Predictive regulatory and metabolic network models for systems analysis of *Clostridioides difficile*

Mario L. Arrieta-Ortiz[1], Selva Rupa Christinal Immanuel[1], Serdar Turkarslan[1], Wei Ju Wu[1], Brintha P. Girinathan[2], Jay N. Worley[2], Nicholas DiBenedetto[2], Olga Soutourina[3], Johann Peltier[3], Bruno Dupuy[4], Lynn Bry[2] and Nitin S. Baliga[1,+]

1. Institute for Systems Biology, Seattle, WA, USA
2. Massachusetts Host-Microbiome Center, Dept. Pathology, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA , USA
3. Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
4. Laboratory of the Pathogenesis of Bacterial Anaerobes, Institut Pasteur, Université de Paris, UMR-CNRS2001, France
+corresponding author: nitin.baliga@isbscience.org

ABSTRACT

Though *Clostridioides difficile* is among the most studied anaerobes, we know little about the systems level interplay of metabolism and regulation that underlies its ability to negotiate complex immune and commensal interactions while colonizing the human gut. We have compiled publicly available resources, generated through decades of work by the research community, into two models and a portal to support comprehensive systems analysis of *C. difficile*. First, by compiling a compendium of 148 transcriptomes from 11 studies we have generated an **E**nvironment and **G**ene **R**egulatory **I**nfluence **N**etwork (EGRIN) model that organizes 90% of all genes in the *C. difficile* genome into 297 high quality modules based on evidence for their conditional co-regulation by at least 120 transcription factors. EGRIN predictions, validated with independently-generated datasets, have recapitulated previously characterized *C. difficile* regulons of key transcriptional regulators, refined and extended membership of genes within regulons, and implicated new genes for sporulation, carbohydrate transport and metabolism. Findings further predict pathogen behaviors in *in vivo* colonization, and interactions with beneficial and detrimental commensals. Second, by advancing a constraints-based metabolic model, we have discovered that 15 amino acids, diverse carbohydrates, and 24 genes across glyoxylate, Wood-Ljungdahl, nucleotide, amino acid, and carbohydrate metabolism are essential to support growth of *C. difficile* within an intestinal environment. Models and supporting resources are accessible through an interactive web portal (http://networks.systemsbiology.net/cdiff-portal/) to support collaborative systems analyses of *C. difficile*.

INTRODUCTION

Clostridioides difficile, the etiology of pseudomembranous colitis, causes more than 500,000 infections, 30,000 deaths, and $5 billion per year in US healthcare costs (1). Infections arise through a variety of conditions that modulate the pathogen's ability to colonize and expand in the gut. Antibiotic ablation of the commensal microbiota creates altered nutrient states in intestinal environments due to lack of competition for nutrients from host, dietary or microbial origin. The pathogen modifies its endogenous metabolism to respond to these altered states, which stimulates subsequent cellular programs that can promote enhanced colonization and growth. Stress and starvation responses within *C. difficile* populations trigger responses that lead to sporulation, biofilm formation and release of mucosal damaging toxins (2–4).

Symptomatic infection requires the production of toxins from the *C. difficile* pathogenicity locus (PaLoc), which includes the genes *tcdA, tcdB* and *tcdE* that respectively encode the A and B toxins and holin involved in toxin export. The PaLoc also contains *tcdR*, a sigma factor specific for the toxin gene promoters, and *tcdC*, a TcdR anti-sigma factor (5, 6). Epidemic ribotype 027 strains carry a second toxin locus, *cdt,* which includes the binary toxin genes *ctdA* and *ctdB*, and *cdtR* regulator, which has also been hypothesized to modulate PaLoc expression (7, 8). *C. difficile* elaborates toxin under starvation conditions to extract nutrients from the host and promote spore shedding (9–11). Regulation of PaLoc expression occurs via a complex network of TFs and small molecule inputs, of which direct primary regulators have been well described, but more complex and combinatorial effects remain unclear (11). Toxin production further triggers rapid and profound host immune responses, including release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which substantially alters the redox state of the gut environment, and other innate immune responses that can induce *C. difficile* stress responses to cell wall, oxidative, and other damaging stimuli (12–15). As per all microbes, *C. difficile* adapts to complex, dynamic environments through

changes in metabolism coordinated by a gene regulatory network (16, 17). However, the

mechanisms by which the gene regulatory network and metabolic pathways integrate to modulate

C. difficile pathogenesis remain ill-defined (18, 19).

The *C. difficile* 630 (CD630) genome encodes 4,018 genes, with ~309 putative transcription

factors (TFs; including sigma factors), 1,030 metabolic genes, and 1,330 genes (>30%) with

unknown function (20, 21). The ATCC43255 strain of *C. difficile,* which is used to generate

symptomatic infections in mice, encodes 4,117 genes and ~327 TFs, of which ~97% are

significantly orthologous to genes encoded in the CD630 strain (22). To address questions

regarding the broader systems-level interplay among genes in colonization and infection, we used

computational modeling and network inference algorithms to construct an Environment and Gene

Regulatory Influence Network (EGRIN) model for *C. difficile.* This model leverages a compendium

of 148 published transcriptomes that surveyed responses of CD630 in diverse contexts. The

EGRIN model consists of modules of putatively co-regulated genes identified based on their co-

expression over subsets of conditions, enrichment of functional associations, chromosomal

proximity, co-occurrence across phylogenetically related organisms, and presence of conserved

DNA motif(s) within their promoter regions indicating regulation by the same TFs. Further, using

regression analysis, EGRIN also captures the combinatorial regulation of genes within each

module as a function of the weighted influences of TFs. The model supports a systems-level

understanding of the infective capacity of this obligate anaerobe under different *in vitro* and *in vivo*

conditions.

In addition to EGRIN, we have advanced a metabolic network model of *C. difficile* to understand

how conditional regulation manifests physiologically, by adding reactions and associated genes

supporting the exchange of nutrients required for growth in intestinal environments. We apply the

EGRIN and metabolic models to predict conditional contributions of metabolic genes to the

pathogen's fitness under different environmental conditions. Analyses support rational prediction

of context-specific vulnerabilities of the pathogen and uncover TFs driving essential adaptive

responses under *in vitro* versus *in vivo* conditions. This analytic framework provides a new

systems-level view of the transcriptional and metabolic networks that coordinate *C. difficile's*

colonization, growth, expression of toxin, and adaptions to changing environments with host

infection. Our models identified multiple TFs that coordinate critical aspects within each of these

components, including contributions from PrdR, which regulates the Stickland proline and glycine

reductase systems and other energy-generating pathways, and Rex a regulator modulating

energy balance in *C. difficile* (23, 24). These findings refine the context and roles of these and

other regulators in *C. difficile* virulence, and provide specific targets of vulnerability for model-

informed interventions against this pathogen. The compiled datasets, algorithms, and models can

be   explored   interactively   through   a   community-wide   web   resource   at

http://networks.systemsbiology.net/cdiff-portal/.

RESULTS

Reconstruction of the environment and gene regulatory influence network (EGRIN) model

for *C. difficile* 630

To investigate *C. difficile's* transcriptionally-driven adaptive strategies we compiled 148 publicly available transcriptomic datasets from 11 independent studies using CD630 (**Table 1**). This compendium captures diverse transcriptional responses of *C. difficile* to commensals, *in vitro* or *in vivo* responses to different nutrient conditions, and consequences of targeted TF gene deletions. The transcriptome compendium together with functional associations from STRING (25), and all promoter sequences, was analyzed with a suite of network inference tools to infer an EGRIN model for *C. difficile* (**Fig. 1A**). The cMonkey2 biclustering algorithm (26) iteratively grouped functionally-associated genes into **modules** based on their co-expression across subsets of environments, and presence of similar cis-acting gene regulatory elements (**GREs**), providing mechanistic evidence for co-regulation. Subsequently, we used the Inferelator (27) to discover potential TFs of each module through a regression-based approach. The resulting EGRIN model organized 3,895 of 4,018 CD630 genes into 406 gene modules, and inferred module regulation by 148 of 309 genomically identified TFs that putatively act through GREs discovered within gene and operon promoters. Among the Inferelator implicated regulatory networks, 221 modules were controlled by more than one TF, and 75 were regulated by more than two TFs (**Fig. S1**). The TF module assignments support subsequent hypothesis-driven experimental analyses, including the design of ChIP-seq and TF-deletion experiments to validate the regulatory network architecture under physiologically relevant environmental contexts.

The quality of modules within the EGRIN model was evaluated using residual scores, which reflect the coherence of gene co-expression patterns. The lower the residual score, the higher the quality of the module. We determined using an empirical approach that a residual cutoff of 0.55 identified

a functionally meaningful set of 297 high quality modules (73% of the total 406 modules) based

on the relative enrichment of related functions within modules that passed filtering (**Fig. 1B**).

Incidentally, this empirically determined threshold was similar to the threshold used to identify

high quality EGRIN gene modules for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (28). Altogether, the 297 high

quality modules captured transcriptional regulation of 3,617 genes (90%) in CD630, with average

membership of 20 genes per module (**Fig. 1C-D**). These metrics were consistent with models

developed for other organisms (28, 29), a remarkable finding given that the transcriptional dataset

used to construct the *C. difficile* model was less than 10% the size of ones used to construct

models for other species.

Validation of the modular architecture and regulatory mechanisms uncovered by the *C.*

***difficile* EGRIN model**

We tested the accuracy of the EGRIN model to reconstruct previously characterized regulons and

recapitulate key aspects of *C. difficile* biology. To do so, we performed gene enrichment analysis

within modules using an updated annotation of *C. difficile* genome (22). This analysis identified

93 of 297 modules (31%) with significant enrichment of genes with related functions in 40

pathways (hypergeometric test adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05). Among these pathways, 13 were over-

represented in three or more modules (**Fig. 2A**), demonstrating the capacity of the model to

discover conditional partition of cellular processes. We also investigated whether the EGRIN

model had identified known regulatory interactions between TFs and their target genes. We

compiled from literature the regulons (i.e. target genes) of 13 previously characterized TFs in *C.*

difficile, representing a network of 1,353 TF-gene interactions (**Table S1**). Notably, a total of 65

modules (22% of all high-quality modules) were significantly enriched with nine of these TF

regulons (**Fig. 2B**).   The EGRIN model recapitulated 541 of the 1,212   (45%) previously

characterized interactions. This value is consistent with the recall rate of the EGRIN model for *M.*

tuberculosis (41%-49%) (28)*. The poor recall of the remaining four regulons (141 regulatory

interactions) could be due to underrepresentation of gene expression data from relevant

conditions in which these regulons are conditionally active. This analysis also uncovered

combinatorial regulation of genes across 19 modules (i.e. enriched with more than one TF

regulon). Consistent with the known hierarchical scheme for regulation of sporulation (30),

expression of 161 genes across at least eight modules were putatively influenced by Spo0A in

combination with one or more alternative sigma factors implicated in sporulation (e.g., SigE).

EGRIN also predicted CcpA contributions in seven additional modules in combination with CodY,

PrdR and SigL, illustrating the complexity of modular transcriptional regulation in *C. difficile*.

The biclustering of genes by cMonkey2 is constrained by the *de novo* discovery of a conserved

GRE(s) within their promoters in order to cluster genes that are co-regulated, and not just co-

expressed. The GREs represent putative binding sites for TFs that are often independently

implicated by the Inferelator and protein-DNA interaction maps as regulators of genes within the

same module(28, 29, 31). Notably, we determined that the GREs within promoters of genes in

modules #182 and #309 were similar to known binding sites for CodY and SigL, which are

predicted regulators of those modules, and are among the very few TFs for which binding sites

have been characterized (**Fig. 2C-D**).

The EGRIN modules also detected co-regulation of genes within and across functionally related

operons. For example, module #152, which is enriched with the SigD regulon, contains 16 genes

that were part of four operons including the flagellar operon *flgG1G-fliMN*-CD630_02720-*htpG,* in

addition to *pyrBKDE*, CD630_30270-CD630_30280-*malY*-CD630_30300, and CD630_32430-

prdA. *De novo* search for TF motifs traditionally use dozens of sequences to identify putative

GREs. With the amount of transcriptomic information available, further robust prediction of

putative GREs was limited by available numbers of putative binding sites after genes were

organized into predicted operon structures. In addition, multiple modules with statistically

significant GREs could not be matched to characterized TFs due to the limited number of TFs

with known motifs in *C. difficile*. These limitations can be overcome with additional transcriptomic

datasets, as leveraged for EGRIN model development for other species.

***C. difficile* EGRIN model uncovers regulatory networks for the Pathogenicity Locus**

We evaluated capacity for the EGRIN model to recall known mechanisms of PaLoc regulation,

and to provide new information regarding complex regulatory and small molecule effects. The

EGRIN model captured certain previously described effects of CodY on toxin gene expression

(**Fig. 2E**), as shown in module #182, which is enriched with members of the CodY regulon

including *tcdA*. In agreement with EGRIN-predicted CodY regulation of PaLoc genes in module

#182, genes encoding the toxin *tcdA* and its regulator *tcdR* were significantly overexpressed upon

deletion of *codY* (**Fig. 2G**). Interestingly, *tcdB* which was co-regulated with sporulation genes in

module #397 was also significantly upregulated in the *codY* deletion strain, suggesting that this

effect might be an indirect consequence of disrupted CodY regulation of *tcdR* (**Fig. 2G**). It is

assumed that CodY acts on PaLoc gene expression primarily through its repression of *tcdR*.

Putative lower affinity binding sites have been suggested in the toxin gene promoter regions (32).

The presence of the CodY motif (**Fig. 2C**) in most members of module #182, including *tcdA*

(purple font in **Fig. 2E**) suggests direct influence of CodY on *tcdA* gene expression. The EGRIN

model also identified previously reported connections between sporulation and toxin production

(33). *tcdB* was assigned to module #397, which was significantly enriched with genes controlled

by Spo0A, the master regulator of sporulation (**Fig. 2F**). Additional members of the PaLoc were

assigned to other modules, supporting the presence of multiple condition-dependent promoters

within the PaLoc (**Table S2**).

Assignment of putative functions to genes in EGRIN modules

Approximately 33% of gene features in the CD630 genome have unknown functions. Thus, the

C. difficile EGRIN model emerges as a resource to assign putative functions to uncharacterized

genes based on functional associations among co-regulated genes (i.e. guilt-by-association)(34).

We predicted putative functions for 48 uncharacterized genes by mining underlying functional

enrichment of modules under different experimental conditions (see methods). These 48

previously uncharacterized genes were associated with 13 functional categories, including

"Sporulation" and "Other sugar-family transporters" (**Fig. 3A**).

Ten genes were putatively assigned sporulation-related functions based on their co-regulation in

the sporulation associated modules #206 and #251 (**Fig. 3B)**. Module #251 includes the

sporulation-associated alternative sigma factors SigG and SigE (located in the same operon).

Module #206 includes seven stage III sporulation genes (*spoIIIAA*, *spoIIIAB*, *spoIIIAC*, *spoIIIAD*,

spoIIIAF, *spoIIIAG* and *spoIIIAH*), and two stage IV sporulation genes (*spoIV*, *spoIVA*). Reduced

expression of the 10 putative sporulation genes upon deletion of sporulation-associated sigma

factors suggested putative roles within the mother cell or the forespore. Seven genes are likely

associated with mother cell-specific roles based on their decreased expression in *sigE* (six genes)

and *sigK* (one gene) deletion strains (**Table S1**). Two additional genes were down-regulated in a

sigG deletion strain, suggesting putative functions in the forespore. Notably, Tn-seq studies for

gene essentiality in *C. difficile* identified seven of these 10 genes as required for sporulation (35).

Module #43 contains six genes, organized in a single operon (CD630_15840-15890), associated

with the category "Other Sugar-family transporters". Studies by Antunes et al. (3) identified

members of the CD630_15840-15890 operon to be regulated by glucose and indirectly by CcpA.

Thus, the 12 uncharacterized genes included in module #43 may also be associated with the

same functional category (**Fig. 3C**). Two of these uncharacterized genes (CD630_13011 and

CD630_29661) were also identified as CcpA targets in the presence of glucose (3). These

EGRIN-predicted functional assignments are consistent with the known role of CcpA in regulating

sugar transport and metabolism (3).

Module #48 contains two adjacent operons (*4hbd-cat2*-CD630_23400-*abf2* and *sucD-cat1*)

associated with aminobutanoate degradation. Both operons are regulated by CodY and PrdR.

Hence, we predicted that the four uncharacterized genes in this module may be also involved in

amino acid metabolism (**Fig. 3C**). In support of this hypothesis, CD630_08760 and CD630_08780

are both differentially expressed upon *codY* deletion. Recent studies also suggest that CD630_

08760 may function as a tyrosine transporter per its homology to the CodY-regulated neighbor

gene, CD630_08730 (36). Furthermore, Steglich et al. (37) observed decreases in tyrosine uptake

and Stickland fermentation in clinical isolates lacking CD630_08760 and CD630_08780.

EGRIN uncovers differentially active regulatory networks during *in vivo* infection

We investigated the differential expression of EGRIN modules across multiple published *in vivo*

experiments to discover underlying regulatory mechanisms that drive *C. difficile's* colonization

and adaption to *in vivo* environments. This analysis discovered the *in vivo* activation of module

#158, particularly during acute infection, and the down regulation of module #48; notably the latter

was upregulated during early infection (**Fig. 4A-B**). Module #48 is enriched with members of the

CodY and PrdR regulons, as described above. Module #158 is enriched for putative PrdR and

EutV co-regulated ethanolamine utilization genes, including *eut* operons for a 2-component

histidine kinase sensing system and carboxysome structural proteins that house the ethanolamine

fermentative enzymes (38). Ethanolamine is prevalent within gut secretions and is also released

from damaged host tissues, providing a readily available carbon and nitrogen source for *C.*

difficile. The predicted co-regulation of this gene module by PrdR suggests additional *in vivo*

functions of this regulator to optimize *C. difficile's* metabolism in gut environments.

With capacity to identify intestinal contributions to *C. difficile* responses we leveraged the EGRIN

model to analyze commensal modulation of the pathogen's virulence, using transcriptomic

datasets from gnotobiotic mice that were mono-colonized with the mouse-infective strain *C.*

difficile ATCC43255 or co-colonized with *C. difficile* and the protective gut commensal species

Paraclostridium bifermentans (PBI), or infection-worsening species *Clostridium sardiniense*

(CSAR). These datasets were not used in model construction. By mapping sets of differentially

expressed genes into the EGRIN model we uncovered modules across 20 cellular processes and

their associated TFs that were differentially regulated in the presence of PBI or CSAR (**Fig. 4C-**

F).

Two sporulation-enriched modules (modules #206 and #261) were up-regulated by 24h of

infection in monocolonized mice (**Fig. 4C**). The same two modules were up-regulated by 24h of

infection in CSAR co-colonized mice, in addition to four other modules also enriched with

sporulation genes (modules #82, #223, #242, #251 in **Fig. 4D**). These six modules were enriched

with the Spo0A regulon. On the other hand, no sporulation-enriched modules were detected by

24h of infection in PBI co-colonized mice (**Fig. 4E**). Comparison of CSAR co-colonized mice and

PBI co-colonized mice discovered four sporulation-enriched modules (including modules #206

and #261) with increased expression in the virulent context (i.e. presence of CSAR) (**Fig. 4F**).

These findings were confirmed with the high levels of spore release in expanded populations of

vegetative *C. difficile* when co-colonized with CSAR (22). Overall, this analysis suggested that

the sporulation pathway is an indicator of *C. difficile* disease, reinforcing the Spo0A-mediated link

between sporulation and toxin production recapitulated by the model (**Fig. 2F**).

Module #319 contains multiple genes associated with electron transport via Rnf ferredoxin

systems, and steps in glycolytic, butanoate and succinate metabolic pathways. This module was

upregulated at later stages of infection in monocolonized mice at 24h (**Fig. 4C**), and was also up-

regulated in CSAR co-colonized mice when compared to PBI co-colonized mice (**Fig. 4F**). Module

#319 was consistently down-regulated in mice co-colonized with the protective commensal PBI

(**Fig. 4E**). These findings show associated activation of multiple co-regulated energy generating

pathways in hypervirulent states of *C. difficile*. Because the EGRIN model identified the

NAD+/NADH sensing regulator Rex as a potential activator of module #319, the observed down-

regulation of module #319 in PBI co-colonized mice indicates decreased Rex activity. This may

explain why a *rex* deletion strain supported increased survival in hamsters (24).

Five modules enriched with the SigD-regulated genes encoding subunits of flagella (modules

#184, #187, #295, #296 and #358) were downregulated in monocolonized mice at 24h (**Fig. 4C**).

Similarly, two modules enriched with SigD-regulated motility genes (modules #152 and #295)

were downregulated in CSAR co-colonized mice (**Fig. 4D**). From these modules, only module

#152 was downregulated in PBI co-colonized mice (**Fig. 4E**), indicating that motility may be

repressed to redirect resources toward pathogenesis. This finding is supported by increased

virulence of *C. difficile* strains lacking a functional flagella (39). Surprisingly, module #273

enriched with the SigD regulon but not with flagellar genes was downregulated in PBI co-

colonized mice (**Fig. 4E**) but upregulated in CSAR co-colonized mice (**Fig. 4F**). One of the genes

in this module *luxS* encodes a protein involved in the synthesis of the quorum sensing signal, and

its over-expression increases toxin expression (40). While it is unclear whether SigD plays a role

in the expression of this module, these observations suggest that downregulation of module #

273 and *luxS* (through a still uncharacterized mechanism) may contribute to the PBI-mediated

reduction of *C. difficile* virulence. In summary, the described EGRIN modules illustrate the

potential of the model to uncover additional co-regulated genes and cellular functions that enable

states of enhanced virulence within *C. difficile*, and support multiple additional hypotheses for

experimental validation.

Metabolic network analyses elucidate *in vivo* metabolic adaptations of *C. difficile*

To investigate how specific genes within *C. difficile* contribute to *in vivo* phenotypes needed to

develop symptomatic infection we leveraged reconstructed metabolic models that mapped

functionally annotated genes to curated biochemical reactions. We extended a previously

developed icdf834 metabolic model for *C. difficile* strain 630 (41, 42). The icdf834 model

incorporates 1227 metabolic reactions and 807 metabolites. The metabolic reactions were

mapped through gene-protein-reaction (GPR) associations to 834 genes, which represent 80%

of 1,030 identified metabolic genes in the CD630 genome (**Fig. 5A**). We increased the number of

genes in the icdf834 model from 834 to 838 (**Fig. 5B**), and added six new exchange reactions to

account for *C. difficile's* capacity to utilize mannitol, fructose, sorbitol, raffinose, succinate and

butanoate (43, 44) (**Fig. 5B**). We also added four genes (CD630_08700, CD630_08680,

CD630_17090 and CD630_10810) that encode three reactions for molybdenum utilization and

cofactor synthesis. Lastly, we updated pathway annotations to reflect those found in obligate

anaerobes. For example, the tricarboxylic citric acid cycle (TCA) is not found in most anaerobes,

though some reactions, in reverse, support aspects of pyruvate, succinate and oxaloacete

metabolism. In the icdf834 model, we changed subsystem pathway annotation of two reactions -

i) acetyl-CoA:oxaloacetate C-acetyltransferase and ii) succinyl-CoA synthase from TCA cycle to

pyruvate metabolism and butanoate fermentation respectively (**Supplementary File S1**). Similar

updates were performed for reactions originally assigned to gluconeogenesis and the pentose

phosphate pathway. We refer to this updated model as icdf838. Lastly, the model derived from

CD630 was compared with the gene feature content from *C. difficile* ATCC43255, used commonly

in mouse infection models. The two strains shared 92% of metabolic genes and predicted

pathways (768 out of 838 genes in the icdf838 model have homology with the ATCC43255 strain;

Supplementary File S1).

We validated the completeness and accuracy of this model by confirming its ability to predict

biomass production in three different *in vitro* media compositions: 1) minimal medium, 2) basal

defined medium and 3) complex, nutrient-rich medium (see Larocque et al. 2014 (42) for media

compositions). The model accurately predicted *C. difficile's* requirements for six amino acids:

cysteine, leucine, Isoleucine, proline, tryptophan and valine (45). We also tested the performance

of this "*in silico broth*" model for accuracy in predicting gene essentiality by comparing our model

predictions to results from Tn-seq fitness screen performed *in vitro* under nutrient-rich conditions

(35). With a threshold cutoff of 95% predicted growth inhibition, receiver-operator curve (ROC)

analyses demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity of the model predictions (**Fig. 5C**; area

under curve = 0.7626; p-value=0.015), indicating capacity for the model to distinguish essential

versus non-essential gene calls with a true positive rate (sensitivity) of 0.9791 and a false positive

rate (specificity) of 0.5431(**Fig. 5C**).

We next extended and applied the model to predict *C. difficile* behaviors and gene essentiality *in*

vivo. C. difficile transcriptomes from specifically-colonized gnotobiotic mice (22) were used as

input into the GIMME algorithm (46). Analyses of expressed transcripts *in vivo* identified 665

active reactions (**Fig. 5B**) within the icdf838 model during colonization, growth, and over the

course of symptomatic infection. Leveraging information from the *in vitro* studies, the model made

two notable predictions *in vivo* regarding the pathogen's metabolism. First, the icdf838 model

predicted 15 amino acids to be required for *C. difficile* growth in contrast to the 6 required *in vitro*

(**Supplementary File S1**). These amino acids included the dominant Stickland-fermented amino

acids that were also required *in vitro,* including proline and branched chain amino acids, and

additional amino acids including arginine, glutamate, lysine and methionine, which also have

multiple cellular functions in cell wall synthesis, nitrogen cycling, and responses to oxidative

stress. Secondly, the model predicted *C. difficile's* switch from preferential use of glucose as a

carbon source *in vitro* in complex media, to simultaneous utilization *in vivo* of diverse carbohydrate

sources including fructose, galactose, maltose, and sugar alcohols such as mannitol and sorbitol,

to promote colonization and growth (**Supplementary File S1**). Seven of these carbohydrate

sources were described in other *in vivo* mouse infection studies illustrating support for these

findings across *C. difficile* strains, and in germfree and conventional mouse models

(**Supplementary File S1**) (43, 44, 47).

We next used the metabolic model to identify essential metabolic genes and networks that

promote *C. difficile's* growth *in vivo*. Gene deletions predicted to reduce the pathogen's *in vivo*

growth by ≥95% identified 24 genes, involved in 1 carbon-cycling reactions in glyoxylate and

Wood-Ljungdahl metabolism, nucleotide biosynthesis, nucleotide interconversion and salvage

pathways, amino acid biosynthetic and metabolic reactions, and aspects of central carbohydrate

metabolism (**Fig. 5D-E**). These metabolic pathways represent new potential targets that drive

aspects of *C. difficile's* colonization and subsequent growth which are required to develop

symptomatic infections. Model predictions also illustrated *C. difficile's* predicted shift from

carbohydrate utilization towards amino acid utilizing pathways *in vivo*, as shown by the enhanced

set of 15 amino acids, including the preferred Stickland donor and acceptor amino acids (leucine

and proline) known to support metabolism and growth (43, 44, 47). Notably, many of these amino

acids show high abundance within the gut lumen in gnotobiotic and conventional colonization

states that enhance *C. difficile's* capacity to colonize and expand (22).

The Cdiff Web Portal, a resource for the *C. difficile* community

We have released a new *C. difficile* Web Portal (http://networks.systemsbiology.net/cdiff-portal/)

to provide a discovery and collaboration gateway for the *C. difficile* scientific community. The

portal aims to accelerate the advancement of the science and understanding of *C. difficile* biology,

gene regulation, and metabolism on its virulence. Within the portal users can access publicly

available datasets (e.g. transcriptional compendia), models, software and supporting resources.

The Portal includes information on more than 4,000 *C. difficile* genes, 1,227 metabolic reactions,

and 406 co-regulated gene modules. Genes can be explored in the context of genome

annotations, expression profiles, regulatory and metabolic membership, and other functional

genomic information across different databases including COG, Uniprot, and PATRIC (48–50).

The portal provides access to detailed information on (1) Genes, (2) predicted Gene Modules,

and (3) Metabolic Reactions (**Fig. S2**).

Each gene module page includes summary statistics for the module, expression profiles of the

module genes across conditions incorporated in developing the model, regulatory motifs,

regulatory influences from transcription factors, functional enrichment information, and

information about regulon member genes (**Fig. 6**). The module pages are structured to facilitate

the assessment of the quality and statistical significance of the modules and highlight functional

connections. The portal includes a table of metabolic reactions with details of each reaction,

associated genes, metabolites, and sub-systems. Metabolites and sub-systems are defined as

taxonomic vocabularies that collect and group associated reactions to identify related metabolic

processes. In addition, the portal provides access to algorithms, software, and data, and will

include information about animal models, strains, and other *C. difficile* relevant community

resources. As additional datasets are communicated, model predictions and tools will be

successfully enhanced to support systems-level analyses and assist in hypothesis generation in

C. difficile biology and to enable tangible clinical interventions.

DISCUSSION

The obligate anaerobe *C. difficile* is unique among gut anaerobes in possessing a diverse carbon source metabolism to enable colonization and growth in gut environments. These systems further exist within a complex network of gene regulatory modules that modulate growth, energy balance, and stress responses *in vivo*. Capacity to understand these systems-level integration points has remained challenging in the absence of robust systems biology models to infer *C. difficile's in vivo* behaviors. We acknowledge the detailed studies from multiple groups over prior decades that provided a critical mass of information on *C. difficile's* nutrient and gene-level responses to support development of an EGRIN model, the first for a gut anaerobe and toxigenic species. We emphasize that this information, the most for any obligate anaerobe, still represents a small fraction of that normally used to develop thorough EGRIN models. Recent improvements in the genetic manipulation of *C. difficile*, including the mouse infective strain ATCC43255, open new capacity to probe the GREs modulating critical aspects of its metabolism, growth and virulence, from a systems-level perspective.

The *C. difficile* EGRIN model enables a number of predictions relevant to *in vivo* disease. For example, the PrdR regulator of the pathogen's Stickland proline reductase (*prd*) and other genes, has long been hypothesized to have a role in PaLoc gene expression through as-yet unknown mechanisms. EGRIN predictions included gene regulatory module #182 which identified combined PrdR and CodY effects on *tcdA* gene expression, providing a regulatory integration point and broader set of co-regulated genes to support further experimental analyses of co-regulation between these two transcription factors, including effects on PaLoc expression. Biclustering also identified interactions between Spo0A, another regulator hypothesized to modulate PaLoc expression, and *tcdB* expression in module #397. The identified modules, associated genes and regulators provide new information to support further experimental

investigation of combinatorial effects of these and other regulators identified in PaLoc gene-

associated biclusters. The EGRIN model also predicted PrdR as a critical regulator *in vivo* through

its systems-level effects on the pathogen's colonization, metabolism and growth, involving

multiple direct and indirect effects upon other modules and aspects of the pathogen's metabolism

and gene regulation.

The present model did not identify all experimentally known regulators of PaLoc expression,

including SigD regulation of TcdR, and effects of other more recently identified PaLoc regulators

such as RstA and LexA, for which limited datasets exist from targeted deletion mutants or under

multiple nutrient and other environmental perturbations. Nonetheless, as shown with our *in vivo*

analyses, application of the EGRIN and metabolic models to new datasets offers key insights into

causal mechanistic drivers of adaptive strategies of the pathogen. Given that less than 10% of

transcriptomic information and less than 1% of ChIP-seq regulator datasets were available for *C.*

difficile 630, as compared to EGRIN models developed for other species*,* the model provides a

formative tool to design future transcriptomic and ChIP-seq studies to improve predictions for

these regulons.

Leveraging additional Tn-seq and *in vivo* transcriptomic datasets, the expanded icdf838 model

identified a broader set of amino acids, in addition to genes and anaerobe-specific pathways,

needed to support colonization and growth expansion *in vivo*. Notably, predictions of *in vivo* gene

essentially identified multiple genes in glyoxylate metabolism, a pathway essential in many

acetogenic anaerobes (51, 52) that leverage this system with folate 1-carbon cycling pathways

including those connected with Wood-Ljundahl fixation of carbon dioxide to acetate. Predictions

of gene essentiality also identified multiple nucleotide synthesis and salvage pathway genes that

were essential *in vivo* but not *in vitro,* including ones associated with xanthine transport and

metabolism, an abundant nucleotide in gut secretions that originates from host sources (22).

Lastly, predictions identified genes in amino acid biosynthetic pathways for branched-chain amino

acids, aromatic amino acids, and others that were predicted to be required *in vivo.* Each of these

provides new targets of vulnerability for which to consider therapeutic interventions leveraging

small molecules, bacteriotherapeutic, or other patient interventions.

We illustrate additional predictions from the *C. difficile* EGRIN model to enable gene- through

systems-level analyses of the pathogen. Though among the best described obligate anaerobes,

the *C. difficile* genome still contains a high number of genes of unknown function. Model

predictions provided new information to assign putative functions to 48 gene features, including

ones associated with sporulation, carbohydrate transport, and other aspects of cellular

metabolism. The *C. difficile* Web Portal, makes these tools and resources available to the broader

C. difficile, microbiology, and systems biology communities, providing a platform for collaboration

and to support systems-level investigations of the pathogen and its interactions with the host and

commensal microbiota.

METHODS

C. difficile **genome annotation**

A new ATCC43255 reference genome was generated and annotated to support *in vivo* transcriptome studies of *C. difficile* per discrepancies noted in the RefSeq genome, particularly among bacteriophage loci and other mobile elements (22). The updated reference genome was annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Automatic Annotation Pipeline (53), PATRIC (50), and PROKKA (54) to extract gene features for support of transcriptome pathway enrichment analyses. Bacteriophage loci and genes were identified using PHASTER (55).

C. difficile **transcriptional compendium**

To generate a transcriptional compendium for *C. difficile*, required for constructing an EGRIN model, a total of 148 publicly available transcriptomes of *C. difficile* 630 were downloaded from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (56) in March 2020. Downloaded transcriptomes were generated by 11 independent studies (**Table 1**). To integrate this data into a single dataset, we computed the log2 fold-change of each transcriptome with respect to a control condition, as performed in the generation of other transcriptional compendia (57). This step was not necessary for transcriptional data collected with dual channel arrays that included a normalizing control channel. The resulting transcriptional compendium contained a total of 4,091 gene features and 127 conditions. The 127 conditions in the transcriptional compendium were organized in 10 distinct conditional blocks (e.g. sporulation, *fur* deletion), as shown in **Table 1**.

Construction of the EGRIN model

The EGRIN model for *C. difficile* was constructed in two stages. First, we used cMonkey2 (26), a biclustering algorithm, on the compiled compendium of 127 *C. difficile* transcriptomes to simultaneously detect co-regulated gene modules and the conditions where co-regulation occurs.

cMonkey2 integrates functional annotation from the STRING database (25), gene promoter

sequences from the RSAT database (58), and operon predictions from MicrobesOnline (59) when

detecting the gene modules. cMonkey2 was run using default parameters. Briefly, we used 2,000

iterations to optimize the co-regulated gene modules, each one with 3-70 genes. In each iteration,

cMonkey2 refined the gene modules by evaluating and modifying (if necessary) condition and

gene memberships. cMonkey2 biclustering approach allowed genes and conditions to be

assigned to a maximum of two and 204 different modules, respectively. *De novo* motif search was

performed using MEME v. 4.12.0 (60). Second, we used the Inferelator (27), a network inference

algorithm, to identify potential transcriptional regulators for the 406 gene modules generated by

cMonkey2. The Inferelator uses a Bayesian best subset regression to estimate the magnitude

and sign (activation or repression) of potential interactions between TFs and gene modules. We

bootstrapped the expression data (20 times) to avoid regression overfitting (27). The Inferelator

generates two scores for each TF-module interaction, the corresponding regression coefficient

and a confidence score. The second score indicates the likelihood of the interaction. The final set

of TF-module interactions was defined as the 704 interactions with the top 10% of highest non-

zero confidence scores.

Experimentally supported literature derived TF regulons

We mined available literature to compile a list of experimentally supported targets for the 13

partially characterized transcriptional regulators (involved in sporulation, motility, carbon

metabolism, among other processes) shown on **Table S1**. The manually compiled regulons

represented a total of 1,353 regulatory interactions and involved 1,050 genes. Target genes

included in the compiled TF regulons were supported by transcriptional data, protein-dna binding

data and *in silico* analysis of promoter regions (e.g. presence of known regulators DNA binding

motif).

Module enrichment evaluation

We used a hypergeometric test to identify modules of co-regulated genes in the EGRIN model

that were statistically enriched with manually compiled TF regulons (**Table S1**) or functional

pathways derived from curated annotation of *C. difficile* genome (22). Only gene modules with

adjusted hypergeometric test p-value $\leq$ 0.05 and containing four or more genes from the relevant

TF regulon or functional pathway were considered enriched.

Analysis of *in vivo* data

In vivo transcriptomic data from gnotobiotic mice monocolonized with *C. difficile* ATCC43255 or

co-colonized with *P. bifermentans* or *C. sardiniense* were analyzed as described (22) using the

updated reference genome of ATCC43255 to extract gene features for subsequent analysis with

DESEQ2.

Metabolic model refinement and gene essentiality prediction

A published genome-scale metabolic model of *C. difficile* 630 strain, icdf834 (41), was used in

this study and expanded by adding reactions required for *in vivo* survival of the pathogen. We

also curated pathway annotations that were incorrectly designated using default KEGG

annotations (61). For example, the TCA, gluconeogenesis and pentose phosphate pathways are

incomplete in *C. difficile*. Thus, we updated the annotation of these pathways as part of pyruvate

metabolism, butanoate fermentation and Galactose & Tagatose metabolism (**Supplementary**

File S1). Initially, we evaluated the homology of metabolic genes between *C. difficile* 630 and

ATCC43255 strain of *C. difficile* in order to use the icdf834 model for representing the *in vivo*

infection state of ATCC43255 strain. The details of 764 genes that are predicted in this homology

analysis is provided in **Supplementary File S1**. Then, the transcriptome of *C. difficile* profiled

from *in vivo* infections of specifically-colonized gnotobiotic mice (22) was mapped onto the icdf834

model using the GIMME algorithm (46). This resulted in a model with 665 active reactions, with

no changes in the number of genes. We then expanded the model by including four new genes

and 8 new reactions (**Supplementary File S1**) that are required for the growth of the pathogen in

the *in vivo* micro-environment, based on KEGG annotations. We named this expanded version of

the model as "icdf838". This model represents the *in vivo* state of *C. difficile*. We then applied the

constraint-based method for simulating the metabolic steady-state of *C. difficile* using flux-balance

analysis (FBA) (46, 62). The initial validation steps involved checking the capacity of the icdf834

model to produce biomass in defined media conditions including 1) minimal medium, 2) basal

defined medium and 3) complex, nutrient-rich medium (compositions used according to Larocque

et al 2014 (42)). Then, we tested the performance of the icdf834 model using gene essentiality

predictions by FBA. A gene was considered "essential" if its deletion reduced the biomass by

>95%. By this analysis, the model classified each gene as "essential" or "non-essential". We

compared the gene essentiality predictions from nutrient-rich media constraints with the available

experimental Tn-seq data (35) and deduced the confusion matrix to derive true positive rates

(TPR) and false positive rates (FPR). This led to the elucidation of sensitivity and specificity of the

model using ROC curve analysis. We then applied the same strategy and predicted the essential

genes *in vivo* using FBA with the expanded context-specific network, icdf838. All model

simulations related to FBA were performed on MATLAB_R2019a platform using the recent

version of COBRA (The COnstraint-Based Reconstruction and Analysis) toolbox (63). *In silico*

gene essentiality predictions were performed using the COBRA toolbox 'single-gene-deletion'

function in MATLAB. The illustration of essential gene regulatory network *in vivo* was deduced

using BioTapsetry tool (http://www.biotapestry.org/).

***C. difficile* Web Portal**

This portal utilizes the powerful build, search, collaboration, and visualization features of the

Drupal content management system. With the two key features of modularity and extensibility,

Drupal provides a slim, powerful core that can be readily extended through custom modules and

easy-to-use collaborative tools to support information sharing. Based on these key features, we

developed this content management system into a data management, analysis, and visualization

framework to support *C. difficile* research.

Due to the complexity of the information provided by the genome and models, it is critical to

provide a user-friendly and flexible search and filtering capabilities. By taking advantage of

Drupal's built-in search interface and implementing Apache Solr search, we created very powerful

search capabilities that will query every information included in the portal database. Moreover,

the search interface uses "facets" to allow users to explore a collection of information by applying

multiple filters. This combination together with sorting enables users to start with broad searches

and then quickly pinpoint specific information.

In order to provide a comprehensive functional genomics resource for the Cdiff community,

genome annotations from several different sources were merged and imported into the Cdiff

Portal. Curated genome annotations for *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 published by Monot et al.

(21), were downloaded from MicroScope platform (64). Additional functional annotations were

downloaded from PATRIC (50) and Uniprot (49) and merged with curated genome annotations.

Overall, 4,018 genes were included in the Cdiff Portal. The *C. difficile* genome included 1,030

metabolic genes, 309 TFs, 270 sRNAs, 87 tRNAs, 32 rRNAs and 17 miscRNAs. The genome

included 1,330 genes with unknown function. Furthermore, gene essentiality data from Dembek

et al. (35) was integrated with gene annotations.

REFERENCES

1.   Monegro AF, Regunath H. 2018. Hospital acquired infectionsStatPearls. StatPearls Publishing.
2.   Aktories K. 2011. Bacterial protein toxins that modify host regulatory GTPases. Nat Rev Microbiol 9:487–498.
3.   Antunes A, Camiade E, Monot M, Courtois E, Barbut F, Sernova N V, Rodionov DA, Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. 2012. Global transcriptional control by glucose and carbon regulator CcpA in Clostridium difficile. Nucleic Acids Res 40:10701–10718.
4.   Saujet L, Monot M, Dupuy B, Soutourina O, Martin-Verstraete I. 2011. The key sigma factor of transition phase, SigH, controls sporulation, metabolism, and virulence factor expression in Clostridium difficile. J Bacteriol 193:3186–3196.
5.   Matamouros S, England P, Dupuy B. 2007. Clostridium difficile toxin expression is inhibited by the novel regulator TcdC. Mol Microbiol 64:1274–1288.
6.   Mani N, Dupuy B. 2001. Regulation of toxin synthesis in Clostridium difficile by an alternative RNA polymerase sigma factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci 98:5844–5849.
7.   Smits WK, Lyras D, Lacy DB, Wilcox MH, Kuijper EJ. 2016. Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Rev Dis Prim 2:1–20.
8.   Lyon SA, Hutton ML, Rood JI, Cheung JK, Lyras D. 2016. CdtR regulates TcdA and TcdB production in Clostridium difficile. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005758.
9.   Walter BM, Rupnik M, Hodnik V, Anderluh G, Dupuy B, Paulič N, Žgur-Bertok D, Butala M. 2014. The LexA regulated genes of the Clostridium difficile. BMC Microbiol 14:88.
10.   Edwards AN, Tamayo R, McBride SM. 2016. A novel regulator controls C lostridium difficile sporulation, motility and toxin production. Mol Microbiol 100:954–971.
11.   Martin-Verstraete I, Peltier J, Dupuy B. 2016. The regulatory networks that control Clostridium difficile toxin synthesis. Toxins (Basel) 8:153.
12.   Bradshaw WJ, Kirby JM, Roberts AK, Shone CC, Acharya KR. 2017. The molecular structure of the glycoside hydrolase domain of Cwp19 from Clostridium difficile. FEBS J 284:4343–4357.
13.   Woods EC, Nawrocki KL, Suárez JM, McBride SM. 2016. The Clostridium difficile Dlt pathway is controlled by the extracytoplasmic function sigma factor σV in response to lysozyme. Infect Immun 84:1902–1916.
14.   Neumann-Schaal M, Metzendorf NG, Troitzsch D, Nuss AM, Hofmann JD, Beckstette M, Dersch P, Otto A, Sievers S. 2018. Tracking gene expression and oxidative damage of O2-stressed Clostridioides difficile by a multi-omics approach. Anaerobe 53:94–107.
15.   Kint N, Janoir C, Monot M, Hoys S, Soutourina O, Dupuy B, Martin-Verstraete I. 2017. The alternative sigma factor σB plays a crucial role in adaptive strategies of Clostridium difficile during gut infection. Environ Microbiol 19:1933–1958.
16.   Elena SF, Lenski RE. 2003. Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics and genetic bases of adaptation. Nat Rev Genet 4:457–469.
17.   Brooks AN, Turkarslan S, Beer KD, Yin Lo F, Baliga NS. 2011. Adaptation of cells to new environments. Wiley Interdisc Rev Syst Biol Med 3:544–561.
18.   McDonald JAK, Mullish BH, Pechlivanis A, Liu Z, Brignardello J, Kao D, Holmes E, Li J V, Clarke TB, Thursz MR, others. 2018. Inhibiting growth of Clostridioides difficile by restoring valerate, produced by the intestinal microbiota. Gastroenterology 155:1495–1507.
19.   Vemuri RC, Gundamaraju R, Shinde T, Eri R. 2017. Therapeutic interventions for gut dysbiosis and related disorders in the elderly: antibiotics, probiotics or faecal microbiota transplantation? Benef Microbes 8:179–192.
20.   Riedel T, Bunk B, Thürmer A, Spröer C, Brzuszkiewicz E, Abt B, Gronow S, Liesegang H,

Daniel R, Overmann J. 2015. Genome resequencing of the virulent and multidrug-
resistant reference strain Clostridium difficile 630. Genome Announc 3:e00276--15.
21. Monot M, Boursaux-Eude C, Thibonnier M, Vallenet D, Moszer I, Medigue C, Martin-
Verstraete I, Dupuy B. 2011. Reannotation of the genome sequence of Clostridium
difficile strain 630.
22. Girinathan BP, DiBenedetto N, Worley JN, Peltier J, Lavin Ri, Delaney ML, Cummins C,
Onderdonk AB, Gerber GK, Dupuy B, others. 2020. The mechanisms of in vivo
commensal control of Clostridioides difficile virulence. bioRxiv.
23. Bouillaut L, Self WT, Sonenshein AL. 2013. Proline-dependent regulation of Clostridium
difficile Stickland metabolism. J Bacteriol 195:844–854.
24. Bouillaut L, Dubois T, Francis MB, Daou N, Monot M, Sorg JA, Sonenshein AL, Dupuy B.
2019. Role of the global regulator Rex in control of NAD+-regeneration in Clostridioides
(Clostridium) difficile. Mol Microbiol 111:1671–1688.
25. Szklarczyk D, Morris JH, Cook H, Kuhn M, Wyder S, Simonovic M, Santos A, Doncheva
NT, Roth A, Bork P, others. 2016. The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled
protein--protein association networks, made broadly accessible. Nucleic Acids Res
gkw937.
26. Reiss DJ, Plaisier CL, Wu W-J, Baliga NS. 2015. cMonkey2: Automated, systematic,
integrated detection of co-regulated gene modules for any organism. Nucleic Acids Res
43:e87.
27. Arrieta-Ortiz ML, Hafemeister C, Bate AR, Chu T, Greenfield A, Shuster B, Barry SN,
Gallitto M, Liu B, Kacmarczyk T, Santoriello F, Chen J, Rodrigues CD, Sato T, Rudner
DZ, Driks A, Bonneau R, Eichenberger P. 2015. An experimentally supported model of
the Bacillus subtilis global transcriptional regulatory network. Mol Syst Biol 11.
28. Peterson EJR, Reiss DJ, Turkarslan S, Minch KJ, Rustad T, Plaisier CL, Longabaugh
WJR, Sherman DR, Baliga NS. 2014. A high-resolution network model for global gene
regulation in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nucleic Acids Res 42:11291–11303.
29. Brooks AN, Reiss DJ, Allard A, Wu W-J, Salvanha DM, Plaisier CL, Chandrasekaran S,
Pan M, Kaur A, Baliga NS. 2014. A system-level model for the microbial regulatory
genome. Mol Syst Biol 10:740–740.
30. Saujet L, Pereira FC, Serrano M, Soutourina O, Monot M, Shelyakin P V, Gelfand MS,
Dupuy B, Henriques AO, Martin-Verstraete I. 2013. Genome-wide analysis of cell type-
specific gene transcription during spore formation in Clostridium difficile. PLoS Genet
9:e1003756.
31. Bonneau R, Facciotti MT, Reiss DJ, Schmid AK, Pan M, Kaur A, Thorsson V, Shannon P,
Johnson MH, Bare JC, Longabaugh W, Vuthoori M, Whitehead K, Madar A, Suzuki L,
Mori T, Chang D-E, Diruggiero J, Johnson CH, Hood L, Baliga NS. 2007. A predictive
model for transcriptional control of physiology in a free living cell. Cell 131:1354–65.
32. Dineen SS, Villapakkam AC, Nordman JT, Sonenshein AL. 2007. Repression of
Clostridium difficile toxin gene expression by CodY. Mol Microbiol 66:206–219.
33. Underwood S, Guan S, Vijayasubhash V, Baines SD, Graham L, Lewis RJ, Wilcox MH,
Stephenson K. 2009. Characterization of the sporulation initiation pathway of Clostridium
difficile and its role in toxin production. J Bacteriol 191:7296–7305.
34. Wolfe CJ, Kohane IS, Butte AJ. 2005. Systematic survey reveals general applicability of"
guilt-by-association" within gene coexpression networks. BMC Bioinformatics 6:227.
35. Dembek M, Barquist L, Boinett CJ, Cain AK, Mayho M, Lawley TD, Fairweather NF,
Fagan RP. 2015. High-throughput analysis of gene essentiality and sporulation in
Clostridium difficile. MBio 6:e02383--14.
36. Bradshaw WJ, Bruxelle J-F, Kovacs-Simon A, Harmer NJ, Janoir C, Péchiné S, Acharya
KR, Michell SL. 2019. Molecular features of lipoprotein CD0873: A potential vaccine
against the human pathogen Clostridioides difficile. J Biol Chem 294:15850–15861.

37. Steglich M, Hofmann JD, Helmecke J, Sikorski J, Spröer C, Riedel T, Bunk B, Overmann
J, Neumann-Schaal M, Nübel U. 2018. Convergent loss of ABC transporter genes from
Clostridioides difficile genomes is associated with impaired tyrosine uptake and p-cresol
production. Front Microbiol 9:901.
38. Nawrocki KL, Wetzel D, Jones JB, Woods EC, McBride SM. 2018. Ethanolamine is a
valuable nutrient source that impacts Clostridium difficile pathogenesis. Environ Microbiol
20:1419–1435.
39. Dingle TC, Mulvey GL, Armstrong GD. 2011. Mutagenic analysis of the Clostridium
difficile flagellar proteins, FliC and FliD, and their contribution to virulence in hamsters.
Infect Immun 79:4061–4067.
40. Lee ASY, Song KP. 2005. LuxS/autoinducer-2 quorum sensing molecule regulates
transcriptional virulence gene expression in Clostridium difficile. Biochem Biophys Res
Commun 335:659–666.
41. Kashaf SS, Angione C, Lió P. 2017. Making life difficult for Clostridium difficile:
augmenting the pathogen's metabolic model with transcriptomic and codon usage data
for better therapeutic target characterization. BMC Syst Biol 11:25.
42. Larocque M, Chénard T, Najmanovich R. 2014. A curated C. difficile strain 630 metabolic
network: prediction of essential targets and inhibitors. BMC Syst Biol 8:117.
43. Theriot CM, Koenigsknecht MJ, Carlson Jr PE, Hatton GE, Nelson AM, Li B, Huffnagle
GB, Li JZ, Young VB. 2014. Antibiotic-induced shifts in the mouse gut microbiome and
metabolome increase susceptibility to Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Commun 5:3114.
44. Janoir C, Denève C, Bouttier S, Barbut F, Hoys S, Caleechum L, Chapetón-Montes D,
Pereira FC, Henriques AO, Collignon A, others. 2013. Adaptive strategies and
pathogenesis of Clostridium difficile from in vivo transcriptomics. Infect Immun 81:3757–
3769.
45. Karasawa T, Ikoma S, Yamakawa K, Nakamura S. 1995. A defined growth medium for
Clostridium difficile. Microbiology 141:371–375.
46. Becker SA, Palsson BO. 2008. Context-specific metabolic networks are consistent with
experiments. PLoS Comput Biol 4:e1000082.
47. Jenior ML, Leslie JL, Young VB, Schloss PD. 2017. Clostridium difficile colonizes
alternative nutrient niches during infection across distinct murine gut microbiomes.
Msystems 2.
48. Galperin MY, Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Koonin E V. 2015. Expanded microbial genome
coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. Nucleic Acids
Res 43:D261--D269.
49. Consortium TU. 2017. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res
45:D158--D169.
50. Wattam AR, Abraham D, Dalay O, Disz TL, Driscoll T, Gabbard JL, Gillespie JJ, Gough
R, Hix D, Kenyon R, others. 2014. PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and
analysis resource. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D581--D591.
51. Gößner AS, Picardal F, Tanner RS, Drake HL. 2008. Carbon metabolism of the
moderately acid-tolerant acetogen Clostridium drakei isolated from peat. FEMS Microbiol
Lett 287:236–242.
52. Sakai S, Inokuma K, Nakashimada Y, Nishio N. 2008. Degradation of glyoxylate and
glycolate with ATP synthesis by a thermophilic anaerobic bacterium, Moorella sp. strain
HUC22-1. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:1447–1452.
53. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L,
Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome
annotation pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624.
54. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics
30:2068–2069.

55. Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a
better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16--W21.
56. Barrett T, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Tomashevsky M, Marshall KA,
Phillippy KH, Sherman PM, Holko M, others. 2012. NCBI GEO: archive for functional
genomics data sets—update. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D991--D995.
57. Moretto M, Sonego P, Dierckxsens N, Brilli M, Bianco L, Ledezma-Tejeida D, Gama-
Castro S, Galardini M, Romualdi C, Laukens K, Collado-Vides J, Meysman P, Engelen K.
2016. COLOMBOS v3.0: leveraging gene expression compendia for cross-species
analyses. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D620-3.
58. Nguyen NTT, Contreras-Moreira B, Castro-Mondragon JA, Santana-Garcia W, Ossio R,
Robles-Espinoza CD, Bahin M, Collombet S, Vincens P, Thieffry D, others. 2018. RSAT
2018: regulatory sequence analysis tools 20th anniversary. Nucleic Acids Res 46:W209--
W214.
59. Dehal PS, Joachimiak MP, Price MN, Bates JT, Baumohl JK, Chivian D, Friedland GD,
Huang KH, Keller K, Novichkov PS, Dubchak IL, Alm EJ, Arkin AP. 2010.
MicrobesOnline: an integrated portal for comparative and functional genomics. Nucleic
Acids Res 38:D396-400.
60. Bailey TL, Johnson J, Grant CE, Noble WS. 2015. The MEME suite. Nucleic Acids Res
43:W39--W49.
61. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new
perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D353--
D361.
62. Orth JD, Thiele I, Palsson BØ. 2010. What is flux balance analysis? Nat Biotechnol
28:245–248.
63. Heirendt L, Arreckx S, Pfau T, Mendoza SN, Richelle A, Heinken A, Haraldsdóttir HS,
Wachowiak J, Keating SM, Vlasov V, others. 2019. Creation and analysis of biochemical
constraint-based models using the COBRA Toolbox v. 3.0. Nat Protoc 14:639–702.
64. Vallenet D, Calteau A, Cruveiller S, Gachet M, Lajus A, Josso A, Mercier J, Renaux A,
Rollin J, Rouy Z, others. 2017. MicroScope in 2017: an expanding and evolving
integrated resource for community expertise of microbial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res
45:D517--D528.
65. Dineen SS, McBride SM, Sonenshein AL. 2010. Integration of metabolism and virulence
by Clostridium difficile CodY. J Bacteriol 192:5350–5362.
66. Soutourina O, Dubois T, Monot M, Shelyakin P V, Saujet L, Boudry P, Gelfand MS,
Dupuy B, Martin-Verstraete I. 2020. Genome-Wide Transcription Start Site Mapping and
Promoter Assignments to a Sigma Factor in the Human Enteropathogen Clostridioides
difficile. Front Microbiol 11:1939.
67. Antunes A, Martin-Verstraete I, Dupuy B. 2011. CcpA-mediated repression of Clostridium
difficile toxin gene expression. Mol Microbiol 79:882–899.
68. Dubois T, Dancer-Thibonnier M, Monot M, Hamiot A, Bouillaut L, Soutourina O, Martin-
Verstraete I, Dupuy B. 2016. Control of Clostridium difficile physiopathology in response
to cysteine availability. Infect Immun 84:2389–2405.
69. Berges M, Michel A-M, Lassek C, Nuss AM, Beckstette M, Dersch P, Riedel K, Sievers S,
Becher D, Otto A, others. 2018. Iron regulation in Clostridioides difficile. Front Microbiol
9:3183.
70. El Meouche I, Peltier J, Monot M, Soutourina O, Pestel-Caron M, Dupuy B, Pons J-L.
2013. Characterization of the SigD regulon of C. difficile and its positive control of toxin
production through the regulation of tcdR. PLoS One 8.
71. Fimlaid KA, Bond JP, Schutz KC, Putnam EE, Leung JM, Lawley TD, Shen A. 2013.
Global analysis of the sporulation pathway of Clostridium difficile. PLoS Genet 9.
804

FIGURES

Figure 1. Inference pipeline and general properties of the resulting Environment Gene Regulatory Influence Network (EGRIN) model of *C. difficile*. (A) Framework used to build the EGRIN model. (B) Distribution of residual values for the 406 detected co-regulated gene modules. 297 gene modules had residual values equal or lower than 0.55 (shown in purple) and were labelled as high quality. (C) Distribution of gene count for the high quality gene modules. (D) Coverage of all genes (4,018), the subset of metabolic genes (1,030) and TFs (309) by EGRIN modules for different residual thresholds. The red dashed line indicates the 0.55 residual cutoff.

Figure 2. The Environment Gene Regulatory Influence Network model of *C. difficile*

recapitulates known biology of the pathogen. (A) Co-regulated gene modules are enriched

with functional terms derived from expert curated annotation of the *C. difficile* genome (22). The

pie chart shows terms over-represented in three or more modules. Number of modules associated

with each functional term is shown in parenthesis. (B) Enriched gene modules among nine (out

of 13) manually-defined and experimentally supported TF regulons (compiled from publicly

available data in Table S1). (C) EGRIN identified the known DNA binding motif of CodY (65). (D)

EGRIN also identified the known DNA binding motif of SigL (66). Motif comparisons were

performed using Tomtom (60). (E) The EGRIN model recapitulated the previously reported

influence of CodY on *tcdA* expression. The module #182 contains *tcdA*, it is enriched with

members of the CodY regulon and contains a motif (shown in panel C) similar to the

experimentally determined CodY motif. (F) The EGRIN model also captured the interaction

between toxin expression and sporulation via module #397 that contains *tcdB* and is enriched

with genes regulated by sporulation-related transcriptional regulators. **(**G) Expression profiles of

tcdAB and *tcdR* (positive regulator of the pathogenicity loci). Highest expression of the toxin genes

and their activator was observed in the *codY* single deletion condition (light green box).

C

Predicted role	Enriched modules	Enriched TF regulons	Uncharacterized genes
Other Sugar-family Transporters	43	NA	CD630_04371, CD630_09341, CD630_12100, CD630_13011, CD630_13781, CD630_13782, CD630_15970, CD630_17720, CD630_20101, CD630_20751, CD630_29661, CD630_31110
Sporulation	206, 251	Spo0A, SigE, SigG	CD630_01310, CD630_02140, CD630_10650, CD630_10660, CD630_19280, CD630_23740, CD630_23750, CD630_24430, CD630_26340, CD630_32590
Diffocin Locus Proteins	136	NA	CD630_12420, CD630_12430, CD630_12440, CD630_13760, CD630_14150, CD630_14160
Aminobutanoate degradation	48	CodY, PrdR	CD630_05100 , CD630_05101, CD630_08760, CD630_08780
Multi-drug transport systems	29	NA	CD630_03790, CD630_03800, CD630_10991, CD630_33681

Figure 3. The EGRIN model offers insights on potential functions of uncharacterized genes

of *C. difficile*. Hypotheses regarding the functions of 48 uncharacterized genes were generated

based on their membership in high quality co-regulated gene modules significantly enriched with

specific functional terms. (A) Barplot with the number of unknown genes associated with each

functional term (from the *C. difficile* genome annotation in Girinathan et al. (22)). (B) The

involvement of 10 uncharacterized genes in sporulation was supported by the observed strongest

and significant down-regulation in single deletion strains of transcriptional regulators of

sporulation (*spo0A, sigEFGK, spoIIID*). (C) Locus tag of uncharacterized genes associated with

selected functional terms.

Figure 4. The EGRIN model identifies TFs driving the *in vivo* response of *C. difficile* when

interacting with gut commensals *P. bifermentans* (PBI) and *C. sardiniense* (CSAR). (A)

Expression profile of module #158. (B) Expression profile of module #48. (C) EGRIN modules

enriched with genes differentially expressed (absolute log2 fold-change > 1 and adjusted p-value

< 0.05) in *C. difficile* mono-colonized mice at 24 vs 20 hours of infection. X-axis shows module

IDs. Modules were annotated according to their functional enrichment and overlap with manually

curated TF regulons (Table S1). (D) Enriched EGRIN modules in *C. sardiniense*+*C. difficile* co-

colonized mice vs *C. difficile* mono-colonized mice at 24 hours of infection. Due to space

constraint, only abbreviations of functional terms not shown in other panels are displayed. (E)

Enriched EGRIN modules in *P. bifermentans*+*C. difficile* co-colonized mice vs *C. difficile* mono-

colonized mice at 24 hours of infection. (F) Enriched EGRIN modules in *P. bifermentans*+*C.*

difficile co-colonized mice vs *C. sardiniense*+*C. difficile* co-colonized mice at 24 hours of infection.

For all comparisons, only modules with absolute median fold-changes > 0.5, and enriched with

TF regulons or functional categories are displayed.

Figure 5. Metabolic model predictions. (A) Details of the *in vitro* metabolic model of *C. difficile*

630 (41). (B) Details of icdf838 metabolic model of *C. difficile* 630. Initial *in vivo* model was derived

using the GIMME algorithm (46) where only the active reactions are included from *in vivo*

transcriptome. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of genes, reactions and

metabolites in the icdf838 model after adding the required *in vivo* exchanges and transports. (C)

ROC curve showing the accuracy of icdf834-predicted gene essentiality in nutrient rich medium

evaluated against a Tn-seq functional screen (35). Red circle indicates the 95% growth inhibition

as threshold. (D) Venn diagram showing the number of model-predicted essential genes for

growth of *C. difficile 630 in vitro* vs *in vivo*. (E) BioTapestry visualization of *in vivo* gene regulatory

network for *C. difficile* 630: All 24 *in vivo*-specific essential genes that are regulated by

transcription factors (TFs) are shown. Transcriptional regulators are derived from EGRIN. The

network includes all TFs that regulate more than four *in vivo* essential genes. The genes and TFs

shown as five digit numbers represent the nomenclature preceded by 'CD630_' (e.g. 27220

indicates CD630_27220).

A

Module 182

Residual	Gene Count	Condition Count
0.56	24	65

Bicluster expression profile

- ● Sporulation
- ● codY knockout
- ● Rich vs poor diet
- ● Response to oxygen
- ● Iron limiting condition
- ● Exponential to stationary phase
- ● Early infection
- ● fur knockout
- ● Commensal vs germ free
- ● In vivo vs. In vitro

Highcharts.com

de novo identified motifs are listed below

Motif 1	Motif 1 e-value		Motif 2	Motif 2 e-value	
CAAAATTTAAAAAACTAGGAGG	0.000000000023		GAAAGGTGATT	0.06	

B

This module is predicted to be regulated by the following regulators

TF Influences (Hypergeometric)

CD630_12750 - codY — Type: **Gene**
Product: Transcriptional regulator, GTP-sensing pleiotropic repressor | **Pathway:** | **COG:** Transcription

CD630_32450 - prdR — Type: **Gene**
Product: Transcriptional regulator, sigma-54-dependent | **Pathway:** | **COG:** Transcription

TF Influences (Inferelator)

CD630_11770 - fapR — Type: **Gene**
Product: Tracriptional regulator, DeoR family (Fatty acid and phospholipid biosynthesis regulator) | **Pathway:** | **COG:** Transcription

CD630_20480 - — Type: **Gene**
Product: Transcriptional regulator, RpiR family | **Pathway:** | **COG:** Transcription

CD630_26420 - sigG — Type: **Gene**
Product: RNA polymerase sigma-G factor | **Pathway:** | **COG:** Transcription

The module is significantly enriched with genes associated to the indicated functional terms

Enriched Functional Terms

ATP Synthesis

Number:
3.5.9

C

Genes that are included in this module

Figure 6. An example module page of the *C. difficile* Portal. Module #182, associated with

CodY and shown in Fig 2D is used as an example. (A) Each module page includes general

statistics of the module (residual score, gene count), displays the module expression profile in the

compiled transcriptional compendium and the detected motifs. (B) A module page also offers

information about the potential transcriptional regulators of the module. Putative regulators are

defined based on over-representation of manually compiled TF regulons (assessed using

hypergeometric test) and based on the Inferelator predictions. (C) Each module page includes a

list of its gene members with a brief description of each gene. This information includes gene

name, product, alternative names, function and essentiality. In the example, only the first four

genes (out of 24) are shown. The user can click in any gene to visit the corresponding gene page.

892
893

TABLES

895 **Table 1. Datasets used for generating *C. difficile* transcriptional compendium**

Condition	GEO Series Accession	# Transcriptomes[a]	# Controls[b]
Early infections (0h, 30 mins, 60 mins, 120 mins)	GSE18407	12	NA[c]
In vivo vs *in vitro* (8h, 14h, 38h)	GSE43305	32	NA[c]
Iron limitation	GSE109453 GSE120189	15	15[d]
fur deletion	GSE69218 GSE120189	12	12[d]
Response to oxygen	GSE109175	3	3
Response to commensals and diet	GSE60751	8	8[e]
Rich diet vs Poor diet	GSE60751	8	8[e]
Transition from exponential to stationary phase	GSE115054	16	NA[c]
codY KO	GSE23192	3	NA[c]
Sporulation (*spo0A* KO, *sigEFGK* KOs, *spoIIID* KO)	GSE45977 GSE63777	18	6

[a]Refers to the number of arrays used as numerator when estimating log2 ratios
[b]Control arrays were averaged and used as denominator when estimating log2 ratios
[c]Not Applicable. Dual channel array and therefore the control was included in each array
[d]Six samples used as controls were also considered as main transcriptome in other comparisons
[e]Samples used as controls were also considered as main transcriptome in other comparisons

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Figure S1. Number of Inferelator-predicted transcriptional regulators of modules in the

EGRIN model.

910   **Figure S2. The Cdiff Web Portal.** (A) Home page of the Cdiff Portal. Users can explore the

911   gene regulatory network model and the metabolic model for *C. difficile*. In addition, all files are

912   accessible in the resource tab. The search bar facilitates website exploration. (B) An example

913   gene page of the *C. difficile* Portal.

914
915

916 **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES**

918 **Table S1. Compiled TF regulons**

Regulator	Regulon Size	Supporting Data	Reference
CcpA	194[a]	Protein-DNA binding, transcriptomics, *in silico*[b]	(3, 67)
CodY	160[c]	Protein-DNA binding, transcriptomics	(65)
Fur	19	Transcriptomics, *in silico*[b]	(68, 69)
PrdR	181	Transcriptomics	(24)
SigB	57	Transcriptomics	(15)
SigD	159	Transcriptomics	(70)
SigE	96	Transcriptomics	(30)
SigF	25		
SigG	46		
SigH	40	Transcriptomics, *in silico*[b]	(4)
SigK	54	Transcriptomics	(30)
SigL	46[d]	Transcriptomics, *in silico*[b]	(66)
Spo0A	276[e]	Transcriptomics	(71)

[a]Only genes classified as CcpA-dependent (in the presence or absence of glucose) by Antunes
et al. 2012 (3) were included
[b]*In silico* search of the binding motif of the corresponding regulator within the genes and their
promoter sequences
[c]Only genes negatively influenced by CodY were included
[d]Only genes with SigL motif and down-regulated in *sigL* deletion were included
[e]Only genes positively influenced by Spo0A were included

Table S2. General properties of modules associated with the pathogenicity loci

Gene	Locus tag	Module[a]	Residual	Functional enrichment[b]	Enriched TF regulons[b]	Inferelator predicted regulators[b]
tcdR	CD_06590	31	0.46	NF	NF	SigG, SpoVT
		336	0.54	NF	NF	FapR, CD_16930
tcdB	CD_06600	284	0.63	NF	NF	CD_06930, CD_17820
		397	0.49	NF	Spo0A, SigE	SpoVT, CD_06290
tcdE	CD_06610	31	0.46	NF	NF	SigG, SpoVT
		138	0.61	NF	NF	CD_06290, CD_12390, SpoVT
tcdA	CD_06630	182	0.56	ATP synthesis	CodY, PrdR	FapR, CD_20480, SigG
		264	0.71	NF	NF	SigV
tcdC	CD_06640	146	0.52	NF	NF	CD_27320, PhoU
		395	0.51	NF	NF	CD_18100, CD_35440

929   [a]Modules that contain the corresponding member of the pathogenicity loci

930   [b]Refers to the information shown for the corresponding module on the Cdiff Web Portal

932 **Table S3. Model predicted essential genes *in vivo***

Locus tag	Gene name	Gene product/function	Pathway	In silico predictions		Expt
				Essentiality in vivo	Essentiality nutrient-rich in vitro	Essentiality Nutrient-rich in vitro (Tn-seq)
CD630_09940	G12WB-1109	serine-pyruvate aminotransferase	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_05800	gapN	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Valine, leucine and isoleucine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_15340	ggt	gamma-glutamyltranspeptidase	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_23430	cat1	succinyl-CoA:coenzyme A transferase	Butanoate fermentation; Methionine Biosynthesis	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_34400	G12WB-3619	glycoside hydrolase-type carbohydrate-binding protein	Glycolysis	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_30840	garK	glycerate kinase	Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_28130	garR	tartronate semialdehyde reductase	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_33170	fdhF	formate dehydrogenase-H	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_21790	G12WB-2336	anaerobic dehydrogenase	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_07690	G12WB-880	oxidoreductase subunit	Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential

CD630_12240	pupG	purine nucleoside phosphorylase	Purine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_07190	fchA	methenyltetrahydrofolate cyclohydrolase	One carbon pool by folate; Wood-Ljungdahl pathway	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_15660	ilvB	acetolactate synthase large subunit	Valine, leucine and isoleucine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_12230	drm	phosphopentomutase	Nucleotide interconversion	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_20140	ilvD	dihydroxy-acid dehydratase	Valine, leucine and isoleucine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_15020	deoC	deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase	Nucleotide interconversion	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_18390	tyrC	prephenate dehydrogenase	Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_18360	pheA	bifunctional chorismate mutase/prephenate dehydratase	Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_12200	nudF	NUDIX family hydrolase	Purine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_23300	xpt	xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase	Purine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_05570	G12WB-669	uridine kinase	Pyrimidine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_04870	G12WB-599	carbon-nitrogen hydrolase	Pyrimidine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential
CD630_18160	cmk	cytidylate kinase	Pyrimidine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential

CD630_15650	ilvC	ketol-acid reductoisomerase	Valine, leucine and isoleucine metabolism	Essential	Non-Essential	Non-Essential