Efficiency of *Salicornia neei* in removing nitrogen and producing biomass from a hypersaline and artificial wetland to treat aquaculture effluent.

Mónica R. Diaz[1], Andrea Osses[1], Jaime Orellana[2], José A. Gallardo[1]*

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7717-8726.

1 Escuela de Ciencias del Mar, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile.

2 Erwin Sander Elektroapparatebau GmbH, Uetze-Eltze, Germany

* Corresponding authors: jose.gallardo@pucv.cl (José A. Gallardo).

Abstract

Background: One of the main challenges for the sustainability of land-based marine aquaculture systems is the treatment of saline effluent saturated with nitrogenous waste. In this study, we evaluated the potential of *Salicornia neei*, a halophyte plant native to South America, to remove nitrogen and produce biomass in sandy substrate with nitrogen concentrations similar to marine aquaculture effluent. Plants were collected from the natural environment and cultivated under three treatments: 1) seawater fertilized with nitrate + ammonium (Nit+Amm); 2) seawater fertilized with nitrate (Nit); and 3) seawater without fertilizer (Control).

Results: The nitrogen removal rate increased from 1.67 to 2.76 mg L^{-1} d^{-1} and from 1.95 to 2.96 mg L^{-1} d^{-1} in the Nit+Amm and Nit treatments, respectively. In the two treatments, nitrogen removal efficiency varied between 87 ± 0.39 and 92 ± 0.40%. The salinity increased from 40 to 52 g L^{-1} of NaCl during the experiment, with no observed detrimental

effects on the nitrogen removal efficiency. At the end of the crop cycle, the biomass production was not significantly different between the treatments of Nit+Amm and Nit (mean Nit+Amm = 3,584 ± 249.3 g; mean Nit 3,004 ± 249.3 g) but was different with respect to the control (mean Control = 1,527 ± 70.0 g).

Conclusions: Our results demonstrate that artificial wetlands of *S. neei* can be used for wastewater treatment in marine aquaculture and for biomass production in South America.

Keywords: Aquaculture Effluents, Halophyte, Nitrogen Accumulation, Saline effluent, Sustainable Aquaculture.

Background

Aquaculture provides nearly 50% of the world's fish production, and it is expected to increase to 60% by 2030 due to the growing demand for marine fishery products [1]. Land-based marine aquaculture systems will play an important role in meeting this demand and will also do so in a more environmentally sustainable way regarding marine aquaculture in the ocean [2, 3]. However, the development of marine recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) is limited by the ability to efficiently treat saline wastewater, which accumulates a large amount of nitrogen compounds derived from the metabolism of culture organisms [3-5]. In these RAS, the removal of nitrogen compounds, mainly ammonium (NH_4^+) and ammonia (NH3-), becomes a priority for elimination because they quickly deteriorate the water quality and cause negative effects on the culture [6, 7]. Biofilters that promote the conversion of ionized and deionized ammonium to nitrate (NO_3^-) are usually used for this purpose [8, 9]. NO_3^- is not very toxic to most cultured organisms [10, 11], with tolerable accumulated concentrations reported between 120 mg L^{-1} of NO_3^- and 150 mg L^{-1} of NO_3^- in marine RASs [12].

Recent developments of integrated systems allow the use of RAS waste products as nutrients, coupling different water loops with the main fish production water system [13]. To take advantage of these waste products, such as nitrogen compounds that accumulate in marine RAS, the use of artificial wetlands with facultative or obligate halophytes has been proposed [14-16]. Halophyte plants have the ability to absorb different forms of N, depending on different environmental factors such as the availability of CO2 [17]. For example, some species of the genus *Spartina* show a higher affinity for NH_4^+ consumption [18, 19], while others like *Juncus maritimus*, have a marked preference for NO_3^-, even in

substrates that contained high availability of NH_4^+ [20]. Also, if the plants are grown in

lysimeters or wetland, the interaction with soil, microorganism and plant have a higher

potential to remove nitrogen compounds and produce biomass, which can be used as

animal feed or human food [21, 22], and in the production of biofuels or by-products of

interest to the pharmaceutical industry [2, 5, 15, 23, 24], among others. Additionally, it has

been demonstrated that these systems are also efficient in removing residual phosphates

from RASs [2, 15, 23, 25-27].

Salicornia neei is a succulent hydrohalophyte of herbaceous habit, native to South

America and abundantly distributed on the South Pacific coast, where much of the

marine aquaculture production in South America is concentrated [28]. *S. neei* is used as

a gourmet food and is a type of emerging crop in the coastal zone of Chile. This plant has

been described as containing high amounts of nutrients and important functional

metabolites [22]. Additionally, physiological studies have been performed to observe

germination patterns [29] and changes in the concentration of metabolites and

antioxidants when exposed to different salinity gradients [30].

The objective of this study was to evaluate the capacity of the halophyte *S. neei* for use

as a sink for dissolved nitrogen compounds in effluent from land-based marine

aquaculture systems and to simultaneously evaluate the resulting biomass production.

The data obtained in this study will allow us to establish whether *S. neei* is a plant

suitable for treating land-based marine aquaculture effluent with the potential for use in

marine recirculating aquaculture systems.

Methods

Collection of plant material and acclimatization

In July 2014, 100 *Salicornia neei* plants with fully developed roots and shoots were collected in the "Salinas de Puyalli" wetland, located in the commune of Papudo, Valparaíso Region, Chile (32° 24′ 54″ S, 71° 22′ 43″ W) and subsequently transferred to the "Laboratorio Experimental de Acuicultura" of the Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, in Valparaíso, Chile (33° 1′ 21″ S, 71° 37′ 57″ W). Plants were sown in sand beds and irrigated with Hoagland solution once a week for 10 weeks. Once the plants adapted and recovered their vigour, they were transferred to the experimental unit.

Experimental unit

The experimental unit consisted of three RAS, each composed of three drainage lysimeters. Each lysimeter was housed in a polyethylene container measuring 0.5 m x 0.6 m x 0.6 m (length × width × depth) with a surface area of 0.9 m^2 and a total area of 2.7 m^2. A leachate collection system was installed in each lysimeter, consisting of a perforated pipe at the bottom to collect the water, followed by a layer of gravel with a diameter of 0.5 cm and height of 15 cm and polyethylene mesh with 0.3 mm pore size to cover the gravel. For the substrate, coarse sand was used until reaching 35 cm high (Fig. 1). Each RAS was connected to a nutrient storage, which in turn was fed by a main tank that contained filtered seawater. Each nutrient storage tank was equipped with an aeration pump to promote biological nitrification processes. The irrigation water supply (influent) was performed with a 0.5 HP centrifugal pump (Humboldt, TPM60). Each RAS was supplied daily with 27 litres of water through a drip irrigation system, programmed to run for 15

minutes at 09:00 and at 17:00 hrs. Drainage water (effluent) was returned to the

respective collection tanks of each system to close the recirculating water loop.

Experimental design

The *S. neei* performance regarding removal of nitrogen compounds and biomass

production was evaluated for 74 days under three irrigation treatments: 1) seawater

fertilized with nitrate + ammonium (Nit+Amm); 2) seawater fertilized with nitrate (Nit); and

3) seawater without fertilizer (Con). The nutrient concentrations in each irrigation water

supply were designed according to the typical average concentrations of ammonium

(NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-) reported in land-based marine aquaculture effluent

[31, 32]. The following concentrations were used: Nit+Amm = 1 mg L^{-1} of TAN (total

ammonia nitrogen) and 100 mg L^{-1} of NO_3^- -N; Nit = 100 mg L^{-1} of NO_3^- N; and Control

(Con) = no fertilizer. The nutrient solution for each RAS was prepared directly in each

collection tank and was completely renewed every 14-15 days. Nutrient removal rate (RR)

was calculated as: RR = (Ci – Co), Nutrient removal efficiency (RE) was calculated as: RE

= (Ci – Co) / Ci $*$ 100 where: Ci = concentration in the influent water; Co = concentration in

the effluent water.

The physico-chemical parameters of water quality were recorded directly from the

drainage water during the first eight consecutive days after nutrient addition. The

estimation of NO_3^- - N concentration was performed using the cadmium reduction method.

Additionally, temperature, oxygen, conductivity, salinity and pH were measured as water

quality indicators. These parameters were measured using a HACH multiparameter probe

(HQ40). Biomass (fresh weight) was recorded at the beginning and at the end of the

experiment using a scale (Jadever, JWE-6K). The data on ambient temperature, rainfall

and relative humidity were sourced from climate records of the Chilean Meteorological

Office (Torquemada-Viña del Mar Station) (Fig. 2).

Statistical analysis

First, the means of nitrogen removal and biomass formation were compared using a

one-way ANOVA (RStudio, Ver 3.6.0. probabilities of $p<0.05$ were considered significant.

Additionally, to obtain a clearer view of the change in nitrogen concentration in the

measurements, the Pearson correlation coefficient was used, and the data that showed a

negative linear relationship were subsequently analyzed using the linear model (LM).

Finally, the residuals were verified, determining their normality and the homogeneity of

the variance (homoscedasticity). The LM analysis provided the removal rate (slope) and

the initial concentration (intercept) for the proposed treatments and the control.

Results

RAS environmental conditions and parameters

During the 74 days of culture, the ambient temperature and relative humidity conditions and the temperature, pH and salinity of the cultivation system showed different levels of variability, and no rainfall was recorded during the experiment. The ambient temperature had a mean of 16 ± 4 °C but was highly variable during the day with extreme values of 9 and 31 °C, while the relative humidity was 77.8 ± 8.7%, with extreme values of 60% and 95% (Fig. 2). The temperature in the culture systems was usually higher than the ambient temperature, with a mean of 20.5 ± 1.24 °C and a range of 19.1 to 21.7 °C, with no observed differences between treatments (Table 1). The pH remained relatively constant and without differences between treatments, while the salinity had a noticeable increase from a mean of 40 g L^{-1} of NaCl on day 1 to a mean of 51.5 ± 0.19 g L^{-1} of NaCl at the end of the experiment (Table 1). No significant differences in salinity between treatments were observed (p<0.05).

Nitrogen removal, growth and biomass formation

Nitrate removal was high from the start of cultivation and had a clear tendency to increase as biomass production increased (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). Specifically, at the beginning of the culture, the nitrogen removal rate was between 1.67 and 1.95 mg L^{-1} d^{-1}, and at the end of the culture, it increased to 2.76 and 2.96 mg L^{-1} d^{-1} in the Nit+Amm and Nit treatments, respectively, with no significant differences observed between treatments. Consequently, the nitrogen removal efficiency was high throughout the crop and varied between 87% and 92% (Table 2).

178   Regarding biomass production, the treatments with Nit+Amm and Nit showed a significant

179   increase in fresh weight from 245 ± 35 g to 896 ± 123 g and from 253 ± 7 g to 751 ± 51 g,

180   respectively, while the control group did not show a significant increase in biomass (Fig.

181   4). In this way, RAS cultivation systems reached a yield between 6.6 and 8.3 kg m^{-2}, with

182   no observed significant differences between treatments.

Discussion

This study determined that the *Salicornia neei* substrate interaction is an effective strategy for the recovery of nitrogen compounds contained in saline effluent typical of marine aquaculture. As shown in recent research, the integration of halophytes as a biofilter in recirculating systems in marine aquaculture is an adequate alternative to decontaminating waters with increased nitrogen compounds. In addition, this plant type offers characteristics that are favourable in various markets (e.g.: for pharmaceuticals, biofuel and human and animal food) [16, 33].

Effluent characteristics

Physicochemical parameters of the effluent, such as temperature and pH, showed significant differences between treatments and between inputs. For Liang et al. [34], these factors are especially important in the treatment of saline wastewater because they can affect the determinant processes in the removal of nitrogen compounds. In this study, temperature and pH were maintained within the optimal ranges (20-21 °C and 7.8-8.2) and therefore did not affect the nutrient removal processes (Table 1). This finding is consistent with Lee et al. [35], who reported that, for denitrification processes in wetland systems, the optimal temperature ranges between 20 and 40 °C and the optimal pH is approximately 8.0. Another important parameter evaluated in this study was the high effluent salinity, which reached concentrations of up to 50 g L^{-1} of NaCl. This increase was mainly due to the known environmental factor of evapotranspiration (Table 1), consistent with a study by Freedman et al. [36], who found increased salinity of treated water in

artificial wetlands despite the salt uptake by plants due to soil evaporation and plant

transpiration.

Nutrient removal

An extensive variety of plants adapted to salinity can be used to treat saline wastewater

[37]. In this study, *S. neei* was selected to aid in nitrogen removal, mainly due to its natural

occurrence throughout much of the South Pacific coast of South America [38]. The use of

artificial wetland systems with *S. neei* shown that it could be an efficient procedure to

eliminate of nitrogenous waste from aquaculture. Since, the daily removal rate recorded in

this study was up to 2.9 mg L d^{-1} (Table 2), values higher than those reported with other

halophyte species in high salinity [14]. Studies in related species have reported that they

have the ability to contain nitrogenous compounds in the form of nitrate and ammonium in

the vacuoles of plant cells [39], even in the presence of nitrate reductase (NR) and

glutamine synthetase (GS) [40].

Furthermore, it is known that members of the Chenopodiaceae family, such as Salicornia

brachiata and Sarcobatus vermiculatus, have special physiological and morphological

adaptations [41] that allow them to consume, store (typically in shoots); and efficiently use

a wide variety of nitrogen compounds available in the soil [42]. Therefore, it is suggested

that *S. neei* due to its natural growth in saline soils with scarce nitrification processes, but

with the presence of more stable forms of N such as NH4 + also should be have these

adaptations [43 - 48].

Nitrogen bioaccumulation was not determined empirically in this study but can be derived

from related studies. For example, in the *S. neei* Riquelme et al. [22] show, from an

experimental study, that the total of N fixed in the aerial part of wild plants corresponds to

1.76 ± 0.08 g per 100 g of fresh weight. Similar results were obtained in *S. brachiata* by

Rathore et al. [41] from India. Thus, we estimated that the total concentration of

nitrogenous nutrients fixed in *S. neei* at the end of the trial would be between 46 and 103.9

g for the Nit treatment. While for Amm + Nit, the oscillatory fixation between 57.8 and

130.1 g of N for the total biomass formed by this treatment, indicating that *S. neei* could be

assimilated most of the nitrogen available in this test. According to these results, it can

also be suggested that *S. neei* could store ammonium –N, if the differences of the

estimate in the two treatments are considered (approximately 20% more N with the Amm

+ Nit treatment). This being a reflection of the synergy produced by these two compounds

when consumed at the same time [49]. However some researchers currently believe that

the actual absorption may represent only a relatively small fraction of the global rate of

nitrogen (N) elimination [50] and microorganisms that play the most important role in the

use and transformation of nitrogen component [51].

In response to this uncertainty, other researchers have studied and obtained low removal

rates by plants. Specifically, Tanner et al. [52] found that of the total nitrogen removed by

planted wetland systems, only 25% corresponded to fixation in plants. Likewise, Lin et al.

[32] observed that of the 73% of nitrogen removed, only 11% had been fixed in plants.

Notwithstanding the above, Webb et al. [25], observed significant differences between the

nitrogen removal capacity in beds planted with and without halophytes. In their study, they

demonstrated a higher removal yield in planted beds (62.0 ± 34.6 mmol N m^{-2} d^{-1}) than in

unplanted beds (23.0 ± 26.8 mmol N m^{-2} d^{-1}). Therefore, it can be inferred that the strong

root system formed by this class of plants supports the establishment of certain

microorganisms that, acting synergistically, improve the removal rate of nitrogen loads.

Thus, it is not possible to determine whether plants or microorganisms have the more

important role in the performance of natural removal systems, but they should be

considered elements with significant functions to fulfil.

Biomass formation

The formation of *S. neei* biomass during the evaluation period reached a total net weight

of 13.4 kg and 14.9 kg m^{-2} over a period of six weeks in the treatment irrigated Nit+Amm.

These high yields in biomass production are comparable to those obtained by Ventura et

al. [53], whose yields for *Salicornia persica* reached 16 kg m^{-2} in a span of 24 weeks. On

the other hand, *S. neei* plants remained vigorous throughout the evolution period, even at

high salinity concentrations close to 50 g L^{-1} of NaCl. This inherent feature of halophytes

highlights the powerful response mechanisms to abiotic stress triggered by *S. neei,*

reinforcing the feasibility of including this plant for Aquaculture effluent treatment, because

salinity concentrations in the effluent can vary greatly in a single day due to environmental

factors such as temperature and rainfall. Regarding removal of the two sources of

nitrogen compounds, there was a positive interaction between the ammonium/nitrate

supplied for biomass formation of *S. neei*. This positive interaction could be caused by the

contribution of the nitrate ion that would act as an important osmotic anion for expansion

of the foliar cells [54]. In contrast to the above, in this study, we found that irrigating with

only NH_4^+ as a nutrient source (unpublished data) caused a decrease in the initial biomass

in *S. neei* plants*,* indicating some toxicity. This finding agrees with Helali et al. [55], who

indicate that ammonia, when supplied as the sole nitrogen source, induces toxicity in

plants, evidenced by reduced growth and low biomass. Regarding the influence of the

contribution of nitrate, *S. neei* was also able to use this nutrient source for biomass

formation and consequently remove nitrate from the irrigation water. This again allows us

to infer the ability of *S. neei* to grow and capture nitrogen nutrients from different sources.

Finally, we observed that the removal times were similarly accelerated with the two

nutrient sources, as indicated by the increase in biomass (Fig. 5).

Conclusions

Our results reveal that the integration of *S. neei* into artificial wetlands with recirculating

aquaculture effluent would be a viable alternative for eliminating nutrient loads in saline

wastewater and that this plant could be included in marine RASs. In addition, the ability of

S. neei to thrive with both N forms is an important trait that is likely to confer high growth

and yield potential in association with artificial wetlands.

S. neei is capable of using effluent similar to that produced in marine aquaculture as a

nutrient source, which suggests that *S. neei* has a well-developed molecular mechanism

that allows it to use different N sources and that this characteristic is due to the ability of

halophytes themselves to survive in extreme conditions.

In a system where halophytic plants such as *S. neei* are used to decontaminate water

from marine aquaculture, the nitrogen removal time is expected to decrease as a result of

increased biomass.

Author Contributions

JAG and JO conceived and designed the study. AO performed the experiments. MRD performed the data analysis and write the manuscript with the help of JAG. JO edited and revised manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by a FIC BIP 30154272 grant from the "Gobierno regional de Valparaíso" (Chile).

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Tables

Table 1 Temperature, pH, and salinity (mean ± SE) recorded at the effluent of the culture systems (lysimeter, n=15) with *Salicornia neei*. Salinity is expressed as gram of natrium chloride per liter (g L^{-1} of NaCl). Each Input corresponds to the treatments irrigated nitrate and ammonium (Nit + Amm) and nitrate (Nit). Control: irrigated with sea water only.

Input	Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	Salinity (g L^{-1} of NaCl)
	Nit + Amm	18.2 ± 4.2	8.2 ± 0.1	40.6 ± 2.2
1	Nit	19.5 ± 4.7	8.2 ± 0.1	41.3 ± 1.9
	Control	19.1 ± 4.3	8.2 ± 0.1	40.0 ± 0.0
	Nit + Amm	18.8 ± 1.6	8.1 ± 0.1	44.9 ± 2.3
2	Nit	21.7 ± 3.3	8.1 ± 0.1	48.4 ± 2.2
	Control	18.6 ± 1.5	8.0± 0.1	43.6 ± 2.1
	Nit + Amm	20.8 ± 0.6	7.9 ± 0.1	48.5 ± 2.5
3	Nit	21.2 ± 0.8	7.9 ± 0.1	48.8 ± 3.2
	Control	20.8 ± 0.5	8.0 ± 0.1	43.6 ± 2.1
	Nit + Amm	20.2 ± 1.2	8.0 ± 0.1	47.5 ± 1.9
4	Nit	20.6 ± 1.4	8.0 ± 0.1	47.5 ± 2.1
	Control	20.3 ± 1.2	8.2 ± 0.1	46.5 ± 2.6
	Nit + Amm	20.6 ± 0.6	8.0 ± 0.1	48.0 ± 2.2
5	Nit	20.9 ± 0.7	7.9 ± 0.1	47.7 ± 2.4
	Control	20.7 ± 0.5	8.2 ± 0.1	46.5 ± 1.6

Table 2 Nitrate-nitrogen (NO_3^- -N) concentration at the influent (Ci) and effluent (Co), nutrient removal efficiency (RE) and daily removal rate (RR) for each treatment (lysimeter, n=15) with *Salicornia neei*. Mean values are displayed (± SE). Each Input corresponds to the treatments irrigated with nitrate and ammonium (Nit + Amm) and nitrate (Nit). Control: irrigated with sea water only.

Input	Treatment	Ci (mg L^{-1})	Co (mg L^{-1})	RE (%)	RR (mg L^{-1})
1	Nit + Amm	14.20 ± 0.75	1.9 ± 0.17	86.6	2.2 ± 0.21
	Nit	15.30 ± 0.86	1.6 ± 0.66	89.3	2.8 ± 0.37
2	Nit + Amm	12.90 ± 0.60	1.6 ± 0.15	87.3	2.2 ± 0.20
	Nit	16.49 ± 1.21	1.6 ± 0.17	90.3	3.7 ± 0.36
3	Nit + Amm	12.89 ± 0.70	1.1 ± 0.05	91.7	2.3 ± 0.28
	Nit	13.18 ± 0.66	1.2 ± 0.15	91.1	2.4 ± 0.25
4	Nit + Amm	14.28 ± 0.70	1.6 ± 0.10	88.8	2.6 ± 0.28
	Nit	16.80 ± 0.65	1.5 ± 0.05	90.9	2.7 ± 0.26
5	Nit + Amm	14.20 ± 0.69	1.5 ± 0.05	89.4	2.9 ± 0.21
	Nit	13.36 ± 0.57	1.7 ± 0.05	87.5	2.6 ± 0.15

Figures

Water inlet

Micro-sprinkler

Sand

Mesh

Gravel

Water collection

Pipes and outlet

334
335 **Fig. 1.** The diagram shows the design of one lysimeter, depicting the overall construction, water inlet and outlet, substrate
336 (sand and gravel separated by a mesh), and irrigation micro-sprinklers.

Fig. 2. Ambient temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%RH) during the date of experimentation. The graphic shows mean, maximum and minimum values for the ambient temperature, over 74 days.

341
342 **Fig. 3.** Nitrate-nitrogen (NO_3^- -N) load in the lysimeters, expressed in mg L^{-1} and observed over 74 days of experimentations.
343 Each Input corresponds to the treatments irrigated with nitrate and ammonium (Nit + Amm) and nitrate (Nit). Control:
344 Irrigated with sea water only.
345
346

Fig. 4. Production of biomass of S*alicornia neei* expressed as yield of fresh weight (FW) per area unit (FW kg m^{-2}). Each
Input corresponds to the treatments irrigated with nitrate and ammonium (Nit + Amm) and nitrate (Nit). Control: treatment
with sea water only. Lower-case letters represents significant differences between treatments.

Fig. 5: Picture of two lysimeters with *Salicornia neei* at the end of the experiment (day 74). **a** irrigated with nitrate and ammonium. **b** irrigated with sea-water.

References

1. Tovar A, Moreno C, Manuel-Vez MP, Garcia-Vargas M. Environmental impacts of intensive aquaculture in marine waters. Water Res. 2000; 34(1):334-342.

2. De Lange HJ, Paulissen M, Slim PA. Halophyte filters: the potential of constructed wetlands for application in saline aquaculture. Int J Phytoremediat. 2013; 15(4):352-364.

3. Quinta R, Santos R, Thomas DN, Le Vay L. Growth and nitrogen uptake by *Salicornia europaea* and *Aster tripolium* in nutrient conditions typical of aquaculture wastewater. Chemosphere. 2015; 120:414-421.

4. Vymazal J. Constructed wetlands for treatment of industrial wastewaters: A review. Ecol Eng. 2014; 73:724-751.

5. Boxman SE, Nystrom M, Capodice JC, Ergas SJ, Main KL, Trotz MA. Effect of support medium, hydraulic loading rate and plant density on water quality and growth of halophytes in marine aquaponic systems. Aquac Res. 2017; 48(5):2463-2477.

6. Carballeira C, De Orte MR, Viana IG, Carballeira A. Implementation of a minimal set of biological tests to assess the ecotoxic effects of effluents from land-based marine fish farms. Ecotox Environ Safe. 2012; 78:148-161.

7. Shpigel M, Ben-Ezra D, Shauli L, Sagi M, Ventura Y, Samocha T, et al. Constructed wetland with *Salicornia* as a biofilter for mariculture effluents. Aquac. 2013; 412:52-63.

8. Zohar Y, Tal Y, Schreier H, Steven C, Stubblefield J, Place A. 10 Commercially feasible urban recirculating aquaculture: Addressing the Marine Sector.In: COSTA-PIERCE B, DESBONNET A, EDWARDS P, BAKER D (Eds.), Urban Aquaculture, CABI Publishing, Wallingford. 2005; 159-171.

9. Gutierrez-Wing MT, Malone RF. Biological filters in aquaculture: Trends and research directions for freshwater and marine applications. Aquac Eng. 2006; 34(3):163-171.

10. Kajimura M, Croke SJ, Glover CN, Wood CM. Dogmas and controversies in the handling of nitrogenous wastes: The effect of feeding and fasting on the excretion of ammonia, urea and other nitrogenous waste products in rainbow trout. J Exp Biol. 2004; 207(12):1993-2002.

11. Camargo JA, Alonso A. Ecological and toxicological effects of inorganic nitrogen pollution in aquatic ecosystems: A global assessment. Environ Int. 2006; 32(6):831-849.

12. Thoman ES, Ingall ED, Davis DA, Arnold CR. A nitrogen budget for a closed, recirculating mariculture system. Aquac Eng. 2001; 24(3):195-211.

13. Orellana F, Waller U, Wecker B. Culture of yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) in a marine recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) with artificial seawater. Aquac Eng. 2014; 58:20-28.

14. Brown JJ, Glenn EP, Fitzsimmons KM, Smith SE. Halophytes for the treatment of saline aquaculture effluent. Aquac. 1999; 175(3-4):255-268.

15. Webb JM, Quinta R, Papadimitriou S, Norman L, Rigby M, Thomas DN, et al. Halophyte filter beds for treatment of saline wastewater from aquaculture. Water Res. 2012; 46(16):5102-5114.

16. Buhmann A, Papenbrock J. Biofiltering of aquaculture effluents by halophytic plants: Basic principles, current uses and future perspectives. Environ Exp Bot. 2013; 92:122-133.

17. Forde BG, Clarkson DT. Nitrate and ammonium nutrition of plants: Physiological and molecular perspectives. Adv Bot Res. 1999; 30:1-90.

18. Cott GM, Caplan JS, Mozdzer TJ. Nitrogen uptake kinetics and saltmarsh plant responses to global change. Sci Rep. 2018; 8: 5393

19. Hessini K, Ben Hamed K, Gandour M, Mejri M, Abdelly C, Cruz C. Ammonium nutrition in the halophyte *Spartina alterniflora* under salt stress: evidence for a priming effect of ammonium? Plant Soil. 2013; 370(1-2):163-173.

20. Jesus JM, Cassoni AC, Danko AS, Fiuza A, Borges MT. Role of three different plants on simultaneous salt and nutrient reduction from saline synthetic wastewater in lab-scale constructed wetlands. Sci Total Environ. 2017; 579:447-455.

21. Panta S, Flowers T, Lane P, Doyle R, Haros G, Shabala S. Halophyte agriculture: Success stories. Environ Exp Bot. 2014; 107:71-83.

22. Riquelme J, Olaeta JA, Galvez L, Undurraga P, Fuentealba C, Osses A,et al. Nutritional and functional characterization of wild and cultivated *Sarcocornia neei* grown in Chile. Cienc Investig Agrar. 2016; 43(2):283-293.

23. Turcios AE, Papenbrock J. Sustainable Treatment of Aquaculture Effluents-What Can We Learn from the Past for the Future? Sustainability. 2014; 6(2):836-856.

24. Vymazal J. Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. Ecol Eng. 2005, 25(5):475-477.

25. Webb JM, Quinta R, Papadimitriou S, Norman L, Rigby M, Thomas DN, et al. The effect of halophyte planting density on the efficiency of constructed wetlands for the treatment of wastewater from marine aquaculture. Ecol Eng. 2013; 61:145-153.

26. Quinta R, Hill PW, Jones DL, Santos R, Thomas DN, Le Vay L. Uptake of an amino acid (alanine) and its peptide (trialanine) by the saltmarsh halophytes *Salicornia europaea* and *Aster tripolium* and its potential role in ecosystem N cycling and marine aquaculture wastewater treatment. Ecol Eng. 2015, 75:145-154.

27. Waller U, Buhmann AK, Ernst A, Hanke V, Kulakowski A, Wecker B, et al. Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture in a zero-exchange recirculation aquaculture system for marine fish and hydroponic halophyte production. Aquac Int. 2015; 23(6):1473-1489.

28. Valladao GMR, Gallani SU, Pilarski F. South American fish for continental aquaculture. Rev Aquac. 2018; 10(2):351-369.

29. Alonso MF, Orellana C, Valdes S, Diaz FJ. Effect of salinity on the germination of *Sarcocornia neei* (Chenopodiaceae) from two contrasting habitats. Seed Sci Technol. 2017; 45(1):252-258.

30. de Souza MM, Mendes CR, Doncato KB, Badiale-Furlong E, Costa CSB. Growth, Phenolics, Photosynthetic Pigments, and Antioxidant Response of Two New Genotypes of Sea Asparagus (*Salicornia neei* Lag.) to Salinity under Greenhouse and Field Conditions. Agriculture-Basel. 2018; 8(7).

31. De Lange HJ, Paulissen M. Efficiency of three halophyte species in removing nutrients from saline water: a pilot study. Wetl Ecol Manag. 2016; 24(5):587-596.

32. Lin YF, Jing SR, Lee DY, Wang TW. Nutrient removal from aquaculture wastewater using a constructed wetlands system. Aquac. 2002; 209(1-4):169-184.

33. Peng L, Hua Y, Cai J, Zhao J, Zhou W, Zhu D. Effects of plants and temperature on nitrogen removal and microbiology in a pilot-scale integrated vertical-flow wetland treating primary domestic wastewater. Ecol Eng. 2014; 64:285-290.

34. Liang YX, Zhu H, Banuelos G, Yan BX, Zhou QW, Yu XF, et al. Constructed wetlands for saline wastewater treatment: A review. Ecol Eng. 2017; 98:275-285.

35. Lee CG, Fletcher TD, Sun GZ. Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems. Eng Life Sci. 2009; 9(1):11-22.

36. Freedman A, Gross A, Shelef O, Rachmilevitch S, Arnon S. Salt uptake and evapotranspiration under arid conditions in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland planted with halophytes. Ecol Eng. 2014; 70:282-286.

37. El Shaer HM. Halophytes and salt-tolerant plants as potential forage for ruminants in the Near East region. Small Ruminant Res. 2010; 91(1):3-12.

38. Alonso MA, Crespo MB. Taxonomic and nomenclatural notes on South American taxa of *Sarcocornia* (Chenopodiaceae). Ann Bot Fenn. 2008; 45(4):241-254.

39. Martinoia E, Heck U, Wiemken A. Vacuoles as storage compartments for nitrate in barley leaves. Nature. 1981; 289(5795):292-294.

40. Ferrari TE, Yoder OC, Filner P. Anaerobic nitrite production by plant cells and tissues: evidence for two nitrate pools. Plant Physiol. 1973; 51: 423–431

41. Rathore AP, Chaudhary DR, Jha B. Biomass production, nutrient cycling, and carbon fixation by Salicornia brachiata Roxb.: A promising halophyte for coastal saline soil rehabilitation. Int J Phytoremediat. 2016; 18(8):801-811.

42. Donovan LA, Richards JH, Schaber EJ. Nutrient relations of the halophytic shrub, Sarcobatus vermiculatus, along a soil salinity gradient. Plan Soil. 1997; 190(1):105-117.

43. Akhtar M, Hussain F, Ashraf MY, Qureshi TM, Akhter J, Awan AR. Influence of Salinity on Nitrogen Transformations in Soil. Commun Soil Sci Plant. 2012; 43(12):1674-1683.

44. Lodhi A, Arshad M, Azam F, Sajjad MH. Changes in mineral and mineralizable n of soil incubated at varying salinity, moisture and temperature regimes. Pak J Bot. 2009; 41(2):967-980.

45. Yokoishi T, Tanimoto S. Seed-germination of the halophyte *Suaeda-japonica* under salt stress. Int J Plant Res.1994; 107(1088):385-388.

46. Liu Y, von Wiren N. Ammonium as a signal for physiological and morphological responses in plants. J Exp Bot. 2017; 68(10):2581-2592.

47. Marino D, Moran JF. Can Ammonium Stress Be Positive for Plant Performance?. Front Plant Sci.2019; 10.

48. Patterson K, Cakmak T, Cooper A, Lager I, Rasmusson AG, Escobar MA. Distinct signalling pathways and transcriptome response signatures differentiate ammonium- and nitrate-supplied plants. Plant Cell Environ. 2010; 33(9):1486-1501.

49. Hachiya T, Sakakibara H. Interactions between nitrate and ammonium in their uptake, allocation, assimilation, and signaling in plants. J Exp Bot. 2017; 68(10):2501-2512.

50. Kadlec RH, Wallace S. Treatment wetlands. Lewis publishers, CRC press. 2008; 257-347.

51. Margesin R, Schinner F. Potential of halotolerant and halophilic microorganisms for biotechnology. Extremophiles. 2001; 5(2):73-83.

52. Tanner CC, Kadlec RH, Gibbs MM, Sukias JPS, Nguyen ML. Nitrogen processing gradients in subsurface-flow treatment wetlands - influence of wastewater characteristics. Ecol Eng. 2002; 18(4):499-520.

53. Ventura Y, Wuddineh WA, Myrzabayeva M, Alikulov Z, Khozin-Goldberg I, Shpigel M, et al. Effect of seawater concentration on the productivity and nutritional value of annual *Salicornia* and perennial *Sarcocomia* halophytes as leafy vegetable crops. Sci Hortic-Amsterdam. 2011; 128(3):189-196.

54. Raab T, Terry N. Nitrogen source regulation of growth and photosynthese in *Beta vulgaris* L. Plant Physiol. 1994; 10:1159–1166

55. Helali SM, Nebli H, Kaddour R, Mahmoudi H, Lachaal M, Ouerghi Z. Influence of nitrate-ammonium ratio on growth and nutrition of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Soil. 2010; 336(1-2):65-74.