Selective silencing rather than targeted activation of gene expression underlies fate choice in human hematopoietic stem cells

Parmentier R[1]*, Moussy A[1]*, Chantalat S[2]*, Racine L[1], Sudharshan R[3,4], Papili Gao N[4], Stockholm D[1], Corre G[5], Deleuze JF[2], Gunawan R[3], Paldi A[1].

[1] Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, PSL Research University, St-Antoine Research Center, Inserm U938, 34 rue Crozatier, 75012, Paris, France

[2] Centre National de Recherche en Génomique Humaine, CEA, 91000 Evry, France

[3] Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

[4] Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

[5] Genethon; 1bis rue de l'International, 91001 Evry, France

* Equal contribution

Abstract:

When human cord blood derived CD34+ cells are induced to differentiate *in vitro*, they undergo rapid and dynamic morphological and molecular transformation that are critical for the fate

commitment. Using ATAC-seq and single-cell RNA sequencing, we detected two phases of this

process. In the first phase, we observed that a rapid and widespread chromatin opening - that

makes most of the gene promoters in the genome accessible - precedes a global upregulation of

gene transcription and a concomitant increase in the cell-to-cell variability of gene expression. The

second phase is marked by a slow chromatin closure that precedes an overall downregulation of

gene transcription and the emergence of coherent expression profiles that characterize distinct cell

subpopulations. We further showed that the accessibility of promoters has a crucial effect on

whether transcription factor changes will lead to alterations in the expression of their target genes.

Our observations are consistent with a model based on the spontaneous probabilistic organization

of the cellular process of fate commitment.

Background

Hematopoietic cells are a widely used model for the study of fate decision and cell

differentiation and it is frequently considered as a paradigm of cell differentiation in general.

Differentiation is believed to proceed through a series of binary fate decisions under the action of

key instructive factors inducing specific changes in the cell that lead to stepwise switches of the

expression profiles at critical decision points [1]. The typical representation of this process is a

hierarchical decision tree. Such a strict hierarchical process must imply tight regulation of gene

expression. The genes involved in the process are well known [2]. But recent single-cell gene

expression studies directly contradict the assumption of precise regulation and strictly ordered

process. It has been shown that, soon after their stimulation for differentiation, multipotent

CD34+ cells go through a phase of disordered gene expression called "multilineage primed" phase

characterized by concomitant expression of genes typical for alternative lineages [3–6]. Other

studies demonstrated that hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) gradually acquire lineage characteristics along multiple directions without passing through discrete hierarchically organized progenitor populations [7]. Instead, unilineage-restricted cells emerge directly from a continuum of low-primed undifferentiated hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells [7]. This phase is accompanied by instabilities and fluctuation of the cell transcriptome, morphology and dynamic cell behavior [6,8]. How this quasi-random gene expression pattern is generated, how it is transformed into a defined gene expression profile remains unknown. In order to answer these questions, we determined the order and the timescale of the early chromatin and transcriptional changes that follow the induction of differentiation in CD34+ cells.

To do this, we performed single cell RNA sequencing of human cord blood CD34+ cells at different time points during the 96h period following their stimulation, a period shown to be critical for cell fate decision [6]. The gene expression profiles were correlated to the DNA accessibility changes determined by ATAC-seq at defined time-points during the same period. The experimental strategy is shown in **Fig. 1A**. The data revealed strikingly different dynamics of chromatin accessibility and gene expression that challenges the classical model based on specific stepwise switches.

Keywords

hematopoietic stem cell, fate commitment, single-cell, chromatin remodeling, ATAC-seq, scRNA-seq, transcription factor, promoter accessibility, CD34+

Results

Single-cell gene expression analysis using RNA-seq

Human CD34+ cells were isolated from the cord blood of two healthy donors and cultured in the presence of early acting cytokines as described [6]. To identify the transcriptional signatures and estimate their variability at the earliest stages of the differentiation process, we adapted MARS-seq protocol (massively parallel single-cell RNA-sequencing, see Methods) on CD34+ cells randomly sorted at different time points (5h, 24h, 48h, 72h and 96h) after the cells were cultured in the presence of cytokines [9] . A uniform random sampling of a heterogenous population allowed us to evaluate the global changes without any preconceived ideas on the cell categories present in the population. The quantification of gene expression was calibrated using unique molecular identifier (UMI) marked RNAs. Details about quality control of the results are shown in **Additional Table 1.** In order to avoid the potential bias due to batch correction, the results of the two donors were analyzed separately.

Fig. 1 Gene expression dynamics of cord blood derived CD34+ cells. A CD34+ cells were

isolated from human cord blood and cultured in serum-free medium with early acting cytokines.

Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) was used to analyze single-cell transcription at 5h, 24h,

48h, 72h and 96h. Concomitantly, at 0h, 5h, 24h and 48h, 5000 living cells were collected to

perform ATAC-seq protocol in order to study DNA accessibility dynamics. **B** Number of detected

genes per cell with scRNA-seq. Two donors were analyzed separately, both showed similar

dynamics. **C** Weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) reveals clusters of genes with

similar dynamic patterns in the average mRNA expression in Donor1 and Donor2. Note that

cluster 1 reproduces the dynamic pattern observed for genes showing detectable expression in

single cell in **Fig. 1B**. Cluster 1 = 5194 genes (Donor1) and 5518 genes (Donor2), cluster 2 = 3977

genes (Donor1) and 2602 (Donor2), cluster 3 = 1089 genes (Donor1) and 609 genes (Donor2).

The results revealed important features of the gene expression dynamics (**Fig. 1**). Following

stimulation, the transcriptome of the cells underwent rapid and substantial quantitative and

qualitative changes. Both the number of expressed genes per cell and the number of mRNA

molecules per gene increased substantially (**Fig. 1B and Fig. 1C**). The average number of genes

detected per cell at 5h was only 512+/-243 in Donor1. This number increased to 1693 +/-813 at

24h and 2543+/-751 at 48h, but then decreased to 2014+/-714 at 72h and to 1612 +/-613 at 96h.

The numbers for the cells from Donor 2 were very similar (**Fig. 1C**). The rapid increase of global

transcription activity in the cells, that occurred mainly during the first 48h, suggests that cells

significantly expand their repertoire of transcribed genes during the initial period of differentiation.

When individual genes were examined, we observed that the corresponding number of mRNA

molecules also increased. Using Weighted Correlation Network Analysis (WGCNA), we found

clusters of genes with highly correlated mean expression patterns over time (**Fig. 1C**). The three

largest clusters together contain more than 8500 genes with mean expressions that generally show

a characteristic time profile with an initial increase followed by a subsequent decrease. Thus, the

average CD34+ cell responds to cytokine stimulation by a strong, but transitory, upregulation of

transcriptional activity both in terms of the number of genes and the number of transcripts. Due

to the very high number of genes in the first cluster, gene ontology (GO) analysis was

unsurprisingly irrelevant and showed significant enrichments for all basic cellular functions.

Notably, none of the most represented functions were directly related to the hematopoietic lineage

(**Additional File. 1**). During the 24h to 48h period after the stimulation, the fraction of the genes

transcribed in individual cells raised up to approximately 10-15% of all genes in the genome (**Fig.**

1B). After 72h, this number started to decrease (Fig.1B). Importantly, this timing coincides with

the period when the first signs of lineage-specific transcriptional changes appear [6].

In order to better characterize cell type specific gene expression patterns, lineage progression, and

trajectory of the cells during the fate decision process, we applied a recent method CALISTA

(Clustering And Lineage Inference in Single-Cell Transcriptional Analysis) to the single-cell RNA

dataset [10]. CALISTA is a likelihood-based method that uses the two-state stochastic model of

gene transcription to describe the cell-to-cell variability of gene expression at single-cell level [11].

Here, we employed CALISTA for cell clustering, lineage inference, and calculating single-cell

transcriptional uncertainty. In CALISTA, to each cell is assigned a likelihood value, which reflects

the joint probability of its gene expression (mRNA counts) based on the mRNA distribution from

the two-states model. In order to avoid potential batch effects, we analyzed the single-cell mRNA

datasets from two donors independently. For both donors, CALISTA identified five single-cell

clusters on the basis of the 200 most variable genes (**Additional Fig. 1** and **Fig. 2A**). In both

donors, clusters #1 and #2 were essentially composed of cells isolated at 5h and 24h, respectively

(**Additional Fig. 2**). Clusters #3, #4 and #5 contained cells isolated at 48h, 72h and 96h, but with

a higher degree of cells from different time points compared to clusters #1 and #2 (**Additional

Fig. 1**). CALISTA generated the lineage progression using the clusters based on the distances

between each pair of clusters, specifically by adding "transition" edges in the order of increasing

distances and cluster pseudotimes – defined by the mode of the sampling time points of the cells

in each cluster. The cluster distance between any two clusters gives a measure of dissimilarity in

their gene expression distributions and is defined as the maximum difference in the cumulative

likelihood values upon reassigning the cells from the original cluster to the other cluster [10]. The

inferred lineage progression graphs for each of the two donors are depicted in **Fig. 2**, showing the

emergence of two distinct cell clusters with divergent transcription profiles.

Note that each gene in each individual cell in a cluster can be characterized by a unique likelihood

value (see Methods). Here, we use the negative logarithm of the gene likelihood value (NLL) as a

metric of transcriptional uncertainty, using which we can probe into the intra-cluster cell-to-cell

heterogeneity in the gene expression [10,12]. As shown in **Fig. 2B**, the gene-wise NLLs in the

clusters reveal that clusters #2 and #3 are much more heterogenous than the other clusters.

Importantly, clusters #2 and #3 contain the cells that display the highest number of expressed

genes and of transcripts per gene. In other words, the upregulation of global transcriptional activity

in response to cytokine stimulation also causes an increase in transcriptional uncertainty and cell-

to-cell heterogeneity in gene expression. Also, the peak of such transcriptional uncertainty

precedes the emergence of two distinct gene expression profiles. At 72h and 96h, both the total

number of transcribed genes and the number of transcripts per individual gene decrease

simultaneously. In a previous study, the gradual emergence of defined expression profiles was

observed after 72 hours [6], in agreement with our observations. The analysis of single-cell RNA

profiles using CALISTA above demonstrated that starting from 48h, two distinct gene expression

profiles start to diverge (**Fig. 2A**).

Fig. 2 Evolution of transcriptome profiles after cell stimulation. A Transcriptome clusters identified by CALISTA [10]. Each dot corresponds to a cell in the single-cell transcriptomic dataset of cells sampled at 5h, 24h, 48h, 72h and 96h. The x axis corresponds to the pseudotime values and the y-z axes to the first and second principal component (PC) coordinates. The color code for the clusters appears in Fig.2B. The transition edges are represented by black plain lines between the clusters and the numbers are "cluster distances", a likelihood-based measure of dissimilarity (distance) between cell clusters. **Left panel:** Donor1, **right panel:** Donor2. **B** Negative Likelihood matrix for the 200 most variable genes computed by CALISTA for each cluster. Each plot corresponds to a cluster indicated by a color code as in **A** and the cluster number. **Left panel:** Donor1, **right panel:** Donor2.

The conclusions drawn on the basis of the general trends in single-cell gene expressions are

supported by the expression of genes coding for transcription factors (TFs) essential for

hematopoietic differentiation (**Additional Fig. 2**) [2]. Notably, TF-encoding genes showed highly

dynamic expression by cells during differentiation. Both the fraction of expressing cells and the

number of mRNAs per cell increased and went through a plateau at 48-72h. However, each

individual cell expressed a different combination of these genes and no obvious dynamic patterns

could be identified. The tendency toward defined hematopoietic transcription profiles can hardly

be observed by the end of the time series at 96h.

In order to reveal potentially active regulatory interactions, we identified genes coding for

transcription factors (TFs) that showed a change in expression (**Fig. 3**). We used the terminology

"change" or "differentially expressed (DE)" to refer to genes that show a statistically significant

increase or decrease in the corresponding mRNA level based on the number of UMIs detected in

a cell (two-tailed Fisher exact test, see Methods for details). For each TF, we identified its target

genes using human transcriptional regulatory networks from the Regulatory Circuits resource [13].

A TF may have multiple target genes, and vice versa, a gene may have several TF regulators. A

gene is counted in the "changes in TF" group when at least one of the genes coding for a TF

targeting it shows differential expression between 5h and 24h or between 24h and 48h. Note that

the genes belonging to this group may or may not themselves be differentially expressed. A gene

is counted in the "no change in TF" group when none of its TFs show any differential expression.

Genes in the "changes in TF" group between 5h and 24h are significantly over-represented

(p=1.4e-6) for genes that are differentially expressed (i.e. DE genes), but not for those between

24h and 48h (**Fig. 3**). Genes in the "no change TF" group between 5h and 24h are enriched for

genes showing no significant differential expression, but again not for those between 24h and 48h.

These observations indicate that differential expression of genes between 5h and 24h is connected

to changes in the expression of the TF regulators, which reflects the regulatory activity of TFs.

However, the regulatory activity of TFs appears to have been restricted after 24h.

Fig. 3 Global influence of transcription factors on targeted gene expression. Enrichment

analysis of genes in the "change in TF" and "no change in TF" group for differentially expressed

(DE) and non-DE genes.

ATAC-seq analysis of DNA accessibility

DNA accessibility in CD34+ cells was determined using ATAC-seq [14] at four time points (0h,

5h, 24h, and 48h after cell stimulation). We applied a stringent filter to identify accessibility by only

retaining peaks that are uniformly detected in the cells of three different donors (see **Additional**

Table. 2 for donor-related information). Performing ATAC-seq on 5000 cells ensured that the

detected accessible DNA regions are present in a substantial fraction of cells. Indeed, accessible

sites present in individual or a small number of cells could not be differentiated from the technical

noise.

Fig. 4 Chromatin accessibility dynamics as detected by ATAC-seq. A Number of accessible

regions (peaks) at 4 different time points. **B** Number of peaks in different genomic elements. A

single peak may count for two categories if overlapping both. Intergenic category was defined as

the exclusion of any other category tested.

Apart from the peaks in intergenic regions, the total number of ATAC-seq peaks first increased

rapidly by 10-12% between 0h and 5h in all genomic regions, then decreased gradually at slower

rate over the next 48h (**Fig. 4B**). The time-dependent decrease in the number of ATAC-seq peaks

varied with their genomic location (**Fig. 4B**). While the number of peaks in distal intergenic regions

was halved between 5h and 48h, the decrease in the other locations was less significant (**Fig. 4B**).

Particularly, the number of peaks in promoter regions only dropped by 15% between 0h and 48h.

In order to further characterize the dynamics of the ATAC-seq, we also estimated the changes in

the size of the peaks present at least at two consecutive time points. As a proxy for the size of a

peak, we used the number of sequenced reads that define it. Here we assumed that the normalized

number of reads can be used as a rough estimation of the fraction of the cells with at least one of

the two copies of the region having accessible DNA. The difference of read counts for the same

ATAC peak detected at two consecutive time points was used to assess the chromatin dynamics.

We calculated the log-fold changes of the number of reads of each peak for time intervals and the

associated p-values and represented them as volcano plots (**Fig. 5**). We observed a significative

tendency of the peaks already present at 0h to increase in accessibility by 5h (**Fig. 5**), especially for

peaks located in the TSS regions. During this period, a total of 17% (9045 out of 53797 peaks)

showed significant changes regarding accessibility. In the same range, between 5h and 24h, 15%

(7505 out of 50936 peaks) of the peaks present at both time points changed significantly with an

approximately equivalent number of increased and decreased ATAC-seq read counts. However,

between 24h and 48h, only 2% (48 out of 40248 peaks) of the peaks showed differential read

counts, but again, with roughly equal proportions of increased and decreased peaks (**Fig. 5**).

Overall, most of the changes occurred during the first 24 hours (**Fig. 5**). First, we observed a rapid

increase in peak number and an increase in size (read counts) for the peaks already present. Then

the trend was reversed: both the number and size of the peaks decreased between 5h and 24h.

This trend was maintained, albeit at a lesser degree, between 24h and 48h. Overall, the ATAC-seq

observations indicate an unusually strong wave of chromatin fluctuations during the initial 48

hours long period. The dynamic fluctuations appear to be higher in intergenic regions than in gene-

associated regions.

Fig 5. Differential analysis of ATAC-seq peaks present at least in two consecutive time

points. The differential analysis is detailed in Methods. Peaks overlapping with promoter regions

are highlighted in blue, while those overlapping with intergenic regions are highlighted in yellow.

The promoters of the 11 hematopoietic transcription factors are indicated. Only 3 of them

(RUNX1, CBFA2T3, TAL1) showed significant differential changes in accessibility between 0h

and 5h. After 5h, none of the TF showed significant changes in their TSS region. Note that a TF

can be displayed more than once, it is explained by the fact that a TF can have multiple TSSs, also,

more than one peak can fit the TSS region.

We explored further the chromatin dynamics in promoter regions of 11 TF-encoding genes known

to act as early hematopoiesis regulators [2]. With the exception of GATA1, every gene has

accessible promoters with at least one ATAC-seq peak (**Fig. 5** and **Additional Fig. 3)**, suggesting

that even before cell stimulation with cytokines, the promoter regions of these key regulators genes

are fully accessible and remain so along the entire experiment.

To further investigate the gene promoter accessibility, we analyzed the enrichment of various

transcription factors binding site (TFBS) motifs among peaks. We observed that many of the TFSs

of factors known to play a role in hematopoiesis, such as RUNX1, ERG, PU.1 and FLi1 are already

highly accessible at 0h and remain detectable at relatively the same level up to 48h (**Additional**

Fig. 4). We also note that CTCF (CCCTC-binding factor) binding sites were detected more than

five times more frequently among the detected peaks than in other regions, suggesting the

implication of chromatin remodeling during this period [15].

Combined scRNA-seq and ATAC-seq Analysis

In order to elucidate how the dynamics of chromatin accessibility and the differential gene

expressions were related, we combined the scRNA-seq and the ATAC-seq data (see Methods). A

careful comparison of scRNA-seq and ATAC-seq analysis in **Fig. 1** and **Fig. 5** shows that the

wave of opening and closing of accessible gene promoters/transcription start sites (TSSs) precedes

the wave of the increase and decrease of the gene transcription. To make sense of this, first we

examined how promoter accessibility of the genes is related to the gene expression. Specifically,

we grouped the promoters based on the configuration change of ATAC-seq peaks between 5h

and 24h (**Fig. 6A**). By doing so, there are 4 possible combinations of chromatin accessibility state:

"open-open", "open-close", "close-close" and "close-open", depending on the presence or

absence of ATAC-seq peaks at the given promoter at 5h and 24h, respectively. The period between

5h and 24h is particularly interesting and important, because most of the changes in gene

expression and DNA accessibility occur at this stage. We then identified the target genes for each

promoter using the Regulatory Circuit resource (see Methods). Note that a promoter may regulate

multiple genes and a gene may be regulated by several promoters. Therefore, the total numbers of

promoters and genes may be different. Finally, we examined the overrepresentation of DE and

non-DE genes among the groups of genes that are the target of each of the four classes of

promoter configuration (i.e. open-open, open-close, close-open, and close-close). The analysis

showed a significant overrepresentation (p-value<10e-4) for genes showing DE in the set of genes

associated with the "open-open" promoter configuration (**Fig. 6A**). In the "open-close" promoter

class, genes showing no DE were overrepresented (**Fig. 6A**). Non-DE genes were also

overrepresented in the "close-close" promoter class.

To understand how alterations in DNA accessibility and TF expressions in combination regulated

target gene transcription, we classified genes according to whether any of the TFs were

differentially expressed between two different time points, 5h and 24h, as we had done earlier –

that is, "changes in TF" vs. "no change in TF" grouping (details about the method are explained

in **Additional Fig. 5**). We tested the overrepresentation of DE genes among the eight groups of

genes based on the different classes of promoter configuration and the DE of the TFs (**Fig. 6B**).

We found a significant overrepresentation for DE genes among the genes with at least one of the

TFs differentially expressed, but only when the target promoters were accessible at both time

points, i.e. in the "open-open" configuration. For the same "open-open" promoter configuration,

genes with no change in expression between 5h and 24h were significantly overrepresented in the

gene set whose TF expressions were not altered. In other terms, the regulatory action of the TFs

can only be observed if and only if the promoters of the target genes are already accessible and

remain so between the two time points.

Similar analysis was done between 24h and 48h (**Additional Fig. 6**). During this period, promoters

that remain accessible are enriched for DE genes, while inaccessible promoters are enriched for

genes showing no DE. Interestingly, the combined enrichment analysis of ATAC-seq and scRNA-

seq did not show any significant over-representation for DE or non-DE genes.

Taken together, the integration of gene expression and chromatin accessibility data support the

idea that differential expression of genes can be explained by changes in the expression of at least

one its TFs. However, the regulatory action of TFs only applies when the promoter remains

accessible. Subsequently, the closing of the chromatin on the promoters seems to prohibit the

action of TFs.

In order to verify if the observations above hold for specific genes, we compared the gene

expression and ATAC-seq profiles of the 11 genes essential for hematopoietic differentiation

already considered above (**Additional Fig. 3**) [2]. We saw no correlation between promoter

accessibility and transcription. For example, the promoter of the SMAD6 gene is accessible at all

time points, still not expressed as shown on the heat-map (**Additional Fig. 2**).

Fig. 6 Promoter configuration dynamics and transcription influence on gene expression

regulation between 5h and 24h. A Enrichment analysis for differentially expressed genes (DE)

and non-DE genes depending on the promoter accessibility dynamics. **B** Enrichment analysis

differentially expressed genes (DE) and non-DE genes depending on the promoter accessibility

dynamics and the changes of the expression of TF-encoding genes that regulate them (two-tailed

Fisher exact test, see Methods for details).

These observations clearly reveal the unequal role of the chromatin configuration and TF action

on gene expression and explain the chronology of why the initial increase in DNA accessibility

precedes the burst in the level and diversity of gene transcription. Since TF action alone is unable

to make the promoters accessible, the initial opening of the chromatin has to be a global and non-

specific event. This explains why only a subset of genes with initially accessible promoters become

transcribed during the later stages.

Discussion

In vitro cultured human cord blood derived CD34+ cells are usually considered as a

heterogenous population of cells. Recent studies demonstrated that this heterogeneity is not the

result of the mixture of different cell types, but a population of cells with a wide distribution of

gene expression patterns [7] that fluctuate, generating morphological instability [6]. The first

morphological and molecular signs of the phenotypic diversification appear at the end of the

unusually long first cell cycle that follows cytokine stimulation [6]. During the first cell cycle, each

cell displays a rather distinct gene expression pattern but is usually morphologically similar. By 48

to 72 hours, one can observe the emergence of two different cellular morphologies and two

different characteristic transcription profiles [6]. Such an observation prompted us to investigate

the narrow window of time within 48h in more details. The observations reported here reveal the

interplay between the dynamic chromatin and gene expression changes.

Using ATAC-seq, we detected at 0h more than 46000 peaks, about 30% of them in gene promoters

(TSSs). The number of detected TSSs increased sharply during the first 5 hours of culture (**Fig.**

4). At the 5h time point, more than 50% of all TSSs promoters in the genome displayed accessible

DNA (**Fig. 4B**). After the rapid initial increase, the number of the peaks started to decrease (**Fig.**

4). There were approximately 16% less accessible TSSs 48 hours later. The number of open

intronic and intergenic genomic regions decreased even more rapidly and fell to 50% of the initial

number. The tendency to chromatin closing therefore appears as a general feature. Importantly,

the wave of chromatin opening and closing is followed by a wave of transcriptional activity. The

variety of the transcribed genes and the number of the mRNA molecules per gene was the lowest

at 5h – the first time point tested for scRNA-seq – but both increased sharply at 24h, reached a

plateau between 48h and 72h and decreased at 96h (**Fig. 1B** and **Fig. 1C**). The 5h-to-48h period

corresponds to the multilineage-primed stage of the CD34+ cells that precedes the emergence of

the first signs of characteristic gene expression patterns accompanying differentiation [6]. It is a

universal feature of the cells during the initial phases of the fate commitment process to progress

through a transitional cell state marked by the rise-then-fall in transcriptional uncertainty and a

concomitant rise-and-fall of cell-to-cell variability [12]. As reported here, the gene transcription in

the CD34+ cells clearly follows the same pattern. The global increase of transcription is preceded

by a widespread and non-specific chromatin opening that makes accessible more than 50% of gene

promoters in the genome.

Importantly, there is a strong stochastic component in the establishment of the multilineage

primed expression state, because the number of gene promoters that are accessible exceeds the

number of actually transcribed genes in each cell by 3 to 5 times (**Fig. 1B** and **Fig. 4B**). The

emergence of coherent transcription profiles from this heterogeneous transitory state is preceded

by chromatin rearrangements. A significant fraction of gene promoters (16%) and intergenic sites

(46%) in the genome become inaccessible through chromatin closing between 5h and 48h (**Fig.**

4B). The stabilization of the transcriptome is presumably the consequence of these chromatin

changes. Some promoters gradually become repressed by chromatin closing, while others are stabilized in an open chromatin configuration. The role of TFs appears crucial at this stage. Indeed, the transcription of a gene is changed between 5h and 24h if the expression of TF-encoding genes that regulate them also changes. However, changes of the expression of the TF-encoding genes do not lead to alteration of their target gene expression if the promoters are in "closed" chromatin configuration around the TSS (**Fig. 6B**), indicating that TFs alone are not able to efficiently regulate the gene transcription, and the chromatin accessibility is a pre-requisite for TF action. Since the number of the open promoters is high at the beginning of the process, a competition for the available TFs among accessible promoters may explain the transcriptional and phenotypic fluctuations observed during this period [6]. These fluctuations cease when the transcriptome is stabilized [6].

The proposed scenario of general chromatin destabilization followed by a selective repression of the genes is also supported by the observations showing that the inhibition of chromatin compaction using valproic acid (VPA), a histone deacetylase inhibitor, can maintain the multilineage-primed state with promiscuous transcription profile for a long period [6,8,16]. The removal of VPA allows defined transcriptome profiles to be established [8]. Therefore, chromatin structural changes appear to be causally involved both in the generation of a multilineage-primed state and the stabilization of cell fate choice. In line with this conclusion, a recent study of human fetal hematopoietic cells has also concluded that extensive epigenetic but not transcriptional priming of HSC/MPPs occurs prior to lineage commitment [17].

It will be of particular importance to investigate the process of transcriptome stabilization and the feedback mechanisms that must certainly accompanied it. In this respect, a dynamic positive

feedback loop between permissive chromatin and translational output has been previously

reported for embryonic stem- and in CD34+ cells [18]. It is noteworthy that many of the genes

with the most variable expression that contribute significantly to the specification of the emerging

transcription patterns are ribosomal protein (RP) coding genes (**Additional File. 2**), thus

impacting the process of translation [19]. A high degree of RP expression heterogeneity has already

been observed in hematopoietic cells, where a small subset of RPs can discriminate cell types

belonging to different hematopoietic lineages [20]. Therefore, it is possible that, in addition to the

TF and promoter interactions, a feedback action of the translational output may also contribute

to the stabilization of the chromatin.

The observed non-specific chromatin opening and the rise of an equally non-specific gene

expression as a first step, followed by a slow relaxation toward a defined gene expression pattern

and chromatin stabilization, brings a new perspective to our understanding of how cell fate

commitment is initiated. According to the conventional view, a switch-like activation of fate-

specifying genes, followed by a cascade of activation of specific downstream targets determines

cell fate. This view is not compatible with the observations reported here. The alternative

possibility is that the typical expression pattern of a committed cell results from the stabilization

of a network of interacting set of select genes through a transitory multilineage-primed state that

is characterized by stochastic and highly variable expression profile. The transitory stage emerges

as a rapid and non-specific answer to a substantial change in the cell's environment that is

analogous to the physiological stress response whose role is to prepare the organism to meet new

and unforeseen circumstances [21]. Here, we observed a general and non-specific opening of the

chromatin that lifts the transcription repression and permits targeted interactions between TFs and

gene promoters and enhancers. Put in another way, the quasi-random activation of genes in a cell

under stressful conditions generates a potential of a variety of phenotypic traits in the cell. Some

of these traits promote the cell's survival under the new constraints imposed by the evolving

microenvironment, and they are selectively stabilized by feedback mechanisms. These mechanisms

are not yet identified, but explicit hypotheses have been made [22,23]. Therefore, the process of

choice can be viewed as a continuing iterative process of constrained optimization of the cell

phenotype over time, a kind of "learning process" that is accomplished by the cell through

interactions and cooperation with the surrounding cells and environment. This way to frame the

question of fate commitment has been theorized long ago [24–26], and single-cell studies in the

recent years have provided more and more experimental support [3,6,12,27,28].

Conclusions

In the present study we show that chromatin accessibility and gene expression follow different

dynamics. Most of the gene promoters become accessible immediately after stimulation of the

cells. The non-specific chromatin opening is followed 24 h later by a wave of high and unrestrained

gene expression. Each cell has disordered and unique expression profile. However, the DNA

accessibility at the gene promoters starts to decrease rapidly. It is followed by the decrease of gene

expression and the slow emergence of two distinct profiles by the end of the period. This is likely

to be the result of a selective repression process because the evolution of the gene expression

profile goes from the general toward more specific. This corresponds to the gradual acquisition of

two different morphological forms in the cell population.

Methods

Cell culture

Umbilical cord blood from anonymous healthy donors was obtained from Centre Hospitalier Sud Francilien, Evry, France or from Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS), Saint Louis Hospital, Paris, France. Mononuclear cells were isolated from cord blood fractions by density centrifugation using Ficoll (Biocoll, Merck Millipore). Human CD34+ cells were then enriched in the sample by immunomagnetic beads using an AutoMACSpro (Miltenyi Biotec). After collection, enriched CD34+ cells were frozen in a cryopreservation medium containing 90% of fetal bovine serum (Eurobio) and 10% of dimethylsulfoxide (Sigma) and stored in liquid nitrogen.

After thawing, the CD34+ cells were cultured in a 96-well plate in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C. Cells were cultured in prestimulation medium made of X-Vivo (Lonza) supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin (respectively 100U/mL and 100ug/mL - Gibco, Thermo Scientific), 50 ng/ml h-FLT3, 25 ng/ml h-SCF, 25 ng/ml h-TPO, 10 ng/ml h-IL3 (Miltenyi) final concentration.

Fast-ATAC-seq

We used Fast ATAC-seq with minor modifications. This protocol was optimized for blood cells [14]. Prior to transposition, cells were marked with 7AAD and dead cells were removed by FACS (Beckman Coulter). Removing dead cells is an important parameter to ensure clear nucleosome patterns and to improve signal to noise ratio. 5000 living cells were used at each time point. A one-step gentle membrane permeabilization and DNA transposition was performed by adding 50ul transposition mixture (25 uL TD buffer 2X, 2,5uL of transposase TDE1 (Illumina), 0,5 uL

digitonin 0,1% (Promega) and 22 uL water) to the cell pellets and by incubating at 37°C for 30

minutes under agitation. Obtained Transposed DNA were then purified using MinElute PCR

Purification Kit (Qiagen) and preamplified using Nextera barcoded primers (Illumina) and

NEBNext High-Fidelity 2xPCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs) for 5 cycles. A quantitative

PCR amplification was made on 5uL of the sample with SYBR Green to determine the number

of additional cycles in order to generate libraries with a minimal number of PCR cycles and to limit

PCR bias (according Corces et al [14]). Appropriate number of PCR cycles were applied on the

rest of the pre-amplified samples. PCR fragments were purified with MinElute PCR Purification

Kit (Qiagen) to get rid of unused primers. A supplemental purification step was performed using

Ampure beads kit (Beckman Coulter) to size-select DNA fragments ranging between 100 and 700

pb. ATAC-seq libraries were checked for quality using Bioanalyzer (Agilent) prior to sequencing

and sequenced in paired-end mode (2x50bp) on the Illumina HiSeq2500 platform.

Single-cell RNA sequencing adapted from MARS-seq

To perform scRNA-seq, we adapted MARS-seq protocol (Massively parallel single-cell RNA

sequencing) [9]. CD34+ cells were stained with 7AAD to only work living cells and cells were

isolated by FACS. Individual cells were sorted into 96-well plates containing 4uL of lysis buffer

with specific barcoded RT primers (final concentration: 0,2% Triton, 0,4 U/uL RNaseOUT

(Thermofisher Scientific), 400nM idx_RT_primers). Idx_RT_primers (see **Table. 1**) contain a T7

RNA polymerase promoter for further *in vitro* transcription (IVT), single cell barcodes

(**Additional. File. 3**) for subsequent de-multiplexing and unique molecular identifiers (UMIs)

allowing correction for amplification biases. After cell sorting, plates were immediately centrifuged

and put into dry ice before storage at -80°C preceding the reverse transcription (RT). To open

RNA secondary structure, plates containing single cells were incubated at 72°C for 3 minutes and

immediately put in ice. 4uL of RT mix were added in each well (final concentration of RT mix:

20mM DTT, 2mM dNTP, 2X First stranded buffer, 5 U/uL Superscript III RT enzyme, 10%

(W/V) PEG 8000). PEG8000 was added in the RT mix because it has been shown that it can

increase the cDNA yield in scRNA sequencing [26]. ERCC RNA spike-in mix (Thermo Scientific)

was also added to the solution for further amplification quality filtering (dilution 1/40.10e7). The

plate was then put into thermocycler (thermocycler program: 42°C-2min, 50°C-50min, 85°C-5min,

4°C hold).

After first retro-transcription, samples were pooled (see Jaitin et al [9]) and ExonucleaseI digestion

was performed, followed by 1,2X AMpure beads purification kit (Beckman Coulter) to keep only

retro-transcribed single strand cDNA. Samples were eluted in 17uL of 10mM Tris-HCl, pH=7,5.

Second strand cDNA synthesis (SSS) using NEBNext mRNA second strand synthesis module kit

was then performed (SSS mix: 2uL 10x SSS buffer, 1uL SSS enzyme; thermocycler program: 16°C-

150min, 65°C-20min, 4°C hold). Obtained cDNA was linearly amplified by overnight IVT

(HighScribe T7 High Yield RNA synthesis, NEB) at 37°C under T7 promoter. The product was

purified with 1,3X Ampure beads and eluted in 10uL of 10mM Tris-HCl, 0,1mM EDTA. 9uL of

amplified RNA were then enzymatically fragmented with 1uL of 10x RNA fragmentation reagents

(Thermofisher Scientific) in 70°C for 3 min. The fragmentation was stopped with 34uL of STOP

mix (1,2uL Stop solution, 26,4uL AMpure beads, 9,8uL TE) and samples were purified. Differing

from original MARSseq protocol, the second RT was done with primers (P5N6_XXXX, **Table.**

1) containing random hexamers and specific barcode (**Additional. File. 3**) to distinguish the

different plates (final concentration: 5mM DTT, 500uM dNTP, 10uM P5N6_XXXX, 1X First

stranded buffer, 10U/uL Superscript III RT enzyme, 2U/uL RNaseOUT; thermocycler program:

25°C 5min, 55°C 20min, 70°C 15min, 4°C hold). cDNA was purified with 1,2x AMpure beads

and eluted in 10uL.

As for ATAC-seq , the appropriate number of PCR cycles was determined using a fraction of the

library with SYBR Green based qPCR as described in Zilionis et al [27] (final concentration: 1x

Kapa Hifi HotSTart PCR mix, 1x SybrGreen, 0,5uM mix primer P5.Rd1/P7.Rd2 (Table.1);

Thermocycler program: 95°C 3min – 40cycles: 98°C 20sec , 57°C 30sec , 72°C 40sec – 72°C 5min,

4°C hold). After PCR amplification, libraries were purified with 0,7x AMpure beads. Libraries were

checked for quality, using Bioanalyzer HighSensitivity DNA (Agilent) prior to sequencing.

Libraries were finally sequenced in paired-end mode (2x50bp) on Illumina HiSeq2500 platform.

Table 1: structure of primer sequences used in scRNA-seq.

Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')
Idx RT primers	5'-CGATTGAGGCCGGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGCGACGTGTG CTCTTCCGATCTXXXXXXNNNNTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTN3'
P5N6. XXX	5'-CTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTXXXXNNNNNN-3'
P5.Rd1	5'-AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACTCTTTCCCTACA CGACGCTCTTCCGATCT-3'
P7.Rd2	5'-CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGTGACTGGAGTTCAGA CGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCT-3'

Idx RT primers: TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTN = poly-T allowing matching with mRNA poly-A tail,

NNNN = 4 bases UMI (randomly generated), XXXXXX = 6 bases cell barcode (**Additional.**

File. 3). The rest of the sequence consists of a PCR adaptor and a T7 promoter sequence for

further IVT amplification. P5N6 XXX: NNNNNN = random hexamer allowing the capture of

the fragmented IVT amplified RNA, XXXX = 4 bases "plate barcode" (**Additional. File. 3**). The

rest of the sequence consists of a PCR adaptor. P5.Rd1/P7.Rd2 : P5 and P7 Illumina sequencing

adaptors.

Bioinformatic analysis

Bulk ATAC-seq

<u>Raw data processing</u>

Tn5 adapters sequences were first trimmed with the following command:

< cutadapt -q 20 -g "AGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG; max_error_rate=0.1; min_overlap = 10" -A

"AGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG; max_error_rate = 0.1; min_overlap = 10" --minimum-length 18 --

times 2 --pair-filter = both >

Genome alignment (hg19) was performed using Bowtie2 with the following parameters:

< bowtie2 -x hg19 --no-unal -X 800 >

Only Paired-End fragments were kept, considering mapping quality (phred score = 30). Duplicated

reads were removed using Picard MarkDuplicates tool. In attempt to not bias the signal recovered

after peak calling due to multiple donors, all paired-end files were randomly downsampled to 16M

reads (without disrupting pairs of reads) as regard to the smallest number of reads detected in the

cohort (Donor 1 – 0h, see **Additional Table. 2**).

ATAC-seq peaks were then called on those downsampled files using:

< macs2 callpeak -f BAMPE -g hs -B --broad --broad-cutoff 0.1 --keep-dup all >

In order to retain only significant accessibility peaks across samples, each list of peaks used in

advanced analysis has been defined as the intersection between peaks of the 3 donors tested at the

same time point.

<u>Peak annotation</u>

Peaks were assigned to genomic regions thanks to a home-made script based on the FindOverlap

function from the R package "GenomicRanges" [29]. Genomic elements positions (TSS, exons,

introns, CpG islands and CTCF) were retrieved from UCSC database (hg19). Intergenic category

was defined as the exclusion of all other defined categories. No priority has been set across the

different genomic elements. Therefore, peaks overlapping several genomic features are counted

multiple times, resulting in a total number of peaks across elements exceeding the total number of

peaks detected at each time point.

<u>Peak differential analysis</u>

DEseq2 tool was used to calculate difference in read count between peaks in two consecutive time

points [30]. More precisely, the region considered is defined as the interval formed by the union

of two overlapping peaks at *t2* and *t1*.

<u>Motif enrichment</u>

Peak motif enrichment analysis was conducted with the tool "findMotifsGenome.pl" from the

HOMER software tool suite [31]. Background file was generated using an auto-generated list of

random regions across the genome (hg19). Motifs were scanned using the total length of our peaks

by providing the option <*size given*>.

Single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq)

<u>Raw data processing</u>

Cell and plate barcode demultiplexing steps were accomplished under strict selection criteria with

the following command:

< cutadapt -q 30 -e 0 -m 30:20 --no-trim --no-indels --pair-filter = any >

Fasta files for both barcodes (cells and time) sequences are given in **Additional File. 3**.

ERCC mapping was performed using bowtie2 [32] on ERCC known sequences and regular

mapping was performed using STAR [33] on the reference genome version hg19 and aligned reads

annotated. After quality filtering, reads and UMIs count per gene and ERCC were calculated for

expression analysis.

<u>Cell and gene filtering</u>

Chromosome Y was removed from the analysis to avoid unwanted effects and only protein coding

genes were kept for further analysis. Cells with less than 80 000 total reads were removed, as well

as cells with more than 10% of reads corresponding to mitochondrial RNA. To reduce undesired

effect due to PCR non-linear amplification, ERCC spikes were used to assess the linearity of

amplification. Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated for each cell, and only cells above 0,6

were retained. For each cell remaining, genes were defined as detectable if at least two cells

contained more than a single UMI (=transcript) and a minimum of 5 reads in total.

<u>Single-cell clustering and variability analysis</u>

Clustering analysis was performed with CALISTA (Clustering and Lineage Inference in Single-Cell

Transcriptional Analysis), a numerically efficient and highly scalable toolbox for end-to-end

analysis of single-cell transcriptomic profiles. This approach includes single-cell mRNA counts in

a probabilistic distribution function associated with stochastic gene transcriptional bursts and

random technical dropout events. In the data pre-processing, we removed cells with more than

95% of zero expression values and we selected the top 200 most informative genes for further

analysis. The optimal number of clusters was chosen to be five based on the eigengap plot (see

[10] for more details).

<u>WGCNA</u>

We applied Weighted Correlation Network Analysis (WGCNA) [34] to mRNA expression data

from each donor, to identify modules of genes with similar gene transcriptional dynamics. We

excluded genes without any detectable expression in all samples. In implemented WGCNA, we

set the soft-thresholding power for a scale-free topology index of 0,9. For each module, we

calculated the mean expression of genes by averaging the UMI counts from the two donors.

<u>Enrichment Analysis</u>

We obtained a curated collection of TFs to CAGE-defined promoters to gene isoform mapping

for a total of 662 human TFs from the Regulatory Circuits resource [13]. In our analysis, we used

only TF – Promoter pairs with moderate confidence scores > 0,5. We grouped genes based on

whether the relevant TFs demonstrated differential expressions. More specifically, a classification

of "changes in TF" was given to any gene in which one of its TFs showed a differential expression.

Otherwise, a classification of "no change in TF" was assigned. A Fisher exact test was used to

perform over- and under-representation analysis [35].

ATAC-seq and scRNA-seq combined analysis (accessibility – expression)

<u>Identification of Promoters that have configurational changes</u>

In an effort to identify promoter regions that are affected (and not affected) by configurational

changes of the chromatin, we used the CAGE-defined promoters to gene isoform mapping from

the Regulatory Circuits resource [13] to identify the promoters that overlap with the peaks of

ATAC-seq and the corresponding target genes (**see Additional Fig. 5B**). For this purpose, we

employed the R Bioconductor package "GenomicRanges" [29]. By comparing the peaks

overlapping the promoters between two time points (5h – 24 h and 24h – 48h), we grouped

promoters into 4 possible chromatin accessibility configurations: "open-open", "open-close",

"close-open", and "close-close".

<u>Differential gene expression of single-cell RNA sequencing</u>

We computed Z-scores for every gene in each of the two donors between two different time points

using the mean and standard deviation of the UMI counts of approximately 100 single cells.

673
$$Z_{ij}^{t_2 - t_1} = \frac{mean\left(UMI_j^{t_2}\right) - mean\left(UMI_j^{t_1}\right)}{\dfrac{\left(\left(sd\left(UMI_j^{t_2}\right)\right)^2 + \left(sd\left(UMI_j^{t_1}\right)\right)^2\right)^{1/2}}{10}}$$

$Z_{ij}^{t_2 - t_1}$ denotes the Z-score of the expression change of gene j in donor i between time t_2 and t_1.

An average Z-score between the two donors was computed and used to identify the set of

differentially expressed genes. We selected a threshold Z-score of 2 and –2 (i.e., two standard

deviations of change) to designate upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively. Collectively,

they represent the set of differentially expressed genes.

<u>Enrichment Analysis of Combined ATAC-seq and scRNA-seq</u>

For the combined ATAC- and scRNA-seq analysis, we grouped genes into 8 possible groups based

on the chromatin accessibility configurations (i.e., one of the following four configurations: "open-

open", "open-close", "close-open", and "close-close") and whether any one of their TFs showed

differential expression (i.e., one of the following two groups: "changes in TF" and "no change in

TF") (**see Additional Fig. 5C**). As with the analysis of scRNA-seq data alone, a gene was assigned

to the group "changes in TF" when at least one of its TFs showed differential expression;

otherwise, the gene was classified as "no change in TF". Note that different isoforms of the same

gene can have distinct TSSs that are under the control of different promoters. Thus, a gene might

be counted in more than one category in the chromatin accessibility configurations. Consequently,

the total sum of the genes in the 8 groups as described above might exceed the total number of

genes. A Fisher exact test was used to perform over- and under-representation analysis [35].

Declarations

Ethic statement

699 Human cord blood (UCB) was collected from placentas and/or umbilical cords obtained from

700 Etablissement Français du Sang (EFS), Saint Louis Hospital, France or from Centre Hospitalier

701 Sud Francilien, Evry, France in accordance with international ethical principles and French

702 national law (bioethics law n°2011-814) under declaration N° DC-201-1655 to the French Ministry

703 of Research and Higher Studies.

Availability of data and materials

706 Data are available under the NCBI GEO accession number GSE156735.

Competing interests

709 None

Funding

712   This work was supported by EPHE (11REC/BIMO), ANR grant ANR-17CE12-0031-01

713   «SinCity ».

Author contribution

AP, AM, RP and JFD designed the study.

RP, AM, LR and SC conducted the experiments.

AM, RS, RG and NPG performed CALISTA analysis.

RP, DS, RS and RG analyzed the ATAC-seq data.

RP, AM, LR, SC, RS, RG, NPG, DS, GC, JC and AP analyzed the results and performed

statistical analysis.

RP, AM and LR prepared the figures.

AP, RP and LR wrote the paper with the help of their colleagues.

Acknowledgements

727   The authors are grateful to Olivier Gandrillon, Camille Fourneaux for helpful discussions and

728   Sunil Laxman and Olivier Gandrillon for the critical reading of the manuscript. The authors are

729   also grateful to Sophie Foulon for her help in scRNA sequencing protocol design.

Reference

1. Kawamoto H, Katsura Y. A new paradigm for hematopoietic cell lineages: revision of the

classical concept of the myeloid-lymphoid dichotomy. Trends Immunol. Trends Immunol; 2009.

p. 193–200.

2. Sive JI, Göttgens B. Transcriptional network control of normal and leukaemic haematopoiesis.

Exp. Cell Res. Academic Press Inc.; 2014. p. 255–64.

3. Hu M, Krause D, Greaves M, Sharkis S, Dexter M, Heyworth C, et al. Multilineage gene

expression precedes commitment in the hemopoietic system. Genes Dev. Cold Spring Harbor

Laboratory Press; 1997;11:774–85.

4. Nimmo RA, May GE, Enver T. Primed and ready: Understanding lineage commitment

through single cell analysis. Trends Cell Biol. Elsevier Ltd; 2015. p. 459–67.

5. Pina C, Fugazza C, Tipping AJ, Brown J, Soneji S, Teles J, et al. Inferring rules of lineage

commitment in haematopoiesis. Nat Cell Biol. Nat Cell Biol; 2012;14:287–94.

6. Moussy A, Cosette J, Parmentier R, da Silva C, Corre G, Richard A, et al. Integrated time-lapse

and single-cell transcription studies highlight the variable and dynamic nature of human

hematopoietic cell fate commitment. PLoS Biol. Public Library of Science; 2017;15.

7. Velten L, Haas SF, Raffel S, Blaszkiewicz S, Islam S, Hennig BP, et al. Human haematopoietic

stem cell lineage commitment is a continuous process. Nat Cell Biol. Nature Publishing Group;

2017;19:271–81.

8. Moussy A, Papili Gao N, Corre G, Poletti V, Majdoul S, Fenard D, et al. Constraints on

Human CD34+ Cell Fate due to Lentiviral Vectors Can Be Relieved by Valproic Acid. Hum

Gene Ther [Internet]. Mary Ann Liebert Inc.; 2019 [cited 2020 Jun 1];30:1023–34. Available

from: https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/hum.2019.009

9. Jaitin DA, Kenigsberg E, Keren-Shaul H, Elefant N, Paul F, Zaretsky I, et al. Massively

parallel single-cell RNA-seq for marker-free decomposition of tissues into cell types. Science (80-

) [Internet]. American Association for the Advancement of Science; 2014 [cited 2020 Aug

21];343:776–9. Available from: https://science.sciencemag.org/content/343/6172/776

10. Papili Gao N, Hartmann T, Fang T, Gunawan R. CALISTA: Clustering and LINEAGE

Inference in Single-Cell Transcriptional Analysis. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. Frontiers Media

S.A.; 2020. p. 18–18.

11. Peccoud J, Ycart B. Markovian modeling of gene-product synthesis. Theor Popul Biol.

Academic Press; 1995;48:222–34.

12. Gao NP, Gandrillon O, Paldi A, Herbach U, Gunawan R. Universality of cell differentiation

trajectories revealed by a reconstruction of transcriptional uncertainty landscapes from single-cell

transcriptomic data. bioRxiv [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2020 [cited 2020 Jun

1];2020.04.23.056069. Available from:

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.23.056069v1

13. Marbach D, Lamparter D, Quon G, Kellis M, Kutalik Z, Bergmann S. Tissue-specific

regulatory circuits reveal variable modular perturbations across complex diseases. Nat Methods.

Nature Publishing Group; 2016;13:366–70.

14. Corces MR, Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Greenside PG, Chan SM, Koenig JL, et al. Lineage-

specific and single-cell chromatin accessibility charts human hematopoiesis and leukemia

evolution. Nat Genet. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;48:1193–203.

15. Ohlsson R, Bartkuhn M, Renkawitz R. CTCF shapes chromatin by multiple mechanisms:

The impact of 20 years of CTCF research on understanding the workings of chromatin

[Internet]. Chromosoma. Springer; 2010 [cited 2020 Aug 29]. p. 351–60. Available from:

/pmc/articles/PMC2910314/?report=abstract

16. Chaurasia P, Gajzer DC, Schaniel C, D'Souza S, Hoffman R. Epigenetic reprogramming

induces the expansion of cord blood stem cells. J Clin Invest. American Society for Clinical

Investigation; 2014;124:2378–95.

17. Ranzoni AM, Tangherloni A, Berest I, Riva SG, Myers B, Strzelecka PM, et al. Integrative

Single-cell RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq Analysis of Human Foetal Liver and Bone Marrow

Haematopoiesis. bioRxiv. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2020;2020.05.06.080259.

18. Bulut-Karslioglu A, Macrae TA, Oses-Prieto JA, Covarrubias S, Percharde M, Ku G, et al.

The Transcriptionally Permissive Chromatin State of Embryonic Stem Cells Is Acutely Tuned to

Translational Output. Cell Stem Cell. Cell Press; 2018;22:369-383.e8.

19. Guo H. Specialized ribosomes and the control of translation [Internet]. Biochem. Soc. Trans.

Portland Press Ltd; 2018 [cited 2020 Aug 29]. p. 855–69. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29986937/

20. Guimaraes JC, Zavolan M. Patterns of ribosomal protein expression specify normal and

malignant human cells. Genome Biol [Internet]. BioMed Central Ltd.; 2016 [cited 2020 Jun

1];17:236. Available from: http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-

016-1104-z

21. Braun E. The unforeseen challenge: From genotype-to-phenotype in cell populations.

Reports Prog Phys [Internet]. Institute of Physics Publishing; 2015 [cited 2020 Aug

29];78:036602. Available from: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0034-

4885/78/3/036602

22. Paldi A. Stochastic gene expression during cell differentiation: Order from disorder?

[Internet]. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. Springer; 2003 [cited 2020 Jul 23]. p. 1775–8. Available from:

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00018-003-23147-z

23. Páldi A. Random walk across the epigenetic landscape. Phenotypic Switch. Elsevier; 2020. p.

53–76.

24. Kupiec JJ. A chance-selection model for cell differentiation. Cell Death Differ. England;

1996;3:385–90.

25. Kupiec JJ. A Darwinian theory for the origin of cellular differentiation. Mol Gen Genet. Mol

Gen Genet; 1997;255:201–8.

26. Paldi A. What makes the cell differentiate? Prog Biophys Mol Biol. Pergamon; 2012;110:41–

3.

27. Mojtahedi M, Skupin A, Zhou J, Castaño IG, Leong-Quong RYY, Chang H, et al. Cell Fate

Decision as High-Dimensional Critical State Transition. PLOS Biol [Internet]. Public Library of

Science; 2016 [cited 2020 Jun 4];14:e2000640. Available from:

https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000640

28. Richard A, Boullu L, Herbach U, Bonnafoux A, Morin V, Vallin E, et al. Single-Cell-Based

Analysis Highlights a Surge in Cell-to-Cell Molecular Variability Preceding Irreversible

Commitment in a Differentiation Process. PLoS Biol. Public Library of Science;

2016;14:e1002585.

29. Lawrence M, Huber W, Pagès H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, et al. Software for

Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges. Prlic A, editor. PLoS Comput Biol [Internet].

Public Library of Science; 2013 [cited 2020 Jun 1];9:e1003118. Available from:

https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118

30. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol [Internet]. BioMed Central Ltd.; 2014 [cited 2020 Jun

1];15:550. Available from: http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-

014-0550-8

31. Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Simple Combinations of

Lineage-Determining Transcription Factors Prime cis-Regulatory Elements Required for

Macrophage and B Cell Identities. Mol Cell. Mol Cell; 2010;38:576–89.

32. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. NIH

Public Access; 2012;9:357–9.

33. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner [Internet]. [cited 2020 Jun 1]. Available from:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3530905/

34. Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation network analysis.

BMC Bioinformatics [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2008 [cited 2020 Jun 1];9:559. Available from:

https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

35. Agresti A. An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis Second Edition.

1 Supplementary information

Additional Fig. 1 Repartition of single cells in CALISTA clusters according time of sampling. For each donor, 5 pie charts represent the proportion of cell corresponding to different time points (5h, 24h, 48h, 72h, 96h) defining the 5 clusters computed by CALISTA (**Fig. 2)**. Note that cluster #1 and cluster #2 are almost uniformly composed of cells from 5h and 24h respectively. Starting from 48h, cells tend to fall into several clusters, indicating multiple routes. At 96h, corresponding to the lowest transcriptional level measured, we can observe that cells start to resemble each other again. **Left panel:** Donor 1, **right panel:** Donor 2.

Additional Fig. 2 Heat-map of 11 TFs known to play a role in hematopoiesis regulatory

network. For each donor, 5 heat maps (one per time point) were drawn on the basis of gene

expression intensity for the 11 TFs described in [13]. Gene expression was measured as the sum

of UMIs for each gene considered, a log2 transformation was applied for better visualization. Note

that for the gene SMAD6, no transcripts were detected, all time and donor considered.

Additional Fig. 3 Detailed accessibility profiles of 2 TFs known to play a role in

hematopoiesis regulatory network. For the sake of clarity, only two out of the 11 TFs described

in [2] are represented here. The rest of the coverage plots can be found in **Additional File.4**.

Green regions drawn on gene sequence represent CAGE-defined promoter considered in this

study [13]. Red regions represent peaks computed with MACS2 algorithm. Blue "signal" depicts

read coverage for one of the three samples available for each time point (the most representative

one). Note that except for GATA1, promoter regions always overlap with detected peaks, no

matter the time considered. Interestingly, whereas SMAD6 promoter is accessible, no transcript

was detected for both Donor 1 and Donor 2, all times considered (**Additional Fig. 2**). This

illustrates that accessibility is not sufficient to guarantee transcription.

		p-value				% of detection in peaks				% of detection in random background		
CTCF		1e-2126	1e-2418	1e-1180	1e-838	13.10%	15.75%	12.62%	12.60%	2.58%	3.62%	3.69%	3.86%
Fli1		1e-1907	1e-1705	1e-1440	1e-927	46.77%	52.81%	52.66%	52.05%	25.77%	32.94%	32.56%	33.46%
SPi1		1e-1615	1e-1427	1e-1427	1e-637	23.94%	27.60%	26.33%	23.90%	9.68%	13.40%	12.48%	12.37%
ERG		1e-1654	1e-1375	1e-1169	1e-667	53.49%	60.78%	59.28%	56.41%	33.04%	42.51%	40.75%	40.33%
RUNX1		1e-697	1e-452	1e-525	1e-327	29.49%	34.28%	32.64%	30.48%	18.26%	24.87%	21.81%	20.83%
		0h	5h	24h	48h	0h	5h	24h	48h	0h	5h	24h	48h

Additional Fig. 4 Motif enrichment for selected known hematopoiesis related transcription

factors. At each time point, peak sequences were scanned by HOMER for significantly enriched

"known motifs". The motifs selected here illustrate a significant enrichment (zero or one

occurrence per sequence coupled with the hypergeometric enrichment calculations) of motifs

associated with hematopoiesis and chromatin remodeling. For an extensive list of tested motifs,

see **Additional File.5**.

Additional Fig. 5 Combined Analysis of scRNA-seq Single cell RNA expression with

ATAC-seq chromatin accessibility peaks. A An example showing the changes in the

configuration of the chromatin reflected by differences in the peaks of the ATAC-seq and aligning

the genomic coordinates with CAGE-defined promoter region (yellow box). **B** The CAGE-

defined promoters are linked to gene isoforms. **C** Changes in the promoter accessibility from the

ATAC-seq is integrated with the changes in the expression of the gene (RNA-Sequencing of single-

cells). In this example, the promoter changes from an open configuration to a close configuration,

and the gene isoform in its control changes expression. **D** TFs are then linked to the promoter

using moderate to high confidence of motif occurrences in the specific genomic region. TFs

themselves could change in their expression. In the example shown, the TF changes in expression,

subsequently acting on a promoter that changes from an open to a close configuration and finally

regulating a gene that changes in its expression between time *t2* and *t1*.

Additional Fig. 6 Promoter configuration dynamics and transcription influence on gene expression regulation between 24h and 48h. A Enrichment analysis for differentially expressed genes (DE) and non-DE genes depending on the promoter accessibility dynamics. **B** Enrichment analysis differentially expressed genes (DE) and non-DE genes depending on the promoter accessibility dynamics and the changes of the expression of TF-encoding genes that regulate them.

Additional Table. 1. Impact of quality filters on the number of cells retained for final analysis.

Time	Donor	Initial	Quality filters		
			UMI sum filter	Chr Mito filter	ERCC spike filter
5h	1	96	88	88	88
24h	1	96	79	78	78
48h	1	96	93	93	93
72h	1	96	45	45	45

96h	1	96	89	89	89
5h	2	96	88	88	88
24h	2	96	95	95	95
48h	2	96	92	91	88
72h	2	96	96	96	94
96h	2	96	72	70	68

Initially, we lysed 96 cells for each condition. First, we filtered out cells with less than 80 000 reads

in total. Among remaining cells, cells with more than 10% of reads assigned to mitochondrial

genome were also not included in the final dataset. Finally, ERCC spikes allowed removing cells

with not satisfying linear amplification criterion (Pearson coefficient < 0,6).

Additional Table. 2 Total number of peaks in ATAC-seq samples and number of common

peaks retained for analysis.

	0h			5h			24h			48h		
	Donor 1	Donor 2	Donor 3	Donor 1	Donor 2	Donor 3	Donor 1	Donor 2	Donor 3	Donor 1	Donor 2	Donor 3
Unique pairs of reads detected	8 391 299	14 310 319	15 701 894	13 372 383	17 359 415	14 998 407	16 460 386	19 605 272	11 588 529	8 569 939	14 513 711	12 665 206
Nb peaks detected after down sampling	66 155	72 075	66 708	60 734	78 486	68 173	54 288	70 713	65 096	50 404	55 249	49 219
Nb common peaks between donors	46 942			47 023			38 711			29 255		

We randomly downsampled each sample to the same level of 16M reads. Peak calling was applied

on downsampled bam files. Finally, we considered only the intersection of the 3 peaks dataset

available at each time point for further analyses.

Additional File. 1 GO terms results for scRNA-seq WGCNA clusters

(GO_results_WGCNA_clusters.xlsx).

Additional File. 2 CALISTA 200 most variable genes matrix and cluster transition genes

(CALISTA_200_Top_Variable_Genes.xlsx).

Additional File. 3 scRNA-seq barcode sequences used for cell and plate demultiplexing

(scRNA-seq_barcodes.tar.gz).

Additional File. 4 Detailed accessibility profile of 11 TFs known to play a role in

hematopoiesis regulatory network (11_TF_Gene_Coverage.tar.gz).

Additional File. 5 Motif enrichment obtained with HOMER for 400 known motifs between

t = 0h and t = 48h (homer_results.tar.gz).