Decellularization enables functional analysis of ECM remodeling in planarian

regeneration

Ekasit Sonpho[1,3], Frederick G. Mann, Jr.[1], Michaella Levy[1], Eric J. Ross[1,2], Carlos Guerrero-

Hernández[1], Laurence Florens[1], Anita Saraf[1], Viraj Doddihal[1], Puey Ounjai[3,4],

Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado[1,2] *

[1] Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, United States

[2] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City,

United States

[3] Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Thailand

[4] Center of Excellence on Environmental Health and Toxicology (EHT), Office of Higher

Education Commission, Ministry of Education, Thailand

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

The extracellular matrix (ECM) is a three-dimensional network of macromolecules that

provides a microenvironment capable of supporting and regulating cell functions. However,

only a few research organisms are available for the systematic dissection of the composition

and functions of the ECM, particularly during regeneration. We utilized a free-living

flatworm *Schmidtea mediterranea* to develop an integrative approach consisting of

decellularization, proteomics, and RNA-interference (RNAi) to characterize and investigate

ECM functions during tissue homeostasis and regeneration. High-quality ECM was isolated

from planarians, and its matrisome profile was characterized by LC-MS/MS. The functions of

identified ECM components were interrogated using RNAi. Using this approach, we

discovered that heparan sulfate proteoglycan and kyphoscoliosis peptidase are essential for

both tissue homeostasis and regeneration. Our strategy provides a robust experimental

approach for identifying novel ECM components involved in regeneration that might not be

discovered bioinformatically.

Introduction

Tissue regeneration is an essential process for many organisms that can be activated

during embryogenesis, throughout life during the constant physiological renewal of tissues,

and in the dramatic restoration of missing body parts following injury or amputation (Galliot

et al. 2017). The complexity of tissue regeneration is reflected by the numerous and

overlapping molecular and cellular activities underpinning the restoration and integration of

missing body parts (Poss 2007). In order for the organism to maintain and repair

physiological form and function during and after tissue regeneration, cells need to

communicate flawlessly with their microenvironment (Wang et al. 2013; Lukjanenko et al.

2016; Godwin et al. 2017).

The extracellular matrix (ECM), which is a collection of dynamically secreted and

modified macromolecules occupying intercellular space, plays a central role in effecting cell-

environmental communication (Daley, Peters, and Larsen 2008; Schultz and Wysocki 2009).

Bidirectional crosstalk between cells and the ECM via secretion and selective degradation

creates microenvironmental conditions capable of modulating cell proliferation, migration,

differentiation and ultimately, the homeostatic maintenance of tissues throughout the lifetime

of an organism (Koochekpour, Merzak, and Pilkington 1995; Bosnakovski et al. 2006; Zhen

and Cao 2014; Bonnans, Chou, and Werb 2014). Of particular interest are the

microenvironmental conditions governing stem cell biology (You et al. 2014; Morgner et al.

2015; Seyedhassantehrani et al. 2017).

Several animal models have been used for investigating how the ECM is involved in

tissue regeneration processes, such as in *Hydra vulgaris* (Sarras 2012), axolotls (Phan et al.

2015), and zebrafish (Sánchez-Iranzo et al. 2018). Although progress has been made in these

organisms implicating the ECM in regeneration, the inherent biology of these animals makes

it challenging to systematically dissect ECM composition and to functionally study their

possible roles in regeneration. For example, although *Hydra* is able to regenerate a whole

animal from a clump of dissociated cells (Vogg, Galliot, and Tsiairis 2019) while axolotls

(Phan et al. 2015) and zebrafish (Sánchez-Iranzo et al. 2018) can regenerate missing body

parts, it is still difficult to carry out large-scale, loss-of-function screens in these adult

organisms. Therefore, in an effort to systematically interrogate how ECM may contribute to

whole-body and/or tissue regeneration, we chose to study the free-living freshwater planarian

flatworm *Schmidtea mediterranea* (Sánchez Alvarado et al. 2002), which has extraordinary

regenerative capacities and has been shown to be amenable to large-scale genetic

interrogation (Reddien et al. 2005).

Because our current knowledge of ECM biology in planarians is limited (Isolani et al.

2013; Seebeck et al. 2017; Lindsay-Mosher, Chan, and Pearson 2020), it is first necessary to

develop a comprehensive and optimized workflow to characterize and study the planarian

ECM. A recent study has characterized the transcriptional landscape of ECM components in

planarians by constructing an *in silico* matrisome (Cote, Simental, and Reddien 2019).

However, this has not revealed the actual protein composition and distribution of these

molecules. Similarly, Sonpho *et al*. successfully developed a simple technique for

characterizing the morphology of isolated ECM by whole organism decellularization of a

different planarian species. However; a complete systematic workflow for studying ECM

biology in planarians has not yet been established (Sonpho et al. 2020).

Here, we propose an integrative workflow to systematically characterize the *S.

mediterranea* ECM. This workflow consists of three core components: decellularization,

proteomics, and RNA interference (RNAi) of identified ECM components. First,

decellularization of *S. mediterranea* was optimized for the isolation of planarian ECM.

Second, we subjected the decellularized fraction to biochemical characterization by liquid

chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), which allowed us to construct

the first experimentally derived planarian matrisome database. Third, proteins identified from

proteomics allowed us to perform an RNAi screen to test their functions. In this work, we

identified two ECM proteins that play important roles in both homeostasis and regeneration.

In summary, by combining decellularization, proteomics, and RNAi screening, we provide

proof-of-concept experimental evidence illustrating the potential of this workflow to discover

and study ECM composition, function, and dynamics in an adult, regeneration-competent

organism. Our approach also lays the foundation for a systematic, functional dissection of the

role that the ECM may play in regulating stem cell behavior and function during both animal

homeostasis and regeneration in planarians.

Experimental Procedures

Animal husbandry—Schmidtea mediterranea asexual clonal line CIW4 was maintained in 1X

Montjuic salt solution, as described previously for static culture (Newmark and Sánchez

Alvarado 2000). *S. mediterranea* were fed with beef liver once a week. The animals were

starved for at least 1 week before experiments.

ECM isolation— Whole-mount planarian decellularization has been optimized based on a

previous publication (Sonpho et al. 2020). We optimized three protocols for decellularization,

which we refer to as No Pretreatment ECM (NP-ECM), Formaldehyde ECM (FA-ECM), and

N-Acetyl Cysteine pretreatment (NAC- ECM). All worms were collected from static culture.

All three protocols were designed for 20 planarians.

For NP-ECM, planarians were incubated in 40 mL of 0.08% SDS decellularization

solution for 18 hr at 4°C. ECM was harvested carefully using plastic transfer pipets and

collected into a microtube. Decellularization solution was removed and replaced with 1 mL

of wash solution for 30 min, 3 times, on ice. The ECM pellet was centrifuged at 14,000 g for

10 min.

For FA-ECM, planarians were fixed and stabilized by incubating in 0.8%

formaldehyde solution at 4°C for 1 hr without shaking. After stabilization, the solution was

replaced with 40 mL of 0.7% SDS decellularization solution for 18 hr at 4°C. To ensure

complete decellularization, the solution was replaced with 40 mL 0.08% SDS

decellularization solution for 2 hr at 4°C.

For NAC-ECM, planarians were first incubated with 5% N-Acetyl Cysteine (Sigma-

Aldrich, MO) solution in 1X PBS for 10 min at RT on a seesaw rocker. Afterward, planarians

were transferred into 1X PBS for a brief wash. Then, planarians were transferred into 40 mL

of 0.08% SDS decellularization solution for 18 hr at 4°C. Subsequently, the solution was

replaced with 40 mL of 0.04% SDS decellularization solution for 2 hr at 4°C. All of the

solution components for *S. mediterranea* decellularization are reported in Table S1.

Quantification of nucleotide contamination—Nucleic acid material remaining in ECM

preparations was isolated using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The

amount of DNA was quantified using Qubit Fluorometric Quantification.

Protein quantitation and Western blot analysis—Protein concentration for all samples was

quantified by Pierce[TM] BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). All samples

were processed for analysis on SDS-PAGE. Briefly, ECM was harvested and solubilized by

adding RIPA buffer and loading buffer (Biorad, Hercules, CA). Equal amounts of proteins

were prepared and loaded per lane. PVDF membrane was activated by incubating in 100%

Methanol for 5 min before washing in the transfer buffer. Proteins were transferred from the

gel to the PVDF membrane with 100 V for 1 hr, then the PVDF membrane was washed with

TBS-Tween 0.05% and blocked with 5% milk in TBS-Tween 0.05% , for 1 hr at room

temperature (RT). A dilution of primary antibody was prepared in a fresh blocking solution

as follows: rabbit histone H3 antibody diluted at 1:4000, and rabbit MMP3 antibody diluted

at 1:1000. The blocking solution was replaced with the primary antibody solution overnight

at RT. Membrane was then washed with TBS-tween 0.05%, 4 times for 15 min each. The

HRP-secondary antibody against rabbit antibody was diluted at 1:5000 in 1% milk. The

membrane was incubated with the secondary antibody for 1 hr at RT. The membrane was

washed with TBS-tween 0.05%, 4 times for 15 min each. The signal of HRP was visualized

via SuperSignal™ West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher, Waltham,

MA). The membrane was developed until the signal appeared. Exposures for Histone H3 and

MMP3 antibodies were 1 min and 2 hr, respectively.

*Histological staining—*Worms were processed using a Delta Pathos hybrid tissue processor

(Milestone Medical). Briefly, after fixing planarians in 1% Nitric acid, 50 µM MgSO4 and

0.8% formaldehyde overnight, tissues were rinsed and dehydrated in 70% ethanol. The

following steps were automatically performed by the Delta Pathos processor: 4 min rinse

with 70% ethanol; 100% ethanol for 10 min at 65°C; 100% isopropanol for 30 min at 68 °C.

For paraffin infiltration, the first bath of paraffin was set at 70°C for 8 min, then, fresh

paraffin was replaced for an additional 6 min, followed by a final step of fresh paraffin

incubation for another 20 min at 65°C (Tissue Infiltration Medium by Surgipath available

through Leica). The paraffin embedded tissue was sectioned in Richard-Allan Type 9 paraffin

(Thermo Scientific). Tissue was sectioned at 5 µm thickness, dried, heated, then stained with

Masson's Trichrome Stain. This procedure is derived from *Histotechnology, a Self-*

Instructional Text (Carson and Hladik 2009).

Scanning Electron Microscopy—To prepare samples for observation under a scanning

electron microscope (SEM), collected planarian ECM was incubated in fixative solution

composed of 2.5% glutaraldehyde, 2% paraformaldehyde, 1mM calcium chloride, 1%

sucrose in 50mM sodium cacodylate buffer. Afterward, the sample was stained with tannic

acid, osmium, and thiocarbohydrazide, as previously described (Jongebloed et al. 1999). At

the end of dehydration, the samples were critical point dried in a Tousimis Samdri 795

critical point dryer, then mounted on stubs and coated with 5 nm of gold palladium in a Leica

ACE600 sputter coater. The samples were imaged using a Zeiss Merlin SEM at 20 kV and

400 pA.

Proteomics analysis—All decellularized samples were centrifuged at 16,900 *g* at 4°C to

recover the ECM pellets. Control samples consisting of whole animal protein extracts were

also prepared for comparison by freezing whole planarians in liquid nitrogen. ECM and

control pellets were resuspended in 120 µL of 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, and 8 M Urea. The

samples were vortexed vigorously to dissolve pellets. To reduce disulfide bonds, Tris(2-

carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP, Pierce) was added to 5 mM (0.6 µL of 1 M of

TCEP) and incubated at RT for 30 min. Reduced cysteines were alkylated by adding 2.4 µL

of a freshly made 0.5 M chloroacetamide stock solution (CAM, Sigma) and incubating at RT

in the dark for 30 min. Samples were deglycosylated with PNGase F by adding 5µl of a

solution consisting of 18µL of Glycobuffer 2 (10x) and 27µL of PNGaseF (NEB, P0708S).

Endoproteinase Lys-C (Promega), 5 µL at 1 µg/µL, was added and samples were incubated at

37 °C overnight while shaking. Solutions were diluted to 2 M urea by adding 360 µL of 100

mM Tris-HCl and 2 μL of CaCl$_2$. For trypsin digestion, 10 μL of Trypsin (Promega) at 0.1

μg/μL was added and samples were incubated at 37 °C overnight while shaking. Reactions

were quenched by adding 90% formic acid to a final concentration of 5%. After digestion,

peptide concentrations were quantitated by the Pierce Quantitative Colorimetric Peptide

Assay (Thermo Fisher).

Peptides were diluted with Buffer A (5% acetonitrile (ACN), 0.1% formic acid (FA)).

Then, samples were injected on an in-house packed 50 μm inner diameter microcapillary

column packed with 10 cm of 1.9 μm Aqua C18 resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH). The samples

were injected in 100% Buffer A and subsequently eluted using an Ultimate 3000 UPLC

(Dionex) at a flow rate of 0.120 μL/min for 240 min from 10-40% Buffer B (80% ACN,

0.1% FA) before ramping to 95% B in 25 min. The high organic concentration was

maintained for 15 min before re-equilibration and the reinjection with the next sample.

Peptides were ionized by the application of a 2.5 kV positive voltage applied distally to the

peptides eluting into a Q-Exactive Plus (QE+) mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific,

Waltham, MA). Full MS spectra were recorded on the eluting peptides over a 350 to 1700

m/z range at 70,000 resolving power. The AGC (automated gain control) target was $1\text{x}10^6$

with a max injection time set to 50 ms. The top 15 peptides with charges 2-5 were

fragmentated by High energy Collision Dissociation (HCD) at 27% normalized collision

energy (NCE) and the MS/MS fragmentation was collected at 17,500 resolving power, with

an AGC target of $1\text{x}10^5$ and a maximum injection time set to 150 ms. Dynamic exclusion was

enabled for 30 seconds (Zhang et al. 2009). Mass spectrometer scan functions and HPLC

solvent gradients were controlled by the XCalibur data system (Thermo Scientific, Waltham,

MA).

RAW files were extracted to .ms2 file format (McDonald et al. 2004) using

RawDistiller v.1.0 (Zhang et al. 2011). RawDistiller settings were used to abstract MS1 scan

profiles by Gaussian fitting and to implement dynamic offline lock mass using six

background polydimethylcyclosiloxane ions as internal calibrants (Zhang et al. 2011).

MS/MS spectra were first searched using ProLuCID v. 1.3.3 (Xu et al. 2015) with a peptide

mass tolerance of 10 ppm and 25 ppm for peptide and fragment ions, respectively. Trypsin

specificity was imposed on both ends of candidate peptides during the search against a

protein database containing 30,863 *S. mediterranea* predicted protein sequences as well as

483 usual contaminants such as human keratins, IgGs and proteolytic enzymes. To estimate

false discovery rates (FDR), each protein sequence was randomized (keeping the same amino

acid composition and length) and the resulting "shuffled" sequences were added to the

database, for a total search space of 62,564 amino acid sequences. A mass shift of 57.0215

Da was statically added to cysteine residues to account for alkylation by CAM, mass shifts of

15.9949 and 0.98401 Da were differentially added to methionine and asparagine residues,

respectively, to account for oxidation due to sample handling and deglycosylation by

PNGaseF.

DTASelect v.1.9 (Tabb, McDonald, and Yates 2002) was used in combination with an

in-house software, swallow v1.0 (https://github.com/tzw-wen/kite), to select and sort

peptide/spectrum matches (PSMs) to FDRs of less than 1% at the peptide and protein levels.

Results from the worm without decellularization (3 replicates of control), formaldehyde-

treated (3 replicates of FA-ECM), N-acetyl cysteine-treated (3 replicates of NAC-ECM),

and not pretreated (2 replicates of NP-ECM) ECMs were merged and compared using

CONTRAST v 1.9 (Tabb, McDonald, and Yates 2002) in combination with sandmartin v1.0,

an in-house software, to select proteins at FDRs less than 5% when combining all runs.

Proteins that were subsets of others were removed using the parsimony option in DTASelect

on the proteins detected after merging all runs. Proteins that were identified by the same set

of peptides (including at least one peptide unique to such protein group to distinguish

between isoforms) were grouped together, and one accession number was arbitrarily

considered as representative of each protein group.

NSAF7 v. 0.0.1 (Zhang et al. 2010) was used to create the final reports on all detected

peptides and non-redundant proteins identified across the different runs and calculate label-

free dNSAF quantitative values for all detected protein/protein groups. Spectral and protein

level FDRs were, on average, $0.34 \pm 0.10\%$ and $1.5 \pm 0.5\%$, respectively. The mass

spectrometry datasets have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the

PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD013181 and 10.6019/PXD013181

(Deutsch et al. 2017; Perez-Riverol et al. 2019).

Statistical analysis of differential protein expression—QPROT (Choi et al. 2015) was used to

calculate log fold changes and false discovery rates (FDR) to identify proteins enriched in

replicate analyses of each of the three ECM preparations, compared to the controls. Volcano

significance plots were generated in Microsoft Excel by plotting the log fold change (X-axis)

and the $-\log_{10}$(FDR) from QPROT (Choi et al. 2015) of each protein from the three types of

ECM preparations compared to the control. Significance cut-offs were $\log_2$ fold change >2

and FDR <0.05. Dashed lines were added to the graphs at $\log_2$ fold change $= 2$ and $-$

$\log_{10}$(FDR) $= 1.3$ ($-\log_{10}(0.05)$). Proteins in the upper right quadrant were identified as

significantly enriched in ECM samples compared to controls. The full list of proteins

identified in each condition is provided in Table S2.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment—*S. mediterranea* gene models were assigned Gene

Ontology (Michael et al. 2000) terms by combining the GO annotations from PLANMINE

(Rozanski et al. 2019), BLAST2GO (version: 5.2) (Götz et al. 2008), AHRD (version: 3.3.3),

Uniprot/SWISS-PROT best BLAST hits (param: -evalue=.001; db date: 20180501) (Bateman

2019), InterProScan (version: 5.32-71.0) (Jones et al. 2014) and PANTHER. (Thomas et al.

2003). GO enrichment was performed using TopGO (version: 2.34.0) (Alexa and

Rahnenfuhrer 2018).

RNAi food preparation and feeding—The RNAi food was prepared as previously described

(Rouhana et al. 2013). The primers used for cloning each gene are shown in Table S3. The

colored liver puree was added to the bacteria pellet and fed to planarians cultured in an

automatic water exchanger system (Arnold et al. 2016). Ten feeding cycles were

implemented in both primary screening and secondary screening prior to use in following

experiments. The essential transcription factor *nkx-2.2* was used as a positive control

(Forsthoefel et al. 2012) while the *C. elegans* gene *unc-22* was used as a negative control for

RNAi treatment (Reddien et al. 2005).

Homeostasis and regeneration assays—Phenotypes of the targeted ECM components in

tissue homeostasis were evaluated by observing unamputated planarians at 2 days after

completion of the RNAi feeding. Amputations were performed by arranging planarians on a

wet filter paper placed on a cold aluminum plate buried in ice and cutting with a surgical

blade. The surgical blade was cleaned with 70% ethanol between amputations. Any signs of

abnormal body plan or lysis were considered phenotypes. Worms were evaluated 10 days

after sagittal amputation for morphological regeneration phenotypes. Any signs of incomplete

or lack of newly regenerated tissue (blastema) were considered a defective phenotype.

Worms that showed no touch response were considered dead.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) and animal radiation—A GammaCell 40 Exactor

irradiator was used to expose planarians to 6,000 rad for lethal irradiations. For the WISH

experiment, the protocol was as previously described (Pearson et al. 2009).

Determination of cell-type specific expression of genes of interest—To access the published

single cell RNA-seq database , we used the Planaria SCS online tool Digiworm (Fincher et al.

2018). The partial or complete contig of gene IDs was entered into the search box. For

matching genes to the planarian transcriptome, the transcriptome assembly was mapped to

the dd_v4 transcriptome as previously indicated (Brandl et al. 2016). The transcriptome

assembly ID (dd_v4) was entered into the search box to generate Seurat maps.

Statistical analysis of data—Statistical analysis was carried out by using the R software

version 3.14 (RS team, 2018). A Two-way ANOVA was performed for control and treatment

in all experiments. The protected LSD *post hoc* test (a=0.05) was used for multiple

comparison tests between control and treatment. The hypergeometric test was used for the

calculation of the statistics from Venn Diagrams.

Results

High-quality ECM can be successfully isolated from S. mediterranea— A planarian

decellularization procedure used for removing cells from whole body planarians that leaves

behind an extracellular matrix structure in the original shape of the animal has been recently

described for *Dugesia japonica* (Sonpho et al. 2020). Since this protocol has not been tested

for the isolation of ECM from *S. mediterranea*, we explored detergent-based tissue

decellularization at low temperature for isolating extracellular matrix in *S. mediterranea* by

incubating planarians with a low concentration of SDS for 18 hours at 4 °C. Compared to our

intact control animals (Figure 1A), the results showed that decellularization without any

pretreatment (NP-ECM) could maintain the animal's overall morphology, yet, the external

structure of the ECM was tremendously compromised and the ECM's internal structures were

not well-defined (Figure 1B). In order to preserve external and internal integrity of isolated

ECM, formaldehyde was added to stabilize the ECM structure prior to the decellularization

(Sonpho et al. 2020). As expected, formaldehyde stabilization yielded a translucent ECM

(FA-ECM) with intact gross external structures and maintained the organization of internal

organs such as the digestive tract branches, eye spots, and pharynx (Figure 1C).

This result suggests that chemical pretreatment of animals prior to decellularization

could be critical for preserving intact structures of the decellularized organism. In order to

support this hypothesis, N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) was used to pretreat animals prior to

decellularization. Our result indicates that NAC pretreatment prior to decellularization (NAC-

ECM) could also preserve decellularized ECM but to a lesser extent than FA-ECM (Figure

1D). Therefore, we concluded that pretreatment of animals prior to whole body

decellularization is imperative for successfully isolating structural intact ECM from whole

planarians. Additionally, pretreatment of animals via formaldehyde fixation yields an

external structure that is better preserved than either NAC-ECM or NP-ECM. Furthermore,

the decellularization process was also captured by time-lapse movies for providing the real-

time dynamics of whole animal decellularization (Video S1). The footage displayed the

reduction of animal size during tissue decellularization in all three decellularization protocols.

There was a small change in size from FA-ECM whereas there was a dramatic change in

NAC-ECM. Meanwhile, NP-ECM displayed a thoroughly compromised structure as well as

the disruption of the basement membrane.

In summary, we successfully implemented three approaches for decellularizing whole

S. mediterranea. The detailed protocols for these three ECM isolation approaches are

summarized in Figure 1E.

Extracted ECM is free of cells—Although decellularization yielded a promising ECM

structure based on the visual inspection of whole planarians, intact cells may have remained

in the interior of the planarian body. Therefore, cellular contamination in all three ECM

extraction protocols was evaluated by measuring the quantity of DNA. The results indicated

that the amount of DNA per worm in the three ECM preparations was at least two orders of

magnitude lower when compared to controls (Figure 1F). The absence of cells was further

validated by western blot analysis. An antibody against histone H3 was used as the marker

for intracellular proteins and metalloproteinase-3 (MMP3) was used as the marker of ECM.

The results indicated that histone H3 was detected only in the control whilst FA-ECM

showed a very faint band of histone H3 (Figure 1G). NAC-ECM and NP-ECM showed no

visible band of histone H3. Additionally, the antibody against MMP3 revealed the presence

of MMP3 in both control and NP-ECM. The intensity of the MMP3 band in the control was

much fainter than the analogous band in NP-ECM, suggesting an enrichment of the ECM

fractions by decellularization. Taken together, the absence of detectable DNA and histone H3

suggested that the three decellularization protocols are able to isolate ECM that is nearly free

of cells.

Structures are maintained in extracted ECM—As an additional means of testing for the

presence of expected ECM structures, we performed two morphological characterizations on

the most structurally preserved ECM, FA-ECM. First, the preservation of the basement

membrane post-decellularization was assessed by Masson's trichrome staining. The result

demonstrated that decellularization was able to infiltrate epithelial cells and remove

mesenchymal cells based on the depletion of pink and purple in ECM samples as compared

to the control whilst maintaining the integrity of the basement membrane, indicated in blue at

the outermost region of ECM labelled with black arrows (Figure 1H). The results also

confirmed that internal structures are preserved during decellularization, as evidenced by the

intact pharynx. Second, the external surface of planarian ECM was examined by scanning

electron microscopy (SEM). The dorsal and ventral sides of control animals were covered

with a mucus layer and a ciliated surface, respectively (Figure 1I). However, for FA-ECM, a

fibrous surface was observed on the dorsal side while a non-ciliated surface was observed

ventrally. This suggests that the decellularization process removes the mucus layer and

epithelial cells as well as the ventral ciliated surface. On the whole, our two morphological

characterizations confirm the cell-free environment of our FA-ECM as well as the

preservation of ECM integrity with an intact basement membrane.

*Proteomics analysis of decellularized planarians reveals complex matrisome landscape—*The

decellularization protocol provided us with an ECM enriched sample allowing us to

empirically determine the protein composition of ECM (Figure 1G). We expected ECM

proteomic profiles to differ from control as decellularization ought to remove intracellular

proteins and non-ECM extracellular proteins. We further assayed the reduced complexity of

the ECM samples through SDS-PAGE. The differential pattern of protein bands of SDS-

PAGE between ECM and control samples supports the hypothesis that intracellular proteins

have been depleted by decellularization (Figure S1). We used mass spectrometry to

determine the identity of the proteins in the ECM via the workflow illustrated in Figure 2A.

The number of non-redundant (NR) spectra, NR peptides, and NR protein counts obtained

from the four types of samples are shown in Figure 2B and Table S4. These results show that

all ECM samples have fewer NR spectra, NR peptides, and NR proteins compared to the

whole animal control, suggesting that intracellular proteins were depleted by

decellularization. Amongst all ECM samples, NAC-ECM shows the highest NR spectra, NR

peptide, and NR protein counts while FA-ECM displays the lowest counts.

The mass spectrometry data identified 2,249 proteins in the control sample while

combining all three types of ECM samples led to 1,217 identified proteins (Figure 2C).

Approximately 1,027 proteins were found in both control and ECM while only 190 proteins

were exclusively found in the ECM samples, and 1,222 were found exclusively in the control

samples. Some notable proteins found in the ECM samples are cathepsin B

(SMESG000048413.1), collagen (SMESG000016282.1), hemicentin (SMESG000021248.1),

matrix metalloproteinases (SMESG000049445.1), and nidogen (SMESG000016993.1). The

full list of proteins identified in each condition is provided in Table S2. This discovery

suggests that our protocol is able to prevent protein degradation and is compatible with mass

spectrometry applications.

Since the morphology of isolated ECMs varied depending on the isolation protocol

(Figure 1B-D), we tested whether the different ECM isolation protocols could result in

differential identification of peptides in our mass spectrometry data (Figure 2D). There was a

large overlap of identified proteins between the NAC-ECM and NP-ECM samples. The

second largest overlap was seen between the sample of NAC-ECM and FA-ECM, while the

least overlap was between FA-ECM and NP-ECM. More importantly, 191 proteins were

shared between all three ECM isolation methods while 87, 519, and 97 proteins were

exclusively detected in FA-ECM, NAC-ECM, and NP-ECM, respectively. Hypergeometric

tests confirm that the overlaps between conditions is greater than expected by chance ($p <$

0.01). In summary, our planarian decellularization protocol allows us to identify probable

ECM proteins and to construct the first experimentally-based matrisome database for

planarians.

Published ECM annotations validate our proposed ECM protein database— In order to evaluate the quality of our data, we compared our mass spectrometry enrichment results with two sets of predicted planarian ECM genes. The first set is a previously published ECM gene set, ECM(Cote) (Cote, Simental, and Reddien 2019). The second predicted ECM gene set is called "ECM(GO)", and was generated by identifying genes we were able to annotate from the Gene Ontology term "Extracellular Matrix" or any of its child terms. We find a significant degree of overlap between the two datasets (Figure S2) (hypergeometric p < 0.01). This analysis suggests that the two datasets are highly similar.

The set of proteins detected in the mass spectrometry dataset shares 113 members with ECM(Cote) and 72 members with ECM(GO) (p < 0.01 for each) (Figure 2E). This suggested that the mass spectrometry results are consistent with the two bioinformatic predictions. Moreover, we see that many of the genes bioinformatically predicted as ECM do not meet our FDR threshold. This suggests that while we are clearly able to enrich for ECM, we likely have not reached saturation. As more spectra were captured, more ECM genes were discovered in our ECM sample. This orthogonal validation with independent datasets supports our conclusion that we have successfully identified and enriched for ECM components.

*Confirmation of ECM protein enrichment in decellularized samples—*The mass spectrometry results show that many ECM proteins were enriched over controls, such as different types of collagen protein (SMESG000066546.1), mucin (SMESG000041532.1), and nidogen 2 (SMESG000016992.1) (Figure 2F and Table S2). Nonetheless, several proteins annotated as ECM components were not significantly enriched, such as matrix metalloproteinase 1 (SMESG000040780.1) and matrix metalloproteinase 2 (SMESG000014326.1). Interestingly,

the proteins significantly decreased in ECM samples include lectin 2c (SMESG000056364.1)

and mannose-binding protein C (SMESG000046618.1). These observations suggest that

although we were able to enrich for ECM proteins, some ECM proteins are not enriched and

some are lost during tissue decellularization.

In order to verify the enrichment of ECM proteins in our samples, gene ontology

(GO) analysis was performed for proteome data of all the ECM samples. The results further

confirmed that the GO term with the highest $-\log_{10}$(p-value) was 'extracellular matrix

structural constituent' (Figure 2G), indicating that these molecules are the major class of

proteins in our samples.

We took this opportunity to confirm whether our decellularization method could

eliminate intracellular proteins in addition to enriching ECM proteins. Although we

experimentally verified the depletion of intracellular proteins as shown by Western blot

(Figure 1G), we performed bioinformatic verification by inspecting the statistical distribution

of ribosomal proteins, highlighted in red on the volcano plot from FA-ECM samples (Figure

S3). We found that 61 out of the 62 detected ribosomal proteins were located outside the

upper-right quadrant (Fisher's Exact $p < 0.01$) , indicating that the majority of ribosomal

proteins were not enriched in ECM compared to control. This result highlights the potential

of our decellularization procedure to deplete ribosomal proteins and, presumably, other

intracellular proteins.

In conclusion, although our ECM proteomic inventory is likely not complete due to

the unavoidable loss of certain proteins during sample preparation, we anticipate that our

mass spectrometry data is of high quality as our decellularization process does not merely

eliminate intracellular proteins, but enriches for *bona fide* ECM proteins as well.

RNAi screen of planarian ECM identified from proteomics uncovers two genes crucial for

tissue homeostasis and regeneration—The proteomics of decellularized planarians offered

the possibility of initiating an RNAi screen to discover novel ECM genes responsible for

tissue homeostasis and regeneration in planarians (Figure 3A). Forty five proteins were

selected from our proteomic inventory for the RNAi screen as well as one previously

published control ECM protein (tolloid-like protein 1) that was not present in our inventory

(Reddien et al. 2007). The list of all genes targeted in the RNAi screen are listed in Table S3.

The worms were fed with bacteria expressing dsRNA of the target gene every three days for a

total of ten feedings (Figure 3B). Upon completion of the RNAi feeding schedule, planarians

were subjected to two assays to examine the role of the genes of interest during homeostasis

and regeneration (Figure 3C).

Our RNAi screen of 45 genes identified from mass spectrometry found that

knockdown of one gene encoding kyphoscoliosis peptidase (*ky*) caused visible phenotypes in

animals at homeostasis comparing to negative control. Body lesions were observed on the

dorsal surface as indicated with the arrow (Figure 3D and E). In addition, our RNAi

knockdown of the gene encoding tolloid-like protein 1 (*tll*) also caused abnormal phenotypes

in animals at homeostasis. The tails of *tll*-knockdown worms appeared to be rough, as

indicated by the arrow (Figure 3F). Moreover, there were three parallel dark streaks present

on the dorsal surface of the animal (Video S2). The animals curl along the outer two streaks

resulting in the observed tube-like phenotype at the posterior. We scored for worm death at

the end of RNAi feedings and found that 53% of *ky*-knockdown worms died while *tll*-

knockdown worms did not. (Figure 3G).

After 10 RNAi feedings the animals were amputated sagittally to score for

regeneration. This screen identified roles for two genes encoding the basement membrane

heparan sulfate proteoglycan (*hspg*) and kyphoscoliosis peptidase (*ky*) (Figure 3H-J).

Negative controls, *unc-22* RNAi animals, showed normally regenerated tissue, as indicated

by the presence of eye spots on the new tissue with an unpigmented blastema (Figure 3H). In

contrast, the *hspg*-knockdown worms showed a bulging structure at the pharyngeal position,

indicated with the arrow, while the regenerated blastema and eye spots were normal. (Figure

3I). Secondly, although *ky*-knockdown worms were able to form new blastemal tissue, the

eye spots were not visible (Figure 3J). As expected, *tll*, which previously showed a phenotype

in the homeostasis assay, was also found to have a phenotype in the regeneration assay. The

worms failed to produce a blastema and eye spots, indicated by a lack of unpigmented tissue

at the wounded edge; the dorsal surface of the planarians also appeared to be rough, indicated

with a yellow arrow (Figure 3K). Moreover, the *tll(RNAi)* animals were ventrally curled,

particularly at the tail region, in a manner that was similar to the analogous worms at

homeostasis (Figure 3F). In total, three ECM genes of interest were identified from both

assays and the reduction of gene expression in all RNAi conditions was confirmed by

quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Figure S4).

Because some animals died during the regeneration assay, we then conducted a

survival assay to quantify the lethality of these three RNAi conditions. All three conditions

showed reduced survival during regeneration, while 100% of the control animals fully

regenerated and survived (Figure 3L). Only 15% of *tll*-knockdown worms survived at 1 day

post-amputation (dpa), while the percentage of survival for *hspg*-knockdown worms was 68%

at the same time point. In contrast, the survival of *ky*-knockdown worms gradually decreased

from 1-dpa to 10-dpa. At the endpoint, the survival of RNAi-treated worms at 10-dpa were

67%, 10%, and 30% for *hspg*, *tll*, and *ky*, respectively. These results indicated that the

knockdown worms of all three genes of interest are more sensitive to injury than control

animals since their survival rates dropped sharply at the first day after amputation. This

suggests that these genes could be required for the survival of planarians at the early stage of

tissue injury.

To summarize, we conclude that *ky* is essential for tissue homeostasis and

regeneration while *hspg* is important for tissue regeneration. Because we were able to

replicate the previously reported phenotype for the predicted ECM gene *tll*, we conclude that

our RNAi screening is reliable and could provide insights on contribution of a particular

ECM genes in homeostasis and regeneration.

*Analysis of protein sequences reveals structural and functional domains—*In order to further

investigate the function of the genes of interest, the protein domain composition of all three

proteins was bioinformatically predicted (Figure S5). The domain analysis showed that the

HSPG protein contained several domains such as immunoglobulin (IG), low-density

lipoprotein receptor domain class A (LDLa), laminin B domain, and epidermal growth factor-

like domain (EGF). Secondly, the KY protein only contains two repeated domains of

transglutaminase/protease-like homologues (TGc). Lastly, the TLL protein possesses a zinc-

dependent metalloprotease domain with several repeats of CUB domains and calcium-

binding EGF-like domain (EGF-Ca). These results suggest the potential function of each

protein behind our discovered phenotypes in knockdown animals: *hspg* likely encodes a large

core matrisome structural protein, while the protein products of *tll* and *ky* are likely

matrisome-associated metalloproteases.

Expression patterns of genes of interest— Because we discovered roles for ECM genes in

regeneration, we therefore sought to determine whether these genes were expressed in stem

cells. We used γ-irradiation to eliminate neoblasts, followed by whole mount *in situ*

hybridization to visualize the gene expression patterns (Figure 4A). Prior to irradiation, *hspg*

is expressed all over the body of planarians, especially at the pharynx. *ky*, however, was

found to be expressed prominently in the planarian digestive tract. The expression of *tll* was

also found to be well distributed in every part of the worm body. Interestingly, while

irradiation eliminated the probe signal for our neoblast marker, *piwi*-1, it did not eradicate the

probe signals for any of the genes of interest, suggesting that they are not expressed in stem

cells.

In order to pinpoint the cell type responsible for expressing each of our genes of

interest, we consulted the planarian single cell RNA sequencing database *Digiworm* (Fincher

et al. 2018) (Figure 4B). The results showed that *hspg* and *tll* were highly expressed in

muscle cells, while *ky* was enriched in many cell types such as cathepsin+ cells, epidermis,

and intestine (Figure 4C-F). Both WISH and single cell RNA sequencing suggest that all

three genes of interest are mainly expressed in differentiated cells such as gut, muscle, or

epidermis.

As all three genes of interest affect tissue regeneration in amputated planarians, we

used *in situ* hybridization to determine if the expression patterns of these genes change during

regeneration (Figure 4G-I). While the original pattern of *hspg* remained, after 1-dpa there was

an increase in expression at the location of the original pharynx (Figure 4G). At 3-dpa, *hspg*

global expression was reduced, while prominent expression of *hspg* remained in the newly

formed pharynx area. The signal of *hspg* expression in the pharynx was persistent throughout

the regeneration process. Additionally, *ky* was strongly expressed in the newly regenerated

blastema, especially in the intestine near the wounded area at 1 and 3-dpa. At 7- and 13-dpa,

ky expression was reestablished at the newly regenerated blastema particularly in the area that

forms the regenerating intestine (Figure 4H). Lastly, the expression of *tll* decreased globally

at 1-dpa and only a slight signal of *tll* expression was observed at the edge of the wound

(Figure 4I). However, the signal reemerged in the newly regenerated blastema at 3-dpa and

its expression was persistent particularly at the newly regenerated blastema from 7-dpa

onward. Our results suggest that the three genes are expressed mostly in differentiated cells

such as muscle or epithelial cells. Moreover, all of our genes of interest respond to tissue

amputation as shown by dynamic changes in their gene expression patterns following

wounding. Taken together, these results indicate the role of the three genes of interest in the

physiological response of planarian regeneration.

Ultimately, we have demonstrated the potential of our newly-described

decellularization procedures for isolating high-quality ECM from planarians for use in many

downstream applications. Here, we showed the isolated ECM can be used for a protein mass

spectrometry pipeline, from which the first experimentally-based ECM proteomic database

has been established. This work empowers systematic study of planarian ECM to characterize

its function in tissue homeostasis and regeneration.

Discussion

The study of ECM in a highly regenerative animal model, such as planarians

(Reddien and Sánchez Alvarado 2004), is currently limited, preventing us from

understanding how the ECM may or may not contribute to tissue regeneration (Isolani et al.

2013; Seebeck et al. 2017; Lindsay-Mosher, Chan, and Pearson 2020). To resolve this

limitation, we established an integrative workflow consisting of tissue decellularization,

proteomics, and RNA mediated genetic interference (RNAi) for the purification and

characterization of planarian ECM.

The quality of an isolated ECM is a significant parameter for its downstream

characterization. Our decellularization procedure resulted in the production of a full-body

ECM scaffold from *S. mediterranea* possessing both little residual genomic DNA and a well-

defined basement membrane (Figure 1). Both of these parameters are generally used as

gauges for determining the quality of purified ECM after intensive decellularization (Gilbert

et al. 2009; Hynes 2009; Ross et al. 2009; Nakayama et al. 2010). Not only does our

procedure produce an isolated ECM of high quality, but it also suggests that a single protocol

with a few customizations may ultimately be applicable to a broad diversity of planarians

(Sonpho et al. 2020).

Mass spectrometry of the purified ECM allowed us to establish an

experimentally-defined planarian matrisome inventory. When compared to a

computationally-derived ECM catalogue (Cote, Simental, and Reddien 2019), we found both

an overlap of 113 proteins between databases, with some proteins represented in our

matrisome but not in the *in silico* inventory 1,104 proteins and vice versa 231 proteins

(Figure 2E). For example, we found lectin 1 (SMESG000028263.1), cathepsin L1-like

(SMESG000049722.1), and laminin subunit β (SMESG000062589.1) as being shared, but

found prosaposin (SMESG000019976.1), and Fucolectin-4 (SMESG000059878.1) missing in

the computationally-derived matrisome inventory and some well-defined ECM proteins, such

as lysyl oxidase 3, netrin 5, and fibrillin 2, missing from the experimentally-defined

matrisome. There are several likely explanations for these discrepancies. First, our

decellularization protocols are not only effective for removing cells but also for enriching

ECM and ECM associated proteins (Figure 2F and G) that are not likely to be predicted

computationally. Second, mass spectrometry is likely to be unable to reliably detect proteins

that may only be present in the sample in small amounts, with their signals overwhelmed by

the overabundance of major ECM proteins such as collagen (Lampi et al. 2005), which may

be addressed experimentally in the future by collagenase treatment prior to analysis. Third,

there may be unintended loss of ECM proteins in the preparation process, in particular during

the extensive washing steps (Li et al. 2016). And a fourth possibility for the discrepancies

observed may the presence of unannotated genes in *S. mediterranea* (Rozanski et al. 2019)

indicated in Table S5, suggesting that our ECM characterization workflow stands to uncover

novel, uncharacterized components of the planarian ECM. A full characterization of those

unknown proteins is beyond the scope of this study. Our research group is looking forward to

characterizing those unknown proteins in a future work.

Among the three decellularization schemes, our data also indicated that the different

ECM preparations may affect the quality recovery of proteins (Figure 2D). For instance, the

FA-ECM dataset had the lowest protein count, possibly due to the intensive formaldehyde

crosslinking of the sample, which likely resulted in the exclusion of large cross-linked protein

complexes from MS analysis. The differences of proteomic profiles and the number of

identified proteins observed among the different methods employed for decellularization are

in good agreement with previously published analyses (Didangelos et al. 2010; Coronado et

al. 2017). Hence, our findings indicate that the selection of a given decellularization method

over another must be guided by the downstream applications for the purified ECM.

Once we were able to experimentally define a planarian matrisome inventory, we

sought to test the role of these components in both tissue homeostasis and regeneration

(Figure 3). To our knowledge, the genes *hspg* and *ky* have not yet been characterized in

planarians. Our known ECM protein, *tll*, has only been previously reported to influence the

formation of tissues at the midline and pattern tissues along the dorso-ventral axis (Reddien et

al. 2007) and predicted to be an ECM protein (Cote, Simental, and Reddien 2019). Previous

work indicates that the muscle is responsible for most of the ECM production and secretion

(Cote, Simental, and Reddien 2019), yet there is little information available about the

distribution of secreted ECM components across the planarian body. Whole-mount *in situ*

hybridization and single cell RNA sequencing database cross-referencing support that the

products of the *hspg*, *tll* and *ky* genes are expressed in differentiated cells (Figure 4A-F). In

addition, our data indicated that expression of all three genes of interest is both quantitatively

and locally dynamic during regeneration (Figure 4G-I), similar to the behavior of previously

studied ECM genes (Wurtzel et al. 2015).

The expression of *hspg* during both homeostasis and regeneration is mostly localized

to muscle (Figure 4A-C and G), particularly in the pharynx which is mainly composed of

muscle cells (Kobayashi et al. 1998). RNAi against *hspg* clearly results in defective

regeneration as a humped-like structure is observed where the pharynx should be located

(Figure 3I). We therefore hypothesize that *hspg* likely plays a role in pharynx regeneration or

that this gene might be involved with regulation of the basal lamina structure since its gene

expression is similar to that of *megf6* and *hemicentin,* which are reported to be important for

maintaining basement membrane integrity (Lindsay-Mosher, Chan, and Pearson 2020).

Secondly, the knockdown of *ky* expression results in the epithelial lesions (Figure 3E), which

demonstrate the function of *ky* in epithelial homeostasis or differentiated cells. Additionally,

our data suggested a function of *ky* in intestinal regeneration (Figure 4A, B, D, E and H). It

has been reported that there are proteins with protease domains that are expressed in the

digestive tract and play roles in tissue regeneration (Goupil et al. 2016), inviting the

possibility that the similarly expressed proteins play similar roles in planarians. Moreover, *ky*

might be a crucial protein for the function of intestinal cells in that the knockdown of *ky*

might cause the malfunction of the intestinal tract (Figure 3J), since it has been reported that

starvation impedes the rate of regeneration in planarians (Brøndsted 1953). Finally, *tll* has

been previously implicated in regulating dorsal midline patterning in regenerating planarians

(Reddien et al. 2007). However, the exact mechanism of how *tll* controls stem cell

differentiation at the midline is not fully understood in planarians. As *tll* is part of the BMP

pathway, which is essential for dorso-ventral polarity regulation throughout the animal

kingdom (Tucker, Mintzer, and Mullins 2008), it is possible that this gene may both serve as

a secreted ECM-modification protein in addition to participating in the BMP pathway. The

BMP-related functions of *tll* may explain the phenotypes observed on the dorsal surface of

planarians (Figure 3F and K), but further biochemical characterization will be needed to

understand its association with the ECM.

We provide proof-of-concept that our proposed workflow is effective for studying

ECM components involved in planarian tissue regeneration and homeostasis (Figure 5). The

discovery of two genes with promising functions and obvious knockdown phenotypes

supports the validity of our decellularization-based planarian matrisome approach to target

gene candidates for RNAi screening. Our work thus sets the stage for investigating the

involvement of different ECM components in complex biological processes, offering a

unique approach for examining in detail the effects the microenvironment may play in stem

cell fate regulation and determination during tissue homeostasis and whole-body

regeneration. More importantly, this workflow provides an opportunity to discover novel

ECM proteins that fail to be predicted by bioinformatic approaches because of unique

features or specificity to planarians. Ultimately, our work highlights the potential of

planarians as a model system for the study of ECM remodeling.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the financial support to Ekasit Sonpho from Junior

Research Scholarship Program (JRS), Fulbright Program during his stay at the Stowers

Institute for Medical Research, USA. We are grateful for the support received from members

of the research core facilities at the Stowers Institute for Medical Research including all

planaria core facility personnel; Yan Hao, proteomics center; Nancy Thomas, histology

facility; Dan Bradford and Michael Peterson, molecular biology facility; Melainia McClain,

electron microscopy center; and Cindy Maddera, microscopy center. We also appreciate

constructive comments from Lauren Cote following our preprint publication. Alejandro

Sánchez Alvarado, Eric J. Ross and Frederick G. Mann, Jr. are supported by Howard Hughes

Medical Institute. We appreciate the valuable input from every ASA lab members. Works in

Ounjai lab is supported by the Center of Excellence on Environmental Health and Toxicology

(EHT), Faculty of Science, Mahidol Universtiy, and the Thailand Science Research and

Innovation (TSRI). Moreover, we also thank Ekarat Sonpho for editing all the video

materials, and Mr. Jirawat Salungyu for assistance in statistical analysis.

Data Availability

677 The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange

678 Consortium via Pride (Deutsch et al. 2017; Perez-Riverol et al. 2019) partner repository with

679 the dataset identifier PXD013181 and 10.6019/PXD013181. Original data underlying this

680 manuscript may also be accessed after publication from the Stowers Original Data Repository

681 at http://www.stowers.org/research/publications/libpb-1407.

References

Alexa, Adrian, and Jorg Rahnenfuhrer. 2018. "Gene Set Enrichment Analysis with TopGO.

April 30. 2018."

Arnold, Christopher P., M. Shane Merryman, Aleishia Harris-Arnold, Sean A. McKinney,

Chris W. Seidel, Sydney Loethen, Kylie N. Proctor, Longhua Guo, and Alejandro

Sánchez Alvarado. 2016. "Pathogenic Shifts in Endogenous Microbiota Impede Tissue

Regeneration via Distinct Activation of TAK1/MKK/P38." *ELife* 5 (JULY): 1–39.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.16793.

Bateman, Alex. 2019. "UniProt: A Worldwide Hub of Protein Knowledge." *Nucleic Acids

Research* 47 (D1): D506–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049.

Bonnans, Caroline, Jonathan Chou, and Zena Werb. 2014. "Remodelling the Extracellular

694 Matrix in Development and Disease." *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 15 (12):

695 786–801. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3904.

696 Bosnakovski, Darko, Morimichi Mizuno, Gonhyung Kim, Satoshi Takagi, Masahiro

697 Okumura, and Toru Fujinaga. 2006. "Chondrogenic Differentiation of Bovine Bone

698 Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) in Different Hydrogels: Influence of

699 Collagen Type II Extracellular Matrix on MSC Chondrogenesis." *Biotechnology and*

700 *Bioengineering* 93 (6): 1152–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20828.

701 Brandl, Holger, Hong Kee Moon, Miquel Vila-Farré, Shang Yun Liu, Ian Henry, and Jochen

702 C. Rink. 2016. "PlanMine - A Mineable Resource of Planarian Biology and

703 Biodiversity." *Nucleic Acids Research* 44 (D1): D764–73.

704 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1148.

705 Brøndsted, H. V. 1953. "Rate of Regeneration in Planarians after Starvation." *Development* 1

706 (1): 43–47.

707 Carson, Freida L., and Christa. Hladik. 2009. *Histotechnology: A Self- Instructional Text.*

708 *(3rd) Edition*.

709 Choi, Hyungwon, Sinae Kim, Damian Fermin, Chih Chiang Tsou, and Alexey I. Nesvizhskii.

710 2015. "QPROT: Statistical Method for Testing Differential Expression Using Protein-

711 Level Intensity Data in Label-Free Quantitative Proteomics." *Journal of Proteomics*

712 129: 121–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.07.036.

713 Christopher T. Fincher, Omri Wurtzel, Thom de Hoog, Kellie M. Kravarik, and Peter W.

714 Reddien. 2018. "Cell Type Transcriptome Atlas for the Planarian Schmidtea

715 Mediterranea." *Science* 1736 (April): 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaq1736.

716 Coronado, Ramon E., Maria Somaraki-Cormier, Shanmugasundaram Natesan, Robert J.

717 Christy, Joo L. Ong, and Glenn A. Halff. 2017. "Decellularization and Solubilization of

718 Porcine Liver for Use as a Substrate for Porcine Hepatocyte Culture: Method

Optimization and Comparison." *Cell Transplantation* 26 (12): 1840–54.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0963689717742157.

Cote, Lauren E., Eric Simental, and Peter W. Reddien. 2019. "Muscle Functions as a

Connective Tissue and Source of Extracellular Matrix in Planarians." *Nature*

Communications 10 (1): 1592. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09539-6.

Daley, William P., Sarah B. Peters, and Melinda Larsen. 2008. "Extracellular Matrix

Dynamics in Development and Regenerative Medicine." *Journal of Cell Science* 121

(3): 255–64. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.006064.

Deutsch, Eric W., Attila Csordas, Zhi Sun, Andrew Jarnuczak, Yasset Perez-Riverol, Tobias

Ternent, David S. Campbell, et al. 2017. "The ProteomeXchange Consortium in 2017:

Supporting the Cultural Change in Proteomics Public Data Deposition." *Nucleic Acids*

Research 45 (D1): D1100–1106. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw936.

Didangelos, Athanasios, Xiaoke Yin, Kaushik Mandal, Mark Baumert, Marjan Jahangiri, and

Manuel Mayr. 2010. "Proteomics Characterization of Extracellular Space Components

in the Human Aorta." *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics* 9 (9): 2048–62.

https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M110.001693.

Fincher, Christopher T., Omri Wurtzel, Thom de Hoog, Kellie M. Kravarik, and Peter W.

Reddien. 2018. "Cell Type Transcriptome Atlas for the Planarian Schmidtea

Mediterranea." *Science* 360 (6391). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaq1736.

Forsthoefel, David J., Noëlle P. James, David J. Escobar, Joel M. Stary, Ana P. Vieira,

Forrest A. Waters, and Phillip A. Newmark. 2012. "An RNAi Screen Reveals Intestinal

Regulators of Branching Morphogenesis, Differentiation, and Stem Cell Proliferation in

Planarians." *Developmental Cell* 23 (4): 691–704.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2012.09.008.

Galliot, Brigitte, Marco Crescenzi, Antonio Jacinto, and Shahragim Tajbakhsh. 2017.

"Trends in Tissue Repair and Regeneration." *Development (Cambridge)* 144 (3): 357–

64. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.144279.

Gilbert, Thomas W, D Ph, John M Freund, Stephen F Badylak, and D Ph. 2009.

"Quantification of DNA in Biologic Scaffold Materials." *Journal of Surgical Research*

152 (1): 135–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.02.013.

Godwin, J W, R Debuque, E Salimova, and N A Rosenthal. 2017. "Heart Regeneration in the

Salamander Relies on Macrophage- Mediated Control of Fi Broblast Activation and the

Extracellular Landscape," no. July: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41536-017-0027-y.

Götz, Stefan, Juan Miguel García-Gómez, Javier Terol, Tim D. Williams, Shivashankar H.

Nagaraj, María José Nueda, Montserrat Robles, Manuel Talón, Joaquín Dopazo, and

Ana Conesa. 2008. "High-Throughput Functional Annotation and Data Mining with the

Blast2GO Suite." *Nucleic Acids Research* 36 (10): 3420–35.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn176.

Goupil, Louise S., Sam L. Ivry, Ivy Hsieh, Brian M. Suzuki, Charles S. Craik, Anthony J.

O'Donoghue, and James H. McKerrow. 2016. "Cysteine and Aspartyl Proteases

Contribute to Protein Digestion in the Gut of Freshwater Planaria." *PLoS Neglected*

Tropical Diseases 10 (8): 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004893.

Isolani, Maria Emilia, Josep F. Abril, Emili Saló, Paolo Deri, Anna Maria Bianucci, and

Renata Batistoni. 2013. "Planarians as a Model to Assess In Vivo the Role of Matrix

Metalloproteinase Genes during Homeostasis and Regeneration." *PLoS ONE* 8 (2).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0055649.

Jones, Philip, David Binns, Hsin Yu Chang, Matthew Fraser, Weizhong Li, Craig McAnulla,

Hamish McWilliam, et al. 2014. "InterProScan 5: Genome-Scale Protein Function

Classification." *Bioinformatics* 30 (9): 1236–40.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.

Jongebloed, W. L., I. Stokroos, J. J.L. Van Der Want, and D. Kalicharan. 1999. "Non-

Coating Fixation Techniques or Redundancy of Conductive Coating, Low KV FE-SEM

Operation and Combined SEM/TEM of Biological Tissues." *Journal of Microscopy* 193

(2): 158–70. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.1999.00430.x.

Kobayashi, Chiyoko, Seitaro Kobayashi, Hidefumi Orii, Kenji Watanabe, and Kiyokazu

Agata. 1998. "Identification of Two Distinct Muscles in the Planarian Dugesia Japonica

by Their Expression of Myosin Heavy Chain Genes." *Zoological Science* 15 (6): 861–

69. https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.15.861.

Koochekpour, S., A. Merzak, and G. J. Pilkington. 1995. "Extracellular Matrix Proteins

Inhibit Proliferation, Upregulate Migration and Induce Morphological Changes in

Human Glioma Cell Lines." *European Journal of Cancer* 31 (3): 375–80.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-8049(94)00476-L.

Li, Qiyao, Basak E. Uygun, Sharon Geerts, Sinan Ozer, Mark Scalf, Sarah E. Gilpin, Harald

C. Ott, et al. 2016. "Proteomic Analysis of Naturally-Sourced Biological Scaffolds."

Biomaterials 75: 37–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.10.011.

Lindsay-Mosher, Nicole, Andy Chan, and Bret J. Pearson. 2020. "Planarian EGF Repeat-

Containing Genes Megf6 and Hemicentin Are Required to Restrict the Stem Cell

Compartment." *PLoS Genetics* 16 (2): 1–24.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008613.

Lukjanenko, Laura, M. Juliane Jung, Nagabhooshan Hegde, Claire Perruisseau-Carrier,

Eugenia Migliavacca, Michelle Rozo, Sonia Karaz, et al. 2016. "Loss of Fibronectin

from the Aged Stem Cell Niche Affects the Regenerative Capacity of Skeletal Muscle in

Mice." *Nature Medicine* 22 (8): 897–905. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4126.

McDonald, W. Hayes, David L. Tabb, Rovshan G. Sadygov, Michael J. MacCoss, John

Venable, Johannes Graumann, Jeff R. Johnson, Daniel Cociorva, and John R. Yates.

2004. "MS1, MS2, and SQT - Three Unified, Compact, and Easily Parsed File Formats

for the Storage of Shotgun Proteomic Spectra and Identifications." *Rapid*

Communications in Mass Spectrometry 18 (18): 2162–68.

https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1603.

Michael, Ashburner, Ball A. Catherine, Blake A. Judith, Botstein David, Butler Heather,

Cherry J. Michael, Davis P. Allan, et al. 2000. "Gene Ontology⬚: Tool for the

Unification of Biology." *Nature Genetics* 25 (May): 25–29.

Morgner, Jessica, Sushmita Ghatak, Tobias Jakobi, Christoph Dieterich, Monique Aumailley,

and Sara A. Wickström. 2015. "Integrin-Linked Kinase Regulates the Niche of

Quiescent Epidermal Stem Cells." *Nature Communications* 6 (May).

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9198.

Nakayama, Karina H., Cynthia A. Batchelder, Chang I. Lee, and Alice F. Tarantal. 2010.

"Decellularized Rhesus Monkey Kidney as a Three-Dimensional Scaffold for Renal

Tissue Engineering." *Tissue Engineering - Part A* 16 (7): 2207–16.

https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2009.0602.

Newmark, Phillip A., and Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado. 2000. "Bromodeoxyuridine

Specifically Labels the Regenerative Stem Cells of Planarians." *Developmental Biology*

220 (2): 142–53. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2000.9645.

Pearson, Bret J., George T. Eisenhoffer, Kyle A. Gurley, Jochen C. Rink, Diane E. Miller,

and Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado. 2009. "Formaldehyde-Based Whole-Mount in Situ

Hybridization Method for Planarians." *Developmental Dynamics* 238 (2): 443–50.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21849.

Perez-Riverol, Yasset, Attila Csordas, Jingwen Bai, Manuel Bernal-Llinares, Suresh

Hewapathirana, Deepti J. Kundu, Avinash Inuganti, et al. 2019. "The PRIDE Database

and Related Tools and Resources in 2019: Improving Support for Quantification Data."

Nucleic Acids Research 47 (D1): D442–50. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1106.

Phan, Anne Q., Jangwoo Lee, Michelle Oei, Craig Flath, Caitlyn Hwe, Rachele Mariano, Tiffany Vu, et al. 2015. " Positional Information in Axolotl and Mouse Limb Extracellular Matrix Is Mediated via Heparan Sulfate and Fibroblast Growth Factor during Limb Regeneration in the Axolotl (Ambystoma Mexicanum) ." *Regeneration* 2 (4): 182–201. https://doi.org/10.1002/reg2.40.

Poss, Kenneth D. 2007. "Getting to the Heart of Regeneration in Zebrafish." *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* 18 (1): 36–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2006.11.009.

Reddien, Peter W., and Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado. 2004. "Fundamentals of Planarian Regeneration." *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 20 (1): 725–57. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.20.010403.095114.

Reddien, Peter W., Adam L. Bermange, Adrienne M. Kicza, and Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado. 2007. "BMP Signaling Regulates the Dorsal Planarian Midline and Is Needed for Asymmetric Regeneration." *Development* 134 (22): 4043–51. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.007138.

Reddien, Peter W., Adam L. Bermange, Kenneth J. Murfitt, Joya R. Jennings, and Alejandro Sánchez Alvarado. 2005. "Identification of Genes Needed for Regeneration, Stem Cell Function, and Tissue Homeostasis by Systematic Gene Perturbation in Planaria." *Developmental Cell* 8 (5): 635–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.02.014.

Ross, Edward A., Matthew J. Williams, Takashi Hamazaki, Naohiro Terada, William L. Clapp, Christopher Adin, Gary W. Ellison, Marda Jorgensen, and Christopher D. Batich. 2009. "Embryonic Stem Cells Proliferate and Differentiate When Seeded into Kidney Scaffolds." *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 20 (11): 2338–47. https://doi.org/10.1681/asn.2008111196.

Rouhana, Labib, Jennifer A. Weiss, David J. Forsthoefel, Hayoung Lee, Ryan S. King,

Takeshi Inoue, Norito Shibata, Kiyokazu Agata, and Phillip A. Newmark. 2013. "RNA

Interference by Feeding in Vitro-Synthesized Double-Stranded RNA to Planarians:

Methodology and Dynamics." *Developmental Dynamics* 242 (6): 718–30.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.23950.

Rozanski, Andrei, Hong Kee Moon, Holger Brandl, José M. Martín-Durán, Markus A.

Grohme, Katja Hüttner, Kerstin Bartscherer, Ian Henry, and Jochen C. Rink. 2019.

"PlanMine 3.0 - Improvements to a Mineable Resource of Flatworm Biology and

Biodiversity." *Nucleic Acids Research* 47 (D1): D812–20.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1070.

Sánchez-Iranzo, Héctor, María Galardi-Castilla, Andrés Sanz-Morejón, Juan Manuel

González-Rosa, Ricardo Costa, Alexander Ernst, Julio Sainz de Aja, Xavier Langa, and

Nadia Mercader. 2018. "Transient Fibrosis Resolves via Fibroblast Inactivation in the

Regenerating Zebrafish Heart." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*

United States of America 115 (16): 4188–93. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1716713115.

Sánchez Alvarado, Alejandro, Phillip A. Newmark, Sofia M.C. Robb, and Réjeanne Juste.

2002. "The Schmidtea Mediterranea Database as a Molecular Resource for Studying

Platyhelminthes, Stem Cells and Regeneration." *Development* 129 (24): 5659–65.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00167.

Sarras, Michael P Jr. 2012. "Components, Structure, Biogenesis and Function of the Hydra

Extracellular Matrix in Regeneration, Pattern Formation and Cell Differentiation." *The*

International Journal of Developmental Biology 56 (6–8): 567–76.

https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.113445ms.

Schultz, Gregory S., and Annette Wysocki. 2009. "Interactions between Extracellular Matrix

and Growth Factors in Wound Healing." *Wound Repair and Regeneration* 17 (2): 153–

62. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2009.00466.x.

Seebeck, Florian, Martin März, Anna-Wiebke Meyer, Hanna Reuter, Matthias C. Vogg,

Martin Stehling, Karina Mildner, Dagmar Zeuschner, Franziska Rabert, and Kerstin

Bartscherer. 2017. *Integrins Are Required for Tissue Organization and Restriction of*

Neurogenesis in Regenerating Planarians. *Development*. Vol. 144.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.139774.

Seyedhassantehrani, Negar, Takayoshi Otsuka, Shambhavi Singh, and David M. Gardiner.

2017. "The Axolotl Limb Regeneration Model as a Discovery Tool for Engineering the

Stem Cell Niche." *Current Stem Cell Reports* 3 (3): 156–63.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40778-017-0085-5.

Sonpho, Ekasit, Chanida Wootthichairangsan, Miyuki Ishida, Takeshi Inoue, Kiyokazu

Agata, Anchuleerat Maleehuan, Komgrid Charngkaew, et al. 2020. "ECM-Body: A

Cell-Free 3D Biomimetic Scaffold Derived from Intact Planarian Body." *Zoological*

Science 37 (4). https://doi.org/10.1101/763714.

Tabb, David L., W. Hayes McDonald, and John R. Yates. 2002. "DTASelect and Contrast:

Tools for Assembling and Comparing Protein Identifications from Shotgun Proteomics."

Journal of Proteome Research 1 (1): 21–26. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr015504q.

Thomas, Paul D., Anish Kejariwal, Michael J. Campbell, Huaiyu Mi, Karen Diemer, Nan

Guo, Istvan Ladunga, et al. 2003. "PANTHER: A Browsable Database of Gene Products

Organized by Biological Function, Using Curated Protein Family and Subfamily

Classification." *Nucleic Acids Research* 31 (1): 334–41.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg115.

Tucker, Jennifer A., Keith A. Mintzer, and Mary C. Mullins. 2008. "The BMP Signaling

Gradient Patterns Dorsoventral Tissues in a Temporally Progressive Manner along the

Anteroposterior Axis." *Developmental Cell* 14 (1): 108–19.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2007.11.004.

Vogg, Matthias C., Brigitte Galliot, and Charisios D. Tsiairis. 2019. "Model Systems for

Regeneration: Hydra." *Development (Cambridge)* 146 (21).

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.177212.

Wang, Jinhu, Ravi Karra, Amy L. Dickson, and Kenneth D. Poss. 2013. "Fibronectin Is

Deposited by Injury-Activated Epicardial Cells and Is Necessary for Zebrafish Heart

Regeneration." *Developmental Biology* 382 (2): 427–35.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.08.012.

Wurtzel, Omri, Lauren E. Cote, Amber Poirier, Rahul Satija, Aviv Regev, and Peter W.

Reddien. 2015. "A Generic and Cell-Type-Specific Wound Response Precedes

Regeneration in Planarians." *Developmental Cell* 35 (5): 632–45.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.11.004.

Xu, T., S. K. Park, J. D. Venable, J. A. Wohlschlegel, J. K. Diedrich, D. Cociorva, B. Lu, et

al. 2015. "ProLuCID: An Improved SEQUEST-like Algorithm with Enhanced

Sensitivity and Specificity." *Journal of Proteomics* 129: 16–24.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.07.001.

You, Jia, Yan Zhang, Zhouhua Li, Zhefeng Lou, Longjin Jin, and Xinhua Lin. 2014.

"Drosophila Perlecan Regulates Intestinal Stem Cell Activity via Cell-Matrix

Attachment." *Stem Cell Reports* 2 (6): 761–69.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.04.007.

Zhang, Ying, Zhhui Wen, Michael P. Washburn, and Laurence Florens. 2010. "Refinements

to Label Free Proteome Quantitation: How to Deal with Peptides Shared by Multiple

Proteins." *Analytical Chemistry* 82 (6): 2272–81. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9023999.

Zhang, Ying, Zhihui Wen, Michael P. Washburn, and Laurence Florens. 2009. "Effect of

Dynamic Exclusion Duration on Spectral Count Based Quantitative Proteomics."

Analytical Chemistry 81 (15): 6317–26. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9004887.

Zhang, Ying, Zhihui Wen, Michael P. Washburn, and Laurence Florens. 2011. "Improving

Proteomics Mass Accuracy by Dynamic Offline Lock Mass." *Analytical Chemistry* 83

(24): 9344–51. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac201867h.

Zhen, Gehua, and Xu Cao. 2014. "Targeting TGFβ Signaling in Subchondral Bone and

Articular Cartilage Homeostasis." *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* 35 (5): 227–36.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2014.03.005.

 Figures and Figure Legends

930 **Figure 1. Isolation of *S. mediterranea* ECM by tissue decellularization.**

Figure 2. Proteomics analysis reveals a complex and enriched ECM profile from

 decellularized planarians.

Figure 3. RNAi screening of empirical matrisome discovers two genes of interest involved in tissue homeostasis and/or regeneration.

Figure 4. Spatiotemporal characterization of genes of interest during tissue homeostasis

and regeneration.

Isolate planarian extracellular matrix

Identify proteins by LC-MS/MS

Systemically knockdown ECM by dsRNA feeding

Investigate phenotypes defective
in tissue homeostasis or regeneration

Figure 5. An overview of our pipeline for characterizing planarian ECM to study regeneration, development, and homeostasis.

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1. Isolation of *S. mediterranea* ECM by tissue decellularization. Overall

 morphology of (A) a control worm (Ctrl) and ECMs isolated from different pretreatment

 procedures, including (B) no pretreatment (NP-ECM), (C) formaldehyde-treated ECM (FA-

 ECM), and (D) N-Acetyl cysteine-treated ECM (NAC-ECM). Scale bars represent 1 mm (A-

 D). (E) The detailed protocol for ECM isolation. (F) Amount of total DNA removed by the

 decellularization procedure. Error bars represent standard deviation. The data were calculated

 from 3 independent experiments, ANOVA, ($p < 0.05$). (G) Western blot against MMP3 and

 Histone H3. Equal amount of total protein was loaded into each lane. (H) Gross morphology

 and histological sections of decellularized planarians stained with Masson's trichrome.

 Decellularizing FA-ECMs were collected at 18 hr. Black arrows indicate layer of basement

 membrane. Red asterisk indicates the location of pharynx. Scale bars represent 500 μm. (I)

 The external surface topology of planarians and FA-ECM were visualized by scanning

 electron microscopy. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

 Figure 2. Proteomics analysis reveals a complex and enriched ECM profile from

 decellularized planarians. (A) Workflow for ECM bottom-up proteomics analysis

 spectrometry (B) Histograms of the non-redundant spectral, peptide, and protein counts

 identified in control (Ctrl) and FA-ECM (FA), NAC-ECM (NAC), and NP-ECM (NP)

 samples. Control, FA-ECM, and NAC-ECM were analyzed in triplicates while NP-ECM had

 2 replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation. (C) Venn diagram displays the

 overlapping proteins identified from ECMs and control, ($P < 0.01$) (D) Venn diagram

 represents the overlapping proteins identified from three different ECM isolation procedures.

 The P value between FA-ECM vs NAC-ECM, FA-ECM vs NP-ECM, and NAC-ECM vs NP-

 ECM is less than 0.01. (E) Venn diagram displays the overlap between every protein

identified from mass spectrometry and ECM identified by Cote et al. (ECM(Cote)) and ECM

identified in our work (ECM(GO)) (P < 0.01) (F) Volcano significance plots of the $\log_2$ fold-

change and -$\log_{10}$ false discovery rate (FDR) calculated by QPROT for the pairwise

comparisons of each ECM from different decellularization procedures compared to the

control. The grey dots represent proteins that have no ECM annotation; the yellow dots

represent proteins that have been annotated as ECM by Cote et al., while the blue dots

represent proteins that have been annotated as ECM by our annotation; the green dots

represent proteins that have been annotated as ECM both by Cote et al. and our annotation.

Dashed lines are shown at fold change equal to 4 and FDR equal to 0.05. Proteins in the upper

right quadrant (purple area) were significantly enriched in the ECM samples versus the

control (n=220). The dots were colored based on the annotation. (G) Bar graph represents the

value of -$\log_{10}$(p-value) of each gene ontology (molecular function, MF) identified in enriched

ECM sample.

Figure 3. RNAi screening of empirical matrisome discovers two genes of interest

involved in tissue homeostasis and/or regeneration. (A) Workflow for dsRNA feeding for

RNAi screening. (B) dsRNA feeding schedule. (C) Cartoon illustrating the timeline for

homeostasis and regeneration assays. (D-F) Characteristic phenotypes of planarians in

homeostasis assay. Scale bars represent 500 μm. (D) Phenotype of planarians with RNAi

against *unc-22* (RNAi) as negative control. (E) Phenotype of planarians with RNAi against

kyphoscoliosis peptidase (*ky*). The arrow indicates tissue lesion. (F) Phenotype of planarians

with RNAi against tolloid-like protein 1(*tll*). The arrow indicates tail curling with rough

surface. (G) The survival rate of unamputated planarians by the end of RNAi feeding course.

(H-K) Characteristic phenotypes of planarians in regeneration assay. Scale bars represent 500

μm. (H) Phenotype of planarians with RNAi against *unc-22* (RNAi) as negative control. (I)

Phenotype of planarians with RNAi against basement membrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan

(*hspg)*. The arrow indicates the hump-like structure. (J) Phenotype of planarians with RNAi

against kyphoscoliosis peptidase (*ky*). (K) Phenotype of planarians with RNAi against tolloid-

like protein 1(*tll*). The arrow indicates rough dorsal surface. (L) Survival rate of RNAi-fed

planarians 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 days after sagittal amputation. Error bars represent standard

deviation. Stars indicate statistically significant differences, as calculated by ANOVA (* = p <

0.05), compared to *unc-22* as the negative control. Biological replicates of RNAi-treated

worms of *unc-22*, *hspg*, *tll*, and *ky* worms were 3, 6, 5, and 3 respectively.

1004

Figure 4. Spatiotemporal characterization of genes of interest during tissue homeostasis

and regeneration. (A) *In situ* hybridization of genes of interest on unamputated planarian

prior to, and two days after, irradiation. Scale bars represent 500 μm. (B) The reference atlas

of RNA single-cell sequencing published by Fincher and colleagues (Fincher et al. 2018). (C-

F) The relative expression of (C) *hspg*, (D and E) *ky*, and (F) *tll* in each cell type in the RNA

single-cell sequencing. Different dot color indicates differences in level of gene expression.

(G-I) *In situ* hybridization of (G) *hspg*, (H) *ky*, and (I) *tll* on sagittally amputated planarians

regenerated for 1, 3, 7, and 13 days. All scale bars represent 500 μm.

1013

Figure 5. An overview of our pipeline for characterizing planarian ECM to study

regeneration, development, and homeostasis. We describe a multidisciplinary workflow to

isolate, analyze, and characterize the functions of extracellular matrix components during

homeostasis and regeneration in planarians. ECM is enriched through one of three alternative

decellularization procedures. The protein composition of the isolated ECM is empirically

determined via liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. The genes encoding

these components are perturbed by RNA interference to reveal visible anatomical phenotypes

indicating functional roles for the identified ECM proteins in homeostasis or regeneration.