1

**Nuclear total RNA sequencing reveals primary sequence context of recursive splicing in long genes**

Sohyun Moon[1], Jacob Vazquez[1], Jerry Yingtao Zhao[1*]

[1]Department of Biomedical Sciences, New York Institute of Technology College of Osteopathic Medicine, Old Westbury, NY, 11568, USA.

*Correspondence: yzhao47@nyit.edu

**Abstract**

**Background:** Recursive splicing (RS) is a mechanism to excise long introns from messenger RNA precursors. We focused on nuclear RNA, which is enriched for RS splicing intermediates and nascent transcripts, to investigate RS in the mouse brain.

**Results:** We identified novel RS sites and discovered that RS is constitutive between excitatory and inhibitory neurons and between sexes in the mouse cerebral cortex. We found that the primary sequence context, including the U1 snRNA binding site, the polypyrimidine tract, and a strong 3' splice site, distinguishes the RS AGGT site from hundreds of non-RS AGGT sites in the same intron. Moreover, we uncovered a new type of exon-like RS events termed exonicRS.

**Conclusions:** We demonstrate that nuclear total RNA sequencing is an efficient approach to identify RS events. We find the importance of the primary sequence context in the definition of RS AGGT sites. The exonicRS may represent an intermediate stage of RS sites evolving into annotated exons. Overall, our findings provide novel insights into the mechanisms of RS in long genes.

**Keywords**

Recursive splicing, RS, nuclear total RNA-seq, total RNA-seq, RS exon, long intron, long gene.

**Background**

Recursive splicing (RS) is a splicing mechanism that is specific to long introns in

long genes[1-10]. RS removes a long intron into several smaller segments as opposed to

in a large single unit. Unlike canonical splicing, RS is untraceable in mature mRNAs.

Thus, the direct evidence of RS is the splicing intermediates. However, RS splicing

intermediates are unstable, making them difficult to be captured and analyzed. Whole-

cell ribosomal RNA-depleted total RNA sequencing (total RNA-seq) and nascent RNA-

seq have been used to capture RS splicing intermediates[3, 4, 7-10], but the efficiency is

relatively low. Therefore, new approaches are needed to identify RS events.

RS depends on a sequence motif of juxtaposed 3' and 5' splice site (AGGT).

However, each RS intron contains hundreds to thousands of AGGT sites. It remains

unclear how the RS splicing machinery selectively and precisely utilizes a specific AGGT

site while ignoring other AGGT sites in the same intron. In addition, a group of annotated

exons (RS exons) use RS-like mechanism for splicing[4, 7], suggesting a possible link

between RS and RS exons, but the details of this link remain elusive.

Here, we focused on nuclear RNAs to investigate RS events because RS splicing

intermediates and nascent transcripts are mainly localized in the nucleus. We reanalyzed

the nuclear total RNA-seq data that we generated from the mouse cerebral cortex[11,

12]. We identified novel RS sites, examined the cell type and sex specificity of RS, and

characterized the features of RS sites in mice. We also uncovered exon-like RS, which is

a novel type of RS events. With a series of analysis of the sequence context of RS AGGT

sites, non-RS AGGT sites, and exon-like RS, we discovered that the primary sequence

context distinguishes RS AGGT sites from non-RS AGGT sites and determines the choice

between RS and exon-like RS. Our findings provide novel insights into the mechanism

and evolution of RS in long genes.

**Results**

**Nuclear total RNA is enriched for nascent transcripts and RS splicing**

**intermediates.** Two features are critical for the identification of RS sites from total RNA-

seq data (Fig. 1a). One feature is the saw-tooth pattern of decreasing read density in the

host intron (RS intron)[3, 4] (Fig. 1a, red triangles), which indicates nascent transcripts

and splicing status[13, 14]. The other feature is the junction reads spanning upstream

exon and the RS AGGT site (RS junction reads, Fig. 1a), which indicate the RS splicing

intermediates. Given that nascent transcripts and RS splicing intermediates are localized

in the nucleus, we asked whether nuclear total RNA is enriched for nascent transcripts

and RS splicing intermediates compared to whole-cell total RNA (Fig. 1b). To answer this

question, we reanalyzed the whole-cell total RNA-seq and nuclear total RNA-seq data

(Figure S1a in Additional file 1) that we generated from the cerebral cortex of 6-week-old

mice[11, 12].

We first examined nascent transcripts in whole-cell total RNA-seq data, nuclear total

RNA-seq data, and poly(A) enriched messenger RNA-seq (mRNA-seq) data from the

mouse cerebral cortex[15]. We calculated the proportions of reads mapped to introns

because total RNA-seq reads in introns indicate nascent transcripts[13, 14]. We found

that 77% of the uniquely mapped reads from the nuclear total RNA-seq data were

localized in introns (Figure S1a,b in Additional file 1), which was significantly higher than

the 41% from the whole-cell total RNA-seq data ($P < 2.2^{-16}$, one-tailed Fisher's Exact

Test). In contrast, only 23% of the uniquely mapped reads were localized in introns from

mRNA-seq data (Figure S1a in Additional file 1). Thus, nuclear total RNA-seq captures

more nascent transcripts than whole-cell total RNA-seq does.

We next compared the two RS features (Fig. 1a) between the two total RNA-seq

methods in a known RS intron, the intron of *Hs6st3[4]*. A more distinct saw-tooth pattern

was observed in nuclear total RNA-seq data than that in whole-cell total RNA-seq data

(Fig. 1c). Furthermore, the number of RS junction reads at *Hs6st3* was 3-fold higher in

nuclear total RNA-seq data than in whole-cell total RNA-seq data (Fig. 1d). Together,

these results demonstrate that nuclear total RNA is enriched for nascent transcripts and

RS splicing intermediates.

**Identification of RS sites from nuclear total RNA-seq data.** To identify new RS sites,

we developed a pipeline based on the RS junction reads and the saw-tooth patterns in

RS introns from total RNA-seq data (Fig. 1e and Figure S1c in Additional file 1). We

extracted all junction reads spanning AGGT sites from the alignment results, focused on

AGGT sites located in long introns (length ≥ 50 kb), selected sites enriched for RS junction

reads compared to mRNA-seq, and chose sites containing 10 or more RS junction reads

as RS site candidates (Figure S1c in Additional file 1). The candidates were further refined

based on saw-tooth patterns in their host introns (Figure S1c in Additional file 1).

By applying this pipeline to our nuclear total RNA-seq data, we identified 19 RS sites,

which include all of the ten known RS sites in mice[4] (Figure S1d in Additional file 1),

indicating the high sensitivity of our method. Notably, 47% of RS sites we identified are

novel, including the four sites in the introns of *Lsamp* (Fig. 1f, green arrows). In addition,

we identified 111% more RS sites using nuclear total RNA-seq data than using whole-

cell total RNA-seq data (Fig. 1g and Figure S1d in Additional file 1). Together, these

results demonstrate that nuclear total RNA-seq is an efficient approach to identify RS

sites.

**Cell type specificity of RS in the mouse cerebral cortex.** To investigate the cell type

specificity of RS, we analyzed RS in the two major types of neurons in the mouse cerebral

cortex, the excitatory neurons and the inhibitory neurons, which account for 85% and 15%

of the neurons in the mouse cerebral cortex[11]. We reanalyzed the nuclear total RNA-

seq data we generated from the two neuronal cell types (Figure S1a in Additional file 1).

We applied our pipeline to these data and identified 17 RS sites in excitatory neurons and

18 RS sites in inhibitory neurons (Fig. 2a and Figure S1d in Additional file 1). Notably, all

but one of the RS sites are common in both neuronal cell types (Fig. 2a). The only RS

site unique to inhibitory neurons resides in the *Kcnip1* gene, which is only expressed in

the inhibitory neurons (Fig. 2b and 2c). Therefore, these results indicate that RS is largely

constitutive between these two types of neurons in the mouse cerebral cortex.

**Sex specificity of RS in the mouse cerebral cortex.** To investigate the sex specificity

of RS, we also reanalyzed the nuclear total RNA-seq data of excitatory neurons from the

cerebral cortex of female mice[11] and identified 15 RS sites (Figure S1d in Additional file

1). All of the 15 sites are included in the 17 RS sites we identified from male excitatory

neurons (Fig. 2a). The remaining two RS sites are unlikely male specific, because we

also identified seven and five junction reads for them in the female data (Figure S1d in

Additional file 1), although they failed to pass our criteria of 10 junction reads. Together,

these results indicate that RS is constitutive between male and female excitatory neurons

in the mouse cerebral cortex.

**Characteristics of the RS sites in mice.** We next investigated the characteristics of the

20 RS sites we identified in mice (Figure S1d in Additional file 1). Given the high

conservation of RS mechanism among species[3, 4, 7-9], we first investigated the

sequence conservation of the 600 nt regions surrounding the RS sites. In agreement with

previous studies[3, 4, 7, 8], the AGGT motif at the RS sites is highly conserved across 60

vertebrate genomes, showing high phylogenetic p-value scores (phyloP scores)

compared to upstream and downstream regions (Fig. 3a). The RS introns, showing a

median length of 267 kb and a mean length of 382 kb, were significantly longer than

introns transcribed in the mouse cerebral cortex (Fig. 3b). Based on the profile of histone

H3 lysine 4 trimethylation in the mouse cerebral cortex[12], we found that 75% of RS

introns were the first intron, and the rest 25% were the second intron in their host genes

(Fig. 3c).

**RS genes are specifically expressed in the brain.** RS genes are highly expressed long

genes, showing a median length of 628 kb (Figure S1e in Additional file 1). To determine

whether RS genes are specifically expressed in the brain, we investigated the expression

patterns of RS genes among 22 mouse tissues from the ENCODE project[15]. We found

that RS genes are specifically expressed in brain regions including the cortex, frontal

cortex, and cerebellum, but rarely in other tissues (Fig. 3d). To further explore the

expression patterns of RS genes in different cell types in the brain, we examined their

expression by analyzing single nucleus RNA-seq data generated from the mouse cerebral

cortex[16], which profiled gene expression in 24 cell types including excitatory neurons,

inhibitory neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (Figure S1f in Additional file 1). We

found that although 77% of RS genes were constitutively expressed among most of the

24 cell types, 23% of RS genes were expressed restrictedly in specific cell types (Fig.

3e). For example, *Kcnip1* is only expressed in the five subtypes of inhibitory neurons (Fig.

3e and Figure S1f in Additional file 1).

**The primary sequence features are different between RS and non-RS AGGT sites**

**in the same introns.**  It remains unclear how RS splicing machinery precisely utilizes a

specific AGGT site but ignoring other AGGT sites in the same intron. For example,

although there are 2641 AGGT sites in the intron of *Hs6st3*, only one AGGT site is used

by the RS splicing machinery (Fig. 4a).

To identify the difference between RS and non-RS AGGT sites, we systematically

compared the 2640 non-RS AGGT sites in *Hs6st3* intron with all the 20 RS sites we

identified. First, we examined the sequence features surrounding the AGGT sites using

WebLogo[17] and found two features specific to RS AGGT sites (Fig. 4b). One feature is

the enrichment of AGGTAAGT motif (Fig. 4b), which complements with the 5' conserved

sequence of U1 snRNA (Fig. 4c). Notably, the base pairing between U1 snRNA and splice

site is critical for splicing recognition[18]. The other feature is the enrichment of thymine

and cytosine in the 20 nt regions upstream of RS AGGT sites (Fig. 4b), known as the

polypyrimidine tract[19]. To quantify this enrichment, we calculated the nucleotide

composition of these regions and found the high percentages of thymine and cytosine in

RS AGGT sites, but not in non-RS AGGT sites (Fig. 4d). Lastly, given that RS AGGT sites

are used as the 3' splice sites (3'SS) during splicing, we quantified the strengths of 3'SS

using MaxEntScan[20] and found significantly higher 3'SS scores of RS AGGT sites than

that of non-RS AGGT sites (Fig. 4e). Together, these results demonstrate that RS AGGT

sites exhibit specific primary sequence features compared to non-RS AGGT sites.

**Computational prediction of RS sites based on primary sequences alone.** Next, we

investigated whether primary sequence features alone could be used to predict RS sites.

We developed a computational pipeline to predict RS sites based on the presence of

AGGT motifs and three additional sequence features (Fig. 4f, blue box). First, the AGGT

site should have a U1 snRNA binding site, AGGTAAGB (B is T, G, or C) or AGGTGAGT

(Fig. 4f). Second, the 20 nt region upstream of the AGGT site should have a

polypyrimidine tract. The percentage of thymine in this region should be ≥ 40%, and the

combined percentage of thymine and cytosine in this region should be ≥ 75% (Fig. 4f).

Third, the AGGT site should have a strong 3'SS. The MaxEnt score of 3'SS should be ≥

9.3 (Fig. 4f). The cutoff values of these criteria were obtained from the values of *Hs6st3*

(Figure S2a in Additional file 2).

We applied the computational prediction pipeline on the 23,710 AGGT sites in the 20

RS introns. Eighteen AGGTs sites passed the criteria (Fig. 4f), which include 85% (17 of

20) of RS AGGT sites that we identified from the sequencing data (Fig. 4f and Figure S2a

in Additional file 2). In contrast, 99.996% (all but one of the 23,690) of non-RS AGGT sites

in RS introns failed these criteria (Fig. 4f and Figure S2b in Additional file 2). Thus, our

computational prediction pipeline has an accuracy of 85% and a false discovery rate of

0.004%. Together, these data suggest that RS AGGT sites are largely determined by

their primary sequence context.

It remains unclear that all long introns contain AGGT sites, but most of long introns

do not use the RS splicing mechanism. We speculated that this is because AGGT sites

in non-RS long introns lack the required primary sequence context of RS. To test this, we

applied our computational prediction pipeline to 20 non-RS introns, which showed the

similar intron length and expression levels of host genes compared to the 20 RS introns

we identified (Figure S2c,2d in Additional file 2). There are 31,826 AGGT sites in the 20

non-RS introns (Fig. 4f). Notably, 99.99% (all but three) of these AGGT sites failed our

computational prediction criteria of RS sites (Fig. 4f and Figure S2b in Additional file 2),

indicating that the lack of the required primary sequence context restrains RS in these

long introns.

**Identification of exon-like RS events.** A group of annotated exons (RS exons) utilize a

RS-like mechanism for splicing[4, 7], suggesting a possible link between RS and

annotated RS exons. Given that RS uses the splicing mechanism of exon definition[4, 7,

21-23], we hypothesized that RS may evolve into annotated RS exons through an

intermediate stage of exon-like RS events (hereafter termed exonicRS) (Fig. 5a). To test

this hypothesis, we developed a pipeline to identify exonicRS (Figure S3a in Additional

file 3). This pipeline is based on the assumption that exonicRS contains RS exon-like

features, junction reads spanning the upstream exon (Up junction reads), junction reads

spanning the downstream exon (Down junction reads), and no saw-tooth pattern (Fig.

5a). By applying this pipeline to our nuclear total RNA-seq data, we discovered 22

exonicRS in the introns of 21 long genes (Figure S3b in Additional file 3).

To illuminate the features of exonicRS, we first analyzed the numbers of junction

reads in RS and exonicRS. We found that RS exhibited 37-fold more Up junction reads

than Down junction reads (Fig. 5b). In contrast, exonicRS exhibited comparable numbers

of Up and Down junction reads (Fig. 5b). Next, we investigated the 5' splice sites (5'SS)

at the two ends of the exons at RS sites and exonicRS, the reconstituted 5'SS (r5'SS)

after the first step of splicing and the downstream 5'SS (Down 5'SS). These were

investigated because the competition between them may be associated with the inclusion

of the RS exons in mature transcripts[4, 7, 9]. We examined the sequence features of

r5'SS and Down 5'SS using WebLogo[17] and found that RS showed an enrichment of

5'SS motif (AGGTAAGT) at the r5'SS but not at the Down 5'SS, while exonicRS showed

the opposite trend (Fig. 5c). Quantification of the strengths of r5'SS and Down 5'SS using

MaxEntScan[20] demonstrated that the MaxEnt scores of r5'SS were significantly higher

than that of Down 5'SS in RS sites (Fig. 5d), while the MaxEnt scores of r5'SS were

significantly lower than that of Down 5'SS in exonicRS (Fig. 5d). Taken together, these

results demonstrate that RS and exonicRS exhibit distinct molecular features.

**Strengths of 5'SS are able to distinguish RS and exonicRS.** We next asked whether

the strengths of r5'SS and Down 5'SS were able to distinguish RS and exonicRS. We

plotted the MaxEnt scores of 5'SS of the 20 RS and the 22 exonicRS (Fig. 5e).

Unexpectedly, they were classified into three categories based on the 5'SS scores (Figure

5e and Figure S3b in Additional file 3). One category is exonicRS, which exhibits high

Down 5'SS scores and low r5'SS scores (Fig. 5e, green triangles). Another category is

RS, which exhibits high r5'SS scores and low Down 5'SS scores (Fig. 5e, pink dots).

There is also a third category that contains ten RS and one exonicRS (Fig. 5e, blue oval

region). Further analyses revealed that these ten RS sites in the third category all

contained Down junction reads (Figure S3b in Additional file 3), suggesting that the third

category is a combination of RS and exonicRS (Fig. 5a). This combination is further

supported by the observation that the exonicRS in the third category exhibits a weak saw-

tooth pattern, which failed to pass our stringent criteria in our initial identification of RS

sites (Figure S3c in Additional file 3). Notably, both of the two RS sites (*Cadm1* and *Ank3*),

which have been experimentally confirmed to utilize the exon definition mechanism in

mammals[4], were classified into the third category (Figure S3b in Additional file 3).

Together, these results support that the strengths of 5'SS are able to distinguish RS and

exonicRS (Fig. 5f).

**Discussion**

A long gene consumes more time and resources to make a transcript than a short

gene does. To overcome the length constraint, long genes may use specific mechanisms

to regulate their transcription and co-transcriptional processes, such as splicing. RS is a

splicing mechanism specific to long introns in long genes. In this study, we developed an

efficient pipeline to identify RS from nuclear total RNA-seq data, investigated the primary

sequence context of RS, and discovered a novel type of RS events. Our identification of

20 RS sites from high-depth nuclear total RNA-seq data suggests that RS is likely a

special splicing mechanism only for a small portion of introns in the mammalian genome.

RS introns are mainly (75%) first introns. Given that first introns, particularly their lengths,

are critical for the transcriptional activities of host genes[24-28], RS mechanism may also

contribute to the transcriptional regulation.

Each long intron contains hundreds to thousands of AGGT sites, suggesting that

AGGT motif alone could not determine the RS mechanism. Previous studies reported that

RS AGGT sites exhibit specific sequence context, but it remains unclear whether the

sequence context alone could predict RS. We systematically examined the sequence

context of RS sites and developed a computational pipeline to predict RS with high

accuracy and low false discovery rate. Our findings indicate that RS is largely determined

by the primary sequence context. Notably, several RS sites failed our prediction pipeline,

suggesting that other factors, such as RNA binding proteins and RNA structures[3, 29-

34], may also play a role in the definition of RS mechanism. Thus, further investigations

are necessary to integrate the primary sequence context, RNA binding protein binding,

and RNA structures to illustrate the molecular basis of RS.

Long introns exhibit a high rate of creating new exons during evolution[35], but the

underlying mechanism remains unclear. Our discovery of exonicRS indicates that long

introns may acquire novel exons via the RS mechanism. This is supported by the findings

that more than 6000 human annotated exons are putative RS exons[29]. In addition, the

numbers of RS sites in *Drosophila melanogaster* are about 15 times more than that in

humans[3, 4, 7-9], but the numbers of RS-like annotated exons in *Drosophila* are 2~100

times less than that in humans[4, 7, 29]. These observations further support our indication

of RS sites evolving into annotated exons. Therefore, future studies are required to

investigate RS, exonicRS, and RS exons in evolutionarily distinct species using

approaches such as nuclear total RNA-seq.

RS genes are specifically expressed in the brain and are genetically linked to various

human brain disorders. For example, *ANK3* encodes ankyrin-G and is linked to autism

spectrum disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, intellectual disability, and

bipolar disorder[36-39]. Also, *NTM* encodes neurotrimin and is linked to autism spectrum

disorders and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder[40, 41]. The *PDE4D* encodes

phosphodiesterase 4D and is linked to schizophrenia, psychosis, acrodysostosis, and

neuroticism[42-44]. Notably, PDE4D Inhibitors are in clinical trials for the treatment of

Alzheimer's disease and Fragile X syndrome[45, 46]. Given that the disruption of the RS

process interfered with the RS gene function and caused abnormality in the central

nervous system[7], further investigation will be necessary to illuminate whether RS

mechanism contributes to the pathophysiology of these human brain disorders.

**Conclusions**

In this study, we reveal the molecular mechanism of RS in long introns of long genes. Our

results highlighted that nuclear total RNA-seq is an efficient approach to investigate RS.

We develop a novel pipeline to identify RS events, characterize the cell type specificity

and genomic features of RS sites, and discover a novel type of RS events. Through

analysis of primary sequence, we demonstrate that RS is largely determined by the

primary sequence context, thus providing novel insights into the RS mechanism. Our

discovery of exonicRS indicates a new mechanism by which long genes could acquire

new exons. Overall, our findings provide mechanistic insights into the splicing and

evolution of long genes and reveal a new avenue to understand the human diseases

associated with these long genes.

**Methods**

**Statistical analysis.** All statistical analyses were performed in the R software version

3.6.1 (https://www.r-project.org/).

**Nuclear total RNA-seq data analysis.** Raw data in sra files were downloaded from the

EBI European Nucleotide Archive database[47] using the accession numbers listed in

Figure S1. The fastq-dump.2.9.6 of NCBI SRA ToolKit was used to extract the FASTQ

files using the parameter of "--split-3". STAR[48] was used to map the FASTQ raw reads

into mouse mm10 genome using the parameters of "--runThreadN 40 --

outFilterMultimapNmax 1 --outFilterMismatchNmax 3". The samtools view[49] was used

to convert sam files into bam files. The samtools sort was used to sort the bam files. The

samtools index was used to index the sorted bam files. The bamCoverage[50] was used

to convert the sorted bam files into strand-specific bigwig files. The bamCoverage

parameters that were used included "--filterRNAstrand forward --binSize 1 -p 40 -o" for

plus strand and "--filterRNAstrand reverse --binSize 1 -p 40 -o" for minus strand.

**Sequencing data visualization.** All sequencing data, including the bigwig files and bam

files, were visualized in the IGV_2.8.2 genome browser[51].

**Genome annotation.** The gtf file of mouse genome annotation was downloaded from the

Ensembl release 93[52].

**Junction reads.** A read pair is considered as a junction read if its CIGAR in sam files

contains "N". Junction reads were extracted from sam files and were saved into a junction-

read-specific sam files. These sam files were further converted into bam files using

samtools. The junction-read-specific bam files were loaded into IGV for visualization.

**Junction reads spanning AGGT sites.** All AGGT sites (20,403,114) in the mouse mm10

genome were identified, and only AGGT sites (4,767,575) located in the gene sense

regions were kept for further analysis. The AGGT sites that were kept were used to screen

the junction-read-specific sam files. The numbers of junction reads spanning each AGGT

site (joining the upstream exon and sequences following GT) were counted. The counts

of junction reads were further normalized to the sequencing depth to obtain the RPM

values.

**Pipeline to identify RS sites.** The schematic of this pipeline is shown in Figure S1c in

Additional file 1. Briefly, AGGT sites located in introns longer than or equal to 50 kb were

extracted. Sites that showed a larger RPM value of junction reads in total RNA-seq data

than in mRNA-seq data were kept for downstream analyses. The counts of junction reads

of biological replicates were merged, and AGGT sites that contained 10 or more junction

reads were identified as RS site candidates. The RS site candidates were further refined

as RS sites if the host intron showed a clear saw-tooth pattern.

**FPKM of nuclear total RNA-seq data.** The number of reads mapped to the exonic

regions of each gene were calculated to get the raw counts. The raw counts were then

normalized to the exon lengths of that gene and to the sequencing depth of that data set

to get the FPKM values.

**Phylogenetic p-value (phyloP) scores.** The phyloP scores, which were calculated by

the PHAST package for multiple alignments of 59 vertebrate genomes to the mouse

genome, were obtained from the UCSC Genome Browser

(http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenpath/mm10/phyloP60way/).

**Gene expression profiles in 22 mouse tissues.** The expression profiles (FPKM values)

of RS genes in 22 mouse tissues were obtained from the LongGeneDB database

(https://longgenedb.com).

**Control long introns.** Introns transcribed in the mouse cerebral cortex were sorted by

intron lengths. Only the highly expressed introns (host gene FPKM > 20) were retained,

and the top 20 longest introns were used as the control long introns.

**Single nucleus RNA-seq data.** The expression levels of RS genes in the 24 cell types

in the mouse cerebral cortex were obtained from the LongGeneDB database

(https://longgenedb.com).

**WebLogo analysis.** WebLogo 3[17] (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com) was used to

perform the sequence logo analysis. The Output Format was chosen as "PNG (high res.)",

and the Stacks per Line was set to "80". The default values were used for other

parameters.

**MaxEntScan 3'SS analysis.** The 3'SS scores were calculated by MaxEntScan::score3ss

(http://hollywood.mit.edu/burgelab/maxent/Xmaxentscan_scoreseq_acc.html)[20].  The

input sequences were composed of the 18 nt region upstream of the AGGT, the AGGT

motif, and the one nucleotide following AGGT (18nt + AGGT + 1nt). The three models -

Maximum Entropy Model, First-order Markov Model, and Weight Matrix Model – were

selected. The MaxEnt scores were used as the 3'SS scores.

**Reconstituted 5' splice sites (r5'SS).** The r5'SS sequences were composed of the last 30 nucleotides of the upstream exon, the GT motif, and the 20 nucleotides following AGGT (30nt + GT + 20nt).

**MaxEntScan 5'SS analysis.** The 5'SS scores were calculated by MaxEntScan::score5ss (http://hollywood.mit.edu/burgelab/maxent/Xmaxentscan_scoreseq.html)[20]. The input sequences for 5'SS were composed of three nucleotides before the GT, the GT motif, and the four nucleotides following AGGT (3nt + GT + 4nt). The input sequences of r5'SS and Down 5'SS were listed in Figure S3b in Additional file 3.

**Pipeline to identify exonicRS.** The schematic of this pipeline is shown in Figure S3a in Additional file 3. Briefly, AGGT sites located in introns longer than or equal to 50 kb were extracted. The AGGT sites that showed a larger RPM value of junction reads in total RNA-seq data than in mRNA-seq data were kept for downstream analyses. The counts of the junction reads of the biological replicates were merged. AGGT sites contained 10 or more Up-junction reads and two or more Down-junction reads were identified as exonicRS candidates. The exonicRS candidates were further refined as exonicRS if the host intron showed an exon-like but not saw-tooth like pattern.

**Abbreviations:**

RS: recursive splicing; mRNA: messenger RNA; kb: kilobase; RNA-seq: RNA sequencing; mRNA-seq: poly(A) enriched messenger RNA sequencing; phyloP: phylogenetic p-value; 3'SS: 3' splice site; 5'SS; 5' splice site; RPM, reads per million uniquely mapped reads.

**Declarations:**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are available in the in NCBI GEO

database with the accession codes listed in Figure S1a in Additional file 1. The custom

code supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the GitHub repository,

https://github.com/Jerry-Zhao/RS2020.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Funding**

None.

**Authors' contributions**

SM, JV, and JYZ curated data and wrote the manuscript. SM performed the experiments.

JYZ conceived the project, designed the experiments, performed the computational

analyses. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Dr. Raddy Ramos, Dr. Weikang Cai, Dr. Lars Udo-Bellner, and members of the

Long Gene Lab for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. We thank the

## References

1. Hatton AR, Subramaniam V, Lopez AJ: **Generation of alternative Ultrabithorax isoforms**
**and stepwise removal of a large intron by resplicing at exon-exon junctions**. *Mol Cell*
1998, **2**(6):787-796.
2. Burnette JM, Miyamoto-Sato E, Schaub MA, Conklin J, Lopez AJ: **Subdivision of large**
**introns in Drosophila by recursive splicing at nonexonic elements**. *Genetics* 2005,
**170**(2):661-674.
3. Duff MO, Olson S, Wei X, Garrett SC, Osman A, Bolisetty M, Plocik A, Celniker SE, Graveley
BR: **Genome-wide identification of zero nucleotide recursive splicing in Drosophila**.
*Nature* 2015, **521**(7552):376-379.
4. Sibley CR, Emmett W, Blazquez L, Faro A, Haberman N, Briese M, Trabzuni D, Ryten M,
Weale ME, Hardy J *et al*: **Recursive splicing in long vertebrate genes**. *Nature* 2015,
**521**(7552):371-375.
5. Cook-Andersen H, Wilkinson MF: **Molecular biology: Splicing does the two-step**. *Nature*
2015, **521**(7552):300-301.
6. Kelly S, Georgomanolis T, Zirkel A, Diermeier S, O'Reilly D, Murphy S, Langst G, Cook PR,
Papantonis A: **Splicing of many human genes involves sites embedded within introns**.
*Nucleic Acids Res* 2015, **43**(9):4721-4732.
7. Joseph B, Kondo S, Lai EC: **Short cryptic exons mediate recursive splicing in Drosophila**.
*Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2018, **25**(5):365-371.
8. Pai AA, Paggi JM, Yan P, Adelman K, Burge CB: **Numerous recursive sites contribute to**
**accuracy of splicing in long introns in flies**. *PLoS Genet* 2018, **14**(8):e1007588.
9. Zhang XO, Fu Y, Mou H, Xue W, Weng Z: **The temporal landscape of recursive splicing**
**during Pol II transcription elongation in human cells**. *PLoS Genet* 2018, **14**(8):e1007579.
10. Hayashi T, Ozaki H, Sasagawa Y, Umeda M, Danno H, Nikaido I: **Single-cell full-length total**
**RNA sequencing uncovers dynamics of recursive splicing and enhancer RNAs**. *Nat*
*Commun* 2018, **9**(1):619.
11. Johnson BS, Zhao YT, Fasolino M, Lamonica JM, Kim YJ, Georgakilas G, Wood KH, Bu D, Cui
Y, Goffin D *et al*: **Biotin tagging of MeCP2 in mice reveals contextual insights into the**
**Rett syndrome transcriptome**. *Nat Med* 2017, **23**(10):1203-1214.

12.  Zhao YT, Kwon DY, Johnson BS, Fasolino M, Lamonica JM, Kim YJ, Zhao BS, He C, Vahedi
G, Kim TH *et al*: **Long genes linked to autism spectrum disorders harbor broad enhancer-**
**like chromatin domains**. *Genome Res* 2018, **28**(7):933-942.
13.  Ameur A, Zaghlool A, Halvardson J, Wetterbom A, Gyllensten U, Cavelier L, Feuk L: **Total**
**RNA sequencing reveals nascent transcription and widespread co-transcriptional**
**splicing in the human brain**. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2011, **18**(12):1435-1440.
14.  Tilgner H, Knowles DG, Johnson R, Davis CA, Chakrabortty S, Djebali S, Curado J, Snyder
M, Gingeras TR, Guigo R: **Deep sequencing of subcellular RNA fractions shows splicing**
**to be predominantly co-transcriptional in the human genome but inefficient for**
**lncRNAs**. *Genome Res* 2012, **22**(9):1616-1625.
15.  Pervouchine DD, Djebali S, Breschi A, Davis CA, Barja PP, Dobin A, Tanzer A, Lagarde J,
Zaleski C, See LH *et al*: **Enhanced transcriptome maps from multiple mouse tissues reveal**
**evolutionary constraint in gene expression**. *Nat Commun* 2015, **6**:5903.
16.  Hu P, Fabyanic E, Kwon DY, Tang S, Zhou Z, Wu H: **Dissecting Cell-Type Composition and**
**Activity-Dependent Transcriptional State in Mammalian Brains by Massively Parallel**
**Single-Nucleus RNA-Seq**. *Mol Cell* 2017, **68**(5):1006-1015 e1007.
17.  Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE: **WebLogo: a sequence logo generator**.
*Genome Res* 2004, **14**(6):1188-1190.
18.  Seraphin B, Kretzner L, Rosbash M: **A U1 snRNA:pre-mRNA base pairing interaction is**
**required early in yeast spliceosome assembly but does not uniquely define the 5'**
**cleavage site**. *EMBO J* 1988, **7**(8):2533-2538.
19.  Fu XY, Ge H, Manley JL: **The role of the polypyrimidine stretch at the SV40 early pre-**
**mRNA 3' splice site in alternative splicing**. *EMBO J* 1988, **7**(3):809-817.
20.  Yeo G, Burge CB: **Maximum entropy modeling of short sequence motifs with**
**applications to RNA splicing signals**. *J Comput Biol* 2004, **11**(2-3):377-394.
21.  Robberson BL, Cote GJ, Berget SM: **Exon definition may facilitate splice site selection in**
**RNAs with multiple exons**. *Mol Cell Biol* 1990, **10**(1):84-94.
22.  Georgomanolis T, Sofiadis K, Papantonis A: **Cutting a Long Intron Short: Recursive**
**Splicing and Its Implications**. *Front Physiol* 2016, **7**:598.
23.  Hollander D, Naftelberg S, Lev-Maor G, Kornblihtt AR, Ast G: **How Are Short Exons**
**Flanked by Long Introns Defined and Committed to Splicing?** *Trends Genet* 2016,
**32**(10):596-606.
24.  Pai AA, Henriques T, McCue K, Burkholder A, Adelman K, Burge CB: **The kinetics of pre-**
**mRNA splicing in the Drosophila genome and the influence of gene architecture**. *Elife*
2017, **6**.
25.  Shepard S, McCreary M, Fedorov A: **The peculiarities of large intron splicing in animals**.
*PLoS One* 2009, **4**(11):e7853.
26.  Singh J, Padgett RA: **Rates of in situ transcription and splicing in large human genes**. *Nat*
*Struct Mol Biol* 2009, **16**(11):1128-1133.
27.  Swinburne IA, Miguez DG, Landgraf D, Silver PA: **Intron length increases oscillatory**
**periods of gene expression in animal cells**. *Genes Dev* 2008, **22**(17):2342-2346.
28.  Fong YW, Zhou Q: **Stimulatory effect of splicing factors on transcriptional elongation**.
*Nature* 2001, **414**(6866):929-933.

29.   Blazquez L, Emmett W, Faraway R, Pineda JMB, Bajew S, Gohr A, Haberman N, Sibley CR,
Bradley RK, Irimia M *et al*: **Exon Junction Complex Shapes the Transcriptome by**
**Repressing Recursive Splicing**. *Mol Cell* 2018, **72**(3):496-509 e499.
30.   Patton RD, Sanjeev M, Woodward LA, Mabin JW, Bundschuh R, Singh G: **Chemical**
**crosslinking enhances RNA immunoprecipitation for efficient identification of binding**
**sites of proteins that photo-crosslink poorly with RNA**. *RNA* 2020.
31.   Cai Z, Cao C, Ji L, Ye R, Wang D, Xia C, Wang S, Du Z, Hu N, Yu X *et al*: **RIC-seq for global in**
**situ profiling of RNA-RNA spatial interactions**. *Nature* 2020.
32.   Xue Y, Zhou Y, Wu T, Zhu T, Ji X, Kwon YS, Zhang C, Yeo G, Black DL, Sun H *et al*: **Genome-**
**wide analysis of PTB-RNA interactions reveals a strategy used by the general splicing**
**repressor to modulate exon inclusion or skipping**. *Mol Cell* 2009, **36**(6):996-1006.
33.   Ule J, Blencowe BJ: **Alternative Splicing Regulatory Networks: Functions, Mechanisms,**
**and Evolution**. *Mol Cell* 2019, **76**(2):329-345.
34.   Van Nostrand EL, Pratt GA, Yee BA, Wheeler EC, Blue SM, Mueller J, Park SS, Garcia KE,
Gelboin-Burkhart C, Nguyen TB *et al*: **Principles of RNA processing from analysis of**
**enhanced CLIP maps for 150 RNA binding proteins**. *Genome Biol* 2020, **21**(1):90.
35.   Roy M, Kim N, Xing Y, Lee C: **The effect of intron length on exon creation ratios during**
**the evolution of mammalian genomes**. *RNA* 2008, **14**(11):2261-2273.
36.   Sanders SJ, Murtha MT, Gupta AR, Murdoch JD, Raubeson MJ, Willsey AJ, Ercan-Sencicek
AG, DiLullo NM, Parikshak NN, Stein JL *et al*: **De novo mutations revealed by whole-**
**exome sequencing are strongly associated with autism**. *Nature* 2012, **485**(7397):237-
241.
37.   Iqbal Z, Vandeweyer G, van der Voet M, Waryah AM, Zahoor MY, Besseling JA, Roca LT,
Vulto-van Silfhout AT, Nijhof B, Kramer JM *et al*: **Homozygous and heterozygous**
**disruptions of ANK3: at the crossroads of neurodevelopmental and psychiatric**
**disorders**. *Hum Mol Genet* 2013, **22**(10):1960-1970.
38.   Ferreira MA, O'Donovan MC, Meng YA, Jones IR, Ruderfer DM, Jones L, Fan J, Kirov G,
Perlis RH, Green EK *et al*: **Collaborative genome-wide association analysis supports a role**
**for ANK3 and CACNA1C in bipolar disorder**. *Nat Genet* 2008, **40**(9):1056-1058.
39.   Schulze TG, Detera-Wadleigh SD, Akula N, Gupta A, Kassem L, Steele J, Pearl J, Strohmaier
J, Breuer R, Schwarz M *et al*: **Two variants in Ankyrin 3 (ANK3) are independent genetic**
**risk factors for bipolar disorder**. *Mol Psychiatry* 2009, **14**(5):487-491.
40.   Maruani A, Huguet G, Beggiato A, ElMaleh M, Toro R, Leblond CS, Mathieu A, Amsellem
F, Lemiere N, Verloes A *et al*: **11q24.2-25 micro-rearrangements in autism spectrum**
**disorders: Relation to brain structures**. *Am J Med Genet A* 2015, **167A**(12):3019-3030.
41.   Brevik EJ, van Donkelaar MM, Weber H, Sanchez-Mora C, Jacob C, Rivero O, Kittel-
Schneider S, Garcia-Martinez I, Aebi M, van Hulzen K *et al*: **Genome-wide analyses of**
**aggressiveness in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder**. *Am J Med Genet B*
*Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2016, **171**(5):733-747.
42.   Sinha V, Ukkola-Vuoti L, Ortega-Alonso A, Torniainen-Holm M, Therman S, Tuulio-
Henriksson A, Jylha P, Kaprio J, Hovatta I, Isometsa E *et al*: **Variants in regulatory elements**
**of PDE4D associate with major mental illness in the Finnish population**. *Mol Psychiatry*
2019.

43.  Lee H, Graham JM, Jr., Rimoin DL, Lachman RS, Krejci P, Tompson SW, Nelson SF, Krakow
D, Cohn DH: **Exome sequencing identifies PDE4D mutations in acrodysostosis**. *Am J Hum*
*Genet* 2012, **90**(4):746-751.
44.  Shifman S, Bhomra A, Smiley S, Wray NR, James MR, Martin NG, Hettema JM, An SS, Neale
MC, van den Oord EJ *et al*: **A whole genome association study of neuroticism using DNA**
**pooling**. *Mol Psychiatry* 2008, **13**(3):302-312.
45.  Pan T, Xie S, Zhou Y, Hu J, Luo H, Li X, Huang L: **Dual functional cholinesterase and PDE4D**
**inhibitors for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease: Design, synthesis and evaluation of**
**tacrine-pyrazolo[3,4-b]pyridine hybrids**. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2019, **29**(16):2150-2152.
46.  Gurney ME, Nugent RA, Mo X, Sindac JA, Hagen TJ, Fox D, 3rd, O'Donnell JM, Zhang C, Xu
Y, Zhang HT *et al*: **Design and Synthesis of Selective Phosphodiesterase 4D (PDE4D)**
**Allosteric Inhibitors for the Treatment of Fragile X Syndrome and Other Brain Disorders**.
*J Med Chem* 2019, **62**(10):4884-4901.
47.  Amid C, Alako BTF, Balavenkataraman Kadhirvelu V, Burdett T, Burgin J, Fan J, Harrison
PW, Holt S, Hussein A, Ivanov E *et al*: **The European Nucleotide Archive in 2019**. *Nucleic*
*Acids Res* 2020, **48**(D1):D70-D76.
48.  Dobin A, Gingeras TR: **Mapping RNA-seq Reads with STAR**. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics*
2015, **51**:11 14 11-11 14 19.
49.  Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,
Genome Project Data Processing S: **The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools**.
*Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**(16):2078-2079.
50.  Ramirez F, Ryan DP, Gruning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, Heyne S, Dundar F,
Manke T: **deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis**.
*Nucleic Acids Res* 2016, **44**(W1):W160-165.
51.  Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP:
**Integrative genomics viewer**. *Nat Biotechnol* 2011, **29**(1):24-26.
52.  Yates AD, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Allen J, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amode MR, Armean
IM, Azov AG, Bennett R *et al*: **Ensembl 2020**. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020, **48**(D1):D682-D688.
583

**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1** Nuclear total RNA-seq is efficient to identify recursive splicing (RS) events. **(a)**

Schematic of the two features of RS - the saw-tooth pattern (red triangles) and the RS

junction read. **(b)** Schematic of the isolation of different types of RNA. **(c)** Sequencing

profile at *Hs6st3* locus. r1, replicate 1. kb, kilobases. **(d)** Boxplot of normalized numbers

of RS junction reads at *Hs6st3* RS site. RPM, reads per million uniquely mapped reads.

*, $P$ = 0.03, one-tailed t-test. **(e)** Schematic of the pipeline utilizing nuclear total RNA-

seq data to identify RS sites. **(f)** Sequencing profile at *Lsamp* locus. Green arrows

indicate the four novel RS sites. **(g)** Bar plot of RS sites identified utilizing the two

sequencing methods in the mouse cortex.

**Fig. 2** Cell type and sex specificity of RS in the mouse cortex. **(a)** Heatmap of RS sites

identified in male cortex, male cortical excitatory and inhibitory neurons, and female

cortical excitatory neurons. **(b)** Nuclear total RNA-seq profile (male) at *Kcnip1* locus. **(c)**

Heatmap of expression levels of five genes in three cell types. FPKM, fragment per

million uniquely mapped reads per kilobase of exonic region.

**Fig. 3** Characteristics of RS in mice. **(a)** Heatmap of phyloP score of RS sites and the

flanking regions. **(b)** Boxplot of lengths of RS introns and introns transcribed in the

mouse cortex. ***, $P < 0.0001$, one-tailed t-test. **(c)** Pie chart of locations of RS introns

in host genes. **(d)** Heatmap of expression levels of RS genes in 22 mouse tissues. **(e)**

Violin plot of expression levels of RS genes in the 24 cell types in the mouse cerebral

cortex.

**Fig. 4** Primary sequence context distinguishes RS AGGT site from hundreds of non-RS

AGGT sites in the same intron. **(a)** Schematic of the 2641 AGGT sites in the *Hs6st3*

intron. Only one AGGT site is used by RS. **(b)** Sequence logos of the 64 nt regions

surrounding the 2640 non-RS AGGT sites in *Hs6st3* intron and the 20 RS AGGT sites.

**(c)** Schematic of the sequence base pairing between the AGGTAAGT motif and U1

snRNA. **(d)** Boxplots of the percentages of nucleotides in the 20 nt region upstream of

the 2640 non-RS AGGT sites and the 20 RS AGGT sites. **(e)** Boxplot of MaxEnt 3'

splice site (3'SS) scores of the 20 RS AGGT sites and the 2640 non-RS AGGT sites.

***, $P = 1.42^{-14}$, one-tailed t-test. **(f)** A computational pipeline to predict RS from intronic

AGGT sites. The criteria are listed in the blue box (left). B represents T, G, or C.

**Fig. 5** The exonicRS may represent the intermediate stage of RS evolving into

annotated RS exons. **(a)** Schematic of RS sites (RS) evolving into RS exons via the

exon-like RS events (exonicRS). Up, the junction reads spanning upstream exon;

Down, the junction reads spanning downstream exon. **(b)** Boxplots of the numbers of

the Up and Down junction reads of RS and exonicRS. ***, $P < 0.0001$, one-tailed t-test.

*ns*, $P = 0.29$, one-tailed t-test. **(c)** Sequence logos of the reconstituted 5'SS (r5'SS) and

the downstream 5'SS (Down 5'SS) of RS and exonicRS. **(d)** Boxplot of MaxEnt scores

of r5'SS and Down 5'SS of RS and exonicRS. ****, $P < 7.9^{-6}$, one-tailed t-test. **(e)**

Scatterplot of the MaxEnt scores of r5'SS and Down 5'SS of RS and exonicRS. **(f)**

Model for the classification of RS events based on the strengths of r5'SS and Down

5'SS.

**Supplemental Information**

**Additional file 1: Figure S1**. Novel RS sites. (**a**) The mapping statistics and access

numbers of RNA-seq data utilized in this study. (**b**) Pie charts of loci of uniquely mapped

reads in gene regions. (**c**) Schematic of the pipeline utilizing nuclear total RNA-seq data

to identify RS sites. (**d**) Heatmap of numbers of RS junction reads at each RS site in

different total RNA-seq data sets. A green box indicates that the RS site was identified in

that data set. (**e**) Boxplots of the gene lengths (up) and expression levels (down) of genes

transcribed in the mouse cerebral cortex (transcribed gene) and RS genes. *P* indicates *P*

value, one-tailed t-test. (**f**) The 24 cell types in the mouse cerebral cortex revealed by

single nucleus RNA-seq data.

**Additional file 2: Figure S2**. Primary sequence context of RS sites. (**a**) The sequence

motifs, nucleotide percentages, and 3'SS MaxEnt scores of the 20 RS sites. The top 17

RS sites passed our prediction criteria of RS sites, while the bottom three RS sites failed.

(**b**) The information of the non-RS AGGTs that passed our prediction criteria of RS sites.

The top non-RS AGGT site resides in the RS intron of *Ank3*, while the bottom three non-

RS AGGT sites reside in the three control long introns. (**c**) Profiles of nuclear total RNA-

seq at the host genes of the 20 control long introns. Black bars indicate the control long

introns. (**d**) Boxplots of the intron lengths (up) and host-gene expression levels (down) of

introns transcribed in the mouse cerebral cortex (transcribed intron), RS introns, and

control long introns.

**Additional file 3: Figure S3**. Identification of exonicRS. (**a**) Schematic of the pipeline

utilizing nuclear total RNA-seq data to identify exonicRS. (**b**) Tables of information of

genomic loci, junction reads, 5'SS sequences, 5'SS MaxEnt scores, and classifications

of exonicRS (up) and RS sites (down). (**c**) Sequencing profile at *Magi1* locus. Green

arrows indicate the RS AGGT loci.

**a**

RS intron

Exon ◣◥ Exon

AGGT

RS junction read

**b**

mRNA

Whole-cell total RNA

Nuclear total RNA

**c**

RS intron

mRNA-seq | r1
r2

Whole-cell
total RNA-seq | r1
r2

Nuclear
total RNA-seq | r1
r2

*Hs6st3* (731 kb)

**d**

RPM of RS junction reads

*

mRNA-seq   Whole-cell total RNA-seq   Nuclear total RNA-seq

**e**

Nuclear total RNA-seq

RS junction reads

AGGT

Intron profiles

RS sites

**f**

RS introns

mRNA-seq | r1
r2

Whole-cell
total RNA-seq | r1
r2

Nuclear
total RNA-seq | r1
r2

*Lsamp* (2197 kb)

**g**

Number of RS sites

0    10    20

Nuclear total RNA-seq

Whole-cell total RNA-seq

**a** *Hs6st3* intron

2641 AGGT sites (▼)

1 RS AGGT site (▼)

**b**

2640 non-RS

AGGT site

20 RS

AGGT site

**c** AGGTAAGT
UCCAUUCA
3'          5'
U1
snRNA

**d**

2640 non-RS          20 RS

T  C  A  G  T/C  A/G          T  C  A  G  T/C  A/G

**e**

MaxEnt score

***

RS  non-RS

**f**

**U1 snRNA binding site**
(AGGTAAGB or AGGTGAGT)

**Polypyrimidine tract**
(T% ≥ 40% and (T+C)% ≥ 75%)

**3'SS strength**
(MaxEnt score ≥ 9.3)

23,710
AGGT sites
in 20 RS introns

31,826
AGGT sites
in 20 non-RS introns

18          3

**a**

| | Exon | Intron | Intron and exon | Intergenic | Totally uniquely mapped reads | NCBI SRA access number |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mRNA-seq rep 1 | 169,199,705 | 52,536,837 | 9,942,820 | 18,955,236 | 250,634,598 | SRR5048041 |
| mRNA-seq rep 2 | 169,212,702 | 54,408,558 | 9,418,272 | 24,448,180 | 257,487,712 | SRR5048042 |
| Whole cell total RNA-seq rep 1 | 28,025,020 | 21,276,188 | 1,708,014 | 4,537,328 | 55,546,550 | SRR3679862 |
| Whole cell total RNA-seq rep 2 | 24,025,585 | 18,552,805 | 1,481,557 | 3,816,417 | 47,876,364 | SRR3679863 |
| Nuclear total RNA-seq rep 1 | 12,802,711 | 55,975,659 | 2,066,992 | 7,376,058 | 78,221,420 | SRR3679866 |
| Nuclear total RNA-seq rep 2 | 84,581,864 | 291,193,877 | 12,151,804 | 40,616,263 | 428,543,808 | SRR9202881 |
| Excitatory neurons rep 1 | 7,493,883 | 46,181,238 | 1,421,238 | 5,474,411 | 60,570,770 | SRR3679830 |
| Excitatory neurons rep 2 | 7,592,500 | 58,702,729 | 1,502,461 | 7,037,646 | 74,835,336 | SRR3679831 |
| Excitatory neurons rep 3 | 7,028,945 | 49,007,305 | 1,469,493 | 5,869,141 | 63,374,884 | SRR3679832 |
| Excitatory neurons rep 4 | 8,665,201 | 71,350,839 | 1,771,990 | 8,080,848 | 89,868,878 | SRR3679833 |
| Inhibitory neurons rep 1 | 10,620,896 | 51,820,218 | 1,846,752 | 5,923,736 | 70,211,602 | SRR3679842 |
| Inhibitory neurons rep 2 | 11,526,209 | 61,516,565 | 1,991,467 | 7,354,451 | 82,388,692 | SRR3679843 |
| Inhibitory neurons rep 3 | 10,531,020 | 44,429,100 | 1,591,223 | 5,326,315 | 61,877,658 | SRR3679844 |
| Inhibitory neurons rep 4 | 8,673,268 | 50,820,368 | 1,494,799 | 6,176,575 | 67,165,010 | SRR3679845 |
| Female excitatory neurons rep 1 | 12,995,467 | 56,964,384 | 1,920,090 | 7,096,375 | 78,976,316 | SRR3679869 |
| Female excitatory neurons rep 2 | 9,548,905 | 49,872,640 | 1,579,266 | 6,385,437 | 67,386,248 | SRR3679870 |

**b** Whole-cell total RNA-seq; Nuclear total RNA-seq — Intron, Exon, Intron and exon

**c** Nuclear total RNA-seq → Align → SAM files → AGGT junction reads → AGGT located in intron → Intron length ≥ 50 kb → RPM_junction_reads (Nuclear total RNA-seq) > RPM_junction_reads (mRNA-seq) → Merged junction read counts ≥ 10 → RS site candidates → RS sites; Intron profiles (Length ≥ 50 kb) → Saw-tooth pattern

**d**

| | Gene | Whole cell | Nuclear | Excitatory | Inhibitory | Excitatory (female) | Novel |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| chr9_-_29674405 | Ntm | 24 | 357 | 144 | 113 | 49 | Yes |
| chr9_+_28029505 | Opcml | 57 | 283 | 139 | 89 | 55 | ----- |
| chr16_-_67364249 | Cadm2 | 37 | 264 | 98 | 104 | 49 | ----- |
| chr10_+_69595048 | Ank3 | 14 | 143 | 149 | 97 | 71 | ----- |
| chr16_-_67142935 | Cadm2 | 31 | 170 | 56 | 60 | 43 | ----- |
| chr14_+_119537648 | Hs6st3 | 14 | 171 | 23 | 25 | 29 | ----- |
| chr9_-_49642259 | Ncam1 | 12 | 113 | 33 | 37 | 18 | ----- |
| chr13_+_109333510 | Pde4d | 6 | 101 | 28 | 16 | 20 | ----- |
| chr16_-_74151684 | Robo2 | 4 | 83 | 25 | 28 | 13 | ----- |
| chr9_+_47633924 | Cadm1. | 10 | 60 | 25 | 21 | 24 | ----- |
| chr9_-_49719366 | Ncam1 | 6 | 72 | 15 | 22 | 11 | Yes |
| chr13_+_109614417 | Pde4d | 5 | 28 | 23 | 24 | 15 | ----- |
| chr13_+_109550007 | Pde4d | 2 | 33 | 5 | 9 | 4 | Yes |
| chr16_+_40262266 | Lsamp | 20 | 144 | 77 | 132 | 31 | Yes |
| chr16_+_40979498 | Lsamp | 12 | 88 | 33 | 47 | 11 | Yes |
| chr16_+_40655810 | Lsamp | 6 | 64 | 19 | 55 | 15 | Yes |
| chr16_+_41206970 | Lsamp | 8 | 75 | 27 | 31 | 7 | Yes |
| chr2_+_179627792 | Cdh4 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 13 | 5 | Yes |
| chrX_-_51473340 | Hs6st2 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Yes |
| chr11_-_33718266 | Kcnip1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 70 | 0 | Yes |

**e** Gene length: *P* = 0.0002, Transcribed gene vs RS gene; FPKM: *P* = 0.007, Transcribed gene vs RS gene

**f**
0: layer 2/3 excitatory neurons
1: layer 4 excitatory neurons
2: layer 5 excitatory neurons
3: striatum MSNs
4: layer 2 excitatory neurons
5: layer 6 excitatory neurons
6: GAD2+ inhibitory neurons
7: PV+ inhibitory neurons
8: layer 5 excitatory neurons
9: layer 5 excitatory neurons
10: SST+ inhibitory neurons
11: oligodendrocytes
12: layer 4 excitatory neurons
13: layer 6 excitatory neurons
14: VIP+ inhibitory neurons
15: unknown cells
16: isocortex neurons
17: hippocampus neurons
18: unknown excitatory neurons
19: NPY+ inhibitory neurons
20: thalamus neurons
21: claustrum neurons
22: astrocytes
23: oligodendrocyte precursor cells

**Figure S1**: Novel RS sites. (**a**) The mapping statistics and access numbers of RNA-seq data utilized in this study. (**b**) Pie charts of loci of uniquely mapped reads in gene regions. (**c**) Schematic of the pipeline utilizing nuclear total RNA-seq data to identify RS sites. (**d**) Heatmap of numbers of RS junction reads at each RS site in different total RNA-seq data sets. A green box indicates that the RS site was identified in that data set. (**e**) Boxplots of the gene lengths (up) and expression levels (down) of genes transcribed in the mouse cerebral cortex (transcribed gene) and RS genes. *P* indicates *P* value, one-tailed t-test. (**f**) The 24 cell types in the mouse cerebral cortex revealed by single nucleus RNA-seq data.

| | Motif | Sequence of 20 nt upstream of AGGT | (T+C)% | T% | C% | G% | A% | 3'SS MaxEnt score |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| chr16_+_40655810_Lsamp | AGGTAAGT | TTTCTTCTTTTCTCTCTTCC | 1 | 0.65 | 0.35 | 0 | 0 | 14.81 |
| chr9_+_47633924_Cadm1 | AGGTAAGT | TTTTCTCTCCTCTTTCTTTT | 1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| chr13_+_109333510_Pde4d | AGGTAAGT | CTCATCTCTCTTTCTCTTTT | 0.95 | 0.6 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.05 | 14.12 |
| chr13_+_109614417_Pde4d | AGGTAAGT | TGCTTTTCTTTTTCTTTTTC | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0 | 15.18 |
| chr16_+_41206970_Lsamp | AGGTAAGT | TTTTTTTTCATTCTCTCCTT | 0.95 | 0.7 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.05 | 12.87 |
| chr16_+_40262266_Lsamp | AGGTAAGT | TTTTCTATTTTTTTTCTTCT | 0.95 | 0.8 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.05 | 12.03 |
| chr9_+_28029505_Opcml | AGGTAAGT | CCCTTTCTTTGTCTTTCCCT | 0.95 | 0.55 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 0 | 13.71 |
| chr10_+_69595048_Ank3 | AGGTAAGT | TTTCTCTCTTTTTCTTTTAC | 0.95 | 0.7 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.05 | 14.57 |
| chr9_-_29674403_Ntm | AGGTAAGT | TCTCCCGTCTCTCTTTTTAT | 0.9 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 12.55 |
| chr16_+_40979498_Lsamp | AGGTAAGT | TGTTGTTTCTTTTTTCTTTC | 0.9 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0 | 13.26 |
| chr16_-_74151682_Robo2 | AGGTAAGT | TGGCTCTTCATTTCTTCTTC | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 10.73 |
| chr2_+_179627792_Cdh4 | AGGTAAGT | AAACCTTTCCTCTTATTCCT | 0.8 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.2 | 10.26 |
| chr11_-_33718264_Kcnip1 | AGGTAAGT | ACTTCTGTGTTCTTTCTTGC | 0.8 | 0.55 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 13.77 |
| chr13_+_109550007_Pde4d | AGGTAAGT | TTTGTGTGTTTTGTTTTTTT | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 12.59 |
| chr14_+_119537648_Hs6st3 | AGGTAAGT | TGACTTCTGTCCCATATCTC | 0.75 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 9.3 |
| chr16_-_67142933_Cadm2 | AGGTAAGC | TTTTGTTTCCTTTTATTTTT | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 11.73 |
| chr16_-_67364247_Cadm2 | AGGTGAGT | CTCCCCCTTCTTGTTTTTAT | 0.9 | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 12.33 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| chr9_-_49642257_Ncam1 | AGGTAAGG | TATCTCACCTCACAACCAAA | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 3.15 |
| chr9_-_49719364_Ncam1 | AGGTAAGG | TCACTCTGCCCTGTTAAAAC | 0.65 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 7.31 |
| chrX_-_51473338_Hs6st2 | AGGTAAGG | CTCTGGCCATCTGGACACTC | 0.65 | 0.25 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 2.98 |

| | Motif | (T+C)% | T% | C% | G% | A% | 3'SS MaxEnt score |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| chr10:69590385 (Ank3) | AGGTAAGT | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 11.64 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Chr7:91187317 (Dlg2) | AGGTGAGT | 0.8 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 12.81 |
| Chr10:32590379 (Nkain2) | AGGTGAGT | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 10.50 |
| Chr6:21365801 (Kcnd2) | AGGTGAGT | 0.75 | 0.6 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 9.44 |

**c**

**d**

**Figure S2:** Primary sequence context of RS sites. (**a**) The sequence motifs, nucleotide percentages, and 3'SS MaxEnt scores of the 20 RS sites. The top 17 RS sites passed our prediction criteria of RS sites, while the bottom three RS sites failed. (**b**) The information of the non-RS AGGTs that passed our prediction criteria of RS sites. The top non-RS AGGT site resides in the RS intron of *Ank3*, while the bottom three non-RS AGGT sites reside in the three control long introns. (**c**) Profiles of nuclear total RNA-seq at the host genes of the 20 control long introns. Black bars indicate the control long introns. (**d**) Boxplots of the intron lengths (up) and host-gene expression levels (down) of introns transcribed in the mouse cerebral cortex (transcribed intron), RS introns, and control long introns.

**b**

| Loci | Strand | Gene | Upstream exon ending | Number of Junction reads Up | Number of Junction reads Down | r5'SS Sequence | r5'SS MaxEnt score | Down 5'SS Sequence | Down 5'SS MaxEnt score | Classification |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| chr2:17526050-17526130 | - | Nebl | chr2:17529910 | 51 | 154 | AATGTGGAA | -11.32 | ATGGTAAGT | 11.01 | exonicRS |
| chr2:97522122-97522199 | + | Lrrc4c | chr2:97468420 | 59 | 68 | ACAGTGGAT | -7.51 | AAGGTTGGA | 5.64 | exonicRS |
| chr7:61241310-61241445 | - | A230006K03Rik | chr7:61282776 | 91 | 51 | AAGGTGTTT | 2.06 | CAGGTTTGT | 7.44 | exonicRS |
| chr12:46766290-46766391 | - | Nova1 | chr12:46816886 | 61 | 50 | CAGGTGCTG | 4.87 | ACTGTAAGT | 8.59 | exonicRS |
| chr5:57785821-57785880 | + | Pcdh7 | chr5:57722278 | 62 | 41 | CAGGTGGAT | 3.56 | AATGTATGT | 5.87 | exonicRS |
| chr1:123595259-123595324 | - | Dpp10 | chr1:123650288 | 25 | 31 | CAGGTGCTT | 4.83 | GAGGTAACA | 8.1 | exonicRS |
| chr1:124638974-124639139 | - | Dpp10 | chr1:124845719 | 43 | 34 | AAGGTGTCT | 2.57 | CAGGTACGG | 10.88 | exonicRS |
| chr12:44527672-44527848 | + | Nrcam | chr12:44456702 | 55 | 31 | AAGGTTCCT | 0.89 | TTGGTATAT | 1.75 | exonicRS |
| chr3:158361404-158361562 | - | Lrrc7 | chr3:158413130 | 27 | 31 | CAAGTCTCC | -11.96 | AAGGTGAGA | 8.68 | exonicRS |
| chr7:75224697-75224831 | - | Sv2b | chr7:75308205 | 43 | 25 | AAGGTCTGG | 3.93 | CTGGTCAGT | 6.6 | exonicRS |
| chr2:136200589-136200635 | - | Pak7 | chr2:136269323 | 23 | 23 | AAAGTTTCT | -9 | AAGGTAAAA | 8.38 | exonicRS |
| chrX:77584794-77584859 | + | Tbl1x | chrX:77511269 | 27 | 20 | GAGGTAATT | 8.88 | TGAGTAAGT | 8.82 | exonicRS |
| chr3:159150266-159150351 | + | Gm20752 | chr3:159090393 | 21 | 19 | AAGGTACAG | 8.04 | TTAGTAAGT | 7.79 | exonicRS |
| chr2:7282441-7282578 | - | Celf2 | chr2:7395858 | 24 | 18 | CTGGTCTTT | -3.78 | AAAGTAAGT | 9.72 | exonicRS |
| chr7:64506937-64507022 | + | Apba2 | chr7:64502253 | 17 | 17 | CAGGTCTCC | 0.85 | GAGGTAGGA | 8.24 | exonicRS |
| chr16:6158060-6158206 | + | Rbfox1 | chr16:5885864 | 12 | 14 | TAGGTGCAG | 0.29 | AAAGTAAGT | 9.72 | exonicRS |
| chr6:94050641-94050766 | - | Magi1 | chr6:94283010 | 41 | 13 | AAGGTAAGG | 10.51 | GTTGTAAGT | 8.3 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr3:29170414-29170593 | + | Egfem1 | chr3:29153601 | 18 | 13 | ATGGTTAAA | -2.37 | AAGGTAAAG | 9.06 | exonicRS |
| chr8:54881710-54881841 | - | Wdr17 | chr8:54886907 | 38 | 12 | AAGGTGCTT | 4.72 | CAGGTACCA | 7.88 | exonicRS |
| chr8:50161927-50162063 | - | Gm45341 | chr8:50415134 | 10 | 11 | AACGTGTTC | -7.75 | CTGGTGAGT | 10.1 | exonicRS |
| chr7:96232099-96232201 | + | Tenm4 | chr7:96211990 | 15 | 9 | ACTGTGAAG | -5.79 | ACTGTAAGG | 5.19 | exonicRS |
| chr7:84005117-84005995 | - | Cemip | chr7:84086258 | 23 | 2 | GAGGTGACA | 3.85 | CAGGTCCGA | 4.4 | exonicRS |

| Loci | Strand | Gene | Upstream exon ending | Number of Junction reads Up | Number of Junction reads Down | r5'SS Sequence | r5'SS MaxEnt score | Down 5'SS Sequence | Down 5'SS MaxEnt score | Classification |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| chr16:67364176-67364248 | - | Cadm2 | chr16:67620171 | 317 | 22 | AAGGTGAGT | 10.47 | TGGGTAAGT | 10.24 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr16:67142893-67142934 | - | Cadm2 | chr16:67620171 | 219 | 22 | AAGGTAAGC | 10.22 | AAGGTAAAA | 8.38 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr16:41206972-41207028 | + | Lsamp | chr16:40786060 | 100 | 5 | CAGGTAAGT | 10.86 | GAGGCAAGT | 3.32 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr9:29674281-29674404 | - | Ntm | chr9:29962845 | 475 | 5 | AAGGTAAGT | 11 | CAGGTAGGT | 10.28 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr16:40262268-40262469 | + | Lsamp | chr16:39984727 | 161 | 5 | AAGGTAAGT | 11 | AAGGTAAGT | 11 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr10:69595050-69595128 | + | Ank3 | chr10:69534284 | 173 | 4 | AAGGTAAGT | 11 | AAGGTTCGT | 8.44 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr16:40655812-40655859 | + | Lsamp | chr16:39984727 | 79 | 4 | AAGGTAAGT | 10.86 | GTGGTAAGT | 10.36 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr9:47633926-47634076 | + | Cadm1 | chr9:47530505 | 62 | 4 | CAGGTAAGT | 10.86 | CAGGTAATT | 8.55 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr16:40979500-40979631 | + | Lsamp | chr16:40786060 | 112 | 3 | CAGGTAAGT | 11 | CAGGTGCTT | 4.83 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr9:28029507-28029642 | + | Opcml | chr9:27791446 | 334 | 1 | CAGGTAAGT | 10.86 | AAGGTATGG | 9.26 | RS & exonicRS |
| chr14:119537650-119537790 | + | Hs6st3 | chr14:119139118 | 202 | 0 | CAGGTAAGT | 10.86 | TTGGTGACA | -1.41 | RS |
| chr13:109550009-109550238 | + | Pde4d | chr13:109442353 | 42 | 0 | ACGGTAAGT | 11.81 | CAAGTACAA | -1.09 | RS |
| chr13:109614419-109614568 | + | Pde4d | chr13:109442353 | 33 | 0 | ACGGTAAGT | 11.81 | TTGGTTTGG | -1.65 | RS |
| chr2:179627794-179627953 | + | Cdh4 | chr2:179444811 | 25 | 0 | AAGGTAAGT | 11 | TTGGTCTGT | 0.67 | RS |
| chr9:49642143-49642258 | - | Ncam1 | chr9:49798678 | 135 | 0 | CAGGTAAGG | 11.08 | AAAGTGTGA | -1.44 | RS |
| chr13:109333512-109333719 | + | Pde4d | chr13:109117080 | 128 | 0 | ACGGTAAGT | 11.81 | AAAGTTGGA | -3.21 | RS |
| chr16:74151336-74151683 | - | Robo2 | chr16:74352551 | 97 | 0 | CATGTAAGT | 8.31 | TTGGTTATA | -5.68 | RS |
| chr9:49719316-49719365 | - | Ncam1 | chr9:49798678 | 83 | 0 | CAGGTAAGG | 11.08 | AAGGTTTCT | 0.28 | RS |
| chrX:51473121-51473339 | - | Hs6st2 | chrX:51679890 | 26 | 0 | CAGGTAAGG | 11.08 | ATGGTTTTA | -5.54 | RS |
| chr11:33718032-33718265 | - | Kcnip1 | chr11:33843130 | 8 | 0 | AAGGTAAGT | 11 | CTGGTGGCC | -2.74 | RS |

**Figure S3:** Identification of exonicRS. (**a**) Schematic of the pipeline utilizing nuclear total RNA-seq data to identify exonicRS. (**b**) Tables of information of genomic loci, junction reads, 5'SS sequences, 5'SS MaxEnt scores, and classifications of exonicRS (up) and RS sites (down). (**c**) Sequencing profile at *Magi1* locus. Green arrows indicate the RS AGGT loci.