RatsPub: a webservice aided by deep learning to mine PubMed for addiction-related genes

Mustafa Hakan Gunturkun[1], Efraim Flashner[2], Tengfei Wang[1], Megan K. Mulligan[2], Robert W. Williams[2], Pjotr Prins[2], Hao Chen[1,*]

1. Department of Pharmacology, Addiction Science and Toxicology, University of Tennessee Health Science, Memphis, TN 38103 USA
2. Department of Genetics, Genomics and Informatics, University of Tennessee Health Science, Memphis, TN 38103 USA
* Corresponding Author

Abstract

Interpreting and integrating results from omics studies on addiction phenotypes require a comprehensive survey of the extant literature. Most often this is conducted by *ad hoc* queries of the PubMed database. Here, we introduce RatsPub, a literature mining web service that searches user-provided gene symbols in conjunction with a set of systematically curated keywords related to addiction, as well as results from human genome-wide association studies (GWAS). We have organized over 300 keywords into seven categories forming an ontology. The literature search is conducted by querying the NIH PubMed server using a programmatic interface. Abstracts are retrieved from a local copy of the PubMed archive. The main results presented to the user are sentences containing the gene symbol and at least one keyword. These sentences are presented in the browser through an interactive graphical interface or using tables. Results are linked to the source PubMed records. GWAS results are displayed using a similar method. We wrote a natural language processing module that uses deep convolutional neural networks to distinguish sentences describing systemic stress vs cellular stress. The automated and comprehensive search strategy provided by RatsPub facilitates the integration of new discoveries from addiction omic studies with existing literature and improves analysis and modeling in the field of addiction biology. RatsPub is free and open source software. The source code of RatsPub and the link to a running instance is available at https://github.com/chen42/ratspub.

Keywords: addiction, psychiatric diseases, literature mining, PubMed, web service

1. Introduction

We describe a web service and application—*Relationships to Addiction Through Searches of PubMed* (RatsPub) (http://rats.pub) (RRID: SCR_018905)—that automatically extracts information from PubMed and NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog on the relationship of any gene with several known biological processes related to addiction and psychiatric diseases. To this end, we created an ontology by identifying seven key categories of concepts. We created a list of keywords for each concept. User supplied genes are paired with these keywords to search NIH PubMed. Relevant abstracts are then retrieved. Sentences that contain both the genes and keywords are then presented to the user in a graphical or tabular format. In addition, genetic associations related to addiction and psychiatric diseases are also retrieved from the GWAS catalog.

Omic studies are becoming the main driving force for discovering molecular mechanisms of substance abuse. For example, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have become the main platform of discovery on genetic variants responsible for phenotypes related to substance abuse and psychiatric disorders. One recent human GWAS identified over 500 variants associated with smoking and alcohol usage related traits [1]. GWAS on other drugs of abuse, such as opioid [2] or cocaine [3] have also been conducted or are ongoing. GWAS on psychiatric diseases also had numerous successes. A recent survey identified 1223 genome-wide significant SNPs associated with psychiatric phenotypes [4]. Many risk SNPs are shared among addiction and

psychiatric phenotypes [4].   Specialized databases, such as the GWAS catalog [5], are available for searching the association between genetic variants and phenotypes. Genetic mapping studies using model organisms, such as worms, flies, mice and rats, have also identified many associations between genetic variants and drug abuse related phenotypes. These phenotypes range from response to or voluntary consumption of cocaine, opioids, nicotine, alcohol, etc. [6–9]. Transcriptome [3,10–14] or epigenome [15–17] profiling using bulk tissue or single cells [18,19] have also discovered the involvement of many genes in response to drugs of abuse, stress, or other psychiatric related conditions.

In these omics studies, understanding the role of genes in addiction is a challenging task that requires thorough integration of existing knowledge. Statistics-driven gene ontology, or pathway analysis, are often employed for this purpose. However, extensive review of the primary literature is ultimately needed to provide a comprehensive and nuanced mechanism. For many scientists, this starts as searches of PubMed based on their domain knowledge. These ad hoc searches often miss important information not only because of the inherent complexity of the biology of addiction, but also because the amount of time required for designing a search strategy, conducting the searches, reading the texts, extracting relevant facts, and organizing them into categories. The task of literature searches is especially daunting when many genes are identified in a single study. RatsPub is designed to alleviate much of these manual labors by bringing the most relevant facts from the literature to the users.

Ratspub rely mostly on keyword matching to select relevant sentences. However, sometimes the same keyword can have multiple meanings. In particular, stress promotes initial drug use, escalates continued drug use, precipitates relapse and is a major factor contributing to drug addiction [20]. Stress in this context refers to the body's response to internal and external challenges and is mediated by activating the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. In addition, stress can also refer to the responses of cells to perturbations of their environment, such as extreme temperature, mechanical damage, or accumulation of metabolites, etc. These responses often involve the activation of specific molecular pathways. Both systemic and cellular stress have a large collection of literature. We therefore developed a machine learning model to separate sentences describing cellular stress from those that describe system stress.

RatsPub is available as a free web-service. In addition, its source code is available for those interested in setting up a service of their own or modifying the code to better suit their needs.

2.   Methods

2.1 System Overview

RatsPub is a free and open source web application (Fig. 1). The source code and URL of a running instance is available at http://github.com/chen42/ratspub. The main user

interface contains a search box that accepts up to 200 gene symbols from the user. Each gene symbol is then paired with each one of the seven ontological categories to query PubMed. The title and abstract of these records are then obtained from a mirrored copy of PubMed on the local server. Sentences containing at least one gene symbol and one keyword are retained. A local copy of NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog is also searched for associations between the queried genes and addiction or psychiatric disease related phenotypes. The results are available as an interactive graph or a table that provides links to key sentences from the abstracts, which in term, are linked to PubMed. Sentences that contain the keyword "stress" are further classified into two types (i.e. systemic vs cellular) before presented to the user, by using a one dimensional convolutional neural network.

2.2. Sources of data: PubMed and GWAS catalog

We created a copy of the entire PubMed abstract on our server following instructions provided by the NCBI [21]. This allows us to rapidly retrieve the abstracts and bypass the limits imposed by NCBI on automated retrievals to prevent system overload. This local copy is updated automatically every week on our server.

We also store a local copy of the GWAS catalog database [5] (i.e. all associations v1.0.2 from https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/docs/file-downloads). This file is updated manually

upon every new release of the catalog. This allows us to perform customized and rapid queries of addiction and psychiatric phenotypes.

2.3. Mini-ontology for addiction related concepts

We created a mini-ontology for addiction related concepts (Table S1). This ontology has three levels. The top level has the following seven categories: addiction stage, drugs, brain region, CNS cell type, stress, psychiatric diseases and molecular function. The second level is composed of relevant keywords and the third level includes subconcepts of the keywords or commonly used spelling or acronyms for the keywords. We include all the third level words in our automated search operations and present the user aggregated summary at the second level in the graphic or tabular result sections. The matching keywords at the third level are highlighted using bold font when the sentences are displayed. Users have the option to skip any category to speed up the query.

2.4. Query processing and user interfaces

We wrote the web-service in the Python programming language and used the Flask library as the web application framework [22]. Users of the web service have the option of creating an account for the purpose of saving search results for later reviews. Query terms provided by the user are first paired with all the keywords. Keywords belonging to the same second level ontology terms are combined using the boolean OR operator before joining with the gene symbol using the AND operator. The E-utilities provided by

the NCBI Entrez system [21] are used to send the query to the PubMed database (Esearch) and to retrieve PMIDs (Efetch). Corresponding records for each PMID is obtained from the local copy of PubMed and the xtract tool is used to parse the titles and abstracts. The Python NLTK library [23] is then used to tokenize the abstracts into sentences. Python regular expressions are used to find sentences that contain at least one instance of a query gene and one instance of a keyword. The number of *abstracts* containing such sentences are then counted. The gene is also searched in the GWAS catalog for associations with drug abuse and psychiatric disease. The number of associations are also counted. A network graph is constructed using the Cytoscape Javascript library [24], where all genes, keywords, and GWAS terms are used as nodes, and a connection is made between nodes describing a gene and a keyword. The number of abstracts are used as the weight of the edge. This interactive graph allows a user to click on the edge to review the corresponding sentences. All sentences are linked to their original PubMed abstract. The user can also click on a gene to see its synonyms. These synonyms are obtained from the NCBI gene database but are not included in the original search. This is because they often do not appear in the literature or have other meanings and thus provide inaccurate results. However, the web interface allows these synonyms to be included in a new search to retrieve additional information that is potentially relevant.

We also provide a set of scripts for querying large numbers of genes at the Linux command line. The first script counts the number of relevant abstracts. The second

script retrieves the abstracts and extracts the relevant sentences. A third script then generates a html page containing all the results. The intermediate results can be examined and cutoff thresholds can be determined between the scripts. This set of scripts can be executed without a web browser and thus is suitable for querying much large set of genes (e.g. >1000)

Lastly, queries can also be initiated by placing the terms in the URL. For example, to start a search for CHRNA5 and BDNF genes against the keyword categories drug, stress, addiction, and GWAS, the following hyperlink can be used:

http://rats.pub/progress?type=drug&type=stress&type=GWAS&type=addiction&query=CHRNA5+BDNF

This allows links to RatsPub queries to be embedded into other websites. When the hyperlink above is clicked, the results in graphical format will appear in a separate window.

The RatsPub source code is distributed as free and open source software and can therefore easily be installed on other systems. The whole service with dependencies is described as a byte reproducible GNU Guix software package [25].

2.5. Finding the most researched genes related to addiction

Using the script interface described above, we first retrieved all (61,636) human genes from the NCBI gene website [26]. We parsed the gene symbols together with their aliases

and counted the total number of abstracts for each gene using E-Utils. Relevant sentences for all genes with more than 50 abstracts were then retrieved. We manually examined the most studied 100 genes with the most abstracts iteratively and removed 988 words from the list of gene symbols and aliases before the majority of the sentences in the final results are relevant for the search.

2.6. Convolutional neural network to classify sentences describing stress

There are many machine learning methods that have been applied to natural language processing (NLP) tasks. Young et al [28] compared some of the deep learning related algorithms employed in different types of NLP tasks. Among them, convolutional neural network (CNN) has been shown to be efficient in many sentence level classification projects [29–33]. CNN was initially designed for two dimensional image processing [27]. It uses a linear operation called convolution besides the regular neural network components, and explores the important patterns in a data by identifying both local and global features of the data. The ability to detect nonlinear relationships among the features effectively is one of the key advantages of deep learning architectures. Here, we trained a one dimensional CNN to classify sentences describing stress to either cellular stress or system stress. (Fig. 2). To create a training corpus, we used a word2vec embeddings library based on PubMed and PubMedCentral data [34] by retrieving words that are similar to examples of systemic stress and cellular stress (e.g, restraint, corticosterone, CRH, and oxidative stress respectively). We then manually

crafted two PubMed queries to retrieve abstracts related to systemic or cellular stress:

A. (CRF OR AVP OR urocortin OR vasopressin OR CRH OR restraint OR stressor OR tail-shock OR (social AND defeat) OR (foot AND shock) OR immobilization OR (predator AND odor) OR intruder OR unescapable OR inescapable OR CORT OR corticosterone OR cortisol or ACTH OR prolactin OR PRL OR adrenocorticotropin OR adrenocorticotrophin) AND stress NOT (ROS OR oxidative OR redox-regulation OR nitrosative OR nitrative OR hyperglycemia OR carbonyl OR lipoxidative OR Nrf2-driven OR thiol-oxidative)

B. (ROS OR oxidative OR redox-regulation OR nitrosative OR nitrative OR hyperglycemia OR carbonyl OR lipoxidative OR Nrf2-driven OR thiol-oxidative) AND stress

We downloaded all the PubMed abstracts returned from these two queries. Manually examining some of the abstracts confirmed the relevance of the results. We then extracted all sentences containing the word *stress* from each set and kept 9,974 sentences from the "systemic stress" class and 9,652 sentences from the "cellular stress" class as our stress training/validation corpus. We maintained another set of 10,000 sentences as the testing corpus, 5,000 sentences for each class.

To clean the data and make it ready for deep learning, we split 19,626 sentences into words, removed punctuation marks, filtered the stop words and stemmed the words [35]. The words formed a vocabulary of size 23,153 and were tokenized by the Tokenizer library of Keras API. Then the tokenized sentences were split randomly into training and

validation sets at 80% and 20%, respectively. We built a 1D convolutional neural network in Keras on top of the Tensorflow framework [36]. The model includes an embedding layer that projects each word to a 32 dimensional space hence this layer produces a weight matrix with 23,153 x 32 dimensions. Sentences are padded to 64 words, resulting in 64x32 sized matrices in the model. After that, a one dimensional convolutional layer with 16 filters and a kernel size of 4 is implemented and activated by the rectified linear unit (ReLU). This layer produces a 4 x 32 x 16 weight matrix. Downsampling is performed by max pooling with a window size of 2. Then a flattened layer with 480 neurons is connected to two fully connected layers, one of which has 10 neurons activated with ReLU and the latter one is the final layer activated with a sigmoid function. We validate the model using 3,924 sentences, 1,997 of them belong to the "systemic stress" class, 1,927 sentences belong to the "cellular stress" class. These were selected randomly before training. To minimize the value of the loss function and update the parameters, Adamax optimization algorithm [37] was used with the parameters of learning rate=0.002, beta1=0.9, beta2=0.999. The binary cross entropy loss function is used for this binary classification task. These hyperparameters were optimized using the training corpus.

We used the confusion matrix to evaluate the performance of the classification and summarize the results for the test dataset (Table 1). The rows and the columns of the matrix represent the values for the actual class and predicted class, respectively. The measures of accuracy in the table were calculated by using the values in the table; the

number of true positives (TP), false negatives (FN), false positives (FP) and true negatives (TN). Sensitivity, i.e., the ratio of TP to TP+FN, is the proportion of the systemic stress sentences correctly identified. Specificity, i.e., the ratio of TN to TN+FP, is the ability of the model to identify the cellular stress sentences correctly. Precision is the proportion of the correct systemic stress sentences in the predicted class of systemic stress sentences, and is calculated as the ratio of TP to TP+FP. Accuracy of the model is the proportion of the total number predictions that are correct, and is calculated as the ratio of TP+TN to all. The performance measures including the area under the ROC curve (sensitivity vs. 1-specificity) produced by these values are given in the Results section.

3. Results

We have written a command line and a graphical interface for searching the role genes play in addiction. The command line interface is more suitable for searching a large number of genes and requires the user to install the software and maintain a local mirror of PubMed. Using this interface, we queried all human genes against PubMed and identified the most researched genes in addiction. The top 10 genes with the greatest number of addiction related abstracts are FOS, BDNF, TH, OPRM1, CNR1, DRD2, CREB1, SLC6A4, TNF and CYP2B6. Many of these genes are involved in the activation of neurons or neurotransmission. In addition, some of the genes involved in the immune system function and intracellular signalling, such as TNF and IL6 are among the top

genes. This list of genes are provided in Table S2. These genes and their associated sentences are available at the http://rats.pub website.

The graphical interface, on the other hand, is more user friendly and can be used through our website. A query of 3 terms can be completed in about 20–40 seconds. The query time increases linearly by the number of terms. Thus a search of 20 genes can be completed in about 1.5 minutes. Most of the time is spent on interacting with PubMed to obtain PMIDs. As a demonstration of the utility of the web interface, we entered the nine genes that reached suggestive significance in a recent genome wide association study of opioid cessation [38]. The graph view of the search results are shown in Figure 3. Genes and keywords are all shown as circles and lines connecting them show the number of abstracts containing the two circles they connect. Clicking on these lines brings up a new page that displays all sentences containing the words that the lines connect. An alternative tabular view of the same results is also available, where genes, addiction keywords, and number of abstracts are shown as separate columns.

Our results contained sentences in PubMed that described the roles played by PTPRD, SNAP25 and MYOM2 in addiction, which were all discussed in the original publication [38]. In addition, our results found sentences indicated the potential involvement of RIT2 and SYT4 in addiction. For example, RIT2 is associated with smoking initiation [1] and autism [39]. Recent publications indicated that RIT2 is involved in dopamine transporter trafficking [40] and plays a sex-specific role in acute cocaine response [41]. SYT4 is

expressed in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex [42] and regulates synaptic growth [43,44]. Further, SUCLA2P2 has been implicated in age of smoking initiation [45] and Schizophrenia [46]. This example demonstrated the utility of RatsPub in rapidly finding information that links a gene to addiction and thus integrating new findings with previous research findings.

For sentences containing the word "stress", we designed a one-dimensional convolutional neural network with 4 hidden layers (Fig. 2) to differentiate them into two classes, namely systemic and cellular stress. The neural network was optimized using the gradient based optimization algorithm Adamax. During training, model accuracy (Fig. S1.A) increased rapidly during the first five epochs to approximately 0.995, while validation accuracy peaked at 0.991 at epoch five. On the other hand, model loss curve (Fig. S1.B) on the training dataset continued to decline after the initial drop and approached zero after 15 epochs. However, the loss on the validation data set started to increase after epoch five, indication model overfitting. Therefore, we used the weights that maximized the validation performance before overfitting (i.e. epoch five). By using these weights and parameters, our model has an AUC of 99.2% on the validation dataset.

We tested the model on a new dataset consisting of 5,000 system stress sentences and 5,000 cellular stress sentences. The confusion matrix for the prediction of the test dataset is presented as Table 1. The sensitivity of the model that is the proportion of

predicted systemic class sentences to all sentences observed in this class is 97%. The similar measure for cellular class sentences, i.e., specificity is 94%. The prediction accuracy of the model that is the ability to distinguish two classes on the test dataset is 95.4% and the AUC is 98.9% for the test dataset.

We also checked the distribution of the predicted probabilities (Fig. S2) of the test dataset. The model predicts a probability of the class membership for each sentence. If the predicted probability of a sentence is more than 0.5, it is labelled as a system stress sentence. Otherwise the sentence is predicted to be a member of the cellular stress class. Among the system stress sentences in the test dataset, 88% of the sentences had predicted probabilities greater than 0.9. This shows the model's confidence of its prediction on stress sentences. Likewise, 88% of the cellular stress sentences had predicted probabilities less than 0.1. Therefore the model is 90% confident about the classification of the 88% of the cellular stress sentences.

The weights of the trained model are saved on the server and are used to make predictions for each retrieved sentence when the user clicks on the edge connecting the stress category and the gene name (Fig. 4). As an example of run time performance, it took approximately 12 seconds to classify 3,908 sentences on CRF and stress.

4. Discussion

We present here a literature mining web application, RatsPub, that extracts sentences from a locally mirrored copy of PubMed abstracts containing user provided gene symbols and approximately 300 predefined addiction-related keywords organized into seven categories. Associations between the genes and psychiatric diseases, including addiction, from human GWAS results are also provided. The users can include up to 200 gene symbols in each search. The results are presented in a graphical or a tabular format, both provide links to review individual sentences that contain the gene and at least one keyword. Gene synonyms are also presented and can be included in additional searches. Stress related sentences are automatically classified into system vs cellular stress.

Scientists using omics methods to study addiction or other psychiatric disease face a particularly challenging task when trying to integrate new findings with existing knowledge. The increasing number of genes contained in data sets, the breadth of sciences, and the large amount of existing knowledge captured in PubMed make systematic literature surveys daunting tasks. Typically, scientists manually conduct more detailed searches in areas where they have expertise and the queries are much less thorough in other areas. The search strategies are often crafted ad hoc and likely different from one day to another. RatsPub provides an interface that allows comprehensive queries of the role of any gene using a set of about 300 keywords. These keywords provide a comprehensive coverage of key concepts related to

addiction. Although most of the functions provided by RatsPub can be carried out manually, it will require several orders of magnitude more time and effort. Even then, the manually collected results will be difficult to review. In contrast, results provided by RatsPub are automatically organized by the mini ontology. All the genes and keywords can be seen in one graph or table, with informative sentences and abstracts readily available. The applied machine learning solution to resolve the ambiguity of the word stress further reduced the burden of the user when coming through the vast amount of literature on stress.

RatsPub presents to the user sentences containing genes and keywords of interest to the user. Compared to phrases or abstracts, sentences are the most succinct semantic unit to convey a fact. Ding et al [47] compared different text processing units for text mining system design and found that the highest precision of information retrieval is achieved when phrases are used as the text unit whereas using sentences are more effective than both phrases and abstracts. Therefore, similar to our previous text mining tool [48], we continue to use sentences as the information unit. Unlike the commonly used gene ontology enrichment [49] or gene set enrichment [50] analysis, the literature analysis provided by RatsPub does not evaluate any statistical significance. Instead, these key sentences provide easy access to relevant prior research, where the nuanced details can be easily obtained by following the link from the sentence to the abstract and then to the full text article.

Stress plays key roles in addiction. Using a convolutional network, we trained a model that achieved 97% sensitivity and 94% specificity in classifying sentences containing the word stress to either systemic stress or cellular stress. Training such a model requires large amounts of labeled data. Manually labeling these data is very labor intensive. Using an approach that is similar to some recent advances in automated data labeling [51], we carefully crafted two PubMed queries to obtain over 30,000 sentences that mostly belong to the correct category. This large corpus of text allowed us to achieve peak classification performance with less than 5 epochs of training (Fig. S1).

Gene synonyms represent a large challenge to any text mining approach. Not including synonyms will result in the loss of information. However, many synonyms, especially those that are short, have multiple meanings. For example, CNR is a synonym for the CNR1 gene. However, CNR is also an acronym for contrast noise ratio, frequently used in imaging analysis literature. We manually edited the list of aliases for the most studied 100 addiction related genes, which are shown in Table S2. For user supplied gene symbols, we do not include synonyms in the initial search to prevent the noise from "drowning out" the signal. However, we do provide users an option to either search individual synonyms or to conduct a combined search of all synonyms as a secondary step. We think this middle-of-the-road approach is the most efficient method to achieve a balance between computation and performance. Future work can potentially use deep learning to classify all PubMed abstracts for their relevance to addiction and thus

exclude many abstracts containing short words that are not relevant to addiction from being confounded with gene synonyms.

Other future improvements for RatsPub are possible. For example, RatsPub uses PubMed abstracts as the source of data, rather than PubMed Central, which contains full-text articles. Lin [52] compared the effectiveness of information retrieval from abstract vs full text search and found that full text search, when indexed using paragraphs as the unit, is more effective than the abstract-only search. Several groups have reported either using full text search for curation [53,54] or using full text for analysis [55–57]. NCBI also provides an API for PubMed Central. However, the majority of the articles in PubMed Central are subject to traditional copyright restriction [58] and it is not feasible to establish a local mirror of the full-text collection. Interactively retrieving text via NCBI API is not feasible on the scale we need (e.g, several thousand articles at a time). Further, we anticipate full text may cause duplications of information and increase the noise in results.

RatsPub does not retrieve relationships between genes. There are several existing tools available for this purpose, such as Chilibot [48], or GeneMania [59]. Instead, RatsPub focuses on the relationship between genes and a set of keywords organized as an ontology. This ontology is at the core of RatsPub and was developed based on the expertise of the authors. It certainly contains biases and can be further improved. For example, tight integration with community developed ontology for addiction or

psychiatric disease, such as those that are available from the Open Biological and Biomedical Ontology Foundry (www.obofoundry.org), or automated methods for converting MESH headings can be tested in the future.

Acknowledgements

Funding is provided by the following NIH/NIDA grants: U01DA047638 (HC, RWW), P30DA044223 (RWW, PP), and also by NIH/NIGMS grant R01GM123489 (RWW, PP).

Authors contribution

MHG conducted the research and drafted the manuscript. HC conceived of the project and conducted the initial research. EF, TW, MKM, RWW and PP contributed to the research. All authors revised and approved the manuscript.

References

1. Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F *et al.* Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat Genet* 2019; **51**: 237–244.

2. Polimanti R, Walters RK, Johnson EC, McClintick JN, Adkins AE, Adkins DE *et al.* Leveraging genome-wide data to investigate differences between opioid use vs. opioid dependence in 41,176 individuals from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0677-9.

3. Huggett SB, Stallings MC. Cocaine'omics: Genome-wide and transcriptome-wide analyses provide biological insight into cocaine use and dependence. *Addict Biol* 2020; **25**: e12719.

4. Horwitz T, Lam K, Chen Y, Xia Y, Liu C. A decade in psychiatric GWAS research.

Mol Psychiatry 2019; **24**: 378–389.

5   Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, Harris LW, Hayhurst J, Malangone C *et al.*
     The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies,
     targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47**:
     D1005–D1012.

6   Adkins AE, Hack LM, Bigdeli TB, Williamson VS, McMichael GO, Mamdani M *et al.*
     Genomewide Association Study of Alcohol Dependence Identifies Risk Loci
     Altering Ethanol-Response Behaviors in Model Organisms. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*
     2017; **41**: 911–928.

7   Zhou Z, Blandino P, Yuan Q, Shen P-H, Hodgkinson CA, Virkkunen M *et al.*
     Exploratory locomotion, a predictor of addiction vulnerability, is oligogenic in rats
     selected for this phenotype. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019; **116**: 13107–13115.

8   Highfill CA, Baker BM, Stevens SD, Anholt RRH, Mackay TFC. Genetics of cocaine
     and methamphetamine consumption and preference in Drosophila melanogaster.
     PLoS Genet 2019; **15**: e1007834.

9   Engleman EA, Katner SN, Neal-Beliveau BS. Caenorhabditis elegans as a Model
     to Study the Molecular and Genetic Mechanisms of Drug Addiction. *Prog Mol Biol
     Transl Sci* 2016; **137**: 229–252.

10   Cates HM, Bagot RC, Heller EA, Purushothaman I, Lardner CK, Walker DM *et al.* A
     novel role for E2F3b in regulating cocaine action in the prefrontal cortex.
     Neuropsychopharmacology 2019; **44**: 776–784.

11   Farris SP, Arasappan D, Hunicke-Smith S, Harris RA, Mayfield RD. Transcriptome
     organization for chronic alcohol abuse in human brain. *Mol Psychiatry* 2015; **20**:
     1438–1447.

12   Kapoor M, Wang J-C, Farris SP, Liu Y, McClintick J, Gupta I *et al.* Analysis of
     whole genome-transcriptomic organization in brain to identify genes associated
     with alcoholism. *Transl Psychiatry* 2019; **9**: 89.

13   Lo Iacono L, Valzania A, Visco-Comandini F, Viscomi MT, Felsani A,
     Puglisi-Allegra S *et al.* Regulation of nucleus accumbens transcript levels in mice
     by early-life social stress and cocaine. *Neuropharmacology* 2016; **103**: 183–194.

14   Zhang Y, Kong F, Crofton EJ, Dragosljvich SN, Sinha M, Li D *et al.* Transcriptomics
     of Environmental Enrichment Reveals a Role for Retinoic Acid Signaling in
     Addiction. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2016; **9**: 119.

15   Farris SP, Harris RA, Ponomarev I. Epigenetic modulation of brain gene networks
     for cocaine and alcohol abuse. *Front Neurosci* 2015; **9**: 176.

De Sa Nogueira D, Merienne K, Befort K. Neuroepigenetics and addictive behaviors: Where do we stand? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2019; **106**: 58–72.

Ponomarev I, Wang S, Zhang L, Harris RA, Mayfield RD. Gene coexpression networks in human brain identify epigenetic modifications in alcohol dependence. *J Neurosci* 2012; **32**: 1884–1897.

Avey D, Sankararaman S, Yim AKY, Barve R, Milbrandt J, Mitra RD. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Uncovers a Robust Transcriptional Response to Morphine by Glia. *Cell Rep* 2018; **24**: 3619–3629.e4.

Karagiannis TT, Cleary JP Jr, Gok B, Henderson AJ, Martin NG, Yajima M *et al.* Single cell transcriptomics reveals opioid usage evokes widespread suppression of antiviral gene program. *Nat Commun* 2020; **11**: 2611.

Koob GF, Schulkin J. Addiction and stress: An allostatic view. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2019; **106**: 245–262.

Kans J. *Entrez Direct: E-utilities on the UNIX Command Line*. National Center for Biotechnology Information (US), 2020.

Flask. https://palletsprojects.com/p/flask/ (accessed 9 Sep2020).

Bird S, Klein E, Loper E. *Natural Language Processing with Python: Analyzing Text with the Natural Language Toolkit*. O'Reilly Media Inc., 2009.

Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003; **13**: 2498–2504.

Wurmus R, Uyar B, Osberg B, Franke V, Gosdschan A, Wreczycka K *et al.* PiGx: reproducible genomics analysis pipelines with GNU Guix. *Gigascience* 2018; **7**. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giy123.

NCBI. NCBI Gene. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/ (accessed 14 Jun2020).

Lecun Y, Bottou L, Bengio Y, Haffner P. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proc IEEE* 1998; **86**: 2278–2324.

Young T, Hazarika D, Poria S, Cambria E. Recent Trends in Deep Learning Based Natural Language Processing. arXiv [cs.CL]. 2017.http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.02709.

Francis-Landau M, Durrett G, Klein D. Capturing Semantic Similarity for Entity Linking with Convolutional Neural Networks. In: *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. Association for Computational

Linguistics: San Diego, California, 2016, pp 1256–1261.

dos Santos C, Xiang B, Zhou B. Classifying Relations by Ranking with Convolutional Neural Networks. In: *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*. Association for Computational Linguistics: Beijing, China, 2015, pp 626–634.

Lopez MM, Kalita J. Deep Learning applied to NLP. arXiv [cs.CL]. 2017.http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03091.

Gehring J, Auli M, Grangier D, Yarats D, Dauphin YN. Convolutional sequence to sequence learning. In: *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 70*. JMLR.org, 2017, pp 1243–1252.

Wang W, Gang J. Application of Convolutional Neural Network in Natural Language Processing. In: *2018 International Conference on Information Systems and Computer Aided Education (ICISCAE)*. 2018, pp 64–70.

Moen S, Ananiadou TSS. Distributional semantics resources for biomedical text processing. *Proceedings of LBM* 2013; : 39–44.

Brownlee J. *Deep Learning for Natural Language Processing: Develop Deep Learning Models for your Natural Language Problems*. Machine Learning Mastery, 2017.

Abadi M, Agarwal A, Barham P, Brevdo E, Chen Z, Citro C *et al.* TensorFlow: Large-Scale Machine Learning on Heterogeneous Distributed Systems. arXiv [cs.DC]. 2016.http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04467.

Kingma DP, Ba J. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:14126980* 2014.http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6980.

Cox JW, Sherva RM, Lunetta KL, Johnson EC, Martin NG, Degenhardt L *et al.* Genome-Wide Association Study of Opioid Cessation. *J Clin Med Res* 2020; **9**. doi:10.3390/jcm9010180.

Liu X, Shimada T, Otowa T, Wu Y-Y, Kawamura Y, Tochigi M *et al.* Genome-wide Association Study of Autism Spectrum Disorder in the East Asian Populations. *Autism Res* 2016; **9**: 340–349.

Fagan RR, Kearney PJ, Sweeney CG, Luethi D, Schoot Uiterkamp FE, Schicker K *et al.* Dopamine transporter trafficking and Rit2 GTPase: Mechanism of action and in vivo impact. *J Biol Chem* 2020; **295**: 5229–5244.

Sweeney CG, Kearney PJ, Fagan RR, Smith LA, Bolden NC, Zhao-Shea R *et al.* Conditional, inducible gene silencing in dopamine neurons reveals a sex-specific

role for Rit2 GTPase in acute cocaine response and striatal function. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2020; **45**: 384–393.

Crispino M, Stone DJ, Wei M, Anderson CP, Tocco G, Finch CE *et al.* Variations of synaptotagmin I, synaptotagmin IV, and synaptophysin mRNA levels in rat hippocampus during the estrous cycle. *Exp Neurol* 1999; **159**: 574–583.

Harris KP, Zhang YV, Piccioli ZD, Perrimon N, Littleton JT. The postsynaptic t-SNARE Syntaxin 4 controls traffic of Neuroligin 1 and Synaptotagmin 4 to regulate retrograde signaling. *Elife* 2016; **5**. doi:10.7554/eLife.13881.

Ó'Léime CS, Hoban AE, Hueston CM, Stilling R, Moloney G, Cryan JF *et al.* The orphan nuclear receptor TLX regulates hippocampal transcriptome changes induced by IL-1β. *Brain Behav Immun* 2018; **70**: 268–279.

Argos M, Tong L, Pierce BL, Rakibuz-Zaman M, Ahmed A, Islam T *et al.* Genome-wide association study of smoking behaviours among Bangladeshi adults. *J Med Genet* 2014; **51**: 327–333.

Ikeda M, Takahashi A, Kamatani Y, Momozawa Y, Saito T, Kondo K *et al.* Genome-Wide Association Study Detected Novel Susceptibility Genes for Schizophrenia and Shared Trans-Populations/Diseases Genetic Effect. *Schizophr Bull* 2019; **45**: 824–834.

Ding J, Berleant D, Nettleton D, Wurtele E. Mining MEDLINE: abstracts, sentences, or phrases? *Pac Symp Biocomput* 2002; : 326–337.

Chen H, Sharp BM. Content-rich biological network constructed by mining PubMed abstracts. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2004; **5**: 147.

Osborne JD, Zhu LJ, Lin SM, Kibbe WA. Interpreting microarray results with gene ontology and MeSH. *Methods Mol Biol* 2007; **377**: 223–242.

Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; **102**: 15545–15550.

Ratner A, Bach SH, Ehrenberg H, Fries J, Wu S, Ré C. Snorkel: rapid training data creation with weak supervision. *VLDB J* 2020; **29**: 709–730.

Lin J. Is searching full text more effective than searching abstracts? *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009; **10**: 46.

Müller H-M, Van Auken KM, Li Y, Sternberg PW. Textpresso Central: a customizable platform for searching, text mining, viewing, and curating biomedical

literature. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2018; **19**: 94.

54   Van Auken K, Schaeffer ML, McQuilton P, Laulederkind SJF, Li D, Wang S-J *et al.*
     BC4GO: a full-text corpus for the BioCreative IV GO task. *Database* 2014; **2014**.
     doi:10.1093/database/bau074.

55   Wei Q, Collier N. Towards classifying species in systems biology papers using text
     mining. *BMC Res Notes* 2011; **4**: 32.

56   Verspoor K, Cohen KB, Lanfranchi A, Warner C, Johnson HL, Roeder C *et al.* A
     corpus of full-text journal articles is a robust evaluation tool for revealing differences
     in performance of biomedical natural language processing tools. *BMC
     Bioinformatics* 2012; **13**: 207.

57   Islamaj Dogan R, Kim S, Chatr-Aryamontri A, Chang CS, Oughtred R, Rust J *et al.*
     The BioC-BioGRID corpus: full text articles annotated for curation of protein-protein
     and genetic interactions. *Database* 2017; **2017**. doi:10.1093/database/baw147.

58   PMC Open Access. PubMed Central.
     2020.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/tools/openftlist/ (accessed 6 Sep2020).

59   Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P *et al.* The
     GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization
     and predicting gene function. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010; **38**: W214–20.

Table 1:Confusion Matrix of CNN on Test Data

		Predicted Class		
		Systemic Stress	Cellular Stress	
Actual Class	Systemic Stress	4,853 (TP)	147 (FN)	Sensitivity: 97%
	Cellular Stress	310 (FP)	4,690 (TN)	Specificity: 94%
		Negative Predictive Value: 97%	Negative Predictive Value: 94%	Accuracy: 95%

Figures:

Figure 1.

Figure 1: RatsPub allows researchers to query gene sets against many addiction related keywords and human GWAS. These keywords are predetermined by forming a small ontology, whereas the human GWAS data are extracted from the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog. Users have an option to choose keyword categories during the search. Searches are conducted using EUtils against the PubMed database but abstracts are retrieved from a locally mirrored copy of PubMed. The results are displayed as a cytoscape graph (Fig. 3) and a table. Results are archived on the server if the user chooses to log in. The graph and the table have many interactive elements, including displaying sentences that include the gene symbols and the addiction keywords. The number of unique abstracts and related sentences are shown separately. Sentences containing the keyword *stress* are classified using a convolutional neural network into one of two classes: systemic stress or cellular stress (Figs. 2 and 4). Sentences about the target gene and top addiction genes can be retrieved. Top addiction genes are ranked by the number of PubMed abstracts that contain the name of the gene and one or more addiction related keywords. Lastly, users can conduct secondary searches that contain synonyms of the target genes.

Figure 2.

Figure 2: Pipeline for training the convolutional neural network that classifies sentences containing the word "stress". We used biomedical natural language processing tool [17] and the word2vec embeddings derived from PubMed and PMC text. The relevant terms with "system stress" and "cellular stress" were searched by using the cosine similarity tool in Python's Gensim library and the abstracts including these terms were fetched from PubMed. Abstracts then were parsed into sentences, punctuations were then removed, stop words were filtered, and all words were reduced to their stems. These words were then "tokenized" and were splitted into training (80%) and validation (20%) sets. Input layer of the model passed the training data to the embedding layer, which produced a 32 dimensional embedding vector for each word. After a 1D convolutional layer with 16 filters and a kernel size of 4, downsampling is implemented by a maximum pooling layer with window size of 2. Output of this is flattened to a 480 node layer and connected to two fully connected layers. We use the rectifier unit function to activate the neurons in the convolution layer and the dense layer. Last dense layer is activated by the sigmoid function. The final weights of the model were used to classify input sentences into either system stress or cellular stress.

Figure 3.

Figure 3: An interactive Cytoscape graph visualizing gene-keyword relationships. Nodes (circles) represent either search terms (in red) or keywords (colored according to the mini ontology; GWAS results are in grey). Clicking the keyword nodes displays the individual terms that are included in the search. Clicking the gene symbols displays their synonyms. The edges represent relationships between nodes. The number of PubMed abstracts where the gene symbol and keyword co-occur in the same sentence are displayed on the edges. The width of edge is correlated with the number of abstracts. Clicking on the edges shows these sentences, which are linked back to PubMed abstracts. Nodes can be moved about for better visibility of relationships. These genes were taken from a recent genome wide association study of opioid cessation [22].

Figure 4.

Figure 4. Steps for classifying sentences using a trained neural network. Abstracts are fetched from the locally mirrored copy of PubMed and are parsed into sentences. Punctuation marks and stop words are removed and the remaining words of the sentences are stemmed. The words are tokenized by using the Tokenizer library of the Keras API. The weight matrices of the trained model are multiplied by the sentence matrix to predict whether the input sentences are related to system stress or cellular stress.

Supplementary Figure 1.

Supplementary Figure 1 : The accuracy and loss curve of a convolutional neural network trains to classify sentences containing the word "stress". A convolutional neural network was trained on a dataset containing 19,626 sentences. This dataset was splitted into two parts, having 80% for training and 20% for validation. The gradient based Adamax algorithm was deployed with a learning rate of 0.002 during model training. The accuracy (A) increased rapidly up to 0.995 after the first five epochs. At the same time, the validation accuracy was maximized at 0.991. On the other hand, the loss curve (B) experienced a sharp fall followed by a continuous decrease. The increase of the loss curve on the validation set after the fifth epoch was an indication of an overfitting. To avoid overfitting we used the parameters that maximized the validation performance. Our model with these parameters has an AUC of 99.2%.

Supplementary Figure 2.

Supplementary Figure 2 : Distribution of the predicted probabilities of the test dataset. We tested the convolutional neural network model on a dataset including 5000 sentences from each class containing the cellular stress and system stress related sentences. In order to have a better understanding on the model's reliability of its prediction on the new data, we checked the distribution of the predicted probabilities of the test dataset. The bars represent the number of sentences having the predicted probabilities shown on the x-axis (histogram). The sentences having predicted probabilities greater than 0.5 are labelled as systemic stress sentences (red bars). The blue bars represent sentences belonging to cellular stress class. Among the system stress sentences in the test dataset, 88% of them had predicted probabilities greater than 0.9. Similarly 88% of the cellular stress sentences had predicted probabilities less than 0.1. This indicates that the model has a 90% confidence about the classification of the 88% of the cellular stress sentences.

Supplementary Figure 3.

chrna7 and stress

9 sentences in 6 studies

Sentence(s) describing systemic stress (classified using a deep learning model):

1. Of these genes, some are critical genes involved in learning and memory, such as cdk5 and **chrna7**, indicating effects of chronic unpredictable **stress** on zebrafish memory. PMID:30718621
2. For duplications, we found that increased expression of **CHRNA7** mRNA is associated with higher expression of nAChR specific and resident ER chaperones, indicating increased ER **stress**. PMID:29129316
3. **Stress** induced IRI protection was absent in **Chrna7** knockout (a7nAChR /) mice and greatly reduced by destroying or transiently inhibiting C1. PMID:28288124
4. The present study examined the association between genetic variation in the nicotinic receptor gene family (CHRNA2, CHRNA3, CHRNA4, CHRNA5, CHRNA6, **CHRNA7**, CHRNA9, CHRNA10, CHRNB2, CHRNB3, CHRNB4) and the occurrence of posttraumatic **stress** disorder (PTSD). PMID:26184988
5. Therefore, the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor gene (**CHRNA7**) may be associated with cortisol **stress** response. PMID:21073885
6. Polymorphisms in the **CHRNA7** promoter were associated with lower cortisol levels after a small laboratory **stress**. PMID:21073885
7. Our findings also show that although the child's **CHRNA7** genotype affects **stress** response, the maternal genotype has a stronger influence on cortisol release after **stress** in male offspring. PMID:21073885

Sentence(s) describing celluar stress (classified using a deep learning model):

1. Interestingly, we showed that deletions in KLF13 and **CHRNA7** influenced the expression of genes belonging to the same immune/inflammatory and oxidative **stress** signaling pathways. PMID:25370694
2. More interestingly, we show that pathways related to immune/inflammatory response and oxidative **stress** signaling are affected by the deletion of KFL13 and **CHRNA7**. PMID:25370694

Supplementary Figure 3 : One output page in RatsPub displaying sentences retrieved when querying *chrna7* against the keyword *stress*. The sentences describing stress are classified according to its relation to systemic stress or cellular stress by a convolutional neural network. RatsPub retrieved 9 sentences containing chrna7 and stress from 6 studies. Among them, 7 sentences in 5 studies are predicted as a systemic stress sentence and 2 sentences in 1 study are predicted as cellular stress sentences.

Supplementary Table 1. Mini ontology for addiction related concepts

Category	Keywords	Terms
Addiction	addiction	addiction, addictive, compulsive, drug-abuse, escalation, punishment
	aversion	aversion, aversive, conditioned taste aversion, CTA
	dependence	dependence
	intoxication	binge, intoxication
	relapse	craving, drug seeking, reinstatement, relapse, seeking
	reward	conditioned place preference, CPP, drug reinforced, hedonic, ICSS, incentive, instrumental response, intracranial self-stimulation, operant, reinforcement, reinforcing, reward, self-administered, self-administration
	sensitization	sensitization
	withdrawal	withdrawal
Brain	accumbens	acbc, acbs, accumbal, accumbens, core, Nacc, NacSh, shell
	amygdala	amy, amygdala, bla, cea, cna
	cortex	cerebral, cingulate, cortex, cortico limbic, corticolimbic, corticostriatal, infralimbic, insula, insular, mPFC, orbitofrontal, pfc, prefrontal, prelimbic, prl, vmpfc
	habenula	habenula, lhb, mhb
	hippocampus	ca1, ca3, dentate gyrus, dhpc, hip, hipp, hippocampal, hippocampus, subiculum, vhipp, vhpc
	hypothalamus	hypothalamic, hypothalamus, LHA, paraventricular nucleus, PVN
	striatum	basal ganglia, caudate, globus pallidus, GPI, putamen, STR, striatal, striatum
	VTA	limbic, mesoaccumbal, mesoaccumbens, mesolimbic, midbrain, pvta, ventral tegmental, vta
	alcohol	acamprosate, alcohol, alcoholic, alcoholics, alcoholism, antabuse, campral, disulfiram, ethanol, naltrexone, revia, vivitrol
	amphetamine	AMPH, amphetamine, METH, methamphetamine
	benzodiazepine	adinazolam, alprazolam, benzodiazepine, benzos, brotizolam,

Drugs		chlordiazepoxide, climazolam, clobazam, clonazepam, clorazepate, diazepam, estazolam, flunitrazepam, flurazepam, halazepam, librium, loprazolam, lorazepam, lormetazepam, midazolam, nimetazepam, nitrazepam, normison, oxazepam, prazepam, temazepam, triazolam, valium, xanax
	cannabinoid	acylethanolamines, cannabichromene, cannabidiol, cannabigerol, cannabinoid, cannabinoids, cannabinol, cannabis, cannabivarin, cesamet, drobinal, dronabinol, endocannabinoid, endocannabinoids, epidiolex, JWH-018, JWH-122, JWH-250, marijuana, marinol, nabilone, Oleoylethanolamide, palmitoylethanolamide, phytocannabinoid, rimonabant, SR141716, SR144528, syndros, tetrahydrocannabinol, tetrahydrocannabivarin, thc, thc-9
	cocaine	cocaine
	nicotine	nicotine, smoker, smokers, smoking, tobacco
	opioid	buprenorphine, codeine, fentanyl, heroin, hycodan, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, kadian, kratom, methadone, morphine, naloxone, opioid, opioids, oxycodone, oxycontin, percocet, suboxone, tramadol, ultram, vicodin
	psychedelics	ayahuasca, ecstasy, ibogaine, ketamine, LSD, lysergic acid diethylamide, MDMA, mescaline, methylenedioxymethamphetamine, N-methoxybenzyl, NBOMe, NBOMes, peyote, psilocybin, psychedelic, psychedelics
Molecular function	neuroplasticity	boutons, epsc, epsp, IPSC, IPSP, long term depression, long term potentiation, LTD, LTP, mIPSC, neurite, neurogenesis, neuroplasticity, plasticity, synaptic
	neurotransmission	5-ht, acetylcholine, cholinergic, DAergic, dopamine, dopaminergic, GABA, GABAergic, glutamate, glutamatergic, muscarinic, neuropeptide, neuropeptides, neurotransmission, nicotinic, serotonergic, serotonin
	signalling	glycosylation, phosphorylation, signaling, signalling, kinase, binding, signal transduction, second messengers, cGMP, cAMP
	transcription	histone, hypermethylation, hypomethylation, methylation, ribosome, transcription
	anxiety	anxiety, anxious
	autism	autism, autistic
	bipolar	bipolar disorder

Psychiatric diseases	compulsive	compulsive, obsessive
	depression	depression, depressive, major depressive disorder, MDD
	impulsivity	5-CSRTT, 5-choice task, delay discounting, delay exposure, delay intolerance, delayed reward, delay task, five choice serial reaction time task, impulsive, impulsivity, premature responding
	schizophrenia	schizophrenia
Cell	neuron	adrenergic neuron, adrenergic neurons, cholinergic neuron, cholinergic neurons, dopaminergic neuron, dopaminergic neurons, gabaergic neuron, gabaergic neurons, glutamatergic neuron, glutamatergic neurons, GnRH neuron, GnRH neurons, interneuron, interneurons, monoaminergic neuron, monoaminergic neurons, medium spiny neuron, medium spiny neurons, motor neuron, motor neurons, neuronal cell, neuronal cells, nitrergic neuron, nitrergic neurons, noradrenergic neuron, noradrenergic neurons, projection neuron, projection neurons, pyramidal neuron, pyramidal neurons, sensory neuron, sensory neurons, serotonergic neuron, serotonergic neurons, somatostatin neuron, somatostatin neurons, neuron, neurons, excitatory neuron, excitatory neurons, inhibitory neuron, inhibitory neurons, corticospinal neuron, corticospinal neurons, dopamine neuron, dopamine neurons, D1 neuron, D1 neurons, afferent neuron, afferent neurons, efferent neuron, efferent neurons, serotonin neuron, serotonin neurons, cortical neuron, cortical neurons, hippocampal neuron, hippocampal neurons, DA neuron, DA neurons, CNS neuron, CNS neurons, cortex neuron, cortex neurons, mesencephalic neuron, mesencephalic neurons, orexin neuron, orexin neurons, catecholaminergic neuron, catecholaminergic neurons, striatal neuron,striatal neurons
	astrocyte	astrocyte, astrocytes, astrocytic, astroglia, astroglial
	microglia	microglia, microglial
	endothelium	endothelium, endothelial cell, endothelial cells
	oligodendrocyte	oligodendrocyte, oligodendrocytes
Stress	PTSD	PTSD, post-traumatic stress, post-traumatic stress symptoms, post-traumatic stress disorder
	stress	distress, psychological trauma, stress

Supplementary Table 2. Most studied genes and their aliases

GENE NAME	ALIAS
FOS	AP 1, C FOS, CFOS, FOS PROTO ONCOGENE, AP 1 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR SUBUNIT
BDNF	ANON2, BRAIN DERIVED NEUROTROPHIC FACTOR, BULN2
TH	DYT14, DYT5B, TYROSINE HYDROXYLASE, TYROSINEHYDROXYLASE
OPRM1	LMOR, M OR 1, MOR1, OPIOID RECEPTOR MU 1, OPRM
CNR1	CNRS1, PROTOCADHERIN ALPHA CLUSTER, COMPLEX LOCUS
DRD2	D2DR, DOPAMINE RECEPTOR D2
CREB1	CAMP RESPONSIVE ELEMENT BINDING PROTEIN 1, CREB, CREB 1
SLC6A4	5 HTT, 5 HTTLPR, 5HTT, 5HTTLPR, HSERT, OCD1, SERT, SERT1, SOLUTE CARRIER FAMILY 6 MEMBER 4
TNF	TNF ALPHA, TNFA, TNFALPHA, TNFSF2, TNLG1F, TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR
CYP2B6	CPB6, CYP2B, CYP2B7, CYP2B7P, CYPIIB6, CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY 2 SUBFAMILY B MEMBER 6, EFVM, IIB1, P450
NPY	NEUROPEPTIDE Y, NEUROPEPTIDEY, PYY4
GRM5	GLUTAMATE METABOTROPIC RECEPTOR 5, GPRC1E, MGLU5, MGLUR5, PPP1R86
GRIN2B	EIEE27, GLUN2B, GLUTAMATE IONOTROPIC RECEPTOR NMDA TYPE SUBUNIT 2B, HNR3, MRD6, NMDAR2B, NR2B
HTR1A	5 HT 1A, 5 HT1A, 5 HYDROXYTRYPTAMINE RECEPTOR 1A, 5HT1A, 5HT1A, ADRB2RL1, ADRBRL1, PFMCD
GRIA1	GLUA1, GLUH1, GLUR1, GLURA, GLUTAMATE IONOTROPIC RECEPTOR AMPA TYPE SUBUNIT 1, HBGR1
ALDH2	ALDEHYDE DEHYDROGENASE 2 FAMILY MEMBER, ALDH E2, ALDHE2, ALDHI, ALDM
HTR2A	5 HT2A, 5 HYDROXYTRYPTAMINE RECEPTOR 2A, 5HT2A, HTR2
CRHR1	CORTICOTROPIN RELEASING HORMONE RECEPTOR 1, CRF R, CRF R 1, CRF R1, CRF1, CRFR, CRFR 1, CRFR1, CRH R 1, CRH R1, CRHR, CRHR1L
GRM2	GLUR2, GLUTAMATE METABOTROPIC RECEPTOR 2, GPRC1B, MGLU2, MGLUR2

CHRNA5	CHOLINERGIC RECEPTOR NICOTINIC ALPHA 5 SUBUNIT, LNCR2
IL6	BSF 2, BSF2, IFN BETA 2, IFNB2, IL 6, INTERLEUKIN 6, INTERLEUKIN6
COMT	CATECHOL O METHYLTRANSFERASE, HEL S 98N
PDYN	ADCA, PENKB, PRODYNORPHIN, SCA23
FAAH1	FAAH 1, FATTY ACID AMIDE HYDROLASE, PSAB
NPS	NEUROPEPTIDE S
MAPK3	ERK 1, ERK1, ERT2, HS44KDAP, HUMKER1A, MITOGEN ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE 3, P44 ERK1, P44 MAPK, P44ERK1, P44ERK1, P44MAPK, P44MAPK, PRKM3
FOSB	AP 1, FOSB PROTO ONCOGENE, AP 1 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR SUBUNIT, G0S3, GOS3, GOSB
CRH	CORTICOTROPIN RELEASING HORMONE, CRH1
TLR4	ARMD10, CD284, TLR 4, TOLL LIKE RECEPTOR 4
GRIA2	GLUA2, GLUR 2, GLUR B, GLUR K2, GLUR2, GLUR2, GLURB, GLURB, GLURK2, GLUTAMATE IONOTROPIC RECEPTOR AMPA TYPE SUBUNIT 2, HBGR2
CYP2A6	CPA6, CYP2A, CYP2A3, CYPIIA6, CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY 2 SUBFAMILY A MEMBER 6, P450C2A, P450PB
HTR2C	5 HT1C, 5 HT2C, 5 HTR2C, 5 HYDROXYTRYPTAMINE RECEPTOR 2C, 5HT1C, 5HT2C, 5HTR2C, HTR1C
ADH1B	ADH2, ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE 1B CLASS I , BETA POLYPEPTIDE, HEL S 117
DRD4	D4DR, DOPAMINE RECEPTOR D4
ARC	ACTIVITY REGULATED CYTOSKELETON ASSOCIATED PROTEIN, ARG3.1, HARC
MAOA	BRNRS, MAO A, MONOAMINE OXIDASE A
CYP2E1	CPE1, CYP2E, CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY 2 SUBFAMILY E MEMBER 1, P450 J, P450C2E, P450J
SLC1A2	EAAT2, EIEE41, GLT 1, GLT1, HBGT, SOLUTE CARRIER FAMILY 1 MEMBER 2
GRIN2A	EPND, FESD, GLUN2A, GLUTAMATE IONOTROPIC RECEPTOR NMDA TYPE SUBUNIT 2A, NMDAR2A, NR2A
POMC	OBAIRH, PROOPIOMELANOCORTIN
SLC6A3	DAT1, PKDYS, PKDYS1, SOLUTE CARRIER FAMILY 6 MEMBER 3

NGF	BETA NGF, BETANGF, HSAN5, NERVE GROWTH FACTOR, NGFB
DBH	DOPAMINE BETA HYDROXYLASE, ORTHYP1
PENK	PENK A, PENKA, PROENKEPHALIN
HTR1B	5 HT 1B, 5 HT 1D BETA, 5 HT1B, 5 HT1DB, 5 HYDROXYTRYPTAMINE RECEPTOR 1B, 5HT1B, 5HT1DB, HTR1D2, HTR1DB
JUN	AP 1, C JUN, CJUN, CJUN, JUN PROTO ONCOGENE, AP 1 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR SUBUNIT
CASP3	CASPASE 3, CASPASE3, CPP32, CPP32B, SCA 1, SCA1
CHRNA3	BAIPRCK, CHOLINERGIC RECEPTOR NICOTINIC ALPHA 3 SUBUNIT, LNCR2, NACHRA3, PAOD2
NEUROTENSIN	NMN 125, NMN125, NT/N, NTS1
NTRK2	EIEE58, GP145 TRKB, GP145TRKB, NEUROTROPHIC RECEPTOR TYROSINE KINASE 2, OBHD, TRK B, TRKB, TRKB
CAMK2G	CALCIUM/CALMODULIN DEPENDENT PROTEIN KINASE II GAMMA, CAMK, CAMK II, CAMKG, CAMKII, MRD59
TRPV1	TRANSIENT RECEPTOR POTENTIAL CATION CHANNEL SUBFAMILY V MEMBER 1
CYP2D6	CPD6, CYP2D, CYP2D7AP, CYP2D7BP, CYP2D7P2, CYP2D8P2, CYP2DL1, CYPIID6, CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY 2 SUBFAMILY D MEMBER 6, P450 DB1, P450C2D, P450DB1
CORT	CORTISTATIN, CST 14, CST 17, CST 29, CST14, CST17, CST29
GFAP	ALXDRD, GLIAL FIBRILLARY ACIDIC PROTEIN
GABRA2	EIEE78, GAMMA AMINOBUTYRIC ACID TYPE A RECEPTOR SUBUNIT ALPHA2
EGR1	AT225, EARLY GROWTH RESPONSE 1, G0S30, KROX 24, KROX24, NGFI A, NGFIA, TIS8, ZIF 268, ZIF268, ZNF225
NOS1	BNOS, IHPS1, N NOS, NC NOS, NCNOS, NITRIC OXIDE SYNTHASE 1, NNOS, NNOS
ANKK1	ANKYRIN REPEAT AND KINASE DOMAIN CONTAINING 1, PKK2, SGK288
CYP3A4	CP33, CP34, CYP3A, CYP3A3, CYPIIIA3, CYPIIIA4, CYTOCHROME P450 FAMILY 3 SUBFAMILY A MEMBER 4, NF 25, NF25, P450C3, P450PCN1
PCDHA4	CNR1, CNRN1, CRNR1, PCDH ALPHA4, PCDHALPHA4, PROTOCADHERIN ALPHA 4
IL10	CSIF, GVHDS, IL 10, IL10A, INTERLEUKIN 10, INTERLEUKIN10, TGIF

MTOR	FRAP, FRAP1, FRAP2, MECHANISTIC TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN KINASE, RAFT1, RAPT1
TRH	PRO TRH, PROTRH, THYROTROPIN RELEASING HORMONE
CHRNB4	CHOLINERGIC RECEPTOR NICOTINIC BETA 4 SUBUNIT
GRM1	GLUTAMATE METABOTROPIC RECEPTOR 1, GPRC1A, MGLU1, MGLUR1, PPP1R85, SCA44, SCAR13
GDNF	ATF1, ATF2, GLIAL CELL DERIVED NEUROTROPHIC FACTOR, HFB1 GDNF, HFB1GDNF, HSCR3
DRD1	DADR, DOPAMINE RECEPTOR D1, DRD1A
GRIN1	GLUN1, GLUTAMATE IONOTROPIC RECEPTOR NMDA TYPE SUBUNIT 1, MRD8, NDHMSD, NDHMSR, NMD R1, NMDA1, NMDAR1, NMDR1
BCHE	BCHED, BUTYRYLCHOLINESTERASE, CHE1, CHE2
CCL2	C C MOTIF CHEMOKINE LIGAND 2, GDCF 2, GDCF2, HC11, HSMCR30, MCAF, MCP 1, MCP1, SCYA2, SMC CF, SMCCF
ADH1C	ADH3, ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE 1C CLASS I , GAMMA POLYPEPTIDE
WARS1	GAMMA 2, GAMMA2, HMN9, IFI53, IFP53, TRYPTOPHANYL TRNA SYNTHETASE 1, WARS
ADH4	ADH 2, ADH2, ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE 4 CLASS II , PI POLYPEPTIDE, HEL S 4
PTGS2	COX 2, COX2, GRIPGHS, HCOX 2, HCOX2, PGG/HS, PGHS 2, PGHS2, PHS 2, PHS2, PROSTAGLANDIN ENDOPEROXIDE SYNTHASE 2
HDAC9	HD7B, HDAC, HDAC7, HDAC7B, HDAC9B, HDAC9FL, HDRP, HISTONE DEACETYLASE 9, MITR
RTN4	NBLA00271, NBLA10545, NOGO, NSP CL, NSPCL, RETICULON 4, RETICULON4, RTN X, RTN4 A, RTN4 B1, RTN4 B2, RTN4 C, RTN4A, RTN4B1, RTN4B2, RTN4C, RTNX
PPP1R1B	DARPP 32, DARPP32, PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE 1 REGULATORY INHIBITOR SUBUNIT 1B
PPARA	HPPAR, NR1C1, PEROXISOME PROLIFERATOR ACTIVATED RECEPTOR ALPHA, PPAR, PPARALPHA
BAX	BCL2 ASSOCIATED X, APOPTOSIS REGULATOR, BCL2L4
OPRK1	K OR 1, KOR 1, KOR1, OPIOID RECEPTOR KAPPA 1, OPRK
DRD3	D3DR, DOPAMINE RECEPTOR D3, ETM1, FET1
BCL2	BCL 2, BCL2, BCL2 APOPTOSIS REGULATOR, PPP1R50

HOMER1	HOMER, HOMER SCAFFOLD PROTEIN 1, HOMER1A, HOMER1B, HOMER1C, SYN47, VES 1, VES1
EGFR	EPIDERMAL GROWTH FACTOR RECEPTOR, ERBB, ERBB1, HER1, MENA, NISBD2, PIG61
ACHE	ACEE, ACETYLCHOLINESTERASE CARTWRIGHT BLOOD GROUP, ARACHE, N ACHE, NACHE
ADORA2A	A2AR, ADENOSINE A2A RECEPTOR, ADORA2, RDC8
ABCB1	ABC20, ATP BINDING CASSETTE SUBFAMILY B MEMBER 1, CD243, CLCS, GP170, MDR1, P GP, PGY1
MGLL	HU K5, HUK5, MAGL, MONOGLYCERIDE LIPASE, MONOGLYCERIDELIPASE
CDK5	CYCLIN DEPENDENT KINASE 5, LIS7, PSSALRE
PSENEN	ACNINV2, MDS033, MSTP064, PEN 2, PEN2, PRESENILIN ENHANCER, GAMMA SECRETASE SUBUNIT
SH2D3C	CHAT, NSP3, PRO34088, SH2 DOMAIN CONTAINING 3C, SHEP1
IL1B	IL 1, IL1 BETA, IL1BETA, IL1BETA, IL1F2, INTERLEUKIN 1 BETA
CHAT	CHOACTASE, CHOLINE O ACETYLTRANSFERASE, CMS1A, CMS1A2, CMS6
CCL4	ACT2, AT744.1, C C MOTIF CHEMOKINE LIGAND 4, G 26, HC21, LAG 1, LAG1, MIP 1 BETA, MIP1B, MIP1B1, SCYA2, SCYA4
CALCA	CALC1, CALCITONIN RELATED POLYPEPTIDE ALPHA, CGRP, CGRP ALPHA, CGRP I, CGRP1, CGRPALPHA, CGRPI
S100A12	CAAF1, CAGC, CGRP, MRP 6, MRP6, S100 CALCIUM BINDING PROTEIN A12
OPRD1	DOR1, OPIOID RECEPTOR DELTA 1, OPRD
JUNB	AP 1, JUNB PROTO ONCOGENE, AP 1 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR SUBUNIT
GSTM1	GLUTATHIONE S TRANSFERASE MU 1, GST1, GSTM1 1, GSTM11, GSTM1A 1A, GSTM1A1A, GSTM1B 1B, GSTM1B1B, GTH4, GTM1, H B, MU 1