1 **Unexpectedly high mutation rate of a deep-sea hyperthermophilic anaerobic archaeon**

3   Jiahao Gu[1,2]^, Xiaojun Wang[3]^, Xiaopan Ma[1,2], Ying Sun[3], Xiang Xiao[1,2*], Haiwei Luo[3,4*]

4
5
[1]State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai
200240, China
[2]State Key Laboratory of Ocean Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240,
China
[3]Simon F. S. Li Marine Science Laboratory, School of Life Sciences and State Key Laboratory
of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China
[4]Shenzhen Research Institute, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen 518000, China
13
14
15   ^These authors contributed equally to this study.
16
17
***Corresponding author:**
Haiwei Luo
School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong SAR
Phone: (+852) 39436121
E-mail: hluo2006@gmail.com
24
Xiang Xiao
School of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Shanghai, China
Phone: (+86) 21 34207206
E-mail: zjxiao2018@sjtu.edu.cn

Running Title: Hyperthermophile Evolution in Deep Ocean

Key words: deep-sea hydrothermal vent, hyperthermophile, *Thermococcus*, mutation rate,

genome reduction

Abstract

Deep-sea hydrothermal vents resemble the early Earth, and thus the dominant *Thermococcaceae*

inhabitants, which occupy an evolutionarily basal position of the archaeal tree and take an

obligate anaerobic hyperthermophilic free-living lifestyle, are likely excellent models to study

the evolution of early life. Here, we determined that unbiased mutation rate of a representative

species, *Thermococcus eurythermalis*, exceeded that of all known free-living prokaryotes by 1-2

orders of magnitude, and thus rejected the long-standing hypothesis that low mutation rates were

selectively favored in hyperthermophiles. We further sequenced multiple and diverse isolates of

this species and calculated that *T. eurythermalis* has a lower effective population size than other

free-living prokaryotes by 1-2 orders of magnitude. These data collectively indicate that the high

mutation rate of this species is not selectively favored but instead driven by random genetic drift.

The availability of these unusual data also helps explore mechanisms underlying microbial

genome size evolution. We showed that genome size is negatively correlated with mutation rate

and positively correlated with effective population size across 30 bacterial and archaeal lineages,

suggesting that increased mutation rate and random genetic drift are likely two important

mechanisms driving microbial genome reduction. Future determinations of the unbiased

mutation rate of more representative lineages with highly reduced genomes such as

Prochlorococcus and *Pelagibacterales* that dominate marine microbial communities are essential

to test these hypotheses.

One theory for the origin of life is that the last universal common ancestor was an

anaerobic hyperthermophilic organism inhabiting the deep sea hydrothermal vents, as these

environments display a few characteristics paralleling the early Earth [1]. While hydrothermal

vents vary with chemical parameters, they all share a high temperature zone near the black

chimney with anaerobic fluid from it. In the past decades, great efforts were made to understand

the metabolic strategies deep-sea hyperthermophiles use to conserve energy and cope with

physicochemical stresses, and to appreciate the molecular mechanisms leading to the

stabilization of nucleic acids and proteins at exceedingly high temperatures [2, 3]. However, little

is known whether they have a low or high intrinsic (i.e., not selected by environmental pressure)

rate to change their genetic background information and whether this intrinsic potential itself is a

result of selection shaped by these unique habitats.

A previous population genomic analysis showed that protein sequences are under greater

functional constraints in thermophiles than in mesophiles, suggesting that mutations are

functionally more deleterious in thermophiles than in mesophiles [4]. This explanation is also

supported by experimental assays showing nearly neutral mutations in temperate conditions

become strongly deleterious at high temperature [5]. Furthermore, fluctuation tests on a

hyperthermophilic archeaon *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* [6] and a hyperthermophilic bacterium

Thermus thermophilus [7] consistently showed that hyperthermophiles have much lower

mutation rate compared to mesophiles. This appears to support the hypothesis that selection

favors high replication fidelity at high temperature [5].

Nevertheless, mutation rates measured using fluctuation experiments based on reporter

loci are known to be biased, since the mutation rate of the organism is extrapolated from a few

specific nonsynonymous mutations enabling survival in an appropriate selective medium, which

renders the results susceptible to uncertainties associated with the representativeness of these loci

and to inaccuracies of the assumptions made in extrapolation methods [8–10]. These limitations

are avoided by the mutation accumulation (MA) experiment followed by whole-genome

sequencing (WGS) of derived lines. In the MA part, multiple independent MA lines initiated

from a single progenitor cell each regularly pass through a single-cell bottleneck, usually by

transferring on solid medium. As the effective population size (N_e) becomes one, selection is

unable to eliminate all but the lethal mutations, rendering the MA/WGS an approximately

unbiased method to measure the spontaneous mutation rate [11].

Members of the free-living anaerobic hyperthermophilic archaeal family

Thermococcaceae are among the dominant microbial lineages in the black-smoker chimney at

Guaymas Basin [12] and other deep sea hydrothermal vents [13, 14]. This family only contains

three genera: *Thermococcus*, *Pyrococcus* and *Palaeococcus*. In this study, the MA/WGS

procedure was applied to determine the unbiased spontaneous mutation rate of a representative

member *Thermococcus eurythermalis* A501, a conditional pizeophilic archaeon which can grow

equally well from 0.1 MPa to 30 MPa at 85℃ [15, 16]. The MA lines were propagated at this

optimal temperature on plates with gelrite which tolerates high temperature, and the experiment

was performed under normal air pressure and in strictly anaerobic condition (Fig. 1A-D). To the

best of our knowledge, this is the first report of unbiased mutation rate of a hyperthermophile

and an obligate anaerobe.

Our MA experiment allowed accumulation of mutations over 314 cell divisions (after

correcting the death rate (Table S1) [17]) in 100 independent lines initiated from a single founder

colony and passed through a single cell bottleneck every day. By sequencing genomes of 96

survived lines at the end of the MA experiment, we identified 544 base-substitution mutations

over these lines (Table S2), which translates to an average mutation rate (μ) of 85.01×10^{-10} per

cell division per nucleotide site (see Supplementary information). The ratio of accumulated

nonsynonymous to synonymous mutations (371 vs 107) did not differ from the ratio of

nonsynonymous to synonymous sites (1,485,280 vs 403,070) in the A501 genome (χ^2 test;

$p > 0.05$). Likewise, there was no difference of the accumulated mutations between intergenic (65)

and protein-coding sites (478) (χ^2 test; $p > 0.05$). These are evidence for minimal selective

elimination of deleterious mutations during the MA process. In general, the mutations were

randomly distributed along the chromosome and the plasmid, though 86 mutations fell into 14

genes which showed significant enrichment of mutations (bootstrap test; $p < 0.05$ for each gene)

and 52 out of the 86 mutations were found in five genes (TEU_RS04685 and TEU_RS08625-

08640 gene cluster) (Fig. 1E, Table S3). A majority of these mutations led to stop codons and

thus may have inactivated these genes (38 out of 71 in the former gene and 33 out of 43 in the

latter gene cluster). The phenomenon of mutation clustering is not unique to this organism; it was

reported in another MA study with the yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, and these genomic

regions may represent either mutational hotspots or that mutations confer selective advantages

under experimental conditions [18]. The TEU_RS04685 encodes the beta subunit of the sodium

ion-translocating decarboxylase which is an auxiliary pathway for ATP synthesis by generating

sodium motive force via decarboxylation [19], and the TEU_RS08625-08640 encodes a putative

nucleoside ABC transporter. These genes appear to be important for energy conservation in the

highly fluctuating deep-sea hydrothermal fluids. Under the culture conditions in which peptides

and amino acids were stably and sufficiently supplied (see the TRM medium recipe in

Supplementary information), however, these genes may be dispensable because peptides and

amino acids are the preferred carbon and energy sources for *T. eurythermalis* [15]. On the other

hand, some of these genes (e.g., TEU_RS08625) were shown to be upregulated under alkaline

stress [16], and thus may be similarly induced under the culture condition in which pH is

elevated compared to the vents. Besides, the laboratory condition differed from the vents in a

number of other physicochemical features including hydrostatic pressure (0.1 MPa during the

MA process versus 20 MPa *in situ*), temperature and salinity, which likely imposed additional

selective pressures on the mutation accumulation processes. Taken together, deleting these genes

were likely translated to a net fitness gain and were thus driven by selection. Removing these

mutations led to a spontaneous mutation rate of 71.57×10^{-10} per cell division per site for *T.*

eurythermalis A501. After removing the mutations in these 14 genes, both the accumulated

mutations at nonsynonymous sites (288) relative to those (104) at synonymous sites (χ^2 test;

p=0.014) and the accumulated mutations at intergenic regions (65) relative to protein-coding

regions (392) (χ^2 test; *p*=0.013) showed marginally significant differences.

To date, over 20 phylogenetically diverse free-living bacterial species and two archaeal

species isolated from various environments have been assayed with MA/WGS, and their

mutation rates vary from 0.79×10^{-10} to 97.80×10^{-10} per cell division per site [20]. The only

prokaryote that displays a mutation rate (97.80×10^{-10} per cell division per site) comparable to

A501 is *Mesoplasma florum* L1 [21], a host-dependent wall-less bacterium with highly reduced

genome (~700 genes). Our PCR validation of randomly chosen 20 base-substitution mutations

from two MA lines displaying highest mutation rates and of all nine insertion-deletion (INDEL)

mutations involving >10 bp changes across all lines (Table S2) indicates that the calculated high

mutation rate did not result from false bioinformatics predictions.

The extremely high mutation rate of *T. eurythermalis* is unexpected. One potential

explanation in line with the "mutator theory" [22–24] is that high mutation rate may allow the

organisms to gain beneficial mutations more rapidly and thus is selectively favored in deep-sea

hydrothermal vents where physicochemical parameters are highly fluctuating. Alternatively, high

mutation rate is the result of random genetic drift according to the "drift-barrier model" [21]. In

this model, increased mutation rates are associated with increased load of deleterious mutations,

so natural selection favors lower mutation rates. On the other hand, increased improvements of

replication fidelity come at an increased cost of investments in DNA repair activities. Therefore,

natural selection pushes the replication fidelity to a level that is set by genetic drift, and further

improvements are expected to reduce the fitness advantages [11, 21]. These two explanations for

the high mutation rate of *T. eurythermalis* are mutually exclusive, and resolving them requires

the calculation of the power of genetic drift, which is inversely proportional to N_e.

A common way to calculate N_e for a prokaryotic population is derived from the equation

$\pi_S = 2 \times N_e \times \mu$, where π_S represents the nucleotide diversity at synonymous (silent) sites among

randomly sampled members of a panmictic population [25]. We therefore sequenced genomes of

another eight *T. eurythermalis* isolates available in our culture collections. Like *T. eurythermalis*

A501, these additional isolates were collected from the same cruise but varying at the water

depth from 1,987 m to 2,009 m at Guaymas Basin. They differ by only up to 0.135% in the 16S

rRNA gene sequence and share a minimum whole-genome average nucleotide identity (ANI) of

95.39% (Table S4), and thus fall within an operationally defined prokaryotic species typically

delineated at 95% ANI [26]. Population structure analysis with PopCOGenT [27] showed that

these isolates formed a panmictic population and that two of them were repetitive as a result of

clonal descent (see Supplementary information). Using the median value of $\pi_S = 0.083$ across

1,628 single-copy orthologous genes shared by the seven non-repetitive genomes, we calculated

the N_e of *T. eurythermalis* to be 5.83×10^6.

Next, we collected the unbiased mutation rate of other prokaryotic species determined

with the MA/WGS strategy from the literature [11, 28–30]. While the N_e data were also provided

from those studies, the isolates used to calculate the N_e were identified based on their

membership of either an operationally defined species (e.g., ANI at 95% cutoff) or a

phenotypically characterized species (e.g., many pathogens), which often create a bias in

calculating N_e [25]. We therefore again employed PopCOGenT to delineate panmictic

populations from those datasets and re-calculated N_e accordingly. There was a significant

negative linear relationship between μ and N_e on a logarithmic scale (dashed gray line in Fig. 2A

[r^2 = 0.83, slope = -0.85, s.e.m. = 0.09, p<0.001]) according to a generalized linear model (GLM)

regression. This relationship cannot be explained by shared ancestry, as confirmed by

phylogenetic generalized least square (PGLS) regression analysis (solid blue line in Fig. 2A [r^2 =

0.81, slope = -0.81, s.e.m. = 0.09, p<0.001]). The nice fit of *T. eurythermalis* to the regression

line validated the drift-barrier hypothesis. This is evidence that the high mutation rate of *T.*

eurythermalis is driven by genetic drift rather than by natural selection.

As stated in the drift-barrier theory, high mutation rate is associated with a high load of

deleterious mutations. In the absence of back mutations, recombination becomes an essential

mechanism in eliminating deleterious mutations [31]. In support of this argument, the

ClonalFrameML analysis [32] shows that members of the *T. eurythermalis* population recombine

frequently, with a high ratio of the frequency of recombination to mutation (ρ/θ=0.59) and a high

ratio of the effect of recombination to mutation (r/m=5.76). In fact, efficient DNA incorporation

to *Thermococcaceae* genomes from external sources has been well documented experimentally

[33, 34]. A second potentially important mechanism facilitating *T. eurythermalis* adaptation at

high temperature is strong purifying selection at the protein sequence level, as protein sequences

in thermophiles are generally subjected to stronger functional constraints compared to those in

mesophiles [4, 35].

Our result of the exceptionally high mutation rate of a free-living archaeon is a significant

addition to the available collection of the MA/WGS data (Table S5), in which prokaryotic

organisms with very high mutation rate have only been known for a host-dependent bacterium

(*Mesoplasma florum* L1) with unusual biology (e.g., cell wall lacking). The availability of these

two deeply branching (one archaeal versus the other bacterial) organisms adopting opposite

lifestyles (one free-living versus the other host-restricted; one hyperthermophilic versus the other

mesophilic; one obligate anaerobic versus the other facultative anaerobe), along with other

phylogenetically and ecologically diverse prokaryotic organisms displaying low and intermediate

mutation rates, provides an opportunity to help illustrate mechanisms potentially driving genome

size evolution across prokaryotes. We found a negative linear relationship (dashed gray line in

Fig. 2B [$r^2 = 0.49$, slope = -1.66, s.e.m. = 0.32, $p<0.001$]) between genome size and base-

substitution mutation rate, which is consistent with the hypothesis that increased mutation rate

drives microbial genome reduction. We also showed a positive linear relationship (dashed gray

line in Fig. 2C [$r^2 = 0.47$, slope = 0.24, s.e.m. = 0.06, $p<0.001$]) between genome size and N_e,

which suggests that random genetic drift drives genome reduction across prokaryotes. These

correlations remain robust when the data were analyzed as phylogenetically independent

contrasts (blue solid lines in Fig. 2B [$r^2 = 0.47$, slope = -1.75, s.e.m. = 0.34, $p<0.001$] and in Fig.

2C [$r^2 = 0.45$, slope = 0.25, s.e.m. = 0.06, $p<0.001$]). Our results are consistent with recent

studies which employed mathematical modeling and/or comparative sequence analyses to show

random genetic drift [36] and increased mutation rate [37] driving genome reduction across

diverse bacterial lineages including both free-living and host-dependent bacteria. One benefit of

the present study is that it directly measures μ and N_e, as compared to those recent advances

which relied on proxies for these metrics (e.g., using the ratio of nonsynonymous substitution

rate to synonymous substitution rate to represent N_e) to infer mechanisms of genome reduction.

Despite this advantage, there are important caveats to our conclusions related to the

mechanisms of genome reduction. The correlation analyses performed here are inspired by

Lynch and colleagues' work, who had great success explaining eukaryotic genome expansion

with genetic drift [11, 38]. However, there are a few key differences of genomic features

between prokaryotes and eukaryotes, which makes it more difficult to explain the correlation

observed in prokaryotes. Importantly, genome sizes of eukaryotes can vary over several orders of

magnitude, whereas those of free-living prokaryotes differ by only an order of magnitude [11],

so there is much less variability to explain in prokaryotes. Moreover, eukaryotic genomes

experience dramatic expansions of transposable elements which are often considered as genomic

parasites, whereas prokaryotic genomes including those large ones are usually depleted with

transposable elements and their genome size variations are largely driven by gene content [39].

Aside from these conceptual difficulties, the plots (Fig. 2B & 2C) are poorly populated with

typical free-living species carrying small genomes such as the *Prochlorococcus* (mostly 1.6-1.8

Mb) and *Pelagibacterales* (1.3-1.5 Mb), which dominate the photosynthetic and heterotrophic

microbial communities, respectively, in the ocean [40]. It has been generally postulated that

bacterial species in these lineages have very large N_e [39–41], though there has been little direct

evidence for it [42, 43]. If confirmed through the measurement of the unbiased mutation rate (μ)

followed by the calculation of N_e based on μ, it might compromise the linear relationship

between genome size and N_e observed here (Fig. 2C). It is also not necessarily appropriate to

translate correlations to causal relationships. For example, the correlation between increased

mutation rates and decreased genome sizes (Fig. 2B) does not necessarily mean that increased

mutation rate drives genome reduction. This is because high mutation rates are observed in

species with small N_e. Given that deletion bias is commonly found in prokaryotes [44, 45],

genome reduction can be easily explained by increased fixation of deletional mutations in

species with smaller N_e. High mutation rates in these species are simply the result of random

genetic drift as explained by the drift-barrier theory, and they may have a limited role in driving

genome reduction.

Whereas our analysis based on the available data did not support natural selection as a

universal mechanism driving genome reduction across prokaryotes (Fig. 2B&C), it does not

mean that selection has no role in genome reduction of a particular taxon. In the case of

thermophiles, proponents for selection acting to reduce genomes explained that genome size, due

to its positive correlation with cell volume, may be an indirect target of selection which strongly

favors smaller cell volume [35]. The underlying principle is that high temperature requires cells

to increase the lipid content and change the lipid composition of the cell membranes, which

consumes a large part of the cellular energy, and thus lower cell volume is selectively favored at

high temperature [35]. Our calculations of a relatively small N_e in *T. eurythermalis* does not

necessarily contradict with this selective argument, given that the fitness gained by decreasing

cell volume and thus reducing genome size is large enough to overcome the power of random

genetic drift. On the other hand, our data strongly indicate that neutral forces dictate the genome

evolution of *T. eurythermalis*, and they are not negligible with regard to its genome reduction

process. The significantly more deletion over insertion events (t test; 95 versus 37 events with

$p<0.001$ and 48 versus 20 events with $p<0.05$ before and after removing the 14 genes enriched in

mutations, respectively) and the significantly more nucleotides involved in deletions over

insertions (t test; 433 versus 138 bp with $p<0.05$ and 386 versus 121 bp with $p<0.001$ before and

after removing the 14 genes enriched in mutations, respectively) suggest that the deletion bias,

combined with increased chance fixation of deletion mutants due to low N_e, is a potentially

important neutral mechanism giving rise to the small genomes of *T. eurythermalis* (2.12 Mbp).

The globally distributed deep-sea hydrothermal vents are microbe-driven ecosystems,

with no known macroorganisms surviving at the vent fluids. Sample collections, microbial

isolations, and laboratory propagations of mutation lines at hot and anoxic conditions are

challenging. In the present study, we determined that *T. eurythermalis*, and perhaps

Thermococcaceae in general, has a highly increased mutation rate and a highly decreased

effective population size compared to all other known free-living prokaryotic lineages. While it

remains to be tested whether this is a common feature among the vents' microbes, the present

study nevertheless opens a new avenue for investigating the hyperthemophile ecology and

evolution in the deep sea.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFC0309800),

National Nature of Science China (NSFC 41530967), China Ocean Mineral Resources R & D

Association DY125-22-04, and the Hong Kong Research Grants Council Area of Excellence

Scheme (AoE/M-403/16).

Author Contributions

H.L. conceptualized the work and strategy, directed the bioinformatics analyses, interpreted

the data, and wrote the main manuscript. X.X. set up the experimental platform for deep sea

hyperthermophile studies, directed the experimental analyses and related writing, co-interpreted

the data, provided comments to the main manuscript, and acquired the strains. J.G. performed all

the experiments with contributions from X.M, drafted the related methods in supplementary

information and Figure 1. X.W. performed all the bioinformatics analyses, co-interpreted the

related results, drafted the related methods in supplementary information, Figure 2 and all

supplemental tables. Y.S. contributed the bioinformatics tools for mutation detection and

mutation rate calculation.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing commercial interests in relation to the submitted work.

Data availability

All the datasets generated, analyzed, and presented in the current study are available in the

Supplementary Information. Genomic sequences of the eight *Thermococcus eurythermalis*

strains are available at the JGI IMG under the GOLD study id Gs0142375. Raw reads of the

eight strains are available at the NCBI SRA under the accession number PRJNA679699.

Code availability

The custom scripts used in this study have been deposited in the online repository

(https://github.com/Xiaojun928/Mutation-calling).

References

1. Martin W, Baross J, Kelley D, Russell MJ. Hydrothermal vents and the origin of life. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2008; **6**: 805–814.

2. Dick GJ. The microbiomes of deep-sea hydrothermal vents: distributed globally, shaped locally. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2019; **17**: 271–283.

3. Zierenberg RA, Adams MWW, Arp AJ. Life in extreme environments: Hydrothermal vents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; **97**: 12961–12962.

4. Friedman R, Drake JW, Hughes AL. Genome-wide patterns of nucleotide substitution reveal stringent functional constraints on the protein sequences of thermophiles. *Genetics* 2004; **167**: 1507–1512.

5. Drake JW. Avoiding dangerous missense: thermophiles display especially low mutation rates. *PLoS Genet* 2009; **5**: e1000520.

6. Grogan DW, Carver GT, Drake JW. Genetic fidelity under harsh conditions: Analysis of spontaneous mutation in the thermoacidophilic archaeon *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; **98**: 7928–7933.

7. Mackwan RR, Carver GT, Kissling GE, Drake JW, Grogan DW. The rate and character of spontaneous mutation in *Thermus thermophilus*. *Genetics* 2008; **180**: 17–25.

8. Long H, Miller SF, Strauss C, Zhao C, Cheng L, Ye Z, et al. Antibiotic treatment enhances the genome-wide mutation rate of target cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016; E2498–E2505.

9. Williams AB. Spontaneous mutation rates come into focus in *Escherichia coli*. *DNA Repair* 2014; **24**: 73–79.

10. Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous

mutations in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; **109**: E2774–E2783.

11. Lynch M, Ackerman MS, Gout J-F, Long H, Sung W, Thomas WK, et al. Genetic drift,

selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nat Rev Genet* 2016; **17**: 704–714.

12. He Y, Xiao X, Wang F. Metagenome reveals potential microbial degradation of

hydrocarbon coupled with sulfate reduction in an oil-immersed chimney from Guaymas

Basin. *Front Microbiol* 2013; **4**: 148.

13. Roussel EG, Bonavita M-AC, Querellou J, Cragg BA, Webster G, Prieur D, et al.

Extending the sub-sea-floor biosphere. *Science* 2008; **320**: 1046.

14. Takai K, Nakamura K. Archaeal diversity and community development in deep-sea

hydrothermal vents. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2011; **14**: 282–291.

15. Zhao W, Zeng X, Xiao X. *Thermococcus eurythermalis* sp. nov., a conditional piezophilic,

hyperthermophilic archaeon with a wide temperature range for growth, isolated from an oil-

immersed chimney in the Guaymas Basin. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2015; **65**: 30–35.

16. Zhao W, Ma X, Liu X, Jian H, Zhang Y, Xiao X. Cross-stress adaptation in a piezophilic

and hyperthermophilic archaeon from deep sea hydrothermal vent. *Front Microbiol* 2020;

11.

17. Frenoy A, Bonhoeffer S. Death and population dynamics affect mutation rate estimates and

evolvability under stress in bacteria. *PLoS Biol* 2018; **16**: e2005056.

18. Farlow A, Long H, Arnoux S, Sung W, Doak TG, Nordborg M, et al. The spontaneous

mutation rate in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Genetics* 2015; **201**: 737–

744.

19. Buckel W. Sodium ion-translocating decarboxylases. *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg* 2001;

1505: 15–27.

20. Long H, Sung W, Kucukyildirim S, Williams E, Miller SF, Guo W, et al. Evolutionary

determinants of genome-wide nucleotide composition. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2018; **2**: 237–240.

21. Sung W, Ackerman MS, Miller SF, Doak TG, Lynch M. Drift-barrier hypothesis and

mutation-rate evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012; **109**: 18488–18492.

22. Tenaillon O, Toupance B, Nagard HL, Taddei F, Godelle B. Mutators, population size,

adaptive landscape and the adaptation of asexual populations of bacteria. *Genetics* 1999;

152: 485–493.

23. Giraud A, Radman M, Matic I, Taddei F. The rise and fall of mutator bacteria. *Curr Opin*

Microbiol 2001; **4**: 582–585.

24. Taddei F, Radman M, Maynard-Smith J, Toupance B, Gouyon PH, Godelle B. Role of

mutator alleles in adaptive evolution. *Nature* 1997; **387**: 700–702.

25. Rocha EPC. Neutral theory, microbial practice: challenges in bacterial population genetics.

Mol Biol Evol 2018; **35**: 1338–1347.

26. Jain C, Rodriguez-R LM, Phillippy AM, Konstantinidis KT, Aluru S. High-throughput ANI

analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat Commun* 2018;

9: 5114.

27. Arevalo P, VanInsberghe D, Elsherbini J, Gore J, Polz MF. A reverse ecology approach

based on a biological definition of microbial populations. *Cell* 2019; **178**: 820–834.

28. Sun Y, Powell KE, Sung W, Lynch M, Moran MA, Luo H. Spontaneous mutations of a

model heterotrophic marine bacterium. *ISME J* 2017; **11**: 1713–1718.

29. Senra MVX, Sung W, Ackerman M, Miller SF, Lynch M, Soares CAG. An unbiased

genome-wide view of the mutation rate and spectrum of the endosymbiotic bacterium

Teredinibacter turnerae. *Genome Biol Evol* 2018; **10**: 723–730.

30. Dillon MM, Sung W, Sebra R, Lynch M, Cooper VS. Genome-wide biases in the rate and

molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in *Vibrio cholerae* and *Vibrio fischeri*. *Mol*

Biol Evol 2017; **34**: 93–109.

31. Hughes AL. Near neutrality: leading edge of the neutral theory of molecular evolution. *Ann*

N Y Acad Sci 2008; **1133**: 162–179.

32. Didelot X, Wilson DJ. ClonalFrameML: efficient inference of recombination in whole

bacterial genomes. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2015; **11**: e1004041.

33. Song Q, Li Z, Chen R, Ma X, Xiao X, Xu J. Induction of a toxin-antitoxin gene cassette

under high hydrostatic pressure enables markerless gene disruption in the

hyperthermophilic archaeon *Pyrococcus yayanosii*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2019; **85**.

34. Sato T, Fukui T, Atomi H, Imanaka T. Improved and versatile transformation system

allowing multiple genetic manipulations of the hyperthermophilic archaeon *Thermococcus*

kodakaraensis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2005; **71**: 3889–3899.

35. Sabath N, Ferrada E, Barve A, Wagner A. Growth temperature and genome size in bacteria

are negatively correlated, suggesting genomic streamlining during thermal adaptation.

Genome Biol Evol 2013; **5**: 966–977.

36. Sela I, Wolf YI, Koonin EV. Theory of prokaryotic genome evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

USA 2016; **113**: 11399–11407.

37. Bourguignon T, Kinjo Y, Villa-Martín P, Coleman NV, Tang Q, Arab DA, et al. Increased

mutation rate is linked to genome reduction in prokaryotes. *Curr Biol* 2020; **30**: 3848-

3855.e4.

38. Lynch M. Evolution of the mutation rate. *Trends Genet* 2010; **26**: 345–352.

39. Marais GAB, Batut B, Daubin V. Genome evolution: mutation is the main driver of

genome size in prokaryotes. *Curr Biol* 2020; **30**: R1083–R1085.

40. Giovannoni SJ, Cameron Thrash J, Temperton B. Implications of streamlining theory for

microbial ecology. *ISME J* 2014; **8**: 1553–1565.

41. Kashtan N, Roggensack SE, Rodrigue S, Thompson JW, Biller SJ, Coe A, et al. Single-cell

genomics reveals hundreds of coexisting subpopulations in wild *Prochlorococcus*. *Science*

2014; **344**: 416–420.

42. Luo H, Huang Y, Stepanauskas R, Tang J. Excess of non-conservative amino acid changes

in marine bacterioplankton lineages with reduced genomes. *Nat Microbiol* 2017; **2**: 1–9.

43. Luo H, Friedman R, Tang J, Hughes AL. Genome reduction by deletion of paralogs in the

marine cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus*. *Mol Biol Evol* 2011; **28**: 2751–2760.

44. Mira A, Ochman H, Moran NA. Deletional bias and the evolution of bacterial genomes.

Trends Genet 2001; **17**: 589–596.

45. Kuo C-H, Ochman H. Deletional bias across the three domains of life. *Genome Biol Evol*

2009; **1**: 145–152.

412
413

Figure legends

Figure 1. Experimental determination of the unbiased mutation rate of the *Thermococcus*

eurythermalis A501 is challenging because this archaeon has unusual physiology (i.e., obligate

anaerobic and obligate hyperthermophilic). **(A)** The preparation of anaerobic high temperature

tolerant gelrite plate. After sterilization and polysulfide addition via syringe, the plates are made

in an anaerobic chamber. **(B)** The incubation of the strain *T. eurythermalis* A501 at 85℃ in

liquid medium. **(C)** The initiation of mutation accumulation (MA) by spreading cells from a

single founding colony to 100 lines. Plates are placed in an anaerobic jar for incubation in strictly

anaerobic condition at 85℃. **(D)** The MA process followed by whole genome sequencing and

data analysis. Single colony of each line is transferred to a new plate for N times (here N=20). **(E)**

Base-substitution mutations and insertion/deletion mutations across the whole genome of *T.*

eurythermalis. The dashed vertical line separates the chromosome and plasmid. The height of

each bar represents the number of base-substitution mutations across all MA lines within 10 kbp

window. Green and red triangles denote insertion and deletion, respectively. The locus tags of

the 14 genes with statistical enrichment of mutations are shown.

429
Figure 2. The scaling relationship involving the base-substitution mutation rate per cell division

per site (μ), the estimated effective population size (N_e), and genome size across 28 bacterial and

two archaeal species. All three traits' values were logarithmically transformed. The mutation

rates of these species are all determined with the mutation accumulation experiment followed by

whole genome sequencing of the mutant lines. The mutation rate of species numbered 1-29 (blue)

is collected from literature and that of the species 30 (red) is determined in the present study.

Among the numbered species shown in the figure, the species #6 *Haloferax volcanii* is

facultative anaerobic halophilic archaeon, and the species #30 is an obligate anaerobic

hyperthermophilic archaeon. **(A)** The scaling relationship between μ and N_e. **(B)** The scaling

relationship between μ and genome size. **(C)** The scaling relationship between genome size and

N_e. Numbered data points 21-29 are not shown in (A) and (C) because of the lack of population

dataset for estimation of N_e. The dashed gray lines and blue lines represent the generalized linear

model (GLM) regression and the phylogenetic generalized least square (PGLS) regression,

respectively. The Bonferroni adjusted outlier test for the GLM regression show that #7

Janthinobacterium lividum is an outlier in the scaling relationship between μ and N_e, and #9

Mesoplasma florum is an outlier in the scaling relationship between genome size and N_e. No

outlier was identified in the PGLS regression results.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

Base–substitution mutation rate
per nucleotide site per generation (μ)

PGLS:
R^2 = 0.81
slope = -0.81 (p<0.001)

GLM:
R^2 = 0.83
slope = -0.85 (p<0.001)

Effective population size (Ne)

B

Base–substitution mutation rate
per nucleotide site per generation (μ)

PGLS:
R^2 = 0.47
slope = -1.75 (p<0.001)

GLM:
R^2 = 0.49
slope = -1.66 (p<0.001)

Genome size (megabases)

C

Genome size (megabases)

PGLS:
R^2 = 0.45
slope = 0.25 (p<0.001)

GLM:
R^2 = 0.47
slope = 0.24 (p<0.001)

Effective population size (Ne)

—— PGLS regression
- - - GLM regression

1	*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58	16	*Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC 12228
2	*Bacillus subtilis* NCIB 3610	17	*Teredinibacter turnerae*
3	*Burkholderia cenocepacia* HI2424	18	*Vibrio cholerae* 2740-80
4	*Caulobacter crescentus* NA1000	19	*Vibrio fischeri* ES114
5	*Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655	20	*Vibrio shilonii* AK1
6	*Haloferax volcanii* DS2	21	*Arthrobacter* sp. KBS0703
7	*Janthinobacterium lividum* KBS0711	22	*Colwellia psychrerythraea* 34H
8	*Lactococcus lactis* DSMZ20481	23	*Deinococcus radiodurans* R1
9	*Mesoplasma florum* L1	24	*Flavobacterium* sp. KBS0721
10	*Mycobacterium smegmatis* MC2 155	25	*Gemmata obscuriglobus* DSM5831
11	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14	26	*Kineococcus radiotolerans* SRS30216
12	*Rhodobacter sphaeroides* ATCC17025	27	*Leeuwenhoekiella* sp. ZYFB001
13	*Ruegeria pomeroyi* DSS-3	28	*Micrococcus* sp. KBS0714
14	*Salmonella enterica*	29	*Nonlabens* sp. SY33080
15	*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923	30	*Thermococcus eurythermalis* A501