Positive selection within the genomes of SARS-CoV-2 and other

Coronaviruses independent of impact on protein function

Alejandro Berrio[1], Valerie Gartner[1], Gregory A Wray[1,2]

[1] Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States

[2] Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina,

United States

Corresponding Author:

Alejandro Berrio[1]

130 Science Drive, Durham, North Carolina, 27708, United States

Email address: alejo.berrio@duke.edu

Abstract

Background. The emergence of a novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) associated with severe

acute respiratory disease (COVID-19) has prompted efforts to understand the genetic basis for its

unique characteristics and its jump from non-primate hosts to humans. Tests for positive

selection can identify apparently nonrandom patterns of mutation accumulation within genomes,

highlighting regions where molecular function may have changed during the origin of a species.

Several recent studies of the SARS-CoV-2 genome have identified signals of conservation and

positive selection within the gene encoding Spike protein based on the ratio of synonymous to

nonsynonymous substitution. Such tests cannot, however, detect changes in the function of RNA

molecules.

Methods. Here we apply a test for branch-specific oversubstitution of mutations within narrow

windows of the genome without reference to the genetic code.

Results. We recapitulate the finding that the gene encoding Spike protein has been a target of
both purifying and positive selection. In addition, we find other likely targets of positive
selection within the genome of SARS-CoV-2, specifically within the genes encoding Nsp4 and
Nsp16. Homology-directed modeling indicates no change in either Nsp4 or Nsp16 protein
structure relative to the most recent common ancestor. Thermodynamic modeling of RNA
stability and structure, however, indicates that RNA secondary structure within both genes in the
SARS-CoV-2 genome differs from those of RaTG13, the reconstructed common ancestor, and
Pan-CoV-GD (Guangdong). These SARS-CoV-2-specific mutations may affect molecular
processes mediated by the positive or negative RNA molecules, including transcription,
translation, RNA stability, and evasion of the host innate immune system. Our results highlight
the importance of considering mutations in viral genomes not only from the perspective of their
impact on protein structure, but also how they may impact other molecular processes critical to
the viral life cycle.

Introduction

An important challenge in understanding zoonotic events is identifying the genetic changes that
allow a pathogen to infect a new host. Such information can highlight molecular processes in
both the pathogen and host that have practical value. The recent outbreak of SARS-CoV-2, a
novel coronavirus, provides both a challenge and an opportunity to learn more about the specific
adaptations that enable the virus to thrive in human hosts and that endow it with traits distinct
from previously described coronaviruses. Formal tests for natural selection are a powerful tool in
this endeavor because they can be applied in an unbiased manner throughout the viral genome:
evidence of negative selection can reveal regions of the genome that are broadly constrained
functionally and thus unlikely to contribute to species-specific traits, while evidence of branch-
specific positive selection can identify candidate regions of the genome where molecular
processes may have diverged from that of other species.
Several recent studies have tested for natural selection in the SARS-CoV-2 genome based on the
ratio of synonymous to non-synonymous (dN/dS) substitutions relative to other coronaviruses
(Tang et al., 2020; Chaw et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020a). The most prominent signal to emerge
from these studies is a mix of positive and purifying selection within the gene encoding the Spike
glycoprotein, which mediates invasion of host cells by binding to the angiotensin-converting

enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor in host cells (Gallagher & Buchmeier, 2001; Tortorici & Veesler,

2019). This finding makes good biological sense, because structural changes in the spike protein

are common and are known to influence the ability of the virus to infect new hosts and jump

between species (Hulswit, de Haan & Bosch, 2016). A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

that results in an amino acid substitution in Spike protein has increased in frequency during the

global pandemic more rapidly than other SNPs (Korber et al., 2020), leading to speculation that

it is an adaptation that alters the interaction between Spike and ACE2, FURIN and

TMPRSS2 (Eaaswarkhanth, Al Madhoun & Al-Mulla, 2020).

Beyond mutations that alter Spike protein, however, there exists little understanding of positive

selection within the SARS-CoV-2 genome and how this may have shaped viral traits. Few

convincing signals of positive selection exist for any of the other viral proteins (Cagliani et al.,

2020; Velazquez-Salinas et al., 2020; Chaw et al., 2020). For RNA viruses, however, critical

aspects of the life cycle rely on molecular processes that are not reflected in protein sequence. In

particular, in positive-strand RNA viruses such as coronaviruses, the single RNA molecule that

constitutes the genome is first transcribed and translated to produce the replicase polyprotein 1a

and 1ab that is cleaved into multiple non-structural proteins, some of which participate in the

assembly of a cellular structure known as the replicase-transcriptase complex (RTC), where the

proper environment for viral replication and transcription is created. Then, the RNA-dependent-

RNA-polymerase (RdRp or Nsp12) produces negative sense genomic and subgenomic RNAs

that are used as template strands that are then transcribed in the opposite direction to make more

positive-sense viral genomes and a variety of RNA molecules that are translated into structural

proteins for packaging (Fehr & Perlman, 2015; Kim et al., 2020). Although the viral proteins that

help mediate these processes are visible to tests for selection that rely on dN/dS ratios, the RNA

molecules with which they interact are not. This leaves the operation of natural selection on

important molecular functions within the viral life cycle largely unexamined.

Here we utilize a test for positive selection that identifies an excess of nucleotide substitutions

within a defined window in the genome relative to neutral expectation using a likelihood ratio

framework (Wong & Nielsen, 2004; Haygood et al., 2007). We implemented this test using

adaptiPhy (Berrio, Haygood & Wray, 2020) to infer regions of the genome that were likely

targets of branch-specific positive selection in several *Sarbecovirus* species from bat, pangolin,

and human hosts. Our results recapitulate results from dN/dS-based tests that highlight *S*, the

gene encoding Spike protein, as a prominent target of natural selection within the SARS-CoV-2

genome (Cagliani et al., 2020; Chaw et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020a). Importantly, we also identify

genomic regions not previously reported to be targets of positive selection. Based on structural

modeling of RNA and protein, we argue that these newly identified regions of positive selection

likely affect species-specific RNA, rather than protein, function. These genomic regions are

candidates for understanding the molecular mechanisms that endow SARS-CoV-2 with some of

its unique biological properties.

Materials & Methods

Sequence Alignment

102 To identify branch specific positive selection, it is necessary to obtain a query and a reference

103 alignment. We downloaded six high quality reference genomes from the subgenus Sarbecovirus

104 (Table 1). Next, we used MAFFT (Katoh & Standley, 2013) plugin in Geneious Prime v.2.1

105 (Kearse et al., 2012) with default settings to build a sequence alignment. Next, we refined the

106 alignment using a gene by gene procedure.

Testing for Positive Selection

Despite *adaptiPhy* was originally designed to investigate regions of complex genomes under

positive selection, it can be used to identify regions of a viral sequence alignment where the

foreground branch is evolving at faster rates than the expectation from the background species.

We performed a selection analysis on sliding windows of 300 bp with a step of 150 bp along a

sequence alignment of 5 reference genome sequences of coronaviruses of the subgenus

Sarbecovirus and two sequences of Pangolin Coronavirus recently published (Liu, Chen & Chen,

2019; Lam et al., 2020). This procedure generates partitions where a tree topology can be fitted.

To investigate the extent of positive selection or branches with long substitution rates along the

SARS-CoV-2 genome, we used a branch-specific method known as *adaptiPhy* that was initially

developed in 2007 (Haygood et al., 2007) and recently improved (Berrio, Haygood & Wray,

2020). This computational methodology makes use of a likelihood ratio test based on the

maximum likelihood estimates obtained from HyPhy v2.5 (Pond, Frost & Muse, 2005; Pond et

al., 2020). The branch of interest (e.g., SARS-CoV-2 branch) is used as the foreground and the

rest of the alignment is used as the background. To obtain data from nucleotide substitutions

alone, we used *msa_split* from PHAST (Hubisz, Pollard & Siepel, 2011) to remove insertions

and any sequence gaps that were present in the genomes of the background virus species relative

to the SARS-CoV-2 genome.The assumption for the background species is the same for both the

null and alternative models; specifically, only neutral evolution and negative (purifying)

selection are permitted. While in the foreground, the assumptions are the same as for the

background in the null model. In the alternative model, all three types of evolution are permitted

(neutral evolution, negative selection, and positive selection) in the foreground of the following

topology: (((((SARS_CoV_2, Bat_CoV_RaTG13), Pa_CoV_Guangdong),

Pa_CoV_Guangxi_P4L), (Bat_CoV_LYRa11, SARS_CoV)), Bat_CoV_BM48). This method is

highly sensitive and specific and can differentiate between positive selection and relaxation of

constraint. *AdaptiPhy* requires at least 3 kb reference alignment for each species that is used as a

putatively neutral proxy for computing substitution rates. Viruses' genomes lack non-functional

regions, therefore, the most reasonable proxy for neutral evolution has to be found in the regions

outside the query window. To do this, we concatenated twenty regions of 300 bp of the viral

genome alignment that were drawn randomly with replacement from the entire genome

alignment. Then, for each query alignment, we built a reference alignment of 6 kb as it produces

a stable evolutionary standard of recombination rates. To control for the stochasticity of the

evolutionary process, we run each query against ten bootstrapped samples of reference

alignments. Finally, we used a custom R script to compute the likelihood ratio, which was used

as a test statistic for a chi-squared test with one degree of freedom to calculate a *P*-value for each

query. Then, we corrected the distribution of all *P*-values per query region using the *p.adjust()* R

function with the fdr method. Next, we classified a query window to be under positive selection

if the *P*-adjusted value was < 0.05. We were unable to successfully run adaptiPhy on two

windows because the outgroup species (Bat_CoV_BM48) contained a deletion of 406 bp relative

to SARS-CoV-2, which spans the entire ORF8.

Next, we calculated the distribution of substitution rates for each branch and nodes in each query

and reference sequence using *phyloFit* (Hubisz, Pollard & Siepel, 2011). To visualize the

strength of selection comprehensively, we computed the statistic ζ (zeta), representing the

evolutionary rate. To calculate this rate, we compared the substitution rate in the query with their

respective reference alignments. This parameter, "ζ", is analogous to ω (omega), the ratio of

dN/dS, where a value of $\omega<1$ indicates constraint or negative selection; a value of $\omega=1$ indicates

neutrality; and a value of $\omega>1$ indicates positive selection (Wong & Nielsen, 2004).

Testing for Conservation

To test for conservation, we used the *phastCons* computational method from PHAST (Siepel et al., 2005; Hubisz, Pollard & Siepel, 2011). To run this tool, we used the models obtained with *phyloFit* for the reference alignments and then, we generated an average estimate of the conserved and non-conserved states of the models with *phyloBoot* (Hubisz, Pollard & Siepel, 2011). Finally, we run the final analysis using *phastCons* on the query alignments using the previous models to generate *phastCons* values for each base-pair along the sequence. To plot these we took the average from each alignment and plot it using the library Gviz and Bioconductor (Hahne & Ivanek, 2016) in R.

Testing for Recombination

Inference of branch specific selection can be confounded by recombination given that a single phylogenetic tree may not explain the evolution of viruses. Recombination is common in coronaviruses (Hon et al., 2008; Graham & Baric, 2010; Lau et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020b; Lam et al., 2020) and it should be accounted for as an alternative explanation of selection at the nucleotide level. Here, we screened for evidence of recombination in two ways, one, by estimating phylogenetic trees in sliding windows of 500 bp and a step of 150 along coronavirus alignment using RaXML-NG v0.9 (Kozlov et al., 2019).

Evaluating polymorphic diversity in the pandemics of 2020

We downloaded complete sequences of SARS-CoV-2 genomes from the NCBI Virus database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/virus/vssi/#/virus?SeqType_s=Nucleotide). As of June 26, 2020, we obtained and aligned 5597 SARS-CoV-2 genomes sequenced worldwide. To align these sequences, we used MAFFT (Katoh & Standley, 2013) plugin from Geneious Prime 2.1 (Kearse et al., 2012), eliminating 597 sequences with the highest number of differences and ambiguities relative to the reference sequence (RefSeq: NC_045512.2), for a total of 5,000 sequences. Next, we estimated the frequency of SNP variants using the Find Variations/SNPs tool with a minimum coverage of 4,900 sequences and a minimum frequency of 0.01, to identify nucleotide variants among a subset of high quality sequenced genomes in order to evaluate ongoing evolution in the regions under positive selection.

Analysis of RNA and Protein structures

To investigate potential structural changes in Nsp4 and Nsp16 at both the RNA and protein level, we performed minimum free energy (MFE) prediction analysis using the RNAfold WebServer

(Gruber et al., 2008; Lorenz et al., 2011) and consensus homology modeling using PHYRE2's

intensive mode (Kelley et al., 2016). These analyses were performed for both Nsp4 and Nsp16

sequences for SARS-CoV-2, Bat-CoV_RaTG13, Pan-CoV-Guangdong, and SARS-CoV.

RNAfold uses a loop-based energy model and a dynamic programming algorithm to predict the

structure of the sequence such that the free energy of the structure is minimized. The RNAfold

WebServer generates graphical outputs for both the MFE and Centroid structures, which display

the base pairing probabilities by color (blue = 0, red = 1). These two MFE structures correspond

to the MFE and the Centroid traces in the mountain plot, which is a positional representation of

the secondary structure. In our figures, we show the MFE structure prediction.

PHYRE2 aligns input protein sequences using Position-Specific Iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST)

against sequences of experimentally resolved protein structures. A 3D model of the input

sequence is then constructed based on homology-matched templates, optimizing for greatest

sequence coverage and highest confidence. Regions of the input sequence without a matching

template sequence are modeled *ab initio* and with Poing, a multi-template modelling tool.

Pairwise comparisons of predicted protein structures were visualized using PyMOL software

(DeLano, 2002). Alignment and structural comparisons performed by FATCAT (Ye & Godzik,

2004).

Results

Positive and negative selection are highly localized within coronavirus genomes

We tested for branch-specific selection on nucleotide sequences in coronavirus genomes,

focusing on six species from the *Sarbecovirus* Subgenus (Coronaviridae family) and Bat-CoV-

BM48-31/BGR/2008 as an outgroup. Using 300 bp windows with a step size of 150 bp, we

scanned the genome alignment for concentrations of fixed mutations that exceed the neutral

expectation based on the genome as whole relative to that particular window's evolutionary

history among the seven species. This test identifies regions of the genome showing the most

extreme divergence in nucleotide sequence on a particular branch relative to its specific

background rate of evolution across the entire phylogeny and without reference to the genetic

code. Fig 1A shows windows of inferred positive selection (red dots) on the branch leading to

each species. The results reveal several signals of positive selection that are unique to a single

species and others that are recapitulated in multiple species. The latter finding suggests that some

segments of the viral genome have repeatedly experienced adaptive modification. In general, the

distribution of positive selection is more similar in closely related species than in divergent ones

(Figs 1A and 1B), suggesting that some molecular functions have been altered over an interval

that extends beyond the origin of a single species but not across the entire Sarbecovirus

radiation.

Next, we identified regions of the genome that are highly conserved across the sarbecovirus

genomes examined in this study using PhastCons (Siepel et al., 2005) (Fig 2A). As with positive

selection, conservation is highly localized (Figs 2A and 2B). Based on a criterion of PhastCons >

0.9, we found high levels of conservation in regions encoding seven proteins: 3CL-Pro, Nsp6,

Nsp8, Nsp9, Nsp10, Nsp11, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), Protein 3a, Nucleocapsid

phosphoprotein, and Envelope (Figs 2A-D). These loci of exceptional sequence conservation

highlight critical molecular features: Nucleocapsid and Envelope are essential structural proteins

of the coronavirus capsid, while the other proteins regulate a variety of molecular process during

viral replication (Tan et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2006; Minakshi et al., 2009; Freundt et al., 2010;

Fuchs, 2012; Yue et al., 2018).

The gene encoding Spike protein is under persistent positive selection

In all ingroup species examined we detected signals of positive selection within the S

gene, which encodes the Spike protein, and in five of the six species this was the most prominent

signal in the entire genome (Fig 1A). This finding confirms previous studies that used the dN/dS

ratio to test for selection on protein function (Tang et al., 2020; Chaw et al., 2020; Li et al.,

2020a). Interestingly, we observed that the specific regions showing signatures of positive

selection differed between species (Figs 1B and 1C). In SARS-CoV-2, we detected signals of

positive selection in four segments of the S gene. First, the region encoding the entire receptor

binding domain (RBD) shows an extended signal (Figs 1B-C and 3A); as others have noted,

structural changes in this region may improve binding to human ACE2 (Wang et al., 2020;

Wrapp et al., 2020; Wang, Liu & Gao, 2020). The second segment encodes another externally

facing region, the S1 subunit N-terminal (NTD) domain, which includes the first disulfide bond

(amino acids 13 - 113) and several glycosylation sites. The third signal of positive selection

within S is located around the derived furin cleavage site (amino acids 664 - 812) that has been

found to be essential for infection of lung cells (Hoffmann, Kleine-Weber & Pöhlmann, 2020).

The fourth signal is located in a segment encoding the S2 and S2' subunits that includes the

Heptad repeat 2 (amino acids 1114 - 1213). These heptad repeats were previously associated

with episodes of selection for amino acids that increase the stability of the six-helix bundle

formed by both heptad repeats in MERS and other coronaviruses (Forni et al., 2015); they are

also thought to determine host expansions and therefore, facilitate virus cross-species

transmission (Graham & Baric, 2010).

The distribution of inferred positive selection in the S gene of SARS-CoV differed from that of

SARS-CoV-2 described above. Notably, there was no signal in the ACE2 binding domain (Figs

1B and 1C). Moreover, a signal was present throughout the N-terminal domain and in the

boundary region between the S1 and the S2 subunits (Fig 1), a region that includes the

proteolytic cleavage (M de Haan et al., 2004). Interestingly, this region evolved a novel furin

cleavage site in SARS-CoV-2 that may increase the cleavage efficiency and cell-cell fusion

activity and changes in the virulence of the virion as seen in mutant studies of SARS-CoV and

SARS-CoV-2 (Follis, York & Nunberg, 2006; Hoffmann, Kleine-Weber & Pöhlmann, 2020).

Genes encoding Nsp4 and Nsp16 contain branch-specific signals of positive selection

We also detected two shorter signals of positive selection within the SARS-CoV-2

genome that are located outside of the S gene, in ORF1a and ORF1b (Fig 1A). Interestingly,

both encode small proteins that contribute to viral replication. The first is Nsp4, which encodes a

membrane-bound protein with a cytoplasmic C-terminal domain; it is thought to anchor the

Viral-Replication-Transcription Complex (RTC) to the modified endoplasmic reticulum

membranes in the host cell (Oostra et al., 2008; Hagemeijer et al., 2011, 2014; Snijder, Decroly

& Ziebuhr, 2016). The SARS-CoV-2 Nsp4 protein differs from that of closely related

sarbecoviruses by two nearly adjacent amino acids: V380A and V382I. Although this region of

the genome as a whole is not highly conserved (Fig 2), both of these positions are V residues in

all of the in-group species we examined except SARS-CoV-2 (Fig 3B and 4A). This signal is too

weak to be scored as positive selection using dN/dS-based tests (Sharp, 1997; Nielsen, 1997;

Yang & Nielsen, 2008) and indeed may not affect protein function given the biochemically

similar side-chains of the amino acids involved.

The second signal of positive selection outside of the S gene lies within Nsp16. This gene

encodes a 2'-O-methyltransferase that modifies the 5'-cap of viral mRNAs (Decroly et al., 2008;

Bouvet et al., 2010) and assists in evasion of the innate immune system of host cells (Züst et al.,

2011; Menachery, Debbink & Baric, 2014; Nelemans & Kikkert, 2019). Of note, this is the only

signal of positive selection within the SARS-CoV-2 genome that lacks any nonsynonymous

substitutions (Fig 2). All of the nucleotide substitutions in Nsp16 during the origin of SARS-

CoV-2 are synonymous, while the Nsp16 genes of SARS-CoV-2, Bat-Cov-RaTG13, and Pan-

CoV-GD (Guangdong) all encode identical proteins (Figs 3C and 5A). This suggests a complex

mechanism of selection in the form of purifying selection at the protein level and positive

selection at the nucleotide level. Ancestral state reconstruction of Nsp16 indicates that 20

synonymous substitutions likely occurred in the lineage leading to SARS-CoV-2 after the split

from the common ancestor with BatCoV-RaTG13, while 19 substitutions are synonymous

substitutions that occurred in the lineage leading to Bat-CoV-RaTG13 (Supplementary data).

Eleven of these twenty substitutions are concentrated within the region scoring high for positive

selection in SARS-CoV-2 and twelve within the positively selected region in Bat-CoV-RaTG13.

Surprised by these findings, we hypothesized that the Nsp16 RNA secondary structure may

differ among species in ways that affect molecular functions mediated directly (although not

solely) by RNA, such as replication, transcription, translation, or evasion of the host immune

system. To investigate this possibility, we first compared the secondary structure and minimum

free energy (MFE) of RNA in the vicinity of Nsp4 and Nsp16 among the genomes of SARS-

CoV-2, Bat-CoV-RaTG13, Pan-CoV-GD, and SARS-CoV using RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008).

Both the predicted secondary structures and mountain plots, which show the free energy

predictions along the length of the sequence by position, reveal differences in RNA folding

dynamics across the four species (Figs 4B and 5B). Analysis of the reconstructed sequence of the

SARS-CoV-2 + Bat-CoV-RaTG13 ancestor reveal that most of these differences evolved during

the origin of SARS-CoV-2 (S1 Fig). These differences among species in predicted secondary

structures within Nsp4 and Nsp16 stand in contrast to the 5' UTR, which is thought to fold into a

stable secondary structure that is markedly conserved among Sarbecovirus species (S3 Fig).

Together, these observations suggest that the signal of positive selection within Nsp16 in the

SARS-CoV-2 genome may reflect changes in RNA, rather than protein, function that are unique

to this species of coronavirus.

While the focus here is on SARS-CoV-2, it is worth noting that we also detected signals of

positive selection outside of the S gene in the other sarbecovirus genomes examined here. The

distribution of positive selection in the genome of SARS-CoV, for instance, shows some

similarities to, but also notable differences from, that of SARS-Cov-2 (Fig 1). In both species, S

and Nsp16 contain signals of positive selection, although in distinct regions of the two genes (Fig

1). In addition, the genome of SARS-CoV contains signals of positive selection in Nsp2, Nsp3,

and ORF3a, none of which shows elevated rates of substitution in SARS-CoV-2. The first two

genes encode proteins with important roles in viral replication: Nsp2 may disrupt intracellular

signaling in the host cell (Cornillez-Ty et al., 2009) while Nsp3 cleaves itself, Nsp1, and Nsp2

from the replicase polyproteins (Báez-Santos, St. John & Mesecar, 2015), assists in the assembly

of the double membrane vesicles of the RTC system (Hagemeijer et al., 2014), and antagonizes

the host innate immune response (Tsuchida, Kawai & Akira, 2009; Frieman et al., 2009;

Matthews et al., 2014).

Recombination does not account for most signals of positive selection

Recombination from another species can be a confounding factor in the inference of positive

selection using the framework employed here, because the inserted genomic segment may be

more divergent than the rest of the foreground genome is from nearby background species.

Several instances of recombination have been reported in coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV-2

(Hon et al., 2008; Lam et al., 2020; Boni et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020a), making it important to

distinguish regions of recombination from positive selection. The two processes produce distinct

genetic signatures, with recombination the result of a single event (possibly later further

recombined) and positive selection as detected here the result of multiple independent mutations

that were fixed over an extended interval and are spatially concentrated. In order to test for

regions of the SARS-CoV-2 that contain recombined segments from other species, we estimated

the phylogenetic history of 500 bp segments of the genome with a step size of 150 bp among the

aligned genomes of the seven species examined in this study. We used RaXML-NG v0.9

(Kozlov et al., 2019) to reconstruct topology for each segment independently and searched for

cases where the topology differed from the expected topology based on the entire genome: (Bat-

CoV-BM48, ((Bat-CoV-LYRa11, SARS-CoV), (Pa-CoV-GX, (Pa-CoV-GD, (SARS-CoV-2, Ba-

CoV-RaTG13)))). Recombination from a divergent species should produce an incongruent

topology in one or more adjacent windows, revealing a recombined region and its approximate

breakpoints. We identified 12 regions where the topology differed from the expected (Fig 6). Of

note, these regions are somewhat more concentrated in the part of the genome that encodes

structural proteins. Consistent with a previous report (Li et al., 2020a), we observed overlap

between regions scoring high for positive selection and recombination in S, the gene encoding

Spike protein (Fig 6M), specifically the region that encodes for the ACE2 binding domain and a

region that includes the furin-cleavage site (Figs 6F-G). Importantly, however, none of the
putatively recombined regions overlap with the windows scoring high for positive selection
within the genes encoding Nsp4 and Nsp16 proteins in SARS-CoV-2.

Recent changes in allele frequency may result from positive selection and hitch-hiking

To gain insight into the evolutionary mechanisms that have shaped genetic variation more
recently within the SARS-CoV-2 genome, we compiled a list of known mutations, based on
5,000 accessions sequenced since the beginning of the current pandemic (see Methods). As
expected, the vast majority of variants are singletons, representing either mutations that are not
segregating or sequencing errors. The density distribution of polymorphisms (regardless of
frequency) is elevated within 2-3 kb at both ends the SARS-CoV-2 genome (Fig 2D). The site-
frequency spectrum is strongly left-skewed (S2 Fig). Given that the effective population size of
SARS-CoV-2 is likely very large, this distribution suggests that most SNPs are not subject to
positive selection and that negative selection prevents most new mutations from rising in
frequency due to drift. However, we did observe four SNPs that are present at high alternative
allele frequency (AAF > 0.6) (Fig 2C), a situation that can reflect positive selection, drift, or
hitch-hiking. Interestingly, all four of these SNPs are in tight LD (Toyoshima et al., 2020), which
suggests that positive selection on one of them may have driven the other three to high frequency
due to hitch-hiking.

We next investigated the likely consequences for altered molecular function due to each of these
four high-frequency derived SNPs. Two are located within regions of the genome that are highly
conserved among sarbecovirus species (Figs 2B-C). The first is a C>U substitution at position
241 in the 5'UTR, a region of the genome where RNA secondary structure is highly conserved
across Coronavirus species (Madhugiri et al., 2016; Rangan et al., 2020; Alhatlani, 2020). Using
RNAfold (Gruber et al., 2008) we found that this C>U transition had no impact on the stem-loop
structure established for SARS-CoV (S3 Fig). The other mutation in a conserved region of the
genome is a nonsynonymous substitution in the RdRp gene (14,408; P323L) at the interface
domain, which is thought to mediate protein-protein interactions (Pachetti et al., 2020; Hillen et
al., 2020). Because proline residues can influence secondary structure, we used PHYRE2 to
predict the impact of the P232L mutation on protein structure. Comparison of the two predicted
structures using FATCAT shows they are nearly identical (Table S1). The other two high-
frequency derived SNPs are located in regions that are neither highly conserved nor highly

divergent. One is a synonymous SNP in Nsp3 (3,037) and the other a nonsynonymous SNP in S

(23,403; D614G). This last SNP effectively removes a charged side-chain between the receptor

binding domain and the furin cleavage site of S, a region of recurrent positive selection among

the Sarbecovirus species we examined. Thus, of the four high-frequency derived SNPs, the

nonsynonymous substitution in S the most plausible candidate for altering molecular function

and thus becoming a target of natural selection.

Discussion

A crucial feature contributing to the global spread of Covid19 is that viral shedding starts before

the onset of symptoms (He et al., 2020); in contrast, shedding began two to ten days after the

onset of symptoms during the SARS epidemic of 2003 (Peiris et al., 2003; Pitzer, Leung &

Lipsitch, 2007). This striking difference suggests that one or more molecular mechanisms during

host cell invasion, virus replication, or immune avoidance may have changed during the origin of

SARS-CoV-2. Mutations contributing to viral transmission would likely be favored by natural

selection, making tests for positive selection a useful tool for identifying candidate genetic

changes responsible for the unique properties of SARS-CoV-2. Here, we searched for regions of

possible positive selection within the genomes of six coronavirus species, including SARS-CoV

and SARS-CoV-2. The method we used tests for an excess of branch-specific nucleotide

substitutions within a defined window relative to a neutral expectation for divergence in that

window and without regard to the genetic code (Wong & Nielsen, 2004; Haygood et al., 2007;

Berrio, Haygood & Wray, 2020).

Several prior studies have identified *S*, the gene encoding the Spike glycoprotein, as a target of

recurrent positive selection in coronavirus genomes, including SARS-CoV-2, based on ω, the

ratio of synonymous to nonsynonymous substitutions (Andersen et al., 2020; Cagliani et al.,

2020; Tang et al., 2020; Armijos☐Jaramillo et al., 2020; Lam et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020a). S

thus serves as a positive control for our ability to detect signals of positive selection using a

different approach, which uses a likelihood ratio framework to identify regions of elevated,

branch-specific nucleotide substitution rates relative to a model that allows only drift (Wong &

Nielsen, 2004; Haygood et al., 2007; Berrio, Haygood & Wray, 2020). Consistent with this

expectation, we found that portions of the gene encoding Spike showed a striking elevation of

sequence divergence relative to the rest of the genome on the branches leading to all six species

examined. The specific regions of S containing high divergence differs markedly, however,

among species (Fig 1B). In SARS-CoV and Bat-CoV-LYRa11, these regions include the N-

terminal region, which contains glycosylation sites important for viral camouflage (Watanabe et

al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020) and a site of proteolytic cleavage that allows entry into the host cell

(Belouzard, Chu & Whittaker, 2009) (Fig 1C and 3A). In contrast, signals of positive selection in

SARS-CoV-2 and Bat-CoV-RaTG13 are concentrated in the domain that mediates binding to the

host receptor ACE2 (Fig 1C and 3A). These distinct distributions suggest that modifications in

different aspects of Spike function took place as various coronaviruses adapted to novel hosts. In

particular, the concentration of derived amino acid substitutions in the receptor binding domain

of Spike (Figs 1B and 1C) in SARS-CoV-2 and Bat-CoV-RaTG13 may reflect selection for

amino acid substitutions that result in higher affinity for ACE2 protein in different host species.

Importantly, we also detected signals of positive selection in two additional regions of the

SARS-CoV-2 genome, specifically within the genes encoding Nsp4 and Nsp16 (Fig 1A). Of

note, the Nsp16 region also shows a parallel signal of positive selection on the branch leading to

SARS-CoV. To our knowledge, this is the first report of possible adaptive change in molecular

function during the evolutionary origin of SARS-CoV-2 outside of the gene encoding Spike

protein. Prior scans for positive selection within the SARS-CoV-2 genome used elevated ω as

the signal of positive selection, which restricts attention to positive selection based on changes in

protein function. For coronaviruses this is a notable limitation, given that many aspects of the

lifecycle involve RNA function (Madhugiri et al., 2016; Ziv et al., 2020; Alhatlani, 2020). In

addition, the secondary structure of some segments within the RNA genome is well conserved

among coronavirus species, which implies a functional role (Rangan et al., 2020; Sanders et al.,

2020; Huston et al., 2020a). Indeed, the SARS-CoV-2 genome is reported to contain more well-

structured regions than any other known virus, including both coding and noncoding regions of

the genome (Huston et al., 2020a). We therefore examined nucleotide substitutions within

regions of putative positive selection in Nsp4 and Nsp16 for their likely impact on both protein

and RNA structure (Fig 4 and 5).

In the case of Nsp4 protein, two nearly adjacent nonsynonymous substitutions at residues 380

and 382 occurred on the branch leading to SARS-CoV-2 (Fig 3B). These both involve changing

side chains with similar biochemical properties, respectively valine to alanine and valine to

isoleucine. Homology-directed modeling of protein structure suggests that these two amino acid

substitutions have very little impact on either secondary or tertiary structure when comparing the

SARS-CoV-2 protein orthologue to those of the other species examined (Fig 4A). In the case of

Nsp16 protein, no nonsynonymous substitutions evolved on the branch leading to SARS-CoV-2.

Thus, the signal of positive selection within Nsp4 is unlikely to reflect changes in protein

structure or function, while the signal within Nsp16 cannot affect either because the encoded

polypeptide is identical.

With highly similar and identical protein structures predicted for Nsp4 and Nsp16, respectively,

we considered the possibility that the signals of positive selection instead reflect changes in RNA

structure and function. Previous studies found that neither the Nsp4 nor Nsp16 regions stand out

as particularly well folded regions of the genome, although Nsp16 does contain a single well-

folded region and Nsp4 two moderately well folded regions (Rangan et al., 2020; Huston et al.,

2020b). Further, both genes show significantly decreased sequence divergence among

coronavirus species within predicted double-stranded regions] (Rangan et al., 2020; Sanders et

al., 2020; Huston et al., 2020a). Indeed, the well-folded region within Nsp16 is the only such

region in the SARS-CoV-2 genome that is also well conserved among related coronaviruses

(Sanders et al., 2020). These published observations suggest possible functional roles for folded

structures within Nsp4 and Nsp16. Our minimum free energy (MFE) predictions reveal that the

likely secondary structure of the RNA genome in the region of the Nsp4 and Nsp16 genes likely

differs among the six coronavirus species we examined (Fig 4B and 5B, top rows). The MFE

predictions also indicate differences among species in entropy across the regions containing the

signals of positive selection, indicating likely differences in the stability of the folded molecule

(Fig 4B and 5B, bottom rows). Together, these new results indicate that the folded regions of

Nsp4 and Nsp16 in the SARS-Cov-2 genome may differ in shape from those of related

coronaviruses.

Unfortunately, little is currently known about the molecular functions of secondary structures in

coronavirus genomes. Most of the attention has been directed towards the 5' UTR, 3' UTR, and

frameshift element at the junction between Orf1a and Orf1b, which together contain the most

well-folded regions in the SARS-CoV-2 genome (Andrews et al., 2020; Sanders et al., 2020;

Huston et al., 2020a). Thus, it is not possible at this time to link structural and thermodynamic

features within Nsp4 and Nsp16 that are unique to SARS-CoV-2 to specific molecular functions.

As discussed above, however, published evidence suggests that RNA secondary structures within

these regions of the genome may be functional (Rangan et al., 2020; Sanders et al., 2020; Huston

et al., 2020a). These functions could, in principle, affect genome or transcript function, or both.

Plausible possibilities include secondary structures that recruit specific RNA-binding proteins to

mediate transcriptional regulation or transcript processing (Pirakitikulr et al., 2016; Pan et al.,

2020), that mediate looping for other reasons (Gebhard, Filomatori & Gamarnik, 2011; Ziv et al.,

2020), or that simply facilitate or impede processivity of the replication or translation machinery

(MacFadden et al., 2018).

Conclusions

Scans for positive selection typically focus on changes in protein function and far less often

consider the possibility of adaptive change in RNA function. By shining a light on regions of the

SARS-CoV-2 genome that appear to be under positive selection yet are unlikely to alter protein

function, our results illustrate the value of evaluating the potential for adaptive changes in

secondary structures within the genomes of RNA viruses. In particular, we identify Nsp4 and

Nsp16 as regions of the SARS-Cov-2 genome that may contain mutations that contribute to the

unique biological and epidemiological features of this recently emerged pathogen.

While it is tempting to speculate about the possible adaptive role of changes in RNA structure

within these accelerated regions, we suggest that this is best done in the context of relevant

experimental results. For example, it might be informative to modify the primary sequence of the

genome so as to encode the same protein sequence while altering or disrupting secondary

structure within Nsp4 or Nsp16, then assay the consequences for viral replication and for specific

molecular functions. We hope that our results inspire these or other experiments aimed at better

understand the evolving functions of RNA secondary structure within the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

Acknowledgements

489   The authors would like to thank all the members of the lab groups of David McClay and

490 Greg Wray for comments and the Compact for Open-Access Publishing Equity (COPE) program

491 at Duke University for supporting publication costs.

References

493 Alhatlani BY. 2020. In silico identification of conserved cis -acting RNA elements in the SARS-

494   CoV-2 genome. *Future Virology*:fvl-2020-0163. DOI: 10.2217/fvl-2020-0163.

495   Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. 2020. The proximal origin of

496   SARS-CoV-2. *Nature Medicine* 26:450–452. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9.

497   Andrews RJ, Peterson JM, Haniff HS, Chen J, Williams C, Grefe M, Disney MD, Moss WN.

498   2020. An in silico map of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA Structurome. *bioRxiv□: the preprint*

499   *server for biology*:2020.04.17.045161. DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.17.045161.

500   Armijos□Jaramillo V, Yeager J, Muslin C, Perez□Castillo Y. 2020. SARS□CoV□2, an

501   evolutionary perspective of interaction with human ACE2 reveals undiscovered amino acids

502   necessary for complex stability. *Evolutionary Applications*:eva.12980. DOI:

503   10.1111/eva.12980.

504   Báez-Santos YM, St. John SE, Mesecar AD. 2015. The SARS-coronavirus papain-like protease:

505   Structure, function and inhibition by designed antiviral compounds. *Antiviral Research*

506   115:21–38. DOI: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2014.12.015.

507   Belouzard S, Chu VC, Whittaker GR. 2009. Activation of the SARS coronavirus spike protein

508   via sequential proteolytic cleavage at two distinct sites. *Proceedings of the National*

509   *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106:5871–5876. DOI:

510   10.1073/pnas.0809524106.

511   Berrio A, Haygood R, Wray GA. 2020. Identifying branch-specific positive selection throughout

512   the regulatory genome using an appropriate proxy neutral. *BMC Genomics* 21:359. DOI:

513   10.1186/s12864-020-6752-4.

514   Boni MF, Lemey P, Jiang X, Lam TT-Y, Perry BW, Castoe TA, Rambaut A, Robertson DL.

515   2020. Evolutionary origins of the SARS-CoV-2 sarbecovirus lineage responsible for the

516   COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature Microbiology*:2020.03.30.015008. DOI: 10.1038/s41564-

517   020-0771-4.

518   Bouvet M, Debarnot C, Imbert I, Selisko B, Snijder EJ. 2010. In Vitro Reconstitution of SARS-

519   Coronavirus mRNA Cap Methylation. *PLoS Pathog* 6:1000863. DOI:

520   10.1371/journal.ppat.1000863.

521   Cagliani R, Forni D, Clerici M, Sironi M. 2020. Computational Inference of Selection

522   Underlying the Evolution of the Novel Coronavirus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome

523   Coronavirus 2 Downloaded from. *jvi.asm.org 1 Journal of Virology* 94:411–431. DOI:

524   10.1128/JVI.00411-20.

525   Chaw S-M, Tai J-H, Chen S-L, Hsieh C-H, Chang S-Y, Yeh S-H, Yang W-S, Chen P-J, Wang

H-Y. 2020. The origin and underlying driving forces of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. *Journal of biomedical science* 27:73. DOI: 10.1186/s12929-020-00665-8.

Cornillez-Ty CT, Liao L, Yates Iii JR, Kuhn P, Buchmeier MJ. 2009. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 2 Interacts with a Host Protein Complex Involved in Mitochondrial Biogenesis and Intracellular Signaling. *JOURNAL OF VIROLOGY* 83:10314–10318. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.00842-09.

Decroly E, Imbert I, Coutard B, Bouvet M, Selisko B, Alvarez K, Gorbalenya AE, Snijder EJ, Canard B. 2008. Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 16 Is a Cap-0 Binding Enzyme Possessing (Nucleoside-2′O)-Methyltransferase Activity. *Journal of Virology* 82:8071–8084. DOI: 10.1128/jvi.00407-08.

DeLano WL. 2002. Pymol: An open-source molecular graphics tool. :40, 82–92.

Eaaswarkhanth M, Al Madhoun A, Al-Mulla F. 2020. Could the D614G substitution in the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein be associated with higher COVID-19 mortality? *International journal of infectious diseases: IJID: official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases* 96:459–460. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.05.071.

Fehr AR, Perlman S. 2015. Coronaviruses: An overview of their replication and pathogenesis. In: *Coronaviruses: Methods and Protocols*. Springer New York, 1–23. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2438-7_1.

Follis KE, York J, Nunberg JH. 2006. Furin cleavage of the SARS coronavirus spike glycoprotein enhances cell-cell fusion but does not affect virion entry. *Virology* 350:358–369. DOI: 10.1016/j.virol.2006.02.003.

Forni D, Filippi G, Cagliani R, De Gioia L, Pozzoli U, Al-Daghri N, Clerici M, Sironi M. 2015. The heptad repeat region is a major selection target in MERS-CoV and related coronaviruses. *Scientific Reports* 5:1–10. DOI: 10.1038/srep14480.

Freundt EC, Yu L, Goldsmith CS, Welsh S, Cheng A, Yount B, Liu W, Frieman MB, Buchholz UJ, Screaton GR, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Zaki SR, Xu X-N, Baric RS, Subbarao K, Lenardo MJ. 2010. The Open Reading Frame 3a Protein of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Associated Coronavirus Promotes Membrane Rearrangement and Cell Death. *Journal of Virology* 84:1097–1109. DOI: 10.1128/jvi.01662-09.

Frieman M, Ratia K, Johnston RE, Mesecar AD, Baric RS. 2009. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain-like protease ubiquitin-like domain and catalytic domain

regulate antagonism of IRF3 and NF-kappaB signaling. *Journal of virology* 83:6689–705.

DOI: 10.1128/JVI.02220-08.

Fuchs SY. 2012. Ubiquitination-mediated regulation of interferon responses. *Growth Factors*

30:141–148. DOI: 10.3109/08977194.2012.669382.

Gallagher TM, Buchmeier MJ. 2001. Coronavirus Spike Proteins in Viral Entry and

Pathogenesis. *Virology* 279:371–374. DOI: 10.1006/viro.2000.0757.

Gebhard LG, Filomatori C V., Gamarnik A V. 2011. Functional RNA elements in the dengue

virus genome. *Viruses* 3:1739–1756. DOI: 10.3390/v3091739.

Graham RL, Baric RS. 2010. Recombination, reservoirs, and the modular spike: mechanisms of

coronavirus cross-species transmission. *Journal of virology* 84:3134–46. DOI:

10.1128/JVI.01394-09.

Gruber AR, Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Neuböck R, Hofacker IL. 2008. The Vienna RNA websuite.

Nucleic acids research 36:70–74. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkn188.

Hagemeijer MC, Monastyrska I, Griffith J, van der Sluijs P, Voortman J, van Bergen en

Henegouwen PM, Vonk AM, Rottier PJM, Reggiori F, de Haan CAM. 2014. Membrane

rearrangements mediated by coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3 and 4. *Virology* 458–

459:125–135. DOI: 10.1016/j.virol.2014.04.027.

Hagemeijer MC, Ulasli M, Vonk AM, Reggiori F, Rottier PJM, de Haan CAM. 2011. Mobility

and Interactions of Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 4. *Journal of Virology* 85:4572–4577.

DOI: 10.1128/JVI.00042-11.

Hahne F, Ivanek R. 2016. Visualizing genomic data using Gviz and bioconductor. In: *Methods in*

Molecular Biology. Humana Press Inc., 335–351. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3578-9_16.

Haygood R, Fedrigo O, Hanson B, Yokoyama K-D, Wray GA. 2007. Promoter regions of many

neural- and nutrition-related genes have experienced positive selection during human

evolution. *Nature genetics* 39:1140–4. DOI: 10.1038/ng2104.

He X, Lau EHY, Wu P, Deng X, Wang J, Hao X, Lau YC, Wong JY, Guan Y, Tan X, Mo X,

Chen Y, Liao B, Chen W, Hu F, Zhang Q, Zhong M, Wu Y, Zhao L, Zhang F, Cowling BJ,

Li F, Leung GM. 2020. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of

COVID-19. *Nature Medicine* 26:672–675. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-020-0869-5.

Hillen HS, Kokic G, Farnung L, Dienemann C, Tegunov D, Cramer P. 2020. Structure of

replicating SARS-CoV-2 polymerase. *Nature*. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2368-8.

Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pöhlmann S. 2020. A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike
Protein of SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. *Molecular Cell*
78:779-784.e5. DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.022.

Hon C-C, Lam T-Y, Shi Z-L, Drummond AJ, Yip C-W, Zeng F, Lam P-Y, Chi F, Leung C.
2008. Evidence of the Recombinant Origin of a Bat Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
(SARS)-Like Coronavirus and Its Implications on the Direct Ancestor of SARS
Coronavirus. *JOURNAL OF VIROLOGY* 82:1819–1826. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.01926-07.

Hu B, Zeng L-P, Yang X-L, Ge X-Y, Zhang W, Li B, Xie J-Z, Shen X-R, Zhang Y-Z, Wang N,
Luo D-S, Zheng X-S, Wang M-N, Daszak P, Wang L-F, Cui J, Shi Z-L. 2017. Discovery of
a rich gene pool of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provides new insights into the origin of
SARS coronavirus. *PLOS Pathogens* 13:e1006698. DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006698.

Hubisz MJ, Pollard KS, Siepel A. 2011. PHAST and RPHAST: phylogenetic analysis with
space/time models. *Briefings in bioinformatics* 12:41–51. DOI: 10.1093/bib/bbq072.

Hulswit RJG, de Haan CAM, Bosch BJ. 2016. Coronavirus Spike Protein and Tropism Changes.
In: *Advances in Virus Research*. Academic Press Inc., 29–57. DOI:
10.1016/bs.aivir.2016.08.004.

Huston NC, Wan H, Araujo Tavares R de C, Wilen C, Pyle AM. 2020a. Comprehensive in-vivo
secondary structure of the SARS-CoV-2 genome reveals novel regulatory motifs and
mechanisms. *bioRxiv□: the preprint server for biology*:1–46. DOI:
10.1101/2020.07.10.197079.

Huston NC, Wan H, Araujo Tavares R de C, Wilen C, Pyle AM. 2020b. Comprehensive in-vivo
secondary structure of the SARS-CoV-2 genome reveals novel regulatory motifs and
mechanisms. *bioRxiv□: the preprint server for biology*:1–46. DOI:
10.1101/2020.07.10.197079.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:772–780.
DOI: 10.1093/molbev/mst010.

Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A,
Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious
Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and
analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 28:1647–9. DOI:

10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.

Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. 2016. The Phyre2 web portal for

protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols* 10:845–858. DOI:

10.1038/nprot.2015.053.

Kim D, Lee J-Y, Yang J-S, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020. The Architecture of SARS-CoV-

2 Transcriptome. *Cell* 181:914-921.e10. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.011.

Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, Yoon H, Theiler J, Abfalterer W, Hengartner N, Giorgi

EE, Bhattacharya T, Foley B, Hastie KM, Parker MD, Partridge DG, Evans CM, Freeman

TM, de Silva TI, Sheffield COVID-19 Genomics Group, McDanal C, Perez LG, Tang H,

Moon-Walker A, Whelan SP, LaBranche CC, Saphire EO, Montefiori DC. 2020. Tracking

Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that D614G Increases Infectivity of the COVID-

19 Virus. *Cell*. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.043.

Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A, Wren J. 2019. RAxML-NG: A fast,

scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.

Bioinformatics 35:4453–4455. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz305.

Lam TT-Y, Jia N, Zhang Y-W, Shum MH-H, Jiang J-F, Zhu H-C, Tong Y-G, Shi Y-X, Ni X-B,

Liao Y-S, Li W-J, Jiang B-G, Wei W, Yuan T-T, Zheng K, Cui X-M, Li J, Pei G-Q, Qiang

X, Cheung WY-M, Li L-F, Sun F-F, Qin S, Huang J-C, Leung GM, Holmes EC, Hu Y-L,

Guan Y, Cao W-C. 2020. Identifying SARS-CoV-2-related coronaviruses in Malayan

pangolins. *Nature* 583:282–285. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2169-0.

Lau SKP, Feng Y, Chen H, Luk HKH, Yang W-H, Li KSM, Zhang Y-Z, Huang Y, Song Z-Z,

Chow W-N, Fan RYY, Ahmed SS, Yeung HC, Lam CSF, Cai J-P, Wong SSY, Chan JFW,

Yuen K-Y, Zhang H-L, Woo PCY. 2015. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS)

Coronavirus ORF8 Protein Is Acquired from SARS-Related Coronavirus from Greater

Horseshoe Bats through Recombination. *Journal of Virology* 89:10532–10547. DOI:

10.1128/jvi.01048-15.

Li X, Giorgi EE, Marichannegowda MH, Foley B, Xiao C, Kong X-P, Chen Y, Gnanakaran S,

Korber B, Gao F. 2020a. Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 through recombination and strong

purifying selection. *Science Advances* 6:eabb9153. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abb9153.

Li Y, Yang X, Wang N, Wang H, Yin B, Yang X, Jiang W. 2020b. The divergence between

SARS-CoV-2 and RaTG13 might be overestimated due to the extensive RNA modification.

Future Virology:fvl-2020-0066. DOI: 10.2217/fvl-2020-0066.

Liu P, Chen W, Chen J-P. 2019. Viral Metagenomics Revealed Sendai Virus and Coronavirus

Infection of Malayan Pangolins (Manis javanica). *Viruses* 11:979. DOI:

10.3390/v11110979.

Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Höner zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF, Hofacker IL.

2011. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. *Algorithms for Molecular Biology* 6:26. DOI:

10.1186/1748-7188-6-26.

Lu W, Zheng BJ, Xu K, Schwarz W, Du L, Wong CKL, Chen J, Duan S, Deubel V, Sun B.

2006. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus 3a protein forms an ion

channel and modulates virus release. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*

the United States of America 103:12540–12545. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0605402103.

M de Haan CA, Stadler K, Godeke G-J, Jan Bosch B, M Rottier PJ. 2004. Cleavage Inhibition of

the Murine Coronavirus Spike Protein by a Furin-Like Enzyme Affects Cell-Cell but Not

Virus-Cell Fusion Downloaded from. *JOURNAL OF VIROLOGY* 78:6048–6054. DOI:

10.1128/JVI.78.11.6048-6054.2004.

MacFadden A, Òdonoghue Z, Silva PAGC, Chapman EG, Olsthoorn RC, Sterken MG, Pijlman

GP, Bredenbeek PJ, Kieft JS. 2018. Mechanism and structural diversity of exoribonuclease-

resistant RNA structures in flaviviral RNAs. *Nature Communications* 9:1–11. DOI:

10.1038/s41467-017-02604-y.

Madhugiri R, Fricke M, Marz M, Ziebuhr J. 2016. Coronavirus cis-Acting RNA Elements. In:

Advances in Virus Research. Academic Press Inc., 127–163. DOI:

10.1016/bs.aivir.2016.08.007.

Matthews K, Schäfer A, Pham A, Frieman M. 2014. The SARS coronavirus papain like protease

can inhibit IRF3 at a post activation step that requires deubiquitination activity. *Virology*

Journal 11:209. DOI: 10.1186/s12985-014-0209-9.

Menachery VD, Debbink K, Baric RS. 2014. Coronavirus non-structural protein 16: Evasion,

attenuation, and possible treatments. *Virus Research* 194:191–199. DOI:

10.1016/j.virusres.2014.09.009.

Minakshi R, Padhan K, Rani M, Khan N, Ahmad F, Jameel S. 2009. The SARS Coronavirus 3a

protein causes endoplasmic reticulum stress and induces ligand-independent

downregulation of the type 1 interferon receptor. *PloS one* 4:e8342. DOI:

681 10.1371/journal.pone.0008342.

682 Nelemans T, Kikkert M. 2019. Viral innate immune evasion and the pathogenesis of emerging
683  RNA virus infections. *Viruses* 11. DOI: 10.3390/v11100961.

684 Nielsen R. 1997. The ratio of replacement to silent divergence and tests of neutrality. *Journal of*
685  *Evolutionary Biology* 10:217–231. DOI: 10.1046/j.1420-9101.1997.10020217.x.

686 Oostra M, Hagemeijer MC, van Gent M, Bekker CPJ, te Lintelo EG, Rottier PJM, de Haan
687  CAM. 2008. Topology and Membrane Anchoring of the Coronavirus Replication Complex:
688  Not All Hydrophobic Domains of nsp3 and nsp6 Are Membrane Spanning. *Journal of*
689  *Virology* 82:12392–12405. DOI: 10.1128/jvi.01219-08.

690 Pachetti M, Marini B, Benedetti F, Giudici F, Mauro E, Storici P, Masciovecchio C, Angeletti S,
691  Ciccozzi M, Gallo RC, Zella D, Ippodrino R. 2020. Emerging SARS-CoV-2 mutation hot
692  spots include a novel RNA-dependent-RNA polymerase variant. *Journal of Translational*
693  *Medicine* 18:1–9. DOI: 10.1186/s12967-020-02344-6.

694 Pan J, Qian X, Lattmann S, El Sahili A, Yeo TH, Jia H, Cressey T, Ludeke B, Noton S, Kalocsay
695  M, Fearns R, Lescar J. 2020. Structure of the human metapneumovirus polymerase
696  phosphoprotein complex. *Nature* 577:275–279. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1759-1.

697 Peiris JSM, Chu CM, Cheng VCC, Chan KS, Hung IFN, Poon LLM, Law KI, Tang BSF, Hon
698  TYW, Chan CS, Chan KH, Ng JSC, Zheng BJ, Ng WL, Lai RWM, Guan Y, Yuen KY.
699  2003. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-
700  associated SARS pneumonia: A prospective study. *Lancet* 361:1767–1772. DOI:
701  10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13412-5.

702 Pirakitikulr N, Kohlway A, Lindenbach BD, Pyle AM. 2016. The Coding Region of the HCV
703  Genome Contains a Network of Regulatory RNA Structures. *Molecular cell* 62:111–20.
704  DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.01.024.

705 Pitzer VE, Leung GM, Lipsitch M. 2007. Estimating variability in the transmission of severe
706  acute respiratory syndrome to household contacts in Hong Kong, China. *American Journal*
707  *of Epidemiology* 166:355–363. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwm082.

708 Pond SLK, Frost SDW, Muse S V. 2005. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using phylogenies.
709  *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 21:676–9. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti079.

710 Pond SLK, Poon AFY, Velazquez R, Weaver S, Hepler NL, Murrell B, Shank SD, Magalis BR,
711  Bouvier D, Nekrutenko A, Wisotsky S, Spielman SJ, Frost SDW, Muse S V. 2020. HyPhy

2.5-A Customizable Platform for Evolutionary Hypothesis Testing Using Phylogenies.

Molecular biology and evolution 37:295–299. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msz197.

Rangan R, Zheludev IN, Hagey RJ, Pham EA, Wayment-Steele HK, Glenn JS, Das R. 2020.

RNA genome conservation and secondary structure in SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-related

viruses: a first look. *RNA (New York, N.Y.)* 26:937–959. DOI: 10.1261/rna.076141.120.

Sanders W, Fritch EJ, Madden EA, Graham RL, Vincent HA, Heise MT, Baric RS, Moorman

NJ. 2020. Comparative analysis of coronavirus genomic RNA structure reveals

conservation in SARS-like coronaviruses. *bioRxiv : the preprint server for biology*. DOI:

10.1101/2020.06.15.153197.

Sharp PM. 1997. In search of molecular darwinism. *Nature* 385:111–112. DOI:

10.1038/385111a0.

Siepel A, Bejerano G, Pedersen JS, Hinrichs AS, Hou M, Rosenbloom K, Clawson H, Spieth J,

Hillier LDW, Richards S, Weinstock GM, Wilson RK, Gibbs RA, Kent WJ, Miller W,

Haussler D. 2005. Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast

genomes. *Genome Research* 15:1034–1050. DOI: 10.1101/gr.3715005.

Snijder EJ, Decroly E, Ziebuhr J. 2016. The Nonstructural Proteins Directing Coronavirus RNA

Synthesis and Processing. In: *Advances in Virus Research*. Academic Press Inc., 59–126.

DOI: 10.1016/bs.aivir.2016.08.008.

Tan Y-J, Tham P-Y, Chan DZL, Chou C-F, Shen S, Fielding BC, Tan THP, Lim SG, Hong W.

2005. The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 3a Protein Up-Regulates

Expression of Fibrinogen in Lung Epithelial Cells. *Journal of Virology* 79:10083–10087.

DOI: 10.1128/jvi.79.15.10083-10087.2005.

Tang X, Wu C, Li X, Song Y, Yao X, Wu X, Duan Y, Zhang H, Wang Y, Qian Z, Cui J, Lu J.

2020. On the origin and continuing evolution of SARS-CoV-2. *National Science Review*

7:1012–1023. DOI: 10.1093/nsr/nwaa036.

Tortorici MA, Veesler D. 2019. Structural insights into coronavirus entry. *Advances in virus*

research 105:93–116. DOI: 10.1016/bs.aivir.2019.08.002.

Toyoshima Y, Nemoto K, Matsumoto S, Nakamura Y, Kiyotani K. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 genomic

variations associated with mortality rate of COVID-19. *Journal of Human Genetics*:1–8.

DOI: 10.1038/s10038-020-0808-9.

Tsuchida T, Kawai T, Akira S. 2009. Inhibition of IRF3-dependent antiviral responses by

cellular and viral proteins. *Cell Research* 19:3–4. DOI: 10.1038/cr.2009.1.

Velazquez-Salinas L, Zarate S, Eberl S, Gladue DP, Novella I, Borca M V. 2020. Positive

selection of ORF3a and ORF8 genes drives the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 during the 2020

COVID-19 pandemic. *bioRxiv*:2020.04.10.035964. DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.10.035964.

Wang Y, Liu M, Gao J. 2020. Enhanced receptor binding of SARS-CoV-2 through networks of

hydrogen-bonding and hydrophobic interactions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America 117:13967–13974. DOI:

10.1073/pnas.2008209117.

Wang Q, Zhang Y, Wu L, Niu S, Song C, Zhang Z, Lu G, Qiao C, Hu Y, Yuen KY, Wang Q,

Zhou H, Yan J, Qi J. 2020. Structural and Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by Using

Human ACE2. *Cell* 181:894-904.e9. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045.

Watanabe Y, Bowden TA, Wilson IA, Crispin M. 2019. Exploitation of glycosylation in

enveloped virus pathobiology. *Biochimica et biophysica acta. General subjects* 1863:1480–

1497. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2019.05.012.

Wong WSW, Nielsen R. 2004. Detecting selection in noncoding regions of nucleotide

sequences. *Genetics* 167:949–58. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.102.010959.

Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh C-L, Abiona O, Graham BS, McLellan JS.

2020. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science*

367:1260–1263. DOI: 10.1126/science.abb2507.

Yang T-J, Chang Y-C, Ko T-P, Draczkowski P, Chien Y-C, Chang Y-C, Wu K-P, Khoo K-H,

Chang H-W, Hsu S-TD. 2020. Cryo-EM analysis of a feline coronavirus spike protein

reveals a unique structure and camouflaging glycans. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences 117:1438–1446. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1908898117.

Yang Z, Nielsen R. 2008. Mutation-selection models of codon substitution and their use to

estimate selective strengths on codon usage. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 25:568–579.

DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msm284.

Ye Y, Godzik A. 2004. FATCAT: a web server for flexible structure comparison and structure

similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32:W582–W585. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkh430.

Yue Y, Nabar NR, Shi CS, Kamenyeva O, Xiao X, Hwang IY, Wang M, Kehrl JH. 2018. SARS-

Coronavirus Open Reading Frame-3a drives multimodal necrotic cell death. *Cell Death and*

Disease 9:1–15. DOI: 10.1038/s41419-018-0917-y.

Ziv O, Price J, Shalamova L, Kamenova T, Goodfellow I, Weber F, Miska EA. 2020. The short-
and long-range RNA-RNA Interactome of SARS-CoV-2 Co-first authors.
bioRxiv:2020.07.19.211110. DOI: 10.1101/2020.07.19.211110.
Züst R, Cervantes-Barragan L, Habjan M, Maier R, Neuman BW, Ziebuhr J, Szretter KJ, Baker
SC, Barchet W, Diamond MS, Siddell SG, Ludewig B, Thiel V. 2011. Ribose 2'-O-
methylation provides a molecular signature for the distinction of self and non-self mRNA
dependent on the RNA sensor Mda5. *Nature immunology* 12:137–43. DOI:
10.1038/ni.1979.
782
783
784

Figure Captions

Fig 1. Distribution of evolutionary rate and positive selection across multiple species of

coronaviruses of the Sarbecovirus family. (A) Distribution of the evolutionary ratio, ζ, along

multiple viral genome alignments. Red dots imply significant values of zeta from the *adaptiPhy*

test, black dots represent neutral evolution or purifying selection in the foreground branch. (B)

Visualization of selection within Spike protein among species. Dark red symbolizes windows

where ζ is higher than 4, red is a significant ζ and gray, neutral or purifying selection in the

foreground branch. RBD = receptor binding domain. (C) Tertiary structure of Spike protein

depicting the location positive selection (left) and amino acid substitutions (right) in SARS-CoV-

2 and SARS-CoV.

Fig 2. Distribution of positive selection, phastcons conservation,and polymorphic

variation across the SARS-CoV-2 genome. (A) top panel represents the evolutionary rate (ζ)

with sites under significant branch specific selection as red dots, while the panel below depicts

conservation values (PhastCons) with highly conserved windows (PhastCons > 0.9) as blue

dots over the dashed line along the SARS-CoV-2 genome. (B) Alternative allele frequency for

5,000 high quality genomes available in NCBI. (C) Allele density in windows of 500 bp with a

step of 150 bp. Red boxes in B and C symbolize regions under positive selection, while blue

boxes represent high conservation. (D) Annotations for all the mature proteins known to be

expressed in SARS-CoV-2.

Fig 3. RNA and Protein sequences of Spike, Nsp4 and Nsp16. Each panel from A-C shows

selected RNA (top) and protein sequences (bottom) scoring high for positive selection in the

SARS-CoV-2 branch and other branches (highlighted in red). Changes respect to SARS-CoV-2

are highlighted in different colors.

Fig 4. Structural changes at the protein and RNA level of Nsp4. (A) Tertiary predictions of

Nsp4 of SARS-CoV-2 (red) overlapping with three other species (gray). We observe structural

differences in the overlap of Nsp4 between SARS-CoV-2 and Pa-CoV-GD, and SARS-CoV-2

and SARS-CoV (black arrows). (B) Thermodynamic ensemble predictions and MFE mountain

plots for Nsp16 in SARS-CoV-2, Bat-CoV-RaTG13, Pan-CoV (Guangdong) and SARS-CoV at

37 °C. Regions under positive selection shaded in red.

Fig 5. Structural changes at the protein and RNA level of Nsp16. (A) Tertiary predictions of

Nsp16 of SARS-CoV-2 (red) overlapping with three other species (gray). We observe structural

differences in the overlap of Nsp16 between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV (black arrows). (B)

Thermodynamic ensemble predictions and MFE mountain plots for Nsp16 in SARS-CoV-2, Bat-

CoV-RaTG13, Pan-CoV (Guangdong) and SARS-CoV at 37 °C. Regions under positive

selection shaded in red.

Fig 6. Flagged regions of the coronavirus genomes. (A)-(L) Tree topologies that were

different from the expected general topology (left). (M) Coronavirus genome track where the

regions scoring high for positive selection in SARS-CoV-2 are highlighted in red, regions with

unexpected RaXML trees are highlighted in dark gray.

SARS-CoV-2

Bat-CoV-RaTG13   Pa-CoV-GD   SARS-CoV

A

B

0   1

SARS-CoV-2   Bat-CoV-RaTG13   Pa-CoV-GD   SARS-CoV

A

SARS-CoV-2

Bat-CoV-RaTG13   Pa-CoV-GD   SARS-CoV

B

SARS-CoV-2   Bat-CoV-RaTG13   Pa-CoV-GD   SARS-CoV