MiREDiBase: a manually curated database of validated and putative editing events in microRNAs

Gioacchino P. Marceca[1†], Rosario Distefano[2†], Luisa Tomasello[2], Alessandro Lagana'[3], Francesco Russo[4], Federica Calore[2], Giulia Romano[5], Marina Bagnoli[6], Pierluigi Gasparini[2,7], Alfredo Ferro[1], Mario Acunzo[5], Qin Ma[8], Carlo M. Croce[2‡] and Giovanni Nigita[2‡*]

Affiliations
1. Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Catania, Catania, Italy.
2. Department of Cancer Biology and Genetics and Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.
3. Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.
4. Disease Systems Biology, Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
5. Division of Pulmonary Diseases and Critical Care Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA.
6. Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori (INT), Milan, Italy.
7. Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia.
8. Department of Biomedical Informatics, College of Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.
*Corresponding authors:
      Carlo M. Croce (carlo.croce@osumc.edu)
      Giovanni Nigita (giovanni.nigita@osumc.edu)

Abstract

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are regulatory small non-coding RNAs that function as translational repressors. MiRNAs are involved in most cellular processes, and their expression and function are presided by several factors. Amongst, miRNA editing is an epitranscriptional modification that alters the original nucleotide sequence of selected miRNAs, possibly influencing their biogenesis and target-binding ability. A-to-I and C-to-U RNA editing are recognized as the canonical types, with the A-to-I type being the predominant one. Albeit some bioinformatics resources have been implemented to collect RNA editing data, it still lacks a comprehensive resource explicitly dedicated to miRNA editing. Here, we present MiREDiBase, a manually curated catalog of editing events in miRNAs. The current version includes 3,059 unique validated and putative editing sites from 626 pre-miRNAs in humans and three primates. Editing events in mature human miRNAs are supplied with miRNA-target predictions and enrichment analysis, while minimum free energy structures are inferred for edited pre-miRNAs. MiREDiBase represents a valuable tool for cell biology and biomedical research and will be continuously updated and expanded at https://ncrnaome.osumc.edu/miredibase.

Introduction

MiRNAs are the most studied class of small non-coding RNAs involved in gene expression regulation. According to the canonical miRNA biogenesis pathway, miRNAs are initially transcribed into primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs) that present hairpin structures and undergo a double RNase III-mediated processing[1]. The first step occurs within the nucleus, where the Drosha-DGCR8 enzymatic complex cleaves pri-miRNAs into ~70 nucleotide long transcripts. These typically maintain the stem-loop conformation and represent the precursors of miRNAs (pre-miRNAs). Pre-miRNAs are then exported to the cytoplasm, where they are ultimately processed by Dicer into ~22 nucleotides long single-stranded RNAs (mature miRNAs)[1]. These can be found as -5p or -3p forms, depending on which miRNA's arm they derive from[1]. To date, it is estimated that more than 1,900 pre-miRNAs are expressed in humans, giving rise to over 2,600 different mature miRNAs[2].

MiRNAs are important modulators of gene expression[3,4]. The rule underlying their inhibitory activity over translation consists of a thermodynamically stable base pairing between a specific miRNA region, termed "seed region," and a complementary nucleotide sequence of an mRNA, termed "miRNA responsive element" (MRE), causing the enzymatic degradation of the targeted transcript[3,4]. Conventionally, the seed region consists of nucleotides 2-8 located at the 5' end of miRNAs and is usually assumed to interact with MREs included within the 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) of target mRNAs[3,4]. MiRNAs take part in a vast range of physiological processes, including cell cycle control[5], angiogenesis[6], brain development[7], behavioral changes, and cognitive processes[8]. Conversely, dysregulations of their expression or mutations in miRNA seed regions/MREs often lead to several pathologies, including tumors[5,9].

RNA editing consists of the co- or post-transcriptional enzymatic modification of a primary RNA sequence through single-nucleotide substitutions, insertions, or deletions[10]. Recent transcriptome-wide analyses have revealed the pervasive presence of RNA editing in the human transcriptome. Currently, the adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) and cytosine-to-uracil (C-to-U) RNA editing are considered the canonical editing types[11], with the A-to-I type being the most prevalent one[12–15].

A-to-I RNA editing is catalyzed by enzymes of the Adenosine Deaminase Acting on RNA (ADAR) family, specifically ADAR1 (two isoforms) and ADAR2 (one predominant isoform)[16]. A-to-I RNA editing frequently occurs in non-coding transcripts, including pri-miRNA transcripts, and shows tissue-dependent patterns[12,15,17]. C-to-U RNA editing is catalyzed by enzymes of the Apolipoprotein B mRNA Editing Enzyme Catalytic Polypeptide (APOBEC) family, APOBEC1 and APOBEC3, at least in the context of mRNAs[18]. However, to date, no proof has been reported concerning the role of APOBECs in miRNA editing, and only a few studies have discussed this editing type in miRNAs[19,20].

Editing of pri-miRNA exerts significant effects on miRNA biogenesis and function, with profound implications in pathophysiological processes, such as the progression of neurodegenerative diseases and cancers[16,21]. For instance, the editing of pri-miRNAs could induce a local structural change that prevents Drosha from recognizing the hairpin conformation, averting its cleavage, and allocating the edited pri-miRNAs to degradation[16]. Differently, editing events falling within the mature miRNA region not inducing the pre-miRNA suppression generate miRNAs diversified in their primary sequence, subsequently causing a change in miRNAs' target repertoire (miRNA re-targeting)[16].

Given the extensive number of high-confidence RNA editing sites retrieved so far and their relevance in the biomedical field, several efforts have been made to develop online resources capable of summarizing, contextualizing, and interpreting such data. These include databases like DARNED[22], RADAR[23], and REDIportal[24], and more complex resources such as TCEA[25]. However, no dedicated online resources have been explicitly implemented for the study of miRNA editing until now. Here, we present MiREDiBase, the first comprehensive and integrative catalog of validated and putative miRNA editing events. MiREDiBase is manually curated and provides users with valuable information to study edited miRNAs as potential disease biomarkers.

Results

Data Collection

The MiREDiBase data processing workflow is depicted in Fig. 1. We first explored the PubMed literature by searching for specific keywords, such as "microRNA editing" and "miRNA editing," narrowing the temporal range between 2000 and 2019. Retrieved articles were then manually filtered, discarding those not containing information on miRNA editing. Editing events detected or validated by targeted methods were included in the database and considered as authentic modifications. Among editing events detected through wide-transcriptome methods, we retained those established as "reliable" or "high-confidence" by the authors, classifying them as putative modifications. Statistical significance was taken into consideration when possible, eventually maintaining only significant editing events. We did not consider enzyme perturbation experiments as validation methods. For putative edited pre-miRNA sequences with no official miRNA name, e.g., "Antisense-hsa-mir-451" in Blow et al.[26], we

employed the BLASTN tool to generate alignments between the putative pre-miRNA sequence
and miRBase's pre-miRNA sequences (v22)[2,27]. Only perfect matches were retained and
provided with their respective official name, as indicated by miRBase. In case editing positions
were presented in the form of coordinates of previous genomic assemblies (i.e., hg19/GRCh37),
these were converted to the hg38/GRCh38 assembly using the University of California Santa
Cruz (UCSC) *liftOver* tool[28]. Editing sites associated with miRBase's dead-entries were
discarded.
In the second step, we expanded our search by employing the three most prominent online
resources for A-to-I events available at present: DARNED[22], RADAR[23], and REDIportal[24].
Resources were manually screened, removing editing sites associated with dead entries and
opposite strands. Editing sites falling into misassigned miRNAs in the hg19 genomic assembly
(i.e., miRNAs of the hsa-mir-548 family and hsa-mir-3134 present in DARNED) were
momentarily excluded from the database. The retained data were then integrated into the initial
dataset.

Database Content and Statistics

Considering the recent knowledge about genomic differences and similarities among primates,
we retained data from *Homo sapiens* and three primate species (*Pan troglodytes*, *Gorilla gorilla*,
and *Macaca mulatta*). In particular, the current version of MiREDiBase includes 2,989
validated and putative unique A-to-I (2,885) and C-to-U (104) editing events occurring in 571
human miRNA transcripts (Fig. 2a, 2b, Supplementary Data Set 1) and 70 unique A-to-I (46)
and C-to-U (24) editing events taking place in 55 primate miRNA transcripts (Supplementary
Figs. S1, S2a, S2b, Supplementary Data Set 1). Overall, 909 (29.7%) editing events occur
outside of the pre-miRNA sequences, 971 (31.7%) within pre-miRNA sequences, outside of the
mature sequence, and 1,179 (38.6%) within mature miRNA sequences (Fig. 3a, Supplementary
Fig. S3a, Supplementary Data Set 1). These data were manually extracted from 51 original
papers (Supplementary Table S1), which refer to 256 biological sources (Supplementary Tables
S2-5).
Human editing sites in MiREDiBase are distributed across several genomic positions
throughout the human genome, covering most chromosomes (Fig. 2b). However, of the 2,989
unique editing sites, only 257 (8.6%) have been validated by low-throughput methods or ADAR
expression perturbation experiments. The majority of such events fall into clustered miRNAs
located in chromosomes 14 (9.5% A-to-I; 7.7% C-to-U), chrX (9.4% A-to-I; 7.7% C-to-U),
chr1 (7.7% A-to-I; 6.7% C-to-U), and chr19 (6.7% A-to-I; 11.5% C-to-U), respectively. Such
a phenomenon very likely depends on local structural elements and motifs in these primary
transcripts that function as editing inducers[29,30] and would deserve more in-depth investigations.
For the vast majority, the functionality of miRNA editing events has currently remained
undetermined. So far, only 24 editing sites (0.8%) were functionally characterized by
appropriate techniques (Fig. 3c). Among these, twelve were demonstrated to impair miRNA
biogenesis; seven cause functional re-targeting; three cause impaired biogenesis and functional
re-targeting; two cause enhancement of biogenesis.
Concerning primates, the majority of data refer to macaque (*Macaca mulatta*), for which our
database reports 40 A-to-I and 24 C-to-U editing sites (Supplementary Figs. S1, S2,
Supplementary Data Set 1). Here, 26 (65%) A-to-I editing sites are conserved between human
and macaque, whereas only 8 (33%) C-to-U sites are conserved between these two species. This
figure might suggest that A-to-I editing of miRNA transcripts is more conserved than the C-to-
U type; however, it might also be due to the current low number of C-to-U instances reported
for both human and primates. Only three editing sites are reported for both chimpanzee (*Pan
troglodytes*) and gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla*), occurring in one pre-miRNA transcript for each
species (Supplementary Data Set 1). None of the editing sites from primates have been validated
yet.
When looking at editing sites falling within mature miRNA sequences, data from MiREDiBase
let emerge two distinct patterns for A-to-I and C-to-U editing in humans (Fig. 3b). Examining
the A-to-I type, most edited sites (325 out of 1018, 31.9%) are located at positions 2-5 of the
seed region. Other hotspots for A-to-I editing seem to be represented by positions 1, 6-9, and
12, which account for 325 more edited sites. In the case of C-to-U miRNA editing, most

modification sites are located outside of the seed region. In particular, 48 out of 104 edited sites (46.2%) are located at positions 10-12 and 15, whereas only 17 edited sites (16.3%) fall within the seed region. A very similar pattern can be observed in macaque (Supplementary Fig. S3b). To help users interpret and contextualize data, miRNA editing events occurring within pre-miRNA or mature miRNA sequences were supplied with *in silico* predictions. We computed 2,150 MFE pre-miRNA predictive structures using editing sites internal to pre-miRNA sequences and 1,018 miRNA-targeting predictions and enrichment analyses. In both cases, users have the opportunity to compare the edited miRNAs with their relative wild-type versions. Biological sources in MiREDiBase can be grouped into three main categories (Table 1). The "normal condition" group (human and primates) accounts for 92 different healthy tissues/organs analyzed for miRNA editing. Among these, 85 were obtained from adult individuals and seven from pre-natal developmental stages (Supplementary Tables S2 and S5). The "adverse condition" group (human only) is broadly represented by tumors, with 60 distinct oncological conditions and 62 different sample subtypes. The neurological disorders include four pathological conditions and six sample subtypes. The inflammatory condition, cardiovascular disease, and genetic disorder are currently the less representative classes, with two pathological conditions and three sample subtypes for the former and one pathological condition and sample type for the latter two, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). The "cell line" group (human only) accounts for 78 commercial cell lines and ten primary human cells cultured *in vitro.* Of the 78 commercial cell lines, 71 are malignant, while the remaining represent non-malignant conditions. Among the ten primary human cells, only one refers to a malignant condition, while nine represent normal conditions (Supplementary Table S4).

User Interface and Data Accessibility

MiREDiBase provides users an intuitive and straightforward web interface to access data, requiring no bioinformatics skills to perform accurate searches across the database. Users can explore MiREDiBase by interacting with the Search (Fig. 4) or the Compare module. Each module starts with a modal box by which users can filter miRNA editing sites.

The Search module provides four filtering fields, including organism (e.g., Human), modification type (e.g., the A-to-I editing), genomic region (e.g., chromosome, pre-miRNA, or miRNA), and, optionally, biological source (e.g., BRCA – breast carcinoma). The "Search module" generates a table listing a set of editing sites supplied with essential information based on the selected filtering options. Reported information covers the organism, modification type, chromosome, strand, genomic position, pre-miRNA and mature miRNA relative positions, employed detection strategies, and whether the site is putative or validated. By clicking on the dedicated left-sided buttons, users can dig down to find supplementary information about each editing site. Here, the detection strategies information is expanded, categorizing the editing site as putative (i.e., only detected by high-throughput sequencing methods) or validated (i.e., authenticated by targeted methods), indicating the confidence level for each modification. Additional information covers publications, external resources, biological sources, 2D structures of edited and non-edited pre-miRNAs, miRNA-target predictions, and associated functional enrichment data (Fig. 4), which enable ready access to a putative biological interpretation. The results in each module can be easily downloaded through dedicated buttons. The Compare module aims at exploring differentially edited sites in adverse vs. normal conditions. It provides a set of essential information supplied with the editing level for each examined condition. Like the Search module, the Compare module allows users to filter out RNA editing sites by specifying the organism, modification type, disease, and pre-miRNA.

All miRNAs reported in MiREDiBase are linked to their specific miRBase web page. Moreover, A-to-I genomic coordinates were mapped onto the UCSC hg38/GRCh38 genome assembly and available via the UCSC website. If applicable, editing sites provide links to external RNA editing resources, such as DARNED, RADAR, and REDIportal, to improve miRNA editing research.

To encourage users to familiarize themselves with our tool, MiREDiBase offers, throughout the website, helpers reporting explanations on how to interpret results, along with statistics and complete documentation on how to use each module. Advanced users can instead exploit the RESTful API, which provides a standalone web interface to explore available methods for

220 extracting data, with the opportunity to embed RESTful API HTTP calls within users' code
221 (Fig. 5).
222 The MiREDiBase platform adopts a multi-containerized microservice architecture (Fig. 5),
223 which provides user-friendly and efficient ways to access all manually collected data (see
224 Methods section for more details).
225

Discussion

At the beginning of the study on miRNA editing, Sanger sequencing represented the standard
method to reliably identify editing events[31–33]. However, this low-throughput technique only
enabled the detection of a relatively restricted set of editing sites. In later years, the employment
of high-throughput sequencing (HTS) technologies and the design of *ad-hoc* bioinformatic
pipelines have dramatically improved the computational identification of RNA editing events[34],
including those occurring in miRNAs.
Given the ever-increasing number of editing sites detected at a genome-wide scale, the need to
create a comprehensive catalog of such modifications has become imperative. In light of this,
Kiran and Baranov published DARNED, the first online repository providing centralized access
to published data on RNA editing[22]. DARNED currently includes ~350,000 predicted RNA A-
to-I editing sites from humans, mice (*Mus musculus*), flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*), and a
few C-to-U instances. However, only a small portion of these modification events was manually
annotated, and no information is provided about editing levels. DARNED's last update dates
back to 2012[35].
In 2013, Ramaswami and Li presented RADAR, a rigorously annotated A-to-I RNA editing
database containing manually curated editing sites[23]. Like DARNED, RADAR includes data
from humans, mice, and flies and currently accounts for ~1.4 million editing sites, providing
several useful information like tissue-specific editing level, conservation in other model
organisms, and genomic context. RADAR does not include C-to-U editing data, and the update
took place in 2014.
In 2017, Picardi and colleagues developed REDIportal, which today is the most extensive
collection of RNA editing in humans, including more than 4.5 million A-to-I modification
events detected across 55 body sites from thousands of RNA-seq experiments[24]. Moreover, with
its last update, REDIportal also includes ∼90,000 putative A-to-I editing events from the mouse
brain transcriptome and incorporates CLAIRE, a searchable catalog of RNA editing levels
across cell lines[36].
Although these three mentioned online resources are undoubtedly the most authoritative
repositories of RNA editing events, none of them is strictly dedicated to miRNA editing. The
vast majority of the editing events reported in these databases fall into mRNAs and long non-
coding RNAs (lncRNAs), with only a minority occurring in miRNAs. Indeed, a few online
resources have been lastly developed that partially focus on the effects of RNA editing on
miRNA functionality. For instance, the Editome-Disease Knowledgebase (EDK)[37] is a
manually curated database that aims to link experimentally validated RNA editing events in
non-coding RNAs to various diseases. However, this database currently contains only 16
validated A-to-I instances in miRNAs and does not provide any information about publications,
position of editing sites, or detection/validation methods. The Cancer Editome Atlas (TCEA) is
a powerful, user-friendly bioinformatics resource that characterizes more than 192 million
editing events at ~4.6 million editing sites from approximately 11,000 samples across 33 cancer
types recovered from The Cancer Genome Atlas[25]. However, TCEA is focused on editing events
occurring in coding transcripts. From the miRNA standpoint, TCEA only allows users to predict
A-to-I editing's effects in the 3' UTR of mRNAs in terms of miRNA-mRNA interactions.
Analogous considerations apply for miR-EdiTar[38], a database that exploits DARNED data to
predict the potential effects of A-to-I editing over miRNA targeting.
To cover the gap between the fields of RNA editing and miRNA biology, we developed
MiREDiBase, the first-of-its-kind database dedicated explicitly to miRNA modifications. In the
current version, MiREDiBase includes more than three thousand A-to-I and C-to-U miRNA
editing events manually collected from the literature, occurring in humans and primates.
MiREDiBase allows users to consult the RNA secondary structure of both the wild-type and

edited pre-miRNAs and infer the possible function of edited mature miRNA, based on the predicted targetome and subsequent functional analysis.

We implemented a user-friendly interface that allows users to track each search step to improve the user experience. Moreover, MiREDiBase includes a "Compare" section, which compares adverse versus normal conditions in a study-specific manner. Finally, the MiREDiBase platform relies on cutting-edge technologies, aiming at providing reliability and continuous operability. The platform represents an orchestration of different containerized services on top of Docker. Each service fulfills a specific purpose, such as a Web Application Service (Vue.js/Quasar - a Progressive JavaScript Framework), a RESTful API Service (FastAPI - a modern, high-performance, web framework for building secure APIs), and a Database Service (MongoDB - a NoSQL document-based database). The platform is designed to provide the smoothest and user-friendly experience to users.

We are aware that the lack of data on more commonly adopted model organisms and the inclusion of C-to-U RNA editing sites represent weaknesses in our work. The choice to include primates rather than other model species in this first release was motivated by the fact that primates present the highest genomic and transcriptomic similarity compared to humans[39]. Moreover, primates are recognized as excellent candidates to investigate epigenetic control of genome functions and are highly relevant for biomedical studies[39]. The choice to include putative C-to-U miRNA editing events was because this editing type is considered "canonical" among mammals. Indeed, previous Sanger-sequence validation of putative C-to-U editing sites in miRNAs found no evidence for real C-to-U miRNA editing[15,40], letting hypothesize that such events were HTS artifacts. On the other hand, Negi et al. recently found and validated C-to-U editing at the fifth position of mature human miR-100, demonstrating that such an instance was functionally associated with CD4(+) T cell differentiation[20]. Given these controversies, we believe that collecting C-to-U miRNA sites with high consensus would serve to orientate future studies on this topic.

Besides expanding the database with published data, our main future goals are (i) to include editing events from other species, primarily model organisms like *Mus musculus* and *Drosophila melanogaster*, and (ii) adding other modification types. We believe that this will help interpret the functional roles of modified miRNA transcripts within the cell system. For example, after analyzing human brain samples for RNA editing events, Paul et al. unexpectedly found that a consistent percentage of miRNA editing events are non-canonical, especially C-to-A and G-to-U[11]. Similar data were reported by Wang and co-workers[41], raising questions on whether these editing events exert essential function in neurons and if specific enzymes can catalyze such modifications. Likewise, miRNA methylation has recently caught the scientific community's attention, being demonstrated to affect miRNA biogenesis[42]. However, the study of this phenomenon and its potential functional implications have remained widely unexplored. With continuous updating, we believe that MiREDiBase will gradually become a precious resource for researchers in the field of epitranscriptomics, leading to a better understanding of miRNA modification phenomena and their functional consequences.

Methods

Data Processing

Each editing event was supplied with essential information recovered from miRBase (v22), including the relative position within pre-miRNA and mature miRNA, genomic position, and pre-miRNA region (5'- or 3'-arm, or loop region). For editing events occurring outside the pre-miRNA sequence, we adopted the notation "pri-miRNA." Editing events were then enriched with metadata manually collected from selected publications. Overall, we extracted eight different information types: detection/validation method, experiment type, biological source, correspondent condition (adverse or normal), comparison (pathological vs. physiological condition), editing level, enzyme affinity, and functional characterization.

The "detection method" does not specify the method adopted by authors to identify miRNA editing events. Instead, it indicates which kind of methodological approach (targeted, wide-transcriptome, or both) the authors selected for editing detection. Only in two cases, the method

has been specified to highlight particularly sensitive and innovative approaches, i.e., miR-mmPCR-seq[15,43] and RIP-seq[44].

The "validation method" refers to methods confirming sequencing data, especially those obtained by wide-transcription approaches, including enzyme knock-down (only ADAR in the current version), knock-out, differential expression, and modification-specific enzymatic cleavage.

The "experiment type" specifies whether, in a particular study, individual editing events were identified *in vitro*, *in vivo*, or *ex vivo*. Editing events obtained by analyzing small RNA-seq data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)[45] or Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) atlas[46] were considered as detected in vivo. Editing events obtained by analyzing sequence libraries from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database[47] were considered as detected *in vitro*, *in vivo*, or *ex vivo* depending on the library derivation.

The "pathological condition" specifies whether a miRNA editing event was detected in one or multiple diseases. For a given study, physiological and pathological conditions were compared to whether editing levels for an individual miRNA were simultaneously available for both conditions.

In studies with multiple editing level values per miRNA editing site, we considered only the minimum and maximum values, rounding them up by multiples of five (e.g., editing levels of 21.1% and 44% were rounded up to 20% and 45%, respectively). Whether a single value was reported for an individual miRNA, this was rounded, creating an interval of 5% (e.g., if a study reported the editing level as 13% for a specific editing site, the editing level was presented as "from 10% to 15%").

Information concerning enzyme affinity (only ADARs in the current version) was retrieved whether authors carried out enzyme-transfection experiments causing enzyme overexpression. Finally, we annotated all the functionally characterized editing events with information regarding their specific biological function. In the event of functional re-targeting, validation methods were reported along with the set of validated lost and gained targets.

Secondary Structure Prediction Analysis

We generated the minimum free energy (MFE) structures for all those pre-miRNAs subjected to editing and their wild-type (WT) counterparts. The double-stranded RNA structures were created by employing the *RNAfold* tool from the ViennaRNA package[48] with default settings. Finally, we considered all editing sites occurring within the mature miRNA region to infer possible miRNA target re-direction as well as diversified biological functions.

MiRNA-Target Prediction and Functional Enrichment Analyses

The miRNA-target prediction analysis, for both edited and WT miRNA, was achieved by using our web-based containerized application *isoTar*[49], designed to simplify and perform miRNA consensus target prediction and functional enrichment analyses. For miRNA target predictions, we established a minimum consensus of 3. An adjusted P-value <0.05 was considered as a threshold for the functional enrichment analysis.

Platform Design and Implementation

To achieve reliability and continuous delivery (short-cycle updates), we developed each lightweight, standalone, microservice on top of Docker (v19.03.12) (https://docs.docker.com)[50]. The platform itself consists of three microservices, which are orchestrated by Docker Compose (v1.26.0) (https://docs.docker.com/compose)[51], a tool for managing multi-container-based applications. Each microservice provides a specific functionality: a web-based user interface (UI), a RESTful API for data retrieving, and a NoSQL document-based database for data storing. To offer users an engaging and responsive experience, we developed a high-performance platform which relies on cutting-edge open-source technologies, such as Quasar (v1.12.8) (https://quasar.dev)[52] for the UI, FastAPI (v0.55.1) (a Python (v3.8.1) framework, https://fastapi.tiangolo.com)[53] for the RESTful API, and MongoDB (v4.2.8) (https://www.mongodb.com)[54] for the data storage. We have tested MiREDiBase on the following browser: Firefox (80+), Google Chrome (85+), Edge (85+), Safari (13+), and Opera (70+). MiREDiBase is freely accessible to the scientific community through the link: https://ncrnaome.osumc.edu/miredibase, without requiring registration or login.

Data Availability
MiREDiBase is freely available at https://ncrnaome.osumc.edu/miredibase.

Code Availability
The whole platform is openly available at https://github.com/ncRNAome-OSU/miredibase.

Acknowledgements
We thank the Cancer IT Operation Group of The Ohio State University (OSU) and Thomas Moore for his valuable technical assistance. At the same time, we want to special thank Paolo Fadda from The Genomics Shared Resource (GSR) of OSU, together with Jing Jiang and Cankun Wang from Dr. Ma's Laboratory (Department of Biomedical Informatics at OSU) for their helpful suggestions during the beta testing phase of MiREDiBase.
This work was supported by National Cancer Institute (National Institute of Helth) grant R35CA197706 to C.M.C. F.R. is supported by the BRIDGE - Translational Excellence Programme (bridge.ku.dk), Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation with grant agreement no. NNF18SA0034956 and grant agreement no. NNF14CC0001.

Author contributions
C.M.C. and G.N. conceived, designed, and supervised the project; G.P.M., R.D., L.T., and G.N. designed the database; G.P.M. collected the data; R.D. designed and developed the platform; L.T., A.L., F.R., F.C., G.R., M.B., P.G., A.F., M.A., and Q.M. contributed to the beta-testing phase of the database. G.P.M., R.D., and G.N. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. L.T., A.L., F.R., F.C., G.R., M.B., P.G., A.F., M.A., Q.M., and C.M.C. participated in manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends
Figure 1. **MiREDiBase's data pre-processing workflow**. (A) Chart is showing the workflow underlying miRTarBase. (B) Venn diagram showing the intersection of MiREDiBase with the three most prominent online repositories of A-to-I RNA editing events: DARNED, RADAR, and REDIportal. Data used for the intersection were exclusively relative to miRNA editing. The data were filtered for dead entries, opposite strands, and misassigned miRNAs prior to comparison.

Figure 2. **Descriptive statistics about human data in the current version of MiREDiBase**. (a) The number of unique A-to-I and C-to-U editing events reported from human tissues. (b) Distribution of A-to-I and C-to-U miRNA editing events per chromosome in the human genome. The percentage in each bar represents the percentage of the specific editing type (A-to-I or C-to-U) per chromosome, calculated respect the total number of that type editing event (A-to-I or C-to-U).

Figure 3. **Editing events distribution in human microRNAs**. (a) Distribution of A-to-I and C-to-U editing events across the three different regions of primary human miRNA transcripts. (b) Heatmap of the distribution of A-to-I and C-to-U falling into human mature miRNAs across nucleotide positions. In each position are presents the number of editing events identified. The canonical seed position is highlighted in yellow. Editing sites falling in positions 24-27 were not shown in the mature sequence. EE=Editing Events. (c) Pie charts showing the fraction of functionally characterized editing events in human miRNAs.

Figure 4. **The MiREDiBase Search modul**e. Users can filter out MiREDiBase data by exploiting the specific modal box (a). Then, they can dig into the data by interacting with the filtered editing sites (b). The editing site's details (c) can be navigated by clicking on the red button placed on its left side. Additional resources include the list of biological sources in which the editing site has been identified (d), the thermodynamic comparison of the wild-type and edited pre-miRNA 2D structures (e), the miRNA-target predictions (f), and functional enrichment (G) data. Helpers and downloading buttons are provided throughout the module interface.

Figure 5. **Overview of the MiREDiBase multi-containerized microservice architecture**. The MiREDiBase platform provides users different ways to access its data: through a web browser (Web-based User Interface) and RESTful API (HTTP calls).

Table 1. **Number of normal and adverse conditions and cell lines present in MiREDiBase**. The table shows the number and percentage of normal conditions, adverse conditions, and cell lines currently included in MiREDiBase for the four species Homo sapiens, Macaca mulatta, Pan troglodytes, and Gorilla gorilla.

References

1. Ha, M. & Narry Kim, V. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology vol. 15 509–524 (2014).

2. Kozomara, A., Birgaoanu, M. & Griffiths-Jones, S. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D155–D162 (2019).

3. Bartel, D. P. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell 136, 215–233 (2009).

4. Jonas, S. & Izaurralde, E. Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-mediated gene silencing. Nat. Rev. Genet. 16, 421–433 (2015).

5. Liang, L.-H. & He, X.-H. Macro-management of microRNAs in cell cycle progression of tumor cells and its implications in anti-cancer therapy. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 32, 1311–1320 (2011).

6. Salinas-Vera, Y. M. et al. AngiomiRs: MicroRNAs driving angiogenesis in cancer (Review). Int. J. Mol. Med. 43, 657–670 (2019).

7. Ziats, M. N. & Rennert, O. M. Identification of differentially expressed microRNAs across the developing human brain. Mol. Psychiatry 19, 848–852 (2014).

8. Wingo, T. S. et al. Brain microRNAs associated with late-life depressive symptoms are also associated with cognitive trajectory and dementia. NPJ Genom Med 5, 6 (2020).

9. Croce, C. M. Causes and consequences of microRNA dysregulation in cancer. Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 704–714 (2009).

10. Gott, J. M. & Emeson, R. B. Functions and mechanisms of RNA editing. Annu. Rev. Genet. 34, 499–531 (2000).

11. Paul, D., Ansari, A. H., Lal, M. & Mukhopadhyay, A. Human Brain Shows Recurrent Non-Canonical MicroRNA Editing Events Enriched for Seed Sequence with Possible Functional Consequence. Noncoding RNA 6, (2020).

12. Picardi, E. et al. Corrigendum: Profiling RNA editing in human tissues: towards the
inosinome Atlas. Sci. Rep. 6, 20755 (2016).

13. Zheng, Y. et al. Accurate detection for a wide range of mutation and editing sites of
microRNAs from small RNA high-throughput sequencing profiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 44,
e123 (2016).

14. Brümmer, A., Yang, Y., Chan, T. W. & Xiao, X. Structure-mediated modulation of
mRNA abundance by A-to-I editing. Nat. Commun. 8, 1255 (2017).

15. Li, L. et al. The landscape of miRNA editing in animals and its impact on miRNA
biogenesis and targeting. Genome Res. 28, 132–143 (2018).

16. Nishikura, K. A-to-I editing of coding and non-coding RNAs by ADARs. Nat. Rev. Mol.
Cell Biol. 17, 83–96 (2016).

17. Tan, M. H. et al. Dynamic landscape and regulation of RNA editing in mammals. Nature
550, 249–254 (2017).

18. Wedekind, J. E., Dance, G. S. C., Sowden, M. P. & Smith, H. C. Messenger RNA editing
in mammals: new members of the APOBEC family seeking roles in the family business.
Trends Genet. 19, 207–216 (2003).

19. Joyce, C. E. et al. Deep sequencing of small RNAs from human skin reveals major
alterations in the psoriasis miRNAome. Hum. Mol. Genet. 20, 4025–4040 (2011).

20. Negi, V. et al. Altered expression and editing of miRNA-100 regulates iTreg
differentiation. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, 8057–8065 (2015).

21. Han, L. et al. The Genomic Landscape and Clinical Relevance of A-to-I RNA Editing in
Human Cancers. Cancer Cell 28, 515–528 (2015).

22. Kiran, A. & Baranov, P. V. DARNED: a DAtabase of RNa EDiting in humans.
Bioinformatics 26, 1772–1776 (2010).

23. Ramaswami, G. & Li, J. B. RADAR: a rigorously annotated database of A-to-I RNA
editing. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D109–13 (2014).

24. Picardi, E., D'Erchia, A. M., Lo Giudice, C. & Pesole, G. REDIportal: a comprehensive
database of A-to-I RNA editing events in humans. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, D750–D757
(2017).

25. Lin, C.-H. & Chen, S. C.-C. The Cancer Editome Atlas: A Resource for Exploratory
Analysis of the Adenosine-to-Inosine RNA Editome in Cancer. Cancer Research vol. 79
3001–3006 (2019).

26. Blow, M. J. et al. RNA editing of human microRNAs. Genome Biol. 7, R27 (2006).

27. Griffiths-Jones, S. miRBase: the microRNA sequence database. Methods Mol. Biol. 342,
129–138 (2006).

28. Haeussler, M. et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2019 update. Nucleic Acids
Res. 47, D853–D858 (2019).

29. Nigita, G., Alaimo, S., Ferro, A., Giugno, R. & Pulvirenti, A. Knowledge in the
Investigation of A-to-I RNA Editing Signals. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 3, 18 (2015).

30. Daniel, C., Widmark, A., Rigardt, D. & Öhman, M. Editing inducer elements increases
A-to-I editing efficiency in the mammalian transcriptome. Genome Biol. 18, 195 (2017).

31. Luciano, D. J., Mirsky, H., Vendetti, N. J. & Maas, S. RNA editing of a miRNA
precursor. RNA 10, 1174–1177 (2004).

32. Yang, W. et al. Modulation of microRNA processing and expression through RNA
editing by ADAR deaminases. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 13, 13–21 (2006).

33. Kawahara, Y. et al. Frequency and fate of microRNA editing in human brain. Nucleic
Acids Res. 36, 5270–5280 (2008).

34. Diroma, M. A., Ciaccia, L., Pesole, G. & Picardi, E. Elucidating the editome:
bioinformatics approaches for RNA editing detection. Brief. Bioinform. 20, 436–447 (2019).

35. Kiran, A. M., O'Mahony, J. J., Sanjeev, K. & Baranov, P. V. Darned in 2013: inclusion
of model organisms and linking with Wikipedia. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D258–61 (2013).

36. Schaffer, A. A. et al. The cell line A-to-I RNA editing catalogue. Nucleic Acids Res. 48,
5849–5858 (2020).

37. Niu, G. et al. Editome Disease Knowledgebase (EDK): a curated knowledgebase of
editome-disease associations in human. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D78–D83 (2019).

38. Laganà, A. et al. miR-EdiTar: a database of predicted A-to-I edited miRNA target sites.
Bioinformatics 28, 3166–3168 (2012).

39. Rogers, J. & Gibbs, R. A. Comparative primate genomics: emerging patterns of genome
content and dynamics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 15, 347–359 (2014).

40. Blanc, V. et al. Genome-wide identification and functional analysis of Apobec-1-
mediated C-to-U RNA editing in mouse small intestine and liver. Genome Biol. 15, R79
(2014).

41. Wang, Y. et al. Systematic characterization of A-to-I RNA editing hotspots in
microRNAs across human cancers. Genome Res. 27, 1112–1125 (2017).

42. Alarcón, C. R., Lee, H., Goodarzi, H., Halberg, N. & Tavazoie, S. F. N6-methyladenosine
marks primary microRNAs for processing. Nature 519, 482–485 (2015).

43. Zhang, R. et al. Quantifying RNA allelic ratios by microfluidic multiplex PCR and
sequencing. Nat. Methods 11, 51–54 (2014).

44. Ishiguro, S. et al. Base-pairing probability in the microRNA stem region affects the
binding and editing specificity of human A-to-I editing enzymes ADAR1-p110 and ADAR2.
RNA Biol. 15, 976–989 (2018).

45. Tomczak, K., Czerwińska, P. & Wiznerowicz, M. Review The Cancer Genome Atlas
(TCGA): an immeasurable source of knowledge. Współczesna Onkologia vol. 1A 68–77
(2015).

46. GTEx Consortium. Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot
analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. Science 348, 648–660 (2015).

47. Kodama, Y., Shumway, M., Leinonen, R. & International Nucleotide Sequence Database
Collaboration. The Sequence Read Archive: explosive growth of sequencing data. Nucleic
Acids Res. 40, D54–6 (2012).

48. Lorenz, R. et al. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms Mol. Biol. 6, 26 (2011).

49. Distefano, R. et al. isoTar: Consensus Target Prediction with Enrichment Analysis for
MicroRNAs Harboring Editing Sites and Other Variations. Methods Mol. Biol. 1970, 211–
235 (2019).

50. Docker v19.03.12. https://docs.docker.com (2020).

51. Docker Compose v1.26.0. https://docs.docker.com/compose (2020).

52. Quasar v1.12.8. https://quasar.dev (2020).

53. FastAPI 0.55.1. https://fastapi.tiangolo.com (2020).

54. MongoDB v4.2.8. https://www.mongodb.com (2020).

55. Marceca, GP et al. MiREDiBase: a manually curated database of validated and putative
editing events in microRNAs. *Zenodo*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4404687 (2020).

a

Data preprocessing workflow

Manual curation of PubMed articles	**Manual curation of data from online resources**
51 articles (from 2000 to 2019 year)	*DARNED, RADAR and REDIportal*

2989 miR ED sites, **257** validated, **24** functionally characterized → Extraction of putative and/or validated miR ED sites

Annotation from miRbase (v22)

Biological source, detection method, editing level, etc., **8** integrative information from selected articles → Integration with metadata from the study

5 external resources → Links to external resources

2150 mfe structures → **RNAfold:** Calculation of mfe structures of edited pre-miRs

1018 predictions → **isoTar:** Target prediction and enrichment analysis

MiREDiBase dataset

b

MIREDiBase (2885)
REDIportal (114)
RADAR (90)
DARNED (38)

a

104
(3.5%)

2885
(96.5%)

■ A-to-I □ C-to-U

b

# of editing events (log2)

a

Total no. of Human miRNA editing events		# of editing events in pri-miRs	# of editing events in pre-miRs	# of editing events in mature miRs
A-to-I	2885	909	958	1018
C-to-U	104	0	2	102

b

61	82	87	81	75	50	62	56	44	39	33	52	36	38	40	30	23	26	25	31	23	17	4	# of A-to-I EEs
1	2	4	0	3	3	3	2	5	12	15	10	5	1	11	2	4	4	3	3	6	2	1	# of C-to-U EEs
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Position in miRs

Seed region

min   max

c

2989 (99,2%)   24 (0,8%)

12 (50%)   7 (29%)   3 (13%)   2 (8%)

■ Functionally not characterized
■ Functionally characterized

■ Impaired biogenesis   ■ Functional redirecting
■ Enhanced biogenesis   □ Impaired biogenesis and functional redirecting

MiREDiBase Search module

Users

Data queried
via users' Web
Browsers

APIs callable via HTTP requests
from within users' code
(JSON-based data format)

	Species			
Condition	**Human**	**Macaque**	**Chimpanzee**	**Gorilla**
Normal condition	**69**	**23**	**1**	**1**
adult tissues	64 (92,8%)	21 (91.3%)	1 (100%)	1 (100%)
pre-natal tissues	5 (7,2%)	2 (8.7%)	NA	NA
Adverse condition	**68**	**0**	**0**	**0**
oncological diseases	60 (88,2%)	NA	NA	NA
sample subtypes	62	NA	NA	NA
neurological diseases	4 (5,9%)	NA	NA	NA
sample subtypes	6	NA	NA	NA
inflammatory diseases	2 (2,9%)	NA	NA	NA
sample subtypes	3	NA	NA	NA
cardiological diseases	1 (1,5%)	NA	NA	NA
sample subtypes	1	NA	NA	NA
genetic diseases	1 (1,5%)	NA	NA	NA
sample subtypes	1	NA	NA	NA
Cell lines	**88**	**0**	**0**	**0**
non-malignant (commercial)	7 (8,0%)	NA	NA	NA
non-malignant (ex vivo)	9 (10,2%)	NA	NA	NA
malignant (commercial)	71 (80,7%)	NA	NA	NA
malignant (ex vivo)	1 (1,1%)	NA	NA	NA