Potential impact on coagulopathy of gene variants of coagulation related proteins that

interact with SARS-CoV-2

David Holcomb [1¶], Aikaterini Alexaki [1¶], Nancy Hernandez [1¶], Kyle Laurie [1], Jacob Kames [1],

Nobuko Hamasaki-Katagiri [1], Anton A. Komar [2], Michael DiCuccio*[3], and Chava Kimchi-Sarfaty*[1]

[1] Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies,

   Division of Plasma Protein Therapeutics, Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD,

   USA

[2] Center for Gene Regulation in Health and Disease, Cleveland State University, Cleveland,

   OH, USA

[3] National Center of Biotechnology Information, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD,

   USA

[¶] Equal contribution

* Corresponding authors:

Chava Kimchi-Sarfaty, PhD; Chava.kimchi-sarfaty@fda.hhs.gov; Division of Plasma Protein

Therapeutics, Office of Tissue and Advanced Therapies, Center for Biologics Evaluation and

Research, Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, USA

Michael DiCuccio, MD; dicuccio@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; National Center of Biotechnology

Information, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Short title: Gene variants of coagulation related proteins that interact with SARS-CoV-2

Author contribution

DH created software, as well as investigation and formal analysis. AA wrote original draft, as well as further review and editing. NH performed investigation and visualization. KL assisted in investigation. JK, NHK, AAK, MD, and CKS provided conceptualization, and reviewed and edited the manuscript. CKS was also involved in producing methodology and administration of the project.

Abstract

Thrombosis has been one of the complications of the Coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19), often associated with poor prognosis. There is a well-recognized link between coagulation and inflammation, however, the extent of thrombotic events associated with COVID-19 warrants further investigation. Poly(A) Binding Protein Cytoplasmic 4 (PABPC4), Serine/Cysteine Proteinase Inhibitor Clade G Member 1 (SERPING1) and Vitamin K epOxide Reductase Complex subunit 1 (VKORC1), which are all proteins linked to coagulation, have been shown to interact with SARS proteins. We computationally examined the interaction of these with SARS-CoV-2 proteins and, in the case of VKORC1, we describe its binding to ORF7a in detail. We examined the occurrence of variants of each of these proteins across populations and interrogated their potential contribution to COVID-19 severity. Potential mechanisms by which some of these variants may contribute to disease are proposed. Some of these variants are prevalent in minority groups that are disproportionally affected by severe COVID-19. Therefore, we are proposing that further investigation around these variants may lead to better understanding of disease pathogenesis in minority groups and more informed therapeutic approaches.

Author summary

Increased blood clotting, especially in the lungs, is a common complication of COVID-19. Infectious diseases cause inflammation which in turn can contribute to increased blood clotting. However, the extent of clot formation that is seen in the lungs of COVID-19 patients suggests that there may be a more direct link. We identified three human proteins that are involved indirectly in the blood clotting cascade and have been shown to interact with proteins of SARS virus, which is closely related to the novel coronavirus. We examined computationally the interaction of these human proteins with the viral proteins. We looked for genetic variants of these proteins and examined how these variants are distributed across populations. We investigated whether variants of these genes could impact severity of COVID-19. Further investigation around these variants may provide clues for the pathogenesis of COVID-19 particularly in minority groups.

Introduction

The Coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) has been associated with coagulopathy, particularly microclots in the lungs [1] [2] [3] [4] [5], that correlates with disease severity [6] [7] [8] [9]. There is extensive cross-talk between inflammation and coagulation, and inflammation is presumed to have a role in the observed coagulation phenotype. However, the widespread thrombotic events that are seen in severe COVID-19 patients suggest that there may be a more direct link.

In a study conducted before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (CoV)-host interactome was investigated. A few proteins related to the coagulation cascade were experimentally identified to interact with viral proteins. Poly(A) Binding Protein Cytoplasmic 4 (PABPC4) was shown to interact with the nucleocapsid (N) protein. Serine/Cysteine Proteinase Inhibitor Clade G Member 1 (SERPING1 or C1 inhibitor) was shown to interact with nsp14, ORF14, ORF3b, ORF7b, nsp2, nsp8 and nsp13. In addition,

Vitamin K epOxide Reductase Complex subunit 1 (VKORC1) was shown to interact with the

SARS protein ORF7a. The interactions were initially identified by a high-throughput yeast two-

hybrid system and confirmed with LUMIER assay [10].

PABPC4 localizes primarily to the cytoplasm and binds to the poly(A) tail present at the 3-prime

end of mRNA. However, it is also found in the surface of thrombin-activated platelets, and

therefore it is known as activated-platelet protein-1 (APP-1) [11] [12]. PABPC4 may also be

involved in the regulation of protein translation in platelets and megakaryocytes or may

participate in the binding or stabilization of polyadenylates in platelet dense granules [13].

SERPING1 is a plasma protease involved in the complement, intrinsic coagulation and

fibrinolytic pathways. In the coagulation cascade, SERPING1 inactivates plasma kallikrein,

factor XIIa and factor XIIf. The absence of sufficient levels of functional SERPING1 leads to

hereditary angioedema (HAE), which is mediated by sustained activation of kallikrein leading to

cleavage of high molecular weight kininogen (HMWK), producing bradykinin [14].

VKORC1 is an enzyme critical for coagulation due to its role in converting vitamin K epoxide into

active vitamin K [15], the rate-limiting step in the physiological process of vitamin K recycling.

Importantly, vitamin K is necessary for the carboxylation of glutamic acid residues to produce

Gla residues. Several human proteins have domains with Gla residues, including coagulation

factors II, VII, IX, X, and anticoagulant proteins C, S, and Z. VKORC1 is expressed in all tissues,

but particularly in the liver, lungs, and female reproductive system. It is generally embedded in

the endoplasmic reticulum [16].

Dietary vitamin K deficiency is associated with coagulopathy, specifically bleeding. Vitamin K

antagonists are anticoagulant drugs that work by inhibiting the activity of VKORC1, reducing the

levels of available active vitamin K and coagulation factors. Of the vitamin K antagonists,

warfarin is most commonly used. Some variants in *VKORC1*, particularly those common in

African and African American populations, are reported to result in warfarin resistance. Warfarin

response is also dependent on dietary factors and liver function [17]. For these reasons, dosing

warfarin is complicated, and genotyping of *VKORC1* to determine the presence of known

polymorphisms (such as c.1173C>T) is recommended before initiating warfarin treatment.

The impact of viral protein interactions with VKORC1, SERPING1 and PABPC4 on patient

outcomes in COVID-19 infection is unknown. While comorbidities, age, and other factors will

impact the predisposition to thrombosis or coagulopathy, binding of viral proteins to coagulation

related proteins may be partially responsible for the prothrombotic phenotype that is seen in

COVID-19 patients.

Through computational modeling, we examined the binding of VKORC1, SERPING1 and

PABPC4 to SARS-CoV-2 proteins and generated additional evidence for the binding of ORF7a

to VKORC1. We analyzed COVID-19 genome-wide association study (GWAS) results to find

the most influential variants from these genes and characterize them to find potential means of

effect. Moreover, we investigated several *VKORC1*, *SERPING1* and *PABPC4* variants that may

be associated with coagulopathy and we identified some *VKORC1* variants that may result in

warfarin resistance. In particular, we highlight two variants, which are enriched in certain ethnic

groups. Better understanding of the contribution of these genes and their variants to COVID-19

pathogenesis may lead to new therapeutic avenues and improved prognosis. This may be of

crucial importance for minority groups that are disproportionally affected by severe COVID-19.

Methods

Structural similarities and computational docking of proteins

To assess the binding of SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a and human VKORC1, we used I-TASSER [18]

[19] [20] to generate homology models for both proteins. Using the models with the best C-

scores from I-TASSER, we then used Zdock [21] to find potential binding sites. From Zdock, we

took the five top scoring protein-protein complexes as input to Rosetta Prepack and Rosetta

Dock [22] [23] [24] [25] to further refine the models by using rigid body perturbations. Finally, the

top model was further refined through Rosetta Dock local refinement.

In addition, to verify the binding of PABPC4 and SERPING1 with SARS-CoV-2 proteins, we

created homology models for each using I-TASSER and Robetta [26] [27]. However, due to the

lack of structural data from similar proteins, sections of the models for PABPC4 and SERPING1

were of low quality. For this reason, we used Blast and Clustal Omega to create multiple

sequence alignments (MSAs) of proteins similar to interacting SARS proteins, and computed

the percent of columns of the homologous SARS-CoV-2 protein matching the SARS protein, as

well as a loglikelihood score to measure the probability that the SARS-CoV-2 homolog would be

included in the MSA (Table 1). In addition, the MSAs were filtered to remove duplicate

sequences by performing affinity propagation clustering with the Levenshtein distance matrix

formed from the sequences. Only the cluster centers, SARS, and SARS-CoV-2 sequences were

used in the MSA. This was done to account for the large number of very similar sequences,

generally from different strains of SARS-CoV-2.

    Table 1: Sequence homology of selected SARS and SARS-CoV-2 proteins.

Protein	Fraction Matching	Loglikelihood
N	0.888626	-0.04824
ORF7a	0.827869	-0.05688
nsp14	0.884393	-0.19572
ORF7b	0.795455	-0.01103
nsp3	0.75078	-0.46736
nsp2	0.681818	-0.44764
nsp8	0.969697	-0.12897
nsp13	0.948767	-0.1215

MSA fraction matching is the fraction of positions in the SARS-CoV-2 protein matching the homologous SARS

protein, when both are aligned in an MSA. Higher number indicates more conserved position and the range is

between 0 and 1.

MSA likelihood is the fraction of sequences in an MSA matching SARS-CoV-2 for a given column. Assuming all

columns are independent, $\prod_i P(x_i)$ gives the probability of finding the SARS-CoV-2 sequence in the MSA sequences,

which ranges between 0 and 1. Taking log of this value gives $log\left(\prod_i P(x_i)\right) = \sum_i (log\, P(x_i))$, an additive loglikelihood

score which is nonpositive, with lower values indicating more positions in the SARS-CoV-2 sequence that differ from

the MSA sequences.

We used the ORF7a homology model to query Dali [28] for similar protein structures. The top

structures in sequence and structural similarity were the ORF7a proteins for SARS and SARS-

CoV-2 (PDBs 1YO4 and 6W37). All human proteins interacting with VKORC1 were taken from

BIOGRID, the Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets [29] [30]. In addition, we

queried Dali against all other viral protein structures, as modeled in I-TASSER.

Relevant variants from COVID19 HGI GWAS metastudies

All variants from the genomic region containing *VKORC1*, *SERPING1*, and *PABPC4* ±6000 bp

were taken from the ANA2, ANA5, and ANA7 metastudies from COVID19 Host Genetics

Initiative [31] and The Severe Covid-19 GWAS Group [32] (Supplementary Table S1 and Table

2). We filtered the resulting variants to keep only those with metastudy p-value below 0.05. The

resulting variants were all in non-coding regions, therefore, amino acid and codon features do

not apply.

Table 2: Possible predicted effect of variants in VKORC, SERPING1 and PABPC4.

Transcript	Location	Fraction matching in MSA	Change in splicing	Average change in mRNA MFE (Z-score)	miRNA summary
VKORC1					
NM_024006.4:c.-4931C>T	5' UTR	0.431818		2.20025	
NM_024006.4:c.-4851C>T	5' UTR	0.754967		1.06847	
NM_024006.4:c.-2834C>A	5' UTR	0.950943			miRNA gained
NM_024006.4:c.-1639G>A	5' UTR	0.0625	Possible splicing change		
NM_024006.4:c.174-136C>T	Intron	0.020228		0.83549	
NM_024006.4:c.283+124G>C	Intron	0.133333		-1.44871	
NM_024006.4:c.283+837T>C	Intron	0.146727		1.35367	
SERPING1					
NM_000062.2:c.-3537C>G	5' UTR	0.009615			miRNA decrease
NM_000062.2:c.-2415G>A	5' UTR	0.033708	Possible splicing change	0.77565	
NM_000062.2:c.-1675G>A	5' UTR	0.426901			miRNA gained
NM_000062.2:c.52-696C>T	Intron	0.068027	Likely splicing change	0.30003	
NM_000062.2:c.52-130C>T	Intron	0.833333			
NM_000062.2:c.52-130C>T	Intron	0.833333		-0.28155	
NM_000062.2:c.550+794C>A	Intron	0.693694		0.71906	
NM_000062.2:c.685+88G>A	Intron	0.769231		-0.75902	
NM_000062.2:c.685+659C>T	Intron	0.581818		-0.47852	miRNA decrease
NM_000062.2:c.685+659C>T	Intron	0.679245		-0.68662	
NM_000062.2:c.685+1100C>T	Intron	0.772313		2.01226	
NM_000062.2:c.685+1391C>T	Intron	0.841912	Likely splicing change		
NM_000062.2:c.685+1550G>T	Intron	0.926641			
NM_000062.2:c.685+1770C>T	Intron	0.793594		0.84106	
NM_000062.2:c.1029+926G>T	Intron	0.015723			miRNA gained
NM_000062.2:c.1029+1443G>C	Intron	0.595745			miRNA gained
NM_000062.2:c.1029+2110T>C	Intron	0.393617	Likely splicing change		
NM_000062.2:c.1029+2111G>A	Intron	0.687117			miRNA gained
NM_000062.2:c.1030-2243T>G	Intron	0.026616		-1.68665	
NM_000062.2:c.1030-1975G>C	Intron	0.02551		1.92651	
NM_000062.2:c.1030-1436T>C	Intron	0.823529			
NM_000062.2:c.1030-20A>G	Intron	0.25		-0.76751	

Variant	Region	Score	Splicing	MFE	miRNA
NM_000062.2:c.1438G>A	Exon	0.399177	Possible splicing change		
NM_000062.2:c.*1323G>A	3' UTR	0.878788			miRNA lost
NM_000062.2:c.*1521G>T	3' UTR	0.65873			
NM_000062.2:c.*2614A>T	3' UTR	0.016129			miRNA gained
PABPC4					
NM_003819.3:c.-5600T>C	5' UTR	0.48			miRNA lost
NM_003819.3:c.-4432G>A	5' UTR	0.009317			miRNA gained
NM_003819.3:c.-4428A>G	5' UTR	0.221505			
NM_003819.3:c.-3677T>G	5' UTR	0.021645	Possible splicing change	-0.59763	
NM_003819.3:c.-3636G>A	5' UTR	0.022378		2.31727	
NM_003819.3:c.-3198T>C	5' UTR	0.856079	Possible splicing change		miRNA lost
NM_003819.3:c.-2286T>G	5' UTR	0.210526			
NM_003819.3:c.-650C>T	5' UTR	0.829978			miRNA lost
NM_003819.3:c.193+796C>G	Intron	0.666667			
NM_003819.3:c.504-254C>A	Intron	0.247191		0.26322	
NM_003819.3:c.738+85T>C	Intron	0.333333			miRNA lost
NM_003819.3:c.877-387C>T	Intron	1			miRNA lost
NM_003819.3:c.972+53A>T	Intron	1			
NM_003819.3:c.972+704C>G	Intron	0.5			
NM_003819.3:c.1333+26C>G	Intron	0.3125	Likely splicing change		
NM_003819.3:c.1621-348C>G	Intron	1			
NM_003819.3:c.*765C>A	3' UTR	1		1.96974	
NM_003819.3:c.*1261C>T	3' UTR	0.771242		-0.91257	miRNA decrease
NM_003819.3:c.*4685A>G	3' UTR	0.054945		-3.4524	miRNA decrease
NM_003819.3:c.*5316C>T	3' UTR	0.696181	Possible splicing change		miRNA lost

Change in splicing is presented when all tools find a change in splicing and all hexamer scores are greater than one standard deviation from the mean, and is marked in red when the variant appears in an intron. mRNA MFE changes are normalized (converted into a Z-score) for KineFold, remuRNA, and mFold, then averaged. When all three mRNA MFE changes are above one standard deviation, we mark the value in underline. miRNA summaries are presented when all miRNA changes agree in direction, and the total change is at least 5. miRNA changes are underlined when the variant appears upstream.

We characterized these variants in terms of splicing, using hexamer scoring tools [33] [34], ESEfinder [35] [36], ExonScan [37] [38] [39], and FAS-ESS [37]. Where ESEfinder, ExonScan, and FAS-ESS found a change in splicing potential between the wild type (WT) and mutant, the

change was reported in Table 2 as "Change in splicing". When the variant occurred in an intron

as opposed to a UTR, we further highlighted the value.

Then, we calculated mRNA mean free energy using Kinefold [40], mFold [41] [42] [43], and

remuRNA [44]. When all three tools were in agreement regarding the direction of the change,

the changes in mRNA MFE were converted into Z-scores using mean and standard deviation

values computed by randomly sampling WT and mutant sequences. The average of the three Z-

scores is reported in Table 2 as "Average change in mRNA MFE (Z-score)".

We also analyzed miRNA binding changes using miRDB [45] [46]. For any variant, there may be

multiple affected miRNA species. miRNA binding scores are provided for both the WT and

mutant flanking 501 nucleotides in Supplementary Table S2, and a summary of miRNA binding

changes is provided in Table 2. When all miRNA binding changes were in the same direction,

we summarized the effect.

We analyzed conservation using fraction matching in a nucleotide MSA, computed as the

fraction of sequences in the MSA matching the wild type sequence in the appropriate column.

This value is included in Table 2 as "Fraction matching in MSA".

Finally, we collected population prevalence data from dbSNP (Supplementary Table S2 and

Table 3).

Table 3: Population frequencies (gnomAD) of GWAS variants of VKORC1, SERPING1, and PABPC4.

Transcript	Global	African	American	Ashkenazi Jewish	East Asian	European	Other
VKORC1							
NM_024006.4:c.-4931C>T	0.5758	0.5408	0.542	0.514	0.1007	0.63146	0.619
NM_024006.4:c.-4851C>T							
NM_024006.4:c.-2834C>A	0.0049	0.0001	0.001	0	0	0.00762	0.007
NM_024006.4:c.-1639G>A	0.326	0.1009	0.444	0.476	0.8996	0.37236	0.369
NM_024006.4:c.174-136C>T	0.3261	0.1009	0.443	0.476	0.8995	0.37264	0.37
NM_024006.4:c.283+124G>C	0.4163	0.2564	0.442		0.8849		
NM_024006.4:c.283+837T>C	0.6431	0.7907	0.546	0.517	0.1017	0.62682	0.628
NM_014699.3:c.*2082G>C	0.0049	0.0001	0.001	0	0	0.00763	0.007
NM_014699.3:c.*2737G>T	0.0048	0.017	0.002	0	0	0.00005	0
SERPING1							

NM_000062.2:c.-3537C>G	0.0275	0.0078	0.021	0.017	0	0.03846	0.041
NM_000062.2:c.-2415G>A	0.0939	0.0866	0.059	0.141	0.1113	0.09708	0.087
NM_000062.2:c.-1675G>A	0.0937	0.0862	0.059	0.141	0.1105	0.09697	0.087
NM_000062.2:c.52-696C>T	0.3927	0.4767	0.529	0.452	0.7655	0.31673	0.386
NM_000062.2:c.52-130C>T	0.385	0.448	0.525	0.455	0.7668	0.31739	0.385
NM_000062.2:c.52-130C>T	0.385	0.448	0.525	0.455	0.7668	0.31739	0.385
NM_000062.2:c.550+794C>A	0.3936	0.4761	0.531	0.451	0.7697	0.31779	0.388
NM_000062.2:c.685+88G>A	0.2225	0.1006	0.15	0.262	0.1157	0.28733	0.273
NM_000062.2:c.685+1391C>T	0.0248	0.0059	0.022	0.035	0	0.03499	0.038
NM_000062.2:c.685+659C>T	0.3901	0.4743	0.541	0.455	0.769	0.31084	0.381
NM_000062.2:c.685+659C>T	0.3901	0.4743	0.541	0.455	0.769	0.31084	0.381
NM_000062.2:c.685+1100C>T	0.2253	0.1124	0.147	0.262	0.1208	0.28765	0.274
NM_000062.2:c.685+1550G>T	0.2251	0.1127	0.152	0.264	0.1207	0.28734	0.269
NM_000062.2:c.685+1770C>T	0.2216	0.0992	0.15	0.262	0.1184	0.28696	0.27
NM_000062.2:c.1029+926G>T	0.2279	0.1	0.15	0.264	0.1224	0.29523	0.284
NM_000062.2:c.1029+1443G>C	0.2282	0.1004	0.15	0.269	0.1198	0.29577	0.284
NM_000062.2:c.1029+2110T>C	0.612	0.5191	0.469	0.538	0.2347	0.69271	0.624
NM_000062.2:c.1029+2111G>A	0.227	0.1003	0.15	0.264	0.1183	0.29407	0.283
NM_000062.2:c.1030-2243T>G	0.6129	0.5195	0.47	0.541	0.2387	0.69335	0.626
NM_000062.2:c.1030-1975G>C	0.0113	0.0022	0.008	0.024	0	0.01647	0.008
NM_000062.2:c.1030-1436T>C	0.0045	0.0014	0.001	0.003	0	0.00645	0.004
NM_000062.2:c.1030-20A>G	0.6134	0.5197	0.472	0.541	0.2461	0.69353	0.623
NM_000062.2:c.1438G>A	0.2282	0.1007	0.15	0.269	0.1202	0.29561	0.285
NM_000062.2:c.*1323G>A	0.2283	0.1009	0.151	0.269	0.1175	0.29578	0.285
NM_000062.2:c.*1521G>T	0.1496	0.0855	0.166		0.0942		
NM_000062.2:c.*2614A>T	0.6058	0.4936	0.463	0.538	0.2277	0.69504	0.626
PABPC4							
NM_003819.3:c.-5600T>C	0.8127	0.5571	0.918	0.945	0.9909	0.90666	0.891
NM_003819.3:c.-4432G>A	0.0403	0.0093	0.095	0.024	0.3712	0.02506	0.047
NM_003819.3:c.-4428A>G	0.0432	0.0096	0.1	0.024	0.3712	0.02918	0.052
NM_003819.3:c.-3677T>G	0.1792	0.0535	0.122	0.247	0.1111	0.24258	0.219
NM_003819.3:c.-3636G>A	0.0052	0.0027	0.004	0.007	0	0.0068	0.007
NM_003819.3:c.-3198T>C	0.0025	0.001	0.002	0	0	0.00329	0.004
NM_003819.3:c.-2286T>G	0.0136	0.0031	0.014	0.01	0	0.01952	0.015
NM_003819.3:c.-650C>T	0.0079	0.0027	0.002	0	0	0.01172	0.008
NM_003819.3:c.193+796C>G	0.8013	0.509	0.913	0.945	0.9904	0.90714	0.895
NM_003819.3:c.504-254C>A	0.1438	0.0321	0.079	0.2	0.1093	0.19797	0.183
NM_003819.3:c.738+85T>C	0.0573	0.1955	0.012	0.01	0.0013	0.00354	0.014
NM_003819.3:c.877-387C>T	0.113	0.0243	0.065	0.131	0.1086	0.15452	0.146
NM_003819.3:c.972+53A>T	0.0018	0.0065	0	0	0	0	0
NM_003819.3:c.972+704C>G	0.0025	0.001	0.002	0	0	0.00328	0.004
NM_003819.3:c.1333+26C>G	0.0006	0.0001	0	0	0	0.00095	0
NM_003819.3:c.1621-348C>G	0.0003	0.0001	0	0	0	0.00042	0
NM_003819.3:c.*765C>A	0.0403	0.0086	0.096	0.024	0.3656	0.02561	0.049
NM_003819.3:c.*1261C>T	0.0073	0.0256	0	0	0.0006	0.00005	0.003
NM_003819.3:c.*4685A>G	0.7986	0.4999	0.911	0.945	0.991	0.90696	0.894
NM_003819.3:c.*5316C>T	0.007	0.0028	0.008	0	0	0.00955	0.008

Characterization of synonymous and missense variants of coagulation genes of

interest

We found all synonymous (Supplementary Table S3) and missense (Supplementary Table S4)

variants of *VKORC1*, *SERPING1* and *PABPC4* genes [47] from NCBI's Single Nucleotide

Polymorphism Database (dbSNP) [48] and characterized them in terms of (i) population

prevalence in the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) [49] [50] , (ii) the percent of

sequences matching the WT at that position in a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) [51], (iii)

likelihood of the variant in the column of an MSA, (iv) mRNA MFE computed by both Kinefold

and mFold, (v) relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) and (vi) relative synonymous codon

pair usage (RSCPU) [52] [53], (vii) rare codon enrichment [54], (viii) and %MinMax codon usage

[55]. For nonsynonymous variants, we additionally used amino acid fraction matching in an

MSA, likelihood of the variant amino acid in an amino acid MSA, SIFT [56] [50], and Polyphen

[57] [50]. The fraction matching and MSA likelihood measures use sequence homology and may

imply selection against the variant. SIFT uses sequence homology as well as physical

properties of amino acids, while Polyphen uses multiple sequence and structural features to

predict the effect of amino acid substitutions. MFE of mRNA may affect stability of mRNA

transcripts, which will affect transcript abundance and translation. Codon and codon pair usage

have been shown to impact translation kinetics [58] [59], and their metrics may be useful in

assessing the impact of synonymous mutations on protein conformation and function [52]. For

all variants, we provide the corresponding identifier in dbSNP (rsid) [48].

We applied filters based on codon usage changes, mRNA MFE changes, and position

conservation to identify variants that were potentially impactful on protein expression or

conformation, which may affect interactions with SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Then, based on

population frequencies, we computed the probability of the presence of at least one filtered

variant in each population, and compared with the overall probability.

For a summary of the meaning, use, and range of all scoring tools, see Supplementary Table

S5.

Results

Computational verification of SARS-CoV-2 viral protein interactions

To study the role of coagulation in COVID-19 pathogenesis, we explored the interactions of

VKORC1, SERPING1 and PABPC4 with viral proteins through computational docking. VKORC1

and ORF7a were confirmed to have strong binding affinity. Interactions are generally limited to

transmembrane helices as opposed to intervening loops where warfarin is known to bind [60].

The top scoring complexes are shown in Fig 1. Plots of interface energy in Rosetta energy units

against interface root mean square error for the RosettaDock results are given in Fig 2. The

plots show convergence toward the minimum energy state. However, regarding SERPING1 and

PABPC4, due to the lack of structural data from similar proteins, sections of the models for

PABPC4 and SERPING1 were of low quality. Therefore, we continued our analysis by

examining sequence homology of SARS-CoV-2 proteins to SARS proteins. Predictably, the

homology was high (Table 1), suggesting that homologous SARS-CoV-2 proteins maintain

interactions with human proteins as observed for SARS proteins. Specifically, several SARS

proteins were found to interact with SERPING1, so it is likely that SARS-CoV-2 proteins interact

with SERPING1 too. In addition, PABPC4 was found experimentally to bind to SARS-CoV-2 N

protein [61].

Fig 1. Predicted dock of VKORC1 and ORF7a proteins.

A. Five protein-protein docks depict 2 binding sites (teal, grey, yellow, green, blue). B. The

lowest interface-energy model is shown as a surface representation. C. The lowest interface-

energy model, with side chains shown in wheat for amino acids at the interface. D. Another view

of the lowest interface-energy model, with side chains shown in wheat at the interface and

hydrophobics shown in blue. Amino acids of VKORC1 necessary for vitamin K binding (83F,

80N, 135C, 55F) or warfarin binding (134V, 133I) are given in green.

Fig 2: Plots of interface energy (I_sc) against interface root mean square error (I_rms).

Each point represents a complex formed from one of the top 5 ZDock outputs of VKORC1 and

ORF7a proteins, using 10,000 decoys. All plots form energy funnels.

Variants that may impact COVID-19 severity

GWAS metastudies on COVID-19 outcomes recently became available [31] [32]. We focused

on the impact of VKORC1, SERPING1, and PABPC4 gene variants on COVID-19 severity.

While over 700 variants from these genes were found in the studies, only 55 variants had a p-

value less than 0.05; these are listed in Table 2 and Table 3. However, none of them are

significantly impactful when controlling for multiple hypothesis testing. Interestingly, only one of

these is a coding variant. We characterized the 55 variants in terms of miRNA binding, splicing,

mRNA minimum free energy, and sequence conservation, to understand how they may affect

disease outcomes. miRNA's are involved in post-transcriptional regulation by binding to mRNA

transcripts, resulting in degradation of the mRNA or less efficient translation. Therefore, higher

binding will most likely result in lower expressing protein. Summaries of miRNA changes are

given in Table 2 and Supplementary Table S1, and full data is given in Supplementary Table

S2. Interestingly, for variants which effected a change in miRNA binding potential, most caused

a reduction in miRNA binding potential, which may increase protein expression. The mean

change between variant and wild type miRNA affinity predictions is -11.72414, and the median

is -1.

Splicing is involved in the production of mature mRNA's for many genes. Changes in splicing

may produce alternative mature mRNA's, preventing accurate translation, and thus resulting in

a protein with altered potency or affinity to the virus. While we consider splicing dysregulation as

potentially impacting gene expression and disease outcome, it has rarely been shown

experimentally. In vitro testing of some of these variants did not reveal differences between the

splice forms and WT or substantial differences in expression. For example, Wang et al [62]

examined the VKORC1 polymorphisms -1639G>A (rs9923231), 1173C>T (rs9934438), and

c.-4931C>T (rs7196161) in various cell lines and did not detect any differences in expression

levels. We found several intronic variants in all three genes which resulted in large changes in

splicing potential (Table 2). Of these, NM_000062.2:c.52-696C>T is more common in East

Asian populations, NM_000062.2:c.1029+2110T>C is more common in European populations,

and NM_000062.2:c.685+1391C>T and NM_003819.3:c.1333+26C>G are comparatively rare

globally.

Finally, sequence conservation gives an evolutionary view of the significance of any position in

a sequence, but it is dependent on the conservation model and the quality of sequence and

structural data. Several PABPC4 variants show perfect conservation at the variant position. The

full data are given in Supplementary Table S1.

We found several upstream variants in VKORC1 that resulted in higher predicted miRNA

binding affinity, suggesting lower expression of the protein. Of these, NM_000062.2:c.-1675G>A

is relatively common in all populations (9.37% MAF). We also found several upstream variants

in PABPC4 that resulted in lower predicted miRNA binding affinity suggesting higher expression

of the protein.

mRNA molecules will form secondary structures based on nucleotide arrangement and affinity,

which impact its structural stability. We found several variants resulting in large changes in

mRNA stability. For example, NM_000062.2:c.685+1100C>T, NM_000062.2:c.1030-1975G>C,

and NM_003819.3:c.*765C>A are all strongly predicted to destabilize their respective mRNA

transcripts. Higher MFE may suggest higher possibility for mRNA degradation, which leads to

decreased availability of transcripts and lower expression. These variants may increase mRNA

degradation, reducing protein expression.

In addition, known clinical consequences of these variants are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Variations' clinical impact.

Variation	Clinical Impact based on Literature
VKORC1	
NM_024006.4: c.283+837T>C	South Indians carrying the C nucleotide require lower warfarin dosages relative to WT (T) [63].
NM_024006.4: c.283+124G>C	European Americans carrying the G nucleotide require lower warfarin dosages relative to WT (C) [64]
NM_024006.4: c.174-136C>T	Turkish carrying the T nucleotide require lower warfarin dosages [65]; African Americans and European Americans carrying T nucleotide require lower warfarin dosages relative to WT (C) [66].
NM_024006.4: c.-1639G>A	Chinese carrying the A nucleotide require lower warfarin dosages relative to WT (G) [67].
NM_024006.4: c.-4931C>T	South Indians carrying the T nucleotide require increased warfarin dosages relative to WT (C) [68].
SERPING1	
NM_000062.2: c.52-130C>T	Patients carrying the T nucleotide depicted worsened progression for age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (C) [69]; Chinese and Japanese carrying the T nucleotide lack an association with age-related macular degeneration, seen in Caucasian population studies, although was predicted as pathogenic [70].
NM_000062.2: c.1029+2110T>C	European and Mediterranean patients carrying the C nucleotide did not depict a higher association with hereditary angioedema relative to WT [71].
NM_000062.2: c.1030-1975G>C	The intronic polymorphism 1030 +1975G>C has no pathogenic influence on hereditary angioedema although predicted as pathogenic [71].
NM_000062.2: c.1030-20A>G	Association of the G allele with age-related macular degeneration was predicted to decrease the variant splicing form SERPING1, decrease protein expression and potentially limit the regulation of the compliment system [72]. No association was observed for Chinese Han carrying the G nucleotide with age-related macular degeneration [73].
NM_000062.2: c.-2415G>A	Chinese Han patients carrying the A nucleotide did not demonstrate an increased risk of polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy relative to WT (G) [74]. South Korean patients carrying the A nucleotide did not show association with an increased risk of leukemia relative to WT (G) [75]. Caucasians carrying the A nucleotide did not exhibit an increased risk of age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (G) [76].
NM_000062.2: c.52-696C>T	Patients carrying the T nucleotide did not display an increased risk for anterior uveitis relative to WT (C) [77]. Chinese Han carrying the T nucleotide did not display an increased risk for polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy relative to WT (C) [74]. Caucasians carrying the T nucleotide did not display an increased risk for age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (C) [76]. Chinese carrying the T nucleotide did not display an increased risk for diabetic retinopathy relative to WT (C) [78]. European and Mediterranean's carrying the T nucleotide did not display an increased risk for hereditary angioedema relative to WT (C) [71].
NM_000062.2: c.52-130C>T	Chinese carrying the T nucleotide did not display a different association with age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (C) [73]. Caucasians carrying

	the T nucleotide displayed worsened progression of age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (C) [79]. Patients carrying the T nucleotide depicted worsened symptoms of age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (C) [69]. Chinese carrying the T nucleotide responded poorer to anti-VEGF treatment relative to WT (C) [80].
NM_000062.2: c.685+659C>T	Caucasians carrying the A nucleotide failed to depict a greater association with AMD relative to WT (G) [76]. South Korean patients carrying the A nucleotide did not depict a greater association with leukemia relative to WT (G) [81]. Han Chinese carrying the A nucleotide did not depict a significantly greater association with age-related macular degeneration relative to WT (G) [74].
NM_000062.2: c.685+1100C>T	European and Mediterranean patients carrying the T nucleotide failed to show a greater association with hereditary angioedema relative to WT (C) [71].
NM_000062.2: c.1029+926G>T	European and Mediterranean patients carrying the T nucleotide failed to show a greater association with hereditary angioedema relative to WT (G) [71]. Chinese Han carrying the T nucleotide did not depict a greater association with polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy relative to WT (G) [74].
NM_000062.2: c.1029+1443G>C	European and Mediterranean patients carrying the C nucleotide failed to show a greater association with hereditary angioedema relative to WT (G) [71].
NM_000062.2: c.1029+2111G>A	European and Mediterranean patients carrying the A nucleotide failed to show a greater association with hereditary angioedema relative to WT (G) [71].
NM_000062.2: c.1438G>A	Patients carrying the A nucleotide did not depict a change in Tacrolimus dosage requirements for transplant operations relative to WT (G) [82]. Chinese Han patients carrying the A nucleotide did not show a higher association with age-related macular degeneration or polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy relative to WT (G) [73].
PABPC4	
NM_003819.3: c.504-254C>A	Increased risk for type 2 diabetes with the 40035928G>T polymorphism based on GWAS studies [83].

Prevalence of VKORC1 variants across populations

COVID-19 has spread to the entire world, affecting people with variable genetic and racial

backgrounds. Therefore, we explored ORF7a interactions with variants of VKORC1 found

across races. There are 160 missense VKORC1 variants in dbSNP and at least 27 which affect

warfarin sensitivity [84]. The most common variants are shown in Table 5. The locations of the

warfarin sensitive variation are shown in Fig 3. However, many warfarin resistance-causing

variants are not listed in dbSNP, and some do not include population frequency information. In

addition, there are several intronic, upstream and downstream variants which impact warfarin dosage [85]. For example, rs9923231 (c.-1639G>A, NG_011564.1:g.3588G>A), which causes warfarin sensitivity, is very common in East Asian populations (89.95%) and comparatively less common in African populations (10.09%), with intermediate frequency for other populations.

Table 5: Population frequencies of missense and synonymous VKORC1 variants.

	VKORC1 variant	Warfarin Sensitivity	Prevalence								
			Overall	African	Latino	Ashkenazi Jewish	East Asian	Finnish	Non-Finnish European	Other groups	Sou Asia
Missense	106GT	Resistance	0.00241	0	0.00166	0.03857	0	5.6E-05	0.00069	0.00460	0.000
	203AG		0.00044	0	0	0	0.00571	0	8.8E-06	0.00016	9.8E-
	352GC		0.00036	0	0	0	0.00359	0	0	0.00016	0.000
	202CT		0.00036	6.2E-05	0	0	0	0.00083	0.00059	0.00065	
	79CG		0.00031	0	0	0	0	0	6.8E-05	0	0.002
	196GA		0.00020	0.00265	0.00014	0	0	0	0	0	3.3E-
	427GA		0.00017	0	0.00116	0	0	0	8.8E-06	0.00016	
	390TG		0.00012	0	0	0	0.00169	0	0	0	
	157CA		0.00011	0	0	0	0.00139	0	0	0.00017	
	163TC		1.0E-04	0	0.00067	0	0	0	0	0.00017	
Synonymous	358CT	Resistance	0.01558	0.19520	0.01186	0.00626	0.00011	0	0.00170	0.01044	0.000
	36GA	Resistance*	0.01511	0.00210	0.01583	0.02262	5.6E-05	0.07348	0.01171	0.02296	0.002
	129CT		0.003643	0.00115	0.00093	0.00115	0	0.00504	0.00567	0.00415	0.002
	54GT		7.7E-05	0	0.00030	0	0.00023	0	2.9E-05	0	3.3E-
	234AG		3.6E-05	0	0.00012	0	0	0	3.5E-05	0.00016	
	54GC		2.6E-05	7.1E-05	3.0E-05	0	0	0	2.9E-05	0	3.3E-
	18GA		1.7E-05	0	9.0E-05	0	0	0	9.8E-06	0	
	111GA		1.7E-05	0	3.0E-05	0	0.00011	0	9.8E-06	0	
	72CT		1.7E-05	0	0	0	0	0	3.9E-05	0	
	186TG		1.6E-05	0	0	0	0	0	3.5E-05	0	

Warfarin sensitivity is determined by literature review.

Fig 3: Locations of warfarin dosage affecting nonsynonymous variants in VKORC1.

VKORC1 is shown in salmon, while ORF7a is shown in grey. Warfarin dosage affecting nonsynonymous variants are shown in blue.

In the United States, COVID-19 has disproportionally affected African American populations.

We sought to investigate whether VKORC1 variants could be implicated in the susceptibility of

this population. We found that African and African American populations were much more likely

to have at least one synonymous variant that significantly changes codon and codon pair usage

in a relatively conserved position. Upon further investigation, we find that this is due to a single

synonymous variant, VKORC1:c.358C>T, which is very common in African and African

American populations (19.52%) while comparatively rare elsewhere (maximum 1.19% among

other populations). This variant is in a relatively conserved position enriched in common codons,

with negative changes in relative synonymous codon and codon pair usage (RSCU, RSCPU).

This variant was not predicted to change mRNA MFE, while it showed mixed results for splicing

effects: while hexamer splicing scoring tools and ESEfinder showed changes in splicing near

the variant, FAS ESS and Exonscan found no changes. Furthermore, this variant is associated

with warfarin resistance [86] [87] [88], and in linkage disequilibrium with another variant

upstream of the coding sequence (CDS), NG_011564.1:g.3350A>G, which is also common in

African and African American populations (36.40%) and associated with warfarin resistance.

In addition, we identified one nonsynonymous variant, VKORC1:c.106G>T, which is relatively

common in Ashkenazi Jewish populations (3.857%) and rare in other populations (max

0.4599% among other populations). This variant is predicted to be deleterious by both SIFT and

Polyphen and associated with warfarin resistance. This variant appears at the end of a

transmembrane helix near a loop, and likely impacts loop conformation near the warfarin

binding site.

These two variants were interesting, primarily due to their significant population skew. There are

many other variants with different prevalence in different populations, but all others are much

rarer or much more common across all populations.

We additionally characterized the population prevalence of the variants identified from the

GWAS studies, finding great variance in prevalence for some. For example,

NM_024006.4:c.283+837T>C is very common in all populations (64.3% MAF globally), but less

common in East Asian populations (10.17%).

Furthermore, some nonsynonymous variants were identified from literature to impact drug

response or disease status. Associated nucleotide changes are not always given for these

variants, so characterizing them has not been possible.

VKORC1 paralog and variants that are impactful on warfarin dosage

VKORC1L1 is a VKORC1 paralog with similar function but reduced warfarin sensitivity [89] [90].

We aligned VKORC1 with VKORC1L1 and analyzed the differences between them in the

positions of variants, for additional insight into their impact on warfarin sensitivity and possible

binding to ORF7a.

In the alignment of VKORC1 and VKORC1L2, seven out of twenty positions for the

nonsynonymous variants impacting warfarin dosage are not conserved. This is unsurprising

because the non-conserved variants are localized to the loop between transmembrane helices

one and two, which is near the warfarin binding site (Fig 3). Swapping this region between

VKORC1 and VKORC1L1 causes warfarin resistance in VKORC1 and warfarin sensitivity in

VKORC1L1 [89].

In addition, we examined similarities of ORF7a with VKORC1 interacting proteins. Two human

proteins are structurally similar to ORF7a and interact with VKORC1: CXADR, a Coxsackievirus

and Adenovirus receptor [91], and PCDH1, a Hantavirus receptor [92]. Both proteins are

involved in cell-cell adhesion. The structural similarity of ORF7a protein, CXADR, and PCDH1

additionally supports the interaction of ORF7a and VKORC1. The structurally aligned regions

are shown in Fig 4. Structural overlap is limited to the beta sheets, with small potential for

biomimicry.

Fig 4: Structural alignment of ORF7a, CXADR, and PCDH1 proteins.

The alignment is largely confined to the beta sheets.

Discussion

COVID-19 is characterized by a prothrombotic phenotype of unknown etiology [1] [2] [3] [4] [6].

Developing effective treatments will require a thorough understanding of the root causes of

increased coagulation that is seen in some patients. Although several possible mechanisms

have been proposed [93] [94] [95] to explain why COVID-19 patients develop life-threatening

clots, there may still be many parameters that have not been explored. We have identified three

proteins, VKORC1, SERPING1 and PABPC4, which are related to coagulation and have been

shown to interact with SARS proteins. While Pfefferle et al. (2011) focused on the viral

interaction with proteins involved in the immune response, we focused instead on coagulation.

We investigated computationally the binding of these proteins to SARS-CoV-2 proteins.

Additionally, we identified variants of their genes and examined their prevalence across

populations and their association to COVID-19. We explored mechanisms by which these

variants may impact COVID-19 and we concluded that each of these proteins may provide a

potential link between COVID-19 and coagulation. Furthermore, we identified the gaps in

knowledge that need to be addressed to further explore their roles.

VKORC1 is crucial for maintaining active vitamin K levels and hence for the function of several

essential coagulation factors. We generated strong computational evidence pointing to an

interaction between VKORC1 and ORF7a that are in agreement with previous experimental

data. However, the impact of this interaction is currently unknown. Given the prothrombotic

phenotype that is seen in severe COVID-19 patients, we hypothesize that binding of ORF7a to

VKORC1 has an effect on coagulation that may be altered in patients with certain VKORC1

polymorphisms.

Reduced vitamin K levels were suggested to be related to worse prognosis in COVID-19

patients [96]. This may be due to the role of vitamin K in the production of coagulation factors

and coagulation regulatory proteins, the inverse relationship of vitamin K and inflammatory

response [97] [98], or the inverse relationship of vitamin K and Interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels [99].

The inflammatory and immune response is a significant cause of symptoms in COVID-19

patients [100]. These factors are likely linked: inflammation causes production of IL-6, which

initiates coagulation activity [101], exhausting the supply of coagulation factors and active

vitamin K. Patients exhibiting warfarin resistance, for example patients with the 106G>T

missense variant, may produce more active vitamin K, increasing the available supply of

coagulation factors and producing more severe clotting, possibly even DIC. Alternatively, the

presence of variants may lead to altered conformation leading to differential binding to either

warfarin or ORF7a. Interestingly, synonymous variant 358C>T is characterized by a large

change both in RSCPU and RSCU suggesting that it may be associated with altered

cotranslational folding. The inflammation resulting from COVID-19 infection is of particular

interest, as children are experiencing increased rates of Kawasaki disease-like symptoms [102],

especially in areas where COVID-19 outbreaks were worse.

In addition, the VKROC1 - ORF7a interaction may also have an impact on tetherin function.

SARS ORF7a is known to inhibit tetherin [103] [104], also known as BST-2. Tetherin inhibits

virion dispersal [105], and several viruses, including HIV, have auxiliary proteins to counter this

effect. The structures of tetherin and VKORC1 are noticeably similar, sharing a coiled-coil

architecture: VKORC1 has four alpha helices bound together [60], while tetherin exists as a

homomer of four alpha helices [106] [107]. Reduced VKORC1 expression or binding to ORF7a,

as it may occur with some variants, may increase the availability of ORF7a to bind and inhibit

tetherin increasing the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Of note, ORF7a has the highest

RSCU of any SARS-CoV-2 protein [108]. This may make it efficiently translated, resulting in

high expression levels to more effectively counter the effect of tetherin.

Due to the limited availability of homologous structural data, homology models of PABPC4 and

SERPING1 could not be constructed with high confidence. Therefore, it wasn't possible to

create complexes to model and analyze the interactions between these proteins and viral

proteins. Experimental validation would be instrumental in confirming these interactions. While

PABPC4 has been found to interact with SARS-CoV-2 N protein experimentally [61],

interactions between VKORC1 and ORF7a have not been confirmed. Similarly, interactions

between SERPING1 and viral proteins have not yet been tested but would help inform our

hypothesis.

COVID-19 has had an unequal impact on populations across the globe [109] [110]. In the

United States, as elsewhere, some populations are proving to be more susceptible to the

disease and its complications. A large number of factors influence the presentation and

prognosis within populations, including age, access to health care, and presence of

comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, advanced age, and chronic lung disease [111]

[112]. Understanding the relative risks and associated complications of COVID-19 on a

population level provides valuable information to help clinicians plan the most beneficial course

of treatment. Unfortunately, detailed demographic data, including breakdown by age, race,

gender, and comorbidities, is incomplete; as of May 30, 2020, only 22% of reported cases have

information on reported comorbidities and only 45% of reported cases nationwide were

presented with demographic data sufficient to determine race [112]. In addition, there is

increasing evidence that African-American populations are at higher risk of thrombotic events

with COVID-19, even when adjusting for common risk factors such as BMI, diabetes,

hypertension, and cardiovascular disease [113] [114]. This suggests a role for additional

molecular factors that can contribute to a predisposition to thrombosis in the presence of

COVID-19.

While many factors can influence the presentation of disease, the impact of host genetic

variants on viral protein interaction is not clear. In host proteins interacting with viral proteins,

genetic variants may affect this interaction. Reducing the strength of this interaction or the

availability of host proteins may reduce the effectiveness of viral proteins and the severity of

infection. On the other hand, host genetic variants may affect protein function or availability.

Viral interactions may exacerbate these affects.

For example, SERPING1 is an inhibitor of plasma kallikrein, which produces bradykinin from

high-molecular-weight kininogens. Genetic variants that reduce the translation efficiency of

SERPING1 may reduce SERPING1 activity, and viral interactions with SERPING1 may further

reduce activity, resulting in excessive levels of bradykinin and possibly angioedema.

Further complicating this situation, ACE2 inactivates des-Arg^9 bradykinin (DABK) [115] [116], an

active bradykinin metabolite, and reduced ACE2 activity is associated with enhanced signaling

of DABK, angioedema, and neutrophil infiltration in the lungs [117] [115].   Reductions in

ACE2 activity or availability caused by genetic variants or other conditions may be exacerbated

by SARS-CoV-2 infection. The combined effect of ACE2 and SERPING1 inhibition by viral

proteins may cause excessively high levels of bradykinin and fluid in the lungs [116].

There is strong evidence, both computational and experimental, of the binding of ORF7a and

VKORC1. We suggest that some VKORC1 variants may affect pulmonary intravascular

coagulopathy observed in COVID-19. The extensive damage and clotting in the lungs may

exhaust coagulation factors and active vitamin K necessary for carboxylation of coagulation

factors. The binding of ORF7a and VKORC1 may also limit coagulation in the lungs by

preventing the reduction of vitamin K epoxide to active vitamin K. This interaction may be less

influential in patients with warfarin resistance due to increased production of VKORC1 protein or

modified VKORC1 conformation, resulting in increased coagulation and worse prognosis.

In addition, the interaction of SERPING1 and PABPC4 with viral proteins may result in

dysregulated coagulation and immune response. Specifically, the combined effect of ACE2 and

SERPING1 interacting with viral proteins may increase levels of bradykinin, potentially causing

fluid leaking into the lungs. As with VKORC1, genetic variants may impact the efficacy of viral

inhibition by changing protein conformation or expression. Because these genetic variants

appear at different frequencies in different populations, this may impact outcomes for COVID-19

patients from different ethnic groups.

Supporting information

S1 Table: Computed features for all genetic variants of interest in VKORC1, SERPING1,

and PABPC4 from GWAS studies.

S2 Table: Predicted binding score for all relevant miRNA species and the variant (MUT)

and wild type (WT) sequences.

S3 Table: Computed features for all identified synonymous variants identified in proteins

that interact with SARS proteins.

S4 Table: Computed features for all identified missense variants identified in proteins

that interact with SARS proteins.

S5 Table: Description, explanation and range of computed features.

Acknowledgements

This research was in part supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Library

of Medicine at the National Institutes of Health.

This study was in part supported by the National Institutes of Health grant HL151392.

References

1. Fogarty H, Townsend L, Cheallaigh CN, Bergin C, Martin-Loeches I, Browne P, et al. COVID-19 Coagulopathy in Caucasian patients. British Journal of Haematoloy. 2020; 189(6): 1044-1049.

2. McGonagle D, O'Donnell JS, Sharif K, Emery P, Bridgewood C. Immune mechanisms of pulmonary intravascular coagulopathy in COVID-19 pneumonia. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2020; 2(7): E437-E445.

3. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, Haverich A, Welte T, Laenger F, et al. Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis, Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020; 383: 120-128.

4. Nahum J, Morichau-Beauchant T, Daviaud F, et. a. Venous Thrombosis Among Critically Ill Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Critical Care Medicine. 2020; 3(5).

5. Katneni UK, Alexaki A, Hunt RC, Schiller T, DiCuccio M, Buehler PW, et al. Coagulopathy and Thrombosis as a Result of Severe COVID-19 Infection: A Microvascular Focus. 2020.

6. Connors J, Levy J. COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. Blood. 2020; 135(23): 2033-2040.

7. Cui S, Chen S, Li X, Liu S, Wang F. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostatis. 2020; 18(6): 1421-1424.

8. Ng JJ, Choong AMTL. Thromboembolic Events in Patients with SARS-CoV-2. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2020; 72(2): 760-741.

9. Tavazzi G, Civardi L, Caneva L, Mondogi S, Mojoli F. Thrombotic events in SARS-CoV-2 patients: an urgent call for ultrasound screening. Intensive Care Medicine. 2020; 46: 1121-1123.

10. Pfefferle S, Schopf J, Kogl M, Friedel CC, Muller MA, Carbajo-Lozoya J, et al. The SARS-coronavirus-host interactome: identification of cyclophilins as target for pan-coronavirus inhibitors. PLoS Pathogens. 2011; 7(10): e1002331.

11. Feral C, Mattei MG, Pawlak A, Guellaen G. Chromosomal Localization of Three Human poly(A)-binding Protein Genes and Four Related Pseudogenes. Human Genetics. 1999; 105(4): 347-353.

12. Houng AK, Maggini L, Clement CY, Reed GL. Identification and Structure of Activated-Platelet protein-1, a Protein With RNA-binding Domain Motifs That Is Expressed by Activated Platelets. European Journal of Biochemistry. 2004; 243(1-2): 209-218.

13. PABPC4 poly(A) binding protein cytoplasmic 4 [Homo sapiens (human)]. [Online].; 2020 [cited 2020 07 22. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/8761.

14. Marcelino-Rodriguez I, Callero A, Mendoza-Alvarez A, Perez-Rodriguez E, Barrios-Recio J, Garcia-Robaina JC, et al. Bradykinin-Mediated Angioedema: An Update of the Genetic Causes and the Impact of Genomics. Frontiers in Genetics. 2019.

15. Garcia AA, Reitsma PH. VKORC1 and the Vitamin K Cycle. Vitamins and Hormones. 2007; 78: 23-33.

16. Jorg S. Principles of Nutrigenetics and Nutrigenomics: Fundamentals of Individualized Nutrition Caterina RD, Martinez JA, Kohlmeier M, editors.: Academic Press; 2020.

17. Testa S, Paoletti O, Giorgi-Pierfranceschi M, Pan A. Switch from oral anticoagulants to parenteral heparin in SARS-CoV-2 hospitalized patients. Internal and Emergency Medicine. 2020.

18. Roy A, Kucukural A, Zhang Y. I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein. Nature Protocols. 2010; 5(4): 725-738.

19. Yang J, Zhang Y. I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and function predictions. Nucleic Acids Research. 2015; 43(Web Server issue): W174-W181.

20. Yang J, Yan R, Roy A, Xu D, Poisson J, Zhang Y. The I-TASSER Suite: protein structure and function prediction. Nature Methods. 2015; 12: 7-8.

21. Pierce BG, Wiehe K, Hwang H, Kim BH, Vreven T, Weng Z. ZDOCK Server: Interactive Docking Prediction of Protein-Protein Complexes and Symmetric Multimers. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30(12): 1771-1773.

22. Gray JJ, Moughon S, Wang C, Schueler-Furman O, Kuhlman B, Rohl CA, et al. Protein-protein docking with simultaneous optimization of rigid-body displacement and side-chain conformations. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2003; 331(1): 281-299.

23. Lyskov S, Gray JJ. The RosettaDock server for local protein–protein docking. Nucleic Acids Research. 2008;(36 (Web Server issue)): W233-W238.

24. Wang C, Schueler-Furman O, Baker D. Improved side-chain modeling for protein-protein docking. Protein Science. 2005; 14(5): 1328-1329.

25. Chaudhury S, Berrondo M, Weitzner BD, Muthu P, Bergman H, Gray JJ. Benchmarking and analysis of protein docking performance in RosettaDock v3.2. PLoS One. 2011; 6(8).

26. Raman S, Vernon R, Thompson J, Tyka M, Sadreyev R, Pei J, et al. Structure Prediction for CASP8 With All-Atom Refinement Using Rosetta. Proteins. 2009; 77 Suppl 9(0 9): 89-99.

27. Song Y, DiMaio F, Wang RYR, Kim D, Miles C, Brunette TJ, et al. High resolution comparative modeling with RosettaCM. Structure. 2013; 21(10): 1735-1742.

28. Holm L. Benchmarking fold detection by DaliLite v.5. Bioinformatics. 2019; 35(24): 5326-5327.

29. Stark C, Breitkreutz BJ, Reguly T, Boucher L, Breitkreutz A, Tyers M. BioGRID: a general repository for interaction datasets. Nucleic Acids Research. 2006; 34(Suppl_1): D535-D539.

30. Oughtred R, Stark C, Breitkreutz BJ, Rust J, Boucher L, Chang C, et al. The BioGRID interaction database: 2019 update. Nucleic Acids Research. 2019; 47(D1): D529-D541.

31. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. [Online].; 2020 [cited 2020 06 25. Available from: http://covid19hg.org.

32. Ellinghaus D, Degenhardt F, Bujanda L, Buti M, Albillos A, Invernizzi P, et al. Genomewide Association Study of Severe Covid-19 with Respiratory Failure. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020.

33. Ke S, Shang S, Kalachikov SM, Morozova I, Yu L, Russo JJ, et al. Quantitative evaluation of all hexamers as exonic splicing elements. Genome Research. 2011; 21(8): 1360-1374.

34. Erkelenz S, Theiss S, Otte M, Widera M, Peter JO, Schaal H. Genomic HEXploring allows landscaping of novel potential splicing regulatory elements. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014; 42(16): 10681-10697.

35. Cartegni L, Wang J, Zhu Z, Zhang MQ, Krainer AR. ESEfinder: a web resource to identify exonic splicing enhancers. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003; 31(13): 3568-3571.

36. Smith PJ, Zhang C, Wang J, Chew SL, Zhang MQ, Krainer AR. An increased specificity score matrix for the prediction of SF2/ASF-specific exonic splicing enhancers. Human Molecular Genetic. 2006; 15(16): 2490-2508.

37. Wang Z, Rolish ME, Gene Yeo , Tung V, Mawson M, Burge CB. Systematic identification and analysis of exonic splicing silencers. Cell. 2004; 119(6): 831-845.

38. Yeo G, Burge CB. Maximum Entropy Modeling of Short Sequence Motifs with Applications to RNA Splicing Signals. Journal of Computational Biology. 2004; 11(2-3): 377-394.

39. Fairbrother WG, Yeh RF, Sharp PA, Burge CB. Predictive identification of exonic splicing enhancers in human genes. Science. 2002; 297(5583): 1007-1013.

40. Xayaphoummine A, Bucher T, Isambert H. Kinefold web server for RNA/DNA folding path and structure prediction including pseudoknots and knots. Nucleic Acids Research. 2005; 33(Supplemental 2): W605-W610.

41. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003; 31(13): 3406-3415.

42. Waugh A, Gendron P, Altman R, Brown JW, Case D, Gautheret D, et al. RNAML: A standard syntax for exchanging RNA information. RNA. 2002; 8(6): 707-717.

43. Zuker M, Jacobson AB. Using reliability information to annotate RNA secondary structures. RNA. 1998; 4(6): 669-679.

44. Salari R, Kimchi-Sarfaty C, Gottesman MM, Przytycka TM. Sensitive measurement of single-nucleotide polymorphism-induced changes of RNA conformation: application to disease studies. Nucleic Acids Research. 2012; 41(1): 44-53.

45. Chen Y, Wang X. miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA targets. Nucleic Acids Research. 2020; 48(D1): D127-D131.

46. Liu W, Wang X. Prediction of functional microRNA targets by integrative modeling of microRNA binding and target expression data. Genome Biology. 2019; 20(1): 18.

47. Zhou Y, Hou Y, Shen J, Huang Y, Martin W, Cheng F. Network-based drug repurposing for novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2. Cell Discovery. 2020; 6(14).

48. Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, Smigielski EM, et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. Nucleic Acids Research. 2001; 29(1): 308-311.

49. Karczewski KJ, al. e. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature. 2020; 581: 434-443.

50. McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GRS, Thormann A, et al. The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. Genome Biology. 2016; 17: 122.

51. Pei J, Grishin NV. AL2CO: calculation of positional conservation in a protein sequence alignment. Bioinformatics. 2001; 17(8): 700-712.

52. Alexaki A, Kames J, Holcomb DD, Athey J, Santana-Quintero LV, Lam PVN, et al. Codon and Codon-Pair Usage Tables (CoCoPUTs): Facilitating Genetic Variation Analyses and Recombinant Gene Design. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2019; 431(13): 2434-2441.

53. Sharp PM, Li WH. The codon Adaptation Index--a measure of directional synonymous codon usage bias, and its potential applications. Nucleic Acids Research. 1987; 15(3): 1281-1295.

54. Jacobs WJ, Shakhnovich EI. Evidence of evolutionary selection for cotranslational folding. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2017; 114(43): 11434-11439.

55. Rodriguez A, Wright G, Emrich S, Clark PL. %MinMax: A versatile tool for calculating and comparing synonymous codon usage and its impact on protein folding. Protein Science. 2018; 27(1): 356-362.

56. Ng PC, Henikoff S. SIFT: predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003; 31(13): 3812-3814.

57. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nature Methods. 2010; 7: 248-249.

58. Jacobson GN, Clark PL. Quality over quantity: optimizing co-translational protein folding with non-'optimal' synonymous codons. Current Opinion in Stuctural Biology. 2016; 38: 102-110.

59. Zhang G, Hubalewska M, Ignatova Z. Transient ribosomal attenuation coordinates protein synthesis and co-translational folding. Natural Structural and Molecular Biology. 2009; 16: 274-280.

60. Chatron N, Khalil RA, Benoit E, Lattard V. Structural Investigation of the Vitamin K Epoxide Reductase (VKORC1) Binding Site with Vitamin K. Biochemistry. 2020; 59(13): 1351-1360.

61. Gordon DE, et. a. A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature. 2020; 583: 459-468.

62. Wang D, Chen H, Momary KM, Cavallari LH, Johnson JA, Sadee W. Wang et al. Regulatory polymorphism in vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1 (VKORC1) affects gene expression and warfarin dose requirement. Blood. 2008 August; 112(4): 1013-1021.

63. Kumar DK, Shewade DG, Parasuraman S, Rajan S, Balachander J, Chandran BVS, et al. Estimation of plasma levels of warfarin and 7-hydroxy warfarin by high performance liquid chromatography in patients receiving warfarin therapy. Journal of Young Pharmacists. 2013; 5(1): 13-17.

64. Li T, Lange LA, Susswein L, Bryant B, Malone R, Lange EM, et al. Polymorphisms in the VKORC1 gene are strongly associated with warfarin dosage requirements in patients receiving anticoagulation. Journal of Medical Genetics. ; 43(9): 740-744.

65. Kocael A, Eronat AP, Tuzuner MB, Ekmekci A, Orhan AL, Ikizceli I, et al. Interpretation of the effect of CYP2C9, VKORC1 and CYP4F2 variants on warfarin dosing adjustment in Turkey. Molecular Biology Reports. 2019; 46: 1825-1833.

66. Limdi NA, Wadelius M, Cavallari L, Eriksson N, Crawford DC, Lee MTM, et al. Warfarin pharmacogenetics: a single VKORC1 polymorphism is predictive of dose across 3 racial groups. Blood. ; 115(18): 3827-3834.

67. Yuan HY, Chen JJ, Lee MTM, Wung JC, Chen YF, Charng MJ, et al. A novel functional VKORC1 promoter polymorphism is associated with inter-individual and inter-ethnic differences in warfarin sensitivity. Human Molecular Genetics. ; 14(13): 1745-1751.

68. Kumar DK, Shewade DG, Loriot MA, Beaune P, Balachander J, Chandran BVS, et al. Effect of CYP2C9, VKORC1, CYP4F2 and GGCX genetic variants on warfarin maintenance dose and explicating a new pharmacogenetic algorithm in South Indian population. European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2014; 70(1): 47-56.

69. Ennis S, Jomary C, Mullins R. Association between the SERPING1 and age-related macular degeneration: a two-stage case–control study. The Lancet. 2008; 372(9652): 1828-1834.

70. Liu K, Lai TYY, Ma L, Lai FHP, Young AL, Brelen ME, et al. Ethnic differences in the association of SERPING1 with age-related macular degeneration and polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy. Scientific Reports. 2015; 5.

71. Vatsiou S, Zamanakou M, Loules G, Psarros F, Parsopoulou F, Csuka D, et al. A novel deep intronic SERPING1 variant as a cause of hereditary angioedema due to C1-inhibitor deficiency. Allergology International. 2020; 69(3): 443-449.

72. Kralovicova J, Vorechocsky I. SERPING1 rs2511988 and age-related macular degeneration. The Lancet. 2009; 373(9662): 461-462.

73. Lu F, Zhao P, Fan Y, Tang S, Hu J, Liu X, et al. An association study of SERPING1 gene and age-related macular degeneration in a Han Chinese population. Molecular Vision.

2010; 16(1): 1-6.

74. Li M, Wen F, Zuo C, Zhang X, Chen H, Huang S, et al. SERPING1 polymorphisms in polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy. Molecular Vision. 2010; 16: 231-239.

75. Genome-wide association study of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Korea. Leukemia Research. 2010; 34(10): 1271-1274.

76. Park KH, Ryu E, Tosakulwong N, Wu Y, Edwards AO. Common variation in the SERPING1 gene is not associated with age-related macular degeneration in two independent groups of subjects. Molecular Vision. 2009; 15(1): 200-207.

77. Yang Mm, Lai TYY, Tam POS, Chiang SWY, Ng TK, Rong SS, et al. Association of CFH and SERPING1 polymorphisms with anterior uveitis. British Journal of Opthamology. 2013; 97(11): 1475-1480.

78. Broadgate S, Kiire C, Halford S, Chong V. Diabetic macular oedema: under-represented in the genetic analysis of diabetic retinopathy. 2018; 96(A111): 1-51.

79. Lee AY, Kulkarni M, Fang AM, Edelstein S, Osborn MP, Brantley MA. The effect of genetic variants in SERPING1 on the risk of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. British Journal of Opthamology. 2010; 94(7): 915-917.

80. Lores-Motta L, Riaz M, Grunin M, Corominas J, van Asten F, Pauper M, et al. Association of Genetic Variants With Response to Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Therapy in Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Journal of the American Medical Association Opthamology. 2018; 136(8): 875-884.

81. Han S, Lee KM, Park SK, Lee JE, Ahn HS, Shin HY, et al. Genome-wide association study of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Korea. Leukemia Research. 2010; 34(10): 1274-1274.

82. Passey C, Birnbaum AK, Brundage RC, Oetting WS, Israni AK, Jacobson PA. Dosing equation for tacrolimus using genetic variants and clinical factors. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 2011; 72(6): 948-957.

83. Cook JP, Morris AP. Multi-ethnic genome-wide association study identifies novel locus for type 2 diabetes susceptibility. 2016; 24(8): 1175-1180.

84. Czogalla KJ, Watzka M, Oldenburg J. Structural Modeling Insights into Human VKORC1 Phenotypes. Nutrients. 2015; 7(8): 6837-6851.

85. Rieder MJ, Reiner AP, Gage BF, Nickerson DA, Eby CS, McLeod HL, et al. Effect of VKORC1 Haplotypes on Transcriptional Regulation and Warfarin Dose. New England Journal of Medicine. 2005; 352(22): 2285-2293.

86. Mitchell C, Gregersen N, Krause A. Novel CYP2C9 and VKORC1 gene variants associated with warfarin dosage variability in the South African black population. Future Medicine. 2011; 12(7): 953-963.

87. Wu W, Harengerg J. Influence of genetic Polymorphisms of Vitamin K Epoxide Reductase Complex Subunit 1 and Cytochrome P450, Family 2, Subfamily C, Polypeptide 9 on the Dose of Phenprocoumon. Heidelberg University, Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology; 2009.

88. Anton AI, Cerezo-Manchado JJ, Padilla J, Perez-Andreu V, Corral J, Vicente V. Novel Associations of VKORC1 Variants with Higher Acenocoumarol Requirements. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8(5): e64469.

89. Lacombe J, Ferron M. VKORC1L1, An Enzyme Mediating the Effect of Vitamin K in Liver and Extrahepatic Tissues. Nutrients. 2018; 10(8): 970.

90. Czogalla KJ, Liphardt K, Honing K, Homung V, Biswas A, Watzka M, et al. VKORC1 and VKORC1L1 have distinctly different oral anticoagulant dose-response characteristics and binding sites. Blood Advances. 2018; 2(6): 691-702.

91. Bergelson JM, Cunningham JA, Droguett G, Kurt-Jones EA, Krithivas A, Hong JS, et al. Isolation of a Common Receptor for Coxsackie B Viruses and Adenoviruses 2 and 5. Science. 1997; 275(5304): 1320-1323.

92. Jangra RK, Herbert AS, Li R, Jae LT, Kleinfelter LM, Slough MM, et al. Protocadherin-1 is essential for cell entry by New World hantaviruses. Nature. 2018; 563: 559-563.

93. Lumbers ER, Delforce SJ, Pringle KG, Smith GR. The Lung, the Heart, the Novel Coronavirus, and the Renin-Angiotensin System; The Need for Clinical Trials. Frontiers in Medicine. 2020; 22(4): 248.

94. Chauhan AJ, Wiffen LJ, Brown TP. COVID-19: a collision of complement, coagulation and inflammatory pathways. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis. 2020.

95. Colling ME, Kanthi Y. COVID-19-associated coagulopathy: An exploration of mechanisms. Vascular Medicine. 2020.

96. Dofferhoff ASM, Piscaer I, Schurgers LJ, Walk J, van den Ouweland JMW, Hackeng TM, et al. Reduced Vitamin K Status as a Potentially Modifiable Prognostic Risk Factor in COVID-19. Preprints. 2020.

97. Harshmann SG, Shea MK. The Role of Vitamin K in Chronic Aging Diseases: Inflammation, Cardiovascular Disease, and Osteoarthritis. Current Nutrition Reports. 2016; 5(2): 90-98.

98. Shea MK, Booth SL, Massaro JM, Jacques PF, D'Agostino RB, Dawson-Highes B, et al. Vitamin K and Vitamin D Status: Associations with Inflammatory Markers in the Framingham Offspring Study. American Journal of Epidemology. 2008; 167(3): 313-320.

99. Reddi K, Henderson B, Meghji S, Wilson M, Poole S, Hopper C, et al. Interleukin 6 Production by Lipopolysaccharide-Stimulated Human Fibroblasts is Potently Inhibited by Naphtoquinone (Vitamin K) Compounds. Cytokine. 1995; 7(3): 287-297.

100. Moore JB, June CH. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19. Science. 2020; 368(6490): 473-474.

101. Levi M, van der Poll T. Inflammation and coagulation. Critical Care Medicine. 2020; 38: S26-S34.

102. Viner RM, Whittaker E. Kawasaki-like disease: emerging complication during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet. 2020; 395(10239): 1741-1743.

103. Taylor JK, Coleman CM, Postel S, Sisk JM, Bernbaum JG, Venkataraman T, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus ORF7a Inhibits Bone Marrow Stromal Antigen 2 Virion Tethering through a Novel Mechanism of Glycosylation Interference. Journal of Virology. 2015; 89(23): 11820-11833.

104. Wang SM, Huang KJ, Wang CT. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein counteracts BST2-mediated restriction of virus-like particle release. Journal of Medical Virology. 2019; 91(10): 1741-1750.

105. Evans DT, Serra-Moreno R, Singh RK, Guatelli JC. BST-2/tetherin: a new component of the innate immune response to enveloped viruses. Trends in Microbiology. 20110; 18(9): 388-396.

106. Schubert HL, Zhai QZ, Sandrin V, Eckert DM, Garcia-Maya M, Saul L, et al. Structural and functional studies on the extracellular domain of BST2/tetherin in reduced and oxidized conformations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010; 107(42): 17951-17956.

107. Yang H, Wang J, Jia X, McNatt MW, Zang T, Pan B, et al. Structural insight into the mechanisms of enveloped virus tethering by tetherin. Proceedings of the National Academy of the Sciences. 2020; 107(43): 18428-18432.

108. Kames J, Holcomb DD, Kimchi O, DiCuccio M, Hamasaki-Katagiri N, Wang T, et al. Sequence analysis of SARS-CoV-2 genome reveals features important for vaccine design. Preprint. 2020.

109. Dowd JB, Andriano L, Brazel DM, Rotondi V, Block P, Ding X, et al. Demographic science aids in understanding the spread and fatality rates of COVID-19. Proceedings of the National Academy of the Sciences. 2020; 117(18): 9696-969.

110. United Kingdom Office for National Statistics. [Online].; 20 [cited 20 07 20. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/coronavirusrelateddeathsbyethnicgroupenglandandwales/2march2020to10april2020.

111. Millett GA, Jones AT, Benkeser D, Baral S, Mercer L, Beyrer C, et al. Assessing differential impacts of COVID-19 on black communities. Annals of Epidemiology. 2020; 47: 37-44.

112. Stokes EK, Zambrano LD, Anderson KN, Marder EP, Raz KM, Felix SEB, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 Case Surveillance — United States, January 22–May 30, 2020. MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2020; 69(24): 759-765.

113. Ramasamy R, Milne K, Bell D, Stoneham S, Chevassut T. Molecular mechanisms for thrombosis risk in Black people: a role in excess mortality from COVID-19. British Journal of Haematology. 2020.

114. White C, Nafilyan V. Coronavirus (COVID-19) related deaths by ethnic group, England and Wales: 2 March 2020 to 10 April 2020. [Online].; 2020 [cited 2020 07 20. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/coronavirusrelateddeathsbyethnicgroupenglandandwales/2march2020to10april2020.

115. Tolouian R, Vahed SZ, Ghiyasvand S, Tolouian A, Ardalan M. COVID-19 interactions with angiotensin-converting. Journal of Renal Injury Prevention. 2020; 9(2): e19.

116. van de Veerdonk FL, Mihai NG, van Dueren M, van der Meer JW, de Mast Q, Bruggemann RJ, et al. Kallikrein-kinin blockade in patients with COVID-19 to prevent acute respiratory distress syndrome. eLife. 2020; 9: e57555.

117. Sodhi CP, Wohlford-Lenane C, Yamagushi Y, Prindle T, Fulton WB, Wang S, et al. Attenuation of pulmonary ACE2 activity impairs inactivation of des-Arg9 bradykinin/BKB1R axis and facilitates LPS-induced neutrophil infiltration. Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology. 2018; 314(1): L17-L31.

118. Perez-Andreu V, Teruel R, Corral J, Roldan V, Garcia-Barbera N, Salloum-Asfar S, et al. miR-133a Regulates Vitamin K 2,3-Epoxide Reductase Complex Subunit 1 (VKORC1), a Key Protein in the Vitamin K Cycle. Molecular Medicine. 2012; 18(1): 1466-1472.

119. Ourkerk M, Buller HR, Kuijpers D, van Es N, Oudkerk SF, McLoud TC, et al. Diagnosis, Prevention, and Treatment of Thromboembolic Complications in COVID-19: Report of the National Institute for Public Health of the Netherlands. Radiology. 2020.