Title:

Multiple introgressions shape mitochondrial evolutionary history in *Drosophila paulistorum* and the *Drosophila willistoni* group

Running title: mitochondrial evolution in *Drosophila paulistorum*

Authors: Guilherme C. Baião[a], Daniela I. Schneider[b,1], Wolfgang J. Miller[b,#], Lisa Klasson[a,#]

Author affiliations: [a] Molecular Evolution, Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Husargatan 3, 751 24, Uppsala, Sweden; [b] Lab Genome Dynamics, Department Cell & Developmental Biology, Center for Anatomy and Cell Biology, Medical University of Vienna, Schwarzspanierstraße 17, 1090, Vienna, Austria.

Email addresses:
guilherme.baiao@icm.uu.se
daniela.smith@flhealth.gov
wolfgang.miller@meduniwien.ac.at
lisa.klasson@icm.uu.se

[#] Corresponding authors
[1] Current address: Florida Department of Health, Environmental Health, 4000 South Tamiami Trail, Venice, FL 34293, USA.

ABSTRACT

Hybridization and the consequent introgression of genomic elements is an important source of genetic diversity for biological lineages. This is particularly evident in young clades in which hybrid incompatibilities are still incomplete and mixing between species is more likely to occur. *Drosophila paulistorum,* a representative of the Neotropical *Drosophila willistoni* subgroup, is a classic model of incipient speciation. The species is divided into six semispecies that show varying degrees of pre- and post-mating incompatibility with each other. In the present study, we investigate the mitochondrial evolutionary history of *D. paulistorum* and the willistoni subgroup. For that, we perform phylogenetic and comparative analyses of the complete mitochondrial genomes and draft nuclear assemblies of 25 *Drosophila* lines of the willistoni and saltans species groups. Our results show that the mitochondria of *D. paulistorum* are polyphyletic and form two non-sister clades that we name α and β. Identification and analyses of nuclear mitochondrial insertions further reveal that the willistoni subgroup has an α-like mitochondrial ancestor and indicate that both the α and β mitochondria of *D. paulistorum* were acquired through introgression from unknown fly lineages of the willistoni subgroup. We also uncover multiple mitochondrial introgressions across *D. paulistorum* semispecies and generate novel insight into the evolution of the species.

Keywords: mitochondria, *Drosophila*, evolution, genomics, introgression.

1.INTRODUCTION

Hybridization is increasingly recognized for its importance in promoting genetic diversity as well as for its consequent influence on adaptation and speciation. Large-scale genome sequencing is showing that the process is not only widespread but also relatively common, with many plants, fungi and animals carrying nuclear and cytoplasmic signatures of other species (Edelman and Mallet, 2021; Taylor and Larson, 2019). Genetic elements acquired through introgression — i.e., hybridization followed by back-crossing of hybrids to the parental lineages — often have adaptive value and lead to the emergence of novel traits or increased resistance to environmental stresses (Arnold and Kunte, 2017; Edelman and Mallet, 2021; Oziolor et al., 2019). Once introgressed, genetic elements persist in the receiving species through drift, selection or association with selfish elements that drive their spread (Dumas et al., 2013; Quilodrán, 2020; Seixas et al., 2018). The maternally inherited and reproduction-manipulating symbiont *Wolbachia,* for example, is known to cause sweeps of introgressed mitochondrial types (i.e., mitotypes) across host populations (Dumas et al., 2013; Jiggins, 2003; N Miyata et al., 2020).

The analysis of introgressed genetic elements can contribute to our understanding of past evolutionary events that shaped the present biodiversity (Ottenburghs, 2020). Sequence analysis often allows precise identification of hybridizing lineages and thus generates insight into the evolutionary history and biogeography of organisms of interest (Barlow et al., 2018; Meyer et al., 2012; Taylor and Larson, 2019). However, introgressed elements sometimes do not show strong similarity to any known organisms. "Ghost introgressions" derived from unsampled or potentially extinct species are relatively common and are often detected during the analysis of mitochondrial genomes (Ottenburghs, 2020; Toews and Brelsford, 2012; Zhang et al., 2019). Such introgressions, either from "ghost" or well-known species, commonly lead to deep mitochondrial divergence in clades with closely related nuclear genomes (Hirano et al., 2019; Horoiwa et al., 2021; Makhov et al., 2021; Zadra et al., 2021). If not properly identified, these introgressions can mislead evolutionary analyses (Ottenburghs, 2020; Toews and Brelsford, 2012). Mitochondrial genomic analyses can be further complicated by nuclear mitochondrial insertions (NUMTs) which are found in many organisms. NUMTs sometimes provide important phylogenetic information (Hazkani-Covo, 2009), but they may also create practical problems if mistaken for the true mitochondrial DNA (Calvignac et al., 2011). As in the case of undetected introgressions, unidentified NUMTs sometimes lead to phylogenies that do not represent the true relationship between lineages and to incorrect estimates of biological diversity (DeSalle and Goldstein, 2019; Kress et al., 2015).

Drosophila is a powerful model for studying introgression, as most lineages carry genomic elements acquired from other species or clades (Garrigan et al., 2012; Suvorov et

al., 2022; Turissini and Matute, 2017). In some cases, up to 10% of the genome is estimated to have originated via introgression (Suvorov et al., 2022). Within *Drosophila*, young clades represent particularly interesting study systems, as the lineages which form them are more likely to hybridize or to have done so in the recent past (Coyne and Orr, 1989). One such clade is the *Drosophila willistoni* species group, a recent radiation of Neotropical fruit flies (Zanini et al., 2018) which comprises 24 species split into three subgroups: alagitans, bocainensis, and willistoni. (Bächli, 2019). Among these, the willistoni subgroup is the best studied and comprises several incipient species with varying degrees of inter- and intra-specific reproductive isolation (Burla et al., 1949; Ehrman and Powell, 1982; Winge, 1965). Due to this, the willistoni subgroup has become an important model for investigating reproductive isolation, speciation and hybridization (Burla et al., 1949; Civetta and Gaudreau, 2015; Dobzhansky and Pavlovsky, 1967; Ehrman and Powell, 1982; Mardiros et al., 2016; Perez-Salas and Ehrman, 1971; Schneider et al., 2019; Winge, 1965; Winge and Cordeiro, 1963).

One of the willistoni subgroup species, *Drosophila paulistorum*, is a species complex *in statu nascendi*. It comprises six semispecies — Amazonian (AM), Andean Brazilian (AB), Centro-American (CA), Interior (IN), Orinocan (OR) and Transitional (TR) — that show distinct but partially overlapping geographical distributions (Dobzhansky and Spassky, 1959). The semispecies express variable levels of reproductive isolation and exhibit both pre- and post-mating incompatibilities with each other (Dobzhansky and Spassky, 1959; Ehrman and Powell, 1982). Typically, females discriminate against males of other semispecies and occasional crosses do not produce offspring or result in hybrid infertility and male sterility (Dobzhansky et al., 1964; Ehrman, 1965; Miller et al., 2010). However, the TR semispecies and certain lines of other semispecies are more permissible and sporadically produce viable and, on rare occasions, fertile hybrids, at least under lab conditions (Dobzhansky et al., 1964; Malogolowkin, 1962). Thus, it is possible that gene flow between semispecies still exists or occurred in the recent past, when the semispecies were younger and barriers against gene flow were still incomplete (Perez-Salas et al., 1970). This complex evolutionary scenario has motivated several phylogenetic studies which produced a good understanding of the relationships between species of the willistoni subgroup (Gleason et al., 1998; Robe et al., 2010; Zanini et al., 2018). However, the relationship between *D. paulistorum* semispecies remains somewhat controversial, as nuclear and mitochondrial markers have often produced fairly different phylogenetic trees (Gleason et al., 1998; Robe et al., 2010; Zanini et al., 2018). These incongruences suggest that hybridization and mitochondrial introgression may have occurred between semispecies, but the small number and short sequence length of the markers available in previous studies have not allowed definite conclusions to be reached.

In the present study, we investigate the evolutionary history of mitochondria in *D.*
paulistorum. We use complete mitochondrial genomes and draft whole-genome assemblies
of 25 *Drosophila* lines belonging to six species of the willistoni group and three species of
the closely related saltans group. By comparing mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies we
uncover a complex evolutionary history involving multiple mitochondrial introgressions, both
ancient and recent. We discover that *D. paulistorum* mitochondria are polyphyletic and form
two distinct non-sister clades, which we name α and β. With our analyses of the α and β
genomes as well as of NUMTs found in the *D. paulistorum* genome, we show that the
willistoni subgroup has an α-like mitochondrial ancestor. Interestingly, we also find evidence
that both current *D. paulistorum* mitotypes are not native to the species and were likely
acquired through introgression. The current α mitotype appears to have introgressed prior to
the divergence of *D. paulistorum* and *D. equinoxialis.* As for the β mitotype, we suggest that
it was acquired by *D. paulistorum* prior to semispecies diversification through a ghost
introgression from an unsampled or extinct lineage of the willistoni group. Our results further
reveal multiple introgressions across semispecies of *D. paulistorum*, generating new insight
into the evolution of the species.

110 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1.   Fly lines, DNA extraction and sequencing
Drosophila lines used in this study are summarized in **Table 1**. Flies were kept at 25±1°C on
Formula 4-24 *Drosophila* instant food (Carolina, USA) with a 12-hour light-dark cycle. For
each line, 20 ovaries were dissected from 3-day-old adult females and DNA extraction was
performed using the Gentra Puregene Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen). DNA samples used for
PacBio sequencing were produced using the protocol described in (Ellegaard et al., 2013).
Briefly, *Drosophila* flies were transferred to apple juice agar plates and allowed to oviposit for
2 hours, after which the eggs were collected, washed and dechorionated in 50% bleach and
manually homogenized using a plastic pestle. Following centrifugation and filtration of the
homogenate, the resulting cell pellet was subjected to whole genome amplification using the
Repli-g midi kit (Qiagen) after which the DNA was purified using QIAamp DNA mini kit
(Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

2.1.1. Sequencing
Drosophila paulistorum lines from the six classic reference semispecies plus lines from more
recent collections were used for genome sequencing (**Table 1**). DNA extracted from ovaries
was used to construct 350 bp fragment TruSeq libraries, multiplexed and sequenced at the
Uppsala SNP and Seq platform in three different runs on an Illumina 2500 HiSeq machine
generating 2x125 bp reads. The Illumina reads were quality filtered and trimmed using

Trimmomatic-0.30 (Bolger et al., 2014) and error-corrected using SPAdes-3.5.0 (Bankevich

et al., 2012). Amplified DNA extracted from early embryos of three lines (O11, POA-1 and

TP37) was used to create 5 kb fragment SMRTbell libraries. Each library was run using P6-

C4 chemistry in one SMRT cell on an RSII PacBio instrument. PacBio libraries were

produced and sequencing was performed at the Uppsala Genome Center, Uppsala,

Sweden.

2.2.   Genome assemblies and annotation

2.2.1. Mitochondrial genome assembly

The mitochondrial genome of the O11 line was generated by mapping all Illumina reads to

the published mitochondrial genome of *D. willistoni* (NCBI accession number BK006338.1)

using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 (Li, 2013) and thereafter extracting all aligned reads and their

mates using SAMtools v1.14 (Li et al., 2009). The extracted Illumina reads were then

subsampled to achieve an approximate coverage of 100 and assembled together with

PacBio filtered subreads using SPAdes-3.10.1 with various k-mers settings. The genome

came out as a single full-length contig. Both the Illumina and PacBio reads were then

aligned to this contig using BWA-MEM v0.7.17. The resulting bam-file was converted to an

ace-file that was used for manual curation of the sequence in Consed (Gordon et al., 1998).

The mitochondrial genomes of all other *Drosophila* lines were generated by mapping

Illumina reads to the O11 mitochondrial genome with BWA-MEM v0.7.17, extracting all

aligned reads and their mates using SAMtools v1.14 and assembling the extracted reads

with SPAdes-3.10.1 with various k-mers settings. Since PacBio data were only generated

from the O11, TP37 and POA-1 lines, the assemblies of all other lines were run using only

Illumina reads. For all lines except FG572, this procedure generated one contig that

represented a complete or nearly complete circular mitochondrial genome. For FG572, this

procedure created two nearly equal length contigs with similar coverage. The longest contig

of ca. 14 kbp was similar to other β mt-genomes. The other large contig of ca 13 kbp was

similar to α mt-genomes, and specifically to the NUMT that was discovered in the strain L06

(described in 3.3). Hence, this contig was regarded as a NUMT and not a real mitochondrion

(see 2.6). To complete the mt-genome of FG572, we joined the 14 kbp β contig to a shorter

contig of ca. 2000 bp which had almost double coverage and which represented the missing

part of the mt-genome. The double coverage was due to the high similarity between the α-

like NUMTand β mt-genome in this region.

In order to correct possible assembly errors, Illumina reads of each line were

mapped back to the contig containing the complete or nearly complete mt-genome for that

line using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 and indels were realigned with the IndelRealigner from GATK

(McKenna et al., 2010). The resulting bam-file was then used to call variants using

Freebayes with a range of parameters and converted to ace-format for manual curation in
Consed (Gordon et al., 1998). In cases where high-frequency variants existed, we used the
majority rule and base quality to select the consensus base.
The position and function of genes in the assembled mt-genomes were obtained by
extracting the gene sequences from the published *D. willistoni* mt-genome (GD-H4-1 line)
and using them as queries in a blastn search against each of our assembled mt-genomes.
The start and stop positions of each gene were subsequently checked manually in Artemis
(Rutherford et al., 2000) and adjusted if needed.

2.2.2. Nuclear genome assemblies and retrieval of nuclear markers
Illumina reads from each *Drosophila* line were separately assembled with Megahit v.1.1.2 (Li
et al., 2015) using multiple k-mer settings to generate draft nuclear genome assemblies.
BUSCO v5.2.2 (Manni et al., 2021) was used for estimating the completeness of each
assembly based on the 'Diptera' marker dataset. Complete single copy BUSCO markers
which could be retrieved from all assemblies (**Table S3**) were then used for building nuclear
phylogenies, as described in 2.3.

2.3.   Alignments and phylogenetic analyses
Datasets of whole mitochondrial genomes, nuclear markers and mitochondrial genes were
aligned with MAFFT v7.490 (mafft-linsi algorithm) (Katoh and Standley, 2013) and
processed with TrimAl v1.4rev15 (Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009) using the -automated1 flag
for trimming poorly aligned regions. Concatenated sets of either mitochondrial or nuclear
genes were created by combining trimmed alignments of single genes. Datasets of
mitochondrial protein-coding genes containing either only the 3rd or a combination of 1st and
2nd codon positions were created by parsing gene sequences using an in-house script.
Alignments were visually inspected with Aliview v. 2019 (Larsson, 2014) for quality control.
Maximum likelihood trees were built with RAxML v8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014) using
the flags: -f a -m GTRGAMMA -x 12345 -p 12345 -# 1000 (nucleotide trees) or: -f a -m
PROTGAMMAGTR -x 12345 -p 12345 -# 1000 (amino acid trees). Bayesian trees were built
with MrBayes v3.2.7a (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003)
using "nst= mixed" for estimating evolutionary models. Analyses were run for 10.000.000
generations with "nruns= 2", "nchains= 4" and "samplefreq= 1000". A burn-in of 25% was
applied. Trees were visualized in FigTree v. 1.4.3 (Rambaut, 2009) and rooted using the
species of the *saltans* group.

2.4.   Quantification of synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions
In order to quantify synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions between the α and β
clades, we first generated single gene alignments for all mitochondrial protein-coding genes

using MAFFT v7.490. Synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions were counted in all

possible pairwise comparisons between α– and β-carrying lines using codeml (PAML

package v. 4.9j) (Yang, 2007). The values obtained were then averaged for each mitotype

and gene. The same method was used for calculating the number of nonsynonymous

substitutions per non-synonymous site (dN), synonymous substitutions per synonymous site

(dS) and dN/dS ratios.

2.5.   Recombination analysis

We checked for intergenic recombination between lines by analyzing incongruences

between single gene trees which were built as described in 2.3. Tests for intragenic

recombination on single-gene and whole-genome alignments were performed with

Geneconv v.1.81 and PhiPack using default parameters.

2.6.   Identification of nuclear mitochondrial DNA (NUMTs)

Using blastn, we screened our draft genome assemblies of willistoni subgroup lines for

contigs which produced high-scoring pairs (HSPs) of at least 200 bp and 90% similarity to

any of the assembled *D. paulistorum* mt-genomes. Among these, contigs with HSPs with

98% or higher identity to either α or β mt-genomes were tentatively assigned to those

clades, while contigs with HSPs with 90-97% identity were deemed divergent. We further

analyzed their similarity to the α and β mitotypes and calculated their coverage by using

BWA-MEM v0.7.17 to map the Illumina reads of each line to their respective whole-genome

assemblies combined with a representative of the α (C2) and β (O11) mitotypes. Read

coverage was calculated with Mosdepth v0.3.2 (Pedersen and Quinlan, 2018) taking all

mapped reads into account. Contigs with coverage lower than two were removed from the

analysis to avoid potential artifacts. Contigs with similarity to mitochondria were considered

NUMTs if their average coverage was similar (± 30%) to the nuclear coverage of their line.

Additionally, contigs were classified as NUMTs if part of their sequence had similarity to the

Drosophila nuclear genome, as indicated by blast searches against the NCBI nt database.

The nuclear coverage of each line was defined as the average coverage of the 10 longest

BUSCO markers used in our nuclear phylogenetic analysis (**Table S3**). We also calculated

the percentage of bases of the α and β reference genomes that were covered by mapped

reads using SAMtools mpileup. The result of these analyses is summarized in **Table S4**.

3. RESULTS

To investigate the evolutionary history of mitochondria in *D. paulistorum*, we sequenced and

assembled the complete mitochondrial (mt) genomes and draft nuclear genomes of 23

Drosophila lines representing six species of the willistoni group and three species of the

closely related saltans group (**Table 1**). Among these were 13 lines of *D. paulistorum*,

including representatives of the six classic semispecies and seven recently collected

isofemale lines from Brazil and French Guiana (**Table 1**). Additionally, we assembled the mt-

genome of the *D. paulistorum* line L06/ H66.1C (**Table 1**), for which whole-genome Illumina

data is publicly available (Kim et al., 2021).

Table 1. Fly lines used in this study

Species (semispecies)	Line	Collection locality*	Source/Collector	Collection date
D. paulistorum (AB)	MS	Mesitas, CO	L.E.	1962
D. paulistorum (AM)	A28	Belém (PA), BR	L.E.	1952
D. paulistorum (CA)	C2	Lancetilla, HN	L.E.	1954
D. paulistorum (IN)	L1	Llanos, CO	L.E.	1958
D. paulistorum (OR)	O11	Georgetown, GY	L.E.	1957
D. paulistorum (TR)	SM	Santa Marta, CO	L.E.	1956
D. paulistorum	FG572	Saül, GF	W.M. & A.H.	2015
D. paulistorum	FG103	Saül, GF	W.M. & A.H.	2014
D. paulistorum	FG111	Saül, GF	W.M. & A.H.	2014
D. paulistorum	FG295	Saül, GF	W.M. & A.H.	2014
D. paulistorum	L06/ H66.1C	San Salvador, SV	W.H. [1]	1955
D. paulistorum	POA1	Porto Alegre (RS), BR	A.G.	2003
D. paulistorum	RP	Ribeirão Preto (SP), BR	A.G.	1995
D. paulistorum	TP37	Pousada Triunfo (PE), BR	C.R.& A.G.	2009
D. equinoxialis	FS	Fort Sherman, Colon, PA	E.B.	2002
D. insularis	MASS-B-SL	LC	J.P.	2006
D. tropicalis	SS	San Salvador, SV	W.H.[2]	1955
D. willistoni	APA8-2	Veracruz, ME	J.S.	1998
D. willistoni	FG168	Saül, GF	W.M. & A.H.	2014
D. willistoni	GD-H4-1	GP	J.P.[3]	1991
D. nebulosa	NEB	Palmira, CO	W.H.[4]	1955
D. neocordata	NEO	Minas Gerais, BR	NDSSC[5]	1959
D. prosaltans	PE-2	Eldorado (RS), BR	J.S.	1995
D. prosaltans	Tap5-2	S.Lour. da Mata (PE), BR	C.R.& A.G.	2011
D. septentriosaltans	PLR	Gamboa, PA	E.B.	2002

*Abbreviations between brackets refer to Brazilian states.

Abbreviations: Collectors: A.G.: Ana Laurer Garcia; AH: Aurélie Hua-Van; C.R.: Claudia Rohde; E.B: Egon Bartel; J.P.: Jeff

Powell; J.S.: Joana Silva; L.E.: Lee Ehrman; W.H: William Heed; W.M.: Wolfgang Miller. Countries: BR: Brazil; CO: Colombia;

HN: Honduras, GF: French Guiana, GP: Guadeloupe, GY: Guyana, LC: St Lucia, ME: Mexico, SV: El Salvador, PA: Panama.

Brazilian states: PA: Pará, PE: Pernambuco, RS: Rio Grande do Sul, SP: São Paulo. Other: NDSSC: National *Drosophila*

species stock center. NDSSC codes: [1] 14030-0771.06, [2] 14030-0801.01, [3] 14030-0811.24, [4] 14030-0761.00, [5] 14041-0831.00

The assembled mt-genomes are approximately 16.000 bp long, have a GC content of

roughly 21% (**Table S1**) and encode the same 13 proteins, 22 tRNAs and two rRNAs as the

mitochondria of *D. melanogaster* and most other animals. All mt-genomes have relatively

short control regions (CR) of approximately 1.1 kb (willistoni group) to 1.3 kb (saltans group)

compared to the 4.6 kb CR of *D. melanogaster*.

The draft nuclear assemblies have an average total length of 177 Mbp and vary

considerably in the number of contigs, total length and quality (**Table S1**).

3.1.   The phylogeny of *Drosophila paulistorum* and the willistoni group

We inferred a mitochondrial phylogeny of our fly lines based on our newly assembled mt-

genomes and the mt-genome of the published *D. willistoni* reference genome GD-H4-1. The

resulting tree revealed that *D. paulistorum* mitochondria are polyphyletic and split into two

major clades, which we designate α and β (**Fig 1A**). The mt-genomes of the two clades are

relatively distantly related and have an average nucleotide divergence of 2.4%. An analysis

of substitution patterns between α and β showed that the rate of synonymous substitutions

(dS) within protein-coding genes is higher than that of non-synonymous substitutions (dN)

(**Table S2**), which indicates that purifying selection is acting on both clades.

Fig 1. Phylogeny of *D. paulistorum* and the willistoni group based on **(A)** whole mitochondrial
genomes and **(B)** nuclear markers. *D. paulistorum* mitochondria form two non-sister clades: α and β.
In the mitochondrial tree, the clade containing α and the mitochondria of *D. equinoxialis* (α+eq) is
sister to the other species of the willistoni subgroup (willistoni clade). Support for this relationship is
low in the whole genome (WG) phylogeny but high when amino acids or only the first and second
codon positions of protein-coding genes are analyzed (1+2). The nuclear phylogeny shows *D.*
paulistorum semispecies split into two sister clades with three semispecies each. For both trees, the
same topology is obtained by maximum likelihood (ML, GTRGAMMA model) and Bayesian analyses.
Colored circles next to the nodes indicate ML bootstrap support (left half) and Bayesian posterior
probability (right half). Black represents 99-100% support, grey 70-98%, and white below 70%.
Branches marked by transverse lines were shortened and do not follow the scale bar.

We found all deep nodes of the mitochondrial tree to be highly supported, except one (**Fig**

1A). The unsupported node is essential for our understanding of the phylogenetic

relationships between *D. paulistorum* mitochondria since it defines the relationship between

the clade which contains both the *D. paulistorum* α mitotype and the *D. equinoxialis* mt-

genome (hereafter called "α+eq") in regard to the clade containing the mt-genomes of *D.*

willistoni, *D. insularis* and *D. tropicalis* (hereafter called the "willistoni clade") (**Fig 1A**). Since

further conclusions about the evolution of *D. paulistorum* mitochondria can only be made

based on a robust phylogeny, we investigated possible underlying reasons for the low

support of this node.

We tested if recombination between mitotypes could be affecting the phylogenetic

signal by generating single gene trees for each of the 13 mitochondrial protein-coding genes

and looking for conflicts between their topologies. We found that most of the trees had poor

resolution due to the short sequence length of individual genes and low divergence between

lines. Only two gene trees (ND2 and ND5) had good support for the node defining the

relationship between α+eq and the willistoni clade (Bootstrap values > 80) (**Fig S1**). The

ND2 tree featured α+eq as sister to the willistoni clade (BS = 80%) (**Fig S1A**) while the ND5

tree placed α+eq as sister to the β clade (BS = 92%) (**Fig S1B**). Among all single gene

trees, *ND5* was the only one with support on all internal nodes, perhaps because it is the

longest mitochondrial gene. We further tested for intragenic recombination in all protein-

coding genes using two different algorithms and found that only *cytB* had a marginally

significant signal ($p<0.05$) with one method, Phipack (see Methods). These weak and

inconsistent signals indicated that recombination between mt-genomes is probably

infrequent and thus unlikely to be the cause of the low bootstrap support in the tree.

Next, we tested if nucleotide composition bias could be responsible for the low

bootstrap support. For that we built trees based on the concatenated sequences of the 13

mitochondrial protein-coding genes using either 1) amino acid (aa) sequences, 2) only the

first and second codon positions or 3) only the third codon position. Support for α+eq as

sister to the willistoni clade was strongly increased in the tree based on aa sequences (ML

bootstrap 90%) in comparison to the whole mt-genome phylogeny (ML bootstrap 66%) (**Fig

1A, Fig S2A**). Using the first two codon positions of the nucleotide sequences of the protein-

coding genes also resulted in considerably higher support for α+eq as sister to the willistoni

clade (ML 99%, Bayesian 1) (**Fig 1A, Fig S2B**). In contrast, the tree based only on the third

codon position showed high (Bayesian posterior probability 0.99) or fairly good (ML

bootstrap 90%) support for α+eq and β being sister clades (**Fig 2**). Thus, given the

conflicting topologies, we conclude that nucleotide composition bias is likely causing the low

support of the node defining the relationship between the α+eq and willistoni clades in the

mitochondrial phylogeny.

Since the third codon position is more prone to bias than the first and second

positions, we considered the topology supported by amino acids and by the first two codon

positions to most likely represent the accurate evolutionary relationship between the clades.

This is the same topology seen in the whole mt-genome tree (**Fig 1A**). Thus, we confirmed

that the mitochondria of *D. paulistorum* are polyphyletic and that α+eq is sister to the

willistoni clade rather than to β **(Fig 1A)**. The result also indicates that the ancestral

mitochondrion of the willistoni subgroup is more similar to α (thus "α-like") than to β.

Fig 2. Mitochondrial phylogeny of *D. paulistorum* and the willistoni group based on the third
codon position of the concatenated protein-coding gene sequences. The α+eq clade is highly
supported as sister to β, which contrasts with its position as sister to the willistoni clade in trees based
on whole mitochondrial genomes and on the first and second codon positions of the concatenated
mitochondrial protein-coding genes (**Fig 1A, Fig S2B**). The change in topology is likely a result of
convergence in nucleotide composition due to the ancestor of α+eq and β having lived in the same
host. The same topology is observed in maximum likelihood (ML, GTRGAMMA model) and Bayesian
analyses. Colored circles next to the nodes indicate ML bootstrap support (left half) and Bayesian
posterior probability (right half). Black represents 99-100% support, grey 70-98%, and white below
70%.

To investigate the evolution of *D. paulistorum* mitochondria in more detail, we also inferred a

reliable nuclear phylogeny that reflects the evolutionary history of the species and its

semispecies (**Fig 1B**). The tree was based on amino acid sequences of 692 BUSCO

markers ('Diptera' dataset) which were recovered as complete single copies in all of our draft

nuclear assemblies (**Table S3**). The resulting nuclear phylogeny is highly supported in most

non-terminal nodes and shows that the six classical semispecies of *D. paulistorum* are split

into two sister clades, one formed by the AM, OR and AB semispecies and the other by the

CA, IN and TR semispecies (**Fig 1B**).

3.2. Mitochondrial evolutionary history of *D. paulistorum* and the willistoni subgroup

A comparison of our nuclear and mitochondrial phylogenies (**Fig 1**) revealed inconsistencies that suggest both ancient and recent mitochondrial introgressions in *D. paulistorum*. First, the phylogenetic position of the β clade indicates that this mitotype was likely acquired by *D. paulistorum* from a donor species that diverged earlier than the willistoni subgroup but later than *D. nebulosa*. Second, the placement of the mitochondrial α+eq clade as sister to the willistoni clade is in strong contrast to the derived position that *D. paulistorum* and *D. equinoxialis* occupy within the willistoni subgroup in the nuclear phylogeny (**Fig 1**). This indicates that both extant mitotypes of *D. paulistorum* were potentially introgressed from species that branch outside of the willistoni subgroup.

Third, more recent mitochondrial introgressions appear to have occurred between the semispecies of *D. paulistorum.* This is evident by the fact that lines from different and relatively distantly related semispecies (based on our nuclear phylogeny) share the same mitotype, as is the case of the α mitotype in C2 (CA) and MS (AB) and the β mitotype in O11 (OR), L1 (IN) and SM (TR) (**Fig 1A**).

Finally, we note that *D. tropicalis* and *D. insularis* switch positions between the nuclear and mitochondrial phylogenies (**Fig 1**).

3.3. NUMTs provide further insight into mitochondrial evolution of the willistoni subgroup

While assembling the *D. paulistorum* genomes, we discovered that several of our lines likely carry nuclear mitochondrial insertions (NUMTs). We analyzed these to verify the accuracy of our assembled mt-genomes and to better understand mitochondrial evolution within the willistoni subgroup. Using our whole-genome assemblies, we identified contigs containing sequence fragments that showed at least 90% sequence similarity to representatives of the α and the β mitotypes of *D. paulistorum.* We then investigated their coverage and further analyzed their similarity to α and β by mapping reads of each line to their respective whole-genome assemblies plus representatives of α and β mt-genomes (see 2.6, **Table S4**). Contigs were classified as NUMTs if they either had a coverage similar to that of known nuclear genes or if they contained sequences identified as being of nuclear origin, regardless of coverage. The identified potential NUMTs exhibited varying lengths and degrees of sequence similarity to the assembled mt-genomes. Three of them were of particular interest for interpretations regarding mitochondrial evolution in *D. paulistorum*.

First, in several of the *D. paulistorum* lines, we identified a NUMT that lacks many of the typical hallmarks of a nuclear mitochondrial insertion. It spans the whole length of a mitochondrial genome (**Table S4**) and is almost identical to the α mitotype (99,4%

nucleotide identity to a consensus of the C2 and MS mt-genomes). This atypical NUMT

initially led us to believe that the lines which carry it were heteroplasmic. However, its

identity as a NUMT was established due to a clear association with nuclear sequences in the

published genome assembly of the *D. paulistorum* L06 line (Kim et al., 2021) as well as in

contigs found in our draft whole-genome assemblies of the FG103, FG111, IN, O11 and SM

lines. The high similarity between this NUMT and the α mitotype indicates that it is a recent

nuclear transfer. However, most lines that carry it have a β mt-genome, suggesting that this

NUMT does not originate from recent nuclear insertions of the resident mt-genomes. Thus, it

must have been transferred between lines and semispecies in sporadic hybridization events.

We are currently investigating the genomic and biological properties of this NUMT and

intend to publish our results in a future publication.

The second NUMT of interest spans a genomic region that includes parts of the *ND2*

and *CO1* genes. This NUMT was found in 13 of the 14 analyzed *D. paulistorum* lines and

using it for phylogenetic analysis revealed a relationship between lines that is similar to that

seen in the nuclear phylogeny (**Fig 3**, **Fig 1B**).

Fig 3. NUMT-derived phylogenetic relationship between *D. paulistorum* lines. The phylogeny is
based on a NUMT sequence from *D. paulistorum* which covers part of the *ND2-CO1* genes combined
with fragments of the true mt-genomes spanning the same region. The recovered phylogenetic
relationship between *D. paulistorum* lines resembles that seen in the nuclear marker tree (**Fig 2B**),
supporting an ancient origin of this nuclear insertion. The position of the NUMT next to the willistoni
and the α+eq clades supports an α−like mitochondrial ancestor in the willistoni subgroup. Colored
circles next to the nodes indicate ML bootstrap support (left half) and Bayesian posterior probability
(right half). Black represents 99-100% support, grey 70-98%, and white below 70%.

This observation, combined with the presence of the NUMT in nearly all *D. paulistorum* lines,
suggests that it stems from a single nuclear insertion that occurred before the diversification
of *D. paulistorum* semispecies. Additionally, we noticed that the C2, L1 and SM lines share a
unique insertion of a CR-1 family retrotransposon in this NUMT, further indicating that it is
likely an old nuclear insertion. The presence of this retrotransposon also supports the
monophyletic clade formed by the CA, IN and TR semispecies in our nuclear phylogeny (**Fig
1B**). The ancient origin of this NUMT combined with its phylogenetic position close to α and
the willistoni clade (**Fig 3**) corroborates the hypothesis of an α-like ancestral mitotype in *D.
paulistorum*.

Finally, we observed that the genome assembly of *D. equinoxialis* contains a few β-
mitotype-related contigs which were not found in *D. insularis*, *D. tropicalis* or *D. willistoni.*
The coverage of these contigs is similar to the nuclear average for the line but they do not
contain matches to non-mitochondrial sequences. By investigating the coverage of these
contigs, we saw that β-related reads present in our *D. equinoxialis* sample cover the whole
extent of a mt-genome and result in a full mt-genome when assembled (**Table S4**). Based
on the available data, we cannot be sure if these sequences stem from NUMTs or if they
represent low-level contamination. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that the presence of
β sequences in *D. equinoxialis* might suggest, if true, that the last common ancestor of *D.
paulistorum* and *D. equinoxialis* carried a β-like mitochondrion.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigate the evolutionary history of *D. paulistorum* using the complete
mitochondrial genomes and draft nuclear assemblies of 25 *Drosophila* lines of the willistoni
and saltans groups, including 14 *D. paulistorum* lines. We discover that *D. paulistorum*
mitochondria are polyphyletic, forming two non-sister clades which we name α and β. We
determine that the willistoni subgroup had an α-like mitochondrial ancestor and uncover
multiple mitochondrial introgressions between *D. paulistorum* semispecies as well as across
D. paulistorum and other unidentified lineages of the willistoni group. These corroborate
previous findings of frequent hybridization in *Drosophila* (Suvorov et al., 2022) and add to
reports of mitochondrial introgression across closely related *Drosophila*, as seen in the *D.
yakuba* and *D. simulans* groups (Garrigan et al., 2012; Turissini and Matute, 2017). Using
phylogenomics, we recover a nuclear phylogeny of *D. paulistorum* which is largely
consistent with previous findings but does not recover either the AM or the TR semispecies
as early-diverging and ancestral to the other semispecies, as proposed in other studies
(Chao et al., 2010; Spassky et al., 1971; Zanini et al., 2018). Instead, we recover *D.
paulistorum* semispecies split into two sister clades of three semispecies each. Our data

also indicate that *D. paulistorum* carries several NUMTs, as previously seen in other
Drosophila species including the relatively closely related *D. willistoni* (Rogers and Griffiths-
Jones, 2012).

4.1.   Evolutionary history of the α and β mitotypes of *D. paulistorum*
Our analysis shows *D. paulistorum* mitochondria to be polyphyletic and to form two non-
sister clades that we name α and β. Both mitotypes show an early-diverging phylogenetic
position which contrasts with the derived position of *D. paulistorum* within the willistoni
subgroup (Gleason et al., 1998; Robe et al., 2010; Zanini et al., 2018). Thus, if our tree
represents the true evolutionary history of these mitochondria, we can conclude that α and β
are not originally from *D. paulistorum* but instead were introgressed from unknown early-
diverging lineages. The same is true for the mitochondrion of *D. equinoxialis,* which is sister
to the α mitotype (forming the α+eq clade) but branches outside *D. paulistorum* in the
nuclear phylogeny. The positions of α+eq and of β in the mitochondrial tree suggest that
both clades originate from lineages phylogenetically positioned between *D. nebulosa* and
the willistoni subgroup, with the donor of β branching out earlier. We note that none of the
sampled lineages of the willistoni group (except for *D. paulistorum*) has β-like mitochondria
and that a search in GenBank reveals that α is the closest known relative to β. Hence, the
donor lineage of β must be either still unsampled or extinct. One possibility is that α and β
originate from rare and unsampled lineages in the alagitans or bocainensis subgroups of the
willistoni group (Wheeler and Magalhães, 1962; Zanini et al., 2015). Most species in these
subgroups are poorly known and have never been sequenced. Thus, it is possible that some
occupy the likely phylogenetic position of the potential donors of α and β, i.e., independent
lineages which are closer to the willistoni subgroup than to *D. nebulosa*. Ghost
introgressions from unknown or extinct donors are reported in several organisms and often
result in deep mitochondrial divergence among lineages with high nuclear similarity
(Ottenburghs, 2020; Zhang et al., 2019), a situation comparable to what we observe in *D.*
paulistorum and the willistoni subgroup.
Our analyses also allow us to infer at which point of the evolution of the willistoni
subgroup the introgressions of α and β took place. Given the phylogenetic position of α as
sister to the mitochondrion of *D. equinoxialis*, we conclude that the common ancestor of
α+eq was introgressed into the common ancestor of *D. paulistorum* and *D. equinoxialis*. The
potential β-NUMTs found in the genome of *D. equinoxialis* suggest that the same could be
true for β. However, since we could not conclude if these β-like sequences in *D. equinoxialis*
are indeed NUMTs or if they are the result of low-level contamination, it is also possible that
β introgressed into *D. paulistorum* after the two species diverged. We note that β is present

in 12 of the 14 sampled lines of *D. paulistorum* and four of its six semispecies. Thus, it is
more parsimonious to assume that it was introgressed into *D. paulistorum* once, before
semispecies diversification, rather than multiple times throughout evolution. Consequently,
β must have been lost and replaced or failed to establish itself in the *D. paulistorum* lines
which currently do not carry it i.e., C2 and MS. The introgression of β prior to *D. paulistorum*
semispecies divergence is further supported by the similarity in nucleotide composition of
the third codon position between the α and β mitotypes. Regardless of whether this
convergence is caused by exposure of the β mt-genome to the mutational bias
(amelioration) or the selective pressure (codon usage) of *D. paulistorum*, the effect is
expected to be stronger when the genomes have been in the same host for a significant time
(Marri and Golding, 2008).
One question that remains is which forces led to the successful establishment of the
β mitotype in *D. paulistorum* and the replacement of its former mitotype. Since *D.*
paulistorum originally had an α-like mitochondrion and considering that the genomes of
α and β are relatively distinct from each other (2.4% divergence), it is likely that the
introgression of β would have generated nuclear-mitochondrial incompatibilities (Burton et
al., 2013). Such mitonuclear conflicts would in many cases lead to hybrid inviability, lower
fitness or selection for the introgressed mitotype to be purged from the population (Burton et
al., 2013). However, the β mitotype successfully established itself and spread in *D.*
paulistorum. This suggests that the β mitotype either provided a strong fitness advantage in
the conditions that prevailed at the time of the introgression or that its spread was driven by
another factor, such as the endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia* (Dean et al., 2003; Hill,
2019). *Wolbachia* strains that show strong reproductive manipulation or that increase host
fitness sometimes spread fast through populations and may lead to hitch-hiking of mitotypes
associated with infected females (Hurst and Jiggins, 2005; Turelli et al., 1992). In *D.*
paulistorum, *Wolbachia* has been shown to affect fitness, mate choice and fecundity of some
lines (Miller et al., 2010; Schneider et al., 2019). However, it is yet unknown if such effects
occur in all semispecies or if they are directly associated with the spread of particular
mitotypes in the species.

4.2.   Mitochondrial introgressions between semispecies of *D. paulistorum*
Apart from the ancestral acquisition of the α and β mitotypes, *D. paulistorum* also shows
signs of more recent mitochondrial introgressions across semispecies. These are indicated
by incongruences between the mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies. Given that *D.*
paulistorum semispecies presently show pre- and post-mating incompatibilities with each
other, it is likely that hybridization between them happened in an earlier evolutionary period
when such barriers were less developed (Ehrman and Kernaghan, 1972; Ehrman and

Powell, 1982). Potentially, sporadic hybridization may still occur in crosses involving the TR

semispecies or populations of other semispecies which were shown to be more permissive

under lab conditions (Dobzhansky and Pavlovsky, 1967; Ehrman, 1962; Malogolowkin et al.,

1964). It is unknown if or how often *D. paulistorum* semispecies hybridize in the wild, but the

fact that multiple semispecies can be found in sympatry shows that such events are not

frequent enough to blur the distinctions between them (Perez-Salas et al., 1970).

We also observe some differences between our results and those of previous studies

with regards to which mitotype is associated with certain semispecies, as inferred by an

analysis of published phylogenetic trees. While here we see the IN semispecies carrying the

β mitotype, it was previously associated with the α mitotype (Gleason et al., 1998) or with

both α and β (Robe et al., 2010; Zanini et al., 2018) depending on the mitochondrial marker

which was analyzed. Similarly, in this study, the TR semispecies carries the β mitotype, but it

was previously associated with the α (Zanini et al., 2018) or with both the α and β mitotypes

(Robe et al., 2010) depending on the marker used. Finally, while in our study the AB

semispecies carries the α mitotype, it was associated with the β (Gleason et al., 1998; Zanini

et al., 2018) or with both the α and β mitotypes (Robe et al., 2010) in previous studies. We

note that the IN and TR lines used in the three mentioned studies were collected in the same

sampling localities as the ones that we use in the present work, which suggests they may

derive from the same lines. The same is true for the AB line used here and in Gleason et al.

(1998) and Robe et al. (2010). However, the AB line used in Zanini et al. (2018) was

collected in a different location — Santa Catarina, Brazil, while ours is from Mesitas,

Colombia. Furthermore, all reference lines that were assayed in the three independent

studies were obtained from different laboratories and at different time points. Thus,

assuming that semispecies classifications are correct in all studies and that neither

contamination nor permutation has occurred in any of the lines, we have two hypotheses to

explain the conflicting mitotype associations. One possibility is that the incongruences are

due to different populations or individuals from the same semispecies carrying distinct

mitotypes i.e., some carry the α and some the β mitotype. Based on our nuclear phylogeny,

we do not see evidence of intra-semispecies mitotype variation in our dataset. However, a

wider sampling of lines and populations from each semispecies is required to conclude if

such variation may occur. Alternatively, the differences between studies may derive from

accidental amplification of NUMTs instead of actual mt-genome sequences. Amplification of

NUMTs is a known issue when working with mitochondrial data and may misguide

phylogenetic and barcoding analyses (Nacer and Raposo do Amaral, 2017; Song et al.,

2008). Given this potential pitfall, we advise against using only mitochondrial markers for

assigning *D. paulistorum* individuals and lines to semispecies. We also suggest that care

should be taken when using solely mitochondrial markers to investigate poorly known
lineages in general.

5. CONCLUSION

In the present study, we use whole genome sequencing combined with phylogenetics and
comparative analyses to investigate the mitochondrial evolutionary history of *D. paulistorum*
and the willistoni group. We discover that *D. paulistorum* mitochondria are polyphyletic,
forming two distinct lineages, and find evidence that neither mitotype is original to the
species. The multiple mitochondrial introgression events identified suggest that hybridization
between *D. paulistorum* semispecies and across species of the willistoni group have
occurred relatively frequently, both recently and in a more distant past. These conclusions
are congruent with the recent evolutionary divergence of these lineages as well as their
ongoing speciation and underline their value as models in hybridization and speciation
studies. Our work also highlights the importance of genomic tools for elucidating past
evolutionary and ecological events that contributed to forming the present biodiversity and its
genetic variability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Aurélie Hua-Van for fly sampling and the Nouragues research field station
(managed by CNRS), which benefits from "Investissement d'Avenir" grants managed by
Agence Nationale de la Recherche (AnaEE France ANR-11-INBS-0001; Labex CEBA ANR-
10-LABX-25-01). Sequencing was performed at the SNP&SEQ Technology Platform and
Uppsala Genome Center in Uppsala, Sweden, which is part of the Swedish National
Genomics Infrastructure.

FUNDING

558 This work was supported by the Swedish research council VR grant 2014-4353 to LK and by
559 the Austrian Science Fund FWF grant P28255-B22 to WJM. The funding sources were not
560 involved in the design or execution of the project nor in the writing of this paper.

DATA AVAILABILITY

562 Raw sequence data used in this project as well as assembled whole mitochondrial genomes
563 are available in NCBI under the Bioproject PRJNA643793. Accession numbers of individual
564 mitochondrial genomes are listed in **Table S1**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Guilherme Baião: Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization; Daniela Schneider: Investigation; Wolfgang Miller: Conceptualization, Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Project administration; Lisa Klasson: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data curation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing financial or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Arnold, M.L., Kunte, K., 2017. Adaptive Genetic Exchange: A Tangled History of Admixture and Evolutionary Innovation. Trends Ecol Evol 32, 601-611.

Bächli, G., 2019. TaxoDros: the database on taxonomy of Drosophilidae.

Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A.A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A.S., Lesin, V.M., Nikolenko, S.I., Pham, S., Prjibelski, A.D., Pyshkin, A.V., Sirotkin, A.V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G., Alekseyev, M.A., Pevzner, P.A., 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. Biol. 19, 455-477.

Barlow, A., Cahill, J.A., Hartmann, S., Theunert, C., Xenikoudakis, G., Fortes, G.G., Paijmans, J.L.A., Rabeder, G., Frischauf, C., Grandal-d'Anglade, A., García-Vázquez, A., Murtskhvaladze, M., Saarma, U., Anijalg, P., Skrbinšek, T., Bertorelle, G., Gasparian, B., Bar-Oz, G., Pinhasi, R., Slatkin, M., Dalén, L., Shapiro, B., Hofreiter, M., 2018. Partial genomic survival of cave bears in living brown bears. Nat Ecol Evol 2, 1563-1570.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B., 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114-2120.

Burla, H., Da Cunha, A.B., Cordeiro, A.R., Dobzhansky, T., Malogolowkin, C., Pavan, C., 1949. The *willistoni* group of sibling species of *Drosophila*. Evolution 3, 300-314.

Burton, R.S., Pereira, R.J., Barreto, F.S., 2013. Cytonuclear genomic interactions and hybrid breakdown. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 44, 281-302.

Calvignac, S., Konecny, L., Malard, F., Douady, C.J., 2011. Preventing the pollution of mitochondrial datasets with nuclear mitochondrial paralogs (numts). Mitochondrion 11, 246-254.

Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J.M., Gabaldon, T., 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25, 1972-1973.

Chao, T.H., Ehrman, L., Permaul, A., Vincent, R., Sattaur, L., Brandt, D., 2010. Male-specific cuticular compounds of the six Drosophila paulistorum semispecies: structural identification and mating effect. Journal of chemical ecology 36, 933-942.

Civetta, A., Gaudreau, C., 2015. Hybrid male sterility between *Drosophila willistoni* species is
caused by male failure to transfer sperm during copulation. BMC Evol. Biol. 15, 75.

Coyne, J.A., Orr, H.A., 1989. Patterns of speciation in *Drosophila*. Evolution 43, 362-381.

Dean, M.D., Ballard, K.J., Glass, A., Ballard, J.W., 2003. Influence of two Wolbachia strains
on population structure of East African Drosophila simulans. Genetics 165, 1959-1969.

DeSalle, R., Goldstein, P., 2019. Review and Interpretation of Trends in DNA Barcoding.
Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 7, 302.

Dobzhansky, T., Ehrman, L., Pavlovsky, O., Spassky, B., 1964. The superspecies *Drosophila*
paulistorum. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 51, 3.

Dobzhansky, T., Pavlovsky, O., 1967. Experiments on the Incipient Species of the *Drosophila*
paulistorum complex. Genetics 55, 141-156.

Dobzhansky, T., Spassky, B., 1959. *Drosophila paulistorum*, a cluster of species in *statu*
nascendi. Proceedings of the national Academy of Sciences 45, 419-428.

Dumas, E., Atyame, C.M., Milesi, P., Fonseca, D.M., Shaikevich, E.V., Unal, S., Makoundou,
P., Weill, M., Duron, O., 2013. Population structure of *Wolbachia* and cytoplasmic
introgression in a complex of mosquito species. BMC Evol Biol 13, 181.

Edelman, N.B., Mallet, J., 2021. Prevalence and Adaptive Impact of Introgression. Annu Rev
Genet 55, 265-283.

Ehrman, L., 1962. The transitional races of *Drosophila paulistorum*: a study of hybrid sterility
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 48, 157.

Ehrman, L., 1965. Direct observation of sexual isolation between allopatric and between
sympatric strains of the different *Drosophila paulistorum* races. Evolution 19, 459-464.

Ehrman, L., Kernaghan, R.P., 1972. Infectious Heredity in *Drosophila paulistorum*. Ciba
Foundation Symposium 6 - Pathogenic Mycoplasmas, 227-250.

Ehrman, L., Powell, J.R., 1982. The Drosophila willistoni species group. In: Ashburner, M.,
Carson, H.L., Thompson, J.N. (Eds.), The Genetics and Biology of *Drosophila*. Academic
Press Inc, New York, pp. 193-220.

Ellegaard, K.M., Klasson, L., Naslund, K., Bourtzis, K., Andersson, S.G., 2013. Comparative
genomics of *Wolbachia* and the bacterial species concept. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003381.

Garrigan, D., Kingan, S.B., Geneva, A.J., Andolfatto, P., Clark, A.G., Thornton, K.R.,
Presgraves, D.C., 2012. Genome sequencing reveals complex speciation in the *Drosophila*
simulans clade. Genome Res 22, 1499-1511.

Gleason, J.M., Griffith, E.C., Powell, J.R., 1998. A molecular phylogeny of the *Drosophila*
willistoni group: Conflicts between species concepts? Evolution 52, 1093-1103.

Gordon, D., Abajian, C., Green, P., 1998. Consed: a graphical tool for sequence finishing.
Genome Res. 8, 195-202.

Hazkani-Covo, E., 2009. Mitochondrial insertions into primate nuclear genomes suggest the
use of numts as a tool for phylogeny. Mol Biol Evol 26, 2175-2179.

Hill, G.E., 2019. Reconciling the Mitonuclear Compatibility Species Concept with Rampant
Mitochondrial Introgression. Integr Comp Biol 59, 912-924.

Hirano, T., Saito, T., Tsunamoto, Y., Koseki, J., Ye, B., Do, V.T., Miura, O., Suyama, Y., Chiba,
S., 2019. Enigmatic incongruence between mtDNA and nDNA revealed by multi-locus
phylogenomic analyses in freshwater snails. Sci Rep 9, 6223.

Horoiwa, M., Mandagi, I.F., Sutra, N., Montenegro, J., Tantu, F.Y., Masengi, K.W.A., Nagano,
A.J., Kusumi, J., Yasuda, N., Yamahira, K., 2021. Mitochondrial introgression by ancient
admixture between two distant lacustrine fishes in Sulawesi Island. PLoS One 16, e0245316.

Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees.
Bioinformatics 17, 754-755.

Hurst, G.D., Jiggins, F.M., 2005. Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population,
phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts. Proceedings of
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 272, 1525-1534.

Jiggins, F.M., 2003. Male-killing Wolbachia and mitochondrial DNA: selective sweeps, hybrid
introgression and parasite population dynamics. Genetics 164, 5-12.

Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772-780.

Kim, B.Y., Wang, J.R., Miller, D.E., Barmina, O., Delaney, E., Thompson, A., Comeault, A.A.,
Peede, D., D'Agostino, E.R.R., Pelaez, J., Aguilar, J.M., Haji, D., Matsunaga, T., Armstrong,
E.E., Zych, M., Ogawa, Y., Stamenković-Radak, M., Jelić, M., Veselinović, M.S., Tanasković,
M., Erić, P., Gao, J.-J., Katoh, T.K., Toda, M.J., Watabe, H., Watada, M., Davis, J.S., Moyle,
L.C., Manoli, G., Bertolini, E., Košťál, V., Hawley, R.S., Takahashi, A., Jones, C.D., Price,
D.K., Whiteman, N., Kopp, A., Matute, D.R., Petrov, D.A., 2021. Highly contiguous assemblies
of 101 drosophilid genomes. eLife 10, e66405.

Kress, W.J., García-Robledo, C., Uriarte, M., Erickson, D.L., 2015. DNA barcodes for ecology,
evolution, and conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 30, 25-35.

Larsson, A., 2014. AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large
datasets. Bioinformatics 30, 3276-3278.

Li, D., Liu, C.-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K., Lam, T.-W., 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-
node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.
Bioinformatics 31, 1674-1676.

Li, H., 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
Durbin, R., Genome Project Data Processing, S., 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format
and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078-2079.

Makhov, I.A., Gorodilova, Y.Y.U., Lukhtanov, V.A., 2021. Sympatric occurrence of deeply
diverged mitochondrial DNA lineages in Siberian geometrid moths (Lepidoptera:
Geometridae): cryptic speciation, mitochondrial introgression, secondary admixture or effect
of W*olbachia* ? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 134, 342–365.

Malogolowkin, C., 1962. Races and incipient species in *Drosophila paulistorum*. Science 136,
327.

Malogolowkin, C., Simmons, A.S., Levene, H., 1964. On the nature of the transitional strains
in *Drosophila paulistorum*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 51, 260.

Manni, M., Berkeley, M.R., Seppey, M., Simão, F.A., Zdobnov, E.M., 2021. BUSCO Update:
Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for
Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38,
4647–4654.

Mardiros, X.B., Park, R., Clifton, B., Grewal, G., Khizar, A.K., Markow, T.A., Ranz, J.M.,
Civetta, A., 2016. Postmating reproductive isolation between strains of *Drosophila willistoni*.
Fly (Austin) 10, 162-171.

Marri, P.R., Golding, G.B., 2008. Gene amelioration demonstrated: the journey of nascent
genes in bacteria. Genome 51, 164-168.

McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella,
K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., DePristo, M.A., 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a
MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res.
20, 1297-1303.

Meyer, M., Kircher, M., Gansauge, M.T., Li, H., Racimo, F., Mallick, S., Schraiber, J.G., Jay,
F., Prüfer, K., de Filippo, C., Sudmant, P.H., Alkan, C., Fu, Q., Do, R., Rohland, N., Tandon,
A., Siebauer, M., Green, R.E., Bryc, K., Briggs, A.W., Stenzel, U., Dabney, J., Shendure, J.,
Kitzman, J., Hammer, M.F., Shunkov, M.V., Derevianko, A.P., Patterson, N., Andrés, A.M.,
Eichler, E.E., Slatkin, M., Reich, D., Kelso, J., Pääbo, S., 2012. A high-coverage genome
sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. Science 338, 222-226.

Miller, W.J., Ehrman, L., Schneider, D., 2010. Infectious speciation revisited: impact of
symbiont-depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistorum*. PLoS
Path. 6, e1001214.

N Miyata, M., Nomura, M., Kageyama, D., 2020. *Wolbachia* have made it twice: Hybrid
introgression between two sister species of *Eurema* butterflies. Ecol Evol 10, 8323-8330.

Nacer, D.F., Raposo do Amaral, F., 2017. Striking pseudogenization in avian phylogenetics:
Numts are large and common in falcons. Mol Phylogenet Evol 115, 1-6.

Ottenburghs, J., 2020. Ghost Introgression: Spooky Gene Flow in the Distant Past. Bioessays
42, e2000012.

Oziolor, E.M., Reid, N.M., Yair, S., Lee, K.M., Guberman VerPloeg, S., Bruns, P.C., Shaw,
J.R., Whitehead, A., Matson, C.W., 2019. Adaptive introgression enables evolutionary rescue
from extreme environmental pollution. Science 364, 455-457.

Pedersen, B.S., Quinlan, A.R., 2018. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes and
exomes. Bioinformatics 34, 867-868.

Perez-Salas, S., Ehrman, L., 1971. Mechanisms of male sterility in hybrids of the *Drosophila*
paulistorum group. Genetics 69, 63-70.

Perez-Salas, S., Richmond, R.C., Pavlovsky, O., Kastritsis, C.D., Ehrman, L., Dobzhansky,
T., 1970. The Interior semispecies of *Drosophila paulistorum*. Evolution 24, 519-527.

Quilodrán, C.S., Alexandros Currat, Mathias, 2020. The Spatial Signature of Introgression
After a Biological Invasion With Hybridization. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 8, 569620.

Rambaut, A., 2009. FigTree v1.4: Tree Figure Drawing Tool. Available at:
http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.

Robe, L.J., Cordeiro, J., Loreto, E.L.S., Valente, V.L.S., 2010. Taxonomic boundaries,
phylogenetic relationships and biogeography of the *Drosophila willistoni* subgroup (Diptera:
Drosophilidae). Genetica 138, 601-617.

Rogers, H.H., Griffiths-Jones, S., 2012. Mitochondrial pseudogenes in the nuclear genomes
of Drosophila. PLoS One 7, e32593.

Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under
mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572-1574.

Rutherford, K., Parkhill, J., Crook, J., Horsnell, T., Rice, P., Rajandream, M.A., Barrell, B.,
2000. Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. Bioinformatics 16, 944-945.

Schneider, D.I., Ehrman, L., Engl, T., Kaltenpoth, M., Hua-Van, A., Le Rouzic, A., Miller, W.J.,
2019. Symbiont-driven male mating success in the Neotropical *Drosophila paulistorum*
superspecies. Behav. Genet. 49, 83-98.

Seixas, F.A., Boursot, P., Melo-Ferreira, J., 2018. The genomic impact of historical
hybridization with massive mitochondrial DNA introgression. Genome Biol 19, 91.

Song, H., Buhay, J.E., Whiting, M.F., Crandall, K.A., 2008. Many species in one: DNA
barcoding overestimates the number of species when nuclear mitochondrial pseudogenes are
coamplified. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105, 13486-13491.

Spassky, B., Richmond, R.C., Pérez-Salas, S., Pavlovsky, O., Mourão, C.A., Hunter, A.S.,
Hoentgsberg, H., Dobzhansky, T., Ayala, F.J., 1971. Geography of the sibling species related
to *Drosophila willistoni*, and of the semispecies of the *Drosophila paulistorum* complex.
Evolution 25, 129-143.

Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312-1313.

Suvorov, A., Kim, B.Y., Wang, J., Armstrong, E.E., Peede, D., D'Agostino, E.R.R., Price, D.K.,
Waddell, P., Lang, M., Courtier-Orgogozo, V., David, J.R., Petrov, D., Matute, D.R., Schrider,
D.R., Comeault, A.A., 2022. Widespread introgression across a phylogeny of 155 *Drosophila*
genomes. Curr Biol 32, 111-123.e115.

Taylor, S.A., Larson, E.L., 2019. Insights from genomes into the evolutionary importance and
prevalence of hybridization in nature. Nat Ecol Evol 3, 170-177.

Toews, D.P., Brelsford, A., 2012. The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance
in animals. Mol Ecol 21, 3907-3930.

Turelli, M., Hoffmann, A.A., McKechnie, S.W., 1992. Dynamics of cytoplasmic incompatibility
and mtDNA variation in natural *Drosophila simulans* populations. Genetics 132, 713.

Turissini, D.A., Matute, D.R., 2017. Fine scale mapping of genomic introgressions within the
Drosophila yakuba clade. PLoS Genet 13, e1006971.

Wheeler, M.R., Magalhães, L.E., 1962. The *alagitans-bocainensis* complex of the *willistoni*
group of *Drosophila*. The University of Texas Publication 6205, 155-171.

Winge, H., 1965. Interspecific hybridisation between the six cryptic species of *Drosophila*
willistoni group. Heredity 20, 9-19.

Winge, H., Cordeiro, A.R., 1963. Experimental hybrids between *Drosophila willistoni*
sturtevant and *Drosophila paulistorum* Dobzhansky and Pavan from southern marginal
populations. Heredity 18, 215-222.

Yang, Z., 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol. 24,
1586-1591.

Zadra, N., Rizzoli, A., Rota-Stabelli, O., 2021. Chronological Incongruences between
Mitochondrial and Nuclear Phylogenies of *Aedes* mosquitoes. Life (Basel) 11.

Zanini, R., Deprá, M., Valente, V.L., 2015. On the geographic distribution of the *Drosophila*
willistoni group (Diptera, Drosophilidae) – updated distribution of *alagitans* and *bocainensis*
subgroups. Drosophila Information Service 98, 25-27.

Zanini, R., Müller, M.J., Vieira, G.C., Valiati, V.H., Deprá, M., Valente, V.L.d.S., 2018.
Combining morphology and molecular data to improve *Drosophila paulistorum* (Diptera,
Drosophilidae) taxonomic status. Fly, 1-14.

Zhang, D., Tang, L., Cheng, Y., Hao, Y., Xiong, Y., Song, G., Qu, Y., Rheindt, F.E., Alström,
P., Jia, C., Lei, F., 2019. "Ghost Introgression" As a Cause of Deep Mitochondrial Divergence
in a Bird Species Complex. Mol. Biol. Evol. 36, 2375-2386.