Functional assessment of the "two-hit" model for neurodevelopmental defects

in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis*

Short title: Assessment of "two-hit" model for developmental defects

Lucilla Pizzo[1]*, Micaela Lasser[2]*, Tanzeen Yusuff[1], Matthew Jensen[1], Phoebe Ingraham[1], Emily

Huber[1], Mayanglambam Dhruba Singh[1], Connor Monahan[2], Janani Iyer[1], Inshya Desai[1],

Siddharth Karthikeyan[1], Dagny J. Gould[1], Sneha Yennawar[1], Alexis T Weiner[1], Arjun

Krishnan[4,5], Melissa Rolls[1], Laura Anne Lowery[6] and Santhosh Girirajan[1,3#]

1. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, PA USA
2. Department of Biology, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA USA
3. Department of Anthropology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA
USA
4. Department of Computational Mathematics, Science and Engineering, Michigan State,
University, East Lansing, MI, USA
5. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Michigan State University, East
Lansing, MI, USA
6. Department of Medicine, Boston University Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

*contributed equally to the work

#Corresponding author:
Santhosh Girirajan, MBBS, PhD
205A Life Sciences Building
Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802
E-mail: sxg47@psu.edu
Phone: 814-865-0674

Keywords: phenotypic variability, modifier, CNV

Abstract

We previously identified a deletion on chromosome 16p12.1 that is mostly inherited and

associated with multiple neurodevelopmental outcomes, where severely affected probands

carried an excess of rare pathogenic variants compared to mildly affected carrier parents. We

hypothesized that the 16p12.1 deletion sensitizes the genome for disease, while "second hits" in

the genetic background modulate the phenotypic trajectory. To test this model, we examined

how neurodevelopmental defects conferred by knockdown of individual 16p12.1 homologs are

modulated by simultaneous knockdown of homologs of "second hit" genes in *Drosophila*

melanogaster and *Xenopus laevis*. We observed that knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs affect

multiple phenotypic domains, leading to delayed developmental timing, seizure susceptibility,

brain alterations, abnormal dendrite and axonal morphology, and cellular proliferation defects. In

contrast to genes within the 16p11.2 deletion, which has higher *de novo* occurrence, 16p12.1

homologs additively interacted and were less connected to each other in a human brain-specific

interaction network, suggesting that interactions with second-hit genes confer higher impact

towards neurodevelopmental phenotypes. Assessment of 358 pairwise interactions in *Drosophila*

between 16p12.1 homologs and 76 homologs of patient-specific "second-hit" genes (such as

ARID1B and *CACNA1A*), genes within neurodevelopmental pathways (such as *PTEN* and

UBE3A), and transcriptomic targets (such as *DSCAM* and *TRRAP*) identified both additive (47%)

and epistatic (53%) effects. In 11 out of 15 families, homologs of patient-specific "second-hits"

showed distinct patterns of interactions, enhancing or suppressing the phenotypic effects of one

or many 16p12.1 homologs. In fact, homologs of *SETD5* synergistically interacted with

homologs of *MOSMO* in both *Drosophila* and *X. laevis*, leading to modified cellular and brain

phenotypes, as well as axon outgrowth defects that were not observed with knockdown of either

individual homolog. Our results suggest that several 16p12.1 genes sensitize the genome towards

neurodevelopmental defects, and complex interactions with "second-hit" genes determine the

ultimate phenotypic manifestation.

Author Summary

Copy-number variants, or deletions and duplications in the genome, are associated with multiple

neurodevelopmental disorders. The developmental delay-associated 16p12.1 deletion is mostly

inherited, and severely affected children carry an excess of "second-hits" variants compared to

mildly affected carrier parents, suggesting that additional variants modulate the clinical

manifestation. We studied this "two-hit" model using *Drosophila* and *Xenopus laevis*, and

systematically tested how homologs of "second-hit" genes modulate neurodevelopmental defects

observed for 16p12.1 homologs. We observed that 16p12.1 homologs independently led to

multiple neurodevelopmental features and additively interacted with each other, suggesting that

interactions with "second-hit" homologs could have a higher impact towards

neurodevelopmental defects than interactions between 16p12.1 homologs. We tested 358

pairwise interactions of 16p12.1 homologs with "second-hit" homologs and genes within

conserved neurodevelopmental pathways, and identified interacting homologs in 11 out of 15

families studied, which modified neurodevelopmental defects of individual or multiple 16p12.1

homologs through complex interactions. Interestingly, we observed that *SETD5* homologs

interacted with homologs of *MOSMO*, which modified cellular and brain defects, and conferred

neuronal phenotypes not observed with knockdown of individual homologs. We propose that the

16p12.1 deletion sensitizes the genome to multiple neurodevelopmental defects, and complex

interactions with "second-hit" genes determine the final manifestation.

Introduction

Rare recurrent copy-number variants (CNVs) account for about 15% of individuals with

neurodevelopmental disorders, such as autism, intellectual disability, and schizophrenia (1, 2).

While certain CNVs were initially associated with specific neuropsychiatric diagnoses, such as

the 16p11.2 deletion and autism (3, 4), 3q29 deletion and schizophrenia (5), and 15q13.3

deletion and epilepsy (6), variable expressivity of phenotypes seems to be the norm rather than

the exception for these CNVs (7). A notable example of this is the 520 kbp deletion

encompassing seven genes on chromosome 16p12.1, which is associated with multiple

neuropsychiatric disorders, including intellectual disability/developmental delay (ID/DD),

schizophrenia, and epilepsy (8, 9). Furthermore, a large-scale study on a control population

reported cognitive defects in seemingly unaffected individuals with the 16p12.1 deletion (10),

suggesting that the deletion is sufficient to cause neuropsychiatric features. In contrast to other

pathogenic CNVs that occur mostly *de novo*, the 16p12.1 deletion is inherited in more than 95%

of individuals from a mildly affected or unaffected carrier parent (8, 9, 11). In fact, affected

children with the deletion were more likely to carry another large CNV or deleterious mutation

elsewhere in the genome ("second-hit") compared to their carrier parents (8, 9), providing

evidence that additional rare variants modulate the effect of the deletion. These results suggest

that the 16p12.1 deletion confers significant risk for disease and sensitizes the genome for a

range of neuropsychiatric outcomes, while additional rare variants in the genetic background

determine the ultimate phenotypic trajectory.

The extensive phenotypic variability and lack of chromosomal events, such as

translocations and atypical deletions, have made causal gene discovery for variably-expressive

CNVs such as the 16p12.1 deletion challenging. In particular, the developmental and neuronal

phenotypes associated with each individual 16p12.1 gene and the interaction models that explain

how "second-hit" genes modulate the associated phenotypes have not been assessed. Therefore, a

systematic evaluation of developmental, neuronal, and cellular defects caused by reduced

expression of individual 16p12.1 genes, as well as their interactions with each other and with

"second-hit" genes from patients with the deletion, would allow us to understand the functional

basis of the variable phenotypes associated with the deletion. *Drosophila melanogaster* and

Xenopus laevis serve as excellent models for systematic evaluation of developmental and tissue-

specific effects of multiple genes and their genetic interactions, as they are amenable for rapid

genetic manipulation and high-throughput evaluation. In fact, *Drosophila* have been classically

used to study the roles of genes and genetic interactions towards developmental and neurological

phenotypes (12-14). For example, Grossman and colleagues overexpressed human transgenes

from chromosome 21 in flies and identified synergistic interactions between *DSCAM* and

COL6A2, which potentially contribute to the heart defects observed in individuals with Down

syndrome (15). Furthermore, functional assays using *X. laevis* have uncovered developmental

defects, behaviors, and molecular mechanisms for several homologs of genes associated with

neurodevelopmental disorders, such as *NLGN1* (16), *CACNA1C* (17), *GRIK2* (18), and *PTEN*

(19).

Using *Drosophila* and *X. laevis* models, we recently found that multiple genes within the

variably expressive 16p11.2 and 3q29 deletion regions individually contribute to

neurodevelopmental defects (20, 21), suggesting that no single gene could be solely causative for

the wide range of defects observed with deletion of an entire region. Moreover, we identified

complex genetic interactions within conserved biological pathways among homologs of genes

affected by these CNVs. For example, fly and *X. laevis* homologs of *NCBP2* enhanced the

neuronal and cellular phenotypes of each of the other homologs of 3q29 genes (21), while fly

homologs of 16p11.2 genes interacted in cellular proliferation pathways in an epistatic manner to

enhance or suppress phenotypes of individual homologs (20). In fact, several aspects of the

interactions observed in our studies were also functionally or thematically validated in vertebrate

model systems, providing further evidence for the utility of these models to study complex

genetic interactions (22, 23). While our previous work showed pervasive interactions of

homologs within regions associated with neurodevelopmental disease, the deletions within these

regions occur primarily *de novo* (11), indicating a strong phenotypic impact associated with these

CNVs. In contrast, the 16p12.1 deletion is mostly inherited and more frequently co-occurs with

"second-hit" variants in affected individuals than other pathogenic CNVs (11), suggesting that

interactions involving "second-hit" genes confer a higher impact towards the variable

neurodevelopmental phenotypes compared to those caused by interactions among genes within

the CNV region.

Here, using *Drosophila melanogaster* and *X. laevis* as two complementary model systems

of development, we present the first systematic assessment of conserved genes within the

16p12.1 deletion towards developmental, neuronal, and cellular phenotypes in functional models.

We found that knockdown of each individual 16p12.1 homolog affects multiple phenotypic

domains of neurodevelopment, leading to developmental delay and seizure susceptibility, brain

size alterations, neuronal morphology abnormalities, and cellular proliferation defects. These

defects were modulated by simultaneous knockdown of homologs of genes that carried "second-

hits" in affected children with the deletion through additive and epistatic interactions. In fact, we

observed that knockdown of both fly and *X. laevis* homologs of the intellectual disability-

associated gene *SETD5* synergistically enhanced the neurodevelopmental and cellular

phenotypes due to knockdown of homologs of the 16p12.1 gene *MOSMO*. Our results suggest a

model where reduced expression of each individual gene within 16p12.1 is sufficient to sensitize

the genome towards distinct neurodevelopmental defects, and are then modulated by complex

interactions with "second-hit" genes.

Results

Multiple homologs of 16p12.1 genes contribute to *Drosophila* and *X. laevis* development

We identified four conserved fly homologs out of the seven human protein coding 16p12.1 genes

using reciprocal BLAST and orthology prediction tools (S1 Table) (24). Using RNA interference

(RNAi) and the *UAS-GAL4* system (25), we reduced the expression of the four fly homologs in a

tissue-specific manner, and studied their individual contributions towards developmental,

neuronal, and cellular defects (Fig 1). A complete list of the fly lines used in this study and full

genotypes for all experiments are provided in S1 File. We confirmed 40-60% expression of the

four homologs using RT-qPCR (S1 Fig). We note that the genes are represented with fly gene

names along with human counterparts at first mention in the text, and as fly genes with allele

names in the figures.

We first assessed the global role of 16p12.1 homologs during development by decreasing

their expression ubiquitously using the *da-GAL4* driver, and detected larval lethality with

knockdown of *Sin* (*POLR3E*) and *UQCR-C2* (*UQCRC2*) (Fig 2A, S2 Fig). Wing-specific

bx^MS1096^-GAL4 mediated knockdown led to severe phenotypes for *Sin* and severe defects and

lethality for *UQCR-C2* fly models, recapitulating the observations made with ubiquitous

knockdown (Fig 2A, S2 Fig) and suggesting a role for these homologs in signaling pathways

required for early development (26-28). Next, we evaluated whether decreased expression of the

homologs leads to neuronal phenotypes frequently observed in animal models of

neurodevelopmental disease, including altered lifespan, susceptibility to seizures, delayed

developmental timing, changes in brain size, and dendritic arbor defects (29-34). We observed

early lethality in adult flies with nervous system-specific *Elav-GAL4*-mediated knockdown of

Sin and *CG14182* (*MOSMO*) (Fig 2B), while extended lifespan was observed with knockdown of

UQCR-C2, as previously reported for *Hsp26, Hsp27* (35), and *SOD* (36). *UQCR-C2* knockdown

in the nervous system also led to significantly greater recovery time when subjected to

mechanical stress during bang sensitivity assays, suggesting a higher susceptibility to developing

seizures (32) (S2 Fig). Furthermore, evaluation of developmental transitions revealed delayed

pupariation and larval lethality with knockdown of *Sin*, indicating a possible role for this gene in

developmental timing, as well as partial larval lethality for *CG14182* (Fig 2C). Further, we

analyzed neuronal morphology in *Drosophila* class IV sensory neurons using the *ppk-Gal4*

driver (31, 37, 38), and identified reduced complexity of dendritic arbors during development for

CG14182 (Fig 2D). Measurements of total area of the developing third instar larval brain led to

reduced brain sizes with pan-neuronal knockdown of *CG14182* and *Sin* (Fig 2E, S3 Fig), which

corresponded with a decreased number of cells in the brain lobe stained with anti-

phosphorylated-Histone 3 (pH3), a marker for proliferating cells (Fig 2F). Interestingly, *Sin*

knockdown also led to a reduction in the number of apoptotic cells, as indicated by staining with

anti-Death-caspase-1 (Dcp-1) (S3 Fig), likely reflecting its role in both proliferation and

apoptotic processes (39, 40).

We then performed RNA-sequencing of fly heads with pan-neuronal knockdown of the

16p12.1 homologs. Gene Ontology analysis of differentially-expressed genes identified

enrichments for multiple cellular, developmental, and neuronal processes (S4 Fig, S2 File). We

found that each 16p12.1 homolog disrupted unique sets of biological functions, as 28/52 (53.8%)

enriched GO biological process terms were uniquely disrupted by one homolog (S4 Fig).

Notably, this trend was accentuated among the human homologs of differentially-expressed

genes, with 353/428 (82.5%) GO terms uniquely disrupted by the 16p12.1 homologs, suggesting

that they may act within independent pathways (S4 Fig). For example, knockdown of *CG14182*

altered the expression of fly homologs of human genes involved in synapse assembly and

transmission as well as histone methyltransferase binding function. Similarly, human homologs

of genes differentially expressed with knockdown of *Sin* were involved in neuronal projection,

neurotransmitter release, and GABA pathways, as well as in the development of several non-

neuronal organ systems, including cardiac, kidney, lung, and muscle, further indicating the

importance of *Sin* towards global development.

Next, we examined developmental phenotypes associated with decreased dosage of

homologs of 16p12.1 genes in *X. laevis*, a complementary vertebrate model system (Fig 1 and

2G). We injected homolog-specific morpholinos at two- or four-cell stage embryos to reduce the

expression of each homolog to approximately 50% (partial knockdown), and further reduced

expression with higher morpholino concentrations (stronger knockdown) to increase our

sensitivity to detect more specific phenotypes (S1 Fig, see Methods). Reduced expression of

mosmo and *polr3e* led to severe craniofacial defects in stage 42 tadpoles, as measured by specific

facial landmarks, while milder defects were observed for *cdr2* (Fig 2H, S5 Fig). This suggests a

role for these homologs in key developmental processes involved in craniofacial morphogenesis,

such as neural crest cell formation and migration (41-46). We next examined axon outgrowth

phenotypes in neural tube explants from stage 20-22 injected *X. laevis* embryos, and found that

stronger knockdown of *mosmo* (20 ng morpholino) led to a significant reduction in axon length

(Fig 2I, S5 Fig), suggesting a potential role for the homolog in cytoskeletal signaling processes

involved in axon outgrowth (47). Furthermore, stronger knockdown of *mosmo* (20 ng

morpholino) and *polr3e* (20 ng morpholino) resulted in decreased forebrain and midbrain area

(Fig 2J, S5 Fig), in concordance with the brain size defects we observed in *Drosophila* models.

Interestingly, partial knockdown of *mosmo* (12 ng morpholino) also led to a severe reduction in

forebrain and midbrain area (Fig S5). Western blot analysis for whole embryo lysates using anti-

pH3 antibody as a marker for cellular proliferation showed decreased proliferation with

knockdown of *polr3e*, while knockdown of *mosmo* did not lead to any significant changes (S6

Fig). Overall, these results suggest that homologs of 16p12.1 genes individually contribute to

multiple developmental defects and affect distinct cellular, neuronal and developmental

processes in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis*.

Homologs of 16p12.1 genes independently contribute to neurodevelopmental defects

Our previous functional studies of genes within CNV regions identified several potential models

for how genes within CNVs interact with each other to influence neurodevelopmental

phenotypes (20, 21, 48). As multiple homologs of 16p12.1 genes contribute towards

developmental, neuronal, and cellular phenotypes, we used the sensitive fly eye system to assess

for genetic interactions among the 16p12.1 fly homologs. The *Drosophila* fly eye has been

widely used to identify genetic interactions that disrupt ommatidial organization during

development (49), and modifier genes for homologs of several human diseases, including

Spinocerebellar Ataxia type 1 (50), Huntington's disease (50), and Fragile X syndrome (51),

have been studied in flies. We first assessed whether eye-specific *GMR-GAL4* knockdown of

individual homologs led to eye phenotypes, and evaluated the severity of eye roughness using

Flynotyper, a tool that quantifies the levels of ommatidial disorderliness in the adult fly eye (52).

We observed that knockdown of *Sin* led to a subtle disruption of ommatidial organization

compared with the control, while no such phenotypes were observed with knockdown of the

other homologs (S7 Fig). Further reductions in expression of the 16p12.1 homologs using *GMR-*

GAL4 and overexpression of *Dicer2* led to more severe eye phenotypes for *CG14182* and *Sin* (S7

Fig). We next generated *GMR-GAL4* eye-specific recombinant lines for each homolog and

crossed them with multiple RNAi lines for other 16p12.1 homologs (S1 File), to test a total of 30

two-hit crosses for 12 pairwise gene interactions (Fig 1 and 3A, S8 Fig). We observed significant

changes in eye severity for a subset of pairwise interactions compared with recombinant lines

crossed with control, validated with multiple RNAi lines, and further tested for additive or

epistatic effects using two-way ANOVA analysis (53) (Fig 3B and 3C, S9 Fig, see Methods). For

example, we observed that simultaneous knockdown of *UQCR-C2* with *Sin* or *CG14182* led to

an increase in eye phenotype compared to knockdown of *UQCR-C2* crossed with control (Fig

3D). Similarly, decreased expression of *Sin* led to an enhancement of the *CG14182* eye

phenotype. Two-way ANOVA analyses indicated that all validated enhancers among the

16p12.1 homologs were additive in nature (Fig 3D) (53).

We further investigated the effects of the combined knockdown of homologs of *MOSMO*

and *POLR3E*, genes that individually contributed to multiple defects in both fly and *X. laevis*

models, towards *X. laevis* development (Fig 1 and 3E). Pairwise interactions in *X. laevis* models

were tested using partial knockdown of the homologs to avoid potential lethality with stronger

knockdown. Partial pairwise knockdown of *polr3e* (10 ng morpholino) and *mosmo* (12 ng

morpholino) showed significantly reduced forebrain and midbrain area when compared to

knockdown of *polr3e* alone (Fig 3F), but not when compared to knockdown of *mosmo* alone.

Similarly, we assessed whether *mosmo* and *polr3e* interact to modulate cellular proliferation

processes during *X. laevis* development, and did not observe any changes in anti-pH3 signals

with combined knockdown of *polr3e* and *mosmo* compared with knockdown of *polr3e* alone

(Fig 3G, S6 Fig). Overall, our analysis in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis* suggest additive effects

among the 16p12.1 homologs, where each homolog independently contributes towards the tested

neurodevelopmental phenotypes.

Homologs of 16p12.1 genes interact with genes in conserved neurodevelopmental pathways

We recently identified additive and epistatic interactions between fly homologs of CNV genes

and conserved genes in neurodevelopmental pathways, providing functional evidence that

phenotypes of CNV genes are modulated by key neurodevelopmental genes (20, 21). As our

functional analyses showed that knockdown of each 16p12.1 homolog resulted in multiple

neuronal and developmental phenotypes, we hypothesized that homologs of human genes

associated with core neurodevelopmental pathways could modulate these phenotypes (Fig 4A).

To examine this, we performed 255 crosses to test 116 pairwise gene interactions between eye-

specific recombinant lines for each of the four 16p12.1 homologs and 52 homologs of known

neurodevelopmental genes and transcriptional targets. As validation, we used multiple RNAi,

mutant or overexpression lines when available, to test a total of 78 fly lines for the 52 homologs

(Fig 1). Details of the number of homologs, fly lines, and crosses used for all interaction

experiments are provided in S1 File. *First*, we screened for 55 interactions between homologs of

16p12.1 genes and 13 homologs of known neurodevelopmental genes, such as *kis (CHD8)*, *Pten*

(PTEN), *Ube3a (UBE3A)*, and *Prosap (SHANK3)* (52). Using *Flynotyper* to quantify adult eye

defects, we identified global and 16p12.1 homolog-specific interactions for 18 out of 55 tested

pairwise interactions (S10-S13 Fig, S3 File). For example, knockdown of *kis* led to a significant

reduction in the eye phenotype observed with knockdown of *CG14182* (Fig 4B, S13 Fig).

Furthermore, knockdown of *Pten* enhanced the eye phenotype observed with knockdown of *Sin*

(S12 Fig), while knockdown of *CG10465 (KCTD13)* enhanced the eye phenotype observed with

knockdown of each 16p12.1 homolog (Fig 4B). *Next*, we screened for interactions of the

homologs with 25 dysregulated genes selected from our transcriptome studies as well as 14

genes within functionally-related pathways, including *NaCP60E (SCN8A)*, *Gat* (*SLC6A1*), and

Hsp70Ab (HSPA1A). We identified interactions for 29 out of 61 tested pairs, validated using

additional lines when available, including 17 out of 33 interactions of 16p12.1 homologs with

their direct transcriptional targets (S3 File). For example, knockdown of *Gat* (*SLC6A1*), *Dscam4*

(*DSCAM*), *Nipped-A* (*TRRAP*), and *aurB* (*AURKB*) each modified the eye phenotype due to

knockdown of *Sin* (S12 Fig). Furthermore, the protein-folding gene *Hsp23* (*CRYAB)* was

upregulated with knockdown of *UQCR-C2* and *Cen*, and overexpression of *Hsp23* enhanced the

phenotypes of both 16p12.1 homologs (S10 Fig, S11 Fig). Overall, we identified 47 pairwise

interactions between the 16p12.1 homologs and genes from conserved neurodevelopmental

pathways, including 25 enhancers and 22 suppressors (S14 Fig, S15 Fig, S3 File), further

suggesting a role of these homologs towards neurodevelopment.

Homologs of patient-specific "second-hit" genes modulate phenotypes of 16p12.1 homologs

We recently found that an increased burden of rare variants (or "second-hits") outside of disease-

associated CNVs, such as 16p11.2 deletion, 15q13.3 deletion, and 16p12.1 deletion, contributed

to variability of cognitive and developmental phenotypes among affected children with these

CNVs (8, 9, 11). In fact, we found that severely affected children with the 16p12.1 deletion had

additional loss-of-function or severe missense variants within functionally intolerant genes

compared to their mildly affected carrier parents (8, 9, 11). We hypothesized that homologs of

genes carrying patient-specific "second-hits" modulate the effects of individual 16p12.1

homologs not only additively but also in an epistatic manner. To test this, we performed 227

crosses to study 96 pairwise interactions between eye-specific recombinant lines for each of the

four 16p12.1 homologs and 46 RNAi or mutant lines for 24 homologs of patient-specific

"second-hit" genes identified in 15 families with the 16p12.1 deletion (Fig 1, S16 Fig, S2 Table)

(9). Out of the 24 "second-hit" homologs tested, 12 enhanced and 3 suppressed one or more

16p12.1 homologs and confirmed with additional available lines, with a total of 11 out of 15

families carrying "second-hit" genes with significant interactions (Fig 4B, S14 Fig, S15 Fig, S3

File). Interestingly, we observed that different "second-hit" homologs showed distinct patterns of

interactions with homologs of 16p12.1 genes (Fig 4C, S17 Fig). For example, the affected child

in family GL_11 carried "second-hit" pathogenic mutations in *NRXN1* and *CEP135*. Knockdown

of the fly homolog *Nrx-1* enhanced the eye phenotype caused by knockdown of *Sin* and *UQCR-*

C2, while knockdown of *Cep135* suppressed the eye phenotype caused by knockdown of *UQCR-*

C2 (Fig 4C). Likewise, the affected child in family GL_01 carried inherited "second-hit" variants

in *LAMC3* and *DMD,* as well as a *de novo* loss-of-function mutation in the intellectual disability-

associated and chromatin regulator gene *SETD5* (54) (S16 Fig). We found that knockdown of

Lanb2, homolog of *LAMC3*, and *upSET*, homolog of *SETD5*, led to additive enhancements of the

phenotypes caused by knockdown of *UQCR-C2* and *Sin*, respectively (S17 Fig). However,

knockdown of *upSET* synergistically enhanced the eye phenotype observed with knockdown of

CG14182 (Fig 5A, S9 Fig). To assess the cellular processes affected by this epistatic interaction

during development, we further assessed apoptosis and proliferation processes in the third instar

larval eye discs, and found that simultaneous knockdown of *CG14182* and *upSET* led to an

increased number of cells undergoing proliferation and apoptosis compared to knockdown of

CG14182 alone (Fig 5B). Interestingly, we also identified epistatic interactions between

CG14182 and other chromatin modifier genes, including *Nipped-A*, a transcriptional target of

Sin, and *Osa*, homolog of the "second-hit" gene *ARID1B*, identified in family GL_13 (S17 Fig,

S3 File). These interactions also modulated cellular proliferation and apoptosis processes in the

developing eye discs with knockdown of *CG14182* (S18 Fig).

We further evaluated whether interactions between the fly homologs of *POLR3E* and

MOSMO with *SETD5* were also conserved during vertebrate development, and studied brain and

axon outgrowth phenotypes of homologs of these genes in *X. laevis* (Fig 1). We observed that

simultaneous knockdown of *polr3e* and *setd5* led to smaller forebrain and midbrain areas

compared with *polr3e* knockdown alone (S19 Fig). Similarly, simultaneous knockdown of

mosmo and *setd5* led to a further reduction in midbrain area than that observed with knockdown

of *mosmo* alone (Fig 5C). Furthermore, analysis of axon outgrowth in developing *X. laevis*

embryos showed that simultaneous knockdown of *mosmo* and *setd5* led to significantly reduced

axon length compared to the individual knockdowns of either *mosmo* or *setd5*, while no changes

were observed with simultaneous knockdown of *polr3e* and *setd5* (Fig 5D, S19 Fig). In fact, the

axon outgrowth defect observed with simultaneous knockdown of *mosmo* and *setd5* was not

observed with partial knockdown of either individual gene. This result mirrors the interactions

observed in *Drosophila* eye development, and suggests a synergistic interaction between *mosmo*

and *setd5* during nervous system development. Overall, our results show that both additive and

epistatic interactions with "second-hit" genes modulate neurodevelopmental and cellular

phenotypes associated with homologs of 16p12.1 genes.

Discussion

We previously described multiple models for how genes within CNVs contribute towards neurodevelopmental phenotypes (20, 21, 48). Here, we analyzed neurodevelopmental defects and cellular and molecular mechanisms due to individual and pairwise knockdown of conserved 16p12.1 homologs in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis*, and evaluated how these defects are modulated by homologs of "second-hit" genes. Our results provide multiple hypotheses for how genes within the deletion contribute to neurodevelopmental phenotypes. *First*, in line with our previous findings for homologs of genes within CNV regions (20, 21), our results show that no single homolog within the 16p12.1 region is solely responsible for the observed neurodevelopmental phenotypes. In fact, we observed a global developmental role for multiple 16p12.1 homologs, as well as specific roles of each homolog towards craniofacial and brain development (S3 Table). The role of 16p12.1 homologs in neurodevelopment was further validated by the interactions we found between the homologs and genes within conserved neurodevelopmental pathways. These findings are in accordance with the core biological functions described for some of these genes. *For example*, *POLR3E* encodes a cofactor of the RNA polymerase III, which is involved in the transcription of small RNA, 5S ribosomal RNA and tRNA (55), while *MOSMO* is a negative regulator of the hedgehog signaling pathway (56). *Second*, knockdown of individual homologs sensitized both model organisms towards specific phenotypes. For example, knockdown of homologs of *MOSMO* led to neuronal morphology defects and knockdown of homologs of *POLR3E* led to brain size phenotypes that correlated with cellular proliferation defects in both model systems, while knockdown of *UQCR-C2* led to seizure susceptibility in flies. *Third*, our interaction studies showed that 16p12.1 homologs additively interact with each other, suggesting their independent contribution towards multiple developmental phenotypes, including eye, brain

and cellular proliferation defects. This observation was further supported by distinct sets of

biological functions enriched among the differentially expressed genes for each individual

knockdown. Beyond the four conserved homologs evaluated in this study, little functional

information is available on most of the other genes in the region, including *VWA3A* and *PDZD9*

as well as the non-protein coding genes. Results from mouse models of *EEF2K*, which encodes a

kinase associated with protein synthesis elongation, have postulated associations of this gene

with synaptic plasticity (57), learning and memory (58), atherosclerosis-mediated cardiovascular

disease (59), and depression (60). Although *EEF2K* could function in concert with the tested

16p12.1 genes to contribute towards neurodevelopmental features, the gene showed low

connectivity to other 16p12.1 genes in a human brain-specific interaction network (61, 62) (S4

File), providing preliminary evidence that it could also act independently of the other 16p12.1

genes. Further functional analyses that includes all protein-coding and non-coding genes are

necessary for a comprehensive understanding of the consequences of the entire deleted region, as

these genes may also contribute towards the pathogenicity of 16p12.1 deletion.

We recently showed that additional variants or "second-hits" modulate the manifestation

of developmental and cognitive phenotypes associated with disease-causing variants (8, 9, 11),

including intelligence quotient and head circumference phenotypes. Using the 16p12.1 deletion

as a paradigm for complex genetics, we examined how homologs of genes carrying "second-hit"

variants modulate the phenotypes caused by decreased expression of individual CNV homologs.

We observed that knockdown of homologs of genes carrying "second-hits" in severely affected

children with the 16p12.1 deletion modified the phenotypes associated with the 16p12.1

homologs through complex interactions (15/24 homologs of "second-hits"). In fact, while two-

thirds (9/15) of the interacting genes additively modified the phenotypes of the homologs, the

remainder of the "second-hit" homologs exhibited epistatic interactions (6/15) with at least one

16p12.1 homolog (S3 File). For example, homologs of *ARID1B*, *CEP135* and *CACNA1A*

suppressed the eye phenotypes of one or multiple 16p12.1 homologs, while *Dhc98D*, homolog of

DNAH10, epistatically enhanced the eye phenotype of *UQCR-C2* and *Sin*. Furthermore, we

identified a synergistic interaction between homologs of *MOSMO* and *SETD5*, which led to more

severe (brain size) and novel (axon outgrowth) neurodevelopmental phenotypes in both

Drosophila and *X. laevis* compared with knockdown of either individual homolog. Interestingly,

mouse embryonic stem cells lacking *Setd5* exhibited dysregulation of genes involved in

hedgehog signaling (63), a key pathway recently associated with *MOSMO* function (56).

Moreover, we observed that *MOSMO* and *SETD5* are highly connected to each other in a human

brain-specific interaction network compared to all genes in the genome (top 84.4 percentile

compared to all genetic interactions with *MOSMO*), suggesting that the human genes may also

be functionally related (61, 62). We further observed non-additive interactions between

CG14182 and other genes with chromatin regulating function, such as *Nipped-A* (*TRRAP*) and

osa (*ARID1B*) (S15 Fig). Based on these observations, we propose that while genes carrying

"second-hit" variants may additively interact with 16p12.1 genes, they may also synergistically

enhance or suppress developmental phenotypes, conferring high impact towards variable

neurodevelopmental defects associated with the 16p12.1 deletion. The ultimate nature of these

interactions depends on the role of the individual CNV genes towards specific phenotypes, as

well as the molecular complexity associated with each phenotypic domain (48).

The high inheritance rate of the 16p12.1 deletion (8, 9) suggests that while it confers risk

for several phenotypes, the CNV can be transmitted through multiple generations until additional

variants accumulate and cumulatively surpass the threshold for severe disease (7). In contrast,

other CNVs associated with neurodevelopmental disease, such as the autism-associated 16p11.2

deletion and the 17p11.2 deletion that causes Smith-Magenis syndrome, occur mostly *de novo*

and are less likely to co-occur with another "second-hit", suggesting a higher pathogenicity on

their own (11). For example, 16p11.2 deletion occurs *de novo* in approximately 60% of the

cases, and only 8% of the affected children carry another rare large CNV, in contrast to 25% of

severely affected children with 16p12.1 deletion that carry a "second-hit" large CNV (11). When

we compared experimental results from 16p12.1 homologs with those for fly homologs of

16p11.2 genes (20), we found evidence that the differential pathogenicity of the CNVs could be

explained by differential connectivity and interactions of genes within each region (Fig 6A) (64).

For example, we previously found that 24 out of 52 tested eye-specific interactions between pairs

of 16p11.2 homologs led to enhancement or suppression of phenotypes, significantly modifying

the effect of the individual genes (Fig 6B) (20). In contrast, only four out of twelve tested

interactions between 16p12.1 homologs led to a slight change in phenotypic severity, which in

aggregate showed lower phenotypic scores than those observed for pairwise knockdown of

16p11.2 homologs (Fig 6B and 6C). Moreover, transcriptome analyses showed a higher overlap

of differentially expressed genes among 16p11.2 homologs compared to 16p12.1 homologs,

further suggesting a higher functional relatedness among the 16p11.2 genes (Fig 6D). We

similarly compared the connectivity of genes within both CNV regions in a human brain-specific

interaction network (61, 62), and found that 16p11.2 genes were more strongly connected to each

other than were 16p12.1 genes, and were also more strongly connected to each other than with

other genes in the genome (Fig 6E and 6F, S20 Fig). Interestingly, genes connecting pairs of

16p11.2 genes were enriched for genes intolerant to functional variation (Fig 6E and 6G), such

as *ASH1L*, a histone methyltransferase activator and autism candidate gene (65), and *CAMK2B,* a

protein kinase gene causative for intellectual disability (66). In contrast, connector genes unique

to 16p12.1 genes were not associated with neurodevelopmental disease or enriched for genes

intolerant to variation (S4 File). This suggests that the 16p11.2 deletion disrupts a tight network

of key genes in the brain, including other neurodevelopmental genes and genes with disease

relevance (67, 68). Overall, we propose that 16p12.1 genes independently contribute towards

multiple neurodevelopmental phenotypes and exhibit less functional connectivity compared with

16p11.2 genes, leading to a high transmissibility of the deletion and higher impact of additional

"second-hit" variants towards neurodevelopmental phenotypes.

Our study provides the first systematic analysis of individual and pair-wise contributions

of 16p12.1 homologs towards basic neurodevelopmental phenotypes and cellular and molecular

mechanisms, and identifies a key role of genetic interactions with "second-hit" homologs

towards variable developmental phenotypes. Our work does not intend to recapitulate human

disease, but rather to highlight the basic cellular roles of individual conserved genes and their

interactions towards specific neurodevelopmental phenotypes. As such, these findings should be

further examined in higher-order model systems, including mouse and human cellular models.

Our functional analyses suggest a model where 16p12.1 genes independently sensitize towards

different domains of neurodevelopment, but the ultimate phenotypic manifestation would depend

on complex interactions with genes that carry "second-hit" variants in the genetic background.

Our results highlight the importance of a thorough functional characterization of both individual

CNV genes and their interactions with genes carrying "second-hit" variants towards disease-

associated phenotypes.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All *X. laevis* experiments were approved by the Boston College Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee (Protocol #2016–012), and were performed according to national regulatory

standards.

Drosophila stocks and genetics

Using *Ensembl* database (69), NCBI Protein-Protein BLAST tool (70), and DRSC Integrative

Ortholog Prediction Tool (DIOPT) (24), we identified four homologs out of the seven genes

within the 16p12.1 deletion region in *Drosophila melanogaster* (S1 Table). No fly homologs

were present for three genes, including *VWA3A*, *PDZD9* and *EEF2K*. Similar strategies were

used to identify fly homologs of conserved neurodevelopmental genes and genes carrying

"second-hits" in children with the 16p12.1 deletion. Fly Atlas Anatomy microarray expression

data from FlyBase confirmed the expression of each 16p12.1 homolog in the nervous system

during *Drosophila* development (S1 Table) (71) and expression data from Xenbase (72)

confirmed the expression of the homologs in *X. laevis* brain.

Multiple RNAi lines were used to test neurodevelopmental defects of 16p12.1 homologs

(S1 File). RNAi, mutant, or overexpression lines for fly homologs were obtained from the

Vienna Stock Resource Center (VDRC), Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (BDSC) (NIH

P40OD018537), or Kyoto Stock Center (S1 File). The following lines used were generated in

various research labs: *Drice$^{17\_1}$* and *Drice$^{17\_2}$* from Bergmann lab (73), *GluRIIB $^{Overexp\ EGFP}$* from

Sigrist lab (74), *Hsp26$^{Overexp\ Hsp26}$* from Benzer lab (35), and *Hsp70Ab$^{Overexp\ Hsp70-9.1}$* and

Hsp70Ab$^{Overexp\ Hsp70-4.3}$ from Robertson lab (75). Tissue-specific knockdown of homologs of

16p12.1 genes was achieved using the *UAS-GAL4* system (25), with specific *GAL4* lines

including *w1118;dCad-GFP, GMR-GAL4/CyO* (Zhi-Chun Lai, Penn State University),

w1118;GMR-GAL4; UAS-Dicer2 (Claire Thomas, Penn State University), *w1118,mcd8-GFP, Elav-*

GAL4/Fm7c;; UAS-Dicer2 (Scott Selleck, Penn State University), *w1118,Elav-GAL4* (Mike

Groteweil, VCU), *w1118;;Elav-GAL4,UAS-Dicer2* (Scott Selleck, Penn State University),

w1118;da- GAL4 (Scott Selleck, Penn State University), *w1118,bxMS1096-GAL4;; UAS-Dicer2* (Zhi-

Chun Lai, Penn State University), and *UAS-Dicer2; ppk-GAL4, UAS-mCD8-GFP* (Melissa

Rolls, Penn State University). A list of full genotypes for all fly lines and crosses tested in this

study is provided in S1 File. Fly crosses were reared on a cornmeal-sucrose-dextrose-yeast

medium at room temperature (RT), 25°C or 30°C. For all experiments, RNAi lines were

compared to a control with the same genetic background to account for background-specific

effects (S1 File). Three different controls were used: *w1118* from VDRC (GD stock # 60000), in

which inverted repeats are inserted by P-element insertion; *y,w1118* from VDRC (KK stock #

60100), where inverted repeats are inserted by site-specific recombination; and *{y[1] v[1];*

*P{y[+t7.7]=CaryP}*attP2 from BDSC (TRiP stock # 36303).

RT-quantitative PCR for *Drosophila* RNAi knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs

Decreased expression of homologs of 16p12.1 genes in the nervous system was confirmed using

reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) for individual *Drosophila* RNAi lines.

Decreased expression of the genes was achieved using *Elav-GAL4;;UAS-Dicer2* lines, reared at

25°C. As nervous system-specific knockdown of *Sin* with *Elav-GAL4;;UAS-Dicer2* caused

developmental lethality in all three RNAi lines tested (*SinGD7027, SinKK101936, SinHMC03807*), we

confirmed knockdown of *Sin* using *Elav-GAL4* without overexpression of *Dicer2* and reared at

RT. We note that all experiments with nervous system-specific knockdown of *Sin* were

performed under these conditions. Briefly, three biological replicates, each containing 35-40

female heads, were collected after being separated by repeated freezing in liquid nitrogen and

vortex cycles. Total RNA was extracted from *Drosophila* heads using TRIzol (Invitrogen,

Carlsbad, CA, USA), and cDNA was generated using qScript cDNA synthesis kit (Quantabio,

Beverly, MA, USA). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in an Applied Biosystems Fast 7500

system using SYBR Green PCR master mix (Quantabio), with *rp49* as the reference gene.

Primers were designed using NCBI Primer-BLAST (76), with primer pairs separated by an

intron in the corresponding genomic DNA, if possible. S4 Table details the primers used to

quantify the level of expression of 16p12.1 homologs. The delta-delta Ct method was used to

calculate the percentage of expression compared to the control (77), and statistical significance

compared to the control was determined using t-tests.

531   Eye and wing imaging

Eye-specific knockdown of the 16p12.1 homologs was achieved using *GMR-GAL4* driver at

30°C. Female progeny were collected on day 2-3 and imaged using an Olympus BX53

compound microscope with LMPLan N 20X air objective and a DP73 c-mount camera at 0.5X

magnification, with a z-step size of 12.1μm (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Individual

image slices were captured using the CellSens Dimension software (Olympus Corporation,

Tokyo, Japan), and were stacked into their maximum projection using Zerene Stacker software

(Zerene Systems, Richland, WA, USA). Wing phenotypes were assessed in day 2-5 female

progeny from *bx^{MS1096}-GAL4* lines crossed to the RNAi lines at 25°C. Adult wings were imaged

using a Zeiss Discovery V20 stereoscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA) and a ProgRes Speed

XT Core 3 camera (Jenoptik AG, Jena, Germany) with a 40X objective. Adult wing images were

captured using ProgRes CapturePro v.2.8.8 software. We characterized qualitative phenotypes

for between 10-20 wing images, including curly, wrinkled, shriveled, dusky or vein defects, and

10-30 eye images were assessed for rough, glazed, eye size, and necrotic patches defects.

Quantitative assessment of rough adult eye phenotypes was performed using a software called

Flynotyper (20, 52), which calculates a phenotypic score for each eye image by integrating the

distances and angles between neighboring ommatidia. The phenotypic scores generated by

Flynotyper were compared between RNAi lines and their respective controls using one-tailed

Mann-Whitney tests, with Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple tests.

Lifespan Measurement

Lifespan assessment of homologs of 16p12.1 genes was performed as previously reported (78).

Briefly, fly crosses were set up at 25°C with *Elav-GAL4;;UAS-Dicer2* for each of the fly

homologs, or *Elav-GAL4* at RT for *Sin^{GD7027}*. In all cases, the newly emerged progeny were

collected every day for five consecutive days, and the birth date was recorded. F1 flies were

allowed to mate for 24 hours, and were separated under CO_2 into at least four vials, each

containing 20 females. Vials were transferred every 2-3 days, and the age and number of living

flies were registered. One-way repeated measures ANOVA with post-hoc pairwise t-tests were

performed to identify changes in lifespan for the individual 16p12.1 homologs.

Bang-sensitive assay

Sensitivity to mechanical stress was assessed in females with decreased expression of 16p12.1

homologs in the nervous system, using *Elav-GAL4;;UAS-Dicer2* and reared at 25°C. *Sin* was

excluded from the analysis, as adult flies with *Elav-GAL4* knockdown of the gene exhibited

severe motor defects. Ten female flies from the progeny were collected on day 0-1 for ten

consecutive days, and experiments were performed on day 2-3. Flies were individually separated

under CO_2 24 hours before the experiments, collected in culture vials containing food, and

transferred to another empty culture vial the day of the experiment. Identification of bang-

sensitive phenotypes was performed as previously reported (79). Each vial was vortexed at

maximum speed (Fischer Scientific) for 15 seconds, and the time for each fly to recover from

paralysis was registered. Differences in bang-sensitivity compared with controls were identified

using two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests.

Assessment of delay in developmental timing

Pupariation time was assessed in third instar larvae obtained from crosses between RNAi lines

and *w^1118^;;Elav-GAL4,UAS-Dicer2* or *w^1118^,Elav-GAL4* flies. Developmentally-synced larvae

were obtained from apple juice plates with yeast paste, and were reared for 24 hours. Thirty

newly emerged first instar larvae were transferred to culture vials, for a total of four to ten vials

per RNAi line tested. The number of larvae transitioning to pupae were counted every 24 hours.

Significant differences in pupariation timing compared with the control across the duration of the

experiment were identified with one-way repeated measures ANOVA and post-hoc pairwise t-

tests.

Dendritic arborization experiments

Class IV sensory neuron-specific knockdown was achieved by crossing the RNAi lines to *UAS-*

Dicer2; ppk-GAL4 driver at 25°C in apple juice plates. First instar larvae were collected and

transferred to cornmeal-based food plates for 48 hours. Z-stack images of the dorsal side of third

instar larvae were obtained using a Zeiss LSM 800 (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA) confocal

microscope. To perform Sholl analyses, we assessed the number of intersections of dendrite

branches with four concentric circles starting from the cell body and separated by 25 μm. The

total number of intersections was normalized to the width of the larval hemi-segment, and

significant changes compared with control were assessed using two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests.

Measurement of larval brain area

Larval brain area was assessed in third instar larvae obtained from crosses between the RNAi

lines with *Elav-GAL4*. Crosses were set up in apple plates containing yeast paste to control for

size effects generated by food availability. Fifteen first instar larvae were transferred to culture

vials containing a fixed volume (8-10 mL) of cornmeal-based food. Brains were dissected from

third instar larva in PBS (13mM NaCl, 0.7mM Na_2HPO_4, and 0.3mM NaH_2PO_4), fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 minutes, washed three times in PBS, and mounted in Prolong

Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, P36930). Z-stacks of *Drosophila*

brains were acquired every 10μm with a 10X air objective with 1.2X magnification using an

Olympus Fluoview FV1000 laser scanning confocal microscope (Olympus America, Lake

Success, NY). The area of the maximum projection of the Z-stack was measured using Fiji

software (80). Differences in brain area were assessed using two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests.

RNA sequencing and differential expression analysis in *Drosophila melanogaster*

RNA sequencing was performed for three biological replicates of RNA isolated from 35-40

Drosophila heads with *Elav-GAL4* mediated nervous system-specific knockdown of 16p12.1

homologs as well as controls with matching drivers and rearing temperatures. cDNA libraries

were prepared with TruSeq Stranded mRNA LT Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

Single-end 100bp sequencing of the cDNA libraries was performed using Illumina HiSeq 2000

at the Pennsylvania State University Genomics Core Facility, at an average coverage of 35.1

million reads/sample. Quality control was performed using Trimmomatic (81), and raw

sequencing data was aligned to the fly reference genome and transcriptome build 6.08 using

TopHat2 v.2.1.1 (82). Total read counts per gene were calculated using HTSeq-Count v.0.6.1

(83). Differences in gene expression were identified using a generalized linear model method in

edgeR v.3.20.1 (84), with genes showing a $\log_2$-fold change >1 or < -1 and with a Benjamini-

Hochberg corrected FDR<0.05 defined as differentially expressed. Human homologs of

differentially-expressed genes in flies were identified using DIOPT v7.0. Biological pathways

and processes affected by downregulation of homologs of 16p12.1 genes, defined as significant

enrichments of Gene Ontology (GO) terms (p<0.05, Fisher's exact test with Benjamini-

Hochberg multiple testing correction), were identified using PantherDB (85).

Analysis of genetic interactions in the fly eye

To study genetic interactions in the fly eye, we generated recombinant stock lines for each

16p12.1 homolog by crossing RNAi lines with eye-specific *GMR-GAL4*, as detailed in S1 File.

We assessed genetic interactions between homologs of 16p12.1 genes with each other as well as

with homologs of "second-hits" identified in children with the 16p12.1 deletion, conserved

neurodevelopmental genes, and select transcriptional targets. Genes carrying "second-hits" were

selected as disease-associated genes carrying rare (ExAC frequency ≤1%) copy-number variants,

loss-of-function (frameshift, stopgain or splicing) mutations, or *de novo* or likely-pathogenic

(Phred-like CADD ≥25) missense mutations previously identified from exome sequencing and

SNP microarrays in 15 affected children with the 16p12.1 deletion and their family members (9,

86, 87). We also selected conserved genes strongly associated with neurodevelopmental

disorders (20, 52) and genes with previously described functional associations with individual

16p12.1 genes, such as mitochondrial genes for *UQCRC2* (88) and *Myc* for *POLR3E* and *CDR2*

(89, 90). Overall, we tested 212 pairwise gene interactions including 96 interactions with

homologs of "second-hit" genes, 55 with neurodevelopmental genes, and 61 with transcriptome

targets, using multiple RNAi, mutant or overexpression lines per gene when available.

GMR-GAL4 recombinant lines for the homologs of 16p12.1 genes were crossed with

RNAi or mutant lines for the interacting genes to achieve simultaneous knockdown in the eye.

We also tested overexpression lines for specific genes that are functionally related to 16p12.1

homologs, including *Myc*, *Hsp23*, and *Hsp26*. Our previous assessment showed no changes in

phenotypic scores for recombinant lines crossed with *UAS-GFP* compared to crosses with

controls, demonstrating that the lines have adequate *GAL4* to bind to two independent *UAS-RNAi*

constructs (20). *Flynotyper* scores from flies with double knockdowns were compared to the

scores from flies obtained from crosses between 16p12.1 recombinant lines and background-

specific controls for the interacting gene. Significant enhancers and suppressors were identified

using two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests and Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction. An

interaction was considered to be validated when the observed trend was reproduced by multiple

RNAi lines when available. Epistatic enhancers were identified as non-additive interactions that

showed significant interaction components using two-way ANOVA analysis with multiple

testing correction at FDR<0.05 (S9 Fig). When epistatic effects were not confirmed with more

than two RNAi lines, if available, the interaction was considered to be additive.

Immunohistochemistry of the developing brain and eye discs in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Third instar larvae brain or eye discs were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in

PBT (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS), followed by three washes with PBT. Preparations were

blocked for one hour in blocking buffer (5% FBS or 1% BSA in 0.3% PBT), followed by

incubation overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody. We assessed for markers of proliferation

using mouse anti-pH3 (S10) (1:100; 9706, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) and

apoptosis using rabbit anti-Dcp-1 (Asp216) (1:100, 9578, Cell Signaling). Secondary antibody

incubation was performed using Alexa fluor 647 goat anti-mouse (1:100, Invitrogen, Carlsbad,

CA, USA) and Alexa fluor 568 goat anti-rabbit (1:100, Invitrogen) for 2 hours at 25°C, followed

by three washes with PBT. Tissues were mounted in Prolong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, P36930) prior to imaging. Z-stacks of brain lobe or eye discs were

acquired every 4μm with a 40X air objective with 1.2X magnification using an Olympus

Fluoview FV1000 laser scanning confocal microscope (Olympus America, Lake Success, NY).

Image analysis was performed using Fiji (80). The number of cells undergoing proliferation or

apoptosis were quantified throughout the brain lobe, or posterior to the morphogenetic furrow in

the developing eye discs. The total number of Dcp-1 positive cells in larval brain and eye discs,

as well as pH3 cells in the eye discs, were manually counted from the maximum projections. The

total number of pH3 positive cells in the larval brain were quantified using the MaxEntropy

automated thresholding algorithm per slice, followed by counting the number of particles larger

than 1.5 μm. Differences in the number of positive pH3 or Dcp-1 cells were compared with

appropriate controls using two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests.

Xenopus laevis **embryos**

Eggs collected from female *X. laevis* frogs were fertilized *in vitro*, dejellied, and cultured

following standard methods (16, 91). Embryos received injections of exogenous mRNAs or

antisense oligonucleotide strategies at the two- or four-cell stage, using four total injections

performed in 0.1X MMR media containing 5% Ficoll. Embryos were staged according to

Nieuwkoop and Faber (92).

X. laevis **gene knockdown and rescue**

Morpholinos (MOs) were targeted to early splice sites of *X. laevis mosmo*, *polr3e*, *uqcrc2*, *cdr2*,

and *setd5*, or standard control MO (S5 Table), purchased from Gene Tools (Philomath, OR). In

knockdown experiments, all MOs were injected at either the 2-cell or 4-cell stage, with embryos

receiving injections two or four times total. *mosmo* and control MOs were injected at 12

ng/embryo for partial and 20 ng/embryo for stronger knockdown; *polr3e* and control MOs were

injected at 10 ng/embryo for partial and 20 ng/embryo for stronger; *uqcrc2* and control MOs

were injected at 35 ng/embryo for partial and 50 ng/embryo for stronger; *cdr2* and control MOs

were injected at 10 ng/embryo for partial and 20ng for stronger knockdown; and *setd5* and

control MOs were injected at 10 ng/embryo for partial knockdown. All double knockdown

experiments were performed with partial knockdown to avoid potential lethality.

Splice site MOs were validated using Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction

(RT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent, followed by chloroform extraction

and ethanol precipitation from 2-day old embryos injected with increasing concentrations of MO

targeted to each 16p12.1 homolog, respectively. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript II

Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). PCR was performed in a Mastercycler

using HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) following manufacturer

instructions. PCR was performed using primers with sequences detailed in S4 Table. RT-PCR

was performed in triplicate, and band intensity was measured using the densitometry function in

ImageJ (93) and normalized to the uninjected control mean relative to the housekeeping control

odc1. Phenotypes were rescued with exogenous mRNAs co-injected with their corresponding

MO strategies. *X. laevis* ORF for *mosmo* was purchased from the European *Xenopus* Resource

Center (EXRC, Portsmouth, UK) and gateway-cloned into pCSF107mT-GATEWAY-3'GFP

destination vector. Constructs used were *mosmo*-GFP, and GFP in pCS2+. In rescue

experiments, MOs of the same amount used as for the knockdown of each homolog were co-

injected along with mRNA (1000pg/embryo for *mosmo*-GFP) in the same injection solution.

Quantifying craniofacial shape and size of *X. laevis* embroys

The protocol for quantifying craniofacial shape and size was adapted from Kennedy and

Dickinson (94). Embryos at stage 42 were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. A

razor blade was used to make a cut bisecting the gut to isolate the head. Isolated heads were

mounted in small holes in a clay-lined dish containing PBS. Frontal and lateral view images were

taken using a Zeiss AxioCam MRc attached to a Zeiss SteREO Discovery.V8 light microscope

(Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA). ImageJ software (93) was used to perform craniofacial

measurements, including: 1) facial width, which is the distance between the eyes; 2) face height,

which is the distance between the top of the eyes and the top of the cement gland at the midline;

3) dorsal mouth angle, which is the angle created by drawing lines from the center of one eye, to

the dorsal midline of the mouth, to the center of the opposite eye; and 4) midface area, which is

the area measured from the top of the eyes to the cement gland encircling the edges of both eyes.

For all facial measurements, two-tailed student's t-tests were performed between knockdown

embryos and control MO-injected embryos with the same amount of morpholino.

Neural tube explants, imaging, and analysis

Embryos were injected with either control MO or 16p12.1 homolog-specific MO at the 2-4 cell

stage, and culturing of *Xenopus* embryonic neural tube explants from stage 20-22 embryos were

performed as previously described (91). For axon outgrowth analysis, phase contrast images of

axons were collected on a Zeiss Axio Observer inverted motorized microscope with a Zeiss

20X/0.5 Plan Apo phase objective (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA). Raw images were analyzed by

manually tracing the length of individual axons using the NeuronJ plug-in in ImageJ (95). All

experiments were performed on multiple independent occasions to ensure reproducibility. Axon

outgrowth data were normalized to controls from the same experiment to account for day-to-day

fluctuations. Statistical differences were identified between knockdown embryos and control

MO-injected embryos with same amounts of morpholino using two-tailed student's t-tests.

Immunostaining for brain morphology, imaging, and analysis

For brain morphology analysis, half embryo KDs were performed at the two-cell stage. *X. laevis*

embryos were unilaterally injected two times with either control MO or 16p12.1 homolog-

specific MO and a GFP mRNA construct (300pg/embryo). The other blastomere was left

uninjected. Embryos were raised in 0.1X MMR through neurulation, and then sorted based on

left/right fluorescence. Stage 47 embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in PBS for

one hour, rinsed in PBS, and gutted to reduce autofluorescence. Embryos were processed for

immunoreactivity by incubating in 3% bovine serum albumin and 1% Triton-X 100 in PBS for

two hours, and then incubated in anti-acetylated tubulin (1:700, T7451SigmaAldrich, St. Louis

MO, USA) and goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate secondary antibody (1:1000,

Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Embryos were rinsed in 1% Tween-20 in PBS and imaged in

PBS. Removal of the skin dorsal to the brain was performed if the brain was not clearly visible

due to pigment.

Images were taken at 3.2X magnification using a Zeiss AxioCam MRc attached to a

Zeiss SteREO Discovery.V8 light microscope (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA). Images were

processed in ImageJ (93). The areas of the forebrain and midbrain were determined from raw

images using the polygon area function in ImageJ. Brain sizes were quantified by taking the ratio

of forebrain and midbrain areas between the injected side versus the uninjected side for each

sample. All experiments were performed on at least three independent occasions to ensure

reproducibility, and data shown represent findings from multiple replicates. Statistical

differences were identified between knockdown embryos and control MO injected embryos with

the same amount of morpholino using two-tailed student's t-tests.

Western blot for cell proliferation

Embryos at stage 20 to 22 were lysed in buffer (50mM Tris pH 7.5, 1% NP40, 150mM NaCl,

1mM PMSF, 0.5 mM EDTA), supplemented with cOmplete™ Mini EDTA-free Protease

Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and PhosSTOP™ Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-

Aldrich). Blotting was carried out using rabbit polyclonal antibody to Phospho-Histone H3

(Ser10) (1:500, PA5-17869, Invitrogen), with mouse anti-beta actin (1:2500, ab8224, Abcam,

Cambridge, MA, USA) as a loading control. Bands were detected by chemiluminescence using

Amersham ECL Western blot reagent (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA). Band

intensities were quantified by densitometry in ImageJ and normalized to the control mean

relative to β-actin.

Connectivity of 16p12.1 and 16p11.2 deletion genes in a human brain-specific interaction

network

We examined the connectivity of human 16p12.1 and 16p11.2 deletion genes in the context of a

human brain-specific gene interaction network that was previously built using a Bayesian

classifier trained on gene co-expression datasets (61, 62). As the classifier assigned weighted

probabilities for interactions between all possible pairs of genes in the genome, we first built a

network that only contained the top 0.5% of all pairwise interactions (predicted weights >2.0).

Within this network, we identified the shortest paths between each 16p11.2 or 16p12.1 gene and

all other protein-coding genes in the genome, using the inverse of the probabilities as weights for

each interaction. For each shortest path, we calculated the overall length as a measure of

connectivity between the two genes, and also identified the connector genes located within the

shortest path. All network analyses were performed using the NetworkX v.2.4 Python package

(96). We compared the average connectivity of 16p12.1 genes to 16p11.2 genes, as well as the

predicted pathogenicity of connector genes for 16p11.2 and 16p12.1 interactions, using two-

tailed Mann-Whitney tests.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses of functional data were performed using R v.3.4.2 (R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Details for each statistical test, including sample size, p-

values with and without multiple testing correction, confidence intervals, test statistics, and

ANOVA degrees of freedom, are provided in S5 File.

Data access

Gene expression data for the *Drosophila* RNAi knockdown of homologs of 16p12.1 genes and controls have been submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE151330, and the raw RNA Sequencing files are deposited in the SRA (Sequence Read Archive) with BioProject database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) accession number PRJNA635495. Source code for the RNA-Sequencing and network analysis is available on the Girirajan lab GitHub page at https://github.com/girirajanlab/16p12_fly_project.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH R01GM121907 and resources from the Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences to S.G, Fulbright Commission Uruguay-ANII to L.P, NIH T32-GM102057 to M.J., and NIH R01GM085115 to M.M.R.

Disclosure declaration

Authors disclose no conflict of interest.

References

1.   Girirajan S, Campbell CD, Eichler EE. Human copy number variation and complex genetic disease. Annu Rev Genet. 2011;45:203-26.

2.   Wilfert AB, Sulovari A, Turner TN, Coe BP, Eichler EE. Recurrent de novo mutations in neurodevelopmental disorders: properties and clinical implications. Genome Med. 2017;9(1):101.

3.   Weiss LA, Shen Y, Korn JM, Arking DE, Miller DT, Fossdal R, et al. Association between microdeletion and microduplication at 16p11.2 and autism. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(7):667-75.

4.   Zufferey F, Sherr EH, Beckmann ND, Hanson E, Maillard AM, Hippolyte L, et al. A 600 kb deletion syndrome at 16p11. 2 leads to energy imbalance and neuropsychiatric disorders. Journal of medical genetics. 2012;49(10):660-8.

5.   Mulle JG. The 3q29 deletion confers >40-fold increase in risk for schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry. 2015;20(9):1028-9.

6.   Helbig I, Mefford HC, Sharp AJ, Guipponi M, Fichera M, Franke A, et al. 15q13.3 microdeletions increase risk of idiopathic generalized epilepsy. Nat Genet. 2009;41(2):160-2.

7.   Girirajan S, Eichler EE. Phenotypic variability and genetic susceptibility to genomic disorders. Human molecular genetics. 2010;19(R2):R176-R87.

8.   Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Cooper GM, Antonacci F, Siswara P, Itsara A, et al. A recurrent 16p12. 1 microdeletion supports a two-hit model for severe developmental delay. Nature genetics. 2010;42(3):203-9.

9.   Pizzo L, Jensen M, Polyak A, Rosenfeld JA, Mannik K, Krishnan A, et al. Rare variants in the genetic background modulate cognitive and developmental phenotypes in individuals carrying disease-associated variants. Genet Med. 2018.

10.   Stefansson H, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Steinberg S, Magnusdottir B, Morgen K, Arnarsdottir S, et al. CNVs conferring risk of autism or schizophrenia affect cognition in controls. Nature. 2014;505(7483):361-6.

11.   Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Coe BP, Parikh S, Friedman N, Goldstein A, et al. Phenotypic heterogeneity of genomic disorders and rare copy-number variants. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012;367(14):1321-31.

12.   Gatto CL, Pereira D, Broadie K. GABAergic circuit dysfunction in the Drosophila Fragile X syndrome model. Neurobiol Dis. 2014;65:142-59.

13.   Jumbo-Lucioni PP, Parkinson WM, Kopke DL, Broadie K. Coordinated movement, neuromuscular synaptogenesis and trans-synaptic signaling defects in Drosophila galactosemia models. Hum Mol Genet. 2016;25(17):3699-714.

14.   Sears JC, Broadie K. Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein Regulates Activity-Dependent Membrane Trafficking and Trans-Synaptic Signaling Mediating Synaptic Remodeling. Front Mol Neurosci. 2017;10:440.

15.   Grossman TR, Gamliel A, Wessells RJ, Taghli-Lamallem O, Jepsen K, Ocorr K, et al. Over-expression of DSCAM and COL6A2 cooperatively generates congenital heart defects. PLoS Genet. 2011;7(11):e1002344.

16.   Chen SX, Tari PK, She K, Haas K. Neurexin-neuroligin cell adhesion complexes contribute to synaptotropic dendritogenesis via growth stabilization mechanisms in vivo. Neuron. 2010;67(6):967-83.

17.   Lewis BB, Wester MR, Miller LE, Nagarkar MD, Johnson MB, Saha MS. Cloning and
characterization of voltage-gated calcium channel alpha1 subunits in Xenopus laevis during
development. Dev Dyn. 2009;238(11):2891-902.
18.   Ishimaru H, Kamboj R, Ambrosini A, Henley JM, Soloviev MM, Sudan H, et al. A
unitary non-NMDA receptor short subunit from Xenopus: DNA cloning and expression.
Receptors Channels. 1996;4(1):31-49.
19.   Ueno S, Kono R, Iwao Y. PTEN is required for the normal progression of gastrulation by
repressing cell proliferation after MBT in Xenopus embryos. Dev Biol. 2006;297(1):274-83.
20.   Iyer J, Singh MD, Jensen M, Patel P, Pizzo L, Huber E, et al. Pervasive genetic
interactions modulate neurodevelopmental defects of the autism-associated 16p11.2 deletion in
Drosophila melanogaster. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):2548.
21.   Singh MD, Jensen M, Lasser M, Huber E, Yusuff T, Pizzo L, et al. NCBP2 modulates
neurodevelopmental defects of the 3q29 deletion in Drosophila and Xenopus laevis models.
PLoS Genet. 2020;16(2):e1008590.
22.   McCammon JM, Blaker-Lee A, Chen X, Sive H. The 16p11.2 homologs fam57ba and
doc2a generate certain brain and body phenotypes. Hum Mol Genet. 2017;26(19):3699-712.
23.   Qiu Y, Arbogast T, Lorenzo SM, Li H, Tang SC, Richardson E, et al. Oligogenic Effects
of 16p11.2 Copy-Number Variation on Craniofacial Development. Cell Rep. 2019;28(13):3320-
8 e4.
24.   Hu Y, Flockhart I, Vinayagam A, Bergwitz C, Berger B, Perrimon N, et al. An
integrative approach to ortholog prediction for disease-focused and other functional studies.
BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12:357.
25.   Brand AH, Perrimon N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and
generating dominant phenotypes. Development. 1993;118(2):401-15.
26.   Strigini M, Cohen SM. A Hedgehog activity gradient contributes to AP axial patterning
of the Drosophila wing. Development. 1997;124(22):4697-705.
27.   Yan SJ, Gu Y, Li WX, Fleming RJ. Multiple signaling pathways and a selector protein
sequentially regulate Drosophila wing development. Development. 2004;131(2):285-98.
28.   Yusuff T, Jensen M, Yennawar S, Pizzo L, Karthikeyan S, Gould DJ, et al. Drosophila
models of pathogenic copy-number variant genes show global and non-neuronal defects during
development. PLoS Genet. 2020;16(6):e1008792.
29.   Callan MA, Cabernard C, Heck J, Luois S, Doe CQ, Zarnescu DC. Fragile X protein
controls neural stem cell proliferation in the Drosophila brain. Hum Mol Genet.
2010;19(15):3068-79.
30.   Kwon CH, Luikart BW, Powell CM, Zhou J, Matheny SA, Zhang W, et al. Pten regulates
neuronal arborization and social interaction in mice. Neuron. 2006;50(3):377-88.
31.   Lee A, Li W, Xu K, Bogert BA, Su K, Gao FB. Control of dendritic development by the
Drosophila fragile X-related gene involves the small GTPase Rac1. Development.
2003;130(22):5543-52.
32.   Parker L, Padilla M, Du Y, Dong K, Tanouye MA. Drosophila as a model for epilepsy:
bss is a gain-of-function mutation in the para sodium channel gene that leads to seizures.
Genetics. 2011;187(2):523-34.
33.   Rujano MA, Sanchez-Pulido L, Pennetier C, le Dez G, Basto R. The microcephaly
protein Asp regulates neuroepithelium morphogenesis by controlling the spatial distribution of
myosin II. Nat Cell Biol. 2013;15(11):1294-306.

34.   Stuss DP, Boyd JD, Levin DB, Delaney KR. MeCP2 mutation results in compartment-
specific reductions in dendritic branching and spine density in layer 5 motor cortical neurons of
YFP-H mice. PLoS One. 2012;7(3):e31896.
35.   Wang HD, Kazemi-Esfarjani P, Benzer S. Multiple-stress analysis for isolation of
Drosophila longevity genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(34):12610-5.
36.   Orr WC, Sohal RS. Extension of life-span by overexpression of superoxide dismutase
and catalase in Drosophila melanogaster. Science. 1994;263(5150):1128-30.
37.   Matthews BJ, Kim ME, Flanagan JJ, Hattori D, Clemens JC, Zipursky SL, et al. Dendrite
self-avoidance is controlled by Dscam. Cell. 2007;129(3):593-604.
38.   Soba P, Zhu S, Emoto K, Younger S, Yang SJ, Yu HH, et al. Drosophila sensory neurons
require Dscam for dendritic self-avoidance and proper dendritic field organization. Neuron.
2007;54(3):403-16.
39.   King KL, Cidlowski JA. Cell cycle and apoptosis: common pathways to life and death. J
Cell Biochem. 1995;58(2):175-80.
40.   King KL, Cidlowski JA. Cell cycle regulation and apoptosis. Annu Rev Physiol.
1998;60:601-17.
41.   Hunt P, Gulisano M, Cook M, Sham MH, Faiella A, Wilkinson D, et al. A distinct Hox
code for the branchial region of the vertebrate head. Nature. 1991;353(6347):861-4.
42.   Hunt P, Whiting J, Muchamore I, Marshall H, Krumlauf R. Homeobox genes and models
for patterning the hindbrain and branchial arches. Dev Suppl. 1991;1:187-96.
43.   Lasser M, Pratt B, Monahan C, Kim SW, Lowery LA. The Many Faces of Xenopus:
Xenopus laevis as a Model System to Study Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome. Front Physiol.
2019;10:817.
44.   Le Lievre CS, Le Douarin NM. Mesenchymal derivatives of the neural crest: analysis of
chimaeric quail and chick embryos. J Embryol Exp Morphol. 1975;34(1):125-54.
45.   Lumsden A, Sprawson N, Graham A. Segmental origin and migration of neural crest
cells in the hindbrain region of the chick embryo. Development. 1991;113(4):1281-91.
46.   Mills A, Bearce E, Cella R, Kim SW, Selig M, Lee S, et al. Wolf-Hirschhorn Syndrome-
Associated Genes Are Enriched in Motile Neural Crest Cells and Affect Craniofacial
Development in Xenopus laevis. Front Physiol. 2019;10:431.
47.   Goldberg JL. How does an axon grow? Genes Dev. 2003;17(8):941-58.
48.   Jensen M, Girirajan S. An interaction-based model for neuropsychiatric features of copy-
number variants. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(1):e1007879.
49.   Thaker HM, Kankel DR. Mosaic analysis gives an estimate of the extent of genomic
involvement in the development of the visual system in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics.
1992;131(4):883-94.
50.   Branco J, Al-Ramahi I, Ukani L, Perez AM, Fernandez-Funez P, Rincon-Limas D, et al.
Comparative analysis of genetic modifiers in Drosophila points to common and distinct
mechanisms of pathogenesis among polyglutamine diseases. Hum Mol Genet. 2008;17(3):376-
90.
51.   Cziko AM, McCann CT, Howlett IC, Barbee SA, Duncan RP, Luedemann R, et al.
Genetic modifiers of dFMR1 encode RNA granule components in Drosophila. Genetics.
2009;182(4):1051-60.
52.   Iyer J, Wang Q, Le T, Pizzo L, Gronke S, Ambegaokar SS, et al. Quantitative
Assessment of Eye Phenotypes for Functional Genetic Studies Using Drosophila melanogaster.
G3 (Bethesda). 2016;6(5):1427-37.

53.   Mackay TF. Epistasis and quantitative traits: using model organisms to study gene-gene
interactions. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15(1):22-33.
54.   Grozeva D, Carss K, Spasic-Boskovic O, Parker MJ, Archer H, Firth HV, et al. De novo
loss-of-function mutations in SETD5, encoding a methyltransferase in a 3p25 microdeletion
syndrome critical region, cause intellectual disability. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;94(4):618-24.
55.   Hu P, Wu S, Sun Y, Yuan CC, Kobayashi R, Myers MP, et al. Characterization of human
RNA polymerase III identifies orthologues for Saccharomyces cerevisiae RNA polymerase III
subunits. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22(22):8044-55.
56.   Pusapati GV, Kong JH, Patel BB, Krishnan A, Sagner A, Kinnebrew M, et al. CRISPR
Screens Uncover Genes that Regulate Target Cell Sensitivity to the Morphogen Sonic Hedgehog.
Dev Cell. 2018;44(2):271.
57.   McCamphill PK, Farah CA, Anadolu MN, Hoque S, Sossin WS. Bidirectional regulation
of eEF2 phosphorylation controls synaptic plasticity by decoding neuronal activity patterns. J
Neurosci. 2015;35(10):4403-17.
58.   Gildish I, Manor D, David O, Sharma V, Williams D, Agarwala U, et al. Impaired
associative taste learning and abnormal brain activation in kinase-defective eEF2K mice. Learn
Mem. 2012;19(3):116-25.
59.   Zhang P, Riazy M, Gold M, Tsai SH, McNagny K, Proud C, et al. Impairing eukaryotic
elongation factor 2 kinase activity decreases atherosclerotic plaque formation. Can J Cardiol.
2014;30(12):1684-8.
60.   Monteggia LM, Gideons E, Kavalali ET. The role of eukaryotic elongation factor 2
kinase in rapid antidepressant action of ketamine. Biol Psychiatry. 2013;73(12):1199-203.
61.   Greene CS, Krishnan A, Wong AK, Ricciotti E, Zelaya RA, Himmelstein DS, et al.
Understanding multicellular function and disease with human tissue-specific networks. Nat
Genet. 2015;47(6):569-76.
62.   Krishnan A, Zhang R, Yao V, Theesfeld CL, Wong AK, Tadych A, et al. Genome-wide
prediction and functional characterization of the genetic basis of autism spectrum disorder.
Nature neuroscience. 2016;19(11):1454-62.
63.   Osipovich AB, Gangula R, Vianna PG, Magnuson MA. Setd5 is essential for mammalian
development and the co-transcriptional regulation of histone acetylation. Development.
2016;143(24):4595-607.
64.   Andrews T, Honti F, Pfundt R, de Leeuw N, Hehir-Kwa J, Vulto-van Silfhout A, et al.
The clustering of functionally related genes contributes to CNV-mediated disease. Genome Res.
2015;25(6):802-13.
65.   Iossifov I, Levy D, Allen J, Ye K, Ronemus M, Lee YH, et al. Low load for disruptive
mutations in autism genes and their biased transmission. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2015;112(41):E5600-7.
66.   Kury S, van Woerden GM, Besnard T, Proietti Onori M, Latypova X, Towne MC, et al.
De Novo Mutations in Protein Kinase Genes CAMK2A and CAMK2B Cause Intellectual
Disability. Am J Hum Genet. 2017;101(5):768-88.
67.   Krumm N, Turner TN, Baker C, Vives L, Mohajeri K, Witherspoon K, et al. Excess of
rare, inherited truncating mutations in autism. Nature genetics. 2015;47(6):582-8.
68.   Petrovski S, Wang Q, Heinzen EL, Allen AS, Goldstein DB. Genic intolerance to
functional variation and the interpretation of personal genomes. PLoS Genet.
2013;9(8):e1003709.

69.   Yates AD, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Allen J, Allen J, Alvarez-Jarreta J, et al. Ensembl
2020. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D682-D8.
70.   Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped
BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids
Res. 1997;25(17):3389-402.
71.   Chintapalli VR, Wang J, Dow JA. Using FlyAtlas to identify better Drosophila
melanogaster models of human disease. Nat Genet. 2007;39(6):715-20.
72.   Bowes JB, Snyder KA, Segerdell E, Jarabek CJ, Azam K, Zorn AM, et al. Xenbase: gene
expression and improved integration. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38(Database issue):D607-12.
73.   Xu D, Wang Y, Willecke R, Chen Z, Ding T, Bergmann A. The effector caspases drICE
and dcp-1 have partially overlapping functions in the apoptotic pathway in Drosophila. Cell
Death Differ. 2006;13(10):1697-706.
74.   Schmid A, Hallermann S, Kittel RJ, Khorramshahi O, Frolich AM, Quentin C, et al.
Activity-dependent site-specific changes of glutamate receptor composition in vivo. Nature
neuroscience. 2008;11(6):659-66.
75.   Xiao C, Mileva-Seitz V, Seroude L, Robertson RM. Targeting HSP70 to motoneurons
protects locomotor activity from hyperthermia in Drosophila. Dev Neurobiol. 2007;67(4):438-
55.
76.   Ye J, Coulouris G, Zaretskaya I, Cutcutache I, Rozen S, Madden TL. Primer-BLAST: a
tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC Bioinformatics.
2012;13:134.
77.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001;25(4):402-8.
78.   Sun Y, Yolitz J, Wang C, Spangler E, Zhan M, Zou S. Aging studies in Drosophila
melanogaster. Methods Mol Biol. 2013;1048:77-93.
79.   Ganetzky B, Wu CF. Indirect Suppression Involving Behavioral Mutants with Altered
Nerve Excitability in DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER. Genetics. 1982;100(4):597-614.
80.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an
open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):676-82.
81.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.
82.   Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate
alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome
Biol. 2013;14(4):R36.
83.   Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(2):166-9.
84.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(1):139-40.
85.   Thomas PD, Campbell MJ, Kejariwal A, Mi H, Karlak B, Daverman R, et al.
PANTHER: a library of protein families and subfamilies indexed by function. Genome Res.
2003;13(9):2129-41.
86.   Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general
framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet.
2014;46(3):310-5.
87.   Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, et al. Analysis of
protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature. 2016;536(7616):285-91.

88.   Duncan AM, Ozawa T, Suzuki H, Rozen R. Assignment of the gene for the core protein
II (UQCRC2) subunit of the mitochondrial cytochrome bc1 complex to human chromosome
16p12. Genomics. 1993;18(2):455-6.
89.   Gomez-Roman N, Grandori C, Eisenman RN, White RJ. Direct activation of RNA
polymerase III transcription by c-Myc. Nature. 2003;421(6920):290-4.
90.   O'Donovan KJ, Diedler J, Couture GC, Fak JJ, Darnell RB. The onconeural antigen cdr2
is a novel APC/C target that acts in mitosis to regulate c-myc target genes in mammalian tumor
cells. PLoS One. 2010;5(4):e10045.
91.   Lowery LA, Faris AE, Stout A, Van Vactor D. Neural Explant Cultures from Xenopus
laevis. J Vis Exp. 2012(68):e4232.
92.   Nieuwkoop PD FJ. Normal table of Xenopus laevis (Daudin) : a systematical and
chronological
survey of the development from the fertilized egg till the end of metamorphosis: Garland Pub.,
1994; 1994.
93.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):671-5.
94.   Kennedy AE, Dickinson AJ. Quantitative analysis of orofacial development and median
clefts in Xenopus laevis. Anat Rec (Hoboken). 2014;297(5):834-55.
95.   Popko J, Fernandes A, Brites D, Lanier LM. Automated analysis of NeuronJ tracing data.
Cytometry A. 2009;75(4):371-6.
96.   Hagberg AA SD, Swart PJ, editor Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function
using NetworkX.
7th Python in Science Conference SciPy 2008; 2008.
97.   D'Angelo D, Lebon S, Chen Q, Martin-Brevet S, Snyder LG, Hippolyte L, et al. Defining
the Effect of the 16p11.2 Duplication on Cognition, Behavior, and Medical Comorbidities.
JAMA Psychiatry. 2016;73(1):20-30.
98.   Niarchou M, Chawner S, Doherty JL, Maillard AM, Jacquemont S, Chung WK, et al.
Psychiatric disorders in children with 16p11.2 deletion and duplication. Transl Psychiatry.
2019;9(1):8.
1066

1067

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Strategy to evaluate the individual contributions of homologs of 16p12.1 genes and their interactions with "second-hit" genes towards neurodevelopmental phenotypes. (A) Ideogram of human chromosome 16 indicating the deleted region on UCSC genome build GRCh37, hg19 (chr16:21,948,445-22,430,408) (also known as 16p12.2 deletion). Seven protein coding genes are located within the 16p12.1 deletion region, including *UQCRC2*, *PDZD9*, *MOSMO*, *VWA3A*, *EEF2K*, *POLR3E,* and *CDR2*. Four out of the seven genes are conserved in both *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Xenopus laevis*. **(B)** We performed global and functional domain-specific phenotypic assessment using RNAi lines and tissue-specific knockdown in *Drosophila*, and morpholino-mediated whole embryo knockdown in *X. laevis*, to identify individual contributions of 16p12.1 homologs towards different developmental and neuronal features. We next evaluated the effect of pairwise knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs towards eye phenotypes in *Drosophila*, and brain size and cellular proliferation defects in *X. laevis*. We characterized 212 interactions between the 16p12.1 homologs and homologs of patient-specific "second-hit" genes identified in children with the deletion, genes within conserved neurodevelopmental pathways, and differentially-expressed genes identified from RNA-seq analysis. We found that homologs of "second-hit" genes participate in complex genetic interactions with 16p12.1 homologs to modulate neurodevelopmental and cellular phenotypes.

Fig. 2. Multiple homologs of 16p12.1 genes contribute to neurodevelopmental defects in *Drosophila melanogaster* and *X. laevis*.

(A) Schematic showing multiple phenotypes affected by knockdown of individual 16p12.1 homologs in *Drosophila melanogaster*. **(B)** Nervous-system mediated knockdown using *Elav-*

GAL4 with overexpression of *Dicer2* at 25°C led to reduced lifespan with knockdown of

CG14182^{GD2738_2} (n=100, one-way repeat measures ANOVA with post-hoc pairwise t-test, days

6-61, p<0.05) and increased lifespan with knockdown of *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* (n=120, days 51-81,

p<0.05). *Elav-GAL4* mediated knockdown of *Sin^{GD7027}* at RT without overexpression of *Dicer2*

led to reduced lifespan of adult flies (n=160, day 1-6, p<0.05). Data represented show mean ±

standard deviation of 4-8 independent groups of 20 flies for each line tested. (**C**) Nervous-system

mediated knockdown led to delayed pupariation time and larval lethality for *Sin^{GD7027}* (n=180,

one-way repeat measures ANOVA with post-hoc pairwise t-test, days 6-18, p<0.05) and partial

larval lethality for *CG14182^{GD2738_2}* (n=120, days 7-10, p<0.05). Data represented show mean ±

standard deviation of 4-9 independent groups of 30 larvae for each line tested. (**D**) Knockdown

of 16p12.1 homologs in sensory class IV dendritic arborization neurons using *ppk-GAL4* with

overexpression of *Dicer2* showed reduced complexity of dendritic arbors (measured as sum of

intersections normalized to width) for *CG14182^{GD2738}* (n=12, two-tailed Mann-Whitney, *p=5.35

$\times 10^{-5}$). Scale bar represents 25 μm. (**E**) Third instar larvae with nervous system-specific

knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs showed reduced brain area for *CG14182^{GD2738_2}* (n=15, two-

tailed Mann-Whitney, *p=0.047) and *Sin^{GD7027}* (n=17, *p= 0.001). (**F**) Developing third instar

larvae with knockdown of *CG14182^{GD2738_2}* (n=15, two-tailed Mann-Whitney, *p=0.026) and

Sin^{GD7027} (n=10, *p= 9.74×10^{-4}) showed reduced number of phosphorylated Histone-3 (pH3)

positive cells in the brain lobe (green). Scale bar represents 50 μm. (**G**) Schematic showing the

phenotypes observed with knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs in *X. laevis*. (**H**) Representative

images of tadpoles injected with control morpholino, indicating facial landmarks for face width

(yellow) and orofacial area (red), and tadpoles with knockdown of *polr3e* and *mosmo*.

Knockdown of *cdr2* (n=54, two-tailed student's t-test, *p=7.75 $\times 10^{-4}$)*, polr3e* (n=37, *p=1.97

$\times 10^{-13}$) and *mosmo* (n=50, *p=1.36 $\times 10^{-11}$) led to decreased face width, while knockdown of

polr3e (*p=3.29 $\times 10^{-16}$) and *mosmo* (*p=1.47 $\times 10^{-8}$) led to decreased orofacial area. All

measures were normalized to their respective control injected with the same morpholino amount.

Scale bar represents 500 μm. (**I**) Strong knockdown of *mosmo* led to decreased axon length in

neural tube explants (n=566, two-tailed student's t-test, *p=7.40 $\times 10^{-12}$). All measures were

normalized to their respective control injected with the same morpholino amount. Representative

schematic for axon length measurements is shown on the left. (**J**) Representative images show

forebrain (red on control image) and midbrain (blue) areas of the side injected with morpholino

(right, red asterisk), which were normalized to the uninjected side (left). Strong knockdown of

mosmo (n=67, two-tailed student's t-test, *p<3.07×10^{-13}) and *polr3e* (n=48, *p<7.39×10^{-4}) led to

decreased midbrain and forebrain area of *X. laevis* tadpoles (stained with tubulin). Scale bar

represents 500 μm. In all cases, *X. laevis* data represents strong knockdown of the 16p12.1

homologs, except for *cdr2*, which showed lethality and is represented with partial knockdown.

All control data for *X. laevis* represents controls injected with the highest amount of morpholino

(50 ng, see S5 Fig). Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles,

and red dotted lines indicate the control median. Statistical details, including sample size,

confidence intervals and p-values, are provided in S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses

used in these experiments is provided in S1 File.

1132

Fig. 3. Homologs of 16p12.1 genes independently contribute towards neurodevelopmental

defects.

(**A**) We generated eye-specific *GMR-GAL4* recombinant lines for the four 16p12.1 homologs to

test a total of twelve pairwise interactions towards eye defects. (**B**) Representative brightfield

images of *Drosophila* adult eyes for recombinant lines of 16p12.1 homologs crossed with RNAi

lines for the other homologs, which show enhancement (Enh.) or suppression (Supp.) of the

phenotypes observed with crosses with control. Scale bar represents 100 μm. (**C**) Simultaneous

knockdown of *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* with *CG14182^{GD2738}* (n=18, two-tailed Mann-Whitney with

Benjamini-Hochberg correction, *p=0.002) or *Sin^{GD7027}* (n=19, *p=0.023) led to a significant

enhancement in the eye phenotype (measured using *Flynotyper* scores) compared to knockdown

of *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* alone. Similarly, simultaneous knockdown of *CG14182^{GD2738}* with *Sin^{GD7027}*

(n=19, *p=0.021) enhanced the eye phenotype observed for *CG14182^{GD2738}* alone. Simultaneous

knockdown of *Cen^{GD9689}* with *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* (n=20, *p=0.023) led to a milder suppression of

the eye phenotype compared to knockdown of *Cen^{GD9689}* alone. Double knockdowns were

compared to the recombinant lines of the 16p12.1 homologs crossed with wild-type controls of

the second 16p12.1 homolog (see S8 Fig). (**D**) Interaction plots for *Flynotyper* scores of pairwise

knockdowns that led to a significant enhancement of the eye phenotype of individual 16p12.1

homologs. The interaction plots show changes in *Flynotyper* scores (mean ± s.d.) for *GMR-*

GAL4 control (grey) or *CG14182^{GD2738}* (blue) and *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* (green) recombinant lines

crossed with either wild-type control (left) or with *Sin^{GD7027}* and *CG14182$^{GD2738\_2}$* as "second

hits" (right). Two-way ANOVA tests showed no epistatic effects for the interactions (p>0.05),

suggesting additive effects of the homologs towards eye phenotypes. (**E**) We generated double

knockdowns of 16p12.1 homologs in *X. laevis* models by co-injecting embryos with morpholinos

of two homologs. All double knockdown experiments were performed with partial knockdown

of the genes, to avoid potential lethality with stronger knockdown. (**F**) Representative images of

tadpoles stained with anti-tubulin show forebrain (red on control image) and midbrain (blue)

areas of the side injected with morpholino (right, red asterisk), which were normalized to the

uninjected side (left). Simultaneous knockdown of *polr3e* and *mosmo* led to decreased forebrain

(n=36, two-tailed student's t-test, *p=1.10×10^{-9}) and midbrain area (*p=1.98×10^{-7}), which

showed no differences compared to partial knockdown of *mosmo* alone. Control data represents

control injected with highest amount of morpholino (22ng). Scale bar represents 500 μm. (**G**)

Representative western blots show bands for phosphorylated histone-3 (pH3) and β-actin for the

uninjected control, knockdown of *polr3e*, knockdown of *mosmo*, and pairwise knockdown of

polr3e and *mosmo* (full western blots are shown in S6 Fig). Bar plot shows intensity of pH3 band

normalized to β-actin. Simultaneous knockdown of *polr3e* and *mosmo* does not lead to changes

in the proliferation defects observed with knockdown with *polr3e* alone. Boxplots represent all

data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles, and red dotted lines indicate the control

median. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, are provided

in S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these experiments is provided in S1

File.

1173

Fig. 4. Homologs of 16p12.1 genes show complex interactions with homologs of patient-

specific "second-hit" and conserved neurodevelopmental genes.

(**A**) We evaluated how homologs of genes outside of the CNV region (*Gene B*), including genes

carrying "second-hit" variants in children with the 16p12.1 deletion, genes within conserved

neurodevelopmental pathways, and transcriptome targets, affect the phenotypes observed for

homologs of 16p12.1 genes. We crossed eye-specific recombinant lines for each homolog with a

total of 124 RNAi, mutant or overexpression lines for 76 interacting genes to test a total of 212

pairwise gene combinations. (**B**) Heatmaps show the fold-change (FC) of *Flynotyper* scores for

pairwise interactions of 16p12.1 homologs with select lines of interacting homologs, compared

to recombinant lines for the homologs crossed with control. Grey boxes indicate pairwise crosses

that were not tested or were not validated by multiple lines. (**C**) Representative pedigrees of

families with 16p12.1 deletion (affected child in black, carrier parent in grey) that were selected

to study the effect of homologs (represented within parenthesis) of genes carrying "second-hits"

towards phenotypes of homologs of 16p12.1 genes. Interaction plots and representative

brightfield adult eye images for validated enhancers (Enh.) or suppressors (Supp.) are shown

below each pedigree. Interaction plots show the changes in *Flynotyper* scores (mean ± s.d.) for

GMR-GAL4 control (grey) or recombinant lines of 16p12.1 homologs crossed with either wild-

type control line (left) or with "second-hit" homologs (right). Two-way ANOVA analyses

showed epistatic enhancements for $CG14182^{GD2738}/upSET^{HMC03177}$, $Sin^{GD7027}/Dhc98D^{MB03402}$, and

$UQCR\text{-}C2^{GD11238}/Dhc98D^{MB03402}$ ($p<0.05$ with Benjamini-Hochberg correction), while

$Cep135^{GD5121\_2}$ suppressed $UQCR\text{-}C2^{GD11238}$. Scale bar represents 100 μm. Details of number of

homologs, fly lines and crosses, as well as a list of full genotypes for all interaction experiments

are provided in S1 File. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-

values, are provided in S5 File.

1198

Fig. 5. Homologs of *SETD5* synergistically interact with homologs of *MOSMO* to modify

neurodevelopmental defects.

(**A**) Pedigree of a family with 16p12.1 deletion, with the proband also carrying a *de novo*

pathogenic mutation in *SETD5*. Interaction plot and representative brightfield adult eye images

for control, *GMR-GAL4* knockdown of $CG14182^{GD2738}$, $upSET^{HMC03177}$ and

$CG14182^{GD2738}/upSET^{HMC03177}$ show a synergistic enhancement with simultaneous knockdown of

the homologs. Interaction plots show changes in *Flynotyper* scores (mean ± s.d.) for *GMR-GAL4*

control (grey) or recombinant lines of *CG14182*^{GD2738} and *Sin*^{GD7027} crossed with either wild-type

control line (left) or with *upSET*^{HMC03177} (right). (**B**) Representative confocal images of third

instar larval eye discs stained with anti-phosphorylated histone-3 (pH3, green) or anti-Dcp-1

(red), markers of cellular proliferation and apoptosis, respectively. Positive pH3 or Dcp-1 cells

were quantified posterior to the morphogenetic furrow, indicated by white boxes in left panels.

Double knockdown of *CG14182*^{GD2738}/*upSET*^{HMC03177} led to increased pH3 (n=17, two-tailed

Mann-Whitney, *p= 0.046) and Dcp-1 (n=19, *p=0.006) positive cells compared to knockdown

of *CG14182*^{GD2738} alone. The double knockdown also led to increased Dcp-1 positive cells

compared to knockdown of *upSET*^{HMC03177} alone (*p= 2.19×10^5). Scale bar represents 50 μm.

(**C**) Representative images of tadpoles stained with anti-tubulin show forebrain (red on control

image) and midbrain (blue) areas of the side injected with morpholino (right, red asterisk), which

were normalized to the uninjected side (left). Partial knockdown of *mosmo* with *setd5* led to a

reduction in the midbrain area compared to the knockdown of *mosmo* alone (n=16, two-tailed

student's t-test, *p= 0.047). Control data represents control injected with highest amount of

morpholino (22ng). Scale bar represents 500 μm (**D**) Normalized axon length of *X. laevis*

tadpoles with simultaneous knockdown of *mosmo* and *setd5* led to a significant reduction in axon

length that was not observed with partial knockdown of *mosmo* (n=438, two-tailed student's t-

test, *p= 3.34×10^{-6}) or *setd5* (*p=1.86×10^{-9}). All measures were normalized to their respective

controls injected with the same morpholino amount (See S19 Fig). Control data represents

controls injected with highest amount of morpholino (22ng). All double knockdown experiments

were performed with partial knockdown of the genes, to avoid potential lethality with stronger

knockdown. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles, and red

dotted lines indicate the control median. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these

experiments is provided in S1 File. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals

and p-values, are provided in S5 File.

1231

Fig. 6. Functional relatedness of genes within disease-associated CNV regions correlate

with higher pathogenicity.

(**A**) Bar plot shows frequency of reported *de novo* occurrence of the 16p12.1 deletion (9, 11)

compared to the autism-associated 16p11.2 deletion (11, 97, 98). Schematic shows our model for

higher functional connectivity of genes within the 16p11.2 region compared to the 16p12.1

region. Only genes with *Drosophila* homologs are represented. (**B**) Pairwise eye-specific

knockdown of 16p11.2 homologs (*rl*, *CG10465* and *Pp4-19C* crossed with other 16p11.2

homologs) lead to more severe phenotypic scores compared to pairwise interactions of 16p12.1

homologs (n=36 for 16p11.2, n=12 for 16p12.1, one-tailed Mann-Whitney, *p=3.51 ×10^{-5}). Grey

circles represent pairwise interactions, while 16p11.2 and 16p12.1 single-homolog knockdowns

are represented in orange and green, respectively. (**C**) Phenotypic scores of individual 16p11.2

homologs (grey) are significantly enhanced or suppressed by a second 16p11.2 homolog

(orange). In contrast, little variation in phenotypic scores is observed for 16p12.1 homologs

(grey) with simultaneous knockdown of another homolog (green). The interacting homologs are

labeled as follows: A: *Pp4-19C* (*PPP4C*), B: *CG17841* (*FAM57B*), C: *coro* (*CORO1A*), D: *Ald1*

(*ALDOA*), E: *Rph* (*DOC2A*), F: *Tao* (*TAOK2*), G: *Asph* (*ASPHD1*), H: *klp68D* (*KIF22*), I: *Pa1*

(*PAGR1*), J: *Pis* (*CDIPT*), K: *CG10465* (*KCTD13*), L: *CG15309* (*YPEL3*), M: *Doc3*, (*TBX6*), N:

rl (*MAPK3*), W: *CG14182* (*MOSMO*), X: *Cen* (*CDR2*), Y: *Sin* (*POLR3E*), Z: *UQCR-C2*

(*UQCRC2*). (**D**) Pairs of 16p11.2 homologs exhibit a higher proportion of shared differentially-

expressed genes compared to pairs of 16p12.1 homologs (n=30 for 16p11.2, n=12 for 16p12.1,

two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, *p= 0.031). (**E**) Network diagram shows connections between

human 16p11.2 or 16p12.1 genes within a brain-specific interaction network. 16p12.1 genes are

indicated in green, 16p11.2 genes in orange, connector genes in grey, and connector genes that

are intolerant to functional variation (RVIS ≤ 20th percentile) in dark green. *C16orf92* and

C16orf54 for 16p11.2 and *PDZD9* for 16p12.1 were not present in the brain network and were

therefore excluded from the network analysis. (**F**) Genes within the 16p11.2 region show higher

average pairwise connectivity in a human brain-specific network, measured as the inverse of the

shortest paths between two genes, compared to 16p12.1 genes (n=25 for 16p11.2, n=6 for

16p12.1, two-tailed Mann-Whitney, *p=0.036, see S4 File). (**G**) 16p11.2 connector genes have

lower RVIS percentile scores compared to 16p12.1 connector genes (n=166 for 16p11.2, n=33

for 16p12.1, two-tailed Mann-Whitney, *p=0.017, see S4 File). Functionally-intolerant genes are

represented in dark green. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th

percentiles. Statistical details are provided in S5 File.

1265

Supporting Information Legends

S1 Fig. Expression levels of 16p12.1 homologs in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis*.

(A) *Drosophila* homologs of 16p12.1 genes were knocked down using nervous system-specific *Elav-GAL4* driver with overexpression of *Dicer2* at 25°C. RT-qPCR confirmed 40-60% knockdown of the 16p12.1 homologs (two-tailed student's t-test, *p<0.05). As knockdown of *Sin* caused embryonic lethality in these conditions, all experiments in the nervous system and RT-qPCR were performed without overexpression of *Dicer2* and reared at room temperature (RT). *Sin^{KK101936}* and *Sin^{HMC03807}* were also embryonic lethal without *Dicer2*. *Sin^{GD7027_2}* did not show knockdown of the homolog, and the RNAi line was therefore not used for further experiments. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these experiments is provided in S1 File. **(B)** Normalized band intensity of RT-PCR of *X. laevis* tadpoles injected with different morpholino dosages of the 16p12.1 homologs compared to the uninjected control. Different morpholino sequences were used for the L and S alleles for *uqcrc2* and *mosmo*, while unique sequences were used for both L and S alleles for *cdr2* or *setd5*. As the S allele has not been annotated for *polr3e*, only the L allele was targeted. Colored bars represent the dosages of morpholinos used, with grey bars indicating amounts for "partial knockdown" (approximately 50% of expression) and black bars indicating amounts for "stronger knockdown". 10ng of morpholino was used for partial and stronger KD experiments for *mosmo* S allele, as increasing concentrations did not lead to differences in knockdown of the allele. Bar plots represent mean +/- SD, and red dotted lines indicate 50% expression. Statistical details are provided in S5 File.

S2 Fig. Global neurodevelopmental defects with knockdown of 16p12.1 *Drosophila* homologs.

(**A**) Summary of phenotypes observed with tissue-specific knockdown of each of the 16p12.1

homologs. (**B**) Ubiquitous (*Da-GAL4*) and nervous system-specific (*Elav-GAL4*) knockdown of

multiple RNAi lines for each 16p12.1 homolog showed a range of lethality and developmental

defects. (**C**) Representative brightfield images of adult wings with knockdown of 16p12.1

homologs using the wing-specific driver bx^{MS1096}-*GAL4*. Severe phenotypes were observed for

UQCR-C2 and *Sin*, with some RNAi lines, including *UQCR-C2$^{GD11238\_2}$*, *UQCR-C2^{KK108812}* and

Sin^{GD7027}, showing lethality. Scale bar represents 500μm. (**D)** Bang sensitivity assay for adult

flies with nervous system-specific knockdown of the 16p12.1 homologs showed increased

recovery time for *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* (n=95, two-tailed Mann-Whitney test, *p=0.003). *Sin^{GD7027}*

adult flies exhibited severe motor defects and could not be tested for the phenotype. Boxplots

represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles. Statistical details are provided in

S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these experiments is provided in S1 File.

1301

S3 Fig. *Sin^{GD7027}* and *CG14182$^{GD2738\_2}$* lead to decreased brain area and decreased number

of proliferating cells in the brain.

(**A**) Representative confocal brightfield images of nervous system-specific knockdown of

16p12.1 homologs show decreased total brain area for *Sin^{GD7027}* and *CG14182$^{GD2738\_2}$*. Scale bars

represent 100μm. (**B**) *Elav-GAL4* mediated knockdown led to decreased number of

phosphorylated histone-3 positive cells (pH3, green) in the brain lobe (DAPI, blue) with

knockdown of *Sin^{GD7027}* (n=10, two-tailed Mann-Whitney, *p= 9.74×10^{-4}) and *CG14182$^{GD2738\_2}$*

(n=15, *p=0.026), indicating decreased proliferation with knockdown of the homologs.

Knockdown of *Sin^{GD7027}* led to decreased number of Dcp-1 positive cells (*p= 2.78×10^{-4}, red) in

the brain lobe. Scale bar represents 50μm. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th

and 75th percentiles. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values,

are provided in S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these experiments is

provided in S1 File.

1315

S4 Fig. Enriched GO terms observed with knockdown of 16p12.1 fly homologs in the

nervous system.

(**A**) Clusters of enriched Gene Ontology (GO) Biological Process terms for differentially

expressed fly genes observed with nervous system-specific knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs

(left) and their human homologs (right). While some clusters of terms overlap among 16p12.1

homologs, genes dysregulated with knockdown of individual homologs exhibit unique

enrichments for GO terms, suggesting their independent action towards neuronal development.

Venn diagrams show overlaps of enriched GO Complete Biological Processes terms for (**B**)

differentially-expressed fly genes observed with knockdown of individual 16p12.1 homologs, or

(**C**) human homologs of the fly genes. A list of differentially expressed genes with knockdown of

16p12.1 homologs, as well as a list of all enriched GO terms for these gene sets, is detailed in S2

File. Venn diagrams were constructed using Venny 2.1 software (https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/

tools/venny).

1329

S5 Fig. Decreased dosage of 16p12.1 homologs leads to multiple neurodevelopmental

phenotypes in *X. laevis*.

(**A**) Representative images of tadpoles injected with control morpholino or morpholinos for

16p12.1 homologs, indicating facial landmarks for face width (yellow), height (blue), angle

(green), and orofacial (red) and eye (orange) area. Boxplots showing face height, width, angle,

and orofacial and eye area of each knockdown compared to its own control. Knockdown of

mosmo (n=50, two-tailed student's t-test, *p=0.010) and *cdr2* (n=54, *p=3.68×10^{-6}) led to

increased face height. Knockdown of *cdr2* (*p=7.75×10^{-4}), *polr3e* (n=37, *p=1.97×10^{-13}) and

mosmo (*p=1.36×10^{-11}) led to decreased face width, while knockdown of *cdr2* (*p=1.03×10^{-8}),

polr3e (*p=2.73×10^{-4}) and *mosmo* (*p=3.50×10^{-7}) led to decreased face angle. Knockdown of

polr3e (*p=3.29×10^{-16}) and *mosmo* (*p=1.47×10^{-8}) led to decreased orofacial area, and

knockdown of *polr3e* (*p=1.01×10^{-18}), *mosmo* (*p=7.23×10^{-10}) and *cdr2* (*p=0.009) led to

decreased eye area. Data represents strong knockdown of the 16p12.1 homologs, except for *cdr2*,

which showed lethality and is represented with partial knockdown. All measures were

normalized to their respective control injected with the same morpholino amount. Scale bars

represent 500μm. **(B)** Boxplots showing axon length of each knockdown compared to its own

control. Strong knockdown of *mosmo* led to decreased axon length in neural tube explants

(n=548, two-tailed student's t-test, *p=7.40×10^{-12}), which was rescued by co-injection with

overexpressed (OE) mRNA of the gene (n=566, *p=4.06×10^{-5}). All measures were normalized

to their respective control injected with the same morpholino amount. (**C**) Representative images

stained with anti-tubulin show forebrain (red on control image) and midbrain (blue) areas of the

side injected with morpholino (right, red asterisk), which were normalized to the uninjected side

(left). Partial knockdown of *mosmo* led to decreased forebrain (n=47, two tailed student's t-test,

*p=1.18×10^{-9}) and midbrain (*p=1.45×10^{-7}) area. Graphs represent contralateral ratio of brain

area compared to uninjected side of the embryo. Scale bars represent 500μm. All boxplots

represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles. In each case, measurements for

each knockdown were compared to controls injected with equal amounts of morpholino.

Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, are provided in S5

File.

1359

S6 Fig. Whole western blot for phosphorylated histone-3 in *X. laevis* embryos with

knockdown of *polr3e*, *mosmo* and *setd5*.

(**A**) Three replicate western blot experiments were performed. The intensity of bands at 17 kDa,

corresponding with pH3 (top, indicated with arrow), were normalized to the β-actin loading

control (bottom). (**B**) Partial knockdown of *polr3e* shows reduced band intensity with anti-pH3

antibody compared to β-actin loading control. Bar plot represents mean ± SD.

1366

S7 Fig. Knockdown of *Sin* and *CG14182* lead to disruption of the fly eye in a dosage

sensitive manner.

(**A**) Representative brightfield adult eye images and *Flynotyper* phenotypic scores of eye-specific

knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs with *GMR-GAL4* and no overexpression of *Dicer2* at 30°C. A

mild eye phenotype was observed with knockdown of *Sin*, replicated across multiple RNAi lines

(two-tailed Mann-Whitney with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, *p<0.05). (**B**) Representative

images and *Flynotyper* scores of eye-specific knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs with *GMR-GAL4*

and overexpression of *Dicer2* at 30°C. Severe eye phenotypes were observed for all tested RNAi

lines of *Sin* (*p< 1.13×10^{-4}) and *CG14182* (*p< 4.70×10^{-4}). Scale bar represents 100 μm.

Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles, and red dotted lines

indicate the control median. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-

values, are provided in S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these experiments

is provided in S1 File.

1380

S8 Fig. Pairwise knockdown of homologs of 16p12.1 genes lead to moderate changes in eye

severity compared to individual knockdown of genes.

(**A-D**) Pairwise knockdown of homologs of 16p12.1 genes led to subtle changes in phenotypic

scores, with only four significant interactions (compared to control lines) validated by multiple

RNAi lines. *Sin* and *CG14182* enhanced the eye phenotype of *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}*, while *Sin*

enhanced *CG14182^{GD2738}* eye phenotype and *UQCR-C2* suppressed *Cen^{GD9689}* eye phenotype

(two-tailed Mann-Whitney with Benjamini-Hochberg correction, *$p<0.05$). Boxplots represent

all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles. Red dotted lines indicate the median of

recombinant lines crossed with control. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence

intervals and p-values, are provided in S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in

these experiments is provided in S1 File.

1392

1393

S9 Fig. Definition of genetic interactions of 16p12.1 homologs towards eye phenotypes used

in this study.

We defined enhancers as additive or epistatic by comparing phenotypic scores of two-hit

experiments using two-way ANOVA. The phenotypic scores of flies obtained from crosses of

GMR-GAL4 lines or 16p12.1 recombinant lines with controls (left) were compared to their

respective crosses with the "second-hit" RNAi line (right, "*Gene B*"). The magnitude of change

in phenotypic scores between experiments involving "second-hits" versus controls was not

different ($p>0.05$) between crosses of recombinant lines compared to those of *GMR-GAL4* for

additive interactions. The magnitude of change was significantly different ($p<0.05$ with

Benjamini-Hochberg correction) for synergistic interactions. We defined suppressor interactions

as those showing a decreased phenotypic score for the recombinant line crossed with the

"second-hit" line compared with recombinant line crossed with the controls.

1406

S10 Fig. Phenotypic scores of the tested pairwise interactions of *UQCR-C2*^{GD11238} with

homologs of "second-hit" or neurodevelopmental genes in *Drosophila* eye.

Flynotyper phenotypic scores of *UQCR-C2*^{GD11238} crossed with RNAi, mutant or overexpression

lines of (**A**) neurodevelopmental genes or genes functionally related with *UQCR-C2* function, (**B**

and C) homologs of "second-hits" identified in children with 16p12.1 deletion, and (**D**)

transcriptome targets and functionally related groups identified in RNA-sequencing of *UQCR-C2*

knockdown model. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles.

Red dotted lines indicate the median of recombinant lines crossed with control. A list of full

genotypes and statistics, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, for these

experiments are provided in S1 File and S5 File.

1417

S11 Fig. Phenotypic scores of the tested pairwise interactions of *Cen*^{GD9689} with homologs of

"second-hit" or neurodevelopmental genes in *Drosophila* eye.

Flynotyper phenotypic scores of *Cen*^{GD9689} crossed with RNAi, mutant or overexpression lines of

(**A**) neurodevelopmental genes or genes functionally related with *Cen* function, (**B and C**)

homologs of "second-hits" identified in children with 16p12.1 deletion, and (**D**) transcriptome

targets and functionally related groups identified in RNA-sequencing of *Cen* knockdown model.

Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles. Red dotted lines

indicate the median of recombinant lines crossed with control. A list of full genotypes and

statistics, including sample size, confidence intervals, and p-values, for these experiments are

provided in S1 File and S5 File.

1428

S12 Fig. Phenotypic scores of the tested pairwise interactions of *Sin^GD7027* with homologs of

"second-hit" or neurodevelopmental genes in *Drosophila* eye.

Flynotyper phenotypic scores of *Sin^GD7027* crossed with RNAi, mutant or overexpression lines of

(**A**) neurodevelopmental genes or genes functionally related with *Sin* function, (**B and C**)

homologs of "second-hits" identified in children with 16p12.1 deletion, and (**D and E**)

transcriptome targets and functionally related groups identified in RNA-sequencing of *Sin*

knockdown model. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles.

Red dotted lines indicate the median of recombinant lines crossed with control. A list of full

genotypes and statistics, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, for these

experiments are provided in S1 File and S5 File.

1439

S13 Fig. Phenotypic scores of the tested pairwise interactions of *CG14182^GD2738* with

homologs of "second-hit" or neurodevelopmental genes in *Drosophila* eye.

Flynotyper phenotypic scores of *CG14182^GD2738* crossed with RNAi, mutant or overexpression

lines of (**A**) neurodevelopmental genes or genes functionally related with *CG14182* function, (**B**

and C) homologs of "second-hits" identified in children with 16p12.1 deletion, and (**D**)

transcriptome targets and functionally related groups identified in RNA-sequencing of *CG14182*

knockdown model. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles.

Red dotted lines indicate the median of recombinant lines crossed with control. A list of full

genotypes and statistics, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, for these

experiments are provided in S1 File and S5 File.

1450

S14 Fig. Multiple homologs of patient-specific "second-hits" and neurodevelopmental genes

modulate phenotypes caused by knockdown of *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* and *Cen^{GD9689}*.

Representative brightfield adult eye images and phenotypic scores of eyes from RNAi, mutant or

overexpression lines of neurodevelopmental genes, homologs of genes with "second-hits" in

children with 16p12.1 deletion, and transcriptome targets that significantly enhanced (Enh.) or

suppressed (Supp.) the phenotypes of recombinant lines of *UQCR-C2^{GD11238}* (**A**) or *Cen^{GD9689}* (**B**)

(*p<0.05, two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Scale bar

represents 100 μm. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles.

Red dotted lines indicate the median of recombinant lines crossed with control. A list of full

genotypes and statistics, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, for these

experiments are provided in S1 File and S5 File.

1462

S15 Fig. Multiple homologs of patient-specific "second-hits" and neurodevelopmental genes

modulate phenotypes caused by knockdown of *CG14182^{GD2738}* and *Sin^{GD7027}*.

Representative brightfield adult eye images and phenotypic scores of eyes from RNAi, mutant or

overexpression lines of neurodevelopmental genes, homologs of genes with "second-hits" in

children with 16p12.1 deletion, and transcriptome targets that significantly enhanced (Enh.) or

suppressed (Supp.) the phenotypes of recombinant lines of *CG14182^{GD2738}* (**A**) or *Sin^{GD7027}* (**B**)

(*p<0.05, two-tailed Mann-Whitney tests with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Scale bar

represents 100 μm. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles.

Red dotted lines indicate the median of recombinant lines crossed with control. A list of full

genotypes and statistics, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, for these

experiments are provided in S1 File and S5 File.

1474

S16 Fig. "Second-hit" genes identified in probands with 16p12.1 deletion.

Pedigrees of 15 families with the 16p12.1 deletion, highlighting 23 genes with rare secondary

likely-pathogenic mutations (CNVs and SNVs) that were identified in severely affected children

with the 16p12.1 deletion. These 23 genes carrying "second-hit" mutations were selected for

Drosophila experiments to test how their decreased expression affects the neurodevelopmental

phenotypes observed for 16p12.1 homologs. Family members who carry either the 16p12.1

deletion or individual genes with "second-hits" are indicated in the pedigrees. Phenotypes

observed for affected children and other family members are indicated below each pedigree.

1483

S17 Fig. Complex interactions between homologs of "second-hit" genes and 16p12.1

homologs.

(A-G) Pedigrees with validated interactions between homologs of genes carrying "second-hits"

in children with the 16p12.1 deletion. Interaction plots and representative brightfield adult eye

images for validated enhancers (Enh.) or suppressors (Supp.) are shown below each pedigree.

Interaction plots show the changes in *Flynotyper* scores (mean ± s.d.) for *GMR-GAL4* control

(grey) or recombinant lines of 16p12.1 homologs crossed with either control line (left) or with

"second-hit" homologs (right). Two-way ANOVA analyses showed epistatic enhancements for

Cen^{GD9689}/nAChRα6^{GD1045} (p<0.05 with Benjamini-Hochberg correction). Furthermore, *osa*

suppressed the eye phenotype of each of the four 16p12.1 homologs, and $cac^{JF02572}$ suppressed

the eye phenotype of $CG14182^{GD2738}$. Scale bar represents 100 μm. Statistical details of ANOVA

tests are provided in S5 File. A list of full genotypes for fly crosses used in these experiments is

provided in S1 File.

1497

S18 Fig. *Osa* and *Nipped-A* interact with *CG14182^{GD2738}* through cellular processes during

eye development.

(A) Representative brightfield adult eye images show that simultaneous knockdown of

$CG14182^{GD2738}$ with $osa^{JF01207}$ leads to a suppressed (Supp.) eye phenotype, while simultaneous

knockdown of $Nipped-A^{HMS00167}$ leads to synergistic enhancement (Enh.) in eye phenotype

compared to single knockdown of $CG14182^{GD2738}$. Scale bar represents 100 μm. **(B)**

Representative confocal images of third instar larval eye discs stained with DAPI (blue) and anti-

phosphorylated histone-3 (pH3, green) or anti-Dcp-1 (red), markers of cellular proliferation and

apoptosis, respectively. Positive pH3 or Dcp-1 cells were quantified posterior to the

morphogenetic furrow (indicated by white boxes). Simultaneous knockdown of $CG14182^{GD2738}$

with $osa^{JF01207}$ led to an increase in the number of pH3 positive cells (n=20, two-tailed Mann-

Whitney, *p= 2.33×10^{-6}) compared to the single knockdown of $CG14182^{GD2738}$. **(C)**

Simultaneous knockdown of $CG14182^{GD2738}$ with $Nipped-A^{HMS00167}$ led to a significant reduction

in the number of Dcp-1 positive cells compared to single knockdown of $CG14182^{GD2738}$ (n=15,

in red, two-tailed, Mann-Whitney, *p=2.54×10^{-5}). Scale bars represent 50 μm. Boxplots

represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles. Statistical details, including

sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, are provided in S5 File. A list of full genotypes

for fly crosses used in these experiments is provided in S1 File.

1516

S19 Fig. *setd5* modifies phenotypes observed with knockdown of *polr3e* and *mosmo* in *X.*

***laevis*.**

(A) Representative images stained with anti-tubulin show forebrain (red on control image) and

midbrain (blue) areas of the side injected with morpholino (right, red asterisk), normalized to the

uninjected side (left). Simultaneous knockdown of *polr3e* and *setd5* in *X. laevis* led to decreased

forebrain (n=28, two-tailed student's t-test, *p=6.01×10^{-7}) and midbrain area (*p=1.67×10^{-7})

compared to knockdown of *polr3e* alone, which were not different to the partial knockdown of

setd5 alone (p>0.05). Scale bar represents 500 μm. **(B)** Normalized axon length of *X. laevis*

tadpoles with simultaneous knockdown of *mosmo* and *setd5* showed decreased axon length

different from the control injected with 22ng of morpholino (n=438, two-tailed, student's t-test,

*p=2.95×10^{-7}) and from individual knockdown of *setd5* (*p=1.86×10^{-9}) or *mosmo* (*p= $3.34 \times 10^{-}$

^{6}), showing a synergistic effect of decreased dosage of the homologs towards neuronal

phenotypes. **(C)** Normalized axon length of *X. laevis* tadpoles with simultaneous knockdown of

polr3e and *setd5* showed no change in axon length (two-tailed student's t-test, p>0.05). In each

case, the individual knockdown was normalized and compared to the control injected with the

same amount of morpholino. Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th

percentiles. Statistical details, including sample size, confidence intervals and p-values, are

provided in S5 File.

1535

S20 Fig. 16p11.2 genes are more connected to each other than to other genes in the genome.

Analysis of a human brain-specific network shows higher average pairwise connectivity,

measured as the inverse of the shortest path between two genes, between pairs of 16p11.2 genes

compared to the connectivity of 16p11.2 genes to the rest of the genome (n=25 two-tailed Mann-

Whitney, *p= 6.64×10^{-3}). This trend was not observed for 16p12.1 genes (n=6, p>0.05).

Boxplots represent all data points with median, 25th and 75th percentiles. Statistical details are

provided in S5 File.

1543

1544 **S1 Table. *Drosophila* and *X. laevis* homologs of 16p12.1 genes.**

1545 DIOPT (24) and reciprocal BLAST (70) searches were used to identify fly homologs of 16p12.1

1546 genes. The expression of homologs in the larval central nervous system during development was

1547 assessed using FlyAtlas Anatomy microarray expression data from FlyBase (71).

1548

S2 Table. "Second-hit" variants identified in families with 16p12.1 deletion and tested for

interactions with 16p12.1 homologs.

Genes carrying "second-hits" were previously identified (9) through exome sequencing and SNP

microarrays in 15 children with the 16p12.1 deletion, and selected as disease-associated genes

carrying rare (ExAC frequency ≤1%) copy-number variants, loss-of-function (frameshift,

stopgain or splicing) mutations, or *de novo* or likely-pathogenic (Phred-like CADD ≥25)

missense mutations.

1556

1557 **S3 Table. Phenotypes observed for individual 16p12.1 homologs in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis***

1558 **models.**

1559 Developmental and neuronal phenotypes observed with individual knockdown of 16p12.1

1560 homologs in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis*.

1561

S4 Table. Sequences of oligonucleotides used for confirming gene knockdown in *Drosophila* and *X. laevis.*

S5 Table. *X. laevis* morpholino sequences used in this study.

S1 File. Stock list and genotypes of *Drosophila* lines used in the study (Excel file).
This file details genotypes of tissue-specific drivers, and stock centers, stock numbers and genotypes of RNAi used to knock down the four 16p12.1 homologs, as well as RNAi, mutant, or overexpression lines for homologs of "second-hit" genes and genes within conserved neurodevelopmental pathways. Genotypes of recombinant lines of 16p12.1 homologs crossed with interacting genes are also detailed, as well as the individual controls used for each experiment. Details of the number of homologs, fly lines, and crosses used for all interaction experiments are also provided. BDSC: Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, VDRC: Vienna Drosophila Stock Center.

S2 File. Differentially expressed genes and Gene Ontology enrichments for knockdown of 16p12.1 homologs (Excel file).
List of differentially expressed genes, defined as log-fold change >1 or <-1 and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05 (Benjamini-Hochberg correction), following RNA sequencing of *Drosophila* fly heads with *Elav-GAL4*-mediated knockdown of the four 16p12.1 homologs. Human homologs of differentially-expressed fly genes were identified using DIOPT (24). Enriched Gene Ontology (GO) and PantherDB terms are also provided for differentially-expressed fly genes and their human homologs.

1585

1586 **S3 File. Summary of genetic interactions identified with 16p12.1 homologs in *Drosophila***

1587 **(Excel file).**

1588

1589 **S4 File. Connectors of 16p12.1 and 16p11.2 genes identified in a human brain network**

1590 **(Excel file).**

1591

1592 **S5 File. Statistical analysis of experimental data (Excel file).**

1593 This file provides details of all statistical information, including sample size, test statistics, p-

1594 values, and Benjamini-Hochberg FDR corrections for all data shown in the main and

1595 supplemental figures. Details for ANOVA tests include factors, degrees of freedom, tests

1596 statistics, and post-hot pariwise t-tests with Benjamini-Hochberg FDR corrections.

1597

Figure1

Figure 2

A Pairwise interactions in *Drosophila*

GMR-GAL4, UAS-RNAi 1 × UAS-RNAi 2

UQCR-C2   CG14182   Sin   Cen

B

UQCR-C2^GD11238/ Control   Cen^GD9689/ Control   Sin^GD7027/ Control   CG14182^GD2738/ Control

UQCR-C2^GD11238/ Sin^GD7027 — Enh.
UQCR-C2^GD11238/ CG14182^GD2738 — Enh.
Cen^GD9689/ UQCR-C2^GD11238 — Supp.
CG14182^GD2738/ Sin^GD7027 — Enh.

C

Phenotypic score

UQCR-C2^GD11238/Control
UQCR-C2^GD11238/Sin^GD7027
UQCR-C2^GD11238/CG14182^GD2738
Cen^GD9689/Control
Cen^GD9689/UQCR-C2^GD11238
CG14182^GD2738/Control^GD
CG14182^GD2738/Sin^GD7027

D

Phenotypic score

- GMR-GAL4
- CG14182^GD2738
- UQCR-C2^GD11238

Control   Sin^GD7027   Control   CG14182^GD2738_2

E Pairwise interactions in *X. laevis*

Homolog 1   Homolog 2   Homolog 1+2

F

Control   uninjected / injected *
polr3e *
mosmo *
polr3e/mosmo *

Contralateral ratio of average brain area

Forebrain — * ns
Midbrain — * ns

Control   polr3e   mosmo   polr3e/mosmo

G

pH3
β-actin

Control   polr3e   mosmo   polr3e/mosmo

Normalized band intensity — pH3/β-actin

Control   mosmo   polr3e   polr3e/mosmo

Figure3

Figure4

A Patient-specific "second-hit" interaction in flies

GL_01

16p12.1 del

16p12.1 del
SETD5 (upSET)

Phenotypic score

- GMR-GAL4
- Sin^GD7027
- CG14182^GD2736

Control   upSET

Control   CG14182^GD2736/Control   upSET^HMC03177   CG14182^GD2736/upSET^HMC03177

Enh.

B Cell proliferation/apoptosis

Control   CG14182^GD2736/Control   upSET   CG14182^GD2736/upSET^HMC03177

pH3

Dcp-1

Number of positive cells

pH3

Dcp-1

Control   CG14182   upSET   CG14182/upSET

C Forebrain/midbrain area

Control   uninjected   injected

mosmo

setd5

mosmo/setd5

Contralateral ratio of average brain area

ns   ns

Forebrain

*   ns

Midbrain

Control   mosmo   setd5   mosmo/setd5

D Axon length

axon

Normalized axon length

*   *

Control   mosmo   setd5   mosmo/setd5

Figure5