequences from species-

specific mobilomes. *MBio* **8**, e01397-17 (2017).

18. Shmakov, S. A., Wolf, Y. I., Savitskaya, E., Severinov, K. V. & Koonin, E. V. Mapping

CRISPR spacceromes reveals vast host-specific viromes of prokaryotes. *Commun Biol* **3**, 321

(2020).

19. Paez-Espino, D. *et al*. Uncovering Earth's virome. *Nature* **536**, 425-430 (2016).

20. Stern, A., Mick, E., Tirosh, I., Sagy, O. & Sorek, R. CRISPR targeting reveals a reservoir of

common phages associated with the human gut microbiome. *Genome Res* **22**, 1985-1994

(2012).

21. Dutilh, B. E. *et al*. A highly abundant bacteriophage discovered in the unknown sequences of

human faecal metagenomes. *Nat Commun* **5**, 4498 (2014).

22. Yutin, N. *et al*. Discovery of an expansive bacteriophage family that includes the most

abundant viruses from the human gut. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 38-46 (2018).

23. STREISINGER, G., EDGAR, R. S. & DENHARDT, G. H. CHROMOSOME STRUCTURE

IN PHAGE T4. I. CIRCULARITY OF THE LINKAGE MAP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **51**,

775-779 (1964).

24. Cui, J., Schlub, T. E. & Holmes, E. C. An allometric relationship between the genome length

and virion volume of viruses. *J Virol* **88**, 6403-6410 (2014).

25. Hua, J. *et al*. Capsids and Genomes of Jumbo-Sized Bacteriophages Reveal the Evolutionary

Reach of the HK97 Fold. *mBio* **8**, (2017).

26. Roux, S., Krupovic, M., Poulet, A., Debroas, D. & Enault, F. Evolution and diversity of the

Microviridae viral family through a collection of 81 new complete genomes assembled from

virome reads. *PloS one* **7**, e40418 (2012).

27. Ackermann, H. W. Tailed bacteriophages: the order caudovirales. *Adv Virus Res* **51**, 135-201

(1998).

28. Ackermann, H. W. Phage classification and characterization. *Methods Mol Biol* **501**, 127-140

(2009).

29. Lozupone, C. A., Stombaugh, J. I., Gordon, J. I., Jansson, J. K. & Knight, R. Diversity,

stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* **489**, 220-230 (2012).

30. Almpanis, A., Swain, M., Gatherer, D. & McEwan, N. Correlation between bacterial G+C

content, genome size and the G+C content of associated plasmids and bacteriophages.

Microb Genom **4**, (2018).

31. Brentlinger, K. L. *et al*. Microviridae, a family divided: isolation, characterization, and

genome sequence of φMH2K, a bacteriophage of the obligate intracellular parasitic

bacterium Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus. *Journal of bacteriology* **184**, 1089-1094 (2002).

32. Sharp, P. M. & Hahn, B. H. Origins of HIV and the AIDS pandemic. *Cold Spring Harb*

Perspect Med **1**, a006841 (2011).

33. Zhou, P. *et al*. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat

origin. *Nature* **579**, 270-273 (2020).

34. Watson, B. N. J. *et al*. Type IF CRISPR-Cas resistance against virulent phages results in

abortive infection and provides population-level immunity. *Nature communications* **10**, 1-8

(2019).

35. Pruitt, K. D., Tatusova, T. & Maglott, D. R. NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): a curated

non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**,

D61-5 (2007).

36. Paez-Espino, D. *et al*. IMG/VR: a database of cultured and uncultured DNA Viruses and

retroviruses. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, D457-D465 (2017).

37. Paez-Espino, D. *et al*. IMG/VR v.2.0: an integrated data management and analysis system for

cultivated and environmental viral genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D678-D686 (2019).

38. Roux, S. *et al*. Cryptic inoviruses revealed as pervasive in bacteria and archaea across Earth's

biomes. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1895-1906 (2019).

39. Krupovic, M. & Koonin, E. V. Multiple origins of viral capsid proteins from cellular

ancestors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E2401-E2410 (2017).

40. Lindsay, J. A., Ruzin, A., Ross, H. F., Kurepina, N. & Novick, R. P. The gene for toxic shock

toxin is carried by a family of mobile pathogenicity islands in Staphylococcus aureus.

Molecular microbiology **29**, 527-543 (1998).

41. Krupovic, M., Ravantti, J. J. & Bamford, D. H. Geminiviruses: a tale of a plasmid becoming

a virus. *BMC Evol Biol* **9**, 112 (2009).

42. Morozova, V. *et al*. First crAss-Like Phage Genome Encoding the Diversity-Generating

Retroelement (DGR). *Viruses* **12**, (2020).

43. Paul, B. G. *et al*. Retroelement-guided protein diversification abounds in vast lineages of

Bacteria and Archaea. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 17045 (2017).

44. Liu, M. *et al*. Reverse transcriptase-mediated tropism switching in Bordetella bacteriophage.

Science **295**, 2091-2094 (2002).

45. Guo, H., Arambula, D., Ghosh, P. & Miller, J. F. Diversity-generating Retroelements in

Phage and Bacterial Genomes. *Microbiol Spectr* **2**, (2014).

46. Edwards, R. A. *et al*. Global phylogeography and ancient evolution of the widespread human

gut virus crAssphage. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1727-1736 (2019).

47. Deveau, H. *et al*. Phage response to CRISPR-encoded resistance in Streptococcus

thermophilus. *Journal of bacteriology* **190**, 1390-1400 (2008).

48. Fineran, P. C. *et al*. Degenerate target sites mediate rapid primed CRISPR adaptation. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci U S A **111**, E1629-38 (2014).

49. Bushnell, B. BBTools software package. URL http://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap (2014).

50. Bankevich, A. *et al*. SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to

Single-Cell Sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* **19**, 455-477 (2012).

51. Biswas, A., Staals, R. H. J., Morales, S. E., Fineran, P. C. & Brown, C. M. CRISPRDetect: a

flexible algorithm to define CRISPR arrays. *BMC genomics* **17**, 356 (2016).

52. Li, W. & Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein

or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1658-1659 (2006).

53. Van Dongen, S. M. Graph clustering by flow simulation.

https://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/1874/848/full.pdf (2000).

54. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842 (2010).

55. Cock, P. J. A. *et al*. Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular

biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1422-1423 (2009).

56. Steinegger, M., Söding, J. Clustering huge protein sequence sets in linear time. *Nat*

Commun **9,** 2542 (2018).

57. Steinegger, M. & Söding, J. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the

analysis of massive data sets. *Nature biotechnology* **35**, 1026-1028 (2017).

58. Eddy, S. R. & team, H. M. M. E. R. D. URL http://hmmer.org (2019).

59. Gu, Z., Eils, R. & Schlesner, M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in

multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 2847-2849 (2016).

60. Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. & Miyata, T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple

sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic acids research* **30**, 3059-3066

(2002).

61. Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M. & Gabaldón, T. trimAl: a tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1972-1973

(2009).

62. Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Ronquist, F. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees.

Bioinformatics **17**, 754-755 (2001).

Acknowledgements

The majority of analysis has been done on the supercomputer systems in National Institute of

Genetics (NIG) and Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University. R.S. is

supported by funds of Database Center for Life Science, Research Organization of Information

and Systems, and MEXT KAKENHI Grant Number 20K21405. R.S. thanks to NIG Research

Administrator room for scientific discussions.

Author contributions

R.S. designed the studies and performed most of the analyses. L.N. assisted in sequence alignment

and phylogenetic analysis. P.N.T. assisted in metagenome assembly. J.I. gave scientific input in

the data analyses and interpretation of the results. N.F.P. gave scientific input and helped write the

manuscript. H.M., K.K and H.N. provided scientific advice on the entire study. I.I. designed the

study and wrote the manuscript together with R.S.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 | Properties of CRISPR-targeted TR sequences. a, Length distribution of TR sequences.

We used portal, tail and terminase large subunit as marker genes of tailed phage; sequences

encoding all three of these genes were categorized as tailed phages. The dotted line at 20 kb

represents an arbitrary cut-off between small and large sequences. Sequences longer than 100 kb

are shown in the inset. **b**, Predicted CRISPR targeting host composition of TR sequences. Hosts

were predicted by mapping CRISPR DR sequences to the RefSeq database. Sequences containing

≥10 protospacer loci but less than 90% associated DR taxa exclusiveness were considered targeted

by multiple phyla. If ≥10 protospacers could not be assigned to a taxon, the predicted targeting

host is denoted as not available (NA). **c**, Heterogeneous distribution of TR sequences targeted by

multiple phyla. Circle size approximately represents popularity of the respective host.

Bidirectional arrows connect the top two host phyla according to host-assigned protospacer counts

(i.e. protospacers most often associated with CRISPR DRs are assigned to these two phyla).

Numbers on arrows are counts of the number of TR sequences targeted by the connected phyla. **d**,

Predicted targeting host distribution by GC content. The dotted line indicates the low- and high-

GC content boundary at 55%.

Fig. 2 | Bayesian phylogeny of *Microviridae* major capsid proteins. A total of 159 representative

major capsid protein sequences from this study and 43 RefSeq major capsid protein sequences

were used for analysis. Taxa without a name denote the *Microviridae* species from this study and

taxa with text denote *Microviridae* species from RefSeq. Taxa were annotated based on predicted

targeting hosts. The phi X174 clade was selected as the outgroup.

Fig. 3 | Venn diagrams of database comparisons for (a) large and (b) small TR sequences. Each TR sequence was compared to RefSeq virus, RefSeq plasmid, IMG/VR and GVD using BLASTN. Database hit minimum criteria was set to 85% sequence identity with 75% aligned fraction of query sequence to a unique subject sequence.

Fig. 4 | Hierarchical clustering of (a) large and (b) small TR sequences based on gene content. Heatmaps representing gene content of TR sequences, in which each row is a TR sequence and each column is a gene cluster. Gray areas in the heatmap indicate sequences encoding a gene that is homologous to the gene cluster. Note that one gene can be homologous to multiple gene clusters. Sequences are annotated by database containing similar sequences, GC content, host and detectable capsid genes. Gene clusters were annotated by searching corresponding HMMs to the UniRef50 database. Several notable RefSeq-listed clusters are denoted on the right side of the heatmaps.

Fig. 5 | DGR locus and phylogeny of crAssphage core genes. a, Comparison of the DGR locus between a reference crAssphage genome (NC_024711.1), a representative from this study (crAssphage.202.rt) and a baboon-derived crAssphages (Baboon19.1). The retroelement-insertion region is enclosed by a dotted line. Sequence conservation of DGR and its target gene (tail collar fibre) were calculated by aligning and building HMMs. Sequence conservation of the DGR target gene was calculated separately based on whether the genome encodes DGR (red) or not (blue). Information content was averaged over seven base-window sizes. **b**, Pairwise sequence alignment of template (top) and variable (bottom) repeats from Baboon19.1. **c**, Unrooted Bayesian phylogeny

of crAssphage core genes. 55 core genes from 20 representative crAssphages genomes and one

reference genome were used for analysis. Those crAssphages with DGR appear in red.

Fig. 6 | Number of mapped spacers according to various sequence identity thresholds. All

unique CRISPR spacers were mapped to large TR sequences, small TR sequences and scrambled

sequences. The initially applied and relaxed sequence identity thresholds are denoted as red and

orange colored dashed lines respectively. The spacer mapping process is identical to the

protospacer discovery process (see Methods).

Fig. 1

685   **Fig. 2**

Host phylum

■ Bacteroidetes

■ Firmicutes

■ Proteobacteria

0.3

687 **Fig. 3**

Fig. 4

691 **Fig. 5**

a

b

Baboon19.1:25837-25939 TAATAGTAGTCAGACTGACAATGGGTCTCGGGTTAGTCTTCTTTATCTTAATGCTAATAATGCTGTTAGTAACGCTAAGTCTAATAATGGGTTTACTAAAATG
                      ...|..||||||.||||.|.||||||||||.||||||||.||||..||||..||||.||.|||||||.||||.||||.||||.||||||||||||.||||...|
Baboon19.1:25486-25588 TGTTGGTGGTCGTACTGTCGATGGGTCTCGGGTTGGTCTTCTTTTTCTTGCTGCTTCTGGTGCTGTTGGTAGCGCTGGGTCTGATGGTGGGTTTGCTAAGGTG

c

694　**Fig. 6**