1 **AFLAP: Assembly-Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline using _k_-mers from whole genome**
2 **sequencing data**
3

Authors:
Kyle Fletcher[*] (kfletcher@ucdavis.edu)
Lin Zhang[*] (linzhang@ucdavis.edu)
Juliana Gil[*] (rjugil@ucdavis.edu)
Keri Cavanaugh[*] (kacavanaugh@ucdavis.edu)
Richard Michelmore[*†] (rwmichelmore@ucdavis.edu)
10

Corresponding author: Richard Michelmore
Affiliations:
[*] The Genome Center, University of California, Davis, USA.
[†] Departments of Plant Sciences, Molecular & Cellular Biology, Medical Microbiology &
Immunology, University of California, Davis, USA.

Running Title: Assembly-Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline
18

Abstract
Background: Genetic maps are an important resource for validation of genome assemblies, trait
discovery, and breeding. Next generation sequencing has led to the production of high-density
genetic maps constructed with 10,000s of markers. Most current approaches require a genome
assembly of the organism under study, or a close relative, to identify markers. Our Assembly
Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline (AFLAP) removes this requirement by using uniquely
segregating _k_-mers as markers. This removes potential biases including preferential read
alignment and variant calling.
Results: We tested AFLAP using 100 F_2 individuals of _Arabidopsis thaliana_, sequenced to low
coverage. Genetic maps generated using _k_-mers specific for each parent contained over 130,000
markers that were concordant with the genomic assembly of the five chromosomes. AFLAP was
then applied to 83 F_1 individuals, sequenced to >5x coverage, generated from two crosses of the
oomycete _Bremia lactucae_. The genetic map contained over 90,000 markers ordered in 19 large
linkage groups. The genetic map was used to fragment, order, orient, and scaffold the reference
assembly of _B. lactucae_, resulting in a much improved, genetically consistent genome assembly.
Conclusions: AFLAP can therefore be used to generate high density linkage maps and improve
draft genome assemblies of non-model organisms when a mapping population sequenced to
adequate coverage is available. The genetic maps produced for _B. lactucae_ were accurately
aligned to the reference assembly and resulted in significant improvements of the assembly.
38

39 **Keywords: Genetic map; _K_-mer; _Bremia_; Oomycete; _Arabidopsis_**

Background

The complexity of contemporary maps has increased drastically since linkage, the tendency for co-segregation of two or more genetic loci during meiosis relative to their proximity on a chromosome, was introduced as a concept at the start of the 20th century (1, 2). The first genetic map was based on six sex-linked phenotypes in *Drosophila melanogaster* (3). Now, due to technical advances, particularly in DNA sequencing, it is common to construct genetic maps with thousands of markers that greatly exceed the number of genetic bins observed in segregating progeny. Typically, markers are derived from aligning sequencing reads to a reference assembly and calling polymorphisms. However, high quality reference assemblies are not available for all species and may not be available for all genotypes, even in well-studied species. Therefore, we developed a pipeline for generating ultra-high-density genetic maps that does not require a genome assembly and can be applied to any species regardless of genomic resources.

Bremia lactucae is an outbreeding oomycete that causes the economically important downy mildew disease of lettuce. Multiple linkage studies have achieved increasing marker density over time. The first genetic map for *B. lactucae*, reporting 13 linkage groups, was generated using 53 restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) and nine phenotypic loci spanning 230 cM (4). A second map was based on 83 RFLPs, 347 amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLPs), and seven phenotypic loci (5). Genomic investigations of *B. lactucae* revealed genetic characteristics that complicated map construction. Although *B. lactucae* was shown to be a diploid species, many isolates were determined to be heterokaryotic with genetically distinct, diploid nuclei sharing the same coenocytic cytoplasm (6). Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis of the F_1 population previously used for map construction revealed two groups of half-siblings, indicating that one of the parents was contributing two sets of gametes. In addition, analysis of multiple isolates revealed high levels of heterozygosity (> 1%) and the reference assembly consisted of over 70% long terminal repeat retrotransposons (6). These genomic features may reduce the accuracy of short-read mapping and SNP calling (7), which are critical for genetic map construction with next generation sequencing data. Therefore, at the beginning of this study, the genetic architecture of *B. lactucae* remained unresolved.

Our Assembly Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline (AFLAP) enables the construction of genetic maps without mapping or SNP calling against a reference genome assembly. Instead, reads are reduced to *k*-mers (*k*=31, onward referred to as 31-mer) and surveyed to identify those that segregate uniquely in the gametes of each parent. AFLAP was first tested on a low-coverage F_2 population of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Colombia (Col) x Landsberg (Ler) and shown to generate the five known linkage groups. For *B. lactucae*, *k*-mer based markers were identified in 83 F_1 progeny isolates that had been sequenced to over 5x by whole genome shotgun sequencing and used to construct an ultra-dense genetic map.

Results

A novel Assembly Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline (AFLAP) was designed to rapidly construct genetic maps without requiring the alignment of reads to, and subsequent variant calling against a reference genome assembly (Figure 1). Briefly, AFLAP generated k-mers of a set length (here, 31 base pairs referred onward as 31-mer) from the parental read sets. Single copy 31-mers were identified by analyzing peaks contained within count files. Single copy 31-mers common to both parents were discarded; 31-mers unique to each parent were assembled creating variants unique to either parent. One 31-mer was extracted from each fragment of 61 bp and larger to be used as markers. These markers were then surveyed in 31-mers present in progeny individuals. Genotypes were scored as present or absent, resulting in a genotype table, which was then inspected to obtain segregation statistics of the markers. Markers were filtered for segregation distortion and finally exported to LepMap3 (8) for linkage analysis. The pipeline is described in greater detail in the Materials and Methods section.

Figure 1. Assembly Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline (AFLAP). Blue rectangles summarize core steps of the pipeline and numbers correspond to script numbering found at https://github.com/kfletcher88/AFLAP. Arrows indicate where one step outputs the input of the next step. Arrows labelled A indicate the path taken in genotyping and identifying markers from parental individuals. Arrows labelled B indicate the path taken to genotype progeny individuals. Red ovals and arrows are supplementary to AFLAP and not required to complete the pipeline. An example pedigree file is provided for the *A. thaliana* data (Additional File 1).

106 AFLAP: *Arabidopsis thaliana*

107   AFLAP was validated using a F$_2$ population generated from *A. thaliana* Col x Ler that
had previously been sequenced to low coverage (<1x) and analyzed genetically (9-11). Based on
the distributions of 31-mers from both parents, the boundaries for classification as a single copy
31-mer were defined as 32 to 105x for *A. thaliana* Col and 41 to 177x for *A. thaliana* Ler (Figure
2a), totaling 109,276,920 and 114,129,149 single copy 31-mers, respectively. The unique
number of single copy 31-mers were 21,704,129 for Col and 27,891,980 for Ler.

Figure 2. Intermediate data produced
by AFLAP when analyzing an *A.*
***thaliana* F$_2$ population.** Analysis of
parental accession Colombia (Col) is
plotted on the left and Landsberg (Ler)
on the right. a) JELLYFISH histograms
were plotted to determine the lower and
upper boundaries of single copy 31-mers
(indicated by dotted lines). 31-mers
found in both parents were discarded
(grey arrows) from further analysis. 31-
mers unique to either accession were
assembled for each parent (black arrows).
b) The distribution of the assembled
fragments from 31-mers showing that
most of these fragments are 61 bp
(SNPs). Assembled fragments <61 bp
were discarded (grey arrows). A
representative 31-mer marker was
extracted from each fragment ≥61 bp,
verified against the parents, and used for
downstream genotyping (black arrows).
c) Segregation of 31-mer markers in the
F$_2$ population. Markers extracted from
fragments >61 bp segregate at the same
frequency as markers derived from
fragments exactly =61 bp, although >3%
of Ler derived markers from fragments
>61 bp were not observed in the F$_2$
population. The modal segregation of
Colombia markers was 55%, for
Landsberg it was 51%. The black vertical
line indicated the expected marker
presence of 75% in the F2 (Aa x Aa).

150   Assembly of unique, single-copy Col 31-mers resulted in 499,936 fragments ranging
from 25 to 6,324 bp. Of these, 162,206 fragments were 61 bp and had a SNP in the middle at
their 31st base; 156,106 fragments were larger than 61 bp, representing more complex variants;
and 181,624 fragments were smaller than 61 bp and contained repetitive or low complexity
sequences that were difficult to assemble and were therefore not used in downstream analyses
because they represented potentially unreliable variants (Figure 2b). 31-mers were extracted

from the 318,312 fragments ≥61 bp, 285,492 (89.9%) of which were confirmed to be within the
single copy limits of Col and absent from Ler. On average, these 31-mer markers were scored as
present in 55% of F$_2$ progeny (Figure 2c).

Assembly of unique, single-copy Ler 31-mers resulted in 519,493 fragments ranging
from 25 bp to 26,666 bp. Of these, 161,955 fragments equaled 61 bp (SNPs), 161,174 fragments
were larger than 61 bp (complex variants), and 196,364 fragments were <61 bp (Figure 2b). 31-
mers were extracted from the 323,129 fragments ≥61 bp, 321,026 (99.3%) of which were
confirmed to be within the single copy limits of Ler and absent from Col. On average, these 31-
mer markers were scored as present in 51% of F$_2$ progeny (Figure 2c). Additionally, 5,329
markers, nearly all of which were derived from markers >61 bp, were not detected in any F$_2$
plants (Figure 2c). Only 13 of these markers could be aligned to the reference genome assembly,
consistent with these markers being derived from contaminant reads in the SRA dataset for Ler.
168

Figure 3. Genetic map of *A. thaliana* generated by AFLAP. a) 145,330 markers unique to *A. thaliana*
accession Col ordered into five linkage groups. Each horizontal black bar indicates a genetic bin with >1
marker. The sizes of the blue marks indicate the number of markers in each bin relative to every other
genetic bin. Genetic bins with the highest marker densities were near the ends of linkage groups. b)
Alignment of the genetic map constructed from markers unique to Col against the genome assembly of
Col-0. All 31-mers assigned to each genetic bin were aligned to the assembly and plotted. Points are
colored by linkage group assignment resulting in a bar depicting the noise for placement of each genetic
bin. The average position of each genetic bin is plotted in black, demonstrating that the linkage groups
were nearly colinear with the physical assembly. Grey columns indicate centromeric positions. Over
99.99% of the markers were placed on the correct chromosomes and were concordant with the assembly.
c) 115,810 markers unique to *A. thaliana* accession Ler ordered into six linkage groups. Sizes of blue
marks indicate the number of markers per genetic bin. d) Alignment of the genetic map constructed from
markers unique to Ler displayed as for Col (b). Arms of Chromosome 3 were generated as independent

linkage groups. 98.8% of the markers were placed on the correct chromosomes and were nearly
concordant with the assembly. In both b and d, the inexact placement of markers into genetic bins
demonstrated by the range of the colored bars is probably due to missing data because of the low
coverage of the sequencing data.
187

Of the 285,492 markers derived from Col, 50.9% were ordered into 315 genetic bins
across five linkage groups using a logarithm of the odds (LOD) score ≥ 7. The total map length
was 379 cM and linkage groups ranged from 64 cM to 86 cM (Figure 3a). Of the genetically
placed markers, 144,395 markers (99.4%) were aligned to the *A. thaliana* reference assembly.
Over 99.99% of the markers were concordant with the physical map (Figure 3b). Of the 321,026
markers derived from Ler, 68.0% were ordered into 366 genetic bins across six linkage groups
(LOD ≥ 7). The number of markers assigned to each genetic bin ranged from 1 to 5,857. The total
map length was 421 cM and linkage groups ranged from 53 cM to 98 cM (Figure 3c). Of the
genetically placed markers, 138,434 (63.4%) were placed unambiguously on the *A. thaliana*
reference assembly, with 98.8% concordance between the genetic map and the physical
assembly. Two linkage groups mapped to Chromosome 3, each covering a chromosome arm
(Figure 3d).

AFLAP: *Bremia lactucae*
AFLAP was then used to genetically analyze the obligately biotrophic oomycete *Bremia*
lactucae, for which there was only a partial genetic map and incomplete genome assembly.
Eighty-three F_1 progeny isolates that had been generated by crossing *B. lactucae* isolate SF5 to
either isolate C82P24 or isolate C98O622b (5, 6) were whole genome sequenced to greater than
5x coverage. Based on the distributions of 31-mers from isolate SF5 of *B. lactucae* (Figure 4a),
the boundaries for classification as a single-copy, heterozygous 31-mer were 63x to 123x,
identifying 27,691,779 potentially useful 31-mers. When compared to the 31-mer compositions
of the other parental isolates, C82P24 and C98O622b, 591,159 informative 31-mers were found
to be unique to SF5.
Assembly of heterozygous 31-mers unique to SF5 resulted in fragments ranging from 25
bp to 2,686 bp. Of these, 45,849 fragments equaled 61 bp representing a SNP in the middle at
their 31st base; 59,712 fragments were larger than 61 bp, representative of more complex
variants; 132,323 fragments were smaller than 61 bp, representing potentially unreliable variants
including repetitive or low complexity sequences that were difficult to assemble (Figure 4b). The
set of 31-mer markers unique to SF5 was extracted from the 105,561 fragments equal to or
greater than 61 bp, 103,246 (97.8 %) of which were confirmed to be within the heterozygous
boundaries of isolate SF5 and absent from the two other parental isolates.
219
220
221

222
223 **Figure 4. Intermediate data produced by the AFLAP when analyzing two F₁ populations of *B.***
224 ***lactucae*.** Two populations generated by crossing SF5 (center) to C82P24 (left) or C98622b (right). Black
225 arrows indicate the path of 31-mers derived from each parental isolate. Ultimately only those derived
226 from SF5 were used for linkage analysis. a) JELLYFISH histograms were generated to determine the

boundaries of single copy, heterozygous 31-mers, (dashed lines). 31-mers common to both parents of each cross were discarded (grey arrows). Unique 31-mers to each parental isolate were assembled (vertical arrows). b) The modal assembled fragment size was 61 bp (SNPs), except for C98O622b. Fragments <61 bp were discarded (grey arrows). One representative 31-mer marker was extracted from each fragment ≥61 bp, verified against the parents, and used for downstream genotyping (vertical arrows). c) Kinship heatmaps for parent specific, pseudo-test cross markers from each parent. Left: 73 progeny isolates from SF5 x C82P24 tested with C82P24 derived markers ≥61 bp. Center: 96 progeny isolates from both crosses tested with SF5 derived markers ≥61 bp. Right: 23 progeny isolates from SF5 x C98O622b tested with C98O622b derived markers equal to 61 bp. One isolate was selected when clusters of isolates (colored blue) were formed using markers of both parents. The additional pattern observed for C82P24 (left) is due to heterokaryosis (see 6). d) Segregation of 31-mer pseudo-test cross markers in the F_1. For C82P24 (left) and SF5 (center), both marker types ≥61 bp segregate at the same frequency. The discordance observed for markers derived from C98O622b may be due to contamination (see a). Distortion is observed for C82P24 because this isolate is heterokaryotic (see c and 6). SF5 is homokaryotic so all gametes are derived from a single nucleus. Therefore, only SF5 derived markers were suitable for linkage map construction.

The same process was repeated for the two heterokaryotic parental isolates, C82P24 and C98O622b, to analyze kinship. For C82P24, boundaries of 22x to 88x were identified after visual inspection of the 31-mer distribution (Figure 4a), totaling 40,209,579 31-mers. Compared to the SF5 hash, 19,179,719 were unique to C82P24. Assembly of the unique, heterozygous 31-mers resulted in fragments ranging from 25 bp to 20,022 bp. Of these, 69,868 fragments were 61 bp (SNPs), 123,926 fragments were >61 bp (complex variants), and 335,149 fragments were <61 bp (unreliable variants; Figure 4b). Of the 193,794 markers ≥61 bp, 190,753 (98.4 %) were confirmed to be absent in SF5 and heterozygous in C82P24. For C98O622b, manual inspection of the 31-mer distribution curve indicated that it was not possible to differentiate the lower limits of the heterozygous 31-mer peaks from contaminant sequences (Figure 4a) resulting from sequencing xenic cultures of the biotrophic *B. lactucae*. Therefore, 142,669,686 31-mers with a lower limit of 12x and an upper limit of 65x were selected as the heterozygous component. When compared to the SF5 hash, 117,091,383 31-mers were found to be unique to C98O622b, which assembled into fragments ranging from 25 bp to 59,784 bp. Of these, 84,435 fragments were 61 bp, 512,948 fragments were >61 bp, and 836,933 fragments were <61 bp (Figure 4b). Because of the high count and inability to resolve 31-mers heterozygous to C98O622b from those belonging to contaminant organisms, only the representative markers of C98O622b SNPs (equal to 61 bp) were used for further analysis. Of these 84,435 markers, 72,496 (85.9 %) were absent in SF5 and heterozygous in C98O622b.

Kinship was analyzed by clustering unique and heterozygous markers to identify near-identical progeny isolates that shared highly similar genotypes. From 73 sexual progeny generated by crossing SF5 by C82P24, six were consistently identified as duplicates with low Euclidean distances between them when considering markers derived from both parents (Figure 4c). One of each pair was omitted from downstream analysis (Additional File 1: Table S2). Fifteen of the 23 progeny generated by crossing SF5 by C98O622b clustered into one of five replicate groups, each with high within group similarity, based on both SF5 and C98O622b markers (Figure 4c). Consequently, ten isolates were excluded, and five representative isolates

271   were used for downstream analysis (Additional File 1: Table S2). This resulted in 83 isolates
272   available for further analysis (Additional File 2: Figure S1).
273   Additional structure is visible in the kinship analysis of heterokaryotic isolates C82P24
and C98O622b. For C82P24, two large sub-populations of progeny, consisting of 28 progeny
(bottom left) and 42 progeny isolates (excluding duplicates, top right), can be identified (Figure
4c); this reflects the heterokaryotic nature of C82P24, where two nuclei contribute independent
sets of gametes to the progeny (6). The same pattern can be seen in C98O622b (Figure 4c),
which is also a heterokaryon, although only two isolates make up the first sub population
(bottom left) and 11 isolates the second (excluding replicates, top right). These patterns are not
observed in the SF5 markers (Figure 4c) because it is a homokaryon and therefore only
contributes one set of gametes. Finally, for C82P24, four isolates in the second heterokaryon
group appear to cluster with one another at a greater Euclidean distance from the rest of the
progeny (Figure 4c). The reason for this clustering is unclear because clustering of these isolates
was not observed with SF5 markers (Figure 4c); therefore, these isolates were retained for
downstream analysis.
286   Presence of markers in progeny isolates was used to filter for segregation distortion. On
average, SF5 31-mer markers were scored as present in 55% of the 83 F_1 progeny. A total of
5,845 markers (5.6 %) present in ≤33 or ≥52 isolates were filtered out due to segregation
distortion (Figure 4d). Therefore, 97,401 markers were used for linkage analysis. Subsequent
analysis that increased the cut-off values from 0.4–0.6 to 0.2–0.8 did not greatly increase the
number of markers nor did it result in more sequence being captured in the linkage map; this
reflects the selection of the boundaries as indicated in Figure 4d.
293   Markers originating from SF5 were ordered into 1,337 genetic bins across 19 linkage
groups, placing 98.8% (96,226) of the markers. The total map length was 1,768.7 cM and
linkage groups ranged from 51.8 cM to 148.2 cM (Figure 5a). Of these markers, 61.4% (59,087)
aligned unambiguously to the previously reported *B. lactucae* assembly, 96.9% (57,247) of
which aligned to scaffolds larger than 1 Mb. This accounted for 96.6% of the 115.9 Mb genome
assembly (6). Long stretches of genetic markers were colinear with this assembly; however, 19
of the 21 scaffolds larger than 1 Mb contained sequences from different linkage groups and
therefore appeared to be chimeric (Figure 5b). Chimeras may have resulted from false joins due
to the highly repetitive architecture of the *B. lactucae* genome (6). The chimeric scaffolds were
broken using the linkage data. Reorienting and re-scaffolding resulted in 97 Mb organized into
19 scaffolds, each compassing a single linkage group (Figure 5c). The remaining 18.6 Mb
compromising 200 scaffolds did not have genetic markers aligned and so remained unplaced.
This included six scaffolds over 1 Mb. Repeat-masking revealed that 70% of the genetically
placed contig sequence and 73% of the unplaced contig sequence was repetitive. A higher
percentage of the unplaced large scaffolds were covered by C82P24 and C98O622b derived
markers than SF5 derived markers (Additional File 2: Figure S2). Of the 9,767 annotated genes,
8,345 were placed into the 19 large scaffolds and 1,422 were on genetically unassigned
scaffolds; 261 out of a total 280 candidate effector genes were located across all linkage groups,

311
Figure 5. *Bremia lactucae* AFLAP results. a) Markers unique to SF5 ordered in 19 linkage groups. Each
horizontal bar indicates a genetic bin with >1 marker. The sizes of the blue marks indicate the relative
number of markers in each genetic bin. Genetic bins with large numbers of markers were observed at the

end of some, but not all linkage groups. b) Alignment of the genetic map on the 21 scaffolds larger than 1
Mb in the draft reference assembly (6). Multiple scaffolds spanned more than one linkage group,
indicative of mis-assembly. Linkage groups included multiple scaffolds providing genetic support for
reorienting and rejoining the scaffolds. c) Scatter plot demonstrating collinearity between the revised
genome assembly and the genetic map after fragmenting, reorienting, and re-scaffolding of the assembly.
Coordinates of annotated genes that encode six categories of candidate effector proteins are plotted on
tracks below the scatter plot. d) Contact frequency obtained by aligning paired Hi-C reads to the
genetically reoriented assembly. The strong dark diagonal indicates that the assembly is consistent with
Hi-C data. Crosses off the diagonal indicate intra-chromosomal contacts, possibly involving centromeres.
324

except linkage group 17 (Figure 5c). The dark diagonal obtained when analyzing Hi-C contact
frequency demonstrated that the genetically orientated assembly was consistent with the Hi-C
data (Figure 5d). Attempts to refine the assembly using Hi-C reads and scaffolding software did
not improve the assembly. Synteny of the genetically-oriented assembly of *B. lactucae* with
Phytophthora sojae showed that the gene order between the two oomycete assemblies was
highly conserved (Figure 6). Therefore, scaffolding using the AFLAP genetic map was able to
correct errors in the genome assembly of *B. lactucae* and produce linkage-group-scale scaffolds
that are coherent with Hi-C data and largely syntenic with a distantly related oomycete.

Figure 6. Synteny between *Bremia lactucae*
and *Phytophthora sojae*. Single copy
orthologs of *B. lactucae* and *P. sojae* were
used to link genetically revised scaffolds of *B.*
lactucae (light blue) with scaffolds of *P. sojae*
larger than 1 Mb (pink). *P. sojae* scaffolds are
labelled with the three-digit suffix of their
NCBI accession (i.e., 115 is
NW_009258115.1). Links are colored based
on their assignment on *B. lactucae* scaffolds.
Gene order is highly conserved between the
two assemblies providing strong support for
the quality of both.

Discussion
We developed the Assembly Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline, AFLAP, to generate ultra-
dense genetic maps based on single copy *k*-mers without reference to a genome assembly. This
approach to linkage analysis does not require reads to be mapped and variants called against a
reference assembly for marker identification. Instead, variants are identified using assembled *k*-
mers, of fixed length *k*, unique and single copy to each parent. Assembled fragments equal to $k +$
$k - 1$ are considered equivalent to SNPs, with the variant position present at the center of the

fragment. Fragments larger than $k + k - 1$ are likely to be complex variants such as insertions and
deletions. Therefore, AFLAP uses markers generated from fragments larger or equal to $k + k - 1$.
These fragments are reduced to a representative marker, containing the variant, equal in length to
k so that a) all markers have the same size and b) a constant marker size can be surveyed in the
progeny downstream. AFLAP enables the rapid construction of a genotype table for subsequent
linkage analysis.
We tested AFLAP using 100 F_2 individuals of *A. thaliana* sequenced to low coverage.
The genetic architecture of *A. thaliana* has been studied in detail; over 2,000 F_2 individuals,
generated by crossing Colombia (Col) x Landsberg (Ler), have been sequenced to low coverage
(9-11). The 100 individuals from this population with the largest number of reads were selected
to create a test population of similar size to the total progeny of the two *B. lactucae* experimental
populations. The *A. thaliana* markers were expected to segregate in a 1:2:1 ratio; however, this
was not the case. The modal percentage of progeny markers detected was 55% for Col markers
and 51% for Ler markers (Figure 2c). Missing data can therefore be estimated as between 26%
and 32%. Despite this, the size of the genetic map is very similar to that reported previously (12,
13). In addition, the average physical positions of genetic bins were highly concordant with the
genome assembly with 99% of the markers assigned to the correct chromosome (Figure 3b, d).
The noise in the precise placement of the genetic bin and the low percentage of genetically
placed markers (50.9% for Col, 63.4% for Ler derived markers) may have been caused by
missing data due to low sequence coverage, resulting in false negative genotype calls. Despite
the imperfect input data, AFLAP was able to produce a good genetic map using markers from
each parent, concordant with the chromosome-scale genome assembly.
AFLAP was then applied to F_1 progeny isolates of *B. lactucae* generated by crossing
isolate SF5 with either C82P24 or C98O622b, both of which are heterokaryotic; therefore, SF5
was effectively crossed to four different nuclei (6). Progeny isolates were whole genome shotgun
sequenced to at least 5x coverage to provide reliable identification of unique 31-mers.
Heterokaryosis was reflected by half-sib clusters of isolates in the progeny (Figure 4c). In
addition to heterokaryosis, clustering of 31-mer markers also demonstrated that some isolates
were genetically more similar to other isolates than expected, allowing potential duplicate
individuals to be removed. Therefore, 83 isolates were genotyped with SF5-specific markers and
used for linkage analysis (Additional File 2: Figure S1). The small population sizes for
individual nuclei from the heterokaryotic parents meant that maps of C82P24 and C98O622b
could not be constructed.
The genetic map of isolate SF5 of *B. lactucae* produced by AFLAP placed 98.8% of the
SF5-specific markers into 19 linkage groups (Figure 5a). The genetic map was highly concordant
with large portions of the published genome assembly (Figure 5 b; 6). Discordance between the
genetic map and the assembly was used to identify mis-assemblies; linkage data was then used to
guide binning, orienting, and scaffolding, resulting in a much-improved genome assembly with
19 linkage-group-scale scaffolds (Figure 5c). The more accurate placement of genetic bins on the
assembly and higher percentage of mapped makers when compared to *A. thaliana* (Figure 3b, d)

is likely due to the higher coverage in the *B. lactucae* dataset. The size of the genetic map
produced for *B. lactucae* is similar to that reported previously (4). Therefore, with adequate
sequencing depth, AFLAP was able to rapidly produce a genetic map of a non-model organism
with a highly repeated genome (6); the high marker density enabled genetically-guided
fragmentation and re-scaffolding of the genome assembly.
Not all scaffolds were placed on the linkage map. The marker sparse regions totaling 18.6
Mb of the current assembly were only marginally more repetitive than the genetically oriented
sequence. Pseudo-test cross markers derived from isolates C82P24 and C98O622b did align to
the large unplaced scaffold in the *B. lactucae* assembly (Additional File 2: Figure S2); therefore,
it is possible that the unplaced regions over 1 Mb are homozygous in isolate SF5. In the current
study, not enough progeny isolates were obtained from any of the nuclei of the heterokaryotic
isolates C82P24 or C98O622b for genetic analysis. Therefore, additional genetic analysis of
other isolates will further refine the *B. lactucae* genome assembly. Genotyping more progeny
isolates and generating a consensus map will determine if *B. lactucae* has fewer than 19
chromosomes. Aligning the assemblies of *B. lactucae* and *P. sojae* allowed potential joins to be
inferred based on synteny (e.g., Figure 6; scaffold 117 of *P. sojae* suggests that linkage groups 9,
11, and 12 of *B. lactucae* might belong to a single chromosome). Alternatively, enhanced genetic
resolution may demonstrate that the genomes of these distant relatives have undergone large-
scale structural variation since divergence from their common ancestor. It is possible that
applying AFLAP to *P. sojae* could further refine the *P. sojae* assembly, investigating syntenic
joins suggested by the new assembly of *B. lactucae* (e.g., Figure 6; linkage group 2 of *B.*
lactucae joins scaffolds 123 and 127 of *P. sojae*).
Markers derived from fragments under 61 bp were investigated by rerunning AFLAP
including markers derived from fragments equaling 60 bp. Many fragments smaller than $k + k -$
1 are probably derived from low complexity, repetitive, or hard to assemble sequences and are
therefore uninformative. Some fragments equal to 60 bp will contain instances of single base pair
deletions at a locus and therefore will be informative (Additional File 2: Figure S3). Rerunning
AFLAP including markers derived from 60 bp fragments only added 4,070 markers to the 96,226
markers used to construct the *B. lactucae* map (Figure 5) and did not alter the marker ordering
(Additional File 2: Figure S4). Given that the very large number of markers far exceeded the
number of crossovers, using markers derived from smaller fragments was unnecessary to
generate accurate genetic maps. Indeed, AFLAP can generate robust genetic maps using only
markers derived from 61 bp fragments. Depending on the genetics of the organism under study,
there may be advantages to including markers derived from smaller fragments or only using
markers derived from 61 bp fragments.
AFLAP has several technical benefits over other strategies for linkage analysis. It is not
subject to biases that may be introduced by a reference assembly due to reads from reference
alleles mapping more readily to an assembly than reads from alternative alleles (14) or associated
SNP calling errors. In addition, AFLAP enables access to all single-copy portions of the
genomes, some of which may not be present in the reference assembly. This may be particularly

important when the parents of the mapping population are distantly related to the reference
genotype. AFLAP makes it possible to genotype multi-nucleotide polymorphisms and indels in
addition to SNPs; such variants are often overlooked in conventional mapping approaches.
Therefore, AFLAP removes bias in marker calling and increases access to variants and genetic
markers. RADseq can be used to obtain high coverage, reduced representation sequencing data,
and using ustacks, linkage analysis may be performed without an assembly (15); however,
library preparation for RADseq may introduce allele bias caused by restriction site distribution
(16), a lack of robust genotype calls, and much lower marker density. AFLAP also allows facile
addition of data from new progeny individuals from the same or different populations that have a
common parent to increase the genetic resolution of the map. Because each isolate is genotyped
independently, adding new isolates generated from the same parents is equivalent to appending
additional columns to the genotype table. Adding data from a new cross, but sharing one parent,
can be achieved by filtering the 31-mer marker set against the new parent and removing markers
from the genotype table that are no longer unique to the common parent. Therefore, AFLAP can
incorporate new data easily, enabling rapid maturation of draft genetic maps.
AFLAP enables the construction of high-quality genetic maps for any organism using a
segregating population sequenced to adequate depth. AFLAP may be applicable to many datasets
already generated or being generated. Also, data generated for AFLAP can be easily repurposed
for use in numerous other projects. Workflows, such as AFLAP, that use unbiased whole
genome sequencing as input will become increasingly desirable as the costs of library generation
and sequencing continue to decrease. This may be critical to validating genome assemblies of
non-model species generated in projects such as the Earth BioGenome Project (17).
463
Conclusions
AFLAP is a novel *k*-mer based approach to linkage analysis able to produce a high-
density genetic map, without a prerequisite reference genome assembly. In addition, AFLAP can
analyze complex variants and genomic regions that may not be accessible to variant calling
approaches that use a reference genome assembly. AFLAP was validated using low coverage *A.*
thaliana F_2 data and was able to construct linkage groups that were coherent to the reference
assembly when aligned; however, the use of low coverage data introduced significant noise.
AFLAP was then deployed to analyze 83 F_1 isolates of the non-model oomycete *B. lactucae* that
had been whole genome sequenced to $\geq$ 5x. The genetic maps produced were unambiguously
aligned to the reference assembly and resulted in significant improvements of the assembly.
AFLAP can therefore be used to generate saturated genetic maps and to improve draft genome
assemblies of non-model organisms provided a mapping population with adequate sequencing
coverage is available.
477
478
479
480

Materials and Methods

Whole genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing (wgs) of *A. thaliana* accessions Colombia (Col) and Landsberg (Ler) were downloaded from NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA) accessions SRR5882797 and SRR3166543, respectively. Low coverage wgs reads of F_2 individuals generated from Col x Ler were obtained from previous studies (9-11); 100 individuals with the largest gzipped files were selected for analysis (Additional File 1: Table S1).

Two *B. lactucae* crosses were analyzed with parental isolate SF5 in common. For both crosses, wgs of *B. lactucae* parental isolates SF5, C82P24, and C98O622b have been described previously (6; NCBI BioProject PRJNA387613). Thirty-seven previously reported F_1 progeny (6; NCBI BioProject PRJNA387454) and an additional 36 F_1 progeny from the same cross, made earlier (5), were added. Extracted DNA that had previously been used for RFLP linkage analysis (5) was used to construct ~350 bp libraries using the Lucigen (Middleton, WI, USA) NxSeq HT dual indexing kit, per the manufacturer's instruction and 150 bp paired end reads were generated on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 lane. For the second cross, oospores were obtained by co-inoculating isolates SF5 and C98O622b onto cultivar (cv.) Cobham Green. Oospores matured for several weeks in decaying plant tissue prior to maceration. Isolates were generated by growing cv. Cobham Green in a dilute oospore suspension, which was titrated via serial dilution so that on average a single seedling would be infected per culture box. DNA was extracted by vortexing sporangia for two minutes in a microcentrifuge tube with approximately 200 μL of Rainex-treated beads and 0.5 mL of 2× extraction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1.4 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 2% [wt/vol] cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, and B-mercaptoethanol at 20 μL/mL), then transferred to a fresh 2 mL tube. Material was treated with RNase (20 μL/mL; 65°C for 30 min). An equal volume of 1:1 phenol/chloroform was added, mixed, and centrifuged at maximum speed (8,000 rpm; 15 min). The aqueous phase was retained and further washed twice with equal volumes of 24:1 chloroform/isoamyl alcohol, obtaining the aqueous phase each time by centrifuging at maximum speed for 15 min. The resulting aqueous phase was mixed with 0.7 volumes of isopropanol and DNA was precipitated at −20°C for one hour. DNA was pelleted by centrifuging at maximum speed for 30 min, washing with 70% ethanol, drying, and suspending in 10 mM Tris-HCl. Quantity and quality of DNA was determined by spectrometry, as well as estimated by TAE gel electrophoresis. Single index libraries of 23 F_1 isolates were generated by sonicating DNA to ~ 220 bp (Covaris, Woburn, MA, USA), cleaning and concentrating (1 part DNA : 1.2 parts AMPure, Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA USA), end repairing (End Repair Module #E6050L, New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), cleaning (1 part DNA : 1.2 parts AMPure), A-base ligating (Klenow, Enzymatics/Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and adapter ligating (T4 DNA Ligase #L603-HC-L, Enzymatics/Qiagen). Final cleanup and size selection was performed using 1-part DNA: 0.8 parts AMPure. Paired end, 150 bp reads were generated by sequencing the libraries with an Illumina HiSeq 4000. Reads new to this study have been deposited under BioProjects PRJNA387454 and PRJNA634525.

Assembly Free Linkage Analysis Pipeline (AFLAP)

Figure 1 provides an overview of the pipeline. For both *A. thaliana* and *B. lactucae*, 31-

mer hashes were produced independently for the read sets of each parent and each progeny

isolate using JELLYFISH (18) sub-command count, parameters *-m31* -s *10G*. The parental

(F_0) hashes were then inspected to identify single copy 31-mers using the JELLYFISH sub-

command histo to produce histograms of parental hashes, which were manually inspected to

determine lower and upper bounds for filtering. For *A. thaliana*, where both parental accessions

are highly homozygous and the population analyzed was an F_2, all single copy 31-mers were

identified. For *B. lactucae*, where both parental isolates are highly heterozygous and the

population was an F_1, only the heterozygous 31-mers from either parent were identified. FASTA

files were obtained for each parent using the JELLYFISH sub-command dump, parameters *-L*

[LowerLimit] -U [UpperLimit]. Single-copy 31-mers were then queried against the alternative

parental hash using JELLYFISH sub-command query and filtered for zero counts. The resulting

31-mers were single copy and homozygous (*A. thaliana*) or heterozygous (*B. lactucae*) in one

parent and absent in the alternate parent.

To reduce redundancy, 31-mers for each parent were assembled using ABYSS v2.2.2

(19), with the parameters *-k25 -c0 -e0* (20). Assembled fragments equal to or greater than 61 bp

were extracted and a single representative 31-mer equal to coordinates 10 to 41 was selected for

each fragment, though any 31-mer would have sufficed. Fragments equal to 61 bp were

considered single nucleotide variants and therefore were used as markers for the subsequent

genetic analysis. Fragments larger than 61 bp were considered complex variants, including

insertions and deletions, were also used. Fragments smaller than 61 bp were likely to contain

repetitive or low complexity sequences that were not easily assembled and therefore not

considered suitable for use as markers. The representative 31-mers were verified against the

parental hashes to ensure they were a) within the boundaries set for single copy markers and b)

absent in the second parent. This set of markers was then scored against every progeny hash to

obtain progeny genotypes. For the low coverage (>0.5x) *A. thaliana*, the presence of the marker

was established by a single observation. For the high coverage (>5x) *B. lactucae*, two or more

observations were required for the marker to be scored. The scores were then collated into a

genotype table, where 0 = marker not observed and 1 = marker observed.

To filter for siblings of *B. lactucae* with high identity, individuals were clustered by

genotype. The Euclidean distance between progeny was calculated for the marker scores using

the *dist* function of R (21) package *proxy* (22) These were clustered with the *hclust* function,

from which a dendrogram was calculated (*as.dendrogram* function) and plotted with the

heatmap.2 function from the package gplots (23). Progeny with high identity to one another were

reduced to a single representative isolate.

The genotype table was then converted to be compatible with Lep-MAP3 (8). For *A.*

thaliana the F_0 are coded as grandparents, markers unique to each parent are coded AA in the

accession that it was identified in and CC in the alternate parent, for which it was not identified.

Inferred, genetically homogeneous F_1 parents were inserted with every marker coded AC. For F_2

progeny for which the genotype table was constructed, marker presence was coded AA and
marker absence was coded CC. Genotype tables were constructed for markers sourced
independently from either parent as well as a combined table from both. For *B. lactucae*, the
identification of a marker was coded AC and marker absence was coded CC in both parents and
progeny.
Lep-MAP3 subcommand SeperateChromosomes2 was run on the genotype table to
assign markers to linkage groups using the following parameters: *lodLimit=7* for *A. thaliana*,
lodLimit=3 for *B. lactucae*. Lep-MAP3 subcommand OrderMarkers2 was subsequently used to
order markers within each linkage group using a Morgan mapping function with 20 iterations.
The native output file of LepMap3 OrderMarkers2 did not retain the original marker names;
instead, these are derived from the genotype table using Linux join. Linkage groups produced
were visualized using R (21) packages dplyr (24), ggplot2 (25), and ungeviz (26).
To validate AFLAP, the linkage groups were aligned against corresponding reference
assemblies (*A. thaliana*; GCF_000001735.4, *B. lactucae*; GCA_004359215.1) by mapping 31-
mers to the assembly with bwa aln, converting to a sorted BAM file with SAMtools v1.9.1
subcommand sort, and filtering for uniquely mapped 31-mers with a maximum one edit distance.
The maker labels are then matched using UNIX join to produce a coordinate file detailing the
marker ID, linkage group assigned, position within linkage group, FASTA record mapped to,
and position on FASTA record. Genetic coordinates were visualized across the physical
assembly as scatter plots in R using ggplot2 (25), using linkage group assignment to determine
point color. For *A. thaliana*, the average physical position of each genetic bin was calculated and
plotted due to the low coverage of the F_2 individuals.
583
Curation of the reference genome assembly of *B. lactucae*
Linkage data was used to identify and break chimeric scaffolds outside of gene
boundaries followed by linkage-guided reorientation and rejoining of genetically congruent
scaffolds. To identify chimeric scaffolds, continuous runs of genetically placed markers were
quantified along each scaffold. Spurious results, where a run of less than 10 markers were
identified on a scaffold, were disregarded. Scaffolds were broken on the final marker of a run of
10 or more markers, or beyond the boundaries of any genes that were identified to contain the
marker. A gene was retained in the linkage group when there was at least one marker providing
evidence for the segregation of that gene with the linkage group. The average genetic position of
each scaffold was determined based on the genetic position of markers mapped to it. Scaffolds
upon which recombination could be detected were oriented based on the average physical
position of the genetic bins mapped to each scaffold. Oriented scaffolds were joined with a string
of 100 Ns. Unresolved marker sparse or void regions were excised from the scaffold and retained
as unlinked scaffolds within the assembly file. Genetic markers were remapped onto the
genetically oriented assembly for validation. Hi-C reads previously generated from the same
isolate (6; BioProject PRJNA387613) were aligned to the genetically oriented assembly and
contact frequencies visualized with Hi-C explorer v2.2 (27) to validate the assembly. Repeats

were masked with RepeatMasker v4.0.9 (28) and a previously defined repeat library (6). Genic
annotations were lifted over to the new assembly using Liftoff v1.3.0 (29). Annotations that did
not transfer or were found to be erroneously transferred were manually corrected. Single copy
orthologs previously identified (6) between *B. lactucae* and *Phytophthora sojae*
(GCF_000149755.1) were used to plot synteny with circos v0.69-8 (30).

Additional Files:
Additional File 1. Table S1. A pedigree file which could be used to run AFLAP, includes
accession numbers for *A. thaliana* wgs reads used. Table S2. High identity *B. lactucae* progeny
isolates identified in Figure 4 C.
Additional File 2. Figure S1. Corrected *B. lactucae* kinship clustering heatmap. Figure S2.
Percent of bases covered by *B. lactucae* pseudo-test cross markers derived from each parent.
Figure S3. Associating variants with different fragment sizes. Figure S4. Addition of markers
derived from 60 bp fragments to the SF5 genetic map.
614

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: N/A
Consent for publication: N/A
Availability of data and materials: All *Bremia* data reported in this paper are available from
NCBI BioProjects PRJNA387613, PRJNA387454, PRJNA387192, and PRJNA634525 (this
study and 6). Previously published *A. thaliana* reads are available at NCBI short read archive
SRR3166543 and SRR5882797 (31) and EBI ArrayExpress E-MTAB-4657, E-MTAB-5476, and
E-MTAB-8165 (9-11). Scripts to run AFLAP are available at
https://github.com/kfletcher88/AFLAP.
Competing interests: The authors declare that there are no competing interests.
Funding: The work was supported by The Novozymes Inc. Endowed Chair in Genomics to
RWM.
Authors' contributions: KF conceptualized the project, performed the bioinformatic analysis, and
drafted the manuscript. LZ and JG produced isolates of *B. lactucae* and prepared libraries for
sequencing. KC prepared libraries of additional isolates. RM supervised the project, contributed
to data analysis and all drafts. All authors contributed to writing and editing the paper and have
approved the final submission.
Acknowledgements: We thank B. Rowan (UC Davis) for discussion of results produced by
AFLAP on the A. thaliana data, W. J. Palmer (UC Davis) for discussions on linkage analysis
software to integrate into the pipeline, H Xu (UC Davis) for managing raw data submissions and
E. Georgian (UC Davis) for editorial services. The sequencing was carried out by the DNA
Technologies and Expression Analysis Cores at the UC Davis Genome Center, supported by
NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant 1S10OD010786-01. The bioinformatic analysis was carried
out using the UC Davis LSSC0 High Performance Computing cluster maintained by the UC
Davis Bioinformatics Core.

References

1. Bateson W, Saunders E, Punnett R. Experimental studies in the physiology of heredity. Reports to the Evolution Committee. Proceedings of the Royal Society B. 1906;77:236-8.

2. Sutton WS. The chromosomes in heredity. The Biological Bulletin. 1903;4(5):231-50.

3. Sturtevant AH. The linear arrangement of six sex-linked factors in *Drosophila*, as shown by their mode of association. Journal of Experimental Zoology. 1913;14(1):43-59.

4. Hulbert SH, Ilott TW, Legg EJ, Lincoln SE, Lander ES, Michelmore RW. Genetic analysis of the fungus, *Bremia lactucae*, using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Genetics. 1988;120(4):947-58.

5. Sicard D, Legg E, Brown S, Babu NK, Ochoa O, Sudarshana P, et al. A genetic map of the lettuce downy mildew pathogen, *Bremia lactucae*, constructed from molecular markers and avirulence genes. Fungal Genetics and Biology. 2003;39(1):16-30.

6. Fletcher K, Gil J, Bertier LD, Kenefick A, Wood KJ, Zhang L, et al. Genomic signatures of heterokaryosis in the oomycete pathogen *Bremia lactucae*. Nature Communications. 2019;10(1):2645.

7. Treangen TJ, Salzberg SL. Repetitive DNA and next-generation sequencing: computational challenges and solutions. Nature reviews Genetics. 2011;13(1):36-46.

8. Rastas P. Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2017;33(23):3726-32.

9. Choi K, Reinhard C, Serra H, Ziolkowski PA, Underwood CJ, Zhao X, et al. Recombination Rate Heterogeneity within *Arabidopsis* Disease Resistance Genes. PLOS Genetics. 2016;12(7):e1006179.

10. Rowan BA, Heavens D, Feuerborn TR, Tock AJ, Henderson IR, Weigel D. An Ultra High-Density *Arabidopsis thaliana* Crossover Map That Refines the Influences of Structural Variation and Epigenetic Features. Genetics. 2019;213(3):771.

11. Underwood CJ, Choi K, Lambing C, Zhao X, Serra H, Borges F, et al. Epigenetic activation of meiotic recombination near *Arabidopsis thaliana* centromeres via loss of H3K9me2 and non-CG DNA methylation. Genome Research. 2018;28(4):519-31.

12. Giraut L, Falque M, Drouaud J, Pereira L, Martin OC, Mézard C. Genome-Wide Crossover Distribution in *Arabidopsis thaliana* Meiosis Reveals Sex-Specific Patterns along Chromosomes. PLOS Genetics. 2011;7(11):e1002354.

13. Kuittinen H, de Haan AA, Vogl C, Oikarinen S, Leppälä J, Koch M, et al. Comparing the linkage maps of the close relatives *Arabidopsis lyrata* and *A. thaliana*. Genetics. 2004;168(3):1575-84.

14. Ballouz S, Dobin A, Gillis JA. Is it time to change the reference genome? Genome Biology. 2019;20(1):159.

15. Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Etter PD, Stiffler N, Johnson EA, Cresko WA. Population Genomics of Parallel Adaptation in Threespine Stickleback using Sequenced RAD Tags. PLOS Genetics. 2010;6(2):e1000862.

16.   Andrews KR, Good JM, Miller MR, Luikart G, Hohenlohe PA. Harnessing the power of
RADseq for ecological and evolutionary genomics. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2016;17(2):81-92.
17.   Lewin HA, Robinson GE, Kress WJ, Baker WJ, Coddington J, Crandall KA, et al. Earth
BioGenome Project: Sequencing life for the future of life. Proceedings of the National Academy
of Sciences. 2018;115(17):4325.
18.   Marçais G, Kingsford C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of
occurrences of k-mers. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(6):764-70.
19.   Jackman SD, Vandervalk BP, Mohamadi H, Chu J, Yeo S, Hammond SA, et al. ABySS
2.0: resource-efficient assembly of large genomes using a Bloom filter. Genome Research.
2017;27(5):768-77.
20.   Rahman A, Hallgrímsdóttir I, Eisen M, Pachter L. Association mapping from sequencing
reads using k-mers. eLife. 2018;7:e32920.
21.   R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2012.
22.   Meyer D, Buchta C. proxy: Distance and Similarity Measures. 2019.
23.   Warnes G, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Huber W, Liaw A, et al. gplots:
Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data. 2020.
24.   Wickham H, Francois R, Henry L, Müller K. dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation;
2019.
25.   Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis: Springer; 2016.
26.   Wilke CO. ungeviz: Tools for visualizing uncertainty with ggplot2. 2020.
27.   Ramírez F, Bhardwaj V, Arrigoni L, Lam KC, Grüning BA, Villaveces J, et al. High-
resolution TADs reveal DNA sequences underlying genome organization in flies. Nature
Communications. 2018;9(1):189.
28.   Smit A, Hubley R, Green P. RepeatMasker open-4.0.2013.
29.   Shumate A, Salzberg SL. Liftoff: an accurate gene annotation mapping tool. bioRxiv.
2020:2020.06.24.169680.
30.   Krzywinski MI, Schein JE, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: An
information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Research. 2009;19(9):1639-45.
31.   Zapata L, Ding J, Willing EM, Hartwig B, Bezdan D, Jiao WB, et al. Chromosome-level
assembly of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Ler reveals the extent of translocation and inversion
polymorphisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2016;113(28):E4052-60.